Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.shortwave

2006-07

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2006-07-01 through 2006-07-31

Source text: rec.radio.shortwave.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,025

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Coddling the enemy, Democrats celebrate another victory the terrorists won in the courts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 00:15:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151712938.574967.318980@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81138

Slow Code wrote:
> Liberals will bring down America and they seem to be working overtime now
> to get the job done. The Democrats destroy morality, security, and
> capitalism. The courts step on and destroy traditional American values.
the mostly conservitie court struck the existign tribunal structure as
lacking tenchincal erit now the congress will have to fix it

no "victory" for the terroists a blow for better due process
>
>
> Listen to Savage and Limbaugh if you want to undo the damage done to you
> by the liberal main stream media complex.
>
>
> sc

2. Re: AOR SA7000 Wideband antenna for sale (1-2000Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 01:13:30 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151716410.327992.160520@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81139

Telamon wrote:
> In article <Ebipg.1695$u11.1131@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>,
> "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote:
>
> > How does this do on the SW bands? Everything I've read thus far regarding
> > SW antennas indicate that verticals are not a good idea . . .
> >
> > <echait1@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151704049.083946.249750@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > For anyone interesed I am selling my cherished AOR wideband antenna on
> > > eBay. Here is the link:
> > >
> > <http://cgi.ebay.com/AOR-SA7000-Wideband-Antenna_W0QQitemZ160002410823QQihZ006QQcategoryZ296QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
> > >
>
> For SW you are better off spending the $33.50 on a UNUN and horizontal
> wire antenna.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

I agree, though you need to shop for a balun that works in the AM BCB
(if that is your interest) I think only Palomars go that low. [I still
have mine from the pre-Wellbrook days.]
http://www.palomar-engineers.com/MLB-1/mlb-1.html
A little more than $30+. Occasionally you will find a North Hills
transformer at the flea market, many of which can be used in the same
application.
http://www.northhills-sp.com/products-wideband.html

3. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 01:16:33 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151716593.404141.9360@d30g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81140

dxAce wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> > MnMikew wrote:
> > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151640166.874362.273190@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Bushies waste money renaming stuff? Eh, why not. Wasting money is a way
> > > > of life for those assholes.
> > > >
> > > Wasting money has been a way of life in Washington for a very long time. You
> > > finally noticed now?
> >
> > Waste is nothing new, but the Bushies rename everything. There was
> > nothing wrong with "General Accounting Office", so why change it to
> > "Government Accountability Office". Same mission, new name.
>
> Didn't your pal Clinton change the name of the Oval Office to Love Nest?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

No. You are thinking of Bush and Jeff Guckert Gannon. Bush changed the
name of the Oval Office to the Oval Orifice.

4. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 01:17:56 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151716676.078634.7470@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81141

miso@sushi.com wrote:
> I can't say I ever heard Japanese on the GHFS (or whatever Bush renamed
> it).
>
> 11175usb 9:55 PDT SF Bay area.

In hindsight, this probably was a phone patch related to the Japanese
Prime Minister visiting with Bush.

5. Re: Radio Belarus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 02:15:36 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151720136.635279.118380@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81142

Steve wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Radio Belarus on 7210 right now (02:11). Decent copy too from my
> > location in Brooklyn, NY.
> >
> > Steve
>
> Conditions must have been pretty good last night. In addition to Radio
> Belarus, RAE Argentina had a nice signal (on 11710.6) that didn't sound
> bad even on my 7600GR.

A very solid signal from RAE Argentina again tonight.

6. Pirate 6.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 02:23:42 UTC
From: Brian <imaginaryfriend@whatthefuck.com>
Message-ID: <O0lpg.872$cd3.549@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81143

Mac Shortwave on 6.950 at 0223. Mentioned: macshortwave@yahoo.com for qsl.

-Brian

7. Re: Pirate 6.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 02:30:22 UTC
From: Brian <imaginaryfriend@whatthefuck.com>
Message-ID: <27lpg.875$cd3.704@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81144

"Brian" <imaginaryfriend@whatthefuck.com> wrote in message
news:O0lpg.872$cd3.549@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Mac Shortwave on 6.950 at 0223. Mentioned: macshortwave@yahoo.com for qsl.
>
> -Brian

Sign off at 0029 with Doctor Who theme fading in.

-Brian

8. Re: RF Systems MLBA-MK4 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 02:53:47 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x7-dnWtFYMIjfjjZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81145

RHF wrote:
> - F Y I -
>
> The RF Systems MLBA-MK4 Antenna is a longer 66 foot (20 meters)
> version of our improved Longwave and Medium Wave Antenna.
> It Covers 0.1-30 MHz. Can be erected Horizontally or Vertically.
> However, the Optimum Configuration is shown above (sloping at
> about 30�).
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/4067.html
>
> The MLBA-MK4 Antenna System includes a Stainless Steel MLB Balun,
> Stainless Steel Antenna Wire, End Insulators, Nylon Rope and 49 feet
> (15 m) of RG58 Coaxial Cable plus and unattached PL259 Plug.
> This Antennas is for Receive Only.
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/4067.html
>
> and now you know - iane ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Brian Denley wrote:
>> Mark S. Holden wrote:
>>> Brian Denley wrote:
>>>
>>>> Mark S. Holden wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Brian Denley wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I ordered an antenna from Universal in January.....I'm still
>>>>>> waiting.
>>>>>
>>>>> Sounds like they lost your order.
>>>>>
>>>>> Have you called them to check on it?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> They have been telling me it was on backorder since January.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I suppose it's possible, but I'd start checking other dealers.
>>>
>>> What kind of antenna did you order?

>>
>> Mark:
>> RF Systems MLBA-MK4
>>
>> --
>> Brian Denley
>> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

We needed that?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

9. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:04:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <e84oop$mi5$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank Dresser wrote:

> "Gerard M Foley" <gfoley@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:e7810fnho1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> > The old call signs, like WBZ, WTIC, WEAF, WJZ, WCAU, KDKA, WGY, WGN, WOO,
> > KYW, KOA, KFI didn't mean anything. The three and four letter call
> signs
> > were shared with coastal stations, point-to-point stations and ships.
> > Broadcasters got what was left. WLIT, Lit Brothers Department Store in
> > Philadelphia was the first station I remember that had a call sign that
> > meant anything.
> >
> > 73
>
> Broadcasters could get the calls originally assigned to ships for their
> radio stations.
>
> "The Tribune obtains the call letters WGN (World's Greatest Newspaper) from
> Great Lakes skipper Carl D. Bradley."
>
> http://wgngold.com/timeline/1920s1930s.htm

I'm not sure how it came about, but I seem to recall WOWO Ft. Wayne, IN saying "Westinghouse Owned, Westinghouse Operated".

dxAce
Michigan
USA

10. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:04:58 UTC
From: Sid Schweiger <spam@this.moron>
Message-ID: <e84ooqmic1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

> The old call signs, like WBZ, WTIC, WEAF, WJZ, WCAU, KDKA, WGY, WGN, WOO, KYW, KOA, KFI didn't mean anything.<

Wrong, on at least two counts:

WGN: World's Greatest Newspaper (referring to the owner, The Chicago Tribune)
WTIC: referred to owner Traveler's Insurance Company

11. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:04:59 UTC
From: John Rethorst <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <e84oormih1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <e7810fnho1@xuxa.iecc.com>,
"Gerard M Foley" <gfoley@columbus.rr.com> wrote:

> The old call signs, like WBZ, WTIC, WEAF, WJZ, WCAU, KDKA, WGY, WGN, WOO,
> KYW, KOA, KFI didn't mean anything.

KFI - "farm information". The station originally had a fair amount of
agricultural reporting. When I listened to it in the 60s it still had a farm
report every morning.

--
John Rethorst
jrethorst at post dot com

12. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:05:00 UTC
From: Charlie <chuckandbarbg@never-comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e84oosmin1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Gerard M Foley wrote:
> The old call signs, like WBZ, WTIC, WEAF, WJZ, WCAU, KDKA, WGY, WGN, WOO,
> KYW, KOA, KFI didn't mean anything. The three and four letter call signs
> were shared with coastal stations, point-to-point stations and ships.
> Broadcasters got what was left. WLIT, Lit Brothers Department Store in
> Philadelphia was the first station I remember that had a call sign that
> meant anything.
>
> 73
>
>
I can't believe that anyone missed WLS, World's Largest Store, Chicago.
It was originally owned by Sears.
Here's Jeff Miller's great site on early call sign meanings.
http://members.aol.com/jeff560/call192x.html

Charlie.
--
To respond by Email remove never- from address

13. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:05:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <e84oou$mj4$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

"Doug Smith W9WI" <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:e7fano$i5f$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
>
> KYW is somewhat of a mystery, not falling into any of the known
> categories of how a Eastern station got a K call. Them and KQV,
> Pittsburgh.

Here is a link to hundreds of call letter meanings

http://nelson.oldradio.com/origins.call-list.html

14. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:05:02 UTC
From: Greg and Joan <ggjn@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <e84oou$mj8$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

```
WTIC = Travelers' Insurance Company
```

15. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:05:04 UTC
From: marston@osmium.mv.net
Message-ID: <e84op0mji1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <e7fano$i5f$1@xuxa.iecc.com>,
Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote:
>...
>As Gerard said, most older calls didn't mean anything. Most of the
>three-letter calls, and four-letter calls with A or B as the second
>letter, especially. I'm pretty sure WIBG is one of these assigned in
>order by the government calls.

I believe so. But you probably meant to say "third letter" like the B
in WIBG. Checking my Citizens Radio Call Book vol. 6 (1925), I found
these likely suspects:
KFAB Lincoln, NE
WABI Bangor, ME
WBAP Fort Worth, TX
WBBM Chicago
WCAO Baltimore, MD
WCAU Philadelphia, PA
WCBM Baltimore, MD
WDAF Kansas City, MO
WEAN Providence, RI
WFAA Dallas, TX
WGAL Lancaster, PA
WHAM Rochester, NY
WHAS Louisville, KY
WHBQ Memphis, TN
WJAR Providence, RI
WMAQ Chicago
WNAC Boston, MA
WOAI San Antonio, TX
WQAM Miami, FL
WSAI Cincinnati, OH
WSAR Fall River, MA
and many others that have since gone off the air.
--
.................David Marston at MV

16. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:22:07 UTC
From: toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
Message-ID: <ehqba29toqd4vfpe0094eq7njdodsc9l4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 81055

On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:00:00 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>In article <4gktfmF1n2oekU1@individual.net>,
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> "toTaLhAt" <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote in message
>> news:m649a25efu8kp7h2o8fu0uef5klrsko42h@4ax.com...
>> > God help us if newspapers get prosecuted for printing the news.
>> >
>> > You f'in commie.
>> >
>> Too bad most no longer do that. They're more concerned in MAKING news than
>> reporting it. NYT = commie heaven.
>
>God help us if the NYT keeps printing about our covert operations during
>time of war.

Thank God for the NYT. Exposing lax security. If a newspaper hack
can find it out then so can the enemy.

17. falun gong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:27:19 UTC
From: M Peraaho <mperaaho@northlc.com>
Message-ID: <taGdnTvv0vYEdjjZnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@trueband.net>
Source record: 81053

Falun gong, possibly good for meditation or exercise, is run by a certified nut job. Probably found a way to line his pockets with $$$. Great Leader, Li Hongzhi says he aquired supernatural powers while an eight year old. Li Hongzhi says he can levitate and make himself invisible by thinking "no one can see me." Also he claims to control other people's movements by thought and move himself by thought alone.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falun_Gong

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/asia-pacific/1223317.stm

18. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:28:54 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151724534.133018.203290@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81054

Dear Jim,

Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.

But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful

It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
Best,

Joe

Jim Hackett wrote:
> I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> back...
>
>
>
> "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > Dear RHF,
> >
> > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > can all get and evaluate it?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Joe
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> > > For One and All,
> > >
> > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > >
> > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > >
> > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > >
> > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > >
> > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo

> > >
> > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > >
> > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > >
> > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > >
> > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > >
> > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > >
> > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > >
> > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > >
> > > 9. _____
> > >
> > > 10. _____
> > >
> > >
> > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > .
> > > . .
> >

19. Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:31:53 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81056

Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

20. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:40:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A5EE97.9AE017E0@milestones.com>
Source record: 81057

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >
> > > MnMikew wrote:
> > > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151640166.874362.273190@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Bushies waste money renaming stuff? Eh, why not. Wasting money is a way
> > > > > of life for those assholes.
> > > > >
> > > > Wasting money has been a way of life in Washington for a very long time. You
> > > > finally noticed now?
> > >
> > > Waste is nothing new, but the Bushies rename everything. There was
> > > nothing wrong with "General Accounting Office", so why change it to
> > > "Government Accountability Office". Same mission, new name.
> >
> > Didn't your pal Clinton change the name of the Oval Office to Love Nest?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> No. You are thinking of Bush and Jeff Guckert Gannon. Bush changed the
> name of the Oval Office to the Oval Orifice.

Oval Orifice? Actually that was Clinton as well!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

21. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:41:24 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151725284.890956.159500@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81058

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Do you have the URL for the Coast Guard frequencies?

22. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 03:42:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A5EF3B.75695A2E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81059

Merlin3rd wrote:

> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Yada, yada, yada. We already knew that so you'd best be running along.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

23. Re: If burning American flags caused global warming would Democrats stop doing it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 04:23:52 UTC
From: Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <noone-79C2C6.00235201072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net>
Source record: 81060

In article <1151562071.250940.211680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
miso@sushi.com wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
> > No.
>
> Do you have evidence that Democrats are burning the flag?
>
> I have evidence of some GOP asshole that was caught a few years ago
> defacing US flags, and now has started the practice again.
> http://www.ushistory.org/betsy/flagbush.htm

The worst flag burners are the American Legion. Every year most posts
have a public flag burning ceremony. Old flags are supposed to be
burned in PRIVATE.

24. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 04:38:40 UTC
From: gravity <gravityzrainbow@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44a5fd16$0$16281$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 81061

"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>

shortwave plus dipole = $200

ten years of internet access = $1000

Gravity

25. Re: Shortwave is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 04:39:41 UTC
From: gravity <gravityzrainbow@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44a5fd53$0$16444$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 81062

i just put $200,000 into shortwave and ham gear. you could have warned me before that.

thanks.

Gravity

26. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 05:02:50 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151730170.178157.190830@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81063

```
If you can read this ..... Then you can listen on the internet....
DAH... receive internet radio. Douche bag!
gravity wrote:
> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> >
>
> shortwave plus dipole = $200
>
> ten years of internet access = $1000
>
> Gravity
```

27. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 05:15:30 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0577CC.22152830062006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81064

In article <1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

It's not dead. Everyone knows about streaming audio on the web, big
deal. Go Troll someplace else.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

28. OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 05:20:36 UTC
From: m II <C@In.The.Hat>
Message-ID: <ECnpg.115751$771.4344@edtnps89>
Source record: 81065

In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her and three of her family, among them a child.

http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/1151174424034.html

or:

http://snipurl.com/skbz

assholes.

29. FS: JRC NRD-515

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 05:23:34 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CbOdnYR77I9PmzvZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81066

I have for sale a classic. the NRD-515. works great and is in overall very good condition. some wear around the corners. this unit has the upgraded knob on the fine tune control from universal radio. check out photos here: http://community.webshots.com/album/551854099PWcFGC

also has the owners manual which I can't tell if it is a copy or not. price is $575 plus shipping. PAYPAL IS PREFERRED WITH NO EXTRA CHARGE !

30. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 05:42:14 UTC
From: m II <C@In.The.Hat>
Message-ID: <WWnpg.90102$A8.32339@clgrps12>
Source record: 81067

m II wrote:

here, have some more 'Winning Hearts and Minds"

http://mindprod.com/politics/iraqwarpix.html

31. VOA - Dixon Relay site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 06:12:34 UTC
From: latitude61 <dev@null.com>
Message-ID: <12ac4jqm3c10k1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81068

Hello All,

Nice article on eHam with pictures of the VOA relay station in Dixon, CA.

<http://www.eham.net/articles/14253>

32. Re: VOA - Dixon Relay site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 06:32:43 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151735563.168844.269480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81069

latitude61 wrote:
> Hello All,
>
> Nice article on eHam with pictures of the VOA relay station in Dixon, CA.
>
> <http://www.eham.net/articles/14253>

<http://www.lazygranch.com/dixon.htm>
I shot that site myself a few months ago. HFDL is still active there.
Also the Navy 135.95 data signal.

The maritime site mentioned in on the eham website is a few miles down
the road. [Globe Wireless.]

33. Re: racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 06:36:01 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151735761.491532.261050@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81070

```
MnMikew wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1151696080.179344.180680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> > > miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1151607233.567109.51100@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > /2004/41758.htm
> > > > > ---------
> > > > > In October, police in Santiago closed down a child pornography
> ring
> > > > > that posted explicit photos of young children on the Internet.
> Police
> > > > > also closed down bars in Santiago and Montecristi that were being
> used
> > > > > for child prostitution.
> > > > > ----------
> > > > >
> > > > That does not make it a center for child prostitution. In fact, the
> fact
> > > > that the police acted make it quite the opposite.
> > > >
> > >
> > > - Where there is smoke, there is fire.
> > > - So they stomp on the fire (do a raid), but the fire is still
> > > smoldering.
> > > - As you can see, the post I referenced was drom 2004.
> > > - I found a more recent post that is similar:
> > > ------------
> > > - http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2005/61725.htm
> > > - In April DNI dismantled a child prostitution and pornography
> > > - ring in Sosua that had posted sexually explicit photos of
> > > - young children on the Internet. Police arrested two men.
> > > - At the request of the attorney general, police closed down
> > > - several bars, nightclubs, and "massage parlors" in Santiago,
> > > - Santo Domingo, and Boca Chica used for child prostitution
> > > - and sexual exploitation of women.
> > > .
> > > Miso - You Be Up-in-Smoke anbout Child Prostitution and
> > > Child Pornography - For Real Thtat's For Sure Dude ! ~ RHF
> > >
> > > Miso - Now your Repeated Comments about the the good
```

> > > people of the Dominican Republic smack of Racism [.]
> > > Why Don't You Talk {Write} About Real Problems In Your
> > > Own City - County - State ? Just To Easy To Talk About
> > > "Those People" ! You Clearly Got A Thing For Those Nasty
> > > Evil People in the Dominican Republic - Who mostly Speak
> > > French and are predominantly Black.
> > >
> > > Hello Miso - The Roots of Child Prostitution and Child Pornography
> > > exists right in your home town - Why arn't you working to put the
> > > people who take the Sex Trips in Jail - Instead of trying to put
> > > down the Dominican Republic and the good honest people who
> > > live in that country.
> > >
> > > Miso - Point of Fact - Child Prostitution and Child Pornography
> > > knows NO Color Barrier : White, Brown, Yellow or Black - All
> > > Races have individuals who Exploit and Abuse Children.
> > >
> > >
> > > God {Allah} Bless All The Children Of The World - Amen ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > .
> > > . .
> >
> > Never once did I mention race. One can only assume you are a closest
> > racist. Please keep your evil bigotry to yourself. Clearly, Allah has
> > not blessed you.
> >
> One can only assume you are a complete idiot. There's nothing in RHFs post
> that is the least bit racist. That uni is rotting your brain.

Are you fucking blind? Didn't you read his header?
Here it is:
"Miso - Your Repeated Comments About The Dominican Republic Smack Of
Racism"

34. Re: (OT) : limbaugh gets his pipe up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 06:43:32 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151736212.912029.323830@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81071

RHF wrote:
> Miso informs us that Santiago (DR) is a
> Town Known for Child Prostitution.
>
> Ah ! - The Voice of Experience !
> -and- Now We Know " W H A T "
> You Did On Your Summer Vacation.
>
> miso - you naughty little boy you :o) ~ RHF
> .

What are you, south of the equator? Summer just started.

I don't have a passport, so I assure you I didn't accompany Limbaugh on
his sex tour. I only learned about Santiago from the net, trying to
figure out why Limbaugh would take N1EB to the island.

"Hey baby, put that pipe down and get MY pipe up."
Bill Oreilly

> .
> . .
>
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > Neil Bell wrote:
> > > I'm sure good old Fox news will blame a conspicacy!
> > >
> > > What a joke he is
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > Remove ".notreally." for e-mail
> > > ---
> > >
> > > "Abuse of words has been the great instrument of sophistry
> > > and chicanery, of party, faction, and division of society."
> > >
> > > John Adams
> >
> > It is not generally known yet (but making the rounds), is that return
> > flight left from Santiago (DR), a town known for child prostitution.
> > The arriving flight was to Casa de Campo, a nice resort town.
> >
> > Also kind of interesting was the flight to the DR left from Washington,

> > not Palm Beach. So just who from DC made the trip with Limbaugh on
> > N1EB?
> >
> > The plane used was N1EB.

35. (OT) : Miso - Words Have Meaning And Consequences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 06:58:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151737127.271608.118490@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81072

Miso,

Honestly consider the 'implication' of your remarks and
the 'repeated' posting of those remarks. This was even
after I posted a reply pointing out the insensitive nature
of the remarks and there potential to be viewed as Racist.
You then topped that off by continued to post them again.

Miso - The Picture You Are Painting Is That Of An Ugly
{ Bigoted /Racist } American. - Who can look down His
Nose - At those Poor Dirty Nasty Foreigners and can not
admit that those same Problems Exist right here in America.

miso - words have meanings and consequences ~ RHF
If it Walks like a Duck - Talks like a Duck -It's a Duck [.]
.
.
. .

miso@sushi.com wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151607233.567109.51100@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > /2004/41758.htm
> > > > ---------
> > > > In October, police in Santiago closed down a child pornography ring
> > > > that posted explicit photos of young children on the Internet. Police
> > > > also closed down bars in Santiago and Montecristi that were being used
> > > > for child prostitution.
> > > > ----------
> > > >
> > > That does not make it a center for child prostitution. In fact, the fact
> > > that the police acted make it quite the opposite.
> > >
> >
> > - Where there is smoke, there is fire.
> > - So they stomp on the fire (do a raid), but the fire is still
> > smoldering.
> > - As you can see, the post I referenced was drom 2004.
> > - I found a more recent post that is similar:
> > ------------
> > - http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2005/61725.htm
> > - In April DNI dismantled a child prostitution and pornography

> > - ring in Sosua that had posted sexually explicit photos of
> > - young children on the Internet. Police arrested two men.
> > - At the request of the attorney general, police closed down
> > - several bars, nightclubs, and "massage parlors" in Santiago,
> > - Santo Domingo, and Boca Chica used for child prostitution
> > - and sexual exploitation of women.
> > .
> > Miso - You Be Up-in-Smoke anbout Child Prostitution and
> > Child Pornography - For Real Thtat's For Sure Dude ! ~ RHF
> >
> > Miso - Now your Repeated Comments about the the good
> > people of the Dominican Republic smack of Racism [.]
> > Why Don't You Talk {Write} About Real Problems In Your
> > Own City - County - State ? Just To Easy To Talk About
> > "Those People" ! You Clearly Got A Thing For Those Nasty
> > Evil People in the Dominican Republic - Who mostly Speak
> > French and are predominantly Black.
> >
> > Hello Miso - The Roots of Child Prostitution and Child Pornography
> > exists right in your home town - Why arn't you working to put the
> > people who take the Sex Trips in Jail - Instead of trying to put
> > down the Dominican Republic and the good honest people who
> > live in that country.
> >
> > Miso - Point of Fact - Child Prostitution and Child Pornography
> > knows NO Color Barrier : White, Brown, Yellow or Black - All
> > Races have individuals who Exploit and Abuse Children.
> >
> >
> > God {Allah} Bless All The Children Of The World - Amen ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
>
> Never once did I mention race. One can only assume you are a closest
> racist. Please keep your evil bigotry to yourself. Clearly, Allah has
> not blessed you.

36. Handy Shortwave Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 07:00:02 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <oaudnSocZNzvgDvZnZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@scnresearch.com>
Source record: 81073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.info

[Last modified 12-Feb-03]

The latest version of this file can be found at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

Handy Shortwave Chart
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Band allocations and time zone conversions for the USA. Includes broadcast bands used outside the USA. Also includes WARC-92 broadcast band extensions, which may be used before April 1, 2007 on a secondary (non-interference) basis. For multi-use bands, most common usage is shown. Some stations operate outside the official bands. Information is from Sep 2000 NTIA allocations table, see:
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/allochrt.html

Frequencies are in kHz. Usual spacing in shortwave broadcast bands is 5 kHz. Frequencies above 10000 kHz tend to propagate better during the day; frequencies below 10000 kHz tend to propagate better at night.

Please e-mail suggestions and corrections to billmc@agora.rdrop.com.

SHORTWAVE BROADCAST BANDS UNITED STATES TIME ZONES

2300 - 2495 120 Meters PDT MDT CDT EDT
3200 - 3400 90 Meters GMT PST MST CST EST
3900 - 4000 75 Meters --
4750 - 5060 60 Meters 0000 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM
5900 - 6200 49 Meters 0100 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM
7100 - 7350 41 Meters 0200 6 PM 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM
9400 - 9900 31 Meters 0300 7 PM 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM
11600 - 12100 25 Meters 0400 8 PM 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM
13570 - 13870 22 Meters 0500 9 PM 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM
15100 - 15800 19 Meters 0600 10 PM 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM
17480 - 17900 16 Meters 0700 11 PM 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM
18900 - 19020 15 Meters 0800 12 AM 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM
21450 - 21850 13 Meters 0900 1 AM 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM
25670 - 26100 11 Meters 1000 2 AM 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM
1100 3 AM 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM
1200 4 AM 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM
AMATEUR PHONE BANDS 1300 5 AM 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM
1400 6 AM 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM
1800 - 2000 160 Meters 1500 7 AM 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM
3750 - 4000 75 Meters 1600 8 AM 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM

7150 - 7300 40 Meters 1700 9 AM 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM
14150 - 14350 20 Meters 1800 10 AM 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM
18110 - 18168 17 Meters 1900 11 AM 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM
21200 - 21450 15 Meters 2000 12 PM 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM
24930 - 24990 12 Meters 2100 1 PM 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM
28300 - 29700 10 Meters 2200 2 PM 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM
2300 3 PM 4 PM 5 PM 6 PM 7 PM

AMATEUR CW BANDS
OTHER BANDS
1800 - 2000 160 Meters
3500 - 3750 80 Meters 153 - 279 Longwave, Non-US, 9kHz Spacing
7000 - 7150 40 Meters 540 - 1700 Mediumwave, 10 kHz Spacing
10100 - 10150 30 Meters 531 - 1602 MW, Non-US, 9 kHz Spacing
14000 - 14150 20 Meters 1900 - 2000 Radiolocation
18068 - 18110 17 Meters 13360 - 13410 Radio Astronomy
21000 - 21200 15 Meters 25550 - 25670 Radio Astronomy
24890 - 24930 12 Meters 25850 - 26100 Remote Broadcast Pickup
28000 - 28300 10 Meters 26960 - 27410 Citizens' Band

FIXED SERVICE BANDS AIRCRAFT BANDS MARINE BANDS LAND MOBILE

1705 - 1800 13800 - 14000 2850 - 3155 2000 - 2107 25010 - 25070
2107 - 2170 14350 - 14990 3400 - 3500 2170 - 2194 25210 - 25330
2194 - 2850 15600 - 16360 4650 - 4750 4000 - 4438 26175 - 26480
3155 - 3400 17410 - 17550 5450 - 5730 6200 - 6525 27410 - 27540
4438 - 4650 18030 - 18068 6525 - 6765 8100 - 8815 29700 - 29800
4750 - 5450 18168 - 18780 8815 - 9040 12230 - 13200
5730 - 5950 18900 - 19680 10005 - 10100 16360 - 17410
6765 - 7000 19800 - 21000 11175 - 11400 18780 - 18900 US GOVERNMENT
7300 - 8100 21850 - 21924 13200 - 13360 19680 - 19800
9040 - 9500 22855 - 23200 15010 - 15100 22000 - 22855 25330 - 25550
9900 - 9995 23350 - 24890 17900 - 18030 25070 - 25210 26480 - 26950
10150 - 11175 26950 - 26960 21924 - 22000 26100 - 26175 27540 - 28000
11400 - 11650 29800 - 29890 23200 - 23350 29890 - 29910
12050 - 12230 29910 - 30000
13410 - 13600

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.

37. Phone line as SW antenna [04-Apr-00]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 07:00:03 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <xq-dnQQJD_fugDvZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@scnresearch.com>
Source record: 81074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.info

[Last modified 04-Apr-00]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

Phone line as SW antenna
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceded by "|".

WARNINGS AND DISCLAIMERS

Connecting unapproved devices to phone lines may be illegal in your area. Telephone lines present a potential shock hazard. Do not use this antenna for transmitting. Use at your own risk.

INTRODUCTION

This article describes how to use a phone line as a shortwave receiving antenna. Performance will vary depending on the kind of phone line you have. Overhead lines usually make okay antennas, while underground lines usually don't. A phone line antenna will generally pick up more power line noise than a dedicated antenna, so don't expect to use it for DX work. Nevertheless, I find that it outperforms the telescopic whip antennas built into most portables.

A reader sent in this suggestion: If you're concerned about connecting the phone line directly to the receiver, try wrapping the phone cord several times around the receiver's telescopic whip antenna instead. Performance may not be as good as a direct connection, but it will probably be better than the whip antenna by itself.

Someone else wrote asking about lightning protection. I haven't thought much about it because thunderstorms are rare in my area. Most phone lines have lightning arrestors on them where they enter the house, but the lightning arrestors may pass enough energy during a lightning strike damage a receiver. My suggestion is to disconnect the antenna when not in use if you experience frequent thunderstorms.

HOW TO DO IT

The simplest connection is a single capacitor between the phone line and receiver. The capacitor eliminates all phone line voltages, including ringing, so that they will not harm the receiver.

```
Phone line RF connector
red .01 uF center cond. / \
or o--------||-----------------------------|-o | To receiver
green \ /
50 ohm coax |
ground |
or o-----------------------------------------+
N.C. shield
```

N.C. = no connect. I originally connected the phone line ground (yellow wire) to this terminal, but reception was better without it (if you do this, play it safe and put a .01 uF capacitor in series). If another ground is available, you can connect it to this terminal.

If you are picking up local AM or FM radio stations on the shortwave bands, it is likely that your receiver is overloaded. Inexpensive and portable receivers usually lack the filtering needed to eliminate this interference, but you can make your own filters. Filters can also be purchased from some shortwave dealers.

A high-pass filter can be used to remove signals below the shortwave bands. It rejects interference from local AM stations and presents a high impedance to the phone line at audio frequencies so that the phone line remains balanced.

A low-pass filter can be used to remove signals above the shortwave bands. It rejects interference from FM and TV stations, as well as VHF 2-way radio transmitters. If you use both filters, connect the output of the first filter to the input of the second (don't forget to connect their ground terminals together). It doesn't matter which filter is connected first.

By the way, these filters also work well with random wire antennas. Just connect the antenna in place of the phone line.

The filter(s) should be connected to the phone line in this manner:

```
Phone line RF connector
red -------- center cond. / \
or o----| |-------------------------|-o | To receiver
green | | \ /
| FILTER | 50 ohm coax |
ground | | |
or o----| |----------------------------+
N.C. -------- shield
```

FILTER PLANS

The original credit for the high-pass and low-pass filters goes to Paul Blumstein and John Shalamskas, respectively. Edited versions of their articles are included here:

Date: 09 Jan 91 00:54:08 GMT
From: paulb@harley.TTI.COM (Paul Blumstein)

Subject: BC Band Hi-Pass Filter

The following ascii-schematic diagram is a high pass filter that will filter out Broadcast Band (MW) stations. I found it a great boon to my shortwave listening since local MW stations overload my ATS-803A front end & appear in SW, especially with a long antenna.

If you remember my antenna saga, I went from 50 feet to 150 feet & had overload problems causing me to cut back to 50 feet. (Even at 50 feet, I still have some MW interference). I took the advice of Gary Coffman and looked up filters in the ARRL Handbook. With the filter in place, I intend to try to increase my antenna length again.

Anywho, here is the filter, for interested parties.



The outer capacitors are 1500 pf ceramic disks.
The inner capacitor is 820 pf ceramic disk.
The squiggly things are coils (two total). Each one is 2.7 uh.
(a close value will do).

Date: 12 Jan 91 00:44:25 GMT
From: bill@videovax.tv.tek.com (William K. McFadden)
Subject: Re: BC Band Hi-Pass Filter

I built the filter that Paul Blumstein posted recently and measured it on a gain-phase analyzer. Here are its characteristics:

100 kHz -120dB
500 kHz -68dB
1000 kHz -38dB
1600 kHz -15dB
2100 kHz -3dB

The source and load impedances were 50 ohms. Because the filter has five elements, the attenuation is 30dB per octave. The measurements confirmed this.

This filter seems to be a good compromise between interference attenuation and passband response. There is very little attenuation in the 120m band and above. It could use a little more attenuation at the upper end of MW, which could be done with more stages or a higher cutoff frequency. Alternatively, you could build two of these filters and put them in series. (Since two 1500pF capacitors in series are really 750pF, you could eliminate one cap.)

Just for fun, I put 470 ohms in series with the input to see how the filter performed with an antenna mismatch. The response was:

100 kHz -105dB
500 kHz -60dB
1000 kHz -35dB
1600 kHz -15dB
2300 kHz -3dB

These figures are normalized to the passband response of -15dB, which is due to the impedance mismatch between the source and load and would have been there without the filter. Hence, the filter works almost as well in spite of the mismatch, which is good news to those who use long-wire antennas.

Date: 22 Apr 92 08:59:33 GMT
From: johns@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (John Shalamskas)
Subject: Construction of filters for SW reception

Several people have asked for construction details of the filters I built for my DX-440.

The high-pass filter helped some, but in my location the VHF/UHF broadcasters are also causing problems. So, I dug out the ARRL handbook and chose a 7-element Chebyshev low-pass design that is -3 dB at 35 MHz, -20 dB at 43 MHz, and -50 dB at 64 MHz (all calculated; it works well in practice!)

LOW-PASS FILTER (Rejects FM, TV, etc.)



I had to do a little more improvising at this point. I used .33 uH instead of .36, and .66 uH instead of .42, but it works fine.

The 5-lug terminal strips were perfect for these circuits, since there are 4 lugs plus a grounded lug. All "ground" connections go to the lug that is mounted to the chassis, and the other 4 lugs are used for each of the connections on the signal line. One terminal strip is used per filter. Since both filters were necessary to clean up the hash, I am going to put them both into one box when I get the time.

The proper way to connect them is in series, i.e.

signal in ------- filter 1 -------- filter 2 -------- signal out

There is no difference between ends. They are "bilateral" which means you can't possibly hook them up backwards. (In the above schematics, left and right ends are interchangeable.)

COIL WINDING

You can make the coils yourself using this formula:

L = 0.2 * B^2 * N^2 / (3B + 9A + 10C)

L is the inductance, in uH
A is the length of the coil, in inches
B is the mean diameter of the coil, in inches
C is the diameter of the wire, in inches
N is the number of turns

For small wire, you can assume C = 0.

PARTS SOURCE

All of the parts for the filters can be obtained from the following source:

Digi-Key
http://www.digi-key.com/
701 Brooks Av S
P.O. Box 677
Thief River Falls, MN 56701-0677 USA
Voice: 800-344-4539
FAX: 218-681-3380

PART DIGI-KEY PART NO.
82 pF capacitor P4023
180 pF capacitor P4027
820 pF capacitor P4184
1500 pF capacitor P4187
0.33 uH inductor M8007
0.39 uH inductor M8008
2.7 uH inductor M8018

The cost of these parts is less than $1 each.

--- end ---

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.

38. (OT) : Clearly Miso - You Have Been Caught {Red Handed} Repeating Another Liberal Lie . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 07:15:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151738126.355537.161790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81075

```
miso@sushi.com wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > Miso informs us that Santiago (DR) is a
> > Town Known for Child Prostitution.
> >
> > Ah ! - The Voice of Experience !
> > -and- Now We Know " W H A T "
> > You Did On Your Summer Vacation.
> >
> > miso - you naughty little boy you :o) ~ RHF
> > .
>

- What are you, south of the equator?

-R- Actually most likely North of You.
Above Yosmite NP in the Sierras.

- Summer just started.

-R- Miso - It's Summer Someplace Sometime All-the-Time !
-and- Often the Snow Birds Go South in the Winter :o)

- I don't have a passport, so I assure you I didn't
- accompany Limbaugh on his sex tour.

-R- Miso - Then How Did You Know It Was A Sex Tour ?
Or Miso - Are You Just Repeating Another Liberal Lie
and Left-Wing Media Distortion ?
Clearly Miso - You Have Been Caught Repeating Another Liberal Lie . . .

- I only learned about Santiago from the net,

-R- Don't Believe Everything that You Read on the Internet.
Especially Liberal {Libel} Bloggers

- trying to figure out why Limbaugh would take
- N1EB to the island.
-R- Cigars and All-Things-Cigars - Up-In-Smoke !
{ Just For The Fun Of It }

> -------

- "Hey baby, put that pipe down
- and get MY pipe up." - Bill Oreilly
```

-R- Miso - Something to think about
Rush Limbaugh is not Bill O'Reilly
-and- Bill O'Reilly is not Rush Limbaugh.

-IF- You See Them As Both Being The Same . . .
You Need Your Eyes Checked [.]

>
>
>
>
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > > Neil Bell wrote:
> > > > I'm sure good old Fox news will blame a conspicacy!
> > > >
> > > > What a joke he is
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Remove ".notreally." for e-mail
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > > > "Abuse of words has been the great instrument of sophistry
> > > > and chicanery, of party, faction, and division of society."
> > > >
> > > > John Adams
> > >
> > > It is not generally known yet (but making the rounds), is that return
> > > flight left from Santiago (DR), a town known for child prostitution.
> > > The arriving flight was to Casa de Campo, a nice resort town.
> > >
> > > Also kind of interesting was the flight to the DR left from Washington,
> > > not Palm Beach. So just who from DC made the trip with Limbaugh on
> > > N1EB?
> > >
> > > The plane used was N1EB.

39. I steel topic adain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 09:11:27 UTC
From: an_old_freind <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42085.ns0.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 81076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151678266.072989.172360@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote in
>

> > get help sicko
>
> Aww, what's the matter, Marqueer, will you hit him with your purse?
no prusue here could I borrow yours?
get help sicko

I steeld the topic agian. you guys getting wise to me

40. Teen Sister Drunk Peeing Outside CONTAINS A VIRUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 09:50:43 UTC
From: Tim Bruening <tsbrueni@pop.dcn.davis.ca.us>
Message-ID: <44A64573.BC74A548@pop.dcn.davis.ca.us>
Source record: 81077
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,alt.bianries.pictures.erotica,rec.radio.shortwave,demon.local,alt.binaries.sounds.mp3.video-games,alt.religion.mormon,alt.politics.greens,rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical,rec.arts.sf.composition

In message <1148630297_4497@sp6iad.superfeed.net>,
"jhdshydsguisfdbjk@zoominternet.net" <d.ukfdxc@dysjfdvc.com> writes
>Teen Sister Drunk Peeing Outside
>[A MIME application / octet-stream part was included here.]

Get her a Brief Relief.

41. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 10:48:02 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151750882.671702.47620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81078

Merlin3rd wrote:
> If you can read this ..... Then you can listen on the internet....
> DAH... receive internet radio. Douche bag!
> gravity wrote:
> > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > >
> >
> > shortwave plus dipole = $200
> >
> > ten years of internet access = $1000
> >
> > Gravity

What's the URL for Gander radio?

42. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 11:11:49 UTC
From: Simon Mason <simon@simonmason.karoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <gHSdnVk2XZXvxTvZSa8jmw@karoo.co.uk>
Source record: 81079

"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Just been around 5 European countries. No internet in hotel rooms so
listened to BBC WS on my Sony 2001D.

--
Simon Mason
http://www.simonmason.karoo.net

43. Re: Shortwave is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 11:38:12 UTC
From: inagaddadavida@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44A65EA4-253@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81080

gravity, how in the hell can you drop 200 grand into radios? is this a buisness venture? even if you were a milionaire that would be a hit! (20% of total wealth!) when will your new station come on line? hell, there are plastic portables that would cost 20% of MY total wealth!

44. Re: Male Slaves Wanted by Teenaged Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 11:47:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1151592444.790335.13447A@j72g200cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 Jul 2006 11:00:30 +0200, Mrs. Mary Sadistica <mary_sadist@ogs.com> wrote:
>
> I'm still looking for submissive males who want a free session with me for my
> femdom site. Please apply.

Dear Mrs Sadistica,

you leftoff your adres form your post and my email to ogs.com bonuced.
Plaese repost asap becuase I am realy horney and need you to abues me.

45. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 12:27:29 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <RStpg.31811$VE1.3592@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81082

m II wrote:

> here, have some more 'Winning Hearts and Minds"
>
>
> http://mindprod.com/politics/iraqwarpix.html
>

That would go a long way towards explaining why there will be a limitless supply of "insurgents".

(Which is to say, viewing the picture of the father with a look of hatred on his face, holding his horribly burned child--well, it's hard to believe he is going to want to line up behind W. It seems more likely he will become yet another "insurgent").

46. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 12:48:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com>
Source record: 81083

m II wrote:

> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
> and three of her family, among them a child.
>
> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/1151174424034.html
>
> or:
>
> http://snipurl.com/skbz
>
> assholes.

Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are assembled, or a trial is held.

LMFAO yet again at you, and also K8VT, another pussy boy who does nothing but whine.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

47. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:09:14 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <h9ednQOQcZWY6TvZnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 81084

toTaLhAt wrote:
> On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:00:00 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>
>>In article <4gktfmF1n2oekU1@individual.net>,
>>"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"toTaLhAt" <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote in message
>>>news:m649a25efu8kp7h2o8fu0uef5klrsko42h@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>God help us if newspapers get prosecuted for printing the news.
>>>>
>>>>You f'in commie.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Too bad most no longer do that. They're more concerned in MAKING news than
>>>reporting it. NYT = commie heaven.
>>
>>God help us if the NYT keeps printing about our covert operations during
>>time of war.
>
>
>
> Thank God for the NYT. Exposing lax security. If a newspaper hack
> can find it out then so can the enemy.

Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.

Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.

48. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:10:16 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e85s45jdo1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81085

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
>
>
> m II wrote:
>
>> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
>> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
>> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
>> and three of her family, among them a child.
>>
>> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/115117
4424034.html
>>
>> or:
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/skbz
>>
>> assholes.
>
> Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
> assembled, or a trial is held.
>

It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers at
the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at least
as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll have
a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.

49. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:19:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A67667.56E09186@milestones.com>
Source record: 81086

Brenda Ann wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > m II wrote:
> >
> >> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
> >> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
> >> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
> >> and three of her family, among them a child.
> >>
> >> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/1151
174424034.html
> >>
> >> or:
> >>
> >> http://snipurl.com/skbz
> >>
> >> assholes.
> >
> > Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
> > assembled, or a trial is held.
> >
>
> It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
> DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
> happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers at
> the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at least
> as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll have
> a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
> uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.

That's all well and good, and if indeed guilty then punished as it should be.

What I really find interesting is that an Iraqi's life seems to be totally worthless unless killed by an
American soldier.

I see no complaints from the 'tard boys in CanaDuh nor from the 'tard boys in the USA (posted here, at
least) about the daily deaths of the Iraqi's who are being slaughtered by either their fellow citizens or
the "insurgents".

dxAce
Michigan
USA

50. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:51:13 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151761873.241092.239180@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81087

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>
> It's not dead. Everyone knows about streaming audio on the web, big
> deal. Go Troll someplace else.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

The Future Of Radio
Exploring the future of radio and wireless technologies. Edited by
Harry Helms
May 12, 2006

Shortwave Broadcasting Meets Reality

"The era of shortwave radio broadcasting is surely, inexorably drawing
to a close. Even that starstruck eleven year old boy inside me-----the

one enthralled by shortwave radio almost 43 years ago----can see that."

"With the huge decline in tropical stations, DRM splatter in other
areas, religious broadcasters appearing in the 60-90 meter bands,
relays...it is just not the same for me anymore. However, I have
wonderful memories from those years that will never be forgotten."

"Voice of the Andes' Airs Final English Broadcast on SW".

"About 13 years ago, one of our HighText/LLH employees joined the Peace

Corps and was going to be posted to Botswana. My going-away present to

her was a Grundig portable shortwave radio with digital tuning. I even

pre-programmed it with frequencies for the VOA, BBC, Radio South
Africa, etc. In a letter, I asked her how she was enjoying listening
to shortwave. Her reply was a sheepish admission that she never
listened to shortwave; there was too much fading, interference, and the

audio was awful. Instead, she preferred listening to AM and FM, and had

good reception of the local VOA and BBC relays as well as South African

AM stations, especially in the evenings. I don't think her story is unique, even among the permanent residents of Botswana and other areas that we supposedly need to serve by shortwave."

"The decline even from a decade ago is remarkable, and from two decades

ago it is shocking (check through an old copy of World Radio Television

Handbook if you doubt this). There was a time when the 4700 to 5000 kHz range would be filled with broadcasters from Central and South America in the evening hours, African broadcasters in late afternoons and after midnight, and with Pacific and Indonesian stations around dawn. Now you hear more background noise and utility stations in that range than regional and national broadcasters. And where have those listeners gone? Mostly to recently-opened stations on AM and (especially) FM."

Remember folks - "keep thinking positively about your Eaton Shit E1s" !

51. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:51:51 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151761910.954073.295470@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81088

dxAce wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
>
> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>
> Yada, yada, yada. We already knew that so you'd best be running along.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

The Future Of Radio
Exploring the future of radio and wireless technologies. Edited by
Harry Helms
May 12, 2006

Shortwave Broadcasting Meets Reality

"The era of shortwave radio broadcasting is surely, inexorably drawing
to a close. Even that starstruck eleven year old boy inside me-----the

one enthralled by shortwave radio almost 43 years ago----can see that."

"With the huge decline in tropical stations, DRM splatter in other
areas, religious broadcasters appearing in the 60-90 meter bands,
relays...it is just not the same for me anymore. However, I have
wonderful memories from those years that will never be forgotten."

"Voice of the Andes' Airs Final English Broadcast on SW".

"About 13 years ago, one of our HighText/LLH employees joined the Peace

Corps and was going to be posted to Botswana. My going-away present to

her was a Grundig portable shortwave radio with digital tuning. I even

pre-programmed it with frequencies for the VOA, BBC, Radio South
Africa, etc. In a letter, I asked her how she was enjoying listening
to shortwave. Her reply was a sheepish admission that she never
listened to shortwave; there was too much fading, interference, and the

audio was awful. Instead, she preferred listening to AM and FM, and had

good reception of the local VOA and BBC relays as well as South African

AM stations, especially in the evenings. I don't think her story is unique, even among the permanent residents of Botswana and other areas that we supposedly need to serve by shortwave."

"The decline even from a decade ago is remarkable, and from two decades

ago it is shocking (check through an old copy of World Radio Television

Handbook if you doubt this). There was a time when the 4700 to 5000 kHz range would be filled with broadcasters from Central and South America in the evening hours, African broadcasters in late afternoons and after midnight, and with Pacific and Indonesian stations around dawn. Now you hear more background noise and utility stations in that range than regional and national broadcasters. And where have those listeners gone? Mostly to recently-opened stations on AM and (especially) FM."

Remember folks - "keep thinking positively about your Eaton Shit E1s" !

52. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:52:27 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <v6vpg.965$ye3.666@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81089

"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>

Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.

Oh yeah... a person's hobby
(birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.

What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.

53. Re: The Right Perspective: Tonight 10pm EST ***SPAM***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:55:19 UTC
From: advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
Message-ID: <3avca21c8hbgd0ugp4r3n6j26mqbm9guf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 81091

On 30 Jun 2006 05:11:46 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
wrote:

>NYC's Most Moronic Callers to Talk Radio take to the airwaves

There's no need to advertise these stupid assholes and their smarmy
program here. They can't even afford the second hour on WWCR anymore,
it seems. Poor, misguided racists, so starved for something useful to
talk about that the idiot "Frank of Queens" needs to devolve into his
"gefundefunk" personality.

54. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:55:35 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151762135.160195.311890@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81090

```
Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> Dear Jim,
>
> Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
>
> But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
>
> It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> Best,
>
> Joe
>
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > back...
> >
> >
> >
> > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > Dear RHF,
> > >
> > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Joe
> > >
> > > RHF wrote:
> > > > For One and All,
> > > >
> > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > >
> > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > >
> > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > >
```

> > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > >
> > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > >
> > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > >
> > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > >
> > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > >
> > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > >
> > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > >
> > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > >
> > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > >
> > > > 9. _____
> > > >
> > > > 10. _____
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > .
> > > > .
> > > > . .
> > >

It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !

55. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate <-------- DIRTBAG SPAMMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 13:57:11 UTC
From: advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
Message-ID: <8hvca2pqmcbh209pj2o23h8072uq0htvsv@4ax.com>
Source record: 81092

On 26 Jun 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Frank and John explore the foiled

Spam deleted. Go fuck yourselves, racist assholes.

56. Voice of Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 14:39:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151764746.542495.250650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81093

Hearing their English broadcast now on 15630 khz. Nice signal.

57. Re: Voice of Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:05:27 UTC
From: Vincent <vincze33@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151766326.990977.54890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81094

Steve ha scritto:

> Hearing their English broadcast now on 15630 khz. Nice signal.

What is really NICE is the music one can hear from VoG! Also on 9420
kHz.

--
Stars shine like eyes

58. Re: Shortwave is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:14:22 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151766862.808453.291590@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81095

Steve wrote:
> gil wrote:
> > As much as I hate to say it, there is a decline in shortwave stations.
> > I go back to the 60's and 70's when the tropical stations were lots of
> > fun to log and verify, I have many QSL cards from many low power south
> > and central American statons and from Africa as well from that era.
> > But I wont bet that we will see the bands go dead in our lifetime.
> > At the moment we are at the bottom of a solar cycle and there are days
> > when certain bands are almost dead, especially on the ham bands and
> > even so, being a ham myself there is not a day that I get on the air
> > that I dont make a QSO and when Im not hamming I still have lots of fun
> > listening on my little R-600 and my listening consists of anything from
> > SW stations to utilities and hams on SSB, CW or AM so there is plenty
> > to listen to.
> >
> > Gil
> >
>
> True. I'm listening right now and the bands are bursting at the seams.

Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
Shortwave is
nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and ultilities is also
boring. More and
more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to either the
Internet, or AM.
Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened to, back in the
1960s and
1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting to the US,
I knew
shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of plopping down hundreds of
dollars
for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and get the
same stations.
Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend $65 for a Degen
1103.

59. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:16:52 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151767012.050443.81930@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81096

dxAce wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
>
> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>
> Yada, yada, yada. We already knew that so you'd best be running along.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
Shortwave is nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and
ultilities is also
boring. More and more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to
either the
Internet, or AM. Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened
to, back in the
1960s and 1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting
to the US, I knew shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of
plopping down hundreds of
dollars for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and
get the
same stations. Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend
$65 for a Degen 1103.

60. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:19:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151767166.132816.277870@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
fif not write but if you are going to forgre you ned to use an adress I
don't control

61. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:41:48 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151768508.594543.51740@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81198

Mark S. Holden wrote:
> toTaLhAt wrote:
> > On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:00:00 GMT, Telamon
> > <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> >

> > Thank God for the NYT. Exposing lax security. If a newspaper hack
> > can find it out then so can the enemy.
>
> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
>
> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
what seems likely is that in time perhaps everyone in the public sector
will stop talking to reporter not a good thing but better than the
current mess

62. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 15:58:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A69BB6-177@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81199

Computers (ala the internet) require continuous maintnance and
upkeep.(just like a woman) My cheap old beat up Goodwill store radios
continue (most of them anyway) to work ok and require no maintnance.
cuhulin

63. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:03:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A69CBF-180@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81200

Voice of the Andes.Those people over there live to high up on those
Mountains.They don't get enough Oxygen to think straight.
cuhulin

64. Re: Male Slaves Wanted by Teenaged Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:17:30 UTC
From: Llyod <llyod@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <SXS17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 81201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.

65. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:35:45 UTC
From: pete nalda <lpnalda@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20060701113545760-0500@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 81205

In <1151716676.078634.7470@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>snip>
>>
>> 11175usb 9:55 PDT SF Bay area.
>
> In hindsight, this probably was a phone patch related to the Japanese
> Prime Minister visiting with Bush.
>
>
Thanks much for bringing this back on topic. I'm so burnt out on Politics, even though I know the subject of shortwave is a lot of times influenced by them.

66. Re: Radio Belarus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:38:55 UTC
From: pete nalda <lpnalda@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20060701113855804-0500@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 81206

In <1151720136.635279.118380@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> Steve wrote:
>
> Steve wrote:
>> Steve wrote:
>> > Radio Belarus on 7210 right now (02:11). Decent copy too from my
>> > location in Brooklyn, NY.
>> >
>> > Steve
>>
>> Conditions must have been pretty good last night. In addition to
>> Radio Belarus, RAE Argentina had a nice signal (on 11710.6) that
>> didn't sound bad even on my 7600GR.
>
> A very solid signal from RAE Argentina again tonight.
>
>
A week or so ago I also heard Belaarus down here in TX. I haven't
caught RAE yet though.

67. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:49:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26032-44A6A78D-46@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81203

Get ready to start paying a toll on Interstates and some Highways that are not now toll ways. www.infowars.com
I stay off of those crazy road rage Interstates and fast traffic Higways.(I drive too slow,about 35 to 45 miles per hour top speed and my reflexes aren't what they used to be.I always take the slow poke back roads) I doubt Highway 80 and Highway 18 and Highway 49 South will be toll ways in my lifetime.

Ex-reagan Cabinet Member Doubts govt's 911 Story. www.rense.com
cuhulin

68. Re: Shortwave is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 16:54:03 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151772843.333501.191800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81202

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
> Shortwave is
> nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and ultilities is also
> boring. More and
> more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to either the
> Internet, or AM.
> Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened to, back in the
> 1960s and
> 1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting to the US,
> I knew
> shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of plopping down hundreds of
> dollars
> for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and get the
> same stations.
> Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend $65 for a Degen
> 1103.

Some of us are news junkies and enjoy listening to utilitites. To us
they're not boring at all. Like you, I wouldn't spend $500 for an E1,
but I would happily spend that much or more for a tabletop receiver.

69. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 17:04:26 UTC
From: pete nalda <lpnalda@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20060701120426232-0500@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 81207

In <44a5fd16$0$16281$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net> gravity
wrote:
>
> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
>> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
>> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>>
>
> shortwave plus dipole = $200
>
> ten years of internet access = $1000
>
> Gravity
>
>
>
Yay Gravity!! You've actually read my mind. Try getting radio on a
computer somewhere like southern New Mexico. No wifi-, pay through the
nose for dial-up internet, and shakey at best. That VS: $60 for a Kaito
KA1103 that you just turn on. You'll find *something* for sure. Radio
Nederland and CBC *still* put out a good signal. Plus you get the
satisfaction of being *connected* the way people were for the better
part of the 20th century. Still more interesting too than just typing
in yet another URL. There's no fun in that. To the Choir, sorry for
feeding trolls but I still like my shortwaves. Too, shortwave's going
to continue as long as there are parts of the population who can't
afford internet access.

Just my .02 worth.

70. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 17:04:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151773487.090619.191110@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Llyod wrote:
> Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.
wrong again I get plenty

71. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 17:10:52 UTC
From: pete nalda <lpnalda@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20060701121052001-0500@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 81208

In <v6vpg.965$ye3.666@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net> Sanjaya wrote:
>
> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
>> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
>> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>>
>
> Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
>
> Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
>
> What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
>
>
>
Seems like he's doing this because he's too short minded to learn how to
actually try and enjoy his radio!

72. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:01:38 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <03eda2lmklqefoee0be7q5a18opinnsl4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 81209

On 29 Jun 2006 15:49:40 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>For One and All,
>
>Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
>
>Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
>Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
>
>1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
>
>2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
>
>- - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
>
>3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
>
>4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
>
>- - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
>
>5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
>
>6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
>
>7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
>
>8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
>
>9. _________________________
>
>10. ________________________
>
>
>Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
>sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
>http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
>
>
>fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .

What does your Redsun have your other radio(s) don't?

bob
k5qwg

73. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:02:07 UTC
From: Gene <GSILVERNAIL@VERIZON.NET>
Message-ID: <1151776927.614121.256630@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81210

dxAce wrote:
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > m II wrote:
> > >
> > >> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
> > >> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
> > >> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
> > >> and three of her family, among them a child.
> > >>
> > >> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/11
51174424034.html
> > >>
> > >> or:
> > >>
> > >> http://snipurl.com/skbz
> > >>
> > >> assholes.
> > >
> > > Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
> > > assembled, or a trial is held.
> > >
> >
> > It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
> > DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
> > happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers at
> > the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at least
> > as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll have
> > a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
> > uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.
>
> That's all well and good, and if indeed guilty then punished as it should be.
>
> What I really find interesting is that an Iraqi's life seems to be totally worthless
unless killed by an
> American soldier.
>
> I see no complaints from the 'tard boys in CanaDuh nor from the 'tard boys in the USA
(posted here, at
> least) about the daily deaths of the Iraqi's who are being slaughtered by either their

fellow citizens or
> the "insurgents".
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

74. Re: Drake R4245 & R7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:08:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6BA1A.CEA063E9@milestones.com>
Source record: 81211

dxAce wrote:

> >
> > > Up on eBay:
> > >
> > > <http://cgi.ebay.com/DRAKE-R4245-10Khz-to-30Mhz-Receiver_W0QQitemZ280000897369QQihZ018QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
> > >
> > > Item #: 280000897369
> >
> > The R4245 is currently at $1026 with about 14 hours to go while the latest R7A is at $1070 (Item # 190001582867) with about 2
> > days to go.
> >
> > It will be interesting to see which one fetches the highest amount. My guess would be the R4245, but one never knows how it
> > will all end up.
>
> The R4245 closed at $2425

The R7A closed at $1999.00 (The reserve price, per the seller).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

75. Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:16:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6BC00.94775C37@milestones.com>
Source record: 81212

Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at 1812.
Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.

Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

76. Re: Male Slaves Wanted by Teenaged Mistress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:16:53 UTC
From: Llyod <llyod@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <HTML17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 81213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 1 Jul 2006 10:04:47 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Llyod wrote:
> > Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.
> wrong again I get plenty

Yeah, right. That's why you're writing to "Mistress Pain" or whatever
her name is.

77. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:32:32 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151778752.361419.216890@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81214

dxAce wrote:
> Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at 1812.
> Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.
>
> Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Yup. I just heard them here as well.

Steve

78. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:35:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6C08F.29952472@milestones.com>
Source record: 81215

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at 1812.
> > Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.
> >
> > Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Yup. I just heard them here as well.

Propagation today is not very good.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

79. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:48:21 UTC
From: Joe Guthart <jguthart@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <kvWdnT8iXY3mXjvZnZ2dnUVZ_o2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81216

I'm in Jacksonville and usually I can hear something going on HF or VHF, but I'm unable to today. I know that it's been a while since a shuttle launched. Generally are all of the old posted frequencies still good?

thanks.

Joe

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message news:44A6BC00.94775C37@milestones.com...
> Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at
> 1812.
> Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.
>
> Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

80. FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 w/original documentation Last 2 days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 18:50:54 UTC
From: Cartrivision1 <doidy1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1151779854.355985.288130@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For auction: Vintage Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 Portable SW/LW/AM/FM radio. Includes original user manual, service manual, and frequency guide. To view auction follow link below:

http://cgi.ebay.com/Vintage-Zenith-Transoceanic-Royal-3000-1-Portable-Radio_W0QQitemZ140002310348QQihZ004QQcategoryZ50595QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

thanks
CTV

81. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 19:01:39 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e88rtkhbg1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 81466

In article <1151725284.890956.159500@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
>Merlin3rd wrote:
>> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
>> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
>> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>
>Do you have the URL for the Coast Guard frequencies?
>

Try

<http://www.navcen.uscg.gov/marcomms/gmdss/msi.htm>

Or somewhere around there.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

82. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 19:03:16 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151780596.847402.57390@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81218

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at 1812.
> > > Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.
> > >
> > > Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > Yup. I just heard them here as well.
>
> Propagation today is not very good.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

No, it's not. I'm hearing more activity on 9132 than on 10780, but am
not sure whether there's activity on 10780 that I'm not hearing. Both
frequencies have quieted down a bit.

Steve

83. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 19:03:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6C6F7.58084039@milestones.com>
Source record: 81219

Joe Guthart wrote:

> I'm in Jacksonville and usually I can hear something going on HF or VHF, but
> I'm unable to today. I know that it's been a while since a shuttle
> launched. Generally are all of the old posted frequencies still good?

I'd guess that they should all be pretty good. But I'd never say that with 100%
certainty.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

84. (OT) : Iraqie War Deaths - Dear Lord God Forgive Us All - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 19:46:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151783207.691242.162280@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81220

BAD,

Let Us All Pray - For all these young Men :
Who may have done these terrible things.

For We As A Nation - Sent Them There
-and- Their Sins Are Our Sins [.]

May God's {Allah's} Peace and Comfort be with
the Victims, and their Families and Friends.

God's {Allah's} Bleassing, Mercy and Understanding
be with Our Troops, and their Families and Friends.

Dear Lord God Forgive Us All - Amen ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > m II wrote:
> >
> >> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
> >> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
> >> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
> >> and three of her family, among them a child.
> >>
> >> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/1151
174424034.html
> >>
> >> or:
> >>
> >> http://snipurl.com/skbz
> >>
> >> assholes.
> >
> > Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
> > assembled, or a trial is held.
> >
>
> It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
> DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what

> happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers at
> the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at least
> as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll have
> a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
> uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.

85. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 19:51:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151783476.630330.221470@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81221

BM - In one word - the "Redsun" Label :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Bob Miller wrote:
> On 29 Jun 2006 15:49:40 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >For One and All,
> >
> >Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> >
> >Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> >Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> >
> >1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> >
> >2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> >
> >- - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> >
> >3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> >
> >4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> >
> >- - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> >
> >5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> >
> >6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> >
> >7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> >
> >8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> >
> >9. _________________________
> >
> >10. ________________________
> >
> >
> >Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> >sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> >http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm

> >
> >
> >fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> >. .
>

- What does your Redsun have your other radio(s) don't?

>
> bob
> k5qwg

86. (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 20:02:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151784146.433864.288480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81222

GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"

You have used these words so many times that they appear
to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
Cheap Shit Grundig S350
- Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.

GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
[I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
.
.
. .

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > Dear Jim,
> >
> > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> >
> > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> >
> > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > Best,
> >
> > Joe
> >
> > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > back...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Dear RHF,
> > > >
> > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > >

> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Joe
> > > >
> > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > For One and All,
> > > > >
> > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > >
> > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > >
> > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > >
> > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > >
> > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > >
> > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > >
> > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > >
> > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > >
> > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > > >
> > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > >
> > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > >
> > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > >
> > > > > 9. _________________________
> > > > >
> > > > > 10. ________________________
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
> > > > > . .
> > > >
> >

- It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !

87. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 20:04:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6D569.7E4EC0C8@milestones.com>
Source record: 81223

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > Just heard Cape Radio on 10780 in contact with King 1, checking comms at 1812.
> > > > Switched to 9132 and checked comms there as well.
> > > >
> > > > Shuttle is scheduled to liftoff at 1949.
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > >
> > > Yup. I just heard them here as well.
> >
> > Propagation today is not very good.
>
> No, it's not. I'm hearing more activity on 9132 than on 10780, but am
> not sure whether there's activity on 10780 that I'm not hearing. Both
> frequencies have quieted down a bit.

Oh well, maybe tomorrow!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

88. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 20:19:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A6D8D3-232@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81224

Too cloudy,too much chance of lightning strikes.The launch was scrubbed
for today.They will try again tomorrow at about the same time for a
launch window.NASA channel on DirecTV,I am watching.
cuhulin

89. Re: FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 w/original documentation Last 2...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 20:23:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A6D9B2-233@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81225

I paid $5.00 at the Goodwill store this aftenoon for a Zenith model
C440W AM FM Stereo (made in Japan,solid state) Receiver.It works real
good too.
cuhulin

90. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 20:51:00 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1151787060.847345.117320@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81226

pete nalda wrote:
> In <1151716676.078634.7470@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com> miso@sushi.com
> wrote:
> >snip>
> >>
> >> 11175usb 9:55 PDT SF Bay area.
> >
> > In hindsight, this probably was a phone patch related to the Japanese
> > Prime Minister visiting with Bush.
> >
> >
> Thanks much for bringing this back on topic. I'm so burnt out on
> Politics, even though I know the subject of shortwave is a lot of times
> influenced by them.

FWIW, 11175 was realy cranking yesterday with EAMs. I assume something
is up.

Regarding politics and shortwave, I was listening to Tom Oliphant on
the Al Franken show. Al asked him how he keeps up with the news while
traveling abroard. [He was in the Baltics.] Oliphant said he listens to
the BBC on a shortwave radio.

91. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:00:34 UTC
From: "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151787634.377324.164500@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81227

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

There is still one important difference. Mr. Big Ears at Echalon doesn't know who you are listening to on the radio but he can observe every web site you log on to on the internet. Do you want Mr Big to know you regularly sign on to InfoWars.com, or some other Bilderberg- defined subversive? Everything you do on the internet is on your permanent record and may be used against you, and activities that were innocent at one time may be reclassified as that of "a person of interest." Until the big black vans with loops on the roof start cruising down your street, radio is still perfectly secure.

92. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:01:41 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151787701.008104.80670@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81228

Sanjaya wrote:
> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> >
>
> Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
>
> Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
>
> What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.

Sanjaya,

Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
to be my hobby
back in the 1960s and 1970s, when it was exciting; now, all that is
broadcast is
garbage, and many SW broadcasters have vanished. It is fun to see all
of you spend
hundreds and hundreds of dollars on new receivers (most of which have
the same
functionality and capabilities), out of compulsion, all for a dying
hobby. AM Dxing
is now king, with programs, such as, "Coast-To-Coast AM". IBOC will
never take off,
(and if it does, it won't matter) so AM should always be safe, unlike
SW. Some SW
broadcasters have taken to AM/FM broadcasting, instead. All that is
needed are
inexpensive AM/FM PLL receivers, which are tuned for optimum AM/FM,
unlike SW
receivers, which are tuned for optimum SW reception, not AM/FM.

SW programming sucks !
Modern-day SW receivers are cheap S350 plastic boxes !
Bring back the DX-440 !
The Eaton Shit E1's antenna flops, has cheap plastic knobs that wobble,

no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas !
The Eaton Shit E5 is way over-priced, compared to the Degen 1103 !
The Eaton Shit E10 is way over-priced, single-conversion, non-SSB, but
with
fancy IF-shift and antenna trimmer (which doesn't work) switched/knobs
!
The Gundig/Eaton Shit S350 is a low-grade, cheap, plastic box, with
flimsy knobs,
filters that only muffle the audio, drifty, smelly, crappy tuner,
tuning backlash, plastic
speaker grill, and low-resolution display !

93. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:05:00 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151787900.426804.265570@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81229

RHF wrote:
> For One and All,
>
> Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
>
> Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
>
> 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
>
> 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
>
> - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
>
> 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
>
> 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
>
> - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
>
> 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
>
> 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
>
> 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
>
> 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
>
> 9. _____
>
> 10. _____
>
>
> Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
>
>
> fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

Redsun = REDRUM

94. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:11:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6E4F6.31844147@milestones.com>
Source record: 81230

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> Sanjaya wrote:
> > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > >
> >
> > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> >
> > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> >
> > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
>
> Sanjaya,
>
> Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> to be my hobby
> back in the 1960s and 1970s

You probably weren't very good at it. Maybe mommy got tired of your requests to retune the radio?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

95. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:15:20 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151788520.065494.312310@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81231

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > >
> > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > >
> > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> >
> > Sanjaya,
> >
> > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > to be my hobby
> > back in the 1960s and 1970s
>
> You probably weren't very good at it. Maybe mommy got tired of your requests to
> retune the radio?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Boy that didn't take you long ! Is that the best you got ?
```

96. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:16:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6E630.C5200D2F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81232

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> Sanjaya wrote:
> > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > >
> >
> > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> >
> > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> >
> > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
>
> Sanjaya,
>
> Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> to be my hobby
> back in the 1960s and 1970s

And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of dementia here?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

97. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:18:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6E6A3.4624582D@milestones.com>
Source record: 81233

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > >
> > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > >
> > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Sanjaya,
> > >
> > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > to be my hobby
> > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> >
> > You probably weren't very good at it. Maybe mommy got tired of your requests to
> > retune the radio?
> Boy that didn't take you long ! Is that the best you got ?

Beats whatever rant you got today, 'tard boy!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

98. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:18:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151788726.262267.155090@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Llyod wrote:
> On 1 Jul 2006 10:04:47 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Llyod wrote:
> > > Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.
> > wrong again I get plenty
>
> Yeah, right. That's why you're writing to "Mistress Pain" or whatever
> her name is.
no that is why the forger was writing to her
```

99. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:18:55 UTC
From: Tony <tshimi@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3Kudnd1yMPRfezvZnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81235

I'm waiting to see how "Goober Nation" is going to justify the rape; I guess
it's one form of in-the-heat-of-battle collateral damage. Happy 4th!, ...
plan to fly that flag ?.

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e85s45jdo1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
>>
>>
>> m II wrote:
>>
>>> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
>>> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
>>> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
>>> and three of her family, among them a child.
>>>
>>> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/11511
74424034.html
>>>
>>> or:
>>>
>>> http://snipurl.com/skbz
>>>
>>> assholes.
>>
>> Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
>> assembled, or a trial is held.
>>
>
> It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
> DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
> happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers
> at the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at
> least as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes,
> he'll have a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he
> should), but an uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.
>
>

100. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:23:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6E7B9.B4AF5712@milestones.com>
Source record: 81236

Tony wrote:

> I'm waiting to see how "Goober Nation" is going to justify the rape; I guess
> it's one form of in-the-heat-of-battle collateral damage. Happy 4th!, ...
> plan to fly that flag ?.

I got mine up. Let's see... is Shimi a Japanese name? (Just out of curiosity, of course)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

101. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <yKBpg.1229$ye3.73@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81237

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16960-44A6D8D3-232@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> Too cloudy,too much chance of lightning strikes.The launch was scrubbed
> for today.They will try again tomorrow at about the same time for a
> launch window.NASA channel on DirecTV,I am watching.
> cuhulin

Got a ham license you can talk to them on the ISS when they have
free-time.

sc

102. Re: If burning American flags caused global warming would Democrats stop doing it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EKBpg.1233$ye3.475@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81238

Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:noone-79C2C6.00235201072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net:

> In article <1151562071.250940.211680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > No.
>>
>> Do you have evidence that Democrats are burning the flag?
>>
>> I have evidence of some GOP asshole that was caught a few years ago
>> defacing US flags, and now has started the practice again.
>> http://www.ushistory.org/betsy/flagbush.htm
>
> The worst flag burners are the American Legion. Every year most posts
> have a public flag burning ceremony. Old flags are supposed to be
> burned in PRIVATE.

The AL and VFW are not burning the flag in Anti-American hate. Liberals
on the other hand try to find a TV news crew that can film the burning and
the liberal main stream media is always happy to accommodate them.

sc

103. If todays democrats had been around during the revolution, we'd be kissing the Queens ass and paying taxes to England.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FKBpg.1234$ye3.394@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81240

Everyone have a happy and safe Independence Day! :-)

sc

104. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HKBpg.1235$ye3.803@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81239

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:24730-44A5B9C2-116@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> www.devilfinder.com Did You Know? Kellys.com Mules
>
> Seeee,,,,, I didn't make that up.
> cuhulin

I didn't believe you in the old group either. LOL

SC

105. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JKBpg.1237$ye3.128@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81241

"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Come on now Merlin, confess. The only reason you like internet audio
because you can download Pr0n at the same time.

sc

106. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LKBpg.1238$ye3.957@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81242

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1151787701.008104.80670@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Sanjaya wrote:
>> "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
>> > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
>> > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>> >
>>
>> Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
>>
>> Oh yeah... a person's hobby
>> (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
>> is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
>> at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
>>
>> What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
>> attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
>
> Sanjaya,
>
> Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> to be my hobby
> back in the 1960s and 1970s, when it was exciting; now, all that is
> broadcast is
> garbage, and many SW broadcasters have vanished. It is fun to see all
> of you spend
> hundreds and hundreds of dollars on new receivers (most of which have
> the same
> functionality and capabilities), out of compulsion, all for a dying
> hobby. AM Dxing
> is now king, with programs, such as, "Coast-To-Coast AM". IBOC will
> never take off,
> (and if it does, it won't matter) so AM should always be safe, unlike
> SW. Some SW
> broadcasters have taken to AM/FM broadcasting, instead. All that is
> needed are
> inexpensive AM/FM PLL receivers, which are tuned for optimum AM/FM,
> unlike SW
> receivers, which are tuned for optimum SW reception, not AM/FM.
>

> SW programming sucks !
> Modern-day SW receivers are cheap S350 plastic boxes !
> Bring back the DX-440 !
> The Eaton Shit E1's antenna flops, has cheap plastic knobs that wobble,
>
> no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas !
> The Eaton Shit E5 is way over-priced, compared to the Degen 1103 !
> The Eaton Shit E10 is way over-priced, single-conversion, non-SSB, but
> with
> fancy IF-shift and antenna trimmer (which doesn't work) switched/knobs
> !
> The Gundig/Eaton Shit S350 is a low-grade, cheap, plastic box, with
> flimsy knobs,
> filters that only muffle the audio, drifty, smelly, crappy tuner,
> tuning backlash, plastic
> speaker grill, and low-resolution display !

ROFL

107. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:27:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151789268.719478.4980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81243

Slow Code wrote:
> Everyone have a happy and safe Independence Day! :-)
>
> sc

108. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:28:22 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151789302.533539.8000@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81244

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > >
> > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > >
> > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> >
> > Sanjaya,
> >
> > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > to be my hobby
> > back in the 1960s and 1970s
>
> And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> dementia here?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
```

109. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:29:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151789343.360294.34670@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81245

Slow Code wrote:

> Come on now Merlin, confess. The only reason you like internet audio
> because you can download Pr0n at the same time.
if true what bussness would it be of yours?
>
> sc

110. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:29:15 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151789355.829143.206910@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81246

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > >
> > > > Sanjaya,
> > > >
> > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > to be my hobby
> > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > >
> > > You probably weren't very good at it. Maybe mommy got tired of your requests to
> > > retune the radio?
> > Boy that didn't take you long ! Is that the best you got ?
>
> Beats whatever rant you got today, 'tard boy!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I like to see that hostility !
```

111. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:32:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6E9E9.FD8DD18@milestones.com>
Source record: 81247

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > >
> > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > >
> > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Sanjaya,
> > >
> > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > to be my hobby
> > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> >
> > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > dementia here?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Are you sure, that is the best you got ?

It appears to be doing the job!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

112. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:37:15 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151789835.740143.166270@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81248

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > >
> > > > Sanjaya,
> > > >
> > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > to be my hobby
> > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > >
> > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > > dementia here?
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
>
> It appears to be doing the job!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
```

Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,
lately, and if so,
I hope you dressed appropriately !

SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !

113. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:44:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6ECB9.590C326F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81249

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sanjaya,
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > > to be my hobby
> > > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > > >
> > > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > > > dementia here?
>
> > > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
> >
> > It appears to be doing the job!

> Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,
> lately, and if so,
> I hope you dressed appropriately !

Hey, just because you are unable to dress yourself it's no reason to get on others about it.

Same for the fact that for whatever reason you can no longer listen to shortwave.

> SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !

I've a feeling that you'll be dead before SW is dead. Have a nice trip.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

114. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:49:46 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151790586.643003.127120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81251

dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sanjaya,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > > > > to be my hobby
> > > > > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > > > > > > dementia here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It appears to be doing the job!
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,
> > > > > lately, and if so,
> > > > > I hope you dressed appropriately !

>
> Hey, just because you are unable to dress yourself it's no reason to get on others about
> it.
>
> Same for the fact that for whatever reason you can no longer listen to shortwave.
>
> > SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !
>
> I've a feeling that you'll be dead before SW is dead. Have a nice trip.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Boy, you aren't very original, nerd boy ! SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !

115. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:51:19 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151790679.354760.70120@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81252

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sanjaya,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > > > > to be my hobby
> > > > > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > > > > > > dementia here?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It appears to be doing the job!
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,
> > > > > lately, and if so,
> > > > > I hope you dressed appropriately !
```

>
> Hey, just because you are unable to dress yourself it's no reason to get on others about
> it.
>
> Same for the fact that for whatever reason you can no longer listen to shortwave.
>
> > SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !
>
> I've a feeling that you'll be dead before SW is dead. Have a nice trip.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Ypur Eaton Shits will be eat'n dust !

116. Re: I get plenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:54:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4941-44A6EF17-8@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81257

I get too much spam scam emails.Do you want all of mine?
cuhulin

117. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:56:05 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151790964.951907.153960@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81253

RHF wrote:
> GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"
>
> You have used these words so many times that they appear
> to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
> Cheap Shit Grundig S350
> - Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
> meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.
>
> GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> [I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> .
> .
> . .
>
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > > Dear Jim,
> > >
> > > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> > > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> > >
> > > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> > > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> > >
> > > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > > Best,
> > >
> > > Joe
> > >
> > > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > > back...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Dear RHF,
> > > > >
> > > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we

> > > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > >
> > > > > Joe
> > > > >
> > > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 9. _________________________
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 10. ________________________
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > .
> > > > > > . .
> > > > > >
> > >

>
> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !

Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
wow, the
REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
look-alike
cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?

118. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:57:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6EFBC.46E51EB9@milestones.com>
Source record: 81254

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sanjaya,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > > > to be my hobby
> > > > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit of
> > > > > > dementia here?
> > >
> > > > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
> > > >
> > > > It appears to be doing the job!
> >
> > Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,

> > > lately, and if so,
> > > I hope you dressed appropriately !
> >
> > Hey, just because you are unable to dress yourself it's no reason to get on others
about
> > it.
> >
> > Same for the fact that for whatever reason you can no longer listen to shortwave.
> >
> > > SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !
> >
> > I've a feeling that you'll be dead before SW is dead. Have a nice trip.
>
>
> Ypur Eaton Shits will be eat'n dust !

I've a feeling worms will be eat'n you before SW is dead. Once again, have a nice trip.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

119. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 21:58:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4939-44A6F013-344@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81258

$43M chopper contract awarded.
Todays, www.clarionledger.com

choppers (U.S.Army Helicopters) have Radios.Although we can't own any of them untill they become War Surplus.
cuhulin

120. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:00:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A6F098.1B717082@milestones.com>
Source record: 81255

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

> RHF wrote:
> > GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"
> >
> > You have used these words so many times that they appear
> > to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
> > Cheap Shit Grundig S350
> > - Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
> > meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.
> >
> > GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> > [I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > > > Dear Jim,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > > > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> > > > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> > > >
> > > > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> > > > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> > > >
> > > > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > > > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > > > Best,
> > > >
> > > > Joe
> > > >
> > > > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > > > back...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > Dear RHF,
> > > > > >

> > > > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Joe
> > > > > >
> > > > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 9. _________________________
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 10. ________________________
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > . .

> > > > > >
> > >
> >
> > - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
>
> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> wow, the
> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> look-alike
> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?

Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver come change them!

LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

121. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:01:37 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151791297.622967.115300@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81256

RHF wrote:
>
>GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
>[I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
.

Oh ok, I'll keep shoot'n ! Oh, that was so original, nerd boy !
You mean, that S350 cheap shit plastic box !

:<] Is that a mad face !

122. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:04:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4940-44A6F16A-67@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81262

You certainly don't have to wear a coat and tie at Hamfest.
www.cruzincooler.com
cuhulin

123. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:05:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151791511.725231.170460@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Llyod wrote:
> Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.
I get plenty you OOH

124. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:09:22 UTC
From: gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151791762.185243.186940@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81260

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sanjaya wrote:
> > > > > > > > > "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:1151724713.717324.153370@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> > > > > > > > > > radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> > > > > > > > > > static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Birdwatching is dead, why not just look at pictures of birds online.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Oh yeah... a person's hobby
> > > > > > > > > (birdwatching, shortwave, coin collecting etc.)
> > > > > > > > > is fun and exciting to him/her. Not dead
> > > > > > > > > at all, full of life, satisfaction and the thrill of discovery.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What's dead is your mind, and your personality if you have to get
> > > > > > > > > attention/satisfaction by trolling a newsgroup.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sanjaya,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll. SW listening used
> > > > > > > > to be my hobby
> > > > > > > > back in the 1960s and 1970s
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And now, 30 years or so on, you decide to bitch about it? Do I detect a bit
of
> > > > > > > dementia here?
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you sure, that is the best you got ?
> > > > >
> > > > > It appears to be doing the job!
```

> > >
> > > > Yes it is - it is pissing you off, nerd boy ! Been to any hamfests,
> > > > lately, and if so,
> > > > I hope you dressed appropriately !
> > >
> > > Hey, just because you are unable to dress yourself it's no reason to get on others
about
> > > it.
> > >
> > > Same for the fact that for whatever reason you can no longer listen to shortwave.
> > >
> > > > SW IS DYING ! SW IS DEAD !
> > >
> > > I've a feeling that you'll be dead before SW is dead. Have a nice trip.
> >
> >
> > Ypur Eaton Shits will be eat'n dust !
>
> I've a feeling worms will be eat'n you before SW is dead. Once again, have a nice trip.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

125. Re: Shortwave is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:10:39 UTC
From: gil <gi1pi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151791839.248800.63630@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81261

Maybe you should just give up listening, seems you are getting very
stressed out and it could be bad for your health

> Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
> Shortwave is
> nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and ultilities is also
> boring. More and
> more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to either the
> Internet, or AM.
> Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened to, back in the
> 1960s and
> 1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting to the US,
> I knew
> shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of plopping down hundreds of
> dollars
> for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and get the
> same stations.
> Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend $65 for a Degen
> 1103.

126. Re: (OT) : Iraqie War Deaths - Dear Lord God Forgive Us All - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:10:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4939-44A6F2E5-354@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81264

How about those Iraqis who beheaded Americans and our Allies? All Is
Fair In Love And War,as the old saying goes.
cuhulin

127. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:16:52 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151792212.661764.277200@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81263

```
dxAce wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > RHF wrote:
> > > GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"
> > >
> > > You have used these words so many times that they appear
> > > to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
> > > Cheap Shit Grundig S350
> > > - Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
> > > meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.
> > >
> > > GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> > > [ I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> > > .
> > > .
> > > . .
> > >
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > > > > Dear Jim,
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > > > > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> > > > > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> > > > > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> > > > >
> > > > > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > > > > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > > > > Best,
> > > > >
> > > > > Joe
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > > > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > > > > back...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > Dear RHF,
```

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > > > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > > > > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Joe
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 9. _________________________
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 10. ________________________
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > .

> > > > > > > > . .
> > > > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> >
> > Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> > wow, the
> > REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> > look-alike
> > cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
>
> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
> come change them!
>
> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

128. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:22:48 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151792568.511103.39420@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81265

RHF wrote:
> For One and All,
>
> Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
>
> Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
>
> 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
>
> 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
>
> - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
>
> 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
>
> 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
>
> - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
>
> 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
>
> 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
>
> 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
>
> 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
>
> 9. _________________________
>
> 10. ________________________
>
>
> Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
>
>
> fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

RHF,

Does it really look super-duper great ?

129. I get plenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:47:19 UTC
From: an_old_freind <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <43g1t.fnf.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 81250
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151773487.090619.191110@d56g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...

Llyod wrote:
> Heh. A guy from hotmail who never gets any hot mail.
wrong again I get plenty get help you are obessed with other peoples sex
life pervert and i gots a woman just go se my blgo below

http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

130. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:54:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151794442.766195.26680@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81266

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >

>
> SW IS DYING !
> SW IS DEAD !
> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

get help

131. (OT) : GSW - Your Name Be "Garbage" - Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 22:55:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151794544.086622.34260@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81267

So... GSW you have Morphed into "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_Garbage_!"

Lets simply say "GTE Garbage" -butt- That would do a disservice
to GTE - So 'your' new short name is simply "Garbage" :o)

Some how from 'your' many, Many. MANY ! GIGO Posts here :
Calling 'you' "Garbage" would seem to be most appropriate [.]

GIGO - Garbage In -and- Garbage Out !
- - - Hey "Garbage" That's You ! :o)

OBTW - "Garbage" there is a whole lot of Paper Wads
around the Trash Can . . . Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
{ Now Go "Tote" That Garbage Can -and- Keep On Keeping On ! }
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > RHF wrote:
> > > > GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"
> > > >
> > > > You have used these words so many times that they appear
> > > > to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
> > > > Cheap Shit Grundig S350
> > > > - Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
> > > > meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.
> > > >
> > > > GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> > > > [I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> > > > .
> > > > .
> > > > . .
> > > >
> > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > > > > > Dear Jim,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > > > > > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
> > > > > > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can

> > > > > > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > > > > > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > > > > > Best,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Joe
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > > > > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > > > > > back...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > Dear RHF,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > > > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > > > > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Joe
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 9. _____________________
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 10. _____________________
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > > . .
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> > > >
> > > Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> > > wow, the
> > > REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> > > look-alike
> > > cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> >
> > Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
> > come change them!
> >
> > LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> SW IS DYING !
> SW IS DEAD !
> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

132. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:25:48 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae1c1ctrvkb86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81268

<miso@sushi.com> wrote
>
> Waste is nothing new, but the Bushies rename everything. There was
> nothing wrong with "General Accounting Office", so why change it to
> "Government Accountability Office". Same mission, new name.
>

The same reason why they changed the name of Dept. of War to Dept of
Defense.
Gee, I wonder which president changed that one? - LOL

They would do well to change the name of San Francisco - D'oH!

133. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:29:38 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae1j6ck6kro3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81269

"toTaLhAt" <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote
> God help us if newspapers get prosecuted for printing the news.
>
> You f'in commie.

Feck the NYT's!
You can read the People's Daily or Pravda online or at your University
library.

134. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:30:50 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae1ldipsl2e5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81271

"toTaLhAt" <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote
>
> Thank God for the NYT. Exposing lax security. If a newspaper hack
> can find it out then so can the enemy.
>

Hey, you work for the GAO?

135. Re: (OT) : GSW - Your Name Be "Garbage" - Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:35:05 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151796904.957166.195280@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81270

```
RHF wrote:
> So... GSW you have Morphed into "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_Garbage_!"
>
> Lets simply say "GTE Garbage" -butt- That would do a disservice
> to GTE - So 'your' new short name is simply "Garbage" :o)
>
> Some how from 'your' many, Many. MANY ! GIGO Posts here :
> Calling 'you' "Garbage" would seem to be most appropriate [.]
>
> GIGO - Garbage In -and- Garbage Out !
> - - - Hey "Garbage" That's You ! :o)
>
> OBTW - "Garbage" there is a whole lot of Paper Wads
> around the Trash Can . . . Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> { Now Go "Tote" That Garbage Can -and- Keep On Keeping On ! }
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > GSW - "cheap shit Grundig S350"
> > > > >
> > > > > You have used these words so many times that they appear
> > > > > to be your name : Hence forth you shall be know as :
> > > > > Cheap Shit Grundig S350
> > > > > - Not that's to long and the first two words really convey the
> > > > > meaning "Cheap Shit" { or Cheap Shot }.
> > > > >
> > > > > GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> > > > > [ I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> > > > > .
> > > > > .
> > > > > . .
> > > > >
> > > > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> > > > > > > Dear Jim,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry Jim, I didn't make myself clear. I'm not interested in buying the
> > > > > > > radio just now - I want to hear from other users about the construction
```

> > > > > > > quality and consistency and I would like to read some full reviews.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But I am interested in finding out more about the radio and, if you can
> > > > > > > > post a link to the operation manual here, I would be thankful
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It certainly does look interesting (and would be a much more
> > > > > > > > interesting if the company added a synchronous detection circuit).
> > > > > > > > Best,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Joe
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Jim Hackett wrote:
> > > > > > > > > I thought you weren't interested in it Joe? I could have posted it a while
> > > > > > > > > back...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:1151690929.285905.242600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Dear RHF,
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > If you do get a link to a PDF download for the Operation Manual for
> > > > > > > > > > this radio (the RP2100, not the RP2000), could you post it here so we
> > > > > > > > > > can all get and evaluate it?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Joe
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > RHF wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > > > > > > > > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.

> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 9. _____
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 10. _____
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > > > > > > > > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > > > > > > > > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > > > > .
> > > > > > > > > > > . .
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> > > > > > wow, the
> > > > > > REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> > > > > > look-alike
> > > > > > cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> > > >
> > > > Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
> > > > come change them!
> > > >
> > > > LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > >
> > > SW IS DYING !
> > > SW IS DEAD !
> > > YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > > SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > > YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > > SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > > HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > > MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

Is that the best you got, nerd boy !

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

136. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:35:50 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae1uqgkvhb6e6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81273

"Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
>
> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
>
> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
>

Most leaks such as these come from high level sources within the government who are disgruntled employees, left-wingers, even spies or have an axe to grind, etc. They certainly know full well what they should not be telling the press. Which makes them all the more guilty to treason.

137. Re: (OT) : -R- DaviD - Are You Having A "Rush" ! -aka- A Viagra Moment ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:37:31 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae21ultght015@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81274

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>
> I am a Liberal to the same extent as I am a Conservative and I am a
> Fascist to the same extent that I am an Anarchist. i.e. I am an
> American, motherfucker.
>

You are an under-cover Communist propaganda artist.
In short - a clown.

138. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:37:55 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151797075.578623.128080@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81272

an_old_friend wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
>
> >
> > SW IS DYING !
> > SW IS DEAD !
> > YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>
> get help

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

139. Re: (OT) : -R- DaviD - Are You Having A "Rush" ! -aka- A Viagra Moment ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:39:49 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12ae2693squ8u51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81276

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>
> >Ethnical and Religious Idea : "Intelligent Design"
>
> Ethnical?
>

You shnould work on your spy lessons a little more.
the letter "n" is next to and just below the letter "h".

140. (OT) : GSW - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:44:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151797474.624811.243130@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81275

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> >
> >GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> >[I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> .
>

- Oh ok, I'll keep shoot'n ! Oh, that was so original,

- nerd boy !

Be The Best - That You Can Be !
-IF- A Nerd I Be - A Nerd I Be :o)

WEB-SURFIN' NERD {Sung to Surfin' Bird} -by- The TrashMen
http://www.rockabilly.nl/lyrics4/s0130.htm

A-well-a everybody's heard about the nerd
N-n-n-nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, the nerd is the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a don't you know about the nerd ?
Well, everybody knows that the nerd is the word!
A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
A-well-a... {Repeat}

Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-oom-oom-oom
Oom-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-a-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow

Papa-oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
Oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow
Well don't you know about the nerd ?
Well, everybody knows that the nerd is the word!
A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word

Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
- - - - - Repeat-to-Fade - - - - -

- You mean, that S350 cheap shit plastic box !

'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
boing plastic, Boing Plastic. BOING PLASTIC !
http://www.audiosparx.com/sa/display/sounds.cfm/sound_group_iid.1004

GSW - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !

now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF

- :<] Is that a mad face !

FWIW " :<[" With the Lips Down is more of a Mad Face :-}
.
.
. .

141. My 'New' Redsun RP2100 Radio -IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Explanation Is Required [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:51:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151797914.625827.274790@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81277

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > For One and All,
> >
> > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> >
> > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> >
> > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> >
> > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> >
> > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> >
> > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> >
> > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> >
> > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> >
> > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> >
> > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> >
> > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> >
> > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> >
> > 9. _________________________
> >
> > 10. ________________________
> >
> >
> > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> >
> >
> > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .

>
> RHF,
>

- Does it really look super-duper great ?

"Garbage" - It's a new radio and a New Radio is a NEW RADIO !

'little gigo' - It's a new toy and a New Toy is a NEW Toy !

-IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Explanation Is Required [.]

now go listen to your radio ~ RHF
.
.
. .

142. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-01 23:56:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5527-44A70B97-379@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81278

You wont find this on Shortwave.google it if you want to,Look Around Mississippi with Walt Grayson Sullivan's Hollow.

The program is on channel 3 right now,one of my favorites,if not my most favorite.Sullivan's Hollow got that name before the 1920's because so many Sullivans lived there.One of those Sullivans got the nick name of Wild Bill.Walt Grayson said the worst Earhquakes weren't out West.They were a series of Earthquakes between 1811 and 1812 that rattled the lower forty eight States and Springfield Plantation still shows the worsts damage.They rang Church Bells at Reelfoot Lake,Tennessee.
cuhulin

143. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate <-------- DIRTBAG SPAMMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 00:17:18 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <ju3ea2t4b80i6f06a23k5ea8anqkjn1a6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 81279

On 1 Jul 2006 08:57:11 -0500, advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
wrote:

>On 26 Jun 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Frank and John explore the foiled
>
>Spam deleted. Go fuck yourselves, racist assholes.

My, aren't we the "open-minded", "tolerant", "compassionate" liberal
today? Why so hateful? AIDS medicine not working anymore?

144. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 00:27:23 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <uh4ea2tlpj020kgji3r9548sn881tm4l37@4ax.com>
Source record: 81280

On Sat, 1 Jul 2006 22:10:16 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
wrote:

>dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>news:44A66F03.4D239E80@milestones.com...
>>
>>
>> m II wrote:
>>
>>> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
>>> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
>>> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
>>> and three of her family, among them a child.
>>>
>>> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/11511
74424034.html
>>>
>>> or:
>>>
>>> http://snipurl.com/skbz
>>>
>>> assholes.
>>
>> Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are
>> assembled, or a trial is held.
>>
>
>It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
>DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
>happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers at
>the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at least
>as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll have
>a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
>uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.

Forget the court martial. Put these pro-active guys on the border to
stop the invason of the USA by Mexicans.

145. Re: If todays democrats had been around during the revolution, we'd be kissing the Queens ass and paying taxes to England.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 00:31:03 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <to4ea2l4nu9dohlagp0n0fu1sm1oinekeo@4ax.com>
Source record: 81281

On Sat, 01 Jul 2006 21:24:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> If todays democrats had been around during the revolution,
> we'd be kissing the Queens ass and paying taxes to England.

>
>Everyone have a happy and safe Independence Day! :-)
>
>sc

Yep. Instead we've got DUHmocrat queens porking each other in the ass.

146. Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 00:44:35 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2aat2y@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2aat2y@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 81303

Hello all:

I have owned a Tecsun PL-350 radio for 9 months but I don't use it
anymore. I dusted it off and put everything in the original box in
order to sell it on eBay. If you're interested, here is the listing:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=120004026145
or
http://tinyurl.com/kmaab

--
weatherall

147. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 00:55:25 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151801725.231921.221050@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81282

And don't forget Satellite Radio
Merlin3rd wrote:
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

148. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:05:11 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bZEpg.82326$mF2.72437@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81283

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:

>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
>>> wow, the
>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
>>> look-alike
>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
>> come change them!
>>
>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>
> SW IS DYING !
> SW IS DEAD !
> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>

Gee. Now who sounds upset?

149. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:07:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A71C37-220@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81284

Islam is a culture of Terrorism.

Possible Bomb Incident in Wilmington,Delaware.
www.homelandsecurityus.com

fed govt will say,(as usual) You did not see anything! It did not
happen!

And bush and that Japanese Prime Minister dude were touring Graceland.
cuhulin

150. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:21:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A71F8B-221@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81288

I wonder why my land line phone rang a couple of times in the last two days? Oh,that's right,I forgot to unplug it a few days ago.My next oldest sister and her hubby are on their way to a Shriners convention in Tampa,Florida.(they are Shriners) Those big shot Shriners steal most of that Shriner money for fancy trips and meals and enterainment.I read about that a few days ago on the internet.

I wonder why that dark Chevrolet SUV with the dark windows with the two antennas (the antennas have coils near the base of them) mounted on the roof was sitting in that parking lot about a block from me at about 12:30 PM this afternoon as I was driving by there on my way to the Goodwill store.I stared at that SUV as I was waiting to cross Highway 80.Then that SUV started moving and went West on Highway 80.I know how to rig up ''something'' (easy as pie) that will stop any vehicles that have electronic ignition systems.They better stay the H... away from me.
cuhulin

151. Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:23:42 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151803422.824800.180370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81285

Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!

152. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:27:22 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151803642.711432.194870@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81286

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!

It still beats television.

153. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:31:38 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151803898.137461.17770@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81287

```
Even Hal Turner has a bigger audience now that he is on Internet
(Shoutcast).
Steve wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>
> It still beats television.
```

154. Degen DE1103 quality variation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:35:44 UTC
From: Vesa <Vesa@nosuch.address.fi>
Message-ID: <44a722e7$0$14357$9b536df3@news.fv.fi>
Source record: 81289

Hi all!

Out of curiosity I purchased 3 DE1103's, plan being to give them away to godchildren etc. Strangely one of the receivers was a poorer performer on FM especially. On FM the frequency display was off by approx. 100kHz.

To remedy the problem I had to take the set apart and adjust the quadrature coil of the FM-detector, T202 in
" http://lab.radioscanner.ru/schematics/de1103_main.jpg ".

Given this opportunity (reason to open the set) I inspected further. Rather surprisingly the circuit board of the radio looked really bad. All the components (SMD included) were soldered by hand! Flux residues were left uncleaned! Shield plates were all corroded and poorly soldered!

I checked the two other units and they were OK meaning they were manufactured in a proper SMD-reflow soldering process. Additionally the tiny screws attaching the main board to back cover were given a locking compound treatment. This prevents the screws becoming loose and rattling inside the set.

Now, the "bad" unit was labelled as "MK2", the OK radios were "MK4". I suspect it could be that with a new PCB-revision Degen subcontracts manufacturing of the radios with the remaining stock of old PCB's instead of scrapping the boards. Alternatively the radios just reflect the variation in chinese "domestic" quality. Hopefully export quality sets are all as shiny inside as in the following picture of an Eton E5:
" http://web303.server-drome.net/Eton_E5/E5_009.jpg ". Incidentally shielding of a "MK4"-set corresponds to that of an E5. My crappy "MK2"-set lacks the shield above the PLL (biggest shield in picture).

In conclusion the situation is rather awkward. It is impossible to tell whether a DE1103 is crap inside by the outside. Buing a "domestic quality" DE1103 from eBay really involves a risk of getting a crap product. One out of three radios was crap for me.

155. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 01:53:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A72719-225@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81290

I got a snail mail this morning from that married Irish woman wayyyyyyy
over yonder across the big pond in England.She included five photos of
her and her hubby and her dad and her dad's girlfriend on her fiftieth
birthday last April 22nd.They were out dinking and celebrating her
birthday.She is very,very pretty (eat your hearts out,suckers) and she
also included a check (cheque) for the six Maybelline eye shadow pencils
I sent her a few weeks ago,in British Pounds Sterling.(she said the On
The Rocks Maybellne looks gorgeous on her) Doggy said,Does she really
think you will cash that check? WOO WOO WOOF.(Blueberry doggy,I will
keep her photos,but I already tore that check up)
cuhulin

156. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:07:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28636-44A72A62-37@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81292

What old group?

Vote for Ann Coulter. www.drudgereport.com
She gets my Vote,she and Tom Tancredo.
cuhulin

157. Liberty Net Is On 3978 Khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:13:05 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151806385.097457.216680@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81291

The Liberty Net is on 3978 khz right now. Listen if you dare!

158. Re: If todays democrats had been around during the revolution, we'd be kissing the Queens ass and paying taxes to England.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:16:08 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151806567.967329.221890@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81293

Slow Code wrote:
> Everyone have a happy and safe Independence Day! :-)
>
> sc

http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=2144050

159. Re: The Time Lord marched today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:18:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A72D03-229@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81295

I wish those Natural (strapless) Bras were still available.(as seen on tv) I have naturally large breast and I want to put an A cup on top of a B cup on top of a C cup on top of my natural hairy old large breast and put on a t shirt and go shopping like that.I was at the Sears store at Metrocenter Mall (www.metromalljackson.com) looking for some roll up roll down window blinds,they didn't have what I want) and I had to go tee tee.I didn't see a unisex restroom at Sears,so I went to the mens restroom.
cuhulin

160. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:23:14 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151806994.707199.169990@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81294

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> What old group?
>
> Vote for Ann Coulter. www.drudgereport.com
> She gets my Vote,she and Tom Tancredo.
> cuhulin

I got her latest book, "Godless". It's a real hoot. I love it. She
kick's the liberals' AIDS-infested asses from here to Uranus.

TANCREDO/COULTER 2008

161. Re: If todays democrats had been around during the revolution, we'd be kissing the Queens ass and paying taxes to England.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:28:24 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151807304.650039.132550@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81296

> >
> >Everyone have a happy and safe Independence Day! :-)
> >
> >sc
>
> Yep. Instead we've got DUHmocrat queens porking each other in the ass.

- Meanwhile, The President of The United States..

http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm

162. Re: (OT) : -R- DaviD - Are You Having A "Rush" ! -aka- A Viagra Moment ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:33:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <n2cea2dn2nctiave4uprkb3k37uutkfkdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 81297

On Sat, 1 Jul 2006 18:37:31 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>>
>> I am a Liberal to the same extent as I am a Conservative and I am a
>> Fascist to the same extent that I am an Anarchist. i.e. I am an
>> American, motherfucker.
>>
>
>You are an under-cover Communist propaganda artist.
>In short - a clown.
>
>
I may be slow, but I'm in front of you.

163. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:35:19 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e87b9j$9la$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81298

"Somebody Somewhere" <YCTHULHU@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151806994.707199.169990@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> What old group?
>>
>> Vote for Ann Coulter. www.drudgereport.com
>> She gets my Vote,she and Tom Tancredo.
>> cuhulin
>
> I got her latest book, "Godless". It's a real hoot. I love it. She
> kick's the liberals' AIDS-infested asses from here to Uranus.
>
> TANCREDO/COULTER 2008
>

Ann Coulter is the most anally retentive witch I've ever heard open their mouth. Tancredo's just a bigot, period.

Coulter is of course entitled to her opinions and has the right to voice them.. but I would like to know what sort of childhood she must have had to fill her with so much unmitigated hate.

McCain/Sharpton 2008

164. Re: (OT) : Iraqie War Deaths - Dear Lord God Forgive Us All - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:36:17 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <k8cea2pe85rav2n4m28gof8qes3kkie1aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 81299

On Sat, 1 Jul 2006 17:10:45 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>How about those Iraqis who beheaded Americans and our Allies? All Is
>Fair In Love And War,as the old saying goes.
>cuhulin
>
Because I do it with one small ship, I am called a terrorist. You do
it with a whole fleet and are called an emperor.
-A pirate, from St. Augustine's "City of God"

165. WTB R-70 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:36:37 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ViGpg.4477$EJ3.4424@trnddc06>
Source record: 81300

Want to buy reasonably-priced Icom R-70 receiver. No mods.

TNX

Respond to: sx43ster at gmail dot com

JS

166. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 02:38:32 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151807912.010382.176720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81301

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Even Hal Turner has a bigger audience now that he is on Internet
> (Shoutcast).
> Steve wrote:
> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >
> > It still beats television.

I doubt that, actually.

167. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:00:46 UTC
From: Brian <imaginaryfriend@whatthefuck.com>
Message-ID: <yFGpg.1585$PE1.796@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81302

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e87b9j$9la$1@news2.kornet.net...
> Ann Coulter is the most anally retentive witch I've ever heard open their
> mouth. Tancredo's just a bigot, period.
>
> Coulter is of course entitled to her opinions and has the right to voice
> them.. but I would like to know what sort of childhood she must have had
> to fill her with so much unmitigated hate.
>
> McCain/Sharpton 2008

As much as I hate to add to the noise, I can hardly pass on an opportunity
to say that Ann Coulter is one crazy bitch. The reality that there is
someone, not only more hateful and arrogant than Bill O'Reilly, but twice as
ignorant, is very disconcerting.

-Brian

168. Re: FS: JRC NRD-515

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:16:37 UTC
From: Arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28139-44A73A95-122@storefull-3231.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81305

A) What filters does it have. B) Is it
fully operational?

169. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:17:32 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <gVGpg.119451$771.112316@edtnps89>
Source record: 81304

Space Ace wrote:

>
> m II wrote:
>
>> In Washington, an army official said the suspicion was that two soldiers
>> from the 502nd Infantry Regiment, later seen with blood on their
>> uniforms, had raped a young woman and then one of them had killed her
>> and three of her family, among them a child.
>>
>> http://www.smh.com.au/news/World/US-investigates-Iraq-rapemurder-case/2006/07/01/1151174424034.html
>>
>> or:
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/skbz
>>
>> assholes.

Looks as though the Aussies changed the page.

> Ah CanaDuh... folks are always guilty even before all the facts are assembled, or a trial is held.
>
> LMFAO yet again at you, and also K8VT, another pussy boy who does nothing but whine.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
==========
The allegation of the March rape and slayings came to light in a
battlefield stress counselling session for members of the platoon, when
two soldiers raised the issue.

Neither soldier said they witnessed the alleged killings, but said they
had overheard conversations about them from fellow soldiers.

Officials said the woman's body apparently was burned after the alleged
killings.

http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/claims-us-troops-raped-and-killed-iraq-civilians/2006/07/01/1151174442299.html

or:

http://snipurl.com/slm9

==========

170. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:18:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A73AF0-361@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81306

And Ann Coulter is not only verrry,verrry beautiul,she is verry,verrry
smart too.
cuhulin

171. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:20:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A73B87-68@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81307

google it,Biography Ann Coulter
cuhulin

172. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:23:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A73C1C-69@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81308

Do you want to know what kind of a childhood AWOL MORON bush had?
cuhulin

173. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:31:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A73E1F-70@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81309

My cat has hair,(I don't own any cats) my dog has fleas.(not,I apply an Advantage 55 (made by Bayer company,I found it works better than Frontline,to the base of her neck on the fifteenth of each month.But I have fleas) They roam anywhere (my doggy only roams around in my house.I don't want her getting out and getting into trouble like I used to do) they d..n well please.
cuhulin

174. Re: (OT) : Iraqie War Deaths - Dear Lord God Forgive Us All - Amen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:44:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A74104-368@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81310

Israel and USS Liberty Ship.We are fighting the Israelis ''war' for Israel.They get NO special love from me,no more than anybody else! (although I do have an Israeli pen pal lady friend in Tel Aviv,Israel,she knows how I stand and she agrees with me too.She isn't much for talking war and politics anyway.She is only a normal woman.Sort of like backyard over the fence chitchat.
cuhulin

175. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:46:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A7417C-370@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81311

Them Aussies know how to rip you asunder.
cuhulin

176. Re: (OT) : -R- DaviD - Are You Having A "Rush" ! -aka- A Viagra Mom...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 03:48:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A741F6-371@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81312

I may be slow,but I am in front of you.Hey,you stold my line.
cuhulin

177. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:18:22 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <iOHpg.33202$VE1.31394@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81313

Why do you bother to hang out on this group then

gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
>>Merlin3rd wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
>>>radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
>>>static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
>>
>>Yada, yada, yada. We already knew that so you'd best be running along.
>>
>>dxAce
>>Michigan
>>USA
>
>
>
> Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
> Shortwave is nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and
> ultilities is also
> boring. More and more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to
> either the
> Internet, or AM. Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened
> to, back in the
> 1960s and 1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting
> to the US, I knew shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of
> plopping down hundreds of
> dollars for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and
> get the
> same stations. Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend
> $65 for a Degen 1103.
>

178. question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:21:27 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H-2dnZHG4K1Z1DrZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81314

OK mr know it all about Drakes...

where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I see are going for $2000 and up.....

179. Re: If todays democrats had been around during the revolution, we'd...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:37:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A74D99-71@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81315

y'all really do slay me.I am fixin to cut the light and get me
beautifull sleep.Move over,Blueberry doggy.

Go and ask them dummies at www.pipelinenews.com (it might be a dot
org) about Blueberry doggy.And if y'all see Rosie (Lima,Ohio)
there,please tell her I still love her.
cuhulin

180. Re: Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great ! {FAQs ?}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:43:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A74ED6-372@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81318

PDF's dont work for dumb old webtv.Howsomever,there is a workaround that does let PDF's work for dumb old webtv.I always send whichever PDF's to my verrrry smart Velocity Mico,ProMagix tower computer and read them whenever I get around to them.
cuhulin

181. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:45:44 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <bejea25lnmpjvlpt72paevqmff8han5sbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 81316

On Sun, 2 Jul 2006 11:35:19 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
wrote:

> Tancredo's just a bigot, period.

Tancredo is a bigot because he wants to stop the invasion of the USA
by Mexico? Because he doesn't want the USA to become a 3rd-world
non-White cesspool? What the hell is wrong with you? Are you one of
those treasonous liberal communist cunts? Do we have to deport you
too?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

182. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:47:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A74FFA-374@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81317

I have an old antique heavy iron Horse parking brake/weight here (if you know what those things are?) that no Drake, value wise can touch.
cuhulin

183. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:52:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A7510B-72@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81319

There was at least one experimental Drake Radio.It never hit the marketplace.I am not an expert or semi expert or even pretend to know anything on Drake Radios.Now,wimmins are another storey.
cuhulin,the Expert on wimmins

184. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:54:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A7519D-73@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81321

He likes to hear them women in them porn internet movie clips
howling.OOOPS!
cuhulin

185. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 04:56:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A75216-375@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81320

He probally gets HBO too.I dont.
cuhulin

186. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 05:08:33 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e87k8tbpl1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81322

"Me" <me@my.computer> wrote in message
news:bejea25lnmpjvlpt72paevqmff8han5sbh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 2 Jul 2006 11:35:19 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Tancredo's just a bigot, period.
>
> Tancredo is a bigot because he wants to stop the invasion of the USA
> by Mexico? Because he doesn't want the USA to become a 3rd-world
> non-White cesspool? What the hell is wrong with you? Are you one of
> those treasonous liberal communist cunts? Do we have to deport you
> too?

No, he's a bigot because he's a bigot, and apparently so are you, since you seem to think that anything not white is a cesspool. And for your information, you're a lot closer to treasonous than I will ever be. It is not now, nor has it ever been, illegal to be a communist in the United States (and no, I'm not a communist, and though I have liberal stands on some issues, I have very conservative stands on others). Furthermore, my ancestors were on the continent most likely thousands of years before yours.

For your further information, I don't believe in unfettered immigration, either. I can, though, understand how desperate people would be driven to cross into a more prosperous country to try to make a living and feed their families. This doesn't make it legal. They should go about proper immigration procedures. That being said...

1) I believe that putting up a fence along the entire southern border sets a bad precedent, and makes us look like a totalitarian regime to the rest of the world.

2) Though they ARE in the country illegally, SOME thought does need to be given as to how to best deal with the 12 million who are already within our borders. Because:
a) It would be nigh onto impossible to locate every one of them, let alone deport them.
b) Even if we could locate and deport them, it would be a huge setback to the US economy, because we would lose all the money being spent by those 12 million people. This would not be inconsequential, as it amounts to billions of dollars each year.
c) Add to b) above that, regardless of the protestations by some, many of the jobs done by the illegal immigrants (primarily agricultural) would not be picked up by others in our society. I can speak from practical experience on this issue, since I was for a couple years in my teens an agro worker in Utah, and was, in point of fact, the only person in the fields

with any caucasian blood in my veins. There were no other locals even trying to get those jobs, they would rather mow lawns, or snivel because they had no money. It's damn hard work, and not many will do it for the price that the farmers are willing to pay (or even CAN pay... just think of what the price of your food would go up to if farmers had to pay all their labor minimum wage).

Face it, most of us (Americans) are all for instant gratification, and are no longer willing to REALLY work and earn what we get anymore. We have no work ethic left. I can't blame the average American for being this way, though.. since we all learn it right from the top, where COO's and CEO's can run their companies into the ground and still get "golden parachute" deals worth millions of dollars, all at our expense.

steps down off the soapbox

187. Re: FS: JRC NRD-515

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 05:12:48 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x6GdnVO9AZJQyDrZnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81323

fully operating

2.4 mechanical filter and 6.0 ceramic filter

"Arthur Pozner" <Arthrnyork@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28139-44A73A95-122@storefull-3231.bay.webtv.net...
> A) What filters does it have. B) Is it
> fully operational?
>

188. Re: Liberty Net Is On 3978 Khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 05:20:39 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151817639.560471.287780@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81324

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> The Liberty Net is on 3978 khz right now. Listen if you dare!

Aha .. say it ain't so ..

Y-Man has returned to proclaim "The Great Liberty Net" after all this time.

He was gone so long we thought he'd left town with Janet Reno.

Hey Y-Man .. update us - where you been, dude?

189. Re: If Democrats today were alive during WWII, we'd be speaking German

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 07:38:32 UTC
From: EMBO <Sorry@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060702003832168O7-Sorry@gmailcom>
Source record: 81325

On 2006-06-27 15:28:40 -0700, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org> said:

>
> "Bob Miller" <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote
>> On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 00:45:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>> The enemy within are the democrats.
>>
>> Democrats were not only alive during WWII, they won the war.
>>
>> What a doofus... some people are just ignorant...
>>
>> bob
>> k5qwg
>
> That was the old Democratic Party.
> The "NEW" Democratic Party has been high-jacked by
> Left-wingers/Liberals/"Progressives"/Socialists/Communists.

Too bad the old Republican Party is gone too..... from a centrist.

190. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 10:26:23 UTC
From: Cameltoe Johnson <Cam@toe.net>
Message-ID: <20060702062729275 44%Cam@toenet>
Source record: 81326

On 2006-06-30 23:31:53 -0400, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> said:

> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Riiiiight.....

--
PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

191. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 10:26:52 UTC
From: Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net>
Message-ID: <20060702062758377709%testicle@sacnet>
Source record: 81327

On 2006-06-30 23:31:53 -0400, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> said:

> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Troll douche....

192. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 10:27:29 UTC
From: Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net>
Message-ID: <20060702062836644440%testicle@sacnet>
Source record: 81328

On 2006-07-02 00:18:22 -0400, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> said:

> Why do you bother to hang out on this group then

I'll field that one, he's retarded.

193. yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 11:05:10 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81329

Hi all,

I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I already tried several new ones).

Any ideas?

Thanks in advance

Rui V.

194. Re: Degen DE1103 quality variation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 11:23:29 UTC
From: homepc <wiebe08@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11Opg.8302$Mu3.8147@newsfe22.lga>
Source record: 81330

Armed with your discovery, you should contact the ebay seller you dealt with. If it was Liypn or Tqchina, let them know about this problem and I'm sure they in turn will contact the manufacturer directly for an explanation. Both of these sellers are conscientious and very good to deal with.

Given the expense of returning substandard electronics back to China for warranty replacement, the seller and manufacturer should BOTH make a coordinated effort to ensure overseas sales do not include substandard units predisposed to failure.

Two of the three radios I bought from one of these sellers had to be shipped back for warranty replacement. The DE1103 had to be sent back upon receipt because the LCD display and the sound was bad. The replacement DE1103 had cosmetic flaws but it worked OK. The DE1107 worked very well, but the LCD clock didn't display after several months of use. I thought there may have been a loose connection inside so I asked if it was OK to open up the case for inspection. The radio appeared clean inside and all the ribbon wire connections were secure. I got a DE1107 replacement a couple of months ago and it's perfect. The third radio I bought that didn't fail is a Tecsun BCL3000. The tuning knob isn't as smooth as I would like -- it's a little stiff at some points, and then moves very freely at other points. Other than that it works just as I expected (knock on wood).

Your observations merit further investigation and I hope you post any developments to this group..

"Vesa" <Vesa@nosuch.address.fi> wrote in message
news:44a722e7$0$14357$9b536df3@news.fv.fi...
> Hi all!
>
> Out of curiosity I purchased 3 DE1103's, plan being to give them away to
> godchildren etc. Strangely one of the receivers was a poorer performer on
> FM especially. On FM the frequency display was off by approx. 100kHz.
>
> To remedy the problem I had to take the set apart and adjust the
> quadrature coil of the FM-detector, T202 in
> " http://lab.radioscanner.ru/schematics/de1103_main.jpg ".
>
> Given this opportunity (reason to open the set) I inspected further.
> Rather surprisingly the circuit board of the radio looked really bad. All
> the components (SMD included) were soldered by hand! Flux residues were
> left uncleaned! Shield plates were all corroded and poorly soldered!
>
> I checked the two other units and they were OK meaning they were
> manufactured in a proper SMD-reflow soldering process. Additionally the

> tiny screws attaching the main board to back cover were given a locking
> compound treatment. This prevents the screws becoming loose and rattling
> inside the set.
>
> Now, the "bad" unit was labelled as "MK2", the OK radios were "MK4". I
> suspect it could be that with a new PCB-revision Degen subcontracts
> manufacturing of the radios with the remaining stock of old PCB's instead
> of scrapping the boards. Alternatively the radios just reflect the
> variation in chinese "domestic" quality. Hopefully export quality sets are
> all as shiny inside as in the following picture of an Eton E5:
> " http://web303.server-drome.net/Eton_E5/E5_009.jpg ". Incidentally
> shielding of a "MK4"-set corresponds to that of an E5. My crappy "MK2"-set
> lacks the shield above the PLL (biggest shield in picture).
>
> In conclusion the situation is rather awkward. It is impossible to
> tell whether a DE1103 is crap inside by the outside. Buing a "domestic
> quality" DE1103 from eBay really involves a risk of getting a crap
> product. One out of three radios was crap for me.
>

195. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 11:28:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7ADF2.8F9A8F18@milestones.com>
Source record: 81331

mike maghakian wrote:

> OK mr know it all about Drakes...
>
> where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I
> see are going for $2000 and up.....

R7's or R7A's? But heck, I guess prices are going up!

Why do you care, I thought you were selling, not buying.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

196. Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 12:01:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7B581.DCEEB914@milestones.com>
Source record: 81332

Cape Radio 10780 heard testing at 1156.

Shuttle launch is sked for 1926... weather permitting!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

197. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 12:23:50 UTC
From: Tony <tshimi@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XYadnRdBN65BJzrZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81333

Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do best).

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3

Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message news:gVGpg.119451$771.112316@edtnps89...
> ==========
> The allegation of the March rape and slayings came to light in a
> battlefield stress counselling session for members of the platoon, when
> two soldiers raised the issue.
>
> Neither soldier said they witnessed the alleged killings, but said they
> had overheard conversations about them from fellow soldiers.
>
> Officials said the woman's body apparently was burned after the alleged
> killings.
>
>
> http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/claims-us-troops-raped-and-killed-iraq-civilians/2006/07/01/1151174442299.html
>
> or:
>
> http://snipurl.com/slm9
>
> ==========

198. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 12:30:43 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151843443.600854.311490@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81334

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.

Too much crap to wade through on the internet.

199. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 12:40:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7BEBD.DA064671@milestones.com>
Source record: 81335

dxAce wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > OK mr know it all about Drakes...
> >
> > where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I
> > see are going for $2000 and up.....
>
> R7's or R7A's? But heck, I guess prices are going up!
>
> Why do you care, I thought you were selling, not buying.

You've got to remember that you were trying to sell an R7 for what was it? $1800 or so? and that included an MS7 speaker that goes for $75 and up these days. R7's, for whatever reason, do not seem to fetch the prices the R7A's get (which is beyond me, as I've pointed out before they are the same radio, albeit without a couple of easily added options).

I'm fairly certain that one could have a fully loaded R7 (all 5 filter positions filled), NB installed, and toss in the AUX7 board to boot and it still would not reach the price of a standard out of the factory R7A which comes with the 2.3, .5 and what they call an AM filter (which is ONLY a wire soldered across a position on the filter board) and the NB.

My first R7 was purchased new out of AES in Cleveland (former home of the fake Hispanic known as 'David Eduardo', by the way!) for $1000 and only had the 2.3 filter installed (and it came in the factory sealed box with an R7A manual).

Since then I think I'm on my 5th R7 and I've never paid more than $675-700 for one. Most had all the filter positions filled, and I always yanked the CW filters out, put in voice filters and also rearranged the filters so that they went in logical order via the bandswitch. It's also advisable when putting voice filters in the CW positions to disconnect the additional amplification that is used on the CW filter positions (a 2 wire unsoldering job).

The one currently in use here has the 8,6,4,3 and 2.3 filters installed.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

200. OT: Bombed Gaza Power Station Built by Enron, Insured by Uncle Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:15:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <pmhfa29kr7k8ci9h868ovi4fameos5j0cf@4ax.com>
Source record: 81336

http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/733394.html

201. Re: OT: Bombed Gaza Power Station Built by Enron, Insured by Uncle Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:22:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7C8AE.345109E0@milestones.com>
Source record: 81337

David wrote:

> http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/733394.html

Welcome to July 2. Another day, mentally ill, and retarded.

But you made it!

202. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:23:50 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <13ifa25o19h7vpte9q7d0so71b3tvpuair@4ax.com>
Source record: 81338

On Sun, 02 Jul 2006 08:40:29 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>dxAce wrote:
>
>> mike maghakian wrote:
>>
>> > OK mr know it all about Drakes...
>> >
>> > where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I
>> > see are going for $2000 and up.....
>>
>> R7's or R7A's? But heck, I guess prices are going up!

Saw an auction in qst, a little hro-500 went for $3000.

bob
k5qwg

203. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:26:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7C96A.7AF549E7@milestones.com>
Source record: 81339

mike maghakian wrote:

> OK mr know it all about Drakes...
>
> where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I
> see are going for $2000 and up.....

Is that sticker (or whatever it is) that denotes your selectivity positions on
the R7 removable?

http://home.comcast.net/~maghakian/R7.jpg

dxAce
Michigan
USA

204. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:27:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7C9CC.8B87C03F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81340

Bob Miller wrote:

> On Sun, 02 Jul 2006 08:40:29 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >dxAce wrote:
> >
> >> mike maghakian wrote:
> >>
> >> > OK mr know it all about Drakes...
> >> >
> >> > where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's I
> >> > see are going for $2000 and up.....
> >>
> >> R7's or R7A's? But heck, I guess prices are going up!
>
> Saw an auction in qst, a little hro-500 went for $3000.

I've seen some Hallicrafters going for 10k +

Apples and oranges.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

205. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 13:59:24 UTC
From: toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
Message-ID: <b8kfa2h28ma236dhl31hb7kilrbehi70mh@4ax.com>
Source record: 81341

On Sat, 1 Jul 2006 18:30:50 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org> wrote:

>
>"toTaLhAt" <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote
>>
>> Thank God for the NYT. Exposing lax security. If a newspaper hack
>> can find it out then so can the enemy.
>>
>
>Hey, you work for the GAO?
>

No - I work for patriotism. Go commie go seeineyepooch. With you -
the terrorists have won.

206. Re: If burning American flags caused global warming would Democrats stop doing it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:01:12 UTC
From: toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
Message-ID: <8bkfa2t7kqra4gq2gscgutp7kaqke0bnt1@4ax.com>
Source record: 81342

On Sat, 01 Jul 2006 21:24:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:noone-79C2C6.00235201072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net:
>
>> In article <1151562071.250940.211680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
>> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> > No.
>>>
>>> Do you have evidence that Democrats are burning the flag?
>>>
>>> I have evidence of some GOP asshole that was caught a few years ago
>>> defacing US flags, and now has started the practice again.
>>> http://www.ushistory.org/betsy/flagbush.htm
>>
>> The worst flag burners are the American Legion. Every year most posts
>> have a public flag burning ceremony. Old flags are supposed to be
>> burned in PRIVATE.
>
>
>The AL and VFW are not burning the flag in Anti-American hate. Liberals
>on the other hand try to find a TV news crew that can film the burning and
>the liberal main stream media is always happy to accommodate them.
>
>sc

Being able to burn The Stars and Stripes is the greatest freedom on
the planet. And a lot of people shed their blood for it.

207. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:03:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7D239.B950C3F3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81343

dxAce wrote:

> Cape Radio 10780 heard testing at 1156.
>
> Shuttle launch is sked for 1926... weather permitting!

If they do not go today the launch crew will have tomorrow off and the next
attempt will be on July 4.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

208. Re: Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:15:39 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151849739.054806.255500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81344

weatherall wrote:
> Hello all:
>
> I have owned a Tecsun PL-350 radio for 9 months but I don't use it
> anymore. I dusted it off and put everything in the original box in
> order to sell it on eBay. If you're interested, here is the listing:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=120004026145
> or
> http://tinyurl.com/kmaab
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

I wouldn't go near any Tecsuns !

209. Re: Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:16:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7D53C-311@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81346

To tell you the truth,(and y'all know I never lie,,,, Ask me no questions and I will tell you no lies,,,,,,) I had today completly forgot about the Space Shuttle Launch for today.I am fixin to hit the NASA channel on DirecTV and smoke a reminder thingy on there for about the time it is suppose to go into orbit this afternoon.Thanks for reminding me.
cuhulin

210. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:21:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7D658-312@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81454

At those prices,for whomever buys those old sky high priced radios,I hope they include free shipping and handling.
cuhulin

211. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:22:31 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81345

Steve wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>
> It still beats television.

Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW DXing, anytime !

212. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:25:22 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151850322.237796.96180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81347

ka6uup wrote:
> Why do you bother to hang out on this group then
>
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >>Merlin3rd wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Shortwave is DEAD. Use your computer to listen to a vast arrray of
> >>>radio broadcasts from anywhere in the world. No radio, no antenna, no
> >>>static. Shortwave broadcasting is old tech, dying fast.
> >>
> >>Yada, yada, yada. We already knew that so you'd best be running along.
> >>
> >>dxAce
> >>Michigan
> >>USA
> >
> >
> >
> > Mostly, garbage is being broadcast now - religion and boring news.
> > Shortwave is nothing like it used to be. Listening to hams and
> > ultilities is also
> > boring. More and more broadcasters are dropping shortwave and going to
> > either the
> > Internet, or AM. Once "Voice of the Andes", which I regularly listened
> > to, back in the
> > 1960s and 1970s stopped broadcasting, and the BBC stopped broadcasting
> > to the US, I knew shortwave was dead. So, what is the point of
> > plopping down hundreds of
> > dollars for new receivers - most receivers are basically the same and
> > get the
> > same stations. Why spend $500 for an Eaton Shit E1, when one can spend
> > $65 for a Degen 1103.
> >

Someone has to troll this newsgroup !

213. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:27:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7D7D5-316@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81453

And some people knock some people who shop at Goodwill stores. (or similar thrift stores) Pam,a regular shopper at the Goodwill store,she once told me,Huh Larry,buy this $44.00 shirt.(two dollars for the shirt,I paid) A couple of days later,I was driving along and I flicked a cigarette butt out the window.The wind blew that cigarette butt up my left old ugly armpit.It ruined that $44.00 shirt.
cuhulin

214. STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:27:50 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151850470.724964.58120@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81804
Cross-posted to: sci.space.tech,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

```
NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB
-------------------------------

It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
Radio, however.
Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
caught high in a tree.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

215. STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:29:59 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151850598.941262.69990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81448
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB
-------------------------------

It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
Radio, however.
Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
caught high in a tree.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

216. Re: My 'New' Redsun RP2100 Radio -IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Explanation Is Required [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:30:48 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151850647.862519.101720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81449

RHF wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> > > For One and All,
> > >
> > > Received My Redsun RP2100 Radio -and- It looks Great !
> > >
> > > Ten Things a new Redsun RP2100 Radio Owner Must Know :
> > > Redsun RP2100 Radio FAQ {Frequency Asked Questions}
> > >
> > > 1. How To - Set the UTC and Local Clock Times ?
> > >
> > > 2. What Are - The Band Switch Positions ?
> > >
> > > - - - AM-Wide > AM-Narrow > FM > FM-AFC/Stereo
> > >
> > > 3. How To - Set the AM/MW Frequency Step 9kHz -or- 10 kHz ?
> > >
> > > 4. What Are - The AM and Shortwave Band Switch Postions ?
> > >
> > > - - - AM > SW-1 > SW-2 > SW-3
> > >
> > > 5. How To - Set the Memories -and- How To - Erase the Memories.
> > >
> > > 6. How To - Set the Alarm and Timers.
> > >
> > > 7. What Are - The Left-Side Switches and Inputs-Plugs
> > >
> > > 8. What Are - The Right-Side Switches
> > >
> > > 9. _________________________
> > >
> > > 10. ________________________
> > >
> > >
> > > Wow ! - The Redsun RP2100 Radio has a Great Handle
> > > sort of like the Handle on the Grundig Satellit 700 !
> > > http://www.dxing.com/rx/sat650.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > fyi - more daily updates to come ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > .

> > > . .
> >
> > RHF,
> >
>
> - Does it really look super-duper great ?
>
> "Garbage" - It's a new radio and a New Radio is a NEW RADIO !
>
> 'little gigo' - It's a new toy and a New Toy is a NEW Toy !
>
> -IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Explanation Is Required [.]
>
> now go listen to your radio ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

Is that the best you got, nerd boy !

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

217. Re: OT: Bombed Gaza Power Station Built by Enron, Insured by Uncle Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:30:56 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <AMQpg.97360$A8.45905@clgrps12>
Source record: 81450

Space Ace wrote:
>
> David wrote:
>
>> http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/733394.html
>
> Welcome to July 2. Another day, mentally ill, and retarded.
>
> But you made it!
>
>
>

..grow up, you anti social cretin.

mike

218. Re: (OT) : GSW - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:31:31 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151850691.031170.230760@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81451

RHF wrote:
> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> > >
> > >GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet ! ~ RHF
> > >[I see that you are staying away from the word 'plastic' :<]
> > .
> >
>
> - Oh ok, I'll keep shoot'n ! Oh, that was so original,
>
> - nerd boy !
>
> Be The Best - That You Can Be !
> -IF- A Nerd I Be - A Nerd I Be :o)
>
> WEB-SURFIN' NERD {Sung to Surfin' Bird} -by- The TrashMen
> http://www.rockabilly.nl/lyrics4/s0130.htm
>
> A-well-a everybody's heard about the nerd
> N-n-n-nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, the nerd is the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, nerd, well the nerd is the word
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a don't you know about the nerd ?
> Well, everybody knows that the nerd is the word!
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
> A-well-a... {Repeat}
>
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-oom-oom-oom
> Oom-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow

> Papa-a-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
> Oom-oom-oom-oom-ooma-mow-mow
> Ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, ooma-mow-mow
> Well don't you know about the nerd ?
> Well, everybody knows that the nerd is the word!
> A-well-a nerd, nerd, n-nerd's the word
>
> Papa-ooma-mow-mow, papa-ooma-mow-mow
> - - - - - Repeat-to-Fade - - - - -
>
> - You mean, that S350 cheap shit plastic box !
>
> 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
> boing plastic, Boing Plastic. BOING PLASTIC !
> http://www.audiosparx.com/sa/display/sounds.cfm/sound_group_iid.1004
>
> GSW - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
> I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !
>
> now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
>
> - :<] Is that a mad face !
>
> FWIW " :<[" With the Lips Down is more of a Mad Face :-}
> .
> .
> . .

Is that the best you got, nerd boy !

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

219. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:32:43 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151850763.805809.236340@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81452

```
D Peter Maus wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> >>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> >>> wow, the
> >>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> >>> look-alike
> >>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> >> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
> >> come change them!
> >>
> >> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> >>
> >> dxAce
> >> Michigan
> >> USA
> >
> > SW IS DYING !
> > SW IS DEAD !
> > YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >
>
>
>
> Gee. Now who sounds upset?

Is that the best you got, nerd boy !

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
```

220. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:36:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7DA08-318@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81455

I wonder how much I could auction my ex-old girlfriends off for?
About eleven years ago,when I was paying for some books at Waldenbooks
book store at Metrocenter Mall,those two sweet elderly women who worked
there (they were only joking,of course) asked me would I give twenty
five cents for that nienteen year old (brunette,dark eyes,extremley cute
gal) girl that was helping them with the books.I told the young
woman,Honey,I dont think I could do you any good.
cuhulin

221. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:41:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7DB06-320@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81457

I own a very,very nice Hallicrafters,good working,S-38 EB Radio.I dont care what it's worth or not.As long as I live,it isn't going anywhere.
cuhulin

222. Re: My 'New' Redsun RP2100 Radio -IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Ex...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:43:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7DB98-321@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81458

gos,metinks you ''died'' a long time ago.
cuhulin

223. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:53:49 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <16Rpg.124867$771.34059@edtnps89>
Source record: 81456

Tony wrote:
> Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do
> best).
>
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3
>
> Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!

==========
The Americans entered the home, separated three family members from the woman, then raped her and set fire to her body, the official said. The three others were also slain. A senior Army official who also requested anonymity because the investigation is ongoing said one of the victims was a child .

==========

Every citizen with morals in the US should be using July 4th to write their Congressman, urging them to end the abomination that Washington is committing in their name in Iraq and Afghanistan.

In fact, all taxpayer funding to EVERY middle Eastern country should be stopped. Their politicians all make money by continuing the strife. The funding seems to be continuing mainly due to very healthy kickbacks to the US supporters, mainly in Congress.

mike

224. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 14:55:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A7DE5B-323@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81460

My Big Confederate Flag and my big U.S.A.Flag are Flying high.
Roger Fredinburg www.regularguy.com in Medford,Oregon has a big
Confederate Flag in his radio talk show room.Go ahead and ask him,if you
dont believe me.
www.chuckbaldwinlive.com Rebel Flag.
www.oregonmg.com Rebel Flag.
cuhulin

225. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 15:01:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7DFDF.359ECF58@milestones.com>
Source record: 81459

m II wrote:

> Tony wrote:
> > Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do
> > best).
> >
> > http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3
> >
> > Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!
>
> ================================
> The Americans entered the home, separated three family members from the
> woman, then raped her and set fire to her body, the official said. The
> three others were also slain. A senior Army official who also requested
> anonymity because the investigation is ongoing said one of the victims
> was a child .
>
> ================================
>
> Every citizen with morals in the US should be using July 4th to write
> their Congressman, urging them to end the abomination that Washington is
> committing in their name in Iraq and Afghanistan.

I think we should all write in and ask them to end the abomination known as
CanaDuh.

http://www.negativepositive.org/fuck-canada.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

226. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 15:07:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28636-44A7E11F-46@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81462

I haven't ''scored'' in so longgggg,,,, I forget what it's like :{)

http://oregonmag.com/JanusEd.htm

F..... A Straik,General George S. Patton.You tell em,buddy boy.
cuhulin

227. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 15:13:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7E2AE.8E9DDFB5@milestones.com>
Source record: 81461

dxAce wrote:

> m II wrote:
>
> > Tony wrote:
> > > Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do
> > > best).
> > >
> > > http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3
> > >
> > > Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!
> >
> > ================================
> > The Americans entered the home, separated three family members from the
> > woman, then raped her and set fire to her body, the official said. The
> > three others were also slain. A senior Army official who also requested
> > anonymity because the investigation is ongoing said one of the victims
> > was a child .
> >
> > ================================
> >
> > Every citizen with morals in the US should be using July 4th to write
> > their Congressman, urging them to end the abomination that Washington is
> > committing in their name in Iraq and Afghanistan.
>
> I think we should all write in and ask them to end the abomination known as
> CanaDuh.
>
> http://www.negativepositive.org/fuck-canada.html

But then again, all those spine implants would probably cost us dearly in our
futile attempts to rehabilitate the former citizens of CanaDuh.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

228. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 15:43:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A7E9A9-82@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81463

She said, I am goinnnn to hire a wino to decorateeeee our homeeeeeee,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

229. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 15:45:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A7EA18-422@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81464

I need a pecker implant.One of them pump up jobbies.
cuhulin

230. Re: Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 16:05:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A7EEE3-84@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81465

I never go to ebay.Over rated,over priced auction S..T! If I had my way,,,, I would outlaw all auctions.UP YOU!,Sideways!
cuhulin

231. Re: Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 16:12:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A7F06A-430@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81467

Me little bitty ''magnet'' always attracts me to them crazy wimmins.I am sort of kind of halfa.. thinking I might step over to a few beer joints tonight and see if I can get some of those wimmins to come and shack up with me for a while.It has worked before.One of those wimmins had just turned 19 (hubba hubba,what a body she had on her,WOW!) and the other woman was 35 years old.Yeah,I need to get me some trim.
cuhulin

232. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 16:40:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44A7F6F4-368@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81469

Nissan/Japan wanted to put their rice flag and no American Flag at
Nissan in Mississippi.Of course,here in Mississippi there was a
BIGGGGGGG Uproar about that.(Look it up) If y'all ever come to
Mississippi,check out that rice auto factory just North of Jackson.DONT
TREAD ON ME!
I HATE Japs and all slant eyed chinks!
cuhulin

233. Re: STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 16:48:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7F8EA.4338186D@milestones.com>
Source record: 81468
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" wrote:

> NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB

Heard here as well, but propagation this time of day on 5711 is poor.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
> ------------------------------
>
> It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
> The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
> up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
> them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
> Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
> Radio, however.
> Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
> STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
> Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
> They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
> caught high in a tree.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

234. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:11:49 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151860309.408606.129780@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
get help
```

235. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:24:27 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fjTpg.299278$Fs1.15527@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81471

```
Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
>>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
>>>>> wow, the
>>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
>>>>> look-alike
>>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
>>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
>>>> come change them!
>>>>
>>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
>>>>
>>>> dxAce
>>>> Michigan
>>>> USA
>>> SW IS DYING !
>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>>>
>>
>>
>> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
>
> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
>
> SW IS DYING !
> SW IS DEAD !
> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>
```

And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that upset you so?

236. No Space Shuttle Launch today.They

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:25:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4940-44A80186-124@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81472

will try again on Tuesday at 2:38 PM,crazy ass eastern time zone.

Here we go Loop the Loop,,,,,, here we go Loop the Lai,,,,,,,,, on a
Saturday nightttttttt,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

237. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:35:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4940-44A803D7-125@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81473

Tom Tancredo is one O' me Heroes.
cuhulin

238. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:41:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4939-44A80541-562@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81474

I Believe in Shooting and Killing any and all illegal aliens coming
across our American Borders.Both North and South and across our American
Coastlines.Why do you find something so wrong about that? If you try to
invade my home,my property,my yard,my vehicles,Mississippi gives me the
Right to Shoot them Dead! Look it UP.
cuhulin

239. Re: OFF TOPIC -Is Ann Coulter a Terrorist? -or is it EVERYBODY's ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:44:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4940-44A805E7-126@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81475

We are a bad bunch of m..... F..... here in Mississippi.But,at the same time,us Mississippians are the most Hospitable people on Earth.
cuhulin

240. Re: STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:53:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4939-44A80821-564@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81477

Or like I feel because that divorced 34 year old woman in
Petal,Mississippi hasen't talked dirty to me in about two and a half
weeks.She better hurry up and talk nasty to me again!
cuhulin

241. (OT) : Are You Planning To Fly The American (USA) Flag High This 4th of July ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 17:59:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151863197.502367.244290@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81476

```
Tony wrote:
> Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do
> best).
>
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3
>

c>
>
> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:gVGpg.119451$771.112316@edtnps89...
> > ==========================================
> > The allegation of the March rape and slayings came to light in a
> > battlefield stress counselling session for members of the platoon, when
> > two soldiers raised the issue.
> >
> > Neither soldier said they witnessed the alleged killings, but said they
> > had overheard conversations about them from fellow soldiers.
> >
> > Officials said the woman's body apparently was burned after the alleged
> > killings.
> >
> >
> > http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/claims-us-troops-raped-and-killed-iraq-civilians/2006
/07/01/1151174442299.html
> >
> > or:
> >
> > http://snipurl.com/slm9
> >
> > ================================
```

242. America Land of Many View Points !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:16:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151864163.185956.138500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81478

BAD - So today we are into : Anally Retentive and Bigots !

They have their views points and you have yours -and-
I Believe in the All American View Point :
Their's, Your's and Yes Mine !

even though we may disagree frequently
- america land of many view points ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Somebody Somewhere" <YCTHULHU@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151806994.707199.169990@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> >> What old group?
> >>
> >> Vote for Ann Coulter. www.drudgereport.com
> >> She gets my Vote,she and Tom Tancredo.
> >> cuhulin
> >
> > I got her latest book, "Godless". It's a real hoot. I love it. She
> > kick's the liberals' AIDS-infested asses from here to Uranus.
> >
> > TANCREDO/COULTER 2008
> >
>
> Ann Coulter is the most anally retentive witch I've ever heard open their
> mouth. Tancredo's just a bigot, period.
>
> Coulter is of course entitled to her opinions and has the right to voice
> them.. but I would like to know what sort of childhood she must have had to
> fill her with so much unmitigated hate.
>
> McCain/Sharpton 2008

243. (OT) : America Land Of Many View Points

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:22:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151864539.784642.264640@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81479

Brian - So today we are into :
* One Crazy Bitch !
* More Hateful and Arrogant !
* Twice as Ignorant !

They have their views points and you have yours
-and- I Believe in the All American View Point :
Their's, Your's and Yes Mine !

even though we may disagree frequently
- america land of many view points ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Brian wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e87b9j$9la$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > Ann Coulter is the most anally retentive witch I've ever heard open their
> > mouth. Tancredo's just a bigot, period.
> >
> > Coulter is of course entitled to her opinions and has the right to voice
> > them.. but I would like to know what sort of childhood she must have had
> > to fill her with so much unmitigated hate.
> >
> > McCain/Sharpton 2008
>
> As much as I hate to add to the noise, I can hardly pass on an opportunity
> to say that Ann Coulter is one crazy bitch. The reality that there is
> someone, not only more hateful and arrogant than Bill O'Reilly, but twice as
> ignorant, is very disconcerting.
>
> -Brian

244. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:25:43 UTC
From: CaptAmerica <calikid1965@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151864743.143665.251310@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81480

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >
> > It still beats television.
>
> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> DXing, anytime !

Merlin3rd = Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! = gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com =
Gregory from Olney, Maryland

BTW He has also sold E1s on Ebay in the past.

CA

245. (OT) : America Land Of Many View Points

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:25:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151864747.379067.282280@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81481

"Me" - So today we are into :
* 3rd-World Non-White Cesspool !
* Treasonous Liberal Communist Cunts
* Deport You Too !

They have their views points and you have yours
-and- I Believe in the All American View Point :
Their's, Your's and Yes Mine !

even though we may disagree frequently
- america land of many view points ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Me wrote:
> On Sun, 2 Jul 2006 11:35:19 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Tancredo's just a bigot, period.
>
> Tancredo is a bigot because he wants to stop the invasion of the USA
> by Mexico? Because he doesn't want the USA to become a 3rd-world
> non-White cesspool? What the hell is wrong with you? Are you one of
> those treasonous liberal communist cunts? Do we have to deport you
> too?
>
> ---== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

246. (OT) : Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:32:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151865174.208631.77530@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81482

"Garbage" - 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
boing plastic, Boing Plastic. BOING PLASTIC !
http://www.audiosparx.com/sa/display/sounds.cfm/sound_group_iid.1004

Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !

now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >
> > It still beats television.
>
> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> DXing, anytime !

247. Re: America Land of Many View Points !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:35:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A81205-386@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81483

I never try to retent what comes out of my anal.That's what my porcelain throne is for.
cuhulin

248. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:46:15 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <ovUpg.6843$ak6.4278@newsfe06.phx>
Source record: 81484

"rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
Hi all,

I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
"blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
already tried several new ones).

Any ideas?

Thanks in advance

Rui V.

Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.

249. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:49:50 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <izUpg.85043$mF2.48047@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81485

k35454 wrote:
> "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
> batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
> "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
> to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
> the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
> already tried several new ones).
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Rui V.
>
> Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.
>
>
>
>
>
>

The radio may need to be reset. I had this happen with my R-10 a
couple of times.

250. Voice of America (VOA) Broadcasts to Zimbabwe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:50:12 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151866211.980205.263650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81486

For One and All,

Statement by Voice of America (VOA) Director Concerning
VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe -by- David S. Jackson

Voice of America (VOA) - English to Zimbabwe
Time : 1730-1800 UTC
Frequencies : 909 kHZ + 4.930 MHz + 13.755 MHz + 17.730 MHz

Voice of America (VOA)
English Language Shortwave Broadcasts
http://voanews.com/english/about/frequenciesAtoZ_e.cfm

Voice of America (VOA) - Programs and Languages
http://voanews.com/english/About/ProgramsAtoZ_a.cfm

let the voice of freedom ring in zimbabwe ~ RHF
.
.
. .

251. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Broadcasts to Zimbabwe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:54:32 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151866471.937298.321740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81487

RHF wrote:
> For One and All,
>
> Statement by Voice of America (VOA) Director Concerning
> VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe -by- David S. Jackson
>
> Voice of America (VOA) - English to Zimbabwe
> Time : 1730-1800 UTC
> Frequencies : 909 kHZ + 4.930 MHz + 13.755 MHz + 17.730 MHz
>
> Voice of America (VOA)
> English Language Shortwave Broadcasts
> http://voanews.com/english/about/frequenciesAtoZ_e.cfm
>
> Voice of America (VOA) - Programs and Languages
> http://voanews.com/english/About/ProgramsAtoZ_a.cfm
>
>
> let the voice of freedom ring in zimbabwe ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

Who gives a damn !

252. Re: (OT) : Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:55:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4940-44A81696-128@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81493

Well,there goes the Race.Stupid Commie Fascist COWARD cheney at
NASCAR.Memphis got stinked up a couple of days ago,AWOL MORON COWARD
bush and that Stupid chink at Graceland.That isn't much over 230 miles
North of me.bush and cheney need to Stay OUT! of this old deep
South.They are NOT! wellcome here! y'all think I am joking? Dont forget
Gulfport,Mississippi.
cuhulin

253. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:56:55 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151866615.331921.182820@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81488

```
CaptAmerica wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> > > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > >
> > > It still beats television.
> >
> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > DXing, anytime !
>
> Merlin3rd = Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! = gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com =
> Gregory from Olney, Maryland
>
> BTW He has also sold E1s on Ebay in the past.
>
> CA

Wrong !
```

254. Re: (OT) : Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 18:59:41 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151866781.689907.303050@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81489

RHF wrote:
> "Garbage" - 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
> boing plastic, Boing Plastic. BOING PLASTIC !
> http://www.audiosparx.com/sa/display/sounds.cfm/sound_group_iid.1004
>
> Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
> I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !
>
> now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> > > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > >
> > > It still beats television.
> >
> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > DXing, anytime !

Is that the best you can do, nerd boy ! Get another hobby - yours is
dying !!!

255. Re: My 'New' Redsun RP2100 Radio -IF- Radios Are Your Thing - No Ex...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:02:30 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151866950.769731.35990@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81490

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> gos,metinks you ''died'' a long time ago.
> cuhulin

Good for you, redneck !

256. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Broadcasts to Zimbabwe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:03:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A81876.FBE280B0@milestones.com>
Source record: 81491

RHF wrote:

> For One and All,
>
> Statement by Voice of America (VOA) Director Concerning
> VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe -by- David S. Jackson
>
> Voice of America (VOA) - English to Zimbabwe
> Time : 1730-1800 UTC
> Frequencies : 909 kHZ + 4.930 MHz + 13.755 MHz + 17.730 MHz
>
> Voice of America (VOA)
> English Language Shortwave Broadcasts
> http://voanews.com/english/about/frequenciesAtoZ_e.cfm
>
> Voice of America (VOA) - Programs and Languages
> http://voanews.com/english/About/ProgramsAtoZ_a.cfm

Is there something new about this? Its been on the schedule.

Monday-Friday acording to EiBi

dxAce
Michigan
USA

257. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:03:52 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151867032.077358.131600@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81492

```
D Peter Maus wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>> dxAce wrote:
> >>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> >>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> >>>>> wow, the
> >>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> >>>>> look-alike
> >>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> >>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
> >>>> come change them!
> >>>>
> >>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> >>>>
> >>>> dxAce
> >>>> Michigan
> >>>> USA
> >>> SW IS DYING !
> >>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
> >
> > Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
> >
> > SW IS DYING !
> > SW IS DEAD !
> > YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >
```

>
>
> And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that
> upset you so?

Is that the best you got, nerd boy !

SW IS DYING !
SW IS DEAD !
YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES

258. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:14:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A81B0F-395@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81495

Eat that rice flag.
cuhulin

259. Re: (OT) : Garbage {GSW} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:17:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A81BC3-397@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81496

2,723. www.cryptome.org/index.html
I couldn't care less than less how many Iraqis get killed.I am only
concerned about our U.S.Troops and nobody else!
cuhulin

260. Re: Drake R7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:20:13 UTC
From: wa0kds <wa0kds@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151868013.418222.301940@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81494

OK Rayburn I was the seller of the Drake R7A that you are downgrading.
My pictures did not reflect what this radio actually looks like. Why
didn't you ask me a couple of questions before you blasted me. This
radio mght not be a 10 but it is for sure a 9.8 and nowhere near a 7.
I have no doubt that my not professional pictures hurt my auction but
the guy that bought it asked me questions and in the end got a great
deal.

Please watch what you say on these newsgroups you know the posts are
almost forever and usually if anyone does a net search will find out
more about you then you might want them to know.

So at least the buyer and me the seller and happy campers. I have more
almost MINT Drake gear that I will be selling in the future and expect
not to see any negative postings from you until at least after you
contact me first.

I believe my radio would have gone higher but the 4th of July weekend
and maybe my pictures stopped it form doing so. You comment might have
been a factor also.

Have a good day ,
Ron WA0KDS

Rayburn wrote:
> Jeeze this guy calls that "MINT" ? Compared to mine that ones beat all to
> hell!
>
> Notice the scratching/hazing in the face and the well worn corners starting
> to show through....and those scratches on the vfo knob....I'd call it a 7
> outta 10 ?
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:449DA97A.D7575F7C@milestones.com...
> > Another Drake R7A up on eBay:
> >
> > <http://cgi.ebay.com/RL-Drake-R7A-Shortwave-Receiver-Like-New_W0QQitemZ190001582867QQihZ009QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
> >
> > Item # 190001582867
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >

261. Re: Drake R7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:21:42 UTC
From: Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44A81E55.6000406@yahoo.com>
Source record: 81497

>Notice the scratching/hazing in the face and the well worn corners
starting
>>to show through....and those scratches on the vfo knob....I'd call it a 7
>>outta 10 ?

No true to any of this There is no scatching or hazing on the face
only poor lighting and some reflections. No worn corners and no VFO
scratches only dust and reflections.

Next time people before you post a negative comment to this group think
first and then ask the seller questions.

Thanks
Ron

PS: Rayburn lets see some pictures taken of your 10 plus R7A. Or in
other words lets see how good of photographer you are.

wa0kds wrote:
> OK Rayburn I was the seller of the Drake R7A that you are downgrading.
> My pictures did not reflect what this radio actually looks like. Why
> didn't you ask me a couple of questions before you blasted me. This
> radio mght not be a 10 but it is for sure a 9.8 and nowhere near a 7.
> I have no doubt that my not professional pictures hurt my auction but
> the guy that bought it asked me questions and in the end got a great
> deal.
>
> Please watch what you say on these newsgroups you know the posts are
> almost forever and usually if anyone does a net search will find out
> more about you then you might want them to know.
>
> So at least the buyer and me the seller and happy campers. I have more
> almost MINT Drake gear that I will be selling in the future and expect
> not to see any negative postings from you until at least after you
> contact me first.
>
> I believe my radio would have gone higher but the 4th of July weekend
> and maybe my pictures stopped it form doing so. You comment might have
> been a factor also.
>
> Have a good day ,
> Ron WA0KDS
>
>
>
>

>
> Rayburn wrote:
>
>>Jeeze this guy calls that "MINT" ? Compared to mine that ones beat all to
>>hell!
>>
>>Notice the scratching/hazing in the face and the well worn corners starting
>>to show through....and those scratches on the vfo knob....I'd call it a 7
>>outta 10 ?
>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>news:449DA97A.D7575F7C@milestones.com...
>>
>>>Another Drake R7A up on eBay:
>>>
>>><http://cgi.ebay.com/RL-Drake-R7A-Shortwave-Receiver-Like-New_W0QQitemZ190001582867QQih
Z009QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
>>>
>>>Item # 190001582867
>>>
>>>dxAce
>>>Michigan
>>>USA
>>>
>
>

262. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:25:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28636-44A81DB4-57@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81348

Give me Doug Thompson's (www.capitolhillblue.com) email address.I want
to email him about my biggggg natural female looking titties.
cuhulin

263. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:38:27 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TgVpg.299987$Fs1.187961@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81349

```
Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
>>>>>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
>>>>>>>> wow, the
>>>>>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
>>>>>>>> look-alike
>>>>>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
>>>>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care giver
>>>>>> come change them!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> dxAce
>>>>>> Michigan
>>>>>> USA
>>>>> SW IS DYING !
>>>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
>>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
>>>
>>> SW IS DYING !
>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>>>
>>
```

>> And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that
>> upset you so?
>
> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
>
> SW IS DYING !
> SW IS DEAD !
> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES
>

Your needle is stuck, there, Bubba. So upset you can't respond to a
question.

Must be a tough life.

264. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:42:23 UTC
From: CaptAmerica <calikid1965@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151869343.356247.177710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81350

```
Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> CaptAmerica wrote:
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > Steve wrote:
> > > > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > > > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > > >
> > > > It still beats television.
> > >
> > > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > > DXing, anytime !
> >
> > Merlin3rd = Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! = gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com =
> > Gregory from Olney, Maryland
> >
> > BTW He has also sold E1s on Ebay in the past.
> >
> > CA
>
> Wrong !

You know I'm right shithead. Now leave these people alone.

CA
```

265. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:53:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5527-44A8242F-472@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81351

Woman run off road,raped,beaten by Illegals. www.worldnetdaily.com

Illegals,bush's buddies.Does anybody want to tell me differently?
cuhulin

266. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 19:58:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5527-44A82572-473@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81352

Round Up and KILL all Illegal aliens,is my opinion.They better not step on my property.Mississippi gives me the Right to shoot and KILL all suspicious people.Look it Up.
cuhulin

267. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 20:01:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5527-44A82603-474@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81353

Lonesom Dove is coming up on tv now.Then I might walk across Highway 80
to Metrocenter Mall and flirt with them cute wimmins over there.
cuhulin

268. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 20:30:17 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151872217.567214.284470@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81354

The batteries are perfectly placed.

k35454 wrote:
> "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
> batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
> "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
> to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
> the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
> already tried several new ones).
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Rui V.
>
> Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.

269. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 20:31:34 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151872294.513048.314330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81355

So, what do I have to do ?

Rui V.

D Peter Maus wrote:
> k35454 wrote:
> > "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
> > batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
> > "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
> > to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
> > the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
> > already tried several new ones).
> >
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> >
> > Rui V.
> >
> > Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> The radio may need to be reset. I had this happen with my R-10 a
> couple of times.

270. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 20:51:50 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GlWpg.300360$Fs1.241388@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81356

rui_v wrote:
> So, what do I have to do ?
>

I would consult your owner's manual for the reset procedure. It's
going to be different than that for my R-10.

Although, with some radios, if you took the batteries out, and left
them out overnight, you may accomplish the same thing.

p
> Rui V.
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> k35454 wrote:
>>> "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>>> Hi all,
>>>
>>> I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
>>> batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
>>> "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
>>> to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
>>> the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
>>> already tried several new ones).
>>>
>>> Any ideas?
>>>
>>> Thanks in advance
>>>
>>> Rui V.
>>>
>>> Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> The radio may need to be reset. I had this happen with my R-10 a
>> couple of times.
>

271. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 21:03:17 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151874197.771933.239440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81357

The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
The radio does not response to any button :(

Rui V.

D Peter Maus wrote:
> rui_v wrote:
> > So, what do I have to do ?
> >
> >
> >
> > I would consult your owner's manual for the reset procedure. It's
> > going to be different than that for my R-10.
> >
> >
> > Although, with some radios, if you took the batteries out, and left
> > them out overnight, you may accomplish the same thing.
> >
> >
> > p
> > > Rui V.
> > >
> > > D Peter Maus wrote:
> > >> k35454 wrote:
> > >>> "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > >>> news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > >>> Hi all,
> > >>>
> > >>> I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
> > >>> batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
> > >>> "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
> > >>> to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
> > >>> the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
> > >>> already tried several new ones).
> > >>>
> > >>> Any ideas?
> > >>>
> > >>> Thanks in advance
> > >>>
> > >>> Rui V.
> > >>>
> > >>> Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >> The radio may need to be reset. I had this happen with my R-10 a
> >> couple of times.
> >

272. Re: Bombed Gaza Power Station Built by Enron, Insured by Uncle Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 21:31:50 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDogg <look@u.org>
Message-ID: <12agf2ce5pvtrda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81358

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote

Lots of work available for you in Gaza.

273. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 21:39:44 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A2Xpg.300606$Fs1.106021@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81359

rui_v wrote:
> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
> The radio does not response to any button :(
>
> Rui V.
>

In that case, remove the batteries, and leave them out overnight, and try again in the morning.

There should be one button, usually hidden, sometimes in the battery compartment, sometimes behind a pinhole in the grille as on my R-10, that's specifically for Reset. There is likely a simple prodedure for activating it. And the radio need not be functional for to execute the procedure. That's the reason for the Reset procedure.

>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> rui_v wrote:
>>> So, what do I have to do ?
>>>
>>
>> I would consult your owner's manual for the reset procedure. It's
>> going to be different than that for my R-10.
>>
>>
>> Although, with some radios, if you took the batteries out, and left
>> them out overnight, you may accomplish the same thing.
>>
>>
>> p
>>> Rui V.
>>>
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>> k35454 wrote:
>>>>> "rui_v" <vilhena.rui@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:1151838310.055797.263810@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> Hi all,
>>>>>
>>>>> I recently bought a yaesu vr-500. Yesterday I had to change the
>>>>> batteries and, from that moment, the radio only makes a repeated sound
>>>>> "blop" while it flashes the low battery sign. The radio doesn't respond
>>>>> to any button pressed. It just keeps repeating the sound and blinking
>>>>> the low battery sign. I am sure the batteries new batteries are good (I
>>>>> already tried several new ones).

>>>>>
>>>>> Any ideas?
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks in advance
>>>>>
>>>>> Rui V.
>>>>>
>>>>> Are any of the batteries in backwards ? k35454.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The radio may need to be reset. I had this happen with my R-10 a
>>>> couple of times.
>

274. ABOUT - International Broadcasting Bureau (IBB) Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:00:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151877634.640798.53440@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81360

For One and All,

International Broadcasting Bureau (IBB) Monitoring
IBB MONITORING => http://monitor.ibb.gov/
The Spectrum Management Division of the Office of
Engineering of the International Broadcasting Bureau
(IBB) and have Frequency Management responsibility
for the Voice of America, Radio Free Europe / Radio
Liberty, Radio Marti, Radio Free Asia, Radio Sawa
and Radio Farda. Monitoring Shortwave (SW), Medium
Wave (MW) and FM Reception from Locations around
the World. => http://monitor.ibb.gov/intro.html

To learn more about IBB's Monitoring Network, here's an
Article from the September 2003 Edition of Monitoring Times
Magazine -by- Victor Goonetilleke, of Colombo, Sri Lanka.
MONITORING NETWORK => http://monitor.ibb.gov/IBB.pdf
The IBB runs a Network of Remote Monitoring Systems
in almost 60 Locations {Map} around the World
The Remote Monitoring Systems consists of a
Communications Receiver with a Ground Plane
(Non-Directional) Antenna, connected to a Computer.
How We Do It => http://monitor.ibb.gov/how_we_do_it.html

REF - Monitoring Times Magazine
http://www.monitoringtimes.com/

Voice of America (VOA)
http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm

and now you know ~ RHF
.
.
. .

275. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:03:19 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81361

rui_v wrote:
> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
> The radio does not response to any button :(
>
> Rui V.
>
>

I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,

1) Turn the radio OFF.

2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
the radio ON.

3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.

4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.

That should return you to functionality.

276. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Broadcasts to Zimbabwe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:06:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151877974.584401.92550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81362

DX Ace,

PRESS RELEASE - Washington, DC, June 29, 2006
- - - Voice of America . . .
http://voanews.com/english/About/2006-06-29-zimbabwe-jamming.cfm
Statement by VOA Director : Concerning VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe
- - - Voice of America - Jun 29, 2006

sorry forgot the PR Link ~ RHF
.
.
. .

dxAce wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
> > For One and All,
> >
> > Statement by Voice of America (VOA) Director Concerning
> > VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe -by- David S. Jackson
> >
> > Voice of America (VOA) - English to Zimbabwe
> > Time : 1730-1800 UTC
> > Frequencies : 909 kHZ + 4.930 MHz + 13.755 MHz + 17.730 MHz
> >
> > Voice of America (VOA)
> > English Language Shortwave Broadcasts
> > http://voanews.com/english/about/frequenciesAtoZ_e.cfm
> >
> > Voice of America (VOA) - Programs and Languages
> > http://voanews.com/english/About/ProgramsAtoZ_a.cfm
>
> Is there something new about this? Its been on the schedule.
>
> Monday-Friday acording to EiBi
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

277. (OT) : Are You Planning To Fly The American (USA) Flag High This 4th of July ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:24:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151879044.588364.213120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81363

Tony asks - "Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!"
http://www.brownielocks.com/patriotic.html

Yes - Yes I Am "Proudly" ~ RHF
- - - Yes - It's A Grand Old Flag !
http://www.brownielocks.com/flag.html

God {Allah} Bless America -sung by- Kate Smith
http://www.brownielocks.com/KateSmithGodBlessAmerica.html

America The Beautiful - Forever and Ever - Amen !
http://www.brownielocks.com/americathebeautifulWAVE.html

Why "Tony" even you can be a Yankee Doodle Dandy !
http://www.brownielocks.com/yankeedoodle.html

God Bless The USA ! {Every Day} - Amen ~ RHF
http://www.brownielocks.com/godblesstheusaWAVE.html
.
.
. .

278. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate <-------- DIRTBAG SPAMMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:39:03 UTC
From: advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
Message-ID: <nhiga2pord30la113khdbuh9p0018ktnkg@4ax.com>
Source record: 81364

On Sat, 01 Jul 2006 20:17:18 -0400, Me <me@my.computer> wrote:

>On 1 Jul 2006 08:57:11 -0500, advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
>wrote:
>
>>On 26 Jun 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Frank and John explore the foiled
>>
>>Spam deleted. Go fuck yourselves, racist assholes.
>
>
>My, aren't we the "open-minded", "tolerant", "compassionate" liberal
>today? Why so hateful? AIDS medicine not working anymore?

This is just the type of moronic and hypocirtical response expected
for anyone who stands up for these dirtbags.

279. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Broadcasts to Zimbabwe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:42:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151880157.828274.24370@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81365

US-based Radio Station Jammed in Zimbabwe
- - - June 30, 2006 - Edition 4
http://www.thestar.co.za/index.php?fArticleId=3318217
Harare - A Washington-based Radio Station Broadcasting
into Zimbabwe has confirmed that there has been some
jamming of its signal.

President Robert Mugabe's Zimbabwe Government
is hostile to foreign-based news organisations.

Under Zimbabwe's tough media laws, only Four Radio
Channels and a Single Television Channel, all of which
are controlled by the Mugabe's Government, are allowed
to operate inside the Country.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

RHF wrote:
> DX Ace,
>
> PRESS RELEASE - Washington, DC, June 29, 2006
> - - - Voice of America . . .
> http://voanews.com/english/About/2006-06-29-zimbabwe-jamming.cfm
> Statement by VOA Director : Concerning VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe
> - - - Voice of America - Jun 29, 2006
>
> sorry forgot the PR Link ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> dxAce wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> > > For One and All,
> > >
> > > Statement by Voice of America (VOA) Director Concerning
> > > VOA Broadcasts to Zimbabwe -by- David S. Jackson
> > >
> > > Voice of America (VOA) - English to Zimbabwe
> > > Time : 1730-1800 UTC
> > > Frequencies : 909 kHZ + 4.930 MHz + 13.755 MHz + 17.730 MHz

> > >
> > > Voice of America (VOA)
> > > English Language Shortwave Broadcasts
> > > http://voanews.com/english/about/frequenciesAtoZ_e.cfm
> > >
> > > Voice of America (VOA) - Programs and Languages
> > > http://voanews.com/english/About/ProgramsAtoZ_a.cfm
> >
> > Is there something new about this? Its been on the schedule.
> >
> > Monday-Friday acording to EiBi
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

280. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 22:54:06 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81366

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steve wrote:
>> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>>
>> It still beats television.
>
> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> DXing, anytime !
>

If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

281. Mister "Advocate" Is This What You ADVOCATE ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 23:19:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151882385.621466.241960@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81367

advocate wrote:
> On 26 Jun 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Frank and John explore the foiled
>

- Spam deleted. Go fuck yourselves, racist assholes.
[Religion, Terror, and Hate <--- DIRTBAG SPAMMER]

So Mister "Advocate" you consider posting a Shortwave Radio
Program related piece of Information as SPAM ? - All because
it does not agree with your Liberal Enlightened Views and
then spew such Liberal Love for your Fellow Members of the
Human Race as : Go Fuck Yourselves and Racist Assholes

Mister "Advocate" Is This What You ADVOCATE ?

Mister "Advocate" - Ask Yourself : What Would Gandhi Do ?
W2GD => http://www.whatwouldgandhido.net/

Mahatma Gandhi
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahatma_Gandhi
- - - Or for that matter Martin Luther King, Jr.
MLK => http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Luther_King%2C_Jr.

Mahatma Gandhi Institute for Nonviolence
http://www.gandhiinstitute.org/AboutUs/index.cfm

ABOUT - Mahatma Gandhi
MKG => http://www.gandhiinstitute.org/AboutGandhi/index.cfm
GANDHI => http://www.mkgandhi.org/
MAHATMA => http://www.mahatma.org.in/index.jsp

Back to the Topic of Shortwave Radio Programs :
The Right Perspective can be Heard on Shortwave Radio
every Friday Night at 10PM EST on WWCR 3.215 MHz
and via the Internet at => http://www.therightperspective.com

like it or not it's about shortwave
-and- it is on the radio ~ RHF
.
.
. .

282. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 23:25:29 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81368

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >>
> >> It still beats television.
> >
> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > DXing, anytime !
> >
>
> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !

283. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 23:28:40 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151882920.236230.183650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81369

D Peter Maus wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>>>> dxAce wrote:
> >>>>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> >>>>>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> >>>>>>>> wow, the
> >>>>>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> >>>>>>>> look-alike
> >>>>>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> >>>>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care
giver
> >>>>>> come change them!
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> dxAce
> >>>>>> Michigan
> >>>>>> USA
> >>>>> SW IS DYING !
> >>>>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
> >>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
> >>>
> >>> SW IS DYING !
> >>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

> >>>
> >>
> >> And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that
> >> upset you so?
> >
> > Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
> >
> > SW IS DYING !
> > SW IS DEAD !
> > YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES
> >
>
>
> Your needle is stuck, there, Bubba. So upset you can't respond to a
> question.
>
> Must be a tough life.

Something to be upset about ? I just laugh at all you nerds spending
hundreds and hundreds on a dying hobby !

284. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-02 23:55:13 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151884513.142692.123220@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81370

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >>
> >> It still beats television.
> >
> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > DXing, anytime !
> >
>
> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

Hey dumbass, the links are:

http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/iboc/04_rw_am_iboc_6.shtml
http://beradio.com/mag/radio_readers_speak/

Even if sold to the public (which it won't be), IBOC is useless during
nighttime propagation, so it will not affect nighttime AM DXing:

· The Ibiquity IBOC-AM system will be unusable during nighttime AM
broadcasting as skywave reception will render their digital signal
useless in many areas.

· The AM broadcast band should remain analog indefinitely, as a
legacy or heritage radio service for the American public. Not only are
there hundreds of millions of AM receivers in use, but more
importantly, in times of crisis or emergency, an analog AM receiver
(crystal radio) can be constructed from just four common electronic
items (ferrite antenna, diode, tuning capacitor, earphone) providing
emergency reception.

· Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the
wide signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce
more noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear.

· The total radiated power, for IBOC transmission, is typically 1/100th of the total analog power. A 25Kw AM station would only be transmitting 250watts of IBOC information, for example.

285. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:17:05 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89ni9$4ad$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81371

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Steve wrote:
>> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>> >>
>> >> It still beats television.
>> >
>> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
>> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>> > DXing, anytime !
>> >
>>
>> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
>> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
>> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>
> Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !

It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from AM
band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing IBOC
at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the AM
part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another friend
in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are testing
IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible
while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous. IBOC
will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.

286. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:22:50 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89nt3$4g8$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81372

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151884513.142692.123220@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message
> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >>
> >> It still beats television.
> >
> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > DXing, anytime !
> >
>
> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

Hey dumbass, the links are:

http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/iboc/04_rw_am_iboc_6.shtml
http://beradio.com/mag/radio_readers_speak/

Ooooh... IBOC shills... I'm impressed.

• The Ibiquity IBOC-AM system will be unusable during nighttime AM broadcasting as skywave reception will render their digital signal useless in many areas.

Then why is iBiquity pushing so hard (along with their shills, Clear Channel) for nightttime operation of IBOC? Why are more and more stations doing nighttime testing of IBOC. I know of at least 15 stations that are testing at night, some even leave the signal on all night long.

• The AM broadcast band should remain analog indefinitely, as a legacy or heritage radio service for the American public. Not only are there hundreds of millions of AM receivers in use, but more importantly, in times of crisis or emergency, an analog AM receiver (crystal radio) can be constructed from just four common electronic

items (ferrite antenna, diode, tuning capacitor, earphone) providing emergency reception.

• Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the wide signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce more noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear.

My point exactly... IBOC DOES interfere with AM Analog signals, both day and night. And much more so at night when skywave propagation makes even local reception more difficult.

287. Radiomart's latest...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:24:33 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151886273.250255.192380@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81373

I'd love to have one of these babies, but I think I'll wait for some other seller (and I'd want the original case)...

http://tinyurl.com/qrgaq

288. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:30:47 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <nyZpg.87875$4C3.30528@newsfe13.phx>
Source record: 81374

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
rui_v wrote:
> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
> The radio does not response to any button :(
>
> Rui V.
>
>

I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,

1) Turn the radio OFF.

2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
the radio ON.

3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.

4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.

That should return you to functionality.

Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
reset ? k35454.

289. 00:30u pirate on now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:41:25 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44A867AF.3B797FE2@theseminary.net>
Source record: 81375

just got the email- The Crystal Ship sails at 00:30u on 6875.

also, someone on 6925 - from 00:00u. too many static crashes
to catch an ID. all i got was a 4th of July show on the pirate
network. BTW, on 6925- it's USB.

Father Michael...

290. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:43:08 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <PKZpg.3346$YN4.174@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81376

[No readable article body recovered]

291. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:44:30 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <5MZpg.3356$YN4.331@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81377

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:e89nt3$4g8> My point exactly... IBOC DOES interfere with AM Analog signals, both day and
> night. And much more so at night when skywave propagation makes even local
> reception more difficult.
>

He's just a troll getting his kicks Brenda.

BH

292. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:45:40 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <bNZpg.3365$YN4.2116@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81378

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
>
> <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
>> SW IS DYING !
>> SW IS DEAD !
>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

Your starting to really break down. I'd get on the phone and call a shrink
before it's too late.
BH

293. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:46:25 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <UNZpg.3371$YN4.2183@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81379

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
>
> <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
>
>
>Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll.

Well at least you admit your a troll, you frickin idiot!
And Remember idiot! Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
BH

294. Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:48:46 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151887726.840036.26840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81380

- Spoof on WBCQ at 01:15 UC . ..

- Calling Limbaugh * co " Sluts of The American Right "

295. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:54:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <LUZpg.59470$Lm5.32790@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81382

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Steve wrote:
>>> Merlin3rd wrote:
>>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>>>
>>> It still beats television.
>>
>> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
>> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>> DXing, anytime !
>>
>
> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

How many stations are testing HD at night? I have only heard of a couple of
very specific tests, such as the WLW and WOR skywave test a few years ago.

296. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 00:55:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ZVZpg.3432$YN4.3205@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81381

<Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151887726.840036.26840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> - Spoof on WBCQ at 01:15 UC . ..
>
> - Calling Limbaugh * co " Sluts of The American Right "
>

Maybe we should burn you and WBCQ!

BH

297. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:05:48 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151888748.819614.157890@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81383

Brian Hill wrote:
> <Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151887726.840036.26840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > - Spoof on WBCQ at 01:15 UC . ..
> >
> > - Calling Limbaugh * co " Sluts of The American Right "
> >
>
> Maybe we should burn you and WBCQ!
>
> BH

- Meanwhile, back at The White house . ..

http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm

298. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:17:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A87047.EC8E9EAD@milestones.com>
Source record: 81384

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univisions's prancing fake Hispanic, finished folding his panties before he mustered up the courage to write:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Steve wrote:
> >>> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >>>
> >>> It still beats television.
> >>
> >> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> >> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> >> DXing, anytime !
> >>
> >
> > If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> > With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> > before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>
> How many stations are testing HD at night? I have only heard of a couple of
> very specific tests, such as the WLW and WOR skywave test a few years ago.

Daytime, night time, anytime... IBOC = QRM

Now Eddy, you fake little Hispanic, take your little HD/IBOC dog and pony show somewhere else!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

299. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:23:16 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151889796.542871.238150@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81385

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
> >
> > <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
> >
> >
> >Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll.
>
> Well at least you admit your a troll, you frickin idiot!
> And Remember idiot! Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> BH

Hey ugly mother,

According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
slave wages in India !

SHORTWAVE IS DYING !
SHORTWAVE IS DEAD !
I'M NOT CRYING !
FOR YOU NERD HEADS !

300. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:25:16 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151889916.063736.68320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81386

Brian Hill wrote:
>

Hey ugly mother,

According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane, non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with slave wages in India !

SHORTWAVE IS DYING !
SHORTWAVE IS DEAD !
I'M NOT CRYING !
FOR YOU NERD HEADS !

301. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:29:47 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151890187.518922.156180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81387

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Steve wrote:
> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >> >>
> >> >> It still beats television.
> >> >
> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> >> > DXing, anytime !
> >> >
> >>
> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
> >
> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
>
> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from AM
> band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing IBOC
> at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
> 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the AM
> part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another friend
> in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are testing
> IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible
> while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous. IBOC
> will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.

So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long

distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !

302. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:32:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89rvm$61k$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81388

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:LUZpg.59470$Lm5.32790@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Steve wrote:
>>>> Merlin3rd wrote:
>>>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>>>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>>>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>>>>
>>>> It still beats television.
>>>
>>> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
>>> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>>> DXing, anytime !
>>>
>>
>> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
>> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
>> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>
> How many stations are testing HD at night? I have only heard of a couple
> of very specific tests, such as the WLW and WOR skywave test a few years
> ago.
>

WNYC 820
WCBS 880
in NYC, both have done all night testing.

KGO 810 in S.F.

KEX 1190 in Portland, OR (I can't verify that one at the moment, will ask
for verification later.) That's certainly more than one or two stations, and
I suspect there are a lot more doing testing than just these.

303. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:35:15 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151890515.330510.247550@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81389

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151884513.142692.123220@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message
> > news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > >>
> > >> It still beats television.
> > >
> > > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > > DXing, anytime !
> > >
> >
> > If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> > With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> > before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>
> Hey dumbass, the links are:
>
> http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/iboc/04_rw_am_iboc_6.shtml
> http://beradio.com/mag/radio_readers_speak/
>
> Ooooh... IBOC shills... I'm impressed.
>
> · The Ibiquity IBOC-AM system will be unusable during nighttime AM
> broadcasting as skywave reception will render their digital signal
> useless in many areas.
>
> Then why is iBiquity pushing so hard (along with their shills, Clear
> Channel) for nightttime operation of IBOC? Why are more and more stations
> doing nighttime testing of IBOC. I know of at least 15 stations that are
> testing at night, some even leave the signal on all night long.
>
> · The AM broadcast band should remain analog indefinitely, as a
> legacy or heritage radio service for the American public. Not only are
```

> there hundreds of millions of AM receivers in use, but more
> importantly, in times of crisis or emergency, an analog AM receiver
> (crystal radio) can be constructed from just four common electronic
> items (ferrite antenna, diode, tuning capacitor, earphone) providing
> emergency reception.
>
> · Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the
> wide signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce
> more noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear.
>
> My point exactly... IBOC DOES interfere with AM Analog signals, both day and
> night. And much more so at night when skywave propagation makes even local
> reception more difficult.

Get educated and read the articles posted on Radio Magazine, and see
that YOU are wrong !

http://beradio.com/InsightIBOC/

304. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:37:07 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89s8c$671$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81390

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151890187.518922.156180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Brenda Ann wrote:
>> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Steve wrote:
>> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>> >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>> >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It still beats television.
>> >> >
>> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
>> >> > your
>> >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>> >> > DXing, anytime !
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
>> >> chagrin).
>> >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long
>> >> now
>> >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>> >
>> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
>> > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
>> > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
>>
>> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
>> leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from
>> AM
>> band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing
>> IBOC
>> at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
>> 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the

>> AM
>> part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another
>> friend
>> in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are
>> testing
>> IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now
>> impossible
>> while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about
>> the
>> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
>> IBOC
>> will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
>
> So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
>

My imperical, real life evidence trumps your shill articles.

305. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:42:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <e89slfshr1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Rethorst" <nobody@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:e84oormih1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> In article <e7810fnho1@xuxa.iecc.com>,
> "Gerard M Foley" <gfoley@columbus.rr.com> wrote:
>
>> The old call signs, like WBZ, WTIC, WEAF, WJZ, WCAU, KDKA, WGY, WGN, WOO,
>> KYW, KOA, KFI didn't mean anything.
>
> KFI - "farm information". The station originally had a fair amount of
> agricultural reporting. When I listened to it in the 60s it still had a
> farm
> report every morning.

KFI was randomly assigned the calls very early on. They created their own
meaning from their programming. The same owner, Earle C. Anthony, also had
KECA, his initials, and now KABC. Anthony was a major Cadillac and Packard
dealer in the Southland.

306. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:42:08 UTC
From: txdr <david@heart-to-serve.com>
Message-ID: <e89slgsio1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Call letters are assigned by the FCC but usually from a list of preferences submitted by by the license applicant. Stations try to choose call letters that mean something or can be said to stand for something. Try KICY in Nome Alaska or KVI in Seattle (The transmitter is on an island in Puget Sound - Vashon Island).

Other than the fact that the FCC issues 4 letter calls (with some special exceptions for grandfathering) stations can ask for any unassigned call. West of the Mississippi they start with K. East of the Mississippi they begin with W. (Again with certain grandfathering exceptions)

Prefixes for the US include W, K, A, and N. Note that all aircraft in US registry have tail numbers that begin with N.

Anyone care to guess what the call letters HCJB, the pioneer shortwave station in Quito Ecuador were chosen to mean?

Dave Olson
Broadcast Engineer

307. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:42:09 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e89slh$sj6$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

If I'm correct in my recollection, WIBG started out as a "religious" station - with the letters standing for "I Believe in God." Later, of course, it became Philadelphia's top rock and roll station. The 990 kHz frequency in Philadelphia is home again to a "religious" station.

Joe

David Marston wrote:
> In article <e7fano$i5f$1@xuxa.iecc.com>,
> Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote:
> >...
> >As Gerard said, most older calls didn't mean anything. Most of the
> >three-letter calls, and four-letter calls with A or B as the second
> >letter, especially. I'm pretty sure WIBG is one of these assigned in
> >order by the government calls.
>
> I believe so. But you probably meant to say "third letter" like the B
> in WIBG. Checking my Citizens Radio Call Book vol. 6 (1925), I found
> these likely suspects:
> KFAB Lincoln, NE
> WABI Bangor, ME
> WBAP Fort Worth, TX
> WBBM Chicago
> WCAO Baltimore, MD
> WCAU Philadelphia, PA
> WCBM Baltimore, MD
> WDAF Kansas City, MO
> WEAN Providence, RI
> WFAA Dallas, TX
> WGAL Lancaster, PA
> WHAM Rochester, NY
> WHAS Louisville, KY
> WHBQ Memphis, TN
> WJAR Providence, RI
> WMAQ Chicago
> WNAC Boston, MA
> WOAI San Antonio, TX
> WQAM Miami, FL
> WSAI Cincinnati, OH
> WSAR Fall River, MA
> and many others that have since gone off the air.
> --
> ....David Marston at MV

308. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:46:18 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e89spj$6ai$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81394

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151890187.518922.156180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Brenda Ann wrote:
>> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Steve wrote:
>> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>> >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>> >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It still beats television.
>> >> >
>> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
>> >> > your
>> >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>> >> > DXing, anytime !
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
>> >> chagrin).
>> >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long
>> >> now
>> >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>> >
>> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
>> > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
>> > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
>>
>> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
>> leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from
>> AM
>> band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing
>> IBOC
>> at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
>> 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the

>> AM
>> part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another
>> friend
>> in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are
>> testing
>> IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now
>> impossible
>> while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about
>> the
>> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
>> IBOC
>> will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
>
> So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
>

I've been a broadcast engineer for many years. I've been a ham operator for many more than that. Anyone who knows anything at all about the physics of radio propagation knows that everything within the power bandwidth of a signal will attain the same reach as any one part of the signal. Whether or not it is listenable to a human is non-sequitur. Even if you were correct about the power being only 1/100th of the carrier power, it will still be present at any locus where the carrier itself is present. And even if it's not listenable, it will still cause interference, ESPECIALLY to an AM signal. Even a signal 1/1000th of the level of a local signal can still interfere with the local signal.

309. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 01:50:01 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <xJ_pg.3818$YN4.3115@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81395

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151889916.063736.68320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>>
>
> Hey ugly mother,
>
> According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> slave wages in India !
>
> SHORTWAVE IS DYING !
> SHORTWAVE IS DEAD !
> I'M NOT CRYING !
> FOR YOU NERD HEADS !
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.

BH

310. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate <-------- DIRTBAG SPAMMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 02:24:42 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <tmvga25v5ke9afcnsd5c3tni6d515phgds@4ax.com>
Source record: 81396

On 2 Jul 2006 17:39:03 -0500, advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
wrote:

>This is just the type of moronic and hypocirtical response expected
>for anyone who stands up for these dirtbags.

And that was just the type of hissy fit response expected from a
limp-wristed loser. Take your multi-cultural rainbow and shove it up
your ass. Oh wait, never mind. You'd probably ENJOY that.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

311. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 03:15:34 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151896534.777531.226010@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81397

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151890187.518922.156180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> >> message
> >> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Steve wrote:
> >> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It still beats television.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> >> >> > your
> >> >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> >> >> > DXing, anytime !
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
> >> >> chagrin).
> >> >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long
> >> >> now
> >> >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
> >> >
> >> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> >> > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> >> > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> >>
> >> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> >> leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from
> >> AM
> >> band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing
> >> IBOC
> >> at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
```

> >> 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the
> >> AM
> >> part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another
> >> friend
> >> in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are
> >> testing
> >> IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now
> >> impossible
> >> while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about
> >> the
> >> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
> >> IBOC
> >> will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> >
> > So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> > ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> > distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> > only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
> >
>
> I've been a broadcast engineer for many years. I've been a ham operator for
> many more than that. Anyone who knows anything at all about the physics of
> radio propagation knows that everything within the power bandwidth of a
> signal will attain the same reach as any one part of the signal. Whether or
> not it is listenable to a human is non-sequitur. Even if you were correct
> about the power being only 1/100th of the carrier power, it will still be
> present at any locus where the carrier itself is present. And even if it's
> not listenable, it will still cause interference, ESPECIALLY to an AM
> signal. Even a signal 1/1000th of the level of a local signal can still
> interfere with the local signal.

I am talking about AM Dxing (long distance), where the digital portion
would have dropped off long ago, because it is so weak - it would not
interfere. Here are professional test results, off Radio Magazine:

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/index.html

Even these tests were done at relatively close ranges, where the
digital portion would still be captured, and the IBOC signal did not
make the analog portion objectionable. IBOC will have to sell the
public on digital radio, requiring tens and tens of millions of analog
AM/FM radios to be replaced by expensive (i.e., $200) digital radios -
this is not going to happen (any idiot can see this). Plus, one of the
points of nighttime AM broadcasting is to reach a wide audience, which
the digital portion would not. AM broadcasters would not be willing to
give up a large portion of their audiience for digital radio. Even in
Brenda Anne's supposed (but non-professional) example, Oregon is only a
few hundred miles from San Francisco, and not considered AM Dxing.
Also, that person's test receiver may be wide-banded, where a
narrow-banded receiver would not be affected, as much.
Any interference from the digital portion, would be at relatively close

ranges only, and not affect AM DXing !

312. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 03:21:05 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151896865.865827.94080@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81398

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151890187.518922.156180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> >> message
> >> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Steve wrote:
> >> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> >> >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> >> >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It still beats television.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> >> >> > your
> >> >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> >> >> > DXing, anytime !
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
> >> >> chagrin).
> >> >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long
> >> >> now
> >> >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
> >> >
> >> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> >> > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> >> > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> >>
> >> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> >> leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from
> >> AM
> >> band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing
> >> IBOC
> >> at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
```

> >> 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the
> >> AM
> >> part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another
> >> friend
> >> in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are
> >> testing
> >> IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now
> >> impossible
> >> while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about
> >> the
> >> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
> >> IBOC
> >> will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> >
> > So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> > ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> > distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> > only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
> >
>
> My imperical, real life evidence trumps your shill articles.

Honey,

These articles are written by professionals, not some single-minded
SW geek, moaning about the dying hobby of SW listening ! I get my
information about the digital sidebands fading from the articles, idiot
! Try reading a few ! The digital sideband are only 1/100, as stong
as, the analog portion, so they fade ! Da !

313. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 04:46:53 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <1j1qg.112129$S61.76605@edtnps90>
Source record: 81399

Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:

> - Meanwhile, back at The White house . ..
>
>
> http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm

Would it be more more acceptable to the population if the President were merely bisexual instead of purely homosexual? ..wait a minute...there's an oxymoron there..

mike

yes, Space Ace, we know...

314. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:00:48 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <4w1qg.112211$S61.8780@edtnps90>
Source record: 81400

Carter, k8vt wrote:
> m II wrote:
>
>> here, have some more 'Winning Hearts and Minds"
>>
>>
>> http://mindprod.com/politics/iraqwarpix.html
>>
>
> That would go a long way towards explaining why there will be a
> limitless supply of "insurgents".
>
> (Which is to say, viewing the picture of the father with a look of
> hatred on his face, holding his horribly burned child--well, it's hard
> to believe he is going to want to line up behind W. It seems more likely
> he will become yet another "insurgent").
>

This grinning idiot doesn't help things in the least.

http://mindprod.com/images/restricted/soldierarm.jpg

Looks like another uneducated prick who couldn't find real work in the
US..much like Space Ace, the defender of all that is unholy.

mike

315. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:04:48 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Qz1qg.112213$S61.23216@edtnps90>
Source record: 81401

Brian Hill wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
>> <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>>> SW IS DYING !
>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>
>
> Your starting to really break down. I'd get on the phone and call a shrink
> before it's too late.

Heck..it's clearer and more readable than RHF's stuff.

mike

316. Re: OT A look at lawyers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:20:20 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <oO1qg.101758$A8.91502@clgrps12>
Source record: 81402

dxAce wrote:

>> "OH, MY GOD!" screamed the lawyer.
>>
>> "MY ROLEX!"
>
> A Rolex is always of great concern to the owner of one.

..get yours from the dead Mexican at the end of your axe handle?

mike

317. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:23:03 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XQ1qg.302960$Fs1.218421@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81403

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>>>>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>>>>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
>>>>>>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
>>>>>>>>> wow, the
>>>>>>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
>>>>>>>>> look-alike
>>>>>>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
>>>>>>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care
giver
>>>>>>>> come change them!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> dxAce
>>>>>>>> Michigan
>>>>>>>> USA
>>>>>>> SW IS DYING !
>>>>>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>>>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>>>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>>>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>>>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>>>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>>>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
>>>>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
>>>>>
>>>>> SW IS DYING !
>>>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !

>>>>>
>>>> And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that
>>>> upset you so?
>>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
>>>
>>> SW IS DYING !
>>> SW IS DEAD !
>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES
>>>
>>
>> Your needle is stuck, there, Bubba. So upset you can't respond to a
>> question.
>>
>> Must be a tough life.
>
> Something to be upset about ? I just laugh at all you nerds spending
> hundreds and hundreds on a dying hobby !
>

If laughing was your true interest, you'd not be telling us to stop.
You'd be seeking to insure your long term future entertainment.

But you seem to be quite upset about it. Which suggests another
purpose.

318. Re: Radiomart's latest...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:23:53 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1716150.tdoRgJ6OJ5@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 81404

Steve wrote:

> I'd love to have one of these babies, but I think I'll wait for some
> other seller (and I'd want the original case)...
>
> http://tinyurl.com/qrgaq

$995.00 for that thing???? thats way too much, assuming it was brand new, never used with original tubes, thats still way too much.

319. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:23:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <JR1qg.79536$4L1.77427@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81405

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44A87047.EC8E9EAD@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univisions's prancing fake
> Hispanic, finished folding his panties before he mustered up the courage
> to
> write:
>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> >
>> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > message news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> Steve wrote:
>> >>> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> >>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>> >>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>> >>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>> >>>
>> >>> It still beats television.
>> >>
>> >> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
>> >> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>> >> DXing, anytime !
>> >>
>> >
>> > If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
>> > chagrin).
>> > With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
>> > before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>>
>> How many stations are testing HD at night? I have only heard of a couple
>> of
>> very specific tests, such as the WLW and WOR skywave test a few years
>> ago.
>
> Daytime, night time, anytime... IBOC = QRM

HD is not allowed at night (with a minor exception in deep winter months),
and there is little reason to test at night something that is totally legal
in daytime hours.
```

320. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:24:54 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GS1qg.302969$Fs1.194411@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81406

k35454 wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> rui_v wrote:
>> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
>> The radio does not response to any button :(
>>
>> Rui V.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>
> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>
> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
> the radio ON.
>
> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>
> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>
>
>
>
> That should return you to functionality.
>
> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
> reset ? k35454.
>
>
>
>

That was my thinking.
>
>

321. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:26:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <YT1qg.79537$4L1.47008@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81407

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e89rvm$61k$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:LUZpg.59470$Lm5.32790@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e89imn$243$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>
>>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> message news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> Steve wrote:
>>>>> Merlin3rd wrote:
>>>>> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
>>>>> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
>>>>> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>>>>>
>>>>> It still beats television.
>>>>
>>>> Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
>>>> money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
>>>> DXing, anytime !
>>>>
>>>
>>> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
>>> chagrin). With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be
>>> long now before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash.
>>> :(
>>
>> How many stations are testing HD at night? I have only heard of a couple
>> of very specific tests, such as the WLW and WOR skywave test a few years
>> ago.
>>
>
> WNYC 820
> WCBS 880
> in NYC, both have done all night testing.

On rare occasions.
>
> KGO 810 in S.F.

Same... occasional testing, mostly due to the installation of the new Nautel
50 kw transmitter 10 days ago. Testing after midnight is legal, including

for daytimers at full power... which causes vastly mor einterference than an occasional HD test.
>
> KEX 1190 in Portland, OR (I can't verify that one at the moment, will ask
> for verification later.) That's certainly more than one or two stations,
> and I suspect there are a lot more doing testing than just these.

As I said, there is no ongoing testing. There is occasional testing just as there is for daytimers, etc., in the test hours after midnight.

322. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 05:33:47 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <t_1qg.1575$%p5.551@newsfe15.phx>
Source record: 81408

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:GS1qg.302969$Fs1.194411@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
k35454 wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> rui_v wrote:
>> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
>> The radio does not response to any button :(
>>
>> Rui V.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>
> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>
> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
> the radio ON.
>
> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>
> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>
>
>
>
> That should return you to functionality.
>
> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery"
signal
> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
> reset ? k35454.
>
>
>
>

That was my thinking.
>

>
Then you, too, are brilliant. k35454.

323. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 06:15:20 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151907320.049964.98790@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81409

D Peter Maus wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:

> > > Something to be upset about ? I just laugh at all you nerds spending
> > > hundreds and hundreds on a dying hobby !
> > >
>
> If laughing was your true interest, you'd not be telling us to stop.
> You'd be seeking to insure your long term future entertainment.
>
> But you seem to be quite upset about it. Which suggests another
> purpose.
he is upset about because (like Ham radio) he is upset that things are
changing and so the hobby he wants to freeze everything is indeed dying

it burns him that others simply don't it as dying but changing

indeed in the HAM radio world you do in fact have people threatening
murder over the coming changes (thankfully the folks doing so are so
unstable as be only realy dangerous to themselves)

324. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 06:16:11 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LC2qg.303237$Fs1.67948@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81410

k35454 wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:GS1qg.302969$Fs1.194411@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> k35454 wrote:
>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> rui_v wrote:
>>> The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
>>> The radio does not response to any button :(
>>>
>>> Rui V.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>>
>> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>>
>> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
>> the radio ON.
>>
>> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>>
>> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> That should return you to functionality.
>>
>> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery"
> signal
>> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
>> reset ? k35454.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> That was my thinking.
>>

> Then you, too, are brilliant. k35454.
>
>

LOL! I've been called a lot of things....but that's rarely been
one of them.

325. Re: Radiomart's latest...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 06:25:53 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <J9OdnSQ2idrzJTXZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81411

anyone who has any intelligence knows not to buy from him so only a fool who would pay more than it is worth would buy from him.

I don't know how he does it, but the fools always fight over his bullshit description stuff. hard to believe people are so stupid that they believe everything he says !

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:1716150.tdoRgJ6OJ5@www.usenetzone.com...
> Steve wrote:
>
>> I'd love to have one of these babies, but I think I'll wait for some
>> other seller (and I'd want the original case)...
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/qrgaq
>
> $995.00 for that thing???? thats way too much, assuming it was brand new,
> never used with original tubes, thats still way too much.
>

326. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 06:29:35 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LO-dnTZBPOTWJDXZnZ2dnUVZ_rGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81412

that was part of the upgrade package that Gilfer did when they sold this R7
package specially designed for the DEMANDING SWL.
I sold this to someone in Australia and I told him without a doubt he will
have the BEST R7 in Australia. unit was LIKE NEW. Only way to find a better
one is NEW IN THE BOX !!!!!

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44A7C96A.7AF549E7@milestones.com...
>
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> OK mr know it all about Drakes...
>>
>> where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's
>> I
>> see are going for $2000 and up.....
>
> Is that sticker (or whatever it is) that denotes your selectivity
> positions on
> the R7 removable?
>
> http://home.comcast.net/~maghakian/R7.jpg
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

327. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 07:01:17 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8af85$dn6$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81413

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:JR1qg.79536$4L1.77427@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> HD is not allowed at night (with a minor exception in deep winter months),
> and there is little reason to test at night something that is totally
> legal in daytime hours.

If it's not allowed at night, then why is testing at night underway on
several stations. And furthermore, why in heaven's name would they allow it
at night "in deep winter months"? Winter is the time when MW skywaves work
best, and it would cause the MOST problems, not the least.

328. AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 07:05:53 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81414

"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
Start Listening to the Radio.

Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.

IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Brenda Ann wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >> message
> > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > Steve wrote:
> > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > >> >>
> > >> >> It still beats television.
> > >> >
> > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > >> > DXing, anytime !
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> > >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> > >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(

> > >
> > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> > > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> > > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> >
> > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> > leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from AM
> > band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing IBOC
> > at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
> > 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the AM
> > part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another friend
> > in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are testing
> > IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible
> > while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous. IBOC
> > will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
>
> So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !

329. (OT) : M II - Not Funny {Sick Can-A-Duha-Ian Humor}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 07:19:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151911163.174864.75300@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81415

m II wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> >> "OH, MY GOD!" screamed the lawyer.
> >>
> >> "MY ROLEX!"
> > > A Rolex is always of great concern to the owner of one.
>
>
- ..get yours from the dead Mexican at the end of your axe handle?
-
- mike

M II - Not Funny {Sick Can-A-Duha-Ian Humor}

M II - DX Ace is an American : Not a Baby Seal Killing
{Clubing} Can-A-Duha-Ian !

And "FYI" Mexicans are Not Baby Seals [.]

now go eat your soylent green ~ RHF
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soylent_green
.
.
. .

330. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 07:32:37 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151911957.559158.136970@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81416

Unfortunately, the when I insert the batteries the radio does not
"respond" to ANY button. Not even the power button. When the batteries
are inserted it starts immediately to produce that repeated sound and
blinking the low battery sign.

Rui V.

k35454 wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:HoXpg.85559$mF2.43743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> rui_v wrote:
> > The reset procedure implies pushing some buttons.
> > The radio does not response to any button :(
> >
> > Rui V.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>
> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>
> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
> the radio ON.
>
> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>
> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>
>
>
>
> That should return you to functionality.
>
> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
> reset ? k35454.

331. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 09:06:04 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <065qg.304094$Fs1.303633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81417

rui_v wrote:
> Unfortunately, the when I insert the batteries the radio does not
> "respond" to ANY button. Not even the power button. When the batteries
> are inserted it starts immediately to produce that repeated sound and
> blinking the low battery sign.
>
> Rui V.
>
>

Ok....did you leave the batteries out overnight? Start with that.

>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>>
>> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>>
>> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
>> the radio ON.
>>
>> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>>
>> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> That should return you to functionality.
>>
>> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
>> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
>> reset ? k35454.
>

332. Need 1 to 4MHz capture/analysis on a budget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 09:47:10 UTC
From: lars121@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1151920030.253462.214310@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81418

Anyone have a suggestion for an affordable solution for capture and
analysis
of 570Hz - 4MHz signal?
Specifically, the application is one which uses a HAM radio transciever
utilizing approx 20W-100W power and a Class E transmitter to drive
data signals and power from an external coil to a series of smaller
receiver coils which are implanted in biological tissue for biomedical
application. Trying to stay on budget, so expansion card or device
interfacing with PC is preferable to having to expense a HAM rig. Many
thanks. -Lars

333. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 10:23:19 UTC
From: rui_v <vilhena.rui@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151922199.034791.301060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81419

I did that. No batteries overnight. No result.

I also inspected the radio trying to find a reset button...nothing.
Maybe it's inside it, but I wouldn't like to open because of the
warranty (and also because I am not sure there is a reset button
inside).

So, still lost :(

But thanking for your trying to help. I do need ideas...

Rui V.

D Peter Maus wrote:
> rui_v wrote:
> > Unfortunately, the when I insert the batteries the radio does not
> > "respond" to ANY button. Not even the power button. When the batteries
> > are inserted it starts immediately to produce that repeated sound and
> > blinking the low battery sign.
> >
> > Rui V.
> >
> >
>
>
> Ok....did you leave the batteries out overnight? Start with that.
>
>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
> >>
> >> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
> >>
> >> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
> >> the radio ON.
> >>
> >> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
> >>
> >> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >> That should return you to functionality.
> >>
> >> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
> >> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
> >> reset ? k35454.
> >

334. GE Repro Cathedral - Need Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 10:32:17 UTC
From: Jay <japolicow@snet.net>
Message-ID: <Rm6qg.33645$VE1.27684@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81420

Can anyone either sell me a clean copy of the Service Manual or point me in the direction to find one for the GE Repro Cathedral Model 7-4100JA. This repro was made in the 80's.

Thanks,
Jay

335. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 11:08:10 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <uU6qg.79554$4L1.71891@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81421

rui_v wrote:
> I did that. No batteries overnight. No result.
>
> I also inspected the radio trying to find a reset button...nothing.
> Maybe it's inside it, but I wouldn't like to open because of the
> warranty (and also because I am not sure there is a reset button
> inside).
>
> So, still lost :(
>
> But thanking for your trying to help. I do need ideas...

Even though your batteries are presumably new, did you test them (preferably with a tester that puts them under load)? There is a small chance one of the cells is defective. A long shot, but after testing them, you will have at least eliminated a possibility.

336. Re: yaesu vr-500 troubleshoot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 11:36:15 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pi7qg.304851$Fs1.128202@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81422

rui_v wrote:
> I did that. No batteries overnight. No result.
>
> I also inspected the radio trying to find a reset button...nothing.
> Maybe it's inside it, but I wouldn't like to open because of the
> warranty (and also because I am not sure there is a reset button
> inside).
>

It wouldn't be anyplace that requires opening the case to get at.

> So, still lost :(
>
> But thanking for your trying to help. I do need ideas...

Do you have the AC adaptor for it? If so, does it do the same thing
with a better power source?

Also, which type of batteries have you installed. Alkalines will
produce a significantly higher voltage than NiCads, or NiMH, cells, and
some radios don't tolerate the lower voltage.

If you're not getting the same result on the AC adaptor, and you're
using NiMH or NiCads, then you're radio doesn't tolerate them. Shouldn't
be an issue, but sometimes it is. May require service to correct. IF the
radio works with the AC adaptor but not when you're using alkaline
cells, then you've got a weak cell, and you need to replace it.

If you're still getting the same results with the A/C adaptor or with
a new set of Alkalines, it's time to send it back to Yaesu.

>
> Rui V.
>
>
>
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> rui_v wrote:
>>> Unfortunately, the when I insert the batteries the radio does not
>>> "respond" to ANY button. Not even the power button. When the batteries
>>> are inserted it starts immediately to produce that repeated sound and
>>> blinking the low battery sign.
>>>
>>> Rui V.
>>>

>>>
>>
>> Ok....did you leave the batteries out overnight? Start with that.
>>
>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I found the Yaesu VR 500 Manual on line. To reset the system,
>>>>
>>>> 1) Turn the radio OFF.
>>>>
>>>> 2) Press and hold the [FUNCTION] and [MONI(LOCK)] keys while turning
>>>> the radio ON.
>>>>
>>>> 3) Press [1(MEMO)] key.
>>>>
>>>> 4) Press [ENT(SET)] to reset all settings to their factory defaults.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> That should return you to functionality.
>>>>
>>>> Is there any possibility that, when the original "low battery" signal
>>>> came on, the batteries were OK but the radio needed to be
>>>> reset ? k35454.
>

337. Re: OT A look at lawyers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 12:09:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A90909.E1CF4F2B@milestones.com>
Source record: 81423

m II wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> >> "OH, MY GOD!" screamed the lawyer.
> >>
> >> "MY ROLEX!"
> > >
> > > A Rolex is always of great concern to the owner of one.
>
> ..get yours from the dead Mexican at the end of your axe handle?

Nah, got this one while in the Navy. Gave it to my dad, he passed on, now I got it back.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

338. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 12:13:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A909E8.FDA13AF1@milestones.com>
Source record: 81424

mike maghakian wrote:

> that was part of the upgrade package that Gilfer did when they sold this R7
> package specially designed for the DEMANDING SWL.
> I sold this to someone in Australia and I told him without a doubt he will
> have the BEST R7 in Australia. unit was LIKE NEW. Only way to find a better
> one is NEW IN THE BOX !!!!!

How much did the Aussie pay?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

339. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 12:37:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A90F7D.EB3078FC@milestones.com>
Source record: 81425

mike maghakian wrote:

> that was part of the upgrade package that Gilfer did when they sold this R7
> package specially designed for the DEMANDING SWL.

You didn't answer my question.

>
> I sold this to someone in Australia and I told him without a doubt he will
> have the BEST R7 in Australia. unit was LIKE NEW. Only way to find a better
> one is NEW IN THE BOX !!!!!

You're beginning to sound like Radio-Mart :-)

>
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44A7C96A.7AF549E7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> >> OK mr know it all about Drakes...
> >>
> >> where are all these $1200 R7's you are always talking about, the only 7's
> >> I
> >> see are going for $2000 and up.....
> >
> > Is that sticker (or whatever it is) that denotes your selectivity
> > positions on
> > the R7 removable?
> >
> > http://home.comcast.net/~maghakian/R7.jpg
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >

340. OT: ...reality itself must yield to the demands of the Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:03:13 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d95ia2lnn16639k3ojvv8h9ouip47pv3o9@4ax.com>
Source record: 81426

http://www.thenewamerican.com/birchblog/index.php

One of the fundamental conceits of the totalitarian mind-set is that reality itself must yield to the demands of the Party's ideology ï¿½ and that mind-set is well-represented in the GOP-aligned Right. From there it's but a few goose-steps to the conclusion that those who persist on interpreting reality without the supposed benefit of the official ideology really should be killed.

341. Re: question for DXACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:06:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A91646.9DDACC42@milestones.com>
Source record: 81427

mike maghakian wrote:

> that was part of the upgrade package that Gilfer did when they sold this R7
> package specially designed for the DEMANDING SWL.

Perhaps you can refresh my memory as to what GILFER 'specially designed' for
their package. The only GILFER catalogue I have left is from about 1990 or so.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

342. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:09:54 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <%G8qg.1$rp3.0@fe05.lga>
Source record: 81428

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:1j1qg.112129$S61.76605@edtnps90...
> Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
>
>> - Meanwhile, back at The White house . ..
>>
>>
>> http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm
>
>
> Would it be more more acceptable to the population if the President were
> merely bisexual instead of purely homosexual? ..wait a minute...there's an
> oxymoron there..
>

In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in front of me.

BH

343. Re: If Democrats today were alive during WWII, we'd be speaking German

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:29:24 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5t6ia25olpou2ajll3cms7nnl900t5ns31@4ax.com>
Source record: 81429

On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 22:52:15 GMT, "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
>> If President Bush were allowed to control Iraq, like the situations in Japan
>> and Germany after WW II,
>
>Huh??? *If* he was allowed to control Iraq...?
>
>I thought he *was* in charge and is the one calling the shots. Please
>explain who is calling the shots in Iraq if not Bush and his gang...

What's up baldy?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

344. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:30:18 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151933418.654647.108740@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81430

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151889916.063736.68320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >>
> >
> > Hey ugly mother,
> >
> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> > slave wages in India !
> >
> > SHORTWAVE IS DYING !
> > SHORTWAVE IS DEAD !
> > I'M NOT CRYING !
> > FOR YOU NERD HEADS !
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
>
> BH

According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
slave wages in India !

345. Re: If DaviD wiped his ass once in a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:32:14 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <a27ia21g8ap8akvf01emh8vjclehdm1j7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 81431

On Thu, 29 Jun 2006 17:45:14 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
wrote:

>maybe, just maybe he could find a job.
>

How can he wipe it when he's busy licking it?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

346. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:32:38 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <i09qg.1$BZ1.0@fe06.lga>
Source record: 81432

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote

> According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> slave wages in India !
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.

BH

347. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:33:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <t37ia2p79h2oilhat63kc9i2bml13kdk63@4ax.com>
Source record: 81433

On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
>Start Listening to the Radio.
>
>Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
>As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
>of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
>and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
>
>IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
>Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
>Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
>increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
>- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>
New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
sector.

348. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:36:33 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151933793.714093.303640@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81434

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:JR1qg.79536$4L1.77427@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > HD is not allowed at night (with a minor exception in deep winter months),
> > and there is little reason to test at night something that is totally
> > legal in daytime hours.
>
> If it's not allowed at night, then why is testing at night underway on
> several stations. And furthermore, why in heaven's name would they allow it
> at night "in deep winter months"? Winter is the time when MW skywaves work
> best, and it would cause the MOST problems, not the least.

The FCC has banned digital radio transmissions, at night, because of
sky-wave propagation problems. So, with that in mind, it will never
become a reality, at night.
Digital radio will never become a reality, anyway, because the vast
majority of the population is not going to spend $200 on digital FM/AM
radios. There are millions of analog AM/FM radios, in existence, that
will have to be backwards-compatible. IF it ever materialized, it will
not affect AM DXing, because the digital portion is only transmitted
with 1/100 of the strength of the analog portion, and with
skywave-propagation problems, will not affect AM DXing.

349. Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:37:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A91DB5.A9B1778A@milestones.com>
Source record: 81435

ABC News is reporting that there has apparently been some cracks found in some of the foam insulation.

So... will it go up tomorrow or not?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

350. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:39:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A91E26.B00E3ED7@milestones.com>
Source record: 81436

David wrote:

> On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> >Start Listening to the Radio.
> >
> >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> >
> >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> >
> >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> sector.

You need to get your world in order, Bubba!

Take your meds.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

351. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:41:05 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151934065.615179.324580@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81437

David wrote:
> On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> >Start Listening to the Radio.
> >
> >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> >
> >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> >
> >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> sector.

AM DXing is king !
SW DXing is becoming a thing-of-the-past !

352. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:49:50 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151934590.420697.178840@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81438

dxAce wrote:
> David wrote:
>
> > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > >Start Listening to the Radio.
> > >
> > >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > >
> > >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > >
> > >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > >
> > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > sector.
>
> You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
>
> Take your meds.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

So, how does it feel to have spent all that money on the dying hobby of
SW DXing ?
Your hobby is dying, and mine shall live on !

353. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:51:28 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151934688.577755.186930@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81439

dxAce wrote:
> David wrote:
>
> > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > >Start Listening to the Radio.
> > >
> > >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > >
> > >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > >
> > >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > >
> > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > sector.
>
> You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
>
> Take your meds.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Obviously, you haven't read the articles, and are making blanket
ignorant statements, as usual !

354. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:52:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A92138-100@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81447

RadioIntel doesn't know everything pertaining to Radios.
Now,it is true that I have said before that I think that radio is too much money for the amount of bread,and I stand by what I said.(maybe I am a cheapskate) So is a Rolls Royce/Bentley and Mercedes Benz too much money for the amount of bread.

I have read before many years ago in auto magazines that many of those Mercedes Benz cars imported from Germany had to be worked on and straightened out some bugs,right here in America,before they could be sold to the customers.Back in the early 1940's,there was a Rolls Royce factory here in America and that American factory was building Rolls Royce cars with more reliability than the factory in Limeyland was.Do some real research on that and y'all will see I am right,as usual.

I don't care how much money people spend (their own money) for whatever kinds of radios and I don't knock them for that either.More power to them is what I say.
cuhulin

355. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:53:48 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151934827.906995.89960@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81440

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> > slave wages in India !
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
>
> BH
```

```
According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
slave wages in India !
```

356. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:55:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A921EC-556@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81498

Want to burn my American Flag? How about my Confederate Flag? I hope you try it!
cuhulin

357. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:57:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151935049.752722.222040@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81441

dxAce wrote:
> ABC News is reporting that there has apparently been some cracks found in some
> of the foam insulation.
>
> So... will it go up tomorrow or not?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I would recommend that they call of the launch in that case. We don't
want to lose another shuttle crew....especially not on the 4th of July.

Steve

358. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 13:59:54 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151935194.300742.257910@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81442

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
> >
> > <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
> >
> >
> >Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll.
>
> Well at least you admit your a troll, you frickin idiot!
> And Remember idiot! Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> BH

>From RadioIntel.com:

Conclusions
Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away" the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend" status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be disappointed.

359. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:00:44 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151935244.400087.55360@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81443

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> > slave wages in India !
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
>
> BH

>From RadioIntel.com:

Conclusions
Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"
the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow
the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking
for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be
disappointed.

360. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:02:14 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <%r9qg.16$ek3.0@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81444

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151934827.906995.89960@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
>> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
>> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
>> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
>> > slave wages in India !
>> >
>>
>> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
>>
>> BH
>
> According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> slave wages in India !
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Hyper ventilation can be relieved by breathing
into a small paper sack. Give that a try. :)

BH

361. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:03:08 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Qs9qg.17$ek3.2@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81445

```
"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151935194.300742.257910@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
>> >
>> > <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
>> >
>> >
>> >Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll.
>>
>> Well at least you admit your a troll, you frickin idiot!
>> And Remember idiot! Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>> BH
>
>>From RadioIntel.com:
>
> Conclusions
> Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"
> the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
> status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
> claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
> these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow
> the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
> pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
> improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking
> for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be
> disappointed.
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH
```

362. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:03:52 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <wt9qg.18$ek3.0@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81446

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151935244.400087.55360@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
>> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
>> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
>> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
>> > slave wages in India !
>> >
>>
>> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
>>
>> BH
>
>>From RadioIntel.com:
>
> Conclusions
> Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"
> the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
> status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
> claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
> these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow
> the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
> pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
> improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking
> for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be
> disappointed.
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

363. Re: OT A look at lawyers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:08:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A924D7-557@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81506

I own a stainless steel Rolex Oyster Perpetual wrist watch.I bought it for about $230.00 brand spanking new at the U.S.Navy Fleet Store in Hong Kong,China in 1964 when I was doing my five days R & R in Hong Kong.The crystal is cracked,the stem wont stay locked down,the wristwatch doesn't work anymore.But,considering what that wristwatch has been through,it is no wonder.
cuhulin

364. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:09:12 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151935752.230329.51610@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81499

cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> RadioIntel doesn't know everything pertaining to Radios.
> Now,it is true that I have said before that I think that radio is too
> much money for the amount of bread,and I stand by what I said.(maybe I
> am a cheapskate) So is a Rolls Royce/Bentley and Mercedes Benz too much
> money for the amount of bread.
>
> I have read before many years ago in auto magazines that many of those
> Mercedes Benz cars imported from Germany had to be worked on and
> straightened out some bugs,right here in America,before they could be
> sold to the customers.Back in the early 1940's,there was a Rolls Royce
> factory here in America and that American factory was building Rolls
> Royce cars with more reliability than the factory in Limeyland was.Do
> some real research on that and y'all will see I am right,as usual.
>
> I don't care how much money people spend (their own money) for whatever
> kinds of radios and I don't knock them for that either.More power to
> them is what I say.
> cuhulin

Oh ok, cuhulin ! You know best ! You are the expert !

365. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:09:58 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151935798.585855.89790@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81500

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151934827.906995.89960@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> >> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> >> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> >> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> >> > slave wages in India !
> >> >
> >>
> >> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Now try to calm yourself.
> >>
> >> BH
> >
> > According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
> > Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
> > non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
> > box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
> > slave wages in India !
> >
>
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!! Hyper ventilation can be relieved by breathing
> into a small paper sack. Give that a try. :)
>
> BH

Conclusions
Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"

the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow

the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking
for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be
disappointed.

366. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:10:52 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151935852.240244.74500@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81501

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151935194.300742.257910@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
> >> >
> >> > <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Actually, this is the only newsgroup that I troll.
> >>
> >> Well at least you admit your a troll, you frickin idiot!
> >> And Remember idiot! Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> >> BH
> >
> >>From RadioIntel.com:
> >
> > Conclusions
> > Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"
> > the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
> > status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
> > claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
> > these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow
> > the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
> > pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
> > improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking
> > for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be
> > disappointed.
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

Conclusions
Many enthusiasts are waiting to hear whether or not The E1 "blows away"

the Satellit 800, or vice versa, or if it diminishes the "legend"
status of the Sony 2010. Some early owners have already made such
claims. The reality is a bit more mundane than that. The fact is that
these are all excellent radios, so the possibility that one could "blow

the other one away" is unlikely. Indeed, modern radios have so far
pushed the envelope of what is possible that only incremental
```

improvements are likely. Such is the case here. So if you're looking for me to say the E1 trashes all the competition you are going to be disappointed.

367. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:11:39 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <PA9qg.20$ek3.10@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81502

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

368. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:11:49 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ZA9qg.21$ek3.15@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81503

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

369. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:12:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <iB9qg.22$ek3.8@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81504

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

370. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:14:43 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151936083.718695.10770@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81505

Brian Hill wrote:
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane, non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with slave wages in India !

371. Re: Radiomart's latest...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:15:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A9267A-559@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81515

Mannnnnn,,,,,,, Girlllllllllll,,,,,,,,, Minnie Pearllllll,,,,,,,,, It's eBay,what do you expect?
cuhulin

372. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:15:34 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151936134.826315.132160@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81507

Brian Hill wrote:
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

According to RadioIntel, your Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the
Sony 2010, or the Satellite 800 ! You pissed $500 on a plain-jane,
non-DRM, non-IBOC, wobbly antenna, flimsy knobbed, over-priced plastic
box with no internal ferrite-bar or satellite antennas, and made with
slave wages in India !

373. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:17:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A926FF-101@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81514

I did.She works at the Belk store.
cuhulin

374. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:18:00 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151936280.368451.218470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81508

Brian Hill wrote:
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

So, when you go out in public, or the off-chance of having sex, does
your better-half make you wear a bag over your head ?

375. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:18:51 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151936331.339356.10740@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81509

Brian Hill wrote:
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

So, when you go out in public, or the off-chance of having sex, does
your better-half make you wear a bag over your head ?

376. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:19:06 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <NH9qg.24$ek3.7@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81510

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

377. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:22:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A92811-102@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81516

A few hours ago,I was listening to some old Ham Radio Operators here in Jackson.They was talking about the weather and motorhomes and camping trailers as a solution to,[mommy,I have to go pee] and motorcycles and insurance on those vehicles.
cuhulin

378. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:23:51 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <fM9qg.25$ek3.21@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81511

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

379. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:24:03 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <rM9qg.26$ek3.25@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81512

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

380. Re: Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:24:38 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GM9qg.305686$Fs1.271135@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81513

lsmyer wrote:
> It would be a refreshing change if those people who don't like ebay
> would simply stay away from it, and those people who don't like Grundig,
> Tecsun, Eton, or Degen would simply never buy one.

You're making waaaayyyy too much sense here. This will not stand.

381. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:42:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21653-44A92CC2-104@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81519

Collectible radios,cars,time pieces,toys,antiques,,,, you name it,everything from A to Z,Soup to Nuts.There are a lot of big pockets out there,LQQKING.Just this morning at about 8:40 AM,an elderly guy pecked on my front door.He was interested in buying my old (about one hundred years old,or older) Chatanooga,Leo 3,Railroad Caboose heater/stove that is sitting on my 7 feet by 14 feet concrete front porch.He went away empty handed,if y'all get me driff.
cuhulin

382. Shortwave Transmitter Site Archive - Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:46:32 UTC
From: shortwavesites@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1151937992.475392.147860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81517

Hi Folks,

NEWS UPDATE FROM: The Shortwave Transmitter Site Archive/Database (Free Yahoo Group). See it listed in Yahoo Groups.

The latest updates to the CURRENT & EXTINCT Excel files have been uploaded & are now available for you to download at your leisure.

CHANGES for 2006.
1. I have updated most of the entries for the station users of the Current SW
TXer Sites.
2. I have included a NEW 'Logging' TICK field "L" for users to tick (if they
wish to print out their own copies) OR for users to post their own BOXED TICK Wingding Font
character into the spreadsheet. You can find an example of a 'Boxed Tick' at the bottom of the
AFRICA spreadsheet.
3. Re above I have deleted by own TICKS from the verification BOX field.
Unfortunately in haste I have forgotten to delete my own QSL date data in the 'QSL Date' field. But users can delete that.
4. There have been several updates to site data in both the CURRENT & EXTINCT files.
5. More notes have been added & in the future there will be more alternate names or spellings of transmitter sites & reference to each so we all know which sites are which etc. I know there has been alot of confusion of multiple names & references all to the same site, which is confusing to the dxer. Hopefully through the resources of the united global DX community we will resolve in quick time the confusion that exists out there.
6. In future there will be an expansion of the new Latitude/longitude geo-coordinate data. The format will be compatable with Google Earth so users can cut & paste the
data into Google Earth & see the shortwave site of their desire.

There still REMAINS a HUGE amount of data to be churned through in both files. I
simply don't have enough time & resources to do it all on my own, hence I will need
your assistance & the assistance of the global Shortwave community to assist with the
reporting of errors & the supply of information to get these files into good shape.

I beleive this is a worthwhile project & have been supported well by a couple of
you to date. Thank you for your assistance.

These files will be continously updated, but only uploaded once every 4 - 8
weeks or so. I don't wish to bother you all with constant updates & messages.

Anyway drop us a line anytime:
If you like what I'm doing.
If you know someone who would like to help with this project
If you can assist in anyway. Eg. Donations, error reporting, news, tranmission antenna
photos etc

Membership is FREE. To subscribe send an email to: shortwavesites (@) gmail.com

Prospective members should be aware that both Excel files are still in what I would term draft status. Your assistance can change that & thus assist other dxers with their enjoyment of our great hobby.

Regards

Ian
AUSTRALIA.

383. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:49:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <G7aqg.79574$4L1.30939@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81518

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> Start Listening to the Radio.
>
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
> As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
>
> IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact, of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus, has a local signal.

The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside stations reported would be just about zero.

384. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:52:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A92F23-569@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81521

I dont always know best,and I sure am not an expert.But,Thanks for
careing anyway.
cuhulin

385. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:57:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A9304B.6EB06AF@milestones.com>
Source record: 81520

David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake Hispanic sock puppet wrote:

> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > Start Listening to the Radio.
> >
> > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> >
> > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> >
> > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>
> If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> has a local signal.
>
> The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> stations reported would be just about zero.

Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?

HD/IBOC = QRM

Try to get that into your bean counting brain.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

386. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 14:58:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A93080-571@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81522

I think it should be Leagal that all people can go out in public stark naked.Hey,only joking.Some people have such ugly looking bodies (me included,big woman looking boobies,big old fat double beer belly) that they should be locked up :{)
But,if it was Legal,I sure would go for it.
cuhulin

387. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:01:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21654-44A9314D-19@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81523

In Vietnam,Number One means it is the Best.
cuhulin

388. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:16:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A934BC-577@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81527

www.militarymuseum.org/OaklandArmyBase.html

Just think,,,, about a year and a half ago (or whenever it was) someone in California literally cursed me out and said there is no way I could have been there.I spent four days and nights there in the first week of January 1964.
cuhulin

389. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:17:25 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151939845.042378.70950@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81524

Brian Hill wrote:

> In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the
> government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> front of me.
>
> BH

I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.

Bruce Jensen

390. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:19:57 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <RAaqg.27$ek3.20@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81525

"bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151939845.042378.70950@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the
>> flag
>> is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at
>> the
>> government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have
>> died
>> so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it
>> in
>> front of me.
>>
>> BH
>
> I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
>
> Bruce Jensen
>

The Bald Eagle is a symbol. Why not put it on the same plane as chickens and
turkeys if you feel that way? It's just a bird? Right

BH

391. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:24:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A936A6.263A8D6F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81526

Brian Hill wrote:

> "bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1151939845.042378.70950@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> >> In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the
> >> flag
> >> is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at
> >> the
> >> government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have
> >> died
> >> so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it
> >> in
> >> front of me.
> >>
> >> BH
> >
> > I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> > than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> > too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> > makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> > garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
> >
> > Bruce Jensen
> >
>
> The Bald Eagle is a symbol. Why not put it on the same plane as chickens and
> turkeys if you feel that way? It's just a bird? Right

Be careful when discussing meat with Bruce.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

392. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:26:58 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <qHaqg.29$ek3.21@fe02.lga>
Source record: 81528

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> Be careful when discussing meat with Bruce.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

oh yea! I forgot. Thanks

BH

393. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:28:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21652-44A937A4-581@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81529

Yesterday,I found me a twenty year old girlfriend (she nearly gave me a heart attack,she has a body on her just like Jane Mansfield) at the Belk store.She said she is coming back over here tonight.
cuhulin

394. why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:44:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1151874380.808388.138880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
licnesed ham in the radio stores. you can even get unadvterised
discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!

395. Re: [The Right Perspective Podcast Blog] Religion, Terror, and Hate <-------- DIRTBAG SPAMMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:54:01 UTC
From: advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
Message-ID: <25fia2dqnnrrdf1ea037id11sudup0a5pg@4ax.com>
Source record: 81531

On Sun, 02 Jul 2006 22:24:42 -0400, Me <me@my.computer> wrote:

>On 2 Jul 2006 17:39:03 -0500, advocate <de-evolution@nospam.spum>
>wrote:
>
>>This is just the type of moronic and hypocirtical response expected
>>for anyone who stands up for these dirtbags.
>
>And that was just the type of hissy fit response expected from a
>limp-wristed loser. Take your multi-cultural rainbow and shove it up
>your ass. Oh wait, never mind. You'd probably ENJOY that.

Youy're spewing a lot of unsubstantiated assumptions. Could it be that you're simply projecting your own weaknesses and thoughts? Hmmm. I thought so.

Frank From Queens is still a fucking asshole, and your childish responses do nothing to refute that fact. If you had any enlightened response, you probably would have attempted to share it. Seeing as you do not, we assume that you have nothing but hate and venom in what's left of your rotten brain.

396. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 15:55:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A93DE0.D3288EDB@milestones.com>
Source record: 81532

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> licnesed ham in the radio stores.

Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.

> you can even get unadvterised
> discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!

Happy 4th, retard boy!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

397. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:01:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A93F63-555@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81533

Then why is there a lot of hash,daytimes and night times on the radio stations here in Jackson,Mississippi.I was listening to Kim Komando's www.komando.com radio talk show on AM WJNT last Saturday morning,as usual.The was mush/hash on WJNT.Please dont try to tell ME! I dont know how to tune in/dial for radio stations.You mommy will have YOU making your little wet baby footsteps running down that concrete sidewalk! and chaseing your po little ass with a bunch of switches.
cuhulin

398. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:05:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A94041-557@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81534

I Belive in All Out WAR on U.S.fed govt.KILL the B...ards!
cuhulin

399. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:08:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16961-44A9410F-132@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81535

Bubba.Bubba is a baby's name of Endearment.Babies blurt out Bubba and Sissy,when they are learning how to talk.All Languages originated with,Kids.
cuhulin

400. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:15:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A94290-559@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81536

Please dont pick on my little Blueberry (I have to call her
BlueberryBelle when I email that married Irish woman wayyyyyy over
yonder across the big pond) My little Blue Heeler Australian Cattle Dog
www.cattledog.com) doggy,She is as innocent as the new born snow.
cuhulin

401. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:17:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16961-44A94329-133@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81537

I have your ''paper tiger'' hanging right here,,,, buddy!
cuhulin

402. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:20:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16961-44A943BB-134@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81538

Pig Meat Martin,Musician dude from Mississippi.As always,LOOK it up.
cuhulin

403. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:39:28 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151944768.196835.86070@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81539

Brian Hill wrote:

> The Bald Eagle is a symbol. Why not put it on the same plane as chickens and
> turkeys if you feel that way? It's just a bird? Right
>
> BH

I am at a loss as to your logic here - the anology is somewhat sideways
- but I will play along...

The Bald Eagle is an animal, and a grand one at that. In many
respects, it is on roughly the same plane as chickens and turkeys, both
of which are, likewise, animals and birds, and both of which are noble
creatures who exhibit many fine qualities to those willing to watch
them for awhile.

The eagle also happens to be a USA symbol, and an excellent one at
that. In its life and form it rightly reflects the best aspects of
America - its common-man majesty, strength and freedom.

They all have my respect.

Bruce Jensen

404. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:40:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44A94896-560@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81542

It takesssss a Dangerous Womannnnnnnn to keep me halfway straightttttttt,,,,,,,,, I am not looking backkkkkkk,,,,,,, I am going straightttttt,,,,,,,,
www.juneberry78s.com/sounds/ListenTocCountryBlues.htm
cuhulin
(I just Love Dangerous Wimmins)

405. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:42:11 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <DNbqg.2286$ye3.1776@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81540

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message news:44A91DB5.A9B1778A@milestones.com...
> ABC News is reporting that there has apparently been some cracks found in some
> of the foam insulation.
>
> So... will it go up tomorrow or not?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

Looks like the answer is "no".

From http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/13666974/

NASA spokesman Dean Acosta said fixing the crack on the pad could take "days, to longer than that."
Another possibility might be to fly with the crack as is, but Acosta told MSNBC.com "I don't think
that an option."

406. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 16:45:17 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151945117.567909.116260@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81541

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I have your ''paper tiger'' hanging right here,,,, buddy!
> cuhulin

It *is* a paper tiger if you deny to anyone the freedom it represents.

I honor that flag and fly it proudly, and under its banner defend the right of anyone to verbally or symbolically express themselves as they see fit, no matter how offensive that expression may be to others, including myself. Short of physical harm to others or their property, of course. It is the birthright of every American, and the true right of all humans, regardless of what autocratic government may happen to oppress them.

Bruce Jensen

407. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:16:52 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151947012.598879.59510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81543

RHF wrote:
> "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> Start Listening to the Radio.
>
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
> As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
>
> IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>
> yes it is that simple ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > >> message
> > > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Steve wrote:
> > > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> It still beats television.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > > >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > > >> > DXing, anytime !
> > > >> >
> > > >>

> > > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> > > >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> > > >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
> > > >
> > > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> > > > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> > > > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> > >
> > > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> > > leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from AM
> > > band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing IBOC
> > > at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
> > > 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the AM
> > > part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another friend
> > > in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are testing
> > > IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible
> > > while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous. IBOC
> > > will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> >
> > So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> > ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> > distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> > only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !

Wrong ! Read the articles, dumbass !

408. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:17:45 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151947065.801109.32810@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81544

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake
> Hispanic sock puppet wrote:
>
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > Start Listening to the Radio.
> > >
> > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > >
> > > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > >
> > > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> > If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> > measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> > of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> > market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> > many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> > "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> > has a local signal.
> >
> > The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> > stations reported would be just about zero.
>
> Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Try to get that into your bean counting brain.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Wrong ! read the articles, dumbass !

409. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:20:59 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81545

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake
> Hispanic sock puppet wrote:
>
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > Start Listening to the Radio.
> > >
> > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > >
> > > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > >
> > > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> > If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> > measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> > of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> > market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> > many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> > "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> > has a local signal.
> >
> > The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> > stations reported would be just about zero.
>
> Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Try to get that into your bean counting brain.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Wrong !

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

Go back to your loser SWL hobby !
SWL is a dead horse !
AM DXing shall live forever !
SW programming is just garbage !
IBOC does not affect AM DXing !

410. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:21:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A951FE-548@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81547

That young lady sure is nasty.She likes to go naked in my house.She and
I were glued together just like gravy on rice.
cuhulin

411. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:23:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A9527E.413B6167@milestones.com>
Source record: 81546

streetlords1@aol.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake
> > Hispanic sock puppet wrote:
> >
> > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > > Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > >
> > > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > >
> > > > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > >
> > > > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > >
> > > If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> > > measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> > > of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> > > market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> > > many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> > > "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> > > has a local signal.
> > >
> > > The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> > > stations reported would be just about zero.
> >
> > Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?
> >
> > HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
> > Try to get that into your bean counting brain.
>
>
> Wrong ! read the articles, dumbass !

I have read them.

HD/IBOC = QRM

Now, I suggest you and Edweenie get together for some mutual fun!

412. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:23:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A95280-549@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81549

www.chuckbaldwin.com Rebel Flag.
www.oregonmag.com Rebel Flag.
cuhulin

413. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:25:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A952FD-550@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81548

No More Corpus Delecti.
cuhulin

414. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:26:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A95353-551@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81550

I Reccomend NASA Goes to HELL!!!!!!!!
cuhulin

415. Re: Used Tecsun PL-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:30:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A9542E-552@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81553

Dont try your Chicago,Illynoys stuff with me.Whatever I say Stands,,,
does Stand!
cuhulin

416. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:35:22 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151948122.465512.225870@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81551

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
>
> Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
>
> > you can even get unadvterised
> > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
>
> Happy 4th, retard boy!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

SWL is a loser hobby !
SWL is a dead horse - you all missed the boat !
SW receivers are cheap, over-priced plastic boxes !
Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or 2010 !
SW listeners are loser nerds !
Go hide in your ham shacks !
60 and 90 meter tropical bands have emptied out !
BBC no longer broadcasts to North America !
"Voice of the Andes" is gone !
AM DXing lives on !
IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
IBOC will never fly !
You all are losers, with a loser hobby !
You all are 30 years too late, but I wasn't !
I know what it used to be like !
DRM will render your receivers useless !
DRM will render the Eaton Shit E1 useless !
Go find another hobby, losers !

SWL is dying !
SWL is dead !
But I'm not cry'n !
For you nerd heads !

417. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:37:30 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <UBcqg.20$1f.4@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81552

"bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151944768.196835.86070@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> The Bald Eagle is a symbol. Why not put it on the same plane as chickens
>> and
>> turkeys if you feel that way? It's just a bird? Right
>>
>> BH
>
> I am at a loss as to your logic here - the anology is somewhat sideways
> - but I will play along...
>
> The Bald Eagle is an animal, and a grand one at that. In many
> respects, it is on roughly the same plane as chickens and turkeys, both
> of which are, likewise, animals and birds, and both of which are noble
> creatures who exhibit many fine qualities to those willing to watch
> them for awhile.
>
> The eagle also happens to be a USA symbol, and an excellent one at
> that. In its life and form it rightly reflects the best aspects of
> America - its common-man majesty, strength and freedom.
>
> They all have my respect.
>
> Bruce Jensen
>

See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
that.

BH

418. ON TOPIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:45:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A957C5-553@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81555

Space Shuttle's Fuel Tank,,,,,,,,
www.drudgereport.com

My opinion,, (other than them cutie pie wimmins,I Love sooooo much)
Space Shuttle better stay in Florida,or California.Perhaps retired from
NASA Mrs.(Miss) Green is Right.
NASA! YOU ARE BROKEN!
cuhulin

419. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:53:24 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151949203.964231.231370@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81554

dxAce wrote:
> "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
>
> Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
of they they do
>
> > you can even get unadvterised
> > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
>
> Happy 4th, retard boy!
>
and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
kind of filth

> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name

420. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:53:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A959AF-554@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81560

www.114thaviationcompany.com
You see that Eagle?
cuhulin

421. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:55:27 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44A95A0B.6D314D2F@theseminary.net>
Source record: 81556

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

==========

Now, Brian! did your Mother say you could play with the troll?

and how can you take someone serious, that post from AOL? I can just
see this guy, dressed in camo, sitting in front of his Mother's
computer.
red-faced, hoping Mom don't get home before he replays to ya.

Father Michael...

422. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:56:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A95A67.6EF0308E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81557

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> >
> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
> of they they do
> >
> > > you can even get unadvterised
> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> >
> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> >
> and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> kind of filth
> of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name

Say what, 'tard boy?

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

423. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:57:42 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151949462.549950.136680@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81558

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
>
> Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
>
> > you can even get unadvterised
> > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
>
> Happy 4th, retard boy!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

IBOC will never fly ! AM DXing lives forever ! "Coast to Coast AM"
lives forever !

http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/special-report/05_rwrf_oct_6_part_1.shtml
http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference
http://www.nrcdxas.org/articles/EIA-NRSCLabtest.html

DRM will destroy SWL !
Analog SW receivers will be rendered useless by DRM !

424. I

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 17:57:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A95A9D-555@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81561

was a speedy fo in Vietnam.I wore the Eagles on all of my U.S.Army shirt sleeves.
cuhulin

425. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:01:43 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151949703.388134.98910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81559

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > kind of filth
> > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
>
> Say what, 'tard boy?
so you can't read filth boy
>
> LMFAO
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

426. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:06:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A95C99.7A4A53B3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81562

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an old feind wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > kind of filth
> > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> >
> > Say what, 'tard boy?
> so you can't read filth boy

Oh, I did read your filth, 'tard boy. I just can't figure out what you retards
are typing!

Now go get your caregiver to do some translating.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

427. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:06:31 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151949991.402251.306880@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81563

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I Reccomend NASA Goes to HELL!!!!!!!!
> cuhulin
you got it wrong NASA is in HELL not going there

428. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:08:13 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151950093.624513.184070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81564

an old feind wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > an old feind wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > > kind of filth
> > > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> >
> > Say what, 'tard boy?
> so you can't read filth boy
> >
> > LMFAO
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

Oh Yea, loser ! Get a real hobby, loser ! SWL is dying ! DRM will
destroy analog receivers ! IBOC won't fly ! IBOC doesn't affect AM
DXing ! Looks like you are getting upset, loser ! Losers ! Losers !
Losers ! Losers ! Losers ! Losers ! Losers !

429. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:12:17 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151950337.643319.6810@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81565

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > an old feind wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > > > kind of filth
> > > > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> > > >
> > > > Say what, 'tard boy?
> > so you can't read filth boy
>
> Oh, I did read your filth, 'tard boy. I just can't figure out what you retards
> are typing!
>
> Now go get your caregiver to do some translating.
>
> LMFAO
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Brian Hill is ugly, ugly, ugly ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly,
ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly !

SWL LOSERS !

430. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:14:33 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81566

```
dxAce wrote:
> streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake
> > > Hispanic sock puppet wrote:
> > >
> > > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > > > Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > > >
> > > > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > > >
> > > > > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > > > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > > > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > > >
> > > > > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > > > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > > > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > > > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > > > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > > >
> > > > If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> > > > measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> > > > of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> > > > market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> > > > many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> > > > "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> > > > has a local signal.
> > > >
> > > > The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> > > > stations reported would be just about zero.
> > >
> > > Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?
> > >
> > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > >
> > > Try to get that into your bean counting brain.
> >
> >
> > Wrong ! read the articles, dumbass !
>
```

> I have read them.
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Now, I suggest you and Edweenie get together for some mutual fun!

Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !

431. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:15:21 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <n9dqg.82$1f.61@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81567

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message > Brian Hill is ugly, ugly, ugly
ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly,
> ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly !
>
> SWL LOSERS !
>

Ahh!! Another sock pupet. And Remember Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!!

BH

432. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:16:40 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Cadqg.87$1f.80@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81568

"Father Mike" <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in message
news:44A95A0B.6D314D2F@theseminary.net...
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH
>
> ==========
>
> Now, Brian! did your Mother say you could play with the troll?

I thought she forgot something. :)

BH

433. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:17:30 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <nbdqg.91$1f.31@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81569

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message

>
> Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
>

Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!!

BH

434. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:20:41 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151950841.657065.132260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81570

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > an old feind wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > > > kind of filth
> > > > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> > > >
> > > > Say what, 'tard boy?
> > so you can't read filth boy
> >
> Oh, I did read your filth, 'tard boy.
nope obviously not
> I just can't figure out what you retards
> are typing!

you are just too stupid to be able to figure it out
>
> Now go get your caregiver to do some translating.

do your own work or go without

you started by taking the work of a forger out of another NG then you
are abusive

get help or get shot for all I care
>
> LMFAO
be careful your ass is all you have
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

435. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:23:19 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151950999.106828.64300@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81571

Brian Hill wrote:

> See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
> I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
> that.
>
> BH

You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for those rights.

Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan. Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do, however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act, of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters, and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.

Bruce Jensen

436. Re: Religion, Terror, and Hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:23:45 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151951025.370401.276030@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81572

advocate wrote:
> On 26 Jun 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Frank and John explore the foiled
>
> Spam deleted. Go fuck yourselves, racist assholes.
well it is spam but at least spam on the general subject we see alot
worse

like a a guy that spams the a lot of NG with ads for his rf cnetor s at
least it is NGs with people that might be interested

437. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:24:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A960D2.2366153C@milestones.com>
Source record: 81573

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an old feind wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > an old feind wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > > and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> > > > > kind of filth
> > > > > of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> > > >
> > > > Say what, 'tard boy?
> > > so you can't read filth boy
> > >
> > Oh, I did read your filth, 'tard boy.
> nope obviously not
> > I just can't figure out what you retards
> > are typing!
>
> you are just too stupid to be able to figure it out
> >
> > Now go get your caregiver to do some translating.
>
> do your own work or go without
>
> you started by taking the work of a forger out of another NG then you
> are abusive
>
> get help or get shot for all I care
> >
> > LMFAO
> be careful your ass is all you have

Yep, and I'll bet you can't even find yours.

You have a nice holiday, retard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

438. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:30:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Omdqg.79644$4L1.42694@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81574

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
>

HD virtually destroys AM Dxing if you have any stations with HD on in your immediate area and if they approve night usage of HD.

439. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:32:24 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151951544.427235.317180@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81575

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>

> > > LMFAO
> > be careful your ass is all you have
>
> Yep, and I'll bet you can't even find yours.
how much you wanna bet

(and now we will se the welching of the cyber stalker and cowrd call
DxAce)

440. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:34:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A9633A-556@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81577

I want to buy some phoney titties to slap on my real big titties.
cuhulin

441. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:37:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44A963E8-557@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81578

an old friend.I do NOT have anything wrong.NASA is BROKEN!!!!!!!!
cuhulin

442. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:39:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A96471.6F8678A4@milestones.com>
Source record: 81576

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an old feind wrote:
> >
>
> > > > LMFAO
> > > be careful your ass is all you have
> >
> > Yep, and I'll bet you can't even find yours.
> how much you wanna bet
>
> (and now we will se the welching of the cyber stalker and cowrd call
> DxAce)

Ges yu gut lky tady. bet kin yu fnd ity tmrwow?

LMFAO at the frickin 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

443. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:46:19 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <pCdqg.185$1f.30@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81579

"bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151950999.106828.64300@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like
>> me.
>> I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
>> that.
>>
>> BH
>
> You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols
> above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that
> represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great
> symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and
> rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand
> how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and
> fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and
> what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for
> those rights.
>
> Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their
> symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan.
> Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or
> anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do,
> however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of
> their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being
> inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise
> represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act,
> of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I
> said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any
> point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win
> any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply
> believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of
> everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and
> its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not
> fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters,
> and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.
>
> Bruce Jensen
>

I used to believe just as you do on the subject but there seems to be a
plague of Aholes running around thinking that just because they live in a

free democracy, it's all right to shit on anything and everything. Fuck them! If you burn the flag in front of me, you had better do it and run like a mother fucker. Sorry.

BH

444. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:52:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <qopia215nqghiimjrb1ls8vtdih36vs9d7@4ax.com>
Source record: 81580

On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 12:37:30 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>
>See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
>I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
>that.
An individual life is not as sacred as ongoing Freedom. There is
nothing more worthy of reverence than the idea.

445. Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:56:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151952974.942887.56550@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81581

m II wrote:
> Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
>
> > - Meanwhile, back at The White house . ..
> >
> >
> > http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm
>
> Would it be more more acceptable to the population if the President were
> merely bisexual instead of purely homosexual? ..wait a minute...there's
> an oxymoron there..
>
> mike
>
> yes, Space Ace, we know...

M II,

US President George "W" Bush may be many things . . .
But One Thing For Sure "He" Is Not Can-A-Duha-Ian [.]

Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
- For the Baby Seals !

God {Allah} Bless America - Amen ~ RHF
-and- Our Real Canadian Neighbors Too !
.
.
. .

446. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:57:23 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <jrpia2pr1rlgius7j1cfu6r4qkv93jr8ds@4ax.com>
Source record: 81582

On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 13:46:19 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>I used to believe just as you do on the subject but there seems to be a
>plague of Aholes running around thinking that just because they live in a
>free democracy, it's all right to shit on anything and everything. Fuck
>them! If you burn the flag in front of me, you had better do it and run like
>a mother fucker. Sorry

447. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:57:50 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151953070.624083.64370@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81583

Brian Hill wrote:

> I used to believe just as you do on the subject but there seems to be a
> plague of Aholes running around thinking that just because they live in a
> free democracy, it's all right to shit on anything and everything. Fuck
> them! If you burn the flag in front of me, you had better do it and run like
> a mother fucker. Sorry.
>
> BH

Honestly, I understand and appreciate this description much more than
the earlier one :-)

BJ

448. whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 18:58:45 UTC
From: Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.07.03.18.58.44.543000@gmail.com>
Source record: 81584

Hello DxAce, RHF, Brian, Telemon, cuhulin, mike maghakian, and other contributers!

Anyone hear any pirates or anything else worth tuning into today? All my usual ham bands seem to be dead but it looks like 11m is open, so I would assume 10m is as well, but I don't hear any hams - just beacons.

Happy 4th!

- Matt

449. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:00:18 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <96qia2tahsnlihad9ij4nu3tv6lr1mtuoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 81585

On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:49:10 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
>measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
>of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
>market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
>many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
>"behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
>has a local signal.
>
>The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
>stations reported would be just about zero.
>
>
Ratings are BS. In Los Angeles, how many people are required to make
a 0.1? Are you willing to tell this many people they don't deserve
to be able to listen to the radio because they live more than 40 miles
from the downtown post office?

450. GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > streetlords1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:00:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151953247.009095.117860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81586

```
GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > streetlords1@aol.com
Morphing can not hide you Garbage
will always smell like Garbage [.] ~ RHF
.
.
. .

streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> >
> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
> >
> > > you can even get unadvterised
> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> >
> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> SWL is a loser hobby !
> SWL is a dead horse - you all missed the boat !
> SW receivers are cheap, over-priced plastic boxes !
> Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or 2010 !
> SW listeners are loser nerds !
> Go hide in your ham shacks !
> 60 and 90 meter tropical bands have emptied out !
> BBC no longer broadcasts to North America !
> "Voice of the Andes" is gone !
> AM DXing lives on !
> IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> IBOC will never fly !
> You all are losers, with a loser hobby !
> You all are 30 years too late, but I wasn't !
> I know what it used to be like !
> DRM will render your receivers useless !
> DRM will render the Eaton Shit E1 useless !
```

> Go find another hobby, losers !
>
> SWL is dying !
> SWL is dead !
> But I'm not cry'n !
> For you nerd heads !

451. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:00:58 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151953258.449183.81280@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81587

RHF wrote:

> Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
> Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
> - For the Baby Seals !

I do believe that there is a place in Hell reserved for the people who perpetuate this atrocity - and the Flag of Canada flies over it.

Having said that, there are plenty of atrocities against Nature and critters that people in the US commit as well, so there are glass houses to go around.

BJ

452. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:01:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3bqia2teqgl3sg15r8if3lub4i2i2ip0r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 81588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 15:44:13 +0000 (UTC), kb9rqz@hotmail.com
(kb9rqz@hotmail.com) wrote:

>
>nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
>licnesed ham in the radio stores. you can even get unadvterised
>discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
>I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
>or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
Try taking the boxing gloves off before you type...

453. "Garbage" {little gigo} - Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:05:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151953551.701229.266200@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81589

"Garbage" {little gigo}
Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > David wrote:
> >
> > > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > >Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > >
> > > >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > >
> > > >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > >
> > > >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > > >
> > > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > > sector.
> >
> > You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
> >
> > Take your meds.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>

- So, how does it feel to have spent all that money
- on the dying hobby of SW DXing ?

- Your hobby is dying, and mine shall live on !

Hobby ? -Butt- All That You Are Is A Sad Long 'plastic' Dildo !
.
.
. .

454. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:06:38 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <rVdqg.249$1f.152@fe07.lga>
Source record: 81590

"Radio TexMex" <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.07.03.18.58.44.543000@gmail.com...
> Hello DxAce, RHF, Brian, Telemon, cuhulin, mike maghakian, and other
> contributers!
>
> Anyone hear any pirates or anything else worth tuning into today? All my
> usual ham bands seem to be dead but it looks like 11m is open, so I would
> assume 10m is as well, but I don't hear any hams - just beacons.
>
> Happy 4th!
>
> - Matt

Hi Matt. It is the holiday so I'll bet the house that they'll be out in full force. Solar condition are quite good too. I logged the following in the last couple days:

Crystal Ship
Mac SW
WMPR
GZR
Radio PigMeat

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

455. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:18:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151954298.819994.324190@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81591

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > Start Listening to the Radio.
> >
> > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> >
> > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> >
> > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>
>
> If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> has a local signal.
>
> The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> stations reported would be just about zero.

DE - I understand the Numbers and the Marketing Model.
-and- That is "WHY" AM/MW IBOC makes Cents for the
AM/MW Broadcasting Industry and Good Public Policy
for the FCC ~ RHF
{I don't need to like it - To understand it.}
- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Count the Number of Monthly Post Here on RRS
Now -IF- Each Post was done by and Individual
AM/MW DX'er (About 3,000)

-and- -IF- There were a Hundred Times (100X) that
many AM/MW DX'ers in the USA. (300,000)

Out of a Popularion of 300,000,000 Million that is
only about 0.1% { We Are Nothing - NOTHING ! }
.
.
. .

456. Voice of Armenia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:23:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1151954621.547680.30690@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81593

Has a nice signal coming into Brooklyn today on 9960.

457. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:23:43 UTC
From: Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.07.03.19.23.42.487000@gmail.com>
Source record: 81592

On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:06:38 -0500, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "Radio TexMex" <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.07.03.18.58.44.543000@gmail.com...
>> [quoted text muted]
>
> Hi Matt. It is the holiday so I'll bet the house that they'll be out in
> full force. Solar condition are quite good too. I logged the following in
> the last couple days:
>
> Crystal Ship
> Mac SW
> WMPR
> GZR
> Radio PigMeat

Hey Brian. Nice web site by the way, musician/tech person here as well! I just scanned through the 6.9xx area I didn't find anything right now. I kept tuning and somehow landed on 9.385, which is Brother Stair. I'll check back when I can. I'm still having power company noise problems and have learned a few tricks that can make hamming possible (not enjoyable), but I'm thinking about upgrading to either an R5000 or an Icom - IC-706MKIIG so I can transmit. Either way, it looks like it would easier to get a reciever with DSP than fight the local power mongols.

I'm really leaning to the IC-706MKIIG to get best of both HAM and SWL worlds, since I really enjoy both and that seems to be an economical solution.

I'll post the pirates(and anything else good) when I hear 'em!

- Matt

458. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash ! {HD/IBOC Will Virtually Destroy AM/MW DX'ing at Night}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:24:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151954674.433447.198020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81594

David Eduardo wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
> >
>

- HD virtually destroys AM Dxing if you have any stations
- with HD on in your immediate area and if they approve
- night usage of HD.

DE - That is very true and factual. ~ RHF

HD/IBOC Will Virtually Destroy AM/MW DX'ing at Night
.
.
. .

459. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:25:12 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151954712.811611.11980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81595

Radio TexMex wrote:
> Hello DxAce, RHF, Brian, Telemon, cuhulin, mike maghakian, and other
> contributers!
>
> Anyone hear any pirates or anything else worth tuning into today? All my
> usual ham bands seem to be dead but it looks like 11m is open, so I would
> assume 10m is as well, but I don't hear any hams - just beacons.
>
> Happy 4th!
>
> - Matt

You all make me vomit !

460. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:28:40 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151954920.291253.258240@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81596

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Father Mike" <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in message
> news:44A95A0B.6D314D2F@theseminary.net...
> > Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> >
> > BH
> >
> > ========================================================================
> >
> > Now, Brian! did your Mother say you could play with the troll?
>
>
> I thought she forgot something. : )
>
> BH

The Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or the 2010 - sucker !
```

461. Re: GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > streetlords1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:30:17 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151955017.218609.137630@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81597

RHF wrote:
> GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > streetlords1@aol.com
> Morphing can not hide you Garbage
> will always smell like Garbage [.] ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> > >
> > > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
> > >
> > > > you can even get unadvterised
> > > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> > >
> > > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > SWL is a loser hobby !
> > SWL is a dead horse - you all missed the boat !
> > SW receivers are cheap, over-priced plastic boxes !
> > Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or 2010 !
> > SW listeners are loser nerds !
> > Go hide in your ham shacks !
> > 60 and 90 meter tropical bands have emptied out !
> > BBC no longer broadcasts to North America !
> > "Voice of the Andes" is gone !
> > AM DXing lives on !
> > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > IBOC will never fly !
> > You all are losers, with a loser hobby !
> > You all are 30 years too late, but I wasn't !
> > I know what it used to be like !
> > DRM will render your receivers useless !

> > DRM will render the Eaton Shit E1 useless !
> > Go find another hobby, losers !
> >
> > SWL is dying !
> > SWL is dead !
> > But I'm not cry'n !
> > For you nerd heads !

SWL is for losers !

462. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:31:49 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151955109.291049.145530@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81748

an old feind wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> >
> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
> of they they do
> >
> > > you can even get unadvterised
> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> >
> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> >
> and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> kind of filth
>
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name

Don't get upset, dxAce ! SWL loser !

463. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:32:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151955157.440688.49590@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81749

Mister "Garbage" {little gigo} -aka- StreetLords1
- - - DUMBASS - Would appear to be your
favorite word and very self-descriptive ~ RHF
.
.
. .

streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > Start Listening to the Radio.
> >
> > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> >
> > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> >
> > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> > yes it is that simple ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > >> message
> > > > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >>>
> > > > >> > Steve wrote:
> > > > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!

> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> It still beats television.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting your
> > > > >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing beats SW
> > > > >> > DXing, anytime !
> > > > >> >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my chagrin).
> > > > >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be long now
> > > > >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
> > > > >
> > > > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus, the
> > > > > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it will
> > > > > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> > > >
> > > > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside, OR, a
> > > > leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all from AM
> > > > band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started testing IBOC
> > > > at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
> > > > 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of the AM
> > > > part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another friend
> > > > in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are testing
> > > > IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible
> > > > while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > > > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous. IBOC
> > > > will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> > >
> > > So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
> > > ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
> > > distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
> > > only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
>

- Wrong ! Read the articles, dumbass !

464. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:34:10 UTC
From: Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.07.03.19.34.09.739000@gmail.com>
Source record: 81750

On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 12:25:12 -0700, streetlords1 wrote:

>
> Radio TexMex wrote:
>> [quoted text muted]
>
> You all make me vomit !

Nice! Be sure and frequent our posts after ingesting all that shit you
eat!

- Matt

465. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:35:49 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-72A72B.12354803072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81751

In article <e89ni9$4ad$1@news2.kornet.net>,
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> in message
> >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Steve wrote:
> >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet
> >> >> > countries, religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist
> >> >> > propaganda and those weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> >> >>
> >> >> It still beats television.
> >> >
> >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> >> > your money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing
> >> > beats SW DXing, anytime !
> >> >
> >>
> >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
> >> chagrin). With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it
> >> won't be long now before all you hear on the AM band at night will
> >> be IBOC hash. :(
> >
> > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus,
> > the digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so
> > it will NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
>
> It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside,
> OR, a leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world
> (all from AM band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has
> started testing IBOC at night, and he can no longer hear anything but
> IBOC hash on 800 and 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC,
> the audio quality of the AM part of their signal deteriorates
> dramatically. In addition, another friend in New York City reports
> that at least two stations in that city are testing IBOC at night and
> that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible while IBOC
> is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.

> IBOC will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.

I just don't understand this IBOC technology. You have to buy a new
radio to use the digital part anyway so why not pick another band to
use the improved digital technology without the analog component?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

466. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:37:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151955457.947729.266340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81752

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> In Vietnam,Number One means it is the Best.
> cuhulin

In Japanese it is "Ichiban" {E CHI BON} ~ RHF
.
.
. .

467. GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > StreetLords1 - a radio - Is A Radio - IS A RADIO !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:43:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151955780.503619.98860@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81753

GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > StreetLords1

a radio - Is A Radio - IS A RADIO !

But My Radio Is Always Better Than - your radio [.]

now go tote your garbage ~ RHF
.
.
. .

streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
> > "Father Mike" <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in message
> > news:44A95A0B.6D314D2F@theseminary.net...
> > > Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> > >
> > > BH
> > >
> > > ==
> > >
> > > Now, Brian! did your Mother say you could play with the troll?
> >
> >
> > I thought she forgot something. :)
> >
> > BH
>
> The Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or the 2010 - sucker !

468. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:47:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151956040.543422.197510@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81754

```
m II wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
> >> <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >>> SW IS DYING !
> >>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >
> >
> > Your starting to really break down. I'd get on the phone and call a shrink
> > before it's too late.
>

- Heck..it's clearer and more readable than RHF's stuff.
- mike

M II,

There you go again - Thinking While Being Can-A-Duha-Ian !

stuff is Stuff - STUFF IT ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .
```

469. Radio Hobby Links -via- the IBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 19:53:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151956391.130819.248140@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81755

```
Radio Hobby Links
http://monitor.ibb.gov/radio.html
-via- The International Broadcasting Bureau (IBB)

link-up and check-them-out ~ RHF
.
.
. .
```

470. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:00:05 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151956804.955074.77510@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81756

Radio TexMex wrote:
> On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 12:25:12 -0700, streetlords1 wrote:
>
> >
> > Radio TexMex wrote:
> >> [quoted text muted]
> >
> > You all make me vomit !
>
> Nice! Be sure and frequent our posts after ingesting all that shit you
> eat!
>
> - Matt

Ok, don't get upset !

471. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:02:00 UTC
From: toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
Message-ID: <8ttia255qpm7f95073d5s7aitthvvmakd3@4ax.com>
Source record: 81757

On 3 Jul 2006 11:23:19 -0700, "bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
>> I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
>> that.
>>
>> BH
>
>You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols
>above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that
>represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great
>symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and
>rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand
>how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and
>fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and
>what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for
>those rights.
>
>Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their
>symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan.
>Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or
>anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do,
>however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of
>their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being
>inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise
>represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act,
>of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I
>said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any
>point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win
>any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply
>believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of
>everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and
>its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not
>fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters,
>and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.
>
>Bruce Jensen

Thank God for you Bruce Jensen

472. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:02:08 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <vJeqg.2306$YD.51@fe03.lga>
Source record: 81758

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151955109.291049.145530@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old feind wrote:
>> dxAce wrote:
>> > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>> >
>> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
>> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
>> >
>> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
>> of they they do
>> >
>> > > you can even get unadvterised
>> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
>> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
>> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
>> >
>> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
>> >
>> and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
>> kind of filth
>>
>> > dxAce
>> > Michigan
>> > USA
>> of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
>
> Don't get upset, dxAce ! SWL loser !
>

Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!

BH

473. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:04:17 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151957056.999051.202820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81759

toTaLhAt wrote:

> Thank God for you Bruce Jensen

I appreciate this, really, but anything you see here is just li'l ol'
me. I wouldn't blame God for any of it ;-)

Bruce Jensen

474. local interference from cable or television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:07:45 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 81777

During a few listening sessions, I have noticed that when I can hear the television turn on in the apartment below me, local interference becomes much louder. Have any of you dealt with this issue in the past? Which component generates the interference - the wiring, the cable box, or the television? What remedies can I propose to my neighbors?

I'm using portable radios (Sony ICF SW7600GR, Eton E5, Kaito 1102) with the whip antennas, and typically the antennas are oriented horizontally.

--
weatherall

475. Re: Radio Hobby Links -via- the IBB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:09:22 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151957362.362481.131830@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81760

RHF wrote:
> Radio Hobby Links
> http://monitor.ibb.gov/radio.html
> -via- The International Broadcasting Bureau (IBB)
>
> link-up and check-them-out ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

I've seen that before :(

476. Radio Havana Cuba Frequencies and Broadcast Schedule for Arnie Coro's Dxers Unlimited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:09:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151957380.619861.232670@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81761

```
Radio Havana Cuba Frequencies and Broadcast Schedule
for Arnie Coro's Dxers Unlimited
DXERS => http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/freqtable.html
.
Radio Habana Cuba (RHC)
http://www.radiohc.cu/ingles/portada.htm
.
Radio Habana Cuba (RHC) English Broadcasts
http://www.radiohc.org/Distributions/english-programs.html
.
ABOUT - Radio Habana Cuba (RHC) - Source Wikipedia
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Habana_Cuba
.
. . . . . .. . . . . .
.
ABOUT - The "S I O" Codes => http://tinyurl.com/7up5u
S = Signal Strength
I = Interference
O = Overall Quality
SIO Codes => http://www.ewtn.com/radio/monitoring_form.htm
.
LIST - Global HF Transmitter Site Table
List of the World's Shortwave Radio Relay Stations (from HFCC)
http://www.radiobanter.com/showthread.php?t=28900
.
INFO - "Grey Line" Map and Near-Real-Time "MUF" Map
http://dx.qsl.net/propagation/propagation.html
.
QSL - Information Pages - Cuba (CUB)
http://www.schoechi.de/ac-cub.html#R%20Habana%20Cuba
.
REPORTING - QSLs from DXing.Com
http://www.dxing.com/reportin.htm
.
What is a QSL Card? - Radio Netherlands
http://www2.rnw.nl/rnw/en/features/media/practical/what_.html
.
QSL - Hints
http://www2.rnw.nl/rnw/en/features/media/practical/hints.html
.
Writing Shortwave Listener Reception Reports
and Obtaining QSL Cards
http://www.inwit.com/inwit/links/shortwavereceptionreport.html
```

.
What is a proper Shortwave Reception Report for a QSL ?
http://www.hard-core-dx.com/nordicdx/dxlab/qsl_id.html
.
QSL Report Form (PDF File) - BCL News .It
http://www.bclnews.it/form/inglese.pdf
.
WELCOME TO : Rec.Radio.Shortwave (Shortwave) FAQs
http://www.faqs.org/faqs/radio/monitoring/shortwave-faq/
.
.
Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie - iane ~ RHF
.
Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
.
The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
.
|
|
|
/ \
.......!.......

477. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:17:37 UTC
From: streetlords1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151957857.317573.175990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81762

David Eduardo wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
> >
>
> HD virtually destroys AM Dxing if you have any stations with HD on in your
> immediate area and if they approve night usage of HD.

How wrong you are !

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

And IBOC will never take off, especially at night, because it does not
propagate well over sky waves ! Sorry !

478. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:21:52 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958112.035333.281830@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81763

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's little fake
> Hispanic sock puppet wrote:
>
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:1151910352.995965.98500@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > Start Listening to the Radio.
> > >
> > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > >
> > > As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > >
> > > IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> >
> > If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
> > measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In fact,
> > of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
> > market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such as
> > many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
> > "behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and, thus,
> > has a local signal.
> >
> > The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
> > stations reported would be just about zero.
>
> Still trotting out your dog and pony show, oh fake one?
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Try to get that into your bean counting brain.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Wrong !

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

479. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:23:10 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958190.243812.4490@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81764

David Eduardo wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
> >
>
> HD virtually destroys AM Dxing if you have any stations with HD on in your
> immediate area and if they approve night usage of HD.

Wrong, loser !

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

480. Re: "Garbage" {little gigo} - Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:24:08 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958248.917679.307210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81765

```
RHF wrote:
> "Garbage" {little gigo}
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > David wrote:
> > >
> > > > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > > >Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > > >
> > > > >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > > >
> > > > >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > > >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > > >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > > >
> > > > >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > > >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > > >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > > >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > > >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > > > >
> > > > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > > > sector.
> > >
> > > You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
> > >
> > > Take your meds.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
>
> - So, how does it feel to have spent all that money
> - on the dying hobby of SW DXing ?
```

>
> - Your hobby is dying, and mine shall live on !
>
> Hobby ? -Butt- All That You Are Is A Sad Long 'plastic' Dildo !
> .
> .
> . .

Getting upset, loser ? SWL is a dying hobby !

481. - F Y I - Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:26:53 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151958413.580782.212940@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81766

- F Y I - For One and All,

Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/

- Note-About-The - "Open Directory Project"
DMOZ => http://dmoz.org/about.html

* Web -controlled Shortwave Receivers - http://www.dxtuners.com
A network of web-controlled SW receivers around the world. Tune the
receivers with your browser for live audio.
* The Listening Post - Shortwave -
http://www.chilton.com/scripts/radio/R8-receiver
Interactive web-controlled Drake R-8 allows 5 and 30 second live sound
bites, details of last 25 frequencies selected by listeners, and
information about tuning controls.
* DXing.com - http://www.dxing.com/
Compendium of radio monitoring information, including general
beginner's information, international broadcasting news, and resources
to monitoring hobbyists of all stripes, including ham radio.
* Spy Centre - http://www.spynumbers.com/
A resource for information about Shortwave Spy Number Stations.
* Radio Portal - http://www.radio-portal.org/
Interactive search engine for HAM radio operators and shortwave
listeners. Offers information on all frequency ranges from VLF to UHF.
* On The Shortwaves - http://www.ontheshortwaves.com/
Devoted to the history of shortwave radio. Features early radio
articles, book reviews, and other references for DXers and collectors.
* The Overcomer - http://www.overcomerministry.org
International broadcast of prophecy. Includes shortwave, satellite and
live internet broadcasting.
* HFCC - High Frequency Co-ordination Committee - http://www.hfcc.org
Co-ordinates transmission schedules of about 60 organizations from more
than 30 countries.
* Save the BBC World Service - http://saveBBC.org
Website of listeners who are opposed by the decision by the BBC World
Service to end shortwave broadcasting to North America, Australia and
New Zealand in July 2001.
* European Gospel Shortwave Radio - http://www.egradio.org
Shortwave Gospel radio resource with schedules, frequencies and links.
* AsiaWaves - http://www.asiawaves.net
Directory and frequency listings of radio and television stations in
South and Southeast Asia.
* Tropical Radio Stations -
http://194.252.88.3/rswebpri.nsf/sivut/tropical.html

Comprehensive List of Tropical Band Stations. Comments in Finnish.
* DX Antwerp - http://www.dx-antwerp.com
A Flemish association Of SWL enthusiasts. Features club information, photos, logs, and DX bulletins. [English]
* TRS - http://trsc.com/
SWL information, frequencies, propagation data, DX events, QSL gallery, downloads and links.
* Amateur Radio World-Wide - NW7US - http://www.hfradio.org/
HF radio propagation, operation, equipment, as well as amateur (HAM) radio in general, and also a large collection of SWL, Shortwave and DXing resources.
* WTS - Where's That Station? - http://www.dobe.com/wts/
Windows based receiving utility for mediumwave DXers. Includes software overview and screen shots, downloads, online support, DXing resources and contact information.
* Intereval Signals Archive - http://www.intervalsignals.net
Interval signals, signature tunes, and identification announcements from international, domestic, and clandestine radio stations around the world. Requires Realplayer G2.
* Shortwave Listeners Service - http://people.zeelandnet.nl/cor19vs/
Shortwave frequency guides, NAVTEX schedules, callsign database and modification information on the JRC-NRD515.
* DX Band-1TV and Band-2 Radio - http://www.g4fbz.freeserve.co.uk
Band-1 TV and Band-2 FM radio reception via tropo, meteor scatter and sporadic E.
* Coastal Radio - http://www.coastalradio.org.uk/
Worldwide marine and coastal radio information, including frequency lists, logs, and links to live audio.
* Spy Number Stations - http://home.freeuk.com/spook007
Numbers stations, shortwave spy stations, spooks, Russian KGB and The Lincolnshire Poacher, among others.
* IntervalSignal Database - http://www.intervalsignals.org/html/e_index.html
Interval signals, jingles, and station announcements of national and international shortwave radio stations.
* Shortwave Monitoring Station - http://www.fading.de/
Resource for SWL enthusiasts features comprehensive information, reception tips, international broadcasting schedules, plus a QSL gallery, audio recordings, photos and links. [English-German-French]
* Shortwave World Band Listening - http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwave.html
A guide for shortwave world band radio enthusiasts.
* Universal DX League - http://www.geocities.com/udxl
South Asia-based resource for QSL and club information, plus helpful DX links.
* The DX Home Page - http://dxing.de
>From Klaus-Dieter Scholz, includes DSWCI news, shortwave schedules, station news, radio station addresses, ITU abbreviations list and tips for shortwave newcomers.
* R.L. Drake Virtual Museum - http://www.dproducts.be/Drake_Museum

Presents a Drake online catalog and illustrations covering products
from the 1958 R1-A to the present R8 line, including accessories.
* KA3NRX Shortwave Radio Links -
http://www.angelfire.com/electronic/shortwaveradio/
Links to shortwave radio stations around the world.
* Short Wave Listeners Delight - http://www.ozemail.com.au/~ventmond/
A listing of military and civil HF Frequencies from Australia and
around the world. Includes US DoD(GHFS, MILSAT, MYSTIC STAR), and
RAAF(AOCS).
* International short wave contesting information -
http://www.handrack.net/contest/
A database with rules and results of all international short wave
contests.
* Adelaide CBD - http://www.backpacknuke.com/radio/
Radio stations that can be heard from the Adelaide CBD during daylight
hours on the MF, HF and VHF broadcast radio bands. Includes a channel
and call sign reference for national and international DXers.

Sub - Categories within Shortwave and DX Listening :
* DX and Radio Groups (38)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_and_Radio_G
roups/
* DX Listening (35)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_Listening/
* Equipment (68)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Equipment/
* Frequency, Schedule and Programming Guides (24)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Frequency,_Sch
edule_and_Programming_Guides/
* Ham Radio (2909)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Amateur/
* International Broadcasters (71)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/International_Broadcasters/
* Pirate Radio (47)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Formats/Pirate_Radio/
* Regional Radio (1384)
http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Regional/
.
.
Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie - iane ~ RHF
.
Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
.
The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233



482. Re: Why listen to shortwave when you can use the internet to listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:28:53 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958533.765751.18230@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81767

RHF wrote:
> m II wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> > > "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:...
> > >> <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >>
> > >>> SW IS DYING !
> > >>> SW IS DEAD !
> > >>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> > >>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> > >>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> > >>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> > >>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> > >>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Your starting to really break down. I'd get on the phone and call a shrink
> > > > before it's too late.
> > >
> >
> > - Heck..it's clearer and more readable than RHF's stuff.
> > - mike
> >
> M II,
>
> There you go again - Thinking While Being Can-A-Duha-Ian !
>
> stuff is Stuff - STUFF IT ! ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

You are getting upset, SWL loser ! Get a real hobby - one that is not
dying !

AM DXing shall live forever !
SWL is a dying hobby !
IBOC does not affect AM Dxing !
IBOC will never take off !

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

483. Re: GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > StreetLords1 - a radio - Is A Radio - IS A RADIO !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:30:15 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958615.658087.322230@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81768

```
RHF wrote:
> GSW > "Garbage" {little gigo} > StreetLords1
>
> a radio - Is A Radio - IS A RADIO !
>
> But My Radio Is Always Better Than - your radio [.]
>
> now go tote your garbage ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> streetlords1@aol.com wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > "Father Mike" <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in message
> > > news:44A95A0B.6D314D2F@theseminary.net...
> > > > Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > > >
> > > > ========================================================================
> > > >
> > > > Now, Brian! did your Mother say you could play with the troll?
> > >
> > >
> > > I thought she forgot something. : )
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > The Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or the 2010 - sucker !

Your Eaton Shit E1 will be collecting dust, when SW goes DRM ! Sucker !
```

484. Re: - F Y I - Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:35:22 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151958922.869694.109230@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81769

RHF wrote:
> - F Y I - For One and All,
>
> Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/
>
> - Note-About-The - "Open Directory Project"
> DMOZ => http://dmoz.org/about.html
>
> * Web -controlled Shortwave Receivers - http://www.dxtuners.com
> A network of web-controlled SW receivers around the world. Tune the
> receivers with your browser for live audio.
> * The Listening Post - Shortwave -
> http://www.chilton.com/scripts/radio/R8-receiver
> Interactive web-controlled Drake R-8 allows 5 and 30 second live sound
> bites, details of last 25 frequencies selected by listeners, and
> information about tuning controls.
> * DXing.com - http://www.dxing.com/
> Compendium of radio monitoring information, including general
> beginner's information, international broadcasting news, and resources
> to monitoring hobbyists of all stripes, including ham radio.
> * Spy Centre - http://www.spynumbers.com/
> A resource for information about Shortwave Spy Number Stations.
> * Radio Portal - http://www.radio-portal.org/
> Interactive search engine for HAM radio operators and shortwave
> listeners. Offers information on all frequency ranges from VLF to UHF.
> * On The Shortwaves - http://www.ontheshortwaves.com/
> Devoted to the history of shortwave radio. Features early radio
> articles, book reviews, and other references for DXers and collectors.
> * The Overcomer - http://www.overcomerministry.org
> International broadcast of prophecy. Includes shortwave, satellite and
> live internet broadcasting.
> * HFCC - High Frequency Co-ordination Committee - http://www.hfcc.org
> Co-ordinates transmission schedules of about 60 organizations from more
> than 30 countries.
> * Save the BBC World Service - http://saveBBC.org
> Website of listeners who are opposed by the decision by the BBC World
> Service to end shortwave broadcasting to North America, Australia and
> New Zealand in July 2001.
> * European Gospel Shortwave Radio - http://www.egradio.org
> Shortwave Gospel radio resource with schedules, frequencies and links.
> * AsiaWaves - http://www.asiawaves.net
> Directory and frequency listings of radio and television stations in
> South and Southeast Asia.

> * Tropical Radio Stations -
> http://194.252.88.3/rswebpri.nsf/sivut/tropical.html
> Comprehensive List of Tropical Band Stations. Comments in Finnish.
> * DX Antwerp - http://www.dx-antwerp.com
> A Flemish association Of SWL enthusiasts. Features club information,
> photos, logs, and DX bulletins. [English]
> * TRS - http://trsc.com/
> SWL information, frequencies, propagation data, DX events, QSL gallery,
> downloads and links.
> * Amateur Radio World-Wide - NW7US - http://www.hfradio.org/
> HF radio propagation, operation, equipment, as well as amateur (HAM)
> radio in general, and also a large collection of SWL, Shortwave and
> DXing resources.
> * WTS - Where's That Station? - http://www.dobe.com/wts/
> Windows based receiving utility for mediumwave DXers. Includes software
> overview and screen shots, downloads, online support, DXing resources
> and contact information.
> * Intereval Signals Archive - http://www.intervalsignals.net
> Interval signals, signature tunes, and identification announcements
> from international, domestic, and clandestine radio stations around the
> world. Requires Realplayer G2.
> * Shortwave Listeners Service - http://people.zeelandnet.nl/cor19vs/
> Shortwave frequency guides, NAVTEX schedules, callsign database and
> modification information on the JRC-NRD515.
> * DX Band-1TV and Band-2 Radio - http://www.g4fbz.freeserve.co.uk
> Band-1 TV and Band-2 FM radio reception via tropo, meteor scatter and
> sporadic E.
> * Coastal Radio - http://www.coastalradio.org.uk/
> Worldwide marine and coastal radio information, including frequency
> lists, logs, and links to live audio.
> * Spy Number Stations - http://home.freeuk.com/spook007
> Numbers stations, shortwave spy stations, spooks, Russian KGB and The
> Lincolnshire Poacher, among others.
> * IntervalSignal Database -
> http://www.intervalsignals.org/html/e_index.html
> Interval signals, jingles, and station announcements of national and
> international shortwave radio stations.
> * Shortwave Monitoring Station - http://www.fading.de/
> Resource for SWL enthusiasts features comprehensive information,
> reception tips, international broadcasting schedules, plus a QSL
> gallery, audio recordings, photos and links. [English-German-French]
> * Shortwave World Band Listening -
> http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwave.html
> A guide for shortwave world band radio enthusiasts.
> * Universal DX League - http://www.geocities.com/udxl
> South Asia-based resource for QSL and club information, plus helpful DX
> links.
> * The DX Home Page - http://dxing.de
> >From Klaus-Dieter Scholz, includes DSWCI news, shortwave schedules,
> station news, radio station addresses, ITU abbreviations list and tips

> for shortwave newcomers.
> * R.L. Drake Virtual Museum - http://www.dproducts.be/Drake_Museum
> Presents a Drake online catalog and illustrations covering products
> from the 1958 R1-A to the present R8 line, including accessories.
> * KA3NRX Shortwave Radio Links -
> http://www.angelfire.com/electronic/shortwaveradio/
> Links to shortwave radio stations around the world.
> * Short Wave Listeners Delight - http://www.ozemail.com.au/~ventmond/
> A listing of military and civil HF Frequencies from Australia and
> around the world. Includes US DoD(GHFS, MILSAT, MYSTIC STAR), and
> RAAF(AOCS).
> * International short wave contesting information -
> http://www.handrack.net/contest/
> A database with rules and results of all international short wave
> contests.
> * Adelaide CBD - http://www.backpacknuke.com/radio/
> Radio stations that can be heard from the Adelaide CBD during daylight
> hours on the MF, HF and VHF broadcast radio bands. Includes a channel
> and call sign reference for national and international DXers.
>
> Sub - Categories within Shortwave and DX Listening :
> * DX and Radio Groups (38)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_and_Radio
_Groups/
> * DX Listening (35)
>
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_Listening/
> * Equipment (68)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Equipment/
> * Frequency, Schedule and Programming Guides (24)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Frequency,_S
chedule_and_Programming_Guides/
> * Ham Radio (2909)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Amateur/
> * International Broadcasters (71)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/International_Broadcasters/
> * Pirate Radio (47)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Formats/Pirate_Radio/
> * Regional Radio (1384)
> http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Regional/
> .
> .
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie - iane ~ RHF
> .
> Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> .
> The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm

> May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> .
> |
> |
> |

Jesus Christ RHF, you need to get a life !
> / \
> ....!.......

485. Re: "Garbage" {little gigo} - Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:44:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151959450.499314.287200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81770

```
Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > "Garbage" {little gigo}
> > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > David wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > > > >Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > > > >
> > > > > >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > > > >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > > > >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > > > >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > > > >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > > > >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > > > >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > > > > >
> > > > > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > > > > sector.
> > > > >
> > > > You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
> > > >
> > > Take your meds.
> > > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> > >
> >
> - So, how does it feel to have spent all that money
```

> > - on the dying hobby of SW DXing ?
> >
> > - Your hobby is dying, and mine shall live on !
> >
> > Hobby ? -Butt- All That You Are Is A Sad Long 'plastic' Dildo !
> > .
> > .
> > . .
>

- Getting upset, loser ? SWL is a dying hobby !

Hobbies are simply that Hobbies. -and- Life Goes On !

-Butt- "Garbage" {little gigo} :
All That You Are Is A Sad Lonely 'plastic' Dildo !

"Garbage" {little gigo} :
While I Attempt To Be Profound - you are simply crudely profane.
-a-la- H. Randdolph Holder -vice- Howard Stern
-and- FWIW - You Are NO Howie [.]

Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

something to think about ~ RHF
.
.
. .

486. Re: "Garbage" {little gigo} - Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:47:39 UTC
From: madballa5365@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151959659.612304.79360@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81771

```
RHF wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> > > "Garbage" {little gigo}
> > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > .
> > > .
> > > . .
> > >
> > > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > David wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
> > > > > >>Start Listening to the Radio.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
> > > > > >>of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
> > > > > >>and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
> > > > > >>Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
> > > > > >>Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
> > > > > >>increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
> > > > > >>- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
> > > > > > sector.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You need to get your world in order, Bubba!
> > > > >
> > > > > Take your meds.
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > >
> > >
```

> > > - So, how does it feel to have spent all that money
> > > - on the dying hobby of SW DXing ?
> > >
> > > - Your hobby is dying, and mine shall live on !
> > >
> > > Hobby ? -Butt- All That You Are Is A Sad Long 'plastic' Dildo !
> > > .
> > > .
> > > . .
> >
>
> - Getting upset, loser ? SWL is a dying hobby !
>
> Hobbies are simply that Hobbies. -and- Life Goes On !
>
> -Butt- "Garbage" {little gigo} :
> All That You Are Is A Sad Lonely 'plastic' Dildo !
>
> "Garbage" {little gigo} :
> While I Attempt To Be Profound - you are simply crudely profane.
> -a-la- H. Randdolph Holder -vice- Howard Stern
> -and- FWIW - You Are NO Howie [.]
>
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
> something to think about ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

Ok RHF - I'll think long-and-hard about your words of wisdom ! SWL loser !

487. Re: - F Y I - Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:50:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151959815.295654.5910@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81772

Shortwave Radio Related Positive Content

"Garbage" {little gigo} :
All That You Are Is A Sad Lonely 'plastic' Dildo !

Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

'garbage' {little gigo} now go tote your garbage
while the rest of us listen to our radios ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > - F Y I - For One and All,
> >
> > Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/
> >
> > - Note-About-The - "Open Directory Project"
> > DMOZ => http://dmoz.org/about.html
> >
> > * Web -controlled Shortwave Receivers - http://www.dxtuners.com
> > A network of web-controlled SW receivers around the world. Tune the
> > receivers with your browser for live audio.
> > * The Listening Post - Shortwave -
> > http://www.chilton.com/scripts/radio/R8-receiver
> > Interactive web-controlled Drake R-8 allows 5 and 30 second live sound
> > bites, details of last 25 frequencies selected by listeners, and
> > information about tuning controls.
> > * DXing.com - http://www.dxing.com/
> > Compendium of radio monitoring information, including general
> > beginner's information, international broadcasting news, and resources
> > to monitoring hobbyists of all stripes, including ham radio.
> > * Spy Centre - http://www.spynumbers.com/
> > A resource for information about Shortwave Spy Number Stations.
> > * Radio Portal - http://www.radio-portal.org/
> > Interactive search engine for HAM radio operators and shortwave
> > listeners. Offers information on all frequency ranges from VLF to UHF.
> > * On The Shortwaves - http://www.ontheshortwaves.com/
> > Devoted to the history of shortwave radio. Features early radio
> > articles, book reviews, and other references for DXers and collectors.
> > * The Overcomer - http://www.overcomerministry.org
> > International broadcast of prophecy. Includes shortwave, satellite and

> > live internet broadcasting.
> > * HFCC - High Frequency Co-ordination Committee - http://www.hfcc.org
> > Co-ordinates transmission schedules of about 60 organizations from more
> > than 30 countries.
> > * Save the BBC World Service - http://saveBBC.org
> > Website of listeners who are opposed by the decision by the BBC World
> > Service to end shortwave broadcasting to North America, Australia and
> > New Zealand in July 2001.
> > * European Gospel Shortwave Radio - http://www.egradio.org
> > Shortwave Gospel radio resource with schedules, frequencies and links.
> > * AsiaWaves - http://www.asiawaves.net
> > Directory and frequency listings of radio and television stations in
> > South and Southeast Asia.
> > * Tropical Radio Stations -
> > http://194.252.88.3/rswebpri.nsf/sivut/tropical.html
> > Comprehensive List of Tropical Band Stations. Comments in Finnish.
> > * DX Antwerp - http://www.dx-antwerp.com
> > A Flemish association Of SWL enthusiasts. Features club information,
> > photos, logs, and DX bulletins. [English]
> > * TRS - http://trsc.com/
> > SWL information, frequencies, propagation data, DX events, QSL gallery,
> > downloads and links.
> > * Amateur Radio World-Wide - NW7US - http://www.hfradio.org/
> > HF radio propagation, operation, equipment, as well as amateur (HAM)
> > radio in general, and also a large collection of SWL, Shortwave and
> > DXing resources.
> > * WTS - Where's That Station? - http://www.dobe.com/wts/
> > Windows based receiving utility for mediumwave DXers. Includes software
> > overview and screen shots, downloads, online support, DXing resources
> > and contact information.
> > * Intereval Signals Archive - http://www.intervalsignals.net
> > Interval signals, signature tunes, and identification announcements
> > from international, domestic, and clandestine radio stations around the
> > world. Requires Realplayer G2.
> > * Shortwave Listeners Service - http://people.zeelandnet.nl/cor19vs/
> > Shortwave frequency guides, NAVTEX schedules, callsign database and
> > modification information on the JRC-NRD515.
> > * DX Band-1TV and Band-2 Radio - http://www.g4fbz.freeserve.co.uk
> > Band-1 TV and Band-2 FM radio reception via tropo, meteor scatter and
> > sporadic E.
> > * Coastal Radio - http://www.coastalradio.org.uk/
> > Worldwide marine and coastal radio information, including frequency
> > lists, logs, and links to live audio.
> > * Spy Number Stations - http://home.freeuk.com/spook007
> > Numbers stations, shortwave spy stations, spooks, Russian KGB and The
> > Lincolnshire Poacher, among others.
> > * IntervalSignal Database -
> > http://www.intervalsignals.org/html/e_index.html
> > Interval signals, jingles, and station announcements of national and
> > international shortwave radio stations.

> > * Shortwave Monitoring Station - http://www.fading.de/
> > Resource for SWL enthusiasts features comprehensive information,
> > reception tips, international broadcasting schedules, plus a QSL
> > gallery, audio recordings, photos and links. [English-German-French]
> > * Shortwave World Band Listening -
> > http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwave.html
> > A guide for shortwave world band radio enthusiasts.
> > * Universal DX League - http://www.geocities.com/udxl
> > South Asia-based resource for QSL and club information, plus helpful DX
> > links.
> > * The DX Home Page - http://dxing.de
> > >From Klaus-Dieter Scholz, includes DSWCI news, shortwave schedules,
> > station news, radio station addresses, ITU abbreviations list and tips
> > for shortwave newcomers.
> > * R.L. Drake Virtual Museum - http://www.dproducts.be/Drake_Museum
> > Presents a Drake online catalog and illustrations covering products
> > from the 1958 R1-A to the present R8 line, including accessories.
> > * KA3NRX Shortwave Radio Links -
> > http://www.angelfire.com/electronic/shortwaveradio/
> > Links to shortwave radio stations around the world.
> > * Short Wave Listeners Delight - http://www.ozemail.com.au/~ventmond/
> > A listing of military and civil HF Frequencies from Australia and
> > around the world. Includes US DoD(GHFS, MILSAT, MYSTIC STAR), and
> > RAAF(AOCS).
> > * International short wave contesting information -
> > http://www.handrack.net/contest/
> > A database with rules and results of all international short wave
> > contests.
> > * Adelaide CBD - http://www.backpacknuke.com/radio/
> > Radio stations that can be heard from the Adelaide CBD during daylight
> > hours on the MF, HF and VHF broadcast radio bands. Includes a channel
> > and call sign reference for national and international DXers.
> >
> > Sub - Categories within Shortwave and DX Listening :
> > * DX and Radio Groups (38)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_and_Rad
io_Groups/
> > * DX Listening (35)
> >
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_Listening/
> > * Equipment (68)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Equipment/
> > * Frequency, Schedule and Programming Guides (24)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Frequency,
_Schedule_and_Programming_Guides/
> > * Ham Radio (2909)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Amateur/
> > * International Broadcasters (71)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/International_Broadcasters/
> > * Pirate Radio (47)

> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Formats/Pirate_Radio/
> > * Regional Radio (1384)
> > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Regional/
> > .
> > .
> > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie - iane ~ RHF
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> > SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > .
> > The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> > SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
> > May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> > have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> > .
> > |
> > |
> > |
> > / \
> > ....!.......

- Jesus Christ RHF, you need to get a life !

488. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 20:53:05 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-CEE3B2.13530503072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81773

In article <pan.2006.07.03.18.58.44.543000@gmail.com>,
Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello DxAce, RHF, Brian, Telemon, cuhulin, mike maghakian, and other
> contributers!
>
> Anyone hear any pirates or anything else worth tuning into today? All my
> usual ham bands seem to be dead but it looks like 11m is open, so I would
> assume 10m is as well, but I don't hear any hams - just beacons.
>
> Happy 4th!

Reception has been pretty variable for me during the day lately. Some regions are very good and some poor. Today regional reception has been very good on the 17 MHz band where the other day it was very poor even though the space weather did not indicate any problems.

Australia was weak on the 9 MHz band and they were very good on the 11 MHz band this morning.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

489. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:04:11 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-7C38D2.14041103072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81775

In article <1151957056.999051.202820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
"bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

> toTaLhAt wrote:
>
> > Thank God for you Bruce Jensen
>
> I appreciate this, really, but anything you see here is just li'l ol'
> me. I wouldn't blame God for any of it ;-)

You might want to reconsider your posting history with an endorsement
coming from such an individual.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

490. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:04:22 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151960662.222089.270440@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81774

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> an old friend.I do NOT have anything wrong.NASA is BROKEN!!!!!!!!
> cuhulin
yes you yes NASA is broken but it isn't going to HELL it is already
there

491. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:06:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151960794.887846.212370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

David wrote:
> On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 15:44:13 +0000 (UTC), kb9rqz@hotmail.com
> (kb9rqz@hotmail.com) wrote:
>

> >or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> Try taking the boxing gloves off before you type...

get that hook out of your mouth you were troled by a forger likely the
same one that was picking on Telmon a few weeks back

492. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:25:53 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151961953.805139.174920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81778

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1151957056.999051.202820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> "bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > toTaLhAt wrote:
> >
> > > Thank God for you Bruce Jensen
> >
> > I appreciate this, really, but anything you see here is just li'l ol'
> > me. I wouldn't blame God for any of it ;-)
>
> You might want to reconsider your posting history with an endorsement
> coming from such an individual.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Eh - my posting history is what it is. I have always been willing to
state my truthful opinion, and change it when better information comes
in. Can't expect more than that. In any case, for what he responded
to, I have no qualms about my opinion or its justification.

BJ

493. Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:42:31 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj42go5gneu38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81780

Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy - BBC had MI5 watch its staff

http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5-watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

494. Re: Burning the United Nations Flag This 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:44:52 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj46prjqrv05b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81782

What is an "American Flag"? Is that some kind of UN flag? - enough said

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:ZVZpg.3432$YN4.3205@fe03.lga...
>
> <Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151887726.840036.26840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > - Spoof on WBCQ at 01:15 UC . ..
> >
> > - Calling Limbaugh * co " Sluts of The American Right "
> >
>
> Maybe we should burn you and WBCQ!
>
> BH
>
>

495. Re: - F Y I - Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:45:33 UTC
From: madballa5365@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151963133.898561.43470@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81779

```
RHF wrote:
> Shortwave Radio Related Positive Content
>
> "Garbage" {little gigo} :
> All That You Are Is A Sad Lonely 'plastic' Dildo !
>
> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
> 'garbage' {little gigo} now go tote your garbage
> while the rest of us listen to our radios ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> > > - F Y I - For One and All,
> > >
> > > Shortwave and DX Listening WebPages from the Google Directory
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/
> > >
> > > - Note-About-The - "Open Directory Project"
> > > DMOZ => http://dmoz.org/about.html
> > >
> > > * Web -controlled Shortwave Receivers - http://www.dxtuners.com
> > > A network of web-controlled SW receivers around the world. Tune the
> > > receivers with your browser for live audio.
> > > * The Listening Post - Shortwave -
> > > http://www.chilton.com/scripts/radio/R8-receiver
> > > Interactive web-controlled Drake R-8 allows 5 and 30 second live sound
> > > bites, details of last 25 frequencies selected by listeners, and
> > > information about tuning controls.
> > > * DXing.com - http://www.dxing.com/
> > > Compendium of radio monitoring information, including general
> > > beginner's information, international broadcasting news, and resources
> > > to monitoring hobbyists of all stripes, including ham radio.
> > > * Spy Centre - http://www.spynumbers.com/
> > > A resource for information about Shortwave Spy Number Stations.
> > > * Radio Portal - http://www.radio-portal.org/
> > > Interactive search engine for HAM radio operators and shortwave
> > > listeners. Offers information on all frequency ranges from VLF to UHF.
> > > * On The Shortwaves - http://www.ontheshortwaves.com/
> > > Devoted to the history of shortwave radio. Features early radio
> > > articles, book reviews, and other references for DXers and collectors.
```

> > > * The Overcomer - http://www.overcomerministry.org
> > > International broadcast of prophecy. Includes shortwave, satellite and
> > > live internet broadcasting.
> > > * HFCC - High Frequency Co-ordination Committee - http://www.hfcc.org
> > > Co-ordinates transmission schedules of about 60 organizations from more
> > > than 30 countries.
> > > * Save the BBC World Service - http://saveBBC.org
> > > Website of listeners who are opposed by the decision by the BBC World
> > > Service to end shortwave broadcasting to North America, Australia and
> > > New Zealand in July 2001.
> > > * European Gospel Shortwave Radio - http://www.egradio.org
> > > Shortwave Gospel radio resource with schedules, frequencies and links.
> > > * AsiaWaves - http://www.asiawaves.net
> > > Directory and frequency listings of radio and television stations in
> > > South and Southeast Asia.
> > > * Tropical Radio Stations -
> > > http://194.252.88.3/rswebpri.nsf/sivut/tropical.html
> > > Comprehensive List of Tropical Band Stations. Comments in Finnish.
> > > * DX Antwerp - http://www.dx-antwerp.com
> > > A Flemish association Of SWL enthusiasts. Features club information,
> > > photos, logs, and DX bulletins. [English]
> > > * TRS - http://trsc.com/
> > > SWL information, frequencies, propagation data, DX events, QSL gallery,
> > > downloads and links.
> > > * Amateur Radio World-Wide - NW7US - http://www.hfradio.org/
> > > HF radio propagation, operation, equipment, as well as amateur (HAM)
> > > radio in general, and also a large collection of SWL, Shortwave and
> > > DXing resources.
> > > * WTS - Where's That Station? - http://www.dobe.com/wts/
> > > Windows based receiving utility for mediumwave DXers. Includes software
> > > overview and screen shots, downloads, online support, DXing resources
> > > and contact information.
> > > * Intereval Signals Archive - http://www.intervalsignals.net
> > > Interval signals, signature tunes, and identification announcements
> > > from international, domestic, and clandestine radio stations around the
> > > world. Requires Realplayer G2.
> > > * Shortwave Listeners Service - http://people.zeelandnet.nl/cor19vs/
> > > Shortwave frequency guides, NAVTEX schedules, callsign database and
> > > modification information on the JRC-NRD515.
> > > * DX Band-1TV and Band-2 Radio - http://www.g4fbz.freeserve.co.uk
> > > Band-1 TV and Band-2 FM radio reception via tropo, meteor scatter and
> > > sporadic E.
> > > * Coastal Radio - http://www.coastalradio.org.uk/
> > > Worldwide marine and coastal radio information, including frequency
> > > lists, logs, and links to live audio.
> > > * Spy Number Stations - http://home.freeuk.com/spook007
> > > Numbers stations, shortwave spy stations, spooks, Russian KGB and The
> > > Lincolnshire Poacher, among others.
> > > * IntervalSignal Database -
> > > http://www.intervalsignals.org/html/e_index.html

> > > Interval signals, jingles, and station announcements of national and
> > > international shortwave radio stations.
> > > * Shortwave Monitoring Station - http://www.fading.de/
> > > Resource for SWL enthusiasts features comprehensive information,
> > > reception tips, international broadcasting schedules, plus a QSL
> > > gallery, audio recordings, photos and links. [English-German-French]
> > > * Shortwave World Band Listening -
> > > http://www.hamuniverse.com/shortwave.html
> > > A guide for shortwave world band radio enthusiasts.
> > > * Universal DX League - http://www.geocities.com/udxl
> > > South Asia-based resource for QSL and club information, plus helpful DX
> > > links.
> > > * The DX Home Page - http://dxing.de
> > > >From Klaus-Dieter Scholz, includes DSWCI news, shortwave schedules,
> > > station news, radio station addresses, ITU abbreviations list and tips
> > > for shortwave newcomers.
> > > * R.L. Drake Virtual Museum - http://www.dproducts.be/Drake_Museum
> > > Presents a Drake online catalog and illustrations covering products
> > > from the 1958 R1-A to the present R8 line, including accessories.
> > > * KA3NRX Shortwave Radio Links -
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/electronic/shortwaveradio/
> > > Links to shortwave radio stations around the world.
> > > * Short Wave Listeners Delight - http://www.ozemail.com.au/~ventmond/
> > > A listing of military and civil HF Frequencies from Australia and
> > > around the world. Includes US DoD(GHFS, MILSAT, MYSTIC STAR), and
> > > RAAF(AOCS).
> > > * International short wave contesting information -
> > > http://www.handrack.net/contest/
> > > A database with rules and results of all international short wave
> > > contests.
> > > * Adelaide CBD - http://www.backpacknuke.com/radio/
> > > Radio stations that can be heard from the Adelaide CBD during daylight
> > > hours on the MF, HF and VHF broadcast radio bands. Includes a channel
> > > and call sign reference for national and international DXers.
> > >
> > > Sub - Categories within Shortwave and DX Listening :
> > > * DX and Radio Groups (38)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_and_R
adio_Groups/
> > > * DX Listening (35)
> > >
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/DX_Listening/
> > > * Equipment (68)
> > >
http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Equipment/
> > > * Frequency, Schedule and Programming Guides (24)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Shortwave_and_DX_Listening/Frequenc
y,_Schedule_and_Programming_Guides/
> > > * Ham Radio (2909)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Recreation/Radio/Amateur/

> > > * International Broadcasters (71)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/International_Broadcasters/
> > > * Pirate Radio (47)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Formats/Pirate_Radio/
> > > * Regional Radio (1384)
> > > http://directory.google.com/Top/Arts/Radio/Regional/
> > > .
> > > .
> > > Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie - iane ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> > > SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > > .
> > > The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> > > SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
> > > May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> > > have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> > > .
> > > |
> > > |
> > > |
> > > / \
> > > ....!.......
>
> - Jesus Christ RHF, you need to get a life !

You had better hurry, SWL is dying !

496. Re: local interference from cable or television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:47:44 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj4c6pbb2gh91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81784

I have read somewhere that a highpass filter (cheap at Radio Shack) placed inline on the offending TV's antenna input may, might, help somewhat.

"weatherall" <weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message news:weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> During a few listening sessions, I have noticed that when I can hear the
> television turn on in the apartment below me, local interference becomes
> much louder. Have any of you dealt with this issue in the past? Which
> component generates the interference - the wiring, the cable box, or
> the television? What remedies can I propose to my neighbors?
>
> I'm using portable radios (Sony ICF SW7600GR, Eton E5, Kaito 1102) with
> the whip antennas, and typically the antennas are oriented horizontally.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

497. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:48:14 UTC
From: madballa5365@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151963294.580229.271590@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81781

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151955109.291049.145530@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an old feind wrote:
> >> dxAce wrote:
> >> > "not kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> >> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> >> >
> >> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their hands.
> >> of they they do
> >> >
> >> > > you can even get unadvterised
> >> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> >> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> >> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> >> >
> >> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> >> >
> >> and you had to go out looking for trash to crespond to to spread you
> >> kind of filth
> >>
> >> > dxAce
> >> > Michigan
> >> > USA
> >> of course a coward doesnt sign any like his name
> >
> > Don't get upset, dxAce ! SWL loser !
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!
>
> BH

Not according to RadioIntel - SWL loser !
```

498. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 21:51:25 UTC
From: madballa5365@aol.com
Message-ID: <1151963485.682105.299530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81783

Brian Hill wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message > Brian Hill is ugly, ugly, ugly
> ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly,
> > ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly !
> >
> > SWL LOSERS !
> >
>
> Ahh!! Another sock pupet. And Remember Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!!
>
> BH

Oh, it's ugly !

499. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:00:57 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj54v261b0h35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81785

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote

> IBOC will have to sell the
> public on digital radio, requiring tens and tens of millions of analog
> AM/FM radios to be replaced by expensive (i.e., $200) digital radios -
> this is not going to happen (any idiot can see this).

Oh? It will happen. Especially when prices plummet much like electronic calculators, VCR's, CD & DVD players, cell phones and a host of other electronic devices. At which point the only type of AM/FM radio you will be able to buy will be an IBOC radio. Kiss your analog radio goodbye. Much like analog only UHF/VHF scanners are soon to be extinct.

BTW, If you need a VCR better buy one soon.

> Plus, one of the
> points of nighttime AM broadcasting is to reach a wide audience, which
> the digital portion would not. AM broadcasters would not be willing to
> give up a large portion of their audiience for digital radio. Even in
> Brenda Anne's supposed (but non-professional) example, Oregon is only a
> few hundred miles from San Francisco, and not considered AM Dxing.
> Also, that person's test receiver may be wide-banded, where a
> narrow-banded receiver would not be affected, as much.
> Any interference from the digital portion, would be at relatively close
> ranges only, and not affect AM DXing !
>

500. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:06:20 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151964380.464879.143110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81786

D Peter Maus wrote:
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >>>>>>> dxAce wrote:
> >>>>>>>> gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> - It looks like the quality smacks of the cheap shit Grundig S350 !
> >>>>>>>>> Just like the cheap shit S350, it's all about looks/asthestics ! Oh
> >>>>>>>>> wow, the
> >>>>>>>>> REDRUM has a great handle - boy, that is reason to buy this S350
> >>>>>>>>> look-alike
> >>>>>>>>> cheap shit receiver ! Does the handle smell, like on the S350 ?
> >>>>>>>> Do your Depends smell? If so, press the button by your bed, and have your care
giver
> >>>>>>>> come change them!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> LMFAO at the clueless 'tard boy.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> dxAce
> >>>>>>>> Michigan
> >>>>>>>> USA
> >>>>>>> SW IS DYING !
> >>>>>>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>>>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>>>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>>>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>>>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>>>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>>>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>> Gee. Now who sounds upset?
> >>>>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
> >>>>>
> >>>>> SW IS DYING !
> >>>>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>>>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>>>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>>>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>>>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>>>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !

> >>>>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES !
> >>>>>
> >>>> And if you're not listening, participating, or buying, why does that
> >>>> upset you so?
> >>> Is that the best you got, nerd boy !
> >>>
> >>> SW IS DYING !
> >>> SW IS DEAD !
> >>> YOUR HOBBY IS DEAD - YOU MISSED THE BOAT !
> >>> SELL YOUR RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !
> >>> YOUR EATON SHITS WILL BE EAT'N DUST !
> >>> SW PROGRAMMING SUCKS, UTILITIES ARE BORING !
> >>> HAM RADIO IS A DYING HOBBY !
> >>> MODERN RECEIVERS ARE CHEAP, OVER-PRICED PLASTIC BOXES
> >>>
> >>
> >> Your needle is stuck, there, Bubba. So upset you can't respond to a
> >> question.
> >>
> >> Must be a tough life.
> >
> > Something to be upset about ? I just laugh at all you nerds spending
> > hundreds and hundreds on a dying hobby !
> >
>
> If laughing was your true interest, you'd not be telling us to stop.
> You'd be seeking to insure your long term future entertainment.
>
> But you seem to be quite upset about it. Which suggests another
> purpose.

Just laughing at all you nerd balls, chasing a dying hobby ! AM DXing is forever, and IBOC will never happen ! DRM will render all of your analog receivers useless ! The SW bands are emptying out - 60 and 90 meter tropical bands, BBC, Voice of the Andes, to name a few ! You all missed the boat - I didn't ! Now, go back into your ham shack !

501. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:07:26 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151964446.815451.58560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81788

an old feind wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > D Peter Maus wrote:
> > >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>
> > > Something to be upset about ? I just laugh at all you nerds spending
> > > hundreds and hundreds on a dying hobby !
> > >
> >
> > If laughing was your true interest, you'd not be telling us to stop.
> > You'd be seeking to insure your long term future entertainment.
> >
> > But you seem to be quite upset about it. Which suggests another
> > purpose.
> he is upset about because (like Ham radio) he is upset that things are
> changing and so the hobby he wants to freeze everything is indeed dying
>
> it burns him that others simply don't it as dying but changing
>
> indeed in the HAM radio world you do in fact have people threatening
> murder over the coming changes (thankfully the folks doing so are so
> unstable as be only realy dangerous to themselves)

Given your receiver a facial lately ?

502. Re: local interference from cable or television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:07:31 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <6u4ja2l1smusmk6o61otvivs7gfi7m1573@4ax.com>
Source record: 81787

On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 20:07:45 +0000, weatherall
<weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>During a few listening sessions, I have noticed that when I can hear the
>television turn on in the apartment below me, local interference becomes
>much louder. Have any of you dealt with this issue in the past? Which
>component generates the interference - the wiring, the cable box, or
>the television? What remedies can I propose to my neighbors?
>
>I'm using portable radios (Sony ICF SW7600GR, Eton E5, Kaito 1102) with
>the whip antennas, and typically the antennas are oriented horizontally.

From what I can figure, it's the picture tube. At least that's been my
experience. Insufficient sheilding of cheap electronics.

It might help to move to a different electrical outlet. Or to a
different place in your apartment. Or perhaps trying battery
operation, off the power grid. Or moving your antenna.

bob
k5qwg

503. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:07:42 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj5hjpa9k9979@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81790

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote >
> It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
> DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
> happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers
at
> the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at
least
> as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll
have
> a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
> uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.
>

By your Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"... logic if Gitmo's confess
innocence they should be set free.

504. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:11:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oCgqg.126493$dW3.30056@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81789

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1151958190.243812.4490@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1151950473.728084.215710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Read the articles, loser ! IBOC does NOT affect AM DXing !
>> >
>>
>> HD virtually destroys AM Dxing if you have any stations with HD on in
>> your
>> immediate area and if they approve night usage of HD.
>
> Wrong, loser !

HD, when on the air (6 AM to 6 PM or sunrise to sunset, whichever gives longer hours) obliterates the adjacent channels for reception of analog or digital channels, and even covers second adjacents if you are near enough or the transmitter is powerful enough.

Broadcasters have made a choice to not serve fringe and non-primary signal listeners in order to implement AM HD. There is a knowing sacrifice, but most broadcasters on AM derive no revenue from out of market listening, so there is no monetary loss... but a big signal loss.

If HD is approved in some fashion for night use, such as a lower "mix" of digital signal at night, it will still ruin adjacent channel reception in a wide area.

505. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:11:52 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81793

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
>
> I see no complaints from the 'tard boys in CanaDuh nor from the 'tard boys
in the USA (posted here, at
> least) about the daily deaths of the Iraqi's who are being slaughtered by
either their fellow citizens or
> the "insurgents".
>

And you never will.

When Israel executes Palestinian leaders after Hamas executes an innocent
soldier...

Hamas has been firing rockets into Israel for many months.
Not a peep out of
Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Islamic/Communists.

All you hear from the UN is Israel did this, Israel do that, Israel stop...

BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!

506. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:12:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EDgqg.126494$dW3.62989@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81791

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151957857.317573.175990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
/
>
> And IBOC will never take off, especially at night, because it does not
> propagate well over sky waves ! Sorry !

Nobody cares if HD propagates by skywave. AM staitons do not make money from
the skywave, and wish it could be eliminated, in fact.
>

507. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:12:54 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-47A9E5.15125403072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81792

In article <1151961953.805139.174920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
"bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <1151957056.999051.202820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> > "bpnjensen" <bpnjensen@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > toTaLhAt wrote:
> > >
> > > > Thank God for you Bruce Jensen
> > >
> > > I appreciate this, really, but anything you see here is just li'l
> > > ol' me. I wouldn't blame God for any of it ;-)
> >
> > You might want to reconsider your posting history with an
> > endorsement coming from such an individual.
> >
>
> Eh - my posting history is what it is. I have always been willing to
> state my truthful opinion, and change it when better information
> comes in. Can't expect more than that. In any case, for what he
> responded to, I have no qualms about my opinion or its justification.

OK more to the point. He is a Trolling idiot and he likes what you do
so maybe you should rethink what you post here.

There is nothing to justify if you post news and information on the
topic of the news group. If it is your opinion then state it and move
on.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

508. Re: falun gong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:16:51 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj62p64ompd65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81797

And your point is? It is OK to brutally murder Falun Gong practitioners?
Do you work for the Chinese Communist Party?

I seem to recall that Jesus Christ walked on water, healed the crippled and
made the blind see again...

Hmmm, in Communist ideology THE Communist Party is your God - literally.

"M Peraaho" <mperaaho@northlc.com> wrote in message
news:taGdnTvv0vYEdjjZnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@trueband.net...
> Falun gong, possibly good for meditation or exercise, is run by a
certified
> nut job. Probably found a way to line his pockets with $$$. Great Leader,
> Li Hongzhi says he aquired supernatural powers while an eight year old. Li
> Hongzhi says he can levitate and make himself invisible by thinking "no
one
> can see me." Also he claims to control other people's movements by thought
> and move himself by thought alone.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falun_Gong
>
> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/asia-pacific/1223317.stm
>
>

509. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:20:31 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-7E3930.15203103072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81795

In article <12aj42go5gneu38@corp.supernews.com>,
"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

> Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy - BBC had MI5 watch its staff
>
> http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5-
> watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

You can stop your links getting busted by putting the less than and
more than brackets around it like I did below.

<http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-
mi5-watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html>

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

510. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:20:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9Lgqg.126496$dW3.57315@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81794

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:96qia2tahsnlihad9ij4nu3tv6lr1mtuoh@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:49:10 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>If you look at the ratings for any American rated market, there is no
>>measurable listening to our of market stations across the country. In
>>fact,
>>of 13,500 stations, less that 400 showed up in ratings outside the home
>>market. And, usually when this happens it is in a contiguous market, such
>>as
>>many LA stations showing up in Riverside / San Bernardino... which is
>>"behind" the mountain where the major LA FMs have their antennas, and,
>>thus,
>>has a local signal.
>>
>>The amount of increase for the local stations were NO listening to outside
>>stations reported would be just about zero.
>>
>>
> Ratings are BS. In Los Angeles, how many people are required to make
> a 0.1? Are you willing to tell this many people they don't deserve
> to be able to listen to the radio because they live more than 40 miles
> from the downtown post office?

A 0.1 is below the level "reported" by Arbitron. Minimum reporting levels are around a 0.3 which means significant mentions in a range of about 12 diaries. That would be projected to mean about 15,000 people out of nearly 11 million persons 12+ in LA.

Ratings are not BS, as they are intended to help ad buyers put a value on what they purchase. For that use, they are as accurate as needed, whether you like them or not. Ratings are not intended to provide any benefit to the listener... it is only Americans' obcession with Top 10 lists that makes this stuff be published in the newspapers and such.

Considering that any "viable" LA station has a cume of over a half-million, any facility that can not get into 10 diaries is generally not going to survive as a commercial, non-commerical or out of market signal.

The fact is, there is only minimal listening to out of market stations. The reason is double... first, most stations make no effort to serve outside the home market. Second, the US allocation system uses relatively low power levels and many markets are bigger than many of the local signals can

cover... without even considering out of market listening. The FCC originally dreamed up low power levels to force stations to be local and to allow every community to have one or more stations. Unfortunately, the model was devised well before urban sprawl essentially castrated many US stations.

511. (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:21:07 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151965267.714629.128190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81796

BpnJ,

We who would defend the Flag will come over and Paint
your House Red-White-and-Blue ! -and- Gladly stand aside
when the Left-Wing Rabble all come by to Burn-it-Down !

Often They {Symbols and Words} Are Intertwined in the
Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Them Both : Clearly
-if- the Liberals and Invent the Concept of Hate Speech
- Then the More Moderate Members of Our American
Society can Stand-Up for the Very Real Acts of Hate and
Defiance by Those Who's Sole Existence is To Destroy
America the Land That They Love.

BpnJ - The US Constitution is just a bunch of Words -but-
It is a Symbol of the Promise of America's Freedoms !
- - - So you would be all for the Enlightened Liberals
and Left-Wing Wacko Radicals to be able to go to
Washington DC and Burn the US Constitution as an
Act of Freedom of Speech ! - NOT !

I Say : God {Allah} Bless America -and-
Our All American Symbols - Amen !

Symbols Like Words Have Meaning - Think About It ~ RHF
.
.
. .

bpnjensen wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> > is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the
> > government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> > so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> > front of me.
> >
> > BH
>
> I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.

>
> Bruce Jensen

512. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:22:41 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3C3E6F.15224103072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81648

In article <1151963485.682105.299530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
madballa5365@aol.com wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
> > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message > Brian Hill is ugly, ugly, ugly
> > ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly,
> > > ugly, ugly, ugly, ugly !
> > >
> > > SWL LOSERS !
> > >
> >
> > Ahh!! Another sock pupet. And Remember Eton E Uno is NUMERO UNO!!!!
> >
> > BH
>
> Oh, it's ugly !

The kill file goes up another click.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

513. Re: Burning the United Nations Flag This 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:24:35 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0F33FA.15243503072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81649

In article <12aj46prjqrv05b@corp.supernews.com>,
"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> news:ZVZpg.3432$YN4.3205@fe03.lga...
> >
> > <Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151887726.840036.26840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > - Spoof on WBCQ at 01:15 UC . ..
> > >
> > > - Calling Limbaugh * co " Sluts of The American Right "
> > >
> >
> > Maybe we should burn you and WBCQ!
> >
> What is an "American Flag"? Is that some kind of UN flag? - enough said

Looking for a problem? If so let us all know so we can Plonk you.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

514. Re: falun gong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:31:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F2A26D.15313303072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81650

In article <12aj62p64ompd65@corp.supernews.com>,
"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

> And your point is?

< Snip >

That you are another nut case political off topic poster and just
looking for trouble. You could go to a political topic news group but
you would rather bother us here in this one.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

515. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:39:09 UTC
From: constans@geocities.com
Message-ID: <AA5A874380.808388.A38880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 3 Jul 2006 14:48:14 -0700, madballa5365@aol.com <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote:
>
> Not according to RadioIntel - SWL loser !

I looked inthere but coludnt find the bstard. cahn you giev a link?
fuking cb loser must be there.

516. Re: If Democrats today were alive during WWII, we'd be speaking German

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:45:00 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj7njb2n9k824@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81653

"Bob Miller" <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote in message
news:48n3a2l3b4r3ssr84ja6pe3dmm9d625aq6@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 17:28:40 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Bob Miller" <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote
> >> On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 00:45:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >> >The enemy within are the democrats.
> >>
> >> Democrats were not only alive during WWII, they won the war.
> >>
> >> What a doofus... some people are just ignorant...
> >>
> >> bob
> >> k5qwg
> >
> >That was the old Democratic Party.
>
> Well, well, I'm glad to hear the man who invented the Fireside Chat,
> the New Deal, Social Security and the WPA is now looked upon as a
> conservative :-)
>
> bob
> k5qwg

The "NEW" Democratic Party high-jacked by
Left-wingers/Liberals/"Progressives"/Socialists/Communists.

Please explain you logic? Where did I say they were "conservative"?
You take a Great Leap from patriotic Democrates to Conservatives.

Well, well, I'm glad to hear that Conservatives are looked upon as Patriots.

517. 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:48:52 UTC
From: Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97F5A0E0DCFFEkevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>
Source record: 81652

I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about terrorism.
They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find anything that looks
right on eibi.

Thanks,

518. Re: 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:53:55 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12aj88ad7frke97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81656

WHRI from Indiana - 20+S9 here

"Kevin Garrett" <Um@nothanks.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97F5A0E0DCFFEkevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156...
> I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about terrorism.
> They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find anything that looks
> right on eibi.
>
> Thanks,

519. Re: 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:55:08 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <gfhqg.2520$PE1.2050@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81654

"Kevin Garrett" <Um@nothanks.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97F5A0E0DCFFEkevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156...
> I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about terrorism.
> They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find anything that looks
> right on eibi.
>
> Thanks,

WHRI Cypress Creek, South Carolina I think.

520. EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 22:57:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A9A0F6.A00C6F98@milestones.com>
Source record: 81655

The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 18 May.

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

521. Re: 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:00:21 UTC
From: Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97F5A2D3926C2kevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>
Source record: 81657

Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com> wrote in
news:Xns97F5A0E0DCFFEkevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156:

> I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about
> terrorism. They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find
> anything that looks right on eibi.
>
> Thanks,
>

Damn World Harvest!

522. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:14:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81658

99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch of
FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY BALONEY
CRAP.
cuhulin

523. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:17:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A9A58A-522@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81659

Brian Hill looks like a nice respectable Gentleman to me.
cuhulin

524. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:24:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A9A724-525@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81660

y'all dont know what the h..l I look like and I intend to keep it that
way too.If you come to my house,nobody is here except my dog inside
barking at you.SIC em,Blueberry! WOO WOO WOOF!
cuhulin

525. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:42:11 UTC
From: m II <C@In.The.Hat>
Message-ID: <nXhqg.96000$I61.16225@clgrps13>
Source record: 81661

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Brian Hill looks like a nice respectable Gentleman to me.
> cuhulin
>

If so, why do your other personalities on this forum pick on him so much?

mike

526. Re: Burning the American Flag This 4rtth of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:43:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A9ABBE-530@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81663

If you have ask,what is the American Flag? It is something you will never understand.
cuhulin

527. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-03 23:51:43 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <j4iqg.61585$fb2.57356@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81662

That didn't work, Telamon...

> You can stop your links getting busted by putting the less than and
> more than brackets around it like I did below.
>
> <http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-
> mi5-watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html>
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

528. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 00:09:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151971774.205719.255120@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

constans@geocities.com wrote:
more effort to borrow my name

529. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 00:18:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8cc0b$8a1$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81665

"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in message
news:12aj5hjpa9k9979@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote >
>> It's been on the news all day (including the Pentagon Channel on the AFN
>> DBS) that one of the participants in this opened up and admitted what
>> happened during a counseling session regarding the deaths of the soldiers
> at
>> the check point. At that point, the trial is pretty much academic, at
> least
>> as far as the one that admitted what his participation was. Yes, he'll
> have
>> a court martial, with all his rights observed (as well he should), but an
>> uncoerced confession is pretty damning evidence.
>>
>
> By your Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"... logic if Gitmo's confess
> innocence they should be set free.
>

Excuse me? Your logic is most specious. There is nothing in my statement
that says anything about anyone confessing and being set free. And how is it
"left wing/Liberal/Progressive logic" that an accused has a right to a trail
(this is a part of both our Constitution and of the Uniform Code of Military
Justice)? Or perhaps you are talking about how an uncoerced confession is
perhaps NOT damning evidence?

530. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 00:32:57 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8ccs0$8jf$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81666

```
<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151947012.598879.59510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> RHF wrote:
>> "Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
>> Start Listening to the Radio.
>>
>> Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>>
>> As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
>> of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
>> and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
>>
>> IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
>> Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
>> Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
>> increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
>> - - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>>
>> yes it is that simple ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>> . .
>>
>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>> > Brenda Ann wrote:
>> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > > message
>> > > news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
>> > > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
>> > > >> in
>> > > >> message
>> > > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Steve wrote:
>> > > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> > > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet
>> > > >> >> > countries,
>> > > >> >> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and
>> > > >> >> > those
>> > > >> >> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
>> > > >> >>
```

>> > > >> >> It still beats television.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
>> > > >> > your
>> > > >> > money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing
>> > > >> > beats SW
>> > > >> > DXing, anytime !
>> > > >> >
>> > > >>
>> > > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
>> > > >> chagrin).
>> > > >> With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it won't be
>> > > >> long now
>> > > >> before all you hear on the AM band at night will be IBOC hash. :(
>> > > >
>> > > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus,
>> > > > the
>> > > > digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so it
>> > > > will
>> > > > NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
>> > >
>> > > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside,
>> > > OR, a
>> > > leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world (all
>> > > from AM
>> > > band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has started
>> > > testing IBOC
>> > > at night, and he can no longer hear anything but IBOC hash on 800 and
>> > > 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC, the audio quality of
>> > > the AM
>> > > part of their signal deteriorates dramatically. In addition, another
>> > > friend
>> > > in New York City reports that at least two stations in that city are
>> > > testing
>> > > IBOC at night and that DX of stations either side of those is now
>> > > impossible
>> > > while IBOC is running. I don't know where you get your information
>> > > about the
>> > > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
>> > > IBOC
>> > > will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
>> >
>> > So, you are saying the articles, plus many others I have rad, are wrong
>> > ? Sorry, it is YOU that is wrong ! IBOC does not propagate long
>> > distances, so it will not affect AM DXing, plus the digital poart is
>> > only 1/100,as strong as, the analog part. YOU are wrong !
>
> Wrong ! Read the articles, dumbass !
>

One again, imperical, real life data trumps your articles. Grow up.

531. Re: (OT) : GSW - Keep Shooting -Cause You Ain't Scored Yet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 00:39:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151973584.700546.269800@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81667

SWL_is_dying_! wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > D Peter Maus wrote:
> > >> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > >>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> > >>>> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:

> > If laughing was your true interest, you'd not be telling us to stop.
> > You'd be seeking to insure your long term future entertainment.
> >
> > But you seem to be quite upset about it. Which suggests another
> > purpose.
>
> Just laughing at all you nerd balls, chasing a dying hobby ! AM DXing
> is forever, and IBOC will never happen ! DRM will render all of your
> analog receivers useless ! The SW bands are emptying out - 60 and 90
> meter tropical bands, BBC, Voice of the Andes, to name a few ! You all
> missed the boat - I didn't ! Now, go back into your ham shack !

get help

532. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 00:56:20 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <54fja2pnb42lpnju73nomgsevuqu1rhrum@4ax.com>
Source record: 81668

On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:20:53 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:96qia2tahsnlihad9ij4nu3tv6lr1mtuoh@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:49:10 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>reason is double... first, most stations make no effort to serve outside the
>home market. Second, the US allocation system uses relatively low power
>levels and many markets are bigger than many of the local signals can
>cover... without even considering out of market listening. The FCC
>originally dreamed up low power levels to force stations to be local and to
>allow every community to have one or more stations. Unfortunately, the model
>was devised well before urban sprawl essentially castrated many US stations.

Screw that. I just want to be able to listen to the radio.

533. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 01:00:44 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mcfja257dugdel8u4vrkc4m9cgpahhhbme@4ax.com>
Source record: 81669

On 3 Jul 2006 15:21:07 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>BpnJ,
>
>We who would defend the Flag will come over and Paint
>your House Red-White-and-Blue ! -and- Gladly stand aside
>when the Left-Wing Rabble all come by to Burn-it-Down !
>
>Often They {Symbols and Words} Are Intertwined in the
>Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Them Both : Clearly
>-if- the Liberals and Invent the Concept of Hate Speech
>- Then the More Moderate Members of Our American
>Society can Stand-Up for the Very Real Acts of Hate and
>Defiance by Those Who's Sole Existence is To Destroy
>America the Land That They Love.
>
>BpnJ - The US Constitution is just a bunch of Words -but-
>It is a Symbol of the Promise of America's Freedoms !
>- - - So you would be all for the Enlightened Liberals
>and Left-Wing Wacko Radicals to be able to go to
>Washington DC and Burn the US Constitution as an
>Act of Freedom of Speech ! - NOT !
>
>I Say : God {Allah} Bless America -and-
>Our All American Symbols - Amen !
>
>Symbols Like Words Have Meaning - Think About It ~ RHF
> .
You are one sick puppy. The Constitution is the birth of Liberalism,
you twit.

534. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 01:18:26 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151975906.605942.127570@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81670

David wrote:
> On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 22:20:53 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:96qia2tahsnlihad9ij4nu3tv6lr1mtuoh@4ax.com...
> >> On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 14:49:10 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >reason is double... first, most stations make no effort to serve outside the
> >home market. Second, the US allocation system uses relatively low power
> >levels and many markets are bigger than many of the local signals can
> >cover... without even considering out of market listening. The FCC
> >originally dreamed up low power levels to force stations to be local and to
> >allow every community to have one or more stations. Unfortunately, the model
> >was devised well before urban sprawl essentially castrated many US stations.
>
> Screw that. I just want to be able to listen to the radio.

The FCC rules concerning the implementation of IBOC, from RWonline:

"Interference - In the interest of striking a balance between
interference concerns and the strong interest of maximizing coverage,
we adopted in the DAB R&O a three-pronged approach to the issue of
primary sideband power levels for AM. ... When interference problems
are anticipated prior to commencement of interim IBOC operations, or
when actual interference occurs, we permit licensees to adjust the
power level of the primary digital subcarriers downward by as much as 6
dB. Licensees are required to notify the commission of any such power
adjustments."

"In cases in which the hybrid AM IBOC operation of one station results
in complaints of actual interference within another station's protected
service contour and the respective licensees are unable to reach
agreement on a voluntary power reduction, we may order power reductions
for the primary digital carriers or, in extreme cases, termination of
interim IBOC operation. In such cases, an affected station may file an
interference complaint with the commission."

"This complaint must describe any test measures used to identify
IBOC-related interference and fully document the extent of such
interference. The Media Bureau is charged with resolving each complaint
within 90 days."

"In the event the bureau fails to issue a decision within 90 days of the date on which a complaint is filed, we held that the interfering station shall reduce immediately its primary digital subcarrier power level by 6 dB."

"We seek comment on whether this complaint process is working, and, if so, whether we should make the process permanent when final IBOC standards are adopted."

"Concern No. 1: IBOC will make all radios in the United States obsolete - There is no mandate to shut off the analog carriers, and your radio (AM or FM) will work just fine with IBOC. This isn't HDTV, where analog carriers have a definitive cut-off date set by the FCC. I listened to WOR(AM), which transmits an IBOC signal, on the analog radio in my car for 14 months before I had an IBOC-capable radio to listen to. This analog radio was far from obsolete."

"So is the listening experience negatively affected by reducing the transmitter analog bandwidth to accommodate AM-IBOC? I believe that the impact is minimal. The listening experience on the vast majority of radios in use may even be improved over today's wider-bandwidth transmission practices when the challenges outlined are worked through carefully.

"We estimate that there are nearly 800 million radio sets in use in the United States."

So, along with (from http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference):

"My opinion is that if the IBOC carriers were reduced by 3dB to 6dB for all stations at night, the digital coverage would obviously be reduced, but there would be less effect on the analog signals. Certainly, digital coverage is adequate at night on the WOR signal."

YEA !!
AM DXing is SAFE !!!
SWL is dying - you all missed the boat !!!
DRM will render current analog SW receivers useless !!!
The general population will not discard 800 milion radios, just for IBOC !!!
AM DXing is SAFE !!!

535. Re: JRC NRD-515

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 01:19:14 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JqWdnc3rFsqPXzTZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 81671

515 is sold

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:CbOdnYR77I9PmzvZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I have for sale a classic. the NRD-515. works great and is in overall
> very good condition. some wear around the corners. this unit has the
> upgraded knob on the fine tune control from universal radio. check out
> photos here: http://community.webshots.com/album/551854099PWcFGC
>
> also has the owners manual which I can't tell if it is a copy or not.
> price is $575 plus shipping. PAYPAL IS PREFERRED WITH NO EXTRA CHARGE
> !
>
>
>

536. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 01:36:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PCjqg.61607$fb2.58526@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81672

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e8ccs0$8jf$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> One again, imperical, real life data trumps your articles. Grow up.
>

Here, you and I agree. While we don't on the service stations render to listeners outside their primary market, it is a definite that HD does pretty much eliminate the ability to listen to first and second adjacent channels, whether on AM or FM.

This guy who claims that AM DX will not suffer has obviously been doing too much reading and not enough listening. And he is pretty obviously not an AM DXer, or he would have noticed what HD does as well as read about it in AM DX groups and publications.

Instead, he posts that there is no LOCAL interference between WLW and WOR. Of course there is not... they are 500 miles apart, and WOR is directional away from WLW. The issue is what the local implementation of HD will do to the band at whatever place a particular DXer is located, not whether two adjacent channel stations in HD interfere 500 miles from each other.

537. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 02:16:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44A9CF95-543@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81673

Doggy,she is slurping out my ear again.(if you never had a dog kiss your ear,you dont know what you are missing) That means she has to put my dog collar and leash on me and take me out in the front yard so I can do whatever.
cuhulin

538. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 05:12:59 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 81691

SR Wrote:
> I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
> in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
>
> On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
> miles
> away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
> am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.

You may be interested in this article I wrote:
http://tinyurl.com/q4yax

I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
san francisco. The list is here:
http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html

--
weatherall

539. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:03:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AA049D-551@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81676

That divorced woman in Petal,Mississippi drove me out of my mind.
cuhulin

540. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:08:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AA05C3-552@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81675

Shall we dance,,,,,,,, da da da dahhhhhh,,,,,, shall we put our hearts
togetherr,,,,,, neverrrrr knowing what may happen,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

541. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:12:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AA06B2-553@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81677

Hey,I once pretended I was a 15 year old girl interested in sex with big male German Shepard dogs.You dont know what I look like and you will never know.
cuhulin

542. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:12:53 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FFnqg.310466$Fs1.254554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81674

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:j4iqg.61585$fb2.57356@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> That didn't work, Telamon...
>
>

How's this?

http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html

Frank Dresser

543. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:14:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AA0731-554@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81678

I never pick on Brian Hill.He is my buddy.
cuhulin

544. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:17:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AA07EE-555@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81679

That is what that retired woman from NASA said,she said,NASA! YOU ARE BROKEN!
cuhulin

545. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:48:38 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81680

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Wrong !
>
> http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
>
[snip]

> IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
>

The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC sidebands interfered with WLW.

"Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."

Frank Dresser

546. Re: Tinker, Tailor, Broadcaster, Anti-Spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 06:51:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151995892.168956.141330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81681

Three Cheers for the BBC - BBC Had MI5 Watch Its Staff
http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5watch-its-staff
/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html
It sounds like someone in the BBC Management had some
patriotic common sense and endeavored to insure that the
BBC a British Institution did not get infiltrated by covert agents
opposed to the interest of the people of the UK. ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:j4iqg.61585$fb2.57356@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> > That didn't work, Telamon...
> >
> >
>
> How's this?
>
> http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5watch-its-sta
ff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html
>
> Frank Dresser

547. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:24:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <RIoqg.33905$VE1.9875@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81682

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26031-44AA049D-551@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> That divorced woman in Petal,Mississippi drove me out of my mind.
>

Something did...

548. July the Four

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:25:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44AA17E2-664@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81684

at too thutty eight PM,(one thutty eight PM,Mississippi Time Zone) Space Shuttle Launce thingy.Lets us keep our fingers crossed for the Astronauts.
cuhulin

549. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:27:25 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151998045.134770.309950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81683

DE,

IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS :
With a a Few Hundred AM/MW Radio Stations around the
Nation using IBOC the general background Hash will be
low -but- Very noticeable if you are in a local area with an
IBOC Radio Station adjacent to a Distant Radio Station
that becomes difficult or impossible to hear clearly.

IN THE NEXT 5 TO 10 YEARS :
Next with One to Two Thousand AM/MW Radio Stations
spread across the Nation using IBOC the general back
ground Hash will be High -and- Very noticeable if you are
in a local area with several IBOC Radio Stations and most
adjacent channel "Distant" Radio Station become difficult
or impossible to hear clearly.

IN THE NEXT 15 TO 20 YEARS :
Then with Several Thousand AM/MW Radio Stations
completely covering the Nation using IBOC the general
background Hash will be Extremely High -and- Very
Pronounced and AM/MW Radio Listening will limited to
the Local Broadcast Area : Listening to "Distant" Radio
Stations will have become impossible.
{ Why Son - I Remember When . . . }

only time will tell . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e8ccs0$8jf$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > One again, imperical, real life data trumps your articles. Grow up.
> >
>
> Here, you and I agree. While we don't on the service stations render to
> listeners outside their primary market, it is a definite that HD does pretty
> much eliminate the ability to listen to first and second adjacent channels,
> whether on AM or FM.
>
> This guy who claims that AM DX will not suffer has obviously been doing too
> much reading and not enough listening. And he is pretty obviously not an AM
> DXer, or he would have noticed what HD does as well as read about it in AM

> DX groups and publications.
>
> Instead, he posts that there is no LOCAL interference between WLW and WOR.
> Of course there is not... they are 500 miles apart, and WOR is directional
> away from WLW. The issue is what the local implementation of HD will do to
> the band at whatever place a particular DXer is located, not whether two
> adjacent channel stations in HD interfere 500 miles from each other.

550. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:27:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44AA1879-665@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81685

She is wilder and crazier than I am,by far.
cuhulin

551. All Politics Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + US Congress = IBO$C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:38:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151998700.327582.266360@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81686

Telamon,

The Investment in the existing AM/MW Radio Stations and
the fact that each of them is a Local Brand that is Marketed
in the Local Economy -and- The simple fact that All Politics
Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + Congress = IBO$C

Two Things About Capital and Business :
Preserve What You Have -and- Build-On What You Have !

that's the way i see it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <e89ni9$4ad$1@news2.kornet.net>,
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >> in message
> > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > Steve wrote:
> > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet
> > >> >> > countries, religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist
> > >> >> > propaganda and those weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > >> >>
> > >> >> It still beats television.
> > >> >
> > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> > >> > your money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing
> > >> > beats SW DXing, anytime !
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
> > >> chagrin). With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it
> > >> won't be long now before all you hear on the AM band at night will
> > >> be IBOC hash. :(
> > >
> > >

> > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus,
> > > the digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so
> > > it will NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> >
> > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside,
> > OR, a leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world
> > (all from AM band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has
> > started testing IBOC at night, and he can no longer hear anything but
> > IBOC hash on 800 and 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC,
> > the audio quality of the AM part of their signal deteriorates
> > dramatically. In addition, another friend in New York City reports
> > that at least two stations in that city are testing IBOC at night and
> > that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible while IBOC
> > is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
> > IBOC will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
>
> I just don't understand this IBOC technology. You have to buy a new
> radio to use the digital part anyway so why not pick another band to
> use the improved digital technology without the analog component?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

552. God Bless America this July 4th ! - Amen.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:42:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151998967.994809.191000@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81687

For All the Good People at NASA a
Safe Launch and a Very Safe Return
- amen, Amen. and AMEN ! ~ RHF

God Bless America this July 4th ! - Amen. ~ RHF
.
.
. .

cuhu...@webtv.net wrote:
> at too thutty eight PM,(one thutty eight PM,Mississippi Time Zone) Space
> Shuttle Launce thingy.Lets us keep our fingers crossed for the
> Astronauts.
> cuhulin

553. (OT) : First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) {Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 07:49:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1151999360.765736.97940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81688

BpnJ - First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK)
{Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]

obtw - you lose ~ RHF
.
.
. .

bpnjensen wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
> > I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
> > that.
> >
> > BH
>
> You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols
> above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that
> represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great
> symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and
> rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand
> how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and
> fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and
> what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for
> those rights.
>
> Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their
> symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan.
> Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or
> anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do,
> however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of
> their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being
> inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise
> represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act,
> of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I
> said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any
> point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win
> any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply
> believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of
> everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and
> its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not
> fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters,

> and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.
>
> Bruce Jensen

554. (OT) : Proudly Flying the American Flag this 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 08:08:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152000509.887484.311870@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81689

David wrote:
> On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 12:37:30 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > >See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
> > >I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
> > >that.

DaviD,

- An individual life is not as sacred as ongoing Freedom.

-R- Without Life {Being Alive} There Are No Freedoms [.]

- There is nothing more worthy of reverence than the idea.

-R- What We Think -IS- What We Think ! - Thinking Requires Life [.]

* Our Ideas -ARE- Our Ideas ! - Ideas Can Not Exist In A Vacuum !

* Life Is The Creator of Ideas !

* Before The Idea - There Was Life -and-
Long After the Idea is Forgotten - There Is Life !

* Life Gives Meaning To The Idea -and-
The Idea Gives Meaning To Life - They Are Intertwined !

the prime directive {founding idea} revere life and being alive ~ RHF
.
.
. .

555. Remembering White America On July 4th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 08:10:23 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <q08ka2d9hvviclss56iuvqobgi1hdcpf7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 81690
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.usa,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.immigration,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa,alt.politics.gw-bush,alt.politics.usa.congress

As we celebrate America's 230th birthday, I want all of you to think back and remember. . . . WHITE America.

You remember "White America," don't you?

You didn't need to lock the doors on your house. You didn't need security bars on your windows.

You didn't need alarms on your car. Everyone knew where the car keys were -- in the car!

Stores didn't need metal gates on the front to protect them.

Banks didn't need bullet proof glass at Teller windows.

Children could go out to play for HOURS at a time and never worry about being beaten, robbed, raped or killed.

You could walk on any street, in any town, at any hour of the day or night in complete safety.

Schools taught real facts, not politically correct bullshit. Students were required to learn, and were sternly disciplined if they made trouble.

Homosexuals practiced their perversion in secret, in shame, and dared not get "in our face" with their sick lifestyle.

We could all get jobs, earn enough to buy a house and live "The American Dream" of giving our children a better life than we had.

Our politicans obeyed our will; our courts applied common sense and none of us was offended to stand together in public and pray.

THAT was "White America."

Sadly, America has changed - and not for the better.

NONE of the things mentioned above are reality today.

Our nation is being invaded by inferior people from inferior cultures who don't learn our language and don't even want to become "Americans."

Our politicans ignore us; our courts ignore things that are actually in our Constitution and find things that aren't in it.

Our nation is waging aggressive wars based upon outright lies.

Our jobs are being sent overseas; we manufacture almost nothing here anymore.

We're told to embrace diversity - which really means anything "not White."

When we see, hear about or suffer violent crime, we're told to be quiet because the criminals are "victims of society", and it is "racist" to prosecute them.

If we say anything about people's bad behavior, we're called "intolerant" or "hateful".

At the ripe old age of 230, America is becoming a third world cesspool.

You know I'm right. You see it happening.

We've seen so much pain on so many faces. We've seen so many dirty things and dirty places. We've had to close our eyes and close our minds to avoid being overwhelmed by all of it.

How did we allow our wonderful White America to slip away from us?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

556. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 09:16:36 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <Ulqqg.2793$PE1.939@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81692

"weatherall" <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> SR Wrote:
> > I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
> > in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
> >
> > On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
> > miles
> > away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
> > am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
>

Straight line distances between major cities can be calculated here
http://www.indo.com/distance

557. JRC NRD-545 advice please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 10:47:40 UTC
From: Mackenzie <zfp_cartel@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1152010060.192234.181190@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81693

Just got a brand new NRD-545, great set but the rear panel runs really hot. Having owned many shortwave receivers over the years this is the first one that really burns. Wondered if this is normal for this particular set, any ideas anyone?
thanks
Mac

558. Re: 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 11:39:40 UTC
From: cheche <cfcflycfcf@fastmail.fm>
Message-ID: <1152013180.137897.92510@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81694

Kevin Garrett wrote:
> I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about terrorism.
> They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find anything that looks
> right on eibi.
>
> Thanks,
can u explain the meaning of eibi

559. Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 11:48:24 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152013704.032794.220250@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81695
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun

It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
"USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
"CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket Booster ships' freq 5711.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

560. Re: 9840 @2247 What am I hearing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 11:50:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AA561E.D2513669@milestones.com>
Source record: 81696

cheche wrote:

> Kevin Garrett wrote:
> > I'm hearing two rednecks talking about what we should do about terrorism.
> > They sound like they are reading a script. Can't find anything that looks
> > right on eibi.
> >
> > Thanks,
> can u explain the meaning of eibi

Shortened version of the nameof the person who compiles the skeds.

Eike Bierwirth in Germany.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

561. Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 11:52:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AA5693.5AD437CE@milestones.com>
Source record: 81697

Cape Radio heard testing on 10780 at 1150. Switched to 5711 at 1152.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

562. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 11:53:02 UTC
From: Rob Arndt <B44Thunder@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152013982.200685.235010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81598
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Countdown for another catastrophe??? NASA should stand for: Not Another
Shuttle Accident!!!

Rob ;(

563. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 12:15:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AA5BFE.B15C722B@milestones.com>
Source record: 81599
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" wrote:

> STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun
> --
>
> It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
> Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in
> preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place
> at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
> "USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position
> from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
> "CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG
> Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is
> also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with
> "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
> In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB
> F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with
> Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
> At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
> Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed
> Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq
> 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
> As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket
> Booster ships' freq 5711.

Is this a repost Allan? It's Tuesday, July 4.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

564. CORRECTION: My STS-121 post is for TODAY, Tues, not Sat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 12:31:42 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152016302.806556.108330@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81600
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Corrected version:

STS-121: Tuesday pre-launch activities have begun

It is Tues, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
"USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
"CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
As for HF, there have been test counts and some radio checks on SRB recovery vessel freq 5711 kHz USB.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

565. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 12:52:10 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1uoka259lmul5mrrrjdhmg33nier432iah@4ax.com>
Source record: 81601

On Sat, 01 Jul 2006 08:48:04 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>LMFAO yet again at you, and also K8VT, another pussy boy who does nothing but whine.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>

That's not really true! He also wastes countless hours on trips to the
Hair club for Men (or in his case, for women). Gives him plenty of
time to think up stupid comments.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

566. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 12:55:54 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <v8pka21bor5u576p98fbvpene5sdmmhba4@4ax.com>
Source record: 81602

On Sun, 02 Jul 2006 14:53:49 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>Tony wrote:
>> Here is some more for Goober Nation to chew on (chewing is what they do
>> best).
>>
>> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060701/ap_on_re_mi_ea/iraq_us_investigation_3
>>
>> Planning to fly that flag high this 4th?!!
>
>================================
>The Americans entered the home, separated three family members from the
>woman, then raped her and set fire to her body, the official said. The
>three others were also slain. A senior Army official who also requested
>anonymity because the investigation is ongoing said one of the victims
>was a child .
>
>================================
>
>
>Every citizen with morals in the US should be using July 4th to write
>their Congressman, urging them to end the abomination that Washington is
>committing in their name in Iraq and Afghanistan.
>
>In fact, all taxpayer funding to EVERY middle Eastern country should be
>stopped. Their politicians all make money by continuing the strife. The
>funding seems to be continuing mainly due to very healthy kickbacks to
>the US supporters, mainly in Congress.
>
>
>
>mike
What a laugh....a Canuck telling Americans what to do! Come back
when you straighten out your own worthless country.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

567. Thanks mIl....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 12:57:43 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <abpka2phkh9qnh7d3fjna5ejsjk84m70ce@4ax.com>
Source record: 81603

For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
worthless stuff there.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

568. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 13:49:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44AA71F2-712@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81605

Tony Orlando on the Today tv program.Bring it on backkkkkkk,,,,,, Tony.
cuhulin

569. Re: JRC NRD-545 advice please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 13:54:01 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <9kska2taq051ihdrm1gpv0j55oead0nb59@4ax.com>
Source record: 81604

On 4 Jul 2006 03:47:40 -0700, "Mackenzie" <zfp_cartel@yahoo.co.uk>
wrote:

>Just got a brand new NRD-545, great set but the rear panel runs really
>hot. Having owned many shortwave receivers over the years this is the
>first one that really burns. Wondered if this is normal for this
>particular set, any ideas anyone?
>thanks
>Mac

Don't know about the 545, but my circa-1988 525 has never had a power
supply problem; actually, no problems ever.

I've never noticed any extra heat, but they may have changed some
things up.

bob
k5qwg

570. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 13:58:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44AA73FE-713@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81606

Ararrrrr,,,,,, metinks today is Tuesday.And Yes, (that's a big flat a..
wee wee on that one) I do have a reminder thingy set on the NASA tb
(tb,Dennis the Menance,tb) channel on DirecTV.
cuhulin

571. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:00:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24731-44AA7466-150@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81611

What do you mean,catastrope? And some people think I am dumb!
cuhulin

572. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:01:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24731-44AA74A8-151@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81612

See this >---> :{) I am not frowning.
cuhulin

573. Re: Titmouse behavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:05:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24731-44AA75A8-153@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81613

I am a male and I have big female looking boobies.My big female looking boobies have never given me any trouble before.In fact,I wish my male female looking boobies were as biggggg as my two tv sets.I will sic Richard Simmons on you and he will slap you with his purse.
cuhulin

574. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:06:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <bdtka2d4afqvifl15c4d2i9fnf5285juac@4ax.com>
Source record: 81607

On Tue, 04 Jul 2006 01:36:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>news:e8ccs0$8jf$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> One again, imperical, real life data trumps your articles. Grow up.
>>
>
>Here, you and I agree. While we don't on the service stations render to
>listeners outside their primary market, it is a definite that HD does pretty
>much eliminate the ability to listen to first and second adjacent channels,
>whether on AM or FM.
>
>This guy who claims that AM DX will not suffer has obviously been doing too
>much reading and not enough listening. And he is pretty obviously not an AM
>DXer, or he would have noticed what HD does as well as read about it in AM
>DX groups and publications.
>
>Instead, he posts that there is no LOCAL interference between WLW and WOR.
>Of course there is not... they are 500 miles apart, and WOR is directional
>away from WLW. The issue is what the local implementation of HD will do to
>the band at whatever place a particular DXer is located, not whether two
>adjacent channel stations in HD interfere 500 miles from each other.
>
>
If I have to listen to georgey Noory out of Reno because KFI can't get
it up, KABC should not be allowed to obliterate 780. Period.

575. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:08:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <hgtka25g048odt3qj85brkdq3kl1r2slg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 81608

On 4 Jul 2006 00:27:25 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DE,
>
>IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS :
HD is the AM Stereo of the 21st century. Completely mismanaged from
the get-go. Unless they can make a $50 radio FAST it's dead.

576. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:08:41 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <JDuqg.61667$fb2.45542@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81609

That worked but I was MORE interested in the < > addition to the link to keep it from being broken then I was in the link. Obviously, there IS a way to do it as you just did. ;)

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:FFnqg.310466$Fs1.254554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:j4iqg.61585$fb2.57356@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> > That didn't work, Telamon...
> >
> >
>
> How's this?
>
>
http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5watch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html
>
> Frank Dresser
>
>

577. Re: (OT) : Proudly Flying the American Flag this 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:09:06 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ljtka2di4tkrjomp4j945e5bngkk7v0sah@4ax.com>
Source record: 81610

On 4 Jul 2006 01:08:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>- An individual life is not as sacred as ongoing Freedom.
>
>-R- Without Life {Being Alive} There Are No Freedoms [.]
>
Pussy.

578. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:23:45 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81614

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e8ccs0$8jf$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > One again, imperical, real life data trumps your articles. Grow up.
> >
>
> Here, you and I agree. While we don't on the service stations render to
> listeners outside their primary market, it is a definite that HD does pretty
> much eliminate the ability to listen to first and second adjacent channels,
> whether on AM or FM.
>
> This guy who claims that AM DX will not suffer has obviously been doing too
> much reading and not enough listening. And he is pretty obviously not an AM
> DXer, or he would have noticed what HD does as well as read about it in AM
> DX groups and publications.
>
> Instead, he posts that there is no LOCAL interference between WLW and WOR.
> Of course there is not... they are 500 miles apart, and WOR is directional
> away from WLW. The issue is what the local implementation of HD will do to
> the band at whatever place a particular DXer is located, not whether two
> adjacent channel stations in HD interfere 500 miles from each other.

Screw you David, you are wrong ! IBOC cannot be used at night, because
of sky-wave propagation problems. Yes, the professional articles are
very clear - IBOC has minimal impact on interference, and if it does
interfere, the FCC would shut down that station's IBOC transmission !
There are no IBOC stations broadcasting at night, so how could one
notice any affect, stupid ! Hey dumbass, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at
local distances, because the digital part does not propagate and is
transmitted at only 1/100 of the analog part ! The articles are very
clear - you are wrong ! AND, IBOC will never take off anyway, because
the public is not going to trash all their analog receivers. Plus,
there are too many technical problems with IBOC:

IBOC is a complete failure:

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

IBOC has minimal interference:

http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

Professional data trumps your "real life experience" !

579. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:32:29 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152023549.131526.225270@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81615

Frank Dresser wrote:
> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Wrong !
> >
> > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> >
> [snip]
>
> > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> >
>
> The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> sidebands interfered with WLW.
>
> "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
>
> Frank Dresser

Wrong pick !

When the WLW carrier was 10dB below the WOR carrier and WLW's IBOC carriers were on, I observed some minor noise in the analog WOR signal. By minor I mean that the receiver volume had to be turned up to hear it. If WLW's carrier met or exceeded WOR's carrier level (and WLW exceeded WOR by 10dB at times), the noise level in WOR's signal rose, but it was far from objectionable. Clearly, the WOR analog signal within our 0.5mV contour would be useful.

Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable. If, however, WLW's signal level was equal to or exceeded WOR's signal level, the noise was only slightly audible and the analog signal was useable. When the WLW signal was unlistenable and WOR's IBOC carriers went off, the WLW signal was still unlistenable, either because of another station on 700kHz coming in, or the sideband from a station at 690kHz splattering. In general, I would say that it was not

strictly the IBOC carriers that made the signal unlistenable.

580. Cape Radio-Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:41:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AA7E1F.888491D1@milestones.com>
Source record: 81616

Cape Radio heard testing on 10780 at 1439, then switched to 9132 and did a test count there.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

581. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 14:42:45 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152024165.146013.143680@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81617

```
m II wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Brian Hill looks like a nice respectable Gentleman to me.
> > cuhulin
> >
>
>
> If so, why do your other personalities on this forum pick on him so much?
>
> mike
```

Like the rest of you, an antagonistic little bastard ! Boy, anytime someone posts something negative about your precious little plastic receivers, that person gets a whipping ! OCD everyone !

582. Re: Remembering White America On July 4th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 15:29:08 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44AA8942.BA947C70@theseminary.net>
Source record: 81618
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.usa,alt.politics.liberalism,alt.politics.immigration,alt.politics.republicans,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa,alt.politics.gw-bush,alt.politics.usa.congress

You remember "White America," don't you?
==========

Hal Turner quote- word for word...

Father Michael.

583. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 15:30:58 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
Message-ID: <lb2la294ngcg5a42fupmq657efnd670n9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 81619
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Jul 2006 04:48:24 -0700, "Allan.Stern@gmail.com"
<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote:

>STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun
>--
>
>It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
> Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in
>preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place
>at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
> "USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position
>from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
> "CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG
>Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is
>also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with
>"CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
> In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB
>F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with
>Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
>At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
> Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed
>Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq
>133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
> As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket
>Booster ships' freq 5711.
>
>AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
> Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
> Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
> JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
> Worldwide Military HF Communications
> Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
> http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

The center pic on this page
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
has errors and will not show with Netscape.

584. Re: JRC NRD-545 advice please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 15:42:52 UTC
From: "H. State" <hollowstate5964@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152027771.861973.14230@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81620

Mackenzie wrote:
> Just got a brand new NRD-545, great set but the rear panel runs really
> hot. Having owned many shortwave receivers over the years this is the
> first one that really burns. Wondered if this is normal for this
> particular set, any ideas anyone?
> thanks
> Mac

Look here for an explanation.

http://www.ticon.net/~n9ewo/nrd545.html

Harold

585. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 15:56:34 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152028594.947355.37510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81621

David wrote:
> On 4 Jul 2006 00:27:25 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >DE,
> >
> >IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS :
> HD is the AM Stereo of the 21st century. Completely mismanaged from
> the get-go. Unless they can make a $50 radio FAST it's dead.

That's right ! People aren't going to trash 800 million analog radios, and spend $200 for digital radios ! Good luck to the IBOC stations, to convince the general public to do this ! People don't care enough about AM/FM to spend $200 for a receiver !

It has been mismanaged from the beginning, and is not going to happen:

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

(2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period. Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.

(3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well, conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.

THE RACE FOR DIGITAL RADIO IS UPHILL FROM HERE
The starting shot is fired at auction in April
Originally published March 31, 1997
By Steve Behrens

" But lab tests overseen by the National Radio Standards Committee showed
discouraging results for the IBOC systems, which interfered with their host
analog signals and with adjacent channels, and which suffered interference from
analog signals."

"Impartial engineers concluded that all the systems were fatally flawed in
terms of self-interference, co-channel and adjacent-channel interference," says
a knowledgeable public radio engineer."

"That is probably because few will $pend hundred$ for a receiver that has programming no different from the radios they already own!! IBOC will be no different".

AM DXing shall live forever !
SWL is a dying hobby !
60 and 90 meter tropical bands have emptied out !
BBC, "Voice of the Andes", and many others are now gone !
DRM shall render analog SW receivers useless !
IBOC will never happen !
AM DXing shall live forever !

586. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 16:25:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152030351.493130.134080@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 09:00:34 +0000 (UTC), constans@geocities.com wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 03 Jul 2006 21:33:11 -0400, Ernie Willson <ewillson@patmedia.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > Has anyone else seen this behavior, and can you tell me what it is/means?
> > >
> >
> > there is no fahtoming anything wtih tits. I stay away form tits myslef.
>
> Now, that's quite a statement! As a result, I don't know which item
> I'd fear the most to see: a photo of your wife, or a photo of cuhulin.
it is just you responding your own pet forger

587. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 16:27:01 UTC
From: Mike <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <no-ECB2B2.12270104072006@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 81623
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lb2la294ngcg5a42fupmq657efnd670n9d@4ax.com>,
helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net> wrote:

> On 4 Jul 2006 04:48:24 -0700, "Allan.Stern@gmail.com"
> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> The center pic on this page
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
> has errors and will not show with Netscape.

Sounds like a Netscape problem. Works fine here in Safari.

Mike

588. Re: All Politics Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + US Congress = IBO$C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 16:30:59 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152030659.073578.174340@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81624

RHF wrote:
> Telamon,
>
> The Investment in the existing AM/MW Radio Stations and
> the fact that each of them is a Local Brand that is Marketed
> in the Local Economy -and- The simple fact that All Politics
> Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + Congress = IBO$C
>
> Two Things About Capital and Business :
> Preserve What You Have -and- Build-On What You Have !
>
>
> that's the way i see it ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <e89ni9$4ad$1@news2.kornet.net>,
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > message news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > >> in message
> > > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Steve wrote:
> > > >> >> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > >> >> > Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet
> > > >> >> > countries, religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist
> > > >> >> > propaganda and those weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> It still beats television.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> > > >> > your money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing
> > > >> > beats SW DXing, anytime !
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my

> > > >> chagrin). With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it
> > > >> won't be long now before all you hear on the AM band at night will
> > > >> be IBOC hash. :(
> > > >
> > > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus,
> > > > the digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so
> > > > it will NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> > >
> > > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside,
> > > OR, a leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world
> > > (all from AM band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has
> > > started testing IBOC at night, and he can no longer hear anything but
> > > IBOC hash on 800 and 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC,
> > > the audio quality of the AM part of their signal deteriorates
> > > dramatically. In addition, another friend in New York City reports
> > > that at least two stations in that city are testing IBOC at night and
> > > that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible while IBOC
> > > is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
> > > IBOC will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> >
> > I just don't understand this IBOC technology. You have to buy a new
> > radio to use the digital part anyway so why not pick another band to
> > use the improved digital technology without the analog component?
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California

Digital Radio: What is it and how will it affect Radio as we know it
today?

"The total radiated power, for IBOC transmission, is typically 1/100th
of the total analog power. A 25Kw AM station would be transmitting
250watts of IBOC information, for example."

"Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the wide
signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce more
noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear."

AND LASTLY

"There is the real possibility of AM IBOC not seeing much adoption at
all given the issues. Despite the considerable engineering acumen of
the IBOC team, the case for continued analog AM innovation and
transmission remains strong, particularly given the audio accuracy and
night time broadcast tradeoffs in IBOC. The digital return is minor,
given the heavy AM tradeoffs. There is clear demand for better quality
AM service, but I honestly don't expect IBOC to fit the bill at this
stage. More work needs to be done and analog methods deserve greater
consideration than they are currently being given.

I see no public demand for digital radio, only better radio. Where FM
IBOC is concerned, the technology has strong potential to provide both.
As I wrote above, AM IBOC is doubtful in this regard."

EAT IT - I AM RIGHT, YOU ARE WRONG !!!
AM DXing lives on !!!
SWL is dying !!!
SW tropical bands have emptied out - you all missed the boat, but I
didn't in the 1960s !!!
IBOC is dead !!!
DRM will render your analog SW receivers useless !!!
BBC, "Voice of the Andes", and many others are gone !!!

I DON'T CARE WHAT ANY OF YOU SAY - YOU ARE WRONG !!! IBOC IS DEAD !!!
NOW GO SHED SOME TEARS FOR YOUR DYING SWL HOBBY !!!
SELL YOUR SW RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !!!
GO HIDE YOUR PITIFUL HEADS IN YOUR LONELY HAM SHACKS !!!
GET THOSE QSL CARDS, WHILE YOU CAN - NOBODY CARES WHAT YOU HAVE !!!
MY HOBBY LIVES ON - YOURS IS DYING - SWL IS DYING !!!

589. Re: local interference from cable or television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 16:36:57 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8gmgikkg1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 81846

In article <weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com>,
weatherall <weatherall.2ae1r1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
>During a few listening sessions, I have noticed that when I can hear the
>television turn on in the apartment below me, local interference becomes
>much louder. Have any of you dealt with this issue in the past? Which
>component generates the interference - the wiring, the cable box, or
>the television? What remedies can I propose to my neighbors?
>
>I'm using portable radios (Sony ICF SW7600GR, Eton E5, Kaito 1102) with
>the whip antennas, and typically the antennas are oriented horizontally.

Usually, it's the horizontal scan circuits, which also double as
a large part of the power supply. This usually shows up as a noise
peak at twice the scan rate. For older TVs, about every 31.5 kHz.
(And if a TV is tuned to a dead channel and is only getting snow (and
doesn't go blue screen), it will put random noise over all the bands).

Since these circuits are dealing with several 10s of watts and have
a lot of short pulse waveforms and high voltages, there's not too
much hope. You could try a loop antenna.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

590. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:03:01 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8gmgtkkh1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 81847

In article <telamon_spamshield-72A72B.12354803072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>I just don't understand this IBOC technology. You have to buy a new
>radio to use the digital part anyway so why not pick another band to
>use the improved digital technology without the analog component?
>

Because the European Eureka [some number] system uses common
broadband (multi-station) transmitters and provides twice as many
"stations" in the same bandwidth.

In other words, it levels the playing field and allows more channels.
Something that the NAB just couldn't allow. The current US broadcasting
system relies on the selective advantage that comes from the ownership
of transmitters at favorable sites and their licensed monopoly of
a frequency in an area.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

591. C-130s up on HF freq 9132 supporting Shuttle launch here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:10:33 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152033033.003961.106760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81625
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.space.shuttle

```
C-130s using freq 9132 kHz USB for STS-121 support
--------------------------------------------------

It is 12:58pm EDT (1658z).
C-130 "King 1" (YL voice) just came up on 10780 kHz USB with "King 3"
who requested the working freq. King 1 passed the freq 9132 kHz USB.
As I type this King 2 is up with Cape Radio on 9132.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

592. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:13:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44AAA1B4-656@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81626

Anybody who uses netscape should have their heads examined by a shrink
anyway.
cuhulin

593. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:34:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81627

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Screw you David, you are wrong ! IBOC cannot be used at night, because
> of sky-wave propagation problems.

There are no skywave propagation problems with HD. And even if there were, nobody cares as 99.9% of US AM stations do not care about thier skywave signal as they get no listening and no revenue from it.

> Yes, the professional articles are
> very clear - IBOC has minimal impact on interference, and if it does
> interfere, the FCC would shut down that station's IBOC transmission !

HD creates additional sideband energy that obliterates the adjacent channels in the local coverage areas of the originating station, and, in most cases, obliterates the second adjacent as well... in other words, two channels on either side.

In Burubank, CA, about 30 miles from the KFI site (prior to the tower incident) 620, 630, 650 and 660 were totally useless on a variety of receivers, ranging from consumer clock radios to an R75 and an R8B.

Even further from KTNQ, and to the edge of its major lobe, IBOC destroys 1010 and 1030 all the time, and 1000 and 1040 have noise, too, although it can be nulled.

> There are no IBOC stations broadcasting at night, so how could one
> notice any affect, stupid !

The rules allow operation 6 AM to 6 PM or sunrise to sunset, whichever allows greater on air hours. That means HD stations in more northern lattitudes in the winter months are on for as much as 4 total night hours with HD.

In any case, the interference is LOCAL and based on GROUNDWAVE interference abetween the HD station and other stations on adjacent and next adjacent channels, none of which are received by skywave.

While I see it a minimal loss based on actual listening (very few listen to out of market AMs on groundwave OR skywave), the fact is that ther eis HUGE interference to adjacents, day or night, in the area around an HD staitons. This area varies depending on the power, frequency and conductivity of the station and area.

> Hey dumbass, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at
> local distances, because the digital part does not propagate and is
> transmitted at only 1/100 of the analog part !

There are DX reports of HD signals at great distances. And the HD sideband energy is enough to cause severe interference to adjacent and next adjacents far from the HD transmitter. If DXers decades ago got 50 watt Australian stations in New York, it can be seen that a small amount of AM skywave is cvery capable of inflicting great interference.

> The articles are very
> clear - you are wrong ! AND, IBOC will never take off anyway, because
> the public is not going to trash all their analog receivers.

FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards compatible with existing radios.

The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just as they discarded cassettes for CDs.

> Plus,
> there are too many technical problems with IBOC:

There are not technical problems with HD. It works fine.
>
> IBOC is a complete failure:

HD just started rolling out its consumer campaign about 90 days ago in several markets. It is just starting. There are over 1000 HD stations on the air, and the major broadcasters have committed to nearly 3000 stations in the next 3 years. These stations will provide HD service to about 95% of Americans, most with multiple choices and HD-2 channels to select from.
>
> http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
>
> IBOC has minimal interference:
>
> http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
>
> Professional data trumps your "real life experience" !

My "real life experience" consists of over 25 HD stations operating now, with another 10 being installed over the next 6 months... iuncluding 5 HD equipped stations at my home office (KSCA, KLVE, 50 kw KTNQ, KRCD and KRCV). You, on the other hand, have probably never used tens of thousands of dollars of measurement equipment to back up your statements with actual facts.
>

594. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:38:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44AAA787-732@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81631

From what I see,watching the NASA channel on tv,it mostly looks like a nice blue sky over Cape Canaveral,Florida this afternoon.Maybe the Astronauts will zoom into orbit today.Keeping my fingers crossed.
cuhulin

595. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:40:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <tKxqg.165172$F_3.138307@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81628

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152028594.947355.37510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David wrote:
>> On 4 Jul 2006 00:27:25 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >DE,
>> >
>> >IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS :
>> HD is the AM Stereo of the 21st century. Completely mismanaged from
>> the get-go. Unless they can make a $50 radio FAST it's dead.
>
> That's right ! People aren't going to trash 800 million analog radios,
> and spend $200 for digital radios ! Good luck to the IBOC stations, to
> convince the general public to do this ! People don't care enough
> about AM/FM to spend $200 for a receiver !

HD is backwards compatible. No existing radios need be trashed. And the new
2.0 design spec radios are not even shipping, but will be out in about
September, timed with a huge industry ad campaign.
>
> (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out
> and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the
> nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
> Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.

Funny... the usable digital signal range of KTNQ in LA is greater than the
usable analog range. Digital works just fine. It is an issue of waiting for
the consumer receivers at a reasonable price point.

Your rant about HD effecting the analog signal is incorrect. There is no
negative effect. And HD FM will essentially double the available program
offerings on that band in each HD enabled market.

596. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:42:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAA89A.DC2B25F5@milestones.com>
Source record: 81629

> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo' the fake Univision Hispanic,
> dropped his panties and grabbed his ankles just moments before he wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Screw you David, you are wrong ! IBOC cannot be used at night, because
> > of sky-wave propagation problems.
>
> There are no skywave propagation problems with HD. And even if there were,
> nobody cares as 99.9% of US AM stations do not care about thier skywave
> signal as they get no listening and no revenue from it.
>
> > Yes, the professional articles are
> > very clear - IBOC has minimal impact on interference, and if it does
> > interfere, the FCC would shut down that station's IBOC transmission !
>
> HD creates additional sideband energy that obliterates the adjacent channels
> in the local coverage areas of the originating station, and, in most cases,
> obliterates the second adjacent as well... in other words, two channels on
> either side.
>
> In Burubank, CA, about 30 miles from the KFI site (prior to the tower
> incident) 620, 630, 650 and 660 were totally useless on a variety of
> receivers, ranging from consumer clock radios to an R75 and an R8B.
>
> Even further from KTNQ, and to the edge of its major lobe, IBOC destroys
> 1010 and 1030 all the time, and 1000 and 1040 have noise, too, although it
> can be nulled.
>
> > There are no IBOC stations broadcasting at night, so how could one
> > notice any affect, stupid !
>
> The rules allow operation 6 AM to 6 PM or sunrise to sunset, whichever
> allows greater on air hours. That means HD stations in more northern
> lattitudes in the winter months are on for as much as 4 total night hours
> with HD.
>
> In any case, the interference is LOCAL and based on GROUNDWAVE interference
> abetween the HD station and other stations on adjacent and next adjacent
> channels, none of which are received by skywave.
>
> While I see it a minimal loss based on actual listening (very few listen to

> out of market AMs on groundwave OR skywave), the fact is that ther eis HUGE
> interference to adjacents, day or night, in the area around an HD staitons.
> This area varies depending on the power, frequency and conductivity of the
> station and area.
>
> > Hey dumbass, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at
> > local distances, because the digital part does not propagate and is
> > transmitted at only 1/100 of the analog part !
>
> There are DX reports of HD signals at great distances. And the HD sideband
> energy is enough to cause severe interference to adjacent and next adjacents
> far from the HD transmitter. If DXers decades ago got 50 watt Australian
> stations in New York, it can be seen that a small amount of AM skywave is
> cvery capable of inflicting great interference.
>
> > The articles are very
> > clear - you are wrong ! AND, IBOC will never take off anyway, because
> > the public is not going to trash all their analog receivers.
>
> FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards
> compatible with existing radios.
>
> The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just as
> they discarded cassettes for CDs.
>
> > Plus,
> > there are too many technical problems with IBOC:
>
> There are not technical problems with HD. It works fine.
> >
> > IBOC is a complete failure:
>
> HD just started rolling out its consumer campaign about 90 days ago in
> several markets. It is just starting. There are over 1000 HD stations on the
> air, and the major broadcasters have committed to nearly 3000 stations in
> the next 3 years. These stations will provide HD service to about 95% of
> Americans, most with multiple choices and HD-2 channels to select from.
> >
> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > IBOC has minimal interference:
> >
> > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> >
> > Professional data trumps your "real life experience" !
>
> My "real life experience" consists of over 25 HD stations operating now,
> with another 10 being installed over the next 6 months... iuncluding 5 HD
> equipped stations at my home office (KSCA, KLVE, 50 kw KTNQ, KRCD and KRCV).
> You, on the other hand, have probably never used tens of thousands of

> dollars of measurement equipment to back up your statements with actual
> facts.

He's probably never been a fake Hispanic, either.

At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM

Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

597. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:44:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com>
Source record: 81630

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo' the fake Hispanic, dropped his panties and grabbed his ankles just moments before he wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152028594.947355.37510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David wrote:
> >> On 4 Jul 2006 00:27:25 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >DE,
> >> >
> >> >IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS :
> >> HD is the AM Stereo of the 21st century. Completely mismanaged from
> >> the get-go. Unless they can make a $50 radio FAST it's dead.
> >
> > That's right ! People aren't going to trash 800 million analog radios,
> > and spend $200 for digital radios ! Good luck to the IBOC stations, to
> > convince the general public to do this ! People don't care enough
> > about AM/FM to spend $200 for a receiver !
>
> HD is backwards compatible. No existing radios need be trashed. And the new
> 2.0 design spec radios are not even shipping, but will be out in about
> September, timed with a huge industry ad campaign.
> >
> > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out
> > and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the
> > nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
> > Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
>
> Funny... the usable digital signal range of KTNQ in LA is greater than the
> usable analog range. Digital works just fine. It is an issue of waiting for
> the consumer receivers at a reasonable price point.
>
> Your rant about HD effecting the analog signal is incorrect. There is no
> negative effect. And HD FM will essentially double the available program
> offerings on that band in each HD enabled market.

At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM

Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan

USA

598. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:48:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <IRxqg.165178$F_3.118750@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81632

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com...
>
>
> At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.
>
> LMFAO

Can't you at least come up with some new responses? The awful canned ones
you pull out for everyone from those who like R-75's to Canadians are
growing very stale. Are you really Cuhulin with a different e-mail account?

599. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:49:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAAA26.DD71055E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81633

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> From what I see,watching the NASA channel on tv,it mostly looks like a
> nice blue sky over Cape Canaveral,Florida this afternoon.Maybe the
> Astronauts will zoom into orbit today.Keeping my fingers crossed.

I'm watching the radar out of Melbourne, FL and see some thunderstorm activity
in the area. Hopefully it will stay far enough away.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
> cuhulin

600. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 17:56:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAABC7.1A00E32A@milestones.com>
Source record: 81634

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the dipshit who doesn't know what
the front panel of an R75 looks like wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
> > Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.
> >
> > LMFAO
>
> Can't you at least come up with some new responses? The awful canned ones
> you pull out for everyone from those who like R-75's to Canadians are
> growing very stale. Are you really Cuhulin with a different e-mail account?

That would be R75 (look at the front panel) and Canucks, respectively.

Try again, oh fake one!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

601. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:08:52 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-C2F1D5.11085104072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81635

In article <JDuqg.61667$fb2.45542@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:FFnqg.310466$Fs1.254554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> > news:j4iqg.61585$fb2.57356@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> > > That didn't work, Telamon...
> > >
> > >
> >
> > How's this?
> >
> >
> http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/tinker-tailor-broadcaster-spy--bbc-had-mi5w
> atch-its-staff/2006/07/02/1151778811129.html
> >
> >
> That worked but I was MORE interested in the < > addition to the link to
> keep it from being broken then I was in the link. Obviously, there IS a way
> to do it as you just did. ;)

The line break wrapping will break the link in the thread depending on
its length since every reply adds another > indent to the line.
Bracketing the link with <Link> stops this from happening.

You might still have to fix additional warped lines in a link every time
you reply. I have my news reader set to change the text color depending
on what it represents. Plain text is black, links are blue, email
address red, replied text is green. It's easy to spot the broken links
because part of them will be black and part blue.

Just one benefit of using a dedicated news reader. There are free ones
around so you don't have to pay for them.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

602. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:14:13 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152036853.178636.205250@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81636

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the dipshit who doesn't know what
> the front panel of an R75 looks like wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM
> > >
> > > Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.
> > >
> > > LMFAO
> >
> > Can't you at least come up with some new responses? The awful canned ones
> > you pull out for everyone from those who like R-75's to Canadians are
> > growing very stale. Are you really Cuhulin with a different e-mail account?
>
> That would be R75 (look at the front panel) and Canucks, respectively.
>
> Try again, oh fake one!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Digital Radio: What is it and how will it affect Radio as we know it
today?

"The total radiated power, for IBOC transmission, is typically 1/100th
of the total analog power. A 25Kw AM station would be transmitting
250watts of IBOC information, for example."

"Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the wide

signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce more

noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear."

AND LASTLY

"There is the real possibility of AM IBOC not seeing much adoption at
all given the issues. Despite the considerable engineering acumen of
the IBOC team, the case for continued analog AM innovation and
transmission remains strong, particularly given the audio accuracy and
night time broadcast tradeoffs in IBOC. The digital return is minor,

given the heavy AM tradeoffs. There is clear demand for better quality AM service, but I honestly don't expect IBOC to fit the bill at this stage. More work needs to be done and analog methods deserve greater consideration than they are currently being given.

I see no public demand for digital radio, only better radio. Where FM IBOC is concerned, the technology has strong potential to provide both.

As I wrote above, AM IBOC is doubtful in this regard."

THE EXPERTS HAVE SPOKEN !
IBOC FOR AM WILL NEVER MATERIALIZE, ESPECIALLY NIGHTTIME AM !
YOU WILL NOT CHANGE MY MIND !
IBOC IS ONLY 1/100 OF THE ANALOG POWER, SO CANNOT INTERFERE FOR DXING !
FCC RULES WILL SHUT DOWN THE INTERFERING IBOC TRANSMITTER !
SEE ABOVE, IDIOTS - CAN'T YOU ALL READ !
MY DECISION STANDS !
SWL IS A LOSER HOBBY - THE SW BANDS ARE EMPTYING OUT !
AM DXING SHALL REMAIN KING !
TOO MANY TECHNICAL PROBLEMS FOR IBOC TO MATERIALIZE !

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure !

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

603. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:20:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAB187.1115BF52@milestones.com>
Source record: 81637

dxAce wrote:

> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > From what I see,watching the NASA channel on tv,it mostly looks like a
> > nice blue sky over Cape Canaveral,Florida this afternoon.Maybe the
> > Astronauts will zoom into orbit today.Keeping my fingers crossed.
>
> I'm watching the radar out of Melbourne, FL and see some thunderstorm activity
> in the area. Hopefully it will stay far enough away.

Shuttle audio re-transmissions
Goddard Space Flight Center
WA3NAN: 28650, 21395, 14295, 7185, 3860, KHz

I'm currently listening on 14295

dxAce
Michigan
USA

604. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:32:19 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A9300C.11321904072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81638

In article <oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

< Snip >

> > Hey *********, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at local distances, because
> > the digital part does not propagate and is transmitted at only
> > 1/100 of the analog part !

< Snip >

A statement like this shows an astonishing lack of intelligence and
comprehension of radio propagation. Why bother with a long, detailed
reply? You actually expect this 14 year old to get it?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

605. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:37:38 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B2C479.11373804072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81639

In article <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Wrong !
> >
> > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> >
> [snip]
>
> > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> >
>
> The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> sidebands interfered with WLW.
>
> "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."

You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally modulated
signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so stupid I can't believe
someone posted it. Why bother responding to crap like this?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

606. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:38:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44AAB5B2-710@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81640

Here we GO.Those birds better get out of the way.
cuhulin

607. Re: Shuttle Launch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 18:59:05 UTC
From: Mike Terry <miketerry73@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <4gvs3lF1o9i81U1@individual.net>
Source record: 81641

Superb launch - God Speed Discovery!

NASA TV feed now at

http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/MM_NTV_Schedule.html

(Mike in the UK, a space program fan since the 60s)

608. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:17:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <e8eesb$9qp$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

"txdr" <david@heart-to-serve.com> wrote in message
news:e89slgsio1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Call letters are assigned by the FCC but usually from a list of
> preferences submitted by by the license applicant. Stations try to
> choose call letters that mean something or can be said to stand for
> something. Try KICY in Nome Alaska or KVI in Seattle (The transmitter
> is on an island in Puget Sound - Vashon Island).
>
> Other than the fact that the FCC issues 4 letter calls (with some
> special exceptions for grandfathering) stations can ask for any
> unassigned call. West of the Mississippi they start with K. East of
> the Mississippi they begin with W. (Again with certain grandfathering
> exceptions)
>
> Prefixes for the US include W, K, A, and N. Note that all aircraft in
> US registry have tail numbers that begin with N.
>
> Anyone care to guess what the call letters HCJB, the pioneer shortwave
> station in Quito Ecuador were chosen to mean?

Heralding Christ Jesus Blessing.

609. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:17:32 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e8eesc$9qt$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 81645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

It stood for "Hail Christ Jesus' Blessing."

Best,

Joe

txdr wrote:
> Call letters are assigned by the FCC but usually from a list of
> preferences submitted by by the license applicant. Stations try to
> choose call letters that mean something or can be said to stand for
> something. Try KICY in Nome Alaska or KVI in Seattle (The transmitter
> is on an island in Puget Sound - Vashon Island).
>
> Other than the fact that the FCC issues 4 letter calls (with some
> special exceptions for grandfathering) stations can ask for any
> unassigned call. West of the Mississippi they start with K. East of
> the Mississippi they begin with W. (Again with certain grandfathering
> exceptions)
>
> Prefixes for the US include W, K, A, and N. Note that all aircraft in
> US registry have tail numbers that begin with N.
>
> Anyone care to guess what the call letters HCJB, the pioneer shortwave
> station in Quito Ecuador were chosen to mean?
>
> Dave Olson
> Broadcast Engineer

610. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:18:17 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152040697.815502.113990@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81642

Telamon wrote:
> In article <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Wrong !
> > >
> > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > >
> > [snip]
> >
> > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > >
> >
> > The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> > from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> > sidebands interfered with WLW.
> >
> > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> > down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> > me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> > level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
>
> You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally modulated
> signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so stupid I can't believe
> someone posted it. Why bother responding to crap like this?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of digitally modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The digital portion is onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion ! Now, don't get too upset you all ! I am right - you are wrong ! Can't you read, dumbass ! You didn't post the whole article, look at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a total failure ! It will never materialize:

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

(2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the

nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.

(3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.

You are SWL losers !
Your hobby is dying !
AM DXing shall live on !
DRM will render analog SW receivers useless !
Sell you SW receivers, while you can !
The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands, and others, have emptied out !
SWL is a thing-of-the-past !
AM IBOC will never materialize !
AM DXing shall remain king !
"Coast of Coast AM", is king !
"Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing !
"Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !

As my fine friend stated:

"Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"

Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
growing !

You are SWL losers !
Your hobby is dying !
AM DXing shall live on !

611. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:21:17 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152040877.507226.147630@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81643

Telamon wrote:
> In article <oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> < Snip >
>
> > > Hey *********, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at local distances, because
> > > the digital part does not propagate and is transmitted at only
> > > 1/100 of the analog part !
>
> < Snip >
>
> A statement like this shows an astonishing lack of intelligence and
> comprehension of radio propagation. Why bother with a long, detailed
> reply? You actually expect this 14 year old to get it?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Hey, it's the bigot Telamon !

You are SWL losers !
Your hobby is dying !
AM DXing shall live on !

612. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:23:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAC023.F3D8C63D@milestones.com>
Source record: 81646

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Wrong !
> > > >
> > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > >
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > >
> > >
> > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> > > from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> > > sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > >
> > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> > > down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> > > me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> > > level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> >
> > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally modulated
> > signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so stupid I can't believe
> > someone posted it. Why bother responding to crap like this?
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
> Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of digitally
> modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The digital portion is
> onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion ! Now, don't get too upset
> you all ! I am right - you are wrong ! Can't you read, dumbass ! You
> didn't post the whole article, look at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a
> total failure ! It will never materialize:
>
> http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

>
> (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out
> and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the
> nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
> Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
>
> (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
>
> You are SWL losers !
> Your hobby is dying !
> AM DXing shall live on !
> DRM will render analog SW receivers useless !
> Sell you SW receivers, while you can !
> The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands, and others, have emptied out !
> SWL is a thing-of-the-past !
> AM IBOC will never materialize !
> AM DXing shall remain king !
> "Coast of Coast AM", is king !
> "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing !
> "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
>
> As my fine friend stated:
>
> "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
>
> Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> growing !
>
> You are SWL losers !
> Your hobby is dying !
> AM DXing shall live on !

And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever you got.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

613. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:26:40 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152041200.800397.252050@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81647

```
dxAce wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Wrong !
> > > > >
> > > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > > >
> > > > [snip]
> > > >
> > > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> > > > from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> > > > sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > > >
> > > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> > > > down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> > > > me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> > > > level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> > > >
> > > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally modulated
> > > signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so stupid I can't believe
> > > someone posted it. Why bother responding to crap like this?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Telamon
> > > Ventura, California
> >
> > Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of digitally
> > modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The digital portion is
> > onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion ! Now, don't get too upset
> > you all ! I am right - you are wrong ! Can't you read, dumbass ! You
> > didn't post the whole article, look at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a
> > total failure ! It will never materialize:
> >
```

> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out
> > and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the
> > nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
> > Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
> >
> > (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> > fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> > conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
> >
> > You are SWL losers !
> > Your hobby is dying !
> > AM DXing shall live on !
> > DRM will render analog SW receivers useless !
> > Sell you SW receivers, while you can !
> > The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands, and others, have emptied out !
> > SWL is a thing-of-the-past !
> > AM IBOC will never materialize !
> > AM DXing shall remain king !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", is king !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
> >
> > As my fine friend stated:
> >
> > "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
> >
> > Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> > growing !
> >
> > You are SWL losers !
> > Your hobby is dying !
> > AM DXing shall live on !
>
> And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever you got.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

YOU are wrong - I am right !
SWL is a loser hobby !
Sell your SW receivers, while you can !
DRM will render SW receivers useless !
AM DXing shall live on !
AM IBOC will not happen !

614. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:28:19 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152041299.616631.235210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81698

```
m II wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Brian Hill looks like a nice respectable Gentleman to me.
> > cuhulin
> >
>
>
> If so, why do your other personalities on this forum pick on him so much?
>
> mike
```

Waht I ment to say, was antagonistic little bitches !

615. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:29:13 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152041353.710399.148310@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

constans@geocities.com wrote:
> On 3 Jul 2006 14:48:14 -0700, madballa5365@aol.com <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > Not according to RadioIntel - SWL loser !
>
> I looked inthere but coludnt find the bstard. cahn you giev a link?
> fuking cb loser must be there.

Oh don't get too upset ! Ha Ha, you will never find me !!!

616. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:38:11 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152041891.529822.231160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81700

dxAce wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Wrong !
> > > > >
> > > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > > >
> > > > [snip]
> > > >
> > > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> > > > from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> > > > sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > > >
> > > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> > > > down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> > > > me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> > > > level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> > >
> > > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally modulated
> > > signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so stupid I can't believe
> > > someone posted it. Why bother responding to crap like this?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Telamon
> > > Ventura, California
> >
> > Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of digitally
> > modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The digital portion is
> > onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion ! Now, don't get too upset
> > you all ! I am right - you are wrong ! Can't you read, dumbass ! You
> > didn't post the whole article, look at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a
> > total failure ! It will never materialize:
> >

> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will cut out
> > and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and because of the
> > nature of the AM band, digital transmission will not work here. Period.
> > Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
> >
> > (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> > fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> > conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
> >
> > You are SWL losers !
> > Your hobby is dying !
> > AM DXing shall live on !
> > DRM will render analog SW receivers useless !
> > Sell you SW receivers, while you can !
> > The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands, and others, have emptied out !
> > SWL is a thing-of-the-past !
> > AM IBOC will never materialize !
> > AM DXing shall remain king !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", is king !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing !
> > "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
> >
> > As my fine friend stated:
> >
> > "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
> >
> > Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> > growing !
> >
> > You are SWL losers !
> > Your hobby is dying !
> > AM DXing shall live on !
>
> And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever you got.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

You little antagonistic bitches have OCD'ed on your cheapo plastic
receivers ! Anytime, you hear something negative about your cheapo
plastic receivers, here comes the whipping ! Little bitches !!!

SWL is dying, and AM Dxing shall live on !
AM IBOC will never materialize !
DRM shall render your analog SW receivers useless !
Suckers !!!

617. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 19:43:39 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81701

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152023025.716028.166650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Screw you David, you are wrong ! IBOC cannot be used at night, because
> > of sky-wave propagation problems.
>
> There are no skywave propagation problems with HD. And even if there were,
> nobody cares as 99.9% of US AM stations do not care about thier skywave
> signal as they get no listening and no revenue from it.
>
> > Yes, the professional articles are
> > very clear - IBOC has minimal impact on interference, and if it does
> > interfere, the FCC would shut down that station's IBOC transmission !
>
> HD creates additional sideband energy that obliterates the adjacent channels
> in the local coverage areas of the originating station, and, in most cases,
> obliterates the second adjacent as well... in other words, two channels on
> either side.
>
> In Burubank, CA, about 30 miles from the KFI site (prior to the tower
> incident) 620, 630, 650 and 660 were totally useless on a variety of
> receivers, ranging from consumer clock radios to an R75 and an R8B.
>
> Even further from KTNQ, and to the edge of its major lobe, IBOC destroys
> 1010 and 1030 all the time, and 1000 and 1040 have noise, too, although it
> can be nulled.
>
> > There are no IBOC stations broadcasting at night, so how could one
> > notice any affect, stupid !
>
> The rules allow operation 6 AM to 6 PM or sunrise to sunset, whichever
> allows greater on air hours. That means HD stations in more northern
> lattitudes in the winter months are on for as much as 4 total night hours
> with HD.
>
> In any case, the interference is LOCAL and based on GROUNDWAVE interference
> abetween the HD station and other stations on adjacent and next adjacent
> channels, none of which are received by skywave.
>
> While I see it a minimal loss based on actual listening (very few listen to
> out of market AMs on groundwave OR skywave), the fact is that ther eis HUGE
> interference to adjacents, day or night, in the area around an HD staitons.

> This area varies depending on the power, frequency and conductivity of the
> station and area.
>
> > Hey dumbass, IBOC wil ONLY interfere,at
> > local distances, because the digital part does not propagate and is
> > transmitted at only 1/100 of the analog part !
>
> There are DX reports of HD signals at great distances. And the HD sideband
> energy is enough to cause severe interference to adjacent and next adjacents
> far from the HD transmitter. If DXers decades ago got 50 watt Australian
> stations in New York, it can be seen that a small amount of AM skywave is
> cvery capable of inflicting great interference.
>
> > The articles are very
> > clear - you are wrong ! AND, IBOC will never take off anyway, because
> > the public is not going to trash all their analog receivers.
>
> FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards
> compatible with existing radios.
>
> The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just as
> they discarded cassettes for CDs.
>
> > Plus,
> > there are too many technical problems with IBOC:
>
> There are not technical problems with HD. It works fine.
> >
> > IBOC is a complete failure:
>
> HD just started rolling out its consumer campaign about 90 days ago in
> several markets. It is just starting. There are over 1000 HD stations on the
> air, and the major broadcasters have committed to nearly 3000 stations in
> the next 3 years. These stations will provide HD service to about 95% of
> Americans, most with multiple choices and HD-2 channels to select from.
> >
> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > IBOC has minimal interference:
> >
> > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> >
> > Professional data trumps your "real life experience" !
>
> My "real life experience" consists of over 25 HD stations operating now,
> with another 10 being installed over the next 6 months... iuncluding 5 HD
> equipped stations at my home office (KSCA, KLVE, 50 kw KTNQ, KRCD and KRCV).
> You, on the other hand, have probably never used tens of thousands of
> dollars of measurement equipment to back up your statements with actual
> facts.

> >

Read these, little bitch !

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

618. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 20:18:04 UTC
From: Bob Agnew <ragnew@cox.net>
Message-ID: <i0Aqg.1038$lv.116@fed1read12>
Source record: 81702

I used to listen to WHAM, located in Rochester, NY all the time at night out here in CA.
(They used to play hard jazz all night.) Came in strong most nights, on some nights there was occasional fading.

"weatherall" <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message news:weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> SR Wrote:
>> I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
>> in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
>>
>> On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
>> miles
>> away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
>> am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
>
> You may be interested in this article I wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/q4yax
>
> I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
> san francisco. The list is here:
> http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

619. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 20:20:17 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152044417.211020.140110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> constans@geocities.com wrote:
> > On 3 Jul 2006 14:48:14 -0700, madballa5365@aol.com <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > Not according to RadioIntel - SWL loser !
> >
> > I looked inthere but coludnt find the bstard. cahn you giev a link?
> > fuking cb loser must be there.
>
> Oh don't get too upset ! Ha Ha, you will never find me !!!
indeed I will never find you are NOT worth looking for

620. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 20:24:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-41AE30.13243604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81704

In article <1152041891.529822.231160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
"SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >
> > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wrong !
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > > > >
> > > > > > [snip]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted
> > > > > almost 52 miles from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that
> > > > > distance, one of WOR's IBOC sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's
> > > > > carrier was 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather
> > > > > noisy. It was not bad enough to make me want to tune WLW out,
> > > > > but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a level of
> > > > > 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> > > >
> > > > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally
> > > > modulated signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so
> > > > stupid I can't believe someone posted it. Why bother responding
> > > > to crap like this?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of
> > > digitally modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The
> > > digital portion is onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion !
> > > Now, don't get too upset you all ! I am right - you are wrong !

> > > Can't you read, dumbass ! You didn't post the whole article, look
> > > at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a total failure ! It will never
> > > materialize:
> > >
> > > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> > >
> > > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will
> > > cut out and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and
> > > because of the nature of the AM band, digital transmission will
> > > not work here. Period. Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
> > >
> > > (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> > > fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> > > conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
> > >
> > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > ! DRM will render analog SW receivers useless ! Sell you SW
> > > receivers, while you can ! The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands,
> > > and others, have emptied out ! SWL is a thing-of-the-past ! AM
> > > IBOC will never materialize ! AM DXing shall remain king ! "Coast
> > > of Coast AM", is king ! "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing
> > > ! "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
> > >
> > > As my fine friend stated:
> > >
> > > "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
> > >
> > > Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> > > growing !
> > >
> > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > !
> >
> > And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever
> > you got.
> >
>
> You little antagonistic ******* have OCD'ed on your cheapo plastic
> receivers ! Anytime, you hear something negative about your cheapo
> plastic receivers, here comes the whipping ! Little ******* !!!
>
> SWL is dying, and AM Dxing shall live on ! AM IBOC will never
> materialize ! DRM shall render your analog SW receivers useless !
> Suckers !!!

I don't own any cheap plastic receivers but I see nothing wrong with
them. They work just fine for signals broadcast to the target area in
normal propagation conditions and they make fine starter radios for

people trying out the hobby.

What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep yourself occupied.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

621. NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 20:26:24 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B59AE8.13262504072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81733

6 minutes ago.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

622. Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:11:53 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-53820D.14115304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81705

Noisy and fading on 17825. Usually comes in much better than so far
today.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

623. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:15:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <yTAqg.79960$4L1.13255@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81706

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAABC7.1A00E32A@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the dipshit who doesn't know
> what
> the front panel of an R75 looks like wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM
>> >
>> > Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.
>> >
>> > LMFAO
>>
>> Can't you at least come up with some new responses? The awful canned ones
>> you pull out for everyone from those who like R-75's to Canadians are
>> growing very stale. Are you really Cuhulin with a different e-mail
>> account?
>
> That would be R75 (look at the front panel) and Canucks, respectively.
>
> Try again, oh fake one!

I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write again...
this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a friggin'
hyphen.

To make my post correct, I stenciled a tiny hyphen between the R and the 75
on my R-75.

624. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:19:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3YAqg.79962$4L1.68649@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81707

"SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Read these, little bitch !

You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.

> Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
>
Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog signal.
HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and lower
power signals can and do interfere at great distances.

625. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:21:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jZAqg.79965$4L1.17906@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81708

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield->

> What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> yourself occupied.
>

Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.

626. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:39:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com>
Source record: 81709

David Frackelton Gleason still posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic,
tried to un-knot his panties as he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAABC7.1A00E32A@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the dipshit who doesn't know
> > what
> > the front panel of an R75 looks like wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAA911.EEBDE35@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > At any rate HD/IBOC = QRM
> >> >
> >> > Now take your dog and pony show elsewhere, boy.
> >> >
> >> > LMFAO
> >>
> >> Can't you at least come up with some new responses? The awful canned ones
> >> you pull out for everyone from those who like R-75's to Canadians are
> >> growing very stale. Are you really Cuhulin with a different e-mail
> >> account?
> >
> > That would be R75 (look at the front panel) and Canucks, respectively.
> >
> > Try again, oh fake one!
>
> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write again...
> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a friggin'
> hyphen.

Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet another knot
because I pointed it out to you!

LMFAO at the fake one!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

627. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:40:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ufBqg.79973$4L1.3250@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81710

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
>>
>> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
>> again...
>> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
>> friggin'
>> hyphen.
>
> Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
> another knot
> because I pointed it out to you!
>

Sixth time.

628. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:41:35 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152049295.560175.260850@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81711

Telamon wrote:
> Noisy and fading on 17825. Usually comes in much better than so far
> today.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California
too bad N korea seems to desire the that it country be turned into a
glow in the dark parking lots

629. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:43:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com>
Source record: 81712

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow thinks he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield->
>
> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> > yourself occupied.
> >
>
> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.

Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a fake Hispanic?

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

630. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:46:39 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152049599.457266.291140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81713

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read these, little bitch !
>
> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
>
> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
> >
> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog signal.
> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and lower
> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.

You make it sound like the IBOC signal is on top of the analog signal.
I think it is a stretch to call it a single frequency when the IBOC
sideband sits on top of the analog signal of another radio station
(adjacent channel).

631. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:46:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9lBqg.79975$4L1.2478@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81714

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> thinks
> he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield->
>>
>> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
>> > yourself occupied.
>> >
>>
>> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
>
> Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> fake
> Hispanic?

You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way. Strange, because a Shortwave DXer should grasp this concept.

How many languages are you fluent in? Are you bilingual or polyglot?

632. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:47:01 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152049621.597740.88070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81715

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read these, little bitch !
>
> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
>
> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
> >
> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog signal.
> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and lower
> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

AM IBOC will not materialize !

633. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:47:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE1D7.64DC5B2F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81716

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', prancer extraordinaire, who can't quite get those panties outta those knots wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
> >> again...
> >> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
> >> friggin'
> >> hyphen.
> >
> > Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
> > another knot
> > because I pointed it out to you!
> >
>
> Sixth time.

OK, so it's actually it's about the sixth time that you got your panties in yet

another knot because I pointed it out to you!

LMFAO at the fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

634. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:48:31 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152049711.564255.214840@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81717

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Read these, little bitch !
>
> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
>
> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
> >
> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog signal.
> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and lower
> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

AM IBOC will not materialize !

635. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:50:17 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152049817.486582.307440@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81718

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', prancer extraordinaire, who can't quite
> get those panties outta those knots wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
> > >>
> > >> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
> > >> again...
> > >> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
> > >> friggin'
> > >> hyphen.
> > >
> > > Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
> > > another knot
> > > because I pointed it out to you!
> > >
> >
> > Sixth time.
>
> OK, so it's actually it's about the sixth time that you got your panties in yet
>
> another knot because I pointed it out to you!
>
> LMFAO at the fake!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

636. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:52:10 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152049930.878565.298480@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81719

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> > thinks
> > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >>
> >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> >> > yourself occupied.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
> >
> > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> > fake
> > Hispanic?
>
> You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way. Strange,
> because a Shortwave DXer should grasp this concept.
>
> How many languages are you fluent in? Are you bilingual or polyglot?

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

637. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:53:08 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152049988.820759.224840@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81720

```
Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152041891.529822.231160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > > > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wrong !
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > [snip]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted
> > > > > > almost 52 miles from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that
> > > > > > distance, one of WOR's IBOC sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's
> > > > > > carrier was 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather
> > > > > > noisy. It was not bad enough to make me want to tune WLW out,
> > > > > > but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a level of
> > > > > > 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally
> > > > > > modulated signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so
> > > > > > stupid I can't believe someone posted it. Why bother responding
> > > > > > to crap like this?
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of
> > > > > digitally modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The
> > > > > digital portion is onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion !
```

> > > > Now, don't get too upset you all ! I am right - you are wrong !
> > > > Can't you read, dumbass ! You didn't post the whole article, look
> > > > at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a total failure ! It will never
> > > > materialize:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> > > >
> > > > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will
> > > > cut out and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and
> > > > because of the nature of the AM band, digital transmission will
> > > > not work here. Period. Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
> > > >
> > > > (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> > > > fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> > > > conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
> > > >
> > > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > > ! DRM will render analog SW receivers useless ! Sell you SW
> > > > receivers, while you can ! The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands,
> > > > and others, have emptied out ! SWL is a thing-of-the-past ! AM
> > > > IBOC will never materialize ! AM DXing shall remain king ! "Coast
> > > > of Coast AM", is king ! "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing
> > > > ! "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
> > > >
> > > > As my fine friend stated:
> > > >
> > > > "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
> > > >
> > > > Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> > > > growing !
> > > >
> > > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > > !
> > >
> > > And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever
> > > you got.
> > >
> >
> > You little antagonistic ******* have OCD'ed on your cheapo plastic
> > receivers ! Anytime, you hear something negative about your cheapo
> > plastic receivers, here comes the whipping ! Little ******* !!!
> >
> > SWL is dying, and AM Dxing shall live on ! AM IBOC will never
> > materialize ! DRM shall render your analog SW receivers useless !
> > Suckers !!!
>
> I don't own any cheap plastic receivers but I see nothing wrong with
> them. They work just fine for signals broadcast to the target area in

> normal propagation conditions and they make fine starter radios for
> people trying out the hobby.
>
> What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> yourself occupied.
>
> < Plonk >
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

638. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:56:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE41F.A8686EFF@milestones.com>
Source record: 81721

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his best pair of frilly panties and wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> > thinks
> > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >>
> >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> >> > yourself occupied.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
> >
> > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> > fake
> > Hispanic?
>
> You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.

All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!

LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

639. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:58:34 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152050313.994890.29030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81722

weatherall wrote:
> SR Wrote:
> > I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
> > in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
> >
> > On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
> > miles
> > away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
> > am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
>
> You may be interested in this article I wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/q4yax
>
> I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
> san francisco. The list is here:
> http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

Interesting list. I recall getting Air America out of the Fresno on 790
rather than KABC. There is also a station at 550 you can hear in the
bay area.

I check the color alert on NASA AMES TIS on 1700 once in a while, but
you have to hit it before that annoying Mexican station fires up.

640. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 21:59:41 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152050381.879991.31330@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81723

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', prancer extraordinaire, who can't quite
> get those panties outta those knots wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
> > >>
> > >> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
> > >> again...
> > >> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
> > >> friggin'
> > >> hyphen.
> > >
> > > Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
> > > another knot
> > > because I pointed it out to you!
> > >
> >
> > Sixth time.
>
> OK, so it's actually it's about the sixth time that you got your panties in yet
>
> another knot because I pointed it out to you!
>
> LMFAO at the fake!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

All of you haven't pointed out shit ! But, the professionals in these
articels have pointed it out to you ! You are not the professionals,
and are biased towards your loser SWL hobby !

AM IBOC will not materialize !
SWL is a dying hobby !
AM DXing is here to stay !

641. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:02:22 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152050542.471968.274190@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81724

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> > > thinks
> > > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:
> > >
> > >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> > >>
> > >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> > >> > yourself occupied.
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
> > >
> > > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> > > fake
> > > Hispanic?
> >
> > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
>
> All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
>
> LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

642. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:05:02 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152050702.123559.301070@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81725

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> > > thinks
> > > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form wrote:
> > >
> > >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> > >>
> > >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> > >> > yourself occupied.
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
> > >
> > > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> > > fake
> > > Hispanic?
> >
> > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
>
> All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
>
> LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

AM/MW IBOC = IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

643. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:08:07 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152050887.204579.305180@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81726

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
>
get help
> > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
>
> All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
>
> LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.

you must be ɾuning out of ass by now

preahps you should consdier conserving what you little you have
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

644. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:10:21 UTC
From: SWL_is_dying_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152051021.850568.297560@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81727

an old feind wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> > best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
> >
> get help
> > > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
> >
> > All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
> >
> > LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.
>
> you must be runing out of ass by now
>
> preahps you should consdier conserving what you little you have
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

Digital Radio: What is it and how will it affect Radio as we know it
today?

"The total radiated power, for IBOC transmission, is typically 1/100th
of the total analog power. A 25Kw AM station would be transmitting
250watts of IBOC information, for example."

"Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the wide

signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce more

noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear."

AND LASTLY

"There is the real possibility of AM IBOC not seeing much adoption at
all given the issues. Despite the considerable engineering acumen of
the IBOC team, the case for continued analog AM innovation and
transmission remains strong, particularly given the audio accuracy and
night time broadcast tradeoffs in IBOC. The digital return is minor,
given the heavy AM tradeoffs. There is clear demand for better quality
AM service, but I honestly don't expect IBOC to fit the bill at this
stage. More work needs to be done and analog methods deserve greater
consideration than they are currently being given.

I see no public demand for digital radio, only better radio. Where FM
IBOC is concerned, the technology has strong potential to provide both.

As I wrote above, AM IBOC is doubtful in this regard."

645. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:12:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE7DB.508DEB3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81728

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> > best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
> >
> get help
> > > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
> >
> > All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
> >
> > LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.
>
> you must be runing out of ass by now
>
> preahps you should consdier conserving what you little you have

Preahps you should consdier having your caregiver come in and check your spelling!

Get help!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

646. Shuttle Progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:13:04 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <QJBqg.278$_M.57@fed1read04>
Source record: 81729

Check URL:
http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/

NASA TV Live

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Mike Terry" <miketerry73@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:4gvs3lF1o9i81U1@individual.net...
> Superb launch - God Speed Discovery!
>
> NASA TV feed now at
>
> http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/MM_NTV_Schedule.html
>
> (Mike in the UK, a space program fan since the 60s)
>
>

647. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:14:44 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152051284.694869.91020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81730

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out his
> > > best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
> > >
> > get help
> > > > You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
> > >
> > > All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
> > >
> > > LMFAO at the fake Hispanic.
> >
> > you must be runing out of ass by now
> >
> > preahps you should consdier conserving what you little you have
>
> Preahps you should consdier having your caregiver come in and check your spelling!
>
> Get help!
>
> LMFAO
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

AM/MW IBOC = IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

648. Re: Shuttle Progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:18:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAE92C.FBC9A2FE@milestones.com>
Source record: 81731

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Check URL:
> http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/

Try this tracker out:

http://spaceflight1.nasa.gov/realdata/tracking/index.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

649. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:24:09 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152051849.715193.153880@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81732

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152041891.529822.231160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>

> > SWL is dying, and AM Dxing shall live on ! AM IBOC will never
> > materialize ! DRM shall render your analog SW receivers useless !
> > Suckers !!!
>
> I don't own any cheap plastic receivers but I see nothing wrong with
> them. They work just fine for signals broadcast to the target area in
> normal propagation conditions and they make fine starter radios for
> people trying out the hobby.
>
> What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> yourself occupied.

Ithink he may have flunked out long ago
>
> < Plonk >
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

650. Re: NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:30:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAEBFB.73F845C2@milestones.com>
Source record: 81734

Telamon wrote:

> 6 minutes ago.

Drudge says it failed?

http://www.drudgereport.com/

>
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

651. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:31:06 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152052265.973923.191940@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81735

```
Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152041891.529822.231160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <aboqg.310645$Fs1.8206@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > > > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Wrong !
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > [snip]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The interference test in the linked article was conducted
> > > > > > almost 52 miles from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that
> > > > > > distance, one of WOR's IBOC sidebands interfered with WLW.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's
> > > > > > carrier was 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather
> > > > > > noisy. It was not bad enough to make me want to tune WLW out,
> > > > > > but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a level of
> > > > > > 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You would have to be a total dunce to think that a digitally
> > > > > > modulated signal would not propagate sky-wave. This is so
> > > > > > stupid I can't believe someone posted it. Why bother responding
> > > > > > to crap like this?
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Read what the experts have said about skywave propagation of
> > > > > digitally modulated signals ! The experts have spoken ! The
> > > > > digital portion is onlyt 1/100 as strong as the analog portion !
```

> > > > Now, don't get too upset you all ! I am right - you are wrong !
> > > > Can't you read, dumbass ! You didn't post the whole article, look
> > > > at the rest of it ! AM IBOC is a total failure ! It will never
> > > > materialize:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> > > >
> > > > (2) IBOC is nothing more than a high power cell phone. It will
> > > > cut out and chop up just like a digital phone. Even worse, and
> > > > because of the nature of the AM band, digital transmission will
> > > > not work here. Period. Why the FCC can't see this is baffling.
> > > >
> > > > (3) Digital transmission and RF will not mix. Not to sound old
> > > > fashioned, but Just like Kahn works and Cquam does not...well,
> > > > conventional analog works and digital IBOC does not.
> > > >
> > > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > > ! DRM will render analog SW receivers useless ! Sell you SW
> > > > receivers, while you can ! The 60 and 90 meter tropical bands,
> > > > and others, have emptied out ! SWL is a thing-of-the-past ! AM
> > > > IBOC will never materialize ! AM DXing shall remain king ! "Coast
> > > > of Coast AM", is king ! "Coast of Coast AM", depends on AM DXing
> > > > ! "Coast of Coast AM", continues to grow !
> > > >
> > > > As my fine friend stated:
> > > >
> > > > "Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> > > > religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> > > > weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!"
> > > >
> > > > Thousands of AM statrions will remain online, and the numbers are
> > > > growing !
> > > >
> > > > You are SWL losers ! Your hobby is dying ! AM DXing shall live on
> > > > !
> > >
> > > And hopefully Pfizer will come up with a medication to fix whatever
> > > you got.
> > >
> >
> > You little antagonistic ******* have OCD'ed on your cheapo plastic
> > receivers ! Anytime, you hear something negative about your cheapo
> > plastic receivers, here comes the whipping ! Little ******* !!!
> >
> > SWL is dying, and AM Dxing shall live on ! AM IBOC will never
> > materialize ! DRM shall render your analog SW receivers useless !
> > Suckers !!!
>
> I don't own any cheap plastic receivers but I see nothing wrong with
> them. They work just fine for signals broadcast to the target area in

> normal propagation conditions and they make fine starter radios for
> people trying out the hobby.
>
> What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> yourself occupied.
>
> < Plonk >
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

From, http://www.dxing.info/articles/iboc.dx

What is your comment on criticism that IBOC is causing severe interference to analog stations on the same and even on adjacent frequencies?

- HD Radio technology is one of the most thoroughly tested broadcast technologies ever developed. We have gone through extensive testing over a four year time frame and have turned those results over to 3rd parties and the US govt (FCC) for review. The FCC has determined that our technology is acceptable for use and does not significantly impact adjacent stations through interference.

652. Re: NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:34:46 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152052486.416276.183630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81736

dxAce wrote:
> Telamon wrote:
>
> > 6 minutes ago.
>
> Drudge says it failed?
>

no one can reliably say anything of that tinpot country failed or not
since no one realy understands the goals in question

indeeed the prupose of the launch could as easily be to "show" the usa
is blowing smoke over NK ambitions (and wether they suceeded or failed
they are likely to put out the propaganda line)

653. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:48:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ivrla2tpa3u432if9k57p4goeagfr0v4ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 81737

On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 05:12:59 +0000, weatherall
<weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>SR Wrote:
>> I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
>> in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
>>
>> On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
>> miles
>> away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
>> am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
>
>You may be interested in this article I wrote:
>http://tinyurl.com/q4yax
>
>I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
>san francisco. The list is here:
>http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html

KFI hasn't been 50 KW for years. They are 25 KW into an 1/8 wave
antenna, the equivalent of 10 KW.

654. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 22:52:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAF132.DCD828B5@milestones.com>
Source record: 81738

David wrote:

> On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 05:12:59 +0000, weatherall
> <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >SR Wrote:
> >> I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
> >> in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
> >>
> >> On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
> >> miles
> >> away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
> >> am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
> >
> >You may be interested in this article I wrote:
> >http://tinyurl.com/q4yax
> >
> >I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
> >san francisco. The list is here:
> >http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html
>
> KFI hasn't been 50 KW for years. They are 25 KW into an 1/8 wave
> antenna, the equivalent of 10 KW.

You, like KFI, haven't been running on full steam for years either.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

655. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:00:14 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8erq1kkf1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81739

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards
> compatible with existing radios.
>
> The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just
> as they discarded cassettes for CDs.

Bad analogy. People replaced cassettes with CD's (and mind you, not all of them, there are millions of cassette tapes still in use to this day) when and because the cassettes finally failed. Radios have a much longer MTBF than a cassette tape.

For the record, I will never be buying an IBOC radio. I rarely listen to LOCAL radio. Once the bands are garbaged up enough with IBOC, I'll just quit listening or move to the internet for all my listening. If I bother with anything besides my own mp3 players. With 10's of thousands of tracks in my library (all LEGAL, we have all the CD's and vinyl to back up every one of them!), I have no need of garbage radio with 20 minutes per hour of commercials and another 15 of DJ patter.

But that's just me.... and perhaps millions of others?

656. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:07:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com>
Source record: 81740

Brenda Ann wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> > FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards
> > compatible with existing radios.
> >
> > The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just
> > as they discarded cassettes for CDs.
>
> Bad analogy. People replaced cassettes with CD's (and mind you, not all of
> them, there are millions of cassette tapes still in use to this day) when
> and because the cassettes finally failed. Radios have a much longer MTBF
> than a cassette tape.
>
> For the record, I will never be buying an IBOC radio. I rarely listen to
> LOCAL radio. Once the bands are garbaged up enough with IBOC, I'll just quit
> listening or move to the internet for all my listening. If I bother with
> anything besides my own mp3 players. With 10's of thousands of tracks in my
> library (all LEGAL, we have all the CD's and vinyl to back up every one of
> them!), I have no need of garbage radio with 20 minutes per hour of
> commercials and another 15 of DJ patter.
>
> But that's just me.... and perhaps millions of others?

I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the activities of Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they are supporting and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?

Guess I'll call my Congress critters office in the morning.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

657. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:14:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EDCqg.165257$F_3.8326@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81741

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1152049599.457266.291140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Read these, little bitch !
>>
>> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
>> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
>>
>> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
>> >
>> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
>> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
>> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog
>> signal.
>> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and
>> lower
>> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.
>
> You make it sound like the IBOC signal is on top of the analog signal.
> I think it is a stretch to call it a single frequency when the IBOC
> sideband sits on top of the analog signal of another radio station
> (adjacent channel).

We are obviously dealing with a severely technically challenged individual
in this discussion, unless "bitch" is term of the trade for degree of
interference!

My point is that both the digital and the analog signal are tuned at the
same frequency. The spectrum use is the reason why the HD "noise" kills
adjacent channel reception in the coverage area of the HD signal.

658. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:16:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VECqg.165258$F_3.14794@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81743

"SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152049621.597740.88070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Read these, little bitch !
>>
>> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
>> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
>>
>> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
>> >
>> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
>> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
>> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog
>> signal.
>> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and
>> lower
>> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.
>
> Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!

Yes, it does. It propagates as any signal, digital or analog, at a given
frequency.

> AM IBOC will not materialize !

Yeah? Tell that to all the AM stations now on the air in HD.

659. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:16:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <GFCqg.165259$F_3.122112@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81742

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAE1D7.64DC5B2F@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', prancer extraordinaire, who can't
> quite
> get those panties outta those knots wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
>> >> again...
>> >> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
>> >> friggin'
>> >> hyphen.
>> >
>> > Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
>> > another knot
>> > because I pointed it out to you!
>> >
>>
>> Sixth time.
>
> OK, so it's actually it's about the sixth time that you got your panties
> in yet
>
> another knot because I pointed it out to you!

Pointed out what? That you are obsessed with spaces and hyphens?

660. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:17:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <AGCqg.165260$F_3.79359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81744

"SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152049930.878565.298480@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
>
> http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
>
> IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

You posted this same thing a dozen times. Once is enough, especially since
your interpretation it is a lie.

661. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:18:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <sHCqg.165261$F_3.103735@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81745

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAE41F.A8686EFF@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out
> his
> best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
>> > thinks
>> > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
>> >>
>> >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
>> >> > yourself occupied.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
>> >
>> > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
>> > fake
>> > Hispanic?
>>
>> You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
>
> All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!

By skipping my language question, I assume you are embarrassed to admit you
know only one language. Sad. especially for a DXer.

662. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:20:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAF7CD.1C2F7387@milestones.com>
Source record: 81746

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill for HD/IBOC felt compelled to shill yet again when he wrote:

> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152049599.457266.291140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Read these, little bitch !
> >>
> >> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
> >> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
> >>
> >> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
> >> >
> >> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
> >> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
> >> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog
> >> signal.
> >> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and
> >> lower
> >> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.
> >
> > You make it sound like the IBOC signal is on top of the analog signal.
> > I think it is a stretch to call it a single frequency when the IBOC
> > sideband sits on top of the analog signal of another radio station
> > (adjacent channel).
>
> We are obviously dealing with a severely technically challenged individual
> in this discussion, unless "bitch" is term of the trade for degree of
> interference!
>
> My point is that both the digital and the analog signal are tuned at the
> same frequency. The spectrum use is the reason why the HD "noise" kills
> adjacent channel reception in the coverage area of the HD signal.

Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM

Once again, take your HD/IBOC dog and pony show elsewhere.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

663. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:22:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6LCqg.165262$F_3.25538@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81747

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ivrla2tpa3u432if9k57p4goeagfr0v4ob@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 05:12:59 +0000, weatherall
> <weatherall.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>SR Wrote:
>>> I am located in New York City and I located a commercial radio station
>>> in Toronto CKLW AM 800 KHZ.
>>>
>>> On a simple map I roughly conculated that the distance is 800-1000
>>> miles
>>> away. However, if I am able to pick up that far away on a medium wave
>>> am band, I should be able to pick up a lot of other stations by area.
>>
>>You may be interested in this article I wrote:
>>http://tinyurl.com/q4yax
>>
>>I identified a number of distant mediumwave stations while listening in
>>san francisco. The list is here:
>>http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/2006/04/mediumwave-logs-april-2006.html
>
> KFI hasn't been 50 KW for years.

More like around 18 mon ths, not "years." December 19, 2004 was the date.

>They are 25 KW into an 1/8 wave
> antenna, the equivalent of 10 KW.

That is a bit simplistic. 25 kw is 25 kw, but the shorter radiator is less
efficient. But it is still a 25 kw station, until the new tower is put up at
the same location.

664. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:23:35 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152055415.451295.94110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81848

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill for HD/IBOC felt
> compelled to shill yet again when he wrote:
>
poor ace

looks like aces don't hold to kings
> Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> Once again, take your HD/IBOC dog and pony show elsewhere.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

665. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:25:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAF8D0.67F465F3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81849

Still trying to get the knots out of his panties, David Frackelton Gleason,
posing as 'Eduardo' the prancing fake Hispanic, damn near choked on a tortilla
as he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAE1D7.64DC5B2F@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', prancer extraordinaire, who can't
> > quite
> > get those panties outta those knots wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAE020.8D13D3FA@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> I did that to see if you found a hyphen important enough to write
> >> >> again...
> >> >> this is now about the fifth time you get bent outta' shape over a
> >> >> friggin'
> >> >> hyphen.
> >> >
> >> > Actually it's about the fifth time that you got your panties in yet
> >> > another knot
> >> > because I pointed it out to you!
> >> >
> >>
> >> Sixth time.
> >
> > OK, so it's actually it's about the sixth time that you got your panties
> > in yet
> >
> > another knot because I pointed it out to you!
>
> Pointed out what? That you are obsessed with spaces and hyphens?

You're just obsessed with the fact that I pointed out that you can't read the
front panel(s) of the radios you purport to own!

LMFAO at the fake, yet again!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

666. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:28:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4QCqg.165264$F_3.55724@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81851

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e8erq1kkf1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:oExqg.165169$F_3.8820@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> FM stereo took about 8 years to "take off" and it was, like HD, backwards
>> compatible with existing radios.
>>
>> The public will buy radios if the sound and programming are better, just
>> as they discarded cassettes for CDs.
>
> Bad analogy. People replaced cassettes with CD's (and mind you, not all
> of them, there are millions of cassette tapes still in use to this day)
> when and because the cassettes finally failed. Radios have a much longer
> MTBF than a cassette tape.

My expereince, and this is supported by RIAA reports int he 80's is that
cassetes were replaced when the hardware reached certain key price points
and whn software was available that was attractive. The secondary cause and
effect was the replacement of cars with CD players with either aftermarket
CD gear or by new cars with factory CDs.
>
> For the record, I will never be buying an IBOC radio.

I just love it when someone says something is awful when they have not tried
it.

> I rarely listen to LOCAL radio. Once the bands are garbaged up enough with
> IBOC, I'll just quit listening or move to the internet for all my
> listening. If I bother with anything besides my own mp3 players. With 10's
> of thousands of tracks in my library (all LEGAL, we have all the CD's and
> vinyl to back up every one of them!), I have no need of garbage radio with
> 20 minutes per hour of commercials and another 15 of DJ patter.

An industry survey showed 2005 resulted in one minute less commercial time
compared with 2004. And the 1,200 Clear Channel staitons are running 10
minutes in music shows, emulated by most every other broadcaster in the US.
So you are pretty much off by the double in your uninformed analysis of
commercial radio.
>
> But that's just me.... and perhaps millions of others?

Nope.. No proof of this at all.

667. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:28:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com>
Source record: 81850

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's very own fake Hispanic
wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAE41F.A8686EFF@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', paused briefly whilst washing out
> > his
> > best pair of frilly panties and wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAE10B.7C9B07BB@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the prancing fool who somehow
> >> > thinks
> >> > he's Hispanic simply because he can check a box on the census form
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >> >>
> >> >> > What a pathetic post. Maybe you need to go to summer school to keep
> >> >> > yourself occupied.
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Perhaps dxAss and Mr. Grundig can get group therapy together.
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps at the same place you go for help with your problem of being a
> >> > fake
> >> > Hispanic?
> >>
> >> You certainly don't understand the concept of "culture" in any way.
> >
> > All I need to understand is the concept of 'fake', 'tard boy!
>
> By skipping my language question, I assume you are embarrassed to admit you
> know only one language. Sad. especially for a DXer.

I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!

I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.

LMFAO at the fake, yet again!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

668. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:30:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jSCqg.165265$F_3.90310@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81852

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com...
>
>
> I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the
> activities of
> Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they are
> supporting
> and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?

Unless you can show that some radio and TV stations are actually bringing illegal immigrants into the country, you will be laughed at. There is nothing illegal about defending the rights of all humans in the US.

> Guess I'll call my Congress critters office in the morning.

Let me know if they hang up on you or just openly laugh.

669. Re: NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:31:06 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A3BD39.16310604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81853

In article <44AAEBFB.73F845C2@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > 6 minutes ago.
>
> Drudge says it failed?
>
> http://www.drudgereport.com/
>

Yeah, the news wires are saying the long range missile failed and that two other short range SCUD missiles were fired. At one point it was reported that there was 4 missiles fired. The long range missile might have broke up into two pieces.

As far as I know NHK was first to report on this situation.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

670. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:32:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <XTCqg.165267$F_3.9892@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81854

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAF7CD.1C2F7387@milestones.com...

>
> Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM
>

If nobody is listening to the signals, there is no real issue.

Your argument is like complaining about the economic of closing a railroad spur when no traffic has traveled on it for several decades.

671. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:33:10 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81855

David Eduardo wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152049599.457266.291140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "SWL_is_dying_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152042219.201870.320930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Read these, little bitch !
> >>
> >> You are an ignorant fool with no knowledge of propagation, radio or
> >> broadcasting. That expalins why nothing you post is correct.
> >>
> >> > Technically, IBOC does not work for AM skywave propagation !!!
> >> >
> >> Explain that to the DXers who have heard HD digital signals over great
> >> distance. In fact, DRM is a digital system that depends on skywave
> >> propagation... the difference is that DRM does not leave an analog
> >> signal.
> >> HD is simply an analog and digital signal on a single frequency... and
> >> lower
> >> power signals can and do interfere at great distances.
> >
> > You make it sound like the IBOC signal is on top of the analog signal.
> > I think it is a stretch to call it a single frequency when the IBOC
> > sideband sits on top of the analog signal of another radio station
> > (adjacent channel).
>
> We are obviously dealing with a severely technically challenged individual
> in this discussion, unless "bitch" is term of the trade for degree of
> interference!
>
> My point is that both the digital and the analog signal are tuned at the
> same frequency. The spectrum use is the reason why the HD "noise" kills
> adjacent channel reception in the coverage area of the HD signal.

Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)

Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for the same
station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such bandwidth would
not be in FCC compliance, right?

672. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:34:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1WCqg.165268$F_3.153199@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81856

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>

>
> I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!

I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese." That
is not language knowledge.
>
> I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.

2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
and all continents... on medium wave.

673. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:36:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFB99.69336BD7@milestones.com>
Source record: 81857

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, once again tried to spin things his way but failed miserably when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the
> > activities of
> > Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they are
> > supporting
> > and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?
>
> Unless you can show that some radio and TV stations are actually bringing
> illegal immigrants into the country, you will be laughed at. There is
> nothing illegal about defending the rights of all humans in the US.

Did I say anything about defending the rights of all humans in the US?

Nice spin, but no dice, oh fake one!

>
>
> > Guess I'll call my Congress critters office in the morning.
>
> Let me know if they hang up on you or just openly laugh.

674. Re: [ot] DaviD Brainwashed Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:37:40 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12alv6jri8r2824@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 81862

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:epd3a2ti32ksb14q60pnknrjvvng1gbcli@4ax.com...
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:6g21a2h04u0tsd23ifn3trug5h52ecnbvl@4ax.com...
> >> >
> >> Suicide? I was hoping you'd give up your ill-advised support for the
> >> enemy within.
> >>
> >
> >I will send you a free one-way ticket to Beijing if you like.
> >The catch is you can never leave China.
> >
> >
> Why are you so obsessed? I liked you better when you thought John
> Rothman was the Antichrist.
>

Still high?

675. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:38:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4_Cqg.165270$F_3.23772@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81858

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)

Have you read my point about trying to simlyfy for a nutcase who obviously
does not get it?
>
> Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for the same
> station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such bandwidth would
> not be in FCC compliance, right?

IBOC does not change the common point antenna current for an HD activated
station. The two components are part, legally, of the same station, just as
the F M stereo subcarrier is part of the FM signal. Unless stations drop
their analog component, then the HD is "part of" and not "separate from" the
signal of the licensed facility. The fact that no license for HD is required
should also be proof.

Before NRSC, many AMs could and did modulate with a full 15kHz fidelity. The
local splatter from these stations often made listening to adjacents and
next adjacents impossible. So, really, nothing has changed.
>

676. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:40:02 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-87BB64.16400104072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81860

In article <1WCqg.165268$F_3.153199@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >
>
> >
> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!
>
> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese." That
> is not language knowledge.
> >
> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>
> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> and all continents... on medium wave.

What equipment and antenna was did you use?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

677. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:40:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFC73.20534086@milestones.com>
Source record: 81859

Once again, but failing miserably, David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF7CD.1C2F7387@milestones.com...
>
> >
> > Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
>
> If nobody is listening to the signals, there is no real issue.
>
> Your argument is like complaining about the economic of closing a railroad
> spur when no traffic has traveled on it for several decades.

Spin, spin, spin

It never ends with you corporate shills, does it, boy?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

678. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:41:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <C0Dqg.165271$F_3.23119@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81861

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAFB99.69336BD7@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, once
> again
> tried to spin things his way but failed miserably when he wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the
>> > activities of
>> > Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they are
>> > supporting
>> > and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?
>>
>> Unless you can show that some radio and TV stations are actually bringing
>> illegal immigrants into the country, you will be laughed at. There is
>> nothing illegal about defending the rights of all humans in the US.
>
> Did I say anything about defending the rights of all humans in the US?

Then you have no case. No Hispanic broadcaster is engaged in the illegal
crossing of immigrants over the US borders.

679. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:43:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFD29.87FA3D45@milestones.com>
Source record: 81863

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', still posing as a fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >
>
> >
> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!
>
> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese." That
> is not language knowledge.
> >
> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>
> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> and all continents... on medium wave.

Uh-Huh

Seems we went through something like this a few years ago before you pulled that info off your site?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

680. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:44:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <t3Dqg.165273$F_3.153154@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81864

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-87BB64.16400104072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <1WCqg.165268$F_3.153199@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> >
>> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
>> > boy!
>>
>> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
>> That
>> is not language knowledge.
>> >
>> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>>
>> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
>> and all continents... on medium wave.
>
> What equipment and antenna was did you use?

Started with a Zenith Trans Oceanic, moved to an SX 99 and then an HQ 180
with Heathkit Q Multiplier along with a Collins 51J4. Couple of pics at
http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm

Antenna was an air core 30" loop, tuned but not active, on a rotor with
servo controlled tuning, located in the attic of my home.

681. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:45:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <j4Dqg.165274$F_3.120494@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81865

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAFC73.20534086@milestones.com...
>
>
> Once again, but failing miserably, David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF7CD.1C2F7387@milestones.com...
>>
>> >
>> > Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM
>> >
>>
>> If nobody is listening to the signals, there is no real issue.
>>
>> Your argument is like complaining about the economic of closing a
>> railroad
>> spur when no traffic has traveled on it for several decades.
>
> Spin, spin, spin

Railroad trains do not spin. The rotors on black helicopters spin. One is on
its way to take you away, I hope.

682. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:47:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFE13.591CC1F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81866

Once again, David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', tried to turn the tide but fell flat on his fake Hispanic as when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAFB99.69336BD7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, once
> > again
> > tried to spin things his way but failed miserably when he wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the
> >> > activities of
> >> > Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they are
> >> > supporting
> >> > and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?
> >>
> >> Unless you can show that some radio and TV stations are actually bringing
> >> illegal immigrants into the country, you will be laughed at. There is
> >> nothing illegal about defending the rights of all humans in the US.
> >
> > Did I say anything about defending the rights of all humans in the US?
>
> Then you have no case. No Hispanic broadcaster is engaged in the illegal
> crossing of immigrants over the US borders.

Read it again, shit for brains!

LMFAO at the spinning fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

683. Re: NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:47:46 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3D9778.16474604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81867

In article
<telamon_spamshield-A3BD39.16310604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

> In article <44AAEBFB.73F845C2@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> >
> > > 6 minutes ago.
> >
> > Drudge says it failed?
> >
> > http://www.drudgereport.com/
> >
>
> Yeah, the news wires are saying the long range missile failed and that
> two other short range SCUD missiles were fired. At one point it was
> reported that there was 4 missiles fired. The long range missile might
> have broke up into two pieces.
>
> As far as I know NHK was first to report on this situation.

Google page just gave me a good laugh. I did a search earlier on SCUD
missiles and on the refreshed page the sponsored link on the right was
" SCUD missile, Whatever you're looking for you can get it on eBay."

I sure hope Kim Jong-II does not Google.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

684. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:51:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFF0F.9861DA4E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81868

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's fake Hispanic showed just how desperate he's become by invoking 'black helicopters' when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAFC73.20534086@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Once again, but failing miserably, David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> > 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill, wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF7CD.1C2F7387@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Yep, and the point is HD/IBOC = QRM
> >> >
> >>
> >> If nobody is listening to the signals, there is no real issue.
> >>
> >> Your argument is like complaining about the economic of closing a
> >> railroad
> >> spur when no traffic has traveled on it for several decades.
> >
> > Spin, spin, spin
>
> Railroad trains do not spin. The rotors on black helicopters spin. One is on
> its way to take you away, I hope.

Yeah, a 'tard boy like yourself probably believes that 'black helicopter' crap!

LMFAO at the fake, again and again!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

685. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:52:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TaDqg.165420$F_3.160477@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81870

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAFD29.87FA3D45@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', still posing as a fake Hispanic
> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> >
>> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
>> > boy!
>>
>> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
>> That
>> is not language knowledge.
>> >
>> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>>
>> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
>> and all continents... on medium wave.
>
> Uh-Huh
>
> Seems we went through something like this a few years ago before you
> pulled that
> info off your site?

It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
members.

I never changed any data on the site about DX.

686. Re: NHK says North Korea has test-launched a missile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:52:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AAFF58.D67E2A0E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81869

Telamon wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-A3BD39.16310604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> > In article <44AAEBFB.73F845C2@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Telamon wrote:
> > >
> > > > 6 minutes ago.
> > >
> > > Drudge says it failed?
> > >
> > > http://www.drudgereport.com/
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, the news wires are saying the long range missile failed and that
> > two other short range SCUD missiles were fired. At one point it was
> > reported that there was 4 missiles fired. The long range missile might
> > have broke up into two pieces.
> >
> > As far as I know NHK was first to report on this situation.
>
> Google page just gave me a good laugh. I did a search earlier on SCUD
> missiles and on the refreshed page the sponsored link on the right was
> " SCUD missile, Whatever you're looking for you can get it on eBay."

LOLOLOL

That is just too damn funny.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

687. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:53:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <NbDqg.165447$F_3.156681@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81871

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AAFE13.591CC1F@milestones.com...
>
>
> Once again, David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', tried to turn
> the
> tide but fell flat on his fake Hispanic as when he wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAFB99.69336BD7@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill,
>> > once
>> > again
>> > tried to spin things his way but failed miserably when he wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44AAF49F.5111F3D1@milestones.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > I'm just wondering if an investigation could be launched into the
>> >> > activities of
>> >> > Univision and other Spanish language outlets to determine if they
>> >> > are
>> >> > supporting
>> >> > and/or aiding illegal immigration in any way?
>> >>
>> >> Unless you can show that some radio and TV stations are actually
>> >> bringing
>> >> illegal immigrants into the country, you will be laughed at. There is
>> >> nothing illegal about defending the rights of all humans in the US.
>> >
>> > Did I say anything about defending the rights of all humans in the US?
>>
>> Then you have no case. No Hispanic broadcaster is engaged in the illegal
>> crossing of immigrants over the US borders.
>
> Read it again, shit for brains!

That is the only illegal thing referent to the subject...

688. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:56:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB003E.693C4363@milestones.com>
Source record: 81872

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', who obviously doesn't really know the name of the group he's posting in wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >
>
> >
> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!
>
> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese." That
> is not language knowledge.
> >
> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>
> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> and all continents... on medium wave.

SWBC?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

689. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:58:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <RgDqg.165557$F_3.71704@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81873

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB003E.693C4363@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', who obviously doesn't
> really know
> the name of the group he's posting in wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> >
>> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
>> > boy!
>>
>> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
>> That
>> is not language knowledge.
>> >
>> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>>
>> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
>> and all continents... on medium wave.
>
> SWBC?

What part of "on medium wave" do you not understand. My involvement in SW
started with helping the DX Party Line on HCJB in '64 and owning a SW
station in about '66.

690. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-04 23:59:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com>
Source record: 81874

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the QSL printing 9 year old from Cleveland, tried desperately to extract himself from the shit he's in when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAFD29.87FA3D45@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', still posing as a fake Hispanic
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
> >> > boy!
> >>
> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
> >> That
> >> is not language knowledge.
> >> >
> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
> >>
> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
> >
> > Uh-Huh
> >
> > Seems we went through something like this a few years ago before you
> > pulled that
> > info off your site?
>
> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> members.
>
> I never changed any data on the site about DX.

Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed questions!

Your memory is short!

dxAce
Michigan

USA

691. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:03:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB01CC.8EB184E4@milestones.com>
Source record: 81875

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB003E.693C4363@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', who obviously doesn't
> > really know
> > the name of the group he's posting in wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
> >> > boy!
> >>
> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
> >> That
> >> is not language knowledge.
> >> >
> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
> >>
> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
> >
> > SWBC?
>
> What part of "on medium wave" do you not understand. My involvement in SW
> started with helping the DX Party Line on HCJB in '64 and owning a SW
> station in about '66.

What part of totals and SWBC do you not understand, shit for brains?

Stop spinning.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

692. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:09:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <irDqg.165780$F_3.55339@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81876

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
>> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
>> members.
>>
>> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
>
> Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed questions!
>
> Your memory is short!

Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as full of it here as you are in other areas.

You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.

Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?

What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the same period?

What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?

What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?

How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?

Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.

If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your first Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.

693. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:10:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1sDqg.165796$F_3.153758@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81878

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB01CC.8EB184E4@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB003E.693C4363@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', who obviously doesn't
>> > really know
>> > the name of the group he's posting in wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
>> >> > boy!
>> >>
>> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
>> >> That
>> >> is not language knowledge.
>> >> >
>> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>> >>
>> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87
>> >> countries
>> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
>> >>
>> > SWBC?
>>
>> What part of "on medium wave" do you not understand. My involvement in SW
>> started with helping the DX Party Line on HCJB in '64 and owning a SW
>> station in about '66.
>
> What part of totals and SWBC do you not understand, shit for brains?

I never collected SW QSLs. My interest in SW was as a broadcaster, not as a
listener.
```

694. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:10:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0381.8F716982@milestones.com>
Source record: 81877

David Frackelton Gleason posing both as 'Eduardo' and as a MW DX'er wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >
>
> >
> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard boy!
>
> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese." That
> is not language knowledge.
> >
> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>
> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> and all continents... on medium wave.

And if you'll recall I asked you several questions about that and asked if all were indeed heard in Cleveland and suddenly you stopped responding via email and the info disappeard from your puffed up 'resume'.

Crap, I still wish I had the emails.

LMFAO at the fake.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

695. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:16:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB04C8.4F1C2F9B@milestones.com>
Source record: 81879

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's well known spinner and pathological liar wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> members.
> >>
> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> > >
> > > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed questions!
> > >
> > > Your memory is short!
> >
> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as full
> of it here as you are in other areas.
>
> You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.
>
> Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW
> station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?
>
> What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the same
> period?
>
> What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?
>
> What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?
>
> How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?
>
> Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.
>
> If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your first
> Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.

Stop spinning, boy!

LMFAO at the fake!

I gotta admit, you are good at the spin!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

696. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:18:30 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0556.BBAED6A9@milestones.com>
Source record: 81880

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> members.
> >>
> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> > >
> > > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed questions!
> > >
> > > Your memory is short!
> >
> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as full
> of it here as you are in other areas.

Who said anything about post 2002?

You're spinning!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

697. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:18:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qzDqg.165973$F_3.139478@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81881

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0381.8F716982@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing both as 'Eduardo' and as a MW DX'er wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> >
>> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
>> > boy!
>>
>> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
>> That
>> is not language knowledge.
>> >
>> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
>>
>> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
>> and all continents... on medium wave.
>
> And if you'll recall I asked you several questions about that and asked if
> all
> were indeed heard in Cleveland and suddenly you stopped responding via
> email and
> the info disappeard from your puffed up 'resume'.
>
> Crap, I still wish I had the emails.

I have never seen an e-mail from you. The e mail I use to post is a dummy,
and I never do anything but periodically empty it.

I have never, and would never, answer one of your e mails. I doubt I would
read it.

As I said, and my hosting service can verify, that between February 2002 and
this week I had not changed one thing on my website.

And, since my veries were widely seen at NRC and IRCA gatherings and by
local NRC members like Ed Krejny, I have no need to prove anything to
someone who is clueless about MW DX.

And yes, all 2400 (and another roughly 300 reported but never verified) were
from the same address in Cleveland, OH.

In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and hundreds of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central America and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED in Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to Ben Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in Quito in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.

698. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:19:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EADqg.166008$F_3.66961@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81882

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB04C8.4F1C2F9B@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's well known
> spinner and
> pathological liar wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
>> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
>> >> members.
>> >>
>> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
>> >
>> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
>> > questions!
>> >
>> > Your memory is short!
>>
>> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
>> full
>> of it here as you are in other areas.
>>
>> You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.
>>
>> Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW
>> station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?
>>
>> What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the
>> same
>> period?
>>
>> What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?
>>
>> What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?
>>
>> How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?
>>
>> Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.
>>
>> If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your first
>> Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.
>

> Stop spinning, boy!
>
> LMFAO at the fake!
>
> I gotta admit, you are good at the spin!

In other words, you can not answer ONE of the above simple questions.

Most are like asking, in 1965, what the easiest Ecuadorian SW catch was. It was not Radio Quito 4923, by the way.

699. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:25:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0713.6A8C43A5@milestones.com>
Source record: 81883

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', finally made an attempt at cleaning up his puffed up resume before he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> members.
> >>
> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> >
> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed questions!
> >
> > Your memory is short!
>
> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as full
> of it here as you are in other areas.

Funny! I just checked it and you've obviously removed a lot of phony info since I've been pointing you out as a fake!

Frackelton, you're as phony as a $3 bill.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

700. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:30:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB082E.11FB9201@milestones.com>
Source record: 81884

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', fake everything, wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0381.8F716982@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing both as 'Eduardo' and as a MW DX'er wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
> >> > boy!
> >>
> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
> >> That
> >> is not language knowledge.
> >> >
> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
> >>
> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
> >>
> > And if you'll recall I asked you several questions about that and asked if
> > all
> > were indeed heard in Cleveland and suddenly you stopped responding via
> > email and
> > the info disappeard from your puffed up 'resume'.
> >
> > Crap, I still wish I had the emails.
>
> I have never seen an e-mail from you. The e mail I use to post is a dummy,
> and I never do anything but periodically empty it.

I emailed you through your site, shit for brains!

> I have never, and would never, answer one of your e mails. I doubt I would
> read it.

Ah, but you did, oh fake one!

> As I said, and my hosting service can verify, that between February 2002 and
> this week I had not changed one thing on my website.

You're full of shit, Frackelton. Always have been, always will!

LMFAO at the fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

701. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:32:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0898.B4E16F4F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81885

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB04C8.4F1C2F9B@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's well known
> > spinner and
> > pathological liar wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> >> members.
> >> >>
> >> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
> >> > questions!
> >> >
> >> > Your memory is short!
> >>
> >> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
> >> full
> >> of it here as you are in other areas.
> >>
> >> You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.
> >>
> >> Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW
> >> station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?
> >>
> >> What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the
> >> same
> >> period?
> >>
> >> What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?
> >>
> >> What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?
> >>
> >> How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?
> >>
> >> Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.
> >>
> >> If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your first

> >> Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.
> >
> > Stop spinning, boy!
> >
> > LMFAO at the fake!
> >
> > I gotta admit, you are good at the spin!
>
> In other words, you can not answer ONE of the above simple questions.
>
> Most are like asking, in 1965, what the easiest Ecuadorian SW catch was. It
> was not Radio Quito 4923, by the way.

Spin, spin, spin.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

702. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:34:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jODqg.166205$F_3.32708@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81886

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0556.BBAED6A9@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
>> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
>> >> members.
>> >>
>> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
>> >
>> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
>> > questions!
>> >
>> > Your memory is short!
>>
>> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
>> full
>> of it here as you are in other areas.
>
> Who said anything about post 2002?

Since the site went up in 2002 and then was never changed, that is
surprising

And, if you say you questioned my DX totals, it is AMAZING given your anal
obsession about RX model numbers that you did not see that the Collins in
the picture on the same page was mislabeled, for 5 years, as a 54J-1. It is
amazing that think you are almost psychic insofar as my DX achievements, but
can't spot an incorrect model number on a well known radio of the era.

703. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:35:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%ODqg.166206$F_3.84942@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81888

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0713.6A8C43A5@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', finally made an attempt at
> cleaning up
> his puffed up resume before he wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
>> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
>> >> members.
>> >>
>> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
>> >
>> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
>> > questions!
>> >
>> > Your memory is short!
>>
>> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
>> full
>> of it here as you are in other areas.
>
> Funny! I just checked it and you've obviously removed a lot of phony info
> since
> I've been pointing you out as a fake!

Actually, I added stuff.

704. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:35:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <KPDqg.166207$F_3.23084@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81887

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0898.B4E16F4F@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB04C8.4F1C2F9B@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's well known
>> > spinner and
>> > pathological liar wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
>> >> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other
>> >> >> NRC
>> >> >> members.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
>> >> >
>> >> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
>> >> > questions!
>> >> >
>> >> > Your memory is short!
>> >>
>> >> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
>> >> full
>> >> of it here as you are in other areas.
>> >>
>> >> You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.
>> >>
>> >> Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW
>> >> station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?
>> >>
>> >> What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the
>> >> same
>> >> period?
>> >>
>> >> What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?
>> >>
>> >> What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?
>> >>

>> >> How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?
>> >>
>> >> Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.
>> >>
>> >> If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your
>> >> first
>> >> Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.
>> >
>> > Stop spinning, boy!
>> >
>> > LMFAO at the fake!
>> >
>> > I gotta admit, you are good at the spin!
>>
>> In other words, you can not answer ONE of the above simple questions.
>>
>> Most are like asking, in 1965, what the easiest Ecuadorian SW catch was.
>> It
>> was not Radio Quito 4923, by the way.
>
> Spin, spin, spin.

You still are not answering any of the questions. Which means you do not
know.

705. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:37:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81889

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', paused while cleaning up his puffed up resume to write:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0381.8F716982@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing both as 'Eduardo' and as a MW DX'er wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
> >> > boy!
> >>
> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
> >> That
> >> is not language knowledge.
> >> >
> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
> >>
> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
> >
> > And if you'll recall I asked you several questions about that and asked if
> > all
> > were indeed heard in Cleveland and suddenly you stopped responding via
> > email and
> > the info disappeard from your puffed up 'resume'.
> >
> > Crap, I still wish I had the emails.
>
> I have never seen an e-mail from you. The e mail I use to post is a dummy,
> and I never do anything but periodically empty it.
>
> I have never, and would never, answer one of your e mails. I doubt I would
> read it.
>
> As I said, and my hosting service can verify, that between February 2002 and
> this week I had not changed one thing on my website.
>
> And, since my veries were widely seen at NRC and IRCA gatherings and by

> local NRC members like Ed Krejny, I have no need to prove anything to
> someone who is clueless about MW DX.
>
> And yes, all 2400 (and another roughly 300 reported but never verified) were
> from the same address in Cleveland, OH.
>
> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and hundreds
> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central America
> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED in
> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to Ben
> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in Quito
> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.

Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

706. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:41:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com>
Source record: 81890

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', made yet another attempt to grasp at straws when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0556.BBAED6A9@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> >> members.
> >> >>
> >> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
> >> > questions!
> >> >
> >> > Your memory is short!
> >>
> >> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
> >> full
> >> of it here as you are in other areas.
> >
> > Who said anything about post 2002?
>
> Since the site went up in 2002 and then was never changed, that is
> surprising
>
> And, if you say you questioned my DX totals, it is AMAZING given your anal
> obsession about RX model numbers that you did not see that the Collins in
> the picture on the same page was mislabeled, for 5 years, as a 54J-1. It is
> amazing that think you are almost psychic insofar as my DX achievements, but
> can't spot an incorrect model number on a well known radio of the era.

Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER been interested in Collins gear.

Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your puffed up resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.

LMFAO at the fake!

dxAce
Michigan

USA

707. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:42:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SVDqg.166209$F_3.153345@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81891

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
>> hundreds
>> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
>> America
>> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED in
>> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to Ben
>> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in
>> Quito
>> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
>
> Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!

Reputable DXers have seen them. I would not go within 100 miles of Holland,
if that is where you are.

708. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:44:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FXDqg.166211$F_3.46026@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81893

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com...
>
> Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER been
> interested in Collins gear.

Hmm. The best receiver ever made was the R390. Better than any Drake, by the
way.
>
> Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your
> puffed up
> resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.

It is not "puffed up" and is 100% verifiable truth.

You are getting rather frightening. I need to see if there is anything on
the Police records about you in Holland and vicinity.

709. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:44:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0B6F.30F9131D@milestones.com>
Source record: 81892

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision's most notorious prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0898.B4E16F4F@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB04C8.4F1C2F9B@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's well known
> >> > spinner and
> >> > pathological liar wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> >> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other
> >> >> >> NRC
> >> >> >> members.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
> >> >> > questions!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Your memory is short!
> >> >>
> >> >> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
> >> >> full
> >> >> of it here as you are in other areas.
> >> >>
> >> >> You would not have a clue about MW DX, anyway.
> >> >>
> >> >> Start with this one: what was the most widely reported New Zealand MW
> >> >> station in the US in the early 60's? Easiest Australian?
> >> >>
> >> >> What were the two most easily heard Hawaiians in the eastern US in the
> >> >> same
> >> >> period?
> >> >>
> >> >> What was the easiest Southern cone South American in 1960 to 1963?

> >> >>
> >> >> What was the bellwether African in North America in the same period?
> >> >>
> >> >> How easy a catch was split frequency AFRTS Thule on 1425 in the US?
> >> >>
> >> >> Which was the easiest British catch in the same period.
> >> >>
> >> >> If you were in the Northeastern US and started DXing in 1960, your
> >> >> first
> >> >> Montana station was _____. Same again for Idaho. And Wyoming.
> >> >
> >> > Stop spinning, boy!
> >> >
> >> > LMFAO at the fake!
> >> >
> >> > I gotta admit, you are good at the spin!
> >>
> >> In other words, you can not answer ONE of the above simple questions.
> >>
> >> Most are like asking, in 1965, what the easiest Ecuadorian SW catch was.
> >> It
> >> was not Radio Quito 4923, by the way.
> >
> > Spin, spin, spin.
>
> You still are not answering any of the questions. Which means you do not
> know.

Never said I did know! I wasn't DX'ing at that time anyway!

LMFAO at the desperate fake, yet again!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

710. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:49:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0CA0.D81A6CC3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81894

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the 'tard boy who apparently is scared shitless of 'Holland' wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
> >> hundreds
> >> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
> >> America
> >> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED in
> >> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to Ben
> >> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in
> >> Quito
> >> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
> >
> > Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!
>
> Reputable DXers have seen them.

So what would be wrong with letting just one more reputable DX'er see them?

LMFAO at the fake!

> I would not go within 100 miles of Holland,
> if that is where you are.

Warrant out for your arrest?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

711. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:52:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0D59.34503AD8@milestones.com>
Source record: 81895

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic who obviously began spinning shortly after birth wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com...
> >
> > Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER been
> > interested in Collins gear.
>
> Hmm. The best receiver ever made was the R390. Better than any Drake, by the
> way.
> >
> > Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your
> > puffed up
> > resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.
>
> It is not "puffed up" and is 100% verifiable truth.
>
> You are getting rather frightening. I need to see if there is anything on
> the Police records about you in Holland and vicinity.

Be my guest! Personally I'm waiting for the Feds to come through on the FOIA requests I made on you!

LMFAO at the fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

712. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:55:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <r6Eqg.166218$F_3.52745@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81896

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0B6F.30F9131D@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> You still are not answering any of the questions. Which means you do not
>> know.
>
> Never said I did know! I wasn't DX'ing at that time anyway!

And that means you do not know.

713. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:56:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <i7Eqg.166219$F_3.150489@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81897

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0CA0.D81A6CC3@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the 'tard boy who apparently is
> scared
> shitless of 'Holland' wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
>> >> hundreds
>> >> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
>> >> America
>> >> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED
>> >> in
>> >> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to
>> >> Ben
>> >> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in
>> >> Quito
>> >> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
>> >
>> > Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!
>>
>> Reputable DXers have seen them.
>
> So what would be wrong with letting just one more reputable DX'er see
> them?

You are not reportable.

714. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 00:57:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Z7Eqg.166220$F_3.56761@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81948

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB0D59.34503AD8@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic who obviously
> began
> spinning shortly after birth wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER
>> > been
>> > interested in Collins gear.
>>
>> Hmm. The best receiver ever made was the R390. Better than any Drake, by
>> the
>> way.
>> >
>> > Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your
>> > puffed up
>> > resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.
>>
>> It is not "puffed up" and is 100% verifiable truth.
>>
>> You are getting rather frightening. I need to see if there is anything on
>> the Police records about you in Holland and vicinity.
>
> Be my guest! Personally I'm waiting for the Feds to come through on the
> FOIA
> requests I made on you!
```

Man, you are a real nutcase. Like, I&#65533;m really scared. Uhhhhh.

715. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:00:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0F17.998FD3C6@milestones.com>
Source record: 81949

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', damn near crapped in his brand new Victoria's Secret outfit when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com...
> >
> > Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER been
> > interested in Collins gear.
>
> Hmm. The best receiver ever made was the R390. Better than any Drake, by the
> way.
> >
> > Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your
> > puffed up
> > resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.
>
> It is not "puffed up" and is 100% verifiable truth.
>
> You are getting rather frightening.

Scared easily, are you?

Most fakes do finally wind up being frightened.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

716. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:02:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB0FA1.4241545@milestones.com>
Source record: 81950

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', was so discombobulated when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0B6F.30F9131D@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> You still are not answering any of the questions. Which means you do not
> >> know.
> >
> > Never said I did know! I wasn't DX'ing at that time anyway!
>
> And that means you do not know.

Never said I did know!

LMFAO yet again at the uncomprehending fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

717. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:05:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB104B.2BD586C5@milestones.com>
Source record: 81951

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the most hilarious fake Hispanic
on the planet wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0CA0.D81A6CC3@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the 'tard boy who apparently is
> > scared
> > shitless of 'Holland' wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
> >> >> hundreds
> >> >> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
> >> >> America
> >> >> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at HJED
> >> >> in
> >> >> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary to
> >> >> Ben
> >> >> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries in
> >> >> Quito
> >> >> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
> >> >
> >> > Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!
> >>
> >> Reputable DXers have seen them.
> >
> > So what would be wrong with letting just one more reputable DX'er see
> > them?
>
> You are not reportable.

Why of course not! What reason would anyone have to report me to anyone?

LMFAO at the obviously confused fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

718. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:07:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB10B9.2970C2F2@milestones.com>
Source record: 81952

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic who knows the jig is up, wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0D59.34503AD8@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic who obviously
> > began
> > spinning shortly after birth wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB0AB7.3012020C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > Sorry, I didn't get my magnifying glass out! And honestly, I've NEVER
> >> > been
> >> > interested in Collins gear.
> >>
> >> Hmm. The best receiver ever made was the R390. Better than any Drake, by
> >> the
> >> way.
> >> >
> >> > Nice spin, 'tard boy, but I know you've changed several things in your
> >> > puffed up
> >> > resume, whether you changed the date on the page or not.
> >>
> >> It is not "puffed up" and is 100% verifiable truth.
> >>
> >> You are getting rather frightening. I need to see if there is anything on
> >> the Police records about you in Holland and vicinity.
> >
> > Be my guest! Personally I'm waiting for the Feds to come through on the
> > FOIA
> > requests I made on you!
>
> Man, you are a real nutcase. Like, Iï¿½m really scared. Uhhhhh.

So somehow you think I am, 'tard boy?

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

719. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:11:58 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ylEqg.166226$F_3.55682@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81953

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB104B.2BD586C5@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the most hilarious fake
> Hispanic
> on the planet wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB0CA0.D81A6CC3@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the 'tard boy who apparently is
>> > scared
>> > shitless of 'Holland' wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
>> >> >> hundreds
>> >> >> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
>> >> >> America
>> >> >> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at
>> >> >> HJED
>> >> >> in
>> >> >> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> Ben
>> >> >> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries
>> >> >> in
>> >> >> Quito
>> >> >> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
>> >> >
>> >> > Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!
>> >>
>> >> Reputable DXers have seen them.
>> >
>> > So what would be wrong with letting just one more reputable DX'er see
>> > them?
>>
>> You are not reportable.
>
```

> Why of course not! What reason would anyone have to report me to anyone?

"Reputable" was what I meant.... oh, well. Have fun making something out of this. Little things like hyphens tend to amuse you for days.

720. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:19:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB138C.3C85A893@milestones.com>
Source record: 81954

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic from Cleveland
who is now so terribly confused he had to cover his tracks when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB104B.2BD586C5@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the most hilarious fake
> > Hispanic
> > on the planet wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB0CA0.D81A6CC3@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', the 'tard boy who apparently is
> >> > scared
> >> > shitless of 'Holland' wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44AB09B5.D212D13F@milestones.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> In fact, one of the tings I did in 1963 was to obtain hundreds and
> >> >> hundreds
> >> >> of veries for NRC and IRCA members as I hitch hiked through Central
> >> >> America
> >> >> and Colombia. I sat for 2 days and answered over 100 reports at
> >> >> HJED
> >> >> in
> >> >> Cali, for example. Any of the DXers of the time, form Kermit Geary
> >> >> to
> >> >> Ben
> >> >> Dangerfield to Russ Edmonds and Larry Godwin (who saw all my veries
> >> >> in
> >> >> Quito
> >> >> in 1965) can substantiate the validity of them.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Hey, you jetset around all the time, bring those veries here!
> >> >>
> >> >> Reputable DXers have seen them.
> >> >
> >> > So what would be wrong with letting just one more reputable DX'er see
> >> > them?

> >>
> >> You are not reportable.
> >
> > Why of course not! What reason would anyone have to report me to anyone?
>
> "Reputable" was what I meant.... oh, well. Have fun making something out of
> this. Little things like hyphens tend to amuse you for days.

I already did have fun!

LMFAO at the slow fake Hispanic!

By the way, there is no hyphen in 'reputable' nor 'reportable'.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

721. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:24:55 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AE296F.18245504072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81955

In article <t3Dqg.165273$F_3.153154@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-87BB64.16400104072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <1WCqg.165268$F_3.153199@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AAF9B6.1041064D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > I know enough about different languages to get by as a DX'er, 'tard
> >> > boy!
> >>
> >> I will bet your reports look like "I heard a man speaking in chinese."
> >> That
> >> is not language knowledge.
> >> >
> >> > I'll compare totals against your fake ass ANY day.
> >>
> >> 2400 verified from Cleveland, OH in about 4 years, including 87 countries
> >> and all continents... on medium wave.
> >
> > What equipment and antenna was did you use?
>
> Started with a Zenith Trans Oceanic, moved to an SX 99 and then an HQ 180
> with Heathkit Q Multiplier along with a Collins 51J4. Couple of pics at
> http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm
>
> Antenna was an air core 30" loop, tuned but not active, on a rotor with
> servo controlled tuning, located in the attic of my home.

Nice equipment and a testament to what a tuned loop antenna can do.

Still have any of those receivers?

I have a question about the tuned loop. How was the connection made to
the receivers? Did the antenna have a pick-up loop inside it?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

722. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:42:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com>
Source record: 81956

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB0713.6A8C43A5@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', finally made an attempt at
> > cleaning up
> > his puffed up resume before he wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB00FF.417D23FE@milestones.com...
> >> >> It was widely reported in the NRC, and all were seen by many other NRC
> >> >> members.
> >> >>
> >> >> I never changed any data on the site about DX.
> >> >
> >> > Bullshit, you removed that info after I asked you a few pointed
> >> > questions!
> >> >
> >> > Your memory is short!
> >>
> >> Until yesterday, I had not revised the website since 2002. You are as
> >> full
> >> of it here as you are in other areas.
> >
> > Funny! I just checked it and you've obviously removed a lot of phony info
> > since
> > I've been pointing you out as a fake!
>
> Actually, I added stuff.

http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm

Yeah, what's really funny is this:

"In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."

Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the 1962
out of it)

What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few grades.

Now, you were born in 1946, the paper is from 1962 (which would make you 16, or darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.

Just what were you doing in the 9th grade (or even 10th grade) at age 16 (this is in Ohio) if you had indeed skipped ahead a few grades?

And just how, I ask again, did you begin "wandering the world." at age 13?

It just don't add up, and my sources in Ohio agree!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

723. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:44:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81957

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-AE296F.18245504072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> Started with a Zenith Trans Oceanic, moved to an SX 99 and then an HQ 180
>> with Heathkit Q Multiplier along with a Collins 51J4. Couple of pics at
>> http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm
>>
>> Antenna was an air core 30" loop, tuned but not active, on a rotor with
>> servo controlled tuning, located in the attic of my home.
>
> Nice equipment and a testament to what a tuned loop antenna can do.
>
> Still have any of those receivers?

Each one was traded up to the next. The 180 stayed in ecuador when I had to
leave during the Junta years.
>
> I have a question about the tuned loop. How was the connection made to
> the receivers? Did the antenna have a pick-up loop inside it?

The loop had one inner winding, which was fed to the receiver. The outer
windings were tuned.

Here is a description of the principles of a later version of the one I
used, perfected by an IRCA member in CA... and using a MOS-FET device.

Principles of the Loop Antenna
A loop antenna is an antenna primarily for the AM broadcast and the Longwave
bands. There are two different types of loop antennas, one is the ferrite
bar (as in your am radio), the other is wound on an air core form. A loop
antenna is very directional. The pickup pattern is shaped like a figure
eight. The loop will allow signals on opposite sides to be received, while
off the sides of the loop the signal will decrease or be nulled out. The
nulling feature will allow you to remove a local station on a frequency and
pick up another on the same frequency by removing the local signal. A loop
may have an amplifier or may not.

Air core loop antennas come in many sizes. The larger the loop the more gain
there is. A small loop will actually lose part of the signal. That is why
most small loops will use an amplifier. There are two ways a loop can be
wound, box or spiral. In the box or solenoid loop the plane of the winding
are wound perpendicular to the diameter of the loop, so each loop is the
same size. In the spiral loop the plane of the windings are wound parallel
with the diameter of the loop, so each loop gets smaller as you wind into
the center of the loop. A loop needs to be able to rotate to null out a
station. And a loop also needs to be able to till from vertical. This also

helps in in nulling of a signal (altazimuth feature).

The number of turns the loop needs is determined by the size of the loop, the frequency range that you want to tune and the value of your tuning capacitor. The larger the loop the fewer turns you will need. A 4 foot loop needs 8 turns and a 2 foot loop needs 18 turns. The capacitor that is used is the standard AM tuning capacitor with a range of 10 to 365 pf. The tuning capacitor is used to tune the loop to the frequency that you want to listen to. When you are tuned in to the frequency the signal will peak. You may not be able to tune the full frequency range that you want to tune. So you will need to use a 2 section capacitor and switch the second section in. (more capacitance)

There are three ways that you can connect your loop to your radio.
One way is not connecting it at all. (This requires a portable radio with a internal loop antenna.) The field of the loop will radiate the peaked signal and you will be able to pick it up with no connection to the radio. You can move the radio around to get the best reception.

You can also direct couple to the loop. This way you connect to each end of the loop and also to the center tap of the loop. Using this method you will need to use it with an amplifier.

The last method is to use a pick up coil. This consists of one turn of wire that is placed inside the loop on the cross arms. This is then connected to the radio. The distance from the main tank coil can be determined by using a pocket radio and moving it inside the loop to find the place were the signal is strongest, and were it peaks sharpest.

In the past loops were made from wood. I have built them and found them to be heavy, clumsy, and flimsy. The mounting system were not very stable. In talking with Mike Bates, he came up with the idea of using PVC to build loops. PVC is easy to cut and because you use PVC molded parts, the loop that you make are is stable. By using PVC cement for some gluing and small nylon screws to connect parts you have no metal parts except the wire and tuning cap to throw the pattern of the loop off. Using PVC it helps to have a drill press, but if a person drills very carefully there should be little problems.

A). Two main types of Loops available 1). Directly Coupled and 2). Indirectly coupled (Transformer coupled) The Directly Coupled Loop has its windings directly attached to an Amplifier. Usually the main Tank Coil (parallel tuned circuit that forms the loop primary) in the loop is grounded at the center of the winding (center tapped), to allow for electrical balancing. The Amplifiers are usually but not always J-FET's, with 2 FET's in a Differential configuration, where the ends of the tank winding go to each FET gate. The Transformer coupled version uses a link winding to couple the signal to the receiver. This version can be amplified or non amplified.

724. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:48:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ATEqg.166237$F_3.84069@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81958

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
>
> "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
>
> Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> 1962
> out of it)

No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask when I attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico and then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64.

> What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> grades.
>
> Now, you were born in 1946, the paper is from 1962 (which would make you
> 16, or
> darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
>
> Just what were you doing in the 9th grade (or even 10th grade) at age 16
> (this
> is in Ohio) if you had indeed skipped ahead a few grades?
>
> And just how, I ask again, did you begin "wandering the world." at age 13?
>
> It just don't add up, and my sources in Ohio agree!

The school started expanding to 10th, 11th and 12th grade with each year, reaching 12th in 1963. Check the school website. The name is on my website.

9th grade is not High school. It is Junior High.

Nice try.

725. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:54:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB1BC7.84AB8BE6@milestones.com>
Source record: 81959

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> >
> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
> >
> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> > 1962
> > out of it)
>
> No, the only remaining copy is severely faded.

Gee, it wasn't faded in an earlier version of your site! It was there plain as day!

> Call the school. Ask when I
> attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico and
> then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64.
> >
> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> > grades.
> >
> > Now, you were born in 1946, the paper is from 1962 (which would make you
> > 16, or
> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
> >
> > Just what were you doing in the 9th grade (or even 10th grade) at age 16
> > (this
> > is in Ohio) if you had indeed skipped ahead a few grades?
> >
> > And just how, I ask again, did you begin "wandering the world." at age 13?
> >
> > It just don't add up, and my sources in Ohio agree!
>
> The school started expanding to 10th, 11th and 12th grade with each year,
> reaching 12th in 1963. Check the school website. The name is on my website.
>
> 9th grade is not High school. It is Junior High.
>
> Nice try.

And you graduated which grade in 1964?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

726. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 01:57:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <f0Fqg.166239$F_3.162990@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81960

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
>
> "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."

Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the shift
key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
>
> Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> 1962
> out of it)

What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in 1961.
1962 was Vol II.
>
> What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> grades.
>
> Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.

Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
was in the US of that year.
>
> Just what were you doing in the 9th grade (or even 10th grade) at age 16
> (this
> is in Ohio) if you had indeed skipped ahead a few grades?

I was in the 10th grade in 61-62, if I recall. I did 10th in Mexico and
again in Ecuador, where they finally graduated me anyway after doing an
equivalency test.
>
> And just how, I ask again, did you begin "wandering the world." at age 13?

Yep. One semester at least of each year, all of 1963, and 1964 from April
on.
>
> It just don't add up, and my sources in Ohio agree!

Who cares.

727. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:03:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <G5Fqg.166242$F_3.76463@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81961

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB1BC7.84AB8BE6@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> The school started expanding to 10th, 11th and 12th grade with each year,
>> reaching 12th in 1963. Check the school website. The name is on my
>> website.
>>
>> 9th grade is not High school. It is Junior High.
>>
>> Nice try.
>
> And you graduated which grade in 1964?

I was allowed to graduate in 1964 in Ecuador at a school that allowed me to test for equivalency. I had not even finished 11th grade (5to curso there) but since I had a radio station on the air, they seemed to find it convenient to make sure I was part of the alumni.

I did 10th three times, sort of. Cleveland (partial semester), Mexico (never went to class) and Ecuador (while building HCRM1.) I did not like school. It was pretty boring.

728. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:15:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB20C8.BD13617E@milestones.com>
Source record: 81962

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> >
> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
>
> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the shift
> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
> >
> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> > 1962
> > out of it)
>
> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in 1961.
> 1962 was Vol II.
> >
> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> > grades.
> >
> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
>
> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
> was in the US of that year.

Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962. I've seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the picture by the date!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

729. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:16:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com>
Source record: 81963

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB1BC7.84AB8BE6@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> The school started expanding to 10th, 11th and 12th grade with each year,
> >> reaching 12th in 1963. Check the school website. The name is on my
> >> website.
> >>
> >> 9th grade is not High school. It is Junior High.
> >>
> >> Nice try.
> >
> > And you graduated which grade in 1964?
>
> I was allowed to graduate in 1964 in Ecuador at a school that allowed me to
> test for equivalency. I had not even finished 11th grade (5to curso there)
> but since I had a radio station on the air, they seemed to find it
> convenient to make sure I was part of the alumni.

No... get back on track here 'tard boy.

You stated:

"No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask when I
attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico and
then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."

Class of '64 at the Hawken School?

Funny, here you state:

In 1964, in a further attempt to finish my next-to-last
year of
High School, I found an opportunity to study in
Guayaquil,
Ecuador. Unfortunately, when I arrived there had just
been a
revolution (which deposed the sitting president, Carlos
Julio
Arosemena Monroy) and schools in Guayaquil were unable to

overcome a muddled bureaucracy and admit a new student,
especially one without the necessary "normal"

prerequisites in
Ecuadorian history and such.
Not wanting to go back to the US, and abandoning the
formal
"exchange" program that got me Ecuador, I traveled to
Quito,
the capital, where I was told greater leeway was granted
to
foreign students in deference to the diplomatic
community. That
was true, and I was accepted at the Colegio Americano
("Americano" refers to "The Americas" and not the United
States of America) where I was introduced to classes
unknown
in Ohio such as Logic and Ethics, as well as practical
ones like
"Redacciï¿½n Comercial" (Business Spanish). All
instruction, of
course, was in Spanish.

>
>
> I did 10th three times, sort of. Cleveland (partial semester), Mexico (never
> went to class) and Ecuador (while building HCRM1.) I did not like school.
> It was pretty boring.

Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!

I'm LMFAO

730. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:18:43 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81964

In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-AE296F.18245504072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> Started with a Zenith Trans Oceanic, moved to an SX 99 and then an HQ 180
> >> with Heathkit Q Multiplier along with a Collins 51J4. Couple of pics at
> >> http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm
> >>
> >> Antenna was an air core 30" loop, tuned but not active, on a rotor with
> >> servo controlled tuning, located in the attic of my home.
> >
> > Nice equipment and a testament to what a tuned loop antenna can do.
> >
> > Still have any of those receivers?
>
> Each one was traded up to the next. The 180 stayed in ecuador when I had to
> leave during the Junta years.
> >
> > I have a question about the tuned loop. How was the connection made to
> > the receivers? Did the antenna have a pick-up loop inside it?
>
> The loop had one inner winding, which was fed to the receiver. The outer
> windings were tuned.
>
> Here is a description of the principles of a later version of the one I
> used, perfected by an IRCA member in CA... and using a MOS-FET device.
>
> Principles of the Loop Antenna
> A loop antenna is an antenna primarily for the AM broadcast and the Longwave
> bands. There are two different types of loop antennas, one is the ferrite
> bar (as in your am radio), the other is wound on an air core form. A loop
> antenna is very directional. The pickup pattern is shaped like a figure
> eight. The loop will allow signals on opposite sides to be received, while
> off the sides of the loop the signal will decrease or be nulled out. The
> nulling feature will allow you to remove a local station on a frequency and
> pick up another on the same frequency by removing the local signal. A loop
> may have an amplifier or may not.
>
> Air core loop antennas come in many sizes. The larger the loop the more gain
> there is. A small loop will actually lose part of the signal. That is why
> most small loops will use an amplifier. There are two ways a loop can be
> wound, box or spiral. In the box or solenoid loop the plane of the winding

> are wound perpendicular to the diameter of the loop, so each loop is the
> same size. In the spiral loop the plane of the windings are wound parallel
> with the diameter of the loop, so each loop gets smaller as you wind into
> the center of the loop. A loop needs to be able to rotate to null out a
> station. And a loop also needs to be able to till from vertical. This also
> helps in in nulling of a signal (altazimuth feature).
>
> The number of turns the loop needs is determined by the size of the loop,
> the frequency range that you want to tune and the value of your tuning
> capacitor. The larger the loop the fewer turns you will need. A 4 foot loop
> needs 8 turns and a 2 foot loop needs 18 turns. The capacitor that is used
> is the standard AM tuning capacitor with a range of 10 to 365 pf. The tuning
> capacitor is used to tune the loop to the frequency that you want to listen
> to. When you are tuned in to the frequency the signal will peak. You may not
> be able to tune the full frequency range that you want to tune. So you will
> need to use a 2 section capacitor and switch the second section in. (more
> capacitance)
>
> There are three ways that you can connect your loop to your radio.
> One way is not connecting it at all. (This requires a portable radio with a
> internal loop antenna.) The field of the loop will radiate the peaked signal
> and you will be able to pick it up with no connection to the radio. You can
> move the radio around to get the best reception.
>
> You can also direct couple to the loop. This way you connect to each end of
> the loop and also to the center tap of the loop. Using this method you will
> need to use it with an amplifier.
>
> The last method is to use a pick up coil. This consists of one turn of wire
> that is placed inside the loop on the cross arms. This is then connected to
> the radio. The distance from the main tank coil can be determined by using a
> pocket radio and moving it inside the loop to find the place were the signal
> is strongest, and were it peaks sharpest.
>
> In the past loops were made from wood. I have built them and found them to
> be heavy, clumsy, and flimsy. The mounting system were not very stable. In
> talking with Mike Bates, he came up with the idea of using PVC to build
> loops. PVC is easy to cut and because you use PVC molded parts, the loop
> that you make are is stable. By using PVC cement for some gluing and small
> nylon screws to connect parts you have no metal parts except the wire and
> tuning cap to throw the pattern of the loop off. Using PVC it helps to have
> a drill press, but if a person drills very carefully there should be little
> problems.
>
> A). Two main types of Loops available 1). Directly Coupled and 2).
> Indirectly coupled (Transformer coupled) The Directly Coupled Loop has its
> windings directly attached to an Amplifier. Usually the main Tank Coil
> (parallel tuned circuit that forms the loop primary) in the loop is grounded
> at the center of the winding (center tapped), to allow for electrical
> balancing. The Amplifiers are usually but not always J-FET's, with 2 FET's

> in a Differential configuration, where the ends of the tank winding go to
> each FET gate. The Transformer coupled version uses a link winding to couple
> the signal to the receiver. This version can be amplified or non amplified.

That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.

Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which was a
tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil to the receiver.

How did you get around as a teenager in central and south America? You
must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet in the
various places you stayed prearranged?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

731. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:21:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FmFqg.166249$F_3.133780@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81965

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB20C8.BD13617E@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
>> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
>> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
>>
>> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the
>> shift
>> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
>> >
>> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase
>> > the
>> > 1962
>> > out of it)
>>
>> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in
>> 1961.
>> 1962 was Vol II.
>> >
>> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a
>> > few
>> > grades.
>> >
>> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
>> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
>>
>> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
>> was in the US of that year.
>
> Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
> I've
> seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the picture by
> the
> date!

The copy on the site is Volume II, and I started the paper the year
before... It says very plainly that it is Vol II edition something or other.

II

2

Two

Dos

Second year. Even an idiot should understand this.

732. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:23:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <BoFqg.166250$F_3.144016@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81966

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com...
>
> You stated:
>
> "No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask when
> I
> attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico and
> then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."
>
> Class of '64 at the Hawken School?

At Hawken School. No "The."

I would have graduated in '64 after missing two years. Yes. One I was half year in Guatemala and elsewhere, and the next in Mexico, and a semester of the next in Central America.

>> I did 10th three times, sort of. Cleveland (partial semester), Mexico
>> (never
>> went to class) and Ecuador (while building HCRM1.) I did not like
>> school.
>> It was pretty boring.
>
> Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!

No, I hardly ever did.

733. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:27:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com>
Source record: 81967

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB20C8.BD13617E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> >> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> >> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
> >>
> >> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the
> >> shift
> >> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
> >> >
> >> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase
> >> > the
> >> > 1962
> >> > out of it)
> >>
> >> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in
> >> 1961.
> >> 1962 was Vol II.
> >> >
> >> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a
> >> > few
> >> > grades.
> >> >
> >> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> >> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
> >>
> >> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
> >> was in the US of that year.
> >
> > Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
> > I've
> > seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the picture by
> > the
> > date!
>

> The copy on the site is Volume II, and I started the paper the year
> before... It says very plainly that it is Vol II edition something or other.
>
> II
>
> 2
>
> Two
>
> Dos
>
> Second year. Even an idiot should understand this.

Even an idiot can uderstand:

Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
I've seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the picture by
the date!

LMFAO

734. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:31:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2472.C1E3DFCC@milestones.com>
Source record: 81968

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com...
> >
> > You stated:
> >
> > "No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask when
> > I
> > attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico and
> > then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."
> >
> > Class of '64 at the Hawken School?
>
> At Hawken School. No "The."

I didn't put a "The" in there, shit for brains! It was a 'the'.

>
>
> I would have graduated in ï¿½64 after missing two years. Yes. One I was half
> year in Guatemala and elsewhere, and the next in Mexico, and a semester of
> the next in Central America.
>
> >> I did 10th three times, sort of. Cleveland (partial semester), Mexico
> >> (never
> >> went to class) and Ecuador (while building HCRM1.) I did not like
> >> school.
> >> It was pretty boring.
> >
> > Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!
>
> No, I hardly ever did.

You're so full of shit it is truly amazing!

LMFAO

735. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:34:46 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-76C648.19344604072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81969

In article <44ab1cc7$0$17953$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net>,
Brian Kraft <bkraft@nyx.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> >Noisy and fading on 17825. Usually comes in much better than so far
> >today.
>
> Is 11710 best for DPRK or is there better for western U.S.?

I don't normally listen to them but they are on many 9 and 11 MHz band
frequencies. I usually notice them on those bands.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

736. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:38:51 UTC
From: m ll <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <%CFqg.130911$771.103956@edtnps89>
Source record: 81970

Beerfart wrote:

> For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
> in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
> worthless stuff there.

http://snipurl.com/sqo2

737. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:44:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2789.16B17C42@milestones.com>
Source record: 81971

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> >
> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
>
> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the shift
> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
> >
> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> > 1962
> > out of it)
>
> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in 1961.
> 1962 was Vol II.
> >
> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> > grades.
> >
> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
>
> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
> was in the US of that year.

Here is the paper showing the date as 1962!

On a PDF of your website:

http://www.davidgleason.com/PDF%20Resume%201960-1963.pdf

Page 3, and it clearly shows 1962

Hurry, change it!

738. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:52:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mPFqg.166256$F_3.85378@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81972

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> The copy on the site is Volume II, and I started the paper the year
>> before... It says very plainly that it is Vol II edition something or
>> other.
>>
>> II
>>
>> 2
>>
>> Two
>>
>> Dos
>>
>> Second year. Even an idiot should understand this.
>
> Even an idiot can uderstand:
>
> Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
> I've seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the
> picture by
> the date!

Look at the Volume data on the other side of the masthead. It says Volume
II. If the one on the site is from 1962, then Vol I was from the 1961 school
year.

This is a pretty good example of how you are dilusionary. If something says
"1962" and is "Vol II" then the first volume is the year before.
Comprendes?

The date remains unchanged from when the site was posted. Similarly, the
volume is unchanged. Since this is verifiable with the school (my whole
class had less than 30 students in both sections, so there are not a lot of
records to check), why would I waste time with some Photoshop trick? I would
rather spend my time arguing the difference between and R 75, an R-75 and an
R75.

In fact, I had better do some extensive searches... I may not have had an
HQ-180. Perhaps it was and HQ180 or and HQ 180.

WTFC.

739. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 02:59:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2B27.39AFF299@milestones.com>
Source record: 81973

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> The copy on the site is Volume II, and I started the paper the year
> >> before... It says very plainly that it is Vol II edition something or
> >> other.
> >>
> >> II
> >>
> >> 2
> >>
> >> Two
> >>
> >> Dos
> >>
> >> Second year. Even an idiot should understand this.
> >
> > Even an idiot can uderstand:
> >
> > Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
> > I've seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the
> > picture by
> > the date!
>
> Look at the Volume data on the other side of the masthead. It says Volume
> II. If the one on the site is from 1962, then Vol I was from the 1961 school
> year.

No shit!

> This is a pretty good example of how you are dilusionary. If something says
> "1962" and is "Vol II" then the first volume is the year before.
> ï¿½Comprendes?
>
> The date remains unchanged from when the site was posted. Similarly, the
> volume is unchanged. Since this is verifiable with the school (my whole
> class had less than 30 students in both sections, so there are not a lot of
> records to check), why would I waste time with some Photoshop trick? I would
> rather spend my time arguing the difference between and R 75, an R-75 and an
> R75.

>
> In fact, I had better do some extensive searches... I may not have had an
> HQ-180. Perhaps it was and HQ180 or and HQ 180.
>
> WTFC.

740. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:00:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7XFqg.166259$F_3.82602@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81976

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2789.16B17C42@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
>> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
>> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
>>
>> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the
>> shift
>> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
>> >
>> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase
>> > the
>> > 1962
>> > out of it)
>>
>> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in
>> 1961.
>> 1962 was Vol II.
>> >
>> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a
>> > few
>> > grades.
>> >
>> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
>> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
>>
>> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
>> was in the US of that year.
>
> Here is the paper showing the date as 1962!

I have never disagreed. That paper is from 1962, and is from Volume II of
the paper. A volume at a school paper corresponds to a school year. Vol II
started in 1962, and ended in 1963.

Vol I, when I founded the paper, began in 1961. Get it?

Why are you focusing on Vol II when the issue, at least to you, is when the paper was founded. As I said, the school has me in its records as I occasionally help the building fund and such... and they know when I attended. You, on the other hand, do not know the difference between Roman numeral I and numeral II.

This is truly the most odd thread I have been in ever. You are insisting that a 2 is a 1, apparently. In your world, I suppose a 2 can be a 4 or an 11 or a 1,348 if you want it to be. But don't bring your insanity here.

741. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:00:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2B63.92B748AF@milestones.com>
Source record: 81975

dxAce wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> > >
> > > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> > > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> > > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
> > >
> > Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the shift
> > key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
> > >
> > > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase the
> > > 1962
> > > out of it)
> > >
> > What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in 1961.
> > 1962 was Vol II.
> > >
> > > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a few
> > > grades.
> > >
> > > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> > > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
> > >
> > Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
> > was in the US of that year.
>
> Here is the paper showing the date as 1962!
>
> On a PDF of your website:
>
> http://www.davidgleason.com/PDF%20Resume%201960-1963.pdf
>
> Page 3, and it clearly shows 1962
>
> Hurry, change it!

So much for stating:

"No, the only remaining copy is severely faded."

Actually you tried to frazzle the date out of the one that exists here http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm, forgetting that a different PDF version existed!

LMFAO at the fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

742. Re: OT A look at lawyers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:00:54 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <GXFqg.130913$771.15290@edtnps89>
Source record: 81974

dxAce wrote:
>
> m II wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>
>>>> "OH, MY GOD!" screamed the lawyer.
>>>>
>>>> "MY ROLEX!"
>>> A Rolex is always of great concern to the owner of one.
>> ..get yours from the dead Mexican at the end of your axe handle?
>
> Nah, got this one while in the Navy. Gave it to my dad, he passed on, now I got
> it back.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

I hate it when parents die. My dad is 85 now and I'm lucky to still have
one parent around. The only thing about him that bothers me is that
he's got more hair than I do.

mike

743. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:02:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <_YFqg.166260$F_3.18128@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81977

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2B27.39AFF299@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>>>> Look at the Volume data on the other side of the masthead. It says
>>>> Volume
>> II. If the one on the site is from 1962, then Vol I was from the 1961
>> school
>> year.
>
> No shit!

My gad! He's got it. i think he's finally got it!.

You are one thick SOB, you know that? I'll bet it takes you an hour to
figure out the harmonic frequencies of a WWV transmitter.

744. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:05:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2C77.C1E77DE4@milestones.com>
Source record: 81978

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> The copy on the site is Volume II, and I started the paper the year
> >> before... It says very plainly that it is Vol II edition something or
> >> other.
> >>
> >> II
> >>
> >> 2
> >>
> >> Two
> >>
> >> Dos
> >>
> >> Second year. Even an idiot should understand this.
> >
> > Even an idiot can uderstand:
> >
> > Sorry, can't fool me on that one. I KNOW the paper is from November 1962.
> > I've seen it on your site many times before. Before you frazzled the
> > picture by
> > the date!
>
> Look at the Volume data on the other side of the masthead. It says Volume
> II. If the one on the site is from 1962, then Vol I was from the 1961 school
> year.
>
> This is a pretty good example of how you are dilusionary. If something says
> "1962" and is "Vol II" then the first volume is the year before.
> ï¿½Comprendes?
>
> The date remains unchanged from when the site was posted. Similarly, the
> volume is unchanged. Since this is verifiable with the school (my whole
> class had less than 30 students in both sections, so there are not a lot of
> records to check), why would I waste time with some Photoshop trick? I would
> rather spend my time arguing the difference between and R 75, an R-75 and an
> R75.
>

> In fact, I had better do some extensive searches... I may not have had an
> HQ-180. Perhaps it was and HQ180 or and HQ 180.
>
> WTFC.

So, what remains unexplained as of yet is the fact that in 1961 you claim to have been in the 9th grade!

And yet in the past you claim to have been moved ahead a few grades.

As I've said, things just don't add up, and my sources in Ohio confirm that!

745. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:05:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <40Gqg.166261$F_3.5199@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81979

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2B63.92B748AF@milestones.com...
>
>
> Actually you tried to frazzle the date out of the one that exists here
> http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm, forgetting that a different
> PDF
> version existed!

A PDF is going to have greater resolution than a 72 dpi screen res j-peg.
That is why I have PDFs of many of the documents on the site, since I would
not want to put 300 dpi renderings of pictures and letters on the page...
the reader can select to download the hi res version if they want.

You don't know much about web design, either.

In any case, 1962 = Volume II
And 1961 = Vol I.

You are making a case about this, when no argument comes from me. This is
like watching a dog chase its own tail.

746. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:07:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Y1Gqg.166262$F_3.100211@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81980

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2C77.C1E77DE4@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com...
>> >

>
> So, what remains unexplained as of yet is the fact that in 1961 you claim
> to
> have been in the 9th grade!
>
> And yet in the past you claim to have been moved ahead a few grades.

I was. And before graduation, I did several years several times because I
did not go to class once I hit HS. I was busy traveling or working or both.

The fact is, I put my own radio station on the air at age 17, so I must have
moved a little ahead of the pack.

747. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:09:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2D5B.AFE0B296@milestones.com>
Source record: 81981

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2789.16B17C42@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB191E.7491F3BD@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > "In high school, I founded the school paper. This was because
> >> > the school had no 10th to 12th grade and began 2expanding"
> >> > with my 9th grade group. I founded the paper "because I could."
> >>
> >> Thanks for finding a typo, which I corrected. I mus tnot have hit the
> >> shift
> >> key, so the intende " came out as a 2.
> >> >
> >> > Now that paper pictured is from 1962 (though you've now tried to erase
> >> > the
> >> > 1962
> >> > out of it)
> >>
> >> What part of "Vol. II" don't you understand? The paper was founded in
> >> 1961.
> >> 1962 was Vol II.
> >> >
> >> > What's funny is, you've stated here before that you skipped ahead a
> >> > few
> >> > grades.
> >> >
> >> > Now, you were born in 1946, (which would make you 16, or
> >> > darn near 16 as the paper is from Nov. 1962.
> >>
> >> Nope. Darned near 15. The paper is from late 1961. Done in the semester I
> >> was in the US of that year.
> >
> > Here is the paper showing the date as 1962!
>
> I have never disagreed.

Oh yes you did!

> That paper is from 1962, and is from Volume II of
> the paper.

No shit!

> A volume at a school paper corresponds to a school year. Vol II
> started in 1962, and ended in 1963.
>
> Vol I, when I founded the paper, began in 1961. Get it?

No shit!

>
>
> Why are you focusing on Vol II when the issue, at least to you, is when the
> paper was founded. As I said, the school has me in its records as I
> occasionally help the building fund and such... and they know when I
> attended. You, on the other hand, do not know the difference between Roman
> numeral I and numeral II.

Sure I do! You just don't know how to lie very well.

>
>
> This is truly the most odd thread I have been in ever. You are insisting
> that a 2 is a 1, apparently. In your world, I suppose a 2 can be a 4 or an
> 11 or a 1,348 if you want it to be.

I've never insisted anything of the sort!

> But don't bring your insanity here.

Nope, I'm bringing yours!

748. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:10:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2DB7.35C7CCD1@milestones.com>
Source record: 81982

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2B27.39AFF299@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >>>> Look at the Volume data on the other side of the masthead. It says
> >>>> Volume
> >> II. If the one on the site is from 1962, then Vol I was from the 1961
> >> school
> >> year.
> >>
> > No shit!
>
> My gad! He's got it. i think he's finally got it!.
>
> You are one thick SOB, you know that? Iï¿½ll bet it takes you an hour to
> figure out the harmonic frequencies of a WWV transmitter.

And you'd lose the bet! Just like you bet myself or anyone else wouldn't figure out that you were a charlatan!

749. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:14:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB2E9A.6DBDDC06@milestones.com>
Source record: 81983

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2B63.92B748AF@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Actually you tried to frazzle the date out of the one that exists here
> > http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm, forgetting that a different
> > PDF
> > version existed!
>
> A PDF is going to have greater resolution than a 72 dpi screen res j-peg.
> That is why I have PDFs of many of the documents on the site, since I would
> not want to put 300 dpi renderings of pictures and letters on the page...
> the reader can select to download the hi res version if they want.

>
>
> You don't know much about web design, either.
>
> In any case, 1962 = Volume II
> And 1961 = Vol I.

Once again, no shit!

>
>
> You are making a case about this, when no argument comes from me. This is
> like watching a dog chase its own tail.

Spin, spin, spin... just a short while back BOTH versions clearly had 1962 on
them just as plain as can be! UNTIL you frazzled the one out to hide the 1962.

Then you claimed right here it was faded!

Don't bullshit me, boy!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

750. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:22:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB308C.86267235@milestones.com>
Source record: 81984

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2C77.C1E77DE4@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB2393.1EAE15DC@milestones.com...
> >> >
>
> >
> > So, what remains unexplained as of yet is the fact that in 1961 you claim
> > to
> > have been in the 9th grade!
> >
> > And yet in the past you claim to have been moved ahead a few grades.
>
> I was. And before graduation, I did several years several times because I
> did not go to class once I hit HS. I was busy traveling or working or both

So... how was it you were in 9th grade at 15 after being moved ahead? That would be pretty normal?

You're not making much sense here.

Try putting down in a list just what years and at what age were you in various grades! And, what years you were 'moved ahead'?

Heck, I'm just plain stupid, so you'd best list it out for me so I can see just how it all adds up!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

751. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:25:34 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <OiGqg.104284$A8.3801@clgrps12>
Source record: 81985

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
>> Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
>> Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
>> - For the Baby Seals !
>
> I do believe that there is a place in Hell reserved for the people who
> perpetuate this atrocity - and the Flag of Canada flies over it.

I hope there IS a very special place for these people. All of them, from the rag trade pimps around the world to the Hollywood bimbos who buy the crap. I wonder how many pieces of silver crossed our politician's palms to let the outrage continue.

mike

752. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:30:49 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <JnGqg.104285$A8.7454@clgrps12>
Source record: 81986
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman wrote:

> The center pic on this page
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
> has errors and will not show with Netscape.

Works fine here....

mike

753. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:58:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <INGqg.61975$fb2.18420@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81988

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AB2472.C1E3DFCC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > You stated:
>> >
>> > "No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask
>> > when
>> > I
>> > attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico
>> > and
>> > then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."
>> >
>> > Class of '64 at the Hawken School?
>>
>> At Hawken School. No "The."
>
> I didn't put a "The" in there, shit for brains! It was a 'the'.

Ah, we have moved from hypen issues to ones of capitalization. i am going to
do an e e cummings immitation and see if you criticize that as well.

>> > Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!
>>
>> No, I hardly ever did.
>
> You're so full of shit it is truly amazing!

No, it is you who are. I hated school, and found lots of pretexts for not
attending. As I said, it was rather boring and routine.

And now I am embarrassed over engaging in this silly discussion. I know who
I am, and everything on my website is independently verifiable. You are just
a mean little man who dislikes nearly everyone. I kinda' wish I had been
born in Toronto: it is North America's most cosmopolitan city, and the
people are nicer and brighter than you... so you could insult me for being
Canadian, too, and I would be your one stop hating center.

754. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 03:58:58 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <6OGqg.34076$VE1.2562@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81987

I get them VERY well at 6:00 A.M. PST on 9335 in California...(English)
"Brian Kraft" <bkraft@nyx.net> wrote in message
news:44ab1cc7$0$17953$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net...
> Telamon wrote:
>
> >Noisy and fading on 17825. Usually comes in much better than so far
> >today.
>
> Is 11710 best for DPRK or is there better for western U.S.?

755. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 04:16:28 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-2E3543.21162804072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81989

In article <6OGqg.34076$VE1.2562@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

> I get them VERY well at 6:00 A.M. PST on 9335 in California...(English)
> "Brian Kraft" <bkraft@nyx.net> wrote in message
> news:44ab1cc7$0$17953$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net...
> > Telamon wrote:
> >
> > >Noisy and fading on 17825. Usually comes in much better than so far
> > >today.
> >
> > Is 11710 best for DPRK or is there better for western U.S.?

9535 is usually good here as is 17825 normally. Being on the west coast
they are no problem to get.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

756. New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 04:32:09 UTC
From: kong1932 <kong1932@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152073929.635925.169450@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81990

Hi, I am seriously considering buying a shortwave receiver, and I want to know what exactly I would find on the air on the east coast of Australia, and how well the Sangean ATS-505 Shortwave Receiver performs. What antenna would I need for this receiver as well?

757. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 04:33:26 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152074006.649592.309190@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81991

David Eduardo wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)
>
> Have you read my point about trying to simlyfy for a nutcase who obviously
> does not get it?
> >
> > Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for the same
> > station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such bandwidth would
> > not be in FCC compliance, right?
>
> IBOC does not change the common point antenna current for an HD activated
> station. The two components are part, legally, of the same station, just as
> the F M stereo subcarrier is part of the FM signal. Unless stations drop
> their analog component, then the HD is "part of" and not "separate from" the
> signal of the licensed facility. The fact that no license for HD is required
> should also be proof.
>
> Before NRSC, many AMs could and did modulate with a full 15kHz fidelity. The
> local splatter from these stations often made listening to adjacents and
> next adjacents impossible. So, really, nothing has changed.
> >

I don't know chapter and verse like you do (as you are in the biz), but
I know how the FM subcarrier works. It is very benign. I choose not to
listen to SCA, but don't feel like the service has done me harm.

>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.

A brickwall filter at 10Khz isn't as annoying one at 5Khz because there
is appreciable energy around 5Khz to make the ringing noticeable.
Still, I listen to KFI when in socal and it isn't the end of the world.

758. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 04:35:05 UTC
From: kong1932 <kong1932@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152074105.916722.87050@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81992

A link to the Sangean:
http://www.dse.com.au/cgi-bin/dse.storefront/44ab416d0c634fc62740c0a87f9c073a/Product/View/D2807

759. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 04:54:55 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <nfhma2l1h9m63iml8cpvm5r7mmvhnffner@4ax.com>
Source record: 81993

It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
up inside them.

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 02:38:51 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>Beerfart wrote:
>
>> For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
>> in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
>> worthless stuff there.
>
>
>
>http://snipurl.com/sqo2

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

760. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 05:00:44 UTC
From: adman32003@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1152075644.040371.6880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81994

kong1932 wrote:
> A link to the Sangean:
> http://www.dse.com.au/cgi-bin/dse.storefront/44ab416d0c634fc62740c0a87f9c073a/Product/View/D2807

Ive got one of those it is a very good radio and you will get a fair bit as well as top notch am/fm reception.I suggest as a start you try www.primetimeshortwave.com for programs schuedule and passport to world band radio book (i know im going to get flamed for this) which is good for beginners but dont trust there schuedule its unreliable (not there fault at all).Ive learnt you do *not* put a homebrew antenna on there other you will bugger up the antenna and if you want one that is plugged in it better be good because ats-505 in built antenna will cut out.

Enjoy!

Yours truly
Adam

761. Radio Australia Schuedule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 05:14:24 UTC
From: adman32003@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1152076464.801459.158450@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81995

I complained to radio Australia about its schuedule and about how crappy and confusing it is and i actually got an answer about it! here goes!.

The information provided on our website is for all listeners, not just those who hear
us on shortwave. An increasing number of listeners now hear us via the web, satellite
or via FM frequencies in their own locality - in both Asia and the Pacific. We no longer
consider ourselves to be solely a shortwave broadcaster. This used to be the case up to
about 8 or 10 years ago and it almost resulted in our disappearance from the airwaves.

I believe that FM, satellite and on-line listeners are less likely to relate to UT/GMT
than those who hear us via shortwave. Having said that though I agree with you we should
also have listings in UT/GMT.

I have passed on your comments to the On-Line department who look after our web page.

Thanks again for your email.

Kind Regards,
Roger Broadbent,
Programme Coordinator,
English Service,
Radio Australia,
Melbourne.

Hehe this sounds like the bbc quite alot, satellite/internet and fm still remain out of reach for many people in the Radio Australia target area (hell people might only have one *old* shortwave radio for the whole village), and is a copout of sorts and also there is much room for censorship one "failed" FM transmitter and its bye bye radio Australia (as has happened with the beeb).

Back on topic im glad he is attempting to address the issue at hand and didnt just fob me off.FM will NEVER be an replacement for international broadcasters and i hope they dont see it that way.

Yours truly
Adam

Yours truly
Adam

762. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 05:57:14 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <mwlqg.15399$eV5.6372@newsfe08.phx>
Source record: 81996

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:OiGqg.104284$A8.3801@clgrps12...
bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
>> Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
>> Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
>> - For the Baby Seals !
>
> I do believe that there is a place in Hell reserved for the people who
> perpetuate this atrocity - and the Flag of Canada flies over it.

I hope there IS a very special place for these people. All of them, from the rag trade pimps around the world to the Hollywood bimbos who buy the crap. I wonder how many pieces of silver crossed our politician's palms to let the outrage continue.

mike

And we all kno-o-o-o-o-o-o-w who supplies the silver !!. k35454.

763. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 06:02:07 UTC
From: kong1932 <kong1932@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152079327.225953.91360@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81997

How well does the in built antenna work for shortwave. I'm very interested in this radio now.

764. (OT) : This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 06:18:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152080329.471264.31920@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81798

David wrote:
> On 4 Jul 2006 01:08:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >- An individual life is not as sacred as ongoing Freedom.
> >
> >-R- Without Life {Being Alive} There Are No Freedoms [.]
> >

- Pussy.

DaviD - Hope that you had a nice 4th of July ~ RHF

This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .
and The World is a Better Place !
{ This World is a Safer Place ! }

God {Allah} Bless Amenrica and the Good Men
and Women on the Discovery - Amen ~ RHF
.
.
. .

765. Re: Radio Australia Shortwave Radio Broadcast Schedule

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 07:02:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152082972.380792.66480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81799

Radio Australia Shortwave Radio Broadcast Schedule

ABC Radio {Australian Broadcasting Corporation}
ABC RADIO => http://www.abc.net.au/radio/
ABOUT ABC => http://www.abc.net.au/corp/

Radio Australia {Shortwave}
http://www.abc.net.au/ra/

Guide to Radio Australia
http://www.abc.net.au/ra/guide/

Tuning in Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/

Radio Broadcast Programs on Radio Australia
http://www.abc.net.au/ra/guide/programs_az.htm

USA Listeners
- Where and When to Tune-In and Hear Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/unitedstates.htm

Canada Listeners
- Where and When to Tune-In and Hear Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/canada.htm

Hawaii Listeners
- Where and When to Tune-In and Hear Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/unitedstateshawaii.htm

The UK & Europe Listeners
- Where and When to Tune-In and Hear Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/unitedkingdom.htm
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/tuning/europe.htm

Contact {Web-eMail-Form} Radio Australia
http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/aboutus/contact.htm
- - - Via Mail {Post To}
Radio Australia
GPO Box 428G, Melbourne
Australia 3001

For me usually 9.580 and 9.590 MHz seem to come
in good here in Oakland and Twain Harte California.

and oh yes currently - all times are local :o) ~ RHF
.
.

. .

adman32003@gmail.com wrote:
> I complained to radio Australia about its schuedule and about how
> crappy and confusing it is and i actually got an answer about it! here
> goes!.
>
> The information provided on our website is for all listeners, not just
> those who hear
> us on shortwave. An increasing number of listeners now hear us via the
> web, satellite
> or via FM frequencies in their own locality - in both Asia and the
> Pacific. We no longer
> consider ourselves to be solely a shortwave broadcaster. This used to
> be the case up to
> about 8 or 10 years ago and it almost resulted in our disappearance
> from the airwaves.
>
> I believe that FM, satellite and on-line listeners are less likely to
> relate to UT/GMT
> than those who hear us via shortwave. Having said that though I agree
> with you we should
> also have listings in UT/GMT.
>
> I have passed on your comments to the On-Line department who look after
> our web page.
>
> Thanks again for your email.
>
> Kind Regards,
> Roger Broadbent,
> Programme Coordinator,
> English Service,
> Radio Australia,
> Melbourne.
>
>
> Hehe this sounds like the bbc quite alot, satellite/internet and fm
> still remain out of reach for many people in the Radio Australia target
> area (hell people might only have one *old* shortwave radio for the
> whole village), and is a copout of sorts and also there is much room
> for censorship one "failed" FM transmitter and its bye bye radio
> Australia (as has happened with the beeb).
>
> Back on topic im glad he is attempting to address the issue at hand and
> didnt just fob me off.FM will NEVER be an replacement for international
> broadcasters and i hope they dont see it that way.
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

>
> Yours truly
> Adam

766. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 07:07:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152083259.728645.95740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81800

"Garbage" {little gigo} - Clearly you can read -butt-
Do You Actually Listen To The Radio ? ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151947259.098998.48040@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Wrong !
> > >
> > > http://beradio.com/features/radio_running_interference/
> > >
> > [snip]
> >
> > > IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> > >
> >
> > The interference test in the linked article was conducted almost 52 miles
> > from the IBOC transmitter. Even at that distance, one of WOR's IBOC
> > sidebands interfered with WLW.
> >
> > "Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was 10dB
> > down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad enough to make
> > me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's signal decreased to a
> > level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was unlistenable."
> >
> > Frank Dresser
>
> Wrong pick !
>
> When the WLW carrier was 10dB below the WOR carrier and WLW's IBOC
> carriers were on, I observed some minor noise in the analog WOR signal.
> By minor I mean that the receiver volume had to be turned up to hear
> it. If WLW's carrier met or exceeded WOR's carrier level (and WLW
> exceeded WOR by 10dB at times), the noise level in WOR's signal rose,
> but it was far from objectionable. Clearly, the WOR analog signal
> within our 0.5mV contour would be useful.
>
> Conversely, when WOR's IBOC carriers were on, and WLW's carrier was
> 10dB down, the WLW analog signal was rather noisy. It was not bad

> enough to make me want to tune WLW out, but it was annoying. If WLW's
> signal decreased to a level of 15dB below that of WOR, the signal was
> unlistenable. If, however, WLW's signal level was equal to or exceeded
> WOR's signal level, the noise was only slightly audible and the analog
> signal was useable. When the WLW signal was unlistenable and WOR's IBOC
> carriers went off, the WLW signal was still unlistenable, either
> because of another station on 700kHz coming in, or the sideband from a
> station at 690kHz splattering. In general, I would say that it was not
> strictly the IBOC carriers that made the signal unlistenable.

767. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 07:25:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81801

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1152074006.649592.309190@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)
>>
>> Have you read my point about trying to simlyfy for a nutcase who
>> obviously
>> does not get it?
>> >
>> > Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for the same
>> > station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such bandwidth would
>> > not be in FCC compliance, right?
>>
>> IBOC does not change the common point antenna current for an HD activated
>> station. The two components are part, legally, of the same station, just
>> as
>> the F M stereo subcarrier is part of the FM signal. Unless stations drop
>> their analog component, then the HD is "part of" and not "separate from"
>> the
>> signal of the licensed facility. The fact that no license for HD is
>> required
>> should also be proof.
>>
>> Before NRSC, many AMs could and did modulate with a full 15kHz fidelity.
>> The
>> local splatter from these stations often made listening to adjacents and
>> next adjacents impossible. So, really, nothing has changed.
>> >
>
> I don't know chapter and verse like you do (as you are in the biz), but
> I know how the FM subcarrier works. It is very benign. I choose not to
> listen to SCA, but don't feel like the service has done me harm.
>
>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce

> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.

The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any more than the FM analog signal is.
>
> A brickwall filter at 10Khz isn't as annoying one at 5Khz because there
> is appreciable energy around 5Khz to make the ringing noticeable.
> Still, I listen to KFI when in socal and it isn't the end of the world.

KFI has not been in IBOC since the tower came down in December of 2004.
>

768. Re: All Politics Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + US Congress = IBO$C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 07:35:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152084901.129004.229480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81802

Dang - "Garbage {little gigo} Don't Give Yourself a Hernia ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > Telamon,
> >
> > The Investment in the existing AM/MW Radio Stations and
> > the fact that each of them is a Local Brand that is Marketed
> > in the Local Economy -and- The simple fact that All Politics
> > Are Local = FCC + Broadcast Industry + Congress = IBO$C
> >
> > Two Things About Capital and Business :
> > Preserve What You Have -and- Build-On What You Have !
> >
> >
> > that's the way i see it ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <e89ni9$4ad$1@news2.kornet.net>,
> > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > message news:1151882729.889275.319410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > >> in message
> > > > >> news:1151850151.137599.147960@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> Steve wrote:
> > > > >>>> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > > >>>>> Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet
> > > > >>>>> countries, religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist
> > > > >>>>> propaganda and those weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!
> > > > >>>>
> > > > >>>> It still beats television.
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>

> > > > >> > Since they are both garbage, get another hobby, and stop wasting
> > > > >> > your money on modern-day cheap plastic box receivers ! AM DXing
> > > > >> > beats SW DXing, anytime !
> > > > >> >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> If anything is a thing of the past, it is AM DX'ing (much to my
> > > > >> chagrin). With more and more stations testing IBOC at night, it
> > > > >> won't be long now before all you hear on the AM band at night will
> > > > >> be IBOC hash. :(
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wrong ! Tests of IBOC have little to no affect on AM Dxing. Plus,
> > > > > > the digital part of the transmission fades, over long distances, so
> > > > > > it will NOT affect AM Dxing ! Too bad !
> > > > > >
> > > > > It is you who is wrong. A friend of mine, Pat Martin, of Seaside,
> > > > > OR, a leader in AM DX with thousands of QSL's from all over the world
> > > > > (all from AM band stations) reports that KGO AM in San Francisco has
> > > > > started testing IBOC at night, and he can no longer hear anything but
> > > > > IBOC hash on 800 and 820KHz, and that when they kick in their IBOC,
> > > > > the audio quality of the AM part of their signal deteriorates
> > > > > dramatically. In addition, another friend in New York City reports
> > > > > that at least two stations in that city are testing IBOC at night and
> > > > > that DX of stations either side of those is now impossible while IBOC
> > > > > is running. I don't know where you get your information about the
> > > > > digital sidebands of IBOC fading, but it is most certainly erroneous.
> > > > > IBOC will fade no more than a standard AM signal over the same path.
> > > >
> > > > I just don't understand this IBOC technology. You have to buy a new
> > > > radio to use the digital part anyway so why not pick another band to
> > > > use the improved digital technology without the analog component?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Telamon
> > > > Ventura, California
> >
> >
> > Digital Radio: What is it and how will it affect Radio as we know it
> > today?
> >
> > "The total radiated power, for IBOC transmission, is typically 1/100th
> > of the total analog power. A 25Kw AM station would be transmitting
> > 250watts of IBOC information, for example."
> >
> > "Night time AM IBOC remains problematic, at this time, because the wide
> > signals required combined with night-time AM propagation introduce more
> > noise than can be reasonably tolerated on existing analog gear."
> >
> > AND LASTLY
> >
> > "There is the real possibility of AM IBOC not seeing much adoption at

> all given the issues. Despite the considerable engineering acumen of
> the IBOC team, the case for continued analog AM innovation and
> transmission remains strong, particularly given the audio accuracy and
> night time broadcast tradeoffs in IBOC. The digital return is minor,
> given the heavy AM tradeoffs. There is clear demand for better quality
> AM service, but I honestly don't expect IBOC to fit the bill at this
> stage. More work needs to be done and analog methods deserve greater
> consideration than they are currently being given.
>
> I see no public demand for digital radio, only better radio. Where FM
> IBOC is concerned, the technology has strong potential to provide both.
> As I wrote above, AM IBOC is doubtful in this regard."
>
> EAT IT - I AM RIGHT, YOU ARE WRONG !!!
> AM DXing lives on !!!
> SWL is dying !!!
> SW tropical bands have emptied out - you all missed the boat, but I
> didn't in the 1960s !!!
> IBOC is dead !!!
> DRM will render your analog SW receivers useless !!!
> BBC, "Voice of the Andes", and many others are gone !!!
>
> I DON'T CARE WHAT ANY OF YOU SAY - YOU ARE WRONG !!! IBOC IS DEAD !!!
> NOW GO SHED SOME TEARS FOR YOUR DYING SWL HOBBY !!!
> SELL YOUR SW RECEIVERS, WHILE YOU CAN !!!
> GO HIDE YOUR PITIFUL HEADS IN YOUR LONELY HAM SHACKS !!!
> GET THOSE QSL CARDS, WHILE YOU CAN - NOBODY CARES WHAT YOU HAVE !!!
> MY HOBBY LIVES ON - YOURS IS DYING - SWL IS DYING !!!

769. DE & DX Ace - Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 07:39:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152085167.964866.314820@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81803

DE & DX Ace,

Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

enjoy your radios ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152074006.649592.309190@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)
> >>
> >> Have you read my point about trying to simlyfy for a nutcase who
> >> obviously
> >> does not get it?
> >> >
> >> > Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for the same
> >> > station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such bandwidth would
> >> > not be in FCC compliance, right?
> >>
> >> IBOC does not change the common point antenna current for an HD activated
> >> station. The two components are part, legally, of the same station, just
> >> as
> >> the F M stereo subcarrier is part of the FM signal. Unless stations drop
> >> their analog component, then the HD is "part of" and not "separate from"
> >> the
> >> signal of the licensed facility. The fact that no license for HD is
> >> required
> >> should also be proof.
> >>
> >> Before NRSC, many AMs could and did modulate with a full 15kHz fidelity.
> >> The
> >> local splatter from these stations often made listening to adjacents and
> >> next adjacents impossible. So, really, nothing has changed.
> >> >
> >
> > I don't know chapter and verse like you do (as you are in the biz), but

> > I know how the FM subcarrier works. It is very benign. I choose not to
> > listen to SCA, but don't feel like the service has done me harm.
> >
> >>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> > output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> > power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> > reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> > does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> > know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> > bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
>
> The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> more than the FM analog signal is.
> >
> > A brickwall filter at 10Khz isn't as annoying one at 5Khz because there
> > is appreciable energy around 5Khz to make the ringing noticeable.
> > Still, I listen to KFI when in socal and it isn't the end of the world.
>
> KFI has not been in IBOC since the tower came down in December of 2004.
> >

770. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 08:37:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB7A5C.2C48DF84@milestones.com>
Source record: 81805

David Frakelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's one stop prancing fool
and fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2472.C1E3DFCC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > You stated:
> >> >
> >> > "No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask
> >> > when
> >> > I
> >> > attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico
> >> > and
> >> > then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."
> >> >
> >> > Class of '64 at the Hawken School?
> >>
> >> At Hawken School. No "The."
> >
> > I didn't put a "The" in there, shit for brains! It was a 'the'.
>
> Ah, we have moved from hypen issues to ones of capitalization. i am going to
> do an e e cummings immitation and see if you criticize that as well.
>
> >> > Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!
> >>
> >> No, I hardly ever did.
> >
> > You're so full of shit it is truly amazing!
>
> No, it is you who are. I hated school, and found lots of pretexts for not
> attending. As I said, it was rather boring and routine.
>
> And now I am embarrassed over engaging in this silly discussion. I know who
> I am, and everything on my website is independently verifiable. You are just
> a mean little man who dislikes nearly everyone. I kinda' wish I had been
> born in Toronto: it is North America's most cosmopolitan city, and the
> people are nicer and brighter than you... so you could insult me for being

> Canadian, too, and I would be your one stop hating center.

LMFAO!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

771. Re: DE & DX Ace - Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 08:41:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB7B33.44064F75@milestones.com>
Source record: 81806

RHF wrote:

> DE & DX Ace,
>
> Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

You're quite welcome! I enjoy putting the fake one in his place.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

772. IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 08:45:15 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44AB7C12.1E71@mindspring.com>
Source record: 81807

WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.

--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

773. Re: Watch CNN Tonight at 0200 UTC (transcripts)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 08:52:29 UTC
From: charles_liu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1152089549.570619.249430@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81808

SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> CNN's Anderson Cooper examines Communist China's organ harvesting,
> http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0606/15/acd.02.html
>
> but ignores Sujiatun.
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com/2006/04/sujiatun-why-i-still-believe.html
>
> Former Communist military officer reveals the cadre's assassination scheme.
> http://www.theepochtimes.com/news/6-6-18/42912.html

Perhaps Falun Gong's outlandish claim didn't meet CNN's journalistic standards? FYI Falun Gong's "Auschwitz" claim have already been debunked:

- US State Dept investigation found Epoch Times NY's allegation not credible:

http://usinfo.state.gov/xarchives/display.html?p=washfile-english&y=2006&m=April&x=20060416141157uhyggep0.5443231&t=livefeeds/wf-latest.html

- The hospital FLG accused is actually a joint-venture with a company affaliated with the Malaysian government. The place is open to public, and Malay officials have visited in previous years:

http://crc.gov.my/clinicalTrial/documents/Proposal/TCM_Stroke%20TrialProtocol%20synopsis.pdf

I've never heard of death camp advertising for foreign investment and official tours. Even Harry Wu of Lao Gai Research, an expert on this and long time critic of the Chinese government, does not believe Falun Gong:

http://www.canadianchristianity.com/cgi-bin/bc.cgi?bc/bccn/0606/07chinese

> _____
>
> > Efforts in Canada to get to the bottom of the Sujiatun outrage have "run
> up
> > against a disobliging Chinese embassy in Ottawa"
> >
> > http://www.theepochtimes.com/news/6-6-14/42749.html
> >
> > --
> > _____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &

> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
> >
> >

774. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 09:06:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AB8109.B24839B0@milestones.com>
Source record: 81809

Ron Hardin wrote:

> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.

I just checked at 4:50am EDT and couldn't hear any IBOC. I'd like WBBM 780 Chicago to switch theirs on once at night to see how that would sound here. If it's anything like it is in the daytime it'll make a mess.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

775. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:04:28 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152093867.993023.144470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81810

Ron Hardin wrote:
> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>
> --
> Ron Hardin
> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

The FCC has not authorized nighttime IBOC - IBOC is in a state of great turmoil, and is not going to happend, despite what some idiots say:

http://worldsupercaster.blogspot.com/

776. Breaking news: Kim sends another one up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:06:38 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8g2rh$lv2$2@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81811

I think he's trying to make his own space program today...

--
Over 5 decades of great hits
Full Spectrum Radio
http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

777. IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:11:18 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8g34a$m17$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 81812

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...

>>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
>> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
>> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
>> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
>> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
>> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
>> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
>
> The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> more than the FM analog signal is.
>>

That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).

Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:

"As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."

--
Over 5 decades of great hits
Full Spectrum Radio
http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

778. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:16:25 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152094585.083309.257730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81813

Ron Hardin wrote:
> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>
> --
> Ron Hardin
> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

And, to add from the same link:

"As much as I'd like to hear Georgetown basketball games in this
clarity next year, however, I probably won't; FCC regulations prohibit
AM HD broadcasts after dark, lest they interfere with the reception of
distant AM signals."

I rest my case !!!

779. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:20:40 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152094840.201540.102970@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81814

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
> > >
> > > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> > > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> > > more than the FM analog signal is.
> > >>
> >
> >
> > That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
> > anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
> > it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
> >
> > Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> > when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
> >
> > "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> > IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> > the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
> > total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
> > from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
> > better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
> > too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
> > night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
> > KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Over 5 decades of great hits
> > Full Spectrum Radio
> > http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

The digital sidebands are only 1/100 the power of the analog portion.
HD/IBOC is in a state of great turmoil and will not materialize, due to

too many technical difficulties, and lack of interest from the general public:

http://worldsupercaster.blogspot.com

780. Re: STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:23:07 UTC
From: Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net>
Message-ID: <20060705062414444303%testicle@sacnet>
Source record: 81815

On 2006-07-02 13:53:37 -0400, cuhulin@webtv.net said:

> Or like I feel because that divorced 34 year old woman in
> Petal,Mississippi hasen't talked dirty to me in about two and a half
> weeks.She better hurry up and talk nasty to me again!
> cuhulin
>

Shut up already.

781. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:26:28 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152095188.413937.254360@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81816

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
> > >
> > > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> > > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> > > more than the FM analog signal is.
> > >>
> >
> >
> > That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
> > anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
> > it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
> >
> > Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> > when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
> >
> > "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> > IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> > the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
> > total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
> > from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
> > better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
> > too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
> > night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
> > KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Over 5 decades of great hits
> > Full Spectrum Radio
> > http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out HD/IBOC doesn't work:

http://www.fmqb.com//article.asp?id=184531

782. any JRC NRD-545 users can give advice please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 10:30:35 UTC
From: Mackenzie <zfp_cartel@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1152095435.073880.151430@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81817

Hi
Just bought a new NRD545 receiver and noticed that the rear panel, near to the wideband antenna socket gets very hot. I have had a lot of rigs in the past and never had one that heats up so much.

Just wondered if any JRC users could tell me if this is normal or could there be a problem with this particular rig? Apart from the heat, there are no other problems and the receiver works great.

Thanks
Mac.

783. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 11:14:43 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44AB9F21.2010000@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 81818

Brenda Ann wrote:
> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:

That's certainly not called for in the IBOC spec, and it's not been my
experience with analog reception of IBOC stations.

> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is

WLAC, WBBM, WHA, WTMJ, I've not noticed any change in analog coverage.

On receivers with wider IF bandwidth, the IBOC carriers could be
detected as noise and reduce the signal-to-noise ratio of recovered
audio. That could have the appearance of a dropoff in signal strength.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

784. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 11:15:57 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81819

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
> > >
> > > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> > > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> > > more than the FM analog signal is.
> > >>
> >
> >
> That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
> anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
> it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
>
> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
>
> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
> total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
> from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
> better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
> too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
> night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
> KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

http://worldsupercaster.blogspot.com

"IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it. It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air. Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for itself). Of course, you can't sell what people can't hear."

785. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 11:22:17 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152098537.263819.77290@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81820

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
> > >
> > > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> > > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> > > more than the FM analog signal is.
> > >>
> >
> >
> That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
> anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
> it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
>
> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
>
> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
> total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
> from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
> better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
> too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
> night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
> KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

IBOC Myths and Facts:

http://www.qsl.net/n0uih/IBOCMythsandFacts/

786. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 11:22:47 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152098566.970716.80730@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81821

Ron Hardin wrote:
> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>
> --
> Ron Hardin
> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

IBOC Myths and Facts:

http://www.qsl.net/n0uih/IBOCMythsandFacts/

787. Re: (OT) : First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) {Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 13:15:04 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152105304.624416.50240@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81822

RHF wrote:
> BpnJ - First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK)
> {Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]
>
> obtw - you lose ~ RHF

Get a life. Neither argument you make about either term is correct,
just one extreme guy's internet opinion that other extremists run with.
If you'd learn to read and reason, you'd see that my argument is
sound, logical, and correct. I admitted the analogy isn't perfect, but
it illustrates the point to anyone with a brainstem...I suggest you
invest in one. Until then, talk to someone who cares about your
gibberish.

Bruce Jensen
****
> .
> .
> . .
>
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> > > See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
> > > I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
> > > that.
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols
> > above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that
> > represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great
> > symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and
> > rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand
> > how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and
> > fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and
> > what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for
> > those rights.
> >
> > Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their
> > symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan.
> > Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or
> > anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do,

> > however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of
> > their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being
> > inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise
> > represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act,
> > of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I
> > said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any
> > point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win
> > any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply
> > believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of
> > everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and
> > its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not
> > fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters,
> > and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.
> >
> > Bruce Jensen

788. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:08:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <YJPqg.116187$H71.27965@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81823

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152093867.993023.144470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron Hardin wrote:
>> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
>> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
>> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>>
>> --
>> Ron Hardin
>> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>>
>> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
>
> The FCC has not authorized nighttime IBOC - IBOC is in a state of great
> turmoil, and is not going to happend, despite what some idiots say:

There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
several thousand more. It already happened.

789. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:11:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VLPqg.116189$H71.56076@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81824

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152094585.083309.257730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron Hardin wrote:
>> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
>> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
>> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>>
>> --
>> Ron Hardin
>> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>>
>> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
>
> And, to add from the same link:
>
> "As much as I'd like to hear Georgetown basketball games in this
> clarity next year, however, I probably won't; FCC regulations prohibit
> AM HD broadcasts after dark, lest they interfere with the reception of
> distant AM signals."

FCC regulations permit HD from 6 AM or Sunrise, whichever is earlier to 6 PM
or sunset, whichever is later. There is no specific prohibition for the rest
of the night hours, because that has not been decided on yet. There is a
current LIMITATION, not a specific prohibition.

790. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:14:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VOPqg.116191$H71.38663@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81825

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152095188.413937.254360@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
>> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
>> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
>> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
>> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We
>> >> all
>> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
>> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
>> >>
>> > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is
>> > the
>> > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced
>> > any
>> > more than the FM analog signal is.
>> >>
>>
>>
>> That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
>> anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add
>> to
>> it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
>>
>> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
>> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite
>> noticably:

No, it does not. We switch our AM HD stations every morning and night, and
there is no difference in level or antenna current.
>>
>> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
>> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
>> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
>> total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
>> from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
>> better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
>> too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
>> night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.

>> KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."

Of course, as has been pointed out in the ba.broadcast group, the days that people were "hearing" these HD artifacts, KGO did not have HD on the air!

KGO just installed a new Nautel, and is tweaking the processing for it. HD has been off and on, but with no noticeable difference in levels.

791. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:16:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3RPqg.116192$H71.43617@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81826

"Doug Smith W9WI" <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:44AB9F21.2010000@invalid.invalid...
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
>> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite
>> noticably:
>
> That's certainly not called for in the IBOC spec, and it's not been my
> experience with analog reception of IBOC stations.

Most of today's AM DXers are so anti-radio that they will "hear" anything
that suits thier agenda.
>> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
>> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
>> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
>
> WLAC, WBBM, WHA, WTMJ, I've not noticed any change in analog coverage.

That is our expereince with HD stations in NY, Chicago, LA, Miami, Dallas,
Houston, etc.
>
> On receivers with wider IF bandwidth, the IBOC carriers could be detected
> as noise and reduce the signal-to-noise ratio of recovered audio. That
> could have the appearance of a dropoff in signal strength.

I suspect you are right, but I have yet to come across such a receiver, even
in my collection of 50's early transistor radios, several of which had
magnificent audio (and which still work!!!)

792. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:18:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <RSPqg.116193$H71.15533@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81827

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.

The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
recorder was $895.

> Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> itself).

Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
bullpucky.

793. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:21:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ZVPqg.116195$H71.60084@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81828

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e8g34a$m17$1@news2.kornet.net...

>
> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite
> noticably:

Patrick is in Oregon. Patrick is not in the groudnwave coverage area of KGO.
Patrick is of no interest to KGO as a listener. KGO is interested in the San
Francisco metro, nothing else.
>
> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...

KGO has not had HD on for several days. They are working on the new Nautel
50 they put in 10 days ago, and promise traditional KGO audio from it with
HD on as well.

794. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:22:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <MWPqg.116196$H71.45628@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81829

"Ron Hardin" <rhhardin@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:44AB7C12.1E71@mindspring.com...
> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.

HD is not yet authorized for night operation, although 4:30 AM falls in the
experimental period, so they could be testing.

795. Re: (OT) : This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:30:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44ABCD03-819@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81831

I guess everybody likes juke boxes,I know I do.Check out the
article,Bentonia juke joint is temple of blues,in the Local News section
of www.clarionledger.com
I have been to Bentonia before back in the 1970's,it is a little bitty
town.with a population of about 300 people.(little towns,the best kinds
of towns) Bentonia isn't far from me,I am going to have to get over
there someday and check the Blue Front Cafe out.
cuhulin

796. NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:38:19 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152110299.521966.277300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81830

David Eduardo wrote:

> HD is not yet authorized for night operation, although 4:30 AM falls in the
> experimental period, so they could be testing.

WABC-77 AM (along with WOR-710 and WFAN-66 AM) shuts down the IBOC down
for the night.

WCBS-88 AM, however is running it 24/7. I guess they're experimenting
interference with WLS-89 AM,Chicago?

WNYC-82 AM was running IBOC day and night for the past month (10,000
watts day, 1000 watts night). The exciter has been off since this past
Friday night, however. As of this morning, it was still shut off.

WADO-1280 AM's exciter has also been off over the weekend. I don't
know what happened this morning, though. Didn't tune in.

So I don't know what's been happening...aside from LOTS of hash in the
daytime.
My Tivoli-Model 1 is now useless on AM in the daytime. Go figure.

I'm still waiting for WINS-1010, WEPN-1050 and WBBR-1130 to go
IBOC...maybe in a few months time? Ditto the regionals (WPAT-AM 93,
WZRC-1480, etc.), although those were playing with IBOC back in 2003
and then shut it off, never to turn it back on.

Salem Broadcasting (WMCA-57 and WWDJ-97 AM) are holding off on IBOC for
now....

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

797. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:43:35 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152110615.891209.115520@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81832

Brenda Ann wrote:
> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:

I can attest to that. When WFAN, WOR, WCBS, WADO, WNYC and WABC kick
in their IBOC signals, the same thing happens.

The audio on analog also goes totally flat. There's absolutely no
punch. And on WNYC, where the processing is minimal to begin with, it
sometimes seems to get lost in the background hiss (yes, the radio is
on tune).

Also, since the bandwith has been constricted to 5 khz, if you
overprocess the sound, it will start clipping, and you can HEAR it.
WOR suffers from that.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York

798. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:45:38 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152110738.312859.243550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81833

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
>
> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
> recorder was $895.
>
> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> > itself).
>
> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
> bullpucky.

Not according to these articles... but, I guess you know better, than
the professionals !

799. Re: Shuttle Progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:50:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28261-44ABD1C8-824@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81837

They are going to use the robotic arm next to do a survey of the nose cone.According to NASA on the NASA tv channel.
cuhulin

800. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:55:51 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152111351.098057.280320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81835

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152093867.993023.144470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ron Hardin wrote:
> >> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> >> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> >> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Ron Hardin
> >> rhhardin@mindspring.com
> >>
> >> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
> >
> > The FCC has not authorized nighttime IBOC - IBOC is in a state of great
> > turmoil, and is not going to happend, despite what some idiots say:
>
> There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
> several thousand more. It already happened.

We shall see - many experts disagree with you. There is no
interest/demand for HD/IBOC radio, from the general public. Actually,
many stations have turned off their HD/IBOC equipment, due to listener
complaints and/or interference to other stations !

801. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 14:59:21 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152111561.359987.298750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81836

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152093867.993023.144470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ron Hardin wrote:
> >> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> >> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> >> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Ron Hardin
> >> rhhardin@mindspring.com
> >>
> >> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
> >
> > The FCC has not authorized nighttime IBOC - IBOC is in a state of great
> > turmoil, and is not going to happend, despite what some idiots say:
>
> There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
> several thousand more. It already happened.

There are FAR fewer HD/IBOC stations on the air:

http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

802. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:05:25 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152111925.049911.228120@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81839

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152094585.083309.257730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ron Hardin wrote:
> >> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> >> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> >> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Ron Hardin
> >> rhhardin@mindspring.com
> >>
> >> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
> >
> > And, to add from the same link:
> >
> > "As much as I'd like to hear Georgetown basketball games in this
> > clarity next year, however, I probably won't; FCC regulations prohibit
> > AM HD broadcasts after dark, lest they interfere with the reception of
> > distant AM signals."
>
> FCC regulations permit HD from 6 AM or Sunrise, whichever is earlier to 6 PM
> or sunset, whichever is later. There is no specific prohibition for the rest
> of the night hours, because that has not been decided on yet. There is a
> current LIMITATION, not a specific prohibition.

Ah, semantics !

803. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:05:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pzQqg.35049$VE1.25779@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81840

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152110738.312859.243550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
>> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
>> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
>>
>> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
>> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
>> recorder was $895.
>>
>> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
>> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
>> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
>> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
>> > itself).
>>
>> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
>> bullpucky.
>
> Not according to these articles... but, I guess you know better, than
> the professionals !

I am one of the "professionals". The marketing for the consumer began about
90 days ago, with an industry committee coordinating the production and
content.

The HD roll out was very early on revised to be a three step program
starting with (1) getting transmitter manufacturers to offer HD gear and
stations to install, (2) getting HD-2 content on in the largest markets to
be able to offer expanded choices and (3) get new design specs for the
chipset and receivers to manufacturers so that radios can be produced at
attractive price points.

The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.

804. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:06:49 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <1acfd$44abd116$422aadc8$22069@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 81834

<streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1151948122.465512.225870@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
> > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > > licnesed ham in the radio stores.
> >
> > Oh come on, they respect everyone who comes in with $$$ in their
hands.
> >
> > > you can even get unadvterised
> > > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny
saved.
> > > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser
swl
> > > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
> >
> > Happy 4th, retard boy!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> SWL is a loser hobby !
> SWL is a dead horse - you all missed the boat !
> SW receivers are cheap, over-priced plastic boxes !
> Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the 800, or 2010 !
> SW listeners are loser nerds !
> Go hide in your ham shacks !
> 60 and 90 meter tropical bands have emptied out !
> BBC no longer broadcasts to North America !
> "Voice of the Andes" is gone !
> AM DXing lives on !
> IBOC does not affect AM DXing !
> IBOC will never fly !
> You all are losers, with a loser hobby !
> You all are 30 years too late, but I wasn't !
> I know what it used to be like !
> DRM will render your receivers useless !
> DRM will render the Eaton Shit E1 useless !
> Go find another hobby, losers !
>

> SWL is dying !
> SWL is dead !
> But I'm not cry'n !
> For you nerd heads !

Wow. Even had the obligatory AOL address. The only
thing missing is the misspelled words and all caps.

Of course, someone on AOL accessing a newsgroup
to call the people who engage in another electronic
hobby "nerd heads" is really the pot calling the kettle
black, but nevermind.

--Mike L.

805. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:10:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <JDQqg.35052$VE1.19856@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81842

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152111351.098057.280320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
>> several thousand more. It already happened.
>
> We shall see - many experts disagree with you.

Like any change, there will be some who disagree. They are very few in number.

> There is no
> interest/demand for HD/IBOC radio, from the general public.

Of course there isn't. The poromotion of HD on a massive scale just began and the 2.0 design spec receivers have not arrived at retail yet.

Keep in mind that in the first 3 years of FM stereo, only about 100 stations converted. It took FM as a band another 8 to 10 years to become economically viable after stereo was introduced, and much had to do with government "forced" regulation. It took 6 years for portable CD players to drop below $200... and that is about $300 in today's dollars.

> Actually,
> many stations have turned off their HD/IBOC equipment, due to listener
> complaints and/or interference to other stations !
>

Name me 10 who have. And that would be 10 out of over 1000 HD stations on the air, and nearly 2000 licensed by iBiquity.

Note: in several cases, HD has not worked with highly directional AMs with high Q phasers and old transmission equipment. That is a management problem, not an HD problem.

806. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:10:38 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152112238.378483.236080@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81841

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152110738.312859.243550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> >> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> >> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
> >>
> >> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
> >> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
> >> recorder was $895.
> >>
> >> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> >> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> >> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> >> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> >> > itself).
> >>
> >> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
> >> bullpucky.
> >
> > Not according to these articles... but, I guess you know better, than
> > the professionals !
>
> I am one of the "professionals". The marketing for the consumer began about
> 90 days ago, with an industry committee coordinating the production and
> content.
>
> The HD roll out was very early on revised to be a three step program
> starting with (1) getting transmitter manufacturers to offer HD gear and
> stations to install, (2) getting HD-2 content on in the largest markets to
> be able to offer expanded choices and (3) get new design specs for the
> chipset and receivers to manufacturers so that radios can be produced at
> attractive price points.
>
> The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
> promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.

Consumers are not interested in HD/IBOC - again, I guess you know
better, than all the professionals and surveys, from these articles !
HD/IBOC will die !

807. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:16:41 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152112601.764951.73350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81843

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:

> many stations have turned off their HD/IBOC equipment, due to listener
> complaints and/or interference to other stations !

Back in 2003, after WOR started IBOC transmissions, two other stations
owned by MRBI (Multicultural Radio Broadcasting) joined the fray.

WPAT-AM 93 fired up IBOC for a bit and then WZRC-1480 did.

The exciters were turned off shortly thereafter. Haven't been turned
on since. Why this is, I don't know. Maybe there are still bugs to be
worked out in the transmitter plants at these two stations?

--
Steph

808. Re: Voice of Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:21:00 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <cfe52$44abd469$422aadc8$21636@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 81838

"Vincent" <vincze33@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151766326.990977.54890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Steve ha scritto:
>
> > Hearing their English broadcast now on 15630 khz. Nice signal.
>
> What is really NICE is the music one can hear from VoG! Also on 9420
> kHz.

Yep. Easily my favorite station for music.

--Mike L.

809. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:22:40 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152112960.128745.183100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81844

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152111351.098057.280320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
> >> several thousand more. It already happened.
> >
> > We shall see - many experts disagree with you.
>
> Like any change, there will be some who disagree. They are very few in
> number.
>
> > There is no
> > interest/demand for HD/IBOC radio, from the general public.
>
> Of course there isn't. The poromotion of HD on a massive scale just began
> and the 2.0 design spec receivers have not arrived at retail yet.
>
> Keep in mind that in the first 3 years of FM stereo, only about 100 stations
> converted. It took FM as a band another 8 to 10 years to become economically
> viable after stereo was introduced, and much had to do with government
> "forced" regulation. It took 6 years for portable CD players to drop below
> $200... and that is about $300 in today's dollars.
>
> > Actually,
> > many stations have turned off their HD/IBOC equipment, due to listener
> > complaints and/or interference to other stations !
> >
>
> Name me 10 who have. And that would be 10 out of over 1000 HD stations on
> the air, and nearly 2000 licensed by iBiquity.
>
> Note: in several cases, HD has not worked with highly directional AMs with
> high Q phasers and old transmission equipment. That is a management problem,
> not an HD problem.

Here are the ten and your "thousand" on-air is B.S:

http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

I wouldn't expect anything less, from someone who markets sleazy IBOC.
IBOC will die -
there are too many technical problems:

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

810. Re: any JRC NRD-545 users can give advice please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 15:34:39 UTC
From: "H. State" <hollowstate5964@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152113679.421535.44490@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81845

Mackenzie wrote:
> Hi
> Just bought a new NRD545 receiver and noticed that the rear panel, near
> to the wideband antenna socket gets very hot. I have had a lot of rigs
> in the past and never had one that heats up so much.
>
> Just wondered if any JRC users could tell me if this is normal or could
> there be a problem with this particular rig? Apart from the heat, there
> are no other problems and the receiver works great.
>
> Thanks
> Mac.

Look here for an explanation.

http://www.ticon.net/~n9ewo/nrd545.html

811. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:10:53 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <hwRqg.3487$PE1.398@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81998

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote >
> Of course, someone on AOL accessing a newsgroup
> to call the people who engage in another electronic
> hobby "nerd heads" is really the pot calling the kettle
> black, but nevermind.
>
> --Mike L.

I thought AOL stopped providing newsgroups some time ago.
Seems I remember it in the news, perhaps last year?

812. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:12:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ZxRqg.166347$F_3.42845@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81999
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote in message
news:1152111561.359987.298750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152093867.993023.144470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Ron Hardin wrote:
>> >> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
>> >> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
>> >> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Ron Hardin
>> >> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>> >>
>> >> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.
>> >
>> > The FCC has not authorized nighttime IBOC - IBOC is in a state of great
>> > turmoil, and is not going to happend, despite what some idiots say:
>>
>> There are over 1000 HD stations on the air. There are commitments for
>> several thousand more. It already happened.
>
> There are FAR fewer HD/IBOC stations on the air:

There is only one list that is accurate, and that is from iBiquity. Current
on air count passed 1000 a few weeks ago.

iBiquity runs updates fairly often in Radio world; that is about the best
source. Since the FCC does not update well the HD list, that source is
inaccurate and may reflect notified but non-active installs and there may be
some stations that do not notify as the "rules" are kind of ambiguous.

begin 666 Launch Internet Explorer Browser.lnk
M3 ````$4`@``````P ```````$:?````( ```."A\ZPY?L0!`)B7*UN!Q@$`
MX&"1&GK$`0!L`0``````0``````````````````! !P`&P`;P!R
M`&4`<@```!@`3 `R``!L`0`$,0!@( !)15A03$]212Y%6$4``# ``P`$`.^^
M"3&7CKLT`#@4````20!%`%@`4 !,`$\`4@!%`"X`10!8`$4````<````:0``
M`!P````!`````' ```#@`````````: ```!P````#````Y#'51! ```!)0DU?
M4%)%3$]!1 !#.EQ0
```

813. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:15:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <fARqg.166349$F_3.135659@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82000

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152112238.378483.236080@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
>> promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.
>
> Consumers are not interested in HD/IBOC - again, I guess you know
> better, than all the professionals and surveys, from these articles !
> HD/IBOC will die !

How would consumers be interested if the marketing to them has just begun literally a few weeks ago? This is a many-year, slow and calculated process.

I find growing interest in HD-2 on FM. This will drive HD, probably. AM will be along for the ride, and it will enhance the values of many AM stations in the process. Still, consumer awareness is below 5%, so there is no way to look at this part of the roll out yet.

814. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:17:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABE628.D418839D@milestones.com>
Source record: 82001

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's HD/IBOC one stop prancing fool and fake Hispanic wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
>
> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
> recorder was $895.
>
> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> > itself).
>
> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
> bullpucky.

Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

815. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:19:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xERqg.166354$F_3.123359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82002

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152112960.128745.183100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Name me 10 who have. And that would be 10 out of over 1000 HD stations on
>> the air, and nearly 2000 licensed by iBiquity.
>>
>> Note: in several cases, HD has not worked with highly directional AMs
>> with
>> high Q phasers and old transmission equipment. That is a management
>> problem,
>> not an HD problem.
>
> Here are the ten and your "thousand" on-air is B.S:
>
> http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

That list is woefully out of date, and does not include FMs. In fact, more
than half the AM stations I work with are that are HD operations are
missing...

It is even missing important HD stations like WJR and KGO which are 50 kw
clear channel operations.
>
> I wouldn't expect anything less, from someone who markets sleazy IBOC.
> IBOC will die -

I do not market HD. I use it.

816. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:21:46 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152116506.479006.223070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82003

David Eduardo wrote:

> Most of today's AM DXers are so anti-radio that they will "hear" anything
> that suits thier agenda.

Well the hiss and clipping is much more audible on high-fidelity
stereos and table radios, also when you're listening on a good quality
earphone stereo (Walkman) with a good set of earphones (even the Sony
in-ear ones are enough).

Now, when I turn on most of my old 1960s tube radios with the crappy 4"
speakers - like those old clock radios, which make no pretense to being
hi-fi, I don't have that problem. And just like you, I don't notice it
on those little pocket transistor radios. And I have a bunch of those
- old and new.

Sure the sideband hash is still there if the radio drifts off tune.

So...are people supposed to stop listening on high-fidelity radios and
settle for clock-radio audio instead when listening to analog?

Seems kind of bass-aackards to me. :-/

--
Stephanie Weil
New York

817. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:21:55 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152116515.656061.223960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82004

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's HD/IBOC one stop
> prancing fool and fake Hispanic wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> > > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> > > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
> > >
> > The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
> > manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
> > recorder was $895.
> >
> > > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> > > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> > > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> > > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> > > itself).
> > >
> > Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
> > bullpucky.
>
> Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

That's right ! Little bitch !

818. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:23:23 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82005

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152112960.128745.183100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Name me 10 who have. And that would be 10 out of over 1000 HD stations on
> >> the air, and nearly 2000 licensed by iBiquity.
> >>
> >> Note: in several cases, HD has not worked with highly directional AMs
> >> with
> >> high Q phasers and old transmission equipment. That is a management
> >> problem,
> >> not an HD problem.
> >
> > Here are the ten and your "thousand" on-air is B.S:
> >
> > http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html
>
> That list is woefully out of date, and does not include FMs. In fact, more
> than half the AM stations I work with are that are HD operations are
> missing...
>
> It is even missing important HD stations like WJR and KGO which are 50 kw
> clear channel operations.
> >
> > I wouldn't expect anything less, from someone who markets sleazy IBOC.
> > IBOC will die -
>
> I do not market HD. I use it.

You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
will die !

819. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:24:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <GIRqg.166357$F_3.28470@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82006

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABE628.D418839D@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's HD/IBOC one stop
> prancing fool and fake Hispanic wrote:
>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
>> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
>> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
>>
>> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
>> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
>> recorder was $895.
>>
>> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
>> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
>> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
>> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
>> > itself).
>>
>> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
>> bullpucky.
>
> Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?

No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in radio's
ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in the
future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
business.

820. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:25:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0KRqg.166358$F_3.23706@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82007

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152116515.656061.223960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
> That's right ! Little bitch !
>

That certainly qualifies you as an expert and worthy of debate and discussion.

Your credentials, other than an ISP account, are what?

821. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:26:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <gLRqg.166360$F_3.44518@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82008

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> will die !

You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
>

822. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:27:56 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152116876.504286.205660@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82009

David Eduardo wrote:

> I find growing interest in HD-2 on FM. This will drive HD, probably. AM will
> be along for the ride,

It's great on FM. It adds extra channels but doesn't diminish the existing analog sound quality.

As far as AM is concerned, we all know what it sounds like during the day.

What I want to see is what sort of disaster is going to happen at night, in those areas of the country where skywave service sometimes fools with the night signal of low-powered local AM stations.

It's going to be hash on top of hash, especially on first adjacents. Even in local strong signal areas, it's going to be hard to fine-tune anything in.

Let's take an area like central New Jersey, with stations WWRU-1660 from Elizabeth and WTTM-1680 from Trenton. What do you think is going to happen at night when the skywave kicks in? In the words of the engineer working at those stations "it's going to be a disaster".

It might be a different story if about 80 percent of these AM stations were to just be shut down....and the remaining clear-channel high-powered stations would then be able to do IBOC without fear of interference.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York, USA

823. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:30:01 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117001.625714.320130@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82010

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152112238.378483.236080@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
> >> promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.
> >
> > Consumers are not interested in HD/IBOC - again, I guess you know
> > better, than all the professionals and surveys, from these articles !
> > HD/IBOC will die !
>
> How would consumers be interested if the marketing to them has just begun
> literally a few weeks ago? This is a many-year, slow and calculated process.
>
> I find growing interest in HD-2 on FM. This will drive HD, probably. AM will
> be along for the ride, and it will enhance the values of many AM stations in
> the process. Still, consumer awareness is below 5%, so there is no way to
> look at this part of the roll out yet.

There is not enough interest in HD/IBOC AM/FM, for consumers to spend
hundreds on digital radios, plus satellite radio gives HD/IBOC too much
competition. This is all mute, anyway, because the technical
implemetation problems for HD/IBOC, over the AM band, are too great:

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

824. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:33:40 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117220.139269.15940@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82011

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152116515.656061.223960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> > That's right ! Little bitch !
> >
>
> That certainly qualifies you as an expert and worthy of debate and
> discussion.
>
> Your credentials, other than an ISP account, are what?

I must say, iBiquity sure reminds me of the sleazy cellular telephone
lobbyists !

825. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:35:03 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82012

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> > will die !
>
> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
> >

You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
will die !

826. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:36:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82013

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABE628.D418839D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's HD/IBOC one stop
> > prancing fool and fake Hispanic wrote:
> >
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152098157.168723.169470@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > "IBOC radio will go the way of AM stereo. I can almost guarentee it.
> >> > It's too expensive for consumers (a la DTV), and mostly prohibitively
> >> > (is that a word?) expensive for smaller broadcasters to put on the air.
> >>
> >> The receivers will come down in price as the new design spec devices are
> >> manufactured. The first CD player I bought cost $1,400 and the first VHS
> >> recorder was $895.
> >>
> >> > Considering Ibiquity wants royalty fees for broadcasting the technology
> >> > (upwards of $30-50k/yr), there's very little benefit there to even
> >> > think about it. (there are about 3 dozen stations nationwide who had
> >> > IBOC up and running, and turned it off because it didn't pay for
> >> > itself).
> >>
> >> Since the marketing for HD has just begun in the last 90 days, that is
> >> bullpucky.
> >
> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
>
> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in radio's
> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in the
> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> business.

Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And make no mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

827. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:37:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <gVRqg.166364$F_3.37262@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82016

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152117001.625714.320130@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152112238.378483.236080@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
>> >> promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.
>> >
>> > Consumers are not interested in HD/IBOC - again, I guess you know
>> > better, than all the professionals and surveys, from these articles !
>> > HD/IBOC will die !
>>
>> How would consumers be interested if the marketing to them has just begun
>> literally a few weeks ago? This is a many-year, slow and calculated
>> process.
>>
>> I find growing interest in HD-2 on FM. This will drive HD, probably. AM
>> will
>> be along for the ride, and it will enhance the values of many AM stations
>> in
>> the process. Still, consumer awareness is below 5%, so there is no way to
>> look at this part of the roll out yet.
>
> There is not enough interest in HD/IBOC AM/FM, for consumers to spend
> hundreds on digital radios, plus satellite radio gives HD/IBOC too much
> competition.

Satellite radio requires a $150 a year subscription, while HD radios in the
next year will probably be under $100 (per reports at the recent CES in Las
Vegas). Radi Shack has already announced a Spetember roll out of models
below the cost of a one-year satellite subscription. And the extra channels
are free.

> This is all mute, anyway, because the technical
> implemetation problems for HD/IBOC, over the AM band, are too great:

We have converted half our AMs already, ranging from 50 kw directionals to
lower power stations with very complex arrays and have had no more than the
usual technical issues involved with adding new equipment. In fact, the
broadbanding of some of the AM directionals has resulted in an improved
analog signal, as well.

There are no significant implementation issues for AM HD. There are issues of night interference being studied, but there are no "technical implementation problems."

828. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:37:45 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117465.016704.62600@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82014

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> > will die !
>
> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
> >

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

829. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:37:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FVRqg.166365$F_3.88526@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82015

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152117220.139269.15940@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152116515.656061.223960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> > That's right ! Little bitch !
>> >
>>
>> That certainly qualifies you as an expert and worthy of debate and
>> discussion.
>>
>> Your credentials, other than an ISP account, are what?
>
> I must say, iBiquity sure reminds me of the sleazy cellular telephone
> lobbyists !

More unsubstantiated statements from you.

830. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:38:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pWRqg.166366$F_3.72178@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82017

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
>> > will die !
>>
>> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
>> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
>> >
>
> You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> will die !

There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the air.
Tell me how that is a lack of interest.

831. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:39:16 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82018

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117220.139269.15940@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152116515.656061.223960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> > That's right ! Little bitch !
> >> >
> >>
> >> That certainly qualifies you as an expert and worthy of debate and
> >> discussion.
> >>
> >> Your credentials, other than an ISP account, are what?
> >
> > I must say, iBiquity sure reminds me of the sleazy cellular telephone
> > lobbyists !
>
> More unsubstantiated statements from you.

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

832. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:42:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <IZRqg.166367$F_3.88245@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82020

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
>>
>> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in radio's
>> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in the
>> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
>> business.
>
> Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> make no
> mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.

There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something that affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point of view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.

833. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:42:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <i_Rqg.166368$F_3.95064@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82019

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> More unsubstantiated statements from you.
>
> IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

You already said that, fool. And it is wrong.

834. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:44:41 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152117881.198621.93090@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82021

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117001.625714.320130@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152112238.378483.236080@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> The consumer marketing began just a couple of months ago; any prior
> >> >> promotion was individual stations doing it on their own.
> >> >
> >> > Consumers are not interested in HD/IBOC - again, I guess you know
> >> > better, than all the professionals and surveys, from these articles !
> >> > HD/IBOC will die !
> >>
> >> How would consumers be interested if the marketing to them has just begun
> >> literally a few weeks ago? This is a many-year, slow and calculated
> >> process.
> >>
> >> I find growing interest in HD-2 on FM. This will drive HD, probably. AM
> >> will
> >> be along for the ride, and it will enhance the values of many AM stations
> >> in
> >> the process. Still, consumer awareness is below 5%, so there is no way to
> >> look at this part of the roll out yet.
> >
> > There is not enough interest in HD/IBOC AM/FM, for consumers to spend
> > hundreds on digital radios, plus satellite radio gives HD/IBOC too much
> > competition.
>
> Satellite radio requires a $150 a year subscription, while HD radios in the
> next year will probably be under $100 (per reports at the recent CES in Las
> Vegas). Radi Shack has already announced a Spetember roll out of models
> below the cost of a one-year satellite subscription. And the extra channels
> are free.
>
> > This is all mute, anyway, because the technical
> > implemetation problems for HD/IBOC, over the AM band, are too great:
>
> We have converted half our AMs already, ranging from 50 kw directionals to
> lower power stations with very complex arrays and have had no more than the
> usual technical issues involved with adding new equipment. In fact, the
> broadbanding of some of the AM directionals has resulted in an improved
```

> analog signal, as well.
>
> There are no significant implementation issues for AM HD. There are issues
> of night interference being studied, but there are no "technical
> implementation problems."

What a joke ! Do you really think consumers are going to pay for
digital radio ! Satellite radio is already deeply in debt, because of
that same reason ! What a fucking joke you are !

835. Re: DE & DX Ace - Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:47:40 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <M2Sqg.166372$F_3.127383@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82022

> RHF wrote:
>
>> DE & DX Ace,
>>
>> Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

dxAce wrote:

> You're quite welcome! I enjoy putting the fake one in his place.
>
> dxAce

You, the fake "ace", accusing someone else of being a fake??? Ooooo,
the irony!

Seems he has a pretty nice web site. Show us yours.

Seems like he has had a lot of radio/broadcasting experience; tell us
about yours.

Seems like he has his resume up for all to see. Care to show us *your*
resume, Boggle Boy???

836. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:50:38 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152118238.728259.111840@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82023

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >> More unsubstantiated statements from you.
> >
> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
>
> You already said that, fool. And it is wrong.

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

Read it fool !!!

837. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:52:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABEE34.37FF38B3@milestones.com>
Source record: 82024

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
> >>
> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in radio's
> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in the
> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> >> business.
> >
> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> > make no
> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
>
> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something that
> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point of
> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.

Well, there's 'point of view' and then there's 'shilling'.

Me thinks you fall into the latter category what with your involvement with
Univision/Ibiquity.

"I am in the business..."

dxAce
Michigan
USA

838. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:54:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <V8Sqg.166373$F_3.69895@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82025

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152117881.198621.93090@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> There are no significant implementation issues for AM HD. There are
>> issues
>> of night interference being studied, but there are no "technical
>> implementation problems."
>
> What a joke ! Do you really think consumers are going to pay for
> digital radio !

Yes.

> Satellite radio is already deeply in debt, because of
> that same reason !

Actually, satellite radio is losing money still, but not "deeply in debt." Satellite radio has no effective way of borrowing much money, since there are no profits. This situation is analogous to bying a house when you have no job or income... you can not get a loan. So the satellite companies use equity financing, selling more stock each time they need more cash to continue operations.

The original business plans of XM and Sirius predicted no profits until 2009, but it appears they will be one to two years ahead of schedule.

> What a fucking joke you are !

Coming from someone who is 100% wrong in everything, I consider this a supreme compliment. The convict never likes the prosecuter, either.

839. Re: DE & DX Ace - Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:55:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABEEED.46FCED20@milestones.com>
Source record: 82026

"Carter, k8vt" wrote:

> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> DE & DX Ace,
> >>
> >> Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > You're quite welcome! I enjoy putting the fake one in his place.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> >
> > You, the fake "ace", accusing someone else of being a fake??? Ooooo,
> > the irony!
> >
> > Seems he has a pretty nice web site. Show us yours.
> >
> > Seems like he has had a lot of radio/broadcasting experience; tell us
> > about yours.

>
>
> Seems like he has his resume up for all to see. Care to show us *your*
> resume, Boggle Boy???

Show me yours, 'tard boy!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

840. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:58:11 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152118691.545764.287700@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82027

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> >> > will die !
> >>
> >> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
> >> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
> >> >
> >
> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> > will die !
>
> There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the air.
> Tell me how that is a lack of interest.

What a joke - that doesn't mean shit ! Do you really think consumers
are going to pay for
digital radio and a fee to sign up/listen ! Satellite radio is already
deeply in debt, because
of that same reason ! What a fucking joke you are ! Consumers have no
interest in purchasing digital radios to listen to AM/FM !

841. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 16:59:59 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152118799.447110.296640@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82028

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117881.198621.93090@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> There are no significant implementation issues for AM HD. There are
> >> issues
> >> of night interference being studied, but there are no "technical
> >> implementation problems."
> >>
> > What a joke ! Do you really think consumers are going to pay for
> > digital radio !
>
> Yes.
>
> > Satellite radio is already deeply in debt, because of
> > that same reason !
>
> Actually, satellite radio is losing money still, but not "deeply in debt."
> Satellite radio has no effective way of borrowing much money, since there
> are no profits. This situation is analogous to bying a house when you have
> no job or income... you can not get a loan. So the satellite companies use
> equity financing, selling more stock each time they need more cash to
> continue operations.
>
> The original business plans of XM and Sirius predicted no profits until
> 2009, but it appears they will be one to two years ahead of schedule.
>
> > What a fucking joke you are !
>
> Coming from someone who is 100% wrong in everything, I consider this a
> supreme compliment. The convict never likes the prosecuter, either.

I just read that satelliete radio is $200 million in debt, and the
major stock holder just sold off all their shares ! Well, da !

842. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:00:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <10sna2d2t8htd67i2ss51isod75hhbm2l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 82029

On Tue, 04 Jul 2006 23:22:42 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>> KFI hasn't been 50 KW for years.
>
>More like around 18 mon ths, not "years." December 19, 2004 was the date.
>
2004, 2005, 2006 is 3 years.

843. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:01:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF050.F688FB9F@milestones.com>
Source record: 82030

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision/Ibiquity's one stop prancing fool wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
> >>
> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in radio's
> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in the
> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> >> business.
> >
> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> > make no
> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
>
> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something that
> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point of
> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.

But you don't really care about DX'ers, do you, 'tard boy?

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

844. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:01:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ofSqg.166377$F_3.31986@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82033

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152118238.728259.111840@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> More unsubstantiated statements from you.
>> >
>> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
>>
>> You already said that, fool. And it is wrong.
>
> IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
>
> http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
>
> Read it fool !!!

Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.

Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They are not.

Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the interference free analog contour in major metros.

HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is significant.

The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency regulations that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb point, obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.

In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.

845. Re: (OT) : This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:01:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <r1sna2h6bohsc8dkig5sba040r8rjv648c@4ax.com>
Source record: 82031

On 4 Jul 2006 23:18:49 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On 4 Jul 2006 01:08:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >
>> >- An individual life is not as sacred as ongoing Freedom.
>> >
>> >-R- Without Life {Being Alive} There Are No Freedoms [.]
>> >
>
>- Pussy.
>
>DaviD - Hope that you had a nice 4th of July ~ RHF
>
>This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .
>and The World is a Better Place !
>{ This World is a Safer Place ! }

Safety is for women and children. I like a little edge on things.

846. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:01:56 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8gSqg.320999$Fs1.120350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82032

David Eduardo wrote:

> Of course there isn't. The poromotion of HD on a massive scale just began
> and the 2.0 design spec receivers have not arrived at retail yet.
>

I'm beginning to hear promotion of HD, here, but little of it on AM.
Virtually all on FM. This despite the AM band, at least where I'm
located, crippled with IBOC hash, and with the exception of the local in
Waukegan, and the blowtorches using IBOC, not much of the band is usable.

Which raises questions about why, if HD is going to be the saviour of
the AM band, there hasn't been as much promotion of HD on the low band
as there has been on FM.

Although, the strategy of trashing the band to analog users, does, in
a Microsoft kind of way, push listeners of AM only available programming
into adopting HD just to escape the hash and hiss. All of it goes away
in the digital mode.

And make no mistake, there's not a radio available to me (and I have
hundreds) that doesn't nicely produce an IBOC hiss while listening to
analog audio of IBOC equipped stations.

"The Din of Ibiquity," indeed.

Two additional questions: if 2.0 spec receivers are on their way to
retail, how will we know them from the 1.x spec receivers, since
retailers are going to be disinclined to identify obsoleted inventory
themselves.

and:

What, specifically, are the differences, improvements, and
advantages of 2.0 over the previous generation of HD radios?

p

847. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:02:47 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <n4sna2940lvgnmf7aso0m7bv1kd4m4873n@4ax.com>
Source record: 82034

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 15:10:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>

>
>Keep in mind that in the first 3 years of FM stereo, only about 100 stations
>converted. It took FM as a band another 8 to 10 years to become economically
>viable after stereo was introduced, and much had to do with government
>"forced" regulation. It took 6 years for portable CD players to drop below
>$200... and that is about $300 in today's dollars.
>
FM took off when the hippies took over.

848. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:03:58 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152119037.884548.181540@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82035

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> >> > will die !
> >>
> >> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
> >> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
> >> >
> >
> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> > will die !
>
> There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the air.
> Tell me how that is a lack of interest.

You will see, more and more stations turning off their HD/IBOC. There
is no market for HD/IBOC AM/FM, so why don't you go buy stock in
iBiquity and watch your portfolio tank !

849. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:04:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <aiSqg.166379$F_3.39967@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82037

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABEE34.37FF38B3@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
>> >>
>> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in
>> >> radio's
>> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in
>> >> the
>> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
>> >> business.
>> >
>> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
>> > make no
>> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
>>
>> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something
>> that
>> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point
>> of
>> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.
>
> Well, there's 'point of view' and then there's 'shilling'.
>
> Me thinks you fall into the latter category what with your involvement
> with
> Univision/Ibiquity.

I am not involved with iBiquity any more than a consumer of Coca Cola is
involved with the manufacturer of Coke.

I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many millions
in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our
business.

850. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:04:54 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <i7sna21r6dhc7r5t2c5ubk7qnvpshr17q1@4ax.com>
Source record: 82036

On 5 Jul 2006 07:38:19 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
<stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:

>My Tivoli-Model 1 is now useless on AM in the daytime. Go figure.
>
Lovely. All digital radio is a step toward more complete
government/corporate control.

Ask Homey.

851. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:05:37 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152119137.238562.119570@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82038

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152118238.728259.111840@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> More unsubstantiated statements from you.
> >> >
> >> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
> >>
> >> You already said that, fool. And it is wrong.
> >
> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
> >
> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > Read it fool !!!
>
> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
>
> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They are
> not.
>
> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the interference
> free analog contour in major metros.
>
> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
> significant.
>
> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency regulations
> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb point,
> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
>
> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

852. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:05:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <CjSqg.166381$F_3.163593@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82039

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152118691.545764.287700@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the
>> air.
>> Tell me how that is a lack of interest.
>
> What a joke - that doesn't mean shit ! Do you really think consumers
> are going to pay for
> digital radio and a fee to sign up/listen !

There is no fee for HD radio, nor is there for the HD-2 expansion channels.

You have no idea what you are talking about.

> Satellite radio is already
> deeply in debt, because
> of that same reason !

No, it is not, as I explained before. Satellite has used equity, not debt,
financing.

> What a fucking joke you are ! Consumers have no
> interest in purchasing digital radios to listen to AM/FM !

And you know this how?

853. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:08:41 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152119321.626643.207480@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82040

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABEE34.37FF38B3@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
> >> >>
> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in
> >> radio's
> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in
> >> the
> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> >> business.
> >> >
> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> > make no
> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
> >>
> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something
> that
> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point
> of
> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.
> >
> > Well, there's 'point of view' and then there's 'shilling'.
> >
> > Me thinks you fall into the latter category what with your involvement
> > with
> > Univision/Ibiquity.
>
> I am not involved with iBiquity any more than a consumer of Coca Cola is
> involved with the manufacturer of Coke.
>
> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many millions
> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our
> business.

Should have guessed - you are full of shit, just like the cellular telephone folks that lobbied Congress to pass the ECPA !

854. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:10:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com>
Source record: 82041

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABEE34.37FF38B3@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
> >> >>
> >> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in
> >> >> radio's
> >> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in
> >> >> the
> >> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> >> >> business.
> >> >
> >> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> >> > make no
> >> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
> >>
> >> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something
> >> that
> >> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point
> >> of
> >> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.
> >
> > Well, there's 'point of view' and then there's 'shilling'.
> >
> > Me thinks you fall into the latter category what with your involvement
> > with
> > Univision/Ibiquity.
>
> I am not involved with iBiquity any more than a consumer of Coca Cola is
> involved with the manufacturer of Coke.
>
> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many millions
> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our

> business.

Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

855. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:10:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <woSqg.166383$F_3.143377@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82042

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152118799.447110.296640@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Yes.
>>
>> > Satellite radio is already deeply in debt, because of
>> > that same reason !
>>
>> Actually, satellite radio is losing money still, but not "deeply in
>> debt."
>> Satellite radio has no effective way of borrowing much money, since there
>> are no profits. This situation is analogous to bying a house when you
>> have
>> no job or income... you can not get a loan. So the satellite companies
>> use
>> equity financing, selling more stock each time they need more cash to
>> continue operations.
>>
>> The original business plans of XM and Sirius predicted no profits until
>> 2009, but it appears they will be one to two years ahead of schedule.
>>
>> > What a fucking joke you are !
>>
>> Coming from someone who is 100% wrong in everything, I consider this a
>> supreme compliment. The convict never likes the prosecuter, either.
>
> I just read that satelliete radio is $200 million in debt, and the
> major stock holder just sold off all their shares ! Well, da !

$200 million is a very small amount considering the market cap of XM and
Sirius. But your figure is totally wrong.

XM has a market cap of $3.8 billion. It has long term debt of about $1
billion. That is a reasonable and actually good ratio for a start up.

Sirius has similar ratios.

No XM insider has sold any XM stock this year.

There is no single major stockholder of either company.

"Satellite radio" is not a single company... it is two, XM and Sirius. Each
is separate, with slightly different business models.

856. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:13:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <CqSqg.166384$F_3.51718@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82043

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF050.F688FB9F@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision/Ibiquity's one
> stop
> prancing fool wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
>> >>
>> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in
>> >> radio's
>> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in
>> >> the
>> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
>> >> business.
>> >
>> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
>> > make no
>> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
>>
>> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something
>> that
>> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point
>> of
>> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.
>
> But you don't really care about DX'ers, do you, 'tard boy?

I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with radio
stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and how it
affects DXing in the future.

857. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:14:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <QrSqg.166386$F_3.56051@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82044

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:n4sna2940lvgnmf7aso0m7bv1kd4m4873n@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 15:10:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>
>>Keep in mind that in the first 3 years of FM stereo, only about 100
>>stations
>>converted. It took FM as a band another 8 to 10 years to become
>>economically
>>viable after stereo was introduced, and much had to do with government
>>"forced" regulation. It took 6 years for portable CD players to drop below
>>$200... and that is about $300 in today's dollars.
>>
> FM took off when the hippies took over.

FM took off when the FCC mandated an end to simulcasting. The biggest gain
in FM was from Beautiful Music, not progressive rock.

858. Re: Breaking news: Kim sends another one up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:15:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152119719.954015.242220@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82045

Brenda Ann wrote:
> I think he's trying to make his own space program today...
given Kim Madness could that be it

that is to say could the launching of the Shuttle be the reason in kims
mind

859. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:16:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qtSqg.166387$F_3.157731@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82046

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152119037.884548.181540@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC -
>> >> > HD/IBOC
>> >> > will die !
>> >>
>> >> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
>> >> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
>> >> >
>> >
>> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
>> > will die !
>>
>> There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the
>> air.
>> Tell me how that is a lack of interest.
>
> You will see, more and more stations turning off their HD/IBOC.

Threre is a net gain of 50 to 100 stations a month. We should be at 2000 on
air by Summer of 2007.

> There
> is no market for HD/IBOC AM/FM, so why don't you go buy stock in
> iBiquity and watch your portfolio tank !

iBiquity is privately held, and owned significantly by the developers and by
major broadcast companies.

860. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:19:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF48A.C12BE163@milestones.com>
Source record: 82047

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's paid shill and one stop prancing fool, really blew it out his ass once again when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF050.F688FB9F@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision/Ibiquity's one
> > stop
> > prancing fool wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABEA91.78EF5F6C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Still shilling for HD/IBOC, 'tard boy?
> >> >>
> >> >> No, I am behind and support what may be a significant factor in
> >> >> radio's
> >> >> ability to compete with alternative entertainment delivery methods in
> >> >> the
> >> >> future. I am in the business of making radio survive and stay a viable
> >> >> business.
> >> >
> >> > Isn't there some prohibition against shilling for a business here? And
> >> > make no
> >> > mistake, you are shilling, and have certainly just admitted it.
> >>
> >> There is no prohibition in expressing a point of view about something
> >> that
> >> affects radio (without which there would be no DXers) whether the point
> >> of
> >> view is easily accepted by the assembled crowd or not.
> >
> > But you don't really care about DX'ers, do you, 'tard boy?
>
> I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with radio
> stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and how it
> affects DXing in the future.

You're a DX'er?

I'm LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

861. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:20:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mxSqg.166388$F_3.52666@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81898

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:8gSqg.320999$Fs1.120350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
> Which raises questions about why, if HD is going to be the saviour of
> the AM band, there hasn't been as much promotion of HD on the low band as
> there has been on FM.

The problem is that, with or without HD, there are only a couple of viable
AMs per market, if you average the top 100 or so markets. Some have none,
like DC. To the non-viable stations, HD is probably of no interest...
brokerd shows, ethnic fringes and religion are the alternatives.

> Two additional questions: if 2.0 spec receivers are on their way to
> retail, how will we know them from the 1.x spec receivers, since retailers
> are going to be disinclined to identify obsoleted inventory themselves.

There are only a couple of 1.0 radios out there... the infamous "disco
lights" car radio and the BA and one or two others. They will probably be
retired... I understand the BA was redesigned, using the new spec.
>
> What, specifically, are the differences, improvements, and advantages
> of 2.0 over the previous generation of HD radios?
>
Lowered manufacturing cost is the main one, but there are some features
related to the HD 2 selection and the data screen and sensitivity to the
digital signal, as well as improvements to the basic algorithm on the
receiver side.

There is still not an efficient chipset that will allow portable devices
that run on batteries. That is a major concern, but being worked on.

862. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:21:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF524.60F2C380@milestones.com>
Source record: 81899

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', obviously on some kind of paid leave to shill for HD/IBOC wrote:

> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152119037.884548.181540@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152117303.538968.24620@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> >> message
> >> >> news:1152116603.221208.121260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC -
> >> >> > HD/IBOC
> >> >> > will die !
> >> >>
> >> >> You have said that same cut-and-paste statement bout 25 times now. Add
> >> >> something useful, like Stephanie or Brenda, or shut up.
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > You could have fooled me ! There is no interest for HD/IBOC - HD/IBOC
> >> > will die !
> >>
> >> There are nearly 2,000 stations with HD licenses, and over 1000 on the
> >> air.
> >> Tell me how that is a lack of interest.
> >
> > You will see, more and more stations turning off their HD/IBOC.
>
> Threre is a net gain of 50 to 100 stations a month. We should be at 2000 on
> air by Summer of 2007.
>
> > There
> > is no market for HD/IBOC AM/FM, so why don't you go buy stock in
> > iBiquity and watch your portfolio tank !
>
> iBiquity is privately held, and owned significantly by the developers and by
> major broadcast companies.

Like Univision! The company you're here shilling for!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

863. Re: DE & DX Ace - Thanks for the 4th of July Verbal Fireworks ! :o)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:21:49 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <i8tna252ajnr9uoivemstgo7uk6ntoj4sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 81900

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 16:47:40 GMT, "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
wrote:

What's up O' hairless one?

_____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

864. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:21:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TySqg.166390$F_3.104751@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81901

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
>> millions
>> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our
>> business.
>
> Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?

Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was to
support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.

865. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:22:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <AzSqg.166391$F_3.64444@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81902

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF48A.C12BE163@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with radio
>> stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and how
>> it
>> affects DXing in the future.
>
> You're a DX'er?

Unless you have redefined what a DXer is, then, yes, I am.

866. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:26:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF62A.D2F3AD96@milestones.com>
Source record: 81903

David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
> >> millions
> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our
> >> business.
> >
> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
>
> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was to
> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.

Enhance? QRM = Enhancement?

You're talking out your ass.

Business as usual!

867. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:26:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF661.2D721F94@milestones.com>
Source record: 81904

David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill and pathological liar wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF48A.C12BE163@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with radio
> >> stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and how
> >> it
> >> affects DXing in the future.
> >
> > You're a DX'er?
>
> Unless you have redefined what a DXer is, then, yes, I am.

I'm LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

868. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:28:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <CESqg.166392$F_3.132477@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81905

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF62A.D2F3AD96@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
>> >> millions
>> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect
>> >> our
>> >> business.
>> >
>> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
>>
>> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
>> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was
>> to
>> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.
>
> Enhance? QRM = Enhancement?

Other than DXers, there is very, very little listening to AM stations
outside their primary, groundwave coverage areas. So improving the quality
of the transmission on an 80+ year old medium vs. losing something that is
neither useful nor large is a good trade off.

869. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:28:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <aFSqg.166393$F_3.127305@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 81906

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF661.2D721F94@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill and pathological liar wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ABF48A.C12BE163@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with
>> >> radio
>> >> stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and
>> >> how
>> >> it
>> >> affects DXing in the future.
>> >
>> > You're a DX'er?
>>
>> Unless you have redefined what a DXer is, then, yes, I am.
>
> I'm LMFAO

You laugh a lot. This is a common trait of the deranged.

870. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:35:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF878.EF100DD7@milestones.com>
Source record: 81907

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision's admittedly paid shill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF62A.D2F3AD96@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
> >> >> millions
> >> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect
> >> >> our
> >> >> business.
> >> >
> >> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
> >> >
> >> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
> >> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was
> >> to
> >> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.
> >
> > Enhance? QRM = Enhancement?
>
> Other than DXers, there is very, very little listening to AM stations
> outside their primary, groundwave coverage areas. So improving the quality
> of the transmission on an 80+ year old medium vs. losing something that is
> neither useful nor large is a good trade off.

Yeah, a good trade off for your company and you, shill!

I'm LMFAO

871. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:37:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF8BC.EF800DC@milestones.com>
Source record: 81908

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF661.2D721F94@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo, Univision's paid shill and pathological liar wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABF48A.C12BE163@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I am a DXer, but DXers have a somewhat symbiotic relationship with
> >> >> radio
> >> >> stations. It is useful to know what is happening in the industry, and
> >> >> how
> >> >> it
> >> >> affects DXing in the future.
> >> >
> >> > You're a DX'er?
> >> >
> >> Unless you have redefined what a DXer is, then, yes, I am.
> >
> > I'm LMFAO
>
> You laugh a lot. This is a common trait of the deranged.

You lie a lot. This is a common trait of delusional fakes.

872. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:37:44 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <INSqg.96182$mF2.56739@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81909

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:8gSqg.320999$Fs1.120350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Which raises questions about why, if HD is going to be the saviour of
>> the AM band, there hasn't been as much promotion of HD on the low band as
>> there has been on FM.
>
> The problem is that, with or without HD, there are only a couple of viable
> AMs per market, if you average the top 100 or so markets. Some have none,
> like DC. To the non-viable stations, HD is probably of no interest...
> brokerd shows, ethnic fringes and religion are the alternatives.
>

Here, about halfway between Milwaukee and Chicago, there are a
number of successful, if not thriving, AM's. Of them, most are using HD,
judging by the hash. And yet, I've only heard WGN mention it. WGN being
the latecomer.

If there is going to be a push for HD and a significant investment on
the part of each station, why isn't it being promoted as heavily on
those AM stations using it, as it is on the FMs?

That was a good deal of the problem with AM stereo. That, and the
lack of a standard for AM stereo implementation. If it's on the air
creating trash, why isn't it being promoted for it's advantages to
encourage listeners to migrate from the analogue side where the trash is
an issue, to the digital side where it's not, and the claimed benefits
of AM HD are demonstrable?

Spend that kind of money and take that kind of risk, it makes no
sense not to motivate listeners to take advantage of what you're doing.

>> Two additional questions: if 2.0 spec receivers are on their way to
>> retail, how will we know them from the 1.x spec receivers, since retailers
>> are going to be disinclined to identify obsoleted inventory themselves.
>
> There are only a couple of 1.0 radios out there... the infamous "disco
> lights" car radio and the BA and one or two others. They will probably be
> retired... I understand the BA was redesigned, using the new spec.
>> What, specifically, are the differences, improvements, and advantages
>> of 2.0 over the previous generation of HD radios?
>>
> Lowered manufacturing cost is the main one, but there are some features
> related to the HD 2 selection and the data screen and sensitivity to the
> digital signal, as well as improvements to the basic algorithm on the
> receiver side.

>
> There is still not an efficient chipset that will allow portable devices
> that run on batteries. That is a major concern, but being worked on.

One would think that if DELPHI and JVC can put together a portable satellite receiver, with decent battery life, they could do the same with IBOC equipped receivers in a similar sized package.

Make no mistake, portable satellite receivers get good and warm, indicating some serious current draw, not unlike the first and second generations of digital cameras, but they do work, and battery life is pretty solid.

>
>

873. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:38:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ABF907.4CE4DED3@milestones.com>
Source record: 81910

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
> >> millions
> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect our
> >> business.
> >
> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
>
> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was to
> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.

Univision is obviously relying on more illegals coming into the country to
listen and watch their services.

Univision embraces the illegals.

Follow the $$$

dxAce
Michigan
USA

874. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:43:37 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8jbkp$3k6$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82172

In article <j4Dqg.165274$F_3.120494@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>Railroad trains do not spin. The rotors on black helicopters spin. One is on
>its way to take you away, I hope.

Hey, cool. Wait until some Hispanic station running IBOC jams out
an adjacent "Patriot" station and the black heilcopter believers
see it as a hostile act.

Will the added money from running HD pay for the 24 hour armed guards at
the transmitter site?

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

875. Re: (OT) : This 4th of July The USA is Watching from Above . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 17:57:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44ABFD8B-819@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81911

Fireworks are a tradition around here.Every once in a while,even today,I am hearing some Fireworks popping.There were some LOUD ones yesterday.No Fireworks ban around here.

Here is some real good old timey American Roots Music y'all can check out on the internet,and record it too,if y'all want to.I am gradually recording them all on tapes.Then I will transfer them to CDs.
www.juneberry78s.com
cuhulin

876. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 18:06:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16961-44ABFFAF-200@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 81912

347 miles from New York City to Toronto.
cuhulin

877. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 18:20:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <wpTqg.80165$4L1.36065@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81913

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:INSqg.96182$mF2.56739@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> If there is going to be a push for HD and a significant investment on
> the part of each station, why isn't it being promoted as heavily on those
> AM stations using it, as it is on the FMs?

That is a good question. I think that the on air part is just comeing
together now, and many stations may be waiting for receivers to be available
to do the promotion. Most promotion at present is for FM based on the HD 2
channels.
>
> One would think that if DELPHI and JVC can put together a portable
> satellite receiver, with decent battery life, they could do the same with
> IBOC equipped receivers in a similar sized package.

I don't intimately know the development process. A few months ago, I talked
to Bob Struble (CEO of iBiquity) at a conference for our PDs and Managers
and asked him about a more efficient chipset. I got some discussion of the
power requirements for the DAC and an indication that development, together
with the mostly Chinese manufacturers, was going on. I was not given a
timetable.
>
>
> Make no mistake, portable satellite receivers get good and warm,
> indicating some serious current draw, not unlike the first and second
> generations of digital cameras, but they do work, and battery life is
> pretty solid.

Right now, a receiver with 4 AA batteries would only last about 4 to 6
hours.

878. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 18:24:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <AtTqg.80167$4L1.61136@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81914

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ABF907.4CE4DED3@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
>> >> millions
>> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect
>> >> our
>> >> business.
>> >
>> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
>>
>> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
>> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was
>> to
>> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.
>
> Univision is obviously relying on more illegals coming into the country to
> listen and watch their services.

Nope. Illegals do not participate in radio ratings.
>
> Univision embraces the illegals.

Nope. Companies that have Hispanic targeted stations, like Clear Channel,
CBS, Cumulus, Citadel, Radio One, Entravision, Lotus, SBS, Univsion, Border
Media, Bustos Media, Lazer Broadcasting, Donaldson, Multicultural, Liberman,
etc., etc. sell advertising based on ratings. If illegals do not participate
in ratings, they do not affect pricing and profits.

879. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 18:41:51 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PJTqg.321513$Fs1.152394@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 81915

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:INSqg.96182$mF2.56739@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> If there is going to be a push for HD and a significant investment on
>> the part of each station, why isn't it being promoted as heavily on those
>> AM stations using it, as it is on the FMs?
>
> That is a good question. I think that the on air part is just comeing
> together now, and many stations may be waiting for receivers to be available
> to do the promotion. Most promotion at present is for FM based on the HD 2
> channels.
>> One would think that if DELPHI and JVC can put together a portable
>> satellite receiver, with decent battery life, they could do the same with
>> IBOC equipped receivers in a similar sized package.
>
> I don't intimately know the development process. A few months ago, I talked
> to Bob Struble (CEO of iBiquity) at a conference for our PDs and Managers
> and asked him about a more efficient chipset. I got some discussion of the
> power requirements for the DAC and an indication that development, together
> with the mostly Chinese manufacturers, was going on. I was not given a
> timetable.
>>
>> Make no mistake, portable satellite receivers get good and warm,
>> indicating some serious current draw, not unlike the first and second
>> generations of digital cameras, but they do work, and battery life is
>> pretty solid.
>
> Right now, a receiver with 4 AA batteries would only last about 4 to 6
> hours.
>
>

I get not quite twice that with a rechargeable Li Ion battery on my
Delphi. Clearly, alkalines won't be quite the way to go, yet.

880. Re: (OT) : America Land Of Many View Points

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 18:59:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O_Tqg.19$vO.15@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81916

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
news:1151864539.784642.264640@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Brian - So today we are into :
> * One Crazy Bitch !
> * More Hateful and Arrogant !
> * Twice as Ignorant !
>
> They have their views points and you have yours
> -and- I Believe in the All American View Point :
> Their's, Your's and Yes Mine !
>
> even though we may disagree frequently
> - america land of many view points ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>

Some have a point of view and some have a point on their heads. Brian and
Dave are the pointy head type.

sc

881. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y_Tqg.23$vO.21@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81917

"SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org> wrote in
news:12ae1uqgkvhb6e6@corp.supernews.com:

>
> "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
>>
>> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
>> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
>>
>> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
>> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
>>
>
> Most leaks such as these come from high level sources within the
> government who are disgruntled employees, left-wingers, even spies or
> have an axe to grind, etc. They certainly know full well what they
> should not be telling the press. Which makes them all the more guilty
> to treason.
>
>

Leak against America and you're a hero, leak against a democrat and you're
scum to be attacked. That's how democrats act. Anything even if it's
wrong is ok if it will further their liberal socialist agenda.

sc

882. All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z_Tqg.24$vO.6@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81918

Where's Bill Clinton when ya need him. Probably soiling another blue
dress somewhere.

sc

883. Re: If burning American flags caused global warming would Democrats stop doing it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2%Tqg.27$vO.19@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81919

toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote in
news:8bkfa2t7kqra4gq2gscgutp7kaqke0bnt1@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 01 Jul 2006 21:24:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>news:noone-79C2C6.00235201072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net:
>>
>>> In article <1151562071.250940.211680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
>>> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> > No.
>>>>
>>>> Do you have evidence that Democrats are burning the flag?
>>>>
>>>> I have evidence of some GOP asshole that was caught a few years ago
>>>> defacing US flags, and now has started the practice again.
>>>> http://www.ushistory.org/betsy/flagbush.htm
>>>
>>> The worst flag burners are the American Legion. Every year most posts
>>> have a public flag burning ceremony. Old flags are supposed to be
>>> burned in PRIVATE.
>>
>>
>>The AL and VFW are not burning the flag in Anti-American hate. Liberals
>>on the other hand try to find a TV news crew that can film the burning
>>and the liberal main stream media is always happy to accommodate them.
>>
>>sc
>
>
> Being able to burn The Stars and Stripes is the greatest freedom on
> the planet. And a lot of people shed their blood for it.
>

Burning the symbol of what gives you freedom is like cutting off the tree
branch you're sitting on. Burning a flag is also like saying you don't
want the freedom it gives. I hate Americans that burn their flag. I want
to slap the shit out of them.

884. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5%Tqg.29$vO.13@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81920

"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:

>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
>>
>> I see no complaints from the 'tard boys in CanaDuh nor from the 'tard
>> boys
> in the USA (posted here, at
>> least) about the daily deaths of the Iraqi's who are being slaughtered
>> by
> either their fellow citizens or
>> the "insurgents".
>>
>
> And you never will.
>
> When Israel executes Palestinian leaders after Hamas executes an
> innocent soldier...
>
> Hamas has been firing rockets into Israel for many months.
> Not a peep out of
> Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Islamic/Communists.
>
> All you hear from the UN is Israel did this, Israel do that, Israel
> stop...
>
> BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!

Morst every country in the UN is turd world, and the lazy & weak always whine about the successful. Israel is sucessful so the turd world countries around it whine. Same thing happens here in America. The democrats on welfare collecting foodstamps and living in the government assisted project housing, complain about the people that get off their asses and work for food and a place to live, like we aren't paying enough taxes to improve their welfare living.

SC

885. Re: OT: ...reality itself must yield to the demands of the Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6%Tqg.30$vO.6@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81921

David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in
news:d95ia2lnn16639k3ojvv8h9ouip47pv3o9@4ax.com:

> http://www.thenewamerican.com/birchblog/index.php
>
> One of the fundamental conceits of the totalitarian mind-set is that
> reality itself must yield to the demands of the Party's ideology and
> that mind-set is well-represented in the GOP-aligned Right. From there
> it's but a few goose-steps to the conclusion that those who persist on
> interpreting reality without the supposed benefit of the official
> ideology really should be killed.
>
>

What are you smoking?

886. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7%Tqg.31$vO.13@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81922

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:24731-44AA7466-150@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> What do you mean,catastrope? And some people think I am dumb!
> cuhulin

Only once, but I won't let it happen again.

887. Re: STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <a%Tqg.33$vO.27@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81923

Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net> wrote in
news:20060705062414443303%testicle@sacnet:

> On 2006-07-02 13:53:37 -0400, cuhulin@webtv.net said:
>
>> Or like I feel because that divorced 34 year old woman in
>> Petal,Mississippi hasen't talked dirty to me in about two and a half
>> weeks.She better hurry up and talk nasty to me again!
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> Shut up already.
>
>

LOL

888. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b%Tqg.34$vO.4@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81924

Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in
news:abpka2phkh9qnh7d3fjna5ejsjk84m70ce@4ax.com:

> For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
> in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
> worthless stuff there.
> ____
>
> KE4ODD

If you can find a canadian flag you can make one. Just wipe your butt on
toilet tissue and burn it. Smells just like you're up in canada too.

889. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e%Tqg.36$vO.30@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81925

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:rVdqg.249$1f.152@fe07.lga:

>
> "Radio TexMex" <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.07.03.18.58.44.543000@gmail.com...
>> Hello DxAce, RHF, Brian, Telemon, cuhulin, mike maghakian, and other
>> contributers!
>>
>> Anyone hear any pirates or anything else worth tuning into today? All
>> my usual ham bands seem to be dead but it looks like 11m is open, so I
>> would assume 10m is as well, but I don't hear any hams - just beacons.
>>
>> Happy 4th!
>>
>> - Matt
>
> Hi Matt. It is the holiday so I'll bet the house that they'll be out in
> full force. Solar condition are quite good too. I logged the following
> in the last couple days:
>
> Crystal Ship
> Mac SW
> WMPR
> GZR
> Radio PigMeat

Let's turn their asses into the FCC.

SC

890. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <f%Tqg.37$vO.21@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81926

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:26031-44A9A58A-522@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:

> Brian Hill looks like a nice respectable Gentleman to me.
> cuhulin
>
>

Did he buy your amp?

891. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g%Tqg.38$vO.16@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 81927

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:

> 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
> coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch of
> FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY BALONEY
> CRAP.
> cuhulin

I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.

892. Re: Figuring out radio locations by area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:08:42 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8jbl2$3kf$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82173

In article <1152050313.994890.29030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
<miso@sushi.com> wrote:
>
>There is also a station at 550 you can hear in the >bay area.

Could be KOAC, Corvallis Oregon. Oregon Public Broadcasting,
which has the the BBC (PRI sched.) from about 9 PM to 3 AM PDT.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

893. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:10:44 UTC
From: Invader3K <beckermt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152126644.153146.212020@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81928

Anyone have North Korean freqs in English for those of us in the
Eastern US? Or at least the Midwest to hear them on? Thanks.

894. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:17:55 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152127075.034283.55780@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81929

Slow Code wrote:
> Where's Bill Clinton when ya need him. Probably soiling another blue
> dress somewhere.
grow up clinton is old news on this issue and most others

895. Re: If burning American flags caused global warming would Democrats stop doing it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:19:26 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152127166.653644.150450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81930

```
Slow Code wrote:
> toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com> wrote in
> news:8bkfa2t7kqra4gq2gscgutp7kaqke0bnt1@4ax.com:

> >
> > Being able to burn The Stars and Stripes is the greatest freedom on
> > the planet. And a lot of people shed their blood for it.
> >
>
> Burning the symbol of what gives you freedom is like cutting off the tree
> branch you're sitting on. Burning a flag is also like saying you don't
> want the freedom it gives. I hate Americans that burn their flag. I want
> to slap the shit out of them.
and thereby you make the case of why flag buring should remain legal
```

896. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:21:25 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152127285.385808.196800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81931

Slow Code wrote:
> "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
> news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:
>

> > BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!
>
>
> Morst every country in the UN is turd world, and the lazy & weak always
> whine about the successful.
and statements like that are why amercians are so loved abraod

897. Re: whats up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:25:28 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152127528.493172.182060@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81932

Slow Code wrote:
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:rVdqg.249$1f.152@fe07.lga:
>>
> Let's turn their asses into the FCC.
comendable though mr stupid now you have any evedence for the FCC
>
> SC

898. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:36:34 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152128194.394087.245990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81933

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152118238.728259.111840@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1152117556.494811.187260@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> More unsubstantiated statements from you.
> >> >
> >> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
> >>
> >> You already said that, fool. And it is wrong.
> >
> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
> >
> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > Read it fool !!!
>
> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
>
> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They are
> not.
>
> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the interference
> free analog contour in major metros.
>
> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
> significant.
>
> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency regulations
> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb point,
> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
>
> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.

Oh yes, all the professionals, who wrote the articles and did all the testing just trumped up all the results ! HD has much less coverage and does not penetrate obsticles well !

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

You and your kind (e.g., cellular phone industry) are absolutely the "bottom of the barrel" ! You will be "out the door", when HD/IBOC goes bust !

899. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:38:25 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152128305.641499.155190@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81934

Sanjaya wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote >
> > Of course, someone on AOL accessing a newsgroup
> > to call the people who engage in another electronic
> > hobby "nerd heads" is really the pot calling the kettle
> > black, but nevermind.
> >
> > --Mike L.
>
> I thought AOL stopped providing newsgroups some time ago.
> Seems I remember it in the news, perhaps last year?
thye did but that doesn't stop tAOL users from using google or even
"normal" usenet serverss

900. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:42:59 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152128579.728051.167840@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81935

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152118799.447110.296640@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> Yes.
> >>
> >> > Satellite radio is already deeply in debt, because of
> >> > that same reason !
> >>
> >> Actually, satellite radio is losing money still, but not "deeply in
> >> debt."
> >> Satellite radio has no effective way of borrowing much money, since there
> >> are no profits. This situation is analogous to bying a house when you
> >> have
> >> no job or income... you can not get a loan. So the satellite companies
> >> use
> >> equity financing, selling more stock each time they need more cash to
> >> continue operations.
> >>
> >> The original business plans of XM and Sirius predicted no profits until
> >> 2009, but it appears they will be one to two years ahead of schedule.
> >>
> >> > What a fucking joke you are !
> >>
> >> Coming from someone who is 100% wrong in everything, I consider this a
> >> supreme compliment. The convict never likes the prosecuter, either.
> >
> > I just read that satelliete radio is $200 million in debt, and the
> > major stock holder just sold off all their shares ! Well, da !
>
> $200 million is a very small amount considering the market cap of XM and
> Sirius. But your figure is totally wrong.
>
> XM has a market cap of $3.8 billion. It has long term debt of about $1
> billion. That is a reasonable and actually good ratio for a start up.
>
> Sirius has similar ratios.
>
> No XM insider has sold any XM stock this year.
>
> There is no single major stockholder of either company.
>
> "Satellite radio" is not a single company... it is two, XM and Sirius. Each
> is separate, with slightly different business models.

Read this, and EAT SHIT !:

Sky-High Stakes for Satellite Radio (BusinessWeek Online, 09.04.03) - News article notes that XM Satellite Radio's announced second-quarter earnings on Aug.7, reporting a $164 million loss on $18.3 million in revenue, but XM figures on breaking even by late 2004. Investors certainly seem sold on the idea that XM can generate enough new subscribers to pay off mounting debt. While its future is promising, XM is still borrowing money to fund growth. It pays GM a subsidy to put the satellite radio receivers in its cars. All told, between those subsidies and marketing costs, XM pays $160 to acquire new subscribers, says XM Chairman Gary Parsons, a former MCI executive. So in effect, GM, which is a 20% shareholder in XM, is loaning money to XM, whose debt is now $732 million and could grow to $1 billion, says Ernst.
Posted by Steve MacLaughlin on 9/8/03; 5:40:54 PM from the News dept.

901. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:51:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <8LUqg.116266$H71.73584@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81937

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152128194.394087.245990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
>>
>> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
>> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They
>> are
>> not.
>>
>> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
>> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the
>> interference
>> free analog contour in major metros.
>>
>> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
>> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
>> significant.
>>
>> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
>> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency
>> regulations
>> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb
>> point,
>> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
>>
>> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.
>
> Oh yes, all the professionals, who wrote the articles and did all the
> testing just trumped up all the results ! HD has much less coverage
> and does not penetrate obsticles well !

Whoever wrote the site you linked has no knowledge of actual broadcasting,
so he or she can hardly be an expert.

For a person to not know that nearly every station is delayed for indecency
protection means they have no contact with the industry.

And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath is
just a moron.

902. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:51:51 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152129111.311782.314080@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81936

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ABF907.4CE4DED3@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ABF279.44946173@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I am involved with a radio company that has decided to invest many
> >> >> millions
> >> >> in HD radio because we see this as an opportunity to grow and protect
> >> >> our
> >> >> business.
> >> >
> >> > Univision has no interest in Ibiquity?
> >>
> >> Every major broadcaster invested in iBiquity to give it some start up
> >> capital. There is no concern with "return on investment" as the idea was
> >> to
> >> support a new digital technology that could enhance radio.
> >
> > Univision is obviously relying on more illegals coming into the country to
> > listen and watch their services.
>
> Nope. Illegals do not participate in radio ratings.
> >
> > Univision embraces the illegals.
>
> Nope. Companies that have Hispanic targeted stations, like Clear Channel,
> CBS, Cumulus, Citadel, Radio One, Entravision, Lotus, SBS, Univsion, Border
> Media, Bustos Media, Lazer Broadcasting, Donaldson, Multicultural, Liberman,
> etc., etc. sell advertising based on ratings. If illegals do not participate
> in ratings, they do not affect pricing and profits.

HD/IBOC will face the same fate:

/www.orbitcast.com/archives/satellite-radio-industry-tough-time-in-2006-according-to-analy
st.html

I agree with Jeffrey when he says, "...that the jury is still out on
the long-term prospects for satellite radio... at present valuations,
including the total amount of debt outstanding, the industry should

have 40 million subscribers rather than the current 9 million..." This mirrors much of what Frank Boyle, Jr. used to say when he was performing stock analysis for Wachovia.

With all the other competing services either already on the scene or due to arrive shortly, satellite radio faces serious competition from a variety of sources besides terrestrial radio.

903. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:57:24 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152129444.008215.22920@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81938

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152128194.394087.245990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
> >>
> >> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
> >> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They
> >> are
> >> not.
> >>
> >> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
> >> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the
> >> interference
> >> free analog contour in major metros.
> >>
> >> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
> >> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
> >> significant.
> >>
> >> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
> >> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency
> >> regulations
> >> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb
> >> point,
> >> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
> >>
> >> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.
> >
> > Oh yes, all the professionals, who wrote the articles and did all the
> > testing just trumped up all the results ! HD has much less coverage
> > and does not penetrate obsticles well !
>
> Whoever wrote the site you linked has no knowledge of actual broadcasting,
> so he or she can hardly be an expert.
>
> For a person to not know that nearly every station is delayed for indecency
> protection means they have no contact with the industry.
>
> And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath is
> just a moron.

I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job, moron.

904. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 19:59:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TSUqg.116268$H71.23110@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81939

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152128579.728051.167840@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> XM has a market cap of $3.8 billion. It has long term debt of about $1
>> billion. That is a reasonable and actually good ratio for a start up.
>>
>> Sirius has similar ratios.
>>
>> No XM insider has sold any XM stock this year.
>>
>> There is no single major stockholder of either company.
>>
>> "Satellite radio" is not a single company... it is two, XM and Sirius.
>> Each
>> is separate, with slightly different business models.
>
> Read this, and EAT SHIT !:
>
> Sky-High Stakes for Satellite Radio (BusinessWeek Online, 09.04.03) -

Please note that ".03" means 2003, or about 3 years ago. This is ancient
history.

> News article notes that XM Satellite Radio's announced second-quarter
> earnings on Aug.7, reporting a $164 million loss on $18.3 million in
> revenue, but XM figures on breaking even by late 2004.

XM's original plan had a profit projected by around 2008 or 2009. They are
on track for that, and may do it earlier.

> Investors
> certainly seem sold on the idea that XM can generate enough new
> subscribers to pay off mounting debt.

The debt is very small based on the size of the business and the market cap.

> While its future is promising, XM
> is still borrowing money to fund growth. It pays GM a subsidy to put
> the satellite radio receivers in its cars.

That is normal. It is not a "subsidy" but a commission. Just like LoJak
pay's dealers a fee for selling security systems. It makes money for GM, and
XM hopes to make it back by keeping the subscribers.

> All told, between those
> subsidies and marketing costs, XM pays $160 to acquire new subscribers,
> says XM Chairman Gary Parsons, a former MCI executive.

Hugh Panero is the CEO of XM. He runs it. Parsons is chairman of the bord of direcotrs, and does not run the company.

> So in effect,
> GM, which is a 20% shareholder in XM, is loaning money to XM, whose
> debt is now $732 million and could grow to $1 billion, says Ernst.

That sounds like a journalist who does not know business writing about business. GM is not loaning anything to XM. GM sells XM subscriptions and gets a commission. This is no different than selling aftermarket add ons, paint protection and all the other things dealers sell to up the mark on each car.

> Posted by Steve MacLaughlin on 9/8/03; 5:40:54 PM from the News dept.

Whose news department?

905. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:01:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC1A7E.5ABA667C@milestones.com>
Source record: 81940

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152128194.394087.245990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > >> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
> > >>
> > >> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
> > >> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They
> > >> are
> > >> not.
> > >>
> > >> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
> > >> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the
> > >> interference
> > >> free analog contour in major metros.
> > >>
> > >> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
> > >> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
> > >> significant.
> > >>
> > >> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
> > >> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency
> > >> regulations
> > >> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb
> > >> point,
> > >> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
> > >>
> > >> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.
> > >
> > > Oh yes, all the professionals, who wrote the articles and did all the
> > > testing just trumped up all the results ! HD has much less coverage
> > > and does not penetrate obsticles well !
> >
> > Whoever wrote the site you linked has no knowledge of actual broadcasting,
> > so he or she can hardly be an expert.
> >
> > For a person to not know that nearly every station is delayed for indecency
> > protection means they have no contact with the industry.
> >
> > And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath is

> > just a moron.
>
> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
> subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
> only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job, moron.

Hopefully the new owners will see the light and sack him after their takeover of the firm is complete.

906. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:01:10 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152129670.584954.271540@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81941

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152128194.394087.245990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> Most of these points are either moot or trumped up.
> >>
> >> Interference to adjacent channel stations is only a problem if these
> >> adjacent channel stations are being listened to in other markets. They
> >> are
> >> not.
> >>
> >> Breaking up, in real market tests, is not an issue. HD on FM avoids many
> >> multipath issues. On AM, the HD signal is more robust than the
> >> interference
> >> free analog contour in major metros.
> >>
> >> HD does pretty well with obstructions, and there is a fallback to analog
> >> anyway, so it does not matter. On FM, the gain over multipath issue is
> >> significant.
> >>
> >> The 5 second delay is also a moot point. Stations that have any live
> >> programming already have a 5 to 10 second delay due to indecency
> >> regulations
> >> that can cost, now, $325 thousand per offense. So that is a very dumb
> >> point,
> >> obviously made by someone who is not in radio. Like you.
> >>
> >> In other words, the list you linked is absurd and meaningless.
> >
> > Oh yes, all the professionals, who wrote the articles and did all the
> > testing just trumped up all the results ! HD has much less coverage
> > and does not penetrate obsticles well !
>
> Whoever wrote the site you linked has no knowledge of actual broadcasting,
> so he or she can hardly be an expert.
>
> For a person to not know that nearly every station is delayed for indecency
> protection means they have no contact with the industry.
>
> And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath is
> just a moron.

Oh, you are so right ! These professionas are real morons !

907. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:01:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mUUqg.116270$H71.83353@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81942

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152129111.311782.314080@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> > Univision embraces the illegals.
>>
>> Nope. Companies that have Hispanic targeted stations, like Clear Channel,
>> CBS, Cumulus, Citadel, Radio One, Entravision, Lotus, SBS, Univsion,
>> Border
>> Media, Bustos Media, Lazer Broadcasting, Donaldson, Multicultural,
>> Liberman,
>> etc., etc. sell advertising based on ratings. If illegals do not
>> participate
>> in ratings, they do not affect pricing and profits.
>
> HD/IBOC will face the same fate:

Same fate? What does participation in ratings by illegal aliens have to do
with HD?

908. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:03:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0WUqg.116271$H71.27000@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81943

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152129444.008215.22920@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath
>> is
>> just a moron.
>
> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".

Hardly. You have no clue as to what I actually do.

> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
> subscribers,

Actually, both HD and Sirius are ahead of projections made when they
launched the services.

> HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
> only AM/FM radio.

It has a major advantage; it is free.

> You had better start looking for another job, moron.

I am staying where I am.

909. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:04:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hXUqg.116272$H71.105722@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81944

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AC1A7E.5ABA667C@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
>> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
>> subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
>> only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job, moron.
>
> Hopefully the new owners will see the light and sack him after their
> takeover of
> the firm is complete.

Not likely. Part of the valuation of the radio division is based on the huge
ratings gains in the last 6 months for a project I originated and created.

910. Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:06:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC1BDA.D4575F0F@milestones.com>
Source record: 81945

Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.

http://www.fingerdimple.com/

(Via NASWA Journal, July 2006, Allan Johnson, Equipment Reviews)

I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

911. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:07:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PZUqg.116273$H71.39845@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 81946

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152129670.584954.271540@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> And someone who does not know HD on FM significantly resolves multipath
>> is
>> just a moron.
>
> Oh, you are so right ! These professionas are real morons !

The link you supplied is to a page done by some guy who streams 20's music on the internet. Hardly a professional. And the stuff he has on the site is just about 100% wrong.

WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our operating or under installation HD AMs. All but tow of them are 50 kw stations. And you cite a webcaster who plays flapper music dubbed from Victrola rolls. Wunnerful.

912. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:08:21 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152130101.042236.295400@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 81947

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152128579.728051.167840@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> XM has a market cap of $3.8 billion. It has long term debt of about $1
> >> billion. That is a reasonable and actually good ratio for a start up.
> >>
> >> Sirius has similar ratios.
> >>
> >> No XM insider has sold any XM stock this year.
> >>
> >> There is no single major stockholder of either company.
> >>
> >> "Satellite radio" is not a single company... it is two, XM and Sirius.
> >> Each
> >> is separate, with slightly different business models.
> >>
> > Read this, and EAT SHIT !:
> >
> > Sky-High Stakes for Satellite Radio (BusinessWeek Online, 09.04.03) -
>
> Please note that ".03" means 2003, or about 3 years ago. This is ancient
> history.
>
> > News article notes that XM Satellite Radio's announced second-quarter
> > earnings on Aug.7, reporting a $164 million loss on $18.3 million in
> > revenue, but XM figures on breaking even by late 2004.
>
> XM's original plan had a profit projected by around 2008 or 2009. They are
> on track for that, and may do it earlier.
>
> > Investors
> > certainly seem sold on the idea that XM can generate enough new
> > subscribers to pay off mounting debt.
>
> The debt is very small based on the size of the business and the market cap.
>
> > While its future is promising, XM
> > is still borrowing money to fund growth. It pays GM a subsidy to put
> > the satellite radio receivers in its cars.
>
> That is normal. It is not a "subsidy" but a commission. Just like LoJak
> pay's dealers a fee for selling security systems. It makes money for GM, and
> XM hopes to make it back by keeping the subscribers.

>
> > All told, between those
> > subsidies and marketing costs, XM pays $160 to acquire new subscribers,
> > says XM Chairman Gary Parsons, a former MCI executive.
>
> Hugh Panero is the CEO of XM. He runs it. Parsons is chairman of the bord of
> direcotrs, and does not run the company.
>
> > So in effect,
> > GM, which is a 20% shareholder in XM, is loaning money to XM, whose
> > debt is now $732 million and could grow to $1 billion, says Ernst.
>
> That sounds like a journalist who does not know business writing about
> business. GM is not loaning anything to XM. GM sells XM subscriptions and
> gets a commission. This is no different than selling aftermarket add ons,
> paint protection and all the other things dealers sell to up the mark on
> each car.
>
> > Posted by Steve MacLaughlin on 9/8/03; 5:40:54 PM from the News dept.
>
> Whose news department?

You are obvioulsy a waste of time. You will be, "out the door", when
HD/IBOC goes bust, just like satellite radio will ! Neither one, has
nearly the subscriber base they need, to generate long-term profits.
Any idiot can see that the general population is not going to pay a fee
to listen to digital AM/FM radio, plus spend hundreds on new digital
AM/FM receivers (sure, everyone is going to trash the 800 million
existing AM/FM analog radios). With this happening to satellite radio,
it certainly will happen to digital radio.

IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

913. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:08:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC1C4B.434BB4A8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82198

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AC1A7E.5ABA667C@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
> >> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
> >> subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
> >> only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job, moron.
> >
> > Hopefully the new owners will see the light and sack him after their
> > takeover of
> > the firm is complete.
>
> Not likely. Part of the valuation of the radio division is based on the huge
> ratings gains in the last 6 months for a project I originated and created.

Uh-Huh

More 'puffery'.

LMFAO

914. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:13:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <O3Vqg.116274$H71.74987@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82199

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152130101.042236.295400@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> > Posted by Steve MacLaughlin on 9/8/03; 5:40:54 PM from the News dept.
>>
>> Whose news department?

You sitll did not attribute source on this one.
>
> You are obvioulsy a waste of time. You will be, "out the door", when
> HD/IBOC goes bust

HD is an enhancement to existing AM and FM stations. It can not "go bust"
although it could be less sucessful than people like Lowry Mays, Bob Niel,
Alfred Liggins, Lou Dickey, etc., believe. Nobody will lose jobs over it
even if it does not work.

>, just like satellite radio will ! Neither one, has
> nearly the subscriber base they need, to generate long-term profits.

AM and FM radio have no subscriber base. They are free.

And XM and Sirius are ahead of projections on subscribers, and ahead of
projections on profitability.

> Any idiot can see that the general population is not going to pay a fee
> to listen to digital AM/FM radio,

There is no fee. It is free.

>plus spend hundreds on new digital
> AM/FM receivers (sure, everyone is going to trash the 800 million
> existing AM/FM analog radios).

Existing radios are compatible, just that they are analog... as they always
were.

Radios in the $100 and below range will be on sale in a few months.

> With this happening to satellite radio,
> it certainly will happen to digital radio.

Satellite radio _is_ digital radio. HD is digital radio. Satellite is paid
by the consumer, AM and FM by advertisers.
>
> IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!

Nope.

915. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:15:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <H5Vqg.116275$H71.42115@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82200

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AC1C4B.434BB4A8@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AC1A7E.5ABA667C@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
>> >> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
>> >> subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
>> >> only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job,
>> >> moron.
>> >
>> > Hopefully the new owners will see the light and sack him after their
>> > takeover of
>> > the firm is complete.
>>
>> Not likely. Part of the valuation of the radio division is based on the
>> huge
>> ratings gains in the last 6 months for a project I originated and
>> created.
>
> Uh-Huh
>
> More 'puffery'.

Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR, KRCD,
KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by over
100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.

916. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:17:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC1E77.767FD41E@milestones.com>
Source record: 82201

David Frackelton Gleason, aka 'Eduardo', fake Hipanic and paid shill for Univision/Ibiquity wrote:

> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152130101.042236.295400@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >> > Posted by Steve MacLaughlin on 9/8/03; 5:40:54 PM from the News dept.
> >>
> >> Whose news department?
>
> You sitll did not attribute source on this one.
> >
> > You are obvioulsy a waste of time. You will be, "out the door", when
> > HD/IBOC goes bust
>
> HD is an enhancement to existing AM and FM stations. It can not "go bust"
> although it could be less sucessful than people like Lowry Mays, Bob Niel,
> Alfred Liggins, Lou Dickey, etc., believe. Nobody will lose jobs over it
> even if it does not work.
>
> >, just like satellite radio will ! Neither one, has
> > nearly the subscriber base they need, to generate long-term profits.
>
> AM and FM radio have no subscriber base. They are free.
>
> And XM and Sirius are ahead of projections on subscribers, and ahead of
> projections on profitability.
>
> > Any idiot can see that the general population is not going to pay a fee
> > to listen to digital AM/FM radio,
>
> There is no fee. It is free.
>
> >plus spend hundreds on new digital
> > AM/FM receivers (sure, everyone is going to trash the 800 million
> > existing AM/FM analog radios).
>
> Existing radios are compatible, just that they are analog... as they always
> were.
>
> Radios in the $100 and below range will be on sale in a few months.
>
> > With this happening to satellite radio,

> > it certainly will happen to digital radio.
>
> Satellite radio _is_ digital radio. HD is digital radio. Satellite is paid
> by the consumer, AM and FM by advertisers.
> >
> > IBOC AM Broadcasting Is a Complete Failure!
>
> Nope.

Shill, shill, shill.

It never ends with the Univision crowd.

917. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:19:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com>
Source record: 82202

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AC1C4B.434BB4A8@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AC1A7E.5ABA667C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I know enough, to know that you and HD/IBOC will be, "out the door".
> >> >> Just like with satellite radio , which has suffered from lack of
> >> >> subscribers, HD/IBOC will suffer even more, due to the fact that it is
> >> >> only AM/FM radio. You had better start looking for another job,
> >> >> moron.
> >> >
> >> > Hopefully the new owners will see the light and sack him after their
> >> > takeover of
> >> > the firm is complete.
> >>
> >> Not likely. Part of the valuation of the radio division is based on the
> >> huge
> >> ratings gains in the last 6 months for a project I originated and
> >> created.
> >
> > Uh-Huh
> >
> > More 'puffery'.
>
> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR, KRCD,
> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by over
> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.

So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
Univision/Ibiquity?

918. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:22:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <JbVqg.116277$H71.105243@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82203

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com...

>>
>> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR, KRCD,
>> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by over
>> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.
>
> So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
> Univision/Ibiquity?

It has to do with creating value for our shareholders and opportunities for
our employees.

919. David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:24:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC2011.567BB4B6@milestones.com>
Source record: 82204

Don Gabacho:
>>You had said "we" have reporters there to declare that the concern for
> > Mexico's election must be contained to only a simple count of votes.
> >
> > Who is "we"?
>
David Eduardo:
> Univision Radio, specifically. As in "me."

It's like I said: You are a paid shill for Univision/Ibiquity!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

920. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:26:38 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152131198.401852.168140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82205

dxAce wrote:
get help sicko cowardly trash typer

921. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:27:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC20A0.1DD4A294@milestones.com>
Source record: 82206

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round fake guy and prancing fool wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com...
>
> >>
> >> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR, KRCD,
> >> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by over
> >> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.
> >
> > So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
> > Univision/Ibiquity?
>
> It has to do with creating value for our shareholders and opportunities for
> our employees.

So... why are you shilling your wares here?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

922. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:28:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <UhVqg.116278$H71.104852@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82207

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AC20A0.1DD4A294@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round fake guy and
> prancing
> fool wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com...
>>
>> >>
>> >> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR,
>> >> KRCD,
>> >> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by
>> >> over
>> >> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.
>> >
>> > So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
>> > Univision/Ibiquity?
>>
>> It has to do with creating value for our shareholders and opportunities
>> for
>> our employees.
>
> So... why are you shilling your wares here?

Because SWL_is_for... thinks that I will be let go over HD!! Actually, this
is all pretty amusing.

923. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:32:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC21EF.BD4DD34C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82208

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AC20A0.1DD4A294@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round fake guy and
> > prancing
> > fool wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR,
> >> >> KRCD,
> >> >> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by
> >> >> over
> >> >> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.
> >> >
> >> > So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
> >> > Univision/Ibiquity?
> >>
> >> It has to do with creating value for our shareholders and opportunities
> >> for
> >> our employees.
> >
> > So... why are you shilling your wares here?
>
> Because SWL_is_for... thinks that I will be let go over HD!! Actually, this
> is all pretty amusing.

Yeah, I get a kick out of you being a fake Hispanic and all 'round pathological
liar.

Personally, I think they'll just can your sorry ass for being an idiot.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

924. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 20:49:30 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152132570.155101.300340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82209

dxAce wrote:
> Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.

Sure sounds like one of those "D'oh! Why didn't I think of that" items.
Pretty keen.

> I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.

Some people are really in love with that word, aren't they? ;)

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, USA

925. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:03:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152133421.253612.48850@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82210

Stephanie Weil wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
>
> Sure sounds like one of those "D'oh! Why didn't I think of that" items.
> Pretty keen.
>
> > I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
>
> Some people are really in love with that word, aren't they? ;)
ace is in love with his wordsand himself good thing for him too
otherwise it seems sadly likely he would be lovedby no one at all

>
> --
> Stephanie Weil
> New York City, USA

926. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:04:00 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152133440.414179.138250@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82211

RHF wrote:
> BpnJ,
>
> We who would defend the Flag will come over and Paint
> your House Red-White-and-Blue ! -and- Gladly stand aside
> when the Left-Wing Rabble all come by to Burn-it-Down !
>
> Often They {Symbols and Words} Are Intertwined in the
> Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Them Both : Clearly
> -if- the Liberals and Invent the Concept of Hate Speech
> - Then the More Moderate Members of Our American
> Society can Stand-Up for the Very Real Acts of Hate and
> Defiance by Those Who's Sole Existence is To Destroy
> America the Land That They Love.
>
> BpnJ - The US Constitution is just a bunch of Words -but-
> It is a Symbol of the Promise of America's Freedoms !
> - - - So you would be all for the Enlightened Liberals
> and Left-Wing Wacko Radicals to be able to go to
> Washington DC and Burn the US Constitution as an
> Act of Freedom of Speech ! - NOT !

You're a blooming idiot. I said nothing of the kind, and once again, for the umpteenth time, you put words into my mouth. You are disgraceful.

> I Say : God {Allah} Bless America -and-
> Our All American Symbols - Amen !
>
> Symbols Like Words Have Meaning - Think About It ~ RHF

The WORDS of the Constitution are the LAW, and have far more meaning and potential than the biggest banner on earth. They are not just a symbol, they are a written, legally binding and recognized record of the mutually agreed-upon law of the land. Even then, if they burn, the law does not disappear until the people of the nation say so. If every scrap of parchment burns, the law remains intact and endures. So, quit being so disingenuous - it does neither you nor anyone else any good.

BJ

> .
> . .
>

> bpnjensen wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> > > In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> > > is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the
> > > government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> > > so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> > > front of me.
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> > than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> > too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> > makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> > garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
> >
> > Bruce Jensen

927. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:04:34 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B7799D.14043305072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82212

In article
<telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

> In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-AE296F.18245504072006@newsclstr02.news.prodi
> > gy.com.. .
> > >>
> > >> Started with a Zenith Trans Oceanic, moved to an SX 99 and then
> > >> an HQ 180 with Heathkit Q Multiplier along with a Collins 51J4.
> > >> Couple of pics at http://www.davidgleason.com/1946-1964-E.htm
> > >>
> > >> Antenna was an air core 30" loop, tuned but not active, on a
> > >> rotor with servo controlled tuning, located in the attic of my
> > >> home.
> > >
> > > Nice equipment and a testament to what a tuned loop antenna can
> > > do.
> > >
> > > Still have any of those receivers?
> >
> > Each one was traded up to the next. The 180 stayed in ecuador when
> > I had to leave during the Junta years.
> > >
> > > I have a question about the tuned loop. How was the connection
> > > made to the receivers? Did the antenna have a pick-up loop inside
> > > it?
> >
> > The loop had one inner winding, which was fed to the receiver. The
> > outer windings were tuned.
> >
> > Here is a description of the principles of a later version of the
> > one I used, perfected by an IRCA member in CA... and using a
> > MOS-FET device.
> >
> > Principles of the Loop Antenna A loop antenna is an antenna
> > primarily for the AM broadcast and the Longwave bands. There are
> > two different types of loop antennas, one is the ferrite bar (as in
> > your am radio), the other is wound on an air core form. A loop
> > antenna is very directional. The pickup pattern is shaped like a

> > figure eight. The loop will allow signals on opposite sides to be
> > received, while off the sides of the loop the signal will decrease
> > or be nulled out. The nulling feature will allow you to remove a
> > local station on a frequency and pick up another on the same
> > frequency by removing the local signal. A loop may have an
> > amplifier or may not.
> >
> > Air core loop antennas come in many sizes. The larger the loop the
> > more gain there is. A small loop will actually lose part of the
> > signal. That is why most small loops will use an amplifier. There
> > are two ways a loop can be wound, box or spiral. In the box or
> > solenoid loop the plane of the winding are wound perpendicular to
> > the diameter of the loop, so each loop is the same size. In the
> > spiral loop the plane of the windings are wound parallel with the
> > diameter of the loop, so each loop gets smaller as you wind into
> > the center of the loop. A loop needs to be able to rotate to null
> > out a station. And a loop also needs to be able to till from
> > vertical. This also helps in in nulling of a signal (altazimuth
> > feature).
> >
> > The number of turns the loop needs is determined by the size of the
> > loop, the frequency range that you want to tune and the value of
> > your tuning capacitor. The larger the loop the fewer turns you will
> > need. A 4 foot loop needs 8 turns and a 2 foot loop needs 18 turns.
> > The capacitor that is used is the standard AM tuning capacitor with
> > a range of 10 to 365 pf. The tuning capacitor is used to tune the
> > loop to the frequency that you want to listen to. When you are
> > tuned in to the frequency the signal will peak. You may not be able
> > to tune the full frequency range that you want to tune. So you will
> > need to use a 2 section capacitor and switch the second section in.
> > (more capacitance)
> >
> > There are three ways that you can connect your loop to your radio.
> > One way is not connecting it at all. (This requires a portable
> > radio with a internal loop antenna.) The field of the loop will
> > radiate the peaked signal and you will be able to pick it up with
> > no connection to the radio. You can move the radio around to get
> > the best reception.
> >
> > You can also direct couple to the loop. This way you connect to
> > each end of the loop and also to the center tap of the loop. Using
> > this method you will need to use it with an amplifier.
> >
> > The last method is to use a pick up coil. This consists of one turn
> > of wire that is placed inside the loop on the cross arms. This is
> > then connected to the radio. The distance from the main tank coil
> > can be determined by using a pocket radio and moving it inside the
> > loop to find the place were the signal is strongest, and were it
> > peaks sharpest.
> >

> > In the past loops were made from wood. I have built them and found
> > them to be heavy, clumsy, and flimsy. The mounting system were not
> > very stable. In talking with Mike Bates, he came up with the idea
> > of using PVC to build loops. PVC is easy to cut and because you use
> > PVC molded parts, the loop that you make are is stable. By using
> > PVC cement for some gluing and small nylon screws to connect parts
> > you have no metal parts except the wire and tuning cap to throw the
> > pattern of the loop off. Using PVC it helps to have a drill press,
> > but if a person drills very carefully there should be little
> > problems.
> >
> > A). Two main types of Loops available 1). Directly Coupled and 2).
> > Indirectly coupled (Transformer coupled) The Directly Coupled Loop
> > has its windings directly attached to an Amplifier. Usually the
> > main Tank Coil (parallel tuned circuit that forms the loop primary)
> > in the loop is grounded at the center of the winding (center
> > tapped), to allow for electrical balancing. The Amplifiers are
> > usually but not always J-FET's, with 2 FET's in a Differential
> > configuration, where the ends of the tank winding go to each FET
> > gate. The Transformer coupled version uses a link winding to couple
> > the signal to the receiver. This version can be amplified or non
> > amplified.
>
> That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.
>
> Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which was a
> tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil to the
> receiver.
>
> How did you get around as a teenager in central and south America?
> You must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet in the
> various places you stayed prearranged?

I didn't read your biography but it looks like you were an exchange
student.

I spent time on the picture pages showing the stations you visited and
worked at.

Shunt feeding the antenna makes sense as you can move the tap for more
control over the antenna reactance and using a shunt feed network
should make it easier to present the antenna as a stable load over
changing weather conditions.

One question on the Mexican page I have is that you point out the
antennas are on the roofs of buildings. Since they are verticals ground
is important. They must have used copper strips and chicken wire or the
like to make a ground plane for the antenna on the roof of the
buildings. Is this what they did?

--
Telamon

Ventura, California

928. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:07:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC29F7.A059AE0F@milestones.com>
Source record: 82213

an old friend wrote:

> Stephanie Weil wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
> >
> > Sure sounds like one of those "D'oh! Why didn't I think of that" items.
> > Pretty keen.
> >
> > > I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
> >
> > Some people are really in love with that word, aren't they? ;)
> ace is in love with his wordsand himself good thing for him too
> otherwise it seems sadly likely he would be lovedby no one at all

Then I'd be like you, 'tard boy?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

929. Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:11:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5C2921.14114405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82214

I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from "the middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically a narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

930. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:15:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9_Vqg.80256$4L1.27804@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82215

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.

I had 24 hours to get out or get shot. I picked leaving. My newspaper
counterpart at Diario El Tiempo was killed.
>
> Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which was a
> tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil to the receiver.

In lower noise conditions, more than enough.
>
> How did you get around as a teenager in central and south America?

Public transportation is very convenient, cheap and frequent in all of Latin
America. In my first year as a station owner, I did not even need a car, as
the transmitter was a couple-minute walk from a 24/ bus line. If I needed to
take big parts, like transformers, etc., I took a cab. Also cheap.

> You
> must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet in the
> various places you stayed prearranged?

Nobody in my direct family had even been to Latin America. I simply winged
it. It was a safer time, of course.

When I did some archeological work in Guatemala, Honduras, etc., I used
busses. That is how I got around when working in Mexico City, too. And my
entire trip through Central America was a combiantion of busses and TACA,
the regional airline. I usually got references on hotels for my next stop at
the hotel I was staying in at the time. Got a couple of duds, too... and
even spent one night sleeping in the "radio teatro" at YSKL in San Salvador.

931. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:17:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <pmaoa2dg6qp34c60mordpsito05emgne1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 82216

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 17:14:24 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>FM took off when the FCC mandated an end to simulcasting. The biggest gain
>in FM was from Beautiful Music, not progressive rock.
>
>
Right. Montovani rules!

932. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:23:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <25Wqg.80260$4L1.44322@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82217

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-B7799D.14043305072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> I didn't read your biography but it looks like you were an exchange
> student.

Sort of. When I went to Mexico, a friend of the family arranged for a family to stay with while I was in school. Of course, I did not go to school.

When I went to Ecuador, I simply wrote to a school in Guayaquil and registered. When I got there, the governemtn got overthrwon, and schools were closed. I went to Quito, and registered with a school there, and found a room to rent.

> One question on the Mexican page I have is that you point out the
> antennas are on the roofs of buildings. Since they are verticals ground
> is important. They must have used copper strips and chicken wire or the
> like to make a ground plane for the antenna on the roof of the
> buildings. Is this what they did?

Mexican stations for the most part had full towers with ground (except XEOC-560, which was on a roof in 1963).

Rooftop installations can be done very effectively. All it takes is using coper wire in what is called a counterpoise strung over the roof.

KTNQ in LA has a ground system that is about 25 feet above ground level. Over the parking areas, there is silver soldered copper wire with clamps, and the radials are laid under the roofing material. The efficiency did not change significantly when this was built (the towers were there before the buildings).

Many Central and South American AM stations in the 60's had horizontal antennas, with the ground being the metal rebar of the building or a bunch of buried car radiators or something similar. It worked, but the range was limited.

933. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:36:25 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152135385.645077.114030@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82219

dxAce wrote:
> Don Gabacho:
> >>You had said "we" have reporters there to declare that the concern for
> > > Mexico's election must be contained to only a simple count of votes.
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> >
> David Eduardo:
> > Univision Radio, specifically. As in "me."
>
> It's like I said: You are a paid shill for Univision/Ibiquity!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Do we actually see eye-to-eye on something ?

934. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:52:04 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <97955$44ac301b$422aadc8$20477@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82218

"Sanjaya" <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:hwRqg.3487$PE1.398@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote >
> > Of course, someone on AOL accessing a newsgroup
> > to call the people who engage in another electronic
> > hobby "nerd heads" is really the pot calling the kettle
> > black, but nevermind.
> >
> > --Mike L.
>
> I thought AOL stopped providing newsgroups some time ago.
> Seems I remember it in the news, perhaps last year?

Yes, I remember it. Caused a minor furor
over there.

--Mike L.

935. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 21:57:16 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152136636.138038.204790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82220

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AC20A0.1DD4A294@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round fake guy and
> > prancing
> > fool wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AC1EE8.70168627@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Check ratings on KOVE, KLNO, KINV, KGBT, KCOR-FM, KKRG, KBNA, KQMR,
> >> >> KRCD,
> >> >> KLQV, KBRG, KRGT and KRDA since September, 2005. The group is up by
> >> >> over
> >> >> 100%, and represents a quarter of the FMs in the company.
> >> >
> >> > So what? What does that have to do with you being a paid shill for
> >> > Univision/Ibiquity?
> >>
> >> It has to do with creating value for our shareholders and opportunities
> >> for
> >> our employees.
> >
> > So... why are you shilling your wares here?
>
> Because SWL_is_for... thinks that I will be let go over HD!! Actually, this
> is all pretty amusing.

So, you are claiming that the report from,

http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html

is totally incorrect ? How do you justify away reports of HD/IBOC
dropping out of signal, even at close ranges, and radio stations
turning off HD/IBOC, because of interference to other stations and
listener complaints, from:

http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

936. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:04:10 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152137050.002642.21440@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82221

dxAce wrote:
> Don Gabacho:
> >>You had said "we" have reporters there to declare that the concern for
> > > Mexico's election must be contained to only a simple count of votes.
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> >
> David Eduardo:
> > Univision Radio, specifically. As in "me."
>
> It's like I said: You are a paid shill for Univision/Ibiquity!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
HD/IBOC will never materialize.

937. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:04:18 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82222

dxAce wrote:
> Don Gabacho:
> >>You had said "we" have reporters there to declare that the concern for
> > > Mexico's election must be contained to only a simple count of votes.
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> >
> David Eduardo:
> > Univision Radio, specifically. As in "me."
>
> It's like I said: You are a paid shill for Univision/Ibiquity!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
HD/IBOC will never materialize.

938. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:10:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <iNWqg.166477$F_3.138750@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82223

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152136636.138038.204790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> Because SWL_is_for... thinks that I will be let go over HD!! Actually,
>> this
>> is all pretty amusing.
>
> So, you are claiming that the report from,
>
> http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
>
> is totally incorrect ?

Yes. It is totally bogus and incorrect. As I said, it was done by some
perosn who streams 20's music on the internet, not a qualified, experienced
broadcaster with engineering background.

> How do you justify away reports of HD/IBOC
> dropping out of signal, even at close ranges,

Since there are only a couple of receivers available, I take many of these
comments with extreme skepticism.

Using one of the car radios, we have shown that KTNQ in LA has a more robust
digital (HD) signal than the analog AM signal, being listenable where the
analog signal is already getting noisy. This quality of HD, in the larger
metros, of eliminating man made interference, is one of the biggest benefits
of HD.

> and radio stations
> turning off HD/IBOC, because of interference to other stations

I only know of one case: WIND in Chicago, now owned by a religious
broadcaster, wanted to protect the extreme fringes of a second adjacent
station they own in Wisconsin, WYLO. That is a decision only they can make,
and is based on many religious stations selling prograsm time based ont he
donations they pull.

> and
> listener complaints, from:
>
> http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

That list is hopelessly out of date. More than half the HD stations in the
company I work for are missing.

I do not know of one station that quit HD due to listener complaints, especially since nearly no listeners have receivers yet.

A couple have turned it off because their antenna sisytems are not broadband enough to run HD. Or KFI, which had their tower fall and is on AUX facilities.

Will you stop posting the same lame links over and over? One is from a person who does not even know most stations already have delay, and the other is a list that is painfully dated and wrong.
>

939. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:11:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pOWqg.166478$F_3.37024@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82224

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152137050.002642.21440@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> HD/IBOC will never materialize.

Since you have never posted any data from professionals, how would you know?

940. Re: Radio Japan 17825, N. Korea missile launch reported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:31:52 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F9A8AB.15315205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82225

In article <1152126644.153146.212020@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"Invader3K" <beckermt@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Anyone have North Korean freqs in English for those of us in the
> Eastern US? Or at least the Midwest to hear them on? Thanks.

I would try these frequencies for north and central America from
MT-shortwave blog page.
<http://mt-shortwave.blogspot.com/>

0100-0200 9730am 11735ca 13760ca 15180ca
1000-1100 6285am 9335ca
1300-1400 9335na 11710na
1500-1600 9335na 11710na

I would also listen to Japan and South Korea.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

941. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 22:35:28 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82226

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> HD/IBOC will never materialize.

Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...

"I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
years ago, if memory serves."

This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.

942. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:26:05 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-DA92B7.16260505072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82227

In article <ZVPqg.116195$H71.60084@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e8g34a$m17$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> >
> > Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat
> > Martin, when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops
> > quite noticably:
>
> Patrick is in Oregon. Patrick is not in the groudnwave coverage area
> of KGO. Patrick is of no interest to KGO as a listener. KGO is
> interested in the San Francisco metro, nothing else.
> >
> > "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> > IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence,
> > dull...
>
> KGO has not had HD on for several days. They are working on the new
> Nautel 50 they put in 10 days ago, and promise traditional KGO audio
> from it with HD on as well.

If some of the transmit power goes into the digital side bands then
less is available for the analog side bands. Total power would remain
the same. Equalization should help but I would not know if it is a fix
that will result in restoring the punch the analog used to have sans
digital.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

943. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:43:17 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5247DE.16431605072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82228

In article <EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152074006.649592.309190@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152055989.966612.278980@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Have you considered a career in politics of the GOP flavor? ;-)
> >>
> >> Have you read my point about trying to simlyfy for a nutcase who
> >> obviously does not get it?
> >>
> >> > Clearly the analog and digital spectrums overlap, but not for
> >> > the same station. In fact, prior to IBOC, a station using such
> >> > bandwidth would not be in FCC compliance, right?
> >>
> >> IBOC does not change the common point antenna current for an HD
> >> activated station. The two components are part, legally, of the
> >> same station, just as the F M stereo subcarrier is part of the FM
> >> signal. Unless stations drop their analog component, then the HD
> >> is "part of" and not "separate from" the signal of the licensed
> >> facility. The fact that no license for HD is required should also
> >> be proof.
> >>
> >> Before NRSC, many AMs could and did modulate with a full 15kHz
> >> fidelity. The local splatter from these stations often made
> >> listening to adjacents and next adjacents impossible. So, really,
> >> nothing has changed.
> >>
> >
> > I don't know chapter and verse like you do (as you are in the biz),
> > but I know how the FM subcarrier works. It is very benign. I
> > choose not to listen to SCA, but don't feel like the service has
> > done me harm.
> >
> > From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the
> > power output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if
> > you pump power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog
> > signal reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So
> > not only does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in

> > power. We all know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly
> > like the reduce bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as
> > the QRM.
>
> The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water
> is the same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not
> reduced any more than the FM analog signal is.

If you put power in additional side bands then either the carrier or analog side bands must be reduced in power. You can't have an analog station running at some numerical power, add digital side bands and not have something change. For the same numerical power level either the carrier or analog side bands must go down.

The only exception I can think of here is limiting the analog side band spectrum and having the digital take its place. In that case you trade one for the other and the numerical power level can stay the same. As a result of this trade the analog audio will have the same punch but the high end will be limited.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

944. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:53:56 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-7A68A5.16535605072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82229

In article <9_Vqg.80256$4L1.27804@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> > That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.
>
> I had 24 hours to get out or get shot. I picked leaving. My newspaper
> counterpart at Diario El Tiempo was killed.
> >
> > Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which was a
> > tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil to the receiver.
>
> In lower noise conditions, more than enough.
> >
> > How did you get around as a teenager in central and south America?
>
> Public transportation is very convenient, cheap and frequent in all of Latin
> America. In my first year as a station owner, I did not even need a car, as
> the transmitter was a couple-minute walk from a 24/ bus line. If I needed to
> take big parts, like transformers, etc., I took a cab. Also cheap.
>
> > You
> > must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet in the
> > various places you stayed prearranged?
>
> Nobody in my direct family had even been to Latin America. I simply winged
> it. It was a safer time, of course.
>
> When I did some archeological work in Guatemala, Honduras, etc., I used
> busses. That is how I got around when working in Mexico City, too. And my
> entire trip through Central America was a combiantion of busses and TACA,
> the regional airline. I usually got references on hotels for my next stop at
> the hotel I was staying in at the time. Got a couple of duds, too... and
> even spent one night sleeping in the "radio teatro" at YSKL in San Salvador.

You are a very adventurous person. I can't imagine doing this when I was
a teenager. Your parents must have been worried sick over your travels.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

945. Maryland amateur radio convention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:56:04 UTC
From: W Page Pyne <wa3eop@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <okYqg.4340$283.1450@trnddc08>
Source record: 82230

You are invited to visit www.w3cwc.org for information on the 2006 MD State ARRL Amateur Radio Convention to be held July 29 in Hagerstown MD.

WA3EOP

946. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:56:33 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152143793.744682.298790@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82231

Telamon wrote:
> I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from "the
> middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They
> rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high
> production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically a
> narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

I heard part of that broadcast as well. I'll have to check some of the pirate frequencies tonight, though I sure hope conditions are better than they were this afternoon. When I can't hear Olympia Radio on 13170, I know the sun is acting up.

Steve

947. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-05 23:57:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AC5205.E4C1F1E8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82232

Telamon wrote:

> In article <9_Vqg.80256$4L1.27804@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.
> >
> > I had 24 hours to get out or get shot. I picked leaving. My newspaper
> > counterpart at Diario El Tiempo was killed.
> > >
> > > Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which was a
> > > tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil to the receiver.
> >
> > In lower noise conditions, more than enough.
> > >
> > > How did you get around as a teenager in central and south America?
> >
> > Public transportation is very convenient, cheap and frequent in all of Latin
> > America. In my first year as a station owner, I did not even need a car, as
> > the transmitter was a couple-minute walk from a 24/ bus line. If I needed to
> > take big parts, like transformers, etc., I took a cab. Also cheap.
> >
> > > You
> > > must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet in the
> > > various places you stayed prearranged?
> >
> > Nobody in my direct family had even been to Latin America. I simply winged
> > it. It was a safer time, of course.
> >
> > When I did some archeological work in Guatemala, Honduras, etc., I used
> > busses. That is how I got around when working in Mexico City, too. And my
> > entire trip through Central America was a combiantion of busses and TACA,
> > the regional airline. I usually got references on hotels for my next stop at
> > the hotel I was staying in at the time. Got a couple of duds, too... and
> > even spent one night sleeping in the "radio teatro" at YSKL in San Salvador.
>
> You are a very adventurous person. I can't imagine doing this when I was
> a teenager. Your parents must have been worried sick over your travels.

LMFAO

He's sucked you in!

948. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:06:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <OtYqg.60272$Lm5.47844@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82233

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-DA92B7.16260505072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <ZVPqg.116195$H71.60084@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e8g34a$m17$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> >
>> > Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat
>> > Martin, when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops
>> > quite noticably:
>>
>> Patrick is in Oregon. Patrick is not in the groudnwave coverage area
>> of KGO. Patrick is of no interest to KGO as a listener. KGO is
>> interested in the San Francisco metro, nothing else.
>> >
>> > "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
>> > IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence,
>> > dull...
>>
>> KGO has not had HD on for several days. They are working on the new
>> Nautel 50 they put in 10 days ago, and promise traditional KGO audio
>> from it with HD on as well.
>
> If some of the transmit power goes into the digital side bands then
> less is available for the analog side bands. Total power would remain
> the same. Equalization should help but I would not know if it is a fix
> that will result in restoring the punch the analog used to have sans
> digital.

There are literally two signals piggybacking on the same "channel" and the
analog does not reduce power. The HD digital signal is 1/100th of the power
of the analog signal, so it does not really show up on the common point
ammeter for directionals or the base current meter for an non-d AM. AM
antenna current ammeters fluctuate anyway, due to the effects of modulation,
so the HD signal makes no appreciable difference, as it is 20 db down from
the main carrier.

949. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:14:52 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82234

In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>
> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
>
> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> years ago, if memory serves."
>
> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.

How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
professionals expect AM band programming to go?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

950. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:18:03 UTC
From: kong1932 <kong1932@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152145083.495745.3350@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82235

kong1932 wrote:
> How well does the in built antenna work for shortwave. I'm very
> interested in this radio now.

951. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:26:22 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6D9519.17262205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82236

In article <44AC5205.E4C1F1E8@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > In article <9_Vqg.80256$4L1.27804@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > > message
> > > news:telamon_spamshield-0BA700.19184304072006@newsclstr02.news.pro
> > > digy.com ...
> > > > In article <FPEqg.166236$F_3.96484@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > That's a shame you had to leave the HQ 180 behind in Ecuador.
> > >
> > > I had 24 hours to get out or get shot. I picked leaving. My
> > > newspaper counterpart at Diario El Tiempo was killed.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for the information on the loop antenna you used, which
> > > > was a tuned loop with a inner (probably one turn) pick up coil
> > > > to the receiver.
> > >
> > > In lower noise conditions, more than enough.
> > > >
> > > > How did you get around as a teenager in central and south
> > > > America?
> > >
> > > Public transportation is very convenient, cheap and frequent in
> > > all of Latin America. In my first year as a station owner, I did
> > > not even need a car, as the transmitter was a couple-minute walk
> > > from a 24/ bus line. If I needed to take big parts, like
> > > transformers, etc., I took a cab. Also cheap.
> > >
> > > > You must have traveled with a relative or had contacts to meet
> > > > in the various places you stayed prearranged?
> > >
> > > Nobody in my direct family had even been to Latin America. I
> > > simply winged it. It was a safer time, of course.
> > >
> > > When I did some archeological work in Guatemala, Honduras, etc.,
> > > I used busses. That is how I got around when working in Mexico
> > > City, too. And my entire trip through Central America was a

> > > combiantion of busses and TACA, the regional airline. I usually
> > > got references on hotels for my next stop at the hotel I was
> > > staying in at the time. Got a couple of duds, too... and even
> > > spent one night sleeping in the "radio teatro" at YSKL in San
> > > Salvador.
> >
> > You are a very adventurous person. I can't imagine doing this when
> > I was a teenager. Your parents must have been worried sick over
> > your travels.
>
> LMFAO
>
> He's sucked you in!

Yeah well, as long as he writes reasonable stuff I'll go along. I'm not a detective and don't want to spend the time researching his background. This is why it's good to stick with news and technical facts. Personal history information I'm willing to take at face value. He is innocent until proven guilty.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

952. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:26:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6NYqg.60277$Lm5.55166@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82237

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-7A68A5.16535605072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> You are a very adventurous person. I can't imagine doing this when I was
> a teenager. Your parents must have been worried sick over your travels.

Not really. My father had died when I was much younger, and I had been
working since about age 9. My mother had studied abroad, and was open to the
idea. I was just looking for any way possible to get out of going to school,
and it worked.

953. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:28:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82238

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
>> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
>> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>>
>> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
>>
>> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
>> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
>> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
>> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
>> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
>> years ago, if memory serves."
>>
>> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
>
> How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> professionals expect AM band programming to go?

The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.

954. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:29:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152145789.656846.244790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82239

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>
> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
>
> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> years ago, if memory serves."
>
> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.

What the hell is your name, anyway? You have more handles than an old steamer trunk. Are you trying to outrun an old court conviction or something?

955. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:33:21 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6ACE18.17332105072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82240

In article <OtYqg.60272$Lm5.47844@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-DA92B7.16260505072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <ZVPqg.116195$H71.60084@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e8g34a$m17$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat
> >> > Martin, when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops
> >> > quite noticably:
> >>
> >> Patrick is in Oregon. Patrick is not in the groudnwave coverage area
> >> of KGO. Patrick is of no interest to KGO as a listener. KGO is
> >> interested in the San Francisco metro, nothing else.
> >> >
> >> > "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> >> > IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence,
> >> > dull...
> >>
> >> KGO has not had HD on for several days. They are working on the new
> >> Nautel 50 they put in 10 days ago, and promise traditional KGO audio
> >> from it with HD on as well.
> >
> > If some of the transmit power goes into the digital side bands then
> > less is available for the analog side bands. Total power would remain
> > the same. Equalization should help but I would not know if it is a fix
> > that will result in restoring the punch the analog used to have sans
> > digital.
>
> There are literally two signals piggybacking on the same "channel" and the
> analog does not reduce power. The HD digital signal is 1/100th of the power
> of the analog signal, so it does not really show up on the common point
> ammeter for directionals or the base current meter for an non-d AM. AM
> antenna current ammeters fluctuate anyway, due to the effects of modulation,
> so the HD signal makes no appreciable difference, as it is 20 db down from
> the main carrier.

That does not sound unreasonable to not see an appreciable difference in
a RMS amp meter since the power level in the digital side bands is small
especially if it is taking the place of some of the analog high end

spectrum.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

956. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:38:20 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <0YYqg.11414$vl5.3241@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82241

Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-5C2921.14114405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from "the
> middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They
> rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high
> production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically a
> narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

957. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:38:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kYYqg.60282$Lm5.7520@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82242

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152145789.656846.244790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
>> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
>> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>>
>> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
>>
>> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
>> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
>> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
>> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
>> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
>> years ago, if memory serves."
>>
>> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
>
> What the hell is your name, anyway? You have more handles than an old
> steamer trunk. Are you trying to outrun an old court conviction or
> something?

"David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my paternal
and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
>

958. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:48:31 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A5C4DF.17483105072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82243

In article <0YYqg.11414$vl5.3241@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>,
"Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5C2921.14114405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from
> > "the middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They
> > rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high
> > production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically
> > a narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.
> >
> Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!
>
Sorry, I don't usually record programs. Some pirates have their past
programs on the web so you might want to do a search.

Try to listen for them as I believe they said that a CD of the program
would be sent for a reception report.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

959. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:51:07 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F6B82B.17510705072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82245

In article <6NYqg.60277$Lm5.55166@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-7A68A5.16535605072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > You are a very adventurous person. I can't imagine doing this when I was
> > a teenager. Your parents must have been worried sick over your travels.
>
> Not really. My father had died when I was much younger, and I had been
> working since about age 9. My mother had studied abroad, and was open to the
> idea. I was just looking for any way possible to get out of going to school,
> and it worked.

Sure looks like it did and you had quite an experience that shaped your
future.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

960. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:51:28 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxNOSPAM@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <26qdnaYEnJgJwzHZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82244

This is a small but useful accessory, dxAce. I've had one on my Eton E1 since the beginning, and I use it with the Griffin PowerMate knob that I have hooked up to my Flex-Radio SDR-1000. Check out the 2nd to latest entry in my SDR blog for a photo of the Griffin knob with the Finger Dimple spinner attached.

Guy Atkins
Puyallup, WA USA
www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AC1BDA.D4575F0F@milestones.com...
> Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
>
> http://www.fingerdimple.com/
>
> (Via NASWA Journal, July 2006, Allan Johnson, Equipment Reviews)
>
> I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

961. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:56:04 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AAE453.17560405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82246

In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> >>
> >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> >>
> >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> >> years ago, if memory serves."
> >>
> >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> >
> > How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> > would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> > professionals expect AM band programming to go?
>
> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.

The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
to want this so AM formats will have to change.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

962. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 00:58:59 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152147539.564318.173750@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82247

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> >>
> >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> >>
> >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> >> years ago, if memory serves."
> >>
> >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> >
> > How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> > would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> > professionals expect AM band programming to go?
>
> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.

If the idea is to make AM more similar to FM in order to lure FM
listeners over to AM, that doesn't make much sense to me. If people
listen to FM, it's probably because they like FM, the audio quality,
the programming, etc. If AM just becomes a slightly crappier version of
FM, why would anyone care? At least now AM is its own thing. True, it
markets to an older audience. True, that audience is getting older all
the time...but then again, so is the audience that's listening to FM.
And believe me, the young, drug-addled, ADD afflicted people who are
listening to Eminem today will look for something very different twenty
years from now, after they've matured and sobered up.

963. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 01:21:52 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152148912.923753.175650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82048

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152136636.138038.204790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Because SWL_is_for... thinks that I will be let go over HD!! Actually,
> >> this
> >> is all pretty amusing.
> >
> > So, you are claiming that the report from,
> >
> > http://www.wbdhradio.com/html/say_no_to_iboc.html
> >
> > is totally incorrect ?
>
> Yes. It is totally bogus and incorrect. As I said, it was done by some
> perosn who streams 20's music on the internet, not a qualified, experienced
> broadcaster with engineering background.
>
> > How do you justify away reports of HD/IBOC
> > dropping out of signal, even at close ranges,
>
> Since there are only a couple of receivers available, I take many of these
> comments with extreme skepticism.
>
> Using one of the car radios, we have shown that KTNQ in LA has a more robust
> digital (HD) signal than the analog AM signal, being listenable where the
> analog signal is already getting noisy. This quality of HD, in the larger
> metros, of eliminating man made interference, is one of the biggest benefits
> of HD.
>
> > and radio stations
> > turning off HD/IBOC, because of interference to other stations
>
> I only know of one case: WIND in Chicago, now owned by a religious
> broadcaster, wanted to protect the extreme fringes of a second adjacent
> station they own in Wisconsin, WYLO. That is a decision only they can make,
> and is based on many religious stations selling prograsm time based ont he
> donations they pull.
>
> > and
> > listener complaints, from:
> >
> > http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

>
> That list is hopelessly out of date. More than half the HD stations in the
> company I work for are missing.
>
> I do not know of one station that quit HD due to listener complaints,
> especially since nearly no listeners have receivers yet.
>
> A couple have turned it off because their antenna sisytems are not broadband
> enough to run HD. Or KFI, which had their tower fall and is on AUX
> facilities.
>
> Will you stop posting the same lame links over and over? One is from a
> person who does not even know most stations already have delay, and the
> other is a list that is painfully dated and wrong.
> >

Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I have read concerning interference and poor quality. Sorry bud, but these articles are not bogus, HD/IBOC will fail, especially, for nighttine AM ! HD/IBOC does not play well with the AM band ! You obvioulsy know the subject matter, but that does not discount the countless articles that show major problems of implementing HD/IBOC over the AM band. This article from RWonline says it all, so run along little man:

From,
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/guywire/gw.2005.10.19.shtml

"I was an early supporter of IBOC for both AM and FM, but after carefully reflecting on how the AM rollout has faltered I am now convinced the proposed standard for AM during the hybrid transition period is not the best it could be. I am joined by many other engineers who see the possibility of a colossal train wreck coming, when and if AM IBOC is opened up for full-time operations by all stations."

"Using IBOC at night undoubtedly will unleash an ugly Pandora's Box of trouble for many stations. The Canadian government has formally objected to U.S. stations operating IBOC at night, fearing significant interference to Canadian stations operating on adjacent channels. Neither the NAB nor the FCC had yet to comment on this objection at this writing."

"While AM IBOC still holds great promise for its ability to deliver dramatic improvement over its analog host with 15 kHz stereo reception, it only appears to be able to do that reliably inside the protected primary and NIF coverage contours. Even then there are problems with analog degradation on various models of existing receivers."

"A number of stations are finding it difficult to suppress the "bacon-frying" noise produced in all analog receivers because of nonlinear performing transmission chains, including the null regions of many directional antennas. The necessity of having to rebuild antenna

systems will place an expensive burden on numerous stations, many of which are the least able to afford it."

"Most AM station owners understand the risks of investing in the Ibiquity standard and are postponing plans to add IBOC until more of the lingering questions receive answers."

"According to one resource as of this writing, there are 77 stations on the air with daytime AM IBOC. At least five Class A 50 kW blowtorches and a few other stations have turned it off, mostly because of interference caused to their own analog listeners or to their adjacent-channel neighbors."

AND FINIALLY:

"If the commission chooses to adopt the Ibiquity IBOC standard for unlimited AM day and night operations as proposed, here is a worst-case scenario that might unfold: A messy outbreak of interference complaints will be filed by adjacent-channel stations that lose existing analog coverage as increasing numbers of stations deploy IBOC full-time. Many of the complaints will be dismissed on the basis that the interference occurs in unprotected coverage areas, which is understandable and expected."

"In cases where the interference complaints are legitimate, the offending stations will be forced to reduce IBOC power. In many cases, they may have to reduce it to a level where it's not justified to operate it at all without leaving its listeners a poor impression of the new technology and the station's inability to use it effectively. Would such stations be content to operate IBOC only during the day, outside of critical hours and nights?"

"The overall result of this chaos could leave AM IBOC an under-achieving digital standard that only a minority of stations could use to full advantage. We could see the filing of lawsuits by stations that invested in the technology but were forced to stop using it."

You will never convice me, otherwise !

964. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 01:29:03 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152149343.382502.308740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82049

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152137050.002642.21440@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>
> Since you have never posted any data from professionals, how would you know?

Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I
have read concerning interference and poor quality. Sorry bud, but
these articles are not bogus, HD/IBOC will fail, especially, for
nighttine AM ! HD/IBOC does not play well with the AM band ! You
obvioulsy know the subject matter, but that does not discount the
countless articles that show major problems of implementing HD/IBOC
over the AM band. This article from RWonline says it all, so run along
little man:

From,
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/guywire/gw.2005.10.19.shtml

"I was an early supporter of IBOC for both AM and FM, but after
carefully reflecting on how the AM rollout has faltered I am now
convinced the proposed standard for AM during the hybrid transition
period is not the best it could be. I am joined by many other engineers

who see the possibility of a colossal train wreck coming, when and if
AM IBOC is opened up for full-time operations by all stations."

"Using IBOC at night undoubtedly will unleash an ugly Pandora's Box of
trouble for many stations. The Canadian government has formally
objected to U.S. stations operating IBOC at night, fearing significant
interference to Canadian stations operating on adjacent channels.
Neither the NAB nor the FCC had yet to comment on this objection at
this writing."

"While AM IBOC still holds great promise for its ability to deliver
dramatic improvement over its analog host with 15 kHz stereo reception,

it only appears to be able to do that reliably inside the protected
primary and NIF coverage contours. Even then there are problems with
analog degradation on various models of existing receivers."

"A number of stations are finding it difficult to suppress the
"bacon-frying" noise produced in all analog receivers because of
nonlinear performing transmission chains, including the null regions of

many directional antennas. The necessity of having to rebuild antenna systems will place an expensive burden on numerous stations, many of which are the least able to afford it."

"Most AM station owners understand the risks of investing in the Ibiquity standard and are postponing plans to add IBOC until more of the lingering questions receive answers."

"According to one resource as of this writing, there are 77 stations on

the air with daytime AM IBOC. At least five Class A 50 kW blowtorches and a few other stations have turned it off, mostly because of interference caused to their own analog listeners or to their adjacent-channel neighbors."

AND FINIALLY:

"If the commission chooses to adopt the Ibiquity IBOC standard for unlimited AM day and night operations as proposed, here is a worst-case

scenario that might unfold: A messy outbreak of interference complaints

will be filed by adjacent-channel stations that lose existing analog coverage as increasing numbers of stations deploy IBOC full-time. Many of the complaints will be dismissed on the basis that the interference occurs in unprotected coverage areas, which is understandable and expected."

"In cases where the interference complaints are legitimate, the offending stations will be forced to reduce IBOC power. In many cases, they may have to reduce it to a level where it's not justified to operate it at all without leaving its listeners a poor impression of the new technology and the station's inability to use it effectively. Would such stations be content to operate IBOC only during the day, outside of critical hours and nights?"

"The overall result of this chaos could leave AM IBOC an under-achieving digital standard that only a minority of stations could

use to full advantage. We could see the filing of lawsuits by stations that invested in the technology but were forced to stop using it."

You will never convice me, otherwise !

965. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 01:38:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jQZqg.116338$H71.44325@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82050

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-AAE453.17560405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
>> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
>> programming
>> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
>
> The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
> to want this so AM formats will have to change.

The biggest problem is that there are not many competitive (what the trade calls "viable") AM signals in most markets. So the choice will always be limited, and the AMs will either be modified talkers or entertainment based, as opposed to broad based music stations. In a few markets, they will be alternatives for more limited music formats, like standards, oldies and some ethnic formats that can not be supported on a full FM.

Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.

966. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 01:43:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oVZqg.116340$H71.62387@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82051

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152147539.564318.173750@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
>> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
>> programming
>> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
>
> If the idea is to make AM more similar to FM in order to lure FM
> listeners over to AM, that doesn't make much sense to me. If people
> listen to FM, it's probably because they like FM, the audio quality,
> the programming, etc. If AM just becomes a slightly crappier version of
> FM, why would anyone care?

I am not saying we will have format duplicaiton. But, well done, AM HD sounds acceptable to younger ears if the content is right. It certainly would be a way for talk to reach younger demos without migrating to FM (as companies like Bonneville are doing now in SLC, PHX and DC). It also offers formats that can not sustain a full FM a second chance, with oldies being one example.

> At least now AM is its own thing. True, it
> markets to an older audience. True, that audience is getting older all
> the time...but then again, so is the audience that's listening to FM.

FM audience is not getting older.

And the issue with AM is that nobody under 35 listens (a bit of an exaggeratin, but a true generalization). Older audiences can not be sold, so AM would become extinct unless something is done.

> And believe me, the young, drug-addled, ADD afflicted people who are
> listening to Eminem today will look for something very different twenty
> years from now, after they've matured and sobered up.
>

Hey, my VP listens to Eminem and Fitty and Daddy Yankee and Don Omar all day. He is an Ivy League MBA, enormously intelligent and simply likes a different kind of music. the fact that you do not like it does not mean it is not good to others. And saying all hip hop listeners are druggies is not true, and inappropriate.

I have a bunch of hip hop on my iPod, too. It is selected, but some of it is really good.

967. Someday you will say : Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 01:45:06 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152150305.944558.89740@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82052

Telamon,

People grow-up-with what they grow-up-with.
- - - We All Learn to Love Our Mother's Cooking - Humm Good :o)

-IF- A New Generation of AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Listeners
{ Who are Today about 8-12 Years Old }
Grow-Up Listening to AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Programming
that is has a higher quality sound and all they ever get to
hear is the available Local Radios Stations : Then "They"
will think that is quite 'normal-and-good' AM Radio.

One-by-One AM Radio Broadcasters and Program Syndicators
with Transform old programs and Develop New Programs that
appeal to and attract the Next {New} Generation of AM {HD-
IBOC} Radio Listeners. This is basically what Radio as an
Industry has done since it's beginnings and Generations of
Older Radio Listeners become a smaller Market-Share and
New Younger Radio Listeners become a larger Market-Share.

Someday you will say to your Grandson or Great-Grandson :
That's Not AM Radio ! - Why - When I Was A Boy . . .
I Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from
Other States and on some Nights Clear Across the Country.

the times they are a changing - yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > >>>
> > >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.

> > >>
> > >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> > >>
> > >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> > >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> > >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> > >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> > >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> > >> years ago, if memory serves."
> > >>
> > >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> > >
> > > How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> > > would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> > > professionals expect AM band programming to go?
> >
> > The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> > listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
> > on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
>
> The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
> to want this so AM formats will have to change.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

968. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 02:00:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <M8_qg.116345$H71.18677@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82053

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152148912.923753.175650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Using one of the car radios, we have shown that KTNQ in LA has a more
>> robust
>> digital (HD) signal than the analog AM signal, being listenable where the
>> analog signal is already getting noisy. This quality of HD, in the larger
>> metros, of eliminating man made interference, is one of the biggest
>> benefits
>> of HD.
>>
>> That list is hopelessly out of date. More than half the HD stations in
>> the
>> company I work for are missing.
>>
>> I do not know of one station that quit HD due to listener complaints,
>> especially since nearly no listeners have receivers yet.
>>
>> A couple have turned it off because their antenna sisytems are not
>> broadband
>> enough to run HD. Or KFI, which had their tower fall and is on AUX
>> facilities.
>>
>> Will you stop posting the same lame links over and over? One is from a
>> person who does not even know most stations already have delay, and the
>> other is a list that is painfully dated and wrong.
>> >
>
> Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I
> have read concerning interference and poor quality.

The sound quality of HD is not bad. The FM is cleaner and has more dynamic
range, and is not encumbered by the preemphasis curve on analog FM.

Oh, yes. the stuff you have posted is full of it. You have not posted a
single thing today by an expert... all are by clueless wannabe critics with
obviously no radio or engineering background.

Here, for the second time, is what Bob Orban says about FM HD:

"I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the

AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
older and older."

> Sorry bud, but
> these articles are not bogus, HD/IBOC will fail, especially, for
> nighttine AM !

Since so little radio listening is at night (less than a third of the
daytime dayparts), if nigh HD is not implemented, we can live with it. I
suspect it will be implemented and that it will work ok, perhaps at more
than 20 db down, though.

With over 1000 HD stations on, it is difficult to say this has failed, since
we are at the very beginning of receiver marketing.

> HD/IBOC does not play well with the AM band ! You
> obvioulsy know the subject matter, but that does not discount the
> countless articles that show major problems of implementing HD/IBOC
> over the AM band.

What problems would these be? Some staitons with old high-Q directionals
need to do extensive antenna broadbanding. Most metro AMs do not even cover
the whole market today, so that is definitely an issue... but one that
affects analog, too. Otherwise, there are no problems implementing HD. There
is a decision to be made about night HD, but that is not an implementation
problem but a regulatory one.

> "I was an early supporter of IBOC for both AM and FM, but after
> carefully reflecting on how the AM rollout has faltered I am now
> convinced the proposed standard for AM during the hybrid transition
> period is not the best it could be. I am joined by many other engineers
> who see the possibility of a colossal train wreck coming, when and if
> AM IBOC is opened up for full-time operations by all stations."

First, HD is in use daytime only on AM. This writer is way ahead of the
current situation.

Second, the rollout is just beginning. The first steps were to get stations
on and HD 2 content activated. That is being done now. The rollout isw on
schedule.
>
> "While AM IBOC still holds great promise for its ability to deliver
> dramatic improvement over its analog host with 15 kHz stereo reception,
> it only appears to be able to do that reliably inside the protected
> primary and NIF coverage contours. Even then there are problems with
> analog degradation on various models of existing receivers."

There are no problems with analog degradation. the bandwidth is more
limited, but that is not a problem, just a condition of doing HD. 95% of
existing AM receivers sound as good and sometimes better with more limited
bandwidth due to receiver design. This may be a very good thing.

Most stations don't give a hoot about coverage outside the primary contours, so that point is dumb and ill-informed. This is obviously a "reader letter" and not by one of the editors. RW prints all kinds of kook letters, and even lets the Killer of AM, Leonard Kahn, write pieces.

> "A number of stations are finding it difficult to suppress the
> "bacon-frying" noise produced in all analog receivers because of
> nonlinear performing transmission chains, including the null regions of
> many directional antennas. The necessity of having to rebuild antenna
> systems will place an expensive burden on numerous stations, many of
> which are the least able to afford it."

If the station has a narrow antenna system already, they should have fixed this decades ago. the technology exists, and any staiton that did not do it shot themselves in the foot. Getting stations to fix crummy antenna designs is a good thing.

> "Most AM station owners understand the risks of investing in the
> Ibiquity standard and are postponing plans to add IBOC until more of
> the lingering questions receive answers."

In the larger markets, the good signals are nearly all moving to HD.

> "According to one resource as of this writing, there are 77 stations on
> the air with daytime AM IBOC. At least five Class A 50 kW blowtorches
> and a few other stations have turned it off, mostly because of
> interference caused to their own analog listeners or to their
> adjacent-channel neighbors."

That is just not true. What we have are cases like KFI, with a damaged antenna, waiting to rebuild to activate HD again. Clear Channel, America's AM leader in content and ratings, is putting HD on every viable AM they own.

The crap about interference in areas where protection is not mandated is silly, so I snipped it. No station gets a free ride outside their protected contour. In any case, scant little listening takes place there anyway.

969. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 02:06:30 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152151590.686868.256900@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82054

dxAce wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > Stephanie Weil wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
> > >
> > > Sure sounds like one of those "D'oh! Why didn't I think of that" items.
> > > Pretty keen.
> > >
> > > > I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
> > >
> > > Some people are really in love with that word, aren't they? ;)
> > ace is in love with his wordsand himself good thing for him too
> > otherwise it seems sadly likely he would be lovedby no one at all
>
> Then I'd be like you, 'tard boy?
not at all like me

plenty of folks like me even love me

but someone so filled with hate is not likely to have many friends
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

970. Cool Medium Wave transmitter site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 02:07:05 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <luroa212285j2bcb8nf28f7q226k2krgc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82055

http://www.google.com/maphp?hl=en&tab=wl&q=

37.5264, -122.1006

Switch to Satellite View, Zoom in

KGO Fremont, CA.

971. Re: Someday you will say : Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 02:16:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152152175.050807.197120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82056

- F Y I - An Example of a Generational Change in AM Radio
Broadcasting was the Transformation of "KABL" 960 which
had played American Musical and Song standards from the
1940s and 1950s had an Aging Cast of DJ's and an Aging
{Shrinking} Audience.
http://www.440.com/sfran.html
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2005/08/07/PKGBFDU9L41.D
TL
http://www.bayarearadio.org/blog/2005/08/kabl-returning-on-air-everywhere.html
KABL-FM ? http://www.ontheradio.net/radiostations/kablfm.aspx

The New Voice on 960 in the SF Bay Area is "The Quake"
[KQKE] which transitioned to Talk Radio with Air America
Programming.
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2004/09/25/BUGF68UNJ31.DTL

KABL - Web-Radio and via the Internet
http://kabl.netcomdigitalradio.com/faq.html
http://www.kablradio.com/main.html

the times they are a changing - yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

RHF wrote:
> Telamon,
>
> People grow-up-with what they grow-up-with.
> - - - We All Learn to Love Our Mother's Cooking - Humm Good :o)
>
> -IF- A New Generation of AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Listeners
> { Who are Today about 8-12 Years Old }
> Grow-Up Listening to AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Programming
> that is has a higher quality sound and all they ever get to
> hear is the available Local Radios Stations : Then "They"
> will think that is quite 'normal-and-good' AM Radio.
>
> One-by-One AM Radio Broadcasters and Program Syndicators
> with Transform old programs and Develop New Programs that
> appeal to and attract the Next {New} Generation of AM {HD-
> IBOC} Radio Listeners. This is basically what Radio as an
> Industry has done since it's beginnings and Generations of
> Older Radio Listeners become a smaller Market-Share and

> New Younger Radio Listeners become a larger Market-Share.
>
> Someday you will say to your Grandson or Great-Grandson :
> That's Not AM Radio ! - Why - When I Was A Boy . . .
> I Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from
> Other States and on some Nights Clear Across the Country.
>
>
> the times they are a changing - yes it is that simple ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > > news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > > >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > > >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> > > >>
> > > >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> > > >>
> > > >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> > > >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> > > >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> > > >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> > > >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> > > >> years ago, if memory serves."
> > > >>
> > > >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> > > >
> > > > How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> > > > would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> > > > professionals expect AM band programming to go?
> > >
> > > The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> > > listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
> > > on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
> >
> > The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> > talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going

> > to want this so AM formats will have to change.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California

972. Re: Someday you will say : Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 02:37:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152153441.448086.223030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82057

KFRC YOU LATER:
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2005/09/18/PKGM9DCSIJ1.DTL
AM Radio's desertion of Older Radio Listeners continues.
KFRC a leading AM Radio "Oldies" Station, has shifted
from '50s and '60s Hits and moved into the '70s and '80s.
The reason for the move, made on the Labor Day weekend,
(2205) is obvious. The Moldier Oldiesfrom 40 to 50 years
ago were drawing primarily listeners 50 and older, and the
AD Agencies just don't care about them.

About KFRC
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KFRC
KFRC-FM http://www.kfrc.com/kfrc/index.asp

In 2005 Infinity sold the Radio Station [KFRC 610-AM] to
Family {Christian Radio} Stations, the owners of KEAR
106.9 FM and eventually 610-AM became KEAR 610-AM
Christian Radio => http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KEAR
Radio Station.
http://www.december.com/places/sfo/radiodialam.html

the times they are a changing - yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

RHF wrote:
> Telamon,
>
> People grow-up-with what they grow-up-with.
> - - - We All Learn to Love Our Mother's Cooking - Humm Good :o)
>
> -IF- A New Generation of AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Listeners
> { Who are Today about 8-12 Years Old }
> Grow-Up Listening to AM {HD-IBOC} Radio Programming
> that is has a higher quality sound and all they ever get to
> hear is the available Local Radios Stations : Then "They"
> will think that is quite 'normal-and-good' AM Radio.
>
> One-by-One AM Radio Broadcasters and Program Syndicators
> with Transform old programs and Develop New Programs that
> appeal to and attract the Next {New} Generation of AM {HD-
> IBOC} Radio Listeners. This is basically what Radio as an

> Industry has done since it's beginnings and Generations of
> Older Radio Listeners become a smaller Market-Share and
> New Younger Radio Listeners become a larger Market-Share.
>
> Someday you will say to your Grandson or Great-Grandson :
> That's Not AM Radio ! - Why - When I Was A Boy . . .
> I Remember When I could Hear AM Radio Stations from
> Other States and on some Nights Clear Across the Country.
>
>
> the times they are a changing - yes it is that simple ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > > news:telamon_spamshield-51CF9A.17145205072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > In article <Q8Xqg.166484$F_3.4359@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > > >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > > >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> > > >>
> > > >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> > > >>
> > > >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> > > >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> > > >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> > > >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> > > >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> > > >> years ago, if memory serves."
> > > >>
> > > >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> > > >
> > > > How is AM IBOC expected to shift the audience to a younger group? This
> > > > would require a change in programming. Where do you and the other
> > > > professionals expect AM band programming to go?
> > >
> > > The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> > > listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of programming
> > > on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
> >

> > The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> > talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
> > to want this so AM formats will have to change.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California

973. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:17:23 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7h%qg.126390$Mn5.5279@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 82058

Telamon wrote:
> In article <hZJog.105975$Mn5.56851@pd7tw3no>,
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> Telamon wrote:
>>> In article <1151480573.616168.70070@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
>>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Telamon wrote:
>>>>> In article <s4ydnbCeVPIFfTzZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@rcn.net>,
>>>>> "Lenny" <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:jfe3a21fg2ukcvu2ruf54s82r4qesa4qnk@4ax.com...
>>>>>>> On Tue, 27 Jun 2006 17:01:01 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown
>>>>>>>> http://www.philly.com/mld/dailynews/news/opinion/14910117.htm
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Thank your local "Liberal/'Progressive'/Socialist" public school
>>>>>>>> system for dumbing down your children who cannot now determine
>>>>>>>> facts from fiction and propaganda.
>>>>>>> Scientists OK Gore's movie for accuracy
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> By SETH BORENSTEIN, AP Science Writer
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> WASHINGTON - The nation's top climate scientists are giving "An
>>>>>>> Inconvenient Truth," Al Gore's documentary on global
>>>>>>> warming, five stars for accuracy.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The former vice president's movie - replete with the prospect of a
>>>>>>> flooded New York City, an inundated Florida, more and nastier
>>>>>>> hurricanes, worsening droughts, retreating glaciers and
>>>>>>> disappearing ice sheets - mostly got the science right, said all 19
>>>>>>> climate scientists who had seen the movie or read the book and
>>>>>>> answered questions from The Associated Press. ...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But those who have seen it had the same general impression: Gore
>>>>>>> conveyed the science correctly; the world is getting hotter and it
>>>>>>> is a manmade catastrophe-in-the-making caused by the burning of
>>>>>>> fossil fuels.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060627/ap_on_sc/gore_s_science
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> HAHAHAHA
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Letting AP interview and pick the scientists is like putting the
>>>>>> editor of the New York Times in charge of the National Security
>>>>>> Agency.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> HAHAHAHAHA
>>>>> You can laugh but the New York Times is making policy decisions on what
>>>>> national defense secrets to print in the newspaper. Traitor scum runs
>>>>> that paper.
>>>>>
>>>> Telamon - Is this really you writing this ? ~ RHF
>>>> "Traitor scum runs that paper."
>>> Yes, it's me writing the NYT are traitors for real. I hope they get
>>> prosecuted for this.
>>>
>> A more rationale human being would try and figure who in the government
>> was the source of the leak.
>
> What did I write that would indicate the government leakers should not
> be gone after? A rational person would assume that I would want them
> prosecuted as much as the NYT.
>
Maybe it's because you target the "liberal" media as being the source of
the problem when the truth is that someone in the government is the
source of the problem. You are remarkably silent when it could be a
"good" Repub that could be the source of the leak.

Your silence speaks volumes!

JB

974. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:19:30 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6j%qg.127372$IK3.17993@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82059

SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
>> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
>> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
>>
>> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
>> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
>>
>
> Most leaks such as these come from high level sources within the government
> who are disgruntled employees, left-wingers, even spies or have an axe to
> grind, etc. They certainly know full well what they should not be telling
> the press. Which makes them all the more guilty to treason.
>
>
>
Or maybe some of those good ol' Repubs need to learn to keep their
mouths shut?

Your delusions are impressive!

JB

975. Re: If DaviD wiped his ass once in a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:24:42 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <_n%qg.127375$IK3.37711@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82060

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Thu, 29 Jun 2006 17:45:14 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
> wrote:
>
>> maybe, just maybe he could find a job.
>>
>
>
>
> How can he wipe it when he's busy licking it?

And how can you see your screen when your head is shoved up yours?

JB

> ____
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

976. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:44:03 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152157443.496061.278140@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82061

John Barnard wrote:
> SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
> >> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
> >> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
> >>
> >> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
> >> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
> >>
> >
> > Most leaks such as these come from high level sources within the government
> > who are disgruntled employees, left-wingers, even spies or have an axe to
> > grind, etc. They certainly know full well what they should not be telling
> > the press. Which makes them all the more guilty to treason.
> >
> >
> >
> Or maybe some of those good ol' Repubs need to learn to keep their
> mouths shut?
how do you know it wasn't leaked by civil servants leaking or
disgruntled DoD officer
>
> Your delusions are impressive!

your certainly are certain you know what is going on in DC in detail
meaning you have to be delusional No one knows exactly what is going on
in DC

when I was there in working in Govt. no one knew just what going on
>
> JB

977. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:51:56 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <VM%qg.7497$ak6.5791@newsfe06.phx>
Source record: 82062

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:5%Tqg.29$vO.13@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:

>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
>>
>> I see no complaints from the 'tard boys in CanaDuh nor from the 'tard
>> boys
> in the USA (posted here, at
>> least) about the daily deaths of the Iraqi's who are being slaughtered
>> by
> either their fellow citizens or
>> the "insurgents".
>>

dxAce, when it comes to short-wave info, you are one of the
cognoscenti.
when it comes to Canada info, you are a klutz. k35454.
(with respect).

978. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:56:17 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <BR%qg.127531$IK3.60231@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82063

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
>> Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
>> Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
>> - For the Baby Seals !
>
> I do believe that there is a place in Hell reserved for the people who
> perpetuate this atrocity - and the Flag of Canada flies over it.
>
> Having said that, there are plenty of atrocities against Nature and
> critters that people in the US commit as well, so there are glass
> houses to go around.
>
> BJ
>
>
The seal hunt is a gruesome spectacle and derives its existence from
people's vanity. I'd be more than happy to see it done away once and for
all.

JB

979. Re: Cool AM Medium Wave Transmitter Site {KGO-810-AM}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 03:57:37 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152158256.947847.216630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82064

David,

http://terraserver.microsoft.com/image.aspx?T=1&S=10&Z=10&X=2897&Y=20767&W=3&qs=%7cNewark%7cCA%7c

37.52596 LAT
-122.10029 LONG

GoTo=> Google.Earth.Com
http://earth.google.com/index.html
DownLoad Google Earth
Enter : 37* 31' 30" N -and- 122* 06' 00" W
- - - Zoom-On-In

Now you know why KGO's Signal goes N&S ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> http://www.google.com/maphp?hl=en&tab=wl&q=
>
> 37.5264, -122.1006
>
> Switch to Satellite View, Zoom in
>
> KGO Fremont, CA.

980. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:02:53 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NX%qg.126545$Mn5.6778@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 82065

Beerbarrel wrote:
> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
> up inside them.
>
>
Were you practicing on Iraqis and their flag when you were over there?

When is your trial?

Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!

JB

>
>
> On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 02:38:51 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:
>
>> Beerfart wrote:
>>
>>> For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
>>> in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
>>> worthless stuff there.
>>
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/sqo2
>
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

981. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:04:27 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fZ%qg.126548$Mn5.60194@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 82066

Slow Code wrote:
> Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in
> news:abpka2phkh9qnh7d3fjna5ejsjk84m70ce@4ax.com:
>
>> For the fourth, I'm going to burn the Canadian flag! Do they sell shit
>> in the U.S.? They must have them at Walmart. They have all kinds of
>> worthless stuff there.
>> --
>>
>> KE4ODD
>
>
> If you can find a canadian flag you can make one. Just wipe your butt on
> toilet tissue and burn it. Smells just like you're up in canada too.
>
>
Damn it! Go buy yourself some Attends; you crapped yourself again.

Slow Code, you make it easy to decide which part of "duh-dit" you really
are!

JB

982. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:19:58 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152159598.401954.98420@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82067

Slow Code wrote:
> Where's Bill Clinton when ya need him. Probably soiling another blue
> dress somewhere.
>
>
> sc

OK Slow Code, this will require thought. If Bill Clinton really transferred sensitive technology to China, don't you think Gingrich and posse would have impeached him on that offense rather than the Lewinsky affair, which made the GOP look buffoonish? [Ok, more buffoonish than usual.]

At the time when the GOP was making noise about the technology transfer, China was able to hit the US with a nuke for two decades!

BTW, which president gave China permanent most favored nation status? Here is a clue:
<http://english.people.com.cn/200112/28/eng20011228_87634.shtml>

983. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:26:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <e8i3dbprd1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 82069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e8eesc$9qt$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> It stood for "Hail Christ Jesus' Blessing."

Nope. It is "Heralding."

David (who did the AM part of the HCJB DX show in '64).

984. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:26:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <e8i3dm$pu1$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 82068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e8eesc$9qt$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> It stood for "Hail Christ Jesus' Blessing."
>
> Best,
>
> Joe
>
> txdr wrote:
>> Call letters are assigned by the FCC but usually from a list of
>> preferences submitted by by the license applicant. Stations try to
>> choose call letters that mean something or can be said to stand for
>> something. Try KICY in Nome Alaska or KVI in Seattle (The transmitter
>> is on an island in Puget Sound - Vashon Island).
>>
>> Other than the fact that the FCC issues 4 letter calls (with some
>> special exceptions for grandfathering) stations can ask for any
>> unassigned call. West of the Mississippi they start with K. East of
>> the Mississippi they begin with W. (Again with certain grandfathering
>> exceptions)
>>
>> Prefixes for the US include W, K, A, and N. Note that all aircraft in
>> US registry have tail numbers that begin with N.
>>
>> Anyone care to guess what the call letters HCJB, the pioneer shortwave
>> station in Quito Ecuador were chosen to mean?
>>
>> Dave Olson
>> Broadcast Engineer
>
>
MY CALL IS KB5RQZ AND IT MEANS I HAVE PROVEN I HAVE TECH KNOWLEDGE TO
TRANSMIT AT HIGH POWER JUST LIKE A AM OR FM STATION.

985. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:26:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <e8i3e1$q0t$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 82070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Analssandrini wrote:

> It stood for "Hail Christ Jesus' Blessing."

Actually it is: Heralding Christ Jesus' Blessings

dxAce
Michigan
USA

986. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:35:51 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152160550.891082.28460@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82071

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152147539.564318.173750@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> >> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
> >> programming
> >> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
> >
> > If the idea is to make AM more similar to FM in order to lure FM
> > listeners over to AM, that doesn't make much sense to me. If people
> > listen to FM, it's probably because they like FM, the audio quality,
> > the programming, etc. If AM just becomes a slightly crappier version of
> > FM, why would anyone care?
>
> I am not saying we will have format duplicaiton. But, well done, AM HD
> sounds acceptable to younger ears if the content is right.

"Listen to AM HD!! It sounds acceptable!!" Who is going to be won over
by this campaign? That's just sad.

It certainly
> would be a way for talk to reach younger demos without migrating to FM (as
> companies like Bonneville are doing now in SLC, PHX and DC). It also offers
> formats that can not sustain a full FM a second chance, with oldies being
> one example.
>
> > At least now AM is its own thing. True, it
> > markets to an older audience. True, that audience is getting older all
> > the time...but then again, so is the audience that's listening to FM.
>
> FM audience is not getting older.

Unless the people currently listening to FM exist outside of
space/time, they are indeed getting older.

>
> And the issue with AM is that nobody under 35 listens (a bit of an
> exaggeratin, but a true generalization). Older audiences can not be sold, so
> AM would become extinct unless something is done.

Not so. Today's "younger audience" is tomorrow's "older audience".

>
> > And believe me, the young, drug-addled, ADD afflicted people who are
> > listening to Eminem today will look for something very different twenty

> > years from now, after they've matured and sobered up.
> >
>
> Hey, my VP listens to Eminem and Fitty and Daddy Yankee and Don Omar all
> day. He is an Ivy League MBA, enormously intelligent and simply likes a
> different kind of music. the fact that you do not like it does not mean it
> is not good to others. And saying all hip hop listeners are druggies is not
> true, and inappropriate.

(a bit of an exaggeratin, but a true generalization)

>
> I have a bunch of hip hop on my iPod, too. It is selected, but some of it is
> really good.

Oh, I guess if a lot of people like it, it must be good. Hmm....

987. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:47:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TB0rg.80377$4L1.3831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82072

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152160550.891082.28460@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> I am not saying we will have format duplicaiton. But, well done, AM HD
>> sounds acceptable to younger ears if the content is right.
>
> "Listen to AM HD!! It sounds acceptable!!" Who is going to be won over
> by this campaign? That's just sad.

Current AM sound is NOT acceptable. An iPod is acceptable. The quality of AM HD is comparable with an iPod. And, the key element, it is digital.

I am not designing marketing campaigns. Sound is not a marketing point... content is. Bad sound is a negative, and eliminating that perception allows AM to compete for younger audiences.
>
>> > At least now AM is its own thing. True, it
>> > markets to an older audience. True, that audience is getting older all
>> > the time...but then again, so is the audience that's listening to FM.
>>
>> FM audience is not getting older.
>
> Unless the people currently listening to FM exist outside of
> space/time, they are indeed getting older.

The individuals who listen to radio are each getting older. However, we are speaking of "the audience" and that means the sum of radio listeners. Radio mirrors the population, except in the demos that radio can not serve, which are teens (12-17) and 55+. The inference is that young people (18-24, 25-34) are not using radio, which is untrue.

>> And the issue with AM is that nobody under 35 listens (a bit of an
>> exaggeratin, but a true generalization). Older audiences can not be sold,
>> so
>> AM would become extinct unless something is done.
>
> Not so. Today's "younger audience" is tomorrow's "older audience".

And the average age of AM increases each year, as there is an entire generation that does not use AM much, if at all.
>>
>> Hey, my VP listens to Eminem and Fitty and Daddy Yankee and Don Omar all
>> day. He is an Ivy League MBA, enormously intelligent and simply likes a
>> different kind of music. the fact that you do not like it does not mean

>> it
>> is not good to others. And saying all hip hop listeners are druggies is
>> not
>> true, and inappropriate.
>
> (a bit of an exaggeratin, but a true generalization)

Nope. Most buyers of hip-hop are suburban white kids.
>
>>
>> I have a bunch of hip hop on my iPod, too. It is selected, but some of it
>> is
>> really good.
>
> Oh, I guess if a lot of people like it, it must be good. Hmm....

That is the standard we use. If lots of people use something, it means they
like it.

I probably dislike the music you like. But that does not mean it is not
good... it is just not good for me. Please respect the tastes of others.

988. Help me find someone..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 04:51:01 UTC
From: Alexandre <apasiani@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152161461.422503.243050@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82073

Hi,

Sorry to bother all here at this board, but it came to my mind that i could find help here.

In 1998 I lived for one year in germany as an exchange student in the house of a nice family. Unfortunatelly I lost conntact with them. After some searches in the internet I manage to find some info on the father who was an enthusiastical radio user, but unfortunately they were old posts in redio related threads and the email there posted seems not to work anymore.

I really have no idea how radio works, but is it possible to contact him with the info on his QSL?

http://www.hb9dhg.ch/View_call.cfm?RequestTimeout=500&Id=DF2CQ&No=2859

Many thanks!

989. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 05:03:59 UTC
From: mike0219116 <mike0219116.mail@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152162239.732487.251910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82074

Telamon wrote:
> In article <0YYqg.11414$vl5.3241@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>,
> "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-5C2921.14114405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> > .com...
> > > I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from
> > > "the middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They
> > > rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high
> > > production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically
> > > a narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.
> > >
> > Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!
> >
> Sorry, I don't usually record programs. Some pirates have their past
> programs on the web so you might want to do a search.
>
> Try to listen for them as I believe they said that a CD of the program
> would be sent for a reception report.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

They sent me a copy of their 2005 NYE show on CD along with a nice QSL
card for a reception report that I emailed to them last summer.

990. Re: (OT) : First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) {Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 06:14:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152166471.173049.324350@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82075

BpnJ,

Boy You Have Copped A "BAD" Attitude !
- - - Check-It At-The-Door - Pleaseezzaa !

One Man's Logic often is another man's gibberish.
One Man's Gibberish often is another man's logic.

now go enjoy your radios ~ RHF
.
.
. .

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > BpnJ - First One to Mention the Ku Klux Klan (KKK)
> > {Just like using the Word "Nazi" } Loses the Argument [.]
> >
> > obtw - you lose ~ RHF
-
- Get a life. Neither argument you make about either term is correct,
- just one extreme guy's internet opinion that other extremists run
with.
- If you'd learn to read and reason, you'd see that my argument is
- sound, logical, and correct. I admitted the analogy isn't perfect,
but
- it illustrates the point to anyone with a brainstem...I suggest you
- invest in one. Until then, talk to someone who cares about your
- gibberish.
-
- Bruce Jensen
- **********
-
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > >
> > > > See Bruce, that's the difference between people like you and people like me.
> > > > I consider the flag as sacred as life itself. And you'll never understand
> > > > that.
> > > >

> > > > BH
> > >
> > > You are right - I never will understand it. I don't place symbols
> > > above their meanings in importance. The flag is a piece of cloth that
> > > represents what is *really* sacred as life itself. It is a great
> > > symbol, but at the end of the day a symbol only. The freedoms and
> > > rights are the heart of it all, not the flag. I will never understand
> > > how you, or anyone else, can so confuse what is truly basic and
> > > fundamentally important - such as your and my right to disagree - and
> > > what is best described as a convenient way to express affection for
> > > those rights.
> > >
> > > Another group that severely mixed up the perceived meaning of their
> > > symbol and what it really stood for was (still is?) the Ku Klux Klan.
> > > Be aware that I am no way comparing the KKK or its philosophy to you or
> > > anyone on this newsgroup - that is not the point at at all. They do,
> > > however, get a kick out of burning crosses, the most sacred symbol of
> > > their religion, on the front yards of those they perceive as being
> > > inferior. No, it is not a perfect analogy at all, but it likewise
> > > represents a distortion, in the minds of the people committing the act,
> > > of what the symbol represents and the real basis for belief. As I
> > > said, I would not burn the flag, nor certainly a cross, to press any
> > > point I wanted to make...neither would make sense nor, I believe, win
> > > any converts, and neither act would represent my own beliefs. I simply
> > > believe that, regardless of the flag, what really counts at the end of
> > > everything is whether or not we preserve our system of government and
> > > its basis. As long as *it* lasts, whether the flag flies or does not
> > > fly is irrelevant. The Nation and its Constitution is what matters,
> > > and is the system we use even where Old Glory is absent.
> > >
> > > Bruce Jensen

991. Re: Help me find someone..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 06:14:51 UTC
From: hfriedrich@gmx.ch
Message-ID: <1152166490.701648.162780@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82076

Alexandre schrieb:

> In 1998 I lived for one year in germany ....
> in the house of a nice family. Unfortunatelly I lost conntact with them. ...
...
> I really have no idea how radio works, but is it possible to contact
> him with the info on his QSL?

Hi Alexandre,

have a look at this link:

http://hamcall.net/call/df2cq

HTH

Hans

992. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 06:32:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152167554.439152.249110@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82077

BpnJ,

Hence the rational to draft and pass Explicit Laws making
Burning the American Flag 'against-the-law' [.]

most naturally - it ought to be against the law ~ RHF
.
.
. .
bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > BpnJ,
> >
> > We who would defend the Flag will come over and Paint
> > your House Red-White-and-Blue ! -and- Gladly stand aside
> > when the Left-Wing Rabble all come by to Burn-it-Down !
> >
> > Often They {Symbols and Words} Are Intertwined in the
> > Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Them Both : Clearly
> > -if- the Liberals and Invent the Concept of Hate Speech
> > - Then the More Moderate Members of Our American
> > Society can Stand-Up for the Very Real Acts of Hate and
> > Defiance by Those Who's Sole Existence is To Destroy
> > America the Land That They Love.
> >
> > BpnJ - The US Constitution is just a bunch of Words -but-
> > It is a Symbol of the Promise of America's Freedoms !
> > - - - So you would be all for the Enlightened Liberals
> > and Left-Wing Wacko Radicals to be able to go to
> > Washington DC and Burn the US Constitution as an
> > Act of Freedom of Speech ! - NOT !
-
- You're a blooming idiot. I said nothing of the kind, and once again,
- for the umpteenth time, you put words into my mouth. You are
- disgraceful.
-
> > I Say : God {Allah} Bless America -and-
> > Our All American Symbols - Amen !
> >
> > Symbols Like Words Have Meaning - Think About It ~ RHF
>
-
- The WORDS of the Constitution are the LAW, and have far more meaning

- and potential than the biggest banner on earth. They are not just a
- symbol, they are a written, legally binding and recognized record of
- the mutually agreed-upon law of the land. Even then, if they burn,
the
- law does not disappear until the people of the nation say so. If
every
- scrap of parchment burns, the law remains intact and endures. So,
quit
- being so disingenuous - it does neither you nor anyone else any good.
-
- BJ
-

BpnJ,

Do not confuse the words written in the US Constitution
with the Document which "IS" The US Constitution
{The Document being a Symbol} - Just Like The US Flag.

ting, Ting. T I N G ! - I love my cymbals ~ RHF

>
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > >
> > > > In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> > > > is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the
> > > > government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> > > > so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> > > > front of me.
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> > > than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> > > too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> > > makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> > > garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
> > >
> > > Bruce Jensen

993. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 07:05:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pD2rg.80388$4L1.57267@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82078

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152149343.382502.308740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152137050.002642.21440@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
>> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
>> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
>>
>> Since you have never posted any data from professionals, how would you
>> know?
>
> Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I
> have read concerning interference and poor quality.

Here is an exact copy of the posting on another group by the engineer at KGO in San Francisco, which has been, for 27 years, the #1 station in that market... you can read that it will take a lot of tweaking and adjustment, but this engineer of one of America's finest AMs, believes it will sound very good when finished.

Quote:
"We've been REALLY BUSY lately trying to get a new transmitter installed and get HD installed on KGO and KMKY over the past few weeks. I just wanted to clear up a few misconceptions and share with you what we've learned and what you'll be able to expect from us over the next few weeks.

First: We've had the new KGO transmitter n the air for a couple of weeks now. You should notice no coverage difference as the amount of power going into the antenna system is unchanged at 50 Kilowatts. It's summer, the noise level is up and that's about all that's different, except that we have a newer, more efficient, gray box on the air instead of a blue one. I'm hoping that the air conditioning bill will go down, and it's physically about half the size of the boxes that have been on the air. It also means that we now have three full power backup transmitters, so if we're off... It's something REALLY UGLY!

Next: As of today (wednesday the 5th) we are not running the IBOC. You can tell because the digital carriers aren't there (hisssssssss). We are running a newer version of the Optimod, the 9400, which has processing for both the HD and the analog in the same box. Until today, I mistakenly thought that we were operating on the old 9200 (which is a

sweet box). This morning, I went out to the transmitter to solve some problems and noticed that the 9400 was on the air with the new XR50 and started to adjust the settings. I'm still not happy with them, and usually it takes me a few days or even weeks to get used to a new box and get it sounding the way that I like. We're still not there, so please bear with me while I adjust, listen, drive and adjust some more. We're very concerned about quality at KGO and I can assure you that I'll make this work as well as it can.

The IBOC was on Friday, and I was very disappointed in the sound of it. I worked throught the weekend to understand what was happening and try to make the most of it. I learned a lot and I don't think that you'll be disappointed when it's finally "right". I think that the sound of the digital might even suprise you. Please keep an open mind and I'll let you know, here, that I think it's "ready for prime time". Right now, it isn't. But it isn't as awful as Friday (which was TRULY AWFUL).

One issue right now is how we'll make a smooth transition between modes. There is an odd problem with the "enter delay" function of the IBOC exciter and I'm working on that daily. Delay is a challenge for our news people and they'll have to listen "pre-delay" through various special arrangements (phone couplers, two way radio, etc). They've never had to work this way before and we're trying to make it as easy for them as possible.

Listen critically when you can. Let me know what you think and please tell me what kind of radio you're using. Also understand that this is very much a "work in progress" and that we care about this a great deal.

I can tell you that today, right now, I'm still not happy with the high end. It's dirty. I like it "bright" and clean, and I like a nice tight bass. It will be very smooth and consistant, and a bit "commercial" sounding. It took me about a month to get it where it was before, and honestly, I still had some concerns about the bass being a bit "tubby". Anyway, give us a chance and we will come through for you.

Thanks for listening... Critically! You guys are a tough audience, but very much worth the effort."
End quote.

Now, what do you say? This is an expert, a professional and a person responsible for the installation and adjustment of a complex HD system.

994. What Wrong With Canada - Nothing The Canadians Can't Fix All By Themselves !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 07:08:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152169680.902226.197060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82079

m II wrote:
> bpnjensen wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> Maybe Every Good PETA Member should
> >> Burn the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July
> >> - For the Baby Seals !
> >
> > I do believe that there is a place in Hell reserved for the people who
> > perpetuate this atrocity - and the Flag of Canada flies over it.
>
>
-
- I hope there IS a very special place for these people. All of them,
from
- the rag trade pimps around the world to the Hollywood bimbos who buy
the
- crap. I wonder how many pieces of silver crossed our politician's
palms
- to let the outrage continue.
- mike

mike, Mike. MIKE !

Typical Can-A-Duha-Ian Response : "Hollywood Bimbos"
You Are Programmed {By Your Political Leadership} To
Blame The USA For All Of Canada's Failures And Problems.

Canada - It's Your Country !
- - - Work Within Your Political System For Change.

Canadian Laws - They Are Your Laws !
- - - Work To Change The Laws.

Stop Living Your Lives Focusing On The USA and
Blaiming America {Your Politicians Are Laughing}

Start Living Your Lives Focused On Canada and
Demanding Change From Your Leaders !

Make the 1st of July a 'true' "Canadian Independence Day"
and not Canada {Dominion} Day - Canada a Nation in it's
Own Right and the Equal of Any Nation !
http://www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/jfa-ha/dominion_e.cfm
http://www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/jfa-ha/canada_e.cfm

A More Prosperous Better And Even More Beautiful
Canada Is The Birth Right Of Each Canadian Citizen
And It Can Be Had - If They Work For It -and- If They
Demand It From Their Leaders [.] - so say i ~ RHF

what wrong with canada - nothing the canadians
can't fix all by themselves - imho ~ RHF
.
.
. .

995. Re: Cool AM Medium Wave Transmitter Site {KCBS-740-AM}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 08:43:43 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152175423.881010.17600@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82080

GoTo=> Google.Earth.Com
http://earth.google.com/index.html
DownLoad Google Earth
Enter : 38° 08' 23" N Latitude
-and- 122° 31' 45" W Longitude
- - - Zoom-On-In

Now you know why KCBS's Signal goes N&S Plus East ~ RHF
http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/amq?list=0&facid=9637
.
.
. .

RHF wrote:
> David,
>
> http://terraserver.microsoft.com/image.aspx?T=1&S=10&Z=10&X=2897&Y=20767&W=3&qs=%7cNewar
k%7cCA%7c
>
> 37.52596 LAT
> -122.10029 LONG
>
> GoTo=> Google.Earth.Com
> http://earth.google.com/index.html
> DownLoad Google Earth
> Enter : 37* 31' 30" N -and- 122* 06' 00" W
> - - - Zoom-On-In
>
> Now you know why KGO's Signal goes N&S ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> David wrote:
> > http://www.google.com/maphp?hl=en&tab=wl&q=
> >
> > 37.5264, -122.1006
> >
> > Switch to Satellite View, Zoom in
> >
> > KGO Fremont, CA.

996. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 09:50:38 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152179437.822133.46590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82081

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-AAE453.17560405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> >> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
> >> programming
> >> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
> > >
> > > The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> > > talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
> > > to want this so AM formats will have to change.
> >
> > The biggest problem is that there are not many competitive (what the trade
> > calls "viable") AM signals in most markets. So the choice will always be
> > limited, and the AMs will either be modified talkers or entertainment based,
> > as opposed to broad based music stations. In a few markets, they will be
> > alternatives for more limited music formats, like standards, oldies and some
> > ethnic formats that can not be supported on a full FM.
> >
> > Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and
> > depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
> > theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.

What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !

997. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 09:54:42 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152179682.571466.48090@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82082

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-AAE453.17560405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will not
> >> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
> >> programming
> >> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are today.
> > >
> > > The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
> > > talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is going
> > > to want this so AM formats will have to change.
> >
> > The biggest problem is that there are not many competitive (what the trade
> > calls "viable") AM signals in most markets. So the choice will always be
> > limited, and the AMs will either be modified talkers or entertainment based,
> > as opposed to broad based music stations. In a few markets, they will be
> > alternatives for more limited music formats, like standards, oldies and some
> > ethnic formats that can not be supported on a full FM.
> >
> > Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and
> > depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
> > theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.

What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !

998. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 09:57:51 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152179871.706489.251620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82083

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152148912.923753.175650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Using one of the car radios, we have shown that KTNQ in LA has a more
> >> robust
> >> digital (HD) signal than the analog AM signal, being listenable where the
> >> analog signal is already getting noisy. This quality of HD, in the larger
> >> metros, of eliminating man made interference, is one of the biggest
> >> benefits
> >> of HD.
> >>
> >> That list is hopelessly out of date. More than half the HD stations in
> >> the
> >> company I work for are missing.
> >>
> >> I do not know of one station that quit HD due to listener complaints,
> >> especially since nearly no listeners have receivers yet.
> >>
> >> A couple have turned it off because their antenna sisytems are not
> >> broadband
> >> enough to run HD. Or KFI, which had their tower fall and is on AUX
> >> facilities.
> >>
> >> Will you stop posting the same lame links over and over? One is from a
> >> person who does not even know most stations already have delay, and the
> >> other is a list that is painfully dated and wrong.
> >> >
> > >
> > > Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I
> > > have read concerning interference and poor quality.
> >
> > The sound quality of HD is not bad. The FM is cleaner and has more dynamic
> > range, and is not encumbered by the preemphasis curve on analog FM.
> >
> > Oh, yes. the stuff you have posted is full of it. You have not posted a
> > single thing today by an expert... all are by clueless wannabe critics with
> > obviously no radio or engineering background.
> >
> > Here, for the second time, is what Bob Orban says about FM HD:
> >
> > "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about

> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> older and older."
>
> > Sorry bud, but
> > these articles are not bogus, HD/IBOC will fail, especially, for
> > nighttine AM !
>
> Since so little radio listening is at night (less than a third of the
> daytime dayparts), if nigh HD is not implemented, we can live with it. I
> suspect it will be implemented and that it will work ok, perhaps at more
> than 20 db down, though.
>
> With over 1000 HD stations on, it is difficult to say this has failed, since
> we are at the very beginning of receiver marketing.
>
> > HD/IBOC does not play well with the AM band ! You
> > obvioulsy know the subject matter, but that does not discount the
> > countless articles that show major problems of implementing HD/IBOC
> > over the AM band.
>
> What problems would these be? Some staitons with old high-Q directionals
> need to do extensive antenna broadbanding. Most metro AMs do not even cover
> the whole market today, so that is definitely an issue... but one that
> affects analog, too. Otherwise, there are no problems implementing HD. There
> is a decision to be made about night HD, but that is not an implementation
> problem but a regulatory one.
>
>
> > "I was an early supporter of IBOC for both AM and FM, but after
> > carefully reflecting on how the AM rollout has faltered I am now
> > convinced the proposed standard for AM during the hybrid transition
> > period is not the best it could be. I am joined by many other engineers
> > who see the possibility of a colossal train wreck coming, when and if
> > AM IBOC is opened up for full-time operations by all stations."
>
> First, HD is in use daytime only on AM. This writer is way ahead of the
> current situation.
>
> Second, the rollout is just beginning. The first steps were to get stations
> on and HD 2 content activated. That is being done now. The rollout isw on
> schedule.
> >
> > "While AM IBOC still holds great promise for its ability to deliver
> > dramatic improvement over its analog host with 15 kHz stereo reception,
> > it only appears to be able to do that reliably inside the protected
> > primary and NIF coverage contours. Even then there are problems with
> > analog degradation on various models of existing receivers."
>

> There are no problems with analog degradation. the bandwidth is more
> limited, but that is not a problem, just a condition of doing HD. 95% of
> existing AM receivers sound as good and sometimes better with more limited
> bandwidth due to receiver design. This may be a very good thing.
>
> Most stations don't give a hoot about coverage outside the primary contours,
> so that point is dumb and ill-informed. This is obviously a "reader letter"
> and not by one of the editors. RW prints all kinds of kook letters, and even
> lets the Killer of AM, Leonard Kahn, write pieces.
> >
> > "A number of stations are finding it difficult to suppress the
> > "bacon-frying" noise produced in all analog receivers because of
> > nonlinear performing transmission chains, including the null regions of
> > many directional antennas. The necessity of having to rebuild antenna
> > systems will place an expensive burden on numerous stations, many of
> > which are the least able to afford it."
>
> If the station has a narrow antenna system already, they should have fixed
> this decades ago. the technology exists, and any staiton that did not do it
> shot themselves in the foot. Getting stations to fix crummy antenna designs
> is a good thing.
> >
> > "Most AM station owners understand the risks of investing in the
> > Ibiquity standard and are postponing plans to add IBOC until more of
> > the lingering questions receive answers."
>
> In the larger markets, the good signals are nearly all moving to HD.
> >
> > "According to one resource as of this writing, there are 77 stations on
> > the air with daytime AM IBOC. At least five Class A 50 kW blowtorches
> > and a few other stations have turned it off, mostly because of
> > interference caused to their own analog listeners or to their
> > adjacent-channel neighbors."
>
> That is just not true. What we have are cases like KFI, with a damaged
> antenna, waiting to rebuild to activate HD again. Clear Channel, America's
> AM leader in content and ratings, is putting HD on every viable AM they own.
>
> The crap about interference in areas where protection is not mandated is
> silly, so I snipped it. No station gets a free ride outside their protected
> contour. In any case, scant little listening takes place there anyway.

What's the matter David, couldn't counter the article ! RWonlie is a
professional site, and the article was written by a professional,
backed by professional broadcast engineers. You are full of shit - go
away little man !

999. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 10:20:15 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152181215.042785.215180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82084

SWL_is_for_losers_! wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message

> > Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and
> > depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
> > theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.
>
> What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !
the prt you got right is satying you did not think

1000. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 10:54:44 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44ACEBF2.BE927560@theseminary.net>
Source record: 82085

Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!

==========

Lisa, try http://www.frn.net/

the number one spot on the web for pirate info. click on
"the vines". also, some of the pirates put their skeds there.
some send emails before they TX. they also QSL. good luck.

Father Michael...

1001. Lisping Lloydie works part time down at the Kennedy Space Center!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 11:15:35 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152184534.730964.96800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lardass eats the leftovers!

Bird droppings survive space launch Wed Jul 5, 5:29 PM ET

HOUSTON - NASA's rocket scientists have a new appreciation for the out-of-this-world power of bird droppings. The orbiting space shuttle Discovery sported some whitish splotches on its black right wing edge that NASA officials said appeared to be bird droppings.

Shuttle lead flight director Tony Ceccacci said he saw the same splotches on the identical part of the shuttle about three weeks ago when Discovery was on the launch pad and laughed when pictures beamed back from space Wednesday showed they were still there.

That means these bird droppings withstood regular Florida thunderstorms, a mighty Fourth of July launch during which 300,000 gallons of water is sprayed at the shuttle's main engines, and a burst upward through Earth's atmosphere. During that launch Discovery went from zero to 17,500 mph in just under 9 minutes.

And still the bird droppings remained in place. Mostly.

Some of the droppings may have shaken off during liftoff, Ceccacci guessed. He figures the rest will burn up during landing, when the shuttle's edges get as hot as 3,000 degrees Fahrenheit.

Rob Fergus, science coordinator for Audubon At Home, a division of the National Audubon Society, sometimes gets calls from people asking how to remove bird excrement from their cars.

"Usually they can hose it off," he said. "Apparently that doesn't work with the space shuttle. Maybe they need a bigger hose or Lloyd Davies."

Muwhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!

1002. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 11:21:25 UTC
From: SWL_is_for_losers_! <madballa5365@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152184885.535661.30120@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82087

an old feind wrote:
> SWL_is_for_losers_! wrote:
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>
> > > Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and
> > > depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
> > > theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.
> >
> > What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !
> the prt you got right is satying you did not think

Is that the best you got, moron ?

1003. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 11:22:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ACF28F.9FD29096@milestones.com>
Source record: 82088

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152137050.002642.21440@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> > > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> > > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> >
> > Since you have never posted any data from professionals, how would you know?
>
> Everyone else is full of shit, but you, even all the articles that I
> have read concerning interference and poor quality. Sorry bud, but
> these articles are not bogus, HD/IBOC will fail, especially, for
> nighttine AM ! HD/IBOC does not play well with the AM band ! You
> obvioulsy know the subject matter, but that does not discount the
> countless articles that show major problems of implementing HD/IBOC
> over the AM band. This article from RWonline says it all, so run along
> little man:
>
> From,
> http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/guywire/gw.2005.10.19.shtml
>
> "I was an early supporter of IBOC for both AM and FM, but after
> carefully reflecting on how the AM rollout has faltered I am now
> convinced the proposed standard for AM during the hybrid transition
> period is not the best it could be. I am joined by many other engineers
>
> who see the possibility of a colossal train wreck coming, when and if
> AM IBOC is opened up for full-time operations by all stations."
>
> "Using IBOC at night undoubtedly will unleash an ugly Pandora's Box of
> trouble for many stations. The Canadian government has formally
> objected to U.S. stations operating IBOC at night, fearing significant
> interference to Canadian stations operating on adjacent channels.
> Neither the NAB nor the FCC had yet to comment on this objection at
> this writing."
>
> "While AM IBOC still holds great promise for its ability to deliver
> dramatic improvement over its analog host with 15 kHz stereo reception,
>
> it only appears to be able to do that reliably inside the protected
> primary and NIF coverage contours. Even then there are problems with

> analog degradation on various models of existing receivers."
>
> "A number of stations are finding it difficult to suppress the
> "bacon-frying" noise produced in all analog receivers because of
> nonlinear performing transmission chains, including the null regions of
>
> many directional antennas. The necessity of having to rebuild antenna
> systems will place an expensive burden on numerous stations, many of
> which are the least able to afford it."
>
> "Most AM station owners understand the risks of investing in the
> Ibiquity standard and are postponing plans to add IBOC until more of
> the lingering questions receive answers."
>
> "According to one resource as of this writing, there are 77 stations on
>
> the air with daytime AM IBOC. At least five Class A 50 kW blowtorches
> and a few other stations have turned it off, mostly because of
> interference caused to their own analog listeners or to their
> adjacent-channel neighbors."
>
> AND FINIALLY:
>
> "If the commission chooses to adopt the Ibiquity IBOC standard for
> unlimited AM day and night operations as proposed, here is a worst-case
>
> scenario that might unfold: A messy outbreak of interference complaints
>
> will be filed by adjacent-channel stations that lose existing analog
> coverage as increasing numbers of stations deploy IBOC full-time. Many
> of the complaints will be dismissed on the basis that the interference
> occurs in unprotected coverage areas, which is understandable and
> expected."
>
> "In cases where the interference complaints are legitimate, the
> offending stations will be forced to reduce IBOC power. In many cases,
> they may have to reduce it to a level where it's not justified to
> operate it at all without leaving its listeners a poor impression of
> the new technology and the station's inability to use it effectively.
> Would such stations be content to operate IBOC only during the day,
> outside of critical hours and nights?"
>
> "The overall result of this chaos could leave AM IBOC an
> under-achieving digital standard that only a minority of stations could
>
> use to full advantage. We could see the filing of lawsuits by stations
> that invested in the technology but were forced to stop using it."
>
> You will never convice me, otherwise !

It's his PAID job to convince you!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1004. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 11:26:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ACF37D.A43BA5DE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82089

John Barnard wrote:

> Beerbarrel wrote:
> > It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
> > up inside them.
> >
> >
> Were you practicing on Iraqis and their flag when you were over there?
>
> When is your trial?
>
> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!

Certainly more brains, balls and guts than your standard issue Canuck!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1005. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 11:31:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ACF483.E8D91AC6@milestones.com>
Source record: 82090

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152145789.656846.244790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> >>
> >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> >>
> >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> >> years ago, if memory serves."
> >>
> >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> >
> > What the hell is your name, anyway? You have more handles than an old
> > steamer trunk. Are you trying to outrun an old court conviction or
> > something?
>
> "David Eduardo" is my given name.

LMFAO, it's your made up name.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1006. Re: Very Extreem Pain here! Way over the edge!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:03:51 UTC
From: constans@geocities.com
Message-ID: <EE5E9E4962.E8352E.26559E@p79g2EEEcwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 09:43:17 +0200, Exeptional Good <painraiser@yahoo.com> wrote:
> be warned, this is REALLY hard bdsm/femdom!!!

Dear Miss Painraiser,

last week i worte to Mrs Mary Sadistica butmy emial bounced and I
never gotmy ass totured like I awnted. I ned a beating bad so please
emial me at the adress aboev.

1007. DOD ends play by play sports on AFN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:17:26 UTC
From: johnandjamie5650@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <qb7rg.62269$fb2.42470@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82094

NEWS RELEASES from the United States Department of Defense

No. 633-06 IMMEDIATE RELEASE
Jul 05, 2006 Media Contact: (703)697-5131
Public/Industry(703)428-0711

AFN To End Radio Play-By-Play Sports
The Department of Defense announced today that the American
Forces
Network (AFN) will cease broadcasting play-by-play sports on radio later
this
summer.

The decision to discontinue live play-by-play sports on radio
was
based upon a series of Department of Defense worldwide audience surveys of
military members and civilian employees stationed overseas, which showed the
preference of viewers to watch sports, rather than just listen to them. The
impact of live sports on overseas local affiliate radio schedules was also
factored into the decision.

"AFN audiences prefer to watch the greater variety of sports on
television rather than listen to them on the radio," said Mr. Robert
Matheson,
director of broadcasting at the AFN Broadcast Center in Riverside, Calif.
"When radio sports coverage comes on, most listeners tune out. Our mission
is
better served when the largest possible audience tunes in to non-sports
programming and spends more time listening."

AFN radio play-by-play sports broadcasts were, as recently as 10
years ago, broadly listened to. Since then, AFN television sports coverage
has expanded exponentially.

"More and more sports fans have been turning to AFN television
for
the greater variety of sports," Matheson observed. "We used to air only one
or two TV games a week. Now, since the introduction of AFN-sports and
recently AFN-xtra, our multiple AFN television services, including
AFN-prime,
carry nearly 80 events a week."

Most play-by-play sports on AFN Radio ended with the conclusion
of
the NBA Championships. Some motor sports programming will remain on AFN
radio's schedule in order to complete their seasons, with the September 9

NASCAR Nextel Cup race from Richmond International Raceway scheduled to be
the
final AFN radio sports play-by-play broadcast.

"We signed agreements with the Motor Racing Network and the Indy
Racing League before reassessing our approach to radio sports," Matheson
said.
"We'll honor those agreements."

[Web Version: http://defenselink.mil/releases/2006/nr20060705-13385.html]

-- News Releases: http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/
-- DoD News: http://www.defenselink.mil/news/dodnews.html
-- Subscribe/Unsubscribe:
http://www.defenselink.mil/news/dodnews.html#e-mail
-- Today in DoD: http://www.defenselink.mil/today/

-- U.S. Department of Defense Official Website - http://www.defenselink.mil
-- U.S. Department of Defense News About the War on Terrorism -
http://www.defendamerica.mil

1008. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:25:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152188709.372980.245390@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82092

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152160550.891082.28460@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> I am not saying we will have format duplicaiton. But, well done, AM HD
> >> sounds acceptable to younger ears if the content is right.
> >
> > "Listen to AM HD!! It sounds acceptable!!" Who is going to be won over
> > by this campaign? That's just sad.
>
> Current AM sound is NOT acceptable. An iPod is acceptable. The quality of AM
> HD is comparable with an iPod. And, the key element, it is digital.
>
> I am not designing marketing campaigns. Sound is not a marketing point...
> content is. Bad sound is a negative, and eliminating that perception allows
> AM to compete for younger audiences.

When AM seriously tries to compete for younger audiences, that will be
the death of AM. AM will never, ever be competitive with FM in this
regard. To deny this is mere self-deception.

> >
> >> > At least now AM is its own thing. True, it
> >> > markets to an older audience. True, that audience is getting older all
> >> > the time...but then again, so is the audience that's listening to FM.
> >>
> >> FM audience is not getting older.
> >
> > Unless the people currently listening to FM exist outside of
> > space/time, they are indeed getting older.
>
> The individuals who listen to radio are each getting older. However, we are
> speaking of "the audience" and that means the sum of radio listeners. Radio
> mirrors the population, except in the demos that radio can not serve, which
> are teens (12-17) and 55+. The inference is that young people (18-24, 25-34)
> are not using radio, which is untrue.

I make no such inference, and I don't recall you making such an
inference either.

>
> >> And the issue with AM is that nobody under 35 listens (a bit of an
> >> exaggeratin, but a true generalization). Older audiences can not be sold,

> >> so
> >> AM would become extinct unless something is done.
> >
> > Not so. Today's "younger audience" is tomorrow's "older audience".
>
> And the average age of AM increases each year, as there is an entire
> generation that does not use AM much, if at all.

I suspect they will, when they're older. I didn't listen to AM very
much when I was younger either.

> >>
> >> Hey, my VP listens to Eminem and Fitty and Daddy Yankee and Don Omar all
> >> day. He is an Ivy League MBA, enormously intelligent and simply likes a
> >> different kind of music. the fact that you do not like it does not mean
> >> it
> >> is not good to others. And saying all hip hop listeners are druggies is
> >> not
> >> true, and inappropriate.
> >
> > (a bit of an exaggeratin, but a true generalization)
>
> Nope. Most buyers of hip-hop are suburban white kids.
> >

I never claimed they were urban or that they were non-white. Are you
reading these posts?

> >>
> >> I have a bunch of hip hop on my iPod, too. It is selected, but some of it
> >> is
> >> really good.
> >
> > Oh, I guess if a lot of people like it, it must be good. Hmm....
>
> That is the standard we use. If lots of people use something, it means they
> like it.

Your standard is a non-standard. If it is somehow "forbidden" to make a
critical or negative judgment about a particular piece or genre of
music, then any positive judgement you might make is devoid of meaning.

>
> I probably dislike the music you like. But that does not mean it is not
> good... it is just not good for me.

I agree with this. Whether you or I or anyone else likes a particular
kind of music implies absolutely nothing about its quality. But of
course we can employ the "good for me" and "good for you" talk if you
think that will allow us to play together peacefully in the sandbox.

>Please respect the tastes of others.

Sure, except when such respect is unwarranted.

1009. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:27:44 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82093

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152145789.656846.244790@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152137058.637369.241750@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > I could care less, what old David said - he is just here to promote
> >> > HD/IBOC. The test data is in, and the professionals have spoken -
> >> > HD/IBOC will never materialize.
> >>
> >> Here is what one real professional said on another newsgroup...
> >>
> >> "I'm a major booster of FM IBOC. I have serious reservations about
> >> nighttime operation of AM IBOC, although I _do_ believe that, absent
> >> proactive changes in the technical quality of the audio delivered in the
> >> AM band, that its audience will literally die off because it is skewing
> >> older and older. Even KGO has a little more than half the share it did 10
> >> years ago, if memory serves."
> >>
> >> This quote is from Robert Orban. 'nuff said.
> >
> > What the hell is your name, anyway? You have more handles than an old
> > steamer trunk. Are you trying to outrun an old court conviction or
> > something?
>
> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my paternal
> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
> >

If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
the name "Eduardo".

1010. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:43:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44AD0588-962@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82096

I am not an expert on politics,there are a lot of things we do not know and never will know.Was g.w.bush's sister murdered by the new world order,instead of died from leukemia? Is Rumor Mill News on the up and up,or just another kook site?
www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/archive.cgi?read=33924

One thing I do feel pretty sure of though is there is not one fly speck worth of difference between the democrat party and the so-called gop party.
cuhulin

1011. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:54:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152190488.055591.276690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Lardass eats the leftovers!
get help sicko
```

1012. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 12:56:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152190592.709056.83820@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

constans@geocities.com wrote:
more forgery

1013. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:15:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <328rg.127161$dW3.102199@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82148

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152179437.822133.46590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-AAE453.17560405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> > In article <lOYqg.60278$Lm5.50410@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The sound quality is so bad right now that most younger persons will
>> >> not
>> >> listen. With HD, if the AM part works, it will allow any kind of
>> >> programming
>> >> on AMs, rather than focusing just on 35+ listeners as things are
>> >> today.
>> >
>> > The sound improvement is understandable but currently a lot of AM is
>> > talk radio, news and information. I don't think a younger crowd is
>> > going
>> > to want this so AM formats will have to change.
>>
>> The biggest problem is that there are not many competitive (what the
>> trade
>> calls "viable") AM signals in most markets. So the choice will always be
>> limited, and the AMs will either be modified talkers or entertainment
>> based,
>> as opposed to broad based music stations. In a few markets, they will be
>> alternatives for more limited music formats, like standards, oldies and
>> some
>> ethnic formats that can not be supported on a full FM.
>>
>> Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse... and
>> depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
>> theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.
>
> What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !

That _IS: my comment. Don't you see who wrote it?

1014. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:16:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <R28rg.127162$dW3.55339@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82149

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152184885.535661.30120@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old feind wrote:
>> SWL_is_for_losers_! wrote:
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> > > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
>> > > message
>>
>> > > Obviously, all this is kind of putting the cart ahead of the horse...
>> > > and
>> > > depends on market acceptance of HD. AM, as many professionals have
>> > > theorized, will ride along with FM if HD is successful.
>> >
>> > What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !
>> the prt you got right is satying you did not think
>
> Is that the best you got, moron ?

He got it right. Not you. You just asked me if I had any commnet about my
OWN post.
>

1015. Re: Cool AM Medium Wave Transmitter Site {KCBS-740-AM}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:22:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <rb3qa2l241vjn5ndq051giekvmm1ijko0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 82150

On 6 Jul 2006 01:43:43 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>GoTo=> Google.Earth.Com
>http://earth.google.com/index.html
>DownLoad Google Earth
>Enter : 38ï¿½ 08' 23" N Latitude
>-and- 122ï¿½ 31' 45" W Longitude
>- - - Zoom-On-In
>
>Now you know why KCBS's Signal goes N&S Plus East ~ RHF
>http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/amq?list=0&facid=9637
> .
> .
>. .
You have to use decimals in Google Earth

38.1397, -122.5292

Get these from the ''Map It'' section:

http://www.fccinfo.com/CMDProEngine.php?sCurrentService=AM&tabSearchType=Appl&sAppIDNumber
=163566&sHours=D

1016. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:26:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Ib8rg.127163$dW3.101214@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82151

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message

>
> If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> the name "Eduardo".

Eduardo is a Saint. Apparently my mother liked the name, from the time she lived in Europe. It is the "second" given name I got when baptized. It apparently comes from a Saint, "Saint Eduardo, See of Argent, three barrels fesswise and on a chief rayonny sable three fleurs-de-lis argent".

Why do you even care. Do you ask Billy Bob why he got the "Bob" tagged on? Or Mary Lou or Barbara Ann or whatever? Lots of people have two given names.
>

1017. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:27:30 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8j2vv$1q1$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82152

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my paternal
>> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
>> >
>
> If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> the name "Eduardo".
>

It's not at all unusual for hispanics on the American continent to have
European (English, Irish, German, etc., as opposed to Spanish) last names,
while having more traditional Spanish given names. This is because of the
large number of European immigrants that live in these areas.

1018. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:27:37 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152192456.595477.267320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82153

SWL_is_for_losers_! wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
> > SWL_is_for_losers_! wrote:

> > > What's the matter David, no comment ? I didn't think so !
> > the prt you got right is satying you did not think
>
> Is that the best you got, moron ?
no but it is more than enough for the matter at hand

you don't think wether you can or Not I refuse to consider further lest
I be forced to the conclsuion you can't think

1019. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:30:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kg8rg.127164$dW3.99021@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82154

"SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152179871.706489.251620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
.
>>
>> The crap about interference in areas where protection is not mandated is
>> silly, so I snipped it. No station gets a free ride outside their
>> protected
>> contour. In any case, scant little listening takes place there anyway.
>
> What's the matter David, couldn't counter the article ! RWonlie is a
> professional site, and the article was written by a professional,
> backed by professional broadcast engineers. You are full of shit - go
> away little man !

RW is a magazine. It takes letters and submissions from readers. That particular submission has significant error of fact, and proves that, just as in any other field, there is a percentage of every profession that is at the bottom of the food chain.

RW even gives space to Leonard Kahn, the Killer of AM Radio, space to rant. That does not mean he is any more right than the letters to the editor in your local paper are.

I gave you a quote from Bob Orban; you come back with a comment from an ignorant hack. Did you miss my quote of the comments form the engineer of nothing less than KGO? Those are professionals.

As I said, there are over 1000 HD staitons on the air, and over 1000 more coming.

1020. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:47:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Ov8rg.127165$dW3.34012@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82155

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152188709.372980.245390@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> I am not designing marketing campaigns. Sound is not a marketing point...
>> content is. Bad sound is a negative, and eliminating that perception
>> allows
>> AM to compete for younger audiences.
>
> When AM seriously tries to compete for younger audiences, that will be
> the death of AM. AM will never, ever be competitive with FM in this
> regard. To deny this is mere self-deception.

It is about content. You obviously have not heard HD AM, which has all the
quality needed to erase the image of AM as "inferior" and bad sounding.

In fact, there is some listening to AM by younger persons, based on content.
This is almost exclusively to sports staitons that are well programmed. In
these cases, content drives listeners to AM... with better sound quality,
more younger listeners will come to AM as part of thier menu of choices.
>>
>> The individuals who listen to radio are each getting older. However, we
>> are
>> speaking of "the audience" and that means the sum of radio listeners.
>> Radio
>> mirrors the population, except in the demos that radio can not serve,
>> which
>> are teens (12-17) and 55+. The inference is that young people (18-24,
>> 25-34)
>> are not using radio, which is untrue.
>
> I make no such inference, and I don't recall you making such an
> inference either.

Then I misinterpreted you. In any case, there is no ageing of radio
listeners other than the change of the average age of all Americans.
>>
>> And the average age of AM increases each year, as there is an entire
>> generation that does not use AM much, if at all.
>
> I suspect they will, when they're older. I didn't listen to AM very
> much when I was younger either.

As each year passes, going back to the early 80's, the average age of AM listeners increases. 20 years ago, teens did not listen. Then it was 18-24 and now it is 25-34 that is no longer listening.
....
>>
>> That is the standard we use. If lots of people use something, it means
>> they
>> like it.
>
> Your standard is a non-standard. If it is somehow "forbidden" to make a
> critical or negative judgment about a particular piece or genre of
> music, then any positive judgement you might make is devoid of meaning.

You did no make a personal judgement. You made a stereotypical comment about most hip hop listeners being drug addicts or criminals. Shuch a comment is neither true nor a personal comment. It is just mean-spirited.
>>
>> I probably dislike the music you like. But that does not mean it is not
>> good... it is just not good for me.
>
> I agree with this. Whether you or I or anyone else likes a particular
> kind of music implies absolutely nothing about its quality. But of
> course we can employ the "good for me" and "good for you" talk if you
> think that will allow us to play together peacefully in the sandbox.

My point is that personal preference does not of itself make something bad. You indicted hip hop based on your personal dislike for it.
>
>>Please respect the tastes of others.
>
> Sure, except when such respect is unwarranted.

There you go again.
>

1021. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:49:01 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152193739.992800.189200@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82156

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>
> >
> > If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> > name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> > the name "Eduardo".
>
> Eduardo is a Saint. Apparently my mother liked the name, from the time she
> lived in Europe. It is the "second" given name I got when baptized. It
> apparently comes from a Saint, "Saint Eduardo, See of Argent, three barrels
> fesswise and on a chief rayonny sable three fleurs-de-lis argent".
>
> Why do you even care. Do you ask Billy Bob why he got the "Bob" tagged on?
> Or Mary Lou or Barbara Ann or whatever? Lots of people have two given names.
> >

That's precisely what threw me...I thought you were using "Eduardo" as
a surname.

1022. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 13:56:46 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yE8rg.99359$mF2.97743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82157

David Eduardo wrote:
> "SWL_is_for_losers_!" <madballa5365@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152179871.706489.251620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> .
>>> The crap about interference in areas where protection is not mandated is
>>> silly, so I snipped it. No station gets a free ride outside their
>>> protected
>>> contour. In any case, scant little listening takes place there anyway.
>> What's the matter David, couldn't counter the article ! RWonlie is a
>> professional site, and the article was written by a professional,
>> backed by professional broadcast engineers. You are full of shit - go
>> away little man !
>
> RW is a magazine. It takes letters and submissions from readers. That
> particular submission has significant error of fact, and proves that, just
> as in any other field, there is a percentage of every profession that is at
> the bottom of the food chain.
>
> RW even gives space to Leonard Kahn, the Killer of AM Radio, space to rant.
> That does not mean he is any more right than the letters to the editor in
> your local paper are.
>
> I gave you a quote from Bob Orban; you come back with a comment from an
> ignorant hack. Did you miss my quote of the comments form the engineer of
> nothing less than KGO? Those are professionals.
>
> As I said, there are over 1000 HD staitons on the air, and over 1000 more
> coming.
>
>
>

A lot more dilletantes know the name of Leonard Kahn than they do Bob Orban. Orban, despite his broad touch on broadcast audio, and his deep understanding and keen insights to the profession, keeps a quieter, more subdued profile than Kahn.

Although Orban's name pops up in some of the most interesting places.

Remember Kevin--the AM Stereo advocate who was all over the broadcasting boards a few years ago? He actually had the brass to argue audio processing with Robert Orban...often quoting Leonard Kahn to make his points.

It was later revealed he didn't know who Orban was.

Sometimes, quoting Orban is not the most effective argument strategy.

1023. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:20:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <__8rg.127169$dW3.56905@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82158

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:yE8rg.99359$mF2.97743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> A lot more dilletantes know the name of Leonard Kahn than they do Bob
> Orban. Orban, despite his broad touch on broadcast audio, and his deep
> understanding and keen insights to the profession, keeps a quieter, more
> subdued profile than Kahn.

I have a rather special lack of fondness for Kahn.

In the late 70's, at WQII in San Juam, I had oreders #1 for two of the competing AM stereo generators. In other words, I had a 40% chance of having the first licensed US AM stereo station, at a time whn AM steroe could have made AM viable in competiton with FM. Leonard went into his legal gyrations and the result was that the final 2approval" of AM stereo came 5 years later, when AM had already died as a music medium. So, in my book, Leonard killed AM.

And I have a great respect for Bob Orban and his associates, particularly Greg Ogrinowski (sp) who did some custom work for me in Puerto Rico. Brilliant engineers, and in the buisness for the long run... as witnessed by their dedication to providing quality audio gear.
>
> Although Orban's name pops up in some of the most interesting places.

He is an occasional poster at ba.broadcast, where he has been part of a discussion of the new KGO Nautel install and related issues.
>
> Remember Kevin--the AM Stereo advocate who was all over the broadcasting
> boards a few years ago? He actually had the brass to argue audio
> processing with Robert Orban...often quoting Leonard Kahn to make his
> points.

Kevin Tenkel is still very active on an AM Stereo newsgroup on Yahoo, and a couple of other "museum" groups that worship things that never were.
>
> It was later revealed he didn't know who Orban was.

That is probably the case with our new friend, the one with the underscores between the parts of his name.
>
> Sometimes, quoting Orban is not the most effective argument strategy.

I noticed. I was hoping to get a "who the heck is he" retort. Instead, I got one asking me why I had not responded to a post... my own post!

1024. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:26:07 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152195966.478692.254420@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82159

RHF wrote:
> BpnJ,
>
> Hence the rational to draft and pass Explicit Laws making
> Burning the American Flag 'against-the-law' [.]
>
> most naturally - it ought to be against the law ~ RHF

Another graphic example of your lack of logical awareness. Once again, you place the symbol above the rights it represents. Very demagoguic.

> BpnJ,
>
> Do not confuse the words written in the US Constitution
> with the Document which "IS" The US Constitution
> {The Document being a Symbol} - Just Like The US Flag.

Absolutely wrong. The words of the Constitution are the specific means of communication by which the law itself is transmitted from one person to another, one group to another, one generation to another. They are key to the continuation and perpetuation of the law. They are not merely symbolic - they are the vehicle by which the law is promulgated. No faulty human mind could ever replace the loss of these written words, try as we might.

Aside from this, the original document as a symbol, apart from its historical significance (which is *huge*, much bigger than *any* flag, partly owing to its rarity, partly to its common ownership by the entire nation (whereas flags are individual property), and partly to its absolute importance as the seminal basis for modern representative government) is by itself no more critical to the law than a flag would be. The loss of neither one, by accident or design, would diminish in any way the real importance of the concept of the U.S. or its law. Yes, we'd be sad, outraged and angry, but we'd survive intact.

I stand by all my original comments. As far as copping an attitude, I have to say that your aimlessly winding blather, the result of an emotional rather than rational reaction, is about the most frustrating amalgam of silliness I have ever seen. Anybody who hopes to have an intelligent discussion will instantly roll their eyes at the stuff you write. You need to stop drooling on yourself before you try to speak (or type).

Bruce Jensen
****

>
> ting, Ting. T I N G ! - I love my cymbals ~ RHF
>
> >
> > > .
> > > . .
> > >
> > > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> > > > > is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the
> > > > > government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> > > > > so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> > > > > front of me.
> > > > >
> > > > > BH
> > > >
> > > > I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> > > > than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> > > > too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> > > > makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> > > > garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
> > > >
> > > > Bruce Jensen

1025. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:35:58 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152196557.409821.238750@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82160

John Barnard wrote:

> The seal hunt is a gruesome spectacle and derives its existence from
> people's vanity. I'd be more than happy to see it done away once and for
> all.
>
> JB

I have no doubt that there are many Canadian citizens who are disgusted by the seal hunt, as well as certain other actions that occur in their nation. But, just as the U.S. and every other nation does not have any moral superiority when it comes to violence against nature or more powerless critters, Canada has done much good too, with a national and provincial park system second to none, relatively strong efforts in the conversion from oil to other fuel sources, and the recent protection of the habitat of the "spirit bear" in its West, to name a few.

Amazingly, under George Bush, we have several new national parks and monuments - Cuyahoga Valley, Congaree, Great Sand Dunes, and the huge Pacific Ocean monument to name a few - all places, that, I'm sure, are probably poor when it comes to extractable resources, but potentially rich in history and natural knowledge. Even Redwood National Park is getting a significant enlargement in the addition of the Mill Creek Watershed, despite its being substantially logged. Ultimately, there is some good in everyone, and every nation. It's just a matter of finding it.

Bruce Jensen

1026. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:41:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152196865.536688.66360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82161

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152188709.372980.245390@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> I am not designing marketing campaigns. Sound is not a marketing point...
> >> content is. Bad sound is a negative, and eliminating that perception
> >> allows
> >> AM to compete for younger audiences.
> >
> > When AM seriously tries to compete for younger audiences, that will be
> > the death of AM. AM will never, ever be competitive with FM in this
> > regard. To deny this is mere self-deception.
>
> It is about content. You obviously have not heard HD AM, which has all the
> quality needed to erase the image of AM as "inferior" and bad sounding.
>
> In fact, there is some listening to AM by younger persons, based on content.
> This is almost exclusively to sports staitons that are well programmed. In
> these cases, content drives listeners to AM... with better sound quality,
> more younger listeners will come to AM as part of thier menu of choices.
> >>

Time will tell, but I wouldn't expect a big influx of young listeners
if I were you.

> >> The individuals who listen to radio are each getting older. However, we
> >> are
> >> speaking of "the audience" and that means the sum of radio listeners.
> >> Radio
> >> mirrors the population, except in the demos that radio can not serve,
> >> which
> >> are teens (12-17) and 55+. The inference is that young people (18-24,
> >> 25-34)
> >> are not using radio, which is untrue.
> >
> > I make no such inference, and I don't recall you making such an
> > inference either.
>
> Then I misinterpreted you. In any case, there is no ageing of radio
> listeners other than the change of the average age of all Americans.
> >>
> >> And the average age of AM increases each year, as there is an entire
> >> generation that does not use AM much, if at all.

> >
> > I suspect they will, when they're older. I didn't listen to AM very
> > much when I was younger either.
>
> As each year passes, going back to the early 80's, the average age of AM
> listeners increases. 20 years ago, teens did not listen. Then it was 18-24
> and now it is 25-34 that is no longer listening.

Of course the average age of the population of the US is advancing, and
at a rapid rate.

> ....
> >>
> >> That is the standard we use. If lots of people use something, it means
> >> they
> >> like it.
> >
> > Your standard is a non-standard. If it is somehow "forbidden" to make a
> > critical or negative judgment about a particular piece or genre of
> > music, then any positive judgement you might make is devoid of meaning.
>
> You did no make a personal judgement. You made a stereotypical comment about
> most hip hop listeners being drug addicts or criminals. Shuch a comment is
> neither true nor a personal comment. It is just mean-spirited.

That's consistent with its being a personal judgment.

> >>
> >> I probably dislike the music you like. But that does not mean it is not
> >> good... it is just not good for me.
> >
> > I agree with this. Whether you or I or anyone else likes a particular
> > kind of music implies absolutely nothing about its quality. But of
> > course we can employ the "good for me" and "good for you" talk if you
> > think that will allow us to play together peacefully in the sandbox.
>
> My point is that personal preference does not of itself make something bad.
> You indicted hip hop based on your personal dislike for it.

Incorrect. I indicted hip hop purely on the grounds that it stinks.
Period.

> >
> >>Please respect the tastes of others.
> >
> > Sure, except when such respect is unwarranted.
>
> There you go again.
> >

Indeed, I do.

1027. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:45:36 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <km9rg.327614$Fs1.50829@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82162

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:yE8rg.99359$mF2.97743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> A lot more dilletantes know the name of Leonard Kahn than they do Bob
>> Orban. Orban, despite his broad touch on broadcast audio, and his deep
>> understanding and keen insights to the profession, keeps a quieter, more
>> subdued profile than Kahn.
>
> I have a rather special lack of fondness for Kahn.

Yeah....picked right up on that.

>
> In the late 70's, at WQII in San Juam, I had oreders #1 for two of the
> competing AM stereo generators. In other words, I had a 40% chance of having
> the first licensed US AM stereo station, at a time whn AM steroe could have
> made AM viable in competiton with FM. Leonard went into his legal gyrations
> and the result was that the final 2approval" of AM stereo came 5 years
> later, when AM had already died as a music medium. So, in my book, Leonard
> killed AM.

I've not heard this stated very often. If anything, Kahn's name is
often used as one of the true believers in the potential of AM radio.
And some of his writings reflect particular insights not often represented.

But his legal wrangling on the AM stereo issue was tragic mistake.
Especially after the Kahn AM Stereo system was simplified to remove the
extraneous filters, it really was a great sounding system. A lot cleaner
natively than the others. And not nearly as hard on existing
transmitters as the Magnavox and Motorola systems were. Requiring less
esoteric tuning. Had he gotten out of his own way, Kahn could have
widely demonstrated everything he'd espoused for years.

>
> And I have a great respect for Bob Orban and his associates, particularly
> Greg Ogrinowski (sp) who did some custom work for me in Puerto Rico.
> Brilliant engineers, and in the buisness for the long run... as witnessed by
> their dedication to providing quality audio gear.

I've got blue boxes in all my racks. Most have been upgraded with
wider, lower noise chips, and higher quality caps. And some multi-cap
bypasses, after Walt Jung. But, for the most part, they're bulletproof
designs. Not always the highest fidelity, but like Shure microphones,
always ready to work and impossible to make sound bad.

>> Although Orban's name pops up in some of the most interesting places.
>
> He is an occasional poster at ba.broadcast, where he has been part of a
> discussion of the new KGO Nautel install and related issues.

That's one of the things I respect about Orban: Unlike some
'professionals' who lurk here, Orban's not so aloof that he's unwilling
to participate in relevant discussions.

>> Remember Kevin--the AM Stereo advocate who was all over the broadcasting
>> boards a few years ago? He actually had the brass to argue audio
>> processing with Robert Orban...often quoting Leonard Kahn to make his
>> points.
>
> Kevin Tenkel is still very active on an AM Stereo newsgroup on Yahoo, and a
> couple of other "museum" groups that worship things that never were.

Now, that was cold. Kevin may be clue free about many things, but
his advocacy of AM Stereo was/is based on a couple of key points: better
audio, and compatibility with existing systems, without any interference.

Had he been on the scene 25 or 30 years earlier, he would have done
more than teh industry in promoting a really good idea.

>> It was later revealed he didn't know who Orban was.
>
> That is probably the case with our new friend, the one with the underscores
> between the parts of his name.
>> Sometimes, quoting Orban is not the most effective argument strategy.
>
> I noticed. I was hoping to get a "who the heck is he" retort. Instead, I got
> one asking me why I had not responded to a post... my own post!

LOL! Well, you ARE a consultant, after all. You're required to
respond to yourself at least 30% of the time. Or as in the case of John
Lund, 70%...because no one else wants to listen to him.
>
>

1028. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:48:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD22C8.2A47C3F7@milestones.com>
Source record: 82163

Brenda Ann wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my paternal
> >> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
> >> >
> >
> > If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> > name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> > the name "Eduardo".
> >
>
> It's not at all unusual for hispanics on the American continent to have
> European (English, Irish, German, etc., as opposed to Spanish) last names,
> while having more traditional Spanish given names. This is because of the
> large number of European immigrants that live in these areas.

Ol' Eduardo is not a Hispanic!

1029. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 14:58:09 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152197887.118306.19060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82164

BpnJ,

While you may Fully Understand the Words and
Their Means as Written in the US Constitution.

For many a 'common man' Symbols such as
The US Constitution and the US Flag :
- - - Are Equally Very Real To Them [.]

While I can understand your 'intellect' with respect
to the Words : You seem to Fail to understand and
Grasp the "Feeling" that others Attach to these
Symbols-Of-America.
- - - And For Many : Feeling Are Facts [.]

Beyond The Words - Symbols Have Meaning [.]
- - - Yes It Is That Simple !

OBTW - I did not even have to say anything personal
{impugning the nature of your being} directed at you
such as : demagoguic; your aimlessly winding blather;
frustrating amalgam of silliness; intelligent discussion
will instantly roll their eyes; -plus- stop drooling on
yourself. -and best of all- "emotional rather than
rational reaction"
- - - Our "Choice" Of Words Says A Lot About Us !

God {Allah} Bless America and
Our All American Symbols - Amen ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > BpnJ,
> >
> > Hence the rational to draft and pass Explicit Laws making
> > Burning the American Flag 'against-the-law' [.]
> >
> > most naturally - it ought to be against the law ~ RHF
>
> Another graphic example of your lack of logical awareness. Once again,
> you place the symbol above the rights it represents. Very demagoguic.
>

> > BpnJ,
> >
> > Do not confuse the words written in the US Constitution
> > with the Document which "IS" The US Constitution
> > {The Document being a Symbol} - Just Like The US Flag.
>
> Absolutely wrong. The words of the Constitution are the specific means
> of communication by which the law itself is transmitted from one person
> to another, one group to another, one generation to another. They are
> key to the continuation and perpetuation of the law. They are not
> merely symbolic - they are the vehicle by which the law is promulgated.
> No faulty human mind could ever replace the loss of these written
> words, try as we might.
>
> Aside from this, the original document as a symbol, apart from its
> historical significance (which is *huge*, much bigger than *any* flag,
> partly owing to its rarity, partly to its common ownership by the
> entire nation (whereas flags are individual property), and partly to
> its absolute importance as the seminal basis for modern representative
> government) is by itself no more critical to the law than a flag would
> be. The loss of neither one, by accident or design, would diminish in
> any way the real importance of the concept of the U.S. or its law.
> Yes, we'd be sad, outraged and angry, but we'd survive intact.
>
- I stand by all my original comments. As far as copping an attitude,
I
- have to say that your aimlessly winding blather, the result of an
- emotional rather than rational reaction, is about the most
frustrating
- amalgam of silliness I have ever seen. Anybody who hopes to have an
- intelligent discussion will instantly roll their eyes at the stuff
you
- write. You need to stop drooling on yourself before you try to speak
- (or type).
>
> Bruce Jensen
> **********
>
> >
> > ting, Ting. T I N G ! - I love my cymbals ~ RHF
> >
> > >
> > > > .
> > > > . .
> > > >
> > > > bpnjensen wrote:
> > > > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > In my world you can hate the president/gov all you want but burning the flag
> > > > > > is just plain wrong. To me it's like killing a Bald Eagle to get back at the

> > > > > > government. That's a simpletons move! To many good men and women have died
> > > > > > so assholes can have the freedom to do stupid shit but just don't do it in
> > > > > > front of me.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BH
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I feel very sorry for people who place the symbol of freedom higher
> > > > > > than the freedom it represents. I would never burn the flag - it is
> > > > > > too dear a symbol, after all - but the legal right to burn it is what
> > > > > > makes it the symbol that it is. Without that right, it is merely a
> > > > > > garish piece of cloth, a paper tiger.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bruce Jensen

1030. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:13:35 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152198814.728318.307080@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82165

RHF wrote:
> BpnJ,
>
> While you may Fully Understand the Words and
> Their Means as Written in the US Constitution.
>
> For many a 'common man' Symbols such as
> The US Constitution and the US Flag :
> - - - Are Equally Very Real To Them [.]

I understand perfectly. That does not make it right to deny a person's rights because someone else (perhaps you) is offended. I am offended every day by what many poltiicians say, as well as occasionally by what many private citizens say - but I absolutely believe they have the right to express it.

> While I can understand your 'intellect' with respect
> to the Words : You seem to Fail to understand and
> Grasp the "Feeling" that others Attach to these
> Symbols-Of-America.
> - - - And For Many : Feeling Are Facts [.]

And that is the saddest part...people are readily willing to discard objective truth in favor of their feelings and beliefs. This is the basis on which the suffering of others begins. History is thick with problems resulting from subjective opinions.

The wiser Republicans in Congress recognized this, and voted accordingly. Bravo to them.

> Beyond The Words - Symbols Have Meaning [.]
> - - - Yes It Is That Simple !
>
> OBTW - I did not even have to say anything personal
> {impugning the nature of your being} directed at you
> such as : demagoguic; your aimlessly winding blather;
> frustrating amalgam of silliness; intelligent discussion
> will instantly roll their eyes; -plus- stop drooling on
> yourself. -and best of all- "emotional rather than
> rational reaction"
> - - - Our "Choice" Of Words Says A Lot About Us !

Your choice of words says you are not a clear thinker, and that you believe it is OK to ramrod your beliefs down the throats of others who disagree by illegalizing their own freedoms. I have no trouble stating

my admittedly negative opinion on that perspective, and anybody who upholds it. It is Un-American and not befitting a great democracy.

Bruce Jensen

1031. It's a Small World After All - Of Nations, Nationalities and Surnames !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:21:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152199272.655163.110360@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82166

BAD,

Once met a Chilean Admiral with an Armenian Surname.

it's a small world after all ~ RHF
http://www.niehs.nih.gov/kids/lyrics/smworld.htm
http://www.disneylandian.com/faqs/iasw.html
http://www.duchessathome.com/childrensongs/midis/smallworld.mid
.
.
. .

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my paternal
> >> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
> >> >
> > >
> > > If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> > > name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> > > the name "Eduardo".
> > >
>
> It's not at all unusual for hispanics on the American continent to have
> European (English, Irish, German, etc., as opposed to Spanish) last names,
> while having more traditional Spanish given names. This is because of the
> large number of European immigrants that live in these areas.

1032. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:31:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <M1arg.62298$fb2.40035@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82167

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152196865.536688.66360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
.
>> In fact, there is some listening to AM by younger persons, based on
>> content.
>> This is almost exclusively to sports staitons that are well programmed.
>> In
>> these cases, content drives listeners to AM... with better sound quality,
>> more younger listeners will come to AM as part of thier menu of choices.
>> >>
>
> Time will tell, but I wouldn't expect a big influx of young listeners
> if I were you.

Nobody is expecting anythng but a gradual reversal over perhaps a 5 to 8
year period. It will take half a decade just to get a significant number of
HD radios out there.
>>
>> As each year passes, going back to the early 80's, the average age of AM
>> listeners increases. 20 years ago, teens did not listen. Then it was
>> 18-24
>> and now it is 25-34 that is no longer listening.
>
> Of course the average age of the population of the US is advancing, and
> at a rapid rate.

To some extent, yes. But the fact is that AM started losing teens in the
late 70's, and as that group matured, very few of them had any use or
history of use of AM.

>> You did no make a personal judgement. You made a stereotypical comment
>> about
>> most hip hop listeners being drug addicts or criminals. Shuch a comment
>> is
>> neither true nor a personal comment. It is just mean-spirited.
>
> That's consistent with its being a personal judgment.

No, it is a lie. Most hip hop listeners are not drug addicts. No matter how
much you would like to think that hip hop listeners are all low-lifes, this
is not true.
>>
>> My point is that personal preference does not of itself make something

>> bad.
>> You indicted hip hop based on your personal dislike for it.
>
> Incorrect. I indicted hip hop purely on the grounds that it stinks.
> Period.

And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country do not agree it stinks.

1033. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:34:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <R3arg.62299$fb2.24452@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82168

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD22C8.2A47C3F7@milestones.com...
>
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my
>> >> paternal
>> >> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
>> >> >
>> >
>> > If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
>> > name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
>> > the name "Eduardo".
>> >
>>
>> It's not at all unusual for hispanics on the American continent to have
>> European (English, Irish, German, etc., as opposed to Spanish) last
>> names,
>> while having more traditional Spanish given names. This is because of
>> the
>> large number of European immigrants that live in these areas.
>
> Ol' Eduardo is not a Hispanic!

And that is the crux of the argument. By the definitions used by the US Government, the legal system of the US and by the defintion of the term, I _AM_ Hispanic as the term relates to the culture of the individual, which can be determined by a variety of factors. The US Census considers me Hispanic, in fact.

1034. Re: It's a Small World After All - Of Nations, Nationalities and Surnames !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:35:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <J4arg.62300$fb2.17230@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82169

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152199272.655163.110360@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> BAD,
>
> Once met a Chilean Admiral with an Armenian Surname.

Speaking of Chile... how about it's liberator, O'Higgins? Nice Spanish name,
huh?

1035. Re: Cool Medium Wave transmitter site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:38:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152200324.377963.247880@s26g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82170

David wrote:
> http://www.google.com/maphp?hl=en&tab=wl&q=
>
> 37.5264, -122.1006
>
> Switch to Satellite View, Zoom in
>
> KGO Fremont, CA.

http://www.fccinfo.com/CMDProEngine.php?sCurrentService=AM&tabSearchType=Appl&sAppIDNumber=249372&sHours=U

1036. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 15:50:56 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <v9cqa2hbdluaapa58nuk6d6ugng1l7hi1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 82171

On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Beerbarrel wrote:
>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll

>
>Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>
>JB

Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!

_____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

1037. Re: DOD ends play by play sports on AFN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:00:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152201623.540559.67030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82174

JnJ,

OK - So the NHL and NHL are way too Violent for the average AFN
Radio Listeners -and- Causes just too much personal aggression.

now can't we all - just be nice ~ RHF
.
.
. .

johnandjamie5650@sbcglobal.net wrote:
> NEWS RELEASES from the United States Department of Defense
>
> No. 633-06 IMMEDIATE RELEASE
> Jul 05, 2006 Media Contact: (703)697-5131
> Public/Industry(703)428-0711
>
> AFN To End Radio Play-By-Play Sports
> The Department of Defense announced today that the American
> Forces
> Network (AFN) will cease broadcasting play-by-play sports on radio later
> this
> summer.
>
>
>
> The decision to discontinue live play-by-play sports on radio
> was
> based upon a series of Department of Defense worldwide audience surveys of
> military members and civilian employees stationed overseas, which showed the
> preference of viewers to watch sports, rather than just listen to them. The
> impact of live sports on overseas local affiliate radio schedules was also
> factored into the decision.
>
>
>
> "AFN audiences prefer to watch the greater variety of sports on
> television rather than listen to them on the radio," said Mr. Robert
> Matheson,
> director of broadcasting at the AFN Broadcast Center in Riverside, Calif.
> "When radio sports coverage comes on, most listeners tune out. Our mission
> is
> better served when the largest possible audience tunes in to non-sports
> programming and spends more time listening."
>

>
>
> AFN radio play-by-play sports broadcasts were, as recently as 10
> years ago, broadly listened to. Since then, AFN television sports coverage
> has expanded exponentially.
>
>
>
> "More and more sports fans have been turning to AFN television
> for
> the greater variety of sports," Matheson observed. "We used to air only one
> or two TV games a week. Now, since the introduction of AFN-sports and
> recently AFN-xtra, our multiple AFN television services, including
> AFN-prime,
> carry nearly 80 events a week."
>
>
>
> Most play-by-play sports on AFN Radio ended with the conclusion
> of
> the NBA Championships. Some motor sports programming will remain on AFN
> radio's schedule in order to complete their seasons, with the September 9
> NASCAR Nextel Cup race from Richmond International Raceway scheduled to be
> the
> final AFN radio sports play-by-play broadcast.
>
>
>
> "We signed agreements with the Motor Racing Network and the Indy
> Racing League before reassessing our approach to radio sports," Matheson
> said.
> "We'll honor those agreements."
>
>
>
>
> [Web Version: http://defenselink.mil/releases/2006/nr20060705-13385.html]
>
> -- News Releases: http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/
> -- DoD News: http://www.defenselink.mil/news/dodnews.html
> -- Subscribe/Unsubscribe:
> http://www.defenselink.mil/news/dodnews.html#e-mail
> -- Today in DoD: http://www.defenselink.mil/today/
>
> -- U.S. Department of Defense Official Website - http://www.defenselink.mil
> -- U.S. Department of Defense News About the War on Terrorism -
> http://www.defendamerica.mil

1038. Re: It's a Small World After All - Of Nations, Nationalities and Su...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:23:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AD38E8-861@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82177

Jake Blues (the Blues Brothers) was of Armenian ancestry.
cuhulin

1039. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:32:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com>
Source record: 82175

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the clueless prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD22C8.2A47C3F7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152188864.513154.257670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> "David Eduardo" is my given name. "Gleason & Frackelton" are my
> >> >> paternal
> >> >> and maternal surnames. Never been known as anything else.
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > If Gleason is your father's name and Frackelton is your mother's
> >> > name....where did "Eduardo" come from? Why did your parents give you
> >> > the name "Eduardo".
> >> >
> >>
> >> It's not at all unusual for hispanics on the American continent to have
> >> European (English, Irish, German, etc., as opposed to Spanish) last
> >> names,
> >> while having more traditional Spanish given names. This is because of
> >> the
> >> large number of European immigrants that live in these areas.
> >
> > Ol' Eduardo is not a Hispanic!
>
> And that is the crux of the argument. By the definitions used by the US
> Government, the legal system of the US and by the defintion of the term, I
> _AM_ Hispanic as the term relates to the culture of the individual, which
> can be determined by a variety of factors. The US Census considers me
> Hispanic, in fact.

OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!

1040. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:34:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <bYarg.116463$H71.96000@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82176

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
>
> OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!

Nope. The Census taker made that determination based on a pre-established
set of observations.

1041. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:36:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152203780.996122.132520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82178

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152196865.536688.66360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> .
> >> In fact, there is some listening to AM by younger persons, based on
> >> content.
> >> This is almost exclusively to sports staitons that are well programmed.
> >> In
> >> these cases, content drives listeners to AM... with better sound quality,
> >> more younger listeners will come to AM as part of thier menu of choices.
> >> >>
> >
> > Time will tell, but I wouldn't expect a big influx of young listeners
> > if I were you.
>
> Nobody is expecting anythng but a gradual reversal over perhaps a 5 to 8
> year period. It will take half a decade just to get a significant number of
> HD radios out there.

Half a decade? Now I know HD radio is finished.

> >>
> >> As each year passes, going back to the early 80's, the average age of AM
> >> listeners increases. 20 years ago, teens did not listen. Then it was
> >> 18-24
> >> and now it is 25-34 that is no longer listening.
> >
> > Of course the average age of the population of the US is advancing, and
> > at a rapid rate.
>
> To some extent, yes. But the fact is that AM started losing teens in the
> late 70's, and as that group matured, very few of them had any use or
> history of use of AM.

This is old news.

>
> >> You did no make a personal judgement. You made a stereotypical comment
> >> about
> >> most hip hop listeners being drug addicts or criminals. Shuch a comment
> >> is
> >> neither true nor a personal comment. It is just mean-spirited.
> >

> > That's consistent with its being a personal judgment.
>
> No, it is a lie. Most hip hop listeners are not drug addicts. No matter how
> much you would like to think that hip hop listeners are all low-lifes, this
> is not true.

Sure it is.

> >>
> >> My point is that personal preference does not of itself make something
> >> bad.
> >> You indicted hip hop based on your personal dislike for it.
> >
> > Incorrect. I indicted hip hop purely on the grounds that it stinks.
> > Period.
>
> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country do not
> agree it stinks.

It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.

1042. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:37:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26031-44AD3C5F-866@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82183

One of the thirteen stores I counted in my Jackson phone book has a website. www.completeflags.com (the website is under construction right now) They sell any kind of Flags and Flag accessories you want.The ball on top of some Flagpoles is called a Truck.I wouldn't buy a Flag only to burn it or trash it.
cuhulin

1043. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:39:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152203946.637419.224550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82179

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
> >
> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
>
> Nope. The Census taker made that determination based on a pre-established
> set of observations.

What sorts of observations?

1044. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:39:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD3CC9.401D84E1@milestones.com>
Source record: 82180

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
> >
> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
>
> Nope. The Census taker made that determination based on a pre-established
> set of observations.

Uh-Huh

1045. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:43:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <o4brg.116471$H71.39228@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82181

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152203780.996122.132520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Nobody is expecting anythng but a gradual reversal over perhaps a 5 to 8
>> year period. It will take half a decade just to get a significant number
>> of
>> HD radios out there.
>
> Half a decade? Now I know HD radio is finished.

How long did it take FM stereo to impact?

>> No, it is a lie. Most hip hop listeners are not drug addicts. No matter
>> how
>> much you would like to think that hip hop listeners are all low-lifes,
>> this
>> is not true.
>
> Sure it is.

I have been with randomly recruited groups of hip hop partisans, and don't find them any different than the fans of CHR in the 70's or 80's. Clothes are a bit different, but just typical people in a particular age group. No weapons, no drugged out looks, no anit-social behaviour.

>> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country do
>> not
>> agree it stinks.
>
> It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.

No, it is opinion. How can subjective judgment based on taste be a fact?

1046. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:44:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <n5brg.116473$H71.79181@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82182

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152203946.637419.224550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
>>
>> Nope. The Census taker made that determination based on a pre-established
>> set of observations.
>
> What sorts of observations?
>

I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
observations on language used with other family members, etc.

1047. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:53:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com>
Source record: 82184

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152203946.637419.224550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
> >>
> >> Nope. The Census taker made that determination based on a pre-established
> >> set of observations.
> >
> > What sorts of observations?
> >
>
> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
> observations on language used with other family members, etc.

I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and Native American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!

1048. Re: It's a Small World After All - Of Nations, Nationalities and Surnames !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:55:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152204923.136713.238380@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82185

DE - Oh My ! :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1152199272.655163.110360@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > BAD,
> >
> > Once met a Chilean Admiral with an Armenian Surname.
>
> Speaking of Chile... how about it's liberator, O'Higgins? Nice Spanish name,
> huh?

1049. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 16:58:43 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152205123.284622.42230@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82186

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152203780.996122.132520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Nobody is expecting anythng but a gradual reversal over perhaps a 5 to 8
> >> year period. It will take half a decade just to get a significant number
> >> of
> >> HD radios out there.
> >
> > Half a decade? Now I know HD radio is finished.
>
> How long did it take FM stereo to impact?

FM had something new to offer.

>
> >> No, it is a lie. Most hip hop listeners are not drug addicts. No matter
> >> how
> >> much you would like to think that hip hop listeners are all low-lifes,
> >> this
> >> is not true.
> >
> > Sure it is.
>
> I have been with randomly recruited groups of hip hop partisans, and don't
> find them any different than the fans of CHR in the 70's or 80's. Clothes
> are a bit different, but just typical people in a particular age group. No
> weapons, no drugged out looks, no anit-social behaviour.

Probably not much in the way of an attention span, either.

>
> >> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country do
> >> not
> >> agree it stinks.
> >
> > It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.
>
> No, it is opinion. How can subjective judgment based on taste be a fact?

It's not based on taste at all. Hip hop would stink even if I liked it.
It would stink even if everyone liked it.

1050. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:00:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152205205.145185.51290@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82187

BpnJ - "you believe it is OK to ramrod
your beliefs down the throats of others"

i think the 'tone' of your writing says it all ~ RHF
.
.
. .

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > BpnJ,
> >
> > While you may Fully Understand the Words and
> > Their Means as Written in the US Constitution.
> >
> > For many a 'common man' Symbols such as
> > The US Constitution and the US Flag :
> > - - - Are Equally Very Real To Them [.]
>
> I understand perfectly. That does not make it right to deny a person's
> rights because someone else (perhaps you) is offended. I am offended
> every day by what many poltiicians say, as well as occasionally by what
> many private citizens say - but I absolutely believe they have the
> right to express it.
>
> > While I can understand your 'intellect' with respect
> > to the Words : You seem to Fail to understand and
> > Grasp the "Feeling" that others Attach to these
> > Symbols-Of-America.
> > - - - And For Many : Feeling Are Facts [.]
>
> And that is the saddest part...people are readily willing to discard
> objective truth in favor of their feelings and beliefs. This is the
> basis on which the suffering of others begins. History is thick with
> problems resulting from subjective opinions.
>
> The wiser Republicans in Congress recognized this, and voted
> accordingly. Bravo to them.
>
> > Beyond The Words - Symbols Have Meaning [.]
> > - - - Yes It Is That Simple !
> >
> > OBTW - I did not even have to say anything personal

> > {impugning the nature of your being} directed at you
> > such as : demagoguic; your aimlessly winding blather;
> > frustrating amalgam of silliness; intelligent discussion
> > will instantly roll their eyes; -plus- stop drooling on
> > yourself. -and best of all- "emotional rather than
> > rational reaction"
> > - - - Our "Choice" Of Words Says A Lot About Us !
>
> Your choice of words says you are not a clear thinker, and that you
> believe it is OK to ramrod your beliefs down the throats of others who
> disagree by illegalizing their own freedoms. I have no trouble stating
> my admittedly negative opinion on that perspective, and anybody who
> upholds it. It is Un-American and not befitting a great democracy.
>
> Bruce Jensen

1051. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:03:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152205410.474061.100110@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82188

Cuhulin - Keep On... Keeping On !
Trucking with Your Flag ~ RHF
.
.
. .

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> One of the thirteen stores I counted in my Jackson phone book has a
> website. www.completeflags.com (the website is under construction
> right now) They sell any kind of Flags and Flag accessories you want.The
> ball on top of some Flagpoles is called a Truck.I wouldn't buy a Flag
> only to burn it or trash it.
> cuhulin

1052. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:05:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3pbrg.116482$H71.25671@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82189

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic
> wrote:
>
>> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
>> observations on language used with other family members, etc.
>
> I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and
> Native
> American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!

Not likely. Fake or otherwise, the cues also included observing whether an English or Spanish newspaper was in the home and visible, what kinds of books, if any, were visible (English or Spanish), etc. Even the neighborhood counted (one not appealing to Continentals was a factor).

1053. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:07:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152205641.012759.96360@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82190

and some of us have Dimples in the strangest
{some say the cutest} places :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

dxAce wrote:
> Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
>
> http://www.fingerdimple.com/
>
> (Via NASWA Journal, July 2006, Allan Johnson, Equipment Reviews)
>
> I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1054. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:10:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD4411.397C0BE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82191

David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic
> > wrote:
> >
> >> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
> >> observations on language used with other family members, etc.
> >
> > I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and
> > Native
> > American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!
>
> Not likely.

Well heck, if you can fake it, so can I.

1055. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:12:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <gwbrg.116483$H71.6441@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82192

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152205123.284622.42230@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> How long did it take FM stereo to impact?
>
> FM had something new to offer.

FM had something new in 1942, also. It sounded better, and had unique
programming in many cases. It did not impact.

It took three years for just 100 stations to adopt FM stereo in the 60's...
hardly an instant hit. The FCC authorized regular stereo FM to start on
6/1/61. WEFM Chicago and WGFM Schenectady both started on that date.

>> I have been with randomly recruited groups of hip hop partisans, and
>> don't
>> find them any different than the fans of CHR in the 70's or 80's. Clothes
>> are a bit different, but just typical people in a particular age group.
>> No
>> weapons, no drugged out looks, no anit-social behaviour.
>
> Probably not much in the way of an attention span, either.

No different than any other group of people under 40.
>
>>
>> >> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country
>> >> do
>> >> not
>> >> agree it stinks.
>> >
>> > It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.
>>
>> No, it is opinion. How can subjective judgment based on taste be a fact?
>
> It's not based on taste at all. Hip hop would stink even if I liked it.
> It would stink even if everyone liked it.

That is your opinion, in other words. It stinks to you, and you think it has
to stink to everyone. That is selfcentered and elitist.
>

1056. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:13:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Pwbrg.116484$H71.15853@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82193

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD4411.397C0BE@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic
> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake
>> > Hispanic
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
>> >> observations on language used with other family members, etc.
>> >
>> > I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and
>> > Native
>> > American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!
>>
>> Not likely.
>
> Well heck, if you can fake it, so can I.

You are not culturally Hispanic. I am. Simple as that.

1057. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:15:01 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152206101.235047.178840@m38g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82194

RHF wrote:
> BpnJ - "you believe it is OK to ramrod
> your beliefs down the throats of others"
>
> i think the 'tone' of your writing says it all ~ RHF

All *I'm* doing is writing on a newsgroup, and regardless of how strong my terms may be, it is only writing. *You're* the one who wants a Constitutional amendment to remove an existing right, criminalize free expression and subvert the First Amendment, making the document arguably internally inconsistent. Which do you think fits the ramrod description better?

I'm done - vacation plans await. You may blather at will.

Bruce Jensen
****

1058. Re: Very Extreem Pain here! Way over the edge!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:15:52 UTC
From: get help <gethelp@get.help>
Message-ID: <87AE027833.243141558F9@get.help>
Source record: 82195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 12:03:51 +0000 (UTC), constans@geocities.com wrote:
>
> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 09:43:17 +0200, Exeptional Good <painraiser@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > be warned, this is REALLY hard bdsm/femdom!!!
>
> Dear Miss Painraiser,
>
> last week i worte to Mrs Mary Sadistica butmy emial bounced and I
> never gotmy ass totured like I awnted. I ned a beating bad so please
> emial me at the adress aboev.

When I was in grade school, we had a nun teaching us who was named
Sister Mary Sadistica. Is that a coincidence, or what?

1059. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:18:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD45DD.AD34AE95@milestones.com>
Source record: 82196

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152205123.284622.42230@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> How long did it take FM stereo to impact?
> >
> > FM had something new to offer.
>
> FM had something new in 1942, also. It sounded better, and had unique
> programming in many cases. It did not impact.
>
> It took three years for just 100 stations to adopt FM stereo in the 60's...
> hardly an instant hit. The FCC authorized regular stereo FM to start on
> 6/1/61. WEFM Chicago and WGFM Schenectady both started on that date.
>
> >> I have been with randomly recruited groups of hip hop partisans, and
> >> don't
> >> find them any different than the fans of CHR in the 70's or 80's. Clothes
> >> are a bit different, but just typical people in a particular age group.
> >> No
> >> weapons, no drugged out looks, no anit-social behaviour.
> >
> > Probably not much in the way of an attention span, either.
>
> No different than any other group of people under 40.
> >
> >>
> >> >> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country
> >> >> do
> >> >> not
> >> >> agree it stinks.
> >> >
> >> > It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.
> >>
> >> No, it is opinion. How can subjective judgment based on taste be a fact?
> >
> > It's not based on taste at all. Hip hop would stink even if I liked it.
> > It would stink even if everyone liked it.
>
> That is your opinion, in other words. It stinks to you, and you think it has

> to stink to everyone. That is selfcentered and elitist.

Hip hop stinks, 'tard boy. It most likely stinks as much as your soiled prancing panties.

1060. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:19:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD4630.6DC66511@milestones.com>
Source record: 82197

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD4411.397C0BE@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake
> >> > Hispanic
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
> >> >> observations on language used with other family members, etc.
> >> >
> >> > I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and
> >> > Native
> >> > American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!
> >>
> >> Not likely.
> >
> > Well heck, if you can fake it, so can I.
>
> You are not culturally Hispanic. I am. Simple as that.

You're a fake. Simple as that.

1061. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:22:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6Fbrg.116486$H71.39635@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82098

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD45DD.AD34AE95@milestones.com...
>
> Hip hop stinks, 'tard boy. It most likely stinks as much as your soiled
> prancing
> panties.

Again, someone with an opinion. Your opinion does not make the world change. It is just your opinion, and those who do like the music disagree... which does not make them any "righter" than you are. It just means they have different taste.

1062. Re: Add-On Tuning Dimple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:26:23 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3Jbrg.328422$Fs1.73523@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82099

RHF wrote:
> and some of us have Dimples in the strangest
> {some say the cutest} places :o) ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>

TOOOOOO much information, Roy. :)

p

> dxAce wrote:
>> Self-stick plastic dimple adheres to your tuning knob.
>>
>> http://www.fingerdimple.com/
>>
>> (Via NASWA Journal, July 2006, Allan Johnson, Equipment Reviews)
>>
>> I am not affiliated with Tuning Dimple nor am I a paid shill.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>

1063. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:26:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD47CF.7E35E1C7@milestones.com>
Source record: 82100

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's outrageously funny prancing fake Hispanic wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD45DD.AD34AE95@milestones.com...
> >
> > Hip hop stinks, 'tard boy. It most likely stinks as much as your soiled
> > prancing
> > panties.
>
> Again, someone with an opinion. Your opinion does not make the world change.
> It is just your opinion, and those who do like the music disagree... which
> does not make them any "righter" than you are. It just means they have
> different taste.

Yeah. Lousy taste.

1064. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:37:57 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VTbrg.328476$Fs1.45463@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82101

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> The promotion of HD on a massive scale just
> began and the 2.0 design spec receivers have not arrived at retail yet.

I may have asked this, but then, I'm sure I've slept since
then...when are 2.0 design spec receivers expected to hit retail?

1065. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:41:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <tXbrg.116490$H71.92036@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82102

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:VTbrg.328476$Fs1.45463@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> The promotion of HD on a massive scale just began and the 2.0 design spec
>> receivers have not arrived at retail yet.
>
>
>
> I may have asked this, but then, I'm sure I've slept since then...when
> are 2.0 design spec receivers expected to hit retail?
>

Based on the Radio Shack announcement, late August or September.

1066. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:47:53 UTC
From: abruxis@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152208073.259008.30730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82103

Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
> > coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch of
> > FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY BALONEY
> > CRAP.
> > cuhulin
>
>
> I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.

I just heard Chuck Harder died ... can you verify this?

1067. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:52:28 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <w5crg.99996$mF2.80226@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82104

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:VTbrg.328476$Fs1.45463@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>
>>> The promotion of HD on a massive scale just began and the 2.0 design spec
>>> receivers have not arrived at retail yet.
>>
>>
>> I may have asked this, but then, I'm sure I've slept since then...when
>> are 2.0 design spec receivers expected to hit retail?
>>
>
> Based on the Radio Shack announcement, late August or September.
>
>

Well, that RS is involved isn't encouraging, but at least I can go
give it another listen.

Truth be told, I'm not impressed with AM HD. I believe I've
mentioned that. :)

If, in fact, as you suggest, that there are some heavy hitters
working on the quality of the output, I'll be interested in giving it a
fair hearing.

I would be more encouraged, however, if there were actually something
worth listening to on it.

1068. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 17:55:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD4EAD.77483BB5@milestones.com>
Source record: 82105

abruxis@aol.com wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
> > cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> > news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
> >
> > > 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
> > > coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch of
> > > FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY BALONEY
> > > CRAP.
> > > cuhulin
> >
> >
> > I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.
>
> I just heard Chuck Harder died ... can you verify this?

And just where did you hear that?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1069. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 18:03:39 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152209019.028997.121120@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82106

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152205123.284622.42230@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> How long did it take FM stereo to impact?
> >
> > FM had something new to offer.
>
> FM had something new in 1942, also. It sounded better, and had unique
> programming in many cases. It did not impact.

It would have taken a lot longer to impact if it offered nothing new.

>
> It took three years for just 100 stations to adopt FM stereo in the 60's...
> hardly an instant hit. The FCC authorized regular stereo FM to start on
> 6/1/61. WEFM Chicago and WGFM Schenectady both started on that date.
>
> >> I have been with randomly recruited groups of hip hop partisans, and
> >> don't
> >> find them any different than the fans of CHR in the 70's or 80's. Clothes
> >> are a bit different, but just typical people in a particular age group.
> >> No
> >> weapons, no drugged out looks, no anit-social behaviour.
> >
> > Probably not much in the way of an attention span, either.
>
> No different than any other group of people under 40.
> >
> >>
> >> And that is your opinion. Tens of millions of people in this country
> >> do
> >> not
> >> agree it stinks.
> >>
> > It is my opinion. It also happens to be a fact.
> >>
> >> No, it is opinion. How can subjective judgment based on taste be a fact?
> >
> > It's not based on taste at all. Hip hop would stink even if I liked it.
> > It would stink even if everyone liked it.
>
> That is your opinion, in other words. It stinks to you, and you think it has

> to stink to everyone. That is selfcentered and elitist.
> >

What's self-centered is the disparagement of all artistic standards simply because the music you happen to like cannot stand on its own merits.

1070. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 18:09:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9lcrg.116502$H71.71689@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82107

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152209019.028997.121120@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> That is your opinion, in other words. It stinks to you, and you think it
>> has
>> to stink to everyone. That is selfcentered and elitist.
>> >
>
> What's self-centered is the disparagement of all artistic standards
> simply because the music you happen to like cannot stand on its own
> merits.

Hip hop stands on its own merits. It is original, very authentic and
reflects its audience.

You just don't see it because you, personally, do not like it. That does not
make it devoid of merits.

1071. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 18:27:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152210461.122513.283270@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82108

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152209019.028997.121120@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> That is your opinion, in other words. It stinks to you, and you think it
> >> has
> >> to stink to everyone. That is selfcentered and elitist.
> >> >
> >
> > What's self-centered is the disparagement of all artistic standards
> > simply because the music you happen to like cannot stand on its own
> > merits.
>
> Hip hop stands on its own merits. It is original, very authentic and
> reflects its audience.

I'll say this much: the marketing of hip hop is original and extremely
impressive. I mean these guys are GOOD! Seriously, if I made it worth
their while they could have the young people of America clamoring to
spend their last dollars on recordings of my farts and belches. Yes,
they're that good. Marketing is one powerful force.

>
> You just don't see it because you, personally, do not like it. That does not
> make it devoid of merits.

No, my attitude toward hip hop does not make it devoid of merit. Let me
repeat: My attitude toward hip hop does not make it devoid of merit.
Hip hop has no merit, but that is completely independent of any
attitude I have or lack toward it.

1072. New DX Show - the "Australian DX Report" on WWCR, Nashville, Tennessee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 18:35:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD5808.9F7ABB0D@milestones.com>
Source record: 82109

MEDIA RELEASE

*** For immediate distribution! ***

A new weekly non-commercial DX program, the "AUSTRALIAN DX REPORT", produced by the Electronic DX Press Radio Monitoring Association, in Melbourne, Australia, has commenced on shortwave over Worldwide Christian Radio (WWCR), Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

This new 15 minute show, produced and hosted by Bob Padula, extends coverage of the "Radio EDXP Worldwide Broadcasting Network", with prime-time transmission into major listening regions via 100 kW transmitters.

The show concentrates on HF broadcasting, including schedule news, monitoring notes from EDXP members, propagation information, supplemented by content sourced to EDXP partners.

The first release of the show is on Sundays, at 0200-0215 UTC, on 5070 kHz.

There is a repeat on Tuesdays, at 0945-1000 UTC, on 9985 kHz.

5070 provides good signals at 0200 UTC across the Americas, at 9 pm Central Time, and throughout Europe during the early morning. It is also audible in Africa, the Middle East, and Western Asia.

9985 provides super-strong signals at 0945 UTC across Australia and the Pacific (7.45 pm in Melbourne) as well as during the early morning in the Americas (4.45 am Central Time), and into Europe, the Middle East, and Africa.

The show is also simulcast in real-time via StreamingAudio at 0200 UTC and 0945 UTC, for Internet coverage, and can be accessed at http://www.wwcr.com via the link titled "Listen on Line".

It's included in the WWCR Program Guide, at the above URL.

Fully detailed Radio EDXP 10th Anniversary QSLs, showing colored scenes and wildlife in Australia, are offered for the SW transmissions. "QSLs" are NOT issued for the Internet stream or for reception via the Internet over PCs using remote "DX Tuners"

Reports should be sent to EDXP, 404 Mont Albert Road, Mont Albert, Victoria 3127, Australia, and return postage is required for QSLs to be sent via postal mail (US$1, 1 IRC, AUS$2 in mint stamps, or US$1 via PayPal). Return postage is not required for electronically delivered reports.

There is a template at the EDXP Home Page, http://edxp.org, where
listeners may send in reception reports using a simple form, and
receive Radio EDXP E-QSLs via the internet.

Reports can also be sent by direct E-mail, to

qsl@engradio.org

Good listening to the "AUSTRALIAN DX REPORT"!

Bob Padula
Producer/Host
Melbourne, Australia
(Via dx_india)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1073. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:02:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <pgnqa2h98l1rjtld5ehg2pf3b919he4fs4@4ax.com>
Source record: 82110

On 6 Jul 2006 07:58:09 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>BpnJ,
>
>While you may Fully Understand the Words and
>Their Means as Written in the US Constitution.
>
>For many a 'common man' Symbols such as
>The US Constitution and the US Flag :
>- - - Are Equally Very Real To Them [.]
>
>While I can understand your 'intellect' with respect
>to the Words : You seem to Fail to understand and
>Grasp the "Feeling" that others Attach to these
>Symbols-Of-America.
>- - - And For Many : Feeling Are Facts [.]
>
>Beyond The Words - Symbols Have Meaning [.]
>- - - Yes It Is That Simple !
>
>OBTW - I did not even have to say anything personal
>{impugning the nature of your being} directed at you
>such as : demagoguic; your aimlessly winding blather;
>frustrating amalgam of silliness; intelligent discussion
>will instantly roll their eyes; -plus- stop drooling on
>yourself. -and best of all- "emotional rather than
>rational reaction"
>- - - Our "Choice" Of Words Says A Lot About Us !
>
>
>God {Allah} Bless America and
>Our All American Symbols - Amen ! ~ RHF
> .
Symbols (and graven images) are to be shunned as they are easily used
against you.

1074. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:03:40 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gjnqa290sljmjv7ln3c86r9ekps97nipdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 82111

On 6 Jul 2006 10:00:05 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>BpnJ - "you believe it is OK to ramrod
>your beliefs down the throats of others"
>
>i think the 'tone' of your writing says it all ~ RHF
There's a lie in every beLIEf.

1075. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:17:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28635-44AD61D2-990@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82112

Actually there are many thousands of stores listed in my Jackson phone book.The book is over an inch thicker than last years model.Space Shuttle Astronaut Jim Kelly is aboard the International Space Station now,checking the setup for a recharge.
cuhulin,Trucking right on along.

1076. Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:28:40 UTC
From: Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e8jo98$i1d@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 82113

I just came across my old SWL collection and found a card from Radio America from the Broadcast Band. I believe they broadcast from Swan Island. Any info would be nice. What you know about them ?

Thanks
Ron WA0KDS

1077. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:42:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com>
Source record: 82114

Ron wrote:

> I just came across my old SWL collection and found a card from Radio
> America from the Broadcast Band. I believe they broadcast from Swan
> Island. Any info would be nice. What you know about them ?

http://www.trsc.com/ref_radio_swan_americas.html

I've seen that card sell for over $300 on eBay.

You might Google for other sites with info on Radio Swan and/or Radio Americas.

Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off for a
QSL.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1078. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:51:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hRdrg.116538$H71.40303@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82115

"Ron" <wa0kds@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e8jo98$i1d@dispatch.concentric.net...
>I just came across my old SWL collection and found a card from Radio
>America from the Broadcast Band. I believe they broadcast from Swan
>Island. Any info would be nice. What you know about them ?

1160 AM, 50 kw, 2-tower directional from Isla de Cisnes, a Honduran possession SW of Cuba.

It operated with two names, Radio Swan and then Radio Americas. It was evidently a CIA "front" and appeared to be operated by the Gibraltar Steamship Corp, with an address in the Ingrahm Bldg. on 2nd in Miami. The manager was on Horton Heath.

I visited the Ingrahm Bldg in 62 or 63... and found that the office was essentially a mail drop. The office existed, but was the office of the "Secretar&#65533;a" of the&#65533;AIR, Asociaci&#65533;n Interamericana de Radiodifusi&#65533;n. I met a Mr. Ram&#65533;n Goicochea, a Cuban who had worked with Goar Mestre before the Revolution and was told that he could not give me much information.

Years later, in the late 60's, I was on the organizing committee of the annual convention of the AIR in Quito, Ecuador. Who showed up to manage things? Mr. Goicochea, who at that point admitted the station was funded by the CIA and had the support of the exile community in Miami, from where staff and supplies were ferried via private planes.

For many years, I had a reel to reel tape of the station recorded in Ohio on the night of the Bay of Pigs invasion... but the tape eventually disintegrated. It contained a lot of cryptic mentions, probably code words for the invading forces.

Several DXers in the 60's, particularly one C. M. Stanbury of Ontario, doubted the location of the station, and made much noise to prove its location in other places. So there is an element of black helicopter conspiracy here from the DX community. I am satisfied that the station was on Swan, based on Mr. Goicochea's information as well as having hired, later, some of the announcers who worked for Radio Americas and who later worked for me at WHTT, WSUA and WCMQ in Miami.

1079. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 19:56:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%Vdrg.116539$H71.100055@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82116

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
>
>
> Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
> for a
> QSL.

Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period of
time.

1080. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:10:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD6E21.87D11C54@milestones.com>
Source record: 82117

David Fracketon Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round prancing fool, wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
> > for a
> > QSL.
>
> Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period of
> time.

I never said I wasn't DX'ing from 1966 or so.

Back in your hole, dipshit.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1081. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:14:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152216866.531310.159850@s26g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

get help wrote:

> When I was in grade school, we had a nun teaching us who was named
> Sister Mary Sadistica. Is that a coincidence, or what?
no it is becuase you are liekly the forger in the first place

1082. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:18:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Qeerg.116547$H71.82390@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82119

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD6E21.87D11C54@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Fracketon Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round prancing fool,
> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
>> > for a
>> > QSL.
>>
>> Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period
>> of
>> time.
>
> I never said I wasn't DX'ing from 1966 or so.

Now you were, before you were not. Interesting.

1083. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:23:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD7143.5F3338@milestones.com>
Source record: 82120

dxAce wrote:

> David Fracketon Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round prancing fool, wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
> > > for a
> > > QSL.
> >
> > Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period of
> > time.
>
> I never said I wasn't DX'ing from 1966 or so.

And to be honest about it I wouldn't even call it DX'ing, more like an interested listener.

I didn't really get involved in DX'ing for the pure fun of it until 1982.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1084. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:24:45 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <fkerg.334$_M.154@fed1read04>
Source record: 82121

Does this help?
http://www.qsl.net/sidxa/history.html

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Ron" <wa0kds@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e8jo98$i1d@dispatch.concentric.net...
>I just came across my old SWL collection and found a card from Radio
>America from the Broadcast Band. I believe they broadcast from Swan
>Island. Any info would be nice. What you know about them ?
>
> Thanks
> Ron WA0KDS
>

1085. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:26:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD71E4.FF4C5341@milestones.com>
Source record: 82122

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most prolific pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD6E21.87D11C54@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Fracketon Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round prancing fool,
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
> >> > for a
> >> > QSL.
> >>
> >> Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period
> >> of
> >> time.
> >
> > I never said I wasn't DX'ing from 1966 or so.
>
> Now you were, before you were not. Interesting.

You're spinning, 'tard boy! Try not to get your prancer panties in knots today.

LMFAO

1086. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 20:43:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD760C.1A541560@milestones.com>
Source record: 82123

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most prolific pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD6E21.87D11C54@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Fracketon Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', all 'round prancing fool,
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AD67AF.5B1009C9@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Though I heard them on SW when they were I unfortunately never sent off
> >> > for a
> >> > QSL.
> >>
> >> Sure. Right. In another post, you said you were not DXing in that period
> >> of
> >> time.
> >
> > I never said I wasn't DX'ing from 1966 or so.
>
> Now you were, before you were not. Interesting.

What's interesting is knowing just how far you've got your head up your prancing ass!

Show me where I stated anything to the contrary.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1087. Re: Voice of Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:04:00 UTC
From: Vincent <vincze33@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152223440.254641.62070@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82124

Michael Lawson ha scritto:

> Yep. Easily my favorite station for music.

The keyword is "Rebetic" . It's a folchoric tune with a deep heartly
meaning. How high is it.
Chitchannis (?), Sortiria Bellu ...

PS Teodorakis F.Y. !!!!!

--
Stars shines like eyes

1088. Re: Voice of Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:06:05 UTC
From: Vincent <vincze33@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152223565.452216.43780@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82125

Michael Lawson ha scritto:

> Yep. Easily my favorite station for music.

The keyword is "Rebetic" . It's a folchoric tune with a deep heartly
meaning. How high is it.
Chitchannis (?), Sortiria Bellu ...

PS Teodorakis F.Y. !!!!!

--
Stars shines like eyes

1089. Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:08:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD89EA.BBEFC4@milestones.com>
Source record: 82126

** LEBANON. Glenn, DXers may be interested to know that a 1969 Radio
Liban QSL card has just fetched US$787 at an eBay auction. QSL cards
are well established as collectibles now, and recent price levels
(over US$50 each for AM/SW cards) would indicate that prices are
taking off.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2174818678&category=38031

An average collection of, say 1000 cards from the 1960's to date, may
well be worth over US$50,000 depending on which stations are included.
I encourage all DXers to insure their QSL card collections, to make
bequest provisions to lodge them with club collections and
preservation groups or museums, or if they choose to put them on the
market, to be aware of their potential value. 73's (David Ricquish,
Radio Heritage Collection http://www.radiodx.com
Wellington, New Zealand, DX LISTENING DIGEST 3-092, May 27, 2003)

I've got one of those!

Vintage QSLs became valuable collectibles on E-bay, even $358 for
Radio Amï¿½ricas, Swan Island.
(THE SHORTWAVE YEAR IN REVIEW --- by Glenn Hauser for VOA, January 1, 2003)

Unfortunately not one of those!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1090. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:13:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD8B17.4D6779F2@milestones.com>
Source record: 82127

dxAce wrote:

> ** LEBANON. Glenn, DXers may be interested to know that a 1969 Radio
> Liban QSL card has just fetched US$787 at an eBay auction. QSL cards
> are well established as collectibles now, and recent price levels
> (over US$50 each for AM/SW cards) would indicate that prices are
> taking off.

Here is what the card looks like:

http://www.antique-corner.com/SWLQSL/lebanon3.htm

Mine is for reception on Jan. 6, 1970, 11790 kHz.

>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2174818678&category=38031
>
> An average collection of, say 1000 cards from the 1960's to date, may
> well be worth over US$50,000 depending on which stations are included.
> I encourage all DXers to insure their QSL card collections, to make
> bequest provisions to lodge them with club collections and
> preservation groups or museums, or if they choose to put them on the
> market, to be aware of their potential value. 73's (David Ricquish,
> Radio Heritage Collection http://www.radiodx.com
> Wellington, New Zealand, DX LISTENING DIGEST 3-092, May 27, 2003)
>
> I've got one of those!
>
> Vintage QSLs became valuable collectibles on E-bay, even $358 for
> Radio Amï¿½ricas, Swan Island.
> (THE SHORTWAVE YEAR IN REVIEW --- by Glenn Hauser for VOA, January 1, 2003)
>
> Unfortunately not one of those!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1091. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:35:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44AD904C-1015@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82128

Chuck Harder is more about Abilities,helping invalid people and helping
people do things better.I hope he hasen't passed away.
cuhulin.

1092. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:41:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44AD9198-1017@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82129

C to C messed up last night night.There was actually a sensible guy on there (Charles R. Smith www.softwar.net) talking for about half an hour.Very,Very Rarely is there ever anybody on there who makes any sense.
cuhulin

1093. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 22:58:49 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <JAgrg.11528$vl5.11195@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82130

Thanx for the pointer, headed there now!

"Father Mike" <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in message
news:44ACEBF2.BE927560@theseminary.net...
> Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!
>
> ==
>
> Lisa, try http://www.frn.net/
>
> the number one spot on the web for pirate info. click on
> "the vines". also, some of the pirates put their skeds there.
> some send emails before they TX. they also QSL. good luck.
>
> Father Michael...

1094. Re: Undercover radio on 6925 last night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:05:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AD9755.D0727A3C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82131

Telamon wrote:

> In article <0YYqg.11414$vl5.3241@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>,
> "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-5C2921.14114405072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> > .com...
> > > I heard them on 6925 from 05:00 to 06:00 last night broadcast from
> > > "the middle of nowhere". They were the only pirate I heard. They
> > > rebroadcasted their 2005 Halloween program. The program had high
> > > production values and a very good signal S6 on the peaks. Basically
> > > a narration of several sci-fi stories that I found entertaining.
> > >
> > Did you happen to record it? I'd love to hear it!
> >
> Sorry, I don't usually record programs. Some pirates have their past
> programs on the web so you might want to do a search.
>
> Try to listen for them as I believe they said that a CD of the program
> would be sent for a reception report.

I just checked and I've 2 QSL's from Undercover Radio and Dr. Benway was kind enough to send a CD of the program that was broadcast with each QSL.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1095. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:13:05 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152227585.728415.30710@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82132

David wrote:
> On 6 Jul 2006 07:58:09 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >BpnJ,
> >

> >God {Allah} Bless America and
> >Our All American Symbols - Amen ! ~ RHF
> > .
> Symbols (and graven images) are to be shunned as they are easily used
> against you.
for once I in fact agree with you

i will go on to add that is could be saidthat the flag reference "
violates the religous traditions of many of the folks that support it

1096. The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:38:39 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ar80ccl8urj3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82133

The President and the Press

You may remember that in 1851 the New York Herald Tribune under the
sponsorship and publishing of Horace Greeley, employed as its London
correspondent an obscure journalist by the name of Karl Marx.
...
If the press is awaiting a declaration of war before it imposes the
self-discipline of combat conditions, then I can only say that no war ever
posed a greater threat to our security. If you are awaiting a finding of
"clear and present danger," then I can only say that the danger has never
been more clear and its presence has never been more imminent.
...
I am asking the members of the newspaper profession and the industry in this
country to reexamine their own responsibilities, to consider the degree and
the nature of the present danger, and to heed the duty of self-restraint
which that danger imposes upon us all.

Every newspaper now asks itself, with respect to every story: "Is it news?"
All I suggest is that you add the question: "Is it in the interest of the
national security?"
...
It was early in the Seventeenth Century that Francis Bacon remarked on three
recent inventions already transforming the world: the compass, gunpowder and
the printing press. Now the links between the nations first forged by the
compass have made us all citizens of the world, the hopes and threats of one
becoming the hopes and threats of us all. In that one world's efforts to
live together, the evolution of gunpowder to its ultimate limit has warned
mankind of the terrible consequences of failure.

And so it is to the printing press--to the recorder of man's deeds, the
keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news--that we look for strength
and assistance, confident that with your help man will be what he was born
to be: free and independent.

President John F. Kennedy - April 27, 1961.

http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Desk/Speec
hes/JFK/003POF03NewspaperPublishers04271961.htm

The Left's Media Manipulators

During the Cold War, under Ronald Reagan's presidency, the United States
government paid special attention to Soviet efforts to manipulate world
public opinion against the United States.

They referred to these Soviet efforts as "active measures." A special unit at the United States Information Agency, headed by a top Soviet analyst, Herbert Romerstein, kept track of the most vicious Soviet tricks and exposed them as frauds to the public.
...
Today, new masters of disinformation have emerged in Iran, Iraq, and the Palestinian territories.
...
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245

If the Left Weren't Hypocritical

Why is everybody in such a fuss over what to do about The New York Times's exposure of the government's secret anti-terrorist-financing program? Isn't there a perfectly good precedent for how it should be handled, currently on display in the form of Patrick Fitzgerald's CIA leak investigation?
...
What have we heard from the people who so loudly demanded an independent investigation of the exposure of CIA employee Valerie Plame Wilson's identity? Not much.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23241

Listen to the Silence

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1097. Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:39:36 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ar825e141nd66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82134

Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

This manual provides further evidence that the Iraqi military was active in Afghanistan and working with the Taliban. The Taliban harbored and trained with Al Qaeda. The information in the document matches media reports that U.S. intelligence sources believed the IIS was training Al Qaeda in Afghanistan. It also matches information from other IIS documentation that shows requests from Islamic Jihad groups in Afghanistan for Iraqi military assistance.
...
http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/Articles/Iraq%20How%20to%20Manual%20Directed.html

LISTEN TO THE SILENCE OF THE LEFT

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1098. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:45:34 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ar8dasjd5va7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82135

"an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152127285.385808.196800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
> > news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:
> >
>
> > > BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!
> >
> >
> > Morst every country in the UN is turd world, and the lazy & weak always
> > whine about the successful.
> and statements like that are why amercians are so loved abraod
>

You obviously haven't spoken to any Europeans lately.

1099. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:50:21 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ar8mc8uh4s258@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82137

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1151556615.811344.225970@x69g2000cwx.googlegroups.com...
>
> m II wrote:
> > SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > > Two of the firms, China Great Wall and CPMIEC, are directly owned and
> > > operated by the Chinese government. Both firms have repeatedly been
the
> > > subjects of reprimands since the early 1990s. Exports to China Great
Wall
> > > were suspended in 1992 because of its transfer of nuclear tipped M-11
> > > ballistic missiles to Pakistan.
> > >
> > > However, the Clinton administration allowed the company to purchase
advanced
> > > U.S. technology such as computer, satellite launch systems, and space
> > > electronics. Great Wall was implicated in illegal exports during the
1990s
> > > and included in charges filed against Hughes for the illegal export of
> > > advanced missile technology such as nose cone designs.
> > >
> > > The Clinton administration was very aware that Great Wall was part of
the
> > > Chinese military. According to a Commerce Department document on
Chinese
> > > military defense industries, the People's Liberation Army strategy is
to
> > > wage and win a war against America.
> >
> >
> > The Neocon Perle was instrumental in many of those sales,

Oh, so says you?

> There is always Katrina Leung, the Chinese double agent and ranking
> member of the GOP. Google her for some good stuff.

Why don't you enlighten us with a verifiable link to facts?
http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/library/reports/2006/fbi-leung_review_d
oj-oig0605.htm

1100. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:51:02 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ia8ra29lm3d0ie37tb73721vap8jj66him@4ax.com>
Source record: 82136

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the time.

If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.

Time for Universal Respect. No one is better than anyone else. No one is free until everyone's free. No one is expendible.

1101. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:55:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152230138.599605.298030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82138

bpnjensen wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > BpnJ - "you believe it is OK to ramrod
> > your beliefs down the throats of others"
> >
> > i think the 'tone' of your writing says it all ~ RHF
>
- All *I'm* doing is writing on a newsgroup,
-R- That you are.

- and regardless of how strong my terms may be,
- it is only writing.
-R- Alas Words Have Meaning -or-
You would not be Defending your Ideas of the
Meaning of the US Constitution with such Zeal !

- *You're* the one who wants a Constitutional
- amendment to remove an existing right,
-R- With Rights Come Responsibilities.

- criminalize free expression
-R- While Words may only indeed be Words :
Some Actions Go Far Beyond Mere Words
and Exceed what reasonable people would
consider Free Expression.
{ Consider the Feelings of Others for a Moment. }

- and subvert the First Amendment,
-R- Where You See Subversion : I See Limits
and Exercising Individual Rights Responsibility

- making the document arguably internally inconsistent.
-R- The Document is internally inconsistent by design :
The structure with separate Articles allows for unique
parameters to cover specific issues given each equal
standing -but- separate treatment.

With Respect to the US Constitution
http://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/constitution.overview.html

With Respect to the "Bill of Rights"
also has the same structure.
http://www.archives.gov/national-archives-experience/charters/bill_of_rights_transcript.html

- Which do you think fits the ramrod description better?
-R- Bruce - THE TONE ! The Tone. the tone,
Glad that you have toned-it-down a little. :o)

- I'm done - vacation plans await.
-R- Enjoy your {well deserved} Vacation ! :o)

- You may blather at will.
-R- That I Will. -and- That I Do !

- Bruce Jensen
- **********
-R- for i remain the ever blathering 'rhf' ~ RHF
{ Bruce - I glad we had this conversation :o}
.
.
. .

1102. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:55:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADA30F.B690C963@milestones.com>
Source record: 82139

David wrote:

> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> time.
>
> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
>
> Time for Universal Respect. No one is better than anyone else. No
> one is free until everyone's free. No one is expendible.

You sure as hell are, 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1103. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-06 23:56:50 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ar92embo7c19e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82141

"David" <rickets@knac.com> propagandised

>
> Time for Universal Respect. No one is better than anyone else. No
> one is free until everyone's free. No one is expendible.
>

Mao Tse Dong - 1949

1104. (OT) : De President & De Press -circa- 1851

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:00:05 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152230405.683906.221590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82140

KL writes - "You may remember that in 1851..."

sorry 'kl' - i was just born yesterday :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Kilo Lani wrote:
> The President and the Press
>
> You may remember that in 1851 the New York Herald Tribune under the
> sponsorship and publishing of Horace Greeley, employed as its London
> correspondent an obscure journalist by the name of Karl Marx.
> ...
> If the press is awaiting a declaration of war before it imposes the
> self-discipline of combat conditions, then I can only say that no war ever
> posed a greater threat to our security. If you are awaiting a finding of
> "clear and present danger," then I can only say that the danger has never
> been more clear and its presence has never been more imminent.
> ...
> I am asking the members of the newspaper profession and the industry in this
> country to reexamine their own responsibilities, to consider the degree and
> the nature of the present danger, and to heed the duty of self-restraint
> which that danger imposes upon us all.
>
> Every newspaper now asks itself, with respect to every story: "Is it news?"
> All I suggest is that you add the question: "Is it in the interest of the
> national security?"
> ...
> It was early in the Seventeenth Century that Francis Bacon remarked on three
> recent inventions already transforming the world: the compass, gunpowder and
> the printing press. Now the links between the nations first forged by the
> compass have made us all citizens of the world, the hopes and threats of one
> becoming the hopes and threats of us all. In that one world's efforts to
> live together, the evolution of gunpowder to its ultimate limit has warned
> mankind of the terrible consequences of failure.
>
> And so it is to the printing press--to the recorder of man's deeds, the
> keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news--that we look for strength
> and assistance, confident that with your help man will be what he was born
> to be: free and independent.
>
> President John F. Kennedy - April 27, 1961.
>
> http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Desk/Speec

> hes/JFK/003POF03NewspaperPublishers04271961.htm
> ----------
>
> The Left's Media Manipulators
>
> During the Cold War, under Ronald Reagan's presidency, the United States
> government paid special attention to Soviet efforts to manipulate world
> public opinion against the United States.
>
> They referred to these Soviet efforts as "active measures." A special unit
> at the United States Information Agency, headed by a top Soviet analyst,
> Herbert Romerstein, kept track of the most vicious Soviet tricks and exposed
> them as frauds to the public.
> ...
> Today, new masters of disinformation have emerged in Iran, Iraq, and the
> Palestinian territories.
> ...
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
> ----------
>
> If the Left Weren't Hypocritical
>
> Why is everybody in such a fuss over what to do about The New York Times's
> exposure of the government's secret anti-terrorist-financing program? Isn't
> there a perfectly good precedent for how it should be handled, currently on
> display in the form of Patrick Fitzgerald's CIA leak investigation?
> ...
> What have we heard from the people who so loudly demanded an independent
> investigation of the exposure of CIA employee Valerie Plame Wilson's
> identity? Not much.
>
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23241
>
> Listen to the Silence
>
> --
> ----------
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1105. (OT) : Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:03:08 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152230588.802180.321800@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82142

Miso - "Google her for some good stuff."

can any woman be safe with you about ! :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

miso@sushi.com wrote:
> m II wrote:
> > SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > > Two of the firms, China Great Wall and CPMIEC, are directly owned and
> > > operated by the Chinese government. Both firms have repeatedly been the
> > > subjects of reprimands since the early 1990s. Exports to China Great Wall
> > > were suspended in 1992 because of its transfer of nuclear tipped M-11
> > > ballistic missiles to Pakistan.
> > >
> > > However, the Clinton administration allowed the company to purchase advanced
> > > U.S. technology such as computer, satellite launch systems, and space
> > > electronics. Great Wall was implicated in illegal exports during the 1990s
> > > and included in charges filed against Hughes for the illegal export of
> > > advanced missile technology such as nose cone designs.
> > >
> > > The Clinton administration was very aware that Great Wall was part of the
> > > Chinese military. According to a Commerce Department document on Chinese
> > > military defense industries, the People's Liberation Army strategy is to
> > > wage and win a war against America.
> >
> >
> > The Neocon Perle was instrumental in many of those sales,
>
> There is always Katrina Leung, the Chinese double agent and ranking
> member of the GOP. Google her for some good stuff.

1106. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:05:07 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152230698.918898.241710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82143

Kilo Lani wrote:
> The President and the Press
>

http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm

- Apparantly President Bush gets along very well with the press..

1107. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:17:12 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152231432.219023.76460@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82144

DE - "Even the neighborhood counted (one not
appealing to Continentals was a factor)."

DE - Can you elaborate on this statement ? ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD4017.A638D8BF@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the prancing fake Hispanic
> > wrote:
> >
> >> I asked. Cues were things like the language the door was answered in,
> >> observations on language used with other family members, etc.
> >
> > I'll have to remember that! Heck, along with being German, Scottish and
> > Native
> > American (Miami), I can be a fake Hispanic!
>
> Not likely. Fake or otherwise, the cues also included observing whether an
> English or Spanish newspaper was in the home and visible, what kinds of
> books, if any, were visible (English or Spanish), etc. Even the neighborhood
> counted (one not appealing to Continentals was a factor).

1108. (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:31:53 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152232313.759418.74530@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82145

DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
> >
> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
>
- Nope. The Census taker made that determination
- based on a pre-established set of observations.
.
.
. .

1109. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:32:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <crara2td0e2e75f77didjtgavd4prt51dp@4ax.com>
Source record: 82146

On Wed, 28 Jun 2006 16:00:28 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
wrote:

You don't get it, do you?

The Red Chinese own us. Game over.

1110. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:36:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com>
Source record: 82147

RHF wrote:

> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF

He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates, etc.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1111. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:38:35 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <33irg.527$x93.128@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82248

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AD89EA.BBEFC4@milestones.com...
> ** LEBANON. Glenn, DXers may be interested to know that a 1969 Radio
> Liban QSL card has just fetched US$787 at an eBay auction. QSL cards
> are well established as collectibles now, and recent price levels
> (over US$50 each for AM/SW cards) would indicate that prices are
> taking off.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2174818678&category=38031
>
> An average collection of, say 1000 cards from the 1960's to date, may
> well be worth over US$50,000 depending on which stations are included.
> I encourage all DXers to insure their QSL card collections, to make
> bequest provisions to lodge them with club collections and
> preservation groups or museums, or if they choose to put them on the
> market, to be aware of their potential value. 73's (David Ricquish,
> Radio Heritage Collection http://www.radiodx.com
> Wellington, New Zealand, DX LISTENING DIGEST 3-092, May 27, 2003)
>
> I've got one of those!
>
> Vintage QSLs became valuable collectibles on E-bay, even $358 for
> Radio Am&#65533;ricas, Swan Island.
> (THE SHORTWAVE YEAR IN REVIEW --- by Glenn Hauser for VOA, January 1,
> 2003)
>
> Unfortunately not one of those!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

Gee Steve. Maybe I will be able to afford that Ford Cobra after all. :)

BH

1112. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:38:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADAD11.DE070D32@milestones.com>
Source record: 82249

David wrote:

> On Wed, 28 Jun 2006 16:00:28 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
> wrote:
>
> You don't get it, do you?
>
> The Red Chinese own us. Game over.

Why don't you just fly to Beijing and surrender, 'tard boy?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1113. FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:40:36 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GbmdnXTWtMDjMDDZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have an extra 2010 for sale. works great. cosmetically has wear around the volume control but still overall good condition. whip is fine. sync works well.
I will include and AC adapter (not Sony) and antenna wire or whatever else you might need that I am able to include for free.
email me if you want some pics.

1114. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:43:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADAE20.A61C7171@milestones.com>
Source record: 82251

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AD89EA.BBEFC4@milestones.com...
> > ** LEBANON. Glenn, DXers may be interested to know that a 1969 Radio
> > Liban QSL card has just fetched US$787 at an eBay auction. QSL cards
> > are well established as collectibles now, and recent price levels
> > (over US$50 each for AM/SW cards) would indicate that prices are
> > taking off.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2174818678&category=38031
> >
> > An average collection of, say 1000 cards from the 1960's to date, may
> > well be worth over US$50,000 depending on which stations are included.
> > I encourage all DXers to insure their QSL card collections, to make
> > bequest provisions to lodge them with club collections and
> > preservation groups or museums, or if they choose to put them on the
> > market, to be aware of their potential value. 73's (David Ricquish,
> > Radio Heritage Collection http://www.radiodx.com
> > Wellington, New Zealand, DX LISTENING DIGEST 3-092, May 27, 2003)
> >
> > I've got one of those!
> >
> > Vintage QSLs became valuable collectibles on E-bay, even $358 for
> > Radio Amï¿½ricas, Swan Island.
> > (THE SHORTWAVE YEAR IN REVIEW --- by Glenn Hauser for VOA, January 1,
> > 2003)
> >
> > Unfortunately not one of those!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
>
> Gee Steve. Maybe I will be able to afford that Ford Cobra after all. :)

Yeah, things are looking a whole lot better!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1115. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:45:16 UTC
From: Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97F8B49CFF40Bkevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>
Source record: 82252

"Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
news:12ar80ccl8urj3a@corp.supernews.com:

> Every newspaper now asks itself, with respect to every story: "Is it
> news?" All I suggest is that you add the question: "Is it in the
> interest of the national security?"
>

Let's start with getting them to ask the first question! More often than
not the second would not have to be asked at all.

KG

1116. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:46:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <olbra2l2uho1ge56aldk2gr66653ocprf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 82253

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:39:36 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan
>
>This manual provides further evidence that the Iraqi military was active in
>Afghanistan and working with the Taliban. The Taliban harbored and trained
>with Al Qaeda. The information in the document matches media reports that
>U.S. intelligence sources believed the IIS was training Al Qaeda in
>Afghanistan. It also matches information from other IIS documentation that
>shows requests from Islamic Jihad groups in Afghanistan for Iraqi military
>assistance.
>...
>http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/Articles/Iraq%20How%20to%20Manual%20Direct
>ed.html
>
>LISTEN TO THE SILENCE OF THE LEFT

This is bad journalism. The reporter is suggesting a conclusion not
supported by facts:

''While the training manual revealed today by Fox News does not
mention the IIS agent's notebook, the manual does suggest an Arab
regime, most likely Saddam, may have provided the military help
requested by the Taliban and Al Qaeda.''

1117. 700 WLW responds to IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:46:52 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152233212.718402.201240@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82254

I sent this to 700 WLW's link, for questions concerning IBOC - it was very nice of Darryl to respond so quickly, but I think he dodged a lot of my questions/concerns::

Hello,

I have heard and read many negative reviews concerning HD/IBOC, especially, how it
will negatively affect nightime AM DXing. I live and Maryland, and very much enjoy
listening to Scott Sloan, but I am very concerned that it will be very difficult, because
tests with WOR, in New York, running HD/IBOC caused much interference with WLW.
Also, I understand that an HD/IBOC exciter, and possible expensive modifications
to antenna systems (to modify the bandwidth), along with a yearly fee to iBiquity, makes
this technology very expensive. Tests with daytime IBOC have caused much
adjacent-channel interference, poor quality transmissions from the digital sidebands
dropping out (it's all-or-nothing with digital), and poor penetration and coverage of the
digital signal. Luckily, nightime IBOC, has not yet been approved and, hopefully, never
will. Was your station pressured by Clear Channel and iBiquity to run IBOC ? Also, I
have read that a number of stations have turned off HD/IBOC, because off listener
complaints and adjacent-channel interference. I hope your station doesn't
"shoot iteself in the foot", by running HD/IBOC, thereby cutting off many AM DXers !
I would appreciate any thoughts on this, when you get a chance.

AND the response from Darryl at 700 WLW:

Actually, one of the first IBOC test stations years ago was 700WLW. The system has been improved a lot over the years. The interference comes from the digital signal being on the sidebands of the main carrier. One of the things that Clear Channel has done has required the AM's roll off at 5k, which actually is a good thing reducing interference and allow the smart stations to make the signal more dense and loud.

You are correct with the issues in digital transmission...it's either there are not and this with lower power stations may still be an issue. As far as antenna modifications for bandwidth, being a non-direction station 700WLW is OK with minor issues. We did have the HD signal on for a few weeks a few months ago and did turn it off because of processing issues. The new equipment has arrived and at some point we will begin experimenting with HD again.

Although, there are problems, no pain...no gain. We live in a digital world. We all need to embrace the future...or it will run us over.

Thank you for listening. We'll continue to make more.

Darryl Parks
700WLW

1118. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:50:51 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44ADAFE6.5DA1@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82255

dxAce wrote:
> An average collection of, say 1000 cards from the 1960's to date, may
> well be worth over US$50,000 depending on which stations are included.
> I encourage all DXers to insure their QSL card collections, to make
> bequest provisions to lodge them with club collections and
> preservation groups or museums, or if they choose to put them on the
> market, to be aware of their potential value. 73's (David Ricquish,
> Radio Heritage Collection http://www.radiodx.com

Gee, I have probably that many from a hundred countries that I neglected
to send in for a DXCC in the 50s. You just never know what junk will
turn out to be valuable.

I wonder where they are. Probably tossed out in some move.

I even got a nice handwritten letter from the USSR asking me to send
a Vibroplex bug, in exchange for genuine Russian postage stamps.

One of the more mysterious letters in my high school ham career.
An early Nigerian dictator.
--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1119. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 00:57:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44ADB15C-1054@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82257

www.chuckbaldwinlive.com
The Freedom Documents.
Order yours today.
cuhulin
.....
It sure was hot out there a little while ago,mowing my yard.I could use
a ''cold one'',but I am fresh out
.....

1120. Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:02:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADB2A2.D5E01748@milestones.com>
Source record: 82256

I was just looking around on eBay Completed Items and came across this one:

<http://cgi.ebay.com/1960-Dodecanece-Islands-USCGC-Courier-QSL-Card_W0QQitemZ3200017745119QQihZ011QQcategoryZ3514QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>

Though an amateur radio QSL, this guy was operating on board the United States Coast Guard Cutter 'Courier' which was in the Agean to relay VOA programming.

See also:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/USCGC_Courier

http://www181.pair.com/otsw/USCGC_Courier.html

http://www.offshore-radio.de/fleet/courier.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1121. BFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:10:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44ADB493-1058@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82261

A few old guys talking on 146.760 MHz.One of them said he owns one of the first old BFOs ever made and he finally got it coralled.Another old guy said he didn't fool with a balun,he just stuck it up and its working ok.
cuhulin

1122. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:13:35 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152234815.122956.233250@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82258

DaviD - The Digital Hash from AM/MW HD-IBOC Radio :
Will be used to hide the Noise of the Black Helicopters
as they approach your home to take you away.
http://www.jacquedee63.com/takemeaway.html

Watch-Out-DaviD - They're Coming to Take You Away Ha-Haaa!
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/They%27re_Coming_to_Take_Me_Away_Ha-Haaa%21

Yes DaviD - The Men-in-Black are Real - Just Look in the Mirror !
http://it.stlawu.edu/~x0tsing/takeaway.ra

- thus sayeth the 'maharishi homey' ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 5 Jul 2006 07:38:19 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
> <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> >My Tivoli-Model 1 is now useless on AM in the daytime. Go figure.
> >

- Lovely. All digital radio is a step toward
- more complete government/corporate control.
-
- Ask Homey.
. .

1123. Re: Eton E1 Radio with DRM next ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:16:16 UTC
From: EMBO <Sorry@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060706181616807-Sorry@gmailcom>
Source record: 82259

On 2006-06-25 15:28:19 -0700, David <rickets@knac.com> said:

> On 25 Jun 2006 13:22:37 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>> BH,
>>
>> Interesting News about the Eton E1 Radio
>> with future DRM capablilities
>>
>> Most of the Higher Cost of any Consumer Product
>> in the EU is due to the Higher Taxes that they pay
>> for Large Grovernment Social Welfare Programs.
>>
>> ~ RHF
>> .
> Like universal health care and dignified retirement? The horror....

Aren't they heathens? Imagine a wealthy nation taking care of its
people! They shall surely burn in hell, the Marxists!

1124. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:19:24 UTC
From: EMBO <Sorry@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060706181924752 49-Sorry@gmailcom>
Source record: 82260

On 2006-06-30 08:07:43 -0700, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> said:

>
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1151640166.874362.273190@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bushies waste money renaming stuff? Eh, why not. Wasting money is a way
>> of life for those assholes.
>>
> Wasting money has been a way of life in Washington for a very long time. You
> finally noticed now?

Well, when the "republicans" did, I noticed.

1125. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:25:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152235557.542180.64590@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82262

There is a little 'lie' in every "Belief" -and-
What We "Believe" Is Often Center On A 'Lie'
- These Lies are Misunderstandings of the
Facts -and- Assumptions about Reality
- That Can Not Be Proven !

alas we are only human and not gods ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 6 Jul 2006 10:00:05 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >BpnJ - "you believe it is OK to ramrod
> >your beliefs down the throats of others"
> >
> >i think the 'tone' of your writing says it all ~ RHF
> There's a lie in every beLIEf.

1126. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:32:43 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <%Qirg.35786$VE1.13096@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82263

>
> David wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 28 Jun 2006 16:00:28 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> You don't get it, do you?
>>
>> The Red Chinese own us. Game over.

dx the fake "ace" wrote:

> Why don't you just fly to Beijing and surrender, 'tard boy?

Still have that reading comprehension problem I see.

In essence, what he is saying is that, *economically* speaking, we have *already* surrendered to Beijing.

Until people in this country are willing to work for 50 cents an hour, work 12 hours a day, have no benefits and few or no environmental controls, -ECONOMICALLY-, the Chinese *do* own us. (85% of the crap on the shelves at Wally World is made in China; Guess what? That's 85% that's *NOT* made in America).

...and that's to say nothing of the hundreds of billions of dollars of our government paper (T-Bills/Bonds) the Chinese own. They do literally own a large portion of us.

Get your head out of your infamous laughing ass long enough to look at the downsizing and outsourcing going on around you.

Oh, and if you keep your head out of your laughing ass a bit longer, don't forget to thank your boy Bunnypants for:

a) saying "Outsourcing is -good- for this country" and

b) giving the Chinese "Favored Nation/Permanent Normal Trade" status.

1127. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:39:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152236373.230315.151670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82264

dxAce wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
> > DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> > the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>
> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
> etc.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

DX Ace,

"FYI" - Social Security Numbers {Green Cards ?} and
Birth Certificates come easy to the vast majority of
Hispanics in the USA - Because of Two Reasons :
1 - They Were Born Here [.]
2 - They Are US Citizens [.]

yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

1128. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:40:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADBB8C.5C622752@milestones.com>
Source record: 82265

"Carter, k8vt" wrote:

> >
> > David wrote:
> >
> >> On Wed, 28 Jun 2006 16:00:28 -0500, "SeeingEyeDogg" <look@u.org>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> You don't get it, do you?
> >>
> >> The Red Chinese own us. Game over.
>
> dx the fake "ace" wrote:
>
> > Why don't you just fly to Beijing and surrender, 'tard boy?
>
> Still have that reading comprehension problem I see.
>
> In essence, what he is saying is that, *economically* speaking, we have
> *already* surrendered to Beijing.

No shit, Sherlock. I merely wanted his dumb ass outta here!

Why don't you join him, dipshit?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1129. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:49:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADBDC2.1A43CC1C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82266

RHF wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> > > DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> > > the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >
> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
> > etc.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> DX Ace,
>
> "FYI" - Social Security Numbers {Green Cards ?} and
> Birth Certificates come easy to the vast majority of
> Hispanics in the USA - Because of Two Reasons :
> # 1 - They Were Born Here [.]
> # 2 - They Are US Citizens [.]
>
> yes it is that simple ~ RHF

I was referring more to the illegal Hispanic community, though I suspect that the
legal Hispanic community is aiding and abetting the illegals.

yes it is that simple ~ dxAce

1130. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:52:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <B7jrg.116$2v.95@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82267

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152231432.219023.76460@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> DE - "Even the neighborhood counted (one not
> appealing to Continentals was a factor)."
>
> DE - Can you elaborate on this statement ? ~ RHF

Continentals live predominantly in just a couple of neighborhoods on the whole Island, where there are English schools, bilingual staff in stores, etc. A neighborhood that is not favorable to Continentals would tend to indicate that the residents were all Hispanic. This, added to other observations, contributed to the "fill by observation, not by asking" that all interviewers used to determine the answer to the "Hispanic" question. In other words, they were looking for non-Hispanics, since there were so few of them and generally easy to spot because nearly none spoke English, they lived in ghettos, etc.

1131. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:53:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <v8jrg.118$2v.19@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82268

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152232313.759418.74530@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44AD3B13.BED7A6AB@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > OK, so you duped the Census taker, big deal!
>>
> - Nope. The Census taker made that determination
> - based on a pre-established set of observations.

I asked why they did not ask all the questions verbally. This was because I had seen the forms, since I was on the media committee of the PRBA that promoted cooperation with the Census.

1132. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:53:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16960-44ADBE9B-1064@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82270

Bronx Man Arrested in Subway Station Power Saw Attack.
http://ny1.com/ny1/content/index.jsp?stid=1&aid=60798

.....transit workers did nothing to help him.

Just last week Paul Gallo (www.supertalkms.com FM.97.3 on his radio talk show) was talking about an article about how nice New York City people are.Go ahead and ask him if y'all dont believe me.
PGallo@Telesouth.com

1133. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 01:54:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Y8jrg.119$2v.104@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82269

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com...
>
>
> RHF wrote:
>
>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>
> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
> knows how
> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
> certificates,
> etc.

All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.

1134. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:00:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com>
Source record: 82271

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most prolific pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >
> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
> > knows how
> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
> > certificates,
> > etc.
>
> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.

No need for them.

But you, you're still fake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1135. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:00:39 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152237639.213151.226830@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82272

"An Arab regime, possibly Iraq, "

- - and Possibly Trafamadore; Right ??

>From " Fox News" . .

- Pathetic . . .

1136. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:06:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24730-44ADC18D-1071@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82273

Report: Failed N. Korean Missile Aimed at Hawaii.
www.drudgereport.com

Close to Hawaii? If that Missile had landed in U.S.Territorial
Waters,that would be the same as an attack ON U.S.A.
cuhulin

1137. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:38:50 UTC
From: Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zbednQvKfaqzVDDZnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 82274

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ia8ra29lm3d0ie37tb73721vap8jj66him@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> time.

My "hard core leftist" to English dictionary translates this as follows:
"Some oppressors, including left-wing despots hostile to the United States,
have at times used "national security" as an excuse to clamp down. However,
merely invoking national security without any indication of tangible
oppressive behavior does not per se amount to oppression."

>
> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.

A truly idiotic and naive statement. I'm sure many who have engaged in
covert actions all the way from the French Resistance to those who rescued
the woman reporter in Iraq would have found their efforts severely
compromised by the "light of day."

A more intelligent paraphrase would be "If ideologues in the press give it
the light of day, there's no point in doing it."

1138. S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:39:48 UTC
From: GP <acer4101@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152239988.569433.78920@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82275

Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and tuning dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had a more robust feel to it...
Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder if they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do not think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black coating...

Cheers

Greg

1139. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:54:00 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1152240840.675163.304120@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82276

dxAce wrote:
> Ron wrote:
>
> > I just came across my old SWL collection
....

> http://www.trsc.com/ref_radio_swan_americas.html
>
> I've seen that card sell for over $300 on eBay.

In a move I have come to regret, I threw away my BCB QSL cards years ago. Of course, I filled that space with stuff much less valuable. Anyway, I think I kept that one card. I'm sure I did, in fact.

1140. Re: Japanese on 11175usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:55:39 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1D4DCB.19553906072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82277

In article <20060706181924752 49-Sorry@gmailcom>, EMBO <Sorry@gmail.com>
wrote:

> On 2006-06-30 08:07:43 -0700, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> said:
>
> >
> > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151640166.874362.273190@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Bushies waste money renaming stuff? Eh, why not. Wasting money is
> >> a way of life for those ********.
> >>
> > Wasting money has been a way of life in Washington for a very long
> > time. You finally noticed now?
>
> Well, when the "republicans" did, I noticed.

Another off topic poster boy.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1141. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 02:56:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <R3krg.60609$Lm5.1381@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82278

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> prolific
> pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > RHF wrote:
>> >
>> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>> >
>> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
>> > knows how
>> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
>> > certificates,
>> > etc.
>>
>> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.
>
> No need for them.

Then why did we take and keep PR 108 years ago?

1142. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:07:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152241664.379677.249360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82279

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1152231432.219023.76460@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> > DE - "Even the neighborhood counted (one not
> > appealing to Continentals was a factor)."
> >
> > DE - Can you elaborate on this statement ? ~ RHF
>

- Continentals live predominantly in just a couple of neighborhoods
- on the whole Island, where there are English schools, bilingual
- staff in stores, etc. A neighborhood that is not favorable to
- Continentals would tend to indicate that the residents were
- all Hispanic.

Island ?

Continentals ?

> This, added to other
> observations, contributed to the "fill by observation, not by asking" that
> all interviewers used to determine the answer to the "Hispanic" question. In
> other words, they were looking for non-Hispanics, since there were so few of
> them and generally easy to spot because nearly none spoke English, they
> lived in ghettos, etc.

1143. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:08:21 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152241701.061987.157020@s26g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82280

Kilo Lani wrote:
> "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1152127285.385808.196800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
> > > news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:
> > >
> >
> > > > BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!
> > >
> > >
> > > Morst every country in the UN is turd world, and the lazy & weak always
> > > whine about the successful.
> > and statements like that are why amercians are so loved abraod
> >
>
> You obviously haven't spoken to any Europeans lately.
why I have yesterday as matter of fact

the problem is that you are perhaps not fully conversant with the
language

the statement was sarcasm

do you need that explained to you further?

1144. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:08:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Nekrg.60612$Lm5.55502@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82281

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152241664.379677.249360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152231432.219023.76460@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
>> > DE - "Even the neighborhood counted (one not
>> > appealing to Continentals was a factor)."
>> >
>> > DE - Can you elaborate on this statement ? ~ RHF
>>
>
> - Continentals live predominantly in just a couple of neighborhoods
> - on the whole Island, where there are English schools, bilingual
> - staff in stores, etc. A neighborhood that is not favorable to
> - Continentals would tend to indicate that the residents were
> - all Hispanic.
>
> Island ?

Last I checked.
>
> Continentals ?

What people from the manland are called.
>
>> This, added to other
>> observations, contributed to the "fill by observation, not by asking"
>> that
>> all interviewers used to determine the answer to the "Hispanic" question.
>> In
>> other words, they were looking for non-Hispanics, since there were so few
>> of
>> them and generally easy to spot because nearly none spoke English, they
>> lived in ghettos, etc.
>

1145. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:11:59 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152241919.180006.172980@s26g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82282

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com...
> >
> >

> >> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.
> >
> > No need for them.
>
> Then why did we take and keep PR 108 years ago?asI recall we realy wanted it mostly as a naval base to make projecting power easier and the place was under the control of a power that was easily beaten up and suffering from a bad pr in it it efforts to supress a low level insurgentcy (in Cuba) if memory serves me correctly

we aquired the Phlipines at the same times mostly because we wee
felling left out of the empire games and had the sinking feeling that
being left out would harm us in some way in the future, not one fo the
USA's better moments but hardly our worst

1146. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:12:38 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Gikrg.99401$I61.16004@clgrps13>
Source record: 82283

k35454 wrote:

> dxAce, when it comes to short-wave info, you are one of the
> cognoscenti.
> when it comes to Canada info, you are a klutz. k35454.
> (with respect).
>
>

Klutz? That must be Yiddish for anal aperture.

mike

1147. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 03:18:39 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152242319.227411.92500@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82284

David wrote:
> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> time.
>
> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.

then the Europe would have been put under the heels of the NAZI's and
stayed there

the eforts of FDR may the lord bless and keep him did many thing that
would never have stood up to the light of day, he lied to the American
people many times e shillaied and fliped almost as much as Kerry but he
did with a goal in mind and like Sir Emund Burke (quote in Cogress the
continetal congress by lymon hall of GA)he owed the people his
judgement as their their representive and would have betrayed them had
he scrafied it even to their will
>
> Time for Universal Respect. No one is better than anyone else. No
> one is free until everyone's free. No one is expendible.

1148. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 04:16:01 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152245761.597494.87190@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82285

Kilo Lani wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1151556615.811344.225970@x69g2000cwx.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > m II wrote:
> > > SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > > > Two of the firms, China Great Wall and CPMIEC, are directly owned and
> > > > operated by the Chinese government. Both firms have repeatedly been
> the
> > > > subjects of reprimands since the early 1990s. Exports to China Great
> Wall
> > > > were suspended in 1992 because of its transfer of nuclear tipped M-11
> > > > ballistic missiles to Pakistan.
> > > >
> > > > However, the Clinton administration allowed the company to purchase
> advanced
> > > > U.S. technology such as computer, satellite launch systems, and space
> > > > electronics. Great Wall was implicated in illegal exports during the
> 1990s
> > > > and included in charges filed against Hughes for the illegal export of
> > > > advanced missile technology such as nose cone designs.
> > > >
> > > > The Clinton administration was very aware that Great Wall was part of
> the
> > > > Chinese military. According to a Commerce Department document on
> Chinese
> > > > military defense industries, the People's Liberation Army strategy is
> to
> > > > wage and win a war against America.
> > >
> > >
> > > The Neocon Perle was instrumental in many of those sales,
> >
> > Oh, so says you?
> >
> > > There is always Katrina Leung, the Chinese double agent and ranking
> > > member of the GOP. Google her for some good stuff.
> >
> > Why don't you enlighten us with a verifiable link to facts?
> > http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/library/reports/2006/fbi-leung_review_d
> > oj-oig0605.htm

I glad I didn't provide a link as I only saw newspaper reports and not
that report you listed. No wonder the FBI swept this under the rug as

they looked like complete idiots.

Well, OK, here is one unbiased article about Katrina:
<http://www.buzzflash.com/analysis/03/05/19_spy.html>

1149. Re: Radio call letters: What do they mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 05:00:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <e8kpoqhmd1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 82286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:e8eesc$9qt$1@xuxa.iecc.com...

> MY CALL IS KB5RQZ AND IT MEANS I HAVE PROVEN I HAVE TECH KNOWLEDGE TO
> TRANSMIT AT HIGH POWER JUST LIKE A AM OR FM STATION.
gee you forgre my email kb9rqz and then don't even get my call correct
as you comit fraud and id theft

1150. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 08:08:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE1692.2AAE0E1B@milestones.com>
Source record: 82287

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> > prolific
> > pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > RHF wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> >> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >> >
> >> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
> >> > knows how
> >> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
> >> > certificates,
> >> > etc.
> >>
> >> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.
> >
> > No need for them.
>
> Then why did we take and keep PR 108 years ago?

Read: No need for them to fake anything.

Please try to pay attention, and try to put things in context, you frickin
pedantic bastard.

1151. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 08:12:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE1768.B2414972@milestones.com>
Source record: 82288

m II wrote:

> k35454 wrote:
>
> > dxAce, when it comes to short-wave info, you are one of the
> > cognoscenti.
> > when it comes to Canada info, you are a klutz. k35454.
> > (with respect).
> >
> >
>
> Klutz? That must be Yiddish for anal aperture.

Nah, that's a Canucky word used to describe those who are onto the fact that you're all idiots.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1152. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 09:03:09 UTC
From: Christian Hansen <chrishansenhome@notrash.btinternet.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <7o8sa2lmq0h4u17cldb5mppcfpgm909cgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 82289

On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 19:51:41 GMT, "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Several DXers in the 60's, particularly one C. M. Stanbury of Ontario,
>doubted the location of the station, and made much noise to prove its
>location in other places. So there is an element of black helicopter
>conspiracy here from the DX community. I am satisfied that the station was
>on Swan, based on Mr. Goicochea's information as well as having hired,
>later, some of the announcers who worked for Radio Americas and who later
>worked for me at WHTT, WSUA and WCMQ in Miami.

I remember that dispute sputtering on for quite a while. I presume that
Stanbury is no longer with us, having gone to that Great DXpedition in the
Sky, no?
--
Chris Hansen | chrishansenhome at btinternet dot com
|http://www.hansenhome.demon.co.uk or
|http://www.livejournal.com/users/chrishansenhome/

1153. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 09:17:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE268C.3E08BE57@milestones.com>
Source record: 82290

Christian Hansen wrote:

> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 19:51:41 GMT, "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >Several DXers in the 60's, particularly one C. M. Stanbury of Ontario,
> >doubted the location of the station, and made much noise to prove its
> >location in other places. So there is an element of black helicopter
> >conspiracy here from the DX community. I am satisfied that the station was
> >on Swan, based on Mr. Goicochea's information as well as having hired,
> >later, some of the announcers who worked for Radio Americas and who later
> >worked for me at WHTT, WSUA and WCMQ in Miami.
>
> I remember that dispute sputtering on for quite a while. I presume that
> Stanbury is no longer with us, having gone to that Great DXpedition in the
> Sky, no?

Yes, deceased.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1154. Russia nixes VOA produced reports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 09:27:47 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <nOprg.4924$cd3.3432@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82291

Russian regulators have forced more than 60 radio stations to stop broadcasting news reports
produced by Voice of America and Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, according to radio managers and
Russian officials.

The regulators cited license violations and unauthorized changes in programming format. But senior
executives at the U.S.-government-funded broadcast services and at the stations blame the Kremlin
for the crackdown, which has knocked the reports off stations from St. Petersburg in western Russia
to Vladivostok in the Far East.

full story:

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/13743378/

1155. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 11:14:45 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <rnrrg.1873$aU.1633@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82292

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44ADB2A2.D5E01748@milestones.com...
>I was just looking around on eBay Completed Items and came across this one:
>
> <http://cgi.ebay.com/1960-Dodecanece-Islands-USCGC-Courier-QSL-Card_W0QQitemZ320001745119QQihZ011QQcategoryZ3514QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
>
> Though an amateur radio QSL, this guy was operating on board the United
> States
> Coast Guard Cutter 'Courier' which was in the Agean to relay VOA
> programming.
>
> See also:
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/USCGC_Courier
>
> http://www181.pair.com/otsw/USCGC_Courier.html
>
> http://www.offshore-radio.de/fleet/courier.htm
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

That's pretty cool. That ship had some TX balls. Two 35 kw Collins 207B
transmitters and a RCA MW TX at 150 kw.

BH

1156. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:01:45 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152273705.665206.164260@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82293

GP wrote:
> Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and tuning
> dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had a
> more robust feel to it...
> Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder if
> they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do not
> think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> coating...
>
> Cheers
>
> Greg

You are so right about the quality control issues and image problems.
I wonder, if they have addressed the drifting problems that still
exist, despite their kludged fix to the latest models (analog will
always drift, anyway). The S350 is a real piece of crap, but you had
better watch out, for this newsgroup, anytime you post something
negative about cheap SW receivers (especially, the S350), you get a
whipping ! I don't think the radio is even worth $50.

1157. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:03:02 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82294

GP wrote:
> Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and tuning
> dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had a
> more robust feel to it...
> Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder if
> they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do not
> think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> coating...
>
> Cheers
>
> Greg

That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
else.

1158. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:10:05 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152274205.719764.280110@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

mike maghakian wrote:
> I have an extra 2010 for sale. works great. cosmetically has wear around the
> volume control but still overall good condition. whip is fine. sync works
> well.
> I will include and AC adapter (not Sony) and antenna wire or whatever else
> you might need that I am able to include for free.
> email me if you want some pics.

Too bad, the 2010 and DX-440 are no longer being made !

1159. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:28:54 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rmerkel@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <6rksa2td2jr85nqfnoidvq949gq8u20ae8@4ax.com>
Source record: 82296

Thanks all very good information.

On 5 Jul 2006 07:38:19 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
<stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> HD is not yet authorized for night operation, although 4:30 AM falls in the
>> experimental period, so they could be testing.
>
>WABC-77 AM (along with WOR-710 and WFAN-66 AM) shuts down the IBOC down
>for the night.
>
>WCBS-88 AM, however is running it 24/7. I guess they're experimenting
>interference with WLS-89 AM,Chicago?
>
>WNYC-82 AM was running IBOC day and night for the past month (10,000
>watts day, 1000 watts night). The exciter has been off since this past
>Friday night, however. As of this morning, it was still shut off.
>
>WADO-1280 AM's exciter has also been off over the weekend. I don't
>know what happened this morning, though. Didn't tune in.
>
>So I don't know what's been happening...aside from LOTS of hash in the
>daytime.
>My Tivoli-Model 1 is now useless on AM in the daytime. Go figure.
>
>I'm still waiting for WINS-1010, WEPN-1050 and WBBR-1130 to go
>IBOC...maybe in a few months time? Ditto the regionals (WPAT-AM 93,
>WZRC-1480, etc.), although those were playing with IBOC back in 2003
>and then shut it off, never to turn it back on.
>
>Salem Broadcasting (WMCA-57 and WWDJ-97 AM) are holding off on IBOC for
>now....
"The only way to have a friend is to be one".
-Ralph Waldo Emerson-

1160. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:30:20 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ousrg.1$RJ7.0@fe03.lga>
Source record: 82297

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> else.
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!

1161. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:30:42 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Jusrg.2$RJ7.1@fe03.lga>
Source record: 82298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>
> Too bad, the 2010 and DX-440 are no longer being made !
>

Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!

1162. Re: 700 WLW responds to IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:36:39 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rmerkel@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <8alsa29f08e89pd2hbjd26pqe3rqrksh0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 82299

Good info.. Thanks

On 6 Jul 2006 17:46:52 -0700, "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!"
<gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I sent this to 700 WLW's link, for questions concerning IBOC - it was
>very nice of Darryl to respond so quickly, but I think he dodged a lot
>of my questions/concerns::
>
>Hello,
>
> I have heard and read many negative reviews concerning HD/IBOC,
>especially, how it
>will negatively affect nightime AM DXing. I live and Maryland, and
>very much enjoy
>listening to Scott Sloan, but I am very concerned that it will be very
>difficult, because
>tests with WOR, in New York, running HD/IBOC caused much interference
>with WLW.
>Also, I understand that an HD/IBOC exciter, and possible expensive
>modifications
>to antenna systems (to modify the bandwidth), along with a yearly fee
>to iBiquity, makes
>this technology very expensive. Tests with daytime IBOC have caused
>much
>adjacent-channel interference, poor quality transmissions from the
>digital sidebands
>dropping out (it's all-or-nothing with digital), and poor penetration
>and coverage of the
>digital signal. Luckily, nightime IBOC, has not yet been approved and,
>hopefully, never
>will. Was your station pressured by Clear Channel and iBiquity to run
>IBOC ? Also, I
>have read that a number of stations have turned off HD/IBOC, because
>off listener
>complaints and adjacent-channel interference. I hope your station
>doesn't
>"shoot iteself in the foot", by running HD/IBOC, thereby cutting off
>many AM DXers !
>I would appreciate any thoughts on this, when you get a chance.
>
>AND the response from Darryl at 700 WLW:
>
>Actually, one of the first IBOC test stations years ago was 700WLW.
>The system has been improved a lot over the years. The interference

>comes from the digital signal being on the sidebands of the main
>carrier. One of the things that Clear Channel has done has required
>the AM's roll off at 5k, which actually is a good thing reducing
>interference and allow the smart stations to make the signal more dense
>and loud.
>
>You are correct with the issues in digital transmission...it's either
>there are not and this with lower power stations may still be an issue.
> As far as antenna modifications for bandwidth, being a non-direction
>station 700WLW is OK with minor issues. We did have the HD signal on
>for a few weeks a few months ago and did turn it off because of
>processing issues. The new equipment has arrived and at some point we
>will begin experimenting with HD again.
>
>Although, there are problems, no pain...no gain. We live in a digital
>world. We all need to embrace the future...or it will run us over.
>
>Thank you for listening. We'll continue to make more.
>
>Darryl Parks
>700WLW
"The only way to have a friend is to be one".
-Ralph Waldo Emerson-

1163. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:41:11 UTC
From: RikaTTic <rickattic@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NrSdnWACRqPwyzPZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82300

Good info.. Thanks

"Ron Hardin" <rhhardin@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:44AB7C12.1E71@mindspring.com...
> WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
> wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
> a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
>
> --
> Ron Hardin
> rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
> On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1164. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 12:48:06 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rickattic@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <00msa25evcid9ai034m99se332ncaenaur@4ax.com>
Source record: 82301

On 3 Jul 2006 06:41:05 -0700, "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!"
<gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On 3 Jul 2006 00:05:53 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >"Garbage" {little gigo} - Stop reading articles -and-
>> >Start Listening to the Radio.
>> >
>> >Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>> >
>> >As BAD pointed out the adjacent Channels on each side
>> >of an IBOC Radio Station are becoming Digital-Hash-Land
>> >and DXing many of these Frequencies is a thing of the past.
>> >
>> >IBOC AM/MW Radio Broadcasting is great for the 'local'
>> >Radio Stations because it reduces and eliminates most
>> >Out-of-Area Radio Listening opportunities; and potentially
>> >increases the number of listeners for the "Locals".
>> >- - - AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !
>> >
>> New world order, Bubba. We must control a unique message for each
>> sector.
>
>AM DXing is king !
>SW DXing is becoming a thing-of-the-past !
"The only way to have a friend is to be one".
-Ralph Waldo Emerson-

1165. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:05:01 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rickattic@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ovmsa2d2qot7iu8hkmifq22q1f2bg2uq1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 82302

On Wed, 5 Jul 2006 19:11:18 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
wrote:

>"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
>>>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
>>> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
>>> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
>>> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
>>> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
>>> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
>>> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
>>
>> The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
>> same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
>> more than the FM analog signal is.
>>>
>
>
>That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
>anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
>it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
>
>Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
>when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
>
>"As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
>IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
>the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
>total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
>from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
>better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
>too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
>night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
>KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
"The only way to have a friend is to be one".
-Ralph Waldo Emerson-

1166. Re: IBOC at night (WABC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:07:02 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rickattic@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e3nsa2hcv2cm7okcbfmbasjbtiduf6vh1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 82303

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 08:45:15 GMT, Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>WABC 770 NYC seems to be running IBOC at night (now 4:30am EDT),
>wiping out WJR 760 Detroit listening in Central Ohio, which is
>a pretty hefty signal to be wiping out.
"The only way to have a friend is to be one".
-Ralph Waldo Emerson-

1167. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:11:41 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44AE5D84.7030501@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 82304

>>>HD is not yet authorized for night operation, although 4:30 AM falls in the
>>>experimental period, so they could be testing.
>>
>>WABC-77 AM (along with WOR-710 and WFAN-66 AM) shuts down the IBOC down
>>for the night.
>>
>>WCBS-88 AM, however is running it 24/7. I guess they're experimenting
>>interference with WLS-89 AM,Chicago?
>>
>>WNYC-82 AM was running IBOC day and night for the past month (10,000
>>watts day, 1000 watts night). The exciter has been off since this past
>>Friday night, however. As of this morning, it was still shut off.
>>
>>WADO-1280 AM's exciter has also been off over the weekend. I don't
>>know what happened this morning, though. Didn't tune in.

On a number of occasions, stations have run their IBOC all night. In
most cases this seems to involve major stations operated by major groups
such as Clear Channel. As much as some people may dislike Clear
Channel, they are not known for violating FCC technical regulations. [0]
I suppose in one or two cases there's been a simple mistake or failure
of a timer, but I have to think in most cases these stations have some
kind of temporary authority from the FCC for testing purposes.

(in most cases I've heard of, the IBOC is staying on *all night* -
including between sunset and midnight - not just during the experimental
period when it might arguably be legal without STA)

>>Salem Broadcasting (WMCA-57 and WWDJ-97 AM) are holding off on IBOC for
>>now....

Indeed, Salem reportedly *removed* IBOC from WIND-560 Chicago when they
acquired it from a different owner.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

[0] some would argue they get the interference-causing technology
declared legal before they try using it<grin, kinda>

1168. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:20:51 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <qsnsa257m2oj87ppiah55dq89hq9phg22j@4ax.com>
Source record: 82305

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 21:06:05 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Report: Failed N. Korean Missile Aimed at Hawaii.
>www.drudgereport.com
>
>Close to Hawaii? If that Missile had landed in U.S.Territorial
>Waters,that would be the same as an attack ON U.S.A.
>cuhulin
>
And Bush is powerless to respond because the Chinese won't let him.

1169. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:22:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8unsa2580okm8qddtt0jat3u19kdrid4dn@4ax.com>
Source record: 82306

On 6 Jul 2006 18:25:57 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>There is a little 'lie' in every "Belief" -and-
>What We "Believe" Is Often Center On A 'Lie'
>- These Lies are Misunderstandings of the
>Facts -and- Assumptions about Reality
>- That Can Not Be Proven !
>
>alas we are only human and not gods ~ RHF
> .
Speak for yerself.

The masters make the rules for the wise men and the fools; I got
nothin', Ma, to live up to.

1170. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:23:40 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <o1osa2lpea85hock4u2tf67qqqd7i80vv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82307

On Fri, 07 Jul 2006 13:11:41 GMT, Doug Smith W9WI
<w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote:

>On a number of occasions, stations have run their IBOC all night. In
>most cases this seems to involve major stations operated by major groups
>such as Clear Channel. As much as some people may dislike Clear
>Channel, they are not known for violating FCC technical regulations. [0]
> I suppose in one or two cases there's been a simple mistake or failure
>of a timer, but I have to think in most cases these stations have some
>kind of temporary authority from the FCC for testing purposes.
>
You can do whatever you want between Midnight and 4 AM, as long as you
don't run paid.

1171. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:25:48 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <f4osa2pd7kecuissbcmcapv4nv9ath5bsd@4ax.com>
Source record: 82308

On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 22:38:50 -0400, "Lenny" <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ia8ra29lm3d0ie37tb73721vap8jj66him@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>>
>> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
>> time.
>
>My "hard core leftist" to English dictionary translates this as follows:
>"Some oppressors, including left-wing despots hostile to the United States,
>have at times used "national security" as an excuse to clamp down. However,
>merely invoking national security without any indication of tangible
>oppressive behavior does not per se amount to oppression."
>
>>
>> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
>
>A truly idiotic and naive statement. I'm sure many who have engaged in
>covert actions all the way from the French Resistance to those who rescued
>the woman reporter in Iraq would have found their efforts severely
>compromised by the "light of day."
>
> A more intelligent paraphrase would be "If ideologues in the press give it
>the light of day, there's no point in doing it."
>
>
>
The French Resistance was not a government. If National Security was
an excuse for abridging freedom we'd be at war all the time. Power
corrupts. Impeach Cheney.

1172. NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:29:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <jdosa21bfh3ll6cmb08fnqdhcoe9gvtckh@4ax.com>
Source record: 82309

http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m24345&l=i&size=1&hd=0

1173. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 13:59:49 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <bOtrg.1$486.0@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82310

Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.

BH

1174. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 14:17:02 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <y1urg.11602$vl5.3650@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82311

I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
both excellent receivers!

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
>
> GP wrote:
> > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and tuning
> > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had a
> > more robust feel to it...
> > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder if
> > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do not
> > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > coating...
> >
> > Cheers
> >
> > Greg
>
> That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> else.
>

1175. FS Military Grid Dip Meter From 60's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 14:29:08 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <Ucurg.103182$mF2.3024@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82312

For sale at $75 Plus shipping, an AN/PRM-10 Military Test Oscillator Set (Grid Dip Meter). This test set is in like new condition. Range from 2 to 400 MHz with 1000 cycle internal modulation. Pictures available.

1176. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 14:31:03 UTC
From: Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <K5OdnRi4LYKM7TPZnZ2dnUVZ_vednZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 82313

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:jdosa21bfh3ll6cmb08fnqdhcoe9gvtckh@4ax.com...
> http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m24345&l=i&size=1&hd=0
>

Did I miss the part where domestc terrorism by those outside the country started with 9-11? Too bad it didn't start 80 mos. before 9-11. Maybe we wouldn't have HAD a 9-11.

Nice link BTW. LMAO. I think you just posted this to show off how far afield you'll go for idiocy.

1177. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 14:57:08 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8ojn0$q3l$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82377

In article <e8jo98$i1d@dispatch.concentric.net>, Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com> wrote:
>I just came across my old SWL collection and found a card from Radio
>America from the Broadcast Band. I believe they broadcast from Swan
>Island. Any info would be nice. What you know about them ?

I remember reading in one of the late 1960's electronics magazines
about a visit by the editor to the facility on Swan Island, after which
the station went off the the air. I think it was Tom Kneitel (sp?)
who edited Electronics Illustrated and also one of the CB magazines (S9?).
(My copies of Electronics Illustrated are buried too deep in storage to
find it any time soon).

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

1178. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 15:03:31 UTC
From: Count Floyd <CountFloyd@MonsterChillerHorrorTheater>
Message-ID: <g40vCXBzNU8x-pn2-EyloGgFWSBHx@localhost>
Source record: 82314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 7 Jul 2006 12:30:42 UTC, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >
> > Too bad, the 2010 and DX-440 are no longer being made !
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!
In response to your contant naming of Eton E-1 as "Numero Uno",
perhaps the Collins R-390/390A is the all-time Numero Uno. Of all the
radios I have had, this one is the KING.

--
"What do you mean there's no movie?"

1179. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 15:12:15 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <bSurg.80$HO5.40@fe07.lga>
Source record: 82315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Count Floyd" <CountFloyd@MonsterChillerHorrorTheater> wrote in message
news:g40vCXBzNU8x-pn2-EyIoGgFWSBHx@localhost...
> On Fri, 7 Jul 2006 12:30:42 UTC, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>>
>> >
>> > Too bad, the 2010 and DX-440 are no longer being made !
>> >
>>
>> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!
> In response to your contant naming of Eton E-1 as "Numero Uno",
> perhaps the Collins R-390/390A is the all-time Numero Uno. Of all the
> radios I have had, this one is the KING.
>
>
> --
> "What do you mean there's no movie?"

I have owned one and yes the R-390 series is a great radio. Not a band
cruiser though.

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

1180. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 15:31:38 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4h7d2rF1qh3arU1@individual.net>
Source record: 82316

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1152245761.597494.87190@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kilo Lani wrote:
> > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > news:1151556615.811344.225970@x69g2000cwx.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > m II wrote:
> > > > SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > > > > Two of the firms, China Great Wall and CPMIEC, are directly owned
and
> > > > > operated by the Chinese government. Both firms have repeatedly
been
> > the
> > > > > subjects of reprimands since the early 1990s. Exports to China
Great
> > Wall
> > > > > were suspended in 1992 because of its transfer of nuclear tipped
M-11
> > > > > ballistic missiles to Pakistan.
> > > > >
> > > > > However, the Clinton administration allowed the company to
purchase
> > advanced
> > > > > U.S. technology such as computer, satellite launch systems, and
space
> > > > > electronics. Great Wall was implicated in illegal exports during
the
> > 1990s
> > > > > and included in charges filed against Hughes for the illegal
export of
> > > > > advanced missile technology such as nose cone designs.
> > > > >
> > > > > The Clinton administration was very aware that Great Wall was part
of
> > the
> > > > > Chinese military. According to a Commerce Department document on
> > Chinese
> > > > > military defense industries, the People's Liberation Army strategy
is
> > to
> > > > > wage and win a war against America.
> > > >
> > > >

> > > > The Neocon Perle was instrumental in many of those sales,
> >
> > Oh, so says you?
> >
> > > There is always Katrina Leung, the Chinese double agent and ranking
> > > member of the GOP. Google her for some good stuff.
> >
> > Why don't you enlighten us with a verifiable link to facts?
> >
http://www.globalsecurity.org/intell/library/reports/2006/fbi-leung_review_d
> > oj-oig0605.htm
>
> I glad I didn't provide a link as I only saw newspaper reports and not
> that report you listed. No wonder the FBI swept this under the rug as
> they looked like complete idiots.
>
> Well, OK, here is one unbiased article about Katrina:
> <http://www.buzzflash.com/analysis/03/05/19_spy.html>
>
Buzzflash unbiased? Now that's funny.

1181. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 15:44:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AE8167-65@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82317

www.devilfinder.com Hainan Island China g.w.bush
cuhulin

1182. Re: NYC IBOC situation (was Re: IBOC at night (WABC))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 15:58:09 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44AE8490.6020609@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 82318

David wrote:
> You can do whatever you want between Midnight and 4 AM, as long as you
> don't run paid.

And you can't run regularly scheduled programs.

But some of these nighttime IBOC tests are being heard between sunset
and midnight - *before* this "experimental period" kicks in.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

1183. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:06:25 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152288385.232264.99920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82319

Lisa Simpson wrote:
> I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
> both excellent receivers!
>
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > GP wrote:
> > > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and tuning
> > > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had a
> > > more robust feel to it...
> > > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder if
> > > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do not
> > > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > > coating...
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Greg
> >
> > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > else.
> >

If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
"lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
- this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...

1184. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:08:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE8713.F083BCAB@milestones.com>
Source record: 82320

The latest edition (7 July) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1185. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:13:21 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <pLvrg.9$486.0@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82321

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
>

Yea and your the one over on Amazon posting the negative reviews. Everyone else over all pretty much like grundigs radios. And Remember- Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

BH

1186. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:23:32 UTC
From: bpnjensen <bpnjensen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152289412.797453.51670@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82322

Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
> Kilo Lani wrote:
> > The President and the Press
> >
>
> http://politicalhumor.about.com/library/images/blbushmonica.htm
>
> - Apparantly President Bush gets along very well with the press..

In an interview with Larry King taped and aired yesterday, GWB presented himself with unusual aplomb, Laura at his side. He came across very well on all counts; his weakest response was, perhaps, his discussion of how well prepared the nation is for another season of natural disasters, but it wasn't horrible. Whether all of what he said was true or not is debatable, but this can be said of any politician, or human being for that matter.

Larry was very polite but straightforward, with no strong curveballs. In that case, both parties got along beautifully.

BJ

1187. Propagation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:31:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE8C4D.2AC872C3@milestones.com>
Source record: 82323

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/07/07/101/?nc=1
(K7RA, ARRL)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1188. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:42:40 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0hf2o3-ssg.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 82324

```
miso@sushi.com wrote:
> OK Slow Code, this will require thought. If Bill Clinton really
> transferred sensitive technology to China, don't you think Gingrich and
> posse would have impeached him on that offense rather than the Lewinsky
> affair, which made the GOP look buffoonish? [Ok, more buffoonish than
> usual.]

Crappy reasoning. The GOP didn't control two-thirds of the Senate, then or
now. Even if every man, woman and child except you in the USA was clamoring
for Clinton's head on a pike for much more serious and blatant offenses,
there isn't a Democrat in the Senate who would vote to convict.

--
Britney Spears' Guide to Semiconductor Physics
<http://britneyspears.ac/lasers.htm>
```

1189. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 16:48:33 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152290913.355383.159960@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82325

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
> >
>
> Yea and your the one over on Amazon posting the negative reviews. Everyone
> else over all pretty much like grundigs radios. And Remember- Eton E Uno is
> Numero Uno!!!
>
> BH

Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly weren't written by me ! Look at all the, "lowest ratings first", reviews for the various flavors of the S350 and you will see that Chinese-made Grundig/Tecsun/Eton receivers have quality control problems. Ever since Grundig stopped making their receivers in Germany, and started making them under slave-wages in China, they are junk. I looked at the Eton E100 and those pieces of crap Grundig emergency crank radios at RS, and they were absolute garbage. There are many reviews, were the cranks have broken off, and the radios don't pick up hardly anything. The quality of the Eton E10 seemed ok, but it was way over-priced, at $120, for a non-SSB, single-conversion receiver; I might have considered purchasing it, when it went on clearance for $50. The point being, I grew up on Knight Kit Star Roamer and DX-440 receivers - ever since the Sony 2010 and DX-440 were discontinued, there hasn't been one quality portable receiver made; al of the receivers today are cheap plastic. What is hilarious about the S350 and E10, are all the useless/fancy switches, that try and make up for the receivers' shortcomings - that damn IF-shift switch, on the E10, because it is a cheap single-conversion receiver (if it was a decent dual-conversion receiver, the switch would be unnecessary, plus, I have read that the antenna trimmer is almost useless, being that it can always be left in one position). Grundig - fancy switches and a lot of glitz ! The S350 filters only muffle the audio, but they sure look good ! The Eton E5 is priced at $150 - the Degen 1103 has the same features for only $45 + $25 S&H and is built around the same case ! Case closed !

1190. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:02:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AE93B6.BCF113C0@milestones.com>
Source record: 82326

David wrote:

> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 22:38:50 -0400, "Lenny" <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:ia8ra29lm3d0ie37tb73721vap8jj66him@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
> >>
> >> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> >> time.
> >
> >My "hard core leftist" to English dictionary translates this as follows:
> >"Some oppressors, including left-wing despots hostile to the United States,
> >have at times used "national security" as an excuse to clamp down. However,
> >merely invoking national security without any indication of tangible
> >oppressive behavior does not per se amount to oppression."
> >
> >>
> >> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
> >
> >A truly idiotic and naive statement. I'm sure many who have engaged in
> >covert actions all the way from the French Resistance to those who rescued
> >the woman reporter in Iraq would have found their efforts severely
> >compromised by the "light of day."
> >
> > A more intelligent paraphrase would be "If ideologues in the press give it
> >the light of day, there's no point in doing it."
> >
> >
> >
> The French Resistance was not a government. If National Security was
> an excuse for abridging freedom we'd be at war all the time. Power
> corrupts. Impeach Cheney.

Impeach Cheney? Heck, it would be a lot more cost effective to just slip your local law enforcement folks a few extra $$$ and have your sorry ass picked up and force fed your meds.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1191. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:07:22 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Uxwrg.11$86.0@fe02.lga>
Source record: 82328

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
> weren't written by me !

Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to know? Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and don't like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to insult everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers you a mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!

Good Day!

BH

1192. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:10:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cb5ta25rc88bc4a7o2pbm1sjqvikm2j1st@4ax.com>
Source record: 82327

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 19:00:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Where's Bill Clinton when ya need him. Probably soiling another blue
>dress somewhere.
>
>
>sc
NK gets their missile pointers from Russia and China via Iran.

1193. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:21:03 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <voh2o3-a2h.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 82329

David wrote:
> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> time.
>
> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.

That is the EXACT same reasoning used by bad cops to justify illegal searches.
"If you aren't doing anything bad, you won't mind our poking around."

--
Britney Spears' Guide to Semiconductor Physics
<http://britneyspears.ac/lasers.htm>

1194. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:25:50 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152293150.222170.218890@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82330

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
> > weren't written by me !
>
> Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to know?
> Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and don't
> like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to insult
> everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers you a
> mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> Good Day!
>
> BH

Good ! You are the one that keeps responding to my posts with your
little, "Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!" ! I have not insulted anyone,
but if you take my opinions of cheap plastic receivers, personally,
that is your and anyone elses problem ! Hey, take a lokk at your
statement, "Everyone in here considers you a mentally challenged troll"
! YOU and others, are the ones who have taken this to a personal level
! What's the matter - didn't your read the reviews, that I suggested,
or if you did, let's hear your, "personal", comments !

1195. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:28:22 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152293302.914283.127080@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82331

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
> > weren't written by me !
>
> Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to know?
> Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and don't
> like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to insult
> everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers you a
> mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>
> Good Day!
>
> BH

BTW, as you know, RadioIntel disagess with you about your precious
plastic E1 !

1196. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:35:27 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152293727.507493.266720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82332

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
> > > weren't written by me !
> >
> > Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to know?
> > Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and don't
> > like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to insult
> > everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers you a
> > mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> >
> > Good Day!
> >
> > BH
>
> Good ! You are the one that keeps responding to my posts with your
> little, "Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!" ! I have not insulted anyone,
> but if you take my opinions of cheap plastic receivers, personally,
> that is your and anyone elses problem ! Hey, take a lokk at your
> statement, "Everyone in here considers you a mentally challenged troll"
> ! YOU and others, are the ones who have taken this to a personal level
> ! What's the matter - didn't your read the reviews, that I suggested,
> or if you did, let's hear your, "personal", comments !

AND your statement, "Now if you were smart" - I am supposed to feel
intimidated, or something ? Do you think I care, what YOU think, or in
one little way, feel intimidated, or give a damn, if you post insults ?
Actually, the whole thing is rather amusing, that my posts are taken
so personally. You see, if you felt confident about your little
plastic receivers, you wouldn't have to respond with personal insults !

1197. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 17:43:29 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <L3xrg.20$86.8@fe02.lga>
Source record: 82333

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152293727.507493.266720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
>> Brian Hill wrote:
>> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > message
>> >
>> > > Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
>> > > weren't written by me !
>> >
>> > Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to
>> > know?
>> > Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and
>> > don't
>> > like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to
>> > insult
>> > everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers
>> > you a
>> > mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
>> >
>> > Good Day!
>> >
>> > BH
>>
>> Good ! You are the one that keeps responding to my posts with your
>> little, "Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!" ! I have not insulted anyone,
>> but if you take my opinions of cheap plastic receivers, personally,
>> that is your and anyone elses problem ! Hey, take a lokk at your
>> statement, "Everyone in here considers you a mentally challenged troll"
>> ! YOU and others, are the ones who have taken this to a personal level
>> ! What's the matter - didn't your read the reviews, that I suggested,
>> or if you did, let's hear your, "personal", comments !
>
> AND your statement, "Now if you were smart" - I am supposed to feel
> intimidated, or something ? Do you think I care, what YOU think, or in
> one little way, feel intimidated, or give a damn, if you post insults ?
> Actually, the whole thing is rather amusing, that my posts are taken
> so personally. You see, if you felt confident about your little
> plastic receivers, you wouldn't have to respond with personal insults !
>

LOL!! Spin Mo Fo. Spin! LOL!!! Gotcha pissed again. Your too easy. LMFAO!!!!

BH

1198. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:01:51 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152295311.599481.321690@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82334

Brian Hill wrote:
> Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
>
> BH

Oh BH,

I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T, and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption. NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies, whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I guess you don't know everything !

1199. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:02:12 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4h7lt5F1op59bU1@individual.net>
Source record: 82335

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152288385.232264.99920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lisa Simpson wrote:
> > I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
> > both excellent receivers!
> >
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> > news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > GP wrote:
> > > > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > > > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > > > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and
tuning
> > > > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had
a
> > > > more robust feel to it...
> > > > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > > > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder
if
> > > > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > > > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do
not
> > > > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > > > coating...
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > >
> > > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > > else.
> > >
>
> If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
>
Only a dumbass would go by Amazon review.

1200. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:08:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>
Source record: 82336

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
> > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> >
> > BH
>
> Oh BH,
>
> I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> guess you don't know everything !

Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1201. Re: Russia nixes VOA produced reports

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:14:10 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D792FB.11141007072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82337

In article <nOprg.4924$cd3.3432@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
"Sanjaya" <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

> Russian regulators have forced more than 60 radio stations to stop
> broadcasting news reports produced by Voice of America and Radio Free
> Europe/Radio Liberty, according to radio managers and Russian
> officials.
>
> The regulators cited license violations and unauthorized changes in
> programming format. But senior executives at the
> U.S.-government-funded broadcast services and at the stations blame
> the Kremlin for the crackdown, which has knocked the reports off
> stations from St. Petersburg in western Russia to Vladivostok in the
> Far East.
>
> full story:
>
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/13743378/

That's not a good development. Can we expect them to start jamming
shortwave next?

I guess we can thank ABC for this happening. According to the Washington
Post there are only 4 stations out of 42 that are left broadcasting the
VOA. Here is the end of the article linked in the post.

By Peter Finn from the Washington Post
Updated: 1:41 a.m. PT July 7, 2006

"Last year Radio Liberty journalist Andrei Babitsky interviewed Shamil
Basayev, the Chechen warlord who asserts responsibility for the
hostage-taking at a school in Beslan, which ended with the deaths of 331
people, most of them schoolchildren. Radio Liberty opted not to run the
material, but it was later broadcast on ABC's "Nightline." The Russian
government was outraged and decided not to renew the accreditations of
ABC journalists.

Staff and management at Radio Liberty, Voice of America and their board
of governors suspect that the dispute led the ministry to act against
the news organizations."

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1202. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:17:58 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-75F99F.11175807072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82338

In article <pLvrg.9$486.0@fe06.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
> >
>
> Yea and your the one over on Amazon posting the negative reviews. Everyone
> else over all pretty much like grundigs radios. And Remember- Eton E Uno is
> Numero Uno!!!
>

You should write Amazon and tell them about this guy. Maybe they will
remove the negative reviews.

You could write a positive review to counter the negative ones.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1203. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:18:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-C40D5A.11183707072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82339

In article <ousrg.1$RJ7.0@fe03.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
>
> > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > else.
> >
>
> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!

Still happy with the E1?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1204. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:24:58 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-790990.11245807072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82340

In article <4h7d2rF1qh3arU1@individual.net>,
"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

< Snip >

> > Well, OK, here is one unbiased article about Katrina:
> > <http://www.buzzflash.com/analysis/03/05/19_spy.html>
> >
> Buzzflash unbiased? Now that's funny.

I'm pretty sure that was intentional sarcasm.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1205. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 18:39:55 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82341

In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > Oh BH,
> >
> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > guess you don't know everything !
>
> Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.

This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
range. Silly nut case.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1206. Re: Mork Moron Pontificates His Way Into Yet Another Moronic Stupor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 19:56:18 UTC
From: Roger <Anont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4j094.2a7.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82342
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152285966.117055.61790@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

an old freind wrote yet more lies and delusions
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old freind continues to lie, deceived and generally try to impress
> > himself with:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old freind impressed himself with yet more moronic ramblings:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old freind still doesn't get it:
>
> > > > > I gave you one
> > > >
> > > > No, you've not.
> > >
> > > yes I gave you an answer Maj I typed " In your ###"
> >
> > Who's "Maj"...?!?!
>
> don't you know?

Why do you answer questions with questions, Morkie?

> > And Morkie...there's nothing in my rectum that didn't arrive via
> > the digestive tract, so WHAT are you talking about?
>
> there certainly something your head

Yet another example of your incompetence with the English
language.

> > > >..Other than to express your desire to copulate with
> > > > me with that
> > >
> > > lying again Maj
> >
> > Who's "Maj"...?!?!
>
> well you fail to deny your lie

It's not necessary to deny something that wasn't mistruthful,
Morkie.

> > > >"...in your ass..." comment. Of course it's a well known
> > > > fact that you'e a sexual deviant, so that was not unexpected.
> > >
> > > you are alway refering to male antomy Maj
> >
> > No, YOU are always refering to male anatomy, Mork..
>
> no I don't think I have ever called someone a dick or cock and putz
> online or off

Sure you have.

In this very forum.

Why do you presume to be mistruthful when your actions have been
ehre for all to see, Mork?

> >.And WHO is
> > "Maj"...?!?!
>
> don't you know?

Obviously YOU are the one with an identity problem, Mork.

> > > > > you will treated wit coutesy when you start treating all other
with
> > > > > coutesy and live up your word to give the apology you promised
> > > >
> > > > Mark, you're incapable of anything resembling "courtesy".
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > Nope.
>
> right

Nope.

> I treat folks that behave in a coutesous manner with coutesy

With "coutesy"...?!?!

If you meant COURTESY, you were blatantly lying, Mork.

> you OTOH came in here insulting folks from day one so you ogt unwelcom
> mat in return

No, I didn't...But I don't see any reason to expect you to say
anything different.

> > YOU have been extended EVERY courtesy and opportunity to "cool
> > your jets",
>
> more of your lies

Nope.

> calling someone a child molestor is not coutesy

What's "coutesy"...?!?!

> neither is attacking someone wife with lies

Who's wife?

> nor are lies about sueing me coutesous

Morkie, you need to get your temper under control, fatboy. Your
already miserable grammar get's worse by the minute as you try to
out-lie your last lie.

> or your threats to kill me

You've never had your life threatened by me, Mork.

> or show up ilegealy on my land

What land?

> nor were your staments about my father

That's he's being abused and stolen from by you?

> indeed I can't think of a single coutesy you have extended to me in
> the entire time I have had the misfortune to be aquainted with you (i
> suspect tere may have been one or 2 over the years I simply can't
> recall any)

Of course you don't. I wouldn't expect you to acknowledge them in
any case.

I've started threads for the specific purpose of "cooling off"
with you and you've blatantly trashed each and every one of them.

> > yet you prefer to continue your deceptions and deviant
> > behaviour, ...
>
> alegedly (by your own words you do not care about my sexlife

Yet YOU keep brining it up.

> so which of those staments is a lie?

Any one generated by you is a lie, Mork.

> >...along with your profanities and abuse of The King's English.
>
> I realy don't care about the wishes of the english crown (which is held
> by a lady and therefore the Queens english would seem more appropate)

Yet another lie, Mork.

You've repeatedly tried to imply that English Common Law was the
only valid law. Ergo you obviously DO care.

I can dig some of those posts up and rub your nose in those too,
if you care.

> > > >That
> > > > was made abundantly clear on "day 1" when your very first post to me
> > > > responded with "lier"...
> > >
> > > the fact you were lying at time had something to do with the response
> > > you got
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep
>
> you were saying something like "all techs were lazy" (or words to that
> effect) which was and remains of course a lie

Mork, WHY do you insist on trying to perpetuate yet another of
your lies?

I've never said such a thing.

You're welcome to try and find such in archives, but you'll only
die tired doing it.

> > It had everything to do with an emotional, uneducated retort from
> > you.
>
> indeed one gets a bit emtotional when insulted which was of course your
> intent

Nope.

It's all you can do, Mork.

You can't respond with RATIONAL responses, so everything is "lier"
and other such silliness.

> and so you bitch about geting EXACTLY the response you wanted

Nope.

> > So...From the very "git-go", YOU have been the abuser.
>
> you are the one the threaten murder over morse code

And here you perpetuate yet ANOTHER of your lies, Mork.

> enaged in blackmial and stalkingover the years

And another.

> and still dsipte the facts you can't let go of YOUR Vendetatta

And still another.

> > > > The rest has been "you reap what you sew..."
> > >
> > > bull####
> >
> > Nope. It's a fact.
>
> that you are typing bull#### is indeed a fact

No...YOU are the one typing "bull####". I just refuse to quote the
profanities.

> > And you're the proof.
>
> I never made sexuality the main subject of this forum you did

Nope...YOU did.

> you have called all the regluars that have opposed you homosexaul
> pedophiles or zoophiles (except Bil Sohl you spared him for some
> reason)

Jim Miccolis has "opposed" me before.

So has Hans.

Frankie of Silliland.

And a whole host of others.

The only ones who don't get so-labled are those who have obviously
demonstrated predisposition to pedophilia...Such as you and Toidie.

> Who's in a hurry?
> > >
> > > I am
> >
> > Then you compound your stupidity with sloppiness.
>
> so I am sloppy it suits the medium

The medium has nothing to do with it.

You only use it as an excuse.

Of course your life is one slapped together excuse after another,
so what's one more, right?

> > > I don't like wasting time on your libel
> >
> > What libel?
>
> your claims I am child molestor, rapist that my wife is male that I
> have AIDS or other STD that I am welfare

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...so far you haven't cited anything that's not been
proven otherwise, Morkie.

> and more

I am sure there is.

> > > > > you replies get the attention they desrvse very little
> > > >
> > > > Considering that 99.9% of YOUR posts are forgings of people who
> > > > are probably less educated than you, I'd say that I help raise the
bell
> > > > curve of your posts. But it's an uphill battle.
> > >
> > > what are you babbling about now
> >
> > See, Morkie...That should have been a question, but you wrote it
> > as a statement. More proof that your assertions about multiple college
> > degrees is false.
>
> no it proves I am sloppy about typing but you know that

No, it proves you're lazy.

> grow up and move on

No...YOU grow up. Act like an adult. Use some of that alleged
education that you never got.

> or don';t and show yourself as the agressor again

Who's the "aggressor"...?!?!

Me, or the guy who keeps re-addressing these post to a newsgroup
to whom the content is not germane?

> > Crappy writing like that would let you get out of 3rd grade unless
> > your daddy bribed the teacher or mom rendered "favors" for the
> > principal to let you out.
>
> but this isn't a school roomand your are not a teacher or other
> authority I need to satify

Yes, I AM a teacher. And if you were in my class, you'd be
spending a lot of time in suspension.

> and more libel on your part about my mperant

About your WHAT...?!?!

What's an "mperant"...?!?!

> we aren't you man enough to keep your attack between those in the NG?

This taunt coming from a putz who keeps readdressing these posts
to a non-germane newsgroup!

And Morke...Your grammar is worsening by the minute!

> No, you don't.

"No, you don't"....what...?!?!

> > > yes I do
> >
> > Nope. Not a one.
>
> sure I have em and since you offer no proof I don't you agree

You are not a degreed person, Morkie.

Until you provide some reference to an established educational
institution that can be verified, each and every time you insist that
you have a college degree you're simply lying.

It really is THAT simple.

> > > > Not a single one.
> > >
> > > your assertion you prove it
> >
> > The "three degrees" assertion is YOURS, fatboy.
>
> more of you trying to libel me about my weight

It's not libel if it's true.

Go ahead...Take a head-to-toe picture of yourself holding today's
"USA Today" paper and post it here.

Do it...Prove me wrong.

Make an excuse, prove me right.

Fatboy.

> > I call you a liar.
> >
> > YOU prove me wrong...C'mon, fatboy!
>
> no

Then you prove me RIGHT, Mork!

Thanks!

> >You can do it!
>
> meaning you already it to be true

Huh?

Let's keep this in English, Mork.

> >Just give us
> > the name of the institutions that granted you a degree and the year it
> > was granted.
>

> no you are realy stupid to think I am going to help you stalk me
>
> I refuse

You "refuse" because the answer is "Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ, does
not have a ollege degree from any institution at any degree level in
any discipline"

> > So far, I've done some searching in Illinois and come up with
> > nothing.
>
> of course you did not I never attenedd school in Illinois

I doubt you "attenedd: school anywhere.

I imagine you were kept at home...Much like Oswald Cobblepot.

> but you are admiting to stalking me

Nope.

YOU have insisted that YOU have college degrees.

YOU refuse to identify by whom.

I am using PUBLIC RECORDS to prove you a liar.

So far. it's working!

> > Michigan either.
>
> why look there? you know I am in my 40's when I arived in Michigan

So?

What does your age have to do with where you receieve a college
degree?

> more stalking steve

None at all, Morkie.

> > I found one "Mark C. Morgan" currently
> > enrolled but he's only 21.
>
> curently enrolled obvoiusly can't be me

Duhhh...ya think? Or was that age of 21 a give-away?

> > I found another but he'd be over 65.
>
> realy that is all you found I supect then your search was half hearted
> at best

You're right about that. I don't obsess like you think I do.

I've not turned up much, and I doubt I ever will.

You're lying about your degrees.

Period.

> > > > You brag about all these "degrees" you have, but can't seem to
> > > > name a single public or private educational facility from which
you've
> > > > graduated.\
> > > >
> > > > I certainly can name them
> > >
> > > No...You can "make them up".
> >
> > come now steve you already admiteed I could name them

Nope. I said you cold make them up.

> you can't keep your lies staright for even a single post

You can't comprehend English for a single post.

> > Anything you name would be
> > ficticious.
> >
> > more of your libel

It's not libel when it's true.

> > Unless you can prove otherwise.
> >
> > and now you are making the delusional claim that my (sinible) refusal
> > to help you stalk me alters reality itself

"sinable"...Yes, Morkie...You are one of the most sinable people I
know!

> go get mental help not well trained enough to know exactly wht is
> wrong (most likely just that you are patholoigcal liar) but obviously
> you must need mental help if you think I am going to help you stalk me

HOW do you think telling us what your college degrees are is going
to do to "stalk" you, Mork?

I already know what you look like, where you live, and a whole host
of other information I am sure you'd rather I not know.

You won't "identify" you college degrees since you CAN'T. You
don't have any.

> when we first "met' you showed enough skill to provoke me to unwise
> statements but no longer

Yetr another untruth, Morkie.

You continue to make "unwise statements" to this very day.

For example..."I have three college degrees" is one of them.

> > > >Your suggestion that it's an attempt to prevent "stalking"
> > > > is a blatant lie.
> >
> > > Maj you stalk everytone that dares to post on RRAP
> >
> > Who's "Maj"...?!?!
>
> don't you know Maj?

There you go again.

> > And what's an "everytone"?
>
> can't you figure it out?

I am sure I can. Just love making fun of an idiot who says he
has three college degrees yet can't demonstrate the skills or knowledge
of a 3rd grade education.

> > > > You have NO secondary education beyond high school, Mork.
> > > >
> > > porve but then you can't prove it anymore than you can prove any of
> > > your libel
> >
> > What libel?
>
> asked and answered

Asked but avoided.

Nothing I say about you is "libel"...It's not libel because it's
true.

> > So far YOU have insisted that you have three college degrees
> > despite being obviously incompetent in English, among other things.
> >
> > YOU would prove me wrong by listing the names of the institutions
> > and the dates you "graduated".
>
> you have already proven that statment a lie in this very post

Nope.

YOU have proven that your asserions of multiple college degrees was
a lie, Mork.

> > But I guess that's too much for you.
>
> Indeed I m far to smart to help a stalker like you

But obviously not smart enough to keep from making a fool out of
yourself, Mork.

You're doing THAT very well!

> do your own research or better still get a life

I am doing just fine.

So far...You're the loser I have always claimed.

As for a "life"...I see that you're still wasting the whole day in
RRAP.

> > And by failing to answer this and making some assinine allegations
> > of "stalking" to avoid it, YOU prove my assertions.
>
> indeed you are nuts

Nope.

You claimed you have college degrees.

You won't prove it.

You can't.

> > > > No, you didn't, deviant.
> > >
> > > yes I did
> >
> > Nope.
>
> answered years ago

Nope.

> > > you just don't like the answer
> >
> > How can I "like" what you didn't provide?
>
> I don't know but you question has nothing to do with the facts

Your sentence has nothing to do with English grammar.

> > > then you made another of yoursexaul remarks about it

Nope.

> > There's nothing "sexaul" about your non-answer.
>
> there was till cut from your reply

Nope.

> > > > You've not answered what a "feild" is.
> > >
> > > yes I did
> >
> > Nope.

>
> yes I did

Liar, liar, Morkie!

> > > I have answered that one years ago you just forgot the answer
> >
> > Nope...You've never answered the question.
>
> lying again I answered that question for you

No, you did not.

> > > I don't repeat my answers for you unless it suits me
> >
> > You can't repeat what you didn't state in the first place.
>
> indeed that is true a stament but it is has nothing to do with this
> case where you werea answered long ago

It has everything to do with you making up tales about things you
allegedly did.

In other words...More lying on your part.

> > > > You've not answered what it was that I allegedly "quit".
> > >
> > > did years back
> >
> > "years back"...?!?!
>
> yes years back

This "argument" is only "months" old, Fatboy!

> don't you understand the idea of time

Sure I do.

YOU are the one with a problem.

THIS argument is only two MONTHS old. Not "years back", fatboy!

> > Morkie, it's only been the last couple of months that you've been
> > insisting that I quit some "feild".

> so?

So you AGAIN show that YOU are not in touch with CURRENT EVENTS!

> I have answered the question about what it is and why many times

No, you've not.

> > You refuse to define what a "feild" is.
>

> if you like

It's not what I "like", Mork...It's just yet another example of
your incompetence with the language.

> > > > > > Exercise at least ONE of those alleged college degree,
Mork,
> > > > > > scroll back and answer the question.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I did
> > > > >
> > > > > No, you didn't
> > > >
> > > > yes I did
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yes I did

More lies, fatboy.

> > > > > > > > What's a "feild"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > you don't know?
> > > > > > > > so much for your claims to have been a soldier
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I never said I was a "soldier".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > we knaw you were never a soldier
> > > > >
> > > > > More sexual innuendo, Mork...I am sure you WOULD like to "knaw"
> > > > > (gnaw, you idiot...) on me, but that's not a happening thing,
fatboy.
> > >
> > > nope worng again fool
> >
> > I LOVE it when you mispell words in a "sentence" wherein you
> > presume to call me a fool or some othersuch adjective!
>
> unlike you I don't claim any skill at spelling

Sure you do! You repeatedly claim that you are perfectly
competent with English grammar, construction and comprehension when
it's PAINFULLY clear that you are none of that!

> > You DO love to make my arguments for me, don't you, fatboy...?!?!
> >
> > > > > > > Now, WHAT is a "feild", Mork?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you asked I answered
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I asked, you have yet to answer the question competently.

> > >
> > > sure have years ago
> >
> > No, you've not. THIS "argument" is only 2 months old, Morkie!
>
> so?

In the foregoing you accused me of not being familiar with teh
concept of time.

This is the third time you've responded to the fact that this is
an argument that is only "months" old (not the 'years' you keep
suggesting) with "so?"

You only serve to prove yourself a fool, Morkie.

> > > > > > > from you Maj
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's a "Maj"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > don't you know
> > > > >
> > > > There's not such thing as a "Maj", Mork...Not in English,
anyway.
> > >
> > > then you are a fool everyone reading yothis knows
> >
> > "Everyone" does...?!?!
>
> yes even you

Nope.

> > What's a "Maj", Morkie?
>
> you know

No. I don't. What's a "Maj"...?!?!

> > And define this NEW word you've created, "yothis"...
>
> why?

You choose to be misunderstood?

> > Why don't you quit lying to us and yourself and install a
> > spellchecker so you can stop looking like the idiot we all KNOW you
> > are, Mork?
>
> auf anglish bitte steve

You're no more competent in German than you are English, Mork...So
why pretend?

> > "I Am Laughing At The Superior Intellect..."
>
> indeed you are
>
> that is why you are a fool

Nope...It just means that YOU give me plenty of opportunites for
laughter.
> >
> > Putz.
>
> back to the sexaul comement boring steve

Only you would consider it a "sexaul" comment, fatboy.

> > PS...Let's see if you went back behind me and re-entered all the
> > profanities I "####" out...So THEN we can see who's obsessed with
> > anatomy issues!
>
> nah I just do that to bug you

Doesn't bug me to let you make a fool out of yourself, Mork!

And you do it oh-so-well!

Steve, K4YZ
>
I don't know why you bother, Steve. Mark is not worth your effort. Look at
it from another angle, Steve. Who is Mark? Who IS he? He is a nobody, that's
who. You don't owe him answers, you don't owe him rebuttals.
Mark is a literal flaming idiot with little to do. Just ignore him, Steve.
He is not worth your or anybody else's time. He is a nobody. Ignore him.

1207. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:13:56 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303236.812487.58840@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82343

dxAce wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > Oh BH,
> >
> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > guess you don't know everything !
>
> Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

They already have, moron !

1208. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:16:37 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303397.170416.205540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82344

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > Oh BH,
> > >
> > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > > guess you don't know everything !
> >
> > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
>
> This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
> range. Silly nut case.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

And you know - it doesn't matter, you have no idea who I am. As a
matter of fact, NSA sell hats, shirts, sweatshits, etc., to its

employees to wear in public, moron !
And they are sold by an employee run organization, out of the OPS2B
building.

1209. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:17:58 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Nkzrg.63$dG7.0@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82346

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-75F99F.11175807072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <pLvrg.9$486.0@fe06.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>>
>> > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
>> > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
>> > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
>> > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
>> > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
>> >
>>
>> Yea and your the one over on Amazon posting the negative reviews.
>> Everyone
>> else over all pretty much like grundigs radios. And Remember- Eton E Uno
>> is
>> Numero Uno!!!
>>
>
> You should write Amazon and tell them about this guy. Maybe they will
> remove the negative reviews.
>
> You could write a positive review to counter the negative ones.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

I suppose Tel.

BH

1210. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:18:13 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303493.720919.212730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82345

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > Oh BH,
> > >
> > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > > guess you don't know everything !
> >
> > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
>
> This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
> range. Silly nut case.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

You are obviously jealous, that you don't have a high-level clearance,
and the job security that goes along with it ! Oh it's the bigot,

Telamon ! Silly, bigot !

1211. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:18:39 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <qlzrg.64$dG7.38@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82347

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-C40D5A.11183707072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <ousrg.1$RJ7.0@fe03.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message
>>
>>
>> > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
>> > else.
>> >
>>
>> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!
>
> Still happy with the E1?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Yes I am. It's a very good radio.

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

1212. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:20:18 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Zmzrg.65$dG7.29@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82499

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>>
>> > Brian Hill wrote:
>> > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
>> > >
>> > > BH
>> >
>> > Oh BH,
>> >
>> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
>> > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
>> > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
>> > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
>> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
>> > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
>> > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
>> > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
>> > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
>> > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
>> > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
>> > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
>> > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
>> > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
>> > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
>> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
>> > guess you don't know everything !
>>
>> Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
>
> This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
> range. Silly nut case.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Ditto!

BH

1213. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:22:09 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303729.358556.227370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82498

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152293727.507493.266720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> >> Brian Hill wrote:
> >> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> > message
> >> >
> >> > > Oh here we go again ! Look at the reviews on Amazon - they certainly
> >> > > weren't written by me !
> >> >
> >> > Yes here we go again. With all your sockpuppet aliases, how are we to
> >> > know?
> >> > Now if you were smart. You would have just told us what you do and
> >> > don't
> >> > like about Eton and Grundig and left it at that. But no! you had to
> >> > insult
> >> > everyone and make yourself a serious pest. Everyone in here considers
> >> > you a
> >> > mentally challenged troll. And Remember, Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!
> >> >
> >> > Good Day!
> >> >
> >> > BH
> >>
> >> Good ! You are the one that keeps responding to my posts with your
> >> little, "Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!" ! I have not insulted anyone,
> >> but if you take my opinions of cheap plastic receivers, personally,
> >> that is your and anyone elses problem ! Hey, take a lokk at your
> >> statement, "Everyone in here considers you a mentally challenged troll"
> >> ! YOU and others, are the ones who have taken this to a personal level
> >> ! What's the matter - didn't your read the reviews, that I suggested,
> >> or if you did, let's hear your, "personal", comments !
> >
> > AND your statement, "Now if you were smart" - I am supposed to feel
> > intimidated, or something ? Do you think I care, what YOU think, or in
> > one little way, feel intimidated, or give a damn, if you post insults ?
> > Actually, the whole thing is rather amusing, that my posts are taken
> > so personally. You see, if you felt confident about your little
> > plastic receivers, you wouldn't have to respond with personal insults !
> >
>
> LOL!! Spin Mo Fo. Spin! LOL!!! Gotcha pissed again. Your too easy. LMFAO!!!!
```

>
> BH

Now, is that the best you got, moron ?

1214. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:24:19 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303859.588939.247330@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82500

Telamon wrote:
> In article <pLvrg.9$486.0@fe06.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> > > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> > > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> > > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> > > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
> > >
> >
> > Yea and your the one over on Amazon posting the negative reviews. Everyone
> > else over all pretty much like grundigs radios. And Remember- Eton E Uno is
> > Numero Uno!!!
> >
>
> You should write Amazon and tell them about this guy. Maybe they will
> remove the negative reviews.
>
> You could write a positive review to counter the negative ones.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Hey it's the bigot, Telamon ! Too bad, Amazon only accepts truthful,
unbiased reviews ! I am sure, they will remove all the negative
reviews about your cheapo plastic receivers !
Moron !

1215. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:25:54 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152303954.766225.82070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82501

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-C40D5A.11183707072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <ousrg.1$RJ7.0@fe03.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
> >
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >>
> >>
> >> > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> >> > else.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Eton E Uno is Numero Uno!!!!
> >
> > Still happy with the E1?
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
> Yes I am. It's a very good radio.
>
>
> --
>
> Regards
> B.H.
> Souther, MN USA
> Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1
>
> Brian's Radio Universe
> http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm
>
> Brian's Basement
> http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm
>
> Remove your HAT to reply directly
> brianehillHAT@charter.net

Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
```

1216. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:27:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AEC3AF.FF1A4F17@milestones.com>
Source record: 82502

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > Oh BH,
> > >
> > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > > guess you don't know everything !
> >
> > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> They already have, moron !

Well crap, if you're an example of our intel capabilities then we're in deep s**t.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1217. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:30:34 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152304234.599515.236500@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82503

MnMikew wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152288385.232264.99920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Lisa Simpson wrote:
> > > I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
> > > both excellent receivers!
> > >
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message
> > > news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > GP wrote:
> > > > > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > > > > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > > > > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and
> tuning
> > > > > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had
> a
> > > > > more robust feel to it...
> > > > > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > > > > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder
> if
> > > > > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > > > > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do
> not
> > > > > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > > > > coating...
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheers
> > > > >
> > > > > Greg
> > > >
> > > > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > > > else.
> > > >
> > >
> > > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> > > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> > > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> > > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> > > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
> >
> > Only a dumbass would go by Amazon review.

Gosh, I do remember one of you touting how "personal experiece" is
worth more that professional articles ! Moron !

1218. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:44:08 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <hJzrg.30$486.17@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82511

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Now, is that the best you got, moron ?
>

Don't make me open a can of whoop ass on ya boy!

BH

1219. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:45:39 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <IKzrg.31$486.23@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82505

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
>

How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?

BH

1220. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:47:01 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <_Lzrg.32$486.29@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82512

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> And you know - it doesn't matter, you have no idea who I am.

Your just a little punk coward. How does that make you feel?

BH

1221. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:49:09 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <_Nzrg.33$486.17@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82507

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> You are obviously jealous, that you don't have a high-level clearance,
> and the job security that goes along with it ! Oh it's the bigot,
> Telamon ! Silly, bigot !
>

LOL! What a wanna be coward! LMFAO!!!!! You'll never live to be half the man Tel is, even if you lived 100 years. Hit the road punk!! LMFAO!!!!

BH

1222. Rush Limbaugh's Dominican Stag Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:50:04 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152305404.043526.214070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82506

http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
The plane is N1EB.

1223. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:50:20 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <5Pzrg.34$486.20@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82508

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message

> Bingo. I knew a guy who was canned from a previous
> job for posting in a graphics ng about how the company
> (no longer around in it's previous form) was or wasn't
> planning on using Fahrenheit in their upcoming releases.
>
> It only took 2 days for that to happen.
>
> One can imagine if someone was posting this stuff
> while employed would find himself/herself unemployed
> really quick.
>
> Like the (former) Coke employees who tried to sell
> top secret data to Pepsi.
>
> --Mike L.
>
>

Mike, I'm not sure he's smart enough to get a job.

BH

1224. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:52:54 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <4a5c5$44aec530$422aadc8$15933@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82504

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > Oh BH,
> > >
> > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and
has
> > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations
and
> > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for
S, T,
> > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E
buildings at
> > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating
back to
> > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have
had these
> > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end,
either NSA
> > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong
encryption.
> > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where
anyone's
> > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be
pulled
> > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where
NSA
> > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course,
failed
> > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think -
they don't
> > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel
agencies,
> > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So,

I
> > > guess you don't know everything !
> >
> > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests
on you.
>
> This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to
gun
> range. Silly nut case.

Bingo. I knew a guy who was canned from a previous
job for posting in a graphics ng about how the company
(no longer around in it's previous form) was or wasn't
planning on using Fahrenheit in their upcoming releases.

It only took 2 days for that to happen.

One can imagine if someone was posting this stuff
while employed would find himself/herself unemployed
really quick.

Like the (former) Coke employees who tried to sell
top secret data to Pepsi.

--Mike L.

1225. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:53:21 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <XRzrg.35$486.10@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82513

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> Well crap, if you're an example of our intel capabilities then we're in
> deep
> s**t.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

No shit! I better get to diggin on that bomb shelter! LMFAO!!!

BH

1226. Re: Rush Limbaugh's Dominican Stag Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:54:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AECA23.154443E6@milestones.com>
Source record: 82509

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> The plane is N1EB.

Got ya all excited, huh?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1227. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 20:55:55 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152305755.050201.99090@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82510

Telamon wrote:
> In article <4h7d2rF1qh3arU1@individual.net>,
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>
> < Snip >
>
> > > Well, OK, here is one unbiased article about Katrina:
> > > <http://www.buzzflash.com/analysis/03/05/19_spy.html>
> > >
> > Buzzflash unbiased? Now that's funny.
>
> I'm pretty sure that was intentional sarcasm.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Yeah, I guess I have to use quotes around "unbiased" for some people.
Katrina was a big time GOP operative in CA. For those who know Asians,
they vote Republican because they think (and don't choke laughing) that
Republicans are tough on communism. Even the Taiwanese think like this,
even though Nixon kicked Taiwan out of the UN to kiss Red China' s ass.

1228. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:09:28 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4h80scF1qgug0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 82514

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:Zmzrg.65$dG7.29@fe05.lga...
>
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>
news:telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> >>
> >> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >> > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> >> > >
> >> > > BH
> >> >
> >> > Oh BH,
> >> >
> >> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> >> > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> >> > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> >> > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> >> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> >> > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> >> > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had
these
> >> > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> >> > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong
encryption.
> >> > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> >> > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be
pulled
> >> > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> >> > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course,
failed
> >> > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they
don't
> >> > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel
agencies,
> >> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> >> > guess you don't know everything !
> >>
> >> Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on
you.
> >

> > This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> > here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> > clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
> > range. Silly nut case.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
> Ditto!

I was at the NSA in Ft. Meade in the late 80's installing a system there. Armed guard to go to the bathroom, you could get shot going down the wrong hallway, ect. Buy hey, they had a beer vending maching in the cafeteria! The ol Starshell 3 system is probably still running there. And if you open one of the cabinets of the system there will be a "Homer Hanky" from the 1987 world series taped to the door.

1229. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:09:30 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152306570.471439.40430@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82515

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> > bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
> >
>
> How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?
>
> BH

Hates my guts, because I am brutally honest about your cheapo plastic receivers ! I think it is hilarious, because it shows that you all are being overly-defensive !

Remember to, "think positively about your Eaton Shit E1" !

AND

Remember, that all receivers, since the DX-440 and Sony 2010, are cheap plastic crap !

1230. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:10:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152306602.649591.128180@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82516
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:
> "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152285966.117055.61790@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old freind wrote yet more lies and delusions
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old freind continues to lie, deceived and generally try to impress
> > > himself with:
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> I don't know why you bother, Steve.
then you are a fool "Roger"

Steve does thius becuase he has to

his mind can not accept the facts
>Mark is not worth your effort. Look at
> it from another angle, Steve. Who is Mark? Who IS he? He is a nobody, that's
> who. You don't owe him answers, you don't owe him rebuttals.
indeed he would be happiest in Steve's Killfile but steve just can't
put me there he is so afraid of me
> Mark is a literal flaming idiot with little to do. Just ignore him, Steve.
> He is not worth your or anybody else's time. He is a nobody. Ignore him.
he can't ignore me

that is why he is mental case

1231. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:10:11 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152306611.823623.129390@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82517

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Now, is that the best you got, moron ?
> >
>
> Don't make me open a can of whoop ass on ya boy!
>
> BH

:-)

1232. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:22:14 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152307334.156158.64820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82518

MnMikew wrote:
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:Zmzrg.65$dG7.29@fe05.lga...
> >
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >
> news:telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > Brian Hill wrote:
> > >> > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > BH
> > >> >
> > >> > Oh BH,
> > >> >
> > >> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > >> > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > >> > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > >> > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > >> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > >> > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > >> > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had
> > these
> > >> > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > >> > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong
> > encryption.
> > >> > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > >> > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be
> > pulled
> > >> > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > >> > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course,
> > failed
> > >> > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they
> > don't
> > >> > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel
> > agencies,
> > >> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > >> > guess you don't know everything !
> > >>
> > >> Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on
> > you.

> > >
> > > This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> > > here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> > > clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to gun
> > > range. Silly nut case.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Telamon
> > > Ventura, California
> >
> > Ditto!
>
> I was at the NSA in Ft. Meade in the late 80's installing a system there.
> Armed guard to go to the bathroom, you could get shot going down the wrong
> hallway, ect. Buy hey, they had a beer vending maching in the cafeteria! The
> ol Starshell 3 system is probably still running there. And if you open one
> of the cabinets of the system there will be a "Homer Hanky" from the 1987
> world series taped to the door.

NSA took out the beer machines, from the cafateria, years ago; their
logic was that they would rather have NSA employees drink, "on campus",
than go to one of the local bars. Alcoholism and depression are
rampant, at NSA; it is joked that NSA employees keep the
anti-depressent drug companies in business. There is a lot of
inappropriate behavior that goes on, especially, like sex in the
parking lots, in stair wells, and the famous ones about night-shift
workers, in the basement compartmented computer rooms. I am really
glad, I am out of there ! The chief of "W" Group, years ago, was caught
having sex one night, with a cleaning lady, on his couch in his office.
Bascially, it is a big city, with its share of crime.
Also, a chief of another group, was caught calling a bunch of 900 sex
numbers from his office.

1233. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:26:35 UTC
From: Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152307595.451939.214700@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82519

Michael Lawson wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-F72AF0.11395507072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <44AEA32D.F905305A@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > > >
> > > > > BH
> > > >
> > > > Oh BH,
> > > >
> > > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and
> has
> > > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations
> and
> > > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for
> S, T,
> > > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E
> buildings at
> > > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating
> back to
> > > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have
> had these
> > > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end,
> either NSA
> > > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong
> encryption.
> > > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where
> anyone's
> > > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be
> pulled
> > > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where
> NSA
> > > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course,
> failed
> > > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think -
> they don't
> > > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel
> agencies,

> > > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So,
> I
> > > > guess you don't know everything !
> > >
> > > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests
> on you.
> >
> > This guy would never get a high level clearance and posting about it
> > here would finnish it if he did. You don't broadcast that you have a
> > clearance that's like painting a target on your back and going to
> gun
> > range. Silly nut case.
>
> Bingo. I knew a guy who was canned from a previous
> job for posting in a graphics ng about how the company
> (no longer around in it's previous form) was or wasn't
> planning on using Fahrenheit in their upcoming releases.
>
> It only took 2 days for that to happen.
>
> One can imagine if someone was posting this stuff
> while employed would find himself/herself unemployed
> really quick.
>
> Like the (former) Coke employees who tried to sell
> top secret data to Pepsi.
>
> --Mike L.

Oh Mike, take a look at NSA's web site - oh, am I posting something
classified ! Moron !

1234. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:37:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44AED424-86@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82520

This is allllllll tooooooo funnyyyyyy.
cuhulin

1235. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:54:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44AED800-87@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82521

There is some Armed Guards nobody dared mess with.I always steered a
wide path around those guys.
www.stripes.com/photoday/110705photoday.html
cuhulin
.....
I was in the cosmetics department this afternoon.A Wal Mart woman
said,you ought to get Henekens instead of that stuff.My dog is watching
My Fair Lady on tv.It sure is a strange World
.....

1236. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 21:59:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44AED94D-88@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82522

Sex in the parking lots? Almost everybody does that.
cuhulin

1237. Loop antenna dilamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 22:10:20 UTC
From: tucker@conninc.com
Message-ID: <1152310220.897815.307740@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82523

I recently had a large all metal garage/shop installed, after setting up my radio equipment i
started on antennas. I have a AM reciever that has a external loop so i drilled a hole thru the
wall & run the leads out, installed the loop on a pole and started scanning AM band. It didnt
pickup like it should so i took it down, as it fell to the ground the station got real loud. I tried
picking the loop off the ground and the reception went away. Tried several times to put the
loop in the air but had to return it to laying ontop of the ground?

1238. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 22:26:18 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152311178.394778.32410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82524

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Sex in the parking lots? Almost everybody does that.
> cuhulin

Yup ! I only did it at nighttime parked in neighborhoods, or when my parents were out of town on medical conventions. At NSA, one guy was seen during lunchtime, doing it in a pickup truck. Actually, I did it a few times in my parents basement, while they were upstairs, in the family room, watching T.V. One of the girls was a real screamer, so I had to put my hand over her mouth when I, "went down on her". Another time, my mother came down to talk to us, but we were totally nude, under a blanket - good thing she didn't have us stand up ! Now, was that funny ?

1239. heads up - on air test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 22:32:22 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152311542.731587.63560@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82525

I am finishing up a new homebrew AM transmitter design for 160m this evening. I would like audio report and report on third harmonic as well. If you live within a few hundred miles of MA / RI and have a radio with a 6kc or better audio bandwidth then you should hear some good audio - I hope. Probably tomorrow evening at 2300ut near 1850kc-1950kc. I will post again befor 7pm east coast time. I am especially interested in any third harmonic emissions.

73
NEO

1240. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 22:33:27 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152311607.927980.232390@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82526

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> This is allllllll tooooooo funnyyyyyy.
> cuhulin

I wish that I knew some redneck humor, so that, I could really humor
you !

1241. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 22:56:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44AEE6B4-92@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82527

The term,redneck,as some people like to call us Southerners,,,,, that term comes from the old Southern democrat party back when they used to wear red hankerchiefs around their necks,hence,redneck or rednecks.In the old Capitol Museum here in Jackson there is an article about that.I am a Southerner,born and raised,but I dang sure am not a redneck.In some ways,I am just as Yankee as many Yankees.Most people who watch that hollyweird crap on tv as pertains to the South and rednecks,they actually believe that hollyweird crap.They dont know the Truth and they dont want to know the Truth either.There is a Red Neck fishing lures factory (I assume it is still there anyway) in New Hebron,Mississippi.You can rest assured most of those people at the Red Neck factory are not red necks and not democrats and neither am I.
cuhulin

1242. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:33:43 UTC
From: Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Et-dnS4qz8uncjPZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 82528

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152307334.156158.64820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>.
>
> NSA took out the beer machines, from the cafateria, years ago; their
> logic was that they would rather have NSA employees drink, "on campus",
> than go to one of the local bars. Alcoholism and depression are
> rampant, at NSA; it is joked that NSA employees keep the
> anti-depressent drug companies in business. There is a lot of
> inappropriate behavior that goes on, especially, like sex in the
> parking lots, in stair wells, and the famous ones about night-shift
> workers, in the basement compartmented computer rooms. I am really
> glad, I am out of there ! The chief of "W" Group, years ago, was caught
> having sex one night, with a cleaning lady, on his couch in his office.
> Bascially, it is a big city, with its share of crime.
> Also, a chief of another group, was caught calling a bunch of 900 sex
> numbers from his office.
>

I'm pretty sorry to see others give you a hard time when you should be getting some props. I've been reading/lurking around this group for a long time and you are without question one of the top five frauds/nut cases I've come across. That's worth a lot! You are not quite ready for a Coast to Coast spot with George Snoory, but it's definately something to which you could aspire. Keep working; I see you on a round table with all of Snoory's other "intel operatives" heaping BS across the entire nation instead of just this NG.

1243. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:40:15 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12atsfbo2ofhjcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82529

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:olbra2l2uho1ge56aldk2gr66653ocprf2@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:39:36 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> >Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan
> >
> >This manual provides further evidence that the Iraqi military was active
in
> >Afghanistan and working with the Taliban. The Taliban harbored and
trained
> >with Al Qaeda. The information in the document matches media reports that
> >U.S. intelligence sources believed the IIS was training Al Qaeda in
> >Afghanistan. It also matches information from other IIS documentation
that
> >shows requests from Islamic Jihad groups in Afghanistan for Iraqi
military
> >assistance.
> >...
>
>http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/Articles/Iraq%20How%20to%20Manual%20Direc
t
> >ed.html
> >
> >LISTEN TO THE SILENCE OF THE LEFT
>
>
> This is bad journalism. The reporter is suggesting a conclusion not
> supported by facts:
>
> ''While the training manual revealed today by Fox News does not
> mention the IIS agent's notebook, the manual does suggest an Arab
> regime, most likely Saddam, may have provided the military help
> requested by the Taliban and Al Qaeda.''

Intelligence analysis does not require "journalism".
This type of intelligence simply does not suite your propaganda journalism.

1244. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:41:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AEF117-160@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82535

Nawwwww,,,,, I wont say that one either,in reference to Britney Spears,but a couple of weeks ago,I read that she ''modeled'' for the front page of an upcoming Harpers Bazaar magazine.
cuhulin

1245. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:44:19 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12atsmvbnn26a3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82530

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44AE93B6.BCF113C0@milestones.com...
>
>
> David wrote:
>
> > On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 22:38:50 -0400, "Lenny" <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:ia8ra29lm3d0ie37tb73721vap8jj66him@4ax.com...
> > > >> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:38:39 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all
the
> > > >> time.
> > > >
> > > >My "hard core leftist" to English dictionary translates this as
follows:
> > > >"Some oppressors, including left-wing despots hostile to the United
States,
> > > >have at times used "national security" as an excuse to clamp down.
However,
> > > >merely invoking national security without any indication of tangible
> > > >oppressive behavior does not per se amount to oppression."
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
> > > >
> > > >A truly idiotic and naive statement. I'm sure many who have engaged in
> > > >covert actions all the way from the French Resistance to those who
rescued
> > > >the woman reporter in Iraq would have found their efforts severely
> > > >compromised by the "light of day."
> > > >
> > > > A more intelligent paraphrase would be "If ideologues in the press
give it
> > > >the light of day, there's no point in doing it."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > The French Resistance was not a government. If National Security was
> > an excuse for abridging freedom we'd be at war all the time. Power

> > corrupts. Impeach Cheney.
>
> Impeach Cheney? Heck, it would be a lot more cost effective to just slip
your
> local law enforcement folks a few extra $$$ and have your sorry ass picked
up and
> force fed your meds.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

I have a load of frequent flyer miles. I can have him shipped off to
Communist China or N.Korean if you all agree.
As soon as he flaps his lips over there he'll be spending the rest of his
sobering days in the gulag.

1246. Re: (OT) : De President & De Press -circa- 1851

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:48:23 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12atsujsbf5gdc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82534

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152230405.683906.221590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> KL writes - "You may remember that in 1851..."
>
> sorry 'kl' - i was just born yesterday :o) ~ RHF
> .

Hopefully, you will now remember.

> > The President and the Press
> >
> > You may remember that in 1851 the New York Herald Tribune under the
> > sponsorship and publishing of Horace Greeley, employed as its London
> > correspondent an obscure journalist by the name of Karl Marx.
> > ...
> > If the press is awaiting a declaration of war before it imposes the
> > self-discipline of combat conditions, then I can only say that no war
ever
> > posed a greater threat to our security. If you are awaiting a finding of
> > "clear and present danger," then I can only say that the danger has
never
> > been more clear and its presence has never been more imminent.
> > ...
> > I am asking the members of the newspaper profession and the industry in
this
> > country to reexamine their own responsibilities, to consider the degree
and
> > the nature of the present danger, and to heed the duty of self-restraint
> > which that danger imposes upon us all.
> >
> > Every newspaper now asks itself, with respect to every story: "Is it
news?"
> > All I suggest is that you add the question: "Is it in the interest of
the
> > national security?"
> > ...
> > It was early in the Seventeenth Century that Francis Bacon remarked on
three
> > recent inventions already transforming the world: the compass, gunpowder
and
> > the printing press. Now the links between the nations first forged by
the
> > compass have made us all citizens of the world, the hopes and threats of
one
> > becoming the hopes and threats of us all. In that one world's efforts to

> > live together, the evolution of gunpowder to its ultimate limit has
warned
> > mankind of the terrible consequences of failure.
> >
> > And so it is to the printing press--to the recorder of man's deeds, the
> > keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news--that we look for
strength
> > and assistance, confident that with your help man will be what he was
born
> > to be: free and independent.
> >
> > President John F. Kennedy - April 27, 1961.
> >
> >
http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Desk/Speec
> > hes/JFK/003POF03NewspaperPublishers04271961.htm
> > _____
> >
> > The Left's Media Manipulators
> >
> > During the Cold War, under Ronald Reagan's presidency, the United States
> > government paid special attention to Soviet efforts to manipulate world
> > public opinion against the United States.
> >
> > They referred to these Soviet efforts as "active measures." A special
unit
> > at the United States Information Agency, headed by a top Soviet analyst,
> > Herbert Romerstein, kept track of the most vicious Soviet tricks and
exposed
> > them as frauds to the public.
> > ...
> > Today, new masters of disinformation have emerged in Iran, Iraq, and the
> > Palestinian territories.
> > ...
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
> > _____
> >
> > If the Left Weren't Hypocritical
> >
> > Why is everybody in such a fuss over what to do about The New York
Times's
> > exposure of the government's secret anti-terrorist-financing program?
Isn't
> > there a perfectly good precedent for how it should be handled, currently
on
> > display in the form of Patrick Fitzgerald's CIA leak investigation?
> > ...
> > What have we heard from the people who so loudly demanded an independent
> > investigation of the exposure of CIA employee Valerie Plame Wilson's
> > identity? Not much.

> >
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23241
> >
> > Listen to the Silence
> >
> > --
> > ____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>

1247. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:50:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IqCrg.5224$cd3.1307@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82531

David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in
news:cb5ta25rc88bc4a7o2pbm1sjqvikm2j1st@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 19:00:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Where's Bill Clinton when ya need him. Probably soiling another blue
>>dress somewhere.
>>
>>
>>sc
> NK gets their missile pointers from Russia and China via Iran.
>
>

You right, China can pass on the information they got from Bill Clinton.
No democrat need get involved this time. Good thinking.

SC

1248. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:50:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SqCrg.5230$cd3.1646@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82532

abruxis@aol.com wrote in
news:1152208073.259008.30730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>> > 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
>> > coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch
>> > of FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY
>> > BALONEY CRAP.
>> > cuhulin
>>
>>
>> I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.
>
> I just heard Chuck Harder died ... can you verify this?
>

I know he's been brain dead a long time, but other than that I haven't
heard he got planted yet.

SC

1249. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-07 23:51:19 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152316279.125979.6190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82533

Lenny wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152307334.156158.64820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >.
> >
> > NSA took out the beer machines, from the cafateria, years ago; their
> > logic was that they would rather have NSA employees drink, "on campus",
> > than go to one of the local bars. Alcoholism and depression are
> > rampant, at NSA; it is joked that NSA employees keep the
> > anti-depressent drug companies in business. There is a lot of
> > inappropriate behavior that goes on, especially, like sex in the
> > parking lots, in stair wells, and the famous ones about night-shift
> > workers, in the basement compartmented computer rooms. I am really
> > glad, I am out of there ! The chief of "W" Group, years ago, was caught
> > having sex one night, with a cleaning lady, on his couch in his office.
> > Bascially, it is a big city, with its share of crime.
> > Also, a chief of another group, was caught calling a bunch of 900 sex
> > numbers from his office.
> >
>
> I'm pretty sorry to see others give you a hard time when you should be
> getting some props. I've been reading/lurking around this group for a long
> time and you are without question one of the top five frauds/nut cases I've
> come across. That's worth a lot! You are not quite ready for a Coast to
> Coast spot with George Snoory, but it's definately something to which you
> could aspire. Keep working; I see you on a round table with all of
> Snoory's other "intel operatives" heaping BS across the entire nation
> instead of just this NG.

Hey, thanks !

1250. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:00:11 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12attkn5o0n366@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82537

"Lenny" <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com> wrote
]>
> I'm pretty sorry to see others give you a hard time when you should be
> getting some props. I've been reading/lurking around this group for a
long
> time and you are without question one of the top five frauds/nut cases
I've
> come across. That's worth a lot! You are not quite ready for a Coast to
> Coast spot with George Snoory, but it's definately something to which you
> could aspire. Keep working; I see you on a round table with all of
> Snoory's other "intel operatives" heaping BS across the entire nation
> instead of just this NG.
>

Yeah, he's not ready for C2C. I can hear it now... Telemon <TELEphone
MONnitor> reveals NSA all.
Just like that nut who said he was a scientist(?) working inside Area 51 and
claims he saw an alien space craft - LMAO!

1251. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:02:21 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152316941.160368.312780@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82536

Lenny wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152307334.156158.64820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >.
> >
> > NSA took out the beer machines, from the cafateria, years ago; their
> > logic was that they would rather have NSA employees drink, "on campus",
> > than go to one of the local bars. Alcoholism and depression are
> > rampant, at NSA; it is joked that NSA employees keep the
> > anti-depressent drug companies in business. There is a lot of
> > inappropriate behavior that goes on, especially, like sex in the
> > parking lots, in stair wells, and the famous ones about night-shift
> > workers, in the basement compartmented computer rooms. I am really
> > glad, I am out of there ! The chief of "W" Group, years ago, was caught
> > having sex one night, with a cleaning lady, on his couch in his office.
> > Bascially, it is a big city, with its share of crime.
> > Also, a chief of another group, was caught calling a bunch of 900 sex
> > numbers from his office.
> >
>
> I'm pretty sorry to see others give you a hard time when you should be
> getting some props. I've been reading/lurking around this group for a long
> time and you are without question one of the top five frauds/nut cases I've
> come across. That's worth a lot! You are not quite ready for a Coast to
> Coast spot with George Snoory, but it's definately something to which you
> could aspire. Keep working; I see you on a round table with all of
> Snoory's other "intel operatives" heaping BS across the entire nation
> instead of just this NG.

Actually, I forgot to mention, that Coast To Coast AM, Scott Sloan 700 WLW, and Saturday Night Oldies 700 WABC are my favorite shows ! How did you know ! You are definately very amusing ! I would love a spot on Coast To Coast AM ! I did manage to phone into Scott Sloan, a few months ago, as he was talking about people hacking into wireless internet networks. I told him about how scanners could be used, about 10 years ago, when baby monitors, cell phones, and cordless phones were analog. I heard my neighbors having affairs (3 of them), on a cell phone one guy's girfriend threatened to call his wife, and I heard stories of how newlyweds would put their babies and baby monitors in their bedrooms ! On a cell phone, a girfriend was talking "dirty" to her boyfriend, and I thought he was going to wreck his car ! Hope to see you "lurking" around, with the rest of the highly-sensitive, cheap plastic SW receiver owners !

1252. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:03:20 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12attqj9duv0lbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82539

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152295311.599481.321690@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> contractor

> I worked for S, T,
> and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> Ft. Meade.

> I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets.

PING PING PING

1253. Kilgour-Matas report on Communist China's organ harvesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:04:10 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12attvqbvfbg715@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82541

Kilgour-Matas report on Communist China's organ harvesting

"Based on what we now know, we have come to the regrettable conclusion that
the allegations are true. We believe that there has been and continues today
to be large scale organ seizures from unwilling Falun Gong practitioners."

http://investigation.redirectme.net/

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1254. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:05:07 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <TECrg.21077$Eh1.15420@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82538

My *experience* trumps the *critic's reviews* . . .

"Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152288385.232264.99920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lisa Simpson wrote:
> > I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
> > both excellent receivers!
> >
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> > news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > GP wrote:
> > > > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > > > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > > > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and
tuning
> > > > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had
a
> > > > more robust feel to it...
> > > > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > > > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder
if
> > > > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > > > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do
not
> > > > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > > > coating...
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > >
> > > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > > else.
> > >
>
> If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
>

1255. Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:05:23 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12attvrg16j5p16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82542

Enter China: A New Act in World History

A report last June cited in the New York Times and quoted on the House floor • China graduates nine times as many engineers (600,000) as the United States (70,000) • was met in the United States with such disbelief that "a Duke professor had his students check the numbers." Just think of it: China, known not so long ago only for its silks and fine china, graduates nine times as many engineers as the United States

http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2006/7/6/214643.shtml

You better make lots of babies and save every penny for college tuition.

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1256. China Spy defender under investigation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:06:06 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12attvso1nmpn19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82540

China Spy defender under investigation

Less than one day after it was revealed that high-ranking intelligence officer Lonnie Henley publicly came to the defense of admitted spy Ronald Montaperto, Bill Gertz (Washington Times) reported that Henley himself is under investigation. Henley's he-didn't-really-spy-that-much argument exposed just how far "engagement" supporters were willing to go to defend their fellows.

http://www.washingtontimes.com/national/20060705-114604-1901r.htm

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1257. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:13:38 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152317618.829054.285000@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82543

```
Lisa Simpson wrote:
> My *experience* trumps the *critic's reviews* . . .
>
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152288385.232264.99920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Lisa Simpson wrote:
> > > I disagree. I have 3 of the Grundig Mini 300 PE & 1 of the Mini 100 PE,
> > > both excellent receivers!
> > >
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message
> > > news:1152273782.560869.134550@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > GP wrote:
> > > > > Went to the local Radio Shack today and they are selling a new black
> > > > > version of the S350DL...This radio seemed so much better then the
> > > > > silver or red ones that are currently avaliable...The knobs and
> tuning
> > > > > dial seemed more solid than the previous ones, and even the case had
> a
> > > > > more robust feel to it...
> > > > > Is this the same radio as always or has Eton finally addressed the
> > > > > quality issue that was lacking on the previous versions?...I wonder
> if
> > > > > they have incorporated better filters to help eliminate the image
> > > > > problem that used to plague the older models...Anyways I still do
> not
> > > > > think this radio is worth $100 but it sure looked nice in the black
> > > > > coating...
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheers
> > > > >
> > > > > Greg
> > > >
> > > > That's the thing about Grundig - it's all about athestics and nothing
> > > > else.
> > > >
> > >
> > > If you look at the reviews for your Grundig radios on Amazon, and show,
> > > "lowest ratings first", you will see what I mean. Aside from the 350,
> > > I think the biggest piece of crap Grundig is the G2000A "Porsche" model
> > > - this is the best example how Chinese-made Grundigs are all about
> > > asthestics, and nothing else. Sorry...
```

> >

See, that is exactly what I was talking about - the personal
reviews/experience on Amazon, trump all of the critics reviews !

1258. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:17:52 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152317872.929481.53290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82544

Kilo Lani wrote:
> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152295311.599481.321690@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > contractor
>
> > I worked for S, T,
> > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > Ft. Meade.
>
> > I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets.
>
>
> PING PING PING

PONG PONG PONG

1259. Re: Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:26:34 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152318394.252103.127730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82545

Kilo Lani wrote:
> Enter China: A New Act in World History
>
> A report last June cited in the New York Times and quoted on the House
> floor - China graduates nine times as many engineers (600,000) as the United
> States (70,000) - was met in the United States with such disbelief that "a
> Duke professor had his students check the numbers." Just think of it: China,
> known not so long ago only for its silks and fine china, graduates nine
> times as many engineers as the United States
>

Engineers who can read and write English . . .

Hundreds and Hundreds of Thousands of them

Do not expect great innovations from them - But

- Unless you are " hands on";

They Can Do Your Job....

Much Cheaper than you, BTW . . . .

> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2006/7/6/214643.shtml
>
> You better make lots of babies and save every penny for college tuition.
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1260. Re: Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:28:49 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152318529.414114.98760@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82546

Harveyat8c4...@aol.com wrote:
> Kilo Lani wrote:
> > Enter China: A New Act in World History
> >
> > A report last June cited in the New York Times and quoted on the House
> > floor - China graduates nine times as many engineers (600,000) as the United
> > States (70,000) - was met in the United States with such disbelief that "a
> > Duke professor had his students check the numbers." Just think of it: China,
> > known not so long ago only for its silks and fine china, graduates nine
> > times as many engineers as the United States
> >
>
> Engineers who can read and write English . . .
>
> Hundreds and Hundreds of Thousands of them
>
> Do not expect great innovations from them - But
>
> - Unless you are " hands on";
>
> They Can Do Your Job....
>
> Much Cheaper than you, BTW . . . .
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2006/7/6/214643.shtml
> >
> > You better make lots of babies and save every penny for college tuition.
> >
> > --
> > _____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

Good damn thing, none of them can get security clearances !

1261. Re: Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:30:40 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152318640.654861.108700@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82547

Kilo Lani wrote:
> Enter China: A New Act in World History
>
> A report last June cited in the New York Times and quoted on the House
> floor - China graduates nine times as many engineers (600,000) as the United
> States (70,000) - was met in the United States with such disbelief that "a
> Duke professor had his students check the numbers." Just think of it: China,
> known not so long ago only for its silks and fine china, graduates nine
> times as many engineers as the United States
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2006/7/6/214643.shtml
>
> You better make lots of babies and save every penny for college tuition.
>
> --
> _____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

Why in hell do you keep posting this garbage - this has nothing to do
with SW !

1262. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:41:25 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152319285.088194.81620@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82398

Kilo Lani wrote:
> Kilgour-Matas report on Communist China's organ harvesting
>
> "Based on what we now know, we have come to the regrettable conclusion that
> the allegations are true. We believe that there has been and continues today
> to be large scale organ seizures from unwilling Falun Gong practitioners."
I am sure the red harvest foar more than those of the Falun Gong

but radio content?

1263. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 00:56:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152320215.800968.294320@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82399

iboc SUCKs - 'plastic' Did You Say "PLASTIC" ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> Lenny wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152307334.156158.64820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >.
> > >
> > > NSA took out the beer machines, from the cafateria, years ago; their
> > > logic was that they would rather have NSA employees drink, "on campus",
> > > than go to one of the local bars. Alcoholism and depression are
> > > rampant, at NSA; it is joked that NSA employees keep the
> > > anti-depressent drug companies in business. There is a lot of
> > > inappropriate behavior that goes on, especially, like sex in the
> > > parking lots, in stair wells, and the famous ones about night-shift
> > > workers, in the basement compartmented computer rooms. I am really
> > > glad, I am out of there ! The chief of "W" Group, years ago, was caught
> > > having sex one night, with a cleaning lady, on his couch in his office.
> > > Bascially, it is a big city, with its share of crime.
> > > Also, a chief of another group, was caught calling a bunch of 900 sex
> > > numbers from his office.
> > >
> >
> > I'm pretty sorry to see others give you a hard time when you should be
> > getting some props. I've been reading/lurking around this group for a long
> > time and you are without question one of the top five frauds/nut cases I've
> > come across. That's worth a lot! You are not quite ready for a Coast to
> > Coast spot with George Snoory, but it's definately something to which you
> > could aspire. Keep working; I see you on a round table with all of
> > Snoory's other "intel operatives" heaping BS across the entire nation
> > instead of just this NG.
>
> Actually, I forgot to mention, that Coast To Coast AM, Scott Sloan 700
> WLW, and Saturday Night Oldies 700 WABC are my favorite shows ! How
> did you know ! You are definately very amusing ! I would love a spot
> on Coast To Coast AM ! I did manage to phone into Scott Sloan, a few
> months ago, as he was talking about people hacking into wireless
> internet networks. I told him about how scanners could be used, about
> 10 years ago, when baby monitors, cell phones, and cordless phones were
> analog. I heard my neighbors having affairs (3 of them), on a cell

> phone one guy's girfriend threatened to call his wife, and I heard
> stories of how newlyweds would put their babies and baby monitors in
> their bedrooms ! On a cell phone, a girfriend was talking "dirty" to
> her boyfriend, and I thought he was going to wreck his car ! Hope to
> see you "lurking" around, with the rest of the highly-sensitive, cheap
- plastic SW receiver owners !

1264. Reception conditions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:01:13 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152320473.094258.243550@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82400

7.415 booming in here in NYC..

Any other reports out there;

- Pirate activity ??

1265. (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:04:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152320678.051714.260160@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82401

DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton
Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11.

89. The NSA program was initially conceived at least one year
prior to 2001 but had been called off; it was reinstated within 11
days of the entry into office of defendant George W. Bush.

One Year "Prior" was under President Bill Clinton's Watch [.]
- - - Just May Be Had He Done Something Prior To 9/11
Then May Be 9/11 Would Never Had Happen !

- David wrote:
- http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m24345&l=i&size=1&hd=0

DaviD - So Now It Appears That Your Sources Are ?
Iraqi Anti-Occupation Forces "information from occupied iraq"
http://www.uruknet.info/?p=-5&l=e

DaviD - Have You Joined "The Jihad" Against America ? ~ RHF
- - - No Need To Answer We Aready Know !
.
.
. .

1266. (OT) : "Garbage" {little gigo} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:10:47 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152321047.313290.291790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82402

"Garbage" {little gigo} : 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"

"Garbage" {little gigo} : Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !

now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
> > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> > > bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
> > >
> >
> > How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?
> >
> > BH
>
> Hates my guts, because I am brutally honest about your cheapo plastic
> receivers ! I think it is hilarious, because it shows that you all are
> being overly-defensive !
>
> Remember to, "think positively about your Eaton Shit E1" !
>
> AND
>
> Remember, that all receivers, since the DX-440 and Sony 2010, are cheap
> plastic crap !

1267. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:17:13 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <is1ua2l797j81mcsgk1d6e6jpsd1lqlehj@4ax.com>
Source record: 82403

On Fri, 07 Jul 2006 12:21:03 -0500, clifto <clifto@gmail.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
>> time.
>>
>> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
>
>That is the EXACT same reasoning used by bad cops to justify illegal searches.
>"If you aren't doing anything bad, you won't mind our poking around."
Tit for tat.

1268. Pirate ! 6.925 USB . . . Pirate ! 00:15 UTC Pirate !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:19:23 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152321563.730964.182720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82404

- Playing James Bond Theme

" Stirred Not Shaken "

SIO ~ 3ish here in NYC..

1269. Re: The President and the Press

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:20:44 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152321644.645844.10630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82405

David wrote:
> On Fri, 07 Jul 2006 12:21:03 -0500, clifto <clifto@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >David wrote:
> >> Opressors use ''national security'' as an excuse to clamp down all the
> >> time.
> >>
> >> If it can't stand the light of day we shouldn't be doing it.
> >
> >That is the EXACT same reasoning used by bad cops to justify illegal searches.
> >"If you aren't doing anything bad, you won't mind our poking around."
> Tit for tat.
no answer then david as expected

1270. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:21:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <v22ua21dsjcr9jbt7jvqm6puvmd69ld5am@4ax.com>
Source record: 82406

On Fri, 7 Jul 2006 18:40:15 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/entity.jsp?entity=karen_kwiatkowski

1271. Re: Pirate ! 6.925 USB . . . Pirate ! 00:15 UTC Pirate !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:28:04 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152322084.149013.79170@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82407

Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
> - Playing James Bond Theme
>
> " Stirred Not Shaken "
>
> SIO ~ 3ish here in NYC..

- This him . . .

http://www.blackcatsystems.com/pirate/logs/showLogDetail.html?id=128

1272. Re: Loop Antenna Dilamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:29:23 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152322163.877578.255300@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82408

Tucker,

Try using 50 Foot piece of Coax Cable as a feed-in-line
between the Loop Antenna and the Radio in the Metal Shed.

Start with the Loop Antenna Element about 3 Feet from
the Side of the Metal Shed and move it out in 3 Foot
steps; until you have very good AM/MW Band reception.
- - - Usually about 9-12 Feet away.

Try elevating the Loop Antenna Element about 6-9 Feet
above the Ground using an old Market Umbrella Stand
with a Wooden or PVC Pole for an Upright will do.

hope this helps ~ RHF
.
.
. .

tucker@conninc.com wrote:
> I recently had a large all metal garage/shop installed, after setting
> up my radio equipment i
> started on antennas. I have a AM reciever that has a external loop so
> i drilled a hole thru the
> wall & run the leads out, installed the loop on a pole and started
> scanning AM band. It didnt
> pickup like it should so i took it down, as it fell to the ground the
> station got real loud. I tried
> picking the loop off the ground and the reception went away. Tried
> several times to put the
> loop in the air but had to return it to laying ontop of the ground?

1273. Re: (OT) : "Garbage" {little gigo} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 01:29:56 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152322196.856730.97270@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82409

RHF wrote:
> "Garbage" {little gigo} : 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
>
> "Garbage" {little gigo} : Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
> I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !
>
> now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> > > > bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
> > > >
> > >
> > > How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?
> > >
> > > BH
> >
> > Hates my guts, because I am brutally honest about your cheapo plastic
> > receivers ! I think it is hilarious, because it shows that you all are
> > being overly-defensive !
> >
> > Remember to, "think positively about your Eaton Shit E1" !
> >
> > AND
> >
> > Remember, that all receivers, since the DX-440 and Sony 2010, are cheap
> > plastic crap !

That kind of talk is so inappropriate - you must be really upset ! I
do have a question, though - with the E1's floppy antenna, can one use
duck tape to hold it in place, along with the flimsy knobs/switches,
and can a handle and MW and satellite antennas be constructed too ?
Maybe, this question would be better served by BH.

1274. (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:03:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152324184.797069.88020@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82410

Miso - "several documents released today by
the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
- - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney
{An Elected Democrat} using his office to smear
Rush Limbaugh {A Conservative} in the Media.

It is amazing to me that Robert Downey Jr's very real
Illegal Drug Problem was touted as a Medical Condition.
by the Liberal Hollywood and the Left-Wing Media Elite.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Downey_Jr.

While a scientifically recognized Medical Condition
such as "Erectile Dysfunction"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erectile_Dysfunction
Is treated by Liberals and the Media as more evidence
of Drug Addiction by the Conservative Rush Limbaugh.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rush_Limbaugh

Miso - You are a fine example of how Liberals and the
Left-Wing Media Elite once again show their Double
Standard when it comes to Personal Problems and
Information vis-à-vis their darling little Liberal friends
-vice- their hated Conservative enemies.

Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
- - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !

miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
-but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
.
.
. .

miso@sushi.com wrote:
> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> The plane is N1EB.
.

1275. Re: (OT) : "Garbage" {little gigo} - Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" - I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:07:12 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152324432.079065.152050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82411

iboc SUCKS - While one word in my post may be inappropriate.

The "Tone" of most of what you post here is inappropriate [.] ~ RHF
.
.
. .

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > "Garbage" {little gigo} : 'plastic' did you say {write} "Plastic"
> >
> > "Garbage" {little gigo} : Every Time You Use The Word "Plastic" -
> > I Get A One Word Vision Of You . . . {A Sad and Lonely} "Dildo" !
> >
> > now go recharge your batteries :o) ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_! wrote:
> > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > > Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> > > > > bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?
> > > >
> > > > BH
> > >
> > > Hates my guts, because I am brutally honest about your cheapo plastic
> > > receivers ! I think it is hilarious, because it shows that you all are
> > > being overly-defensive !
> > >
> > > Remember to, "think positively about your Eaton Shit E1" !
> > >
> > > AND
> > >
> > > Remember, that all receivers, since the DX-440 and Sony 2010, are cheap
> > > plastic crap !
>
> That kind of talk is so inappropriate - you must be really upset ! I

> do have a question, though - with the E1's floppy antenna, can one use
> duck tape to hold it in place, along with the flimsy knobs/switches,
> and can a handle and MW and satellite antennas be constructed too ?
> Maybe, this question would be better served by BH.

1276. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are Intertwined in the Hearts and Minds of Those Who Love Tem Both !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:09:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152324568.712997.267370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82412

David wrote:
> On 6 Jul 2006 18:25:57 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >There is a little 'lie' in every "Belief" -and-
> >What We "Believe" Is Often Center On A 'Lie'
> >- These Lies are Misunderstandings of the
> >Facts -and- Assumptions about Reality
> >- That Can Not Be Proven !
> >
> >alas we are only human and not gods ~ RHF
> > .

- Speak for yerself.

DaviD - That I Do. -and- That I Will ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

>
> The masters make the rules for the wise men and the fools; I got
> nothin', Ma, to live up to.

1277. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:36:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152326193.710422.104970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:
> Roger wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152285966.117055.61790@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> Mark is a literal flaming idiot with little to do. Just ignore him, Steve.
> He is not worth your or anybody else's time. He is a nobody. Ignore him.
amusing that I am worth your time
stve and you (assuming you are not steve of course) is obessed and has
pent 8 years trying to punish for standing up to his black he is not
more likely to give up than you are to tell the truth about anything

1278. Re: (OT) The US Flag and The US Constitution Two Symbols that are I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:46:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AF1C7C-188@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82415

Wellllll,,,,, I sent that divorced 34 year old woman in
Petal,Mississippi a few emails.I wonder if she is in the klink?
cuhulin

1279. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:54:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AF1E61-190@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82420

Let me go check C to C's website right quick.Most likely nothing on C to C tonight worth listening to.Besides,that is usually about the time I get me beauty (move over Blueberry doggy, www.cattledog.com) sleep.
cuhulin
.....
That woman at Goodwill bet me I wouldn't dress up as a woman.I am going to show her a thang or three
.....

1280. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 02:57:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29049-44AF1F02-42@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82419

You are SICK if you like C to C.I hate to copy cat that old refrain,but,,,,,, Get Help! before it's too late.
cuhulin

1281. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:00:23 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152327623.712732.135890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82416

RHF wrote:
> Miso - "several documents released today by
> the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
> - - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney

> Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
> - - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
> Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !
>
>
> miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
> -but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
out there with there lack of recent electorial vicories

but if electing some them is the price to get the m to reaquire some
humanity well I am not opaying the price
Bush and Rush have their problems a mistakes faults but unless we can
find a way to vote Chuthulu for president why choose the lessor evil (a
promtional ad for some gaming company awhile I am stuck with what we
got

1282. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:01:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <7q7ua21giguahd42mkmdv0vhapmb7uerdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 82417

On 7 Jul 2006 18:04:38 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton
>Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11.
>
># 89. The NSA program was initially conceived at least one year
>prior to 2001 but had been called off; it was reinstated within 11
>days of the entry into office of defendant George W. Bush.
>
>One Year "Prior" was under President Bill Clinton's Watch [.]
>- - - Just May Be Had He Done Something Prior To 9/11
>Then May Be 9/11 Would Never Had Happen !
>
>- David wrote:
>- http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m24345&l=i&size=1&hd=0
>
>DaviD - So Now It Appears That Your Sources Are ?
>Iraqi Anti-Occupation Forces "information from occupied iraq"
>http://www.uruknet.info/?p=-5&l=e

My source is a legal document in the U. S. District Court.
>
>DaviD - Have You Joined "The Jihad" Against America ? ~ RHF
>- - - No Need To Answer We Aready Know !
> .
You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
to take any steps to prevent it.

(None so blind as he who won't see...)

http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/timeline.jsp?timeline=complete_911_timeline&before_9/11
=warnings

1283. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:03:38 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <628ua2ldhjp44k5m0c7kbk7oh0690ll71i@4ax.com>
Source record: 82418

On 7 Jul 2006 19:03:04 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Miso - "several documents released today by
>the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
>- - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney
>{An Elected Democrat} using his office to smear
>Rush Limbaugh {A Conservative} in the Media.
>
>It is amazing to me that Robert Downey Jr's very real
>Illegal Drug Problem was touted as a Medical Condition.
>by the Liberal Hollywood and the Left-Wing Media Elite.
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Downey_Jr.
>
>While a scientifically recognized Medical Condition
>such as "Erectile Dysfunction"
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erectile_Dysfunction
>Is treated by Liberals and the Media as more evidence
>of Drug Addiction by the Conservative Rush Limbaugh.
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rush_Limbaugh
>
>Miso - You are a fine example of how Liberals and the
>Left-Wing Media Elite once again show their Double
>Standard when it comes to Personal Problems and
>Information vis-ï¿½-vis their darling little Liberal friends
>-vice- their hated Conservative enemies.
>
>Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
>- - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
>Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !
>
>
>miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
>-but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .
>
>miso@sushi.com wrote:
>> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
>> The plane is N1EB.
> .
>

Why would a single man (i.e. not married) need 29 Viagara pills on a trip to an island infamous for kinky forms of prostitution?

1284. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:04:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AF20D5-195@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82421

I already have big natural boobies.I bought a bra at the Goodwill store
this afternoon.Why do them wimmins bras have them little hook fasterners
on the back? I still can't figure out how to get me bra on.
cuhulin
.....
Shes complicated,,,,,,, she gave me a Mule to rideeeeeee,,,,,,,,,,
.....

1285. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:10:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44AF220D-196@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82422

I am not crazy (at least I hope not) but I just have to get meself
lookin just like a woman at least once in my life so I will know what
it's like,,,, before I die.
cuhulin
.....
Lay that Pistollll down,,, Babe,,,,,, Lay that Pistol
downnnnnnn,,,,,,,,,
.....

1286. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:28:42 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82423

Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a drug addict himself.

It appears there are rules for Limbaugh, and then everyone else.

RHF wrote:
> Miso - "several documents released today by
> the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
> - - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney
> {An Elected Democrat} using his office to smear
> Rush Limbaugh {A Conservative} in the Media.
>
> It is amazing to me that Robert Downey Jr's very real
> Illegal Drug Problem was touted as a Medical Condition.
> by the Liberal Hollywood and the Left-Wing Media Elite.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Downey_Jr.
>
> While a scientifically recognized Medical Condition
> such as "Erectile Dysfunction"
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erectile_Dysfunction
> Is treated by Liberals and the Media as more evidence
> of Drug Addiction by the Conservative Rush Limbaugh.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rush_Limbaugh
>
> Miso - You are a fine example of how Liberals and the
> Left-Wing Media Elite once again show their Double
> Standard when it comes to Personal Problems and
> Information vis-à-vis their darling little Liberal friends
> -vice- their hated Conservative enemies.
>
> Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
> - - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
> Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !
>
>
> miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
> -but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>

> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> > The plane is N1EB.
> .

1287. Mark Moron Pontificates His Way Into Yet Another Moronic Stupor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:29:59 UTC
From: Roger <Anont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4jqrs.81k.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:
> "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1152285966.117055.61790@k73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old freind wrote yet more lies and delusions
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old freind continues to lie, deceived and generally try to impress
> > > himself with:
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> I don't know why you bother, Steve.
then you are a fool "Roger"

Steve does thius becuase he has to

his mind can not accept the facts
>Mark is not worth your effort. Look at
> it from another angle, Steve. Who is Mark? Who IS he? He is a nobody,
that's
> who. You don't owe him answers, you don't owe him rebuttals.
indeed he would be happiest in Steve's Killfile but steve just can't
put me there he is so afraid of me

Mark is a literal flaming idiot with little to do. Just ignore him, Steve.
He is not worth your or anybody else's time. He is a nobody. Ignore him.

1288. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:33:40 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1C1D3A.20333907072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82424

In article <IKzrg.31$486.23@fe06.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net>
wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" <gosmithwhisper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Hey BH - had to think twice about that one ! Interesting, that the
> > bigot would ask you a question, such as that !
> >
>
> How does it make you feel to know everyone hates your guts?

I do not hate him that would be giving him power and attention he does
not deserve. I just think he is a nut case and put him in the kill file.
Some people will not behave of their own volition (it's called being an
adult) and instead have to be forced or punished. Behavior exemplified
by this individual is immature at best regardless of his age. You cannot
control these people in a public forum so the best thing to do is ignore
them. Let him ramble on to himself.

Look at it this way, if you met him in person in a restaurant you would
move away to another table and keep away from him in the future. You
would not befriend him or take part in his bizarre behavior.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1289. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:34:19 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152329659.486897.149130@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82425

clifto wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> > OK Slow Code, this will require thought. If Bill Clinton really
> > transferred sensitive technology to China, don't you think Gingrich and
> > posse would have impeached him on that offense rather than the Lewinsky
> > affair, which made the GOP look buffoonish? [Ok, more buffoonish than
> > usual.]
>
> Crappy reasoning. The GOP didn't control two-thirds of the Senate, then or
> now. Even if every man, woman and child except you in the USA was clamoring
> for Clinton's head on a pike for much more serious and blatant offenses,
> there isn't a Democrat in the Senate who would vote to convict.
>
> --
> Britney Spears' Guide to Semiconductor Physics
> <http://britneyspears.ac/lasers.htm>

Eventually the GOP turned on Richard Nixon, so I would assume the
Democrats would do the right thing if Clinton committed treason.

Take the case of William Jefferson. The Democrats got him removed from
Ways and Means after it was obvious the guy was dirty. Democrats eat
their own. The GOP, on the other hand, supports their criminals. Tom
Delay is a good example.

1290. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:42:12 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152330132.112724.325410@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82426

David wrote:
> On 7 Jul 2006 19:03:04 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>

> >miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> >> The plane is N1EB.
> > .
> >
> Why would a single man (i.e. not married) need 29 Viagara pills on a
> trip to an island infamous for kinky forms of prostitution?
why is it your place to ask?

My father umarried and seriously ill takes the same type of pills to
better enjoy his self entertainment

but again why is it your busness?

1291. Re: All North Korea needs is a democrat to leak or give them missle technology.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:44:26 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152330266.321595.92720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82427

m...@sushi.com wrote:
> clifto wrote:
> > miso@sushi.com wrote:
Britney Spears' Guide to Semiconductor Physics
> > <http://britneyspears.ac/lasers.htm>
>
> Eventually the GOP turned on Richard Nixon, so I would assume the
> Democrats would do the right thing if Clinton committed treason.
no evidence to support that notionThey mnominated a Traotr for
president this lasttime were they planing to impeach there after?
>
> Take the case of William Jefferson. The Democrats got him removed from
> Ways and Means after it was obvious the guy was dirty. Democrats eat
> their own. The GOP, on the other hand, supports their criminals. Tom
> Delay is a good example.
the GOP does like to a bit of due process but then they tend to uphold
the constitution

1292. Re: NSA Spy Program Predates 9-11 by 8 Months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:44:26 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AAED51.20442607072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82428

In article <44AEC3AF.FF1A4F17@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "Grundig_Tecsun_Eton_garbage_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > Brian Hill wrote:
> > > > > Dave, Your head predates human intelligence.
> > > > >
> > > > > BH
> > > >
> > > > Oh BH,
> > > >
> > > > I worked for NSA, for many years, as both an employee and
> > > > contractor, and can reassure you, that NSA has no boundries and has
> > > > hundreds of databases full of USSID-18 (US citizen) violations and
> > > > porography (which is passed around all the time). I worked for S, T,
> > > > and Z Groups (cryptography) in the OPS2B, OPS1, and R&E buildings at
> > > > Ft. Meade. NSA has a history of USSID-18 violations, dating back to
> > > > the 1960s, and, basically sucks in all it can get. They have had these
> > > > types of illegal programs going on for years. In the end, either NSA
> > > > will, "do it to themselves, or will be shut down by strong encryption.
> > > > NSA is a hostile and very dysfunctional place to work, where anyone's
> > > > special access clearance TS/SCI/full-scope poly clearance can be pulled
> > > > for no reason. Russ Tice was a recent NSA Whistle-blower, where NSA
> > > > security forced him to take a psychological test, and of course, failed
> > > > him. NSA is nothing like what people on the outside think - they don't
> > > > have, "magic bullets". I now am associated with other Intel agencies,
> > > > whose missions are to collect against only foreign targets. So, I
> > > > guess you don't know everything !
> > >
> > > Those 'other intel agencies' need to run some psychological tests on you.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > They already have, moron !
>
> Well crap, if you're an example of our intel capabilities then we're in deep
> s**t.

Apparently he "is" smart enough to be a news reporter. Did you here the President being being asked questions at a news conference about N. Korea? Some of the reporters were to stupid to figure out he was being sarcastic. Good old ABC being one news channel that was unable to figure that out.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1293. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:51:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44AF2BDC-98@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82432

Are you ''queer'' for that old sissy goosy limbaugh?
cuhulin
.....FoFolks,come
on down to Larry's Used Car City.We have a real good deal on a 1954
Pontiac Automobile.It drives out just like brand new.
.....

1294. ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:54:44 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152330883.996613.94280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82429

I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is dead.

1295. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 03:55:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44AF2CB8-99@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82433

I was (and till am) always too chicken to get hitched.That's why I like
to keep my galfriends (married and divorced and never married before)
longgggg distance.y'all can't blame me for that.
cuhulin

1296. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:02:33 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152331353.672828.95370@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82430

Don't waste your money the hobby is dead. Take up knitting.
kong1932 wrote:
> Hi, I am seriously considering buying a shortwave receiver, and I want
> to know what exactly I would find on the air on the east coast of
> Australia, and how well the Sangean ATS-505 Shortwave Receiver
> performs. What antenna would I need for this receiver as well?

1297. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:03:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <e8Grg.36072$VE1.28559@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82431

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
>dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
>sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
>drug addict himself.

Sorry to rain on your parade, but it was determined that the Viagra
prescription was legal and that all that was done was to use the doctor's
name to avoid embarrassment by filling such a prescription.

As I mentioned before, I have traveled several million miles and never had
any medicine questioned, so someone had it out for Limbaugh in WPB.

1298. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:03:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26665-44AF2EAE-19@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82437

Not too sure if bush knew on that fatefull day on September Eleventh,Two Thousand One.He is really a MORON and really doesn't know Anything!
(Take my Word on that) bush is NOT the prez of U.S.A.!!!! He is only a ''usefull'' IDIOT!!!!!!!! cheney is the prez of U.S.A.
cuhulin

1299. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:05:20 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152331520.551111.106610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82434

Merlin3rd wrote:
> I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> dead.

In the morning, at sunrise, three men will arrive at your home in a white panel van. You will go with them. Do not resist them. You are to spend the next two months at the Eton Reeducation Facility on the outskirts of Pocatello, Idaho. Upon your release you will purchase a third E1. You will love your new E1 and you will express your satisfaction with it in postings to this group. You will also recall how much you really dislike the old Sony portables you once owned.

You will begin again.

1300. Re: Great listening on shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:05:53 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152331553.322041.132470@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82435

I think you guys got way off the original subject. Pay attention next
time.

Merlin3rd wrote:
> Nothing left on shortwave except third world toilet countries,
> religious creeps, skinheads Nazis, communist propaganda and those
> weirdo's on WBCQ. Great listening!

1301. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:10:46 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8nb4e$r14$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82436

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:e8Grg.36072$VE1.28559@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
>>dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
>>sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
>>drug addict himself.
>
> Sorry to rain on your parade, but it was determined that the Viagra
> prescription was legal and that all that was done was to use the doctor's
> name to avoid embarrassment by filling such a prescription.
>
> As I mentioned before, I have traveled several million miles and never had
> any medicine questioned, so someone had it out for Limbaugh in WPB.
>

I think the poster was referring to the Oxycontin debacle.

1302. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:11:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44AF3072-102@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82438

y'all miss a lot more things accidently than I miss on purpose.About a year or less ago,didn't y'all see cheney giving bush that ''look'' just after bush had given his scripted and prepared beforehand ''speech'' on tv? I really do feel sorry for Fools Who Just Dont Get IT!

Now,GO Look in your bathroom mirror and puke all over yourself!!!!!!!!!
cuhulin

1303. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:13:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44AF30ED-103@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82440

Almost Nothing Worse than a bunch of bushBUTTKISSERS!!!!!!!
cuhulin

1304. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:15:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44AF316A-104@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82441

www.lucianne.com www.pipelinenews.org bushBUTTKISERS!
cuhulin
I HATE ALL bushies!!!!!!!

1305. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:21:01 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-7771B2.21210107072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82439

In article <1152331353.672828.95370@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote:

< Snip >

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1306. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 04:49:16 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <gPGrg.621$2v.332@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82442

Tom Kneitel wrote an excellent article about R. Americas and R. Swan in _Electronics Illustrated_ in the 1960s. He and editor Bob Beason actually visited Swan Island when the stations were still on the air and Kneitel actually mentioned the controversy of the transmitters' supposed location (though he did not mention Stanbury by name). Kneitel reported on (and printed pictures of) the transmitters, the island, and the people living and working there. Kneitel wrote at the time that he had no doubt that the whole operation was a front for the CIA, working against Castro, and in fact not long after his article appeared the stations went off the air (I've read elsewhere on the 'Net that the tranmitters were sent to Vietnam).

I have scans of Kneitel's article. E-mail me if interested.

Matt J. McCullar
Arlington, TX

1307. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 06:56:48 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152341808.291101.191070@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82443

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:e8Grg.36072$VE1.28559@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> >>dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> >>sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
> >>drug addict himself.
> >
> > Sorry to rain on your parade, but it was determined that the Viagra
> > prescription was legal and that all that was done was to use the doctor's
> > name to avoid embarrassment by filling such a prescription.
> >
> > As I mentioned before, I have traveled several million miles and never had
> > any medicine questioned, so someone had it out for Limbaugh in WPB.
> >
>
> I think the poster was referring to the Oxycontin debacle.

Bingo.
<http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=1159>
And I still bet he doesn't declare those flights on N1EB on his taxes.
Heffner got in trouble for all those flights on the black jet with the
bunny on the tail. Now I suppose Rush could be writing off the trip as
a research project in ED drugs for a topic on his show.

1308. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 08:54:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152348857.429114.259680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82444

DaviD - Alas You Are A Real Pill ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 7 Jul 2006 19:03:04 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Miso - "several documents released today by
> >the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
> >- - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney
> >{An Elected Democrat} using his office to smear
> >Rush Limbaugh {A Conservative} in the Media.
> >
> >It is amazing to me that Robert Downey Jr's very real
> >Illegal Drug Problem was touted as a Medical Condition.
> >by the Liberal Hollywood and the Left-Wing Media Elite.
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Downey_Jr.
> >
> >While a scientifically recognized Medical Condition
> >such as "Erectile Dysfunction"
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erectile_Dysfunction
> >Is treated by Liberals and the Media as more evidence
> >of Drug Addiction by the Conservative Rush Limbaugh.
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rush_Limbaugh
> >
> >Miso - You are a fine example of how Liberals and the
> >Left-Wing Media Elite once again show their Double
> >Standard when it comes to Personal Problems and
> >Information vis-à-vis their darling little Liberal friends
> >-vice- their hated Conservative enemies.
> >
> >Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
> >- - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
> >Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !
> >
> >
> >miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
> >-but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> >. .

> >
> >miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> >> The plane is N1EB.
> > .
> >
> Why would a single man (i.e. not married) need 29 Viagara pills on a
> trip to an island infamous for kinky forms of prostitution?

1309. Re: New to shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 10:29:14 UTC
From: awa radiola <radios@waterfront.net>
Message-ID: <_NLrg.1411$tE5.1173@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 82445

I am on the east coast of Australia too and I find a 18 to 20 foot long wire antenna strung between two poles with insulators works well. I have a sony icf 6717 recevier i find this one is great dont know about sangean
Cheers
"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1152331353.672828.95370@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Don't waste your money the hobby is dead. Take up knitting.
> kong1932 wrote:
>> Hi, I am seriously considering buying a shortwave receiver, and I want
>> to know what exactly I would find on the air on the east coast of
>> Australia, and how well the Sangean ATS-505 Shortwave Receiver
>> performs. What antenna would I need for this receiver as well?
>

1310. shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 10:31:14 UTC
From: awa radiola <radios@waterfront.net>
Message-ID: <SPLrg.1413$tE5.785@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 82446

well shortwave sure beats whats on television lots of intersting stuff!

1311. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 10:47:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AF8D30.3FB76512@milestones.com>
Source record: 82447

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> sex tour.

Sex tour? You know this, how?

> He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
> drug addict himself.

He became addicted to a medication his doctor prescribed, a big difference from
Jerry Garcia who became addicted to drugs he prescribed for himself!

> It appears there are rules for Limbaugh, and then everyone else.

Appearences can be deceiving, and you Liberals are KNOWN for self deception.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1312. grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 10:50:32 UTC
From: Redpantyman <ocracokemaildrop@qrmersvets.com>
Message-ID: <115e3$44af8e03$d1cc5764$9956@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 82348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - ham radio's answer to
Alex Jones, Joyce Reily, Brother Stair and all other
short wave radio kooks! This be better cause it be LIVE RAdio.

10:00 pM on or about 3.950 kHz ham radio (+/- 30 kHz LSB usually
between 3.950 and 3.990 someplace) Tune in you wot be dis-apointerd.

:) Fap! - Fap! - Fap!- Fap! - Fap! :)

WhIle yOu R waiting check out my funny PET VIDEO:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CPr1zXqDU

1313. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 10:51:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AF8E36.37F8564C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82349

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> Brenda Ann wrote:
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:e8Grg.36072$VE1.28559@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >>Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> > >>dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> > >>sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
> > >>drug addict himself.
> > >
> > > Sorry to rain on your parade, but it was determined that the Viagra
> > > prescription was legal and that all that was done was to use the doctor's
> > > name to avoid embarrassment by filling such a prescription.
> > >
> > > As I mentioned before, I have traveled several million miles and never had
> > > any medicine questioned, so someone had it out for Limbaugh in WPB.
> > >
> >
> > I think the poster was referring to the Oxycontin debacle.
>
> Bingo.
> <http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=1159>
> And I still bet he doesn't declare those flights on N1EB on his taxes.

Does one have to declare 'flights' on ones taxes?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1314. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 11:00:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AF9036.7BE25297@milestones.com>
Source record: 82350

David wrote:

> On 7 Jul 2006 19:03:04 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Miso - "several documents released today by
> >the Palm Beach County State's Attorney"
> >- - - Once again we see the PBC State's Attorney
> >{An Elected Democrat} using his office to smear
> >Rush Limbaugh {A Conservative} in the Media.
> >
> >It is amazing to me that Robert Downey Jr's very real
> >Illegal Drug Problem was touted as a Medical Condition.
> >by the Liberal Hollywood and the Left-Wing Media Elite.
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Downey_Jr.
> >
> >While a scientifically recognized Medical Condition
> >such as "Erectile Dysfunction"
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erectile_Dysfunction
> >Is treated by Liberals and the Media as more evidence
> >of Drug Addiction by the Conservative Rush Limbaugh.
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rush_Limbaugh
> >
> >Miso - You are a fine example of how Liberals and the
> >Left-Wing Media Elite once again show their Double
> >Standard when it comes to Personal Problems and
> >Information vis-ï¿½-vis their darling little Liberal friends
> >-vice- their hated Conservative enemies.
> >
> >Remembering - Their But For The Grace Of God Go I !
> >- - - May God's Blessing and Strength Always Be With
> >Both Brothers Downey and Limbaugh - Amen !
> >
> >
> >miso - rush limbaugh may have his problems
> >-but- where is your humanity ? ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> >. .
> >
> >miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >> http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/0706062rush1.html
> >> The plane is N1EB.

> > .
> >
> Why would a single man (i.e. not married) need 29 Viagara pills on a
> trip to an island infamous for kinky forms of prostitution?

Has the thought ever occured to you that the bottle was simply in his traveling kit as a matter of course and that there may have never been any intention of using any of them on this particular trip?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1315. Re: Radio America for Swan Island back in the 1960's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 11:18:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AF947B.AC6395B7@milestones.com>
Source record: 82351

"Matt J. McCullar" wrote:

> Tom Kneitel wrote an excellent article about R. Americas and R. Swan in
> _Electronics Illustrated_ in the 1960s. He and editor Bob Beason actually
> visited Swan Island when the stations were still on the air and Kneitel
> actually mentioned the controversy of the transmitters' supposed location
> (though he did not mention Stanbury by name). Kneitel reported on (and
> printed pictures of) the transmitters, the island, and the people living and
> working there. Kneitel wrote at the time that he had no doubt that the
> whole operation was a front for the CIA, working against Castro, and in fact
> not long after his article appeared the stations went off the air (I've read
> elsewhere on the 'Net that the tranmitters were sent to Vietnam).

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Swan_Islands

Makes reference to transmitters being moved to Vietnam.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Swan

This one makes reference to the Radio Swan transmitter being previously used by
Radio Free Europe before being installed on Swan Island and then its being sent
to South Vietnam.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1316. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 12:08:58 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44AFA058.AF0C262@theseminary.net>
Source record: 82352

spend the next two months at the Eton Reeducation Facility on the
outskirts of Pocatello, Idaho.

==========

OMG- Not Pocatello, Idaho? will that include all his other little
s.p./ screen names? 14 i believe? thanks Steve, needed a good laugh
this morning...

Father Michael...

1317. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 12:28:40 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <o59va2ph2dndcr1f5ncvoh66crdkrfa904@4ax.com>
Source record: 82353

On Sat, 08 Jul 2006 06:47:13 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
>> Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
>> dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
>> sex tour.
>
>Sex tour? You know this, how?
>
Why else would a planeload of Fox and Clear Channel men go the
Dominican Republic? Limbaugh already brags he only smokes Cubans.

1318. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 12:29:38 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <499va2lj074tbd1jnlu61uknog236olnih@4ax.com>
Source record: 82354

On 7 Jul 2006 20:54:44 -0700, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
>on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
>the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
>dead.
>
Not Chinese. Indian.

1319. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 12:36:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AFA6B5.76343A56@milestones.com>
Source record: 82355

David wrote:

> On Sat, 08 Jul 2006 06:47:13 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >
> >> Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> >> dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> >> sex tour.
> >
> >Sex tour? You know this, how?
> >
> Why else would a planeload of Fox and Clear Channel men go the
> Dominican Republic? Limbaugh already brags he only smokes Cubans.

Let me guess, you woke up retarded again this morning?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1320. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:01:21 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152363681.846724.284140@s53g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82356

dxAce wrote:
> David wrote:
>

> > Why would a single man (i.e. not married) need 29 Viagara pills on a
> > trip to an island infamous for kinky forms of prostitution?
>
> Has the thought ever occured to you that the bottle was simply in his traveling
> kit as a matter of course and that there may have never been any intention of
> using any of them on this particular trip?
certianly possible

but just think how david would howl if he were forced to publicly
disclose everything and anything in his personal life
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1321. Re: shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:11:22 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152364282.223607.181270@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82357

awa radiola wrote:
> well shortwave sure beats whats on television lots of intersting stuff!

Ever since the 1970s, SWL has been in a steady decline - as soon as,
"Voice of the Andes", stopped broadcasting, I knew SWL was dying (VOA
was my favorite). Now, only third world countries are broadcasting
from the toilet and it is mainly religious garbage and nothing worth
listening to. Anyway, DRM will render today's analog SW receivers
useless, because unlike IBOC, it is all-or-nothing (only digital). I
wouldn't be spending a dime on new SW receivers. AM DXing is boss !

1322. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:14:01 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152364441.558752.243230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82358

Steve wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> > on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> > the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> > dead.
>
> In the morning, at sunrise, three men will arrive at your home in a
> white panel van. You will go with them. Do not resist them. You are to
> spend the next two months at the Eton Reeducation Facility on the
> outskirts of Pocatello, Idaho. Upon your release you will purchase a
> third E1. You will love your new E1 and you will express your
> satisfaction with it in postings to this group. You will also recall
> how much you really dislike the old Sony portables you once owned.
>
> You will begin again.

Was that supposed to be funny, or something ? How cute !

1323. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:25:23 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152365123.520983.247560@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82359

Merlin3rd wrote:
> I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> dead.

Right you are ! But, if you post anything negative about SW receivers, this newsgroup will give you a whipping ! Yes, RadioIntel determined that the Eaton Shit E1 is no better than the Sony 2010, or the Grundig Satellite 800. As a matter of fact, the E1 has a host of problems - the antenna flops, no handle, no MW or satellite antennas, flimsy knobs/switches, and does not even receive/process IBOC, or DRM. Since SW is starting to convert to DRM, and since it is all-or-nothing, the E1 will eventualy be receiving nothing. Grundig Chinese-made radios are absolute cheap plastic garbage; there has not been a decent radio made, since the Sony 2010, or the DX-440, both of which are much better quality than the E1, at $500. The E1 is assembled in India, but it is still under slave-wages; Grundig used to be made in Germany, which they want consumers to still think, but the sleazy Tecsun/Eton bought the rights to market products under the Grundig name.

Hold on, here comes the crowd (RHF and BH) !

1324. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:31:24 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12avd5q24ucj0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82361

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
> to take any steps to prevent it.

You forgot to add Impeached Clintoon and John fucked-up Kerry

> (None so blind as he who won't see...)

None so brainwashed as he who can't see...

http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/timeline.jsp?timeline=complete_911_timeli
ne&before_9/11=warnings

1325. Do you know about cricket?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:32:10 UTC
From: "Mr.Cools" <prabhuraaman@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152365530.160074.18930@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82360

Hey guys check out this blog on ICC Champions Trophy.
Vote for your favourite team
Post comments and share your views

http://indiacool.blogspot.com/2006/07/icc-champions-trophy-2006.html

1326. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:39:20 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12avdksni9fv41e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82363

http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/individualProfile.asp?indid=1349

1327. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:40:21 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152366021.364806.93990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82362

Merlin3rd wrote:
> I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> dead.

As you may well know, another "feature" of the E1 is that the batteries
used to blow up, when used with the AC adaptor ! Eton had to recall
this plain-jane, quality $500 receiver. Got to love it - funny stuff !

1328. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:43:58 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12avdtd4jkekvd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82365

```
"an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152241701.061987.157020@s26g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kilo Lani wrote:
> > "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1152127285.385808.196800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote in
> > > > news:12aj5pebiolfpa8@corp.supernews.com:
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > > BURN THE UN FLAG?! Never! - LMAO!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Morst every country in the UN is turd world, and the lazy & weak
always
> > > > whine about the successful.
> > > and statements like that are why amercians are so loved abraod
> > >
> > >
> > You obviously haven't spoken to any Europeans lately.
> why I have yesterday as matter of fact
>
> the problem is that you are perhaps not fully conversant with the
> language
>
> the statement was sarcasm
>
> do you need that explained to you further?
>

Sure
```

1329. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:45:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <7mdva250kcj9odjckur0qi6hmj6rl565ap@4ax.com>
Source record: 82364

On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 08:31:24 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>> You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
>> to take any steps to prevent it.
>
>You forgot to add Impeached Clintoon and John fucked-up Kerry
>
There was only one President on the September 11th.

1330. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:47:58 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ave4rl6uq9k89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82367

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>
> You don't get it, do you?
>
> The Red Chinese own us. Game over.
>

Yeah, I get it. The Communist Party owns you.

1331. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:48:45 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ave69plk5c3b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82368

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>
> And Bush is powerless to respond because the Chinese won't let him.
>

You are powerless and broke.

1332. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:50:31 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12ave9k98d2tc26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82369

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:6j%qg.127372$IK3.17993@pd7tw1no...
> SeeingEyeDogg wrote:
> > "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
> >> Sounds like you agree we shouldn't tell reporters from the NYT anything
> >> we wouldn't be happy to tell the enemy.
> >>
> >> Perhaps the best way to do it is to tell all federal officials and
> >> employees to stop talking to NYT reporters.
> >>
> >
> > Most leaks such as these come from high level sources within the
government
> > who are disgruntled employees, left-wingers, even spies or have an axe
to
> > grind, etc. They certainly know full well what they should not be
telling
> > the press. Which makes them all the more guilty to treason.
> >
> >
> >
> Or maybe some of those good ol' Repubs need to learn to keep their
> mouths shut?
>
> Your delusions are impressive!
>

As is your Socialist Democrat propaganda.

1333. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 13:50:33 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152366633.356027.145760@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82366

Merlin3rd wrote:
> I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> dead.

Hell, even cheapo little Kchibo is now making receivers that will
receive DRM ! The E1, and the rest of analog SW receivers, are already
outdated, and eventually, will become useless !

http://www.kchibo.com/drm1.htm

1334. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 14:35:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152369310.023572.56680@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82370

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> Merlin3rd wrote:
> > I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> > on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> > the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> > dead.
>
> As you may well know, another "feature" of the E1 is that the batteries
> used to blow up, when used with the AC adaptor ! Eton had to recall
> this plain-jane, quality $500 receiver. Got to love it - funny stuff !

Wait, I want to make sure I understand you....you're recommending the
E1, right?

Steve

1335. Re: Al Gore's Propaganda Meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:04:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFC971-243@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82371

LQQKA here.(are you LQQKIN?) My August 2006 snail mail Popular Mechanics magazine showed up here yesterday morning in my snail mail box by the side of the road.Hie yourself over to a store,a library,or when that August 2006 issue gets online. www.popularmechanics.com and read that six page article aboot China Spying.
cuhulin

1336. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Fail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:11:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFCB07-246@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82372

Shotgun cheney really was ''pushing the buttons'' on September
11,2001.bush was reading goat stories in a school in Sarasota,Florida.I
have been to Sarasota,Florida before.To check out that antique car
museum.
cuhulin

1337. Re: Do you know about cricket?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:12:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFCB75-248@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82373

GIVE BLOOD,PLAY RUGBY.
cuhulin

1338. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:18:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFCCD9-249@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82374

I bet I could head on over to the VA Horsepital and get all the Viagara
I want.But I dont care to mess around with that
stuff.(although,sometimes I do wonder?) Heck,I haven't had sex since the
1970's.I would probally blow a gasket if I tried that now.
cuhulin

1339. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:25:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFCE6A-250@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82375

I dont blame Rush Limbaugh at all if he wants Viagara,more ''power'' to
him,I say.I wont fool with that stuff though.All my life I have always
had a heart murmor. (or whatever it is called) How I ever got into the
U.S.Army like that,I dont know.
cuhulin

1340. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:33:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19263-44AFD041-30@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82379

Bell & Howell AM FM Shortwave Radios (I own one,I only used it once or twice) are Number One.(only jokin) And just imagine what they could do with a Tiny Tenna.
cuhulin

1341. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:39:37 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152373177.279704.50090@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82376

Steve wrote:
> IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> > > I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last one
> > > on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77 then
> > > the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave is
> > > dead.
> >
> > As you may well know, another "feature" of the E1 is that the batteries
> > used to blow up, when used with the AC adaptor ! Eton had to recall
> > this plain-jane, quality $500 receiver. Got to love it - funny stuff !
>
> Wait, I want to make sure I understand you....you're recommending the
> E1, right?
>
> Steve

Oh yea, I would be happy to recommend this quality SW receiver, to any
SWL loser !

1342. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:41:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFD223-253@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82381

Oh now I guess I get it.Souther Minnesota,you mean Southern (South is always best) Minnesota.I did a www.555us.com and I didn't see a Souther,Minnesota.That pretty gal next door to me,she has to be in Minneapolis on the 25th of this month for another fed court date.Lets wish her Good Luck.
cuhulin

1343. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:44:16 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <gqQrg.1764$486.143@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82378

"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152373177.279704.50090@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steve wrote:
>> IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
>> > Merlin3rd wrote:
>> > > I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last
>> > > one
>> > > on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77
>> > > then
>> > > the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave
>> > > is
>> > > dead.
>> >
>> > As you may well know, another "feature" of the E1 is that the batteries
>> > used to blow up, when used with the AC adaptor ! Eton had to recall
>> > this plain-jane, quality $500 receiver. Got to love it - funny stuff !
>>
>> Wait, I want to make sure I understand you....you're recommending the
>> E1, right?
>>
>> Steve
>
> Oh yea, I would be happy to recommend this quality SW receiver, to any
> SWL loser !
>

Go ride your hog merlin!

BH

1344. Re: Expensive QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:49:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44AFD416-255@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82382

Those Ships do have some cool Radios.In World War Two,U.S.Army had more Ships than the U.S.Navy. http://patriot.net/eastlnd2/Army.htm

GO ARMY!
cuhulin

1345. Re: Pirate ! 6.925 USB . . . Pirate ! 00:15 UTC Pirate !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 15:49:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AFD421.A21D80BE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82380

Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:

> - Playing James Bond Theme
>
> " Stirred Not Shaken "
>
> SIO ~ 3ish here in NYC..

Most likely James Bond Radio per the loggings on FRN.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1346. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:12:36 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152375155.983918.102920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82383

Brian Hill wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152373177.279704.50090@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> >> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> > > I've had two of them and what a disappointment. Just sold the last
> >> > > one
> >> > > on ebay. A real boat anchor. The best SW portable was the Sony 77
> >> > > then
> >> > > the ATS 909. The Chinese radios are junk. Who cares anyway shortwave
> >> > > is
> >> > > dead.
> >> >
> >> > As you may well know, another "feature" of the E1 is that the batteries
> >> > used to blow up, when used with the AC adaptor ! Eton had to recall
> >> > this plain-jane, quality $500 receiver. Got to love it - funny stuff !
> >>
> >> Wait, I want to make sure I understand you....you're recommending the
> >> E1, right?
> >>
> >> Steve
> >
> > Oh yea, I would be happy to recommend this quality SW receiver, to any
> > SWL loser !
> >
>
> Go ride your hog merlin!
>
> BH

Oh BH,

I knew you would eventually be in here, and couldn't resist yourself
!

1347. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:18:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <6lmva21q8fg9an2onmu0jbkrkv717hbi4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 82384

On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 08:48:45 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>>
>> And Bush is powerless to respond because the Chinese won't let him.
>>
>
>You are powerless and broke.
>
At least I don't owe my soul to the Chinese and the Ayrabs.

1348. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:18:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <immva2t40l7nod5iofmf8nmcnnn07si6lj@4ax.com>
Source record: 82385

On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 08:47:58 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>>
>> You don't get it, do you?
>>
>> The Red Chinese own us. Game over.
>>
>
>Yeah, I get it. The Communist Party owns you.
>
I find nothing about Communists worthy of a party.

1349. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:22:00 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3rmva2t77iht2nh8i1rmmpc0ac26kunc3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 82386

On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 08:39:20 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
> http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/individualProfile.asp?indid=1349
>
>
Do you have a point?

The woman is a true patriot and your feeble attempts to besmirch her
fall flat.

1350. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:29:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <s3Rrg.116943$H71.68510@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82387

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:o59va2ph2dndcr1f5ncvoh66crdkrfa904@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jul 2006 06:47:13 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>miso@sushi.com wrote:
>>
>>> Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
>>> dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
>>> sex tour.
>>
>>Sex tour? You know this, how?
>>
> Why else would a planeload of Fox and Clear Channel men go the
> Dominican Republic? Limbaugh already brags he only smokes Cubans.

"Cuban" is a type of cigar as well as an origin. The best Cubans available
legally in the US are form the Dominican Republic and Honduras.

1351. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 16:56:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2968-44AFE3A1-38@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82388

I can grow Tobacco in my back yard,if I want to.Do a search thangy for,
Mississippi Tobacco Growers.
cuhulin
.....
AAhhhh,,,,,,, binga banga bungle,,,,,,, I dont want to leave the
Jungle,,,,,,,,
.....

1352. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 17:00:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2968-44AFE494-39@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82389

Nawwwwww,,,,,, I better not say that one either.I am not dumbed down,,,,
but the gals might not approciate it too much.
cuhulin

1353. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 17:03:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44AFE551-164@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82390

I dont hate Grundig's guts.Just because he might be a misguided
whatever,,, I dont hate anybody execpt all politicians.
cuhulin

1354. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 17:05:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44AFE5C3-165@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82391

Maybe Grundig needs to find a HOT girlfriend to straighten him out?
cuhulin

1355. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 17:11:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44AFE733-166@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82392

y'all sure can't call me racist.I have had sex (whatever that is) with a
bunch of different ''race'' gals begore.I want more!
cuhulin

1356. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 17:40:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44AFEE0C-379@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82393

Het Brian.Yesterday a sweet black woman (I like black wimmins,even had the ''wild thang'' with some of them years before.(I want more) at the Goodwill store,Seeeeeeee,,, she bet me I wouldn't dress up like a woman.I already have natural big boobies.I am going for it,al east only once in my life.I have got to know what its like before I croke.I have a new black cutiie pie girlfriend wal mart store girlfriend.She is very cute.
cuhulin

1357. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 18:07:35 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152382055.910678.215460@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Redpantyman wrote:
> Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - < snip >

If I've said it once I've said it ten thousand times -

Y-MAN IS THE GREAT LIBERTY NET PROCLAIMER ! ! !

1358. Re: Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 18:10:51 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152382251.170982.232670@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82395

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> Good damn thing, none of them can get security clearances !

What was all that noise about Chinese scientists at Los Alamos Labs
during the Clinton years?

Wonder if they had security clearances.

1359. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:05:43 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152385543.015866.161110@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82396

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Het Brian.Yesterday a sweet black woman (I like black wimmins,even had
> the ''wild thang'' with some of them years before.(I want more) at the
> Goodwill store,Seeeeeeee,,, she bet me I wouldn't dress up like a
> woman.I already have natural big boobies.I am going for it,al east only
> once in my life.I have got to know what its like before I croke.I have a
> new black cutiie pie girlfriend wal mart store girlfriend.She is very
> cute.
> cuhulin

But what about that sassy gal next door? I thought she was going to
help you with your vertical!

1360. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:06:33 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152385592.977491.36050@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82397

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Het Brian.Yesterday a sweet black woman (I like black wimmins,even had
> the ''wild thang'' with some of them years before.(I want more) at the
> Goodwill store,Seeeeeeee,,, she bet me I wouldn't dress up like a
> woman.I already have natural big boobies.I am going for it,al east only
> once in my life.I have got to know what its like before I croke.I have a
> new black cutiie pie girlfriend wal mart store girlfriend.She is very
> cute.
> cuhulin

That's cool Cuhulin, but remember: Blueberry Doggy comes first. Women
come second.

1361. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:22:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152386570.453362.174090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Redpantyman wrote:
> Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - ham radio's answer to
> Alex Jones, Joyce Reily, Brother Stair and all other
> short wave radio kooks! This be better cause it be LIVE RAdio.
>
> 10:00 pM on or about 3.950 kHz ham radio (+/- 30 kHz LSB usually
> between 3.950 and 3.990 someplace) Tune in you wot be dis-apointerd.
>
> :) Fap! - Fap! - Fap!- Fap! - Fap! :)
>
> WhIle yOu R waiting check out my funny PET VIDEO:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CPr1zXqDU

Hi Lardass! Another lonely Saturday Night for you, huh? But aren't they
all?

1362. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:25:25 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152386724.902156.290170@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

1363. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:32:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152387176.685291.175090@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Redpantyman wrote:
> Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - ham radio's answer to
> Alex Jones, Joyce Reily, Brother Stair and all other
> short wave radio kooks! This be better cause it be LIVE RAdio.
>
> 10:00 pM on or about 3.950 kHz ham radio (+/- 30 kHz LSB usually
> between 3.950 and 3.990 someplace) Tune in you wot be dis-apointerd.
>
> :) Fap! - Fap! - Fap!- Fap! - Fap! :)
>
> WhIle yOu R waiting check out my funny PET VIDEO:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CPr1zXqDU

Hi Lardass! mAnother lonely Saturday night for you, but aren't they all?

1364. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:36:42 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152387402.638801.259500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

1365. Re: S350DL black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:43:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26665-44B00AEE-36@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82452

Sing it,Hiney.The Enemy Below movie on Radio tv.
cuhulin

1366. Re: OT Winning even MORE hearts and minds....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 19:57:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B00E1C-198@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82454

Yesterday,Vanessa and her boyfriend Jake.They told me about her (Vanessas's former boyfriend and his cute twentyys something year old girlfriend (WOW,is she cute! I love her alredy.she likes to wear them bluejeans that show off half of her cute ass) former boyfriend and his girlfriend.She is kind of wild.I got her aside and I told the twentys something year old girl to keep it under her hat (she winked at me as though to say,ok) but yesterday afternoon,Vanessa told me if her boyfriend gets pissed off enough with her (the cute twentys something girl) he will kick her ass out.
cuhulin

1367. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:01:33 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152388893.621774.165300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82453

dxAce wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > > news:e8Grg.36072$VE1.28559@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1152329322.649104.266490@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >>Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> > > > >>dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> > > > >>sex tour. He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
> > > > >>drug addict himself.
> > > > >
> > > > Sorry to rain on your parade, but it was determined that the Viagra
> > > > prescription was legal and that all that was done was to use the doctor's
> > > > name to avoid embarrassment by filling such a prescription.
> > > > >
> > > > As I mentioned before, I have traveled several million miles and never had
> > > > any medicine questioned, so someone had it out for Limbaugh in WPB.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > I think the poster was referring to the Oxycontin debacle.
> > >
> > Bingo.
> > <http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=1159>
> > And I still bet he doesn't declare those flights on N1EB on his taxes.
> >
> Does one have to declare 'flights' on ones taxes?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

The answer is it depends. There was a ruling that frequent flier miles
are not taxable even if they are earned on business trips but spent on
personal trips. I think the ruling is wrong, but I'm not paid off by
the airlines.

Hefner got in trouble because the jet was owned by Playboy, but used
for his own personal pleasure. If the jet took him from one Playboy
club to the other and he was performing some business function, then it
would be a business expense. If it took him to Aspen for the weekend,

then it is not a business expense AND it would have cost him money out of his own pocket to charter a jet for such purposes.

Hefner's case is decades old. Check out <http://www.pwc.com/us/eng/tax/ins/Insurance-Tax-Bulletin-05-47.pdf>

That document looks at it from the corporate tax viewpoint. For the "employee" viewpoint, check out: <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p15b.pdf>

Of course, I don't agree with what is allowed, but then again, nobody is paying me off.

1368. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:06:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152389212.415355.192660@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old freind wrote:
> these words and the insistance of a poster in another thread that some
> how I my posting has rsien to some legal (did he realy mean ilgeal)
> level of being spam I point out Wismen has aleegedly tried to have me
> ToS , this is likely a lie OTOH Daveheil claims he has tried and
> alegedly doid Han (something or other the arrl director) and Robeson
> and land shark and prehaps others unknown to me as well have made
> efforts to me ToSed (without BTW acting aginst Wismen which shows you
> how bad off they are although I believe Dave has tried to ToS Wismen
> with little sucess) and yet I am still here posting quite openly from
> Hughes net under my call letter without the slightest disruption other
> claim that they have been "bomarded" by more than 5000 get help post
> ing the last month (I have not been counting so I will prisionaly
> accept the number) as a contrast I receive (and without any
> relationship to the NG more than 1000 spam emails a day trying to sell
> me Viagara or beast enalregements or golf clubs or god knows what is
> next,I supect many of us receive simliar levels of true spam
>
> Yes Robeson has quit the feild and as such I really mention him Wismen
> remains and has posted (based on the fact I respond about 80 perecent
> of his posts) roughly 600 months in the same time span, and they
> contain some of the vilest materail it is has been my sorry duty to
> see, and that behavoir is ACCEPTABLE to those complaining
>
>
> Id rather not need to post get help so much Id rather wismen got help,
> but even Dave Hiel no freind of mine to be sure reconizesthat Wismen
> has become sexualy obessed with me, if having the misforutne to become
> the target of someone sixk sexaul obession is reason for most poster to
>
>
>
> jion in trying to force the vitum offline USENET is dead a free mdium
> for the exchange of ideas
well how is that effort going?

1369. ILG - new data available July 8, '06

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:16:13 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <hoUrg.1946$vO.1168@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82456

```
ILG files released July 8, 2006
A06b
http://www.ilgradio.com/ilgradio.htm

order new password
http://www.ilgradio.com/ilg-order.htm
```

1370. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:20:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B0138D-204@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82459

A Bridge Too Fur movie is crankin up on Radio tv right now.At
EASE!,Troops,I will be in the area for a while.
cuhulin

1371. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:21:10 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152390070.678825.176390@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82457

David wrote:
> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:39:36 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> >Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan
> >
> >This manual provides further evidence that the Iraqi military was active in
> >Afghanistan and working with the Taliban. The Taliban harbored and trained
> >with Al Qaeda. The information in the document matches media reports that
> >U.S. intelligence sources believed the IIS was training Al Qaeda in
> >Afghanistan. It also matches information from other IIS documentation that
> >shows requests from Islamic Jihad groups in Afghanistan for Iraqi military
> >assistance.
> >...
> >http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/Articles/Iraq%20How%20to%20Manual%20Direct
> >ed.html
> >
> >LISTEN TO THE SILENCE OF THE LEFT
>
>
> This is bad journalism. The reporter is suggesting a conclusion not
> supported by facts:
>
> ''While the training manual revealed today by Fox News does not
> mention the IIS agent's notebook, the manual does suggest an Arab
> regime, most likely Saddam, may have provided the military help
> requested by the Taliban and Al Qaeda.''

It's the One Percent Doctrine. If there is one percent chance that it is true, then pretend it is true.

What if a medical doctor used such logic? Example: there is a one percent chance you have testicular cancer, so I need to remove your testicles.

Of course, the neocons have no testicles, which is why they are constantly scared.

1372. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:27:42 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ZyUrg.1$qt2.0@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82458

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7956-44AFEE0C-379@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
> Het Brian.Yesterday a sweet black woman (I like black wimmins,even had
> the ''wild thang'' with some of them years before.(I want more) at the
> Goodwill store,Seeeeeeee,,, she bet me I wouldn't dress up like a
> woman.I already have natural big boobies.I am going for it,al east only
> once in my life.I have got to know what its like before I croke.I have a
> new black cutiie pie girlfriend wal mart store girlfriend.She is very
> cute.
> cuhulin
>

Ain't nothing wrong with brown suger.

BH

1373. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 20:30:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B015F7-205@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82460

www.dogpile.com Ever see a little dog chunk a ball before? My little doggy,she does it all the time.
cuhulin

1374. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 21:12:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B01FA9-315@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82461

There is a guy and his twenty something year old girlfriend (they are white) moving in next door to me this afternoon.They were over there a few hours ago and now they are back over there again with a pickup truck load of their furniture.She winked at me again.
cuhulin

1375. Re: ETON E1 What a piece of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 22:11:15 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <o3Wrg.1525$fv.53@newsfe07.phx>
Source record: 82462

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152385543.015866.161110@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Het Brian.Yesterday a sweet black woman (I like black wimmins,even had
> the ''wild thang'' with some of them years before.(I want more) at the
> Goodwill store,Seeeeeeee,,, she bet me I wouldn't dress up like a
> woman.I already have natural big boobies.I am going for it,al east only
> once in my life.I have got to know what its like before I croke.I have a
> new black cutiie pie girlfriend wal mart store girlfriend.She is very
> cute.
> cuhulin

But what about that sassy gal next door? I thought she was going to
help you with your vertical!

I wonder if he has trouble with the vertical's propagation ? k35454.

1376. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 22:15:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B02E8C-221@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82463

That guy and his cute twentys something girlfriend (she sure does like to wink at me,I wink right back at her too) moving in next door to me.He owns a Pitbull dog.First chance I get,I am going to put his dog in my van and haul that dog forty six miles West of me to Louisiana and turn that dog loose.Dont even think! about moving into my neighborhood with a Pitbull dog! Reason he is moving next door to me is because Pearl,Mississippi and Richland,Mississippi (a couple of surburb cities of Jackson) has outlawed Pitbull dogs.
cuhulin

1377. Re: ILG - new data available July 8, '06

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-08 22:25:44 UTC
From: Brian <imaginaryfriend@whatthefuck.com>
Message-ID: <IhWrg.1973$vO.391@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82464

"Sanjaya" <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:hoUrg.1946$vO.1168@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> ILG files released July 8, 2006
> A06b
> http://www.ilgradio.com/ilgradio.htm
>
> order new password
> http://www.ilgradio.com/ilg-order.htm

Thanks for the heads up.

-Brian

1378. Re: Enter China: A New Act in World History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:08:39 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152403719.716365.307860@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82465

Kilo Lani wrote:
> Enter China: A New Act in World History
>
> A report last June cited in the New York Times and quoted on the House
> floor - China graduates nine times as many engineers (600,000) as the United
> States (70,000) - was met in the United States with such disbelief that "a
> Duke professor had his students check the numbers." Just think of it: China,
> known not so long ago only for its silks and fine china, graduates nine
> times as many engineers as the United States
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2006/7/6/214643.shtml
>
> You better make lots of babies and save every penny for college tuition.
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

At least, I don't have to work with fucking foreign nationals; even my
immediate family and realtives have to be US citizens !

1379. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:29:09 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152404949.860758.126560@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_<snip>@yahoo.com wrote:
> Hi Lardass! Another lonely Saturday Night for you, huh? But aren't they all?

If you're referring to Mr. Davies, I don't think the post was by him.

He's on a well-earned sabbatical leave from Papa John's and has gone to spend a few months in the contemplative life at profit Stair's monastery.

He'll be contemplating false predictions and "young colored girls" under the tutelage of none other than the profit himself.

I think Mr. Davies has been selected from tens of thousands of candidates to succeed the aging profit and will now become the new "profit in training".

Look for Mr. Davies in the picture files at http://www.overcomerministry.org/ - he'll surely be included soon.

Maybe there'll be candids of him meditating "out behind the barn" with Sister Tameka and Sister Rasheeka and Sister LaWanda and Sister RaShanda.

Too bad Mr. Davies will be out of town during Huntsville hamfest.

But I'm sure he'd prefer to be making false predictions with the "young colored girls" over a delivering pizza to a bunch of geeky old ham operators any day.

1380. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Seals !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:40:26 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <_fYrg.136449$IK3.79892@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82467

bpnjensen wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> The seal hunt is a gruesome spectacle and derives its existence from
>> people's vanity. I'd be more than happy to see it done away once and for
>> all.
>>
>> JB
>
> I have no doubt that there are many Canadian citizens who are disgusted
> by the seal hunt, as well as certain other actions that occur in their
> nation. But, just as the U.S. and every other nation does not have any
> moral superiority when it comes to violence against nature or more
> powerless critters, Canada has done much good too, with a national and
> provincial park system second to none, relatively strong efforts in the
> conversion from oil to other fuel sources, and the recent protection of
> the habitat of the "spirit bear" in its West, to name a few.
>
> Amazingly, under George Bush, we have several new national parks and
> monuments - Cuyahoga Valley, Congaree, Great Sand Dunes, and the huge
> Pacific Ocean monument to name a few - all places, that, I'm sure, are
> probably poor when it comes to extractable resources, but potentially
> rich in history and natural knowledge. Even Redwood National Park is
> getting a significant enlargement in the addition of the Mill Creek
> Watershed, despite its being substantially logged. Ultimately, there
> is some good in everyone, and every nation. It's just a matter of
> finding it.
>
> Bruce Jensen
>
>
I agree 100% that it is a matter of looking. And there is plenty in the
USA that I like. I've made it through about 1/3 of the States (mostly in
the east and mid-west) for one reason or another. And from a historical
and nature point-of-view there is much to be admired.

Visit the Rockies if you ever get the chance!

Fortunately, there are plenty of people to be found with in the USA that
have a healthy attitude to the world around them ;-).

JB

1381. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:41:59 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <rhYrg.132660$iF6.13038@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 82468

dxAce wrote:
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>>> up inside them.
>>>
>>>
>> Were you practicing on Iraqis and their flag when you were over there?
>>
>> When is your trial?
>>
>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>
> Certainly more brains, balls and guts than your standard issue Canuck!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
Good thing most of us are above standard issue!

JB

1382. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:42:51 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fiYrg.136450$IK3.51125@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82469

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>
>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>>
>> JB
>
>
>
> Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!

Too bad - it would be a step up for you!

JB

> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

1383. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:54:33 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <dtYrg.136538$IK3.19264@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 82470

dxAce wrote:
>
> RHF wrote:
>
>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>
> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
> etc.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
So you are capable of sinking to a new low.

JB

1384. Re: (OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 00:57:54 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152406674.071047.16430@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82471

John Barnard wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >
> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
> > etc.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>
> JB

he is bottomless in his abilty to sink lower and lower

1385. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:03:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B055FD.5DF1C148@milestones.com>
Source record: 82472

John Barnard wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > John Barnard wrote:
> >
> >> Beerbarrel wrote:
> >>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
> >>> up inside them.
> >>>
> >>>
> >> Were you practicing on Iraqis and their flag when you were over there?
> >>
> >> When is your trial?
> >>
> >> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
> >
> > Certainly more brains, balls and guts than your standard issue Canuck!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
> Good thing most of us are above standard issue!

In your dreams, Canuck.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1386. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:04:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B05625.92AC3951@milestones.com>
Source record: 82473

John Barnard wrote:

> Beerbarrel wrote:
> > On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >> Beerbarrel wrote:
> >>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
> >
> >> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
> >>
> >> JB
> >
> >
> >
> > Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!
>
> Too bad - it would be a step up for you!

More like a trip into the sewer.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1387. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:05:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B05673.E3D5F60B@milestones.com>
Source record: 82474

John Barnard wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >
> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
> > etc.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.

Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.

Hit the road, boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1388. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:09:28 UTC
From: oppositecoinshiner <uncleanspirit602@wawawawa.com>
Message-ID: <99563$44b057d7$d1cc7ce6$18675@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 82476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Redpantyman" <ocracokemaildrop@qrmersvets.com> wrote in message
news:115e3$44af8e03$d1cc5764

> Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - ham radio's answer to
> Alex Jones, Joyce Reily, Brother Stair and all other
> short wave radio kooks! This be better cause it be LIVE RAdio.

PHOOEY on those BLOWHARDS
and OLD FARTKNOCKERS!!
All they ever do is talk the same tired
old crap week after month after year.
Oy! they are a bunch of TOTAL RETARDS
who were likely rejected by the special olimpics.

Instead you should be listening to
BROTHER STAIR on 6.890 at night.
The Profit of Walterboro is the TRUE PROFIT who speaks the
real deal unlike those ham radio schmuck-a-doodles.

1389. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:11:09 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NIYrg.135689$Mn5.120362@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 82475

Kilo Lani wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:olbra2l2uho1ge56aldk2gr66653ocprf2@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 6 Jul 2006 18:39:36 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>>
>>> Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan
>>>
>>> This manual provides further evidence that the Iraqi military was active
> in
>>> Afghanistan and working with the Taliban. The Taliban harbored and
> trained
>>> with Al Qaeda. The information in the document matches media reports that
>>> U.S. intelligence sources believed the IIS was training Al Qaeda in
>>> Afghanistan. It also matches information from other IIS documentation
> that
>>> shows requests from Islamic Jihad groups in Afghanistan for Iraqi
> military
>>> assistance.
>>> ...
>> http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/Articles/Iraq%20How%20to%20Manual%20Direc
> t
>>> ed.html
>>>
>>> LISTEN TO THE SILENCE OF THE LEFT
>>
>> This is bad journalism. The reporter is suggesting a conclusion not
>> supported by facts:
>>
>> ''While the training manual revealed today by Fox News does not
>> mention the IIS agent's notebook, the manual does suggest an Arab
>> regime, most likely Saddam, may have provided the military help
>> requested by the Taliban and Al Qaeda.''
>
> Intelligence analysis does not require "journalism".

You are correct! But it does require facts and not supposition!

> This type of intelligence simply does not suite your propaganda journalism.
>
And "... does suggest ..." is definitive support in exactly which manner?

JB

1390. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:24:41 UTC
From: Roger507@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-44B05AD9-372@storefull-3115.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82477

Since everyone thinks that the Liberty Net is such a bad thing to listen to, then that leaves me no choice but to listen to the Liberty Net tonight... Happy Listening.

Best Regards,
Roger 507

1391. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:34:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B05D16-266@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82480

I have been to Cardston,Alberta,Canada before when I lived in
Bozeman,Montana in 1956.Fantastic scenery up there.
cuhulin

1392. Re: Thanks mll....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:36:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B05DA6-267@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82481

Going To The Sun Highway through Waterton Intenational Peace Park,Montana and Alberta.Scenery I will never forget.
cuhulin

1393. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:37:17 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152409037.376591.197200@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82478

Roger...@webtv.net wrote:
> Since everyone thinks that the Liberty Net is such a bad thing to listen
> to, then that leaves me no choice but to listen to the Liberty Net
> tonight... Happy Listening.
you should listen at least once I agree twice to make sure you heard it
right
>
> Best Regards,
> Roger 507

1394. Re: Burning the Canadian Flag on the 1st of July - For the Baby Sea...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:40:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B05E8F-268@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82483

John Barnard.Via the late great Dinah Shore tv shows I used to watch on
Radio tv back in the 1950's,,,,,,,,
Seeeeeeeee the USA in your Chevroletttt,,,,,,, America is better by
farrrrrrrr,,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

1395. OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:41:32 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152409292.936540.97590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82479

dxAce wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>

> > So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>
> Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.
and lower
>
> Hit the road, boy.
always full of hate

get help
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1396. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 01:48:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B06080.A991436B@milestones.com>
Source record: 82482

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > John Barnard wrote:
> >
>
> > > So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
> >
> > Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.
> and lower
> >
> > Hit the road, boy.
> always full of hate

At least I can spell 'friend'.

LMFAO at the basketcase retard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1397. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:01:29 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152410489.205907.304450@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82484

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > John Barnard wrote:
> > >
> >
> > > > So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
> > >
> > > Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.
> > and lower
> > >
> > > Hit the road, boy.
> > always full of hate
>
> At least I can spell 'friend'.
so can I
>
> LMFAO at the basketcase retard.
more of your hate

and you should run out of ass one of these days
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1398. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:09:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B0654C.60B1E905@milestones.com>
Source record: 82485

an old feind wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an old feind wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > John Barnard wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > > > > So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
> > > >
> > > > Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.
> > > and lower
> > > >
> > > > Hit the road, boy.
> > > always full of hate
> > >
> > At least I can spell 'friend'.
> so can I

Obviously not!

LMFAO yet again at the basketcase retard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1399. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afg...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:15:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B066D2-273@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82486

One time when I ''broke wind'' my little doggy,she hopped off her couch and barked at me :{) Fireworks are still poppin in my neighborhood,on my street.I Love it.
cuhulin
.....I obeyed herrrr hearrrts comand
.....

1400. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:18:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B06777-275@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82487

Theres a placeeeee,,,,,,,, we must gooooo,,,,,,,, to watch the Gauchos
on the Pampas,,,,,,,
cuhulin

1401. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:22:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B0684A-276@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82489

I can halfway spell,my Friend.
cuhulin
.....what the h..l is a
cuhulin? I dont know,I am more interested in that statute in
Dublin,Ireland of Molly Malone
.....
google,4Marys

1402. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:28:21 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152412101.404403.285620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82488

dxAce wrote:
> an old feind wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > an old feind wrote:

> > > At least I can spell 'friend'.
> > so can I
>
> Obviously not!
nope I just did not bother with the typo

after all you can clearly make it out
>
> LMFAO yet again at the basketcase retard.
always full of hate
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1403. JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:39:16 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152412755.966353.142740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82490

I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal, Cash, or your sister.

1404. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:40:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B06CA3-277@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82491

http://members.aol.com/SCTISHLDY

y'all know (if y'all didn't know it before,y'all know it now) I frequent
them Scotland and Ireland websites more times than a cat has hair.
cuhulin

1405. Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 02:58:53 UTC
From: Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97FACB4494B9Ekevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>
Source record: 82492

Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.

Is this the same for everyone else?

I live in Oregon.

K

1406. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:00:35 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152414035.647912.268220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82493

No, try 6000, but I don't listen!
Kevin Garrett wrote:
> Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
> America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.
>
> Is this the same for everyone else?
>
> I live in Oregon.
>
> K

1407. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:02:13 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vcs0b217cr3bcatv5qoi30sn3lah88tsi4@4ax.com>
Source record: 82494

On Sat, 08 Jul 2006 21:04:37 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>John Barnard wrote:
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>> > On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >
>> >> Beerbarrel wrote:
>> >>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>> >
>> >> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>> >>
>> >> JB
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!
>>
>> Too bad - it would be a step up for you!
>
>More like a trip into the sewer.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>

Or maybe stepping in a cow pattie....

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

1408. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:06:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B072BB-278@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82497

I got cho cow pattie right here,buddy boy.
cuhulin

1409. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:13:12 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152414792.284675.197090@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82495

I rike to spy on USA. You rike to catch me. I name is Fu Man Chu. I
have cookie for you. You rove berry much. Chop chop. Reaving now.
cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> That guy and his cute twentys something girlfriend (she sure does like
> to wink at me,I wink right back at her too) moving in next door to me.He
> owns a Pitbull dog.First chance I get,I am going to put his dog in my
> van and haul that dog forty six miles West of me to Louisiana and turn
> that dog loose.Dont even think! about moving into my neighborhood with a
> Pitbull dog! Reason he is moving next door to me is because
> Pearl,Mississippi and Richland,Mississippi (a couple of surburb cities
> of Jackson) has outlawed Pitbull dogs.
> cuhulin

1410. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:15:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B074B5-279@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82552

I am wondering if that twentys something girl next door that likes to wink at me if she would blab to her boyriend if she and I messed around? Somehow,I sort of get the gist that she wouldn't.I told her this afternoon what Vanessa and Jake told me yesterday afternoon.That if her (the winky girl) boyfriend gets pissed off at her,her boyfriend will kick her ass out.She winked her eye at me as though to say she understands.
cuhulin

1411. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:17:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B0752D-280@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82551

Winky girl does have hat,lets keep it a secret ''look'' on herself.
cuhulin

1412. Re: KB9RQZ Numbnuts Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:20:21 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152415221.034755.314610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cool, I love it!
Lester Luckleer wrote:
> "I need someone to pay attention to me."
> Mark Morgan
>
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

1413. Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:22:18 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152415338.768237.251470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82549

The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30 or so.

Steve

1414. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:22:50 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152415370.823894.47230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

oppositecoinshiner wrote:

> Instead you should be listening to BROTHER STAIR on 6.890 at night. The Profit of Walterboro is the TRUE PROFIT who speaks the real deal unlike those ham radio schmuck-a-doodles.

Zowie - I just tuned in this last day profit of God on 6890 .. boy does he have a message ! ! !

No wonder everyone listens to the profit instead of The Great Liberty Net now.

Lots of fading here in MemTown but the profit's message is punching through anyway.

Does Sister Theresa still sing "The King is Coming"?

1415. Re: Do you know about cricket?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:28:31 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152415711.883897.242250@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82553

Yes, They make a lot of noise every summer. very noisey bugs. I hate it
when they get in my house!
Mr.Cools wrote:
> Hey guys check out this blog on ICC Champions Trophy.
> Vote for your favourite team
> Post comments and share your views
>
> http://indiacool.blogspot.com/2006/07/icc-champions-trophy-2006.html

1416. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:35:17 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2bu0b21kdp8tf1qqb7gcegh5l4a56keqj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82554

On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 22:06:35 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>I got cho cow pattie right here,buddy boy.
>cuhulin

So are ya steppin in it or eatin it?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

1417. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:36:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B079B1.B074AEC5@milestones.com>
Source record: 82555

Steve wrote:

> The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> or so.

Nothing there now, but Radio Verdad out of Guatemala is up on 4052.3.

I have seen some stuff about Radio Bishkek out of Kyrgyzstan operating there
over the years. But also see a supposed current freq. of 4010.

?

Don't really know! If I get a chance I'll try to tune in tomorrow night.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
>
> Steve

1418. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:41:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B07AFF-283@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82557

Both,, whats your problem?
cuhulin

1419. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 03:43:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B07B52.5AC87DDE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82556

dxAce wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > or so.
>
> Nothing there now, but Radio Verdad out of Guatemala is up on 4052.3.
>
> I have seen some stuff about Radio Bishkek out of Kyrgyzstan operating there
> over the years. But also see a supposed current freq. of 4010.

4010 is in reference to Kyrgyz Radio in EiBi.

>
>
> ?
>
> Don't really know! If I get a chance I'll try to tune in tomorrow night.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> >
> >
> > Steve

1420. ON TOPIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:02:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B07FC1-384@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82559

This afternoon a wonderfull black Lady (she is married,has some married kids) (Pam Roberts) at the Goodwill store.She said,Huh Larry,I have a book in my car for you.(I forced five dollars on her for the big thick heavy Book,,, The Official Military Atlas Of The Civil War.That Book originally sold for seventy dollars and the Book,with its dust cover jacket is exactly just like brand new.Yes,I have some luverly black Women looking out for me.I Love them.
cuhulin

1421. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:06:18 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1152417978.593990.247120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82558

Where are you located? Here in south Florida I'm receiving Radio Verdad, Guatamala, on 4052 every night, sometimes strong enough to really enjoy, at times its just barely there. There's been nothing else on any frequency even remotely close to RV. Unless you're using an older receiver with limited readout you should be able to pin it down to 4052 kHz.

Steve wrote:
> The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> or so.
>
> Steve

1422. Re: Do you know about cricket?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:12:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29050-44B08234-18@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82562

Let me hop on over to one of my other webtv user name thangys and see if that divorced woman in Petal,Mississippi is hollering at me.I hope she is.Dark Passage movie is on Radio tv now.
cuhulin

1423. KB9RQZ Numbnuts Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:14:53 UTC
From: Lester Luckleer <spamme@rogershouse.col>
Message-ID: <4mhrt.d6.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"I need someone to pay attention to me."
Mark Morgan

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

1424. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:15:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152418541.005932.247680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82560

dxAce wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > > 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > > schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > > or so.
> >
> > Nothing there now, but Radio Verdad out of Guatemala is up on 4052.3.
> >
> > I have seen some stuff about Radio Bishkek out of Kyrgyzstan operating there
> > over the years. But also see a supposed current freq. of 4010.
>
> 4010 is in reference to Kyrgyz Radio in EiBi.
>
> >
> >
> > ?
> >
> > Don't really know! If I get a chance I'll try to tune in tomorrow night.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve

Thanks. I'll bet it was Radio Verdad. It was so faint I probably just
wasn't able to tune precisely.

1425. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:19:26 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152418766.455239.179710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82561

willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:
> Where are you located? Here in south Florida I'm receiving Radio
> Verdad, Guatamala, on 4052 every night, sometimes strong enough to
> really enjoy, at times its just barely there. There's been nothing
> else on any frequency even remotely close to RV. Unless you're using
> an older receiver with limited readout you should be able to pin it
> down to 4052 kHz.
>
> Steve wrote:
> > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > or so.
> >
> > Steve

I'm in Brooklyn, NY. It may well have been Radio Verdad. It was so
faint I probably couldn't have traced it precisely to 4052. Maybe the
signal will be stronger tomorrow night.

Steve

1426. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:26:35 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1152419195.326579.210060@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82563

Steve wrote:
> willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:
> > Where are you located? Here in south Florida I'm receiving Radio
> > Verdad, Guatamala, on 4052 every night, sometimes strong enough to
> > really enjoy, at times its just barely there. There's been nothing
> > else on any frequency even remotely close to RV. Unless you're using
> > an older receiver with limited readout you should be able to pin it
> > down to 4052 kHz.
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> > > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > > 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > > schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > > or so.
> > >
> > > Steve
>
> I'm in Brooklyn, NY. It may well have been Radio Verdad. It was so
> faint I probably couldn't have traced it precisely to 4052. Maybe the
> signal will be stronger tomorrow night.
>
> Steve

Steve, a few weeks ago I received a QSL from them indicating a
transmission power of 800 watts. Quick reply, too. Very friendly
religious broadcaster that's well worth the effort to get a good
reception report to.

1427. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 04:34:11 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152419651.811016.220240@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

LW wrote:
> Redpantyman wrote:
> > Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - < snip >
>
> If I've said it once I've said it ten thousand times -
>
> Y-MAN IS THE GREAT LIBERTY NET PROCLAIMER ! ! !

That's right. Accept no substitutes!

Ahem ...

Hear ye SWLs and hams, hear ye unto the four corners of the earth. THE GREAT LIBERTY NET is now in session on 3950 khz LSB. Forsake ye The Profit Stair. Nay, listen to him not. Forsake ye your silly attempt to hear that 10 milliwatt LW beacon from Antarctica. Nay, DF it not. Turn straight away from your foolishness, tune to 3950 khz for a life-changing experience ... listen to THE GREAT LIBERY NET!

"A Saturday without the Liberty Net is like a day without a bowel movement" ... WA9KJI.

1428. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:01:11 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2ao8f7@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2ao8f7@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 82576

Kevin Garrett Wrote:
> Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
>
> America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.
>
> Is this the same for everyone else?
>
> I live in Oregon.
>
> K

Hi Kevin:
I'm in San Francisco. Over here, the best time to listen seems to be
0500-0700 UTC. The frequencies would be 6000 / 9550 / 11760 khz.

RHC is one of my favorite broadcasters, and I have several RHC
reception reports on my weblog: http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/

--
weatherall

1429. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:09:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B08F6F-275@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82565

She knocked on my front door about ten minutes ago,gave me her big eye wink.her boyfriend has gone back to Pearl to pick up some more of their stuff.She done took control of my webtv keyboard.(she knows how to sneak out my back door sureptisoully when he drives up) WOW! the ''places'' that young lady likes to go on the internet.I never would have imagined.Dang,I Love that cute twenty year old girl!
cuhulin

1430. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:27:05 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152422825.519922.280610@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Y-Man wrote:
>
>"A Saturday without the Liberty Net is like a day without a bowel movement" ... WA9KJI.

And we concur - a very wise quote from a very wise man. In fact we once heard him called "the best operator in ham radio" by (if I remember correctly) Mr. Jimmy Dale, whom I do not know.

Welcome back, Y-Man - someone suggested that you were out having a 3-some with Janet Reno and Hillary Clinton.

1431. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:36:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B095D4-390@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82567

Huh girl,, put your duds back on.You are scareing me to death.
cuhulin

1432. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:42:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29050-44B0975E-20@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82568

Dang nab it,,, nasty girls make me feel ashamed.
cuhulin

1433. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 05:45:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B097EF-392@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82569

She can whup me auld sixty four arse,anytime she wants to.
cuhulin

1434. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:04:59 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <KT1sg.15$N85.13@newsfe08.phx>
Source record: 82570

"Kevin Garrett" <Um@nothanks.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97FACB4494B9Ekevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156...
Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.

Is this the same for everyone else?

I live in Oregon.

K

Hearing it better on 6000. @ 0800 utc. in Vancouver, B.C. area.
k35454.

1435. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:08:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B0AB81-313@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82571

You like to spy on U.S,A.? Let me look in my Jackson phone book and
phone that fourteen story Federal Government building on Capitol Street
in beautifull down town Jackson,Mississippi.I want the Feds to
Investigate YOU!
cuhulin

1436. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:09:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B0ABB7-314@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82572

My name is,Kiss My ASS.
cuhulin

1437. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:11:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <401-44B0AC21-56@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82574

Oooooo,, that twenty year old girl next door to me,,, she is soooooo
cute and HORNEY! WOOF!
cuhulin

1438. Re: Chinese Spies Among Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:13:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B0ACB2-315@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82575

She makes me feel like a horney sixteen year old teenager all over again
:{)
cuhulin

1439. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 07:16:08 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <c22sg.16$N85.5@newsfe08.phx>
Source record: 82573

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:fiYrg.136450$IK3.51125@pd7tw1no...
Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>
>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>>
>> JB
>
>
>
> Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!

Too bad - it would be a step up for you!

JB

> ____
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
.....
.....

VE7AFN

6 years WW2 & 6 medals plus a silver bar or clasp plus a commemorative medal
from the Dutch government, but you don't have me blowing about it every
time I make a post. Canadian Anglo-Saxon and proud of it. k35454.
Canada GOOD..... USA ??????????????..

1440. Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 10:12:37 UTC
From: Paul Willie Schleck <Paul@moderator.um>
Message-ID: <4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements, misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and archiving your comments for future use.

Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof will be recorded as well.

I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia, or any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.

While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that there is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.

You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your ISP.

Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.

Hissyfits@novia.nel

www.blowguts.com

1441. SEA HF Aeronautical Term ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 12:43:54 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <08-dnVVc6ciXZy3ZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82578

Hello:

Came across this the other day for the first time.

The term: "SEA HF aeronautical "

Nothing I could find in Google that explains it.

What are these ?
Used by who, etc. ?

Thanks,
B.

1442. Re: SEA HF Aeronautical Term ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 12:54:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B0FC9D.FDEA8D3F@milestones.com>
Source record: 82579

Robert11 wrote:

> Hello:
>
> Came across this the other day for the first time.
>
> The term: "SEA HF aeronautical "
>
> Nothing I could find in Google that explains it.
>
> What are these ?
> Used by who, etc. ?

South East Asia

Do a google for MWARA frequencies.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1443. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 13:17:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8e02b2lc4dmrgogkv84psu26rurud252g0@4ax.com>
Source record: 82580

On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

>The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
>4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
>schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
>or so.
>
>Steve
>

3rd harmonic 1350?

1444. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 13:31:12 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152451872.103318.202750@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82581

Merlin3rd wrote:
> I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on
> this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
> Cash, or your sister.

I don't know. Given the state of the hobby I can't give you much for
it. Still, I could probably use some of the knobs on my TV and use the
case as a magazine rack. I'll give you $20 for it.

1445. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 13:33:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152451999.534566.205190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82582

David wrote:
> On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> >The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> >4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> >schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> >or so.
> >
> >Steve
> >
> 3rd harmonic 1350?

wh..?

1446. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 13:54:44 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <kj22b2pm2bd94p7esbfvj9d0venu4qt3l2@4ax.com>
Source record: 82583

On Sun, 9 Jul 2006 00:16:08 -0700, "k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:

>
>"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:fiYrg.136450$IK3.51125@pd7tw1no...
>Beerbarrel wrote:
>> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>>
>>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>>>
>>> JB
>>
>>
>>
>> Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!
>
>Too bad - it would be a step up for you!
>
>JB
>
>> ____
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
>preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>...
>...
>
>VE7AFN
>
>6 years WW2 & 6 medals plus a silver bar or clasp plus a commemorative medal
>from the Dutch government, but you don't have me blowing about it every
>time I make a post. Canadian Anglo-Saxon and proud of it. k35454.
>Canada GOOD..... USA ??????????????..
>

If I received anything from the Gaynadian government I would brag
either.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

1447. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:04:36 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <l632b2hmcedjd4sudnjeekmrk1grodnaih@4ax.com>
Source record: 82584

On 8 Jul 2006 19:39:16 -0700, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
>interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
>get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
>the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on
>this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
>Cash, or your sister.
>
Get into Utilities. HF broadcast is for weenies.

1448. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:07:48 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cd32b25bjdfr1bfqatoivt27ap7q6tska3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82585

On 9 Jul 2006 06:33:19 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>>
>> >The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
>> >4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
>> >schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
>> >or so.
>> >
>> >Steve
>> >
>> 3rd harmonic 1350?
>
>wh..?
>
THE ULTIMATE CHALLENGE:
DXING MEDIUM WAVE HARMONICS
By Don Moore
This article was originally published in The Journal of the North
American Shortwave Association.

If you've been reading NASWA very long, you've noticed by now that
shortwave broadcasters aren't the only broadcast stations using the
shortwave bands. On occasion loggings of mediumwave (AM) "harmonics"
creep in. But, although some mediumwave stations broadcast on
shortwave at times, usually when they do they don't even know it! And
that's just part of what makes DXing mediumwave harmonics such a
challenge.

WHAT ARE HARMONICS?
All radio transmitters naturally put out harmonics, which are
multiples of the fundamental frequency. For example, a station on 1400
kHz will transmit harmonics on 2800, 4200, 5600, etc. Twice the
fundamental frequency, e.g. 2800 khz, is called the second harmonic;
three times it, e.g. 4200 khz, is called the third harmonic, etc. The
first harmonic, or one times the fundamental frequency, is the
fundamental itself. Harmonics should not be confused with images,
which are generated internally in a receiver, and are usually received
plus or minus 910 kHz or 1000 kHz of a frequency, depending on the
receiver, or receiver mixing products that cause exceptionally strong
stations to appear where they don't belong. Harmonics are produced at
the transmitter, not the receiver.
Harmonics may seem like a free way for a small AM station to become an

international broadcaster, but AM stations don't want to intentionally transmit harmonics, as any power that goes into a harmonic frequency is not being used for their fundamental frequency, which means a less powerful signal for their main audience. In addition, harmonic transmissions are prohibited by law as these unintentional broadcasts on higher frequencies may interfere with other stations which are licensed to use those frequencies. To prevent these problems, station engineers suppress harmonics below a maximum level allowed by the FCC or their nation's equivalent agency, although enforcement may vary (especially in Third World countries). But, not all stations have competent technical help watching over the equipment, or even if they do, mistakes can happen. Sometimes something gets maladjusted or whatever and suddenly there is maybe fifty or a hundred watts going into a harmonic instead of half a watt or a watt. Usually the problem is so small that it won't be noticed until the engineer does a regular check-up on the transmitter, or someone hears the harmonic and tells the station about it. In the meantime, it's happy harmonic hunting for DXers!

1449. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:08:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B10DE2.3C68F820@milestones.com>
Source record: 82586

David wrote:

> On 8 Jul 2006 19:39:16 -0700, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
> >interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
> >get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
> >the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on
> >this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
> >Cash, or your sister.
> >
> Get into Utilities. HF broadcast is for weenies.

Actually it's for guys *with* weenies.

That kinda leaves you out, 'tard boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1450. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:18:57 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <44B11051.5070708@w2agn.net>
Source record: 82587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Paul Willie Schleck wrote:
> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements,
> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and
> archiving your comments for future use.
>
> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
> will be recorded as well.
>
> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia, or
> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>
> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that there
> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>
>
>
> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your ISP.
>
>
>
> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>
> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>
> www.blowguts.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.

1451. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:19:43 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <EeOdneMUp5rrjSzZnZ2dnUVZ_vqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Paul Willie Schleck wrote:
> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements,
> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and
> archiving your comments for future use.
>
> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
> will be recorded as well.
>
> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia, or
> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>
> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that there
> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>
>
>
> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your ISP.
>
>
>
> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>
> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>
> www.blowguts.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.

1452. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 14:55:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152456906.392676.192220@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82589

David wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 06:33:19 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >David wrote:
> >> On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> >> >4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> >> >schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> >> >or so.
> >> >
> >> >Steve
> >> >
> >> 3rd harmonic 1350?
> >
> >wh..?
> >
> THE ULTIMATE CHALLENGE:
> DXING MEDIUM WAVE HARMONICS
> By Don Moore
> This article was originally published in The Journal of the North
> American Shortwave Association.
>
>
> If you've been reading NASWA very long, you've noticed by now that
> shortwave broadcasters aren't the only broadcast stations using the
> shortwave bands. On occasion loggings of mediumwave (AM) "harmonics"
> creep in. But, although some mediumwave stations broadcast on
> shortwave at times, usually when they do they don't even know it! And
> that's just part of what makes DXing mediumwave harmonics such a
> challenge.
>
>
> WHAT ARE HARMONICS?
> All radio transmitters naturally put out harmonics, which are
> multiples of the fundamental frequency. For example, a station on 1400
> kHz will transmit harmonics on 2800, 4200, 5600, etc. Twice the
> fundamental frequency, e.g. 2800 khz, is called the second harmonic;
> three times it, e.g. 4200 khz, is called the third harmonic, etc. The
> first harmonic, or one times the fundamental frequency, is the
> fundamental itself. Harmonics should not be confused with images,
> which are generated internally in a receiver, and are usually received
> plus or minus 910 kHz or 1000 kHz of a frequency, depending on the

> receiver, or receiver mixing products that cause exceptionally strong
> stations to appear where they don't belong. Harmonics are produced at
> the transmitter, not the receiver.
> Harmonics may seem like a free way for a small AM station to become an
> international broadcaster, but AM stations don't want to intentionally
> transmit harmonics, as any power that goes into a harmonic frequency
> is not being used for their fundamental frequency, which means a less
> powerful signal for their main audience. In addition, harmonic
> transmissions are prohibited by law as these unintentional broadcasts
> on higher frequencies may interfere with other stations which are
> licensed to use those frequencies. To prevent these problems, station
> engineers suppress harmonics below a maximum level allowed by the FCC
> or their nation's equivalent agency, although enforcement may vary
> (especially in Third World countries). But, not all stations have
> competent technical help watching over the equipment, or even if they
> do, mistakes can happen. Sometimes something gets maladjusted or
> whatever and suddenly there is maybe fifty or a hundred watts going
> into a harmonic instead of half a watt or a watt. Usually the problem
> is so small that it won't be noticed until the engineer does a regular
> check-up on the transmitter, or someone hears the harmonic and tells
> the station about it. In the meantime, it's happy harmonic hunting for
> DXers!

I'm familiar with harmonics but I thought you might have a particular
station in mind.

1453. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 15:05:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B11B50-347@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82590

Nawwwwww,,, I better not say that one either.
cuhulin

1454. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 15:11:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B11C94-348@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82592

From Halls of Montezumaaaaaa,,,, to the shores of
Tripoliiiiiiiiiiiii,,,,,
Bunch of Jarheads,but you just got to love them.
cuhulin

1455. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 15:18:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B11E40-349@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82593

God Bless our U/S/''Jarhead'' Marines.
cuhulin

1456. FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 15:24:47 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <cd9sg.12$iv3.11@fe06.lga>
Source record: 82591

Brand New. I paid $89.99 for this and BIN is set at $70

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=017&item=270006158154

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

1457. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 15:43:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152459827.627255.185470@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Lester Luckleer wrote:
> "I need someone to pay attention to me."
> Mark Morgan
forger
>
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
well at least you have now shown the sense to have you link inpst that
is not from an accoutn of mine that you have forgered

good going you may get somewhere that way

1458. Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 16:09:12 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152461352.009114.181730@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82595

Anybody have any comments regarding the Racal 6830? A friend of mine rebuilds them and so forth. This is his last radio - He is getting out of the business because modules are getting too expensive. Keep in mind that since he is a friend if radio breaks down he will troubleshoot. I will use the radio to replace the Drake I had to sell cause I needed cash.

73
NEO

Well, here is what he tells me:

My Racal contact has raised his prices a lot and now it would take about $1600 for a set of modules. I usually put 30 or so hours into making a perfect unit. I'm about 1/2 done with the one I'm working on. So there is no real profit in doing this. After that I'm done since it won't be worth my trouble. I sold off all the early rev modules so everything I have is latest rev stuff. I have never noticed a difference in performance though.
This will be the basic radio with 4 filters and one spare slot. No remote control or special functions. I do have 2 blank SDR modules that will plug right into the radio that do SDR demodulation with a cool spectrum display. They usually go for $1300 to $1500 on ebay.

1459. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 16:18:22 UTC
From: Straydog <asd@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0607091217190.29224@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 82596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 9 Jul 2006, John L. Sielke wrote:

> Paul Willie Schleck wrote:
>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements,
>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and
>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>
>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>> will be recorded as well.
>>
>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia, or
>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>
>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that there
>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>
>>
>>
>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your ISP.
>>
>>
>>
>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>>
>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>
>> www.blowguts.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
> Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.
>

You forgot "Obsessive-Compulsive"

1460. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 16:53:33 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e8tpho$i5r$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82748

In article <Xns97FACB4494B9Ekevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>,
Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com> wrote:
>Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
>America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.
>
>Is this the same for everyone else?
>
>I live in Oregon.

No, 6000 kHz was coming in fine here in Seattle about 10:00 PM.
I think 6080 (?, 6060?) was there, too.

Good sounding audio, so they must have done some station work...

The BBC on 7120 kHz at 9:00 PM (4:00 UTC) was coming in good, too.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

1461. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:02:33 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12b2dlbqp789c40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82597
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
news:no-24C013.12381409072006@news.supernews.com...
(snipped)
> regarding Snit: "You are not flamed because you speak the truth,
> you are flamed because you are a hideous troll and keep disrupting
> the newsgroup." Andrew J. Brehm

How about snipping rec.radio.cb as we have troll problems of our own.

1462. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:11:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152465092.955093.96800@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

DrDeath wrote:
> "Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
> news:no-24C013.12381409072006@news.supernews.com...
> (snipped)
> > regarding Snit: "You are not flamed because you speak the truth,
> > you are flamed because you are a hideous troll and keep disrupting
> > the newsgroup." Andrew J. Brehm
>
> How about snipping rec.radio.cb as we have troll problems of our own.
why should anyone do that

after all you are still allowed to post

1463. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:20:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2968-44B13AD1-87@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82699

BULL SHIT!
cuhulin

1464. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Shill for Univision/Ibiquity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:31:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2969-44B13D88-15@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82700

Pam Roderts,she is black,but that mareied wondefull married Woman is
one of my best Friends.
cuhulin

1465. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:48:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B1416A-369@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82702

She showed herself to me butt naked to me a few hours ago.OK, she only
a cute sweet girl.I LOVE herrr,mote is the quarter I Love that sweet
girl.
cuhulin
.............................I want to habe sex with that cute
girl
....

1466. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:49:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Vkbsg.37835$VE1.3289@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

John L. Sielke wrote:
> Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
> Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.

Or a sick joke that is a forgery.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1467. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 17:58:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2968-44B143B5-88@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82704

Dude,,,,,,, what you need is some,,,,,,,,,,

Mamma sang base,,,,,,,, Daddy sang Tenor,,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

1468. FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:04:40 UTC
From: Cartrivision1 <doidy1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1152468280.780568.224940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82703
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
Manuals. To view auction follow link below:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=140004341552&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT&ih=004

thanks,
CTV

1469. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:05:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B1455A-372@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82706

I am sixty four years young. I am in Love with that cute twenty someting girl next door.
cuhulin

1470. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:07:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B145CB-373@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82707

I want to get her little ass over here.
cuhulin

1471. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:10:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B14678-375@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82709

I am going to sic my girlfriend on you to beat your butt.
cuhulin

1472. CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:12:40 UTC
From: Bruce Wilson <b.e.wilson@usa.net>
Message-ID: <hvednSPFWIyC2izZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82705

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD

In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations. In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957 and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast station was on the air.

Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working hams?

--
Bruce Wilson KF7K
http://science.uvsc.edu/wilson

1473. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:16:34 UTC
From: Uncle Peter <radioconnection@cox.net>
Message-ID: <lKbsg.97469$IZ2.11900@dukeread07>
Source record: 82708
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1152468280.780568.224940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
> Manuals. To view auction follow link below:
>
You're feedback SUCKS bigtime, dude.

1474. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 18:35:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B14C7B.213F1398@milestones.com>
Source record: 82710

Steve wrote:

> David wrote:
> > On 9 Jul 2006 06:33:19 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >David wrote:
> > > >> On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > > >> >4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > > >> >schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > > >> >or so.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Steve
> > > >> >
> > > >> 3rd harmonic 1350?
> > > >
> > > >wh..?
> > > >
> > > THE ULTIMATE CHALLENGE:
> > > DXING MEDIUM WAVE HARMONICS
> > > By Don Moore
> > > This article was originally published in The Journal of the North
> > > American Shortwave Association.
> > >
> > >
> > > If you've been reading NASWA very long, you've noticed by now that
> > > shortwave broadcasters aren't the only broadcast stations using the
> > > shortwave bands. On occasion loggings of mediumwave (AM) "harmonics"
> > > creep in. But, although some mediumwave stations broadcast on
> > > shortwave at times, usually when they do they don't even know it! And
> > > that's just part of what makes DXing mediumwave harmonics such a
> > > challenge.
> > >
> > >
> > > WHAT ARE HARMONICS?
> > > All radio transmitters naturally put out harmonics, which are
> > > multiples of the fundamental frequency. For example, a station on 1400
> > > kHz will transmit harmonics on 2800, 4200, 5600, etc. Twice the
> > > fundamental frequency, e.g. 2800 khz, is called the second harmonic;
> > > three times it, e.g. 4200 khz, is called the third harmonic, etc. The
> > > first harmonic, or one times the fundamental frequency, is the
> > > fundamental itself. Harmonics should not be confused with images,

> > which are generated internally in a receiver, and are usually received
> > plus or minus 910 kHz or 1000 kHz of a frequency, depending on the
> > receiver, or receiver mixing products that cause exceptionally strong
> > stations to appear where they don't belong. Harmonics are produced at
> > the transmitter, not the receiver.
> > Harmonics may seem like a free way for a small AM station to become an
> > international broadcaster, but AM stations don't want to intentionally
> > transmit harmonics, as any power that goes into a harmonic frequency
> > is not being used for their fundamental frequency, which means a less
> > powerful signal for their main audience. In addition, harmonic
> > transmissions are prohibited by law as these unintentional broadcasts
> > on higher frequencies may interfere with other stations which are
> > licensed to use those frequencies. To prevent these problems, station
> > engineers suppress harmonics below a maximum level allowed by the FCC
> > or their nation's equivalent agency, although enforcement may vary
> > (especially in Third World countries). But, not all stations have
> > competent technical help watching over the equipment, or even if they
> > do, mistakes can happen. Sometimes something gets maladjusted or
> > whatever and suddenly there is maybe fifty or a hundred watts going
> > into a harmonic instead of half a watt or a watt. Usually the problem
> > is so small that it won't be noticed until the engineer does a regular
> > check-up on the transmitter, or someone hears the harmonic and tells
> > the station about it. In the meantime, it's happy harmonic hunting for
> > DXers!
>
> I'm familiar with harmonics but I thought you might have a particular
> station in mind.

A harmonic is certainly a possibility, lots of choices and it might not even be from a USA station.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1475. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:08:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B15426.112C646D@milestones.com>
Source record: 82711

N9NEO wrote:

> Anybody have any comments regarding the Racal 6830? A friend of mine
> rebuilds them and so forth. This is his last radio - He is getting
> out of the business because modules are getting too expensive. Keep in
> mind that since he is a friend if radio breaks down he will
> troubleshoot. I will use the radio to replace the Drake I had to sell
> cause I needed cash.
>
> 73
> NEO
>
> Well, here is what he tells me:
>
> My Racal contact has raised his prices a lot and now it would take
> about $1600 for a set of modules. I usually put 30 or so hours into
> making a perfect unit. I'm about 1/2 done with the one I'm working on.
> So there is no real profit in doing this. After that I'm done since it
> won't be worth my trouble. I sold off all the early rev modules so
> everything I have is latest rev stuff. I have never noticed a
> difference in performance though.
> This will be the basic radio with 4 filters and one spare slot. No
> remote control or special functions. I do have 2 blank SDR modules
> that will plug right into the radio that do SDR demodulation with a
> cool spectrum display. They usually go for $1300 to $1500 on ebay.

You got a pic and some specs on this rig?

I've looked around but can't seem to find anything :-(

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1476. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:13:27 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <e8rkgn$t8s$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 82712

"Bruce Wilson" (b.e.wilson@usa.net) writes:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
>
> In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> station was on the air.
>
> Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> hams?
>
There was a such a law in the US, though since it was before my time (and
I'm not in the US), I've forgotten the details from when I read the
old magazines.

But for at least some of that period, US hams were supposed to monitor
the AM band to make sure that there was no Conelrad alert, because if
there was they were supposed to go off the air.

The ham magazines at the time were full of construction articles to
deal with this. In a Conelrad emergency, commercial broadcast stations
were supposed to go off the air, with emergency stations coming on at
specific frequencies. So there were lots of little adaptors to connect
to AM radios to either make sure the usual station was still on the air, or
to make sure the emergency frequency was still empty (I forget which
was tested for, and maybe it was on the whim of the individual). These
automated schemes meant the hams didn't have to actually listen to the
stations to see if they were still on the air, which would have caused
problems when transmitting with a microphone since you couldn't retransmit
a broadcast station over ham frequencies.

Michael

1477. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:16:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152472617.095505.242400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82713

Bruce Wilson wrote:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
>
> In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> station was on the air.
>
> Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> hams?
hmm I had heard of the Conelrad system although being born in 1964 it
hardly applied to me

although why would you want all ham of the airs suddenly seems strange
but then so does code testing
>
> --
> Bruce Wilson KF7K
> http://science.uvsc.edu/wilson

1478. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:21:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B15723.BE2225A8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82714

Michael Black wrote:

> "Bruce Wilson" (b.e.wilson@usa.net) writes:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> >
> > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> > station was on the air.
> >
> > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> > hams?
> >
> There was a such a law in the US, though since it was before my time (and
> I'm not in the US), I've forgotten the details from when I read the
> old magazines.
>
> But for at least some of that period, US hams were supposed to monitor
> the AM band to make sure that there was no Conelrad alert, because if
> there was they were supposed to go off the air.
>
> The ham magazines at the time were full of construction articles to
> deal with this. In a Conelrad emergency, commercial broadcast stations
> were supposed to go off the air, with emergency stations coming on at
> specific frequencies. So there were lots of little adaptors to connect
> to AM radios to either make sure the usual station was still on the air, or
> to make sure the emergency frequency was still empty (I forget which
> was tested for, and maybe it was on the whim of the individual). These
> automated schemes meant the hams didn't have to actually listen to the
> stations to see if they were still on the air, which would have caused
> problems when transmitting with a microphone since you couldn't retransmit
> a broadcast station over ham frequencies.

The Wayback Machine #29 by William Continelli, W2XOY

Picture the following scenario, in a slightly grainy black and
white for added effect. It's the 1950's; a ham is sitting at
his station, having a CW QSO. He's wearing a suit and tie,
before him is a Hammarlund receiver, a Johnson Viking
transmitter, and a homebrew modulator. On the wall are QSL
cards and his Honorable Discharge Certificate. On the table
is a collection of QST magazines, along with some curious
pamphlets, with titles such as "Protect Them--Join Civil
Defense", "America Calling--Take Your Place in Civil Defense",
"It CAN Happen Here", "Know the Signals", and even a comic book

featuring a character called "Bert the Turtle". While the Vibroplex clicks away, another radio sits in the background, quietly spitting out atmospheric noise. It's an AM Broadcast receiver, one of those 5 tube AC/DC models produced by the millions. This unit--an Arvin in an Art Deco plastic cabinet--is tuned to one of two triangular markings on the dial. Suddenly, the silence is shattered by a piercing 1000 cycle tone. The ham looks up, rips off his headphones, and listens to a message. He jumps from the chair, runs to the door and yells to his wife "Grab the kids and go down to the Fallout Shelter. The CONELRAD alarm just went off".

CONELRAD, which stood for "Control of Electromagnetic Radiations", had its embryonic start in December, 1951 when President Harry Truman signed an Executive Order directing the FCC to set up a security system for all civilian radio services. Throughout 1952, CONELRAD was developed and tested and, by early 1953, it was ready. The purpose of CONELRAD was to relay Civil Defense information to the public without allowing enemy aircraft to use our radio signals as a beacon for their direction finding equipment. In the event of an emergency, all FM, TV and most AM stations would proceed with the following alarm sequence:

>>CURRENT PROGRAMMING DISCONTINUED
>>5 SECONDS-CARRIER OFF THE AIR
>>5 SECONDS-UNMODULATED CARRIER
>>5 SECONDS-CARRIER OFF THE AIR
>>15 SECONDS-1000 CYCLE MODULATED CARRIER
>>1 MINUTE MAXIMUM-INITIAL CONELRAD MESSAGE
>>CARRIER OFF THE AIR FOR THE DURATION OF THE ALERT

The remaining AM stations would shift to either 640 or 1240 kc and simultaneously broadcast a more detailed emergency message. The stations would constantly turn their carriers on and off. For example, Station A, operating on 640 kc, would broadcast the emergency message for 15 seconds and suddenly cut its carrier. The public would then hear Station B, also on 640 kc, with the same message. When Station B went silent, Station C would appear and, after a few seconds, Station A would be back on the air. This "cluster pattern" would continue until the emergency message had been broadcast. The same activity would be happening on 1240 kc. No callsigns or other ID would be given. In this way, the FCC and the Office of Civil Defense hoped to confuse enemy aircraft trying to use AM radio stations as a homing beacon.

The ARRL and the FCC realized that amateur stations might also serve as a beacon. Therefore, from the beginning, amateurs were urged to keep watch on 640 or 1240 kc, and to kill their transmitters when the alarm was given.

With the importance of CONELRAD in the early 1950's, it's surprising that amateurs were not required to monitor for the CONELRAD alarm. This was rectified on January 2, 1957 when the FCC amended Part 12 of the Rules and Regulations to require the following:

>>All operators of stations in the Amateur Radio Service will be responsible for the reception of the CONELRAD RADIO ALERT by monitoring 640 or 1240 kc.

>>During a CONELRAD RADIO ALERT, all operators of Amateur Radio Stations will CEASE COMMUNICATIONS IMMEDIATELY.

>>Stations operating under the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES), and other stations specifically authorized, would be allowed to remain on the air under the following restrictions:
a) No transmission shall be made unless it is of extreme emergency, affecting the National Safety, or the Safety of life and property;
b) Transmissions shall be as short as possible;
c) No station identification or location shall be given. Tactical calls will be utilized if necessary.
d) The radio station carrier shall be discontinued during periods of no message transmission.

>>Amateur Stations shall not allowed back on the air until the CONELRAD RADIO ALL CLEAR MESSAGE is transmitted.

With the requirement of continuous Broadcast Band monitoring, homebrew projects, kits, and commercial products began to appear to help the Amateur keep in compliance with Part 12.190. While some Amateurs simply used an AM radio, others bought or built specific CONELRAD receivers. Heathkit had the CA-1 CONELRAD Alarm; Morrow Radio had the CM-1 CONELRAD Monitor; and the Walter Ashe Radio Co. had the model CA "Conelarm". Radio Shack's first transistor radio, which sold for a mere $29.95 in 1958 dollars, was advertised as "perfect for monitoring CONELRAD".

When Class D CB Radio was authorized in September, 1958, the rules specified that CB'ers also had to monitor CONELRAD. In the event of an emergency, all Citizen Band operators had to leave the air--there was no RACES provision for them.

By the early 1960's, the possibility of long range enemy bombers homing in on our radio signals was becoming remote. Instead, Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles were the new threat. They didn't require our broadcast signals as Beacons. CONELRAD was becoming obsolete. Thus, in the autumn of 1962, CONELRAD was replaced by the Emergency Broadcast System. Ironically, CONELRAD disappeared right around the time it might have been needed the most--the Cuban Missile Crisis.

As the 1960's wore on, the Cold War gradually dissipated, and the Specter of imminent enemy attack disappeared. Today, only the faded "Fallout Shelter" signs, and those triangular markings on old AM radios remain to remind us of CONELRAD and the Cold War. As I write this, I can hear a Springfield Mass station on 640 khz, while a hetrodyne of Class 4 stations co-mingles on 1240. And yet, what is that I hear, faintly in the background?? A 1000 cycle tone??

by William Continelli, W2XOY

These columns were originally written for the Schenectady Museum Amateur Radio Association.

Copyright 1999, 2001 by William Continelli, W2XOY.
All rights reserved.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1479. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:23:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B157B1.44E39871@milestones.com>
Source record: 82715

Michael Black wrote:

> "Bruce Wilson" (b.e.wilson@usa.net) writes:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> >
> > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> > station was on the air.
> >
> > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> > hams?
> >
> There was a such a law in the US, though since it was before my time (and
> I'm not in the US), I've forgotten the details from when I read the
> old magazines.
>
> But for at least some of that period, US hams were supposed to monitor
> the AM band to make sure that there was no Conelrad alert, because if
> there was they were supposed to go off the air.
>
> The ham magazines at the time were full of construction articles to
> deal with this. In a Conelrad emergency, commercial broadcast stations
> were supposed to go off the air, with emergency stations coming on at
> specific frequencies. So there were lots of little adaptors to connect
> to AM radios to either make sure the usual station was still on the air, or
> to make sure the emergency frequency was still empty (I forget which
> was tested for, and maybe it was on the whim of the individual). These
> automated schemes meant the hams didn't have to actually listen to the
> stations to see if they were still on the air, which would have caused
> problems when transmitting with a microphone since you couldn't retransmit
> a broadcast station over ham frequencies.

Another article by the fellow whom I jut posted states:

"And, finally, CONELRAD was still alive at the beginning of 1962.
Every ham had to monitor 640 or 1240 kc while on the air.
However, the basis for CONELRAD was becoming obsolete and, on
July 13, 1962, CONELRAD ended. It was replaced by the Emergency
Broadcast System."

http://www.twiar.org/aaarchives/WB028.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1480. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:39:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B15B79.545BCCF2@milestones.com>
Source record: 82716

an old friend wrote:

> Bruce Wilson wrote:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> >
> > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> > station was on the air.
> >
> > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> > hams?
> hmm I had heard of the Conelrad system although being born in 1964 it
> hardly applied to me
>
> although why would you want all ham of the airs suddenly seems strange
> but then so does code testing

Direction finding.

Hams had to go off the air in WWII, from right after Pearl Harbor till November 1945.

Practice that code!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1481. Re: For Sale: Sony ICF-PRO80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:40:25 UTC
From: sp600historian@cableone.net
Message-ID: <1152474025.453958.21970@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82717

sp600historian@cableone.net wrote:
> Completely rebuilt with new capacitors and all of the Kiwa
> modifications and upgrades. All documentation is provided.
>
> Look here: http://www.kiwa.com/PRO80.html
>
> It has ALL the accessories that came with it when new, except the Sony
> wall wart. It has a Radio Shack replacement, original box, manual
> carrying case, carrying strap, FRQ-80 frequency converter, spare
> battery pack, tnc to bnc adapter and earphone.
>
> Alignment is on the money and coverage with those not familar with it
> is 150 khz to 30 mhz. With the FRQ-80 adapter attached, it covers
> 115.150 mhz to 223.00 mhz.
>
> Works perfectly, looks excellent, well taken care of and not abused.
>
> $250 shipped/insured Continental U. S.

Item has been sold.

Thanks!

1482. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:43:00 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44B15C3B.5D6B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82718

dxAce wrote:
> c) No station identification or location shall be given.
> Tactical calls will be utilized if necessary.

I probably still have a RACES card somewhere. Being a kid I would
be home, and was to operate as 3MI10 (MI for Millburn NJ)

As I remember, we had one drill, and then it was forgotten.

The guy in charge had a yellow ford station wagon painted up with CD
doors. Went a little overboard, I guess.

I wouldn't be home anyway. I'd be in school.

--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1483. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 19:55:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152474939.158549.306050@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82719

dxAce wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > Bruce Wilson wrote:
> > > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> > >
> > > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> > > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> > > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> > > station was on the air.
> > >
> > > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> > > hams?
> > hmm I had heard of the Conelrad system although being born in 1964 it
> > hardly applied to me
> >
> > although why would you want all ham of the airs suddenly seems strange
> > but then so does code testing
>
> Direction finding.
that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located. the logic
of the bans on ars operations that were imposed for the the world war
have always had a rather odd nonlogic about them
>
> Hams had to go off the air in WWII, from right after Pearl Harbor till November
> 1945.
yes I know
>
> Practice that code!
no

>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1484. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:00:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B16066.E9FBE1C3@milestones.com>
Source record: 82720

an_old_friend wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > Bruce Wilson wrote:
> > > > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> > > >
> > > > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
> > > > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
> > > > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
> > > > station was on the air.
> > > >
> > > > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
> > > > hams?
> > > hmm I had heard of the Conelrad system although being born in 1964 it
> > > hardly applied to me
> > >
> > > although why would you want all ham of the airs suddenly seems strange
> > > but then so does code testing
> > >
> > Direction finding.
> that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located.

What if the 'tard boy KB9RQZ screws up and mentions his QTH, or (most likely) decides
to communicate with the enemy?

> the logic
> of the bans on ars operations that were imposed for the the world war
> have always had a rather odd nonlogic about them

I'm certain a lot of things just don't make sense to you.

Practice that code!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1485. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:13:03 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <44b162e6$0$12378$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 82721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In <Vkbsg.37835$VE1.3289@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> writes:

>John L. Sielke wrote:
>> Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
>> Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.

>Or a sick joke that is a forgery.
>--
>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Yup, points to Cecil for realizing this.

Based on similar turns of phrase in a private E-mail message sent to me recently (of the usual, unhinged, "I didn't ask to be welcomed to this newsgroup!" variety), I can say with great likelihood that the perpetrator of this forgery is the individual who posts on rec.radio.amateur.policy with the E-mail address "wussyroger@yahoo.com." I sent a complaint to alt.net and altopia.com in case they caught the poster in their logs.

It seems that we've really, really hit a raw nerve here among the unbalanced trolls who populate rec.radio.amateur.policy. They very much seem to fear the prospect that a new, moderated discussion newsgroup for amateur radio stands a good chance of getting created, one that will leave them behind.

I suspect that there will continue to be a storm of retaliatory forgeries for some time to come, at least through the fall. As I said previously, check the headers. If something appears to be from me, and is not from Novia/Newscene, then it's a forgery. Check also for misspellings, poor grammar, and obscene language.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

1486. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:13:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152475992.910945.147450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82722

dxAce wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > Bruce Wilson wrote:

> > > Direction finding.
> > that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located.
>
> What if the 'tard boy KB9RQZ screws up and mentions his QTH, or (most likely) decides
> to communicate with the enemy?
a true traitor would simply ignore the rule (except it might well
result in his death
>
> > the logic
> > of the bans on ars operations that were imposed for the the world war
> > have always had a rather odd nonlogic about them
>
> I'm certain a lot of things just don't make sense to you.
true enough

but allowing one emeny to complete dirupt one life is rather likeke
letting the terorists win
>
> Practice that code!
why?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1487. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:18:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152476290.653398.214630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> In <Vkbsg.37835$VE1.3289@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
> >John L. Sielke wrote:
> >> Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
> >> Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.
> >
> >Or a sick joke that is a forgery.
> >--
> >73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> Yup, points to Cecil for realizing this.
I realized it as well but the post (without regard to it's authorship
is a good example of insane behavoir
>
> Based on similar turns of phrase in a private E-mail message sent to me
> recently (of the usual, unhinged, "I didn't ask to be welcomed to this
> newsgroup!" variety), I can say with great likelihood that the
> perpetrator of this forgery is the individual who posts on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy with the E-mail address "wussyroger@yahoo.com."
as well winslutslit and various that that prclaim I a one or another
type of nefarious felon
> I sent a complaint to alt.net and altopia.com in case they caught the
> poster in their logs.
>
> It seems that we've really, really hit a raw nerve here among the
> unbalanced trolls who populate rec.radio.amateur.policy. They very much
> seem to fear the prospect that a new, moderated discussion newsgroup for
> amateur radio stands a good chance of getting created, one that will
> leave them behind.
I do hope it works out although I am and remain deeply dubious at the
likely result being that much better than what the are a reaction to
>
> I suspect that there will continue to be a storm of retaliatory
> forgeries for some time to come, at least through the fall. As I said
> previously, check the headers. If something appears to be from me, and
> is not from Novia/Newscene, then it's a forgery. Check also for
> misspellings, poor grammar, and obscene language.
the forgeries will conitinue likely forever (or until the net dies for
some reason

>
> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

1488. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:18:26 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152476306.317176.143840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82724

I'll go find some.

dxAce wrote:
> N9NEO wrote:
>
> > Anybody have any comments regarding the Racal 6830? A friend of mine
> > rebuilds them and so forth. This is his last radio - He is getting
> > out of the business because modules are getting too expensive. Keep in
> > mind that since he is a friend if radio breaks down he will
> > troubleshoot. I will use the radio to replace the Drake I had to sell
> > cause I needed cash.
> >
> > 73
> > NEO
> >
> > Well, here is what he tells me:
> >
> > My Racal contact has raised his prices a lot and now it would take
> > about $1600 for a set of modules. I usually put 30 or so hours into
> > making a perfect unit. I'm about 1/2 done with the one I'm working on.
> > So there is no real profit in doing this. After that I'm done since it
> > won't be worth my trouble. I sold off all the early rev modules so
> > everything I have is latest rev stuff. I have never noticed a
> > difference in performance though.
> > This will be the basic radio with 4 filters and one spare slot. No
> > remote control or special functions. I do have 2 blank SDR modules
> > that will plug right into the radio that do SDR demodulation with a
> > cool spectrum display. They usually go for $1300 to $1500 on ebay.
>
> You got a pic and some specs on this rig?
>
> I've looked around but can't seem to find anything :-(
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1489. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:18:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B1649D.B8385975@milestones.com>
Source record: 82725

an_old_friend wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Bruce Wilson wrote:
>
> > > > Direction finding.
> > > that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located.
> >
> > What if the 'tard boy KB9RQZ screws up and mentions his QTH, or (most likely) decides
> > to communicate with the enemy?
> a true traitor would simply ignore the rule (except it might well
> result in his death
> >
> > > the logic
> > > of the bans on ars operations that were imposed for the the world war
> > > have always had a rather odd nonlogic about them
> >
> > I'm certain a lot of things just don't make sense to you.
> true enough
>
> but allowing one emeny to complete dirupt one life is rather likeke
> letting the terorists win

I've a feeling that WWII pretty much disrupted everyone's life.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1490. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:22:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B1657A.1787724@milestones.com>
Source record: 82726

N9NEO wrote:

> I'll go find some.

Thanks. I'd be interested to see what it's all about. I'm somewhat familiar with the RA6790 type stuff but not the 6830.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1491. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:23:03 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152476583.486909.116090@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82727

N9NEO wrote:
> Anybody have any comments regarding the Racal 6830? A friend of mine
> rebuilds them and so forth. This is his last radio - He is getting
> out of the business because modules are getting too expensive. Keep in
> mind that since he is a friend if radio breaks down he will
> troubleshoot. I will use the radio to replace the Drake I had to sell
> cause I needed cash.
>
> 73
> NEO
>
> Well, here is what he tells me:
>
> My Racal contact has raised his prices a lot and now it would take
> about $1600 for a set of modules. I usually put 30 or so hours into
> making a perfect unit. I'm about 1/2 done with the one I'm working on.
> So there is no real profit in doing this. After that I'm done since it
> won't be worth my trouble. I sold off all the early rev modules so
> everything I have is latest rev stuff. I have never noticed a
> difference in performance though.
> This will be the basic radio with 4 filters and one spare slot. No
> remote control or special functions. I do have 2 blank SDR modules
> that will plug right into the radio that do SDR demodulation with a
> cool spectrum display. They usually go for $1300 to $1500 on ebay.

Some of those Racals had cheesy membrane keyboards that flake out.

1492. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:28:38 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152476918.853642.219320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82728

Merlin3rd wrote:
> No, try 6000, but I don't listen!
> Kevin Garrett wrote:
> > Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
> > America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.
> >
> > Is this the same for everyone else?
> >
> > I live in Oregon.
> >
> > K

I like the news on RHC. It is 100% factual, but makes use of errors of omission and other editing techniques to slant it slightly in their favor. It was great when Tom Delay was still in the House as he was their poster boy for US corruption.

I recall a RHC report about the US Embassy having an electronic sign that dissed Castro. Later, I heard an ABC news report about Castro cutting power to the US Embassy. Well, duh, that is one way to turn off that sign. Of course, ABC didn't mention the electric sign.

1493. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:33:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152477238.498197.300380@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82729

dxAce wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>

> > but allowing one emeny to complete dirupt one life is rather likeke
> > letting the terorists win
>
> I've a feeling that WWII pretty much disrupted everyone's life.
a feeling you? I doubt that

but I know WW2 was a hardly a disruption i the life of any member of
my family
now the horse theat grand dad owned I suspect would said otherwise if
they known why they were being asked to work harder than say 1940 of
course most of my family was the wrong age for that war may have helped
it being more of non intrusive indeed the only affect we would notice
was the shut down in the ars a little problem with gas rationaing some
minorthing like that
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1494. Italia wins!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 20:48:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B16B97.69FB53C3@milestones.com>
Source record: 82730

Italy wins World Cup, beating France!

6-4

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1495. Re: Italia wins!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 22:16:14 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152483374.193578.44020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82732

dxAce wrote:
> Italy wins World Cup, beating France!
>
> 6-4
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

So, what does that have to do with SW ?

1496. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 22:23:56 UTC
From: Woger <wussywoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4ohlq.hu3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It seems that we've really, really hit a raw nerve here among the
> unbalanced trolls who populate rec.radio.amateur.policy. They very much
> seem to fear the prospect that a new, moderated discussion newsgroup for
> amateur radio stands a good chance of getting created, one that will
> leave them behind.

The raw nerve struck was yours, Paul.
An ego is a terrible thing to offend, no?

1497. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 22:35:28 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b184b0$0$1015$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 82733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:

> John L. Sielke wrote:
>
>> Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet
>> Groups."
>> Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.
>
>
> Or a sick joke that is a forgery.

Oh, it's a forgery alright, unless he doesn't know how to spell his own name. He spelled it correctly once, mispelled it twice, and none of the domains resolve. And the sender was not using his ISP, which is easy to find.

A little attention to details, checking of headers, plus google goes a long way folks.

tom
K0TAR

1498. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 22:38:21 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b1855d$0$1015$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 82734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike wrote:

> In article <4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net>,
>
> Hey Paul - go <snip>
>
> Mike
>

And coincidently, this post came from the same place as the forgery.
Time to contact an ISP. And since I work at one....

tom
K0TAR

1499. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 22:47:55 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <vlfsg.62402$Lm5.35782@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82735

Bruce Wilson wrote:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
>
> In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD
> stations. In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were
> required in 1957 and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check
> that a major broadcast station was on the air.
>
> Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by
> working hams?

Yes it was a requirement and hams were *supposed* to monitor, but who would really know if all hams did?

My dad had a home-brew Conelrad monitor that was in use at his ham station whenever he was operating. It was a fixed frequency receiver, tuned to WJR, a 50 kW, clear-channel (at the time) station located in the Detroit area.

I was only 12 in '57 and two years away from getting my ham ticket, so I'm not really sure of the technical details other than that it was tuned to WJR (760 kHz) and operated a NE-2 neon bulb; if the WJR carrier went away, the bulb went out. Even though it was home-brew, I don't know if it was his own design or based on an article in the ham magazines of the day.

One of the other posters quoted that hams had to monitor 640 or 1240 kHz--but that's not quite right. They just had to monitor a local BC station...

From http://www.westgeorgia.org/conelrad/ :

> Even Amateur Radio (ham) stations had to monitor a broadcast station
> at all times, and to stop transmitting if there was a CONELRAD alert.
>
and from Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD:

> Beginning in 1957, operating U.S. amateur radio stations were
> required to verify at least once every 10 minutes that a normal
> broadcast station was on the air. If not, the amateurs were required
> to stop transmitting.

Please note they said "a *normal* broadcast station", not 640 or 1240 kc/s.

1500. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:03:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B18B51.DDBA0B20@milestones.com>
Source record: 82736

"Carter, k8vt" wrote:

> Bruce Wilson wrote:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
> >
> > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD
> > stations. In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were
> > required in 1957 and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check
> > that a major broadcast station was on the air.
> >
> > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by
> > working hams?
>
> Yes it was a requirement and hams were *supposed* to monitor, but who
> would really know if all hams did?
>
> My dad had a home-brew Conelrad monitor that was in use at his ham
> station whenever he was operating. It was a fixed frequency receiver,
> tuned to WJR, a 50 kW, clear-channel (at the time) station located in
> the Detroit area.
>
> I was only 12 in '57 and two years away from getting my ham ticket, so
> I'm not really sure of the technical details other than that it was
> tuned to WJR (760 kHz) and operated a NE-2 neon bulb; if the WJR carrier
> went away, the bulb went out. Even though it was home-brew, I don't know
> if it was his own design or based on an article in the ham magazines of
> the day.
>
> One of the other posters quoted that hams had to monitor 640 or 1240
> kHz--but that's not quite right. They just had to monitor a local BC
> station...
>
> From http://www.westgeorgia.org/conelrad/ :
>
> > Even Amateur Radio (ham) stations had to monitor a broadcast station
> > at all times, and to stop transmitting if there was a CONELRAD alert.
> >
> and from Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD:
>
> > Beginning in 1957, operating U.S. amateur radio stations were
> > required to verify at least once every 10 minutes that a normal
> > broadcast station was on the air. If not, the amateurs were required
> > to stop transmitting.
>

> Please note they said "a *normal* broadcast station", not 640 or 1240 kc/s.

"With the importance of CONELRAD in the early 1950's, it's
surprising that amateurs were not required to monitor for the
CONELRAD alarm. This was rectified on January 2, 1957 when
the FCC amended Part 12 of the Rules and Regulations to require
the following:

>>All operators of stations in the Amateur Radio Service will
be responsible for the reception of the CONELRAD RADIO ALERT
by monitoring 640 or 1240 kc."

I suppose one could go back and find Part 12 from that time to verify if that
were indeed true.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1501. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:10:21 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8s28s$6d4$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82737

"Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:vIfsg.62402$Lm5.35782@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Bruce Wilson wrote:
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
>>
>> In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD
>> stations. In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were
>> required in 1957 and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check
>> that a major broadcast station was on the air.
>>
>> Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by
>> working hams?

Not ham related, but Conelrad/EBS related...

When I worked at KVAS in Astoria (1230 KHz) we were required at all times to monitor the competitor station, KAST (1370). We did this with a single channel monitor in which the AGC drove a relay.. when the relay dropped, it turned on a red light and we were supposed to leave the air. Interesting that station that was directional out to sea and on 1370 was the local designated Conelrad and later EBS station, while the non-directional station on the frequency adjacent to 1240 had to go off the air. Seems to me that there would be less retuning for the job at KVAS.. but I'm just an engineer (and at that time was just a dumb teenager).. the FCC made those decisions..

1502. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:12:09 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <e8s2g9$6qp$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 82738

dxAce (dxAce@milestones.com) writes:
> an old friend wrote:
>
>> Bruce Wilson wrote:
>> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CONELRAD
>> >
>> > In doing some research on Civil Defense I ran across the CONELRAD stations.
>> > In Wikipedia's entry there is a statement that hams were required in 1957
>> > and later (presumably until 1963 at least) to check that a major broadcast
>> > station was on the air.
>> >
>> > Any old-timers remember this requirement? Was it regularly done by working
>> > hams?
>> hmm I had heard of the Conelrad system although being born in 1964 it
>> hardly applied to me
>>
>> although why would you want all ham of the airs suddenly seems strange
>> but then so does code testing
>
> Direction finding.
>
> Hams had to go off the air in WWII, from right after Pearl Harbor till November
> 1945.
>
And in WWI also, which was actually a worse situation since there was debate
after the war about whether hams should be allowed back on afterwards.

Michael

> Practice that code!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>
>

1503. Re: Kenwood R-5000, what pilot lamp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:19:10 UTC
From: John Sheatsley <jsheatsley@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O9gsg.5581$ye3.4114@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82739

"Jim" <wdxp@cox.net> wrote in message
news:3u2ra253b5ak1pmfiku8jrgrnbs16rodc7@4ax.com...
> Can anyone give me the type number on the pilot lamp used in
> the R-5000? Manual shows 14V, 80 ma. Anyone have a lamp
> type number (I have Kenwood part number, but wanted number where
> I could buy lamp from parts house). Does this lamp light the S-Meter?
> The S-meter on my receiver is dim, am wondering if the correct lamp is
> inst alled.
>
> Thanks,
>
>
Hi, Jim,

I'm not certain of the pilot lamp type number for your Kenwood,
but you might be able to do a visual comparison against the
descriptions and dimensions in this list from bulbs.com:

http://www.bulbs.com/products/product.asp?page=products&class=743

There certainly may be other, better sites to search. Hope this helps.

Regards,
John

1504. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:24:26 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <3091217833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 82740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 17:38:21 -0500, Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org> wrote:
> Mike wrote:
>
> > In article <4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net>,
> >
> > Hey Paul - go <snip>
> >
> > Mike
> >
>
> And coincidently, this post came from the same place as the forgery.
> Time to contact an ISP. And since I work at one....
>
> tom
> K0TAR

They're not getting their money's worth if that's the best you can do
with headers. The post by "Mike" came from supernews.com, and that's
not where the forgery originated.

Maybe you can get a job at Burger King.

1505. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:33:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29049-44B19249-108@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82741

wikipedia is Crap.
cuhulin

1506. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:41:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B19413-493@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82742

I am sixty four years old.I own many,many old tube type radios and
many,many old transistor radios (between two hundred to three hundred
old radios,I own) with the Conelrad markings on them and I own an old
Geiger counter.Other than those items I own,I dont know anything about
Conelrad.Do I LQQK like an expert or something?
cuhulin

1507. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:46:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B1954B-495@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82743

November 5th,1941.It was so bad,World War Two broke out about a month later.
cuhulin

1508. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:50:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B19635-496@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82745

soc.history.war.world-war-ii
News Group.
cuhulin

1509. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-09 23:56:01 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152489361.855769.218740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82744
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Uncle Peter wrote:
> "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1152468280.780568.224940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
> > Manuals. To view auction follow link below:
> >
> You're feedback SUCKS bigtime, dude.

Disagree completely...2 negatives from 2004 are hardly the same as two
within the last 30 days. Read beyond the Ebay summary rating number
before posting messages like this.

1510. Re: Italia wins!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 00:04:10 UTC
From: Invader3K <beckermt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152489850.145070.260630@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82746

Well, it will probably be mentioned on just about every station in the
entire world, if that counts for anything.

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > Italy wins World Cup, beating France!
> >
> > 6-4
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> So, what does that have to do with SW ?

1511. BDXC-Africa on Shortwave List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 00:10:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B19B11.7CDA9EF8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82598

The BDXC Africa on Shortwave list was updated in June:

http://www.bdxc.org.uk/

Click on Articles Index Page

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1512. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 00:12:11 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@lloydschinkpizza.net>
Message-ID: <4orcp.np0.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Pompous Asshole Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> whined & cried
in a message news:44b162e6$0$12378$540ea2cf@novia.net...
>
> Based on similar turns of phrase ///drivel flushed///

Schleck + Moderated Group = HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.....

1513. Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 00:25:57 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <881097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 82599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 9 Jul 2006 15:13:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:
[flushed]

Your unsolicited email to new news group users seems to be in danger
of violating novia.net's terms of service. To quote from
http://www.novia.net/acceptable/

--(begin quote)--

2. Sending any unsolicited electronic mail message:

(i) to 25 or more electronic mail users, or

(ii) to multiple electronic mail users in a manner which generates
one or more complaints from such users.

--(end quote)--

It's (ii) above which piques my interest. If it only takes ONE
complaint from a mail recipient, aren't you in effect living in a
glass house?

If you're going to contact others' ISPs, perhaps you'd better take
care that they don't become angry enough to contact your own ISP, at
least until you clear up that possible problem with item 2(ii).

You know what they say about people who live in glass houses.

1514. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 00:29:45 UTC
From: Phil Nelson <philnelson@nospam.xyz>
Message-ID: <NfednfNkC_UcAizZnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 82600
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The charter of the rec.antiques.radio+phono newsgroup discourages eBay SPAM and other for-sale (FA, FS, etc.) notices from people who have never contributed anything to past discussions.

The purpose of this group is to discuss antique radios and phonographs. Not to sell stuff.

If you have nothing interesting to talk about, kindly find some other place to spray your SPAM.

P.S. I bought my second (flawless) TO 3000-1 for $5 at a garage sale.

Regards,

Phil Nelson

1515. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:12:25 UTC
From: Uncle Peter <radioconnection@cox.net>
Message-ID: <dQhsg.97486$IZ2.46798@dukeread07>
Source record: 82601
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1152489361.855769.218740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Disagree completely...2 negatives from 2004 are hardly the same as two
> within the last 30 days. Read beyond the Ebay summary rating number
> before posting messages like this.
>

Yeah, and READ the neutrals! Folks who were dinged;
but didn't wish to have full retalliation in turn. The
feedback sucks. The replies to the negs speaks volumes
as well.

1516. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:19:02 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <44b1aab0$0$12380$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 82602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In <881097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> writes:

>On 9 Jul 2006 15:13:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:
>[flushed]

>Your unsolicited email to new news group users seems to be in danger
>of violating novia.net's terms of service. To quote from
>http://www.novia.net/acceptable/

>--(begin quote)--

>2. Sending any unsolicited electronic mail message:

> (i) to 25 or more electronic mail users, or

> (ii) to multiple electronic mail users in a manner which generates
>one or more complaints from such users.

>--(end quote)--

>It's (ii) above which piques my interest. If it only takes ONE
>complaint from a mail recipient, aren't you in effect living in a
>glass house?

>If you're going to contact others' ISPs, perhaps you'd better take
>care that they don't become angry enough to contact your own ISP, at
>least until you clear up that possible problem with item 2(ii).

>You know what they say about people who live in glass houses.

I addressed this subject back in 1999:

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.misc/msg/26609a36708c7c5a

I believe that you'll find the windows stronger than you think.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

1517. Piratre 6925 01:15 UTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:19:47 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152494387.478227.271020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82603

- Lots of swearing, cursing,

- No music yet..

1518. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:26:26 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b1acc2$0$6151$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 82604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Paul W. Schleck wrote:

>
>>You know what they say about people who live in glass houses.
>
>
> I addressed this subject back in 1999:
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.misc/msg/26609a36708c7c5a
>
> I believe that you'll find the windows stronger than you think.
>
> --
> Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

Lloyd is a well known problem child. Emphasis on child.

tom
K0TAR

1519. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:28:45 UTC
From: noname <PopUlist349@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152494925.859653.315830@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Redpantyman wrote:
> Time 4 the Great Liberty Net - ham radio's answer to
> Alex Jones, Joyce Reily, Brother Stair and all other
> short wave radio kooks! This be better cause it be LIVE RAdio.
>
> 10:00 pM on or about 3.950 kHz ham radio (+/- 30 kHz LSB usually
> between 3.950 and 3.990 someplace) Tune in you wot be dis-apointerd.
>
> :) Fap! - Fap! - Fap!- Fap! - Fap! :)
>
> WhIle yOu R waiting check out my funny PET VIDEO:
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W2CPr1zXqDU

Where all de white wimmen at???

1520. Palstar R30CC vs. Chinese 339HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 01:28:53 UTC
From: katekebo <katekebo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152494933.739919.41140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82606

Few months ago I bought on eBay a vintage Chinese military HF receiver 339HF. The radio was supposedly old-new-stock, still in its original box, and came with service manual (in Chinese, of course), two sets of headphones, lot of spare parts (transistors, capacitors, fuses, light bulbs), wire antenna and a backpack (the radio weights nearly 30lb).

After two months shipping time, the radio finally arrived more than a month ago. It definitely looked old and there were visible signs of some moisture damage, corrosion, and also some damage to the radio case probably due to a fall in tranport. So I wasn't very hopefull and let it sit in the box until yesterday, when I found time to unpack it, clean it, and put it work.

What a pleasent surprize!!! This radio is great.

Few words about the radio itself. It's a military receiver built in 1976. Fully solid state, analogue tuning and display, coverage from 1.5 to 30 MHz, in six bands, frequency readout down to 1 kHz. Dual conversion, four IF filters - 6, 3, 1 and 0.4 kHz - AM, SSB and CB modes. Only one AGC position (somewhat fast judging by ear) and OFF, manual RF and IF gain (I guess based on what the knobs do, as both the manual and all signs on the radio are in Chinese), manual adjustment of beat frequency. Two headphone sockets, but no speaker. Only one antenna input (high Z), but comes with an accessory impedance matching transformer to connect low Z antenna. Has one additional control knob that must be some kind of antenna tuning circuit, as it has an air capacitor, but does not seem to behave like fine tuning, it rather improves sensitivity based on behavior of the S-meter. The S-meter does not have any scale so it is only a relative indication of the signal strength, and it also can be used as battery voltage meter when the AGC is OFF. The radio can be powered with 10 D-cells in a removable drawer, or the battery tray can be replaced with an removable AC-to-DC power supply which is switchable for 220 or 110 V AC. The case is typical military constuction, thick aluminum painted camuflage green, with a sealed and clamped cover for the front panel. It is not truelly waterproof but definitely a different class from any consumer or amateur equipment. The interior is mounted on a solid cast aluminum chassis. Everything is very solid and "oversized", and somewhat "crude" by modern Western standards - while it is not pretty, it is definitely very robust and functional. The tunning mechanism is a complex gear transmission. The different functional blocks inside the radio are fully modularized and individually shielded. Net, a completely different standard of design and construction compared to what we see in today's "disposable" consumer electronics.

So yesterday I finally took the radio out of the box and started cleaning. Also the external case was rather dirty and with visible marks of mositure, the interior looked clean. The AC power supply was heavily correded on the exterior, as well as the battery trays and the headphones. I spent several hour cleaning it piece by piece with isopropylic alcohol (for a lack of any better idea). Finally when I judged that the radio and the accessories were clean enough to minimize the risk of a short circuit (and hopefully a case of bird flu for the user), I decided to power it up, first with batteries and then AC.

Frankly I wasn't expecting the radio to function, at least not 100%. Contrary to my expectations, the radio works perfectly and still have not found a single problem. I hooked it up to a 40ft wire antenna and decided to compare it side-by-side with the Palstar R30CC.

So how does this piece of old Chinese military metal compare with a modern receiver? Surprize, surprize, the 339HF not only matches, but is some aspects it actually beats the R30. Here is my subjective review:

- Sensitivity: The R30 is clearly more sensitive, but in practice with the 40ft wire antenna it only translates in more atmospheric noise. Even with no signal and a long antenna the R30 S-meter reads at least S-3 on the lower bands (5-10 MHz), and the atmospheric noise is clearly audible and rather harsh. On the other hand the 339HF is a very "quiet" receiver, with much less background noise when no signal is present. During 3 hours listening session I could not find a single weak station (that would barely stand out from the background noise) that would sound better on the R30. On the contrary, seveal very weak signals actually sounded better on the 339HF (see more below under audio recovery).

- Selectivity: The acid test was Radio Universidad from Mexico City (weak signal from 10kW transmitter on 6185kHz) right next to Radio Nederlands on 6190kHz from Surinam. On the R30 Radio Universidad was only intelligeable using LSB and narrow filter. In AM, with the wide filter, the powerfull Radio Nederlands would complete "swamp" the weak signal, while with the narrow filter the audio was so muffled that it was very hard to understand, yet still with some signs of interference. The 339HF 6kHz filter would produce similar results at the R30 wide filter. However the 3kHz will produce a signal of similar clarity as the R30 on LSB, but more pleasant to the ear. Using SSB on the 339HF did not produce any significant advantage over the AM mode.

- Dynamic range: The 339HF can definitely accept the 40ft antenna without a slightest sign of overloading. I have not detected any images either. The only "defect" found so far is that a local AM station on 870kHz, which transmitter is only a mile or so from my house, leaked through somewhere around 9MHz - strangly enough it was a weak but very clear and "narrow" signal which could be tuned as if it was a distant SW station.

- Audio recovery: This is an area where the 339HF really shines. The audio is very pleasent, with a nice balance between bass and treble

tones, no harsh noises, and somewhat mellow, very much like an old tube receiver. It is definitely much nicer than the R30 which tends to sound harsh on very weak signals and during fading. Although in absolute terms the audio recovery for weak DX signals is about the same on both receiver, it sound more pleasant on the 339HF resulting is less "listening fatigue" after extended period of time. The only radio I have used so far which I would give a similar audio quality as the 339HF was the Lowe HF150.

- Ergonomics: Although the frequency readout is down to 1kHz, the scale is somewhat misaligned and now the radio misreads anywhere by 20 to 50 kHz depending on the band and frequency. Of course the scale can be aligned, and I will probably do it if I find somebody who can translate the service manual for me. However, it is very repeatable and stable. I have noted down the offset vs. WWF on each band I could test, and once the difference is taken into account I have noticed less than 1kHz drifting or change after 3 hours of operation. I repeated the test today, and after approx. 30 minutes warm-up the offset is consistent with yesterday's tests. The knobs are scattered around the front panel in somewhat strange pattern at first look, but after some time the operation is very logical and intuitive. All the knobs and controls are large, and although somewhat stiff, they are very easy to adjust and have a very solid feeling. SSB operation is somewhat more difficult than with the R30 due to the manual beat frequency adjustment, but very usable with a little bit of practice.

I connected the radio to an external audio amplifier and the sound through large speakers is as good as I can remember from any radio I have used or listened to.

Net, a very pleasent surprize and this radio has instantly become my favorite DX machine. In terms of selectivity and dynamic range it sounds as good as the R30CC, while the audio is as nice to the ear as the Lowe HF150. It beats the HF150 and the Sony 7600GR when it comes to strong signals and large antennas.

I hope it will last "forever", which may not be such a outrageous expectation, as it has come out working perfectly after 30 years of sitting in some Chinese military warehouse, where is was obviosly subject to less-then-ideal storage conditions judging by the widespread corrosion of exterior metal surfaces, and moisture damage to the canvas bag, cartons, manual and all rubber or plastic surfaces.

1521. Grammar question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 02:21:28 UTC
From: "bajohnson29@yahoo.com" <bajohnson29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152498088.804687.21180@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82607

I need to know what the past tense of mic is -- as in " I repeatedly asked for staff meetings to be "MIC'ed". I'm writing a formal paper for work.

Thanks - your prompt attention is appreciated!!!!

baj

1522. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 03:11:26 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9YadnbuUAqf-WCzZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82608

David wrote:
> On 8 Jul 2006 19:39:16 -0700, "Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>> I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
>> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
>> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
>> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen
>> on this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
>> Cash, or your sister.
>>
> Get into Utilities. HF broadcast is for weenies.

I'll give you $50!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

1523. Re: Grammar question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 03:11:40 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <44h3b219v5i51ck9gmvphln51rmmrdokr7@4ax.com>
Source record: 82609

On 9 Jul 2006 19:21:28 -0700, "bajohnson29@yahoo.com"
<bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I need to know what the past tense of mic is -- as in " I repeatedly
>asked for staff meetings to be "MIC'ed". I'm writing a formal paper
>for work.
>
>
>Thanks - your prompt attention is appreciated!!!!
>
>baj

The transitive verb is mike, the past tense would be miked. All lower
case.

bob
k5qwg

1524. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 03:22:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B1C807-430@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82610

OK Sweetheart,if you say so.I am thinking I will dress up like a woman (I already have natural big boobies and a big fat old belly) and go to the boats (casinos) in Vicksburg,Mississippi.
cuhulin

1525. Re: Grammar question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 03:45:58 UTC
From: "bajohnson29@yahoo.com" <bajohnson29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152503158.069776.9940@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82611

Bob Miller wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 19:21:28 -0700, "bajohnson29@yahoo.com"
> <bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > I need to know what the past tense of mic is -- as in " I repeatedly
> >asked for staff meetings to be "MIC'ed". I'm writing a formal paper
> >for work.
> >
> >
> >Thanks - your prompt attention is appreciated!!!!
> >
> >baj
>
> The transitive verb is mike, the past tense would be miked. All lower
> case.
>
> bob
> k5qwg

1526. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 03:53:09 UTC
From: Butch Magee <lynyrd@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <12b3jp78t2jsh84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Outstanding my friend, shoulda done this sooner. Good show, I'm proud
of you. This is a good thing for all of us.

KF5DE

1527. Re: FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 04:05:37 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1152504337.555789.252700@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82613
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Cartrivision1 wrote:
> FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all

Spam your ebay auction somewhere else

1528. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 04:21:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B1D5E6-453@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82616

y'all want to go to the boats (casinos) in Vicksburg with me? We can act like we are lesbians.
cuhulin

1529. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 04:23:32 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <8Dksg.103516$I61.26014@clgrps13>
Source record: 82614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

mike maghakian wrote:

> I have an extra 2010 for sale. works great. cosmetically has wear around the
> volume control but still overall good condition. whip is fine. sync works
> well.
> I will include and AC adapter (not Sony) and antenna wire or whatever else
> you might need that I am able to include for free.
> email me if you want some pics.
>
>

Mike, how's the sale going. Your email seems to be getting lost.

mike II

1530. Re: grE8t LIberY Net 2-nite - Wheeeeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 04:25:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B1D6CD-454@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82617

Well,I am white,but definetly not racist.I have had sex with some beautifull black girls before and if y'all dont mind me saying so,it was wonderfull.
cuhulin

1531. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 04:26:11 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <DFksg.103544$I61.87017@clgrps13>
Source record: 82615

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> November 5th,1941.It was so bad,World War Two broke out about a month
> later.
> cuhulin
>

World War II started in 1939, you arrogant self centered prick. Please
tell me you're not American.

mike

1532. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 05:23:08 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D4D33B.22230809072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82618

In article <weatherall.2ao8f7@news.radiobanter.com>,
weatherall <weatherall.2ao8f7@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

> Kevin Garrett Wrote:
> > Hearing Radio Habana Cuba on 9550 at 0256. 9550 is targeted to Central
> >
> > America. I can't hear any of the frequencies for North America.
> >
> > Is this the same for everyone else?
> >
> > I live in Oregon.
> >
> > K
>
> Hi Kevin:
> I'm in San Francisco. Over here, the best time to listen seems to be
> 0500-0700 UTC. The frequencies would be 6000 / 9550 / 11760 khz.
>
> RHC is one of my favorite broadcasters, and I have several RHC
> reception reports on my weblog: http://cobaltpet.blogspot.com/

Try 9820 this has generally been their best frequency to my area for
years. I heard them tonight in english on this frequency S9 +10 and they
sounded pretty good except the audio was about 1/2 strength. I would not
encourage them to crank it up because they will probably start to
splatter or something worse. I don't listen to them but stopped long
enough to note better than usual modulation (clean an undistorted) but
weak.

According to Passport 2006 they are english on this frequency 01:00 to
05:00 UTC.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1533. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 05:27:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152509258.138582.26210@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 15:13:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:

>
> It's (ii) above which piques my interest. If it only takes ONE
> complaint from a mail recipient, aren't you in effect living in a
> glass house?
you over look the notion that the materail involved has an impact

it s the same mistake you make with my postings "lloyd" while you are
that if I just went around posting "get help" for no reason I would
likely lose my ISP access I don't do that and when you forward one of
my posts the header contain links to the posts I am repling to, when I
type get help in response to one of wismene diatribes accusing of all
sorts of incest and other sex crimes with "get help"
>
> If you're going to contact others' ISPs, perhaps you'd better take
> care that they don't become angry enough to contact your own ISP, at
> least until you clear up that possible problem with item 2(ii).

I also suspect that the fact he would complaining to the abuse and/or
fraud depatments has something to do with response of his own provider
>
> You know what they say about people who live in glass houses.
you look at the wrold in childish isolation

1534. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 05:36:19 UTC
From: Cartrivision1 <doidy1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1152509779.347604.74180@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82620
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Uncle Peter wrote:
> "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1152468280.780568.224940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
> > Manuals. To view auction follow link below:
> >
> You're feedback SUCKS bigtime, dude.

Considering at least a couple are from Ebayers no longer registered, I think 5 negatives vs. 198 positives is not a bad track record. Also the majority of the negs, the few that are there, were from buyers who never alerted me about problems, and left negatives weeks after the fact. One guy dinged me like 2 months after an item shipped. By that time it is out of my hands.

I would most likely have numerous more positives but due to my bad experiences I no longer post feedback until the buyer does first. Not the best policy but it helps to protect myself from reckless buyers and their feedback.

CTV

1535. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 05:38:07 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-67C879.22380709072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82621

In article <1152418541.005932.247680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast
> > > > station on 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that
> > > > frequency on the EIBI schedule. Anyone happen to know what it
> > > > is? I heard it at around 01:30 or so.
> > >
> > > Nothing there now, but Radio Verdad out of Guatemala is up on
> > > 4052.3.
> > >
> > > I have seen some stuff about Radio Bishkek out of Kyrgyzstan
> > > operating there over the years. But also see a supposed current
> > > freq. of 4010.
> >
> > 4010 is in reference to Kyrgyz Radio in EiBi.
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > ?
> > >
> > > Don't really know! If I get a chance I'll try to tune in tomorrow
> > > night.
> > >
>
> Thanks. I'll bet it was Radio Verdad. It was so faint I probably just
> wasn't able to tune precisely.

I listened for them tonight and they were S3 on the peaks. My main
problem is static crashes prevent me from understanding them. Best
signal levels were in the 02:00 to 05:00 time frame then they faded out
or went off the air. Mostly heard them playing music and the programing
appeared to be religious. I really planed to listen 05:00 to 06:00 UTC
because according to Passport they were supposed to be english but the
static crashes from lightning would have prevented me from understanding
what was said anyway.

The signal was strong enough for me to zero beat at 4052.455 and my
radio is about 5 Hz high so 4052.450 KHz.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1536. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 06:02:08 UTC
From: Cartrivision1 <doidy1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1152511328.476020.210500@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82622
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Uncle Peter wrote:
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1152489361.855769.218740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > Disagree completely...2 negatives from 2004 are hardly the same as two
> > within the last 30 days. Read beyond the Ebay summary rating number
> > before posting messages like this.
> >
>
> Yeah, and READ the neutrals! Folks who were dinged;
> but didn't wish to have full retalliation in turn. The
> feedback sucks. The replies to the negs speaks volumes
> as well.

I looked at a couple of the "Neutral" feedbacks I received. One was from a guy who bought a metal clock part that was well over 120 years old and he complained about rust. Another was from someone who gave me a neutral even though we had not participated in a transaction and I noted that in my reply. Some things are out of my hands as a seller and especially as a seller that deals mainly in vintage electronics, etc. I always list items "as-is" preciesly because I cannot guarantee perfection from used and in most case older items. If you can more power to you.

CTV

1537. radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 06:51:26 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2aq339@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2aq339@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 82625

I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com . Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than the Voice of Russia.

Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are successful.

Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.

--
weatherall

1538. Re: SEA HF Aeronautical Term ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 07:30:36 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152516636.639910.263890@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82623

Robert11 wrote:
> Hello:
>
> Came across this the other day for the first time.
>
> The term: "SEA HF aeronautical "
>
> Nothing I could find in Google that explains it.
>
> What are these ?
> Used by who, etc. ?
>
> Thanks,
> B

This is a good HF aero page:
<http://microvoltradio.com/high_frequency.htm>
It has both military and civilian aero frequencies. 11.175usb was really hopping prior to the PDRK July the 4th party. Sometimes you have a park a radio those HF aero frequencies a long time to hear something.

You want want to try PC-HFDL for yucks. There is a free version and a modestly priced "pro" version. PC-HFDL seems to use the wrong frequencies given the time of day. That is, you will find your local facility (Dixon for those in the bay area) using low frequencies during the day and higher frequencies at night. I don't know if this is intentional for the signals NOT to propagate too far.
http://www.lazygranch.com/dixon.htm

1539. Kim Jong Ev-Il

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 08:12:55 UTC
From: NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152519175.947270.4990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82624

Frank blasts the fiend Kim Jong Il, listing the attrocities committed by his Communist Monarchy. Bush was absolutely right to call it an "Axis of Evil" - Whether it's the lunatics running around with filthy towels wrapped around their heads in the Middle East, or this pot-bellied, degenerate pig of a tin horned dictator in North Korea, the name is correct.

Frank then concludes that the problem of North Korea is not because of Bush, but rather comes from every Democratic President, starting with Harry Truman, who fired the great general Douglas McArthur, Lyndon Johnson, who did absolutely nothing, to Bill Clinton, who gave nuclear technology and knowledge to North Korea during his time in office.

France shows how it is an enemy of the West by honoring Muslims at the Battle of Verdun... a new segement, "G'Day, Australia!" debuets... China harvesting organs against people's will... why libraries are not Socialism... conservatives call in to debate Frank and John... your phone calls and more at 718-761-9996.

Click here for FREE podcast:
http://therightperspectivepodcastblog.blogspot.com

Subscribe to RSS:
http://feeds.feedburner.com/TheRightPerspectivePodcastBlog

The Right Perspecitve: Heard every Friday night 10pm EST over shortwave WWCR 3.215 Mhz and the Internet at www.therightperspective.com

1540. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 11:33:24 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <4491017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 82626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 9 Jul 2006 20:19:02 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:
>
> I addressed this subject back in 1999:
>

So you've been receiving complaints since 1999, yet have kept on
sending unsolicited email (UE) anyway.

What a stellar track record.

Your "logic" for continuing your UE ("My ISP lets me do it.") is very
similar to Mark Morgan's logic for continuing his ongoing flood of
rrap. Will you stop the UE once you have created your own moderated
group in which to play?

Somehow I doubt it.

1541. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 11:49:51 UTC
From: mcdonald606a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152532191.407118.236990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82627

at 11:45 UTC, Picking it up here on 9.580 (using an external antenna)

but am able to pick it up On The Whip with my Degen DE1105

Signal strong but full of static due to Air Conditioners . ..

Radio New Zealand has been absent the last few nights

weatherall wrote:
> I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
> band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> . Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
> the Voice of Russia.
>
> Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
> past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
> successful.
>
> Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin
> antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too
> weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy
> outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

1542. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:00:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B24174.8528FB7@milestones.com>
Source record: 82628

mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:

> at 11:45 UTC, Picking it up here on 9.580 (using an external antenna)
>
> but am able to pick it up On The Whip with my Degen DE1105
>
> Signal strong but full of static due to Air Conditioners . ..
>
> Radio New Zealand has been absent the last few nights

Radio New Zealand coming in well here on 9870 at 1200.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1543. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:02:55 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152532975.919824.309790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82629

```
Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152418541.005932.247680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast
> > > > > station on 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that
> > > > > frequency on the EIBI schedule. Anyone happen to know what it
> > > > > is? I heard it at around 01:30 or so.
> > > >
> > > > Nothing there now, but Radio Verdad out of Guatemala is up on
> > > > 4052.3.
> > > >
> > > > I have seen some stuff about Radio Bishkek out of Kyrgyzstan
> > > > operating there over the years. But also see a supposed current
> > > > freq. of 4010.
> > >
> > > 4010 is in reference to Kyrgyz Radio in EiBi.
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ?
> > > >
> > > > Don't really know! If I get a chance I'll try to tune in tomorrow
> > > > night.
> > > >
> > >
> > Thanks. I'll bet it was Radio Verdad. It was so faint I probably just
> > wasn't able to tune precisely.
>
> I listened for them tonight and they were S3 on the peaks. My main
> problem is static crashes prevent me from understanding them. Best
> signal levels were in the 02:00 to 05:00 time frame then they faded out
> or went off the air. Mostly heard them playing music and the programing
> appeared to be religious. I really planed to listen 05:00 to 06:00 UTC
> because according to Passport they were supposed to be english but the
> static crashes from lightning would have prevented me from understanding
> what was said anyway.
>
> The signal was strong enough for me to zero beat at 4052.455 and my
```

> radio is about 5 Hz high so 4052.450 KHz.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Thanks Telamon. I didn't hear them at all last night, but I'll try to hear them again at some point.

Steve

1544. This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:11:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com>
Source record: 82630

** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)

Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!

HD/IBOC = QRM

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1545. Re: Palstar R30CC vs. Chinese 339HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:12:24 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <lursg.5661$Ep.3820@trnddc08>
Source record: 82631

How about posting a picture somewhere?
Or is the EBay auction pix still up?
Dale W4OP

1546. Re: Palstar R30CC vs. Chinese 339HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:15:10 UTC
From: katekebo <katekebo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152533710.570114.57140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82632

I have posted 3 pics on
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ShortwaveRadios/

Dale Parfitt wrote:
> How about posting a picture somewhere?
> Or is the EBay auction pix still up?
> Dale W4OP

1547. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:27:38 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152534458.245395.261460@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82633

an_old_friend wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > Direction finding.
> that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located.

Well, in 1960 there was no KB9RQZ, but I'm sure the Russkis could've
located some Callbooks if they were interested :-).

Of course today we have Broadband-over-power-lines and IBOC so any kind
of long-range direction-finding on HF is presumed impossible.

Tim.

1548. Re: Palstar R30CC vs. Chinese 339HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:31:32 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152534692.737644.219520@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82634

Congratulations on the chinese boatanchor. Thanks for the detailed
review.

katekebo wrote:
> Few months ago I bought on eBay a vintage Chinese military HF receiver
> 339HF. The radio was supposedly old-new-stock, still in its original
> box, and came with service manual (in Chinese, of course), two sets of
> headphones, lot of spare parts (transistors, capacitors, fuses, light
> bulbs), wire antenna and a backpack (the radio weights nearly 30lb).
>
> After two months shipping time, the radio finally arrived more than a
> month ago. It definitely looked old and there were visible signs of
> some moisture damage, corrosion, and also some damage to the radio case
> probably due to a fall in tranport. So I wasn't very hopefull and let
> it sit in the box until yesterday, when I found time to unpack it,
> clean it, and put it work.
>
> What a pleasent surprize!!! This radio is great.
>
> Few words about the radio itself. It's a military receiver built in
> 1976. Fully solid state, analogue tuning and display, coverage from
> 1.5 to 30 MHz, in six bands, frequency readout down to 1 kHz. Dual
> conversion, four IF filters - 6, 3, 1 and 0.4 kHz - AM, SSB and CB
> modes. Only one AGC position (somewhat fast judging by ear) and OFF,
> manual RF and IF gain (I guess based on what the knobs do, as both the
> manual and all signs on the radio are in Chinese), manual adjustment of
> beat frequency. Two headphone sockets, but no speaker. Only one
> antenna input (high Z), but comes with an accessory impedance matching
> transformer to connect low Z antenna. Has one additional control knob
> that must be some kind of antenna tuning circuit, as it has an air
> capacitor, but does not seem to behave like fine tuning, it rather
> improves sensitivity based on behavior of the S-meter. The S-meter
> does not have any scale so it is only a relative indication of the
> signal strength, and it also can be used as battery voltage meter when
> the AGC is OFF. The radio can be powered with 10 D-cells in a
> removable drawer, or the battery tray can be replaced with an removable
> AC-to-DC power supply which is switchable for 220 or 110 V AC. The
> case is typical military constuction, thick aluminum painted camuflage
> green, with a sealed and clamped cover for the front panel. It is not
> truelly waterproof but definitely a different class from any consumer
> or amateur equipment. The interior is mounted on a solid cast aluminum
> chassis. Everything is very solid and "oversized", and somewhat
> "crude" by modern Western standards - while it is not pretty, it is
> definitely very robust and functional. The tunning mechanism is a

> complex gear transmission. The different functional blocks inside the
> radio are fully modularized and individually shielded. Net, a
> completely different standard of design and construction compared to
> what we see in today's "disposable" consumer electronics.
>
> So yesterday I finally took the radio out of the box and started
> cleaning. Also the external case was rather dirty and with visible
> marks of mositure, the interior looked clean. The AC power supply was
> heavily correded on the exterior, as well as the battery trays and the
> headphones. I spent several hour cleaning it piece by piece with
> isopropylic alcohol (for a lack of any better idea). Finally when I
> judged that the radio and the accessories were clean enough to minimize
> the risk of a short circuit (and hopefully a case of bird flu for the
> user), I decided to power it up, first with batteries and then AC.
>
> Frankly I wasn't expecting the radio to function, at least not 100%.
> Contrary to my expectations, the radio works perfectly and still have
> not found a single problem. I hooked it up to a 40ft wire antenna and
> decided to compare it side-by-side with the Palstar R30CC.
>
> So how does this piece of old Chinese military metal compare with a
> modern receiver? Surprize, surprize, the 339HF not only matches, but is
> some aspects it actually beats the R30. Here is my subjective review:
>
> - Sensitivity: The R30 is clearly more sensitive, but in practice with
> the 40ft wire antenna it only translates in more atmospheric noise.
> Even with no signal and a long antenna the R30 S-meter reads at least
> S-3 on the lower bands (5-10 MHz), and the atmospheric noise is clearly
> audible and rather harsh. On the other hand the 339HF is a very
> "quiet" receiver, with much less background noise when no signal is
> present. During 3 hours listening session I could not find a single
> weak station (that would barely stand out from the background noise)
> that would sound better on the R30. On the contrary, seveal very weak
> signals actually sounded better on the 339HF (see more below under
> audio recovery).
>
> - Selectivity: The acid test was Radio Universidad from Mexico City
> (weak signal from 10kW transmitter on 6185kHz) right next to Radio
> Nederlands on 6190kHz from Surinam. On the R30 Radio Universidad was
> only intelligeable using LSB and narrow filter. In AM, with the wide
> filter, the powerfull Radio Nederlands would complete "swamp" the weak
> signal, while with the narrow filter the audio was so muffled that it
> was very hard to understand, yet still with some signs of interference.
> The 339HF 6kHz filter would produce similar results at the R30 wide
> filter. However the 3kHz will produce a signal of similar clarity as
> the R30 on LSB, but more pleasant to the ear. Using SSB on the 339HF
> did not produce any significant advantage over the AM mode.
>
> - Dynamic range: The 339HF can definitely accept the 40ft antenna
> without a slightest sign of overloading. I have not detected any

> images either. The only "defect" found so far is that a local AM
> station on 870kHz, which transmitter is only a mile or so from my
> house, leaked through somewhere around 9MHz - strangly enough it was a
> weak but very clear and "narrow" signal which could be tuned as if it
> was a distant SW station.
>
> - Audio recovery: This is an area where the 339HF really shines. The
> audio is very pleasent, with a nice balance between bass and treble
> tones, no harsh noises, and somewhat mellow, very much like an old tube
> receiver. It is definitely much nicer than the R30 which tends to
> sound harsh on very weak signals and during fading. Although in
> absolute terms the audio recovery for weak DX signals is about the same
> on both receiver, it sound more pleasant on the 339HF resulting is less
> "listening fatigue" after extended period of time. The only radio I
> have used so far which I would give a similar audio quality as the
> 339HF was the Lowe HF150.
>
> - Ergonomics: Although the frequency readout is down to 1kHz, the
> scale is somewhat misaligned and now the radio misreads anywhere by 20
> to 50 kHz depending on the band and frequency. Of course the scale can
> be aligned, and I will probably do it if I find somebody who can
> translate the service manual for me. However, it is very repeatable
> and stable. I have noted down the offset vs. WWF on each band I could
> test, and once the difference is taken into account I have noticed less
> than 1kHz drifting or change after 3 hours of operation. I repeated
> the test today, and after approx. 30 minutes warm-up the offset is
> consistent with yesterday's tests. The knobs are scattered around the
> front panel in somewhat strange pattern at first look, but after some
> time the operation is very logical and intuitive. All the knobs and
> controls are large, and although somewhat stiff, they are very easy to
> adjust and have a very solid feeling. SSB operation is somewhat more
> difficult than with the R30 due to the manual beat frequency
> adjustment, but very usable with a little bit of practice.
>
> I connected the radio to an external audio amplifier and the sound
> through large speakers is as good as I can remember from any radio I
> have used or listened to.
>
> Net, a very pleasent surprize and this radio has instantly become my
> favorite DX machine. In terms of selectivity and dynamic range it
> sounds as good as the R30CC, while the audio is as nice to the ear as
> the Lowe HF150. It beats the HF150 and the Sony 7600GR when it comes
> to strong signals and large antennas.
>
> I hope it will last "forever", which may not be such a outrageous
> expectation, as it has come out working perfectly after 30 years of
> sitting in some Chinese military warehouse, where is was obviosly
> subject to less-then-ideal storage conditions judging by the widespread
> corrosion of exterior metal surfaces, and moisture damage to the canvas
> bag, cartons, manual and all rubber or plastic surfaces.

1549. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:36:18 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152534978.547914.243140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82635

weatherall wrote:
> I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
> band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> . Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
> the Voice of Russia.
>
> Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
> past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
> successful.
>
> Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin
> antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too
> weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy
> outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

I was listening to Radio Australia earlier this morning on 9580 khz.
The signal was good...good enough that I'm sure it would have been
listenable on my SW7600GR using only the whip. I'm in NY.

Steve

1550. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:47:22 UTC
From: mcdonald606a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152535642.617325.302130@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82636

dxAce wrote:
> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

- Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?

1551. Re: Grammar question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:53:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <oej4b2tikiqo72knv7prti1dhgsip36dtn@4ax.com>
Source record: 82637

On 9 Jul 2006 19:21:28 -0700, "bajohnson29@yahoo.com"
<bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I need to know what the past tense of mic is -- as in " I repeatedly
>asked for staff meetings to be "MIC'ed". I'm writing a formal paper
>for work.
>
>
>Thanks - your prompt attention is appreciated!!!!
>
>baj
>
Main Entry: 2mike
Function: transitive verb
Inflected Form(s): miked; mikï¿½ing
: to supply with a microphone

1552. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:57:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cij4b293ueobt5aar30j6dkmr9ac05p4k3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82638

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 06:51:26 +0000, weatherall
<weatherall.2aq339@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
>band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
>the Voice of Russia.
>
>Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
>past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
>successful.
>
>Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin
>antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too
>weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy
>outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.

9580 relatively weak on the W. coast N. Am.

S-8 w/preamp, moderate noise

R8B 50' random wire

1257 10July

1553. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 12:59:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <6oj4b29kcofivu7haess4n6lnao2jkcicl@4ax.com>
Source record: 82639

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 08:00:52 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> at 11:45 UTC, Picking it up here on 9.580 (using an external antenna)
>>
>> but am able to pick it up On The Whip with my Degen DE1105
>>
>> Signal strong but full of static due to Air Conditioners . ..
>>
>> Radio New Zealand has been absent the last few nights
>
>Radio New Zealand coming in well here on 9870 at 1200.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
>
Kiwi bird, S-7 1258

1554. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:00:54 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fqj4b2tudi467m9j4b3e04uulkbtmjeraf@4ax.com>
Source record: 82640

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 08:11:04 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
>making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
>next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
>can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
>band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
>Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>
>HD/IBOC = QRM
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
Dwardo!

1555. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:09:38 UTC
From: abruxis@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152536978.246195.22100@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82641

Slow Code wrote:
> abruxis@aol.com wrote in
> news:1152208073.259008.30730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> >> news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >> > 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING on
> >> > coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a bunch
> >> > of FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that PHONEY
> >> > BALONEY CRAP.
> >> > cuhulin
> >>
> >>
> >> I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.
> >
> > I just heard Chuck Harder died ... can you verify this?
> >
>
>
> I know he's been brain dead a long time, but other than that I haven't
> heard he got planted yet.
>
> SC

Truthfully, despite what I heard the other day (no, I did not imagine
it), I honestly don't know what Chuck Harder's situation is.
Like I've said for years, Chuck should have retired long ago. His
political constituency (I call it the Timothy McVeigh crowd) is long
gone.
The only reason Chuck is still on the radio is because he is still on
the radio. I realize that sounds a bit recursive - an example of
"circular reasoning" - but it's the only explanation I can come up
with. I guess radio talk show hosts are like civil service folks (or
Supreme Court members): they're in it for life, and it's awfully hard -
or impossible - to fire them.
Late last week, to find out more about what happened to Chuck, I tuned
in to his radio network (TalkStar) early in the morning and kept it on
until Chuck's program cranked up. There was no mention of Chuck during
the earlier shows - absolutely nothing. It was as if "For The People"
never existed. That contrasts with Chuck's earlier networks, where the
hosts of the other programs - both before and after "For The People" -

would mention the show on a fairly regular basis. Not to mention all the ads for "For The People," most of which were narrated by Chuck himself.
Not this time.
The spookiest aspect of Chuck is the fact that he doesn't take callers anymore, and hasn't done so for over a year.
Why?
Finally, there's that cute gal with the light Southern accent urging Chuck's listeners to "e-mail two friends" to tune in, so that Chuck can "double" his audience and rescue the U.S. of A. because "now, more than ever, America needs Chuck Harder." Sadly, those PSA's are starting to get on my nerves.

1556. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:17:54 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@yourpantstampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gfight-B2C7F2.09181110072006@news-server1.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 82642
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <9YadnbuUAqf-WCzZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>,
"Brian Denley" wrote:

> David wrote:
> > On 8 Jul 2006 19:39:16 -0700, "Merlin3rd"
> > wrote:
> >
> >> I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
> >> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
> >> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
> >> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen
> >> on this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
> >> Cash, or your sister.
> >>
> > Get into Utilities. HF broadcast is for weenies.
>
> I'll give you $50!

$55.

Greg

1557. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:18:56 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152537536.040247.147900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82643

weatherall wrote:
> I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
> band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> . Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
> the Voice of Russia.
>
> Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
> past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
> successful.
>
> Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin
> antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too
> weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy
> outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

Some areas of the U.S. receive a much stronger Radio Australia signal
than others. If you are located on the west coast chances are the
signal will be much weaker than on the east coast where it can peg the
s meter on a portable.

1558. Re: FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:27:58 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152538078.680839.130800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82644
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> Cartrivision1 wrote:
> > FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
>
> Spam your ebay auction somewhere else

I disagree unless we are going to ban links to auctions by ALL members of this group and not just a select few. We will have to ban links by a few long time members who also shill their auctions. And of course we will have to ban the long-running forum sport of providing links to and nasty comments about auctions of certain competing dealers.

1559. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:28:23 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152538103.329219.249410@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82645

mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> >
> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >
> > HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?

When the IBOC sidebands extend out into the signal you want to hear,
not really possible to "filter" without getting rid of the signal you
want.

Listening to the sideband with the least interference (e.g. the one
away from the IBOC station) helps some.

Some use of directional antennas (especially ones with deep nulls, e.g.
loops) has been succesful at my QTH.

Tim.

1560. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 13:55:46 UTC
From: "M.M." <M.M.@WaltWorld.tv>
Message-ID: <j1n4b21a0eu6ke3emf0v01f9h9vunq7ctt@4ax.com>
Source record: 82646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Since this OP is the new sheriff he will need to get some spy software
for his computer to spy on the newsgroups. So he need to find and load
SPY SHERIFF on his computer to control all of us offenders...M.M.

On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 10:18:57 -0400, "John L. Sielke"
<w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net> wrote:

>Paul Willie Schleck wrote:
>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements,
>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and
>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>
>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>> will be recorded as well.
>>
>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia, or
>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>
>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that there
>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>
>>
>>
>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your ISP.
>>
>>
>>
>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>>
>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>
>> www.blowguts.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>Saving this post for a paper on "Mental Illness and Internet Usenet Groups."
>Classic paranoid schizophrenia with delusions of grandeur.

1561. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 14:21:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152541270.590448.270420@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82647

David wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 08:00:52 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >> at 11:45 UTC, Picking it up here on 9.580 (using an external antenna)
> >>
> >> but am able to pick it up On The Whip with my Degen DE1105
> >>
> >> Signal strong but full of static due to Air Conditioners . ..
> >>
> >> Radio New Zealand has been absent the last few nights
> >
> >Radio New Zealand coming in well here on 9870 at 1200.
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> >
> Kiwi bird, S-7 1258

http://www.chemistry.co.nz/kiwibird.htm

How do you connect the bird to the coax?

1562. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 14:59:29 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44B26B4F.1010908@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 82749

dxAce wrote:
> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)

I should note similar strong rumors from allegedly reliable sources flew
around the time of the NAB Convention in April - and nothing happened.

I'm sure nighttime IBOC *will* be authorized eventually, but I'll
believe it when I see (hear) it!

(the fact that approval has taken this long leads me to believe there's
a major tug-of-war going on at the FCC, that there are large parts of
the staff that believe it's a REALLY bad idea. I don't *think* anyone
on the Engineering staff will quit over this approval but I won't be
stunned if it happens.)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

1563. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:05:01 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44B26C9B.6040604@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 82750

mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?

Buy a HD radio.

Seriously.

The Boston Acoustics Receptor HD, when tuning *analog* stations, seems largely immune to IBOC QRM from *digital* stations on adjacent frequencies. The set uses digital signal processing of analog signals; I might guess that it uses the opposite digital sideband to cancel out the one that's interfering with the desired analog station.

In general, my experience has been that the Receptor HD is a pretty decent analog radio, both AM and FM, if you give it a decent antenna. (the antennas provided with the radio are crap) Of course, it is pretty expensive as well!

Of course, the problem for the radio industry is that almost nobody *has* a Receptor HD - when the interference cranks up, people will buy XM or Sirius, put in a CD, or turn on the TV long before they'll buy a HD radio.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

1564. Re: Grammar question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:11:41 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <I6usg.558$_M.382@fed1read04>
Source record: 82751
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: audio.gif

Baj since you are writing a formal paper, you will find Merriam-Webster's
Open Dictionary quite helpful - URL:

http://www.m-w.com/home.htm

It returns this for mike
Main Entry: 1mike
Pronunciation: 'mIk
Function: noun
Etymology: by shortening & alteration
: MICROPHONE

Main Entry: 2mike
Function: transitive verb
Inflected Form(s): miked; mik&#65533;ing
: to supply with a microphone

However your statement is questionable as to whether the staff meetings are
to be recorded or placed on a PA system or perhaps both.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

<bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152498088.804687.21180@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I need to know what the past tense of mic is -- as in " I repeatedly
> asked for staff meetings to be "MIC'ed". I'm writing a formal paper
> for work.
>
>
> Thanks - your prompt attention is appreciated!!!!
>
> baj
>

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: audio.gif]

1565. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:17:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com>
Source record: 82752

mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> >
> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >
> > HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?

No, because it apparently affects both sidebands pretty equaly. If one wants to listen to a weaker adjacent channel from that running IBOC one is going to be in a world of hurt.

I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly on 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.

No can listen no more.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1566. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:22:24 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e90il5$1qt$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82961

In article <weatherall.2aq339@news.radiobanter.com>,
weatherall <weatherall.2aq339@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
>I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
>band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
>the Voice of Russia.

Your location and time of day?

>Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
>past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
>successful.

UTC times

17795 from 23:00 to 02:00 About s3, but fairly low noise
15515, 15240 around 4:00 about s4-s6
9580, 9590 8:00 to 14:00 or 16:00 S9 or thereabouts, drops a bit
around 12:00
6020->5995, 7240 local morning (around 14:00) winter frequencies
this time of year they're weaker and noisier than 9590
9710 16:00-? irregular, some days good, some bad
11880 mid-morning (west coast time)

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

1567. lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:30:56 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152545456.870665.213090@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82753

dxAce wrote:
> mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:

> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly on
> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>
> No can listen no more.
justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?

but no you run into a set back and throw up your hands
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1568. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:33:50 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e90ilt$1r2$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 82962

In article <1152476918.853642.219320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
<miso@sushi.com> wrote:
>I like the news on RHC. It is 100% factual, but makes use of errors of
>omission and other editing techniques to slant it slightly in their
>favor. It was great when Tom Delay was still in the House as he was
>their poster boy for US corruption.
>
>I recall a RHC report about the US Embassy having an electronic sign
>that dissed Castro. Later, I heard an ABC news report about Castro
>cutting power to the US Embassy. Well, duh, that is one way to turn off
>that sign. Of course, ABC didn't mention the electric sign.

There was a picture (along with an article about US diplomats in Cuba)
in the local paper this last week showing that the Cubans had put a
flag plaza in front of the US interest section so that you can't
see the display anymore.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

1569. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:35:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B273BB.FCA73A33@milestones.com>
Source record: 82754

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly on
> > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> >
> > No can listen no more.
> justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?

Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.

Now go back in your hole.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1570. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:49:48 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152546586.639580.106960@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82755

dxAce wrote:
> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>
> HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.

1571. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:54:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B27845.F97A6ECC@milestones.com>
Source record: 82756

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> >
> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >
> > HD/IBOC = QRM
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.

As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.

Far more than I trust yours!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1572. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:56:04 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152546964.130964.285670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82757

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > >
> > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > >
> > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
>
> As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
>
> Far more than I trust yours!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?

1573. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 15:59:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2797F.2525F11A@milestones.com>
Source record: 82758

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > >
> > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > >
> > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > >
> > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> >
> > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> >
> > Far more than I trust yours!
>
> Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?

And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1574. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:02:18 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152547337.996817.224290@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82759

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > >
> > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > >
> > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > >
> > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > >
> > > Far more than I trust yours!
> >
> > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
>
> And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?

>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

You really are a hostile little boy.

1575. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:08:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B27B86.37CCEA0C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82760

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
> > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
> > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > USA
> > > > >
> > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > >
> > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > >
> > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > >
> > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?

> >
> > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> You really are a hostile little boy.

And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.

1576. AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:09:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8pu4b2dn025c0vrkubh2kcjidcoj5h60gp@4ax.com>
Source record: 82761

There is zero demand for this.

1577. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:14:53 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152548093.436540.137600@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82762

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will
be
> > > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
> > > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
> > > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the
AM
> > > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > USA
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > > >
> > > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > > >

> > > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > > >
> > > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
> > >
> > > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > You really are a hostile little boy.
>
> And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.

Why don't you focus all that hate/hostility into finding yourself a new
hobby, since SWL and AM DXing are dying !

1578. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:17:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B27DB5.16922CCB@milestones.com>
Source record: 82763

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will
be
> > > > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
the
> > > > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
you
> > > > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the
AM
> > > > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of

> > > > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
> > > > > >
> > > > > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> > > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > > >
> > > > You really are a hostile little boy.
> > >
> > And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.
>
> Why don't you focus all that hate/hostility into finding yourself a new
> hobby, since SWL and AM DXing are dying !

Why don't you focus on taking your meds and trying to get well?

1579. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:20:00 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152548400.023397.232770@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82764

David wrote:
> There is zero demand for this.

Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.

1580. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:21:46 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152548506.098041.8690@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82765

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
will be
> > > > > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
the
> > > > > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
you
> > > > > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > > > > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
the AM
> > > > > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in

> > > > > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
> > > > >
> > > > > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > >
> > > > You really are a hostile little boy.
> > >
> > > And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.
> >
> > Why don't you focus all that hate/hostility into finding yourself a new
> > hobby, since SWL and AM DXing are dying !
>
> Why don't you focus on taking your meds and trying to get well?

You are a fucking waste of time !

1581. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:24:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B27F42.7F048310@milestones.com>
Source record: 82766

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
will be
> > > > > > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
within the
> > > > > > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
while you
> > > > > > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
the
> > > > > > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
the AM
> > > > > > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
DIGEST)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> > > > > > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in

> > > > > > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > > > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > > > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You really are a hostile little boy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you focus all that hate/hostility into finding yourself a new
> > > > > hobby, since SWL and AM DXing are dying !
> > >
> > > Why don't you focus on taking your meds and trying to get well?
> >
> > You are a fucking waste of time !

Well, you certainly are!

1582. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:30:21 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152549021.154683.171350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82767

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the
FCC will be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
within the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
while you
> > > > > > > > > > > > > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions
of the AM
> > > > > > > > > > > > > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
DIGEST)
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out
there!
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > HD/IBOC = QRM
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> > > > > > > > > > > > to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> > > > > > > > > > > > formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> > > > > > > > > > > > station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> > > > > > > > > > > > transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> > > > > > > > > > > > happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit

> > > > > > > > > > City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> > > > > > > > > > radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> > > > > > > > > > IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> > > > > > > > > > quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
> > > > > > > > > > goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
> > > > > > > > > > to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
> > > > > > > > > > the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
> > > > > > > > > > adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Far more than I trust yours!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You really are a hostile little boy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And you really are a clueless 'tard boy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you focus all that hate/hostility into finding yourself a new
> > > > > > hobby, since SWL and AM DXing are dying !
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you focus on taking your meds and trying to get well?
> > >
> > > You are a fucking waste of time !
> >
> > Well, you certainly are!

Is that the best response you have, rumor monger ?

1583. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:32:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2810A.BEC31F30@milestones.com>
Source record: 82768

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> David wrote:
> > There is zero demand for this.
>
> Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
> IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
> of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
> will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.

Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.

The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.

1584. VERISMO - Brooklyn's Thrash Metal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:33:38 UTC
From: verismo@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1152549218.348745.189760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82769

Brooklyn's most exciting metal band, Verismo, has released the most suprising debut since the city started scorching immigrants into rock virtuosos. On their new record "City of Kings," the band astonishes with perfectly crafted metal originals - immediately full-throttle songs that need to be heard. Each song features the insanely precise and inventive guitars of vocalist Stephan Laboccetta, the colossal bass of Vincent Cruz, and Tamas Vadja's breakthrough drum attack. The instrumental majesty of metal and the fury of punk rock ignite on this record like rarely before. Here is a combustion of classical metal elements, heart-piercing lyrics, and sheer song writing skill soexplosive that it will be heard far beyond New York City. Expert energetic thrash metal one minute, achingly perfect and accessible hard rock the next, the new release establishes Verismo as the most authentic metal band in the city of kings

http://www.verismetal.com
http://www.myspace.com/verismo

1585. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:38:19 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152549499.703466.266500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82770

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly on
> > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> > >
> > > No can listen no more.
> > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
>
> Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
sure does

it all about challange

you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
they survive you greedy lazy jerk
>
> Now go back in your hole.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1586. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:40:05 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152549605.072262.57370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82771

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > David wrote:
> > > There is zero demand for this.
> >
> > Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
> > IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
> > of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
> > will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.
>
> Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
>
> The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
the point is you are lazy and want you dx handed to you whine jerk

1587. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:45:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2842B.9C7273DE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82772

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly on
> > > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> > > >
> > > > No can listen no more.
> > > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
> >
> > Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
> sure does
>
> it all about challange
>
> you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
> they survive you greedy lazy jerk

Careling? Isn't that something folks tend to do around Christmas time?

Greedy? Well, yes, I suppose. I'm for getting all I can.

I'm not lazy, I'm retired.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1588. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:47:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2848A.DE96CC5B@milestones.com>
Source record: 82773

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > David wrote:
> > > > There is zero demand for this.
> > >
> > > Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
> > > IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
> > > of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
> > > will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.
> >
> > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> >
> > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
> the point is you are lazy and want you dx handed to you whine jerk

The point, in your case, is that you're one screwed up individual.

Get help!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1589. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:50:04 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152550204.368130.69590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82774

dxAce wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
> > David wrote:
> > > There is zero demand for this.
> >
> > Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
> > IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
> > of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
> > will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.
>
> Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
>
> The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.

Boy, you really missed the point ! They can turn it on, but if there
are viewer complaints, which has already happened, they will turn it
off. According to FCC rules, any stations that are interfered with,
can file formal complaints, and be sure, there will be a host of
lawsuits. Also, if HD/IBOC ever goes completely digital, and if the HD
radios are not in the hands of the public, the radio stations will
figure that out real quickly.

http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/station-list.html

1590. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:54:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B28635.E4847A8C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82775

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
> >
> > > David wrote:
> > > > There is zero demand for this.
> > >
> > > Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
> > > IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
> > > of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
> > > will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.
> >
> > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> >
> > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
>
> Boy, you really missed the point !

Yes, you did!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1591. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 16:55:18 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152550518.362241.112310@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82776

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly
on
> > > > > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> > > > >
> > > > > No can listen no more.
> > > > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
> > >
> > > Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
> > sure does
> >
> > it all about challange
> >
> > you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
> > they survive you greedy lazy jerk
>
> Careling? Isn't that something folks tend to do around Christmas time?
>
> Greedy? Well, yes, I suppose. I'm for getting all I can.
>
> I'm not lazy, I'm retired.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

You obvioulsy have little to do, but troll this newsgroup with your
flames and hostility, because you know that DRM will obsolete your
analog SW receivers. Acting like this, at your age - geeze !

1592. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:00:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FIvsg.1783$2v.1669@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82777

"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152546586.639580.106960@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
>> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
>> will be
>> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
>> the
>> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
>> you
>> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
>> the AM
>> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>>
>> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>
>> HD/IBOC = QRM
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>
> There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
> to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
> formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
> station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
> transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
> happens.

Since skywave is so seldome used, this is all unlikely. What has been
suggested is a lowe HD injection at night, like -24 to -28 db from the
analog, instead of -20 db.

> I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
> City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
> radio.

That is because the new receiver design specs were only issued a few months
ago, and the word at CES is that the receivers will be coming in increasing
alternatives and lower prices after the Summer.

> I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
> IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
> quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever

> goes completely digital.

There is no plan to go 100% digital and kill the analog.

1593. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:00:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5Jvsg.1784$2v.513@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82778

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B27845.F97A6ECC@milestones.com...
>
> As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
>
> Far more than I trust yours!

What radio stations does Glenn manage?

1594. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:02:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82780

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
>
> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
> will be
> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
> the
> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
> you
> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
> the AM
> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!

Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.

1595. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:02:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2883A.810B4FB@milestones.com>
Source record: 82779

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly
on
> > > > > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No can listen no more.
> > > > > > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
> > > > >
> > > > > Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
> > > > sure does
> > > >
> > > > it all about challange
> > > >
> > > > you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
> > > > they survive you greedy lazy jerk
> > >
> > > Careling? Isn't that something folks tend to do around Christmas time?
> >
> > Greedy? Well, yes, I suppose. I'm for getting all I can.
> >
> > I'm not lazy, I'm retired.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> You obvioulsy have little to do, but troll this newsgroup with your
> flames and hostility, because you know that DRM will obsolete your
> analog SW receivers.

Gee, I've heard talk like that since I first was introduced to shortwave radio in the mid 60's.

> Acting like this, at your age - geeze !

My age? Heck, I'm still fairly young, not even 55 yet.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1596. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:03:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FLvsg.1786$2v.2@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82781

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>

> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
> regularly on
> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>
> No can listen no more.

I know the station itself could care less.

1597. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:05:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B288CB.71FB0B24@milestones.com>
Source record: 82782

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B27845.F97A6ECC@milestones.com...
> >
> > As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
> >
> > Far more than I trust yours!
>
> What radio stations does Glenn manage?

Oh shit, I woke up Edweenie, the fake Hispanic, and paid shill for
Univision/Ibiquity.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1598. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:07:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2895E.CC5BBFEA@milestones.com>
Source record: 82783

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
> >
> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
> > will be
> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
> > the
> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
> > you
> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
> > the AM
> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
> >
> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>
> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.

Yeah, saving AM through QRM.

Great plan there, Edweenie!

I love it when you use the *WE* shtick. It sounds so, well it sounds so egotistical!

1599. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:08:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2897F.855F44BB@milestones.com>
Source record: 82784

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
> >
>
> > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
> > regularly on
> > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> >
> > No can listen no more.
>
> I know the station itself could care less.

Yep, you know everything, oh fake one!

1600. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:16:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com>
Source record: 82785

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>
>
>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>> regularly on
>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>
>> No can listen no more.
>
>I know the station itself could care less.
>
You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
that he has lost a listener.

1601. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:33:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B28F58.F4D3112C@milestones.com>
Source record: 82787

Merlin3rd wrote:

> I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on
> this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
> Cash, or your sister.

One Paso? How much is a 'Paso' worth these days?

And if the price is indeed in 'Paso's" should that not be 'seester' rather than sister?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1602. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:34:15 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82788

"Doug Smith W9WI" <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:44B26C9B.6040604@invalid.invalid...
> mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?
>
> Buy a HD radio.
>
> Seriously.
>
> The Boston Acoustics Receptor HD, when tuning *analog* stations, seems
> largely immune to IBOC QRM from *digital* stations on adjacent
> frequencies. The set uses digital signal processing of analog signals;
> I might guess that it uses the opposite digital sideband to cancel out
> the one that's interfering with the desired analog station.
>
> In general, my experience has been that the Receptor HD is a pretty
> decent analog radio, both AM and FM, if you give it a decent antenna.
> (the antennas provided with the radio are crap) Of course, it is pretty
> expensive as well!
>
> Of course, the problem for the radio industry is that almost nobody
> *has* a Receptor HD - when the interference cranks up, people will buy
> XM or Sirius, put in a CD, or turn on the TV long before they'll buy a
> HD radio.

I doubt many people will buy IBOC radios for the presumed audio advantages. The market for high fidelity AM has always been small and FM can sound excellent as it is. However, there probably will be some public interest in the secondary FM channels. And ibiquity's licensing arrangement demands that any AM - FM radio must have IBOC decoders for both bands. There won't be any standard AM - IBOC FM radios, at least according to the current plan.

So, in the future, there's a good chance there will be a significant number of IBOC radios out there. I pretty much expect the AM broadcasters to enthusisastically jump into IBOC AM, just like as jumped into AM stereo.

AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy the radios. AM stereo came and went.

It would be ironic if the only way to avoid the IBOC sidebands is to buy some damned ibiquity licensed radio.

Frank Dresser

1603. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:37:24 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <b309f$44b28bd6$422aadc8$20447@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82786
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Greg" wrote in message
news:gfight-B2C7F2.09181110072006@news-server1.tampabay.rr.com...
> In article <9YadnbuUAqf-WCzZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>,
> "Brian Denley" wrote:
>
> > David wrote:
> > > On 8 Jul 2006 19:39:16 -0700, "Merlin3rd"

> > > wrote:
> > >
> > >> I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to
anyone
> > >> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to
late to
> > >> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box
and all
> > >> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to
listen
> > >> on this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take
Paypal,
> > >> Cash, or your sister.
> > >>
> > > Get into Utilities. HF broadcast is for weenies.
> >
> > I'll give you $50!
>
> $55.

$60.

I'm sure that it's a good return on the investment.

--Mike L.

1604. Re: FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:40:24 UTC
From: DeserTBoB <desertb@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <h745b21csa0llk3f2uhhi0mnuv6kv5ah24@4ax.com>
Source record: 82793
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jul 2006 11:04:40 -0700, "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote:

>FA: Zenith Trans <snipping link>

Note the pretty lousy feedback.

1605. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:41:42 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82789

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
>
> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>
>

What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?

Frank Dresser

1606. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:42:53 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xkwsg.115124$mF2.47820@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82790

dxAce wrote:

> Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
>
> The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
>
>

Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to, but never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....

This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a cellphone carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.

I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry it unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to hear from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM Canada deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built in, but internet access.

See where this is going?

Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently, there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet radio. The iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD tracks to network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are streaming. XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in negotiation.

Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio. No PC required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the back and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet Radio stations.

And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every one of these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real interesting question:

Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full power broadcast audio channel?

Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has not met the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then, again, maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in audio quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at

Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and distribution. And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent in seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of the source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost of the hardware.

What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it puts into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring costs of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of a broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put their signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And IBOC becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for listeners to interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one channel of distribution.

And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the air with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC radio, what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a band where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to tune out of market to find something listenable.

Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial radio is becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's rapidly slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more inconvenient, and easy to overlook.

Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up, and capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even if it is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the cost of our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.

Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we currently have.

And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word, impressive. Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.

And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same target.

SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now for a decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are becoming just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's lost it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.

A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned from Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order of the

day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to be collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters exist to present sources of information alternative to domestic media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence on content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have understood since WWII.

Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to find ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising support. Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as always, come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.

Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it will survive.

So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.

You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have alternatives.

So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.

As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the FCC....

They don't care.

They don't have to.

1607. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:44:52 UTC
From: DeserTBoB <desertb@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <lf45b2186ktmkvcdd47mikg1aqm2tlgb0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 82794
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jul 2006 16:56:01 -0700, "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:

>
>Uncle Peter wrote:
>> "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152468280.780568.224940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
>> > Manuals. To view auction follow link below:
>> >
>> You're feedback SUCKS bigtime, dude.
>
>Disagree completely...2 negatives from 2004 are hardly the same as two
>within the last 30 days. Read beyond the Ebay summary rating number
>before posting messages like this. <snip>

You're delusional. Anyone with feedback like this is suspect. The
fact that he's a Usenet spammer like Charlie Nudo adds more "cause to
pause."

1608. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:46:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2927C.48326C25@milestones.com>
Source record: 82791

Frank Dresser wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >
> >
> > Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >
> >
>
> What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?

Edweenie and his minions think it will just go *poof*, it's gone.

Edweenie is mistaken.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1609. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:48:32 UTC
From: DeserTBoB <desertb@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pk45b2pmsv4f98m1pb3lnvghten87e1u5j@4ax.com>
Source record: 82795
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jul 2006 22:36:19 -0700, "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote:

>I would most likely have numerous more positives but due to my bad
>experiences I no longer post feedback until the buyer does first. <snip>

That's called "laying in wait," and is the mark of a schlock eBayer.

"fixyourownpc" = NOT recommended. Same personality disorders as
66fourdoor/Charlie Nudo..."All my problems are caused by someone
else...."

1610. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 17:52:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B293DA.612C8BA5@milestones.com>
Source record: 82792

D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> >
> > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
> >
> >
>
> Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to, but
> never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....
>
> This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a cellphone
> carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.
>
> I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry it
> unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to hear
> from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM Canada
> deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built in,
> but internet access.
>
> See where this is going?
>
> Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently,
> there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet radio. The
> iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD tracks to
> network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are streaming.
> XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in
> negotiation.
>
> Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio. No PC
> required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the back
> and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet Radio
> stations.
>
> And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every one of
> these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real interesting
> question:
>
> Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full power
> broadcast audio channel?

>
> Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has not met
> the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then, again,
> maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in audio
> quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at
> Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and distribution.
> And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent in
> seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In
> precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of the
> source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost of the
> hardware.
>
> What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it puts
> into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring costs
> of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of a
> broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast
> Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put their
> signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and
> throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And IBOC
> becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for listeners to
> interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one channel of
> distribution.
>
> And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the air
> with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC radio,
> what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a band
> where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to tune out
> of market to find something listenable.
>
> Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of
> distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial radio is
> becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's rapidly
> slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more inconvenient,
> and easy to overlook.
>
> Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up, and
> capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even if it
> is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the cost of
> our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.
>
> Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we currently
> have.
>
> And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word, impressive.
> Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in
> stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.
>
> And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same target.
>
> SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now for a

> decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are becoming
> just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM
> interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's lost
> it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.
>
> A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned from
> Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave
> broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order of the
> day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to be
> collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters exist
> to present sources of information alternative to domestic
> media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence on
> content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have understood
> since WWII.
>
> Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to find
> ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising support.
> Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally
> struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as always,
> come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.
>
> Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it will
> survive.
>
> So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.
>
> You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have alternatives.
>
> So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.
>
> As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the FCC....
>
> They don't care.
>
> They don't have to.

Very good. I liked the part about the budgets to cut and the bonuses to collect.

Always follow the $$$

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1611. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:24:09 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152555849.381560.223250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82796

D Peter Maus wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> >
> > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
> >
> >
>
>
> Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to, but
> never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....
>
>
> This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a cellphone
> carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.
>
> I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry it
> unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to hear
> from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM Canada
> deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built in,
> but internet access.
>
> See where this is going?
>
> Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently,
> there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet radio. The
> iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD tracks to
> network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are streaming.
> XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in
> negotiation.
>
> Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio. No PC
> required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the back
> and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet Radio
> stations.
>
> And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every one of
> these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real interesting
> question:
>
> Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full power

> broadcast audio channel?
>
>
> Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has not met
> the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then, again,
> maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in audio
> quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at
> Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and distribution.
> And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent in
> seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In
> precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of the
> source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost of the
> hardware.
>
> What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it puts
> into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring costs
> of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of a
> broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast
> Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put their
> signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and
> throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And IBOC
> becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for listeners to
> interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one channel of
> distribution.
>
> And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the air
> with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC radio,
> what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a band
> where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to tune out
> of market to find something listenable.
>
> Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of
> distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial radio is
> becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's rapidly
> slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more inconvenient,
> and easy to overlook.
>
>
> Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up, and
> capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even if it
> is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the cost of
> our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.
>
> Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we currently
> have.
>
> And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word, impressive.
> Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in
> stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.
>

> And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same target.
>
> SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now for a
> decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are becoming
> just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM
> interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's lost
> it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.
>
> A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned from
> Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave
> broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order of the
> day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to be
> collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters exist
> to present sources of information alternative to domestic
> media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence on
> content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have understood
> since WWII.
>
> Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to find
> ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising support.
> Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally
> struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as always,
> come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.
>
> Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it will
> survive.
>
> So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.
>
> You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have alternatives.
>
> So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.
>
> As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the FCC....
>
>
> They don't care.
>
> They don't have to.

There will be a slew of objections, from interfered stations, to the
FCC and lawsuits. The stations have the option of turning off IBOC,
which some of them already have. I have never heard that, dfor example,
a 50K watt analog only stations, will be able to reduce its power
comsumption, by running IBOC. Also, it has been shown that IBOC does
not have the coverage, or penetration, of analog.

1612. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:40:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <iaxsg.1818$2v.704@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82648

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2895E.CC5BBFEA@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
>> > will be
>> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
>> > within
>> > the
>> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
>> > while
>> > you
>> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
>> > the
>> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
>> > the AM
>> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
>> > DIGEST)
>> >
>> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>
>> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>
> Yeah, saving AM through QRM.
>
> Great plan there, Edweenie!
>
> I love it when you use the *WE* shtick. It sounds so, well it sounds so
> egotistical!

iBiquity is backed by every major broadcast company, right down to companies
like UnoRadio Group in Puerto Rico. That is the "we" I refer to... the
broadcast industry and its leaders.

1613. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:40:15 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@lloydschinkpizza.net>
Message-ID: <4qsaa.fdu.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:44b1aab0$0$12380$540ea2cf@novia.net...
> In <881097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> writes:
>
>>On 9 Jul 2006 15:13:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:
>>[flushed]

Hey Schleck,

wurt in the hood is you will receive support for your modurated
group, if you write a 500 word essay on *humility*

Deal?

1614. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:40:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Taxsg.1819$2v.1710@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82649

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2897F.855F44BB@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>> > regularly on
>> > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>> >
>> > No can listen no more.
>>
>> I know the station itself could care less.
>
> Yep, you know everything, oh fake one!

Your closes 790 would the Bay City / Saginaw, and I guarantee you that they
have no interest in the Grand Rapids market.

1615. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:41:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Kbxsg.1820$2v.597@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82650

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>>
>>
>>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>>> regularly on
>>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>>
>>> No can listen no more.
>>
>>I know the station itself could care less.
>>
> You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
> reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
> that he has lost a listener.

If they are out of the metro (MSA), we don't care. There is no money in out
of market audience.

1616. Re: Novia Internetworking ToS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:42:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152556959.041800.74430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
> news:44b1aab0$0$12380$540ea2cf@novia.net...
> > In <881097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net> Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> writes:
> >
> >>On 9 Jul 2006 15:13:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net> wrote:
> >>[flushed]
>
>
>
> Hey Schleck,
>
> wurt in the hood is you will receive support for your modurated
> group, if you write a 500 word essay on *humility*
Poor "lloyd" can't face his words
>
> Deal?

1617. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:43:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ddxsg.1822$2v.104@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82652

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy the
> radios. AM stereo came and went.
>

It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced, it would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By the time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.

1618. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:43:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Kdxsg.1823$2v.387@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82653

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>>
>> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>>
>>
>
> What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?

It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues decrease.

1619. Re: FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:44:38 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Kfxsg.114198$QU3.11578@bignews8.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 82654
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

John S. wrote:
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
>> Cartrivision1 wrote:
>>> FA: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW Portable Radio w/ all
>> Spam your ebay auction somewhere else
>
> I disagree unless we are going to ban links to auctions by ALL members
> of this group and not just a select few. We will have to ban links by
> a few long time members who also shill their auctions. And of course we
> will have to ban the long-running forum sport of providing links to and
> nasty comments about auctions of certain competing dealers.
>
>
You'll note the X-posting. No telling from which group one is
responding. Cross-posting in and of itself is bad form. When it's done
for (semi) commercial purposes, it's even worse.

jak

1620. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:48:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2A0EA.9197E66B@milestones.com>
Source record: 82655

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most prolific pedant and fake Hispanic since c. 2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B2897F.855F44BB@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
> >> regularly on
> >> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> >> >
> >> No can listen no more.
> >>
> >> I know the station itself could care less.
> >
> > Yep, you know everything, oh fake one!
>
> Your closes 790 would the Bay City / Saginaw, and I guarantee you that they
> have no interest in the Grand Rapids market.

Your guarantees are meaningless, oh fake one!

Go shill somewhere else.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1621. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:50:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2A188.407B2047@milestones.com>
Source record: 82656

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most prolific pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:

> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy the
> > radios. AM stereo came and went.
> >
>
> It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced, it
> would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By the
> time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.

When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest pictures, you don't look to be in the greatest health.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1622. Re: radio australia signal is weak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 18:55:08 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-53E3FA.11550610072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82657

In article <1152537536.040247.147900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:

> weatherall wrote:
> > I had success receiving Radio Australia last summer in the 19 meter
> > band. I've been looking up their frequencies on primetimeshortwave.com
> > . Lately I am only getting faint signals, which are even weaker than
> > the Voice of Russia.
> >
> > Has anyone in North America had success with Radio Australia in the
> > past few months? I'm curious to know which times and frequencies are
> > successful.
> >
> > Typically I use a Sony ICF SW7600GR or Eton E5 with just the builtin
> > antenna. Grounding hasn't helped much - the signal just seems too
> > weak. I'm in an apartment and I don't have the option for a lengthy
> > outdoor antenna. I have a DE31 loop antenna that doesn't really help.
> >
>
> Some areas of the U.S. receive a much stronger Radio Australia signal
> than others. If you are located on the west coast chances are the
> signal will be much weaker than on the east coast where it can peg the
> s meter on a portable.

NZ and Australia are weak during the daytime and much stronger
dinnertime to middle mornings. Last night (dinnertime on) they were very
strong on the 19 meter (15Mz) band and 22 meters (13 MHz) band. Try
KHz UTC
15515 02:00 to 07:00
15240 01:00 to 07:00
13670 07:00 to 09:00

The 13630 is listed but my sometimes faulty brain thinks they are on
13670.

NZ is the same story, dinnertime to early mornings are the strongest
signals.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1623. Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:15:57 UTC
From: Avery W3AVE <acomarow@usnews.com>
Message-ID: <1152558957.350510.255160@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82658

I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.

Guidance, please.

Avery W3AVE

1624. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:28:40 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <oia5b2dnk232opm9iltea72mrsvkqa1lhv@4ax.com>
Source record: 82659

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:41:46 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>If they are out of the metro (MSA), we don't care. There is no money in out
>of market audience.
>
>
Like you speak for the industry.

1625. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:29:35 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mja5b2df86b2behi82lihtbqic7gm7fr28@4ax.com>
Source record: 82660

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:41:42 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
<analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>>
>> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>>
>>
>
>What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
>
>Frank Dresser
>
>
The religious types and ethnics always seem to be able to make a go of
things nobody else wants.

1626. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:31:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3na5b2hj2376i72rpj5vqam3m5mrcgpm81@4ax.com>
Source record: 82661

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:42:53 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio. No PC
>required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the back
>and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet Radio
>stations.
Not the first. Internet radio appliances have been around since the
beginning of consumer broadband availability.

1627. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:36:13 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5E7995.12361310072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82663

In article <1152558957.350510.255160@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"Avery W3AVE" <acomarow@usnews.com> wrote:

> I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.

I don't see a problem, as part of the hobby, with a short post (link) to
sell a SW or medium wave radio.

If you are dealing in radios as a business or do it on a regular basis I
could see people complaining. You do not want to use the news group for
commercial reasons or do it often because that will annoy people.

Some people don't like to see any posts FA or FS so use FA: or FS: at
the beginning of the subject line so people can filter them out.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1628. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:36:41 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152560201.732033.18590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82662

Avery W3AVE wrote:
> I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
>
> Guidance, please.

any post is automatic in the NGs these days FA opinion piecs equipment
reeviews nanything I know of no charter that forbid ot topic equiment
ads
>
> Avery W3AVE

1629. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 19:43:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2ADD2.FBF55DE4@milestones.com>
Source record: 82664

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> Avery W3AVE wrote:
> > I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> > this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> > caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> > charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
> >
> > Guidance, please.
>
> any post is automatic in the NGs these days FA opinion piecs equipment
> reeviews nanything I know of no charter that forbid ot topic equiment
> ads

Translation: go ahead and post it.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1630. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:03:27 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44B2B283.3C5A@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82665

Well, you can avoid most IBOC interference at the moment by nulling
it away with your no doubt directional antenna. With multiple IBOCs
on the same frequency that won't work, of course. And you may
already be using your null to take out another station on the same
frequency, which hobby will disappear.

Also the IBOC hash is much stronger on the sideband away from the
offending station, so use LSB to listen to 770 hashed by 760's station,
and USB to listen to 750 hashed by 760's station.
--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1631. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:11:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2B45B.958F95B8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82666

Ron Hardin wrote:

> Well, you can avoid most IBOC interference at the moment by nulling
> it away with your no doubt directional antenna. With multiple IBOCs
> on the same frequency that won't work, of course. And you may
> already be using your null to take out another station on the same
> frequency, which hobby will disappear.
>
> Also the IBOC hash is much stronger on the sideband away from the
> offending station, so use LSB to listen to 770 hashed by 760's station,
> and USB to listen to 750 hashed by 760's station.

It no work! If the hash from 760 is already physically on the 770 frequency then the 770 frequency is unusable.

1632. FA: Lowe HF-225 Europa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:12:32 UTC
From: Avery W3AVE <acomarow@usnews.com>
Message-ID: <1152562352.412174.311360@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82667

Item no. 110006398407

1633. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:16:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2B5B3.B9BA432A@milestones.com>
Source record: 82669

dxAce wrote:

> Ron Hardin wrote:
>
> > Well, you can avoid most IBOC interference at the moment by nulling
> > it away with your no doubt directional antenna. With multiple IBOCs
> > on the same frequency that won't work, of course. And you may
> > already be using your null to take out another station on the same
> > frequency, which hobby will disappear.
> >
> > Also the IBOC hash is much stronger on the sideband away from the
> > offending station, so use LSB to listen to 770 hashed by 760's station,
> > and USB to listen to 750 hashed by 760's station.
>
> It no work! If the hash from 760 is already physically on the 770 frequency then
> the 770 frequency is unusable.

And... I just tried it with the problem I have here with WBBM 780 Chicago which runs HD/IBOC QRM interfering with 790. If I switch to 790 USB I actually get more hash/noise than I get with 790 LSB.

And yes, I tried it with four receivers, Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B... all the same.

1634. Re: BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:23:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2B73C.A1E12EC8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82671

Michael Lawson wrote:

> I hate fanning the flames, but just so people know, in
> Cincinnati most of the content found on 1360 Homer
> (sports talk and Bengals games, among other things)
> is moving to 1530. Air America is moving to 1360.

Hmmm... I check Air America on 1530 every now and then just for fun. I wonder if I'll be able to get 1360 here?

No matter I guess, when the HD/IBOC (QRM) gets turned on at night, it'll all be over.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1635. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:23:29 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152563009.126738.285100@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82672

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to regularly
on
> > > > > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No can listen no more.
> > > > > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
> > > >
> > > > Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
> > sure does
> >
> > it all about challange
> >
> > you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
> > they survive you greedy lazy jerk
>
> Careling? Isn't that something folks tend to do around Christmas time?
>
> Greedy? Well, yes, I suppose. I'm for getting all I can.
and you like the hypocrtie you are claiming right only for yourself
>
> I'm not lazy, I'm retired.
yes you are lazy
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1636. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:24:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152563086.449038.8700@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82673

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B2895E.CC5BBFEA@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
> >> > will be
> >> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
> >> > within
> >> > the
> >> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
> >> > while
> >> > you
> >> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
> >> > the
> >> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
> >> > the AM
> >> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
> >> > DIGEST)
> >> >
> >> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >
> > Yeah, saving AM through QRM.
> >
> > Great plan there, Edweenie!
> >
> > I love it when you use the *WE* shtick. It sounds so, well it sounds so
> > egotistical!
>
> iBiquity is backed by every major broadcast company, right down to companies
> like UnoRadio Group in Puerto Rico. That is the "we" I refer to... the
> broadcast industry and its leaders.

Thanks, but I'm not looking to buy anything today.

1637. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:25:25 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152563125.450618.216170@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82674

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B2895E.CC5BBFEA@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
> >> > will be
> >> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
> >> > within
> >> > the
> >> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
> >> > while
> >> > you
> >> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
> >> > the
> >> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
> >> > the AM
> >> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
> >> > DIGEST)
> >> >
> >> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >
> > Yeah, saving AM through QRM.
> >
> > Great plan there, Edweenie!
> >
> > I love it when you use the *WE* shtick. It sounds so, well it sounds so
> > egotistical!
>
> iBiquity is backed by every major broadcast company, right down to companies
> like UnoRadio Group in Puerto Rico. That is the "we" I refer to... the
> broadcast industry and its leaders.

I'm just browsing right now...not looking to buy anything. Thanks.

1638. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:35:12 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <c7b83$44b2b585$422aad7d$5280@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82668

"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152555849.381560.223250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most
people have heard
> > > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> > >
> > > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on
at night it's
> > > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other
factors.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to,
but
> > never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....
> >
> >
> > This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a
cellphone
> > carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.
> >
> > I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry
it
> > unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to
hear
> > from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM
Canada
> > deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built
in,
> > but internet access.
> >
> > See where this is going?
> >
> > Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that
currently,
> > there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet
radio. The
> > iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD

tracks to
> > network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are
streaming.
> > XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in
> > negotiation.
> >
> > Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio.
No PC
> > required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the
back
> > and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet
Radio
> > stations.
> >
> > And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every
one of
> > these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real
interesting
> > question:
> >
> > Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full
power
> > broadcast audio channel?
> >
> >
> > Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has
not met
> > the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then,
again,
> > maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in
audio
> > quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at
> > Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and
distribution.
> > And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent
in
> > seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In
> > precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of
the
> > source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost
of the
> > hardware.
> >
> > What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it
puts
> > into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring
costs
> > of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of
a
> > broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast

> > Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put
their
> > signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and
> > throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And
IBOC
> > becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for
listeners to
> > interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one
channel of
> > distribution.
> >
> > And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the
air
> > with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC
radio,
> > what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a
band
> > where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to
tune out
> > of market to find something listenable.
> >
> > Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of
> > distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial
radio is
> > becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's
rapidly
> > slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more
inconvenient,
> > and easy to overlook.
> >
> >
> > Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up,
and
> > capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even
if it
> > is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the
cost of
> > our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.
> >
> > Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we
currently
> > have.
> >
> > And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word,
impressive.
> > Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in
> > stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.
> >
> > And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same
target.

> >
> > SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now
for a
> > decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are
becoming
> > just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM
> > interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's
lost
> > it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.
> >
> > A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned
from
> > Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave
> > broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order
of the
> > day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to
be
> > collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters
exist
> > to present sources of information alternative to domestic
> > media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence
on
> > content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have
understood
> > since WWII.
> >
> > Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to
find
> > ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising
support.
> > Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally
> > struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as
always,
> > come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.
> >
> > Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it
will
> > survive.
> >
> > So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.
> >
> > You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have
alternatives.
> >
> > So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.
> >
> > As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the
FCC....
> >
> >

> > They don't care.
> >
> > They don't have to.
>
> There will be a slew of objections, from interfered stations, to the
> FCC and lawsuits. The stations have the option of turning off IBOC,
> which some of them already have. I have never heard that, dfor
example,
> a 50K watt analog only stations, will be able to reduce its power
> comsumption, by running IBOC. Also, it has been shown that IBOC
does
> not have the coverage, or penetration, of analog.

Hmm. The flamethrowers locally (1530 and 700) like to
spout off how many watts they transmit with. It'll
take a pretty big change of attitude at those stations.

--Mike L.

1639. BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:37:31 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <c3d6f$44b2b610$422aad7d$5431@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82670

I hate fanning the flames, but just so people know, in
Cincinnati most of the content found on 1360 Homer
(sports talk and Bengals games, among other things)
is moving to 1530. Air America is moving to 1360.

--Mike L.

1640. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:56:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <X9zsg.149$P55.68@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82675

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> pictures,
> you don't look to be in the greatest health.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

Link Please

BH

1641. Re: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 20:59:34 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <gdzsg.150$P55.93@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82676

"Merlin3rd" <merlin_20832@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1152412755.966353.142740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>I have a JRC NRD-545 DSP HF Shortwave boat anchor for sale to anyone
> interested in this dying hobby. I must sell it before it's to late to
> get anything for it. It is in perfect condition with the box and all
> the original accessories. I must warn you there is nothing to listen on
> this receiver except CRAP! One Paso, or best offer. I take Paypal,
> Cash, or your sister.
>

Can I pick it up in person?

BH

1642. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:03:18 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152565398.218119.259770@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82677

dxAce wrote:
> "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>

> > the point is you are lazy and want you dx handed to you whine jerk
>
> The point, in your case, is that you're one screwed up individual.
hardly I am not the one demanding that the whiole make your hobby easy
for you

that is your lie

you are lazty afraid to work for what you want
>
> Get help!
>
for?

telling the truth about you? oh lazy one
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1643. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:07:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2C193.3433DBD2@milestones.com>
Source record: 82678

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>
> > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> > pictures,
> > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
>
> Link Please

http://www.davidgleason.com/2004_NAB.htm

1644. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:11:57 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152565917.582716.222580@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82679

```
dxAce wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> > > pictures,
> > > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
> >
> > Link Please
>
> http://www.davidgleason.com/2004_NAB.htm
nobody dipcited there looks to be in poor health
```

1645. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:12:49 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Gpzsg.151$P55.41@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82680

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2C193.3433DBD2@milestones.com...
>
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>
>> > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
>> > pictures,
>> > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
>>
>> Link Please
>
> http://www.davidgleason.com/2004_NAB.htm
>
>

Yep. I concur.

BH

1646. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:17:59 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152566279.033415.233310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82681

Michael Lawson wrote:
> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152555849.381560.223250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > >
> > > > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most
> people have heard
> > > > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> > > >
> > > > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on
> at night it's
> > > > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other
> factors.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to,
> but
> > > > never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a
> cellphone
> > > > carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.
> > > >
> > > > I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry
> it
> > > > unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to
> hear
> > > > from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM
> Canada
> > > > deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built
> in,
> > > > but internet access.
> > > >
> > > > See where this is going?
> > > >
> > > > Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that
> currently,
> > > > there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet
> radio. The

> > > iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD
> tracks to
> > > network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are
> streaming.
> > > XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in
> > > negotiation.
> > >
> > > Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio.
> No PC
> > > required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the
> back
> > > and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet
> Radio
> > > stations.
> > >
> > > And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every
> one of
> > > these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real
> interesting
> > > question:
> > >
> > > Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full
> power
> > > broadcast audio channel?
> > >
> > >
> > > Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has
> not met
> > > the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then,
> again,
> > > maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in
> audio
> > > quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at
> > > Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and
> distribution.
> > > And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent
> in
> > > seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In
> > > precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of
> the
> > > source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost
> of the
> > > hardware.
> > >
> > > What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it
> puts
> > > into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring
> costs
> > > of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of
> a

> > > broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast
> > > Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put
> their
> > > signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and
> > > throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And
> IBOC
> > > becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for
> listeners to
> > > interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one
> channel of
> > > distribution.
> > >
> > > And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the
> air
> > > with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC
> radio,
> > > what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a
> band
> > > where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to
> tune out
> > > of market to find something listenable.
> > >
> > > Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of
> > > distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial
> radio is
> > > becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's
> rapidly
> > > slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more
> inconvenient,
> > > and easy to overlook.
> > >
> > >
> > > Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up,
> and
> > > capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even
> if it
> > > is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the
> cost of
> > > our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.
> > >
> > > Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we
> currently
> > > have.
> > >
> > > And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word,
> impressive.
> > > Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in
> > > stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.
> > >
> > > And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same

> target.
> > >
> > > SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now
> for a
> > > decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are
> becoming
> > > just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM
> > > interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's
> lost
> > > it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.
> > >
> > > A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned
> from
> > > Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave
> > > broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order
> of the
> > > day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to
> be
> > > collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters
> exist
> > > to present sources of information alternative to domestic
> > > media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence
> on
> > > content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have
> understood
> > > since WWII.
> > >
> > > Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to
> find
> > > ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising
> support.
> > > Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally
> > > struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as
> always,
> > > come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.
> > >
> > > Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it
> will
> > > survive.
> > >
> > > So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.
> > >
> > > You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have
> alternatives.
> > >
> > > So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.
> > >
> > > As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the
> FCC....
> > >

> > >
> > > They don't care.
> > >
> > > They don't have to.
> >
> > There will be a slew of objections, from interfered stations, to the
> > FCC and lawsuits. The stations have the option of turning off IBOC,
> > which some of them already have. I have never heard that, dfor
> example,
> > a 50K watt analog only stations, will be able to reduce its power
> > comsumption, by running IBOC. Also, it has been shown that IBOC
> does
> > not have the coverage, or penetration, of analog.
>
> Hmm. The flamethrowers locally (1530 and 700) like to
> spout off how many watts they transmit with. It'll
> take a pretty big change of attitude at those stations.
>
> --Mike L.

I agree - have you heard that running IBOC would reduce the wattage of the broadcaster ? I have never heard of that, and I doubt it. I know that IBOC sidebands are only transmitted at 1/100 of the wattage of the analog main lobe...

1647. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:18:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2C429.10C0F34D@milestones.com>
Source record: 82682

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> > > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> > > > pictures,
> > > > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
> > >
> > > Link Please
> >
> > http://www.davidgleason.com/2004_NAB.htm
> nobody dipcited there looks to be in poor health

I never dipcited a thing officer... I swear!

1648. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:20:17 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152566417.651241.256070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82683

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
> >
>
> > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
> > regularly on
> > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
> >
> > No can listen no more.
>
> I know the station itself could care less.

This IBOC shill is all over the NGs...

1649. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:27:12 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152566832.169512.12910@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82684

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
>
> It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues decrease.

This guy is unbelievable - what a salesman ! I wonder, if he ever
dabbled in used cars ? Hey bud, we'll see - to try and mandate that
all future AM/FM radios be able to receive/procees IBOC is absurd !
IBOC is going to save AM/FM - what a fucking joke ! I am sure many
people, including myself, are perfectly happy with AM/FM, as it is; if
anything, new programming formats will "save" the airwaves, not some
crummy new broadcasting transmission technology !

1650. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:32:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9lzsg.129208$dW3.55419@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82685

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2A188.407B2047@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> prolific
> pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
>
>> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >
>> >
>> > AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy
>> > the
>> > radios. AM stereo came and went.
>> >
>>
>> It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced,
>> it
>> would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By
>> the
>> time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.
>
> When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> pictures,
> you don't look to be in the greatest health.

I am in fine health. I bicycle cross country about 25 miles a day on
weekends. What do you do?

1651. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:34:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qJzsg.129209$dW3.19277@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82686

"Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
news:no-3549AA.16262410072006@news.supernews.com...
> In article <FLvsg.1786$2v.2@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>> > regularly on
>> > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>> >
>> > No can listen no more.
>>
>> I know the station itself could care less.
>
> They COULDN'T care less! COULDN'T. If they COULD care less, that
> means they care now!

You are right... I suppose they could care less, but that is unlikely. They
care not a tad.

1652. HD-IBOC - The Death of AM/MW Radio Listening . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:36:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152567399.890424.59890@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82687

Doug Smith W9WI wrote:
> mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> > - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?
>
> Buy a HD radio.
>
> Seriously.
>
> The Boston Acoustics Receptor HD, when tuning *analog* stations, seems
> largely immune to IBOC QRM from *digital* stations on adjacent
> frequencies. The set uses digital signal processing of analog signals;
> I might guess that it uses the opposite digital sideband to cancel out
> the one that's interfering with the desired analog station.
>
> In general, my experience has been that the Receptor HD is a pretty
> decent analog radio, both AM and FM, if you give it a decent antenna.
> (the antennas provided with the radio are crap) Of course, it is pretty
> expensive as well!
>

- Of course, the problem for the radio industry is that
- almost nobody *has* a Receptor HD - when the
- interference cranks up, people will buy XM or Sirius,
- put in a CD, or turn on the TV long before they'll buy
- a HD radio.

DS [W9WI] - Yes in spite of the idea behind HD-IBOC
AM/MW Broadcasting as being the 'savior' of AM Radio.
Many DX {Out-of-Area} Listeners may simply Turn-Off
the AM Radio and Turn-On something else. ~ RHF

In the SF Bay currently I can hear many Out-of-Area
AM/MW {Analog} Radio Stations like :
Sacramento - KSTE 650 kHz and KFBK 1530 kHz
Fresno KRE 580 kHz
With HD-IBOC these AM Signals will be under the
Digital Signal Hash an no longer be able to be heard.
* With HD-IBOC these AM Signals will be under the
Digital Signal Hash an no longer be able to be heard.
* Local Only - HD-IBOC will result in about 30 AM
Radio Stations that could be heard easily with 6-7
of those being so close to the each other that the
number may even be smaller.

In the Twain Harte, CA currently I can hear many
Out-of-Area AM/MW {Analog} Radio Stations like :
Sacramento - KSTE 650 kHz and KFBK 1530 kHz
Fresno KRE 580 kHz
* With HD-IBOC these AM Signals will be under the
Digital Signal Hash an no longer be able to be heard.
* Local Only - HD-IBOC will result in about 9 AM
Radio Stations that could be heard easily.

> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com

1653. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where I...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LMzsg.5939$ye3.2257@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82688

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:26664-44B015F7-205@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> www.dogpile.com Ever see a little dog chunk a ball before? My little
> doggy,she does it all the time.
> cuhulin

What does she think of the monkey?

Sc

1654. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QMzsg.5942$ye3.3831@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82689

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:29047-44B19413-493@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I am sixty four years old.I own many,many old tube type radios and
> many,many old transistor radios (between two hundred to three hundred
> old radios,I own) with the Conelrad markings on them and I own an old
> Geiger counter.Other than those items I own,I dont know anything about
> Conelrad.Do I LQQK like an expert or something?
> cuhulin
>
>

Have you ever turned all your radio on at the same time?

1655. Re: OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VMzsg.5945$ye3.1073@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82690

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:2967-44B1416A-369@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:

> She showed herself to me butt naked to me a few hours ago.OK, she only
> a cute sweet girl.I LOVE herrr,mote is the quarter I Love that sweet
> girl.
> cuhulin
> ....I want to habe sex with that cute
> girl
> ....
>
>
>

Careful with them typo's

1656. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XMzsg.5947$ye3.2571@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82691

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:29047-44B095D4-390@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> Huh girl,, put your duds back on.You are scareing me to death.
> cuhulin

Did you give her a spin on the spindle?

Sc

1657. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:38:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZMzsg.5948$ye3.3172@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82692

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:29047-44AF220D-196@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I am not crazy (at least I hope not) but I just have to get meself
> lookin just like a woman at least once in my life so I will know what
> it's like,,,, before I die.
> cuhulin
> ....
> Lay that Pistollll down,,, Babe,,,,,, Lay that Pistol
> downnnnnnn,,,,,,,,,
> ....
>

You normally spell it with a K.

1658. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:38:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_Mzsg.5949$ye3.2211@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82693

```
abruxis@aol.com wrote in
news:1152536978.246195.22100@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> abruxis@aol.com wrote in
>> news:1152208073.259008.30730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> >> news:26031-44A9A4CA-519@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>> >>
>> >> > 99 point 99 point almost 100 percent of the time there is NOTHING
>> >> > on coast to coast worth listening to.Those people on there are a
>> >> > bunch of FREAKS and QUEERS.You are a MORON if you listen to that
>> >> > PHONEY BALONEY CRAP.
>> >> > cuhulin
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I feel the same way about Chuck Harder as well.
>> >
>> > I just heard Chuck Harder died ... can you verify this?
>> >
>>
>>
>> I know he's been brain dead a long time, but other than that I haven't
>> heard he got planted yet.
>>
>> SC
>
> Truthfully, despite what I heard the other day (no, I did not imagine
> it), I honestly don't know what Chuck Harder's situation is.
> Like I've said for years, Chuck should have retired long ago. His
> political constituency (I call it the Timothy McVeigh crowd) is long
> gone.
> The only reason Chuck is still on the radio is because he is still on
> the radio. I realize that sounds a bit recursive - an example of
> "circular reasoning" - but it's the only explanation I can come up
> with. I guess radio talk show hosts are like civil service folks (or
> Supreme Court members): they're in it for life, and it's awfully hard -
> or impossible - to fire them.
> Late last week, to find out more about what happened to Chuck, I tuned
> in to his radio network (TalkStar) early in the morning and kept it on
```

> until Chuck's program cranked up. There was no mention of Chuck during
> the earlier shows - absolutely nothing. It was as if "For The People"
> never existed. That contrasts with Chuck's earlier networks, where the
> hosts of the other programs - both before and after "For The People" -
> would mention the show on a fairly regular basis. Not to mention all
> the ads for "For The People," most of which were narrated by Chuck
> himself.
> Not this time.
> The spookiest aspect of Chuck is the fact that he doesn't take callers
> anymore, and hasn't done so for over a year.
> Why?
> Finally, there's that cute gal with the light Southern accent urging
> Chuck's listeners to "e-mail two friends" to tune in, so that Chuck can
> "double" his audience and rescue the U.S. of A. because "now, more than
> ever, America needs Chuck Harder." Sadly, those PSA's are starting to
> get on my nerves.
>
>

Funny you put it that way because that's the way I remember it too. The last time I listened was maybe ten years ago. He kept talking the McVeigh & nickols story and played that stupid steam boat Swaney River over & over. And power beams from space trying to talk control of him or some such thing.

1659. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 21:39:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2C90A.6F6415D0@milestones.com>
Source record: 82694

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B2C193.3433DBD2@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> >> > pictures,
> >> > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
> >>
> >> Link Please
> >
> > http://www.davidgleason.com/2004_NAB.htm
> >
> >
>
> Yep. I concur.

Here though, on this page is my favourite pic:

http://www.davidgleason.com/1998_Radio_Ink.htm

Gotta love the hair!

I wish I had an autographed copy of that one.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1660. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:14:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2D154.20B30179@milestones.com>
Source record: 82695

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B2A188.407B2047@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> > prolific
> > pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
> >
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy
> >> > the
> >> > radios. AM stereo came and went.
> >> >
> >>
> >> It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced,
> >> it
> >> would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By
> >> the
> >> time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.
> >
> > When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> > pictures,
> > you don't look to be in the greatest health.
>
> I am in fine health. I bicycle cross country about 25 miles a day on
> weekends.

Better up it to 50.

dxAce
(Fitness trainer to the fakes)
Michigan
USA

1661. Re: CONELRAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:22:24 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152570144.034844.11540@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82696

shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Direction finding.
> > that works if and only if you know where KB9RQZ is located.
>
> Well, in 1960 there was no KB9RQZ, but I'm sure the Russkis could've
> located some Callbooks if they were interested :-).
and that tells them what

after all I am normaly moblie most of the time

>
> Of course today we have Broadband-over-power-lines and IBOC so any kind
> of long-range direction-finding on HF is presumed impossible.
indeed that also means they can't use me for HF RDF
>
> Tim.

1662. Dx Ace the sky is falling the sky is falling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:24:45 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152570285.391026.22230@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82697

dxAce wrote:
> Michael Lawson wrote:
>
> > I hate fanning the flames, but just so people know, in
> > Cincinnati most of the content found on 1360 Homer
> > (sports talk and Bengals games, among other things)
> > is moving to 1530. Air America is moving to 1360.
>
> Hmmm... I check Air America on 1530 every now and then just for fun. I wonder if
> I'll be able to get 1360 here?
>
> No matter I guess, when the HD/IBOC (QRM) gets turned on at night, it'll all be
> over.
right and the ARS endd in 1990 from what I hear
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1663. R. Australia schedule ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:26:19 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e8uk5j01dgi@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82800

Someone posted scheds for R. Australia but i didn't get back to check it out - can someone point me in the right direction ? TNX

Brio
Love is All +

1664. hey SC a question if you please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:31:22 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152570682.644853.19000@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82798

why it is you can be something like civil here in shortwave but in the rest of the gruops I see you in?

1665. R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:32:06 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e8ukgg01e8q@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82801

I forgot to look at the Radio Australia schedule. I can't find the post now.
Can someone point me in the right direction please <s> >? TIA V.

1666. Re: VERISMO - Brooklyn's Thrash Metal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 22:34:21 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <NBAsg.168823$F_3.73760@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82799

What shortwave frequency can we hear them on?

<verismo@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152549218.348745.189760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Brooklyn's most exciting metal band, Verismo, has released the most
> suprising debut since the city started scorching immigrants into rock
> virtuosos. On their new record "City of Kings," the band astonishes
> with perfectly crafted metal originals - immediately full-throttle
> songs that need to be heard. Each song features the insanely precise
> and inventive guitars of vocalist Stephan Laboccetta, the colossal bass
> of Vincent Cruz, and Tamas Vadja's breakthrough drum attack. The
> instrumental majesty of metal and the fury of punk rock ignite on this
> record like rarely before. Here is a combustion of classical metal
> elements, heart-piercing lyrics, and sheer song writing skill
> soexplosive that it will be heard far beyond New York City. Expert
> energetic thrash metal one minute, achingly perfect and accessible hard
> rock the next, the new release establishes Verismo as the most
> authentic metal band in the city of kings
>
> http://www.verismetal.com
> http://www.myspace.com/verismo
>

1667. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:03:41 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <D1Bsg.565$Yo5.474@fe07.lga>
Source record: 82802

"Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in message
news:e8ukgg01e8q@enews2.newsguy.com...
>I forgot to look at the Radio Australia schedule. I can't find the post
>now. Can someone point me in the right direction please <s> >? TIA V.
>
>
>

www.radioaustralia.net.au/guide/schedule.htm

1668. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:13:03 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e8umtj01j5i@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82810

Thank you Kind Sir :)

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:D1Bsg.565$Yo5.474@fe07.lga...
>
> "Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:e8ukgg01e8q@enews2.newsguy.com...
>>I forgot to look at the Radio Australia schedule. I can't find the post
>>now. Can someone point me in the right direction please <s> >? TIA V.
>>
>>
>>
>
> www.radioaustralia.net.au/guide/schedule.htm
>
>
>

1669. Palestinians Hijack Jesus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:17:18 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5o9sggd4dr9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82807

Hijacking Jesus

The Palestinian Authority media claim Jesus was a persecuted Palestinian.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23278

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1670. Proof of Saddam's WMDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:18:03 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5o9tknrvup9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82806

Proof of Saddam's WMDs

http://www.washingtontimes.com/national/20060710-123909-1428r.htm

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1671. Re: HD-IBOC - The Death of AM/MW Radio Listening . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:18:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <btn5b2hskubr6tkkc7pugrcemglmved3vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 82803

On 10 Jul 2006 14:36:39 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>In the Twain Harte, CA currently I can hear many
>Out-of-Area AM/MW {Analog} Radio Stations like :
>Sacramento - KSTE 650 kHz and KFBK 1530 kHz
>Fresno KRE 580 kHz
>* With HD-IBOC these AM Signals will be under the
>Digital Signal Hash an no longer be able to be heard.
>* Local Only - HD-IBOC will result in about 9 AM
>Radio Stations that could be heard easily.

The only stations I can get at night are KNX and a bunch of
out-of-market stations e.g. KGO and KKOH. (Yeah, I can get KSL and
KFBK, too, but they suck). KDWN has some choice moments on occasion.

1672. The Worst Defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:18:38 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5obsb0udtae4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82808

The Worst Defense

It's been an interesting couple of weeks for looking at the war against the Islamo-fascists and other enemies of the United States. North Korea attempted to launch an Intercontinental Ballistic Missile capable of reaching American shores and one day carrying a nuclear warhead. Both technologies were made available to the North Koreans to put the best possible face on it by lax security controls and diplomatic follies during the Clinton administration. To allay public distress over the missile launch, President Bush announced that anti-ballistic systems the United States was developing provided us with a good chance of intercepting the Korean missiles. Perhaps by the time the North Koreans achieve this capability, our anti-ballistic missile shield will be in place.

But this is also no thanks to Democrats who have waged a ferocious 25-year war against the building of an anti-ballistic missile defense. The entire liberal arsenal was launched against this program, right at the outset with the mockery of Reagan's Star Wars initiative and reached a crescendo when the Democrats supported Soviet objections to scrapping the SALT II agreements and later to Russian objections to withdrawing from the defunct ABM Treaty which would have prevented us from finishing the SDI program. Left to Democrats, in other words, America would have no defense against the maniac in Pyongyang, Kim Jong-il.
...
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23279

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1673. Re: R. Australia schedule ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:19:02 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <03o5b2da5ciq1ptsrhc7k3jsu4rak6sspt@4ax.com>
Source record: 82804

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:26:19 -0700, "Verstaldin"
<mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote:

>Someone posted scheds for R. Australia but i didn't get back to check it
>out - can someone point me in the right direction ? TNX
>
>Brio
>Love is All +
>
>
http://www.ilgradio.com/ilgonline/ilgsd/ILGSDAUS.HTM

1674. North Korean Test Was Intel Windfall for Communist China

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:19:09 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5obtc380loe7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82809

North Korean Test Was Intel Windfall for Communist China

http://www.humaneventsonline.com/article.php?id=15963

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1675. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:19:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <s3o5b2hcdk2j1koh1nupbv7dut4ua7520l@4ax.com>
Source record: 82805

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 15:32:06 -0700, "Verstaldin"
<mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote:

>I forgot to look at the Radio Australia schedule. I can't find the post now.
>Can someone point me in the right direction please <s> >? TIA V.
>
>
>
http://www.ilgradio.com/ilgonline/ilgsd/ILGSDAUS.HTM

1676. Re: Pre-9/11 Iraq How-to Manual Directed al-Qaeda Operatives In Afghanistan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:32:00 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5p3vqt4bniaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82811

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:3rmva2t77iht2nh8i1rmmpc0ac26kunc3r@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 8 Jul 2006 08:39:20 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> >
> > > http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/individualProfile.asp?indid=1349
> > >
> > >
> > Do you have a point?
>

Do you have a brain?

1677. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:44:31 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b5prg7tv24p73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82815

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> >> You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
> >> to take any steps to prevent it.
> >
> >You forgot to add Impeached Clintoon and John fucked-up Kerry
> >
> There was only one President on the September 11th.
>

You have a disease called amnesia caused by propaganda brainwashing.

"From April 1995 through the time of the Khobar Towers bombing in June 1996,
the analytic community [CIA] published more than 100 products on the topic
of terrorism on the Arabian peninsula," the report stated, including
specific intelligence warnings that the Khobar Towers complex was under
surveillance by Iranian intelligence agents and local surrogates.

The intelligence reports contained specific warnings of "ongoing Iranian and
radical Islamic fundamentalist groups' attempts to target American
servicemen in the Eastern province of Saudi Arabia for terrorist acts"
...
In April 1996, Schwalier met with the CIA station chief in Riyadh...

The CIA man said the U.S. suspected the explosives were being "transferred"
to Saudi Arabia, or were "passing through" Saudi Arabia, and that a Saudi
"dissident financier" named Osama Bin Laden was likely involved. But he made
no mention of Iran or of any potential threat to Dhahran.
...
"Contrary to what the intelligence community may have suggested, I was never
advised of a specific terrorist threat to Khobar Towers"
...
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23049

Khobar Towers: Iran's involvement

http://intelligence-summit.blogspot.com/2006/06/khobar-towers.html

"One way or the other, we are determined to deny Iraq the capacity to
develop weapons of mass destruction and the missiles to deliver them. That
is our bottom line." - President Clinton, Feb. 4, 1998

"If Saddam rejects peace and we have to use force, our purpose is clear. We
want to seriously diminish the threat posed by Iraq's weapons of mass
destruction program." - President Bill Clinton, Feb. 17, 1998

"We must stop Saddam from ever again jeopardizing the stability and security of his neighbors with weapons of mass destruction." - Madeline Albright, Feb 1, 1998

"He will use those weapons of mass destruction again, as he has ten times since 1983."
- Sandy Berger, Clinton National Security Adviser, Feb, 18, 1998

"[W]e urge you, after consulting with Congress, and consistent with the U.S. Constitution and laws, to take necessary actions (including, if appropriate, air and missile strikes on suspect Iraqi sites) to respond effectively to the threat posed by Iraq's refusal to end its weapons of mass destruction programs."
Letter to President Clinton. - (D) Senators Carl Levin, Tom Daschle, John Kerry, others, Oct. 9, 1998

"Saddam Hussein has been engaged in the development of weapons of mass destruction technology which is a threat to countries in the region and he has made a mockery of the weapons inspection process."
- Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D, CA) , Dec. 16, 1998

"Hussein has chosen to spend his money on building weapons of mass destruction and palaces for his cronies."
- Madeline Albright, Clinton Secretary of State, Nov. 10, 1999

"We begin with the common belief that Saddam Hussein is a tyrant and a threat to the peace and stability of the region. He has ignored the mandate of the United Nations and is building weapons of mass destruction and the means of delivering them."
- Sen. Carl Levin (D, MI) , Sept. 19, 2002

"We know that he has stored secret supplies of biological and chemical weapons throughout his country."
- Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"Iraq's search for weapons of mass destruction has proven impossible to deter and we should assume that it will continue for as long as Saddam is in power." - Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"We have known for many years that Saddam Hussein is seeking and developing weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Ted Kennedy (D, MA) , Sept. 27, 2002

"The last UN weapons inspectors left Iraq in October of 1998. We are confident that Saddam Hussein retains some stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons, and that he has since embarked on a crash course to build up his chemical and biological warfare capabilities. Intelligence reports indicate that he is seeking nuclear weapons."
- Sen. Robert Byrd (D, WV) , Oct. 3, 2002

"I will be voting to give the President of the United States the authority to use force - if necessary - to disarm Saddam Hussein because I believe that a deadly arsenal of weapons of mass destruction in his hands is a real and grave threat to our security." - Sen. John F. Kerry (D, MA) , Oct. 9,

2002

"There is unmistakable evidence that Saddam Hussein is working aggressively to develop nuclear weapons and will likely have nuclear weapons within the next five years . We also should remember we have always underestimated the progress Saddam has made in development of weapons of mass destruction." - Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D, WV) , Oct 10, 2002

"In the four years since the inspectors left, intelligence reports show that Saddam Hussein has worked to rebuild his chemical and biological weapons stock, his missile delivery capability, and his nuclear program. He has also given aid, comfort, and sanctuary to terrorists, including al Qaeda members . It is clear, however, that if left unchecked, Saddam Hussein will continue to increase his capacity to wage biological and chemical warfare, and will keep trying to develop nuclear weapons."
- Sen. Hillary Clinton (D, NY) , Oct 10, 2002

"We are in possession of what I think to be compelling evidence that Saddam Hussein has, and has had for a number of years, a developing capacity for the production and storage of weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Bob Graham (D, FL) , Dec. 8, 2002

"Without question, we need to disarm Saddam Hussein. He is a brutal, murderous dictator, leading an oppressive regime . He presents a particularly grievous threat because he is so consistently prone to miscalculation . And now he is miscalculating America's response to his continued deceit and his consistent grasp for weapons of mass destruction . So the threat of Saddam Hussein with weapons of mass destruction is real."
- Sen. John F. Kerry (D, MA) , Jan. 23. 2003

1678. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:47:25 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8uoq9$5cb$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82812

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
>>
>> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
>> will be
>> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
>> the
>> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
>> you
>> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
>> the AM
>> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>>
>> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>
> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>

Here's one for you Eduardo..

Out in Richfield, Utah, we had a single local station. Almost nobody
listened to it, preferring instead to listen to much more distant Salt Lake
stations. And those Salt Lake stations most certainly did have regional
advertisements, even for local/semilocal businesses like car dealerships,
etc. Mind you, there were SOME listeners of the local station, mainly those
farmers that wanted the farm news.

1679. Re: Zenith Transoceanic 3000-1 AM/FM/SW/LW w/ all Manuals Last Day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:48:51 UTC
From: Cartrivision1 <doidy1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1152575331.387372.77810@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82814
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DeserTBoB wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 22:36:19 -0700, "Cartrivision1" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >I would most likely have numerous more positives but due to my bad
> >experiences I no longer post feedback until the buyer does first. <snip>
>
> That's called "laying in wait," and is the mark of a schlock eBayer.

No, that is called C.Y.A., especially since I was "negged" by a non-paying bidder who was bidding on a lot of auctions he was not paying for. I left him a negative and he turns around and did the same to me. Now if I would had been smart and did what I do now my feedback would be a lot better. Same with the guy who left me a negative 2 months after the fact.

And you might want to check first before you go and trash people on usenet. We have had numerous discussions on the 8-track group. I used to think somewhat highly of you but not anymore. Have fun stalking Nudo.

CTV

1680. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-10 23:51:14 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8up1d$5da$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82816

<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152549605.072262.57370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
>> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>>
>> > David wrote:
>> > > There is zero demand for this.
>> >
>> > Yes, you are right; that is why I am not too concerned about nighttime
>> > IBOC approval, despite what all the rumor mongers say. Only a handful
>> > of stores are carrying only one HD radio; with satellite radio, there
>> > will be no demand; most people have not even heard of HD radio.
>>
>> Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people
>> have heard
>> about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
>>
>> The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night
>> it's
>> going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
> the point is you are lazy and want you dx handed to you whine jerk
>

DX hell.. when/if nighttime IBOC goes online, there will be people in metro
areas that won't be able to hear their own local staitions for the IBOC hash
from distant adjacents.

1681. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 00:05:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <fXBsg.63654$fb2.10781@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82817

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e8uoq9$5cb$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
>>>
>>> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
>>> will be
>>> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
>>> the
>>> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
>>> you
>>> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
>>> the
>>> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
>>> the AM
>>> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
>>> DIGEST)
>>>
>>> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>
>> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>>
>
> Here's one for you Eduardo..

Name is David Eduardo, like Billy Bob. You would not call Billy Bob just
plain "Bob" would you?
>
> Out in Richfield, Utah, we had a single local station.

That area looks like it has about a dozen FMs giving primary service now,
including two in Richfield, several more in Price and a bunch in places like
Manti, etc.

> Almost nobody listened to it, preferring instead to listen to much more
> distant Salt Lake stations.

I would assume that most listen to the plethora of local FMs now.

> And those Salt Lake stations most certainly did have regional
> advertisements, even for local/semilocal businesses like car dealerships,
> etc. Mind you, there were SOME listeners of the local station, mainly

> those farmers that wanted the farm news.

And today? I would imagine that most people under their mid-40's won't put up with anything on AM and would prefer the local FMs over some distant AM.

1682. Re: BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 00:06:24 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <e7a8c$44b2e707$422aad7d$28367@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 82813

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2B73C.A1E12EC8@milestones.com...
>
>
> Michael Lawson wrote:
>
> > I hate fanning the flames, but just so people know, in
> > Cincinnati most of the content found on 1360 Homer
> > (sports talk and Bengals games, among other things)
> > is moving to 1530. Air America is moving to 1360.
>
> Hmmm... I check Air America on 1530 every now and then just for fun.
I wonder if
> I'll be able to get 1360 here?
>
> No matter I guess, when the HD/IBOC (QRM) gets turned on at night,
it'll all be
> over.

I rather doubt you'd be able to get 1360 up in MI, Ace,
even without IBOC. Not that it's not possible, but
IIRC, 1360 isn't a very strong signal.

--Mike L.

1683. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 00:48:23 UTC
From: Oink OinK Oink <mushroomsonmars@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <eedc0$44b2f585$d1cc5787$20634@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 82818

"Avery W3AVE" <acomarow@usnews.com> wrote in message
news:1152558957.350510.255160@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
>
> Guidance, please.
>
> Avery W3AVE
>

Post FA's here----> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.SWAP
(Best place and most exposure)

1684. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 00:57:19 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e8ustb$6kv$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82819

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>>
>>
>>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>>> regularly on
>>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>>
>>> No can listen no more.
>>
>>I know the station itself could care less.
>>
> You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
> reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
> that he has lost a listener.

Kinda the way I see it, too. If they didn't care about losing listeners
outside their "market", then why would they give a damn about pirates?

1685. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:00:12 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <qtt5b2hvd2neaagodinfkqavifm2560g7a@4ax.com>
Source record: 82820

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:44:31 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>> >> You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
>> >> to take any steps to prevent it.
>> >
>> >You forgot to add Impeached Clintoon and John fucked-up Kerry
>> >
>> There was only one President on the September 11th.
>>
>
>You have a disease called amnesia caused by propaganda brainwashing.
>
You have me confused with a partisan. I think all prsidents suck the
big one. Some are bigger thieves than others. None of them ever does
anything to help little guys like me and you.

1686. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:03:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mNCsg.168966$F_3.34409@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82821

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e8ustb$6kv$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>>>
>>>
>>>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>>>> regularly on
>>>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>>>
>>>> No can listen no more.
>>>
>>>I know the station itself could care less.
>>>
>> You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
>> reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
>> that he has lost a listener.
>
> Kinda the way I see it, too. If they didn't care about losing listeners
> outside their "market", then why would they give a damn about pirates?

Pirates are a concern in a specific market to the stations licensed in that
market.

Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings. Even
stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA station
(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this out-of-metro
audience.

1687. Lou Maag is a Radio Reference controling NATZI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:06:45 UTC
From: loumaagsucks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152580005.022401.181230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82822

This transexuals life is being the RR cop. He gets his nut controling all the posts on RR. He is rude, condesending, and just lurks waiting for a post to jump on. I think his butt is so tight he can't s*hit.

1688. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:07:49 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152580069.533057.90570@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82823

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> Avery W3AVE wrote:
> > I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> > this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> > caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> > charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
> >
> > Guidance, please.
>
> any post is automatic in the NGs these days FA opinion piecs equipment
> reeviews nanything I know of no charter that forbid ot topic equiment
> ads
> >
> > Avery W3AVE

you spel gud and puncshaute even bester.,

1689. Re: The Worst Defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:10:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <shu5b2tnth4qn6hbf5pdt0obqb3jjfk9o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 82824

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:18:38 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:

>The Worst Defense
>
There's already a countermeasure to the antimissile defense scheme.
It's called MIRV. Look it up.

There's another countermeasure, too.

It's called cargo container.

1690. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:12:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B2FAE3.47BB1D12@milestones.com>
Source record: 82825

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e8uoq9$5cb$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B243D8.CDC87DBA@milestones.com...
> >>>
> >>> ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
> >>> will be
> >>> making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within
> >>> the
> >>> next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while
> >>> you
> >>> can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
> >>> the
> >>> daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
> >>> the AM
> >>> band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
> >>> DIGEST)
> >>>
> >>> Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >>
> >
> > Here's one for you Eduardo..
>
> Name is David Eduardo, like Billy Bob.

Your name is David Frackelton, like... like...

LMFAO

1691. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:13:05 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1152580385.567253.26890@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82826

True, it is possibly a harmonic he's hearing, but it's probably R. Verdad. There are some nights when it seems as though they use a directional antenna and are beaming it north, it comes in that good here, compared to other times. According to the director, they have received reception reports from all over the world.

Interestingly enough, with the QSL they included an info sheet on achieving better reception of R. Verdad, if you're in Guatamala!

They seem to ID on the half hour (I've always heard it then, anyway). The program schedule I have lists the "Back To Jesus Broadcast" in English on Saturdays at (local time) 5:10 to 5:25 am, 7:00 to 7:15 am, 6:00 to 6:15 pm and 10:15 to 10:30 pm. Also "Spiritual Songs" in English Saturdays at 11:00 pm. I have no idea if Guatamala uses Daylight Time, but its -6 hours from UTC Keep trying, you'll get them eventually.

Hope this helps.

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > David wrote:
> > > On 9 Jul 2006 06:33:19 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >David wrote:
> > > > >> On 8 Jul 2006 20:22:18 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> > > > >> >4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> > > > >> >schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> > > > >> >or so.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >Steve
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> 3rd harmonic 1350?

>
> A harmonic is certainly a possibility, lots of choices and it might not even be
> from a USA station.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1692. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:16:30 UTC
From: VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <o7-dnUL9ppZxZi_ZnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82827

test

1693. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:23:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-2BB9CE.18234310072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82828

In article <o7-dnUL9ppZxZi_ZnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>,
VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com> wrote:

> test

There are test news groups for this sort of thing.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1694. Sept 9+10 - ARRL MDC Section Convention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:24:05 UTC
From: VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <g4idnZfvte8uYC_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82829

Click on:
<http://www.farfest.org/> for info.

1695. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:26:23 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OvCdndl2fcerYy_ZnZ2dnUVZ_qqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:8Dksg.103516$I61.26014@clgrps13...
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> I have an extra 2010 for sale. works great. cosmetically has wear around
>> the volume control but still overall good condition. whip is fine. sync
>> works well.
>> I will include and AC adapter (not Sony) and antenna wire or whatever
>> else you might need that I am able to include for free.
>> email me if you want some pics.
>
>
> Mike, how's the sale going. Your email seems to be getting lost.
>
>
>
>
> mike II

1696. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:46:31 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XpDsg.2942$vO.1826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82831

mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
>>** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
>>making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
>>next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
>>can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>>daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
>>band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>>
>>Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>
>>HD/IBOC = QRM
>>
>>dxAce
>>Michigan
>>USA
>
>
> - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?
>

Are you talking about IBOC and their crap or the infamous DX Ass. You
can filter him by simply flushing the toilet.

1697. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 01:52:12 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gvDsg.2946$vO.472@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82832

```
dxAce wrote:

>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>
>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>news:44B2A188.407B2047@milestones.com...
>>
>>>
>>>David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
>>>prolific
>>>pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy
>>>>>the
>>>>>radios. AM stereo came and went.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced,
>>>>it
>>>>would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By
>>>>the
>>>>time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.
>>>
>>>When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
>>>pictures,
>>>you don't look to be in the greatest health.
>>
>>I am in fine health. I bicycle cross country about 25 miles a day on
>>weekends.
>
>
> Better up it to 50.
>
> dxAce
> (Fitness trainer to the fakes)
> Michigan
> USA
```

>
>

Ace is a 400 pound greasy pile of crap who just sits behind his radios
all day and posts his crap on here.

1698. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 02:04:56 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cHDsg.2952$vO.508@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82833

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
>>"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>>
>>
>>>dxAce wrote:
>>>
>>>>** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
>>>>making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
>>>>next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
>>>>can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>>>>daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
>>>>band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>>>>
>>>>Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>>>
>>>>HD/IBOC = QRM
>>>>
>>>>dxAce
>>>>Michigan
>>>>USA
>>>
>>>There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
>>>to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
>>>formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
>>>station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
>>>transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
>>>happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
>>>City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
>>>radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
>>>IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
>>>quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
>>>goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
>>>to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
>>>the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
>>>adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
>>
>>As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
>>
>>Far more than I trust yours!
>>
>>dxAce

>>Michigan
>>USA
>
>
> Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
>

Means that he would have to cut himself to pieces. Because all DX Ass
has in his life is bullshit

1699. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 02:05:26 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GHDsg.2953$vO.1357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82834

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
>>"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>>
>>
>>>dxAce wrote:
>>>
>>>>"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>dxAce wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC will be
>>>>>>making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW within the
>>>>>>next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing while you
>>>>>>can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in the
>>>>>>daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of the AM
>>>>>>band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>HD/IBOC = QRM
>>>>>>
>>>>>>dxAce
>>>>>>Michigan
>>>>>>USA
>>>>>
>>>>>There will probably be a flood of formal objections, if not lawsuits,
>>>>>to the FCC from stations being interfered with IBOC; the FCC has a
>>>>>formal complaint process in place, that if it becomes necessary, the
>>>>>station causing the interference can be forced to shut down the IBOC
>>>>>transmission. Instead of posting rumors, wait and see, if this really
>>>>>happens. I have checked most of the electronic stores on-line(Circuit
>>>>>City, Best Buy, Radio Shack, etc.), and most of them only carry one HD
>>>>>radio. I can't imagine there will be any public demand/interest in
>>>>>IBOC, considering satellite radio, so stations will find out real
>>>>>quickly, when they have lost 99% of their listener base, if IBOC ever
>>>>>goes completely digital. Honestly, does iBiquity think they are going
>>>>>to be able to obsolete the estimated 800 million analog AM/FM radios in
>>>>>the US. As posted before, stations have turned off IBOC, because of
>>>>>adjacent-channel interference to their neighbors.
>>>>

>>>>As far as rumours go, I trust Glenn Hauser's judgement.
>>>>
>>>>Far more than I trust yours!
>>>
>>>Are you ever going to cut the bullshit ?
>>
>>And what bullshit might that be, 'tard boy?
>>
>>dxAce
>>Michigan
>>USA
>
>
> You really are a hostile little boy.
>

He might get upset and hit you with his purse

1700. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 02:28:20 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <adEsg.205$NI2.103@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82836

"Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in message
news:e8umtj01j5i@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Thank you Kind Sir :)

Your welcome Verstaldin.

BH

1701. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 02:35:47 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ug36b2l5ju4nurtncvbc0ngln3fpmrupdq@4ax.com>
Source record: 82835

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings. Even
>stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
>benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA station
>(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
>Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this out-of-metro
>audience.
>
Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
whether you can monetize every listener.

1702. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 02:43:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ifEsg.118591$H71.77863@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82837

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ug36b2l5ju4nurtncvbc0ngln3fpmrupdq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings.
>>Even
>>stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
>>benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA
>>station
>>(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
>>Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this out-of-metro
>>audience.
>>
> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
> whether you can monetize every listener.

There is no way to serve listeners that are a two hour drive away from one's
licensed city and market.

1703. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 03:36:35 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <71Fsg.104158$I61.53537@clgrps13>
Source record: 82838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

mike maghakian wrote:

> I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours

Sorry to hear that. I emailed for a picture or two, but the message must have gone missing.

oh well...

mike

1704. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 03:37:06 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-2DBB7C.20370610072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82839

In article <adEsg.205$Nl2.103@fe05.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net>
wrote:

> "Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:e8umtj01j5i@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Thank you Kind Sir :)
>
>
>
> Your welcome Verstaldin.

Be sure to try these:

KHz UTC
15515 02:00 to 07:00
15240 01:00 to 07:00
13670 07:00 to 09:00

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1705. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 04:13:45 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LPudnU7C8cHyuC7ZnZ2dnUVZ_qqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

email me again, I might have something in a week

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:71Fsg.104158$I61.53537@clgrps13...
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours
>
>
> Sorry to hear that. I emailed for a picture or two, but the message must
> have gone missing.
>
> oh well...
>
>
>
>
> mike

1706. Re: (OT) : Miso - Rush Limbaugh May Have His Problems -but- Where Is Your Humanity ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 05:40:47 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152596447.463249.188720@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82841

We are talking about Rush "The Confirmed Drug Addict" Limbaugh right?

The hypocrite that hasn't met a pill that he hasn't popped.

With the Viagra he has, I really want to know who is desperate enought
to do this guy....Ann Coulter?

TMT

dxAce wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> > Limbaugh is the poster child for sanctimonious, which is why people
> > dump on him. He rants about the behavior of Hollywood, then goes on a
> > sex tour.
>
> Sex tour? You know this, how?
>
> > He calls Jerry Garcia a drug addict, but it turns out he is a
> > drug addict himself.
>
> He became addicted to a medication his doctor prescribed, a big difference from
> Jerry Garcia who became addicted to drugs he prescribed for himself!
>
> > It appears there are rules for Limbaugh, and then everyone else.
>
> Appearences can be deceiving, and you Liberals are KNOWN for self deception.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1707. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 06:08:47 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152598127.911690.208730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82842

Avery W3AVE wrote:
> I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
>
> Guidance, please.
>
> Avery W3AVE

I think one post is fine.

1708. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 06:12:19 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152598339.023290.9820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82843

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
>
> It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues decrease.

So AM stations become cheaper to buy and thus less corporate influence.
So the problem is?????

1709. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 06:22:18 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152598938.139387.62370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82844

D Peter Maus wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Whether or not the radios are available or whether or not most people have heard
> > about HD radio is not the point, shit for brains.
> >
> > The point is if the broadcasters are allowed to turn the crap on at night it's
> > going to make one hell of a mess, regardless of any other factors.
> >
> >
>
>
> Which kind of underscores something that has been alluded to, but
> never really stated outright, until this past couple of weeks....
>
>
> This past week, XM Canada entered into an agreement with a cellphone
> carrier to distribute XM on cellphones.
>
> I hadn't thought about my cellphone much. I tend not to carry it
> unless I"m specifically expecting a call from someone I want to hear
> from. Otherwise it sits on my desk. But when I read about the XM Canada
> deal, I realized that my cellphone has not only an FM radio built in,
> but internet access.
>
> See where this is going?
>
> Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently,
> there are alternatives to terrestrial radio. There's internet radio. The
> iPod is ubiquitous. And now handles everything from ripped CD tracks to
> network TV shows. More stations are podcasting. Thousands are streaming.
> XM and Sirius are carrying whole radio stations, now, with more in
> negotiation.
>
> Last month was announced the first standalone internet radio. No PC
> required. You can simply plug your broadband connection into the back
> and select from thousands, if not tens of thousands, of Internet Radio
> stations.
>
> And commercial broadcasters are beginning to sign on to every one of
> these alternative methods of delivery. Which raises a real interesting
> question:
>
> Who needs the headache and expense of maintaining a full power

> broadcast audio channel?
>
>
> Now, I've heard IBOC. I'm not impressed. What I've heard has not met
> the expectations claimed for it. That may change. But then, again,
> maybe not. The real benefits of digital audio/broacast are not in audio
> quality--that's subjective and debatable till we all meet at
> Milliways--the benefits of digital are in management and distribution.
> And THAT means profitabiltiy. Any audio can be put anywhere, sent in
> seconds, even pulled from an archive without a human attendant. In
> precisely the quality with which it was put there. Regardless of the
> source, transmission, or end user hardware. For the one-time cost of the
> hardware.
>
> What IBOC does, that no one has substantively addressed, is it puts
> into play a high tech solution for the ever increasing recurring costs
> of maintaining a transmitter. IBOC uses a fraction of the power of a
> broadcast transmitter to cover about the same area. Broadcast
> Manglement comes at that thought. The idea of being able to put their
> signal out there, and cut the power bill by 50% makes GMs wet and
> throbbing. Lower cost, higher profit. Local radio stays local. And IBOC
> becomes only ONE of an ever increasing number of ways for listeners to
> interact with the Radio Station. The transmitter becomes one channel of
> distribution.
>
> And when you've got the ability to pull the station out of the air
> with your cell phone, a portable satellite receiver, or an IBOC radio,
> what really does that mean for IBOC generated interference on a band
> where most of us admit, the pickin's is so slim that we have to tune out
> of market to find something listenable.
>
> Kind of renders the point moot. And there are more methods of
> distribution being created every day. Meaning, that terrestrial radio is
> becoming less important as a source. One of many. One that's rapidly
> slipping from the most convenient and ubiquitous, to more inconvenient,
> and easy to overlook.
>
>
> Now, for us, that blows. We enjoy the process of setting up, and
> capturing, with some anonymity, the content of our choosing, even if it
> is found across the country. And doing it for no more than the cost of
> our own ingenuity, and what hardware we build/buy.
>
> Those days are coming to a close. At least with the toys we currently
> have.
>
> And as for DRM.....I've heard DRM. It was, in a word, impressive.
> Still not FM quality. But close enough. Full bandwidth music, in
> stereo, on shortwave. Hoodathunkit? And yet, there it was.
>

> And again, requiring less energy to distribute to the same target.
>
> SW broadcasters have been on the internet, and satellite, now for a
> decade. The actual transmitters, as with MW transmitters, are becoming
> just another outlet. Just another method of distribution. DRM
> interference, is just another minor annoyance for a service that's lost
> it's place in the pantheon of content distribution options.
>
> A little short sighted in some cases. Especially, as we learned from
> Galaxy 5, that distribution in the hands of 3rd parties can leave
> broadcasters severely cut off. But short sightedness is the order of the
> day. Especially when there are budgets to be cut. And bonuses to be
> collected. And given that many of our international broadcasters exist
> to present sources of information alternative to domestic
> media...well...trashing shortwave also allows political influence on
> content by controlling access. Something, we, as SWL's, have understood
> since WWII.
>
> Timetables? Good question. Terrestrial radio companies needs to find
> ways to make alternative outlets profitable with advertising support.
> Believe me, they're working on it. When the model is finally
> struck...and it won't come from the broadcasters...it will, as always,
> come from the advertisers, things will evolve pretty quickly.
>
> Radio will survive. Not in a form we recognize, perhaps, but it will
> survive.
>
> So, yeah, when IBOC goes full time, things will be a mess.
>
> You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have alternatives.
>
> So, actually, now, do the broadcasters.
>
> As far as the interference goes...the broadcasters...the FCC....
>
>
> They don't care.
>
> They don't have to.

Some people have streamed radio stations over their WIndows based
phones. I haven't figured out how or if this can be done on my
blackberry. :-(

1710. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 09:45:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B37338.92EB85CC@milestones.com>
Source record: 82845

David wrote:

> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings. Even
> >stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
> >benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA station
> >(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
> >Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this out-of-metro
> >audience.
> >
> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
> whether you can monetize every listener.

This from the October 2004 edition of "Tattler":

Univision's David Gleason told the crowd that radio should focus on listeners,
not on the market.

1711. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 09:54:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3756A.D6FF3051@milestones.com>
Source record: 82846

Billy Smith wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >
> >>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >>news:44B2A188.407B2047@milestones.com...
> >>
> >>>
> >>>David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> >>>prolific
> >>>pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy
> >>>>>the
> >>>>>radios. AM stereo came and went.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced,
> >>>>it
> >>>>would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By
> >>>>the
> >>>>time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.
> >>>
> >>>When are you going to die as a fraud medium? Judging by your latest
> >>>pictures,
> >>>you don't look to be in the greatest health.
> >>
> >>I am in fine health. I bicycle cross country about 25 miles a day on
> >>weekends.
> >
> >
> > Better up it to 50.
> >
> > dxAce
> > (Fitness trainer to the fakes)

> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
>
> Ace is a 400 pound greasy pile of crap who just sits behind his radios
> all day and posts his crap on here.

I generally sit in *front* of my radios, as it makes them a lot easier to tune.

You might try it some time.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1712. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 12:41:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <j277b2lkjbptv7mobic5vhdjvng7ibm3gs@4ax.com>
Source record: 82847

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 02:43:26 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ug36b2l5ju4nurtncvbc0ngln3fpmrupdq@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>

>
>There is no way to serve listeners that are a two hour drive away from one's
>licensed city and market.
>
>
I think you fail to grasp the concept.

1713. Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 12:47:12 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152622032.290345.201220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82948

I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260, 1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.

The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an irritation throughout the SW bands.

I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.

My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass on to engineering.)

Any advice?

Tim.

1714. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 13:19:13 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lzNsg.118204$mF2.24359@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82949

shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote:
> I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
> 1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
>
> The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
> unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
> irritation throughout the SW bands.
>
> I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
> transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
> receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
> harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
>
> My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
> with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
> that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
> on to engineering.)
>
> Any advice?
>
> Tim.
>

We had a similar circumstance with a new tower in Algonquin shared by three stations, the mix products of which completely wiped out our station in a very hot zipcode for us.

We started by getting listener complaints from the stations being interfered with, us and one other on the tower.

That would be you.

Then they sent me out to record some audio in the area of interference, and that was followed by the Cheap Engineer doing some field strength readings.

Phone calls to the offending stations were not returned, or acknowledged.

The package was then sent to our corporate lawyers, who sent it to FCC for resolution.

Things jumped pretty quickly from there.

The key was that we were a local station, and this was pretty significant interference to the local audience.

So...you need to gather your facts. And tapes would be good, if you can get them, (especially during a spot break...get the tail end of the programming, capturing the calls, and then a string of spots that are difficult to hear with all the trash, then back into programming again capturing the calls,) and contact the station being interfered with. They can take the complaint to the FCC a lot quicker and with more certainty than you can. Explain to them (and you may need to talk to two or three people inside to get the right attention) that you're a local listener, and that you cannot listen to their station because of interference from the offending station. I'd contact the Cheap Engineer, first. Then the General Mangler. And if you get nowhere there, go to over his head to the real power in the building: the Sales Mangler.

You tell a Sales Mangler that his prime inventory isn't being heard in a local area, and he'll have the National Guard on the property within the hour.

If you STILL don't get satisfaction, then take it to the next level. Talk to someone at the home office. Or better still, start talking to advertisers on the station being interfered with....Start with Coca-Cola. Coke will get their attention, but quick. Just make sure you're out of the way. You don't want to get any on you.

After you've made your noise with the station, contact the district office of FCC, and make your complaint to them, as well. That will reinforce the station's action.

1715. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 13:45:12 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <kpa7b21jqd6hs8bpnltjiju3pviokifuks@4ax.com>
Source record: 82950

On 11 Jul 2006 05:47:12 -0700, shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote:

>I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
>1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
>
>The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
>unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
>irritation throughout the SW bands.
>
>I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
>transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
>receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
>harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
>
>My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
>with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
>that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
>on to engineering.)
>
>Any advice?
>
>Tim.
>
That company's in the process of being sold, isn't it? Citadel might
be more cooperative than Disney.

1716. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 13:59:49 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152626388.966898.148340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82951

If station is being sold then maybe potential new owner would like to
hear that signal is AFU. Perhaps you contact them and they will have
present owner fix before papers signed.

If that doesn't work then here's what I would do. Get yourself some
spraypaint, gasoline and an old mattress. Spraypaint a small blurb
about a dirty signal on the side of the radio station. If is located
in an urban area this is even better. Throw the mattress down and soak
with gasoline and light her up. Call up a few local TV station and
tell em the studio is on fire. They will send a crew over and film the
mattress and the blurb you wrote on the side of the building and a good
chance it will be fixed right away.

73
NEO

David wrote:
> On 11 Jul 2006 05:47:12 -0700, shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote:
>
> >I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
> >1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
> >
> >The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
> >unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
> >irritation throughout the SW bands.
> >
> >I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
> >transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
> >receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
> >harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
> >
> >My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
> >with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
> >that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
> >on to engineering.)
> >
> >Any advice?
> >
> >Tim.
> >
> That company's in the process of being sold, isn't it? Citadel might
> be more cooperative than Disney.

1717. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 14:00:56 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44B3AF0A.7385@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82952

I concentrate on finding out who the chief engineer is and contacting him.

Find other stations the company owns, and look for the chief engineer of
any of those, and contact them asking who the CE is of your station.

Sooner or later you can find out a name, and it's possible the CE is
in fact interested.

There's an enormous chain of management that does not care, however, so
usually you can't get anywhere through obvious channels.

Getting the FCC interested is very hard.

WRFD 880 in Columbus OH has been radiating on WJR 760 Detroit MI for years
and I haven't gotten anywhere yet, so it doesn't always work. WRFD is
authorized by God so they don't care. (2*820-880=760 ; 820 is another
local station, an odd fact that would interest a genuine engineer.)

--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1718. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 14:35:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B3B74B-732@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82953

I believe IBOC is a Really BAD idea.
cuhulin

1719. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 14:45:36 UTC
From: Rfburns <jimwilhelm@labs.net>
Message-ID: <1152629136.085694.75560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82954

AM radio, as we know it is fading away - just like so many other things we grew up with. Those days of listening to some distant radio signal coming via the ionosphere - fading in and out like the surf on the sea are slipping fast.

Out-of-control technology is reducing the quality of life - not improving it. If you don't believe this just consider the fact that you have absolutely no privacy anymore. Everything about you is in some database somewhere. In addition to that, you can't go very many places where you're not on camera either.

Hi Tech isn't all that great when you consider what it has become. The demand for it isn't always there but we get it anyway. Funny thing - most users don't really understand it, they just know they want it.

Slick marketing creates the demand and the dollar rules.

1720. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 14:57:13 UTC
From: John Jowdy <jj@aol.com>
Message-ID: <e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net>
Source record: 82956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good luck
in the contest.

W1AW, Rick Lindquist

"Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
> statements,
> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
> and
> archiving your comments for future use.
>
> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
> will be recorded as well.
>
> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia,
> or
> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>
> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
> there
> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>
>
>
> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
> ISP.
>
>
>
> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>
> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>
> www.blowguts.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

1721. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 14:57:14 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152629834.494640.234760@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82955

Rfburns wrote:
> AM radio, as we know it is fading away - just like so many other things
> we grew up with. Those days of listening to some distant radio signal
> coming via the ionosphere - fading in and out like the surf on the sea
> are slipping fast.
>
> Out-of-control technology is reducing the quality of life - not
> improving it. If you don't believe this just consider the fact that
> you have absolutely no privacy anymore. Everything about you is in
> some database somewhere. In addition to that, you can't go very many
> places where you're not on camera either.
>
> Hi Tech isn't all that great when you consider what it has become. The
> demand for it isn't always there but we get it anyway. Funny thing -
> most users don't really understand it, they just know they want it.
>
> Slick marketing creates the demand and the dollar rules.

I wouldn't discount AM radio yet - there are thousands of AM stations
on the air still.

1722. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 15:37:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <HAPsg.63809$fb2.7625@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82957

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B37338.92EB85CC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings.
>> >Even
>> >stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
>> >benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA
>> >station
>> >(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
>> >Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this
>> >out-of-metro
>> >audience.
>> >
>> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
>> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
>> whether you can monetize every listener.
>
> This from the October 2004 edition of "Tattler":
>
> Univision's David Gleason told the crowd that radio should focus on
> listeners,
> not on the market.

You don't even know what that means.

It means pay attention to the listeners themselves, not the "trends" and
stuff in the market itself... rumor and heresy and insider remarks.

1723. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 15:43:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3C706.CB1888E1@milestones.com>
Source record: 82958

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' the fake Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B37338.92EB85CC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David wrote:
> >
> >> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro ratings.
> >> >Even
> >> >stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
> >> >benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA
> >> >station
> >> >(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in Riverside/San
> >> >Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this
> >> >out-of-metro
> >> >audience.
> >> >
> >> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
> >> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
> >> whether you can monetize every listener.
> >
> > This from the October 2004 edition of "Tattler":
> >
> > Univision's David Gleason told the crowd that radio should focus on
> > listeners,
> > not on the market.
>
> You don't even know what that means.

It means what it says, oh fake one.

1724. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 15:45:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PIPsg.63814$fb2.38461@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82959

"N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:1152626388.966898.148340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> If station is being sold then maybe potential new owner would like to
> hear that signal is AFU. Perhaps you contact them and they will have
> present owner fix before papers signed.

Having a harmonic within a mile of a transmitter is not particularly
unusual. And a mixing product is common where several transmitters are
located within a few miles.

1725. radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 15:51:48 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152633108.200497.194350@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82960

http://www.radio-info.com/smf/

1726. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:16:27 UTC
From: abruxis@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152634587.017104.62800@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82963

Slow Code wrote:

>
>
> Funny you put it that way because that's the way I remember it too. The
> last time I listened was maybe ten years ago. He kept talking the McVeigh
> & nickols story and played that stupid steam boat Swaney River over &
> over. And power beams from space trying to talk control of him or some
> such thing.

SC, if you wanted to really figure out why I HATE Chuck Harder (and I do not use that all-caps word lightly), you had to have listened to his second hour yesterday, when he had on Doctor Jerome Corsi (another tinfoil hat psycho). Chuck and Jerome claimed that the Bush Administration is plotting to end the U. S. of A. as we know it, via an evil document signed by Bush, Vincente Fox of Mexico and the prime minister of Canada on March 23, 2005, called the "Security and Prosperity Partnership" (SPP) initiative.
According to Chuck and Jerome, the SPP will quickly lead to a three-nation currency (the "Amero"), the dissolving of the borders between the U.S., Mexico, and Canada, and a "super-government" overseeing all three. The U.S. Constitution will be voided, to be replaced with something very much like what the E.U. is considering.
Other political entities pushing this insane conspiracy theory? None other than the John Birch Society, that paragon of Americanist conservatism that claimed back in 1958 (the year I was born) that President Dwight D. Eisenhower was a Communist.
The really tragic thing about all this is that Chuck was saying the exact same things about Dubya's daddy back in 1992; back then, Bush Sr. was plotting to set up a world government, the so-called "New World Order," according to Chuck.
Chuck will never learn.
Naturally, there is absolutely nothing to the SPP conspiracy theory.
One telltale sign is how defensive Dr. Corsi got as he was spinning his wheels; he said over and over again that "this is no conspiracy theory." That's a dead giveaway that it is indeed a conspiracy theory.
Look, SC, you may not agree with me, but this "michigoss" (Yiddish for "crap") is not conservatism. It is lunacy, draped in the 'Merican flag.
No one in their right mind would fall for it. If it were true, every reporter, pundit, Congressman, and Senator would be all over the Bush Administration. Remember Dubai? This would be a million times worse!
Don't you think that if it were true, Rep. Tom Tancredo (R-CO) would put it at the top of his Web site?
Do you know what Rep. Tancredo's big issue is right now?
"Tancredo Discusses Sierra Leone Issues with Isaiah Washington."

No, I'm not making this up. And no, I do not know who Isaiah Washington
is.
QED!

1727. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:18:27 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152634707.872351.302540@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82964

IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> http://www.radio-info.com/smf/

radio-info.com blows this NG away - adios !

1728. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:25:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3D0F1.258F2598@milestones.com>
Source record: 82965

"IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:

> IBOC_sucks_! wrote:
> > http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
>
> radio-info.com blows this NG away - adios !

Don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out, 'tard boy.

1729. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:30:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4nQsg.47032$VE1.26269@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82966

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B3C706.CB1888E1@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' the fake Hispanic since
> c.2000
> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B37338.92EB85CC@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David wrote:
>> >
>> >> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro
>> >> >ratings.
>> >> >Even
>> >> >stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
>> >> >benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA
>> >> >station
>> >> >(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in
>> >> >Riverside/San
>> >> >Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this
>> >> >out-of-metro
>> >> >audience.
>> >> >
>> >> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
>> >> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
>> >> whether you can monetize every listener.
>> >
>> > This from the October 2004 edition of "Tattler":
>> >
>> > Univision's David Gleason told the crowd that radio should focus on
>> > listeners,
>> > not on the market.
>>
>> You don't even know what that means.
>
> It means what it says, oh fake one.

That is one phrase, taken out of context. It makes a nice headline. The year before, when I said "Spanish is a language not a (radio) format" it also go t a headline.

The statement, in the context of the Super Session at NAB where I said it, meant to focus on individulal listener needs, not perceived "groups" of listeners. Program to the listener one by one, and you will get the groups of listeners because the groups are made up of individuals. When I am training announcers, as I also mentioned at NAB, I often tell them to put up a picture of a typical listener... maybe cut out of a picture from a station event... and speak to that person as if they were the only listener.

Now you get it?
>
>

1730. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:37:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3D3BF.9133AF3F@milestones.com>
Source record: 82967

David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's shill and fake Hispanic since c.2000 frayed his best panties when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B3C706.CB1888E1@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' the fake Hispanic since
> > c.2000
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B37338.92EB85CC@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 01:03:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Radio is a local medium, and ad sales are based on local metro
> >> >> >ratings.
> >> >> >Even
> >> >> >stations that do well in ratings in adjacent markets generally get no
> >> >> >benefit from this audience. An example would be KLVE, which is an LA
> >> >> >station
> >> >> >(#1 or #2 there) is also in the top 5 most of the time in
> >> >> >Riverside/San
> >> >> >Bernardino, but does not get any additional revenue from this
> >> >> >out-of-metro
> >> >> >audience.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Radio is supposed to serve the public while selling ads. Part of
> >> >> serving the public is caring about people who listen, regardless of
> >> >> whether you can monetize every listener.
> >> >
> >> > This from the October 2004 edition of "Tattler":
> >> >
> >> > Univision's David Gleason told the crowd that radio should focus on
> >> > listeners,
> >> > not on the market.
> >>
> >> You don't even know what that means.
> >

> > It means what it says, oh fake one.
>
> That is one phrase, taken out of context. It makes a nice headline. The year
> before, when I said "Spanish is a language not a (radio) format" it also go
> t a headline.
>
> The statement, in the context of the Super Session at NAB where I said it,
> meant to focus on individulal listener needs, not perceived "groups" of
> listeners. Program to the listener one by one, and you will get the groups
> of listeners because the groups are made up of individuals. When I am
> training announcers, as I also mentioned at NAB, I often tell them to put up
> a picture of a typical listener... maybe cut out of a picture from a station
> event... and speak to that person as if they were the only listener.
>
> Now you get it?

Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.

1731. Dr ace and his fount of hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 16:46:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152636394.468666.295820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82968

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's shill and fake
> Hispanic since c.2000 frayed his best panties when he wrote:

> > Now you get it?
>
> Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.
and we see yours

1732. Re: HD-IBOC - The Death of AM/MW Radio Listening . . . hash, Hash. HASH !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:05:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152637510.356992.312750@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82969

David wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 14:36:39 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >In the Twain Harte, CA currently I can hear many
> >Out-of-Area AM/MW {Analog} Radio Stations like :
> >Sacramento - KSTE 650 kHz and KFBK 1530 kHz
> >Fresno KRE 580 kHz
> >* With HD-IBOC these AM Signals will be under the
> >Digital Signal Hash an no longer be able to be heard.
> >* Local Only - HD-IBOC will result in about 9 AM
> >Radio Stations that could be heard easily.
>
> The only stations I can get at night are KNX and a bunch of
> out-of-market stations e.g. KGO and KKOH. (Yeah, I can get KSL and
> KFBK, too, but they suck). KDWN has some choice moments on occasion.

David,

In 5-10 years all you will be able to Hear is nothing but
hash, Hash. HASH ! from both short and long distance.

KFHK 1530 kHz from Sacramento, CA has the
"Rush-Re-Play" :o) - For all those, who work
during the day and can not listen.
http://www.kfbk.com/pages/programschedule.html

KSL 1160 kHz from Salt Lake City, UT
Does have "When Radio Was"
http://www.radiospirits.com/onradio/wrw_future.asp
- Hosted by Stan Freberg
http://www.ksl.com/index.php?nid=312&sid=122030
Plus the "Twilight Zone on the Radio" Show
http://www.twilightzoneradio.com/

A GREAT IDEA !
Always thought a that the AM Radio Station
that 'replayed' "Coast-to-Coast AM" During the
Morning from 9-12 -or- during the Day from 12-3
would tap a unique Day-Time group of Listeners.

Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]

david - enjoy your radios ~ RHF
.
.
. .

1733. Re: HD-IBOC - The Death of AM/MW Radio Listening . . . hash, Hash. HASH !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:17:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eum7b215rb6dvekhuo0jb34j9ptisud3le@4ax.com>
Source record: 82970

On 11 Jul 2006 10:05:10 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>David,
>
>In 5-10 years all you will be able to Hear is nothing but
>hash, Hash. HASH ! from both short and long distance.
>
>KFHK 1530 kHz from Sacramento, CA has the
>"Rush-Re-Play" :o) - For all those, who work
>during the day and can not listen.
>http://www.kfbk.com/pages/programschedule.html
>
>KSL 1160 kHz from Salt Lake City, UT
>Does have "When Radio Was"
>http://www.radiospirits.com/onradio/wrw_future.asp
> - Hosted by Stan Freberg
>http://www.ksl.com/index.php?nid=312&sid=122030
>Plus the "Twilight Zone on the Radio" Show
>http://www.twilightzoneradio.com/
>
>A GREAT IDEA !
>Always thought a that the AM Radio Station
>that 'replayed' "Coast-to-Coast AM" During the
>Morning from 9-12 -or- during the Day from 12-3
>would tap a unique Day-Time group of Listeners.
>
>Radio - It's About Listening and Your Ears Don't Lie [.]
>
>david - enjoy your radios ~ RHF

KFBK was a much better station when I was a kid, as was KSL.

Stan Freberg is on AM 1260 (and FM 105.1 HD2) here.

XM and Sirius carry the Stacy Keach Twilight Zone.

George Noory is on KFI but all I get is the skywave fighting with the
groundwave at my house so I have to listen on 780. Or 770. Or 840.
You get the idea.

1734. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:24:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <kin7b29a92rn3v9k4u9q64a1uomoj28av8@4ax.com>
Source record: 82971

On 11 Jul 2006 08:51:48 -0700, "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
>
Great. Click on the L.A. thread and see Dwardo bad-mouthing the
competition.

FYI AM 1260 is simulcast on the second stream on 105.1 HD and covers
all of Los Angeles. This is what HD is good for.

1735. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:37:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3E1F4.22F2ADE1@milestones.com>
Source record: 82972

David wrote:

> On 11 Jul 2006 08:51:48 -0700, "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
> >
> Great. Click on the L.A. thread and see Dwardo bad-mouthing the
> competition.

Well, it is his job. After all, he is a paid shill.

Thanks for the heads up on that LA forum.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1736. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:48:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152640094.305613.225930@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82973

Rfburns wrote:
> AM radio, as we know it is fading away - just like so many other things
> we grew up with. Those days of listening to some distant radio signal
> coming via the ionosphere - fading in and out like the surf on the sea
> are slipping fast.
>
> Out-of-control technology is reducing the quality of life - not
> improving it. If you don't believe this just consider the fact that
> you have absolutely no privacy anymore. Everything about you is in
> some database somewhere. In addition to that, you can't go very many
> places where you're not on camera either.
when did we have have any real privacy I live near prett antitech folks
and yet they insit in poking thier noss into my busness constantly

with one the local preacher preaching that I am eveil etc I see and all
but endless prade of galkers who in front of the house and watch and
these people don't own a cell phone or pc or in most cases a tv set

what we have lost is th eilusion of privacy some have enjoyed. there
has not been privacy at least in y lifetime for anyone preceieved as
different
>
> Hi Tech isn't all that great when you consider what it has become.
how so?

it allows me to live 15 miles from the nearest town and still know what
is going everywhere I want to know most of the news I don't even have
to go looking anymore I hve told the PC to look and find it

I have my job that used to require tolive in a major urban area with a
much higher costof liveing in both money and having to deal with crime

> The
> demand for it isn't always there but we get it anyway. Funny thing -
> most users don't really understand it, they just know they want it.
>
> Slick marketing creates the demand and the dollar rules.

1737. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 17:56:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3E653.D049D214@milestones.com>
Source record: 82974

an_old_friend wrote:

> Rfburns wrote:
> > AM radio, as we know it is fading away - just like so many other things
> > we grew up with. Those days of listening to some distant radio signal
> > coming via the ionosphere - fading in and out like the surf on the sea
> > are slipping fast.
> >
> > Out-of-control technology is reducing the quality of life - not
> > improving it. If you don't believe this just consider the fact that
> > you have absolutely no privacy anymore. Everything about you is in
> > some database somewhere. In addition to that, you can't go very many
> > places where you're not on camera either.
> when did we have have any real privacy I live near prett antitech folks
> and yet they insit in poking thier noss into my busness constantly
>
> with one the local preacher preaching that I am eveil etc I see and all
> but endless prade of galkers who in front of the house and watch and
> these people don't own a cell phone or pc or in most cases a tv set
>
> what we have lost is th eilusion of privacy some have enjoyed. there
> has not been privacy at least in y lifetime for anyone preceieved as
> different
> >
> > Hi Tech isn't all that great when you consider what it has become.
> how so?
>
> it allows me to live 15 miles from the nearest town and still know what
> is going everywhere I want to know most of the news I don't even have
> to go looking anymore I hve told the PC to look and find it
>
> I have my job that used to require tolive in a major urban area with a
> much higher costof liveing in both money and having to deal with crime

Anyone care to translate? My Tard-English dictionary seems to have gone missing.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1738. Syd Barrett Dies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 18:03:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B3E804.BCEE5311@milestones.com>
Source record: 82975

Pink Floyd co-founder is dead.

R.I.P. Syd

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1739. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 18:13:07 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TSRsg.364592$Fs1.104331@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82976

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Kdxsg.1823$2v.387@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
>
> It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues decrease.
>
>

What will the death of AM be like? Will we someday turn on our AM radios
and hear nothing but noise and static, much as the surviviors did in any one
of Roger Corman's post apocoplyse movies?

Frank Dresser

1740. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 18:13:45 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tTRsg.364597$Fs1.96725@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82977

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:ddxsg.1822$2v.104@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:rcwsg.115100$mF2.69494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > AM stereo never caught on. The buying public never much cared to buy
the
> > radios. AM stereo came and went.
> >
>
> It was, due to Leonard Kahn, 5 years too late. When original introduced,
it
> would have made a difference as AM still had a majority of listening. By
the
> time a system was authorized, AM had "died" as a music medium.
>
>

AM stereo didn't need Leonard Kahn to fail. The public never cared much about it, Kahn nothwithstanding. But he did provide a convienient excuse. If Kahn wasn't there to blame, it would have been some other damn thing.

To quote Alibi Ike:

"I coulda hit a homer, but I had a rock in my shoe."

Frank Dresser

1741. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 18:22:05 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 82978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John Jowdy"
<jj@aol.com> wrote:

> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good luck
> in the contest.
>
> W1AW, Rick Lindquist
>
>
> "Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
> news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
>> statements,
>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
>> and
>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>
>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>> will be recorded as well.
>>
>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or Altopia,
>> or
>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>
>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
>> there
>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>
>>
>>
>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
>> ISP.
>>
>>
>>
>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>>
>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>
>> www.blowguts.com
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

Hey Paul,

Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.

1742. Re: Syd Barrett Dies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 18:51:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2ps7b25soii2br581e5te7dsdd68jv7kcb@4ax.com>
Source record: 82979

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 14:03:48 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>Pink Floyd co-founder is dead.
>
>R.I.P. Syd
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
http://www.genesis-publications.com/books/barrett/sydbrochure.jpg

1743. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 19:34:02 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44B3FD08.7B7F694C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 82980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Don Bowey wrote:
>
>
> Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.

He couldn't find it with both hands, and a dozen helpers.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1744. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 19:34:22 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152646462.390107.89950@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82981

David,

If you Build It ! -and- Educate the Public to Want It
They will over time : Demand It -and- Buy It !

what ever 'it' is ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> There is zero demand for this.

1745. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 19:38:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152646721.136864.175970@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82982

Oh No !
Please -NO- Anti-Radio-Mart FA/FS Posts !
~ RHF
.
.
. .

Avery W3AVE wrote:
> I'd like to post one, because the item would be of interest to some in
> this NG and doesn't come around that often, but I'd rather not get
> caught between the gears of strong opinions on both sides. If the
> charter discourages such posts, then I won't do it.
>
> Guidance, please.
>
> Avery W3AVE

1746. (OT) : DaviD - The Best Defense -is- A Good Offense !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 19:56:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152647790.955758.77320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82983

DaviD - The Best Defense -is- A Good Offense ! ~ RHF

MIRV "Mini" Neutron Bombs and Stratigic Carpet Bombing
all of North Korea resulting in the de-population of the entire
country.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MIRV
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_bomb
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carpet_bombing
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic_bombing
Let the Chinese, Russians and/or South Koreans move in
and repopulate it with 100 Million of their excess people.

DaviD - Cargo Containers Are < Ship > Both Ways !
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:18:38 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> >The Worst Defense
> >
> There's already a countermeasure to the antimissile defense scheme.
> It's called MIRV. Look it up.
>
> There's another countermeasure, too.
>
> It's called cargo container.

1747. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 20:01:43 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152648103.544031.313030@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82984

DaviD - We Don't Have You Confused With Anyone [.]

You Are Clealy DaviD - With Double Capital "D"s At Both Ends.

-And- Confusing Yourself Is Something You Do Best ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:44:31 -0500, "Kilo Lani" <lookin@u.tv> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> >> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> >> >> You disregard the fact that Bush DID know 9-11 was coming and failed
> >> >> to take any steps to prevent it.
> >> >
> >> >You forgot to add Impeached Clintoon and John fucked-up Kerry
> >> >
> >> There was only one President on the September 11th.
> >>
> >
> >You have a disease called amnesia caused by propaganda brainwashing.
> >
> You have me confused with a partisan. I think all prsidents suck the
> big one. Some are bigger thieves than others. None of them ever does
> anything to help little guys like me and you.

1748. Re: Mr Mark and his cry of "racist!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 20:14:53 UTC
From: Lloyd 1 <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <00912733.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 82985

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On 11 Jul 2006 09:46:34 -0700, an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's shill and fake
> > Hispanic since c.2000 frayed his best panties when he wrote:
>
> > > Now you get it?
> >
> > Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.
> and we see yours

At least he isn't in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest calling everyone
a racist. Give it a rest.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 5.0i for non-commercial use
Charset: noconv

iQA/AwUBRLQFwuAMpZnddBm9EQIyCACfcLipj3g3/p3SDJV51eMx1jVtEhIAnj/S
RhmWUkhvCQXHjH0lhjcqNJig
=Ic60
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1749. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 20:19:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152649165.506861.44030@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82986

Lloyd 1 wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> On 11 Jul 2006 09:46:34 -0700, an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > David Frackelton Gleason aka 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's shill and fake
> > > Hispanic since c.2000 frayed his best panties when he wrote:
> >
> > > > Now you get it?
> > >
> > > Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.
> > and we see yours
>
> At least he isn't in alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest calling everyone
> a racist. Give it a rest.
neither I am

is some forger calling himself me there more than likely

as someone that claims to be the vicutm of the same sort of id theft
you should be smarted thanthat
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGPfreeware 5.0i for non-commercial use
> Charset: noconv
>
> iQA/AwUBRLQFwuAMpZnddBm9EQIyCACfcLipj3g3/p3SDJV51eMx1jVtEhIAnj/S
> RhmWUkhvCQXHjH0lhjcqNJig
> =Ic60
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

1750. Re: Radio Habana Cuba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 20:24:22 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-922090.13242211072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82987

In article <e90ilt$1r2$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>,
mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier) wrote:

> In article <1152476918.853642.219320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote:
> >I like the news on RHC. It is 100% factual, but makes use of errors of
> >omission and other editing techniques to slant it slightly in their
> >favor. It was great when Tom Delay was still in the House as he was
> >their poster boy for US corruption.
> >
> >I recall a RHC report about the US Embassy having an electronic sign
> >that dissed Castro. Later, I heard an ABC news report about Castro
> >cutting power to the US Embassy. Well, duh, that is one way to turn off
> >that sign. Of course, ABC didn't mention the electric sign.
>
> There was a picture (along with an article about US diplomats in Cuba)
> in the local paper this last week showing that the Cubans had put a
> flag plaza in front of the US interest section so that you can't
> see the display anymore.

That has been an ongoing fight. Fidel Castro cut the power to the
Embassy so the electric sign could not function.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1751. HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 20:43:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82988

For One and All,

We all know about the popular makers of
HF {Shortwave} Antennas here in the USA
such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna Supermarket;
Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .

But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna
maker from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}
http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
Always worth a look for some ideas on
"How To" Construct {Build} an Antenna
in a new and different way . . .

sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
.
.
. .

1752. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 21:17:40 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-2A08A1.14173911072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82989

In article <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> For One and All,
>
> We all know about the popular makers of
> HF {Shortwave} Antennas here in the USA
> such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna Supermarket;
> Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
>
> But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna
> maker from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}
> http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> Always worth a look for some ideas on
> "How To" Construct {Build} an Antenna
> in a new and different way . . .
>
>
> sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF

I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to buy an
antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving antenna.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1753. Re: R. Australia Schedule Please ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 21:31:11 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e915aa02k8o@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 82991

Thanks Telamon :)

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-2DBB7C.20370610072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <adEsg.205$Nl2.103@fe05.lga>, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net>
> wrote:
>
>> "Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:e8umtj01j5i@enews2.newsguy.com...
>> > Thank you Kind Sir :)
>>
>>
>>
>> Your welcome Verstaldin.
>
> Be sure to try these:
>
> KHz UTC
> 15515 02:00 to 07:00
> 15240 01:00 to 07:00
> 13670 07:00 to 09:00
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

1754. Re: (OT) : DaviD - The Best Defense -is- A Good Offense !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 21:34:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <m968b21r15p5hjcpjg8oodvsefhh6g9tcq@4ax.com>
Source record: 82990

On 11 Jul 2006 12:56:31 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DaviD - The Best Defense -is- A Good Offense ! ~ RHF
>
>MIRV "Mini" Neutron Bombs and Stratigic Carpet Bombing
>all of North Korea resulting in the de-population of the entire
>country.
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MIRV
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_bomb
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carpet_bombing
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic_bombing
>Let the Chinese, Russians and/or South Koreans move in
>and repopulate it with 100 Million of their excess people.
>
>DaviD - Cargo Containers Are < Ship > Both Ways !
> .
> .
Seoul is within artillery range of N. Korea.

<Reduces the effectiveness of an anti-ballistic missile system that
relies on intercepting individual warheads. While a MIRVed attacking
missile can have multiple (3-12 on various US missiles) warheads,
interceptors can only have one warhead per missile. Thus, in both a
military and economic sense, MIRVs render ABM systems less effective,
as the costs of maintaining a workable defense against MIRVs would
greatly increase, requiring multiple defensive missiles for each
offensive one.

1755. Re: Syd Barrett Dies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 22:40:01 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <_NKdnQIsw9iWtSnZnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82992

dxAce wrote:
> Pink Floyd co-founder is dead.
>
> R.I.P. Syd
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
"Shine On You Crazy Diamond"

1756. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 22:52:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B42BC4.8AACD9A8@milestones.com>
Source record: 82993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

mike maghakian wrote:

> I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours

Which auction site was that?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1757. Video on the EMP threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 22:59:22 UTC
From: Kilo Lani <lookin@u.tv>
Message-ID: <12b8bj57cusi384@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82994

Video on the Electro-Magnetic Pulse threat

http://interface.audiovideoweb.com/advlnk/ny60win16080/eresources/warfooting
/EMP.wmv/play.asx

http://www.warfooting.com/

http://www.stop-emp.com/

How to harden our radios?

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1758. China's Islamist front

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:01:30 UTC
From: mandal <mandal@mirror.ar.com>
Message-ID: <12b8bmthqpkbt1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82995

China's Islamist front

On June 27 the government of Uzbekistan transferred Huseyincan Celil, now a Canadian citizen, to Chinese custody to await execution. While authorities of the People's Republic of China charge that Mr. Cecil is guilty of killing Chinese delegates in Kyrgyzstan in 2000, the evidence suggests that Cecil was in fact in Turkey at the time under the direction of the United Nations High Commission for Refugees. The Toronto Star noted that the man "was sentenced to death in absentia for founding a political party to work on behalf of the Uighur people in Xinjiang province." Beijing's insistence on the execution of a man who is likely to be purely a human rights activist is not difficult to explain, but rather illustrative of the anxiety the Chinese Communist Party maintains over the country's Muslim west.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23175

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1759. Bring back the neocons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:03:23 UTC
From: David <mandal@mirror.ar.com>
Message-ID: <12b8bqee65erdbe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82996

America's troubles overseas aren't the fault of any American faction. They are the fault of our enemies. But time makes it ever more clear that the right strategies going forward are those offered by the neoconservative camp.

http://www.nysun.com/article/35777

1760. What next, Taxes for Listening to Shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:07:45 UTC
From: Haruspex <HFH@4u2.net>
Message-ID: <12b8c2k17voff1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82997

Today's Wall Street Journal features in the front page of its "Marketplace" Section a typically fatuous article about the "Two Bills" - Microsoft founder and chairman Bill Gates and former President Bill Clinton - who have joined forces for the purpose of "bettering global health." The article describes at some length the informal partnership that has been developing between the two men and their respective foundations to bring private and public sector resources to bear in particular in fighting the international AIDS epidemic.

Curiously, nowhere in the article is there any reference to a particularly insidious focus of the Two Bill's joint fundraising efforts: the idea of establishing "innovative sources of financing" - read, global taxes - to pay for their ambitious plans for countering the world's diseases.

As it happens, this "hidden agenda" and the role of the Clinton and Gates Foundations (among others) in promoting it is the subject of a column in today's Washington Times by Center for Security Policy President Frank J. Gaffney, Jr. Mr. Gaffney warns that, unless President Bush acts to prevent it, the sub rosa global taxes agenda will likely be advanced at the upcoming G-8 meeting, where several members (notably, France, Britain and Germany) are committed to promoting such "solidarity levies" and, as of 1 July, the French have already instituted a prototype international tax on airline travel.

Continue reading: "The 'Two Bill's' hidden agenda"

http://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/index.jsp?section=papers&code=06-P_18

more Hidden agenda

http://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/index.jsp?section=papers&code=06-D_34

1761. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:16:24 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <HjWsg.855$cv.749@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B42BC4.8AACD9A8@milestones.com...
>
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours
>
> Which auction site was that?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

It's the Secret Auction Site. :)

BH

1762. Re: Syd Barrett Dies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:21:26 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1152660086.677073.10640@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82899

I hadn't heard about that yet. I'm very sorry to hear that news. Floyd is one of my favorite groups from way back. One the plus side, at least Gilmore is still going strong, and his latest album ON AN ISLAND isn't bad at all. Thanks for sharing that news; I just wish it was better news.
RIP Syd.

1763. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:23:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B432E8.1532B98F@milestones.com>
Source record: 82900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B42BC4.8AACD9A8@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> >> I got just tire kickers so I sold in on an auction site in a few hours
> >
> > Which auction site was that?
>
>
> It's the Secret Auction Site. :)

Yeah, that's a good place.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Kicking fake Hispanic ass since 2006

1764. Re: Syd Barrett Dies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:38:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B43661-827@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82906

Rest in Peace,Syd Barrett.
cuhulin

1765. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:39:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B436BE-828@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82907

Does it have a curtain?
cuhulin

1766. ICFSW7600 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:41:11 UTC
From: blair thompson <blairt@abcomm.ca>
Message-ID: <6uc8b2lfelgjvaju51k22qllhb1mda43iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 82901

The FM tuning on my Sony has lost its bearings, but everything else still works fine. It just bugs me that a function has gone south. A local techie looked at it for $30, but thought I should probably take it to a Sony repair facility for further delving into.

Is it worth it to throw more money at this rig? I bought it new 16 years ago, for $400 Canadian, and have been generally well-satisfied with the radio, listening mostly to SW, Morse code, etc. Guess it doesn't really owe me much of anything. But maybe I should be looking at a rig with newer electronics, etc., probably for less dinero, but the same overall features :)

Now this is the 7600, NOT the 7600GR...whatever that is...Is there a difference? The 7600GR is generally well-regarded on the Eham review site, by many radio amateurs.

Thanks for any input.
Blair
North Vancouver, B.C.

1767. David sees the Light: If democrats would help with the war on terror we could get done a lot faster.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:43:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eIWsg.6459$ye3.2769@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82903

"David" <mandal@mirror.ar.com> wrote in
news:12b8bqee65erdbe@corp.supernews.com:

> America's troubles overseas aren't the fault of any American faction.
> They are the fault of our enemies. But time makes it ever more clear
> that the right strategies going forward are those offered by the
> neoconservative camp.

Are you feeling OK Dave? Yes?

Your mission then, convince your fellow liberals to see the light. It's
time for a revival.

SC

1768. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:43:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gIWsg.6460$ye3.161@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82902

shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote in
news:1152622032.290345.201220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
> 1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
>
> The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
> unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
> irritation throughout the SW bands.
>
> I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
> transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
> receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
> harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
>
> My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
> with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
> that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
> on to engineering.)
>
> Any advice?
>
> Tim.

Yes. Sell your house and move closer to the 1260 station.

Happy to help.

SC

1769. Re: hey SC a question if you please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:43:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hIWsg.6461$ye3.252@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82904

"an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1152570682.644853.19000@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> why it is you can be something like civil here in shortwave but in the
> rest of the gruops I see you in?
>

plaeze reapeet the kwestion agin, i don t no whot you triing to bAbble.

1770. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:43:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ilWsg.6462$ye3.4310@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Jowdy" <jj@aol.com> wrote in news:e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net:

> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good
> luck in the contest.
>
> W1AW, Rick Lindquist

ROFL

1771. Re: Are FA's an automatic flame magnet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-11 23:59:24 UTC
From: Avery W3AVE <acomarow@usnews.com>
Message-ID: <1152662364.075895.294810@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82908

RHF wrote:
> Oh No !
> Please -NO- Anti-Radio-Mart FA/FS Posts !
> ~ RHF

??

1772. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 00:02:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B43C22-829@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82909

There is a British company by the name of Bush which makes Radios and TV sets.Are they related with the Bushcomm outfit in Australia?
cuhulin

1773. looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 00:15:25 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <xaXsg.30472$Eh1.18364@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82910

I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off an external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am looking for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly utilizes an external antenna.

1774. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 00:24:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ojXsg.118848$H71.19072@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82911

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B3D3BF.9133AF3F@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> The statement, in the context of the Super Session at NAB where I said
>> it,
>> meant to focus on individulal listener needs, not perceived "groups" of
>> listeners. Program to the listener one by one, and you will get the
>> groups
>> of listeners because the groups are made up of individuals. When I am
>> training announcers, as I also mentioned at NAB, I often tell them to put
>> up
>> a picture of a typical listener... maybe cut out of a picture from a
>> station
>> event... and speak to that person as if they were the only listener.
>>
>> Now you get it?
>
> Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.

Go to the NAB website and you can order the full session on CD. It is the
September, 2004 San Diego NAB radio convention, and all sessions are
available on CD. Before you hurl any more ignorant statements, listen to the
panel and you will see that this is exactly what I said.
>
>

1775. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 00:27:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <wlXsg.118849$H71.76638@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82912

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:kin7b29a92rn3v9k4u9q64a1uomoj28av8@4ax.com...
> On 11 Jul 2006 08:51:48 -0700, "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
>>
> Great. Click on the L.A. thread and see Dwardo bad-mouthing the
> competition.
>
> FYI AM 1260 is simulcast on the second stream on 105.1 HD and covers
> all of Los Angeles. This is what HD is good for.

This is a nice use of HD 2. However, there are probably less than 200 HD radios in all LA so far, 90% owned by employees of radio stations.

Saying a signal is bad is not bad-mouthing. It is simply saying the signal does not cover anywhere near all the market. The 1260 transmitter is in San Fernando, it is on 1260, a bad frequency, and is not particularly high power. To cover LA on 1260 from that location, even 50 kw would not be enough.

1776. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 00:28:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5nXsg.118850$H71.48014@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82913

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TSRsg.364592$Fs1.104331@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Kdxsg.1823$2v.387@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
>>
>> It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues
>> decrease.
>>
>>
>
> What will the death of AM be like? Will we someday turn on our AM radios
> and hear nothing but noise and static, much as the surviviors did in any
> one
> of Roger Corman's post apocoplyse movies?

Many talk formats are moving to FM. One in Tallahassee did it this week.
Others in DC, Salt Lake City and Phoenix have or are doing it. What we will
find is a gradual change to pure niche formats (Like KIRN in LA... all
Farsi) and then, probably, its demise as the less competitive signals go
silent.

1777. Re: hey SC a question if you please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:24:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152667456.691426.204070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82914

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152570682.644853.19000@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> > why it is you can be something like civil here in shortwave but in the
> > rest of the gruops I see you in?
> >
>
>
> plaeze reapeet the kwestion agin, i don t no whot you triing to bAbble.
ok I just take to calling you by your othe r nick Hey stupid

1778. Hey stpuid stupifies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:27:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152667633.713449.217460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82915

Slow Code wrote:aka Hey stupid
> "David" <mandal@mirror.ar.com> wrote in
> news:12b8bqee65erdbe@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > America's troubles overseas aren't the fault of any American faction.
> > They are the fault of our enemies. But time makes it ever more clear
> > that the right strategies going forward are those offered by the
> > neoconservative camp.
>
>
>
> Are you feeling OK Dave? Yes?
sure he is feeling fine youd see that if you read what he posted

he said the neocon stratgeis are what is being prupused he did not say
he agreed with them

1779. to hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:28:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152667720.932183.86790@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82916

Slow Code wrote:aka hey stpuid
> shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote in
> news:1152622032.290345.201220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,

> > Tim.
>
>
>
> Yes. Sell your house and move closer to the 1260 station.
\
nice advice Mr Stupid
>
> Happy to help.
>
> SC

1780. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:29:33 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12b8k3ugq5ovgeb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John
> Jowdy"
> <jj@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good
>> luck
>> in the contest.
>>
>> W1AW, Rick Lindquist
>>
>>
>> "Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
>> news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
>>> statements,
>>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
>>> and
>>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>>
>>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>>> will be recorded as well.
>>>
>>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or
>>> Altopia,
>>> or
>>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>>
>>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
>>> there
>>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
>>> ISP.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.

>>>
>>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>>
>>> www.blowguts.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
> Hey Paul,
>
> Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
>
>
We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.

1781. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:36:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152668163.235641.260620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DrDeath wrote:
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net...
> > On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John
> > Jowdy"

> > Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
> >
> >
> We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.
h e could ertainly teach you some manners and a few other things

1782. Re: ICFSW7600 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:49:51 UTC
From: mcdonald606a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152668991.720662.33050@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82919

- Suggest shopping around , read the reviews in www.radiointel.com

- and just buy another receiver..

blair thompson wrote:
> The FM tuning on my Sony has lost its bearings, but everything else
> still works fine. It just bugs me that a function has gone south. A
> local techie looked at it for $30, but thought I should probably take
> it to a Sony repair facility for further delving into.
>
> Is it worth it to throw more money at this rig? I bought it new 16
> years ago, for $400 Canadian, and have been generally well-satisfied
> with the radio, listening mostly to SW, Morse code, etc. Guess it
> doesn't really owe me much of anything. But maybe I should be looking
> at a rig with newer electronics, etc., probably for less dinero, but
> the same overall features :)
>
> Now this is the 7600, NOT the 7600GR...whatever that is...Is there a
> difference? The 7600GR is generally well-regarded on the Eham review
> site, by many radio amateurs.
>
> Thanks for any input.
> Blair
> North Vancouver, B.C.

1783. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:53:18 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bLmdnU-809mYyynZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82920

I used to be able to hear CFCO 630, until WTMJ 620 decided to start with
that IBOC trash.

Pete

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>
>
> mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>> > ** U S A. Strong rumor from allegedly reliable sources is that the FCC
>> > will be
>> > making an across-the-board authorization for nighttime IBOC on MW
>> > within the
>> > next two weeks. Better enjoy your nighttime MW listening and DXing
>> > while you
>> > can. More and more ``clear`` channel stations have been testing it in
>> > the
>> > daytime, and once they all start running it at night, large portions of
>> > the AM
>> > band will be devoted to noise (Glenn Hauser, July 9, DX LISTENING
>> > DIGEST)
>> >
>> > Thanks to 'Eduardo' and all the other beancounting dipshits out there!
>> >
>> > HD/IBOC = QRM
>> >
>> > dxAce
>> > Michigan
>> > USA
>>
>> - Agree.. ANY way of filtering this out ?
>
> No, because it apparently affects both sidebands pretty equaly. If one
> wants to
> listen to a weaker adjacent channel from that running IBOC one is going to
> be in a
> world of hurt.
>
> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
> regularly on
> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>

> No can listen no more.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

1784. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:53:27 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b45621$0$1016$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 82921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DrDeath wrote:

>>
>>Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
>>
>>
>
> We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.
>
>

This whole thing was started by what's called a troll. It was forged, not from the person it purports to be from. Many of the "responses" are also forged, and from the same person or his cohorts.

It exists to piss people off, get them riled up, and so the forgers can sit back and laugh at what they have gotten those in the newsgroups, who they consider to be idiots, to post as responses.

Tom
K0TAR

A ham who came from CB a long time ago, ain't a know-it-all, and doesn't care to tell you what to do, since it's your business.

1785. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 01:58:24 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qt2dndQcqpqqyinZnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82922

There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands. It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel users.
Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care when they launched their inferior system.

Pete

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B2883A.810B4FB@milestones.com...
>
>
> "IBOC_sucks_!" wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>> > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>> >
>> > > dxAce wrote:
>> > > > "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > dxAce wrote:
>> > > > > > mcdonald606a@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > I currently have that problem here with a station I used to
>> > > > > > listen to regularly on
>> > > > > > 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from
>> > > > > > 780.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > No can listen no more.
>> > > > > justs makes your hobby more chalenging why do you mind that?
>> > > > >
>> > > > Challenging? Has nothing to do with challenging, 'tard boy.
>> > > sure does
>> > >
>> > > it all about challange
>> > >
>> > > you want these stations to just you what YOU want without careling if
>> > > they survive you greedy lazy jerk
>> >
>> > Careling? Isn't that something folks tend to do around Christmas time?
>> >
>> > Greedy? Well, yes, I suppose. I'm for getting all I can.

>> >
>> > I'm not lazy, I'm retired.
>> >
>> > dxAce
>> > Michigan
>> > USA
>>
>> You obvioulsy have little to do, but troll this newsgroup with your
>> flames and hostility, because you know that DRM will obsolete your
>> analog SW receivers.
>
> Gee, I've heard talk like that since I first was introduced to shortwave
> radio in the mid 60's.
>
>> Acting like this, at your age - geeze !
>
> My age? Heck, I'm still fairly young, not even 55 yet.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

1786. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:01:01 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Cd6dna4tQdlJyinZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82923

That is very true.....I e-mailed WBBM and never got a response. Last year, I actually phoned Ibiquity and was referred to an e-mail link. Somebody did respond, extolling the qualities of IBOC. When I asked that person how this system was going to be able to deal with evening propagation, I never got a response.

Pete

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message news:FLvsg.1786$2v.2@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>
>
>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>> regularly on
>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>
>> No can listen no more.
>
> I know the station itself could care less.
>

1787. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:04:46 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tYednRYX76MoxSnZnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82924

Neither WBBM, WTMJ, not WGN seemed to care. First hand experience, since I
am one of the people who contacted them, letting them know that they had
lost a listener. They don't care. They are too busy putting on appearances,
being "with it".

Pete

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Kbxsg.1820$2v.597@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>>>
>>>
>>>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>>>> regularly on
>>>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>>>
>>>> No can listen no more.
>>>
>>>I know the station itself could care less.
>>>
>> You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
>> reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
>> that he has lost a listener.
>
> If they are out of the metro (MSA), we don't care. There is no money in
> out of market audience.
>

1788. Re: David sees the Light: If democrats would help with the war on terror we could get done a lot faster.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:15:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ipm8b2hr70a0lq5o6br53cfp1m9hvvgbd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 82925

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 23:43:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"David" <mandal@mirror.ar.com> wrote in
>news:12b8bqee65erdbe@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> America's troubles overseas aren't the fault of any American faction.
>> They are the fault of our enemies. But time makes it ever more clear
>> that the right strategies going forward are those offered by the
>> neoconservative camp.
>
>
>
>Are you feeling OK Dave? Yes?
>
>Your mission then, convince your fellow liberals to see the light. It's
>time for a revival.
>
>
>SC
Respect is the key.

1789. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:16:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060711222038.985$QM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 82926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org> wrote:
>> It exists to piss people off
>
You said it!

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

1790. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:25:14 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C0D9AB9A.3A044%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 82927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7/11/06 6:29 PM, in article 12b8k3ugq5ovgeb@corp.supernews.com, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net...
>> On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John
>> Jowdy"
>> <jj@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good
>>> luck
>>> in the contest.
>>>
>>> W1AW, Rick Lindquist
>>>
>>>
>>> "Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
>>> news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>>>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
>>>> statements,
>>>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
>>>> and
>>>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>>>
>>>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>>>> will be recorded as well.
>>>>
>>>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or
>>>> Altopia,
>>>> or
>>>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>>>
>>>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
>>>> there
>>>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
>>>> ISP.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>>>>
>>>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>>>
>>>> www.blowguts.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Hey Paul,
>>
>> Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
>>
>>
> We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.
>
>

Drat!

1791. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:56:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pxZsg.171131$F_3.7266@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82928
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:tYednRYX76MoxSnZnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Neither WBBM, WTMJ, not WGN seemed to care. First hand experience, since I
> am one of the people who contacted them, letting them know that they had
> lost a listener. They don't care. They are too busy putting on
> appearances, being "with it".

Unless you are in our own metro, the one in which the station is licensed,
none of us have any real benefit to be received from out of market
listeners. No revenue, no programming implications. Making any effort to
appeal to out of market listeners is an expense with no payback.

1792. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 02:56:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <OxZsg.171132$F_3.92657@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82929
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:bLmdnU-809mYyynZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com...
>I used to be able to hear CFCO 630, until WTMJ 620 decided to start with
>that IBOC trash.

And are you in the CFCO market?

1793. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 04:09:41 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <hC_sg.3415$lv.3060@fed1read12>
Source record: 82930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
news:44b45621$0$1016$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...

< snip >

> This whole thing was started by what's called a troll. It was forged,
> not from the person it purports to be from. Many of the "responses" are
> also forged, and from the same person or his cohorts.
>

Yes, but I think this was more an odd attempt to be funny. Suggest all go
back and look at whom he said he "will not hesitate to contact" ... then
decide how to take the OP. I bet he didn't mean to spin up so many people.

1794. Locate hard to find electronic parts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 04:23:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26665-44B47941-169@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82931

www.crosscomponents.com
cuhulin

1795. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 05:39:39 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2atsfd@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2atsfd@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 82932

Lisa Simpson Wrote:
> I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off
> an
> external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am
> looking
> for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly
> utilizes an
> external antenna.

Hi:
Which external antenna are you using? I recently found that the wire
antenna that came with my Kaito 1102 didn't do much for me, but the
reel antenna that came with my SW7600GR is quite effective.

--
weatherall

1796. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 10:55:41 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <Ny4tg.32264$u11.15894@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 82933

I have used a reel antenna as well as a homemade dipole thus far, both with
& without a Radio Shack shortwave antenna amplifier . . .

"weatherall" <weatherall.2atsfd@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:weatherall.2atsfd@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Lisa Simpson Wrote:
> > I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off
> > an
> > external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am
> > looking
> > for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly
> > utilizes an
> > external antenna.
>
> Hi:
> Which external antenna are you using? I recently found that the wire
> antenna that came with my Kaito 1102 didn't do much for me, but the
> reel antenna that came with my SW7600GR is quite effective.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

1797. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 11:17:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B4DA53.79E00DFA@milestones.com>
Source record: 82934

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B3D3BF.9133AF3F@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> The statement, in the context of the Super Session at NAB where I said
> >> it,
> >> meant to focus on individulal listener needs, not perceived "groups" of
> >> listeners. Program to the listener one by one, and you will get the
> >> groups
> >> of listeners because the groups are made up of individuals. When I am
> >> training announcers, as I also mentioned at NAB, I often tell them to put
> >> up
> >> a picture of a typical listener... maybe cut out of a picture from a
> >> station
> >> event... and speak to that person as if they were the only listener.
> >>
> >> Now you get it?
> >
> > Yep, I got your spin, oh fake one.
>
> Go to the NAB website and you can order the full session on CD. It is the
> September, 2004 San Diego NAB radio convention, and all sessions are
> available on CD. Before you hurl any more ignorant statements, listen to the
> panel and you will see that this is exactly what I said.

Why would I want to listen to any more of your BS? I get enough of it here
already.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1798. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 11:21:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B4DB35.DEA9F662@milestones.com>
Source record: 82935

Pete KE9OA wrote:

> Neither WBBM, WTMJ, not WGN seemed to care. First hand experience, since I
> am one of the people who contacted them, letting them know that they had
> lost a listener. They don't care. They are too busy putting on appearances,
> being "with it".

That explains part of it then, 'Eduardo' is just trying to be 'hip'.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1799. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 11:23:59 UTC
From: Unrevealed Source <unrevealed_source@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga>
Source record: 82936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think most people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.

However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is definitely the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and with careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken. I have had a few attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to getting your money back. EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice thing about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative, just walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.

"Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in message news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Item # 9738158955
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay with
>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a poor
>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of surplus
>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy on
> the
>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
>>
>>
>>
>
> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
>

1800. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:01:36 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152705696.788322.42960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82937

Slow Code wrote:
> shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote in
> news:1152622032.290345.201220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
> > 1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
> >
> > The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
> > unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
> > irritation throughout the SW bands.
> >
> > I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
> > transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
> > receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
> > harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
> >
> > My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
> > with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
> > that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
> > on to engineering.)
> >
> > Any advice?
> >
> > Tim.
>
>
>
> Yes. Sell your house and move closer to the 1260 station.

While I'm about a mile from WMAL's towers, I believe that I'm within
about 5 miles of WWRC 1260's towers, and they both are listed by the
FCC as running 5kW. So at least it wouldn't be a very far move :-).

WWRC's signal is pretty damn lousy for a 5kW transmitter just a couple
of miles away. I mean, it doesn't help that WMAL's harmonic is stamping
all over it in my neighborhood, but even when I get away from my
neighborhood reception is pretty bad even within a 10 mile radius.

Tim.

1801. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:18:09 UTC
From: mcdonald606a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152706689.498658.21200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82938

Lisa Simpson wrote:
> I have used a reel antenna as well as a homemade dipole thus far, both with
> & without a Radio Shack shortwave antenna amplifier . . .
>

Try using an external antenna with a co-ax lead in.

The average house / apt has all sorts of electronic noise that a coax
lead-in reduces,
increasind SW reception / Clarity..

1802. Retard Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:23:43 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152707023.000706.110600@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> Lester Luckleer wrote:
> > "I need someone to pay attention to me."
> > Mark Morgan
> forger
> >
> > http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
> well at least you have now shown the sense to have you link inpst that
> is not from an accoutn of mine that you have forgered
>
> good going you may get somewhere that way

Bullshit, faggot. You continue to show why you are an illiterate fat
fucking faggot..

1803. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:35:02 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152707702.162420.205720@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Why you do rape little boys up there in the U.P.
Just like you did in Iliinois and Indiana.
That's why you moved up to Michigan.

>
> > > > > > Yep and you will when you lose that high speed connection. Hope it
> > > > > > creates problems there at your home.
> > > > > you have been telling that lie for months
> > > >
> > > > And it's not a lie but it will get you TOSed.
> > > of course it is a lie I am still here
> >
> > <snicker> But for how long?
>
> you have been makingthis threat for 8 months wisemen

And you've been whining about it and "wismen" for a longer period.

> > > still waiting on the ToS but you can't pull it off
> >
> > 1-866-347-3292 is a line to Hughes.net. BWHAHAHA!
> is it? I assume you have called?

BWHAHAHAHAHA! You have no clue.

> so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> basical proves who is is lying

Oh, your time is limited.

1804. Coastal Morse Stations Return to Air for Anniversary of Death of Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:39:22 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8ar9b29brr4j3dlkkj99rmu31hmc84jud3@4ax.com>
Source record: 82941

http://www.radiomarine.org/non7.html

1805. Retard shiteater Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 12:53:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152708809.092783.129820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old freind wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > Lardass eats the leftovers!
> get hel

You'd be able to help Lardass as much shit as you eat from your dying
daddy's colostomy bag, Marqueer.

1806. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 13:11:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ry6tg.3309$2v.3118@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 82943

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B4DA53.79E00DFA@milestones.com...

>> Go to the NAB website and you can order the full session on CD. It is the
>> September, 2004 San Diego NAB radio convention, and all sessions are
>> available on CD. Before you hurl any more ignorant statements, listen to
>> the
>> panel and you will see that this is exactly what I said.
>
> Why would I want to listen to any more of your BS? I get enough of it here
> already.

In other words, the truth would make you have to retract your silly
statements, so you will have no part of it.

1807. 37 States and Canada: Special to Dwardo the Omnipotent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 13:16:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3ft9b2t315l7v2hrc6ubub14me37db0dpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 82944

Why do the flamethrowers brag about their coverage if they don't care about people beyond their primary market?

1808. Re: Getting local AM station engineering aware of harmonic problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 13:17:31 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <kit9b2lvkgc1bpv9l14f97e7l2cln8lpd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 82945

On 12 Jul 2006 05:01:36 -0700, shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> shoppa@trailing-edge.com wrote in
>> news:1152622032.290345.201220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > I have a local MW station (630 WMAL) that is radiating harmonics (1260,
>> > 1.890, etc.) on up the spectrum.
>> >
>> > The harmonics are strong enough that 1260 AM (also a local station) is
>> > unlistenable for about a mile surrounding WMAL's towers. It's also an
>> > irritation throughout the SW bands.
>> >
>> > I am rather certain that the harmonics are coming out of the
>> > transmitter and not the result of local rectification (near or in the
>> > receiver) because building a trap for 630kHz has no effect on the
>> > harmonic and the harmonic is present over a very wide area.
>> >
>> > My first attempts (calling the station, writing a letter) have been met
>> > with no acknowledgment that there is a problem (it is not even obvious
>> > that anyone understands that there MIGHT be a technical issue to pass
>> > on to engineering.)
>> >
>> > Any advice?
>> >
>> > Tim.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yes. Sell your house and move closer to the 1260 station.
>
>While I'm about a mile from WMAL's towers, I believe that I'm within
>about 5 miles of WWRC 1260's towers, and they both are listed by the
>FCC as running 5kW. So at least it wouldn't be a very far move :-).
>
>WWRC's signal is pretty damn lousy for a 5kW transmitter just a couple
>of miles away. I mean, it doesn't help that WMAL's harmonic is stamping
>all over it in my neighborhood, but even when I get away from my
>neighborhood reception is pretty bad even within a 10 mile radius.
>
>Tim.
>
You may be in a near-field null.

1809. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 13:18:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <akt9b2d6cksr0uk52i0q3lpg7ga4b5hsh6@4ax.com>
Source record: 82946

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:15:25 GMT, "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com>
wrote:

>I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off an
>external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am looking
>for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly utilizes an
>external antenna.
>
>
I'm using an ICOM R75. Sometimes I use a Drake SW2, but that's my
kitchen radio right now.

1810. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 13:58:12 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152712692.561855.41920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82947

dxAce, et al.

I've gotten a few pdf files on the radio that I will send to your email address - or anybody else that may want. I would appreciate comments on the radio's specs.

Looks like an interesting radio and as I said, my friend rebuilds them, so I have a service option if I need. I will send 3 .pdf files after work from home. If you have another email address you would rather me send to then let me know by email. I know some people don't like posting their real email to usenet groups.

regards,
Bob

dxAce wrote:
> N9NEO wrote:
>
> > I'll go find some.
>
> Thanks. I'd be interested to see what it's all about. I'm somewhat familiar with
> the RA6790 type stuff but not the 6830.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1811. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:18:04 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <n7v9b21ejobgmn40od26h186nia9neklr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 82998

D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
> Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently,
> there are alternatives to terrestrial radio.

Laugh. Still fuming over that conversation?

>
> You see, Richards was right....we, as listeners have alternatives.
>

Unfortunately, one thing we will lose is the ability to listen to information sources that cannot be shut down on demand. All broadband, satellite, cell phone go through a massive infrastructure with built-in choke points. HF/AM do not. What we will lose is more important than the political implications; it has emergency implications as well.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"It's the Din of iBiquity." -- Frank Dresser

1812. I am not listening to Chuck Harder anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:19:22 UTC
From: abruxis@aol.com
Message-ID: <1152713962.457553.41740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82999

I've been listening to radio talk show host Chuck Harder since 1991.
No more.
I've had it with him. I'm tuning him out forever.
I refuse to subject my intelligence to Chuck's infantile verbal assaults, goofy conspiracy theories, lame-brain Bush bashing, and so on, and so forth.
Hey, I never liked the guy in the first place ... so, in essence, this is no big deal. Yes, yes, yes, I know: Chuck suffered a lot in the last 10 years, most notably his bad accident in 1999 that confined him to a wheelchair for years. And I do realize the charitable efforts on the part of his network (TalkStar) and some radio stations (KCAA AM 1050, Loma Linda, CA for example) to keep him on the air.
But charity only goes so far.
Chuck should have stayed in Tampa; failing that, he should have stayed the hell out of politics. He is incapable of expressing a rational political thought - the mental version of his physical handicap, as it were - a handicap caused by his pathological hatred of the U.S. government, a hatred that defies all logical explanation.
Only Timothy McVeigh hated Uncle Sam more than Chuck Harder does, in my opinion. And we all know what McVeigh did.
Chuck Harder is not a "populist"; he is most definitely not a conservative. I would venture to say that he is not even a patriot. He is a government-hater, a "counter-culture-nik" born 40 years too late, a contemporary of Dr. Ward Churchill. He stands for nothing, but is against everything. And he, in my view, is dragging down everyone and everything associated with him: his radio network, his family, his friends, his listeners, his ideological comrades.
The most tragic thing of all is the fact that it's too late for him to reform. If this were 1992 or 1995, there might be a chance. Not now.
In a way, I feel sorry for the SOB. But my sympathy is tempered by my disdain for his politics. Nobody forced him to take that road to nowhere; he did it himself.
I cannot predict when Chuck Harder will go off the air permanently, but whenever that happens, it will be none too soon.

1813. hi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:26:13 UTC
From: Scott Gilbert <"Scott Gilbert"@sapguru.com>
Message-ID: <e932jg$39e$2@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 83006

im bi

1814. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:26:44 UTC
From: Steven Umbach <n9rou@n0spam-comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G9udnaVL6po8myjZnZ2dnUVZ_vCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Well said and beware of those trying to raise taxes on corporations. --- Steve

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:lIZmg.104309$H71.44092@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> David wrote:
> > Corporations pay way less than half the cost of running governments,
>
> Corporations don't pay any of the cost of running
> governments - their customers pay it all.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

1815. Re: AM IBOC: another solution in search of a problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:28:24 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cG7tg.370776$Fs1.163971@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83002

Eric F. Richards wrote:
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> Eric Richards made a good, and rather vocal, point--that currently,
>> there are alternatives to terrestrial radio.
>
> Laugh. Still fuming over that conversation?

LOL. That's what I like about you, Eric: the ability to find trouble
where it doesn't exist.

1816. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:29:04 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 83003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:

> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think most
> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>
> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is definitely
> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and with
> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.

There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
elsewhere.

> I have had a few
> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
> getting your money back.

Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.

> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice thing
> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative, just
> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.

After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it was
misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their money
comes from.

Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is impossible
to deal with when there is a problem.

>
>
> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in message
> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>> Item # 9738158955
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay with
>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a poor
>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of surplus
>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy on
>> the

>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
>>
>
>

1817. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:38:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152715105.510345.254590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

1818. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:42:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152715368.677556.84190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

1819. (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 14:54:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21080-44B50D0C-141@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83007

www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans who will do those jobs.
cuhulin

1820. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 15:07:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152716875.754408.221930@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> Why you do rape little boys up there in the U.P.
I don't but you want them raped

can't even do that yourself
> Just like you did in Iliinois and Indiana.
nope
> That's why you moved up to Michigan.
certainly not
> > > 1-866-347-3292 is a line to Hughes.net. BWHAHAHA!
> > is it? I assume you have called?
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA! You have no clue.
>
> > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > basical proves who is is lying
>
> Oh, your time is limited.
indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying

get help sicko

1821. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:14:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83009
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
.
.
. .

John Barnard wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > David wrote:
> >> Corporations pay way less than half the cost of running governments,
> >
> > Corporations don't pay any of the cost of running
> > governments - their customers pay it all.
> You are right! Corporations don't pay the cost of running governments.
> They pay the cost of usurping governments!
>
> JB

1822. Re: Racal 6830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:19:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B52104-960@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83012

I did a www.devilfinder.com search for,Racal 6830 Radio.
Only to take a looksee.
cuhulin

1823. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:22:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B521BA.69746157@milestones.com>
Source record: 83010

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B4DA53.79E00DFA@milestones.com...
>
> >> Go to the NAB website and you can order the full session on CD. It is the
> >> September, 2004 San Diego NAB radio convention, and all sessions are
> >> available on CD. Before you hurl any more ignorant statements, listen to
> >> the
> >> panel and you will see that this is exactly what I said.
> >
> > Why would I want to listen to any more of your BS? I get enough of it here
> > already.
>
> In other words, the truth would make you have to retract your silly
> statements, so you will have no part of it.

No, I get enough of your BS here already.

Pay attention, dipshit.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1824. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:23:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152721405.952131.202260@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83011
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

DaviD,

So posting here is your sole employment {Business}

~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Thu, 22 Jun 2006 15:34:52 -0500, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >India is not a country we rely on to build super companies (for lack of a
> >better term). Take a look at what we send to India. Toxic chemical factories
> >that would be choked to death by special interest groups and politicians. We
> >also outsource technical support, which is a waste of time and money, good
> >thing companies don't pay them much, but it's a cheap way of saying "we have
> >tech support" without truly having it. Look at the shoe mfg. industry, all
> >moved to third world slave labor countries. Good for the shoe industry as
> >they no longer have to deal with unions and we keep management and
> >development in countries that have the talent and resources to maintain
> >state of the art products. Look at how the auto industry has changed. They
> >sent 1000s of jobs overseas to cut down on union labor (with management and
> >R&D in the US), and the Japs are opening plants in the US (with management
> >and R&D in Japan) that will never deal with the UAW. I could go on forever
> >with this post (and talk about NAFTA), but will end my rave at this point.
> >BTW, changed subject header as it didn't seem to fit. I would have trimmed a
> >few NGs but am unsure what groups you are subscribed to.
> >
> >
- The purpose of business is to keep people busy.

1825. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:40:07 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152722407.879793.183020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83013
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On Fri, 23 Jun 2006 14:14:17 -0500, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:u9tn92tmv5angta69k852on9jq12brc412@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 22 Jun 2006 18:22:57 -0500, "DrDeath"
> >> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>
> >>>> The purpose of business is to keep people busy.
> >>>>
> >>>No, It is to make money.
> >>>
> >>>
> >> Then it would be called moneyness.
> >>
> >Then I guess all those Fotrune 500 people have it wrong as they are in
> >buisness for making money. Even my boss hired me to make money, not to keep
> >me busy. Hell I can keep myself busy.
> >
> >>
> >> Those gated communities won't protect you from the thundering hordes
> >> of hungry people.
> >>
> >
> >Gated communities? I live in the sticks armed to the teeth. They can eat the
> >dead bodies I leave in the yard.
> >
> >
> You're a tough guy.
>
> During a more civilised time a company went into business to provide
> jobs and make profits and to be part of a community. Nowadays
> corporations are extranational, have no local ties and just milk
> markets dry and move on.
>
> Corporations pay way less than half th cost of running governments,
> even though they are the major beneficieries of what governments
> provide. This is because we let them write their own rules.

DaviD,

The vast majority of 'businesses' are "Sole Proprietorships"
One Man trying to Create a Job for Himself and Ear a Living.
-IF- He is Good & Lucky - His Business will Expand and
He will be able to Hire Other People and Employ Them Too.
Small Business is the Foundation of Bigger {BIG} Business.

Three Points to Remember about Being-in-Business :
1 - Become Profitable
2 - Expand and Grow
3 - Continue in Business

business - think small and grow big ~ RHF
.
.
. .

1826. (OT) Milan B. Williams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 16:57:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44B529F2-1077@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83014

has passed away.(Lukemia)
Southern Style section at www.clarionledger.com Milan was in the
Band,the Commondors.
Rest in Peace,Milan B. Williams.
cuhulin

1827. Understanding the AM/MW Radio Broadcasting Business Model . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 17:19:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152724755.048232.205020@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83015
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

For One and All,

For the most part AM/MW Radio Broadcasting Business Model
is now 'by-design' a Local Media that serves Local Business Market.
Broadcasters serve Local Business Markets which are Limited
Geographic Areas related to Marketing 'potential' of Businesses
within the Area. Anything beyond the Area is Out-of-Market
and by definition a waste of Advertising Money and Broadcast
Resources.

NOTE - Any AM/MW HD-IBOC Signal Interference Problems
that are 'out' of the Local Market is by definition "Not-a-Problem".

FWIW - The Days of the Nation Wide Clear Channel Broadcaster
are long gone; and the Days of the Regional {Extended Market}
Broadcaster are Numbered; with the implementation of AM/MW
HD-IBOC Broadcasting.

The AM/MW HD-IBOC Broadcasting System makes sense in a
Local Marketing Media System : In that it further Localizes the
Local {Limited} Market Area and minimizes the Out of Area
Competition {Distance Market Potential}.

and that's the way i see it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
> news:tYednRYX76MoxSnZnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> > Neither WBBM, WTMJ, not WGN seemed to care. First hand experience, since I
> > am one of the people who contacted them, letting them know that they had
> > lost a listener. They don't care. They are too busy putting on
> > appearances, being "with it".
>
> Unless you are in our own metro, the one in which the station is licensed,
> none of us have any real benefit to be received from out of market
> listeners. No revenue, no programming implications. Making any effort to
> appeal to out of market listeners is an expense with no payback.

1828. Re: Video on the EMP threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 17:26:12 UTC
From: IR <non@sequiteur.org>
Message-ID: <%E7tg.88$uw6.86@fe03.lga>
Source record: 83000

The video link doesn't work...

1829. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 17:26:22 UTC
From: "John L. Sielke" <w2agnNOSPAM@w2agn.net>
Message-ID: <7ZWdnbeedbkjrSjZnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83016
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
RHF wrote:
> JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> David wrote:
>>>> Corporations pay way less than half the cost of running governments,
>>> Corporations don't pay any of the cost of running
>>> governments - their customers pay it all.
>> You are right! Corporations don't pay the cost of running governments.
>> They pay the cost of usurping governments!
>>
>> JB
>
It' all because back in the 60's all those draft-dodger types went to Canada.
Canada not only welcomed them, but allowed them to breed. The gene pool has
never been the same.
```

1830. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 18:31:18 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152729078.083326.112060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83017

Yea, and get those lazy sons of a guns off of welfare and see how many
more Amerkans will do em.

I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.

73
NEO

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> who will do those jobs.
> cuhulin

1831. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 18:42:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44B542A6-842@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83020

www.devilfinder.com Alligator Recipes
Alligators are good for wrestling with too.And Alligator boots and belts and hats.The Bell South woman next door to me owns a pair of Alligator boots,she showed them to me.Every once in a while,some people at the reservoir (that is where the city of Jackson gets it's water) see some Alligators out there.
cuhulin

1832. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 18:51:42 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152730302.609263.297690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83018

Lisa Simpson wrote:
> I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off an
> external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am looking
> for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly utilizes an
> external antenna.

Few modern receivers will receive any better if simply given a
"stronger signal" as in a longer antenna.

In fact many will begin to exhibit intermod and other signs of overload
if you just give them a longer antenna.

To get a real gain in performance, make your external antenna be
directional (even if just a loop or a dipole) or add a tuner with
selectivity to cut down on some out-of-band intermod nuisance.

Tim.

1833. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 18:53:26 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1152730406.607337.289870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83019

Lisa Simpson wrote:
> recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver

R-390A's make nice stable nightstands.

Tim.

1834. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 19:33:18 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-DBBB1C.12331812072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83021
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article ,
"Pete KE9OA" wrote:

< Snip >

> There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands.
> It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing
> the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the
> measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has
> to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel
> users. Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector
> signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care
> when they launched their inferior system.

It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in order
to introduce another new system. They should have used another band or
segregated the existing band. I don't think much of the IBOC in any
event. If you are going to trash all the existing radios out there in
the world I would want a better payoff in new technology than what IBOC
represents.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1835. Re: (OT) Milan B. Williams joins the "NightShift" - The Commodores

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 19:34:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152732873.225044.166990@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83022

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Milan_B._Williams
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Commodores

"NightShift" -The Commodores
http://ntl.matrix.com.br/pfilho/html/lyrics/n/nightshift.txt
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/stores/recs/radio/krex/-/track/B00003002J001010/ref=mu_sam_ms_001_010/103-1783483-4165405

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> has passed away.(Lukemia)
> Southern Style section at www.clarionledger.com Milan was in the
> Band,the Commondors.
> Rest in Peace,Milan B. Williams.
> cuhulin

1836. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 19:43:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B550E1.48FD3EE0@milestones.com>
Source record: 83023
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Telamon wrote:

> In article ,
> "Pete KE9OA" wrote:
>
> < Snip >
>
> > There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands.
> > It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing
> > the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the
> > measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has
> > to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel
> > users. Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector
> > signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care
> > when they launched their inferior system.
>
> It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in order
> to introduce another new system. They should have used another band or
> segregated the existing band. I don't think much of the IBOC in any
> event. If you are going to trash all the existing radios out there in
> the world I would want a better payoff in new technology than what IBOC
> represents.

Edweenie will return shortly to amuse you with even more astounding bullshit
about HD/IBOC.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1837. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 19:48:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152733725.707130.243310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83024

Telamon - Well Built -is- Well Built ~ RHF
[Look + Observe = Learn] {Buying is not required}

IMHO - A commercial Shortwave {HF}Antenna design that
is for Amateur Radio use can last for years and withstand
the elements. A 'home-made' Shortwave Listening {Receive
Only} Antenna the the builder wants to Keep-Up-in-the-Air
for Years would benefit from many Amateur Radio {Do-It-
Yourself} Building Practices.
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > For One and All,
> >
> > We all know about the popular makers of
> > HF {Shortwave} Antennas here in the USA
> > such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna Supermarket;
> > Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> > Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
> >
> > But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna
> > maker from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}
> > http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> > http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> > Always worth a look for some ideas on
> > "How To" Construct {Build} an Antenna
> > in a new and different way . . .
> >
> >
> > sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
>
> I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to buy an
> antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving antenna.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

1838. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:02:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <_yctg.64274$fb2.34787@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83025
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Telamon" wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-DBBB1C.12331812072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article ,
> "Pete KE9OA" wrote:
>
> < Snip >
>
>> There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands.
>> It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing
>> the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the
>> measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has
>> to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel
>> users. Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector
>> signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care
>> when they launched their inferior system.
>
> It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in order
> to introduce another new system. They should have used another band or
> segregated the existing band. I don't think much of the IBOC in any
> event. If you are going to trash all the existing radios out there in
> the world I would want a better payoff in new technology than what IBOC
> represents.

Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.

The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding HD as an
alternative to analog.

1839. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:12:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B557B3.F717EE60@milestones.com>
Source record: 83026
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and genuine paid shill
for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "Telamon" wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-DBBB1C.12331812072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article ,
> > "Pete KE9OA" wrote:
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> >> There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands.
> >> It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing
> >> the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the
> >> measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has
> >> to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel
> >> users. Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector
> >> signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care
> >> when they launched their inferior system.
> >
> > It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in order
> > to introduce another new system. They should have used another band or
> > segregated the existing band. I don't think much of the IBOC in any
> > event. If you are going to trash all the existing radios out there in
> > the world I would want a better payoff in new technology than what IBOC
> > represents.
>
> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
>
> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding HD as an
> alternative to analog.

No loss? Try at least two adjacent channels.

Take your damn HD/IBOC and shove it up your bean blowing, QRM shilling ass, boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1840. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:18:00 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AC6E92.13175912072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83027

In article <1152733725.707130.243310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > For One and All,
> > >
> > > We all know about the popular makers of
> > > HF {Shortwave} Antennas here in the USA
> > > such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna Supermarket;
> > > Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> > > Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
> > >
> > > But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna
> > > maker from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}
> > > http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> > > http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> > > Always worth a look for some ideas on
> > > "How To" Construct {Build} an Antenna
> > > in a new and different way . . .
> > >
> > >
> > > sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
> >
> > I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to buy an
> > antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving antenna.
>
> Telamon - Well Built -is- Well Built ~ RHF
> [Look + Observe = Learn] {Buying is not required}
>
> IMHO - A commercial Shortwave {HF}Antenna design that
> is for Amateur Radio use can last for years and withstand
> the elements. A 'home-made' Shortwave Listening {Receive
> Only} Antenna the the builder wants to Keep-Up-in-the-Air
> for Years would benefit from many Amateur Radio {Do-It-
> Yourself} Building Practices.

Ham antennas are 2 to 3 times the cost of well made receiving antennas
and not worth the money for a receiving application. You are not going
to gain anything by spending $100 to $200 more for a ham antenna. A well
made commercial receiving antenna will last just as long. The main
difference between them is the elements for ham antennas are designed to
handle transmit power efficiently.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1841. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:30:15 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-82544F.13301512072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83028
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <_yctg.64274$fb2.34787@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" wrote:

> "Telamon" wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-DBBB1C.12331812072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > In article , "Pete
> > KE9OA" wrote:
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> >> There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the
> >> sidebands. It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we
> >> are designing the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile
> >> equipment. One of the measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent
> >> channel couple power). It has to be well below a level that could
> >> interfere with adjacent channel users. Apparently, either the IBOC
> >> folks never heard of a vector signal analyzer, don't know how to
> >> use it, or they just didn't care when they launched their inferior
> >> system.
> >
> > It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in
> > order to introduce another new system. They should have used
> > another band or segregated the existing band. I don't think much of
> > the IBOC in any event. If you are going to trash all the existing
> > radios out there in the world I would want a better payoff in new
> > technology than what IBOC represents.
>
> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
>
> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding
> HD as an alternative to analog.

Sorry David, IBOC is compatible, but not 100%, as the analog sidebands
are limited and adjacent channels have interference by the digital
sidebands. Some people including myself do not agree that the existing
level of compatibility is acceptable. If it was 100% nobody would be
complaining about it. You have well explained the radio
station/marketing perspective on this but that does not change the
listening experience, which has limitations placed on it by IBOC over
the existing long time analog transmission scheme.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1842. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:33:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152736432.098870.293570@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83029

David - Had an Uncle in Sacramento who had an
old Hallicrafters S-38 in the Kitchen and that was
"His Early Morning Breafast Radio"; everyone else
got to listen to the old RCA AM Tube Radio. ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:15:25 GMT, "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off an
> >external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am looking
> >for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly utilizes an
> >external antenna.
> >
> >
> I'm using an ICOM R75. Sometimes I use a Drake SW2, but that's my
> kitchen radio right now.

1843. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 20:46:02 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152737162.416638.46340@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83030

Telamon,

My point about "Well Built -is- Well Built" is that Quality Materials
and Good Building Techniques will result in an Antenna that lasts.
Start by taking a Look at some of the commercial products and
Amateur designs and learn 'How To' make "The Right Stuff" that
will endure the elements for years.

Although I have been know to Rig a new Antenna Idea once-a-week
when the weather, time and imagination are right. ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152733725.707130.243310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > For One and All,
> > > >
> > > > We all know about the popular makers of
> > > > HF {Shortwave} Antennas here in the USA
> > > > such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna Supermarket;
> > > > Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> > > > Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
> > > >
> > > > But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna
> > > > maker from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}
> > > > http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> > > > http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> > > > Always worth a look for some ideas on
> > > > "How To" Construct {Build} an Antenna
> > > > in a new and different way . . .
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
> > >
> > > I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to buy an
> > > antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving antenna.
> >
> > Telamon - Well Built -is- Well Built ~ RHF

> > [Look + Observe = Learn] {Buying is not required}
> >
> > IMHO - A commercial Shortwave {HF}Antenna design that
> > is for Amateur Radio use can last for years and withstand
> > the elements. A 'home-made' Shortwave Listening {Receive
> > Only} Antenna the the builder wants to Keep-Up-in-the-Air
> > for Years would benefit from many Amateur Radio {Do-It-
> > Yourself} Building Practices.
>
> Ham antennas are 2 to 3 times the cost of well made receiving antennas
> and not worth the money for a receiving application. You are not going
> to gain anything by spending $100 to $200 more for a ham antenna. A well
> made commercial receiving antenna will last just as long. The main
> difference between them is the elements for ham antennas are designed to
> handle transmit power efficiently.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

1844. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:02:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Lrdtg.171347$F_3.57620@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83032

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B557B3.F717EE60@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding HD as
>> an
>> alternative to analog.
>
> No loss? Try at least two adjacent channels.

As mentioned and even as presented to the FCC, the listening to stations on channels adjacent to local stations in each market is essentially nil, so there is no loss if nobody is using it.

1845. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:04:28 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <wtdtg.32309$u11.15381@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 83031

I'll look for one . . .

<shoppa@trailing-edge.com> wrote in message
news:1152730406.607337.289870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lisa Simpson wrote:
> > recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver
>
> R-390A's make nice stable nightstands.
>
> Tim.
>

1846. Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:06:46 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83033
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&more=/news/more-news.asp
http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html

~ RHF
.
.
. .

dxAce wrote:
> Telamon wrote:
>
> > In article ,
> > "Pete KE9OA" wrote:
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> > > There is no excuse for the junk that IBOC puts out on the sidebands.
> > > It is a poor excuse of a system. On my current job, we are designing
> > > the next generation of VHF/UHF land mobile equipment. One of the
> > > measurements I make are ACCP (adjacent channel couple power). It has
> > > to be well below a level that could interfere with adjacent channel
> > > users. Apparently, either the IBOC folks never heard of a vector
> > > signal analyzer, don't know how to use it, or they just didn't care
> > > when they launched their inferior system.
> >
> > It is a bad way to go to trash the existing broadcast system in order
> > to introduce another new system. They should have used another band or
> > segregated the existing band. I don't think much of the IBOC in any
> > event. If you are going to trash all the existing radios out there in
> > the world I would want a better payoff in new technology than what IBOC
> > represents.
>
> Edweenie will return shortly to amuse you with even more astounding bullshit
> about HD/IBOC.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

1847. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:08:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <txdtg.171352$F_3.92861@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83034

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-82544F.13301512072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...

>>
>> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
>>
>> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding
>> HD as an alternative to analog.
>
> Sorry David, IBOC is compatible, but not 100%, as the analog sidebands
> are limited and adjacent channels have interference by the digital
> sidebands.

As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there is
what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.

> Some people including myself do not agree that the existing
> level of compatibility is acceptable. If it was 100% nobody would be
> complaining about it.

I don't hear listeners complaining. I hear mostly DXers whining.

The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
offered.

> You have well explained the radio
> station/marketing perspective on this but that does not change the
> listening experience, which has limitations placed on it by IBOC over
> the existing long time analog transmission scheme.

However, the only listening being disrupted is the potential to listen to
adjacents... something that, in practice, is just not done.

1848. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:11:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Hzdtg.171353$F_3.45368@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83035

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
> http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&more=/news/more-news.asp
> http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html
>
> ~ RHF

$12.7 billion, including assumption of liabilities. And that news is OLD.

1849. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 21:39:41 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <jtqab21r6535sih0vgm949p3rm83asc4kd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83036

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:15:25 GMT, "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com>
wrote:

>I have discovered that my Kaito KA1101 doesn't receive any better off an
>external antenna than it does on the attached telescoping, so I am looking
>for recommendations for a "nightstand" SW receiver that properly utilizes an
>external antenna.
>

Any receiver without a whip antenna will certainly utilize an outdoor
antenna well :-)

I like my NRD-525 -- they can be found cheaply nowadays. I'm sure
there are others.

bob
k5qwg

1850. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 22:22:07 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e93si3$n8k$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 83037

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:txdtg.171352$F_3.92861@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-82544F.13301512072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>>
>>> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
>>>
>>> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding
>>> HD as an alternative to analog.
>>
>> Sorry David, IBOC is compatible, but not 100%, as the analog sidebands
>> are limited and adjacent channels have interference by the digital
>> sidebands.
>
> As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
> stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there
> is what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.
>
>> Some people including myself do not agree that the existing
>> level of compatibility is acceptable. If it was 100% nobody would be
>> complaining about it.
>
> I don't hear listeners complaining. I hear mostly DXers whining.
>
> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
> offered.
>
>> You have well explained the radio
>> station/marketing perspective on this but that does not change the
>> listening experience, which has limitations placed on it by IBOC over
>> the existing long time analog transmission scheme.
>
> However, the only listening being disrupted is the potential to listen to
> adjacents... something that, in practice, is just not done.

You are wrong, and need to admit you are wrong. Sales decisions are not
always right. People DO listen to so called out of market radio stations.
They do it all the time. For instance people in the so-called Salem market
listen to Portland radio regularly (not that Portland really has anything to
offer, given they are all just cookie cutter stations mostly owned by two
companies, Clear Channel and Entercom). These cities are 47 miles apart.

Portland radio garners listeners further down the valley as well, and north as far as Longview, west as far as Seaside, Astoria, Tillamook (Astorians also listen to the occasional Seattle/Tacoma area stations), and of course as far east as at least Cascade Locks and for those in fixed locations (i.e. not mobile) they put in a good signal to The Dalles. And oh, while we're at it, if listeners outside their markets were not important, then why put up translators (these translators will also be affected by IBOC on FM), including the occasional translator on FM for an AM station.

Your argument is full of holes, which you frantically keep trying to fill.

1851. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 22:44:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SWetg.63957$Lm5.5190@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83038

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e93si3$n8k$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> However, the only listening being disrupted is the potential to listen to
>> adjacents... something that, in practice, is just not done.
>
> You are wrong, and need to admit you are wrong. Sales decisions are not
> always right. People DO listen to so called out of market radio stations.
> They do it all the time.

Not really. This does not show up in radio ratings, which record every
incident of listening, no matter where the station is from.

> For instance people in the so-called Salem market listen to Portland radio
> regularly

OK, what Salem AM is adjacent or next adjacent to 1190, 620 or 750? These
stations are protected because they offer enough signal to the market to
_be_ protected.

>(not that Portland really has anything to offer, given they are all just
>cookie cutter stations mostly owned by two companies, Clear Channel and
>Entercom).

Lets see. I find ABC, Chris Bennett, Bohnsack, Bustos, Capital, Catholic,
CBS, Churchill, Clear, Don Cross, Crawforde, CSN, Dolphin, EMF, entercom,
Alan Gottlieb, Jupiter, KBOO Foundation, KBPS Public, KCCS LLC, Linfield
College, Mt. Hood CC district, Oregon Public, Pamplin, Reed, Rose City,
Salem and Wilamette. That is more than two.

>These cities are 47 miles apart. Portland radio garners listeners further
>down the valley as well, and north as far as Longview, west as far as
>Seaside, Astoria, Tillamook (Astorians also listen to the occasional
>Seattle/Tacoma area stations), and of course as far east as at least
>Cascade Locks and for those in fixed locations (i.e. not mobile) they put
>in a good signal to The Dalles. And oh, while we're at it, if listeners
>outside their markets were not important, then why put up translators
>(these translators will also be affected by IBOC on FM), including the
>occasional translator on FM for an AM station.

Translaters are put up by tird parties.
>
> Your argument is full of holes, which you frantically keep trying to fill.

There is a minimal loss. There is a huge potential for gain by keeping free
terrestrial radio alive.
>

>
>

1852. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:09:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uiftg.3729$vO.2855@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83039

"N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net> wrote in
news:1152729078.083326.112060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> Yea, and get those lazy sons of a guns off of welfare and see how many
> more Amerkans will do em.
>
> I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>
> 73
> NEO

And get the single mothers on welfare out in the fields too. If Mexican
kids can pick crops, welfare kids can to.

1853. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:09:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <viftg.3730$vO.358@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83040

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:21080-44B50D0C-141@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> who will do those jobs.
> cuhulin

We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
work for that welfare check.

Sc

1854. Re: I am not listening to Chuck Harder anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:09:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Aiftg.3733$vO.299@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83041

abruxis@aol.com wrote in
news:1152713962.457553.41740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> I've been listening to radio talk show host Chuck Harder since 1991.
> No more.
> I've had it with him. I'm tuning him out forever.
> I refuse to subject my intelligence to Chuck's infantile verbal
> assaults, goofy conspiracy theories, lame-brain Bush bashing, and so
> on, and so forth.
> Hey, I never liked the guy in the first place ... so, in essence, this
> is no big deal. Yes, yes, yes, I know: Chuck suffered a lot in the last
> 10 years, most notably his bad accident in 1999 that confined him to a
> wheelchair for years. And I do realize the charitable efforts on the
> part of his network (TalkStar) and some radio stations (KCAA AM 1050,
> Loma Linda, CA for example) to keep him on the air.
> But charity only goes so far.
> Chuck should have stayed in Tampa; failing that, he should have stayed
> the hell out of politics. He is incapable of expressing a rational
> political thought - the mental version of his physical handicap, as it
> were - a handicap caused by his pathological hatred of the U.S.
> government, a hatred that defies all logical explanation.
> Only Timothy McVeigh hated Uncle Sam more than Chuck Harder does, in my
> opinion. And we all know what McVeigh did.
> Chuck Harder is not a "populist"; he is most definitely not a
> conservative. I would venture to say that he is not even a patriot. He
> is a government-hater, a "counter-culture-nik" born 40 years too late,
> a contemporary of Dr. Ward Churchill. He stands for nothing, but is
> against everything. And he, in my view, is dragging down everyone and
> everything associated with him: his radio network, his family, his
> friends, his listeners, his ideological comrades.
> The most tragic thing of all is the fact that it's too late for him to
> reform. If this were 1992 or 1995, there might be a chance. Not now.
> In a way, I feel sorry for the SOB. But my sympathy is tempered by my
> disdain for his politics. Nobody forced him to take that road to
> nowhere; he did it himself.
> I cannot predict when Chuck Harder will go off the air permanently, but
> whenever that happens, it will be none too soon.

I don't listen to any radio program or TV show where the host or its
callers and guests are idiot retard lunatics. And I don't listen or watch
NBC, CNN, ABC, CBS, NPR, or MSNBC either. I don't like being fed agenda
when I want news.

SC

1855. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:09:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Ciftg.3734$vO.2934@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83042
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>

Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.

1856. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:10:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B58177.26FC0804@milestones.com>
Source record: 83043

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the prancer 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B557B3.F717EE60@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding HD as
> >> an
> >> alternative to analog.
> >
> > No loss? Try at least two adjacent channels.
>
> As mentioned and even as presented to the FCC, the listening to stations on
> channels adjacent to local stations in each market is essentially nil, so
> there is no loss if nobody is using it.

Stuff a sock in it, 'tard boy!

1857. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:12:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B581E7.D8D49EFF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83044

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shameless shill wrote:

> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
> > http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&more=/news/more-news.asp
> > http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html
> >
> > ~ RHF
>
> $12.7 billion, including assumption of liabilities. And that news is OLD.

Hopefully they'll can your fake Hispanic ass!

1858. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:15:26 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152746126.668386.219350@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83045

Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:21080-44B50D0C-141@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > > www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> > > Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> > > Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> > > aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> > > who will do those jobs.
> > > cuhulin
> >
> >
> > We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
> > the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
> > work for that welfare check.
certainly a better propasal than the one you have for ARS licensing
good job man
>
> Sc

1859. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:19:34 UTC
From: Unrevealed Source <unrevealed_source@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga>
Source record: 83047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had relatively few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've had to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't have a problem getting things resolved.

Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at the facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not stupid enough to give that up.

So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the right questions before you made a purchase. Possible?

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think most
>> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
>> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>>
>> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
>> definitely
>> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and with
>> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
>
> There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
> elsewhere.
>
>> I have had a few
>> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
>> getting your money back.
>
> Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
>
>

>> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
>> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
>> thing
>> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
>> just
>> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.
>
> After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it was
> misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their money
> comes from.
>
> Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is impossible
> to deal with when there is a problem.
>
>>
>>
>> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
>> message
>> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>> Item # 9738158955
>>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
>>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
>>>> with
>>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
>>>> poor
>>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
>>>> surplus
>>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
>>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy on
>>> the
>>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
>>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
>>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
>>>
>>
>>
>

1860. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:19:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B5838A.AE673891@milestones.com>
Source record: 83046

dxAce wrote:

>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shameless shill
> wrote:
>
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> > Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
>> >
>> http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&more=/news/mor
e-news.asp
>>
>> > http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html
>> >
>> > ~ RHF
>>
>> $12.7 billion, including assumption of liabilities. And that news is OLD.
>
> Hopefully they'll can your fake Hispanic ass!

Oh yeah, you must be one of those 'liabilities'.

LMFAO

>
>

1861. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:19:59 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152746399.921128.238150@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82848

What exactly is ARS licensing?

kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> > news:21080-44B50D0C-141@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
> >
> > > www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> > > Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> > > Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> > > aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> > > who will do those jobs.
> > > cuhulin
> >
> >
> > We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
> > the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
> > work for that welfare check.
> certainly a better propasal than the one you have for ARS licensing
> good job man
> >
> > Sc

1862. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:24:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B584B8.45C1DB0E@milestones.com>
Source record: 82849

N9NEO wrote:

> What exactly is ARS licensing?

Amateur Radio Service?

>
>
> kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> > > news:21080-44B50D0C-141@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
> > >
> > > > www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> > > > Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> > > > Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> > > > aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> > > > who will do those jobs.
> > > > cuhulin
> > >
> > >
> > > We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
> > > the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
> > > work for that welfare check.
> > certainly a better propasal than the one you have for ARS licensing
> > good job man
> > >
> > > Sc

1863. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:24:57 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152746696.945369.60890@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82850

N9NEO wrote:
> What exactly is ARS licensing?
ARS Amateur Radio Service

SC has a truly insane propasl he has been trying to seel in RRAP that would in the first throw roungly 300,000 ham off the air the first year and rough another 150,00 within 10 years

Thus his idea for requireing welfare cleints to do dirty job is avast improvement over whathe wants to do i the ARS licensing area Robert

1864. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:35:54 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b5875a$0$6154$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 82851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sal M. Onella wrote:
>
> Yes, but I think this was more an odd attempt to be funny. Suggest all go
> back and look at whom he said he "will not hesitate to contact" ... then
> decide how to take the OP. I bet he didn't mean to spin up so many people.
>
>

No offense, but only an idiot wouldn't have known that they would "spin
up" people on these news groups, or any others for that matter. Your
idea is possible, but I'd have to say not probable.

If what you say _is_ true, maybe he'll 'fess up. That would be interesting.

tom
K0TAR

1865. US university cracks secret EU satellite code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:41:53 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bb2ellrdrnmaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82853

US university cracks secret EU satellite code

A university in the US has cracked the secret codes of the European satellite system Galileo's first satellite in orbit, making it doubtful that the ?3.4 billion project will pay for itself through commercial fees as promised by Brussels.

"That means free access for consumers who use navigation devices," said the scientist who broke the code, Mark Psiaki, in a statement.
...
In mid-January, Mr Psiaki, professor of mechanical and aerospace engineering at Cornell University and co-leader of Cornell's GPS Laboratory, asked for the prototype codes but without luck.

Three months later he and his group of scientists had cracked the codes "just with an antenna and lots of signal processing," and with a basic algorithm to extract the codes.

Galileo is intended to pay for itself by offering several services, from a basic signal for use by the public to highly encrypted signals for governments and armed forces. [China is also funding Galileo]

http://euobserver.com/9/22071/?rk=1

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1866. Showdown with China

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:42:37 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bb2g4dktd3ccf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 82854

Showdown with China

"China has chosen the wrong side in the war on terror. It's very closely allied with Iran and is a major trading partner of every state sponsor of terrorism."

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23310

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

1867. Re: One Antenna and Two Radios = One Antenna Switch ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-12 23:45:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152747941.860634.153580@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82852

David wrote:
> On 21 Jun 2006 18:31:24 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >LS,
> >

- - I perfer the Alpha-Delta to the Daiwa and MFJ
- - Two Position Antenna Switches --for- connecting

- Overkill. Use a CATV A/B switch.

For One and All,

Somewhere between the Ham Radio Antenna Switches
and the CATV type Antenna Switches are the CB (5W)
quality Switches like the Workman CX-3 Three Position
Coaxial Antenna Switch.
IMAGE => http://www.cbradioworld.com/inc/sdetail/256
http://tinyurl.com/nagpf
The Workman CX-3 has One SO-239 Jack on one-side
for a single Input-Output -and- Three SO-239 Jacks on
the other-side for a three Input-Outputs to 'select-from'.
The Workman CX-3 uses a Four Position Rotary-Wafer
type Switch enclosed in a Metal Box.
Note - The 4th position is "OFF".

The one advantage over the TV type Antenna Switches
-if- you are using Coax Cables with PL-259 Connectors
on the ends is there is no need for "F" Adapters.

However -if- One is using RG6 TV type Coax Cable with
"F" Connectors then the CATV type A/B Antenna Switch
may be a good option.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

1868. 27.025 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:05:07 UTC
From: DX swl'er <cq@dx.swl>
Message-ID: <T6gtg.7077$PE1.2791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Is that the nigger frequency? Sounds like alot of niggers on that one.

swl'er

1869. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:08:05 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e942op$p7u$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 82856

> >Slow Code wrote:
>> We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
>> the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
>> work for that welfare check.

Now there's a plan I can get behind. Having free money and free food handed out gives people absolutely no incentive to do anything with their lives. Make 'em earn that welfare check. Korea has a similar 'workfare' type program wherein homeless and/or destitute people clear litter from the highways and city streets. It gives them money, a place to stay, and some sense of purpose, and makes the country a lot cleaner as well.

Mind you, there are the odd cases where welfare is needed, for the infirm, mentally handicapped, etc.. but not for able bodied young people who are fully capable of working for a living (including women who want to sit (lay) back and be baby factories in order to get free cash, housing and food stamps. These can go earn their welfare money, etc., or they can get their livelihood the old fashoined way... marry some poor slob, then have a couple kids, divorce him and take him for everything he's got.

1870. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:15:19 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152749719.137637.189230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DX swl'er wrote:
get help sicko

1871. Re: ICFSW7600 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:15:29 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <44B59096.188F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 82858

The 7600GR is a good receiver for the money. I've seen it in
closeouts for $99US but $129 or $149 is more common.

The synch detection is the great feature, on AM. You'll wonder
how you got along without it.

It is however a somewhat noisy synch detection, compared to higher
priced ones. It works great on strong signals (which suffer as much
from selective fading as weak signals and so need it too, if they're
not local), and is tolerable if selecting the sideband with it allows
you to get away from splatter on an adjacent channel even on weak
signals. Alas it loses synch on weak signals sometimes and is noisy
about it when it does so.

Just to mention what even more money would buy, but this deal is a good
one. But this gets you in for low money.

--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1872. Re: 27.025 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:16:04 UTC
From: HamOnRye <HOR@Hor.ct>
Message-ID: <44b5837f$0$29815$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 82859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"DX swl'er" <cq@dx.swl> wrote in message
news:T6gtg.7077$PE1.2791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Is that the nigger frequency? Sounds like alot of niggers on that one.
>
> swl'er
yes it is.
also listen to 26.835 & 27.265 AM. when 11 is open.
lots of yard apes there,too.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1873. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:20:25 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152750025.547180.13230@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82860

Another good point made Brenda Ann - We actually pay those welfare
queens more money every time they get knocked up and have another kid.
What idiots we are! Maybe they should be paid less money for each kid
they have, or *please stand by while Yzordderrex dons asbestos suit*
Pay them even more money to have their gosh darn tubes tied. Dang, did
I really say that???

Sorry for propagating ths way off topic thread, but some things I feel
very strongly about.

Brenda Ann wrote:
> > >Slow Code wrote:
> >> We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
> >> the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
> >> work for that welfare check.
>
> Now there's a plan I can get behind. Having free money and free food handed
> out gives people absolutely no incentive to do anything with their lives.
> Make 'em earn that welfare check. Korea has a similar 'workfare' type
> program wherein homeless and/or destitute people clear litter from the
> highways and city streets. It gives them money, a place to stay, and some
> sense of purpose, and makes the country a lot cleaner as well.
>
> Mind you, there are the odd cases where welfare is needed, for the infirm,
> mentally handicapped, etc.. but not for able bodied young people who are
> fully capable of working for a living (including women who want to sit (lay)
> back and be baby factories in order to get free cash, housing and food
> stamps. These can go earn their welfare money, etc., or they can get their
> livelihood the old fashoined way... marry some poor slob, then have a couple
> kids, divorce him and take him for everything he's got.

1874. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:29:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152750594.178250.211540@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Rebecca wrote:
get help sicko

1875. Re: get help MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:37:27 UTC
From: W3QRZ <w3qrz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152751047.049207.250370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82863
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

THAT WAS YOU THAT POSTED AS "REBECCA" YOU FUCKING FRUIT!

MARQUEER NOW YOUR PRETENDING TO BE A FEMALE!!!!!!!!!

GTE HPL FAG-TOID!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
an old freind wrote:
> Rebecca wrote:
> get help sicko

1876. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:43:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152751413.413184.123730@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82864
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W3QRZ wrote:
get help sicko forger

1877. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:44:22 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <GHgtg.119041$H71.14365@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 82865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm going through a dispute now where a guy who was finally kicked off ebay
(phil9923)
It took me over a month to get a refund from him for a radio he never
delivered. Now, another month later, Paypal sends me an email stating that
he may have used a stolen credit cars to pay me my refund and they want ME
to give it back. EXCUSE ME! That is and always was MY money. In the
meantime, my Paypal account is frozen. Thanks, Mr. Love, like that's your
real name...

"Unrevealed Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga...
> EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had
relatively
> few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to
> walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've had
> to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided
> with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes
> takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer
> and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't
> have a problem getting things resolved.
>
> Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They
> side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at
the
> facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a
> reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the
> buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not
> stupid enough to give that up.
>
> So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed
> complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the right
> questions before you made a purchase. Possible?
>
>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...
> > On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> > Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
most
> >> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
> >> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.

> >>
> >> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
> >> definitely
> >> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and
with
> >> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
> >
> > There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
> > elsewhere.
> >
> >> I have had a few
> >> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
> >> getting your money back.
> >
> > Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
> >
> >
> >> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
> >> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
> >> thing
> >> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
> >> just
> >> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.
> >
> > After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it
was
> > misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their
money
> > comes from.
> >
> > Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is
impossible
> > to deal with when there is a problem.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >>>> Item # 9738158955
> >>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
> >>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
> >>>> with
> >>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
> >>>> poor
> >>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
> >>>> surplus
> >>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal

> >>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy
on
> >>> the
> >>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
> >>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
> >>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>

1878. Re:LAST CHANCE FUCK FACE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:55:23 UTC
From: w3qrz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152752123.347101.186660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

NOT Scott Gilbert wrote:
> im Mopy

1879. Get rid of the rapist, we have solved half the problem...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:56:21 UTC
From: Bobby Rydell <SisterIlluminata@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152752181.663807.174930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

w...@yahoo.com wrote:
> NOT Scott Gilbert wrote:
> > im Mopy

1880. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 00:57:22 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152752242.484871.80850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

w...@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko forger

1881. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 01:13:36 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C0DAEC50.3A211%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 82869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7/12/06 4:19 PM, in article Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:

> EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had relatively
> few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to
> walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've had
> to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided
> with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes
> takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer
> and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't
> have a problem getting things resolved.
>
> Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They
> side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at the
> facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a
> reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the
> buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not
> stupid enough to give that up.
>
> So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed
> complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the right
> questions before you made a purchase. Possible?
>
>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...

See the3 bottom of the page for my reply to this top poster.....

>> On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
>> Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think most
>>> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
>>> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>>>
>>> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
>>> definitely
>>> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and with
>>> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
>>
>> There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
>> elsewhere.
>>

>>> I have had a few
>>> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
>>> getting your money back.
>>
>> Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
>>
>>
>>> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
>>> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
>>> thing
>>> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
>>> just
>>> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.
>>
>> After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it was
>> misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their money
>> comes from.
>>
>> Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is impossible
>> to deal with when there is a problem.
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
>>> message
>>> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>> Item # 9738158955
>>>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
>>>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
>>>>> with
>>>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
>>>>> poor
>>>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
>>>>> surplus
>>>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
>>>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy on
>>>> the
>>>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
>>>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
>>>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
>>>>
>>>
>>>

>>
>

None of your assumptions are correct.

The device was a "New" Video Grabber, complete with the power supply. It was one of 25 identical units being auctioned.

The unit arrived with a Radio Shack universal 300 mA wallwart (obviously NOT part of the "new" unit). The Video unit requires 3 Amps, as imprinted on the equipment.

The eBay resolution was that the seller would return what I bid for the unit, but I must pay to ship it back to the seller. That is NOT a reasonable resolution. The seller should, at least, have paid the return freight.

I also told them that, alternatively, the seller could simply ship me a correct power supply, which he did not want to do.

A correct resolution is that I should not be out anything for this misrepresented deal. The seller should have paid me for my original shipping cost and for reshipping it back. Anything less, permits sellers to pull shady deals and get away with it, at eBay's profit.

By the way.... Top Posting is the mark of, at least, a non-observant newsgroup newby.

Don

1882. Re: hi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 01:18:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44B59F63-1115@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82870

I am straight,but I still have a hankering to want to put on a bra (I do have a natural big breast) and a dress and sit around in my house like that.I wouldn't dare go out in public looking like that,my neighbors would laugh me out of the neighborhood.
cuhulin

1883. Numb Nuts Radio? You decide.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 01:27:56 UTC
From: Rebecca <wussywoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <50pio.c69.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 82861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

1884. Re: ICFSW7600 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 01:57:39 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152755859.842118.182610@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82871

Dear Mr. Thompson,

Personally I would not spend any more money on this receiver. Sixteen years is a long time for ANY portable radio so my recommendation to you would be just to use it "as is" for as long as you can and, when it finally "bites the dust" altogether, just discard it (or try to sell it to a collector of that model).

Now that you know that the radio may be on its "last legs," but is still functioning on SW and MW, you have plenty of time to research radios and decide what you want.

The Sony ICF-SW7600GR is indeed a splendid portable receiver as others replying to you have said. Should you be interested in this receiver, from time to time you might want to check Amazon.com for a sale price. Last December I bought two more of these receivers (I now own five of them) for $129.15 each and that included shipping. If you see it offered for such a price, grab it. (Don't wait either - those sales come and go very quickly.)

I don't know if you are interested in buying a more advanced receiver, but, if so, there are quite a number available at prices which were unheard of sixteen years ago. You may want to research some of them as well.

In any event, you are lucky - your radio is giving you "warning" rather than going dead completely and leaving you with nothing!

Best,

Joe

blair thompson wrote:
> The FM tuning on my Sony has lost its bearings, but everything else
> still works fine. It just bugs me that a function has gone south. A
> local techie looked at it for $30, but thought I should probably take
> it to a Sony repair facility for further delving into.
>
> Is it worth it to throw more money at this rig? I bought it new 16
> years ago, for $400 Canadian, and have been generally well-satisfied
> with the radio, listening mostly to SW, Morse code, etc. Guess it
> doesn't really owe me much of anything. But maybe I should be looking
> at a rig with newer electronics, etc., probably for less dinero, but
> the same overall features :)
>
> Now this is the 7600, NOT the 7600GR...whatever that is...Is there a
> difference? The 7600GR is generally well-regarded on the Eham review

> site, by many radio amateurs.
>
> Thanks for any input.
> Blair
> North Vancouver, B.C.

1885. KPH, KSM and others on the air in CW tonight only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 02:36:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B5B198.A9533094@milestones.com>
Source record: 82872

Just a reminder of the yearly CW broadcast
from former coastal station KPH near
Point Reyes, CA as well as several other
locations. At my QTH in Victoria, BC,
KPH and KSM as well as WLO and NMC are
being heard well using MixW decoding
software with near 100% copy. Here's an
example of what is being transmitted.
All ends later tonight: KPH first on 8642.0:

U ATIVE MESSAGE. QSW 426/HF =
CQ CQ CQ DE KPH KPH KPH SPECIAL
MESSAG NOLLOWS =
AT ONE TIME, A TIME NOT LONG PAST,
THE AIR WAS FILLED WITH THE MORSE
SIGNALS OF
MANY AMERICAN COAST STATIONS. NOW
ONLY THESE ANNUAL MEMORIAL
BROADCASTS FROM KPH
REMAIN. KPH SALUTES THESE NOW SIL
ENT STATIONS WITH THIS SPECIAL ROLL
CALL = KEK
KEK
KFS KFS
KHK KHK
KJV KJV
KLC KLC
KOK KOK
KPE KPE
KSA KSA
KSE KSE
KTK KTK
NAA NAA
NMA NMA
NMF NMF
NMG NMG
NMH NM E
NMN NMN
NMO NMO
NMV NMV
NMW NMW
NMY NMY
NOL NOL
NOU NOU

NOV NOV
N ET E I E T E E E
T
N E EEE EEE IEEEIEEEEEEE EE6/ CH3 S?
JE F?
K
DE M M M X 56/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVE N?
QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV N E KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX 50G
TD E6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AETVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OESX 4/6/ 8/12/16/22 CH3
OBS?
AMVER? QRU?
K
V I E
EE
I
O E KPH KPH QSX 5
I M/16/22 TNH3 OBS? AMVERU I QRUE D
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KPH KPH KPH QSX 4/6/T
DB 12/16/22 CHV OB S? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KPH KPH KPH QSX
4/6/8/12/16/22 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KPH KPH KPH QE X 4/ B
/8D E 12/16/22 CH3 OBS? AMVER? QRU?
K

E E CQ CQ CQ DE KPH KPH KPH TRAFFIC
LIST QSW 426/HF =
CQ CQ CQ DE KPH KPH KPH TRAFFIC IS
ON HAND FOR THE FOLLOWING SHIPS
AS OF 13 JULY
GMT = BPAJ BPAJ/TOR BROX BROX
C6JT2 C6JT2/TOR C6LL7 C6LL7/TOR
C6NTY C6T

I then switched over to KSM on 6474:

VVV AVV VVV DE KSM KSM K IM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AETVER? QRU?
K
VVV VVV VVV DE KSM KSM KSM QSX
500/6/12/16 CH3 OBS? AETVER? QRU?
K

Hears the link for the station and frequency
information:
http://www.radiomarine.org/non7.html

.....Walt Salmaniw, Victoria, BC.
(Via DXLD)

I'm currently copying KPH on 8642 at 0235.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1886. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 03:03:42 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152759822.326499.73640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Hackett wrote:
> I'm going through a dispute now where a guy who was finally kicked off ebay
> (phil9923)
> It took me over a month to get a refund from him for a radio he never
> delivered. Now, another month later, Paypal sends me an email stating that
> he may have used a stolen credit cars to pay me my refund and they want ME
> to give it back. EXCUSE ME! That is and always was MY money. In the
> meantime, my Paypal account is frozen. Thanks, Mr. Love, like that's your
> real name...
>

Your complaint about Paypal might explain why some sellers insist on US
Money orders.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Unrevealed Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga...
> > EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had
> relatively
> > few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to
> > walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've had
> > to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided
> > with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes
> > takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer
> > and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't
> > have a problem getting things resolved.
> >
> > Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They
> > side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at

> the
> > facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a
> > reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the
> > buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not
> > stupid enough to give that up.
> >
> > So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed
> > complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the right
> > questions before you made a purchase. Possible?
> >
> >
> > "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...
> > > On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> > > Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
> most
> > >> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
> > >> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
> > >>
> > >> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
> > >> definitely
> > >> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and
> with
> > >> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
> > >
> > > There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
> > > elsewhere.
> > >
> > >> I have had a few
> > >> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
> > >> getting your money back.
> > >
> > > Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
> > >
> > >
> > >> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
> > >> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
> > >> thing
> > >> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
> > >> just
> > >> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.
> > >
> > > After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it
> was
> > > misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their
> money
> > > comes from.
> > >

> > > Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is
> impossible
> > > to deal with when there is a problem.
> > >
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
> > >> message
> > >> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > >>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> > >>>> Item # 9738158955
> > >>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
> > >>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
> > >>>> with
> > >>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
> > >>>> poor
> > >>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
> > >>>> surplus
> > >>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
> > >>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy
> on
> > >>> the
> > >>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
> > >>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
> > >>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >

1887. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 03:31:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B5BE91-978@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82874

I just now got a ''PayPal' phishing scam email (need to update my
accound) and three days ago I got an ''eBay'' phishing scam
email.Oviously,since I am not registered at the real PayPal and
eBay,both of those emails were/are phishing scams.I never fall for those
scams and spams.If people wouldn't fall for those scams,we would all be
better off.
cuhulin

1888. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 04:24:06 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GVjtg.3915$vO.2673@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82875

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
> Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in Cut
> Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
> aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal Americans
> who will do those jobs.
> cuhulin
>

Thats an excuse for the business community to keep paying people shitty wages because they don't want to pay family sustaining American wages. Instead of paying someone say 30,000 plus benefits when want help for 7 and 8 dollars an hour. Who in the hell can live on that?

1889. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 04:24:59 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vWjtg.3916$vO.194@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82876

Slow Code wrote:

> "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net> wrote in
> news:1152729078.083326.112060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>Yea, and get those lazy sons of a guns off of welfare and see how many
>>more Amerkans will do em.
>>
>>I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>>
>>73
>>NEO
>
>
> And get the single mothers on welfare out in the fields too. If Mexican
> kids can pick crops, welfare kids can to.
>

Means that you would have to get a job, right Slow Code?

1890. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 04:28:17 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BZjtg.3918$vO.1504@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 82877

N9NEO wrote:
> Another good point made Brenda Ann - We actually pay those welfare
> queens more money every time they get knocked up and have another kid.
> What idiots we are! Maybe they should be paid less money for each kid
> they have, or *please stand by while Yzordderrex dons asbestos suit*
> Pay them even more money to have their gosh darn tubes tied. Dang, did
> I really say that???
>
> Sorry for propagating ths way off topic thread, but some things I feel
> very strongly about.
>
>
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>We don't need illegals. Make people on welfare pick the vegetables, work
>>>>the fields, clean toilets, pick up trash, and sweep the floors. Make'em
>>>>work for that welfare check.
>>
>>Now there's a plan I can get behind. Having free money and free food handed
>>out gives people absolutely no incentive to do anything with their lives.
>>Make 'em earn that welfare check. Korea has a similar 'workfare' type
>>program wherein homeless and/or destitute people clear litter from the
>>highways and city streets. It gives them money, a place to stay, and some
>>sense of purpose, and makes the country a lot cleaner as well.
>>
>>Mind you, there are the odd cases where welfare is needed, for the infirm,
>>mentally handicapped, etc.. but not for able bodied young people who are
>>fully capable of working for a living (including women who want to sit (lay)
>>back and be baby factories in order to get free cash, housing and food
>>stamps. These can go earn their welfare money, etc., or they can get their
>>livelihood the old fashoined way... marry some poor slob, then have a couple
>>kids, divorce him and take him for everything he's got.
>
>

Getting rid of the fat welfare ho's would be a better proposal. I don't
blame the kids but I do blame their parents for being such useless
pieces of crap.

1891. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 04:51:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B5D13C-986@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82878

As more and more of that IBOC crap comes around,what is going to happen to the radios that are sitting on the store shelves? Will all of my old radios become useless or mostly useless?,sort of like Analog TV versus HDTV? (unless you subscribe to a satellite or cable tv provider) In my opinion,fcc and radio broadcasters couldn't care less than less about radio listerners.Or am I missing something here? I will never buy any product that any advetiser is pedaling if it is advertised on any IBOC radio station.I hope some of those fcc MORONS are/will reading this.I say Boycott the H..L out of them.
cuhulin

1892. (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 05:02:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B5D3F6-987@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82879

has become under fire from the Israelis.GO Get em,Israel.
cuhulin

1893. Re: Ohio/Penn DX Bulletin #766

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 05:22:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152768138.399878.159990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82880
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> w...@yahoo.com wrote:
> why did the fake crosspost this ?
YOU HAVE THE NERVE TO ASK WHY,,,YOU SNIBBLING WHINNING FILTHY HOMO!!

TELL YOUR BOYFRIEND ABOUT THE VILE SHIT YOU SLUNG TOWARD K4!

TELL'EM FAGGOT!

1894. (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 05:45:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2967-44B5DDEC-995@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 82881

A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
cuhulin

1895. Re: 27.025 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 10:06:10 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <hPqdnSzWL5THhyvZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 82882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DX swl'er wrote:
> Is that the nigger frequency? Sounds like alot of niggers on that one.
>
> swl'er
Think so and heard both your mom/dad talking about what a lose you were!

1896. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 10:19:14 UTC
From: Unrevealed Source <unrevealed_source@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <u7ptg.4792$tu2.2069@fe05.lga>
Source record: 82883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, that's one of the downsides - you will generally be responsible for getting the item back to the seller at your own expense. However, I've always gotten my full payment refunded, including the original shipping costs TO me. Paying shipping for the return trip is simply the cost of doing business and part of the risk you assume. Just like in the physical world, if you buy something from the store that doesn't work and you want a refund, it's up to you to get in your car and drive to the store at your own expense. They don't come and pick it up, or pay for your gas as part of the refund.

I understand that some people have had bad experiences on eBay. My point is that I have bought a lot of radios through eBay (including one just last night) and have had few problems. EBay is the ONLY marketplace where such a large number and variety of radio-related equipment is available, but you have to follow a few simple rules.

1) Ask a lot of questions and be willing to walk away if the seller is unresponsive, vague, or seems shady in any way. There is never an item that you MUST have; another one just like it will come along.
2) Save all email correspondence between you and the seller regarding the item and it's condition.
3) Pay attention to the seller's feedback.
4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
5) Be reasonable in your expectations.
6) If a problem does occur, present your dispute in a professional and reasonable way.

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C0DAEC50.3A211%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 7/12/06 4:19 PM, in article Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>> EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had
>> relatively
>> few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to
>> walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've
>> had
>> to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided
>> with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes
>> takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer
>> and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't
>> have a problem getting things resolved.
>>
>> Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They

>> side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at
>> the
>> facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a
>> reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the
>> buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not
>> stupid enough to give that up.
>>
>> So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed
>> complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the
>> right
>> questions before you made a purchase. Possible?
>>
>>
>> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...
>
>
>
> See the3 bottom of the page for my reply to this top poster........
>
>>> On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
>>> Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
>>>> most
>>>> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
>>>> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>>>>
>>>> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
>>>> definitely
>>>> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and
>>>> with
>>>> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
>>>
>>> There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
>>> elsewhere.
>>>
>>>> I have had a few
>>>> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
>>>> getting your money back.
>>>
>>> Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
>>>
>>>
>>>> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
>>>> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
>>>> thing
>>>> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
>>>> just
>>>> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.

>>>
>>> After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it
>>> was
>>> misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their
>>> money
>>> comes from.
>>>
>>> Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is
>>> impossible
>>> to deal with when there is a problem.
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
>>>> message
>>>> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>>> Item # 9738158955
>>>>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
>>>>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
>>>>>> with
>>>>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
>>>>>> poor
>>>>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
>>>>>> surplus
>>>>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
>>>>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy
>>>>>> on
>>>>> the
>>>>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
>>>>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
>>>>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
>
> None of your assumptions are correct.
>
> The device was a "New" Video Grabber, complete with the power supply. It
> was one of 25 identical units being auctioned.

>
> The unit arrived with a Radio Shack universal 300 mA wallwart (obviously
> NOT
> part of the "new" unit). The Video unit requires 3 Amps, as imprinted on
> the equipment.
>
> The eBay resolution was that the seller would return what I bid for the
> unit, but I must pay to ship it back to the seller. That is NOT a
> reasonable resolution. The seller should, at least, have paid the return
> freight.
>
> I also told them that, alternatively, the seller could simply ship me a
> correct power supply, which he did not want to do.
>
> A correct resolution is that I should not be out anything for this
> misrepresented deal. The seller should have paid me for my original
> shipping cost and for reshipping it back. Anything less, permits sellers
> to
> pull shady deals and get away with it, at eBay's profit.
>
> By the way.... Top Posting is the mark of, at least, a non-observant
> newsgroup newby.
>
> Don
>
>
>
>

1897. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 11:55:11 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <TeadncR_0JA7qSvZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 82884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Unrevealed Source wrote:

> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.

That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?

The reason I ask, is I accidentally forgot to check "more payment options",
and didn't notice until after paypal finalized the transaction. Paypal
attempted to overdraw my account, and I got charged a $25 overdraft fee by
my bank. The idiots at paypal were going to retry this 2 more times before
quitting, and using my "backup" credit card. If I hadn't noticed, this transaction
would have cost me $75 in overdraft fees. There was no way I could cancel
the payment, and switch to a credit card.

I complained to paypal, because I thought they were supposed to check the
balance on the account before they tried to draw funds, but they ignored
me completely.

-Chuck

1898. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 12:23:43 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <jXqtg.5186$oa1.4996@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 82885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck Harris wrote:
> Unrevealed Source wrote:
>
>> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
>
>
> That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
> insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?

Don't give them an account number in the first place.

> The reason I ask, is I accidentally forgot to check "more payment options",
> and didn't notice until after paypal finalized the transaction. Paypal
> attempted to overdraw my account, and I got charged a $25 overdraft fee by
> my bank. The idiots at paypal were going to retry this 2 more times before
> quitting, and using my "backup" credit card. If I hadn't noticed, this
> transaction
> would have cost me $75 in overdraft fees. There was no way I could cancel
> the payment, and switch to a credit card.
>
> I complained to paypal, because I thought they were supposed to check the
> balance on the account before they tried to draw funds, but they ignored
> me completely.
>
> -Chuck

A few years ago I listened to a radio show called 'The Troubleshooter'
done by a guy named Tom Martino, and he's still going today. One thing
he always harped on, hard, was 'Never give anyone access to your bank
account beyond the checks you write.' Not health clubs, insurance
companies, utilities, car payments, rent, paypals, not anyone. My first
wife allowed the insurance company to automatically deduct our /monthly/
premium from our checking account and two days after she'd set that up
they took six months' worth and we were bouncing checks. We had to
close that account and I don't do business with that insurance company
any more. I'm also no longer married to her but that wasn't
precipitated by the bank fiasco.

Hardly a month goes by without hearing about something similar. It
might be difficult to not give Paypal your account info, especially if
you sell on eBay, but I'll never do it. I've considered setting up a
special account just for Paypal and keeping the balance very low but the
monthly low balance service charges and that I'll get dinged just like
Chuck for overdrafts just doesn't make it worth it.

Until banks are more responsive to consumers and allow limits on such transactions (and I'm not holding my breath ;-) I don't engage in such transactions.

Tom Martino is still at it,
http://troubleshooter.com/

W8LNA

1899. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 13:14:12 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <5ohcb2l63tmqta9kvcv01tgglcg9okeva0@4ax.com>
Source record: 82886

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>cuhulin
>
Engines? What engines?

1900. Re: Showdown with China

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 13:41:05 UTC
From: "<RJ>" <baranick@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <a7jcb2t1n5v3h3djiasc7nf3l25lu5tv1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 82887

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:42:37 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote:

>Showdown with China
>
>"China has chosen the wrong side in the war on terror. It's very closely
>allied with Iran and is a major trading partner of every state sponsor of
>terrorism."
>
>http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23310

Don't blame China for emulating the West;

Remember the motto of Capitalism;

"Money has no allegiance"

<rj>

1901. Re: Ohio/Penn DX Bulletin #766

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 14:33:15 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152801195.684736.162130@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82888
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > w...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > why did the fake crosspost this ?
> YOU HAVE THE NERVE TO ASK WHY,,,YOU SNIBBLING WHINNING FILTHY HOMO!!
no homo here
>
> TELL YOUR BOYFRIEND ABOUT THE VILE SHIT YOU SLUNG TOWARD K4!
what vile shit?

K4yz has been caught in several lies and he slunk off now he is back
and I am rubbing his nose in his lies
>
> TELL'EM FAGGOT!
I tell very little but the truth

and only lie to make stalkers like you and him have a harder time

1902. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 14:39:38 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152801578.142506.58170@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82889

David wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> >cuhulin
> >
> Engines? What engines?
eeither mains which is not a big deal for this flight or perhaps the
deorobit thrusters or OMS

1903. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 14:51:27 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <O5ttg.126039$mF2.53908@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 82890

N9NEO wrote:

> I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>
>

Yes.

1904. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 15:09:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B66220.F3D48CFE@milestones.com>
Source record: 82891

David wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> >cuhulin
> >
> Engines? What engines?

Engines on the shuttle.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1905. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 15:27:11 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <5Ettg.9$kn1.4@fe03.lga>
Source record: 82892

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B66220.F3D48CFE@milestones.com...
>
>
> David wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>> >cuhulin
>> >
>> Engines? What engines?
>
> Engines on the shuttle.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

Maybe dave thinks the space shuttle has motors and it's powered by a long
extension cord. :)

BH

1906. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 15:30:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B66724.EF244FFA@milestones.com>
Source record: 82893

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B66220.F3D48CFE@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David wrote:
> >
> >> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> >> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> >> >cuhulin
> >> >
> >> Engines? What engines?
> >
> > Engines on the shuttle.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
>
> Maybe dave thinks the space shuttle has motors and it's powered by a long
> extension cord. :)

Oh well, he's been locked up for a long time, so I guess his ignorance is
understandable.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1907. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 15:49:44 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e98ea1clu1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 83149

In article <O5ttg.126039$mF2.53908@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>N9NEO wrote:

>> I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>
> Yes.

How are they as regards toxics accumulation? They're pretty high
in the food chain and eat things like big fish which eat smaller
fish, etc which concentrates everything that's been dumped all
over the Mississippi watershed.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

1908. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:01:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152806501.866572.202740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82894

David wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> >cuhulin
> >
> Engines? What engines?

The ones that go "Vroom, Vroom". Make shuttle go bye-bye.

1909. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:07:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82895

The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM ɪadio. No one will pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.

Digital=Death

1910. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:08:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152806901.698773.218410@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 82896

Digital = death

1911. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:13:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6712E.820C3B3@milestones.com>
Source record: 82897

Steve wrote:

> The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>
> Digital=Death

Don't worry, Edweenie will be around shortly to amuse us with even more
astounding BS about HD/IBOC.

1912. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:18:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lnutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83048

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.

Pay? there is no fee.

Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.

I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.

1913. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:24:38 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <atscb2l7k33s3ut9ft6n7cgnsiagpfvjk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:13:36 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>By the way.... Top Posting is the mark of, at least, a non-observant
>newsgroup newby.
>
>Don

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

So is failure to trim, Don.

Mr Bill

1914. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:24:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ktutg.48202$VE1.29455@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83050

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6712E.820C3B3@milestones.com...
>
>
> Steve wrote:
>
>> The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
>> pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>>
>> Digital=Death
>
> Don't worry, Edweenie will be around shortly to amuse us with even more
> astounding BS about HD/IBOC.

We should have an indication today from the FCC about approving HD at night.

1915. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:27:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B67468.AFB1184D@milestones.com>
Source record: 83051

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>
> Pay? there is no fee.
>
> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
>
> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.

I will bet that you've never pulled your head out of your ass long enough to discover that HD/IBOC = QRM

1916. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:29:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B674F4.991DC2A1@milestones.com>
Source record: 83052

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6712E.820C3B3@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> >> The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> >> pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >>
> >> Digital=Death
> >
> > Don't worry, Edweenie will be around shortly to amuse us with even more
> > astounding BS about HD/IBOC.
>
> We should have an indication today from the FCC about approving HD at night.

Yes indeed, oh fake one. And if they do, I hope it rains shit on you and yours every single day and night for the rest of your fake Hispanic lives.

1917. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:45:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5352-44B678AF-58@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83054

I have never tried any Alligator before.Maybe I will look around and see if there is a restaurant around here which serves up some Alligator meals.

Not to be too off topic,do you want to buy a fema trailer? A guy phoned into the JT and Dave radio talk show a few minutes ago.He mentioned www.gsaauctions.com he said fema is auctioning off some fema trailers and none of them are going for over $400.00.JT and Dave said they tried the website and it wouldn't work.(it wouldn't work for me either) Maybe a search on the internet might turn up something about fema is auctioning off some fema trailers.Or check out the fed govt auctions in your state.
cuhulin

1918. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 16:46:44 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C0DBC704.3A441%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 83053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7/13/06 9:24 AM, in article atscb2l7k33s3ut9ft6n7cgnsiagpfvjk9@4ax.com,
"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote:

> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:13:36 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>> By the way.... Top Posting is the mark of, at least, a non-observant
>> newsgroup newby.
>>
>> Don
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> So is failure to trim, Don.
>
> Mr Bill

I agree that trimming is sometimes a good idea.

But as you have done, one can trim too much such that the "real" thread is
lost. All you left was the afterthought.

Also, too often, particularly on s.e.d., I see people trimming to enhance
their position. It's a judgment call whether and what, to trim.

Don

1919. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:00:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B67C32-1095@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83057

Rocket Engines on Space Shuttle Discovery.The Astronauts have to fire them up when they are ready to re-enter Earth's Atmosphere so they can come back to Home Sweet Home.
cuhulin

1920. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:08:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lgvcb2p8n4hq3oi7o2nd82tqbq93eu8pd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83055

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 11:45:35 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>I have never tried any Alligator before.Maybe I will look around and see
>if there is a restaurant around here which serves up some Alligator
>meals.
>
>Not to be too off topic,do you want to buy a fema trailer? A guy phoned
>into the JT and Dave radio talk show a few minutes ago.He mentioned
>www.gsaauctions.com he said fema is auctioning off some fema trailers
>and none of them are going for over $400.00.JT and Dave said they tried
>the website and it wouldn't work.(it wouldn't work for me either) Maybe
>a search on the internet might turn up something about fema is
>auctioning off some fema trailers.Or check out the fed govt auctions in
>your state.
>cuhulin
>
Tastes like chicken.

1921. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:13:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Lavtg.130354$dW3.42324@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83056

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B67468.AFB1184D@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> and
> paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
>> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>>
>> Pay? there is no fee.
>>
>> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
>>
>> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
>> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>
> I will bet that you've never pulled your head out of your ass long enough
> to
> discover that HD/IBOC = QRM

We don't care. It is interference to signals that are not being used, vs. an
opportunity to keep free terrestrial radio viable.

1922. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:14:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B67F7C-1098@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83060

I need to try some Alligator someday.By the way,that website is
www.gsaauctions.gov
I clicked on the website and I scrolled down to Trailers and Tractors
and then I clicked on the Mississippi link.I did see some fema trailers
for sale at govt auction.

I wouldn't mind buying one and set it up in my back yard and rent it
out.I am going to check out when the next fed govt auction in the
Jackson area takes place.
cuhulin

1923. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:15:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vqvcb2d0pa3fb4tkd74uvltn2tbjmtohpr@4ax.com>
Source record: 83058

On 13 Jul 2006 09:01:41 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>> >cuhulin
>> >
>> Engines? What engines?
>
>The ones that go "Vroom, Vroom". Make shuttle go bye-bye.
>
Which ones? There are dozens.

The STS is a major waste of money. Should be called limp American
dicks in space program.

1924. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:21:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B68118.58363F91@milestones.com>
Source record: 83059

David wrote:

> On 13 Jul 2006 09:01:41 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >David wrote:
> >> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> >> >Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> >> >cuhulin
> >> >
> >> Engines? What engines?
> >
> >The ones that go "Vroom, Vroom". Make shuttle go bye-bye.
> >
> Which ones? There are dozens.
>
> The STS is a major waste of money. Should be called limp American
> dicks in space program.

You're just jealous because you have no dick at all, limp or otherwise, 'tard
boy.

1925. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:33:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B683D4.E1B8FBF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83061

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 and paid shill and prancer boy for Univision/iBiquity took it up the ass just before he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B67468.AFB1184D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >>
> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >>
> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
> >>
> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >
> > I will bet that you've never pulled your head out of your ass long enough
> > to
> > discover that HD/IBOC = QRM
>
> We don't care.

We know you don't. You've proven that time after time, 'tard boy.

1926. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:40:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83062

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>
> Pay? there is no fee.
>
> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
>
> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.

No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"

1927. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:44:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <vEvtg.65088$Lm5.34115@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83063

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B683D4.E1B8FBF@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> and
> paid shill and prancer boy for Univision/iBiquity took it up the ass just
> before
> he wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B67468.AFB1184D@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
>> > c.2000
>> > and
>> > paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
>> >> > will
>> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>> >>
>> >> Pay? there is no fee.
>> >>
>> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
>> >> quality.
>> >>
>> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
>> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>> >
>> > I will bet that you've never pulled your head out of your ass long
>> > enough
>> > to
>> > discover that HD/IBOC = QRM
>>
>> We don't care.
>
> We know you don't. You've proven that time after time, 'tard boy.

We care about the only listeners we truly have... local, in the local market
area.

1928. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:46:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <yFvtg.65089$Lm5.3676@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83064

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
>> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>>
>> Pay? there is no fee.
>>
>> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
>>
>> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
>> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>
> No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
> selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"

FM HD is better than any other current distribution system, plus it is free.

AM HD is as good as any alternative system, and is free. It is much better
than Analog AM.

1929. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 17:58:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B689CD.F7FA3A9A@milestones.com>
Source record: 83065

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 and
paid shill and prancer boy for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B683D4.E1B8FBF@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> > and
> > paid shill and prancer boy for Univision/iBiquity took it up the ass just
> > before
> > he wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B67468.AFB1184D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> >> >> > will
> >> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >> >>
> >> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >> >>
> >> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> >> >> quality.
> >> >>
> >> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >> >
> >> > I will bet that you've never pulled your head out of your ass long
> >> > enough
> >> > to
> >> > discover that HD/IBOC = QRM
> >>
> >> We don't care.
> >
> > We know you don't. You've proven that time after time, 'tard boy.

>
> We care about the only listeners we truly have... local, in the local market
> area.

Translation: You only care about what you're getting paid to do.

1930. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:02:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B68ACD.5DC51309@milestones.com>
Source record: 83067

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> > > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >
> > Pay? there is no fee.
> >
> > Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
> >
> > I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> > especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>
> No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
> selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"

One has to admit that Edweenie has a pretty good gig going here: Actually getting paid to post on UseNet.

1931. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:08:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com>
Source record: 83068

Michael Lawson wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Inutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> will
> > > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >
> > Pay? there is no fee.
> >
> > Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> quality.
> >
> > I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> > especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>
> No one has yet given me an argument why I should
> replace the radios in my house with new radios to
> cover the exact same bands that I have now. While
> the receiver I have covers AM/FM bands, I rarely
> listen on it. Why? Not because of poor fidelity, but
> rather because I listen to radio I'm actively doing
> something: working, gardening, mowing the lawn,
> eating, washing dishes, driving, etc. When I turn on the
> receiver, it's because I'm going to watch a movie
> or something on the television that I want to hear
> in surround sound.
>
> Casual listening is the greatest benefit of radio, not
> the serious listening that HD AM/FM assumes.

Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope to make by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.

As always, follow the $$$

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1932. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:09:28 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <s%vtg.65093$Lm5.41124@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83069

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:c11e6$44b68aac$422aad7d$1216@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Inutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> will
>> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>>
>> Pay? there is no fee.
>>
>> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> quality.
>>
>> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
>> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>
> No one has yet given me an argument why I should
> replace the radios in my house with new radios to
> cover the exact same bands that I have now.

You don't have to. All existing radios are backwards compatible.

> While
> the receiver I have covers AM/FM bands, I rarely
> listen on it. Why? Not because of poor fidelity, but
> rather because I listen to radio I'm actively doing
> something: working, gardening, mowing the lawn,
> eating, washing dishes, driving, etc. When I turn on the
> receiver, it's because I'm going to watch a movie
> or something on the television that I want to hear
> in surround sound.
>
> Casual listening is the greatest benefit of radio, not
> the serious listening that HD AM/FM assumes.

The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such thing
as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
things, like working, driving, etc.

1933. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:11:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <81wtg.65094$Lm5.51815@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83070

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>
>
> Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
> assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
> to make
> by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.

Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us in new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.

What we get is the additional channel(s) on FM for additional formats, improved AM and FM quality and an opportunity to prolong the life of free, terrestrial radio.

1934. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:21:36 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <c11e6$44b68aac$422aad7d$1216@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83066

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Inutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
will
> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>
> Pay? there is no fee.
>
> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
quality.
>
> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.

No one has yet given me an argument why I should
replace the radios in my house with new radios to
cover the exact same bands that I have now. While
the receiver I have covers AM/FM bands, I rarely
listen on it. Why? Not because of poor fidelity, but
rather because I listen to radio I'm actively doing
something: working, gardening, mowing the lawn,
eating, washing dishes, driving, etc. When I turn on the
receiver, it's because I'm going to watch a movie
or something on the television that I want to hear
in surround sound.

Casual listening is the greatest benefit of radio, not
the serious listening that HD AM/FM assumes.

--Mike L.

1935. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:23:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B68FAF.C899F14@milestones.com>
Source record: 83071

David Fuckelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the totally whacked boy from Cleveland wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
> > to make
> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>
> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us in
> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.
>
> What we get is the additional channel(s) on FM for additional formats,
> improved AM and FM quality and an opportunity to prolong the life of free,
> terrestrial radio.

Interesting marketing concept! Declare that something is dying and then offer up a fix.

Almost seem to be a protection racket of sorts.

As always, follow the $$$

1936. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:29:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6910E.DD541EA1@milestones.com>
Source record: 83072

David Eduardo, otherwise known as David Frackelton Gleason, spawn of the Cuyahoga wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
> > to make
> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>
> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us in
> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.

No money? Univision owns part of iBiquity, does it not? So therefore Univision will be a beneficiary of those licensing fees and by extension, you, Edweenie, will also be a beneficiary!

Shill on, boy... shill on!

1937. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:44:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6948F.28233A77@milestones.com>
Source record: 83074

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' well known fake Hispanic since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
> > to make
> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>
> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD.

If you're not going to make any money from HD then why the hell do you spend a good part of your day shilling for it?

I'm LMFAO at the fraudulent 'tard boy.

You're a fucking joke, Edweenie!

1938. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:53:22 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-E2F37E.11532213072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83075

In article <1152737162.416638.46340@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <1152733725.707130.243310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > In article
> > > > <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > For One and All,
> > > > >
> > > > > We all know about the popular makers of HF {Shortwave}
> > > > > Antennas here in the USA such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna
> > > > > Supermarket; Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> > > > > Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
> > > > >
> > > > > But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna maker from
> > > > > The-Land-Down-Under {Australia} http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> > > > > http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> > > > > Always worth a look for some ideas on "How To" Construct
> > > > > {Build} an Antenna in a new and different way . . .
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
> > > >
> > > > I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to
> > > > buy an antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving
> > > > antenna.
> > >
> > > Telamon - Well Built -is- Well Built ~ RHF [Look + Observe =
> > > Learn] {Buying is not required}
> > >
> > > IMHO - A commercial Shortwave {HF}Antenna design that is for
> > > Amateur Radio use can last for years and withstand the elements.
> > > A 'home-made' Shortwave Listening {Receive Only} Antenna the the
> > > builder wants to Keep-Up-in-the-Air for Years would benefit from
> > > many Amateur Radio {Do-It- Yourself} Building Practices.
> >
> > Ham antennas are 2 to 3 times the cost of well made receiving
> > antennas and not worth the money for a receiving application. You
> > are not going to gain anything by spending $100 to $200 more for a

> > ham antenna. A well made commercial receiving antenna will last
> > just as long. The main difference between them is the elements for
> > ham antennas are designed to handle transmit power efficiently.
> >
> Telamon,
>
> My point about "Well Built -is- Well Built" is that Quality Materials
> and Good Building Techniques will result in an Antenna that lasts.
> Start by taking a Look at some of the commercial products and Amateur
> designs and learn 'How To' make "The Right Stuff" that will endure
> the elements for years.
>
> Although I have been know to Rig a new Antenna Idea once-a-week when
> the weather, time and imagination are right. ~ RHF

OK, how about the Alpha Delta antennas that are around $125 -$130
instead of the $300 to $400 ham antennas. The Alpha Delta's are just as
sturdy and will last just as long.

<http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3377.html>

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1939. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:56:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152817009.493252.239250@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83076

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one will
> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >>
> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >>
> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved quality.
> >>
> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >
> > No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
> > selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"
>
> FM HD is better than any other current distribution system, plus it is free.
>
> AM HD is as good as any alternative system, and is free. It is much better
> than Analog AM.

"as good as" isn't what you've said previously, but it's also not good
enough to cut the mustard.

1940. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:58:38 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yJwtg.379507$Fs1.367494@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83077

```
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:5nXsg.118850$H71.48014@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:TSRsg.364592$Fs1.104331@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Kdxsg.1823$2v.387@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:qjwsg.115120$mF2.99399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:HKvsg.1785$2v.252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Yep. We are going to try to save AM. It may not be possible, though.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > What will happen to AM if it can't be saved?
> >>
> >> It will slowly die as the existing listeners age and ad revenues
> >> decrease.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > > What will the death of AM be like? Will we someday turn on our AM
radios
> > > and hear nothing but noise and static, much as the surviviors did in any
> > > one
> > > of Roger Corman's post apocoplyse movies?
>
> Many talk formats are moving to FM. One in Tallahassee did it this week.
> Others in DC, Salt Lake City and Phoenix have or are doing it. What we
will
> find is a gradual change to pure niche formats (Like KIRN in LA... all
> Farsi) and then, probably, its demise as the less competitive signals go
> silent.
>
>

This is the death of AM Radio?

HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
```

No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?

Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems so unlikely, but...

IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!

Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank you.

SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!

Frank Dresser

1941. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:59:13 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <ca93a$44b6937e$422aad7d$13639@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83073

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:s%vtg.65093$Lm5.41124@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:c11e6$44b68aac$422aad7d$1216@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Inutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No
one
> > will
> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >>
> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >>
> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> > quality.
> >>
> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or
recently,
> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >
> > No one has yet given me an argument why I should
> > replace the radios in my house with new radios to
> > cover the exact same bands that I have now.
>
> You don't have to. All existing radios are backwards compatible.

For the moment, things are all fine and dandy
as far as local reception is concerned. Eventually,
however, the analog signal will be replaced by
digital and analog will get turned off because of
some bean counter's decision that it's cheaper
to go one way or the other rather than both.

Besides, that still doesn't give me a reason to
upgrade my existing radios.

> > While
> > the receiver I have covers AM/FM bands, I rarely
> > listen on it. Why? Not because of poor fidelity, but
> > rather because I listen to radio I'm actively doing

> > something: working, gardening, mowing the lawn,
> > eating, washing dishes, driving, etc. When I turn on the
> > receiver, it's because I'm going to watch a movie
> > or something on the television that I want to hear
> > in surround sound.
> >
> > Casual listening is the greatest benefit of radio, not
> > the serious listening that HD AM/FM assumes.
>
> The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no
such thing
> as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany
other
> things, like working, driving, etc.

Yes, there is serious radio listening. If what you're
listening to is the focus of your attention, then it's
serious listening. I've listened to sporting events
on the radio when I couldn't get the game on
television. Until recently (when they started
winning again), the only way to find out about
Bengals games at home was to listen on the radio.

I know quite a few people locally who
turn down the sound on the sporting events on
television and turn up the AM broadcast instead
because the announcers are better. The Reds'
broadcast duo certainly qualify.

Major news events aside, the old radio shows
can still suck you in and make you listen for
1/2 hour at a time. For my money, the improved
audio quality is wasted in casual listening, particularly
if it's done via a portable device. Think of how many
people use iPods and MP3 players; the audio quality
there isn't HD quality, and that's plenty good for most
people.

--Mike L.

1942. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 18:59:14 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152817154.580526.86680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83078

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:c11e6$44b68aac$422aad7d$1216@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Inutg.48196$VE1.3349@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> > will
> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >>
> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >>
> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> > quality.
> >>
> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >
> > No one has yet given me an argument why I should
> > replace the radios in my house with new radios to
> > cover the exact same bands that I have now.
>
> You don't have to. All existing radios are backwards compatible.
>
> > While
> > the receiver I have covers AM/FM bands, I rarely
> > listen on it. Why? Not because of poor fidelity, but
> > rather because I listen to radio I'm actively doing
> > something: working, gardening, mowing the lawn,
> > eating, washing dishes, driving, etc. When I turn on the
> > receiver, it's because I'm going to watch a movie
> > or something on the television that I want to hear
> > in surround sound.
> >
> > Casual listening is the greatest benefit of radio, not
> > the serious listening that HD AM/FM assumes.
>
> The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such thing
> as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
> things, like working, driving, etc.

The people who listen to AM don't put a lot of priority on audio quality.

People who do put a big priority on audio quality listen to FM.

You'll never lure any portion of the FM audience back to AM.

1943. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:02:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <h46db2pak344q9ja61u6dpmc6k3uiechoj@4ax.com>
Source record: 83079

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 18:09:28 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such thing
>as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
>things, like working, driving, etc.
>
>
Aside from being quieter, it really doesn't sound any better.

1944. David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:02:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152817355.236291.106210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83080

Frank Dresser wrote:

> This is the death of AM Radio?
>
> HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
>
> No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
>
> Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems so
> unlikely, but...
>
> IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
>
> Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank you.
>
> SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
>
> Frank Dresser

Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
enjoying it far into the future.

1945. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:04:52 UTC
From: Goshuttle <Goshuttle@bluesky.net>
Message-ID: <oPwtg.4456$5T1.1832@trndny09>
Source record: 83081

Steve wrote:
> David wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>>>>Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>>>>cuhulin
>>>
>>
>>Engines? What engines?
>
>
> The ones that go "Vroom, Vroom". Make shuttle go bye-bye.

Not exactly. The main engines which launch the Shuttle into orbit can't be used after the big fuel tank is jettisoned. The smaller orbital maneuvering engines (OME's) located near the main engine nozzles are the ones used for deorbiting. They have a limited supply of fuel for that burn and other maneuvers while in orbit.

1946. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:05:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B69986.51BD9ED0@milestones.com>
Source record: 83082

Steve wrote:

> Frank Dresser wrote:
>
> > This is the death of AM Radio?
> >
> > HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
> >
> > No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
> >
> > Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems so
> > unlikely, but...
> >
> > IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
> >
> > Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank you.
> >
> > SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
> >
> > Frank Dresser
>
> Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
> enjoying it far into the future.

Eduardo will be dead long before AM is.

1947. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:09:28 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ITwtg.130405$dW3.6353@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83083

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B68FAF.C899F14@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Fuckelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the totally whacked boy from
> Cleveland wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that
>> > HD/IBOC
>> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions
>> > hope
>> > to make
>> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>>
>> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us
>> in
>> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.
>>
>> What we get is the additional channel(s) on FM for additional formats,
>> improved AM and FM quality and an opportunity to prolong the life of
>> free,
>> terrestrial radio.
>
> Interesting marketing concept! Declare that something is dying and then
> offer up
> a fix.

Free terrestrial radio is not dying. It is in, in marketing terms,
maturation and decline. Very old technology (90 years for AM, 60 years for
FM, 45 years for FM stereo) and is slowly losing users. While the impact is
not drastic for FM, yet, it is for AM, with essentially very few listeners
under age 45 and an average listener age that goes up every year.
>
> Almost seem to be a protection racket of sorts.

No, it is a product enhancement to make it useful into the future.
>
> As always, follow the $$$

HD costs money to radio stations, and any payback is more like insurance,
not a profit center.

1948. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:15:46 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-01996B.12154613072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83084

In article <txdtg.171352$F_3.92861@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-82544F.13301512072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> >>
> >> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
> >>
> >> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding
> >> HD as an alternative to analog.
> >
> > Sorry David, IBOC is compatible, but not 100%, as the analog sidebands
> > are limited and adjacent channels have interference by the digital
> > sidebands.
>
> As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
> stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there is
> what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.

Again the marketing viewpoint. This isn't a radio marketing news group
it is a hobby news group where people listen for other reasons than
tuning in for the programming material. That is not to say that the
programming is not the reason as I have tuned in out of market stations
to get programs not offered in my area.

People reading this news group care and do listen to out of market
stations and that listening is degraded by IBOC. Logically, since this
listening exists and is degraded by IBOC then IBOC becomes incompatible.
The best that you can argue is that IBOC is mostly compatible but that
is not 100% by any means.

> > Some people including myself do not agree that the existing
> > level of compatibility is acceptable. If it was 100% nobody would be
> > complaining about it.
>
> I don't hear listeners complaining. I hear mostly DXers whining.

You don't care about DXers in your job but you should posting to this
news group.

> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
> offered.

We got that through numerous posts you have made.

> > You have well explained the radio
> > station/marketing perspective on this but that does not change the
> > listening experience, which has limitations placed on it by IBOC over
> > the existing long time analog transmission scheme.
>
> However, the only listening being disrupted is the potential to listen to
> adjacents... something that, in practice, is just not done.

Well I hate to break it to you but listening to adjacent stations is
"done" by the people reading this news group. How many times does
someone have to post a complaint here that IBOC degrades their
reception? Please don't come back with the marketing perspective because
I get it already.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1949. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:18:39 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <j0xtg.119215$H71.96692@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83085

Yeah Right!
This is the same Luddite mindset that bashed the Apollo space program.
Now we are reaping the benefits of that program: cleaner running cars,
medical instrumentation, the Internet, and home computer, just to name a few

David wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 09:01:41 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>David wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>>>>Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>>>>cuhulin
>>>>
>>>
>>>Engines? What engines?
>>
>>The ones that go "Vroom, Vroom". Make shuttle go bye-bye.
>>
>
> Which ones? There are dozens.
>
> The STS is a major waste of money. Should be called limp American
> dicks in space program.
>

1950. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:20:01 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3317E6.12200113072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83087

In article <44B5838A.AE673891@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shameless
> > shill
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
> >> >
> >> http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&mo
> >> re=/news/more-news.asp
> >>
> >> > http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html
> >> >
> >> > ~ RHF
> >>
> >> $12.7 billion, including assumption of liabilities. And that news is OLD.
> >
> > Hopefully they'll can your fake Hispanic ass!
>
> Oh yeah, you must be one of those 'liabilities'.
>
> LMFAO

You mean to say David Eduardo is worth 0.3 Billion?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1951. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:20:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B69D02.7C51097@milestones.com>
Source record: 83086

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', paid spokesperson for Univision/iBiquity tried to put on a straight face when he/she/it shamelessly shilled:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B68FAF.C899F14@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Fuckelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the totally whacked boy from
> > Cleveland wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that
> >> > HD/IBOC
> >> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions
> >> > hope
> >> > to make
> >> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
> >>
> >> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us
> >> in
> >> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.
> >>
> >> What we get is the additional channel(s) on FM for additional formats,
> >> improved AM and FM quality and an opportunity to prolong the life of
> >> free,
> >> terrestrial radio.
> >
> > Interesting marketing concept! Declare that something is dying and then
> > offer up
> > a fix.
>
> Free terrestrial radio is not dying. It is in, in marketing terms,
> maturation and decline. Very old technology (90 years for AM, 60 years for
> FM, 45 years for FM stereo) and is slowly losing users. While the impact is
> not drastic for FM, yet, it is for AM, with essentially very few listeners
> under age 45 and an average listener age that goes up every year.
> >
> > Almost seem to be a protection racket of sorts.
>
> No, it is a product enhancement to make it useful into the future.

> >
> > As always, follow the $$$
>
> HD costs money to radio stations, and any payback is more like insurance,
> not a profit center.

You're fucking retarded, Edweenie!

1952. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:22:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B69D6E.34596300@milestones.com>
Source record: 83088

Telamon wrote:

> In article <txdtg.171352$F_3.92861@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-82544F.13301512072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > >>
> > >> Existing radios are 100% compatible, on both FM and AM.
> > >>
> > >> The way AM and FM are listened to today, there is no loss by adding
> > >> HD as an alternative to analog.
> > >
> > > Sorry David, IBOC is compatible, but not 100%, as the analog sidebands
> > > are limited and adjacent channels have interference by the digital
> > > sidebands.
> >
> > As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
> > stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there is
> > what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.
>
> Again the marketing viewpoint. This isn't a radio marketing news group
> it is a hobby news group where people listen for other reasons than
> tuning in for the programming material. That is not to say that the
> programming is not the reason as I have tuned in out of market stations
> to get programs not offered in my area.
>
> People reading this news group care and do listen to out of market
> stations and that listening is degraded by IBOC. Logically, since this
> listening exists and is degraded by IBOC then IBOC becomes incompatible.
> The best that you can argue is that IBOC is mostly compatible but that
> is not 100% by any means.
>
> > > Some people including myself do not agree that the existing
> > > level of compatibility is acceptable. If it was 100% nobody would be
> > > complaining about it.
> >
> > I don't hear listeners complaining. I hear mostly DXers whining.
>
> You don't care about DXers in your job but you should posting to this
> news group.
>
> > The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial

> > broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
> > offered.
>
> We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>
> > > You have well explained the radio
> > > station/marketing perspective on this but that does not change the
> > > listening experience, which has limitations placed on it by IBOC over
> > > the existing long time analog transmission scheme.
> >
> > However, the only listening being disrupted is the potential to listen to
> > adjacents... something that, in practice, is just not done.
>
> Well I hate to break it to you but listening to adjacent stations is
> "done" by the people reading this news group. How many times does
> someone have to post a complaint here that IBOC degrades their
> reception? Please don't come back with the marketing perspective because
> I get it already.

Oh he'll be back. He's getting paid to come back.

1953. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:27:02 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <a8xtg.379657$Fs1.130782@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83089

dxAce wrote:
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' well known fake Hispanic since
> c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>>>
>>> Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
>>> assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
>>> to make
>>> by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD.
>
> If you're not going to make any money from HD then why the hell do you spend a
> good part of your day shilling for it?
>

Because he's going to make money from RADIO.

I mean, that's what HD is all about....keeping Radio profitable. If HD benefits Radio, and he draws his paycheck from Radio, he makes money, whether he gets paid by Ibiquity, or not.

I've worked with consultants in the 80's who had no financial ties to CRL, who would permit nothing else in their Radio stations. They benefitted through the increased profitability of the Radio stations after the CRL hardware was installed. (Although, I've yet to see a knob marked "Arbitron Share" on any transmitter processing device.)

And if the stations changed consultants, out came the CRL, and in went the next processors du jour.

CRL faded when alternatives, with better, less crushing audio, came about...and the loudness wars began to settle into uneasy truce. But there are some consultants who still swear by CRL.

My last station, we finally ended that insanity, and went with Omnia FM, that I acquired through a cashless deal with one of my equipment suppliers outside of the stations supply chain. Omnia is so tuneable, and so easily firmware upgraded, that it rendered most everything undesirable for all the hassle.

That didn't keep our consultant from Bitching every day he was in town about it. But, shit, we were top 3, and #1 in two dayparts, what's he going to do?

Consultants are paid a retainer, and, depending on the contract, a bonus pursuant to ratings performance. Many PD's and GM's are paid the same way: According to performance, especially ratings improvements. But also sales improvements like improved share conversion, increased margins.... And most all of them have their pet gimmicks. Some it's Selector. Some it's the latest computer networking solution. Some it's audio processing, some the DAM system, some even get snippy about the type, brand and model of the CD players in the dubbing suite. All with no financial connections.

Right now the hot ticket is HD. AM or FM. (Which has all but wiped out ALL AM listening at my home base...but fuck me...I'm just an old fart who sleeps with his dog...why would any radio station be interested in me? Besides the disposable income, the freedom to spend it as I please, and my wide ranging tastes, why bother to serve me? Or anyone in my neighborhood? Or anyone within 10 miles of me?....but I'm no longer served by Radio. So piss on me. But I digress....) And GM's, PD's consultants, sales manglers are all signing on to HD....because they believe it translates to future profits.

The most recent...what's the group, Bridge?....shows Radio revenues off again this past quarter, alternatives coming on strong. Broadcast companies are putting large resources behind alternative methods of delivery, and non traditional revenue stream development, because it's getting tougher to earn a buck with all the choices emerging.

Radio, in the face of this changing landscape, will do what Radio has always done: Evolve to meet new market realties. It will surive by changing. And what it may evolve into, it's very likely we wouldn't recognize from where we are today. But it will survive, and HD is one, ONLY one, of the paths influencing that evolution. Even as it trashes the AM band for listeners in unusual circumstances like me and the people in my community.

But there are multiple entities at each station signed on to HD. Because it represents the possibility of future growth, and future profitibility. Few, if any of them, draw a dime from Ibiquity.

More to topic, it's the same with DRM. There are stations worldwide that have shown interest in supporting DRM, as the future of shortwave, even as hit trashes the bands. Few of DRM's proponents draw compensation from DRM.

That David works for/with/over/under/around/and though Univision (and so did I for a brief time when they bought a TV station I was working) and that Univision is a signatory to the Ibiquity agenda, and an investor in Ibiquity as a company isn't really that big an issue. Many companies own stock in Ibiquity. Few, if any of their employees benefit financially from the position, given that almost none of the employees of the larger media companies get bonuses when the company's holdings return a dividend.

He's a corporate broadcaster. He's not saying anything here that I've not heard from anyone I've ever worked with or for.

And I've disagreed with most of them. Personally, I'm thinking HD, especially on AM is a gamble at best. A sentiment reflected in comments from WGN's Cheap Engineer, who pulled the AM stereo, what now, 2 years ago? 3? But hesitated installing HD because he wasn't sure it was 1) the way to go, technically speaking, or 2) fiscally a responsible investment.

But like I said earlier this week: That I can no longer hear even some of the big stations due to IBOC hash where I am in the far north suburbs?...they don't care.

They don't have to.

1954. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:29:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com>
Source record: 83090

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44B5838A.AE673891@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shameless
> > > shill
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:1152738406.270466.6920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > >> > Univision Sold for $13. Billion to a group of private investors.
> > >> >
> > >> http://www.hispanicbusiness.com/news/newsbyid.asp?id=40748&cat=Headlines&mo
> > >> re=/news/more-news.asp
> > >>
> > >> > http://www.nydailynews.com/business/story/430510p-362965c.html
> > >> >
> > >> > ~ RHF
> > >>
> > >> $12.7 billion, including assumption of liabilities. And that news is OLD.
> > >
> > > Hopefully they'll can your fake Hispanic ass!
> >
> > Oh yeah, you must be one of those 'liabilities'.
> >
> > LMFAO
>
> You mean to say David Eduardo is worth 0.3 Billion?

Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well spent shilling
here.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1955. HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:32:49 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83091

From AllAccess.com:

FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.

1956. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:33:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B69FF0.E9720CC1@milestones.com>
Source record: 83092

D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' well known fake Hispanic since
> > c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >>>
> >>> Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
> >>> assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
> >>> to make
> >>> by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
> >> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD.
> >
> > If you're not going to make any money from HD then why the hell do you spend a
> > good part of your day shilling for it?
> >
>
> Because he's going to make money from RADIO.
>
> I mean, that's what HD is all about....keeping Radio profitable. If
> HD benefits Radio, and he draws his paycheck from Radio, he makes money,
> whether he gets paid by Ibiquity, or not.
>
> I've worked with consultants in the 80's who had no financial ties to
> CRL, who would permit nothing else in their Radio stations. They
> benefitted through the increased profitability of the Radio stations
> after the CRL hardware was installed. (Although, I've yet to see a knob
> marked "Arbitron Share" on any transmitter processing device.)
>
> And if the stations changed consultants, out came the CRL, and in
> went the next processors du jour.
>
> CRL faded when alternatives, with better, less crushing audio, came
> about...and the loudness wars began to settle into uneasy truce. But
> there are some consultants who still swear by CRL.
>
> My last station, we finally ended that insanity, and went with Omnia
> FM, that I acquired through a cashless deal with one of my equipment
> suppliers outside of the stations supply chain. Omnia is so tuneable,
> and so easily firmware upgraded, that it rendered most everything
> undesirable for all the hassle.

>
> That didn't keep our consultant from Bitching every day he was in
> town about it. But, shit, we were top 3, and #1 in two dayparts, what's
> he going to do?
>
> Consultants are paid a retainer, and, depending on the contract, a
> bonus pursuant to ratings performance. Many PD's and GM's are paid the
> same way: According to performance, especially ratings improvements. But
> also sales improvements like improved share conversion, increased
> margins.... And most all of them have their pet gimmicks. Some it's
> Selector. Some it's the latest computer networking solution. Some it's
> audio processing, some the DAM system, some even get snippy about the
> type, brand and model of the CD players in the dubbing suite. All with
> no financial connections.
>
> Right now the hot ticket is HD. AM or FM. (Which has all but wiped
> out ALL AM listening at my home base...but fuck me...I'm just an old
> fart who sleeps with his dog...why would any radio station be interested
> in me? Besides the disposable income, the freedom to spend it as I
> please, and my wide ranging tastes, why bother to serve me? Or anyone in
> my neighborhood? Or anyone within 10 miles of me?....but I'm no longer
> served by Radio. So piss on me. But I digress....) And GM's, PD's
> consultants, sales manglers are all signing on to HD....because they
> believe it translates to future profits.
>
> The most recent...what's the group, Bridge?....shows Radio revenues
> off again this past quarter, alternatives coming on strong. Broadcast
> companies are putting large resources behind alternative methods of
> delivery, and non traditional revenue stream development, because it's
> getting tougher to earn a buck with all the choices emerging.
>
> Radio, in the face of this changing landscape, will do what Radio has
> always done: Evolve to meet new market realties. It will surive by
> changing. And what it may evolve into, it's very likely we wouldn't
> recognize from where we are today. But it will survive, and HD is one,
> ONLY one, of the paths influencing that evolution. Even as it trashes
> the AM band for listeners in unusual circumstances like me and the
> people in my community.
>
> But there are multiple entities at each station signed on to HD.
> Because it represents the possibility of future growth, and future
> profitibility. Few, if any of them, draw a dime from Ibiquity.
>
> More to topic, it's the same with DRM. There are stations worldwide
> that have shown interest in supporting DRM, as the future of shortwave,
> even as hit trashes the bands. Few of DRM's proponents draw
> compensation from DRM.
>
> That David works for/with/over/under/around/and though Univision (and
> so did I for a brief time when they bought a TV station I was working)

> and that Univision is a signatory to the Ibiquity agenda, and an
> investor in Ibiquity as a company isn't really that big an issue. Many
> companies own stock in Ibiquity. Few, if any of their employees benefit
> financially from the position, given that almost none of the employees
> of the larger media companies get bonuses when the company's holdings
> return a dividend.
>
> He's a corporate broadcaster. He's not saying anything here that I've
> not heard from anyone I've ever worked with or for.
>
> And I've disagreed with most of them. Personally, I'm thinking HD,
> especially on AM is a gamble at best. A sentiment reflected in comments
> from WGN's Cheap Engineer, who pulled the AM stereo, what now, 2 years
> ago? 3? But hesitated installing HD because he wasn't sure it was 1) the
> way to go, technically speaking, or 2) fiscally a responsible investment.
>
> But like I said earlier this week: That I can no longer hear even
> some of the big stations due to IBOC hash where I am in the far north
> suburbs?...they don't care.
>
> They don't have to.

A pox on them all.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1957. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:34:17 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Zextg.379695$Fs1.22755@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83093

dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' well known fake Hispanic since
>>> c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
>>>
>>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>>>>> Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that HD/IBOC
>>>>> assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions hope
>>>>> to make
>>>>> by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>>>> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD.
>>> If you're not going to make any money from HD then why the hell do you spend a
>>> good part of your day shilling for it?
>>>
>> Because he's going to make money from RADIO.
>>
>> I mean, that's what HD is all about....keeping Radio profitable. If
>> HD benefits Radio, and he draws his paycheck from Radio, he makes money,
>> whether he gets paid by Ibiquity, or not.
>>
>> I've worked with consultants in the 80's who had no financial ties to
>> CRL, who would permit nothing else in their Radio stations. They
>> benefitted through the increased profitability of the Radio stations
>> after the CRL hardware was installed. (Although, I've yet to see a knob
>> marked "Arbitron Share" on any transmitter processing device.)
>>
>> And if the stations changed consultants, out came the CRL, and in
>> went the next processors du jour.
>>
>> CRL faded when alternatives, with better, less crushing audio, came
>> about...and the loudness wars began to settle into uneasy truce. But
>> there are some consultants who still swear by CRL.
>>
>> My last station, we finally ended that insanity, and went with Omnia
>> FM, that I acquired through a cashless deal with one of my equipment
>> suppliers outside of the stations supply chain. Omnia is so tuneable,
>> and so easily firmware upgraded, that it rendered most everything
>> undesirable for all the hassle.
>>
>> That didn't keep our consultant from Bitching every day he was in

>> town about it. But, shit, we were top 3, and #1 in two dayparts, what's
>> he going to do?
>>
>> Consultants are paid a retainer, and, depending on the contract, a
>> bonus pursuant to ratings performance. Many PD's and GM's are paid the
>> same way: According to performance, especially ratings improvements. But
>> also sales improvements like improved share conversion, increased
>> margins.... And most all of them have their pet gimmicks. Some it's
>> Selector. Some it's the latest computer networking solution. Some it's
>> audio processing, some the DAM system, some even get snippy about the
>> type, brand and model of the CD players in the dubbing suite. All with
>> no financial connections.
>>
>> Right now the hot ticket is HD. AM or FM. (Which has all but wiped
>> out ALL AM listening at my home base...but fuck me...I'm just an old
>> fart who sleeps with his dog...why would any radio station be interested
>> in me? Besides the disposable income, the freedom to spend it as I
>> please, and my wide ranging tastes, why bother to serve me? Or anyone in
>> my neighborhood? Or anyone within 10 miles of me?....but I'm no longer
>> served by Radio. So piss on me. But I digress....) And GM's, PD's
>> consultants, sales manglers are all signing on to HD....because they
>> believe it translates to future profits.
>>
>> The most recent...what's the group, Bridge?....shows Radio revenues
>> off again this past quarter, alternatives coming on strong. Broadcast
>> companies are putting large resources behind alternative methods of
>> delivery, and non traditional revenue stream development, because it's
>> getting tougher to earn a buck with all the choices emerging.
>>
>> Radio, in the face of this changing landscape, will do what Radio has
>> always done: Evolve to meet new market realties. It will surive by
>> changing. And what it may evolve into, it's very likely we wouldn't
>> recognize from where we are today. But it will survive, and HD is one,
>> ONLY one, of the paths influencing that evolution. Even as it trashes
>> the AM band for listeners in unusual circumstances like me and the
>> people in my community.
>>
>> But there are multiple entities at each station signed on to HD.
>> Because it represents the possibility of future growth, and future
>> profitibility. Few, if any of them, draw a dime from Ibiquity.
>>
>> More to topic, it's the same with DRM. There are stations worldwide
>> that have shown interest in supporting DRM, as the future of shortwave,
>> even as hit trashes the bands. Few of DRM's proponents draw
>> compensation from DRM.
>>
>> That David works for/with/over/under/around/and though Univision (and
>> so did I for a brief time when they bought a TV station I was working)
>> and that Univision is a signatory to the Ibiquity agenda, and an
>> investor in Ibiquity as a company isn't really that big an issue. Many

>> companies own stock in Ibiquity. Few, if any of their employees benefit
>> financially from the position, given that almost none of the employees
>> of the larger media companies get bonuses when the company's holdings
>> return a dividend.
>>
>> He's a corporate broadcaster. He's not saying anything here that I've
>> not heard from anyone I've ever worked with or for.
>>
>> And I've disagreed with most of them. Personally, I'm thinking HD,
>> especially on AM is a gamble at best. A sentiment reflected in comments
>> from WGN's Cheap Engineer, who pulled the AM stereo, what now, 2 years
>> ago? 3? But hesitated installing HD because he wasn't sure it was 1) the
>> way to go, technically speaking, or 2) fiscally a responsible investment.
>>
>> But like I said earlier this week: That I can no longer hear even
>> some of the big stations due to IBOC hash where I am in the far north
>> suburbs?...they don't care.
>>
>> They don't have to.
>
> A pox on them all.

ROFLMAO! If you only knew some of the people I've worked with, you'd
know how close to the bone that one really is.

1958. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:34:19 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <2qudnQowCafZPSvZnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 83094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

gwatts wrote:
> Chuck Harris wrote:
>> Unrevealed Source wrote:
>>
>>> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
>>
>>
>> That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
>> insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?
>
> Don't give them an account number in the first place.

Not practical, paypal cuts you off after you have made an accumulated
$2000 in payments. The only way they will let you exceed that limit is
if you tie a bank account to your paypal account.

Further, ebay encourages sellers not to sell to unconfirmed addresses.
The only way to confirm an address is to link a credit card, or confirmed
paypal account to your ebay account.

...

> A few years ago I listened to a radio show called 'The Troubleshooter'
> done by a guy named Tom Martino, and he's still going today. One thing
> he always harped on, hard, was 'Never give anyone access to your bank
> account beyond the checks you write.'

I agree with him. That is why I opened this account just for paypal. I
only keep $50 in the account, hence the problem.

Not health clubs, insurance
> companies, utilities, car payments, rent, paypals, not anyone. My first
> wife allowed the insurance company to automatically deduct our /monthly/
> premium from our checking account and two days after she'd set that up
> they took six months' worth and we were bouncing checks. We had to
> close that account and I don't do business with that insurance company
> any more. I'm also no longer married to her but that wasn't
> precipitated by the bank fiasco.
>
> Hardly a month goes by without hearing about something similar. It
> might be difficult to not give Paypal your account info, especially if
> you sell on eBay, but I'll never do it. I've considered setting up a
> special account just for Paypal and keeping the balance very low but the
> monthly low balance service charges

That is exactly what I did. Credit unions charge no service fees, and have a $50 minimum for startup.

-Chuck

1959. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:38:48 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <46SdnSg_PKnMPCvZnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 83095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Don Bowey wrote:

>>> By the way.... Top Posting is the mark of, at least, a non-observant
>>> newsgroup newby.
>>>
>>> Don
>> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>>
>> So is failure to trim, Don.
>>
>> Mr Bill
>
> I agree that trimming is sometimes a good idea.
>
> But as you have done, one can trim too much such that the "real" thread is
> lost. All you left was the afterthought.
>
> Also, too often, particularly on s.e.d., I see people trimming to enhance
> their position. It's a judgment call whether and what, to trim.

He trimmed exactly the right amount. He was only commenting on your comment about top posting.

Personally, I have found it better to allow others to do things the way they want to. They are going to anyway. So, I tend to avoid making comments about others grammar, spelling, and top/bottom/or sideways posting habits.

If the post offends me, I tend to ignore it.

-Chuck

1960. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:43:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B6A26A-1024@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83097

Put an old timey Western ranch wooden post assembly by your antenna
(outside) and a sign that says,Lazy Ace.
cuhulin

1961. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:44:12 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B11DAE.12441213072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83096

In article
<Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> From AllAccess.com:
>
>
>
> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
> That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on
> terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on
> THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC
> digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.

So what does this development mean? That they are not going to address
the issue?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1962. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 19:59:58 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <2Dxtg.130415$dW3.70679@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83248

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6910E.DD541EA1@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo, otherwise known as David Frackelton Gleason, spawn of the
> Cuyahoga wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that
>> > HD/IBOC
>> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions
>> > hope
>> > to make
>> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>>
>> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us
>> in
>> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.
>
> No money? Univision owns part of iBiquity, does it not? So therefore
> Univision
> will be a beneficiary of those licensing fees and by extension, you,
> Edweenie,
> will also be a beneficiary!

The investments by the broadcasters are minor, and were kind of seed capital
to get the larger investment bankers and private capital sources to finance
the development of HD. The likelihood of any gain from this pales compared
to the cost of converting stations, developing second FM channels,
marketing, etc.

1963. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:01:28 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <sExtg.130436$dW3.125844@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83249

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6948F.28233A77@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' well known fake Hispanic
> since
> c.2000 and paid shill for Univision/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that
>> > HD/IBOC
>> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions
>> > hope
>> > to make
>> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
>>
>> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD.
>
> If you're not going to make any money from HD then why the hell do you
> spend a
> good part of your day shilling for it?

Because it is one of those investments, like a new transmitter, that
preserves the ongoing business model.
```

1964. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:02:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mFxtg.130438$dW3.104981@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83250

```
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152817009.493252.239250@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
>> >> > will
>> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
>> >>
>> >> Pay? there is no fee.
>> >>
>> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
>> >> quality.
>> >>
>> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
>> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
>> >
>> > No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
>> > selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"
>>
>> FM HD is better than any other current distribution system, plus it is
>> free.
>>
>> AM HD is as good as any alternative system, and is free. It is much
>> better
>> than Analog AM.
>
> "as good as" isn't what you've said previously, but it's also not good
> enough to cut the mustard.

AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the that
available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
```

1965. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:04:47 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zHxtg.126903$mF2.27025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83251

Telamon wrote:
> In article
> <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> From AllAccess.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
>> That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on
>> terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on
>> THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC
>> digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>
> So what does this development mean? That they are not going to address
> the issue?
>

Not at all. Just that it will be rescheduled at a more....um....how
shall I say this....auspicious time.

1966. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:04:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EHxtg.130439$dW3.106419@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83253

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152817154.580526.86680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such
>> thing
>> as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
>> things, like working, driving, etc.
>
> The people who listen to AM don't put a lot of priority on audio
> quality.

Yes, they are all older, most are over 45, and a majority over 55. That is
not a salable demographic, so the band will die as the existing listeners
age and no new ones come in due to audio quality.
>
> People who do put a big priority on audio quality listen to FM.

It is nowhere as simple as that. AM is a spoken word band because music
sounds inferior on it. In other words, AM dropped any programming that
nobody would listen to anyway.
>
> You'll never lure any portion of the FM audience back to AM.

Yes, you can.

1967. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:04:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6A765.1778AD15@milestones.com>
Source record: 83252

David Frackelton Gleason, still posing as 'Eduardo' the only genuine fake Hispanic to ever come out of Hawken School wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6910E.DD541EA1@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo, otherwise known as David Frackelton Gleason, spawn of the
> > Cuyahoga wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B68C29.6324572D@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yes, one must remember it's not about the serious listening that
> >> > HD/IBOC
> >> > assumes, but rather the serious money that Edweenie and his minions
> >> > hope
> >> > to make
> >> > by selling an unsuspecting public something it doesn't really need.
> >>
> >> Those of us in radio do not make any money from HD. In fact, it costs us
> >> in
> >> new equipment and the iBiquity license we have to pay for from now on.
> >
> > No money? Univision owns part of iBiquity, does it not? So therefore
> > Univision
> > will be a beneficiary of those licensing fees and by extension, you,
> > Edweenie,
> > will also be a beneficiary!
>
> The investments by the broadcasters are minor, and were kind of seed capital
> to get the larger investment bankers and private capital sources to finance
> the development of HD. The likelihood of any gain from this pales compared
> to the cost of converting stations, developing second FM channels,
> marketing, etc.

But you're still gonna make a $ or two, right, shill?

1968. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:06:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <RIxtg.130440$dW3.102713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83254

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:h46db2pak344q9ja61u6dpmc6k3uiechoj@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 18:09:28 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such
>>thing
>>as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
>>things, like working, driving, etc.
>>
>>
> Aside from being quieter, it really doesn't sound any better.

It is much better fidelity and quality

1969. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:09:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <cMxtg.130443$dW3.81723@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83255

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152817355.236291.106210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank Dresser wrote:
>
>> This is the death of AM Radio?
>>
>> HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
>>
>> No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
>>
>> Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems so
>> unlikely, but...
>>
>> IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
>>
>> Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank you.
>>
>> SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
>>
>> Frank Dresser
>
> Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
> enjoying it far into the future.

It has about 7 to 10 years of life as it is, since the remaining salable
demos in 35-54 will be over 55 in that time period, and there will be no
advertisers. Just paid religion, infomecials and stuff like that.
>

1970. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:10:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6A89C.3119EE33@milestones.com>
Source record: 83256

David Frackelton Gleason, Univision Radio's only pompous poseur wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152817009.493252.239250@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> >> >> > will
> >> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >> >>
> >> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >> >>
> >> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> >> >> quality.
> >> >>
> >> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >> >
> >> > No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
> >> > selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"
> >>
> >> FM HD is better than any other current distribution system, plus it is
> >> free.
> >>
> >> AM HD is as good as any alternative system, and is free. It is much
> >> better
> >> than Analog AM.
> >
> > "as good as" isn't what you've said previously, but it's also not good
> > enough to cut the mustard.
>
> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the that
> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.

Yeah, and it QRM's two additional channels to boot!

Wow!

A pox upon you and your spawn, Edweenie!

1971. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:13:23 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152821603.738426.269760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83257

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152817355.236291.106210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> >
> >> This is the death of AM Radio?
> >>
> >> HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
> >>
> >> No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
> >>
> >> Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems so
> >> unlikely, but...
> >>
> >> IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
> >>
> >> Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank you.
> >>
> >> SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
> >>
> >> Frank Dresser
> >
> > Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
> > enjoying it far into the future.
>
> It has about 7 to 10 years of life as it is, since the remaining salable
> demos in 35-54 will be over 55 in that time period, and there will be no
> advertisers. Just paid religion, infomecials and stuff like that.
> >

If it goes digital, I give it 5 years, max.

1972. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:14:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1Rxtg.130445$dW3.11329@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83258

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-01996B.12154613072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
>> stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there
>> is
>> what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.
>
> Again the marketing viewpoint. This isn't a radio marketing news group
> it is a hobby news group where people listen for other reasons than
> tuning in for the programming material. That is not to say that the
> programming is not the reason as I have tuned in out of market stations
> to get programs not offered in my area.

When I began DXing, I not only joined NRC, NNRC, IRC, MWC, NZDXL, etc., but
I also subscribed to Broadcasting and Sponsor and such and learned about the
reason why I was hearing what I heard. Now, you say hobbyists have no
interest in the actual stations they listen too. You just gave me another
reason not to answer verification (QSL) requests.
>>
>> I don't hear listeners complaining. I hear mostly DXers whining.
>
> You don't care about DXers in your job but you should posting to this
> news group.

As a DXer going back to 1958, I think it is important for DXers to know
about the radio business. If you want to live in a fantasy land, and get
angry about HD, then that is OK. But don't condemn radio as an industry for
doing what most of us think is right for the future.
>
>> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
>> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
>> offered.
>
> We got that through numerous posts you have made.

You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
"IBOC_Sucks" guy.
>
> Well I hate to break it to you but listening to adjacent stations is
> "done" by the people reading this news group. How many times does
> someone have to post a complaint here that IBOC degrades their
> reception? Please don't come back with the marketing perspective because
> I get it already.

the symbiotic relationship is changing. You should know about it.

1973. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:19:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hVxtg.130447$dW3.98381@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83260

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
>
> Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well spent
> shilling
> here.

I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before research
projects... or while listening to them....

You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking Hispanics,
Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.

1974. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:19:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6AAE3.C693EE17@milestones.com>
Source record: 83259

David Frackelton Gleason blew beans out his ass yet again when he wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152817154.580526.86680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >> The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such
> >> thing
> >> as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
> >> things, like working, driving, etc.
> >
> > The people who listen to AM don't put a lot of priority on audio
> > quality.
>
> Yes, they are all older, most are over 45, and a majority over 55. That is
> not a salable demographic, so the band will die as the existing listeners
> age and no new ones come in due to audio quality.
> >
> > People who do put a big priority on audio quality listen to FM.
>
> It is nowhere as simple as that. AM is a spoken word band because music
> sounds inferior on it. In other words, AM dropped any programming that
> nobody would listen to anyway.
> >
> > You'll never lure any portion of the FM audience back to AM.
>
> Yes, you can.

Heck, you're either 60, or almost 60 so that makes you a member of that
un-salable demographic.

Just why the fuck is a member of that un-salable demographic doing the
programming for all those stations then.

Shouldn't they look at canning your un-salable fake Hispanic ass?

1975. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:31:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6ADB6.A514BF5E@milestones.com>
Source record: 83261

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
> >
> > Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well spent
> > shilling
> > here.
>
> I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before research
> projects... or while listening to them....
>
> You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking Hispanics,
> Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.

Well, I do attack dumbass Canucks, fake Hispanics, illegal Hispanics and those who are unfortunate enough to not be able to tell that they are R75's vs. R-75's. (Look at the front panel, you pedantic bastard!).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B

I swear by, not at, Drake receivers.ï¿½

1976. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:44:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Xgytg.130458$dW3.34911@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83262

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6A765.1778AD15@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> The investments by the broadcasters are minor, and were kind of seed
>> capital
>> to get the larger investment bankers and private capital sources to
>> finance
>> the development of HD. The likelihood of any gain from this pales
>> compared
>> to the cost of converting stations, developing second FM channels,
>> marketing, etc.
>
> But you're still gonna make a $ or two, right, shill?

No, we are not. Radio revenues are declining, not increasing. we are looking
for ways to sustain the business model. I don't think HD will be a profit
center for at least a half-decade, if not more, and that will nonly be from
the new HD 2 channels.
>
>

1977. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:45:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xhytg.130459$dW3.54509@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83263

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6A89C.3119EE33@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
>> that
>> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
>
> Yeah, and it QRM's two additional channels to boot!

Channels nobody is listening to.

1978. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:46:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kiytg.130460$dW3.74510@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83264

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152821603.738426.269760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152817355.236291.106210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Frank Dresser wrote:
>> >
>> >> This is the death of AM Radio?
>> >>
>> >> HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
>> >>
>> >> No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
>> >>
>> >> Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems
>> >> so
>> >> unlikely, but...
>> >>
>> >> IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
>> >>
>> >> Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank
>> >> you.
>> >>
>> >> SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
>> >>
>> >> Frank Dresser
>> >
>> > Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
>> > enjoying it far into the future.
>>
>> It has about 7 to 10 years of life as it is, since the remaining salable
>> demos in 35-54 will be over 55 in that time period, and there will be no
>> advertisers. Just paid religion, infomecials and stuff like that.
>> >
>
> If it goes digital, I give it 5 years, max.

It already is going digital. Our first HD station has been on in HD for
nearly two years.

1979. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:48:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <fkytg.130461$dW3.99052@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83265

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6AAE3.C693EE17@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > You'll never lure any portion of the FM audience back to AM.
>>
>> Yes, you can.
>
> Heck, you're either 60, or almost 60 so that makes you a member of that
> un-salable demographic.
>
> Just why the fuck is a member of that un-salable demographic doing the
> programming for all those stations then.

I am asked to do it because I am successful. A lot of this is based on
experience, knowledge and sills in directing talent.
>
> Shouldn't they look at canning your un-salable fake Hispanic ass?

Not when I increase ratings consistently.

1980. FS Realistic DX-440 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:49:07 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <7lytg.380084$Fs1.122226@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83266

FS Realistic DX-440 receiver in excellent condition with manual and shoulder strap for $50 plus shipping. Photo's available.

1981. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:49:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <flytg.130462$dW3.78461@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83267

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B6ADB6.A514BF5E@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
>> >
>> > Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well
>> > spent
>> > shilling
>> > here.
>>
>> I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before research
>> projects... or while listening to them....
>>
>> You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking Hispanics,
>> Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.
>
> Well, I do attack dumbass Canucks, fake Hispanics, illegal Hispanics and
> those
> who are unfortunate enough to not be able to tell that they are R75's vs.
> R-75's. (Look at the front panel, you pedantic bastard!).

What a hot button. I also have an R-8-b and an R*390.

WTFC.

1982. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:52:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152823931.544108.202260@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83268

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152821603.738426.269760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152817355.236291.106210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> This is the death of AM Radio?
> >> >>
> >> >> HALLELUJAH!! THERE IS THE PROMISE OF LIFE AFTER DEATH!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> No nighttime IBOC and fewer interfering signals?
> >> >>
> >> >> Might I somenight be able to DX the Farsi station from LA? It seems
> >> >> so
> >> >> unlikely, but...
> >> >>
> >> >> IN AM RADIO, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Thank you for your prophetic vision of the death of AM radio. Thank
> >> >> you.
> >> >>
> >> >> SAY AMEN, EVERYBODY!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Frank Dresser
> >> >
> >> > Here here. If AM is as slow about dying as shortwave, people will be
> >> > enjoying it far into the future.
> >>
> >> It has about 7 to 10 years of life as it is, since the remaining salable
> >> demos in 35-54 will be over 55 in that time period, and there will be no
> >> advertisers. Just paid religion, infomecials and stuff like that.
> >> >
> >
> > If it goes digital, I give it 5 years, max.
>
> It already is going digital. Our first HD station has been on in HD for
> nearly two years.

Yes, and if it becomes primarily digital, it has 5 years left, max. And
that's probably optimistic.

1983. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:55:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <arytg.130465$dW3.96578@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83269

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152823931.544108.202260@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > If it goes digital, I give it 5 years, max.
>>
>> It already is going digital. Our first HD station has been on in HD for
>> nearly two years.
>
> Yes, and if it becomes primarily digital, it has 5 years left, max. And
> that's probably optimistic.

But that is not going to happen unless most radios are HD capable. This
probably means that it will never happen.

I have never heard it discussed.

1984. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:57:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6B3AE.64C89F36@milestones.com>
Source record: 83270

David Frackelton Gleason, still posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's
over-the-hill programmer wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6ADB6.A514BF5E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well
> >> > spent
> >> > shilling
> >> > here.
> >>
> >> I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before research
> >> projects... or while listening to them....
> >>
> >> You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking Hispanics,
> >> Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.
> >>
> > Well, I do attack dumbass Canucks, fake Hispanics, illegal Hispanics and
> > those
> > who are unfortunate enough to not be able to tell that they are R75's vs.
> > R-75's. (Look at the front panel, you pedantic bastard!).
>
> What a hot button. I also have an R-8-b and an R*390.

No hot button here, boy. You are purely entertainment.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1985. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 20:59:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152824361.212767.133400@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83271

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152823931.544108.202260@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > If it goes digital, I give it 5 years, max.
> >>
> >> It already is going digital. Our first HD station has been on in HD for
> >> nearly two years.
> >
> > Yes, and if it becomes primarily digital, it has 5 years left, max. And
> > that's probably optimistic.
>
> But that is not going to happen unless most radios are HD capable. This
> probably means that it will never happen.
>
> I have never heard it discussed.

I agree. It will never happen.

1986. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:05:46 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152824746.315856.275700@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

gwatts wrote:
> Chuck Harris wrote:
> > Unrevealed Source wrote:
> >
> >> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
> >
> >
> > That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
> > insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?
>
> Don't give them an account number in the first place.
>
> > The reason I ask, is I accidentally forgot to check "more payment options",
> > and didn't notice until after paypal finalized the transaction. Paypal
> > attempted to overdraw my account, and I got charged a $25 overdraft fee by
> > my bank. The idiots at paypal were going to retry this 2 more times before
> > quitting, and using my "backup" credit card. If I hadn't noticed, this
> > transaction
> > would have cost me $75 in overdraft fees. There was no way I could cancel
> > the payment, and switch to a credit card.
> >
> > I complained to paypal, because I thought they were supposed to check the
> > balance on the account before they tried to draw funds, but they ignored
> > me completely.
> >
> > -Chuck
>
> A few years ago I listened to a radio show called 'The Troubleshooter'
> done by a guy named Tom Martino, and he's still going today. One thing
> he always harped on, hard, was 'Never give anyone access to your bank
> account beyond the checks you write.' Not health clubs, insurance
> companies, utilities, car payments, rent, paypals, not anyone. My first
> wife allowed the insurance company to automatically deduct our /monthly/
> premium from our checking account and two days after she'd set that up
> they took six months' worth and we were bouncing checks. We had to
> close that account and I don't do business with that insurance company
> any more. I'm also no longer married to her but that wasn't
> precipitated by the bank fiasco.

Banks often cash altered checks. Further, you are giving out your bank
account number, which in turn can lead to other forms of hacking.

I use a credit card that is just for internet use with a bank account
not connected to my main back.

Also, pay for small items with cash, especially in restaurants. The
less exposure of your credit card, the better.

>
> Hardly a month goes by without hearing about something similar. It
> might be difficult to not give Paypal your account info, especially if
> you sell on eBay, but I'll never do it. I've considered setting up a
> special account just for Paypal and keeping the balance very low but the
> monthly low balance service charges and that I'll get dinged just like
> Chuck for overdrafts just doesn't make it worth it.
>
> Until banks are more responsive to consumers and allow limits on such
> transactions (and I'm not holding my breath ;-) I don't engage in such
> transactions.
>
> Tom Martino is still at it,
> http://troubleshooter.com/
>
> W8LNA

1987. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:18:28 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <EMytg.5248$oa1.5221@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 83273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck Harris wrote:
> gwatts wrote:
>
>> Chuck Harris wrote:
>>
>>> Unrevealed Source wrote:
>>>
>>>> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
>>>
>>> That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
>>> insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?
>>
>> Don't give them an account number in the first place.
>
> Not practical, paypal cuts you off after you have made an accumulated
> $2000 in payments. The only way they will let you exceed that limit is
> if you tie a bank account to your paypal account.

It comes down to weighing practicality versus prudence. I've closed old
and opened new paypal accounts when I get too close to the limit, even
on the same credit card, and that limit was $10k the last time I opened
a new one.

> Further, ebay encourages sellers not to sell to unconfirmed addresses.
> The only way to confirm an address is to link a credit card, or confirmed
> paypal account to your ebay account.

I've never had a seller bring up the 'verified' issue even when they say
they'll only ship to a verified address, maybe because I contact the
'must be verified' sellers personally in addition to the automatic
post-auction contact. Like paypal, they're mostly interested in the
money and will bend their rules a bit if it looks legit.

> ...I opened this account just for paypal. I
> only keep $50 in the account, hence the problem.

I'm sorry it hasn't worked out better for you and I hope it gets better,
but my experience makes me cynical and it's not that much more trouble
doing what I do now. The again, I don't sell often and I don't take
paypal payments when I do. That may have to change, and I'll have to do
the special account business, and watch it like a hawk on bunnies.

> That is exactly what I did. Credit unions charge no service fees, and
> have a $50 minimum for startup.

No local credit union available for me.

73 and the best of luck to you,
W8LNA

1988. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:24:09 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1D8985.14240813072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83274

In article <1Rxtg.130445$dW3.11329@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-01996B.12154613072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> As has been shown, even at FCC level, there is no significant usage of
> >> stations adjacent to locals in each market. So there is no loss if there
> >> is
> >> what ammounts to unmeasurable listening.
> > >
> > > Again the marketing viewpoint. This isn't a radio marketing news group
> > > it is a hobby news group where people listen for other reasons than
> > > tuning in for the programming material. That is not to say that the
> > > programming is not the reason as I have tuned in out of market stations
> > > to get programs not offered in my area.
>
> When I began DXing, I not only joined NRC, NNRC, IRC, MWC, NZDXL, etc., but
> I also subscribed to Broadcasting and Sponsor and such and learned about the
> reason why I was hearing what I heard. Now, you say hobbyists have no
> interest in the actual stations they listen too. You just gave me another
> reason not to answer verification (QSL) requests.

< Snip >

Where did I indicate that I or others don't care about the stations we
listen too? I'm saying other people have reasons other than my own.

Some people like to DX stations, which often are the adjacent channels
to the locals. I don't DX to get QSL cards but other people do as a
hobby. I listen to out of market stations for the programming because I
am a program listener. I also tune through the AMBCB day and night to
see what distant stations I can hear. IBOC is limiting what I can hear.
I actually spend most of my listening time to out of my market stations
from the LA and San Diego areas by day and points further north and east
of me like KGO and KOH at night.

I spend the majority listening of my AMBCB listening to KOGO, KFI, KABC,
KNX and the local KVTA by day and add KGO and KOH at night. I spend a
little time with KTMS during the day, I can't get them very well at
night.

I would listen to KRLA but they put in a lousy signal even during the
day.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1989. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:26:13 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-84902D.14261313072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83276

In article <zHxtg.126903$mF2.27025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article
> > <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >> From AllAccess.com:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda That FCC open
> >> meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on terrestrial radio
> >> got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on THURSDAY. The
> >> item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC digital
> >> interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
> >> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
> >
> > So what does this development mean? That they are not going to
> > address the issue?
> >
>
>
> Not at all. Just that it will be rescheduled at a
> more....um....how
> shall I say this....auspicious time.

You mean sweep it under the rug?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1990. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:26:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <rUytg.130470$dW3.75003@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83277

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-1D8985.14240813072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> < Snip >
>
> Where did I indicate that I or others don't care about the stations we
> listen too? I'm saying other people have reasons other than my own.

If you say there is no interest in knowing about HD, then there is a de
facto lack of interest in the stations.

1991. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:27:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <zVytg.130472$dW3.127440@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83278

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-84902D.14261313072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <zHxtg.126903$mF2.27025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> Telamon wrote:
>> > In article
>> > <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>> > D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >> From AllAccess.com:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda That FCC open
>> >> meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on terrestrial radio
>> >> got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on THURSDAY. The
>> >> item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC digital
>> >> interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>> >> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>> >
>> > So what does this development mean? That they are not going to
>> > address the issue?
>> >
>>
>>
>> Not at all. Just that it will be rescheduled at a
>> more....um....how
>> shall I say this....auspicious time.
>
> You mean sweep it under the rug?

Nope, probably a separate session per Inside Radio... to detailed an issue
to combine with other matters.

1992. Re: FS Realistic DX-440 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:29:36 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <4Xytg.25723$vl5.11526@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 83275

Send photos, tell us more about it. Is it deaf off the attached antenna?

"robtgross" <robtgross@att.net> wrote in message
news:7lytg.380084$Fs1.122226@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> FS Realistic DX-440 receiver in excellent condition with manual and
> shoulder strap for $50 plus shipping. Photo's available.

1993. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:34:11 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-045EE2.14341113072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83279

In article <flytg.130462$dW3.78461@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6ADB6.A514BF5E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is
> >> > well spent shilling here.
> >>
> >> I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before
> >> research projects... or while listening to them....
> >>
> >> You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking
> >> Hispanics, Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.
> >
> > Well, I do attack dumbass Canucks, fake Hispanics, illegal
> > Hispanics and those who are unfortunate enough to not be able to
> > tell that they are R75's vs. R-75's. (Look at the front panel, you
> > pedantic bastard!).
>
> What a hot button. I also have an R-8-b and an R*390.

How does the sound from the R8B compare to the R390? What do you use
for speakers on each radio? What antennas do you use?

I own a Drake R8B and an Ten-Tech RX340 that I use most of the time.

For AMBCB I use a 50 foot circumference shielded loop antenna in a
rectangular shape and Sony bookshelf speakers.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1994. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:38:34 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83280

David Eduardo wrote:
> >> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
> >> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative is
> >> offered.
> >
> > We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>
> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
> >

And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it discussed."

I think you need to get your story straight. Is digital the future or not? And don't tell me that you think the "digital alternative" is the half assed deployment of HD we've seen over the last couple of years. If that's the case, then it's totally nap time for this thread.

1995. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:48:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6518FB.14483313072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83281

In article <rUytg.130470$dW3.75003@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-1D8985.14240813072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> > Where did I indicate that I or others don't care about the stations we
> > listen too? I'm saying other people have reasons other than my own.
>
> If you say there is no interest in knowing about HD, then there is a de
> facto lack of interest in the stations.

I know about HD and I don't think it has big enough payoff for the
listener for the cost of equipment.

I do not like the way HD is being implemented on AMBCB causing
interference to distant stations.

I don't like the fact that IBOC adversely affects my listening of analog
signals.

I listen to stations that are transmitting IBOC but I don't have a
receiver to get the digital signal. I might get a HD radio when the V2
radios come out if the IBOC standard continues to look stable. For
starters the makers of HD radios should make them upgradable and I
would like IBOC to be an open standard.

Where do my posts indicate a non-interest about AMBCB stations that use
IBOC?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1996. 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:57:28 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83282

>From RWOnline:

IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now

For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."

Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.

There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item, which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.

Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself a new job !

1997. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 21:59:36 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152827976.450156.140450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83283

Mister Billy Smith did say:

> Getting rid of the fat welfare ho's would be a better proposal. I don't
> blame the kids but I do blame their parents for being such useless
> pieces of crap.

When you say getting rid of the fat welfare ho's - do you mean like exterminate them? Not a bad idea, but I think there may be laws against that sort of operation. Heck, I'm all for leaving that extermination stuff to God. He's actually pretty good at culling large groups of people from the earth all at once.

Unfortunatly with the role models these kids grow up with the cycle continues. They grow up to be useless pieces of crap themselves. Perhaps if the gov't would step up and offer these useless Ho's some crack or guns for their reproductive organs we would once and for all see an end to this nonsense. DC Mayor is screaming that he has a crime emergency and this is typical across our nation. Unfortunately, the only way out of this terrible situation will be drastic measures.

1998. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:08:49 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-EA465E.15084913072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83284

In article <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:

> >From RWOnline:
>
> IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
>
> For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
>
> Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
>
> There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
>
> Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> a new job !

That was good post except for the last paragraph makes you look like an
14 year old.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1999. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:10:51 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152828651.864661.21270@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83285

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > >From RWOnline:
> >
> > IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
> >
> > For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> > various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> > the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> > morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
> >
> > Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> > when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
> >
> > There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> > which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> > would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> > a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
> >
> > Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> > a new job !
>
> That was good post except for the last paragraph makes you look like an
> 14 year old.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Hey, it's the bigot, Telamon !

2000. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:13:02 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-000A59.15130213072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83286

In article <1152828651.864661.21270@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > >From RWOnline:
> > >
> > > IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
> > >
> > > For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> > > various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> > > the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> > > morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
> > >
> > > Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> > > when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
> > >
> > > There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> > > which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> > > would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> > > a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
> > >
> > > Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> > > a new job !
> >
> > That was good post except for the last paragraph makes you look like an
> > 14 year old.
> >
>
> Hey, it's the bigot, Telamon !

Hey, it's the same idiot with another handle!

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2001. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:22:14 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152829334.770399.73970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83287

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152828651.864661.21270@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > >From RWOnline:
> > > >
> > > > IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
> > > >
> > > > For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> > > > various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> > > > the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> > > > morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
> > > >
> > > > Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> > > > when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
> > > >
> > > > There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> > > > which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> > > > would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> > > > a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
> > > >
> > > > Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> > > > a new job !
> > >
> > > That was good post except for the last paragraph makes you look like an
> > > 14 year old.
> > >
> >
> > Hey, it's the bigot, Telamon !
>
> Hey, it's the same idiot with another handle!
>
> < Plonk >
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Actually, it is RHF and BH, in disguise !

2002. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:24:13 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152829453.133786.84770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83288

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152828651.864661.21270@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > > "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > >From RWOnline:
> > > >
> > > > IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
> > > >
> > > > For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> > > > various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> > > > the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> > > > morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
> > > >
> > > > Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> > > > when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
> > > >
> > > > There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> > > > which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> > > > would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> > > > a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
> > > >
> > > > Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> > > > a new job !
> > >
> > > That was good post except for the last paragraph makes you look like an
> > > 14 year old.
> > >
> >
> > Hey, it's the bigot, Telamon !
>
> Hey, it's the same idiot with another handle!
>
> < Plonk >
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Oh, I forgot the hostile little bitch, dxAce !

2003. Re: Univision Sold for $13. Billion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:26:46 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152829606.681363.190950@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83289

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6ADB6.A514BF5E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B69F0B.CA179D1F@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > Not by a long shot. But Univision obviously thinks his time is well
> >> > spent
> >> > shilling
> >> > here.
> >>
> >> I do this while waiting in airports, or in down time before research
> >> projects... or while listening to them....
> >>
> >> You, on the other hand, have nothing to do _but_ attacking Hispanics,
> >> Canadians, owners of R-75's and such.
> >>
> > Well, I do attack dumbass Canucks, fake Hispanics, illegal Hispanics and
> > those
> > who are unfortunate enough to not be able to tell that they are R75's vs.
> > R-75's. (Look at the front panel, you pedantic bastard!).
>
> What a hot button. I also have an R-8-b and an R*390.
>
> WTFC.

Hey iBiquity shill, take a look at this !

>From RWOnline:

IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now

For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday

morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."

Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.

There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item, which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations

would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.

2004. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:32:48 UTC
From: IBOC_sucks_! <streetlords1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152829968.389824.74250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83290

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-84902D.14261313072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <zHxtg.126903$mF2.27025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >> Telamon wrote:
> >> > In article
> >> > <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> >> > D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> From AllAccess.com:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda That FCC open
> >> >> meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on terrestrial radio
> >> >> got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on THURSDAY. The
> >> >> item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC digital
> >> >> interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
> >> >> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
> >> >
> >> > So what does this development mean? That they are not going to
> >> > address the issue?
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Not at all. Just that it will be rescheduled at a
> >> more....um....how
> >> shall I say this....auspicious time.
> >
> > You mean sweep it under the rug?
>
> Nope, probably a separate session per Inside Radio... to detailed an issue
> to combine with other matters.

Hey David, IBOC is dying - take a look at this !

>From RWOnline:

IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now

For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday

morning. A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."

Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.

There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item, which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations

would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.

2005. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:33:54 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mTztg.127304$mF2.64035@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83291

Telamon wrote:
> In article <zHxtg.126903$mF2.27025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> Telamon wrote:
>>> In article
>>> <Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>>> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> From AllAccess.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda That FCC open
>>>> meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on terrestrial radio
>>>> got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on THURSDAY. The
>>>> item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC digital
>>>> interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>>>> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>>> So what does this development mean? That they are not going to
>>> address the issue?
>>>
>>
>> Not at all. Just that it will be rescheduled at a
>> more....um....how
>> shall I say this....auspicious time.
>
> You mean sweep it under the rug?

More like to make sure their kevlar is in place before they announce
anything.

>

2006. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6Uztg.7243$ye3.2959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83292

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:2967-44B5D3F6-987@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:

> has become under fire from the Israelis.GO Get em,Israel.
> cuhulin

Yes, bomb them sand niggers back to Allah. Hope they don't have a political party in Israel like the our democrats. They would have to pull out before the job gets done. Of course most Israelis understand it's a life & death struggle. The Democrats haven't figured that out yet.

SC

2007. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:44 UTC
From: Hey Stupid <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8Uztg.7244$ye3.3191@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83293

"kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1152746696.945369.60890@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> N9NEO wrote:
>> What exactly is ARS licensing?
> ARS Amateur Radio Service
>
> SC has a truly insane propasl he has been trying to seel in RRAP that
> would in the first throw roungly 300,000 ham off the air the first year
> and rough another 150,00 within 10 years
>
> Thus his idea for requireing welfare cleints to do dirty job is avast
> improvement over whathe wants to do i the ARS licensing area Robert

No, Markie. You would be grandfathered until your current license expired
then you have to pass the code test and written again to renew. You fail
you go back to CB until you're smart enough to pass. My proposal is good
because non-hams would see how much better the service is and want to be
hams.

SC

2008. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9Uztg.7245$ye3.7023@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83295

Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:GVjtg.3915$vO.2673@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
>> Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in
>> Cut Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
>> aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal
>> Americans who will do those jobs.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> Thats an excuse for the business community to keep paying people shitty
> wages because they don't want to pay family sustaining American wages.
> Instead of paying someone say 30,000 plus benefits when want help for 7
> and 8 dollars an hour. Who in the hell can live on that?

You get paid what the job is worth. The market should be what sets the
wage, not the Government. If you need $30,000, get a job that's worth
30,000 or start your own business. In this contry no one is forced to
work for the wage they're getting. They can quit and get a different job.

2009. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aUztg.7246$ye3.4045@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83294

David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in
news:5ohcb2l63tmqta9kvcv01tgglcg9okeva0@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
>>A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>>Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>>cuhulin
>>
> Engines? What engines?

That's way it's called Discovery. They discovered engines on it.

SC

2010. Re: hi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fUztg.7249$ye3.5567@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83296

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:7956-44B59F63-1115@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net:

> I am straight,but I still have a hankering to want to put on a bra (I do
> have a natural big breast) and a dress and sit around in my house like
> that.I wouldn't dare go out in public looking like that,my neighbors
> would laugh me out of the neighborhood.
> cuhulin

Don't post the pics either, You'll get laughed off usenet.

Now cross your legs.

SC

2011. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:36:42 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97FFBD522406Fsamthemanverizonnet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 83297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:EMytg.5248$oa1.5221@news02.roc.ny:

>
> It comes down to weighing practicality versus prudence. I've closed
> old and opened new paypal accounts when I get too close to the limit,
> even on the same credit card, and that limit was $10k the last time I
> opened a new one.
>

How? When I tried that, they told me the card belonged to a previous
account, and would not let me open a new one. [That was the first thing I
tried when I got close to $2k.]

2012. Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:48:00 UTC
From: Invader3K <beckermt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152830880.630920.166430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83198

With the developments in Israel in Lebanon in the past 24 hours, does anyone have frequencies they're monitoring for news on the conflict? Sounds like this will be one to follow closely...

2013. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:53:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <L9Atg.48287$VE1.31933@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83199

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
>> >> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative
>> >> is
>> >> offered.
>> >
>> > We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>>
>> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
>> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
>> >
>
> And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never
> become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it
> discussed."

I think that most listening in the future will be to the digital signal, not
the analog. I have never heard anyone talk about turning analog off.

2014. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:58:09 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e96j1i$su0$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 83200

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:xhytg.130459$dW3.54509@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B6A89C.3119EE33@milestones.com...
>>
>>>
>>> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
>>> that
>>> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
>>
>> Yeah, and it QRM's two additional channels to boot!
>
> Channels nobody is listening to.
>

David, FUCK OFF! I don't generally use foul language, but you bring it out in me. And that ain't good. You keep saying nobody is listening. Well, you are then calling everyone in this NG, and tens-to-hundreds of thousands of people across the US, and hundreds-of-thousands to MILLIONS in Canada, Mexico and the Caribbean "nobody". We take great offense to that. I consider your IBOC shilling SPAM, and if you continue with it on this NG, I will personally mount a campaign to have you releaved of your internet access. WE DON'T WANT TO HEAR IT! Not the shilling, and not the QRM. GO AWAY!

2015. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 22:59:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <tfAtg.48289$VE1.8480@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83201

"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >From RWOnline:
>
> IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
>
> For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> morning.

HD _IS_ authorized. FM can go HD with just a letter. AM can go HD daytime with just a letter. FM can add a second or even third HD channel with a letter that gets and automatic STA. The only thing not authorized is night operation on AM, which is not a very big deal anyway.

> A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
>
> Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.

Easier? All it takes is sending a letter now. How much easier can you make it? No notification at all...like FM stereo???
>
> There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.

Per Inside Radio, the subject was felt to be more extensive than can be delt with in a general meeting, so they are going to call one just for HD.
>
> Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> a new job !

HuH? My job, today, does not depend on HD. It may in a number of years, though... as will every job in radio.

You need to take a drink or a pill or a toke or something. You don't understand the state of HD, nor the way the FCC weeks.

2016. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:01:20 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4hAtg.48292$VE1.45532@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83202

"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1152829968.389824.74250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Hey David, IBOC is dying - take a look at this !

HD FM is authorized. HD secondary channels are authorized with just a
letter. AM HD is authorized daytime. Nights don't matter that much, but
would help.

2017. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:02:46 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152831766.791063.224070@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83203

Hey Stupid wrote:
> "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1152746696.945369.60890@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > N9NEO wrote:
> >> What exactly is ARS licensing?
> > ARS Amateur Radio Service
> >
> > SC has a truly insane propasl he has been trying to seel in RRAP that
> > would in the first throw roungly 300,000 ham off the air the first year
> > and rough another 150,00 within 10 years
> >
> > Thus his idea for requireing welfare cleints to do dirty job is avast
> > improvement over whathe wants to do i the ARS licensing area Robert
>
>
>
> No, Markie. You would be grandfathered until your current license expired
> then you have to pass the code test and written again to renew. You fail
> you go back to CB until you're smart enough to pass. My proposal is good
> because non-hams would see how much better the service is and want to be
> hams.
so I stand corrected and you only want to throw 450,thousand hams of
the air over ten year instaed making it about 45 thousands ham per
year at a time when we are already losing numbers

that is better SC but not much
>
> SC

2018. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:03:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B6D13F-1035@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83207

I pay for my purchases around here with cash,food purchases,gasoline,miscellaneous.I usually know about how much money I will spend at the food store once each week.If I dont have about that much cash on me,I will go to a bank and cash a check.Items I buy from online stores,I pay with a post office money order.
cuhulin

2019. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:03:59 UTC
From: Luddite <a2k@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <e96jgvlka1@e250.ripco.com>
Source record: 83204
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
Pete KE9OA wrote:
> Neither WBBM, WTMJ, not WGN seemed to care. First hand experience, since I
> am one of the people who contacted them, letting them know that they had
> lost a listener. They don't care. They are too busy putting on appearances,
> being "with it".

Clearly,but if "loyal listeners" called or emailed that it sounds like
someone taking a shower all day they might do something.
DX'ers are a non issue to them. and who actually listens to WBBM anyway?
There's never a moment of silence between news and commercials,never

> Pete

> "David Eduardo" wrote in message
> news:Kbxsg.1820$2v.597@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "David" wrote in message
>> news:np25b2tqckc13rod9flqr90bi8jdfhamri@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 17:03:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"dxAce" wrote in message
>>>>news:44B26F91.8895CAEA@milestones.com...
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> I currently have that problem here with a station I used to listen to
>>>>> regularly on
>>>>> 790 that is now totally obliterated by the daytime IBOC from 780.
>>>>>
>>>>> No can listen no more.
>>>>
>>>>I know the station itself could care less.
>>>>
>>> You are an idiot. I don't know a real broadcaster on the planet who
>>> reacts with indifference when told his signal is getting trashed and
>>> that he has lost a listener.
>>
>> If they are out of the metro (MSA), we don't care. There is no money in
>> out of market audience.
>>
```

2020. Re: 7/13/2006 IBOC pulled from FCC Agenda !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:05:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6D1AF.9F197B21@milestones.com>
Source record: 83205

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1152827848.617992.98640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >From RWOnline:
> >
> > IBOC Pulled From FCC Agenda for Now
> >
> > For a while there it looked like we'd get some decisions at last on
> > various important aspects of digital radio in the United States. But
> > the FCC pulled the final authorization for IBOC off its agenda Thursday
> > morning.
>
> HD _IS_ authorized. FM can go HD with just a letter. AM can go HD daytime
> with just a letter. FM can add a second or even third HD channel with a
> letter that gets and automatic STA. The only thing not authorized is night
> operation on AM, which is not a very big deal anyway.
>
> > A spokesman told RW Online, "It isn't done."
> >
> > Stations will have to wait longer for easier notification procedures
> > when they fire up their digital transmitters or decide to multicast.
>
> Easier? All it takes is sending a letter now. How much easier can you make
> it? No notification at all...like FM stereo???
> >
> > There was no indication of when commissioners might finalize the item,
> > which was expected to include a provision for multicasting, so stations
> > would no longer need an STA to split their digital signals, as well as
> > a strategy to handle AM nighttime authorization.
>
> Per Inside Radio, the subject was felt to be more extensive than can be delt
> with in a general meeting, so they are going to call one just for HD.
> >
> > Hey, David Eduardo - lick my balls ! IBOC is dying ! Go find yourself
> > a new job !
>
> HuH? My job, today, does not depend on HD. It may in a number of years,
> though... as will every job in radio.
>
> You need to take a drink or a pill or a toke or something.

Take your meds, Frackelton.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2021. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:11:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <wqAtg.48296$VE1.2611@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83206

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e96j1i$su0$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:xhytg.130459$dW3.54509@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B6A89C.3119EE33@milestones.com...
>>>
>>>>
>>>> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
>>>> that
>>>> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
>>>
>>> Yeah, and it QRM's two additional channels to boot!
>>
>> Channels nobody is listening to.
>>
>
> David, FUCK OFF! I don't generally use foul language, but you bring it out
> in me. And that ain't good. You keep saying nobody is listening. Well, you
> are then calling everyone in this NG, and tens-to-hundreds of thousands of
> people across the US, and hundreds-of-thousands to MILLIONS in Canada,
> Mexico and the Caribbean "nobody".

The measurement of out of market listening in the US and Mexico shows that there is next to zero listening to out of market signals. Compared to the gain to be had with HD, the infentissimal loss of out of market listening is a very reasonable trade. we are talking about a minor sacrifice, and in exchange getting a possible extension of the product life of both AM and FM radio.

In the Aribtron data, which includes every county in the US and Puerto Rico, there are only a couple of hundred stations out of 13,500 that get listening outside their own market (MSA). Most of these cases are adjacent markets, like Riverside and LA or Palm Beach and the Miami MSA, and are for mostly FM. A few AM cases are known, mostly like WGN and WLS that have local, and protected, signals in several adjacent metros due to the unusual ground conductivith of parts of the Midwest. These are very few in number.

In the Caribbean, there are ISLANDS. There is no listening from one Island to another on AM or FM. The listening patterns are like the US, but the local bands tend to be fuller so stations in other towns on the same islands can't be heard (Puerto Rico ha 132 stations) And geography makes out of market FM listening impssible. AM is almost gone in the Lesser Antilles,

with more than half the AMs of 25 years ago gone, and the local listening is to FM.

In Mexico, there is a very high station density, so much so that AMs have reduced power because they do not get any gain from rural coverage... as there are local stations in all areas.

> We take great offense to that. I consider your IBOC shilling SPAM, and if
> you continue with it on this NG, I will personally mount a campaign to
> have you releaved of your internet access. WE DON'T WANT TO HEAR IT! Not
> the shilling, and not the QRM. GO AWAY!

I am so scared I am trembling.

You can't fact the facts, obviously.

2022. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:16:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B6D445-1037@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83209

Jackson is in a state of emergency,has been for the past few weeks and a few days ago Mayor Frank Melton reuped it again for another week.It includes a curfew too,also today,the high Mayor announced on the 12:00 PM WLBT tv news report all of the homeless people are to be rounded up (starting today) and they will be put to work cleaning up trash around town.I dont think that will cut down on crime though.
cuhulin

2023. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:22:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6D5A3.8B482EF8@milestones.com>
Source record: 83208

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e96j1i$su0$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:xhytg.130459$dW3.54509@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B6A89C.3119EE33@milestones.com...
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
> >>>> that
> >>>> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
> >>>
> >>> Yeah, and it QRM's two additional channels to boot!
> >>
> >> Channels nobody is listening to.
> >>
> >
> > David, FUCK OFF! I don't generally use foul language, but you bring it out
> > in me. And that ain't good. You keep saying nobody is listening. Well, you
> > are then calling everyone in this NG, and tens-to-hundreds of thousands of
> > people across the US, and hundreds-of-thousands to MILLIONS in Canada,
> > Mexico and the Caribbean "nobody".
>
> The measurement of out of market listening in the US and Mexico shows that
> there is next to zero listening to out of market signals. Compared to the
> gain to be had with HD, the infentissimal loss of out of market listening is
> a very reasonable trade. we are talking about a minor sacrifice, and in
> exchange getting a possible extension of the product life of both AM and FM
> radio.
>
> In the Aribtron data, which includes every county in the US and Puerto Rico,
> there are only a couple of hundred stations out of 13,500 that get listening
> outside their own market (MSA). Most of these cases are adjacent markets,
> like Riverside and LA or Palm Beach and the Miami MSA, and are for mostly
> FM. A few AM cases are known, mostly like WGN and WLS that have local, and
> protected, signals in several adjacent metros due to the unusual ground
> conductivith of parts of the Midwest. These are very few in number.
>
> In the Caribbean, there are ISLANDS. There is no listening from one Island

> to another on AM or FM. The listening patterns are like the US, but the
> local bands tend to be fuller so stations in other towns on the same islands
> canï¿½t be heard (Puerto Rico ha 132 stations) And geography makes out of
> market FM listening impssible. AM is almost gone in the Lesser Antilles,
> with more than half the AMs of 25 years ago gone, and the local listening is
> to FM.
>
> In Mexico, there is a very high station density, so much so that AMs have
> reduced power because they do not get any gain from rural coverage... as
> there are local stations in all areas.
>
> > We take great offense to that. I consider your IBOC shilling SPAM, and if
> > you continue with it on this NG, I will personally mount a campaign to
> > have you releaved of your internet access. WE DON'T WANT TO HEAR IT! Not
> > the shilling, and not the QRM. GO AWAY!
>
> I am so scared I am trembling.

"You need to take a drink or a pill or a toke or something."

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2024. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:31:40 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wJAtg.127456$mF2.88862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83210

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>>> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
>>>>> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative
>>>>> is
>>>>> offered.
>>>> We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>>> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
>>> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
>> And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never
>> become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it
>> discussed."
>
> I think that most listening in the future will be to the digital signal, not
> the analog. I have never heard anyone talk about turning analog off.
>
>

I've spoken with two GM's, now, who've said they can't wait to shut
the analog off, and save the cost of power. Especially with energy costs
about to skyrocket here, that can be quite a difference in the bottom line.

I know they talk amongst each other, and I know it's been discussed
for some future date. Nothing official, of course. And nothing from the
Commission. But it's being discussed. And like analog TV, when the
digital audience reaches a certain saturation, it would be fiscally
silly to continue to support a mode that's not being listened to.

2025. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:33:55 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152833635.379967.85170@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83211

Invader3K wrote:
> With the developments in Israel in Lebanon in the past 24 hours, does
> anyone have frequencies they're monitoring for news on the conflict?
> Sounds like this will be one to follow closely...
http://mt-milcom.blogspot.com/
lists some freqs can't attest to the quality but it is what help I have

2026. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:35:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <NMAtg.48302$VE1.5655@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83212

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:wJAtg.127456$mF2.88862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>>> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
>>>>> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative
>>>>> is
>>>>> offered.
>>>> We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>>> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
>>> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
>>> And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never
>>> become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it
>>> discussed."
>>
>> I think that most listening in the future will be to the digital signal,
>> not the analog. I have never heard anyone talk about turning analog off.
>>
>>
>
>
> I've spoken with two GM's, now, who've said they can't wait to shut the
> analog off, and save the cost of power. Especially with energy costs about
> to skyrocket here, that can be quite a difference in the bottom line.

That is an interesting reason... spend $100 k at least to go HD, and then
shut off analog to save a couple of thousand.

I just do not think that there would be a win for at least a decade by being
only digital.
>
> I know they talk amongst each other, and I know it's been discussed for
> some future date. Nothing official, of course. And nothing from the
> Commission. But it's being discussed. And like analog TV, when the digital
> audience reaches a certain saturation, it would be fiscally silly to
> continue to support a mode that's not being listened to.

This sounds more like the FCC, which is responsible for the sunset law on
analog TV. Hopefully, this was a lesson learned that you can not force
consumers to buy things they do not yet want.

2027. Re: 27.025 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:42:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@lycos.com
Message-ID: <1152834178.516575.151440@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HamOnRye wrote:
> "DX swl'er" <cq@dx.swl> wrote in message
> news:T6gtg.7077$PE1.2791@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Is that the nigger frequency? Sounds like alot of niggers on that one.
> >
> > swl'er
> yes it is.
> also listen to 26.835 & 27.265 AM. when 11 is open.
> lots of yard apes there,too.
>
get help sicko
my frist boyfriend was a blackman an he was womderfull

2028. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:43:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <0kmdb2d9j981k7dde21nm1ueo75tbf8nn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 83214

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 20:06:09 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:h46db2pak344q9ja61u6dpmc6k3uiechoj@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 18:09:28 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>The only difference is in the improved audio quality. There is no such
>>>thing
>>>as "serious" radio listening... it is almost all done to accompany other
>>>things, like working, driving, etc.
>>>
>>>
>> Aside from being quieter, it really doesn't sound any better.
>
>It is much better fidelity and quality
>
>
I don't hear it. Just cleaner. MPEG4 sounds crappy to me.

2029. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:44:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152834245.315653.157250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83215

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
> >> >> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative
> >> >> is
> >> >> offered.
> >> >
> >> > We got that through numerous posts you have made.
> >>
> >> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
> >> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
> >> >
> >
> > And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never
> > become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it
> > discussed."
>
> I think that most listening in the future will be to the digital signal, not
> the analog. I have never heard anyone talk about turning analog off.

Yep, it's nappy time for this thread.

2030. get ehlp sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:47:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152834471.857495.175610@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9...@lycos.com wrote:
get help sicko forger

2031. American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:51:13 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <enmdb2dk30dckvqfcfk24lurnbi9mp90d4@4ax.com>
Source record: 83217

When they did not pursue Eureka 147.

2032. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:52:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <54ndb2p09pjffb6elld39okt4ihicqgvbg@4ax.com>
Source record: 83218

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:18:39 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Yeah Right!
>This is the same Luddite mindset that bashed the Apollo space program.
>Now we are reaping the benefits of that program: cleaner running cars,
>medical instrumentation, the Internet, and home computer, just to name a few
>
>
Don't forget Tang and Velcro.

The computers NASA developed were crap. Total crap.

2033. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:53:59 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <q7ndb2pi7d8ionervaf780q064dav7oc20@4ax.com>
Source record: 83219

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:44:12 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>In article
><Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> From AllAccess.com:
>>
>>
>>
>> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
>> That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on
>> terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on
>> THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC
>> digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>
>So what does this development mean? That they are not going to address
>the issue?

They're going to address it in private, away from the prying eyes of
the public.

2034. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:55:59 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <6bndb2hgcg3sgfr9ffvcnpdl07flmp42o9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83220

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:01:20 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1152829968.389824.74250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...

>Nights don't matter that much

>
>
With attitude like this, no wonder radio is dying.

2035. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-13 23:56:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <o4Btg.48313$VE1.33669@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83221

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:enmdb2dk30dckvqfcfk24lurnbi9mp90d4@4ax.com...
> When they did not pursue Eureka 147.

Economic reasons. Eureka gives equal coverage to every station, and eliminates the competitive advantage that many operators paid for by buying the best facilities at a much higher price. The economics of radio would have been severely disrupted, and many US investors in the owners would be harmed.

The FCC saw fit to protect the status quo, finding a digital system that was compatible with existing allocations.

2036. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:11:15 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DiBtg.381064$Fs1.148582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83222

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:wJAtg.127456$mF2.88862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>> news:1152826714.298956.192550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>>>> The greater good is trying to preserve the existing free terrestrial
>>>>>> broadcast system, which will not endure unless a digital alternative
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> offered.
>>>>> We got that through numerous posts you have made.
>>>> You may have. DXass certainly hasn't, nor has Steve and the now-absent
>>>> "IBOC_Sucks" guy.
>>>> And yet, you're the one who wrote, in post 173, that AM will never
>>>> become primarily digital and, in fact, you say you "have never heard it
>>>> discussed."
>>> I think that most listening in the future will be to the digital signal,
>>> not the analog. I have never heard anyone talk about turning analog off.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I've spoken with two GM's, now, who've said they can't wait to shut the
>> analog off, and save the cost of power. Especially with energy costs about
>> to skyrocket here, that can be quite a difference in the bottom line.
>
> That is an interesting reason... spend $100 k at least to go HD, and then
> shut off analog to save a couple of thousand.

$100k is a Cap item. The couple of thousand is recurring. When we
bought into the new combiner on the top of the Hancock and upgraded our
antenna, we dropped more than $1.3M and no one batted an eye. But we
were still reusing the toner in the copy machine, and bitching about the
airconditioning bill in the summer. No one blinks at the Cap item when
it can result in recurring savings.

Especially when use of the analog stream falls below the use of the
HD stream, and with as many distribution outlets many stations are
investigating, a GM will get a real itch to shut down the analog stream,
and save that outflow for something more profitable.

>
> I just do not think that there would be a win for at least a decade by being
> only digital.

I think that may be a bit optimistic. Given the rate at which radio use in general is declining, (and this has been a fairly recently documented phenomenon...even as late as last year, the numbers suggested that things were only off slightly...Bridge has been reporting sizeable erosion for the last two quarters, now) and given the difficulty, at least from where I sit, in listening to AM signals, and the lack of options for retrieving some content once the IBOC hash blots out the available signals, I don't expect things to remain viable for analog AM for anything near a decade.

Let me give you an example...where I am, far north suburbs of Chicago, about a 3 wood from Waukegan...I've got two AM's, one Milwaukee, one in Chicago, that carry Rush Limbaugh. If I want to consume that content, those are my choices. There is no local station offering that content. As the IBOC rash spreads, those stations, WISN and WLS will become closed to me. They're nearly impossible to catch some days, anyway, due to noise. The Din of iBiquity would close them entirely, as it has a number of other stations formerly available here. And you know I'm no slouch when I want a signal. But even I can't pull content out of the noise where IBOC is concerned.

Now, I'm part of Chicago metro. So is Pete Gianakopoulos. But we're going to be under served when the IBOC rash spreads to the rest of the dial. And there is no alternative, no local frequency, offering what's available from the Chicago and Milwaukee AM's. Rush isn't on FM around here. And he's not on XM or Sirius.

Air America, where I am, is no longer listenable.

So, there's content put off limits by the laws of physics, where the FCC's model says we should be enjoying AM reception from the market to which we belong.

In that light, keeping the analog stream alive for a decade is more or less, just silly.

Now, I realize that my mileage may vary...but I can't be the only one experiencing this. Nor can this be the only area it's happening.

Eventually, the conversation about terminating analog AM will extend beyond the coffee bars between GM's and into much higher places where things get decided in earnest.

>> I know they talk amongst each other, and I know it's been discussed for
>> some future date. Nothing official, of course. And nothing from the
>> Commission. But it's being discussed. And like analog TV, when the digital
>> audience reaches a certain saturation, it would be fiscally silly to
>> continue to support a mode that's not being listened to.
>
> This sounds more like the FCC, which is responsible for the sunset law on
> analog TV. Hopefully, this was a lesson learned that you can not force
> consumers to buy things they do not yet want.

Apparently, a lesson not yet learned. At least not judging by this
newsgroup.

>
>

2037. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:12:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <yjBtg.48323$VE1.9012@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83223

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:q7ndb2pi7d8ionervaf780q064dav7oc20@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:44:12 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>>In article
>><Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>>> From AllAccess.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
>>> That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on
>>> terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on
>>> THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC
>>> digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>>> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>>
>>So what does this development mean? That they are not going to address
>>the issue?
>
> They're going to address it in private, away from the prying eyes of
> the public.

It is going to be a separate meeting, probably kicked back tot he Broadcast
Bureau for a recommendation as it is too technical for the commissioners.

2038. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:13:07 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44B6E193.4010008@fuse.net>
Source record: 83224
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John L. Sielke wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>
>>JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>>{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>>. .
>>
>>John Barnard wrote:
>>
>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>
>>>>David wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Corporations pay way less than half the cost of running governments,
>>>>
>>>>Corporations don't pay any of the cost of running
>>>>governments - their customers pay it all.
>>>
>>>You are right! Corporations don't pay the cost of running governments.
>>>They pay the cost of usurping governments!
>>>
>>>JB
>>
> It' all because back in the 60's all those draft-dodger types went to Canada.
> Canada not only welcomed them, but allowed them to breed. The gene pool has
> never been the same.
Are you referring to the brain drain in the U.S. or the abundance of
professionals in Canada?

2039. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:15:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <HmBtg.48329$VE1.26071@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83225

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:6bndb2hgcg3sgfr9ffvcnpdl07flmp42o9@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:01:20 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:1152829968.389824.74250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Nights don't matter that much
>
>>
>>
> With attitude like this, no wonder radio is dying.

Radio has a 7 rating at night (7% of all people use it) and a 24 from & AM to 7 PM. It has been this way for about 45 to 50 years. No change in technology is going to increase the listening at night. Advertisers even dismiss the 7 PUR rating, and just don't buy at all at night, generally requesting bonus spots at night.

2040. Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:23:53 UTC
From: tibbs_5@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152836633.582190.115430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83226

Hey everyone, I just wanted to know what your opinions are on these two radios? I recently found an old shortwave radio of my dads and have become interested in these types of radios. I would like to get a new one for home but also for when I travel. These are the two I have narrowed my search down to but am having a difficult time making a decision between them. Could anyone give me some advice, reviews and recommendations?
Thanks all,
L

2041. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:24:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7vBtg.48343$VE1.4892@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83227

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DiBtg.381064$Fs1.148582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

>
> $100k is a Cap item. The couple of thousand is recurring.

But the cap item depreciation hits each year and quarter. Granted, it does not impact EBITDA, but if you are a non-public corporation, that would not matter... it is money out of pocket.

> When we bought into the new combiner on the top of the Hancock and
> upgraded our antenna, we dropped more than $1.3M and no one batted an eye.
> But we were still reusing the toner in the copy machine, and bitching
> about the airconditioning bill in the summer. No one blinks at the Cap
> item when it can result in recurring savings.

I guess some companies look at it that way. I wish I had a huge capex budget like that!
>
> Especially when use of the analog stream falls below the use of the HD
> stream, and with as many distribution outlets many stations are
> investigating, a GM will get a real itch to shut down the analog stream,
> and save that outflow for something more profitable.

I am an HD supporter, but I can nrealistically see this tipping point int he next 5 to 7 years. Can you? I don't even know if AM will survive.

> I think that may be a bit optimistic. Given the rate at which radio use
> in general is declining, (and this has been a fairly recently documented
> phenomenon...even as late as last year, the numbers suggested that things
> were only off slightly..

The decline in cume is very small. 2% since '65. The listening time is off 2 hours off a base of 21 for the average listener, and that is since 1988. So we have nearly 10% or a rate of nearly a percent a year. However, the erosion is mostly in non-servable demos, teens and 55+ with some 18-24, but far less. There are so many reasons for all this that it is not easily analyzed.

>.Bridge has been reporting sizeable erosion for the last two quarters, now)
>and given the difficulty, at least from where I sit, in listening to AM
>signals, and the lack of options for retrieving some content once the IBOC
>hash blots out the available signals, I don't expect things to remain
>viable for analog AM for anything near a decade.

Bridge really lacks credibility to me. They use a marketing model of Awareness - Trial _ Usage and not a broad sample nor much ethnic sample

(they apparently have no Hisanic interviewers, as far as I know) and the data is suspect. Arbittron has a lot of data on the website, with immense samples over a million a year.
>
> Let me give you an example...where I am, far north suburbs of Chicago,
> about a 3 wood from Waukegan...I've got two AM's, one Milwaukee, one in
> Chicago, that carry Rush Limbaugh. If I want to consume that content,
> those are my choices. There is no local station offering that content. As
> the IBOC rash spreads, those stations, WISN and WLS will become closed to
> me. They're nearly impossible to catch some days, anyway, due to noise.
> The Din of iBiquity would close them entirely, as it has a number of other
> stations formerly available here. And you know I'm no slouch when I want a
> signal. But even I can't pull content out of the noise where IBOC is
> concerned.

This is more an AM probem in current noise level environments. we find we can not get diaries in LA with under 15 mv/m, and 20 is better.

Agfian, Am may not make it. News talk is migrating to FM now, including DC, tallahassee, Phoenix, Salt Lake, etc. This may be inevitable. AM analog sucks.
>
> Eventually, the conversation about terminating analog AM will extend
> beyond the coffee bars between GM's and into much higher places where
> things get decided in earnest.

Maybe if nobody is making money, we will go all digital. I see this as a beyond 5 year issue.

2042. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:31:22 UTC
From: peace_is_at_hand@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152837082.865706.33900@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83228

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> has become under fire from the Israelis.GO Get em,Israel.
> cuhulin

Guess you don't remember the Oil Embargo of the 70's

- Or the lies that got us into Viet Nam in the 60's

Ann Colter For President.. Of Iraq

2043. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:41:01 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1152837661.097528.38750@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83229

tibbs_5@yahoo.com wrote:
> Could anyone give me some advice, reviews and
> recommendations?

Here's the Radio Netherlands review of the Sangean ATS 505:

http://www.radionetherlands.nl/features/media/productreviews/receivers/ats505.html

2044. David Frackelton Gleason-Disloyal? FLASH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:47:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6E98D.47C79220@milestones.com>
Source record: 83230

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

2045. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:50:46 UTC
From: Tony <tshimi@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ou-dnYJ_RMf0dyvZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83231

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:6Uztg.7243$ye3.2959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:2967-44B5D3F6-987@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> has become under fire from the Israelis.GO Get em,Israel.
>> cuhulin
>
>
> Yes, bomb them sand niggers back to Allah. Hope they don't have a

Goober nation rejoice; faithful to your heritage and a nice white trash too.

Welcome to my kill file.

2046. Re: James Douglas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:51:28 UTC
From: no_constans_allowed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152838288.924245.10740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NIGGERS ARE FUNNY!

2047. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:54:27 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152838466.970005.157880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83233

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152817009.493252.239250@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1152812404.123727.102250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1152806867.986811.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > The digital alternative may well be the doom of AM radio. No one
> >> >> > will
> >> >> > pay to listen to a slightly inferior version of FM.
> >> >>
> >> >> Pay? there is no fee.
> >> >>
> >> >> Buy the radio, no further fee. Get the radio, get far improved
> >> >> quality.
> >> >>
> >> >> I will bet you have not listened to HD AM either ever or recently,
> >> >> especially with codec ver. 2.2.5.
> >> >
> >> > No one will be attracted to it under any circumstances if its chief
> >> > selling point is that it's "almost as good as the alternatives"
> >>
> >> FM HD is better than any other current distribution system, plus it is
> >> free.
> >>
> >> AM HD is as good as any alternative system, and is free. It is much
> >> better
> >> than Analog AM.
> >
> > "as good as" isn't what you've said previously, but it's also not good
> > enough to cut the mustard.
>
> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the that
> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.

Unfortunately, that's completely irrelevant.

2048. Re: David Frackelton Gleason-Disloyal? FLASH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 00:56:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152838589.613802.35730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83234

dxAce wrote:
> Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:
>
> "krp":
> Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
> Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?
>
> "David Eduardo":
> You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to
> flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from
> becoming a citizen at that time.
>
> "dxAce":
> Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their
> current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> Not then.
>
> "dxAce":
> Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you
> un-renounce it?
>
> "David Eduardo":
> Are you an employee of the Department of State?
>
> "dxAce":
> Nope. And you didn't answer the question.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> You figure it out.
>
> "dxAce":
> I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American
> would never hesitate in answering that question.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> It is simply none of your business.
>
> "dxAce":
> Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you,
> Frackelton?
> ------------------------------
>

> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as
> well?

Bravo dxAce!!

2049. Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:15:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6F01B.D84561A2@milestones.com>
Source record: 83235

Greetings, listeners!

In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their course and his influence continued on.

Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon, Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
Likely to be a marathon.

Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)

--
73s and FIGHT for FREE RADIO!

The Poet
The Crystal Ship
---

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2050. (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:18:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152839909.231750.229790@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83236
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> > {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
>
>
> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.

- JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
- {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF

There Are Two Parts :

1 - Spoken like a True Red and White Canadian !
- - - Canadians who are Proud of Being Canadians
and are Happy to Talk 'aboot' Canada :o)
* Cause - The Flag of Canada is Red and White [.]

2 - Spoken like a Sad "Blue-in-the-Face" Canadian !
- - - Canadians who are Ashamed to be Canadians
and are all to happy to Talk "Bad" About the USA :-{
* Cause - The US Flag has those 50 Blue Stars [.]

Canadians Are Canadians -and- Should Be Proud Of It !

As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2051. Re: HF {Shortwave} Antennas from The-Land-Down-Under {Australia}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:22:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152840148.342003.312410@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83237

Telamon,

To quote myself again : "Start by taking a Look at some
of the 'commercial products' and Amateur designs"

Yes you are correct Alpha Delta does make some
very good 'commercial products' such as the :
* Alpha Delta DX-ULTRA Shortwave Listening (SWL) Antenna
* Alpha Delta DX-SWL Sloper Antenna
Also the Antenna Supermarket 'commercial' Shortwave
Listener's (SWL'ers) Antennas are very good :
* EavesDropper SWL Trapped Dipole Antennas
* Eavesdropper SWL Sloper Antenna
The RF Systems T2FD Tilted Dipole Antenna for the
Shortwave Listener (SWL) is also a fine example
of a 'commercial' Antenna design along with the :
RF Systems Mini-Windom Antenna and the
RF Systems EMF Electro-Magnetic Field 'passive'
Wire Antenna
Plus there is the Par Electronics End-Fed Shortwave Listener's
{EF-SWL} Antenna is moderate sized but features a robust
design that lends itself to many applications.

Look + Observe = Learn - Buying is not required - iane ~ RHF
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant.html
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1152737162.416638.46340@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <1152733725.707130.243310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > > In article
> > > > > <1152650610.507538.120310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> > > > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > For One and All,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We all know about the popular makers of HF {Shortwave}

> > > > > > Antennas here in the USA such as : Alpha Delta; Antenna
> > > > > > Supermarket; Par Electronics; Barker & Williamson; Hy Power;
> > > > > > Van Gorden; Cushcraft; Diamond; Hustler . . .
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But here is another HF {Shortwave} Antenna maker from
> > > > > > The-Land-Down-Under {Australia} http://www.bushcomm.com.au/
> > > > > > http://www.bushcommonline.biz/SearchResults.asp?Cat=110
> > > > > > Always worth a look for some ideas on "How To" Construct
> > > > > > {Build} an Antenna in a new and different way . . .
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sometimes up-side-down can be interesting - iane ~ RHF
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't see the point of spending the extra money required to
> > > > > > buy an antenna for transmit when what we need is a receiving
> > > > > > antenna.
> > > > >
> > > > > Telamon - Well Built -is- Well Built ~ RHF [Look + Observe =
> > > > > Learn] {Buying is not required}
> > > > >
> > > > > IMHO - A commercial Shortwave {HF}Antenna design that is for
> > > > > Amateur Radio use can last for years and withstand the elements.
> > > > > A 'home-made' Shortwave Listening {Receive Only} Antenna the the
> > > > > builder wants to Keep-Up-in-the-Air for Years would benefit from
> > > > > many Amateur Radio {Do-It- Yourself} Building Practices.
> > > >
> > > > Ham antennas are 2 to 3 times the cost of well made receiving
> > > > antennas and not worth the money for a receiving application. You
> > > > are not going to gain anything by spending $100 to $200 more for a
> > > > ham antenna. A well made commercial receiving antenna will last
> > > > just as long. The main difference between them is the elements for
> > > > ham antennas are designed to handle transmit power efficiently.
> > > >
> > > Telamon,
> > >
> > > My point about "Well Built -is- Well Built" is that Quality Materials
> > > and Good Building Techniques will result in an Antenna that lasts.
> > > Start by taking a Look at some of the commercial products and Amateur
> > > designs and learn 'How To' make "The Right Stuff" that will endure
> > > the elements for years.
> > >
> > > Although I have been know to Rig a new Antenna Idea once-a-week when
> > > the weather, time and imagination are right. ~ RHF
> >
> > OK, how about the Alpha Delta antennas that are around $125 -$130
> > instead of the $300 to $400 ham antennas. The Alpha Delta's are just as
> > sturdy and will last just as long.
> >
> > <http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3377.html>
> >

> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

2052. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:25:15 UTC
From: Unrevealed Source <unrevealed_source@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <vpCtg.59$OI5.46@fe07.lga>
Source record: 83238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I've made the same mistake once or twice myself, Chuck. It doesn't matter a whole lot to me, since I pay off the credit card in full anyway, but I do like to have that last bit of protection in case something goes really wrong (which hasn't happened yet, but still....) and I also like to have the one-month float.

I don't think there is a way to make the credit card the default payment option; it's one of those annoyances in an otherwise good service. It's curious why they didn't try the backup credit card right away. That's good to know.

"Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message news:TeadncR_0JA7qSvZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@rcn.net...
> Unrevealed Source wrote:
>
>> 4) Use only Paypal, with a credit card, to pay for your purchases.
>
> That brings to mind a question: Is there any way to stop paypal from
> insisting on using your linked bank account as its first choice?
>
> The reason I ask, is I accidentally forgot to check "more payment
> options",
> and didn't notice until after paypal finalized the transaction. Paypal
> attempted to overdraw my account, and I got charged a $25 overdraft fee by
> my bank. The idiots at paypal were going to retry this 2 more times
> before
> quitting, and using my "backup" credit card. If I hadn't noticed, this
> transaction
> would have cost me $75 in overdraft fees. There was no way I could cancel
> the payment, and switch to a credit card.
>
> I complained to paypal, because I thought they were supposed to check the
> balance on the account before they tried to draw funds, but they ignored
> me completely.
>
> -Chuck

2053. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:28:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6F33B.9ACD2049@milestones.com>
Source record: 83239

dxAce wrote:

> Greetings, listeners!
>
> In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last
> week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although
> Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their
> course and his influence continued on.
>
> Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon,
> Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
> Likely to be a marathon.
>
> Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)
>
> --
> 73s and FIGHT for FREE RADIO!
>
> The Poet
> The Crystal Ship
> ----------

Anybody out east getting them? Nothing but a very weak het on the freq here at 0127.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2054. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:31:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B6F3E6-1196@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83242

I do remember the oil embargo of the 1970's.Vietnam? I spent 1964 in
Vietnam in the 114th Aviation Company www.114thaviationcompany.com
at Shannon Wright Compound and Tan Son Nhut. www.tsna.org
www.angelfire.com/md2/Ldotvets
cuhulin
.....
Hmmmm,,,, I wonder how cute blue contact lenses would look on me when I
dress up like a woman? I can get them for free at the G.V.''Sonny''
Montgomery VA Medical Center optical clinic.I think I will check that
out.The McRae's department store at Metro Center Mall
www.metromalljackson.com sells deep plunge Wonder Bras.I was checking
them out on the internet this morning.google,Wonder Bra
.....

2055. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:34:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <c3tdb2dp6b7idosm8uudjjvvbm4d9vqhib@4ax.com>
Source record: 83240

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 00:15:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:6bndb2hgcg3sgfr9ffvcnpdl07flmp42o9@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:01:20 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1152829968.389824.74250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Nights don't matter that much
>>
>>>
>>>
>> With attitude like this, no wonder radio is dying.
>
>Radio has a 7 rating at night (7% of all people use it) and a 24 from & AM
>to 7 PM. It has been this way for about 45 to 50 years. No change in
>technology is going to increase the listening at night. Advertisers even
>dismiss the 7 PUR rating, and just don't buy at all at night, generally
>requesting bonus spots at night.
>
>
Screw the advertisers, what about the listeners?

Why not just turn off the transmitter at 7 PM?

2056. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:36:33 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <a6tdb2htpnbfqngmg0ta96as5qkl9viq9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 83241

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 00:12:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:q7ndb2pi7d8ionervaf780q064dav7oc20@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:44:12 GMT, Telamon
>> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>>
>>>In article
>>><Bdxtg.379688$Fs1.209862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>>> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> From AllAccess.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> FCC Drops HD Radio Item From Open Meeting Agenda
>>>> That FCC open meeting agenda item on the effect of HD Radio on
>>>> terrestrial radio got the ax shortly before the meeting's start on
>>>> THURSDAY. The item, which was geared towards reopening the issue of IBOC
>>>> digital interference and nighttime HD use, was pulled from the agenda
>>>> THURSDAY morning and awaits rescheduling.
>>>
>>>So what does this development mean? That they are not going to address
>>>the issue?
>>
>> They're going to address it in private, away from the prying eyes of
>> the public.
>
>It is going to be a separate meeting, probably kicked back tot he Broadcast
>Bureau for a recommendation as it is too technical for the commissioners.
>
>
Really...

What does ''...''on circulation'' among the...Commissioners...'' mean
to you?

(Inside Radio)

News Update - Thursday, July 13, 2006

Digital delayed? Yes, but probably not for long.
The FCC - ensnared in the thicket of the Adelphia cable transfer -
abruptly pulled the "digital radio" item off the agenda for this

morning's monthly meeting. The Commission also pushed the meeting time back two hours, to 11:30am.

But signs are that the rulemaking on digital will go forward quickly - possibly "on circulation" among the five FCC Commissioners.

The issues are critical - involving rules for HD2 multicasting and nighttime AM digital.

2057. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:40:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <7ftdb2hf4er5d1t63u4r4nujhqkri5lqgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83243

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:56:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:enmdb2dk30dckvqfcfk24lurnbi9mp90d4@4ax.com...
>> When they did not pursue Eureka 147.
>
>Economic reasons. Eureka gives equal coverage to every station, and
>eliminates the competitive advantage that many operators paid for by buying
>the best facilities at a much higher price. The economics of radio would
>have been severely disrupted, and many US investors in the owners would be
>harmed.
>
>The FCC saw fit to protect the status quo, finding a digital system that was
>compatible with existing allocations.
>
>
And now they have to rent tower space to XM to help pay the bills.

LMFAO!!!!!

2058. 8892 and 11175 during 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:41:36 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <5-KdnXUAo_nSayvZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 83244

I've read here before that the Air Force GHFS freqs of 8892 and 11175 were busy durnig and shortly after 9/11. Was wondering if anyone here could relate some of what they heard on those military freqs (or others) during that time.

2059. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:43:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <rktdb2dv391dj0vkt76ku8332gjncmpcdp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83245
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 13 Jul 2006 18:18:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
>> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>> > {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>> > .
>> > .
>> > . .
>> >
>>
>>
>> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
>
>- JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>- {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>
>There Are Two Parts :
>
># 1 - Spoken like a True Red and White Canadian !
>- - - Canadians who are Proud of Being Canadians
>and are Happy to Talk 'aboot' Canada :o)
>* Cause - The Flag of Canada is Red and White [.]
>
># 2 - Spoken like a Sad "Blue-in-the-Face" Canadian !
>- - - Canadians who are Ashamed to be Canadians
>and are all to happy to Talk "Bad" About the USA :-{
>* Cause - The US Flag has those 50 Blue Stars [.]
>
>Canadians Are Canadians -and- Should Be Proud Of It !
>
>
>As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
>I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
>.
At least in Canada you get to keep your life savings if you get sick.
```

2060. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:48:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <uqtdb25n7bl2p1vqsn2dpait97h9pk9cp9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83246

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:15:07 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>Greetings, listeners!
>
>In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last
>week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although
>Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their
>course and his influence continued on.
>
>Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon,
>Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
>Likely to be a marathon.
>
>Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)

Why not the albums that Syd Barret actually plays on?

The Pink Floyd

Band:

Syd Barrett - Lead Guitar & vocals
Roger Waters - Bass Guitar & vocals
Rick Wright - Organ/Piano & vocals
Nick Mason - Percussion

1967

March 11
ARNOLD LAYNE (Barrett)/CANDY AND A CURRENT BUN (Barrett)
(UK 45 - Columbia DB 8156, mono) Other Issues:
US 45 - Tower 333
? - Columbia ESRF 1857 M9 (anybody know what
this is? I
think it's a French promo or
something.)

June 16
SEE EMILY PLAY (Barrett)/SCARECROW (Barrett)
(UK 45 - Columbia DB 8214, mono) Other issues:
US 45 - Tower 356
Fr 45 - Columbia CF 113 de luxe (promo)
UK 45 - Columbia SCMQ 7066 (juke-box ed.)

August 5
THE PIPER AT THE GATES OF DAWN
(UK LP - Columbia SCX 6157, stereo - Columbia SC 6157, mono)
Tracks:
Astronomy Domine (Barrett)
Lucifer Sam (Barrett)
Matilda Mother (Barrett)
Flaming (Barrett)
Pow R. Toc H. (Barrett/Waters/Wright/Mason)
Take Up Thy Stethescope And Walk (Waters)
Interstellar Overdrive (Barrett/Waters/Wright/Mason)
The Gnome (Barrett)
Chapter 24 (Barrett)
Scarecrow (Barrett)
Bike (Barrett)
Producer - Norman Smith
Engineer - Peter Brown
Comments: The mono release has significantly different mixes. The
original
release has a black label w/ columbia logo in blue
Other issues:
67 US LP - Tower T/ST 5903 (Different Track
order: See
Emily Play, Pow R. Toc H., Take up Thy
Stethascope
and Walk, Lucifer Sam, Mathilda
Mother, The
Scarecrow, The Gnome, Chapter 24,
Interstellar
Overdrive)
71 ?LP - Harvest 3C-062- 04292 (stereo)
5/83 UK LP - Fame FA 3065
2/87 CD - Capitol CDP7 46384 2

November 18
APPLES AND ORANGES (Barrett)/ PAINT BOX (Richard Wright)
(UK 45 - Columbia DB 8310, mono)
Other Issues: Fr 45 - Columbia CF 135 (different mixes?)

?
FLAMING (Barrett)/ THE GNOME (Barrett)
(US 45 - Tower 378)
-very rare

1968

June 29
A SAUCERFUL OF SECRETS
(LP - Columbia SCX 6258, stereo - Columbia SC 6258 mono)
Tracks:
Let There Be More Light (Waters)
Remember a Day (Wright)

Set the Controls For the Heart of the Sun (Waters)
Corporal Clegg (Waters)
A Saucerful Of Secrets (Mason/Gilmour/Waters/Wright)
See-saw (Wright)
Jugband Blues (Barrett)
Producer: Norman Smith
Comments: Barrett is only present on "Jugband Blues" and "Remember a Day". He is replaced on the other tracks by David Gilmour.
Other issues:
68 - US LP Tower ST-5131
71? - Fr LP Harvest 3C 062-04190 (stereo)
87 - LP Fame FA 3163
87 - US CD Capitol CDP7 46383 2
93 - included in the "Shine On" Box Set

http://www.pink-floyd.org/barrett/discographies.html#pf

2061. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:52:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B6F8DC.AE3756E8@milestones.com>
Source record: 83247

David wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:15:07 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Greetings, listeners!
> >
> >In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last
> >week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although
> >Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their
> >course and his influence continued on.
> >
> >Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon,
> >Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
> >Likely to be a marathon.
> >
> >Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)
>
> Why not the albums that Syd Barret actually plays on?

Maybe he doesn't have them?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2062. Any of y'all Ham Operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 01:53:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B6F923-282@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83100

hear about this? www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/forum.cgi?read=90562
Or is it only a rumor?
cuhulin

2063. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:00:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <IUCtg.3862$2v.3714@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83098

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152838466.970005.157880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
>> that
>> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
>
> Unfortunately, that's completely irrelevant.

Nope. It is relevant since the issue with radio usage has to do wtith usage
of other entertainment and audio sources.
>

2064. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:03:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oXCtg.3866$2v.1683@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83099

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:7ftdb2hf4er5d1t63u4r4nujhqkri5lqgm@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:56:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:enmdb2dk30dckvqfcfk24lurnbi9mp90d4@4ax.com...
>>> When they did not pursue Eureka 147.
>>
>>Economic reasons. Eureka gives equal coverage to every station, and
>>eliminates the competitive advantage that many operators paid for by
>>buying
>>the best facilities at a much higher price. The economics of radio would
>>have been severely disrupted, and many US investors in the owners would be
>>harmed.
>>
>>The FCC saw fit to protect the status quo, finding a digital system that
>>was
>>compatible with existing allocations.
>>
>>
> And now they have to rent tower space to XM to help pay the bills.

Not really. Most XM terrestrial repeaters are in dense urban settings, not
where any AMs would have a tower and generally not on station-owned FM
towers.

This statement is not true.

And even if some stations have an XM antenna on a tower, the rent is
minimal. For example, in LA the tower rental in town for a small antenna
would be below $10 thousand a month... while the top billing stations in LA
bill $50 to $60 million.

2065. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:13:38 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m5Dtg.173229$IK3.5221@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83101
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John L. Sielke wrote:
> RHF wrote:
>> JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>> {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>> . .
>>
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>> David wrote:
>>>>> Corporations pay way less than half the cost of running governments,
>>>> Corporations don't pay any of the cost of running
>>>> governments - their customers pay it all.
>>> You are right! Corporations don't pay the cost of running governments.
>>> They pay the cost of usurping governments!
>>>
>>> JB
> It' all because back in the 60's all those draft-dodger types went to Canada.
> Canada not only welcomed them, but allowed them to breed. The gene pool has
> never been the same.
>
And you don't believe that corporations don't try to influence the
outcome of an election for which particular reasons? It's not called
"pork-barrel politics" for nothing!

You must hail from one of those inbreeding states!

JB

2066. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:19:18 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <GaDtg.168181$iF6.85591@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 83102

dxAce wrote:
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>>>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>>>>
>>>> JB
>>>
>>>
>>> Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!
>> Too bad - it would be a step up for you!
>
> More like a trip into the sewer.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>
Just because you seem to like to hang around in the gutter and talk
sewer trash doesn't mean that the rest of us do!

JB

2067. Re: (OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:22:05 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hdDtg.171384$Mn5.141078@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83103

an old feind wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> RHF wrote:
>>>
>>>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>>>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>>> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
>>> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
>>> etc.
>>>
>>> dxAce
>>> Michigan
>>> USA
>>>
>>>
>> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>>
>> JB
>
> he is bottomless in his abilty to sink lower and lower
>
>
It's a sad truth but you are right!

JB

2068. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:23:09 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <heDtg.173362$IK3.150298@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83104

dxAce wrote:
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> RHF wrote:
>>>
>>>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>>>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>>> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community knows how
>>> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth certificates,
>>> etc.
>>>
>>> dxAce
>>> Michigan
>>> USA
>>>
>>>
>> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>
> Never as low as a dumbass Canuck.
>
> Hit the road, boy.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>
So which cultural group are you going to slur today?

You must enjoy wearing armbands!

JB

2069. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:37:58 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152844678.861861.143540@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83105

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1152838466.970005.157880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio, and the
> >> that
> >> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than analog AM.
> >
> > Unfortunately, that's completely irrelevant.
>
> Nope. It is relevant since the issue with radio usage has to do wtith usage
> of other entertainment and audio sources.
> >

Nope. We are discussing no such issue.

2070. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:42:09 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97FFC88D89266spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 83106
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>>.
> At least in Canada you get to keep your life savings if you get sick.
>
>

True. There are no medical costs involved by dying before you get to
see your doctor......

:^)

Ed

2071. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 02:50:04 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <vsKdnX5MyvH8myrZnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 83107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Unrevealed Source wrote:
> I've made the same mistake once or twice myself, Chuck. It doesn't matter a
> whole lot to me, since I pay off the credit card in full anyway, but I do
> like to have that last bit of protection in case something goes really wrong
> (which hasn't happened yet, but still....) and I also like to have the
> one-month float.
>
> I don't think there is a way to make the credit card the default payment
> option; it's one of those annoyances in an otherwise good service. It's
> curious why they didn't try the backup credit card right away. That's good
> to know.
>

That, of course puzzles me too. I have had it make the right choice on other occasions when the amount was several times the amount in this account. This time, the account was about $7 short of the needed amount. It is an otherwise static account, so its balance shouldn't ever drop on its own... they screwed up, and I got to pay.

-Chuck

2072. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:04:34 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44B709C2.4010102@fuse.net>
Source record: 83108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 18:18:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
>>>news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>>>>{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>>. .
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
>>
>>- JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>>- {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>>
>>There Are Two Parts :
>>
>># 1 - Spoken like a True Red and White Canadian !
>>- - - Canadians who are Proud of Being Canadians
>>and are Happy to Talk 'aboot' Canada :o)
>>* Cause - The Flag of Canada is Red and White [.]
>>
>># 2 - Spoken like a Sad "Blue-in-the-Face" Canadian !
>>- - - Canadians who are Ashamed to be Canadians
>>and are all to happy to Talk "Bad" About the USA :-{
>>* Cause - The US Flag has those 50 Blue Stars [.]
>>
>>Canadians Are Canadians -and- Should Be Proud Of It !
>>
>>
>>As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
>>I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
>>.
>

> At least in Canada you get to keep your life savings if you get sick.
>
I, too, am proud to be an American. I'm also proud to be a Democrat.

but what the hell does any of this have to do with ham radio?!
jaw

2073. Re: Station on 4050 khz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:08:20 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152846500.622324.12870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83109

Steve wrote:
> The last couple of nights I've heard a very faint broadcast station on
> 4050, but I don't see anything listed for that frequency on the EIBI
> schedule. Anyone happen to know what it is? I heard it at around 01:30
> or so.
>
> Steve

I heard it again tonight and it is indeed Radio Verdad. It sounded best
on the humble Lowe HF-150.

Steve

2074. numbers on 11566 AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:25:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152847500.808346.117420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83110

Hearing them right now in Brooklyn, NY

Steve

2075. Re: numbers on 11566 AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:28:52 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152847732.216252.259930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83111

Steve wrote:
> Hearing them right now in Brooklyn, NY
>
> Steve

Female voice. Reading numbers in Spanish.

2076. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:42:29 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <FoEtg.172154$Mn5.130719@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83112
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>> {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>> . .
>>
>
>
> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
>
>
And you doubtless like to spell "America" with a "k"!

JB

2077. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:44:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152848674.361536.176700@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83113
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

DaviD,

After all those High Taxes for Social Programs . . .
WHAT SAVINGS ? ? ? - It Boggles The Mind !

yes - i said it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 18:18:29 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
> >> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> >> > {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> >> > .
> >> > .
> >> > . .
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
> >
> >- JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> >- {Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> >
> >There Are Two Parts :
> >
> >#1 - Spoken like a True Red and White Canadian !
> >- - - Canadians who are Proud of Being Canadians
> >and are Happy to Talk 'aboot' Canada :o)
> >* Cause - The Flag of Canada is Red and White [.]
> >
> >#2 - Spoken like a Sad "Blue-in-the-Face" Canadian !
> >- - - Canadians who are Ashamed to be Canadians
> >and are all to happy to Talk "Bad" About the USA :-{
> >* Cause - The US Flag has those 50 Blue Stars [.]

> >
> >Canadians Are Canadians -and- Should Be Proud Of It !
> >
> >
> >As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
> >I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
> >.

- At least in Canada you get to keep
- your life savings if you get sick.

2078. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 03:59:56 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <FZmdnbtjh56JgCrZnZ2dnUVZ8qqdnZ2d@bt.com>
Source record: 83119
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
> I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
==========

You are proud to be a US Citizen.

Canadans, Cubans, Mexicans, Brazilians, Argentinians, Chileans, etc.,
are also Americans. The name has been high-jacked.

2079. UK Radio Shows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:07:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B71870-1211@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83114

www.epguides.com/menu/radio.shtml
cuhulin

2080. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:10:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B71926-292@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83115

Dont count the bush administration out.They are out sourcing America out to the new world order everyday.bush was on the bottle over there in Germany.Couldn't y'all tell.
cuhulin

2081. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:14:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B71A11-1212@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83117

Good One! RHF.At least you get to keep your lifes savings if you get sick.Wait untill I tell that married Irish woman wayyyyy over yonder across the big pond in Bognor Regis,England that one :{)
cuhulin

2082. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:23:07 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2axhrg@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2axhrg@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 83131

tibbs_5@yahoo.com Wrote:
> Hey everyone, I just wanted to know what your opinions are on these two
> radios? I recently found an old shortwave radio of my dads and have
> become interested in these types of radios. I would like to get a new
> one for home but also for when I travel. These are the two I have
> narrowed my search down to but am having a difficult time making a
> decision between them. Could anyone give me some advice, reviews and
> recommendations?
> Thanks all,
> L

Reviews of both are here:
http://www.radiointel.com/reviews.htm

Be aware that the Eton E5 gobbles batteries even when turned off. I
own one and typically have to recharge the batteries after 2 months of
non-use. My E5 also came with a power adapter that generates
interference on shortwave bands. Other than those issues, I am happy
with my E5.

I have an ATS-505 as well, but I have much less usage experience with
it. It is larger than I expected and I find the sound that its speaker
makes to be unpleasant for some reason.

--
weatherall

2083. RadioShack Amplified {Active} Shortwave Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:24:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152851092.827405.37370@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83116

LS and Everyone,

Radio Shack Amplified {Active} Shortwave Antennas
appear if-by-magic on eBay :o)

* Radio Shack Portable Amplified Shortwave Antenna
eBay Item # 190,007,419,177 - Yes - That is 190 Billion !
The Seller claims that : "The box has never been opened"
"and is being sold AS IS"

* Amplified Shortwave Antenna by Realistic (RS)
eBay Item # 110,006,319,062
The Seller claims that : "This auction includes the
amplified antenna, owner's manual, the original box,
and a short cable."
Plus this Seller goes so far as to : "Quoting the box"

hey - if it's ebay - it's those wild and crazy sellers
that make it kind-a-fun ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Lisa Simpson wrote:
> Happy to report that the Radio Shack amplified shortwave antenna has saved
> my otherwise deaf Sangean ATS-803A; works a treat & even pulled in a freq I
> had not gotten before even on my laptops!

2084. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 04:26:25 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <R1Ftg.105522$I61.7970@clgrps13>
Source record: 83118

dx(The Talker at the Gates of Yawn)Ace wrote:

> Maybe he doesn't have them?

I understand you don't have *them* either...Rumour has it you're going to rent a trailer in Cuhulin's back yard. It will certainly beat being homeless.

mike

2085. Re: Any of y'all Ham Operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 06:45:00 UTC
From: marc <constans_m2m@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152859500.346051.248990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83120
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.hackers.malicious

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> hear about this? www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/forum.cgi?read=90562
> Or is it only a rumor?
> cuhulin

and i thought the fag-tard was stupid

2086. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 06:46:59 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152859619.850299.129880@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83121
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Reg Edwards wrote:
> > As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
> > I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
> ==================================
>
- You are proud to be a US Citizen.
-
- Canadans, Cubans, Mexicans, Brazilians, Argentinians, Chileans,
- etc., are also Americans. The name has been high-jacked.

RE,

The Mexicans just may refer to themselves as : Norte Amercanos
-or- Americanos del Norte
http://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Estados_Unidos_da_Am%C3%A9rica

The Cubans might call themselves : Americanos de Cuba.

Seriously NO Canadian would call themselves an : American [.]
- - - Even the French Canadians would say : Je Suis Un Canadien !

And the Argentinians, Chileans would most likely call themselves :
Sur Americanos -or- Americanos del Sur -or- Americanos Latinos

The Brazilians being slightly different would say : Americanos Sul

Only an American (USA) would Boldly Say :
I Am An American ! - and I Am Proud of It !
and so say i ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2087. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:12:13 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <htHtg.7422$ye3.1274@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83122

N9NEO wrote:
> Mister Billy Smith did say:
>
>
>>Getting rid of the fat welfare ho's would be a better proposal. I don't
>>blame the kids but I do blame their parents for being such useless
>>pieces of crap.
>
>
> When you say getting rid of the fat welfare ho's - do you mean like
> exterminate them? Not a bad idea, but I think there may be laws
> against that sort of operation. Heck, I'm all for leaving that
> extermination stuff to God. He's actually pretty good at culling large
> groups of people from the earth all at once.
>
> Unfortunatly with the role models these kids grow up with the cycle
> continues. They grow up to be useless pieces of crap themselves.
> Perhaps if the gov't would step up and offer these useless Ho's some
> crack or guns for their reproductive organs we would once and for all
> see an end to this nonsense. DC Mayor is screaming that he has a crime
> emergency and this is typical across our nation. Unfortunately, the
> only way out of this terrible situation will be drastic measures.
>

As much as I hate the fact that it would have to be done. Maybe some of these people ought to have their tubes tied or sterilized. Of course, we would have to pay for that but perhaps we could get some cut rate services performed. Another problem you have is once you get these social dependents off the system, what do you do with these people. I mean, a lot of them have limited skills, lack the work ethic to do anything, etc. Not to mention the social disorder you very well may see when you cut the sugar daddy back and not give them tons of handouts.

Since most of the inner cities in the US have been trashed for the most part and most people don't want to go there to live. That is another problem to forming business and industry in those areas. I spend a lot of time in city areas and I will visit those places on personal business but I sure wouldn't want to live there. You hit it right on the head about DC but you could count a hundred maybe 200 cities as being economic and social disasters.

The crime problem has been increasing for 40 years. I find it silly that their so called statistics say that crime is decreasing. The closest big cities nearest to me have not onl anywhere from 70 to 100 murders a year, but also thousands of property crimes. Even the smaller town I

live in has the same crap especially with property crimes.

The further the economy erodes and purchasing power of the US Dollar, the worse the problem because with inflation eating away the purchasing power people will need more to live when often that money is not there. Plus, you can conclude that there is an increasing underclass being formed in this country especially in larger cities but also even in rural areas. It's interesting because in this Midwestern town unemployment runs about 9 to 10 percent according to their statistics. All this crap comes back to the whole drugs, crime, and social disorder problem.

I certainly don't believe in the socialistic bullshit promoted by the far left wing of the Democratic party but I haven't seen a whole lot of leadership on the other side of the aisle either.

2088. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:13:18 UTC
From: constans_m2m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152861198.746080.183350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> DX swl'er wrote:
> get help sicko

whats wrong tard?

the guy didn't know you're attracted to black cock.

2089. IBOC - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:13:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152861211.700815.75700@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83124

BAD,

The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO are Numbered.

Once HD-IBOC AM/MW Radio is the norm at night with
Thousands of Local AM/MW Radio Stations trying to
saturate their Local Media Markets with IBOC Hash so
that nothing beyond their Market gets through . . .

Then 50 KW Clear Channels will reduce their Night Time
Analog Power down to 20 to 25 KW as an effective Cost
Savings and Increase the Power Levels in the Digital
Side Bands as more and more newer HD AM Radios are
put in use by a new generation of AM/MW Radio Listeners.

Within Ten Years - At Best the Clears will become Regional
Broadcasters and only do a marginal job of that.

DX ? - What's That Daddy ?
- - - Why Son I Remember When . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:EPJqg.62005$fb2.5360@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> >>>From the antenna current statement, you are indicating that the power
> >> output of the station hasn't changed. Well, it seems to me if you pump
> >> power in the digital sidebands, then isn't the AM analog signal
> >> reduced? Thus the signal is weaker for the analog crowd. So not only
> >> does IBOC cause QRM, but the desired signal is reduced in power. We all
> >> know the bandwidth is reduced. I don't particularly like the reduce
> >> bandwidth, but it doesn't piss me off as much as the QRM.
> > >
> > > The analogy is like putting coloring in water. the volume of water is the
> > > same, but it has a different color. The Analog signal is not reduced any
> > > more than the FM analog signal is.
> > >>
> >
> >
> > That analogy doesn't really work, either. Any time you add something to
> > anything (whether it is matter (water) or energy (radio signal), you add to
> > it's volume (in the case of IBOC, you add to the total power bandwidth).
> >

> Also, I can't speak for the other stations, but according to Pat Martin,
> when KGO turns on their IBOC, the AM modulation level drops quite noticably:
>
> "As far as KGO goes, the IBOC sounded terrible. When kicking in the
> IBOC, the signal dropped 50% and sounded "flat" no presence, dull...When
> the IBOC went off, the normal loud and solid KGO was there. This IBOC is
> total suicide for AM radio. Ray was getting tons of calls & e mails
> from people. He is no engineer, but said that KGO will even sound
> better. I doubt that. I have heard KCBS 740 running IBOC sounds crappy
> too. KEX is ok sounding during the day, but of course KGO is testing at
> night with skip. But what I heard on KGO, I am not impressed.
> KGO may be ending their era of the LOUD signal up and down the coast."
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

2090. Re: Showdown with China

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:18:07 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PyHtg.7423$ye3.156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83125

<RJ> wrote:

> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:42:37 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote:
>
>
>>Showdown with China
>>
>>"China has chosen the wrong side in the war on terror. It's very closely
>>allied with Iran and is a major trading partner of every state sponsor of
>>terrorism."
>>
>>http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23310
>
>
> Don't blame China for emulating the West;
>
> Remember the motto of Capitalism;
>
> "Money has no allegiance"
>
>
> <rj>

"The Capitalists will sell us the rope with which we will hang them. ...
The way to crush the bourgeoisie is to grind them between the millstones
of taxation and inflation."

Sounds like something that Communist China would support but comment was
made by Lenin. Check out the tax burdens in this country, our screwed
trade policy, and inflationary pressures.

2091. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:22:27 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <TCHtg.7424$ye3.2070@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83126

Slow Code wrote:
> Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:GVjtg.3915$vO.2673@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>
>>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>>www.rense.com I watched some of that Dirty Jobs program on the
>>>Discovery tv channel last night,about how sugar is made and a guy in
>>>Cut Off,Louisiana raises and sells Alligators.bush said we need illegal
>>>aliens to do jobs Americans won't do.There are plenty of legal
>>>Americans who will do those jobs.
>>>cuhulin
>>>
>>
>>Thats an excuse for the business community to keep paying people shitty
>>wages because they don't want to pay family sustaining American wages.
>>Instead of paying someone say 30,000 plus benefits when want help for 7
>>and 8 dollars an hour. Who in the hell can live on that?
>
>
>
> You get paid what the job is worth. The market should be what sets the
> wage, not the Government. If you need $30,000, get a job that's worth
> 30,000 or start your own business. In this contry no one is forced to
> work for the wage they're getting. They can quit and get a different job.
>

That's a nice concept if it actually worked in the real world. Generally
a business or company is going to pay the employees either what it can
afford to pay those employees in exchange for their productive labor. If
the market totally decided wages, we would have the most productive
making the most money and the least productive who sit on their arses
drinking coffee all day making less. It doesn't work that way. It's
funny because I know many people who create things with their hands in
skill positions making less than someone who knows nothing about the
process.

2092. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 07:23:50 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aEHtg.7425$ye3.166@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83127

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Jackson is in a state of emergency,has been for the past few weeks and a
> few days ago Mayor Frank Melton reuped it again for another week.It
> includes a curfew too,also today,the high Mayor announced on the 12:00
> PM WLBT tv news report all of the homeless people are to be rounded up
> (starting today) and they will be put to work cleaning up trash around
> town.I dont think that will cut down on crime though.
> cuhulin
>

I've been watching the news and some other media sources which having
been talking about a crime wave in DC. Cincinnati, OH has also been
having some really serious crime problems as well from what I hear.

2093. Sangean ATS-818CS (Roberts RC818 and Siemens RK670)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 08:11:40 UTC
From: Richard <nearlynews_email@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <4hp1u9Fjt6bU1@individual.net>
Source record: 83128

Hi.

Did early-ish production runs of the Roberts RC-818 have a slight problem
with switch-on?

Thanks.

2094. Re: Sangean ATS-818CS (Roberts RC818 and Siemens RK670)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 08:22:55 UTC
From: Richard <nearlynews_email@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <4hp2jbFh3arU1@individual.net>
Source record: 83129

"Richard" <nearlynews_email@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:4hp1u9Fjt6bU1@individual.net...
> Hi.
>
> Did early-ish production runs of the Roberts RC-818 have a slight problem
> with switch-on?
>
> Thanks.

Also, did this radio come with the Roberts PU6-B power adaptor? That's rated
6V DC @ 400Ma.

Thanks.

2095. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 09:06:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152868017.836821.182340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83130
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.hackers.malicious

marc wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > hear about this? www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/forum.cgi?read=90562
> > Or is it only a rumor?
> > cuhulin
>
> and i thought the fag-tard was stupid
but we all KNOW you are stupid wisemen

2096. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 09:08:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152868095.133896.7870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

constans_m2m@hotmail.com wrote:

get help sicko

2097. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 09:13:55 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152868435.713252.6550@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83133

Billy Smith wrote:
> N9NEO wrote:
> > Mister Billy Smith did say:
> >

> The crime problem has been increasing for 40 years. I find it silly that
> their so called statistics say that crime is decreasing. The closest big
> cities nearest to me have not onl anywhere from 70 to 100 murders a
> year, but also thousands of property crimes. Even the smaller town I
> live in has the same crap especially with property crimes.
you should not be surrpirsed that crime SATISTICS are droping in the
small I moved from they had a mavelous way of cuasing that to happen

the cops simply decided that what was reported as crime wasn't
happening and so it drops off the roll of cases

of sometie it come back to bite the cop but it normalythe next guy
years later

2098. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 09:30:03 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnebeotd.b60.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 83134

Invader3K wrote:
> With the developments in Israel in Lebanon in the past 24 hours, does
> anyone have frequencies they're monitoring for news on the conflict?
> Sounds like this will be one to follow closely...

Try Sky News, CNN, and BBC. All are heavily biased twoard the Palestinians,
but they have far more "assets on the ground" than anyone else.

For the first few hours after we went into Lebanon after Hizbolah Israel
TV was relaying Al-Jazera with a Hebrew voice over.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

2099. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 12:39:56 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <a4f50$44b79116$d06640f9$30525@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83135
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Well at least your estate will get to keep your life savings. If you get
sick late in the year, and the healthcare facility/hospital shut down
for the rest of the year because it ran out of government money (a
typical scenario in Canada), there will be no one to give you any care
and you'll just die. But hey, your life savings will still be intact!
Is socialized health care great or what?
>
> - At least in Canada you get to keep
> - your life savings if you get sick.
>

2100. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 13:02:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B795F9-1131@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83136

I am not stupid,I am only dumb.(there is no such thing as a dumb
question) That is why I asked that ''dumb'' question.
cuhulin
.....
I may be dumb,but I ain't stupid.
Goober,on one of those Andy Griffith,Mayberry RFD tv shows.
.....

2101. Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 13:43:45 UTC
From: Assad the Afrikan <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com>
Message-ID: <f06d8$44b79faf$d1cc7b17$11040@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 83137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
weeks time.

All of this just because some jews in Israel got
a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.

Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.

(Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
station
and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
eh?)

Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.

2102. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 13:46:25 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <qp7fb21njk26r5e9dqcl5tnsn1l5vrue11@4ax.com>
Source record: 83138

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 02:03:00 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Not really. Most XM terrestrial repeaters are in dense urban settings, not
>where any AMs would have a tower and generally not on station-owned FM
>towers.
>
>This statement is not true.
>
>And even if some stations have an XM antenna on a tower, the rent is
>minimal. For example, in LA the tower rental in town for a small antenna
>would be below $10 thousand a month... while the top billing stations in LA
>bill $50 to $60 million.
>
>
You are rapidly approaching minimum credibility. I said ''American
Broadcasters'', did I not?

It was an ironical joke, not to be subjected to your anal retentive
quantitative analysis.

You fuckers turned radio from an art and craft to just another
over-researched insult to the intelligence of your customers. Now you
pay the price as people say ''you suck'' and get other forms of
entertainment. You fucked the golden goose and now she can't lay no
more eggs.

2103. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 13:47:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <528fb21vd9oecqqipt492c8cie5b5fbg7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 83139

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:52:28 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>David wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:15:07 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Greetings, listeners!
>> >
>> >In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last
>> >week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although
>> >Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their
>> >course and his influence continued on.
>> >
>> >Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon,
>> >Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
>> >Likely to be a marathon.
>> >
>> >Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)
>>
>> Why not the albums that Syd Barret actually plays on?
>
>Maybe he doesn't have them?
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
>
Well then perhaps he shouldn't be trying to capitalise on the death
buzz...

2104. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:29:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1TNtg.65574$fb2.21502@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83140

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:qp7fb21njk26r5e9dqcl5tnsn1l5vrue11@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 02:03:00 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Not really. Most XM terrestrial repeaters are in dense urban settings, not
>>where any AMs would have a tower and generally not on station-owned FM
>>towers.
>>
>>This statement is not true.
>>
>>And even if some stations have an XM antenna on a tower, the rent is
>>minimal. For example, in LA the tower rental in town for a small antenna
>>would be below $10 thousand a month... while the top billing stations in
>>LA
>>bill $50 to $60 million.
>>
>>
> You are rapidly approaching minimum credibility. I said ''American
> Broadcasters'', did I not?

LA, my example, is not in America?
>
> It was an ironical joke, not to be subjected to your anal retentive
> quantitative analysis.

I see. Where is the emoticon or indication that this was humorous?
>
> You fuckers

Thank you. Any sentence that starts with "you..." or "those people..." is
probably a) racist or b) based on a stereotype. In this case, I suspect
answer "b" applies.

> turned radio from an art and craft to just another
> over-researched insult to the intelligence of your customers.

I have been in radio fo just short of 50 years, and radio in the US was as
researched "back then" as it is now. It is just that the methods for finding
out what the listener wanted to hear were different.

The art of radio is how you deliver the things the listener wants. A greasy
hamburge from a roach coach uses the same main ingredeient as a steak
tartare served in an upscale restaurant. It is all about how you put it
together.

Research is a tool used by good and bad alike. In another trade, it is like a saw. A good carpenter vs. a bad one will do very different things with that simple tool.

> Now you
> pay the price as people say ''you suck'' and get other forms of
> entertainment.

There is far less "you suck" commentary than you apparently believe. Folks use XM or streams because they offer more alternatives and often one that is not on fee radio. People spend more time playing computer games, which makes less time for radio. Etc.

The issue is making the delivery system as good as it can be.

> You fucked the golden goose and now she can't lay no
> more eggs.

Gee, I do not see that. I see some very good and some very bad stations and a lot in between, just as I did in the 60's.

2105. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:33:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B7AB41-1137@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83141

It will probally simmer down in a few days and gas prices will go back down,but not as much as before.It is all preplanned by the crooked politicians and crooked oil barons.Los Angeles used to have a wonderfull street (trolly) car system long ago.Rockefella moved in and had it all ripped out.I have an old book here about that.
www.jacksongasprices.com
cuhulin

2106. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:46:27 UTC
From: loumaagsucks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152888387.517433.161990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You sand niggers shouldn't have kidnapped those two jew solders. I hope
they pound your camel fucking asses into the ground. The world is tired
of you sand niggers.
Assad the Afrikan wrote:
> Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
> heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
> gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
> weeks time.
>
> All of this just because some jews in Israel got
> a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
> drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
> Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>
> Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
> fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>
> (Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
> station
> and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
> have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
> local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
> eh?)
>
> Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
> radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.

2107. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:48:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B7AEC0.BE94E5DC@milestones.com>
Source record: 83143

David wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:52:28 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >David wrote:
> >
> >> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 21:15:07 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Greetings, listeners!
> >> >
> >> >In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died last
> >> >week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd. Although
> >> >Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began, he set their
> >> >course and his influence continued on.
> >> >
> >> >Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the Moon,
> >> >Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
> >> >Likely to be a marathon.
> >> >
> >> >Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)
> >>
> >> Why not the albums that Syd Barret actually plays on?
> >
> >Maybe he doesn't have them?
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> >
> Well then perhaps he shouldn't be trying to capitalise on the death

Capitalize? Was he somehow making money with his pirate broadcast?

>
> buzz...

2108. Someone Being Jammed on 11..680 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:49:38 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e98atj0191p@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83146

I couldn't make out who was transmitting on 11.680 14:40 utc because it sounded like they were being jammed. Anyone hazard a guess ? V.

2109. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:50:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B7AF3B.F9778706@milestones.com>
Source record: 83144

David Eduardo wrote:

> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:qp7fb21njk26r5e9dqcl5tnsn1l5vrue11@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 02:03:00 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Not really. Most XM terrestrial repeaters are in dense urban settings, not
> >>where any AMs would have a tower and generally not on station-owned FM
> >>towers.
> >>
> >>This statement is not true.
> >>
> >>And even if some stations have an XM antenna on a tower, the rent is
> >>minimal. For example, in LA the tower rental in town for a small antenna
> >>would be below $10 thousand a month... while the top billing stations in
> >>LA
> >>bill $50 to $60 million.
> >>
> >>
> > You are rapidly approaching minimum credibility. I said ''American
> > Broadcasters'', did I not?
>
> LA, my example, is not in America?
> >
> > It was an ironical joke, not to be subjected to your anal retentive
> > quantitative analysis.
>
> I see. Where is the emoticon or indication that this was humorous?
> >
> > You fuckers
>
> Thank you. Any sentence that starts with "you..." or "those people..." is
> probably a) racist or b) based on a stereotype. In this case, I suspect
> answer "b" applies.
>
> > turned radio from an art and craft to just another
> > over-researched insult to the intelligence of your customers.
>
> I have been in radio fo just short of 50 years, and radio in the US was as
> researched "back then" as it is now. It is just that the methods for finding
> out what the listener wanted to hear were different.
>

> The art of radio is how you deliver the things the listener wants. A greasy
> hamburge from a roach coach uses the same main ingredeient as a steak
> tartare served in an upscale restaurant. It is all about how you put it
> together.
>
> Research is a tool used by good and bad alike. In another trade, it is like
> a saw. A good carpenter vs. a bad one will do very different things with
> that simple tool.
>
> > Now you
> > pay the price as people say ''you suck'' and get other forms of
> > entertainment.
>
> There is far less "you suck" commentary than you apparently believe. Folks
> use XM or streams because they offer more alternatives and often one that is
> not on fee radio. People spend more time playing computer games, which makes
> less time for radio. Etc.
>
> The issue is making the delivery system as good as it can be.
>
> > You fucked the golden goose and now she can't lay no
> > more eggs.
>
> Gee, I do not see that. I see some very good and some very bad stations and
> a lot in between, just as I did in the 60's.

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to
flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from
becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their
current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you
un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

2110. EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 14:56:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B7B0A4.E32ACCC@milestones.com>
Source record: 83145

The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 1 June:

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2111. Re: Someone Being Jammed on 11..680 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 15:10:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B7B401.8877B8AC@milestones.com>
Source record: 83147

Verstaldin wrote:

> I couldn't make out who was transmitting on 11.680 14:40 utc because it
> sounded like they were being jammed. Anyone hazard a guess ? V.

No guess at all, Radio Free Asia is on the freq at that time so most likely
jammed by anyone who doesnt like their message.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2112. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 15:41:52 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <24e93$44b7b6b9$d8c4cba6$11122@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83148

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1152844678.861861.143540@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1152838466.970005.157880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >>
> > >> AM HD compares favorably to most online streams, to iPod audio,
and the
> > >> that
> > >> available currently from satellite. It is vastly better than
analog AM.
> > >
> > > Unfortunately, that's completely irrelevant.
> >
> > Nope. It is relevant since the issue with radio usage has to do
wtith usage
> > of other entertainment and audio sources.
> > >
>
> Nope. We are discussing no such issue.

It has to do with how people use radio now. If
other things are displacing radio in areas that
radio has traditionally dominated, maybe it has
something to do with what is put on the radio,
rather than the reception of the radio or the
quality of sound of the radio. For pete's sake,
128 MB MP3s are no better than cassette quality
(or from what I can judge), but because people
can mix their own playlists that they believe are
better than what you find on the radio (with less
commercials or inane chatter or bathroom jokes),
people will continue to use those 128 MB MP3's.

There are people (I am one) who used to mix
tapes for friends just because I liked doing it
(also did a stint at a college radio station as
a DJ, so I'm also well aware of how little input
I had in the playlist). It was usually a PITA,
and it would take a couple of hours to get a
tape together. Fast forward 15 years, and
right before we went on vacation this summer,
I spent 1/2 hour putting 3-4 CDs together of

a mix of music off of my personal collection
that I've ripped. I know I could have chosen
to listen to the radio on the trip (it was a two
day trip to a certain location in Orlando, FL),
but the kids outvoted me and wanted to listen
to their stuff.

--Mike L.

2113. Compare shipping carriers around the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 15:54:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26665-44B7BE2C-227@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83151

www.redroller.com/shippingcarrier
cuhulin

2114. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:04:19 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gPtg.130073$mF2.38963@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83150

Mark Zenier wrote:
> In article <O5ttg.126039$mF2.53908@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> N9NEO wrote:
>
>>> I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>> Yes.
>
> How are they as regards toxics accumulation? They're pretty high
> in the food chain and eat things like big fish which eat smaller
> fish, etc which concentrates everything that's been dumped all
> over the Mississippi watershed.

When I lived in Louisiana, there were periodic alligator hunts to control the population. And some commercial distribution was permitted. The meat was inspected, dressed and presented just like beef in some stores, when there was that kind of quantity available, or it was released to restaurants. So, as far as cleanliness, it was accepted according to the relevant government standards, as far as those may be relied upon.

During my stay, there were no cases of alligator meat borne afflictions, so, it was actually pretty clean.

And it was actually pretty tasty.

One could also buy horse, crawfish (by the boxcar load), fresh water mussels and snails, and all manner of things that most of us don't find too often at Jewel. Then again this is Louisiana we're talking about...where, to quote Justin Wilson....'they'll eat any damned thing.'

Interesting note on the Louisiana cuisine....most dishes have each a far wider distribution of the food groups than do most North American fare. I get blinding headaches, when I've been going too long, too hard and too fast...due to lack of sleep, and less than optimum diet. I never had a headache the whole time I lived in Louisiana, and I've never slept better. My physician attributed this to regular enjoyment of the native cuisine.

Horse, alligator, crawfish, and all.

And if you've never been to a crawfish boil, son, you ain't lived, yet.

Aside from the obscene taxation and the horrifically corrupt politics (Governor Edwards holding a press conference to say that yes, he took a bribe, yes, he gave the money to his wife who bought a fur coat with it, and no he's not going to take any crap for it) Louisiana

wasn't a bad place to live. And the SW reception in my apartment by the lake was amazingly good.

2115. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:13:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44B7C2A8-1151@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83152

Once in a while,I watch European Journal (Deutsche Wella) on Link tv.I guess it is a good tv program for keeping up with what is going on in the middle East.Mosaic,on Link tv isn't too bad either.I remember in the 1970's from pictures on tv and newspapers what Beirut looked like.
cuhulin

2116. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:29:47 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e9b0gjgsb1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 83479

In article <qp7fb21njk26r5e9dqcl5tnsn1l5vrue11@4ax.com>,
David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>You fuckers turned radio from an art and craft to just another
>over-researched insult to the intelligence of your customers. Now you
>pay the price as people say ''you suck'' and get other forms of
>entertainment. You fucked the golden goose and now she can't lay no
>more eggs.

But the listeners aren't the customers, they're the PRODUCT.

Dwardo doesn't believe in informed citizens, only passivated consumers.
And shareholders.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

2117. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:34:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26667-44B7C7A0-8@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83154

Believe it or not,just this morning Paul Gallo on his radio talk show said something about an Alligator hunt here in Mississippi.I guess most of the Alligators are far South of me.I am too fat and slow and wobbly,if I see an Alligator I will RUN!
cuhulin

2118. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:37:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B7C838.E3F1709C@milestones.com>
Source record: 83153

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Once in a while,I watch European Journal (Deutsche Wella) on Link tv.I
> guess it is a good tv program for keeping up with what is going on in
> the middle East.Mosaic,on Link tv isn't too bad either.I remember in the
> 1970's from pictures on tv and newspapers what Beirut looked like.

I remember Haifa, Israel in 1976 or 1977. Currently under rocket attack from
crazed idiots.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2119. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 16:40:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26666-44B7C90F-46@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83155

I always very much enjoyed (wayyyyy up North around Shrevport) watching
Justin Wilson's cooking programs on tv and his jokes.(now I want to told
y'all something) He could pour out from a box of salt into his hand
exactly one tablespoon of salt.He was the best.
cuhulin

2120. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 17:09:08 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <dsjfb2hjqm8lrcbcs7nf1o9ue5n3cp3cgf@4ax.com>
Source record: 83156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

hahaha....lebanon finally getting what it deserves! Go Israel!

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 09:43:45 -0400, "Assad the Afrikan"
<boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote:

>Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
>heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
>gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
>weeks time.
>
>All of this just because some jews in Israel got
>a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
>drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
>Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>
>Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
>fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>
>(Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
>station
>and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
>have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
>local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
>eh?)
>
>Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
>radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2121. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 17:10:39 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <avjfb2lta996tfb2ht2ca0q4pdsd5hdkn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 83157

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 02:19:18 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>dxAce wrote:
>>
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>
>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 06 Jul 2006 04:02:53 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>>>> It was great! All that was missing was some worthless canucks to roll
>>>>> Good ol' Tracy - no brains, balls or guts!
>>>>>
>>>>> JB
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Maybe so, but at least I ain't Canadian!
>>> Too bad - it would be a step up for you!
>>
>> More like a trip into the sewer.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>>
>>
>Just because you seem to like to hang around in the gutter and talk
>sewer trash doesn't mean that the rest of us do!
>
>JB

Correct! You can't get up that high....

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2122. Re: EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 17:14:56 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
Message-ID: <08kfb2p6vetri3t6lr6r20po1nd738engr@4ax.com>
Source record: 83158

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 10:56:37 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 1 June:
>
>http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA

Thanks

2123. Re: David "The Shill" Eduardo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 17:21:28 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e9b0gogsd1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 83480

In article <cMxtg.130443$dW3.81723@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>It has about 7 to 10 years of life as it is, since the remaining salable
>demos in 35-54 will be over 55 in that time period, and there will be no
>advertisers. Just paid religion, infomecials and stuff like that.

Or non-commercial. KUOW (Seattle, NPR) just started up an AM simulcast
60 miles to the south, in Tumwater. 1340 kHz?

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

2124. Re: Someone Being Jammed on 11..680 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 17:26:47 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e98k3c01jvg@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83159

Thanks Ace. Reception can be pretty lousy around here at times. This bldg is full of flourescent lights and everything is computer operated <s>.

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message news:44B7B401.8877B8AC@milestones.com...
>
>
> Verstaldin wrote:
>
>> I couldn't make out who was transmitting on 11.680 14:40 utc because it
>> sounded like they were being jammed. Anyone hazard a guess ? V.
>
> No guess at all, Radio Free Asia is on the freq at that time so most
> likely
> jammed by anyone who doesnt like their message.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

2125. Re: (OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 18:04:20 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <O%Qtg.1$HI1.0@newsfe16.phx>
Source record: 83160

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:hdDtg.171384$Mn5.141078@pd7tw3no...
an old feind wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> RHF wrote:
>>>
>>>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>>>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>>> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
knows how
>>> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
certificates,
>>> etc.
>>>
>>> dxAce
>>> Michigan
>>> USA
>>>
>>>
>> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>>
>> JB
>
> he is bottomless in his abilty to sink lower and lower
>
>
It's a sad truth but you are right!

JB

But that does not rule out that on some occasions he is right.
k35454.

2126. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 18:11:19 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <l6Rtg.2$Hl1.0@newsfe16.phx>
Source record: 83161
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152859619.850299.129880@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Reg Edwards wrote:
> > As For Me - I Was Born In The USA -and-
> > I Am Proud To Be An American ! ~ RHF
> ==========
>
- You are proud to be a US Citizen.
-
- Canadans, Cubans, Mexicans, Brazilians, Argentinians, Chileans,
- etc., are also Americans. The name has been high-jacked.

RE,

The Mexicans just may refer to themselves as : Norte Amercanos
-or- Americanos del Norte
http://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Estados_Unidos_da_Am%C3%A9rica

The Cubans might call themselves : Americanos de Cuba.

Seriously NO Canadian would call themselves an : American [.]
- - - Even the French Canadians would say : Je Suis Un Canadien !

And the Argentinians, Chileans would most likely call themselves :
Sur Americanos -or- Americanos del Sur -or- Americanos Latinos

The Brazilians being slightly different would say : Americanos Sul

Only an American (USA) would Boldly Say :
I Am An American ! - and I Am Proud of It !
and so say i ~ RHF

No problem. 4warned is 4armed. k35454.
.
.
. .

2127. Re: 8892 and 11175 during 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 18:47:48 UTC
From: HankG <hank@invalid.com>
Message-ID: <PM2dnYz6A-hMeyrZnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83162

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:5-KdnXUAo_nSayvZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@insightbb.com...
> I've read here before that the Air Force GHFS freqs of 8892 and 11175 were
> busy durnig and shortly after 9/11. Was wondering if anyone here could
> relate some of what they heard on those military freqs (or others) during
> that time.
>
Most of what you would have heard would have sounded like:

Bravo, victor, three, Juliet, quebec, standby; repeated twice followed by 'message follows': The message of phonetic characters would be spoken and repeated once. These types of messages are known as Emergency Action Messages (EAM's).

There would also be many cryptic messages as well as routine traffic (phone patches) by units to bases by calling 'Mainsail' and identifying their unit. Many of the phonetic messages were actual attack orders; some conversations were encrypted. You would also hear authentication messages as 'Sky King, Sky King, do not answer', followed by an authentication (phonetic) message with time stamp.

During the active part of the 'war' I spent many hours trying to correlate messages based on frequency, time of day (or night), etc., to actual events going on. I'm sure many of the readers did the same.

Oh, by the way, in 2003 GHFS was changed to HFGCS (high frequency global communications system). Some of the group might object to your usage of the old acronym.

HankG

2128. Re: Compare shipping carriers around the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 18:50:09 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152903009.534965.71850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83163

Dear Cuhulin,

Great site. Very useful. Thanks!

Best,

Joe

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> www.redroller.com/shippingcarrier
> cuhulin

2129. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:00:10 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152903610.660986.72860@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83164
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> W3QRZ wrote:
> get help sicko forger

Why'd you pretend to be a woman, Markie? Are you coming out on that
one, too, faggot?

2130. Re: Ohio/Penn DX Bulletin #766

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:03:46 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152903826.504192.57190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83165
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > w...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > why did the fake crosspost this ?
> > YOU HAVE THE NERVE TO ASK WHY,,,YOU SNIBBLING WHINNING FILTHY HOMO!!
> no homo here
> >
> > TELL YOUR BOYFRIEND ABOUT THE VILE SHIT YOU SLUNG TOWARD K4!
> what vile shit?
>
> K4yz has been caught in several lies and he slunk off now he is back
> and I am rubbing his nose in his lies
> >
> > TELL'EM FAGGOT!
> I tell very little but the truth
(Markie translator) bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
What Markie meant to say was he tells very little about the truth.

2131. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:05:04 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152903904.823970.155070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Ring wrote:
> Sal M. Onella wrote:
> >
> > Yes, but I think this was more an odd attempt to be funny. Suggest all go
> > back and look at whom he said he "will not hesitate to contact" ... then
> > decide how to take the OP. I bet he didn't mean to spin up so many people.
> >
> >
>
> No offense, but only an idiot wouldn't have known that they would "spin
> up" people on these news groups, or any others for that matter. Your
> idea is possible, but I'd have to say not probable.
>
> If what you say _is_ true, maybe he'll 'fess up. That would be interesting.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

LOL!!!!!!!!!!

2132. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:14:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152904446.956496.51400@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > Why you do rape little boys up there in the U.P.
> I don't but you want them raped

Projecting again, child raper?

>
> can't even do that yourself

Why would I want to, you are the pervert who has raped children.

> > Just like you did in Iliinois and Indiana.
> nope

Wrong.

> > That's why you moved up to Michigan.
> certainly not

That and your delinquent bills. The bill collectors were so regularly
stopping by Markie's place, his shemale wife would fix them a cup of
coffee.

> > > > 1-866-347-3292 is a line to Hughes.net. BWHAHAHA!
> > > is it? I assume you have called?
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA! You have no clue.
> >
> > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > basical proves who is is lying
> >
> > Oh, your time is limited.
> indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying

The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.

2133. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:19:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152904771.038320.175870@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

You faggots stick together, don't you? And I don't mean because of the
dried sperm.

2134. FA: Zenith Royal D7000Y Transoceanic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:29:38 UTC
From: giltay <giltay@ebay.com>
Message-ID: <20060714152938168 07%giltay@ebaycom>
Source record: 83169

A Zenith Royal D7000Y Transoceanic shortwave radio, chassis 500MDR70,
in good, clean condition:

http://tinyurl.com/hnham

Auction ends 7/16/06 11PM

Thanks for looking!

2135. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 19:40:59 UTC
From: marc <constans_m2m@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152906059.012596.192670@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83170
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.

I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!

2136. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 20:04:49 UTC
From: ANARCHIST <1low54bug@sprintpcs.com>
Message-ID: <1152907489.548776.140580@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83171
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

marc wrote:
> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>
> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
> heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

Both Isreal and the "rag heads" are terrorists so flip a coin

2137. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 20:22:15 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns9800880301DFDdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 83172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Unrevealed Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote in
news:0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga:

> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
> most people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered
> out of their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>
> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
> definitely the largest collection of radio and related equipment
> available, and with careful buying it is possible to avoid being
> taken. I have had a few attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your
> best friend when it comes to getting your money back. EBay knows it
> can't afford to alienate buyers with a bad reputation and so it
> protects sellers pretty well. And the nice thing about eBay is that
> if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative, just walk away.
> Another one just like it will always come up.

Exactly. I have several pieces of ham equipment that I bought on eBay
and I got what I paid for every time. The only real problems have been a
couple of people who mistook a customs invoice for a declaration of
replacement value for transport loss. In one case the difference was
large enough to need correction.

But I have, looking around here, a keyer, paddle, microphone, HF rig,
antenna tuner, audio DSP filter, artificial ground, Noise canceller,
speech processor, flashlight and reading light--all acquired via eBay.
And that's just my desktop. Around the room, a portable air conditioner,
another HF rig, digital TV receiver, HDTV VCR, DVD player, stereo, HDTV
set, and VHF-UHF handheld. In my bedroom there is an old S38B
Hallicrafters. All from eBay.

The trick, you see, is to evaluate the listing (and the lister's
feedback) to ensure that the item is what you want. Then don't bid
anything more than you're actually willing to pay for the item. Take
shipping into account. This means you will lose a lot of auctions. But
you should not care, since you will lose them to people willing to pay
more than YOU think the item is worth.

Now, a fair amount of that stuff was new when I bought it and came from
dealers who happen to operate eBay stores. But a lot of it was second-
hand as well.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

2138. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 20:29:27 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns9800893B890C8doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 83173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in
news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net:

> On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
>> most people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered
>> out of their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
>>
>> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
>> definitely the largest collection of radio and related equipment
>> available, and with careful buying it is possible to avoid being
>> taken.
>
> There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
> elsewhere.
>
>> I have had a few
>> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes
>> to getting your money back.
>
> Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
>
>
>> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
>> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the
>> nice thing about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or
>> uncooperative, just walk away. Another one just like it will always
>> come up.
>
> After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it
> was misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where
> their money comes from.
>
> Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is
> impossible to deal with when there is a problem.

I only ever had one problem that involved real money. And It was my
fault, not theirs (I got suckered into dealing outside eBay channels).

Just a week ago I had a minor problem where something stuttered and I
bought the same thing twice (from a dealer). eBay told us how to handle
that smoothly and promptly so that I didn't have to buy twice and the

seller wasn't charged for the sale.

The thing with eBay is to document every sale ON eBay. Do everything through eBay, except possibly private emails to a seller or buyer (but keep copies). If a dispute arises, it is usually because either the seller has misrepresented an item or the buyer doesn't want to pay for it. And eBay is well aware that, without buyers, they'd be a shell, not a business.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

2139. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 20:31:52 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YaTtg.387290$Fs1.132873@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83174

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:DiBtg.381064$Fs1.148582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>> $100k is a Cap item. The couple of thousand is recurring.
>
> But the cap item depreciation hits each year and quarter. Granted, it does
> not impact EBITDA, but if you are a non-public corporation, that would not
> matter... it is money out of pocket.

True. But like huge investments in automation by even small businesses, the cap investment results in recovered recurring costs. Even privately held companies are making that choice. Even if they have to incur debt to do it.

Not all, certainly, but enough. And many of the GMs I speak with, faced with declining revenues and the increasing need to bring product to more numerous and diverse outlets, are seeing the Analog AM stream as a cost with little return. If coverage can be effected digitally at a fraction of the power, and the analog stream is only a fraction of total distribution, their thinking is to turn it off, and recover that cost.

It may not be the best long term solution, but it is on the table informally. And will only be a matter of time before it's formally discussed.

>
>> When we bought into the new combiner on the top of the Hancock and
>> upgraded our antenna, we dropped more than $1.3M and no one batted an eye.
>> But we were still reusing the toner in the copy machine, and bitching
>> about the airconditioning bill in the summer. No one blinks at the Cap
>> item when it can result in recurring savings.
>
> I guess some companies look at it that way. I wish I had a huge capex budget
> like that!

Sometimes it's a matter of making the presentation. Digital Audio Management wasn't even on the radar screen in the corner office of my station, until I made a presentation one day at an out of office breakfast, and showed the GM what the advantages were. He did his own research and within a year we were installing the AudioVault.

When it was till Windows 95 territory.

>> Especially when use of the analog stream falls below the use of the HD
>> stream, and with as many distribution outlets many stations are

>> investigating, a GM will get a real itch to shut down the analog stream,
>> and save that outflow for something more profitable.
>
> I am an HD supporter, but I can nrealistically see this tipping point int he
> next 5 to 7 years. Can you? I don't even know if AM will survive.

Yes, I can. And in less than 5 years. There are too many distribution alternatives in the market, with many more on the horizon. AM analog usage will drop precipitously, primarily due to the trashing of the bands by digital carriers. I'm a big fan of AM radio. I do very little of it anymore because of the rash. As I've explained, even my locals are falling prey to IBOC interference.

>
>
>> I think that may be a bit optimistic. Given the rate at which radio use
>> in general is declining, (and this has been a fairly recently documented
>> phenomenon...even as late as last year, the numbers suggested that things
>> were only off slightly..
>
> The decline in cume is very small. 2% since '65. The listening time is off 2
> hours off a base of 21 for the average listener, and that is since 1988. So
> we have nearly 10% or a rate of nearly a percent a year. However, the
> erosion is mostly in non-servable demos, teens and 55+ with some 18-24, but
> far less. There are so many reasons for all this that it is not easily
> analyzed.

When you and I began these discussions, what 5 years ago, now, your contention was that Radio usage was and had been essentially constant for the last 30 years, with only slight losses in share. A definite shift in the balance between AM and FM, to be sure, but overall, Radio was stable, with a very bright future. IIRC, you said something like, Radio has never been more profitable. I recall my comment was to be guardedly optimistic....that just about the time you think things are good, someone comes along with something new, something unexpected, and steals the food off your table. Which comment has been met with varying skepticism, not only from yourself, but others here and on other USENet newsgroups.

And here we are talking about AM's survivability, and the stemming of FM erosion in the face of the rising number of alternative choices.

WGN revenues are off again, according to a figures released by Tribune:

"TRIBUNE CO. second quarter operating revenue fell 1% to $1.43 billion and net income plummeted 62% to $87.8 million (28 cents/share), with the Broadcasting/Entertainment division showing declining revenues, off 2% to $404 million, blamed on losses at two TV stations it is selling, WATL-TV/ATLANTA and WEWB-TV/ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY. The company said that its radio/entertainment division, which includes the company's sole remaining radio property, Talk WGN-A/CHICAGO, also showed lower revenues due to declining revenues at WGN as well as reduced

syndication revenue at TRIBUNE ENTERTAINMENT and fewer CHICAGO CUBS home games."

This is yet AGAIN, another such report by Tribune, with particular interest in WGN-A shortfalls in revenue. Suggesting that the future is not so bright for WGN. And by extension as one of the nation's most successful AM radio stations, AM Radio in general.

Note that revenues are off. Last trends for WGN are up. How is it, then, that the industry's most respected army of trained assassins are unable to convert share at a stable rate?

It would have to do with the people who are calling the shots, the advertisers, and their ability to spend money more efficiently in both local media, and it's alternatives.

Loss in revenue does horrible things to well established radio stations. In fact, a heritage radio station can be blown off the dial by an upstart with no budget, simply by bleeding off a fraction of the heritage station's share. Especially in today's over leveraged radio ownership environment, a minor loss in share, means numbers don't get hit....And a well established radio station has a pretty heavy budget in order to hit the numbers expected by the home office.

Once the revenues start to fall, tightening up begins. And the already naturally paranoid staff starts thinking about possible cuts. It's tough to maintain morale under those circumstances. But that's not the real hazard.

Once revenues begin to fall, focus becomes intensified on the revenues. To the degree that everything else suffers through neglect. Radio in the US is ALWAYS about the money, but when things are good, at the very least, lip service is paid to content, public service and programming effort. Let revenues fall, and the sales ducks start selling everything that's not nailed down, and many times things that are. Clutter spikes. Units go up. And everything on the air has a sales/promotional angle to it.

One jock, who was my mentor many years ago, and an assistant of mine most recently, voluntarily got off the air because he was just flat worn out by all the selling.

And while the station is focussing on hitting it's budgets, the audience is focussing on the extra spot load, the extra sales oriented promotional clutter, and the general decrease in satisfaction.

Clear Channel's Less is More program, where :60s are discouraged and :30's encouraged, with over all fewer units, took a cruel twist when two quarters into the program, in house said that the audience was responding, while external perceptuals showed that the audience thought there were more spots on the air than ever. Now selling less inventory and at lower rates, stations hoping to capitalize on a boom in ratings that never really materialized, started redoubling their efforts to find

every last free dollar that can be squeezed out of an hour. And with that, they proposed selling 'AdLets,' 5 second drop-in commercials between a jingle, or image piece, and a song. Good for the bottom line, perhaps. But what about the audience?

My point is, that though share appears to be slightly off, revenues are starting to fall, and when revenues start to fall, the balance of focus and effort shifts to revenue from programming. Now, you yourself have said on a number of occasions that the sharp GM's and owners realize that the big win goes to the stations that present the best content. Or at least the most popular content. But good content costs. And when revenues are off, the first thing that's sacrificed is the content.

Yes, most stations were not profitable before consolidation. I got that. And I actually understand that. And I actually see and understand that since consolidation many of those unprofitable stations are now viable with a black bottom line. I've seen it for myself at several stations I have regular contact with. But with consolidation also came enormous debt load. And a substantial increase in debt service to be borne by the same, or nearly the same budgets that couldn't support the station before consolidation. Meaning cuts were required. Deep cuts in operating costs. Starting with the most easily eliminated recurring costs: human beings. Star talent gets shitcanned. Live stations became voicetracked. Or "Jack," and his clones. Some went syndicated. Some went dark. But ALL had to bear the weight of the increased debt service, and that meant more aggressive sales. Which means more aggressive cost control...especially recurring costs. More clutter, and compromised content.

Leaving the audience out in the cold while Radio looks after itself.

Are they not forgetting something?

The FIRST time there's an alternative that's viable, Radio is now faced with a real and present threat.

Well, along came Internet Radio, and Cell Phone radio, podcast radio, Satellite radio,....and how many more, yet unheard of are on the horizon that provide lower clutter, mass customizable (read that 'audience responsive') entertaining content. Internet Radio is just beginning to catch on as a viable product, but who knows where it can go? Stations beginning to stream via cell phones. Other alternative content also available by cell phones. Satellite radio. Pick your poison out of hundreds of channels. None of which has a significant share, yet. But taken as an aggregate, pose enough of a threat already to eat into Radio's mature and declining share. But it's not the audience share that's really at issue. It's the revenue share. Radio has the investement, the budget, the debt service, the infrastructure, and the well hardened business model....all established and all keyed into making the content that's available, available. Bleed off a small share of Radio's revenue, and it's all threatened. Radio as a whole can be in

huge trouble.

Why? Because the threat is driven by content. To a lesser extent, it's driven by audio quality, but in the Third Millenium, the Digital buzzword is all important, because of perceived improvements in quality. But that's only really a myth...people listen where they get the content they want.

And advertisers follow the trends into their buys. Radio revenues are declining. And the burden is on Radio to withstand the shrinking revenue share.

CBS Radio just cut loose how many of it's well established and historically significant staff? Because of declining revenue. Here in the Windy, the HD-2 staff at WJMK, the legends of Chicago radio were severed at once, this week. Because of revenue short falls.

How many halls at CBS stations ran red this week?

ClearChannel is talking about staff cuts. Spinning off properties. Because of declining revenues.

How many more generic voicetracked shifts will appear on CCU stations, as pros hit the beaches. Because of cap expenses, huge debt service. Because of declining revenues.

Yeah...consolidation has worked well for Radio. It went from a lot of small stations struggling to make ends meet, and a lot of big stations swimming in cash, to bone crushing debt service and eroding share....fighting for it's very survival.

You're right. Leonard Kahn, had he kept his mouth shut and let AM stereo establish itself, would have been the hero of AM radio and we'd be looking at a dramatically different broadcast landscape. AM might even have continued to be a viable music format.

Now, we're trying to do the same thing with IBOC/HD, and in the process, doing what would never have been considered before: trashing the bands with noise, in the interest of boosting listening.

You're way too close to the bull, David. Take a step back and see who the horns have really gored.

I'm a big proponent of AM. But I can't use AM because of the signal to noise in my suburban location. I'm the consumer of content. And the kind of consumer with great disposable income for big ticket items.

I'll take my listening elsewhere. And my money along with me.

IBOC/HD is an enormous risk. And one that, like AM Stereo, may find too little gain, after too much loss.

You don't trash the bands to inspire new listening.

Especially, if revenues are off.

>
>> .Bridge has been reporting sizeable erosion for the last two quarters, now)
>> and given the difficulty, at least from where I sit, in listening to AM
>> signals, and the lack of options for retrieving some content once the IBOC
>> hash blots out the available signals, I don't expect things to remain
>> viable for analog AM for anything near a decade.
>
> Bridge really lacks credibility to me. They use a marketing model of
> Awareness - Trial _ Usage and not a broad sample nor much ethnic sample
> (they apparently have no Hisanic interviewers, as far as I know) and the
> data is suspect. Arbittron has a lot of data on the website, with immense
> samples over a million a year.

I'm, likewise, not convinced that Bridge is the best tool. But
consider that investment is made based on a lot of facts and a great
deal of confidence. Especially new investors.

Whether or not Bridge is the best too is not the issue, but that
they publish and get repeated is. Even if the Arbitron facts refute
Bridge, repetition of the Bridge reports will, in not too much time, get
picked up by media sources and expand the exposure, which can, and will
erode confidence. I know of two advertising agencies who already use
Bridge when evaluating media strategies. That's where it starts.

It doesn't matter whether the methodology is correct, it just
matters that someone picks it up and acts on it. Even if the data are
wrong, they become self fulfilling as buyer confidence and as a result,
Radio revenues erode.

>> Let me give you an example...where I am, far north suburbs of Chicago,
>> about a 3 wood from Waukegan...I've got two AM's, one Milwaukee, one in
>> Chicago, that carry Rush Limbaugh. If I want to consume that content,
>> those are my choices. There is no local station offering that content. As
>> the IBOC rash spreads, those stations, WISN and WLS will become closed to
>> me. They're nearly impossible to catch some days, anyway, due to noise.
>> The Din of iBiquity would close them entirely, as it has a number of other
>> stations formerly available here. And you know I'm no slouch when I want a
>> signal. But even I can't pull content out of the noise where IBOC is
>> concerned.
>
> This is more an AM probem in current noise level environments. we find we
> can not get diaries in LA with under 15 mv/m, and 20 is better.

Regardless of the techical nature of the problem, the result is that
the content of my choice is cut off from me. And it's cut off from me BY
IBOC hash. And I have no alternative but to exit Radio for equal or
similar content. And as this is a problem spread over a wide area,
you've got widespread erosion of AM radio usage in both the Chicago and
Milwaukee ADI's. This was not a problem two years ago. There was noise,
but it could be dealt with, by antenna, or by DSP. No longer.

That translates to lower useage of AM radio. And declining revenues.

>
> Agfian, Am may not make it. News talk is migrating to FM now, including DC,
> tallahassee, Phoenix, Salt Lake, etc. This may be inevitable. AM analog
> sucks.

You and I will forever disagree there. I've got some very nice AM
receivers with (on the right station) great ear pleasing audio. But that
too, has been trashed not only by IBOC, but by NRSC II.

>> Eventually, the conversation about terminating analog AM will extend
>> beyond the coffee bars between GM's and into much higher places where
>> things get decided in earnest.
>
> Maybe if nobody is making money, we will go all digital. I see this as a
> beyond 5 year issue.

David, I think that's very optimistic.

>
>

2140. Re: (OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 20:57:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21080-44B8052F-221@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83178

Someone who served his Country Proudly in the United States Navy and owns several Drake Radios.Arra,that doesn't sound like hate to me.GO NAVY!
cuhulin

2141. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:00:06 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152910806.426059.104000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2142. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:02:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152910925.756384.94300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2143. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:04:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152911089.784600.129000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83177
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2144. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:13:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152911591.612880.114410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko
> > > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > > basical proves who is is lying
> > >
> > > Oh, your time is limited.
> > indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying
>
> The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.
still waiting on the ToSing wismen

2145. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:15:08 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152911708.821528.166920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83180
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2146. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:20:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21079-44B80AB4-1177@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83184

Quoted: I know not with what weapons World War III will be fought,but
World War IV will be fought with sticks and stones.~ Albert Einstein.
cuhulin

2147. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:25:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <HZTtg.172251$F_3.34490@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83181

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:YaTtg.387290$Fs1.132873@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>>
>>> I think that may be a bit optimistic. Given the rate at which radio
>>> use in general is declining, (and this has been a fairly recently
>>> documented phenomenon...even as late as last year, the numbers suggested
>>> that things were only off slightly..
>>
>> The decline in cume is very small. 2% since '65. The listening time is
>> off 2 hours off a base of 21 for the average listener, and that is since
>> 1988. So we have nearly 10% or a rate of nearly a percent a year.
>> However, the erosion is mostly in non-servable demos, teens and 55+ with
>> some 18-24, but far less. There are so many reasons for all this that it
>> is not easily analyzed.
>
>
> When you and I began these discussions, what 5 years ago, now, your
> contention was that Radio usage was and had been essentially constant for
> the last 30 years, with only slight losses in share. A definite shift in
> the balance between AM and FM, to be sure, but overall, Radio was stable,
> with a very bright future. IIRC, you said something like, Radio has never
> been more profitable. I recall my comment was to be guardedly
> optimistic....that just about the time you think things are good, someone
> comes along with something new, something unexpected, and steals the food
> off your table. Which comment has been met with varying skepticism, not
> only from yourself, but others here and on other USENet newsgroups.
>
> And here we are talking about AM's survivability, and the stemming of
> FM erosion in the face of the rising number of alternative choices.

But the lack of probable surviability of AM is not based on new technology.
It is based on an ageing listener base and the reality of radi time buys.
What will kill AM is not its technical issues per se, but the fact that AM
is no longer acceptable to most anyone under 40 and that cutoff point is
rising each year.

AM may survive if it enters the digital world. I wonder if this is like AM
steeo which could have helped AM a lot in 1978, but by the time it arrived,
years later, it was too late.
>
> WGN revenues are off again, according to a figures released by Tribune:

This is because in 25-54, WGN is 15th in the market. It is near-death as a viable money machine, and sustained mostly by sports play by play. It's not the technology, it is the fact that it has no salable listener base.

> This is yet AGAIN, another such report by Tribune, with particular
> interest in WGN-A shortfalls in revenue. Suggesting that the future is not
> so bright for WGN. And by extension as one of the nation's most successful
> AM radio stations, AM Radio in general.

Yet KFI in LA, which tries to get more 25-54 appeal, and is the #5 25-54 (#2 in English in this 42% Hispanic market). The problem is WGN which has aged with its listeners.
>
> Note that revenues are off. Last trends for WGN are up. How is it,
> then, that the industry's most respected army of trained assassins are
> unable to convert share at a stable rate?

The salable ratings are down, horribly. Since the kind of rates WGN charges are not accessable to most direct advertisers, and agency accounts seldom go 55+, here is the reason.
>
> It would have to do with the people who are calling the shots, the
> advertisers, and their ability to spend money more efficiently in both
> local media, and it's alternatives.

They get the demos they are after in more quantity on 14 other stations. #1 25-54 is WOJO, by the way. And WOJO's sales have doubled in two years.
>
> Loss in revenue does horrible things to well established radio
> stations. In fact, a heritage radio station can be blown off the dial by
> an upstart with no budget, simply by bleeding off a fraction of the
> heritage station's share. Especially in today's over leveraged radio
> ownership environment, a minor loss in share, means numbers don't get
> hit....And a well established radio station has a pretty heavy budget in
> order to hit the numbers expected by the home office.

I have mentioned this in the past, but most broadcasters are minimally leveraged in the category of 30 station or more owners. The consolidation of the post 1996 radio world was mostly equity and merger financed.
>
> Once revenues begin to fall, focus becomes intensified on the revenues.
> To the degree that everything else suffers through neglect. Radio in the
> US is ALWAYS about the money, but when things are good, at the very least,
> lip service is paid to content, public service and programming effort. Let
> revenues fall, and the sales ducks start selling everything that's not
> nailed down, and many times things that are. Clutter spikes. Units go up.
> And everything on the air has a sales/promotional angle to it.

For the first time, as revenues have been flat or off, there has been an inventory tightening, mostly lead by Clear Channel. Average spot loads are below 11 minutes now, a new low.
>
> Clear Channel's Less is More program, where :60s are discouraged and

> :30's encouraged, with over all fewer units, took a cruel twist when two
> quarters into the program, in house said that the audience was responding,
> while external perceptuals showed that the audience thought there were
> more spots on the air than ever.

I have not seen this. In fact, I have seen a very good "less commercials"
from the listeners to CCU's Spanish and Hispanic tageted stations.

>
> My point is, that though share appears to be slightly off, revenues are
> starting to fall, and when revenues start to fall, the balance of focus
> and effort shifts to revenue from programming. Now, you yourself have
> said on a number of occasions that the sharp GM's and owners realize that
> the big win goes to the stations that present the best content. Or at
> least the most popular content. But good content costs. And when revenues
> are off, the first thing that's sacrificed is the content.

I've not noticed this, either. What I see is a more critical analysis of
whether high priced talent is deliveing good revenue... with the Mancow
decision in Chicago an example of a costly show that did not deliver a good
margin. I think we will see more networked radio... the same model that
allows Rush to be in Tallahassee.
>
> Yes, most stations were not profitable before consolidation. I got
> that. And I actually understand that. And I actually see and understand
> that since consolidation many of those unprofitable stations are now
> viable with a black bottom line. I've seen it for myself at several
> stations I have regular contact with. But with consolidation also came
> enormous debt load.

See the above. The Cleaar Channel debt to equity ratio is better than that
of General Electric!

>>
> And advertisers follow the trends into their buys. Radio revenues are
> declining. And the burden is on Radio to withstand the shrinking revenue
> share.

Right now, all traditional media are in a flat or declining revenue postion,
with newspapers and local TV staitions the worst off. Radio is up in some
markets, off in ohters. This may, in part, be a reaction to severzal big
growth years, where radio finally broke into getting over 8% of ad
expenditures... something it never did.
>
> CBS Radio just cut loose how many of it's well established and
> historically significant staff? Because of declining revenue.

Not really. They are bvieng punished on the street for lackluster
performance, and did a housecleaning. Revenues are pretty much flat, with
the real mistakes in NY and Chicago offset by huge growth in places like LA.

> Here in the Windy, the HD-2 staff at WJMK, the legends of Chicago radio
> were severed at once, this week. Because of revenue short falls.

>
> How many halls at CBS stations ran red this week?
>
> ClearChannel is talking about staff cuts. Spinning off properties.
> Because of declining revenues.

And Disney is cutting several thousand employees and cutting back form 22 to 8 features a year. This is more about the ongoing demands of investors than the actual businesses.

>
> Now, we're trying to do the same thing with IBOC/HD, and in the
> process, doing what would never have been considered before: trashing the
> bands with noise, in the interest of boosting listening.
>
> You're way too close to the bull, David. Take a step back and see who
> the horns have really gored.

Fortunately, I am in a sector that is growing hugely, even this year. Listening is not down, we are spending more on programming than before, and sales are up. the model of investment in programming still works. Those who do not follow it are the ones with problems.

2148. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:29:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152912580.036622.56100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83182

DE - To paraphase Bill Clinton 'Because We (I) Could' ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's most
> > prolific
> > pedant and general all 'round cunt wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44ADAC88.242CFBA7@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > RHF wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
> >> >> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
> >> >
> >> > He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
> >> > knows how
> >> > to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
> >> > certificates,
> >> > etc.
> >>
> >> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.
> >
> > No need for them.
>
> Then why did we take and keep PR 108 years ago?

2149. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:33:04 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <t63gb2djiiivctoapi7etk27orkhjvfvhd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83183

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 14:29:17 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:qp7fb21njk26r5e9dqcl5tnsn1l5vrue11@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 02:03:00 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Not really. Most XM terrestrial repeaters are in dense urban settings, not
>>>where any AMs would have a tower and generally not on station-owned FM
>>>towers.
>>>
>>>This statement is not true.
>>>
>>>And even if some stations have an XM antenna on a tower, the rent is
>>>minimal. For example, in LA the tower rental in town for a small antenna
>>>would be below $10 thousand a month... while the top billing stations in
>>>LA
>>>bill $50 to $60 million.
>>>
>>>
>> You are rapidly approaching minimum credibility. I said ''American
>> Broadcasters'', did I not?
>
>LA, my example, is not in America?
>>
>> It was an ironical joke, not to be subjected to your anal retentive
>> quantitative analysis.
>
>I see. Where is the emoticon or indication that this was humorous?
>>
>> You fuckers
>
>Thank you. Any sentence that starts with "you..." or "those people..." is
>probably a) racist or b) based on a stereotype. In this case, I suspect
>answer "b" applies.
>
>> turned radio from an art and craft to just another
>> over-researched insult to the intelligence of your customers.
>
>I have been in radio fo just short of 50 years, and radio in the US was as
>researched "back then" as it is now. It is just that the methods for finding

>out what the listener wanted to hear were different.
>
>The art of radio is how you deliver the things the listener wants. A greasy
>hamburge from a roach coach uses the same main ingredeient as a steak
>tartare served in an upscale restaurant. It is all about how you put it
>together.
>
>Research is a tool used by good and bad alike. In another trade, it is like
>a saw. A good carpenter vs. a bad one will do very different things with
>that simple tool.
>
>> Now you
>> pay the price as people say ''you suck'' and get other forms of
>> entertainment.
>
>There is far less "you suck" commentary than you apparently believe. Folks
>use XM or streams because they offer more alternatives and often one that is
>not on fee radio. People spend more time playing computer games, which makes
>less time for radio. Etc.
>
>The issue is making the delivery system as good as it can be.
>
>> You fucked the golden goose and now she can't lay no
>> more eggs.
>
>Gee, I do not see that. I see some very good and some very bad stations and
>a lot in between, just as I did in the 60's.
>
>
I am stereotyping you as a member of the oinker class who runs radio
based on formulas and not on instincts of gifted programmers. Your
purpose is to deliver a narrowly defined grade of gullible consumer
to advertisers who want to take their money away.

There is no point in the survival of what you do as it serves no
higher calling. You might as well be a pornographer, as your business
plan is the same as a pimp.

2150. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:36:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152913010.973493.40060@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83185

AOF,

The Philippines and the Filipino People benefited more in
50 Years of American 'protectorship' then in over 300 Years
of Spanish Colonial Rule.

and that is someting to think about ~ RHF
.
.
. .

an old feind wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44ADC021.9D152D56@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
>
> > >> All Puerto Ricans are US citizens. There is no need to fake anything.
> > >
> > > No need for them.
> >
> > Then why did we take and keep PR 108 years ago?asI recall we realy wanted it mostly as a naval base to make projecting power easier and the place was under the control of a power that was easily beaten up and suffering from a bad pr in it it efforts to supress a low level insurgentcy (in Cuba) if memory serves me correctly
>
> we aquired the Phlipines at the same times mostly because we wee
> felling left out of the empire games and had the sinking feeling that
> being left out would harm us in some way in the future, not one fo the
> USA's better moments but hardly our worst

2151. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:38:47 UTC
From: supper.time@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152913127.873740.311660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko
> > > > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > > > basical proves who is is lying
> > > >
> > > > Oh, your time is limited.
> > > indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying
> >
> > The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.
> still waiting on the ToSing wismen

well since he's not fruit you'll be waiting a long long time markie.
the shame of it all.......you and your little cb friends asking hams to
toss your salad.
gte hlp sicko

2152. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:43:14 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152913394.869228.319600@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

supper.time@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
> > > > > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > > > > basical proves who is is lying
> > > > > >
> > > > > Oh, your time is limited.
> > > > indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying
> > >
> > > The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.
> > still waiting on the ToSing wismen
>
> well since he's not fruit you'll be waiting a long long time markie.
> the shame of it all.......you and your little cb friends asking hams to
> toss your salad.
> gte hlp sicko
he is a fruit and one that has been throiought then blender too

he will not manage to get ToSed

2153. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 21:57:11 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
Message-ID: <qn4gb2tkcr1s8uc25h2ftsnrkarkid215g@4ax.com>
Source record: 83188
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 14 Jul 2006 13:04:49 -0700, "ANARCHIST" <1low54bug@sprintpcs.com>
wrote:

>
>marc wrote:
>> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>>
>> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
>> heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>Both Isreal and the "rag heads" are terrorists so flip a coin
Better turn on the spell checker.

2154. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 22:11:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <YDUtg.130878$dW3.49319@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83189

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:t63gb2djiiivctoapi7etk27orkhjvfvhd@4ax.com...
>>
> I am stereotyping you as a member of the oinker class who runs radio
> based on formulas and not on instincts of gifted programmers. Your
> purpose is to deliver a narrowly defined grade of gullible consumer
> to advertisers who want to take their money away.

Amusing. Shows you how inaccurate stereotypes are.

Among my last few projects where I was directly involved in programming:

1. News talk in LA with 100% live and local programming, with most talent having no talk experience so we could get out of the mold of older talk programming. Average age: 41. Made Top 10 in 15-54 and tied KFI.

2. Top 15 market music station I converted to "all morning shows" with entertaining talent and very few songs all day long. #1 with twice the shares of the next closest station. This was a transition for a personality music format, and the station stayed #1 during and after the transition, completing 20 years of #1 last year.

3. Group of 13 mostly major market stations (5 of the top 10, for example) with 100% live talent, a 1200 song playlist, and no liner cards. #5 25-54 in LA, top 5 in Houston, Dallas, #1 25-54 in San Antonio, etc.

4. First and only rock station to play only local rock artists in the Hemisphere's second largest market, with new talent, trained to do a different style of radio. Daily live in-studio bands, etc. #1 cuming station in the Hemisphere for several years.

How is this limited to "gullible consumers"?
>
> There is no point in the survival of what you do as it serves no
> higher calling. You might as well be a pornographer, as your business
> plan is the same as a pimp.

Our business is to satisfy listener needs. In some cases, like KLVE in LA, it is to be an unintrusive and relaxing station. In others, it is to be exciting and foreground. No formulas, and all research does is give you tools to find out what listeners like and do not like.

2155. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 22:24:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21081-44B819B6-42@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83190

According to the online CIA World Fact Book,as of 1998 there were 4
Shortwave stations in Lebanon and 20 AM stations and 22 FM stations.In
1995 there were 15 TV broadcast stations plus 5 repeaters.
www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geoa/le.html
cuhulin

2156. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:08:15 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bg97ljdd58nc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Considering you reside around Pennsauken, New Jersey, I would be far more concerned with the fact that your state is the only state to be raising taxes. With the resultant effect of an exodus of the rich. This leaves you to pay the bills of a Socialist Welfare state. Unfortunately, and unlike your "Assad the Afrikan" brothers, there is no oil in N.J. to support your Socialism.

"Assad the Afrikan" <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote in message news:f06d8$44b79faf$d1cc7b17$11040@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
> heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
> gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
> weeks time.
>
> All of this just because some jews in Israel got
> a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
> drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
> Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>
> Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
> fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>
> (Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
> station
> and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
> have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
> local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
> eh?)
>
> Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
> radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.
>

2157. Saudi Money Attacking Free Speech in America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:09:08 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bg99aace701e5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83192

Saudi Money Attacking Free Speech in America

http://americandaily.com/article/14507

Ref: International Islamic Relief Organization
http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/groupProfile.asp?grpid=6312

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2158. Impeached Clintoon's Brother Given VIP Treatment in North Korea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:10:02 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bg9b11931je07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83193

Impeached Clinton's Brother Given VIP Treatment in North Korea

http://www.yonhapnews.co.kr/services/2208420000.html

Impeached Clintoon's Brother Prformed in N.Korea

During the Clinton administration, North Korean dictator Kim Jong-Il was called "a teddy bear" who "changed his image" via a "giant makeover." In a truly bizarre twist, Roger Clinton [illegible] the president's brother [illegible] traveled to North Korea to play with his band in a special concert.

http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=50972

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2159. Re: Showdown with China

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:15:01 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bg9kcfte28abc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83194

While at a dinner hosted by Syrian President Bashar al-Assad Communist China President Hu Jintao said, Israel is a "colonialist plot aimed at detaching from the Arab nation a part that is dear to it - Palestine".

A comment all too familiar in Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Communist propaganda.

"<RJ>" <baranick@localnet.com> wrote in message news:a7jcb2t1n5v3h3djiasc7nf3l25lu5tv1h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 18:42:37 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote:
>
> >Showdown with China
> >
> >"China has chosen the wrong side in the war on terror. It's very closely
> >allied with Iran and is a major trading partner of every state sponsor of
> >terrorism."
> >
> >http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23310
>
> Don't blame China for emulating the West;
>
> Remember the motto of Capitalism;
>
> "Money has no allegiance"
>
>
>
> <rj>

2160. Re: 8892 and 11175 during 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AIVtg.8584$cd3.7518@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83195

"DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:5-KdnXUAo_nSayvZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@insightbb.com:

> I've read here before that the Air Force GHFS freqs of 8892 and 11175
> were busy durnig and shortly after 9/11. Was wondering if anyone here
> could relate some of what they heard on those military freqs (or others)
> during that time.

The same thing you can hear on them today, military communication.

Sc

2161. Re: Be sure to thank a democrat for $10/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CIVtg.8585$cd3.551@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Assad the Afrikan" <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote in
news:f06d8$44b79faf$d1cc7b17$11040@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
> heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
> gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
> weeks time.
>
> All of this just because some jews in Israel got
> a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
> drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
> Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>
> Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
> fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>
> (Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
> station
> and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
> have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
> local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
> eh?)
>
> Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
> radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.

The democrats side with arab terrorists and won't let us harvest our own
energy resources.

Sc

2162. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EIVtg.8586$cd3.6668@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83197

Jim <wdxp@cox.net> wrote in
news:p67gb21739sv78o9v5c9vut6c6fv498uun@4ax.com:

> Israel-lie would be a better spelling.... he he....
>
>
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 17:57:11 -0400, helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On 14 Jul 2006 13:04:49 -0700, "ANARCHIST" <1low54bug@sprintpcs.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>marc wrote:
>>>> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>>>>
>>>> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the
>>>> rag heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>Both Isreal and the "rag heads" are terrorists so flip a coin
>>Better turn on the spell checker.
>
>

Damn, I thought we squished all the Nazi's in WWII. Guess a few
escaped. How's Osama?

2163. Re: Crystal Ship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GIVtg.8587$cd3.218@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83298

dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
news:44B6F33B.9ACD2049@milestones.com:

>
>
> dxAce wrote:
>
>> Greetings, listeners!
>>
>> In memorium of Syd Barrett, the founding member of Pink Floyd who died
>> last week at age 60, this broadcast will... have a lot of Pink Floyd.
>> Although Barrett left the band in 1968 just before their success began,
>> he set their course and his influence continued on.
>>
>> Tunage will include portions of the albums The Wall, Dark Side of the
>> Moon, Animals, The Final Cut, etc.
>> Likely to be a marathon.
>>
>> Tune in on 6,876 kHz starting around 0115 UTC (9:15pm E.D.T.)
>>
>> --
>> 73s and FIGHT for FREE RADIO!
>>
>> The Poet
>> The Crystal Ship
>> ----------------------
>
> Anybody out east getting them? Nothing but a very weak het on the freq
> here at 0127.
>
> dxAce

I hope the FCC nabbed'em.

Sc

2164. Re: Middle East conflict frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:31:43 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bgbg36v45gj70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83300

Listen to the John Batchelor Show http://www.johnbatchelorshow.com/
out of N.Y.C. on WABC AM 770 kHz
or live here http://cc.liquidviewer.com/abcradio/radioplayer.php?UID=1
starting at 10pm ET M-F

He can also be heard on XM satellite radio ch.124 starting at 9pm ET M-F.

"Invader3K" <beckermt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152830880.630920.166430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> With the developments in Israel in Lebanon in the past 24 hours, does
> anyone have frequencies they're monitoring for news on the conflict?
> Sounds like this will be one to follow closely...
>

2165. Re: Be sure to thank a democrat for $10/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:48:26 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
Message-ID: <u0bgb29iu0usqi8hqkbgiol7l6anjkj42q@4ax.com>
Source record: 83299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 23:24:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Assad the Afrikan" <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote in
>news:f06d8$44b79faf$d1cc7b17$11040@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:
>
>> Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
>> heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
>> gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
>> weeks time.
>>
>> All of this just because some jews in Israel got
>> a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
>> drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
>> Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>>
>> Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
>> fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>>
>> (Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
>> station
>> and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
>> have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
>> local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
>> eh?)
>>
>> Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
>> radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.
>
>
>The democrats side with arab terrorists and won't let us harvest our own
>energy resources.
>
>Sc
Don't forget about Florida and it's Republican governor's 150 mile
exclusion zone.

2166. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-14 23:57:37 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bgc47gfa589ef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83301

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote
>
> Damn, I thought we squished all the Nazi's in WWII. Guess a few
> escaped.

Iran was very friendly with Hitler. Probably many Nazi pedophiles went to Tehran seeing how Islam is also pedophile friendly. The Nazi's knocked up a bunch of Islamo-fascist's little daughters. The result of which we see today with suicidal little Hitlers.

2167. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:00:17 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bgc968mtcot0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83302

"Tony" <tshimi@comcast.net> wrote
>
> Welcome to my kill file.
>

Are you making a threat?

2168. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:16:22 UTC
From: spermerrella@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152922582.928954.177300@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

STFU you ball licking cock munching fudge packing son of a bitch!
kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> supper.time@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> > > > > > > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > > > > > basical proves who is is lying
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Oh, your time is limited.
> > > > > > indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying
> > > > >
> > > > The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.
> > > still waiting on the ToSing wismen
> > >
> > well since he's not fruit you'll be waiting a long long time markie.
> > the shame of it all.......you and your little cb friends asking hams to
> > toss your salad.
> > gte hlp sicko
> he is a fruit and one that has been throiought then blender too
>
> he will not manage to get ToSed

2169. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:24:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152923097.520936.152480@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

spermerrella@yahoo.com wrote:
> STFU you ball licking cock munching fudge packing son of a bitch!
why should I shut up for you

2170. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:40:04 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 83305

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
I have no comment.

I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
you claim I'm irrelevant.

2171. (OT) but not really.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:44:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44B83A5F-1412@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83307

CDs list Patriots of the Revolution,by Nancianne Parkes Suber.Southern
Style section at www.clarionledger.com
cuhulin

2172. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:45:37 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 83306

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
> I have no comment.
>
> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>

He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the analog signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked up a basic radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you can get the stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz range on the dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's in them... they use these broadband chips that amplify and detect whatever is tuned at the antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear the digital sidebands along with the analog signal.

2173. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 00:54:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29049-44B83CC4-298@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83308

Look up how ''friendly'' g.w.bush's grandpappy was with the Nazis.
Hey,a few days ago,a Conservative think tank came to the conclusion that
bill clinton is more conservative than g.w.bush.That is what Paul Gallo
PGallo@Telesouth.com said on his very Conservative radio talk show two
or three mornings ago. www.supertalkms.com
y'all won't find much in the way of so-called ''conservatives'' or
neo-cons around here.Most of us are Real Conservatives.
cuhulin

2174. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:06:37 UTC
From: Spokesman <his@spam.net>
Message-ID: <sISdnWKBhbU7oiXZnZ2dnUVZ_rOdnZ2d@wightman.ca>
Source record: 83309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Unrevealed Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:Zsftg.831$uw6.24@fe03.lga...
> EBay/Paypal do not always support the seller. Although I've had
relatively
> few problems, by asking good questions of the seller and being willing to
> walk away when things don't seem kosher, in the 4 or 5 times that I've had
> to file a dispute because something was misrepresented, Paypal has sided
> with me (the buyer) and I've always gotten my money back. It sometimes
> takes a little longer than I would like, but if you're a reasonable buyer
> and can provide the facts about why an item was misrepresented, you won't
> have a problem getting things resolved.
>
> Also, eBay/Paypal don't "side" more with either buyers or sellers. They
> side with the party that is right, in their judgement, after looking at
the
> facts. They know that they can't afford to alienate buyers with a
> reputation as a place where you are easily ripped off. Confidence in the
> buying experience is what made eBay what it is today, and they are not
> stupid enough to give that up.
>
> So if you have had bad experiences, perhaps it is because you have filed
> complaints that were not reasonable, or maybe you didn't ask all the right
> questions before you made a purchase. Possible?

Is it possible (just a little bit possible) that a scamming seller would lie
and
tell you what he thinks you want to hear rather than telling you that he is
selling
a piece of junk. Possible ?

>
>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0DA5540.3A0AF%dbowey@comcast.net...
> > On 7/12/06 4:23 AM, in article 0_4tg.673$M%3.404@fe03.lga, "Unrevealed
> > Source" <unrevealed_source@nospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >> I agree with all negative comments regarding RadioMart, but I think
most
> >> people in the hobby already know about him and have him filtered out of
> >> their eBay searches. He caters to the newbies.
> >>
> >> However, I disagree that eBay is a bad place to buy gear. It is
> >> definitely

> >> the largest collection of radio and related equipment available, and
with
> >> careful buying it is possible to avoid being taken.
> >
> > There is no absolute way to avoid being taken short of trying to buy
> > elsewhere.
> >
> >> I have had a few
> >> attempts to rip me off, but Paypal is your best friend when it comes to
> >> getting your money back.
> >
> > Ebay owns Paypal. They watch each other's backs.
> >
> >
> >> EBay knows it can't afford to alienate buyers with
> >> a bad reputation and so it protects sellers pretty well. And the nice
> >> thing
> >> about eBay is that if a particular seller seems shady or uncooperative,
> >> just
> >> walk away. Another one just like it will always come up.
> >
> > After a sale, when the purchased item arrives and the buyer can see it
was
> > misrepresented, Ebay always supports the seller; that's where their
money
> > comes from.
> >
> > Although eBay is convenient, the company employs dummys, and is
impossible
> > to deal with when there is a problem.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> "Rev. Cleetus Poontang" <penguinbelch@jackanapesonline.com> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:1bdce$44994b3c$d1cc5812$23997@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >>> "Chuck - K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:uKqdnegZjelTsg_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >>>> Item # 9738158955
> >>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9738158955
> >>>> Check this BS out! Amazing that this jerk continues to sell on Ebay
> >>>> with
> >>>> his obvious lies and misrepresentation. This is nothing more than a
> >>>> poor
> >>>> homebrew fake. I know who made it and he made a few more out of
> >>>> surplus
> >>>> items. RADIOMART's stories are totally made up. He has no personal
> >>>> collections, he swindles SK widows and runs another ebay name to buy
on
> >>> the

> >>>> cheap and then makes up stories about the same equipment to lend
> >>>> credibility. This guy is a snake. BEWARE!!!!!
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> There's one born every minute. (Especially on E-Screw!)
> >>> Anyone who would buy ham gear on e-Bay deserves to be taken.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> > >
>
>

2175. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:14:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ikXtg.4147$2v.2323@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83310

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
> I have no comment.

Actually, the second largest market in the Hemisphere is Buenos Aires,
Argentina with over 19 million in the metro.
>
> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
> you claim I'm irrelevant.

If you are trying to listen outside the market area of a station, you are.

2176. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:16:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <vlXtg.4148$2v.3259@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83311

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
>> I have no comment.
>>
>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>>
>
> He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the analog
> signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked up a basic
> radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you can get the
> stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz range on the
> dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's in them... they
> use these broadband chips that amplify and detect whatever is tuned at the
> antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear the digital sidebands along
> with the analog signal.

With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
complaint about noisy reception.

2177. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:37:25 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 83312

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:vlXtg.4148$2v.3259@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
>>> I have no comment.
>>>
>>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
>>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>>>
>>
>> He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the analog
>> signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked up a
>> basic radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you can
>> get the stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz range
>> on the dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's in
>> them... they use these broadband chips that amplify and detect whatever
>> is tuned at the antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear the digital
>> sidebands along with the analog signal.
>
> With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
> complaint about noisy reception.

Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
station to complain.

And what if you DID get a complaint? My money says you would ignore it,
because despite your claims to the contrary, no individual listner is worth
a pile of dogshit to you or any other beancounter.

I'll put a grand on the fact that on any of the radios (and there are
millions of them out there) you WILL hear the IBOC hash along with the
analog signal. There's no way to get around it. If it's within the bandpass
(of these basically RF amplified crystal sets), it's going to come out the
speaker.

You may be in sales.. I'm in engineering, and have been for quite a long time. You can't just say something is one way and have it that way when it violates the laws of physics.

2178. Re: Be sure to thank a democrat for $10/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:48:03 UTC
From: Kevin Garrett <Um@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9800BF42076kevingarrettcomcastn@204.153.244.156>
Source record: 83313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net> wrote
>>
>>Sc
> Don't forget about Florida and it's Republican governor's 150 mile
> exclusion zone.
>

So we'll all have to remember that when he runs for pres and is accused of being in the oil companies' pockets.

KG

2179. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:48:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44B84962-1452@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83315

Chuck Baldwin's latest article, You And Me Agains't The Brave New World.
www.chuckbaldwinlive.com

There are some good American Broadcasters out there,if you know where to look.
cuhulin

2180. WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 01:48:50 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152928130.792903.58790@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83314

Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.

Steve

2181. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:07:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B84DEE.386BF48C@milestones.com>
Source record: 83316

Steve wrote:

> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.

You never heard 'em on six?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2182. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:13:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83317

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:vlXtg.4148$2v.3259@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>
>>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>> news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brazil and Mexico
>>>> I have no comment.
>>>>
>>>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
>>>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>>>>
>>>
>>> He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the
>>> analog signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked
>>> up a basic radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you
>>> can get the stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz
>>> range on the dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's
>>> in them... they use these broadband chips that amplify and detect
>>> whatever is tuned at the antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear
>>> the digital sidebands along with the analog signal.
>>
>> With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>> complaint about noisy reception.
>
> Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
> average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
> station to complain.

Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI listeners
call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation. And in LA,
sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live soccer
games.
>
> And what if you DID get a complaint? My money says you would ignore it,

> because despite your claims to the contrary, no individual listner is
> worth a pile of dogshit to you or any other beancounter.

Or local listeners are treated like dignitaries. They are the basis for our success, our jobs and our futures. We have multiple procedures to make sure every listener call gets followed up on. When I was actually PD of just one of the stations, I insisted on talking to every person with a complaint.
>
> I'll put a grand on the fact that on any of the radios (and there are
> millions of them out there) you WILL hear the IBOC hash along with the
> analog signal. There's no way to get around it. If it's within the
> bandpass (of these basically RF amplified crystal sets), it's going to
> come out the speaker.

So far, we have no evidence of it. And the combined cume of the HD stations is around 2 million persons.
>
> You may be in sales..

Huh? I am in programming and listener research.

> I'm in engineering, and have been for quite a long time.

I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.

> You can't just say something is one way and have it that way when it
> violates the laws of physics.

There are no laws of physics involved when I tell you that we do not have any complaints on HD operation on a group of major market AMs (not on the FMs either and there are more of those... reaching 10 million a week). And there is no law of physics involved in saying that the reason why there are no adjacent channel interference complaints because nobody in our HD coverage areas was listening to adjacents and next adjacents. There is, in a sense, no interference if there is no perception that anything is being interfered with.

2183. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:17:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B85033.55BDE16@milestones.com>
Source record: 83318

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:vlXtg.4148$2v.3259@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>
> >>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brazil and Mexico
> >>>> I have no comment.
> >>>>
> >>>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
> >>>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the
> >>> analog signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked
> >>> up a basic radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you
> >>> can get the stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz
> >>> range on the dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's
> >>> in them... they use these broadband chips that amplify and detect
> >>> whatever is tuned at the antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear
> >>> the digital sidebands along with the analog signal.
> >>
> >> With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
> >> complaint about noisy reception.
> >
> > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
> > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
> > station to complain.
>
> Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI listeners
> call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation. And in LA,
> sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live soccer
> games.

> >
> > And what if you DID get a complaint? My money says you would ignore it,
> > because despite your claims to the contrary, no individual listner is
> > worth a pile of dogshit to you or any other beancounter.
>
> Or local listeners are treated like dignitaries. They are the basis for our
> success, our jobs and our futures. We have multiple procedures to make sure
> every listener call gets followed up on. When I was actually PD of just one
> of the stations, I insisted on talking to every person with a complaint.
> >
> > I'll put a grand on the fact that on any of the radios (and there are
> > millions of them out there) you WILL hear the IBOC hash along with the
> > analog signal. There's no way to get around it. If it's within the
> > bandpass (of these basically RF amplified crystal sets), it's going to
> > come out the speaker.
>
> So far, we have no evidence of it. And the combined cume of the HD stations
> is around 2 million persons.
> >
> > You may be in sales..
>
> Huh? I am in programming and listener research.
>
> > I'm in engineering, and have been for quite a long time.
>
> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.
>
> > You can't just say something is one way and have it that way when it
> > violates the laws of physics.
>
> There are no laws of physics involved when I tell you that we do not have
> any complaints on HD operation on a group of major market AMs (not on the
> FMs either and there are more of those... reaching 10 million a week). And
> there is no law of physics involved in saying that the reason why there are
> no adjacent channel interference complaints because nobody in our HD
> coverage areas was listening to adjacents and next adjacents. There is, in a
> sense, no interference if there is no perception that anything is being
> interfered with.

Stuff a sock in it, prancer.

2184. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:18:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com>
Source record: 83319

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:vlXtg.4148$2v.3259@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e99dnf$a28$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>
> >>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brazil and Mexico
> >>>> I have no comment.
> >>>>
> >>>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
> >>>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> He also states that AM IBOC does not affect local listening to the
> >>> analog signal. This is not true in many cases, either. Have you picked
> >>> up a basic radio lately? Clock radio, boom box, whatever? Notice how you
> >>> can get the stations (especially the strong ones) over maybe a 50-60KHz
> >>> range on the dial? A lot (most?) AM radios anymore don't even HAVE IF's
> >>> in them... they use these broadband chips that amplify and detect
> >>> whatever is tuned at the antenna. On those radios, you ARE gonna hear
> >>> the digital sidebands along with the analog signal.
> >>
> >> With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
> >> complaint about noisy reception.
> >
> > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
> > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
> > station to complain.
>
> Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI listeners
> call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation. And in LA,
> sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live soccer
> games.

> >
> > And what if you DID get a complaint? My money says you would ignore it,
> > because despite your claims to the contrary, no individual listner is
> > worth a pile of dogshit to you or any other beancounter.
>
> Or local listeners are treated like dignitaries. They are the basis for our
> success, our jobs and our futures. We have multiple procedures to make sure
> every listener call gets followed up on. When I was actually PD of just one
> of the stations, I insisted on talking to every person with a complaint.
> >
> > I'll put a grand on the fact that on any of the radios (and there are
> > millions of them out there) you WILL hear the IBOC hash along with the
> > analog signal. There's no way to get around it. If it's within the
> > bandpass (of these basically RF amplified crystal sets), it's going to
> > come out the speaker.
>
> So far, we have no evidence of it. And the combined cume of the HD stations
> is around 2 million persons.
> >
> > You may be in sales..
>
> Huh? I am in programming and listener research.
>
> > I'm in engineering, and have been for quite a long time.
>
> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.

What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?

2185. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:19:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44B850CC-1453@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83325

www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/forum.cgi?=90637
Soooooo,how about that? Is U.S.fed govt allowing foreign Submarines to cruise up and down our American Coastal areas? I dont know.
cuhulin
.....
Mississippi isn't the fattest State in America.If so,why is it I can't find any womens dresses and slacks and blowses at the Goodwill store that will fit me? Hmmmm,,,,, Lighted Tweezers commercial on tv.I need one of those to pluck my eyelashes. www.lightedtweezers.com
.....

2186. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:21:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <g8kgb2pg0ae17ov298m37c1ldj0hpqilbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83320

>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>complaint about noisy reception.
>
>
There's a sports gabber on 1140 from Sacto that murders KTLK some
mornings.

2187. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:22:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eakgb2hh1vee29iavalo3obitjf9f1sacp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83321

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:16:11 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>complaint about noisy reception.
>
>
And isn't KNX destroying 1090 in North San Diego County?

2188. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:24:02 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2ckgb291b7itmdr9d31a5hqu7v8mjrcb7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 83322

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:14:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
>> I have no comment.
>
>Actually, the second largest market in the Hemisphere is Buenos Aires,
>Argentina with over 19 million in the metro.
>>
>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>
>If you are trying to listen outside the market area of a station, you are.
>
>
That's what's pissing me off. The whole point of clear channel
stations is that they provide service to people outside of urban
areas.

2189. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:25:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2gkgb25dv7kb7rccojhn8gfnrp0a4p2aml@4ax.com>
Source record: 83323

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:07:42 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Steve wrote:
>
>> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
>> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
>> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
>
>You never heard 'em on six?
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
>
What about WWVH also on some of those? And WWVB on all my clocks?

2190. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:28:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B852D0.7F1F9DE4@milestones.com>
Source record: 83324

David wrote:

> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:07:42 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Steve wrote:
> >
> >> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
> >> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
> >> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
> >
> >You never heard 'em on six?
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> >
> What about WWVH also on some of those? And WWVB on all my clocks?

What about 'em?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2191. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:30:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VqYtg.131307$dW3.82506@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83326

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.
>
> What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?

No school. I was self taught, and passed the exam and was addressed as Ingeniero by nearly everyone. The PE exam does not require a degree, just passing the exam. In 1969, I went to Washington and passed the First Class Radiotelephone FCC license on first try, and have the original ticket still. I have been the chief operator of WEZR in Washington, WUNO in san Juan, WQII, WSRA, WZNT in San Juan, and even, for a while, of KLVE and KTNQ in LA. I built all my own equipment in Ecuador, including an AM diplexer which is pictured with me in front of it on my website.

When I was building my first station in Ecuador, I found nobody was trained to install and maintain the solid state audio equipment I had imported. I took one home study course after another (NRI and Cleveland Institute, including the advanced Broadcast engineering course that included calculus and such) and installed the gear myself. NRC members John Hoogenheide and Larry Godwin both saw my installations, including the transmitters I built myself, in fact, and took pictures of them.

On a lark, I sat for the local PE exam, and passed it in the specialty of electronics.

So, yes, I have proof. I still even have some of my exam scores from NRI and Cleveland Institute. And I have every FCC First Ticket I ever held. Three were unique in that they were renewed in Pueto Rico. And plenty of people saw the equipment I built... the stations would not have been on the air, otherwise, as I was CE for 7 long years in a country where there were few spare parts and much had to be built by hand, including FM broadcast antennas.

2192. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:46:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B8570C.C9D90CAF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83327

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.
> >
> > What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?
>
> No school. I was self taught, and passed the exam and was addressed as
> Ingeniero by nearly everyone.

Damn... they didn't address you as Eduardo?

Oh... yeah... that's right, you didn't adopt that shtick until c.2000!

The Ingeniero gig, was that before or after you renounced your US citizenship?

I'm LMFAO so much I can hardly type, boy!

Keep working on that story.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2193. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:54:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B858FD.F91BB1C7@milestones.com>
Source record: 83328

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in Ecuador.
> >
> > What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?
>
> No school. I was self taught, and passed the exam and was addressed as
> Ingeniero by nearly everyone. The PE exam does not require a degree, just
> passing the exam. In 1969, I went to Washington and passed the First Class
> Radiotelephone FCC license on first try, and have the original ticket still.

That's nice, but per your website:

1970. The economic situation in Ecuador looked to be rapidly
deteriorating, with runaway inflation, currency controls
and
shortages of everything. For 6 months, I lived in
Washington,
D.C. while preparing for the F.C.C. First Class
Radiotelephone
operatorï¿½s license.

http://www.davidgleason.com/1964-1970-E.htm

Get your story straight, fake Hispanic boy, and you might just be more
believable (not).

2194. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:55:21 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152932121.406922.74330@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83329
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Israel is going to kick the sand nigger's asses. I hope they wipe out
Iran and all those muslum terriorists. The muslems terrioriests need to
be eradicated. The are nothing but goat shit.
marc wrote:
> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>
> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
> heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!

2195. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 02:59:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7957-44B85A19-322@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83330

Sometimes I get to drunk to type.I bought two six packs of beer at the food store this afternoon.I haven't popped a top on one of them yet,maybe tomorrow.
cuhulin

2196. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:05:00 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152932700.317651.207110@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83331

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am not stupid,I am only dumb.(there is no such thing as a dumb
> question) That is why I asked that ''dumb'' question.
> cuhulin
i agree nothing stupid about asking most any question

2197. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:07:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152932842.656021.139090@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wussy Woger wrote:
get help sicko

2198. New Shortwave Webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:07:45 UTC
From: BennieDingo <rockitradio@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <1152932865.556983.126010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83334

Hello everyone,

With us being in Summer now the HF Radio Conditions aren't that great.
And with everything happening in the World right now we decided to
start a little webpage project. We are posting a list of Shortwave
Stations and News Services that also broadcast online -- We are still
working on it but you can check it out at:
http://www.palmsradio.com/news.html

All the very best,

Bennie Dingo
Rock-it Radio
http://www.rockitradio.net

2199. Re: New Shortwave Webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:11:25 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152933085.470101.165560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83335

Why, there is nothing to listen to on SW!
BennieDingo wrote:
> Hello everyone,
>
> With us being in Summer now the HF Radio Conditions aren't that great.
> And with everything happening in the World right now we decided to
> start a little webpage project. We are posting a list of Shortwave
> Stations and News Services that also broadcast online -- We are still
> working on it but you can check it out at:
> http://www.palmsradio.com/news.html
>
> All the very best,
>
> Bennie Dingo
> Rock-it Radio
> http://www.rockitradio.net

2200. Re: Saudi Money Attacking Free Speech in America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:13:02 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152933182.536197.171990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83336

Fuck Islam and the sand nigger terriorists.
SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> Saudi Money Attacking Free Speech in America
>
> http://americandaily.com/article/14507
>
> Ref: International Islamic Relief Organization
> http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/groupProfile.asp?grpid=6312
>
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2201. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:14:38 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <y4Ztg.49741$B91.6627@edtnps82>
Source record: 83337

weatherall wrote:

> Be aware that the Eton E5 gobbles batteries even when turned off. I
> own one and typically have to recharge the batteries after 2 months of
> non-use.

I believe the self discharge rate on some NiMh batteries may be as high
as one percent a day. If so, the radio isn't at fault..

mike

2202. Re: Tinker, tailor, broadcaster, spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:34:41 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <lnZtg.49743$B91.9919@edtnps82>
Source record: 83338

Telamon wrote:

> The line break wrapping will break the link in the thread depending on
> its length since every reply adds another > indent to the line.
> Bracketing the link with <Link> stops this from happening.
>

As far as I know, Netscape mail and it's descendants are immune from the
dreaded Microsoft 'wrapped url' feature.

mike

2203. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 03:56:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e99oss$d1s$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 83339

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> There are no laws of physics involved when I tell you that we do not have
> any complaints on HD operation on a group of major market AMs (not on the
> FMs either and there are more of those... reaching 10 million a week). And
> there is no law of physics involved in saying that the reason why there
> are no adjacent channel interference complaints because nobody in our HD
> coverage areas was listening to adjacents and next adjacents. There is, in
> a sense, no interference if there is no perception that anything is being
> interfered with.

I'm not even talking about adjacents anymore.. since you don't give a flying F*** whether people trying to listen to adjacents are no longer able to. I'm talking about ON CHANNEL interference to radios manufactured today that are basically broadband amplified crystal sets that you can get the same station on (presuming 640 KHz) 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 670... and that's damn near every digital or analog clock radio and boom box out there that sells for under $200. On such radios, there WILL BE on channel hash received and heard. There is no way you can get around it.

2204. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 04:06:19 UTC
From: Wussy Woger <wussywoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56bjn.pkg.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
[flush]
Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
>
Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
disrupt it for the rest of us.
The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
than anything, seems to bug him the most.
Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

2205. Re: New Shortwave Webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 04:46:26 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152938786.524293.236370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83340

Douche Bag wrote:
> Why, there is nothing to listen to on SW!
> BennieDingo wrote:
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > With us being in Summer now the HF Radio Conditions aren't that great.
> > And with everything happening in the World right now we decided to
> > start a little webpage project. We are posting a list of Shortwave
> > Stations and News Services that also broadcast online -- We are still
> > working on it but you can check it out at:
> > http://www.palmsradio.com/news.html
> >
> > All the very best,
> >
> > Bennie Dingo
> > Rock-it Radio
> > http://www.rockitradio.net

http://www.ultimatesecurehome.com/

2206. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 04:48:48 UTC
From: BakedHam <huntervonhoven@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152938928.350661.138820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AYE-AYE SIR!
QRT
Wussy Woger wrote:
> "Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
> [flush]
> Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
> >
> Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
> Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
> Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
> responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
> So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
> meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
> Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
> disrupt it for the rest of us.
> The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
> than anything, seems to bug him the most.
> Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

2207. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 04:58:39 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3C_tg.191877$IK3.72019@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83342
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

J. D. B. wrote:
> Well at least your estate will get to keep your life savings. If you get
> sick late in the year, and the healthcare facility/hospital shut down
> for the rest of the year because it ran out of government money (a
> typical scenario in Canada), there will be no one to give you any care
> and you'll just die.

You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be able
to handle reality.

But hey, your life savings will still be intact!
> Is socialized health care great or what?
>>
>> - At least in Canada you get to keep
>> - your life savings if you get sick.
>>
>
JB

2208. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:07:27 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <jK_tg.191884$IK3.162345@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83343

Mark Zenier wrote:
> In article <O5ttg.126039$mF2.53908@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> N9NEO wrote:
>
>>> I wonder if alligator meat is good on the barbque and if it is tasty.
>> Yes.
>
> How are they as regards toxics accumulation? They're pretty high
> in the food chain and eat things like big fish which eat smaller
> fish, etc which concentrates everything that's been dumped all
> over the Mississippi watershed.
>
> Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
> Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)
>
>
It may also depend if the alligator meat is from farmed alligators or
whether it is from alligators caught in the wild. I'd bet that any toxic
accumulation would be higher in meat from farmed alligators.

JB

2209. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:17:31 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <LT_tg.185690$iF6.162405@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 83344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Beerbarrel wrote:
> hahaha....lebanon finally getting what it deserves! Go Israel!

Better that Israel goes after the real source of problem. Lebanon is
just the small guy in the middle.

JB

>
>
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 09:43:45 -0400, "Assad the Afrikan"
> <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote:
>
>> Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
>> heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
>> gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
>> weeks time.
>>
>> All of this just because some jews in Israel got
>> a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
>> drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
>> Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>>
>> Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
>> fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>>
>> (Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
>> station
>> and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
>> have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
>> local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
>> eh?)
>>
>> Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
>> radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.
>
> ____
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>

>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

2210. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:35:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <x8%tg.49033$VE1.42181@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83345

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:g8kgb2pg0ae17ov298m37c1ldj0hpqilbm@4ax.com...
>
>>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>>complaint about noisy reception.
>>
>>
> There's a sports gabber on 1140 from Sacto that murders KTLK some
> mornings.

So? I have nothing to do with KTLK.

2211. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:35:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <W8%tg.49034$VE1.12338@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83346

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:eakgb2hh1vee29iavalo3obitjf9f1sacp@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:16:11 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>>complaint about noisy reception.
>>
>>
> And isn't KNX destroying 1090 in North San Diego County?

And I have nothing to do with XEPRS, either.
>

2212. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:38:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <zb%tg.49036$VE1.451@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83347

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:2ckgb291b7itmdr9d31a5hqu7v8mjrcb7h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:14:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
>>> I have no comment.
>>
>>Actually, the second largest market in the Hemisphere is Buenos Aires,
>>Argentina with over 19 million in the metro.
>>>
>>> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
>>> you claim I'm irrelevant.
>>
>>If you are trying to listen outside the market area of a station, you are.
>>
>>
> That's what's pissing me off. The whole point of clear channel
> stations is that they provide service to people outside of urban
> areas.

Not any more. The night proction used to be essentially the whole county,
and now KFI is ripped up by interference in Redlands... KNX by the time you
get to Yucaipa. Nearly none of the 1-A clears (of which there are only 25
in the whole county) really covers much of anything any more due to noise,
interference from other stations on the same channel, etc.
>

2213. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:42:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mf%tg.49037$VE1.5238@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83448

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B858FD.F91BB1C7@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in
>> >> Ecuador.
>> >
>> > What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?
>>
>> No school. I was self taught, and passed the exam and was addressed as
>> Ingeniero by nearly everyone. The PE exam does not require a degree, just
>> passing the exam. In 1969, I went to Washington and passed the First
>> Class
>> Radiotelephone FCC license on first try, and have the original ticket
>> still.
>
> That's nice, but per your website:
>
> 1970. The economic situation in Ecuador looked to be rapidly
> deteriorating, with runaway inflation, currency
> controls
> and
> shortages of everything. For 6 months, I lived in
> Washington,
> D.C. while preparing for the F.C.C. First Class
> Radiotelephone
> operator's license.
>
> http://www.davidgleason.com/1964-1970-E.htm
>
> Get your story straight, fake Hispanic boy, and you might just be more
> believable (not).

There is nothing inconsistent there.

I went to Washington, was working as GM of WEZR and WRFK (Richmond) and at
night I studied Part 73 of the rules (which I did not need to know in
Ecuador, you should know) since two of the thee parts of the First Phone
test was regulations. The technical part was easy, the other was just

memorization for a few months.

I passed on the first try, about 30 days after being in the Washington area. I stayed several months more, thinking I could sell the stations in Ecuador and invest in the company I worked for... never could sell as everyone else wanted to sell, too.

2214. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 05:46:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Gi%tg.49038$VE1.27952@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83449

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e99oss$d1s$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> There are no laws of physics involved when I tell you that we do not have
>> any complaints on HD operation on a group of major market AMs (not on the
>> FMs either and there are more of those... reaching 10 million a week).
>> And there is no law of physics involved in saying that the reason why
>> there are no adjacent channel interference complaints because nobody in
>> our HD coverage areas was listening to adjacents and next adjacents.
>> There is, in a sense, no interference if there is no perception that
>> anything is being interfered with.
>
> I'm not even talking about adjacents anymore.. since you don't give a
> flying F*** whether people trying to listen to adjacents are no longer
> able to. I'm talking about ON CHANNEL interference to radios manufactured
> today that are basically broadband amplified crystal sets that you can get
> the same station on (presuming 640 KHz) 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660,
> 670... and that's damn near every digital or analog clock radio and boom
> box out there that sells for under $200. On such radios, there WILL BE on
> channel hash received and heard. There is no way you can get around it.

I have a bunch of that kind of radio around the house. I do not have trouble
with KTNQ or any of the other HD signals in LA on any of them. And, as I
said, there is no hash on channel that we have detected (and the AM in LA
has 40 employees and the cluste has 6 engineers) nor has any been reported
to us ever.

Same at the other stations. In fact, several of them were the exclusive
World Cup stations and we would have had a lot of angry listeners were they
to have had trouble listening to the cup... perhaps the highest tune in of
any programming over th elast 4 years on these stations. If we got through
the major games with not a single complaint, then there must not be a
problem.
>
>

2215. Re: New Shortwave Webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 07:28:27 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1152948506.966872.56320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83450

BennieDingo wrote:
> Hello everyone,
>
> With us being in Summer now the HF Radio Conditions aren't that great.
> And with everything happening in the World right now we decided to
> start a little webpage project. We are posting a list of Shortwave
> Stations and News Services that also broadcast online -- We are still
> working on it but you can check it out at:
> http://www.palmsradio.com/news.html
>
> All the very best,
>
> Bennie Dingo
> Rock-it Radio
> http://www.rockitradio.net

What, no Radio Havana Cuba? Don't you want to be fair and balanced?

2216. American AM Radio Broadcasting - The Times Have Changed !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 07:54:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152950069.622708.112250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83454

David,

That was long long ago before the Thousands of
'local' AMs and now the Thousands of "Local" FMs .

the times have changed ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:14:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:p7egb2lpuker3pv1arn89b27dej22jvsj0@4ax.com...
> >> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:11:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> As the biggest markets in the ''hemisphere'' are in Brasil and Mexico
> >> I have no comment.
> >
> >Actually, the second largest market in the Hemisphere is Buenos Aires,
> >Argentina with over 19 million in the metro.
> >>
> >> I just want to be able to hear the AM when I go to bed at night and
> >> you claim I'm irrelevant.
> >
> >If you are trying to listen outside the market area of a station, you are.
> >
> >
> That's what's pissing me off.

- The whole point of clear channel stations is that they
- provide service to people outside of urban areas.

2217. Erich "Mancow" Muller Seeks New Chicago Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 07:59:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152950368.141670.323490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83455

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:YaTtg.387290$Fs1.132873@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> I think that may be a bit optimistic. Given the rate at which radio
> >>> use in general is declining, (and this has been a fairly recently
> >>> documented phenomenon...even as late as last year, the numbers suggested
> >>> that things were only off slightly..
> >>
> >> The decline in cume is very small. 2% since '65. The listening time is
> >> off 2 hours off a base of 21 for the average listener, and that is since
> >> 1988. So we have nearly 10% or a rate of nearly a percent a year.
> >> However, the erosion is mostly in non-servable demos, teens and 55+ with
> >> some 18-24, but far less. There are so many reasons for all this that it
> >> is not easily analyzed.
> >
> >
> > When you and I began these discussions, what 5 years ago, now, your
> > contention was that Radio usage was and had been essentially constant for
> > the last 30 years, with only slight losses in share. A definite shift in
> > the balance between AM and FM, to be sure, but overall, Radio was stable,
> > with a very bright future. IIRC, you said something like, Radio has never
> > been more profitable. I recall my comment was to be guardedly
> > optimistic....that just about the time you think things are good, someone
> > comes along with something new, something unexpected, and steals the food
> > off your table. Which comment has been met with varying skepticism, not
> > only from yourself, but others here and on other USENet newsgroups.
> >
> > And here we are talking about AM's survivability, and the stemming of
> > FM erosion in the face of the rising number of alternative choices.
>
> But the lack of probable surviability of AM is not based on new technology.
> It is based on an ageing listener base and the reality of radi time buys.
> What will kill AM is not its technical issues per se, but the fact that AM
> is no longer acceptable to most anyone under 40 and that cutoff point is
> rising each year.
>
> AM may survive if it enters the digital world. I wonder if this is like AM
> steeo which could have helped AM a lot in 1978, but by the time it arrived,
> years later, it was too late.
> >
> > WGN revenues are off again, according to a figures released by Tribune:

>
> This is because in 25-54, WGN is 15th in the market. It is near-death as a
> viable money machine, and sustained mostly by sports play by play. It's not
> the technology, it is the fact that it has no salable listener base.
>
> > This is yet AGAIN, another such report by Tribune, with particular
> > interest in WGN-A shortfalls in revenue. Suggesting that the future is not
> > so bright for WGN. And by extension as one of the nation's most successful
> > AM radio stations, AM Radio in general.
>
> Yet KFI in LA, which tries to get more 25-54 appeal, and is the #5 25-54 (#2
> in English in this 42% Hispanic market). The problem is WGN which has aged
> with its listeners.
> >
> > Note that revenues are off. Last trends for WGN are up. How is it,
> > then, that the industry's most respected army of trained assassins are
> > unable to convert share at a stable rate?
>
> The salable ratings are down, horribly. Since the kind of rates WGN charges
> are not accessable to most direct advertisers, and agency accounts seldom go
> 55+, here is the reason.
> >
> > It would have to do with the people who are calling the shots, the
> > advertisers, and their ability to spend money more efficiently in both
> > local media, and it's alternatives.
>
> They get the demos they are after in more quantity on 14 other stations. #1
> 25-54 is WOJO, by the way. And WOJO's sales have doubled in two years.
> >
> > Loss in revenue does horrible things to well established radio
> > stations. In fact, a heritage radio station can be blown off the dial by
> > an upstart with no budget, simply by bleeding off a fraction of the
> > heritage station's share. Especially in today's over leveraged radio
> > ownership environment, a minor loss in share, means numbers don't get
> > hit....And a well established radio station has a pretty heavy budget in
> > order to hit the numbers expected by the home office.
>
> I have mentioned this in the past, but most broadcasters are minimally
> leveraged in the category of 30 station or more owners. The consolidation of
> the post 1996 radio world was mostly equity and merger financed.
> >
> > Once revenues begin to fall, focus becomes intensified on the revenues.
> > To the degree that everything else suffers through neglect. Radio in the
> > US is ALWAYS about the money, but when things are good, at the very least,
> > lip service is paid to content, public service and programming effort. Let
> > revenues fall, and the sales ducks start selling everything that's not
> > nailed down, and many times things that are. Clutter spikes. Units go up.
> > And everything on the air has a sales/promotional angle to it.
>
> For the first time, as revenues have been flat or off, there has been an

> inventory tightening, mostly lead by Clear Channel. Average spot loads are
> below 11 minutes now, a new low.
> >
> > Clear Channel's Less is More program, where :60s are discouraged and
> > :30's encouraged, with over all fewer units, took a cruel twist when two
> > quarters into the program, in house said that the audience was responding,
> > while external perceptuals showed that the audience thought there were
> > more spots on the air than ever.
>
> I have not seen this. In fact, I have seen a very good "less commercials"
> from the listeners to CCU's Spanish and Hispanic tageted stations.
>
> >
> > My point is, that though share appears to be slightly off, revenues are
> > starting to fall, and when revenues start to fall, the balance of focus
> > and effort shifts to revenue from programming. Now, you yourself have
> > said on a number of occasions that the sharp GM's and owners realize that
> > the big win goes to the stations that present the best content. Or at
> > least the most popular content. But good content costs. And when revenues
> > are off, the first thing that's sacrificed is the content.
>
- I've not noticed this, either. What I see is a more critical
analysis of
- whether high priced talent is deliveing good revenue... with the
Mancow
- decision in Chicago an example of a costly show that did not deliver
a good
- margin. I think we will see more networked radio... the same model
that
- allows Rush to be in Tallahassee.

DE,

Erich "Mancow" Muller Seeks New Chicago Station
http://www.newsmax.com/archives/ic/2006/7/11/184443.shtml?s=sp

~ RHF
.
.
. .

> >
> > Yes, most stations were not profitable before consolidation. I got
> > that. And I actually understand that. And I actually see and understand
> > that since consolidation many of those unprofitable stations are now
> > viable with a black bottom line. I've seen it for myself at several
> > stations I have regular contact with. But with consolidation also came
> > enormous debt load.
>
> See the above. The Cleaar Channel debt to equity ratio is better than that
> of General Electric!

>
> >>
> > And advertisers follow the trends into their buys. Radio revenues are
> > declining. And the burden is on Radio to withstand the shrinking revenue
> > share.
>
> Right now, all traditional media are in a flat or declining revenue postion,
> with newspapers and local TV staitions the worst off. Radio is up in some
> markets, off in ohters. This may, in part, be a reaction to severzal big
> growth years, where radio finally broke into getting over 8% of ad
> expenditures... something it never did.
> >
> > CBS Radio just cut loose how many of it's well established and
> > historically significant staff? Because of declining revenue.
>
> Not really. They are bvieng punished on the street for lackluster
> performance, and did a housecleaning. Revenues are pretty much flat, with
> the real mistakes in NY and Chicago offset by huge growth in places like LA.
>
> > Here in the Windy, the HD-2 staff at WJMK, the legends of Chicago radio
> > were severed at once, this week. Because of revenue short falls.
> >
> > How many halls at CBS stations ran red this week?
> >
> > ClearChannel is talking about staff cuts. Spinning off properties.
> > Because of declining revenues.
>
> And Disney is cutting several thousand employees and cutting back form 22 to
> 8 features a year. This is more about the ongoing demands of investors than
> the actual businesses.
> >
> > Now, we're trying to do the same thing with IBOC/HD, and in the
> > process, doing what would never have been considered before: trashing the
> > bands with noise, in the interest of boosting listening.
> >
> > You're way too close to the bull, David. Take a step back and see who
> > the horns have really gored.
>
> Fortunately, I am in a sector that is growing hugely, even this year.
> Listening is not down, we are spending more on programming than before, and
> sales are up. the model of investment in programming still works. Those who
> do not follow it are the ones with problems.

2218. Re: Be sure to thank a jew for $8/gal gas soon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 08:20:50 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Cz1ug.8374$PE1.7397@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83456

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> Considering you reside around Pennsauken, New Jersey, I would be far more
> concerned with the fact that your state is the only state to be raising
> taxes. With the resultant effect of an exodus of the rich. This leaves you
> to pay the bills of a Socialist Welfare state. Unfortunately, and unlike
> your "Assad the Afrikan" brothers, there is no oil in N.J. to support your
> Socialism.

Are you sure it isn't Nazi Jersey especially since it has all the
trappings of a totalitarian hell hole. Gun bans, crooked politicians, an
ex governor who put his lover in a key Homeland Security position.
Meanwhile a high crime rate especially in Camden, and Metro NY area
Jersey. Isn't it also funny that Corzine is a liberal Democrat yet he's
also a very rich person at the same time. Hopefully more people will
move out of that place. The only problem is that they are coming to a
state near you and me with their welfare parasite tendencies and
socialist ripoff schemes. Beware.

> "Assad the Afrikan" <boinkthe@bignosebulldykes.com> wrote in message
> news:f06d8$44b79faf$d1cc7b17$11040@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>>Be sure to thank you local Israeli Flag waving
>>heebie hook-nose when you are soon paying $8 per
>>gallon (...or more?) for gas at the pump in a few
>>weeks time.
>>
>>All of this just because some jews in Israel got
>>a fly-up-their-butt to go and attack on 2 fronts and
>>drive up gasoline prices to give a HUGE PAYOFF to
>>Big Oil Bush and Cheney for all that AIPAC money.
>>
>>Hope that you morons like paying $300.00 to go and
>>fill up the tank of that Ford or Chevy SUV or pickup truck.
>>
>>(Really should make the niggers think it's easier to strong-arm a gas
>>station
>>and get away with it instead of a bank eh? Gas station attendants today
>>have more cash in their pockets that is behind the counter than the
>>local bank! This should precipitate a nigger hold-up crime wave soon too
>>eh?)
>>
>>Listen to Kingdom Identity Ministries on Shortwave
>>radio to learn the TRUTH White Man! You are being screwed.
>>
>

>
>

2219. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 08:35:07 UTC
From: Vinny <nocanada@scrue.com>
Message-ID: <56rbp.m7e.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83451
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:3C_tg.191877$IK3.72019@pd7tw1no...
J. D. B. wrote:
> Well at least your estate will get to keep your life savings. If you get
> sick late in the year, and the healthcare facility/hospital shut down
> for the rest of the year because it ran out of government money (a
> typical scenario in Canada), there will be no one to give you any care
> and you'll just die.

You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be able
to handle reality.

But hey, your life savings will still be intact!

Socialism! Oh! Canada!
We speak two tongues...a nation united.
Yeah! Eh?

2220. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 08:48:34 UTC
From: Abduhl <raghed@arabznuts.com>
Message-ID: <56s4t.3hd.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83452
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152932121.406922.74330@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Israel is going to kick the sand nigger's asses. I hope they wipe out
Iran and all those muslum terriorists. The muslems terrioriests need to
be eradicated. The are nothing but goat shit.
marc wrote:
> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>
> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
> heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!

Fat Teddy Kennedy will go into Vodka overdrive over this. If he'd take a few
Arabs for a ride, he'd show them how to swim for their lives, but only AFTER
he leaves them to fend for themselves.

2221. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 08:51:21 UTC
From: Abduhl <raghed@arabznuts.com>
Message-ID: <56sa5.pqd.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"helmsman" <helmsman@royaloak.net> wrote in message
news:qn4gb2tkcr1s8uc25h2ftsnrkarkid215g@4ax.com...
On 14 Jul 2006 13:04:49 -0700, "ANARCHIST" <1low54bug@sprintpcs.com>
wrote:

>
>marc wrote:
>> >Can't wait to see fucking Israel get fucked up.
>>
>> I can't wait to watch the armed forces of the jewish state kick the rag
>> heads ass AGAIN......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>Both Isreal and the "rag heads" are terrorists so flip a coin
Better turn on the spell checker.

Is Roger Wiseman rooting for Israel? He is, after all, a Jew.

2222. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 09:33:00 UTC
From: JOHN D <jawnd393one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gD2ug.2582$k31.2016@trnddc06>
Source record: 83457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> "L is easy to remember. "to hell with it".
>
>Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"

I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+ years
cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't find
anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
projects.

I expect there are some technically competent people who might be interested
in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
John

2223. New Sheriff, Paul Schreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 10:45:08 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <572vg.jh9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83458
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My Paul Schreck troll served its purpose.

For the last ten days I've watched as my New Sheriff In Town troll pitted you guys one against the other. To watch the verbal exchanges was reward enough, but to watch you guys argue amongst yourselves was the best yet.

You guys went nuts looking at headers while stumbling over yourselves to get "one up" on the other in the quest to figure out from whence my post originated.

The best part of this was when Paul himself commented that he would be posting complaints to my ISP for my sheer audacity. For what? I did not use Paul's name, remember? I used a name similar to, but not exactly as Paul spells his name.

Seems that stuffed-shirt Paul and his like-minded egocentric cohorts dislike being chastised, or worse, being made fun of.

By the way, Paul, I'm still waiting to see those complaints you offhandedly said you would lodge. Empty threats?

2224. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 11:51:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com>
Source record: 83459

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's very own disloyal American wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B858FD.F91BB1C7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B8508D.961389CA@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> I was in engineering for a long time, and passed the PE exam in
> >> >> Ecuador.
> >> >
> >> > What school, 'tard boy? Got proof of that?
> >>
> >> No school. I was self taught, and passed the exam and was addressed as
> >> Ingeniero by nearly everyone. The PE exam does not require a degree, just
> >> passing the exam. In 1969, I went to Washington and passed the First
> >> Class
> >> Radiotelephone FCC license on first try, and have the original ticket
> >> still.
> >
> > That's nice, but per your website:
> >
> > 1970. The economic situation in Ecuador looked to be rapidly
> > deteriorating, with runaway inflation, currency
> > controls
> > and
> > shortages of everything. For 6 months, I lived in
> > Washington,
> > D.C. while preparing for the F.C.C. First Class
> > Radiotelephone
> > operator's license.
> >
> > http://www.davidgleason.com/1964-1970-E.htm
> >
> > Get your story straight, fake Hispanic boy, and you might just be more
> > believable (not).
>
> There is nothing inconsistent there.
>

> I went to Washington, was working as GM of WEZR and WRFK (Richmond) and at
> night I studied Part 73 of the rules (which I did not need to know in
> Ecuador, you should know) since two of the thee parts of the First Phone
> test was regulations. The technical part was easy, the other was just
> memorization for a few months.
>
> I passed on the first try, about 30 days after being in the Washington
> area. I stayed several months more, thinking I could sell the stations in
> Ecuador and invest in the company I worked for... never could sell as
> everyone else wanted to sell, too.

Keep working on that resume!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2225. Re: Latest RADIOMART ebay scam!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 12:05:43 UTC
From: Unrevealed Source <unrevealed_source@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ST4ug.1552$0r6.495@fe05.lga>
Source record: 83460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Uhm, yes. That's what this thread is about.

"Spokesman" <his@spam.net> wrote in message
news:sISdnWKBhbU7oiXZnZ2dnUVZ_rOdnZ2d@wightman.ca...
>
> Is it possible (just a little bit possible) that a scamming seller would
> lie
> and
> tell you what he thinks you want to hear rather than telling you that he
> is
> selling
> a piece of junk. Possible ?

2226. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 12:07:31 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <aced7$44b8dafd$d06640f9$20342@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83461
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry John, do some reading for once as that is the reality of the Canadian system. You'll actually learn something if you do research yourself and not just listen to NPR and CNN.

Now, on the other hand, if you live in the U.K., you'll still have healthcare through the end of the year, but if you are too old, they just let you die as it is not worth the expenditure to keep someone old alive even longer. But again, hey, your estate gets to keep the money!

Come on, this isn't really John now is it? Hillary Clinton, is that you?

John Barnard wrote:

> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be able
> to handle reality.

> JB
>

2227. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 12:13:01 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John,

Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
fun is and the copy is much better.

A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and
various digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The
best was DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also
has a second channel to display additional information.

CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the
stone-age hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.

MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can
use. MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many
capabilities in the program.

Move on and start having fun.

JDB

JOHN D wrote:

>
> I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+ years
> cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't find
> anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> projects.
>
> I expect there are some technically competent people who might be interested
> in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> John
>
>

2228. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 12:38:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B8E1D0.92A7EFBB@milestones.com>
Source record: 83463

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's very own disloyal American and paid shill for HD/IBOC wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e99oss$d1s$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> There are no laws of physics involved when I tell you that we do not have
> >> any complaints on HD operation on a group of major market AMs (not on the
> >> FMs either and there are more of those... reaching 10 million a week).
> >> And there is no law of physics involved in saying that the reason why
> >> there are no adjacent channel interference complaints because nobody in
> >> our HD coverage areas was listening to adjacents and next adjacents.
> >> There is, in a sense, no interference if there is no perception that
> >> anything is being interfered with.
> >
> > I'm not even talking about adjacents anymore.. since you don't give a
> > flying F*** whether people trying to listen to adjacents are no longer
> > able to. I'm talking about ON CHANNEL interference to radios manufactured
> > today that are basically broadband amplified crystal sets that you can get
> > the same station on (presuming 640 KHz) 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660,
> > 670... and that's damn near every digital or analog clock radio and boom
> > box out there that sells for under $200. On such radios, there WILL BE on
> > channel hash received and heard. There is no way you can get around it.
>
> I have a bunch of that kind of radio around the house. I do not have trouble
> with KTNQ or any of the other HD signals in LA on any of them. And, as I
> said, there is no hash on channel that we have detected (and the AM in LA
> has 40 employees and the cluste has 6 engineers) nor has any been reported
> to us ever.
>
> Same at the other stations. In fact, several of them were the exclusive
> World Cup stations and we would have had a lot of angry listeners were they
> to have had trouble listening to the cup... perhaps the highest tune in of
> any programming over th elast 4 years on these stations. If we got through
> the major games with not a single complaint, then there must not be a
> problem.

Perhaps those World Cup listeners were simply not some of the most discerning folks when it comes to audio quality?

2229. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 13:15:50 UTC
From: Smokey <nospamtelegraph@intergate.com>
Message-ID: <12bhqgcrmppd4eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If you want easy...and most of the CW whiners do...why don't you just hook your laptop up to your DSL line and stay the hell off the air?

Jeez, you've got a pathetic joke for an exam now with canned questions to memorize and no code to learn. Too bad you're not still in your mother's womb so you don't have to feed yourselves. Though you have deluded yourselves into believing you are "hams," you are hardly believable when you wear that title. The only reason you have been able to slip through into the dumbed-down requirements and some sort of fcc "license" sham is because your appliance manufacturers that make your rigs and the charlatans like the arrl are selling out the hobby so they can sell you things.

It's ALL ABOUT THE MONEY and you no-coder idiots have fallen for it.

As for traditional, genuine "hams, we may be from the "Stone Age," but once the dinosauers became extinct the pristine landscape took on pollution and overcrowding. You simpletons have all drank the Kool-Aid and cannot see that you are parties to the eventual elimination of ham radio.

Thank God for "stone age hams," for at least there is someone still around that knows something about the avocation.

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET...
> John,
>
> Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
> fun is and the copy is much better.
>
> A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and
> various digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The
> best was DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also
> has a second channel to display additional information.
>
> CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the
> stone-age hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.
>
> MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can
> use. MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many
> capabilities in the program.
>
> Move on and start having fun.
>

> JDB
>
> JOHN D wrote:
>
> >
> > I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+
years
> > cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> > Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't
find
> > anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> > If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> > projects.
> >
> > I expect there are some technically competent people who might be
interested
> > in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> > John
> >
> >

2230. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:01:51 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <a9thb2ts8s2ad3q3h65snrestmu4biq07i@4ax.com>
Source record: 83465

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 05:35:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:g8kgb2pg0ae17ov298m37c1ldj0hpqilbm@4ax.com...
>>
>>>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>>>complaint about noisy reception.
>>>
>>>
>> There's a sports gabber on 1140 from Sacto that murders KTLK some
>> mornings.
>
>So? I have nothing to do with KTLK.
>
>
It's all about you, isn't it?

I thought you said 10-Q and KTLK use the same towers.

2231. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:03:08 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ibthb29ts9u5t1pjgbsu2ldnlcdjcdd1j4@4ax.com>
Source record: 83466

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 05:38:39 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Not any more. The night proction used to be essentially the whole county,
>and now KFI is ripped up by interference in Redlands... KNX by the time you
>get to Yucaipa. Nearly none of the 1-A clears (of which there are only 25
>in the whole county) really covers much of anything any more due to noise,
>interference from other stations on the same channel, etc.
>>
Whose fault is that?

This is why the satellite companies are going to put your sorry ass
out on the street.

2232. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:03:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gdthb2he54kvuv10hrjma5g0ao87mj2if9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83467

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:28:32 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>What about 'em?
>
>dxAce

And how!

2233. Re: Erich "Mancow" Muller Seeks New Chicago Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:04:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <6fthb2ldsl58eovjedvibrd976vjqaie5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 83468

On 15 Jul 2006 00:59:28 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

The program sucks. The guy is a blowhard.

2234. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:07:34 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GE6ug.4813$2v.3077@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

JOHN D wrote:

>> Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"

Was it embarrassing when you sent "good luck"?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2235. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:29:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <yZ6ug.4817$2v.127@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83470

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
>
>
> Keep working on that resume!
>
That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have a job while in the US. At the time, the most you were allowed to take out of the country was a few handed dollars.

2236. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:32:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <R%6ug.4819$2v.4787@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83471

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B8E1D0.92A7EFBB@milestones.com...
>
>
> Perhaps those World Cup listeners were simply not some of the most
> discerning
> folks when it comes to audio quality?
>

People tend to be the most demanding when it comes to the things that are most important to them. I've broadcast cups in the past, when transmissions were less easy to do, and there is nothing that gets more phone calls than a glitch in a cup broadcast... not even an earthquake in CA.

2237. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:37:49 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <9eohb21ppsu2plr3aockeje35hevvglg3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 83472

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote:

> [...] For pete's sake,
> 128 MB MP3s are no better than cassette quality
> (or from what I can judge), [...]

You can do a helluva lot better than cassette quality with 128 kBPS MP3s, but it requires that you spend some effort in adjusting your MP3 ripper AND that you are willing to let it take some time to do the rip instead of doing it quick (and dirty). My personal MP3 collection, ripped from my own CDs, is something of an audio history of learning how to do it properly.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"It's the Din of iBiquity." -- Frank Dresser

2238. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:38:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <x57ug.4825$2v.4088@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83473

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:a9thb2ts8s2ad3q3h65snrestmu4biq07i@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 05:35:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:g8kgb2pg0ae17ov298m37c1ldj0hpqilbm@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>With half a dozen AM HD installations on the air, we have not had one
>>>>complaint about noisy reception.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> There's a sports gabber on 1140 from Sacto that murders KTLK some
>>> mornings.
>>
>>So? I have nothing to do with KTLK.
>>
>>
> It's all about you, isn't it?

I'm telling you that I would have no way of knowing if that station has
complaints. In any case, if you are, as you have said, around the Antilope
Valley area, you are not in the coverage area of either 1150 or 1140. 1140,
in fact, has a null towards Seattle, and several more towards the NE, East,
and SE. It essentially puts 95% of the power along a roughly 90 degree arc
headed west from Industry, CA. No way it should put a consistently usable
signal in your area.
>
> I thought you said 10-Q and KTLK use the same towers.

They do. They have totally different patterns, however. The pattern is
determined by the power and phase relationship into each tower. The 1150
values are very different fom KTNQ.
>

2239. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:41:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <y87ug.4828$2v.2317@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83474

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ibthb29ts9u5t1pjgbsu2ldnlcdjcdd1j4@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 05:38:39 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Not any more. The night proction used to be essentially the whole county,
>>and now KFI is ripped up by interference in Redlands... KNX by the time
>>you
>>get to Yucaipa. Nearly none of the 1-A clears (of which there are only 25
>>in the whole county) really covers much of anything any more due to noise,
>>interference from other stations on the same channel, etc.
>>>
> Whose fault is that?

The governments involved licensed lots of new stations on the clears in the
knowledge that usage of skywave reception was mostly a thing of th epast and
that new services could be added to benefit local audiences.
>
> This is why the satellite companies are going to put your sorry ass
> out on the street.

Satellite is a minor irritation. It takes the dissident radio listeners who
were not listening before, anyway (18 million of those) and light users
(another 15 to 20 million).

Actually, gaming is a greater threat than satellite. There ared 132 million
gamers in the US, per Forbes this week. Time spent on computer gaming is
time not spent listdening to the radio.
>

2240. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 14:54:34 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <b80ib2ptg89t7mfouabfnf2tjrs64n9gs3@4ax.com>
Source record: 83475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 08:15:50 -0500, "Smokey"
<nospamtelegraph@intergate.com> wrote:

>As for traditional, genuine "hams, we may be from the "Stone Age," but once
>the dinosauers became extinct the pristine landscape took on pollution and
>overcrowding. You simpletons have all drank the Kool-Aid and cannot see that
>you are parties to the eventual elimination of ham radio.

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

LOL!

This from someone who calls himself "Smokey" and does not post his
callsign.

Can you say "troll", boys and girls?

Bill, W6WRT

2241. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:01:46 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152975706.632836.41190@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83476

weatherall wrote:
> tibbs_5@yahoo.com Wrote:
> > Hey everyone, I just wanted to know what your opinions are on these two
> > radios? I recently found an old shortwave radio of my dads and have
> > become interested in these types of radios. I would like to get a new
> > one for home but also for when I travel. These are the two I have
> > narrowed my search down to but am having a difficult time making a
> > decision between them. Could anyone give me some advice, reviews and
> > recommendations?
> > Thanks all,
> > L
>
> Reviews of both are here:
> http://www.radiointel.com/reviews.htm
>
> Be aware that the Eton E5 gobbles batteries even when turned off. I
> own one and typically have to recharge the batteries after 2 months of
> non-use. My E5 also came with a power adapter that generates
> interference on shortwave bands. Other than those issues, I am happy
> with my E5.
>

If you left the batteries on a shelf they would discharge too. What
makes you think the radio is somehow causing a drain.

I have yet to find a wall-wart power supply that didn't generate noise.
The one that came with my Sony 2010 did. Just keep using those nimh
batteries, but charge them in a separate wall mounted charger.

> I have an ATS-505 as well, but I have much less usage experience with
> it. It is larger than I expected and I find the sound that its speaker
> makes to be unpleasant for some reason.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

2242. Re: New Sheriff, Paul Schreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:01:56 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1152975716.900124.313200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83477

My how clever you are Sheriff Schreck. Perhaps you should be working
for the FBI or CIA or some other org where your superior intelligence
can do the most good.

regards,
NEO

Not Lloyd wrote:
> My Paul Schreck troll served its purpose.
>
>
>
> For the last ten days I've watched as my New Sheriff In Town troll pitted
> you guys one against the other. To watch the verbal exchanges was reward
> enough, but to watch you guys argue amongst yourselves was the best yet.
>
> You guys went nuts looking at headers while stumbling over yourselves to get
> "one up" on the other in the quest to figure out from whence my post
> originated.
>
> The best part of this was when Paul himself commented that he would be
> posting complaints to my ISP for my sheer audacity. For what? I did not use
> Paul's name, remember? I used a name similar to, but not exactly as Paul
> spells his name.
>
> Seems that stuffed-shirt Paul and his like-minded egocentric cohorts dislike
> being chastised, or worse, being made fun of.
>
> By the way, Paul, I'm still waiting to see those complaints you offhandedly
> said you would lodge. Empty threats?

2243. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:09:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com>
Source record: 83478

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Keep working on that resume!
> >
> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have a job
> while in the US.

It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.

They booted your sorry ass outta the country.

2244. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:13:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <rC7ug.4844$2v.3113@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83482

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Keep working on that resume!
>> >
>> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have a
>> job
>> while in the US.
>
> It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.
>
> They booted your sorry ass outta the country.

I don't know how you can continue to find amusing a time when several
hundred were killed by a brutal military regime. As I mentioned, the
newspaperman who was taken away at the same time I was deported was
killed... a neighbor whose opinions were not appreciated by the regime was
killed and his head tossed into his patio....

Hardly something a sane person would make fun of. But nobody here would
accuse you of that.

2245. Re: WWV and other Time Signal Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:13:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B90630.8E618DAF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83481

Steve wrote:

> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.

http://www.dxinfocentre.com/time.htm

As far as I am aware, ATA in India is no longer active.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2246. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:18:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B9074E.7920C094@milestones.com>
Source record: 83483

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Keep working on that resume!
> >> >
> >> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have a
> >> job
> >> while in the US.
> >
> > It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.
> >
> > They booted your sorry ass outta the country.
>
> I don't know how you can continue to find amusing a time when several
> hundred were killed by a brutal military regime. As I mentioned, the
> newspaperman who was taken away at the same time I was deported was
> killed... a neighbor whose opinions were not appreciated by the regime was
> killed and his head tossed into his patio....
>
> Hardly something a sane person would make fun of. But nobody here would
> accuse you of that.

I merely find it amusing that they booted your sorry ass outta the country.

Please try to pay attention, oh fake one!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2247. Re: New Sheriff, Paul Schreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:22:06 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152976926.583508.126170@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Lloyd wrote:
> My Paul Schreck troll served its purpose.
>
>
>
> For the last ten days I've watched as my New Sheriff In Town troll pitted
> you guys one against the other. To watch the verbal exchanges was reward
> enough, but to watch you guys argue amongst yourselves was the best yet.
>
> You guys went nuts looking at headers while stumbling over yourselves to get
> "one up" on the other in the quest to figure out from whence my post
> originated.
>
> The best part of this was when Paul himself commented that he would be
> posting complaints to my ISP for my sheer audacity. For what? I did not use
> Paul's name, remember? I used a name similar to, but not exactly as Paul
> spells his name.
>
> Seems that stuffed-shirt Paul and his like-minded egocentric cohorts dislike
> being chastised, or worse, being made fun of.
>
> By the way, Paul, I'm still waiting to see those complaints you offhandedly
> said you would lodge. Empty threats?

Nonsense, Lardass, you posted it through your lloyd_vfp@msn.com
account through Altopia.

2248. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:22:56 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152976976.776663.120710@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

STFU, stupid.

2249. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:25:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977101.063014.279370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wussy Woger wrote:
> "Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
> [flush]
> Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
> >
> Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
> Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
> Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
> responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
> So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
> meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
> Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
> disrupt it for the rest of us.
> The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
> than anything, seems to bug him the most.
> Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

Hi Fatass!

2250. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:26:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977197.712232.262110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko
> > > > > so after 8 months I am no t worried just pointing that your failure
> > > > > basical proves who is is lying
> > > >
> > > > Oh, your time is limited.
> > > indeed it is but that has nothing to with your lying
> >
> > The only proven liar is you, fuckwit.
> still waiting on the ToSing wismen

I guess it does get lonely for you when your shemale wife is out being
the town's mattress.

2251. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:27:57 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977277.823828.182800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

an old friend wrote:
> spermerrella@yahoo.com wrote:
> > STFU you ball licking cock munching fudge packing son of a bitch!
> why should I shut up for you

Send copies of Markie's posts here:

bmclean@houghtonsheriff.com

2252. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:37:22 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152977842.696538.204850@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83489
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie.

2253. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:41:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <J08ug.4852$2v.145@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83490

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B9074E.7920C094@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Keep working on that resume!
>> >> >
>> >> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have
>> >> a
>> >> job
>> >> while in the US.
>> >
>> > It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.
>> >
>> > They booted your sorry ass outta the country.
>>
>> I don't know how you can continue to find amusing a time when several
>> hundred were killed by a brutal military regime. As I mentioned, the
>> newspaperman who was taken away at the same time I was deported was
>> killed... a neighbor whose opinions were not appreciated by the regime
>> was
>> killed and his head tossed into his patio....
>>
>> Hardly something a sane person would make fun of. But nobody here would
>> accuse you of that.
>
> I merely find it amusing that they booted your sorry ass outta the
> country.

... for opposing, journalistically, a totalitarian regime. I'm sure you find
preserving democracy funny.

2254. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:42:25 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152978145.402689.258900@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83491
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Marky.

2255. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:44:52 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152978292.187105.217000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie.

2256. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:47:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B90E0D.7A883808@milestones.com>
Source record: 83493

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B9074E.7920C094@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Keep working on that resume!
> >> >> >
> >> >> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have
> >> >> a
> >> >> job
> >> >> while in the US.
> >> >
> >> > It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.
> >> >
> >> > They booted your sorry ass outta the country.
> >>
> >> I don't know how you can continue to find amusing a time when several
> >> hundred were killed by a brutal military regime. As I mentioned, the
> >> newspaperman who was taken away at the same time I was deported was
> >> killed... a neighbor whose opinions were not appreciated by the regime
> >> was
> >> killed and his head tossed into his patio....
> >>
> >> Hardly something a sane person would make fun of. But nobody here would
> >> accuse you of that.
> >
> > I merely find it amusing that they booted your sorry ass outta the
> > country.
>
> ... for opposing, journalistically, a totalitarian regime. I'm sure you find

> preserving democracy funny.

You may continue to bloviate, you stupid pedantic bastard, but allow me to repeat:

I merely find it amusing that they booted your sorry ass outta the country.

Now please, try to pay attention.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2257. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 15:56:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B91049.4286E6C7@milestones.com>
Source record: 83494

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B90526.183FD2F9@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as the fake 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B8D6AA.F408BB2B@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Keep working on that resume!
> >> >
> >> That is what it says. With currency controls in Ecuador, I had to have a
> >> job
> >> while in the US.
> >
> > It would seem that Ecuador also had fake Hispanic controls as well.
> >
> > They booted your sorry ass outta the country.
>
> I don't know how you can continue to find amusing a time when several
> hundred were killed by a brutal military regime. As I mentioned, the
> newspaperman who was taken away at the same time I was deported was
> killed... a neighbor whose opinions were not appreciated by the regime was
> killed and his head tossed into his patio....
>
> Hardly something a sane person would make fun of. But nobody here would
> accuse you of that.

I also find it amusing that you bragged about being days away from gaining
citizenship in Ecuador.

How interesting that you would want to become a citizen of a country with a
totalitarian regime.

You're a riot, Edweenie!

LMFAO

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2258. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:10:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fq4ib2tvrqdrhqs6vhevhqvbdmf6u44dgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 83495

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:38:21 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>I'm telling you that I would have no way of knowing if that station has
>complaints. In any case, if you are, as you have said, around the Antilope
>Valley area, you are not in the coverage area of either 1150 or 1140. 1140,
>in fact, has a null towards Seattle, and several more towards the NE, East,
>and SE. It essentially puts 95% of the power along a roughly 90 degree arc
>headed west from Industry, CA. No way it should put a consistently usable
>signal in your area.
>>
>> I thought you said 10-Q and KTLK use the same towers.
>
>They do. They have totally different patterns, however. The pattern is
>determined by the power and phase relationship into each tower. The 1150
>values are very different fom KTNQ.
>>
>
>
So you DO have SOMEThING to do with it, don't you/

2259. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:11:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ms4ib2pj64sqgigfs07t61b49e4sfec4d0@4ax.com>
Source record: 83496

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:41:34 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>Actually, gaming is a greater threat than satellite. There ared 132 million
>gamers in the US, per Forbes this week. Time spent on computer gaming is
>time not spent listdening to the radio.
>>
>
???

2260. Re: WWV and other Time Signal Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:13:32 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <615ib2p0tubbmshve2luqvq41usduorgqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 83497

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 11:13:52 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Steve wrote:
>
>> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
>> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
>> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
>
>http://www.dxinfocentre.com/time.htm
>
>As far as I am aware, ATA in India is no longer active.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
>
5 MHz

ID Time / Lang Call Sign State Station Name Latitude Longitude BC
Times UTC / Remarks

ATA
IND
New Delhi
28 34 N
77 19 E
Inactive Freq

2261. Re: WWV and other Time Signal Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:23:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B91670.CEFC7CA2@milestones.com>
Source record: 83348

David wrote:

> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 11:13:52 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Steve wrote:
> >
> >> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
> >> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
> >> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
> >
> >http://www.dxinfocentre.com/time.htm
> >
> >As far as I am aware, ATA in India is no longer active.
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> >
> 5 MHz
>
> ID Time / Lang Call Sign State Station Name Latitude Longitude BC
> Times UTC / Remarks
>
> ATA
> IND
> New Delhi
> 28 34 N
> 77 19 E
> Inactive Freq

Yep, that's what it says. Did you have a point you wanted to make?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2262. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:44:40 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <c6f84$44b91bf2$d06640f9$8612@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Smokey wrote:
> If you want easy...and most of the CW whiners do...why don't you just hook
> your laptop up to your DSL line and stay the hell off the air?

Because we don't want to.

>
> Jeez, you've got a pathetic joke for an exam now with canned questions to
> memorize and no code to learn.

And your drivers' license test was what? Did they test you on
rebuilding your car?

>Too bad you're not still in your mother's
> womb so you don't have to feed yourselves. Though you have deluded
> yourselves into believing you are "hams," you are hardly believable when you

Well as a supporter of getting rid of the out-dated CW testing
requirement, I passed my test 35 years ago and a 13WPM CW exam. So I am
one of what you called a "genuine ham" but still have the intelligence
to know that a CW exam is old, stupid and mostly supported by a bunch of
stone-age hams who whine because they had to pass a more stringent test
and can't accept that others are not having to study for and pass a more
stringent test. Waaaaa, Waaaaa, Waaaaa.....I want my CW. Blah, Blah, Blah.

> wear that title. The only reason you have been able to slip through into the
> dumbed-down requirements and some sort of fcc "license" sham is because your
> appliance manufacturers that make your rigs and the charlatans like the arrl
> are selling out the hobby so they can sell you things.

That is somewhat true. So what. Heck, what does a test even prove
anymore? I can have 440 handheld family band transceivers with the same
power as many small ham handhelds. I don't need a license to use those,
so what's the big deal about stringent testing requirements for hams.
Test hams only on the legal issues and rules. We don't really even need
the other crap anymore. It's not like we dealing with lethal voltages
all the time like the days of ol'.

>
> It's ALL ABOUT THE MONEY and you no-coder idiots have fallen for it.

So what if it is about the money. You need money to live on right?
What's the matter with spending money to create jobs from manufacturing,
selling, fixing, etc. ham radios. Maybe it's also about having
experienced radio communicators in an emergency and a lot of extra

equipment in home inventories.

>
> As for traditional, genuine "hams,

What is a genuine ham? Someone who knows CW? That's a laugh. Look at
all the bickering "genuine hams" who passed that all important test and
13 or 20WPM CW and who argue and fight on the bands. Did you listen to
75 Meters? And I mean going back 30+ years ago? Your testing did not
stop the idiot "genuine hams" back then now did it?

>we may be from the "Stone Age," but once
> the dinosauers became extinct the pristine landscape took on pollution and
> overcrowding. You simpletons have all drank the Kool-Aid and cannot see that
> you are parties to the eventual elimination of ham radio.

Yeah, you want a pristine landscape for yourself while keeping out the
"undesirables" - you are a bigot.
>
> Thank God for "stone age hams," for at least there is someone still around
> that knows something about the avocation.

You know nothing accept bigotry.

2263. Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 16:52:34 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152982354.667573.78250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83350

I think he has an announcement for the SWL community.

2264. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:01:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B91F54-1446@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83355

Is he here,is he there?,,,, the Frenchies seek him everywhere,,,,, is he in Heaven,,,,,, is he in H..L?,,,,,,,,, that da.n elusive Pimpernell!
cuhulin

2265. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:01:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152982908.621214.19510@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83351
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2266. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:02:46 UTC
From: Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <noone-3FA253.13024515072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net>
Source record: 83352

In article <4hAtg.48292$VE1.45532@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> HD FM is authorized. HD secondary channels are authorized with just a
> letter. AM HD is authorized daytime. Nights don't matter that much, but
> would help.

IBOC is a propriatary system. If we are going to have digital radio,
which I believe we will, it should be a non-propriatary system so one
company is not getting a royalty from every radio sold and every
broadcasting station.

2267. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:04:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B9200A-1447@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83362

Metinks y'all are just jealous because my big (size D cup) boobies do
fill up a big bra.
cuhulin

2268. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:05:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152983105.774579.326700@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2269. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:07:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152983277.045469.6490@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2270. Re: New Sheriff, Paul Schreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:10:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B9216D-340@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83364

Sheriff Malcom McMillin,here in Hinds County,Jackson,Mississippi most probally doesn't care what y'all do unless it is a crime which somehow inbolves (involves) Hinds County and Mississippi.Just keep y'alls little sissy hissy fits to y'allselves and Please stay out of trouble.
cuhuln

2271. Re: On Tosing me a few coments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:10:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152983420.285935.95450@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2272. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:10:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Dk9ug.5790$2v.4742@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83357

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B91049.4286E6C7@milestones.com...
>
>
> I also find it amusing that you bragged about being days away from gaining
> citizenship in Ecuador.
>
> How interesting that you would want to become a citizen of a country with
> a
> totalitarian regime.

I had lived there 7 years. I had 12 operating radio staitons and a dozen more CPs. My family was there. And it was not a military regime until a few months prior. I had the feeling the military would be gone soon.

2273. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:12:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Fl9ug.5791$2v.3956@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83358

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:fq4ib2tvrqdrhqs6vhevhqvbdmf6u44dgk@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:38:21 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>I'm telling you that I would have no way of knowing if that station has
>>complaints. In any case, if you are, as you have said, around the Antilope
>>Valley area, you are not in the coverage area of either 1150 or 1140.
>>1140,
>>in fact, has a null towards Seattle, and several more towards the NE,
>>East,
>>and SE. It essentially puts 95% of the power along a roughly 90 degree arc
>>headed west from Industry, CA. No way it should put a consistently usable
>>signal in your area.
>>>
>>> I thought you said 10-Q and KTLK use the same towers.
>>
>>They do. They have totally different patterns, however. The pattern is
>>determined by the power and phase relationship into each tower. The 1150
>>values are very different fom KTNQ.
>>>
>>
>>
> So you DO have SOMEThING to do with it, don't you/

No, they don't. They are a tennant of the tower site, just like us. That is
like saying one has something in common with everyone in a 100 aprtment
complex.
>

2274. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:12:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <rm9ug.5792$2v.3539@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83359

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ms4ib2pj64sqgigfs07t61b49e4sfec4d0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:41:34 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Actually, gaming is a greater threat than satellite. There ared 132
>>million
>>gamers in the US, per Forbes this week. Time spent on computer gaming is
>>time not spent listdening to the radio.
>>>
>>
> ???

About 10 times or so more gamers, taking time available to listen to the
radio away.

2275. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:13:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3n9ug.5793$2v.1296@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83360

"Sun Chaser" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:noone-3FA253.13024515072006@sn-indi.vsrv-sjc.supernews.net...
> In article <4hAtg.48292$VE1.45532@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> HD FM is authorized. HD secondary channels are authorized with just a
>> letter. AM HD is authorized daytime. Nights don't matter that much, but
>> would help.
>
> IBOC is a propriatary system. If we are going to have digital radio,
> which I believe we will, it should be a non-propriatary system so one
> company is not getting a royalty from every radio sold and every
> broadcasting station.

That horse is long out of the barn. It's a done deal.

2276. Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:14:44 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <sh8ib29hruqpjo405bhvl0k55luoror235@4ax.com>
Source record: 83361

Iran and Syria are on the list next.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2277. Re: (OT) Dirty Jobs Americans Supposdely Won't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:14:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B9228B-341@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83367

You just can't beat them Wild Animals.
google, Frank Buck Fang and Claw.
cuhulin

2278. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:17:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B92337.F3E181EA@milestones.com>
Source record: 83363

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B91049.4286E6C7@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > I also find it amusing that you bragged about being days away from gaining
> > citizenship in Ecuador.
> >
> > How interesting that you would want to become a citizen of a country with
> > a
> > totalitarian regime.
>
> I had lived there 7 years.

7 years. Does that include the time you were living and working in the USA?

At what point during that 7 years did you renounce your US Citizenship?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2279. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:21:01 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152984061.687293.67320@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83365
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2280. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:22:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <29GdnQxiI6gLuSTZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET...
> John,
>
> Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
> fun is and the copy is much better.
>
> A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and various
> digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The best was
> DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also has a second
> channel to display additional information.
>
> CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the stone-age
> hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.
>
> MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can use.
> MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many capabilities in the
> program.
>
> Move on and start having fun.
>

You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that will make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for voice, all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you had the best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better. Another night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will favor CW.

Based on what I've read about DominoEX, it is a form of Multi-Frequency Shift Keying. This variation looks to be more robust than others, however, anything that can compromise FSK and MFSK has the potential to compromise DominoEX. It will merely be a matter of the degree of severity needed before it becomes unusable.

Just because something is old doesn't make it useless or stone age. Pencils have been around far longer and are still highly useful.

Dee, N8UZE

2281. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:30:49 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bi9igte6gtc2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> JOHN D wrote:
>
>>> Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"
>
>
> Was it embarrassing when you sent "good luck"?

Actually it was a simple realization on my part that half the alphabet was the mirror of the other. i.e. a/n f/l e/t etc. When I had this epiphany I basically knew the code. All I had to do was learn to receive it which I did successfully twice, once for my novice and once again when I sat for my Tech before my novice license came. Passed both tests. Haven't used it much since. My choice.

Dave WD9BDZ

2282. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:32:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B926B1-342@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83371

Everything doesn't suck.It is like that wonderfull married (with some grown married offspring) black Christian woman (Pam Roberts) at the Goodwill store told me yesterday afternoon,,,, (she said) Larry,there is good news,,,,, we are here and seeing another day.
cuhulin

2283. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:33:06 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152984786.228548.155380@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
> news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET...
> > John,
> >
> > Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
> > fun is and the copy is much better.
> >
> > A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and various
> > digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The best was
> > DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also has a second
> > channel to display additional information.
> >
> > CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the stone-age
> > hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.
> >
> > MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can use.
> > MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many capabilities in the
> > program.
> >
> > Move on and start having fun.
> >
>
> You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that will
> make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for voice,
> all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you had the
> best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better. Another
> night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will favor CW.
no you don't get it

CW is in radio terms stone age, simol e fact stone does not mean
useless you lack of ability to use english is worse than my problem
with it you can't use words even though you can spell em

A stone club is still leathal does that mean the army should drill and
train with stone weapons?
CW is obselete like HMS Canpous at the battle of the Falklands, like
the Bowmen that fought with em in the retreat to Dunkirk .

utility does not preclude obselenece

LEARN ENGLISH esp when yo u lhave played spelling NAZI your self

2284. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:43:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B9293B-344@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83376

The real genuine Lebanese People are wonderfull People.I personally know this for a FACT.How? Because there were two married Lebanese people who used to manage the old (now defunct,it isn't there anymore) Shoney's restaurant at Westland Plaza shopping center one mile and a half East behind my little doggy's couch,,,,,, back in the 1970's.The Real Lebanese People are wonderfull People.But,Hey,you dont have to take my word for that.Go get some of Curley's (the Three Stooges) Smart Pills.
cuhulin

2285. Country Music 11175

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:44:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B92961.2CAF3E49@milestones.com>
Source record: 83370

Have been monitoring 11175 for several hours here. At 1742 someone keyed up playing country music for about 30 seconds or so, at a good level.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2286. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:46:14 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152985574.360465.197630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> STFU, stupid.
no

and you howl accroos the ngs becuase you can't make me

pretty bad for a 50 yo child

2287. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:48:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B92A5D-1452@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83377

I want to buy a Wonder Bra deep push up bra.Them thangs start out at
about $20.00 and up.google,Wonder Bra.
cuhulin
.....
Now I know what Pam means when she said,Larry,us women go through a lot.
.....

2288. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:50:29 UTC
From: Cameltoe Johnson <Cam@toe.net>
Message-ID: <20060715135029644 40%Cam@toenet>
Source record: 83373

On 2006-07-13 01:45:16 -0400, cuhulin@webtv.net said:

> A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
> Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
> cuhulin
>

Noory is a dildo. They return Monday, Small hydrolic leak, not a
problem currently.

2289. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:51:47 UTC
From: Johnny Borborigmi <growl@tummy.com>
Message-ID: <2006071513514738165%growl@tummycom>
Source record: 83374

On 2006-07-13 11:09:21 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> said:

>
>
> David wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:45:16 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> A few minutes ago George Noory said NASA is tracking problems with
>>> Discovery's engines.When are the Astronauts due to return to Earth?
>>> cuhulin
>>>
>> Engines? What engines?
>
> Engines on the shuttle.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Once the 3 mains are shut down after launch they are not used at all
the rest of the mission. The get their fuel from the ET.

2290. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:52:20 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152985939.918852.270570@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2291. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:52:51 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B92B73-1453@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83379

I am not pretending to be a woman.I only want to dress up exactly like a woman,somtimes,once in a blue Moon.Yeah,yeah,yeah,I know,M II,,, I really once did pretend I was a 15 year old girl pretending I was interested in sex with dogs.Do I really look that crazy?
cuhulin

2292. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 17:59:59 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <62e$44b92d9a$d06640f9$32377@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that will
> make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for voice,
> all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you had the
> best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better. Another
> night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will favor CW.

Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.
You agree that different modes work better under differing conditions.
I agree. That's why is it utterly stupid to test incoming hams on one
mode of communication. Finally we agree on something.
>
> Based on what I've read about DominoEX, it is a form of Multi-Frequency
> Shift Keying. This variation looks to be more robust than others, however,
> anything that can compromise FSK and MFSK has the potential to compromise
> DominoEX. It will merely be a matter of the degree of severity needed
> before it becomes unusable.

Any mode can become unusable depending on conditions. The best thing is
that some digital modes can be decoded when you cannot even hear the
signal with a human ear. You just cannot do that with CW. With CW if
you cannot hear it, you cannot decode it.
>
> Just because something is old doesn't make it useless or stone age. Pencils
> have been around far longer and are still highly useful.

I agree. I never said do away with CW. I like to use it myself.
However, tube rigs suck more electricity than solid state rigs and are
bad for the environment so old things can be rather useless or damaging.
Just like CW, it's not useless, but continuing to have a CW testing
requirement is damaging and outdated.

Riding horses is fun still, but very few want them for the normal day to
day transportation now. They may be useful still, but for everyday
transportation they are no longer required. Horses are found more and
more out in the pasture where the CW testing requirement belongs.

>
> Dee, N8UZE
>
>

2293. Re: (OT) : David "Eduardo" Frackelton-Gleason - Proponent of AM HD-...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:02:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44B92DB4-347@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83384

About two months ago,I read a not too good article on the internet about the Philippines.I forget what it was about though.Like that married Irish woman once told me,,, Larry,you are getting old and penile.(senile) Why do them wimmins always have to be right? Even Albert Einstein couldn't figure them out.
cuhulin

2294. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:06:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B92EB7-1454@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83385

Monday morning,at about Florida Alligator Time Zone.Our Astronauts are
going to light that match and come back to Earth.
cuhulin

2295. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:07:47 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <slbib2907gc2o11j3c0nvljg47fkj1anij@4ax.com>
Source record: 83380

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:02:46 -0400, Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>In article <4hAtg.48292$VE1.45532@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> HD FM is authorized. HD secondary channels are authorized with just a
>> letter. AM HD is authorized daytime. Nights don't matter that much, but
>> would help.
>
>IBOC is a propriatary system. If we are going to have digital radio,
>which I believe we will, it should be a non-propriatary system so one
>company is not getting a royalty from every radio sold and every
>broadcasting station.

They should've used Eureka 147. Cheap chipsets.

2296. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:08:36 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <rnbib2pf2u4qog4p072pcmloudc1qvnbvv@4ax.com>
Source record: 83381

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:13:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> It's a done deal.
>
>
In more ways than one.

Does it work in cars yet?

2297. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:09:44 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eqbib29lk46l1o2aic8plqi78iijuk3joa@4ax.com>
Source record: 83382

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:12:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ms4ib2pj64sqgigfs07t61b49e4sfec4d0@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:41:34 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Actually, gaming is a greater threat than satellite. There ared 132
>>>million
>>>gamers in the US, per Forbes this week. Time spent on computer gaming is
>>>time not spent listdening to the radio.
>>>>
>>>
>> ???
>
>About 10 times or so more gamers, taking time available to listen to the
>radio away.
>
>
Why I have a gamer right here who listens to the radio whilst gaming.

2298. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:09:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B92F69-1455@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83386

George Noory is A OK.I only like to pick on that Kook once in a while.
cuhulin

2299. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:11:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vsbib29bsu58h6qq0o66lgu94j200ii8e3@4ax.com>
Source record: 83383

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>____
>
>KE4ODD
>
>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>prove it.
>
>
>*Used with express written permission of its creator.

Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).

2300. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:11:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B92FEA-1456@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83389

Thet get their signals from ET's old busted phonograph and a coathanger
wire.
cuhulin

2301. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:22:13 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <qgcib2tmcnnnfuk7hrmbird3j2j6umlu3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 83387

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>>____
>>
>>KE4ODD
>>
>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>prove it.
>>
>>
>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
>wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).

Who cares who is wrong? AS long as the terrorists get whats coming to
them!

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2302. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:22:46 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uicib2p13n9g1384cbouoc5plrvo95jnea@4ax.com>
Source record: 83388

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 12:43:23 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>The real genuine Lebanese People are wonderfull People.I personally know
>this for a FACT.How? Because there were two married Lebanese people who
>used to manage the old (now defunct,it isn't there anymore) Shoney's
>restaurant at Westland Plaza shopping center one mile and a half East
>behind my little doggy's couch,,,,,, back in the 1970's.The Real
>Lebanese People are wonderfull People.But,Hey,you dont have to take my
>word for that.Go get some of Curley's (the Three Stooges) Smart Pills.
>cuhulin

Don't worry, I don't put faith in anything that you say.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2303. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:24:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B932E7-1459@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83395

It's been over thrice 7 since I got ''any''.The Bell South woman from Natchez (she got a Bell South transfer from Jackson to Vidalia,Louisiana.(Vidalia is a few miles across the Mississippi River from her home town of Natchez,Mississippi) (Dont worry about it,it works for her) is next door now.She said she might see about selling that house.Of course,I told her to rent it out to half a dozen wimmins,I wont be trying to hit on them because I am to old to cut the mustard anymore.
cuhulin

2304. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:25:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qqaug.131414$dW3.3696@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83390

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44B92337.F3E181EA@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44B91049.4286E6C7@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > I also find it amusing that you bragged about being days away from
>> > gaining
>> > citizenship in Ecuador.
>> >
>> > How interesting that you would want to become a citizen of a country
>> > with
>> > a
>> > totalitarian regime.
>>
>> I had lived there 7 years.
>
> 7 years. Does that include the time you were living and working in the
> USA?
>
> At what point during that 7 years did you renounce your US Citizenship?

At what time did you stop beating your wife? Your questions are really
transparent.

2305. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:26:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lraug.131415$dW3.50564@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83391

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:slbib2907gc2o11j3c0nvljg47fkj1anij@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:02:46 -0400, Sun Chaser <noone@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>In article <4hAtg.48292$VE1.45532@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> HD FM is authorized. HD secondary channels are authorized with just a
>>>> letter. AM HD is authorized daytime. Nights don't matter that much, but
>>>> would help.
>>
>>IBOC is a propriatary system. If we are going to have digital radio,
>>which I believe we will, it should be a non-propriatary system so one
>>company is not getting a royalty from every radio sold and every
>>broadcasting station.
>
> They should've used Eureka 147. Cheap chipsets.
>

But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying it,a
nd it is not working.

2306. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:26:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Draug.131416$dW3.31446@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83392

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:rnbib2pf2u4qog4p072pcmloudc1qvnbvv@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:13:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> It's a done deal.
>>
>>
> In more ways than one.
>
> Does it work in cars yet?

HD? Yes, it works best in cars.
>

2307. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:27:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <esaug.131418$dW3.128109@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83393

```
"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:eqbib29lk46l1o2aic8plqi78iijuk3joa@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:12:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:ms4ib2pj64sqgigfs07t61b49e4sfec4d0@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:41:34 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Actually, gaming is a greater threat than satellite. There ared 132
>>>>million
>>>>gamers in the US, per Forbes this week. Time spent on computer gaming is
>>>>time not spent listdening to the radio.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>> ???
>>
>>About 10 times or so more gamers, taking time available to listen to the
>>radio away.
>>
>>
> Why I have a gamer right here who listens to the radio whilst gaming.

Most games have sound. Most are played with total concentration and not
while listening to radio.
```

2308. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:33:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B934F1.625F2778@milestones.com>
Source record: 83394

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44B92337.F3E181EA@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44B91049.4286E6C7@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > I also find it amusing that you bragged about being days away from
> >> > gaining
> >> > citizenship in Ecuador.
> >> >
> >> > How interesting that you would want to become a citizen of a country
> >> > with
> >> > a
> >> > totalitarian regime.
> >>
> >> I had lived there 7 years.
> >
> > 7 years. Does that include the time you were living and working in the
> > USA?
> >
> > At what point during that 7 years did you renounce your US Citizenship?
>
> At what time did you stop beating your wife? Your questions are really
> transparent.

Oh look Maude, that Gleason boy is trying to be coy!

How prancer like!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2309. Re: New Shortwave Webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:36:20 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1152988580.333320.121740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83396

This is very enjoyable for me with my high noise level on HF. Just want
to thank you for your effort.

2310. Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:52:34 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12biekc7ket3pd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83398

U.S. does not rule out strikes

Via Drudge
http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3275886,00.html

Iran - tick-tock...

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2311. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:53:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B93995-1465@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83399

Dasher,Dancer,Comet,Donner,awwwwwww,,, y'all know it.But there was
Randolph the brown nosed Reindeer.He flew too close behind Rudolph.
cuhulin

2312. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 18:54:00 UTC
From: idhater <idhater@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <12bieel8e2dp621@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83397

Hell yes!!!!

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5350-44B92B73-1453@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...

>I am not pretending to be a woman.I only want to dress up exactly like a
> woman,somtimes,once in a blue Moon.Yeah,yeah,yeah,I know,M II,,, I
> really once did pretend I was a 15 year old girl pretending I was
> interested in sex with dogs.Do I really look that crazy?
> cuhulin
>

2313. Re: (OT) : DaviD - Thank You for Documenting that Bill Clinton Failed to Protect the Citizens of the USA Prior to 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:01:48 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bif5jta3ikjf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83401

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
> >
> You have me confused with a partisan. I think all prsidents suck the
> big one. Some are bigger thieves than others. None of them ever does
> anything to help little guys like me and you.
>

Use your passport and leave.

2314. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:05:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B93C89-1468@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83404

Thunder Road movie has just now started on Radio tv.Dat Eeeese!,I will
be in the area for a while.
cuhulin

2315. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:06:29 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1152990389.717979.100130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83400
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm amazed that of all these postings, I see no mention of Biblicical prophecies. The Big Book has a lot to say about this topic. Enough said.

2316. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:10:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <r7fib2p3miem4b8uqih4cer346u4enepe2@4ax.com>
Source record: 83402

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:26:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>>
>
>But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying it,a
>nd it is not working.
>
>
The ''economy of broadcasting'' is on very shaky ground. Because the
NAB was afraid to give signal parity (betraying a total lack of
confidence in their content) they now must compete with 250 new
stations in every market via Sirius and XM. Real smart move.

2317. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:13:20 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <1gfib2t8524mnv17aubr6vo68dr4dg88bv@4ax.com>
Source record: 83403

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:22:13 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>Who cares who is wrong? AS long as the terrorists get whats coming to
>them!
>____
Define 'terrorist'' please. be objective. Then see if your
definition can be adapted to people who use cruise missiles at 3AM.

2318. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:37:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ubug.121640$H71.11518@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> A stone club is still leathal does that mean the army should drill and
> train with stone weapons?

I'll bet the special forces do indeed study such subjects.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2319. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:39:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Yvbug.121641$H71.36712@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

J. D. B. wrote:
> Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.

Actually, CW is the best mode for me under average
conditions. It's my favorite mode.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2320. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:40:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <74hib2lrn3ga6jjmbiu4q600u0kvq2p0bm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Not Lloyd wrote:
>get help sicko

And you didn't obey him.

2321. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:43:48 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152992628.324967.26700@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > A stone club is still leathal does that mean the army should drill and
> > train with stone weapons?
>
> I'll bet the special forces do indeed study such subjects.
perhaps they do (and come to think of it I hope you are right) but not
most of the Army
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2322. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 19:58:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B948F9-1606@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83409

I have my DD 214 too,right here.You want to come see it?
cuhulin

2323. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:01:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B949A1-1607@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83410

Define Terrorist.Boy,Girl,Minnie Pearl,,, you sure do know how to make it easy.TERRORIST = U.S.federal government.
cuhulin

2324. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:05:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44B94A7B-1608@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83411

Thank You!
cuhulin

2325. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:16:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <i2cug.67299$Lm5.31781@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83412

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:r7fib2p3miem4b8uqih4cer346u4enepe2@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:26:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>
>>But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying
>>it,a
>>nd it is not working.
>>
>>
> The ''economy of broadcasting'' is on very shaky ground. Because the
> NAB was afraid to give signal parity (betraying a total lack of
> confidence in their content) they now must compete with 250 new
> stations in every market via Sirius and XM. Real smart move.

XM and Sirius are not generating a 0.5 share in any market, in the fifth
year of operation.
>

2326. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:17:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S92dnakCBo8J0CTZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
news:62e$44b92d9a$d06640f9$32377@FUSE.NET...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that
>> will make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for
>> voice, all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you
>> had the best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better.
>> Another night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will
>> favor CW.
>
> Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.
> You agree that different modes work better under differing conditions. I
> agree. That's why is it utterly stupid to test incoming hams on one mode
> of communication. Finally we agree on something.

No that has nothing to do with whether it should be tested. We do NOT agree on that issue.

CW is a valid and useful mode but it is different in nature from the other modes. All the other digital modes simply require investing perhaps as much as 30 minutes in setting up the hardware and software to get up and running even if you make your own interface. So one can quickly evaluate whether or not they will like it. On the other hand, it is impossible to determine whether you will like CW until you have learned it, which takes some time. Many people who might like it won't tackle it unless it's required as they will have a false impression that it is too hard since there are so many trying to get the code requirement dropped by trying to convince people it is difficult. It is not difficult to learn but it does take time. I've known a number of people who admitted that they wouldn't have tried it unless required to do so but now find that they enjoy it. It's rather like piano lessons. Every adult I know who took piano as a child has made one of the two following statements: a) I'm glad my parents didn't let me quit, or, b) I wish my parents had not let me quit. The very adults who, as a child, were glad to drop piano are the ones who most deeply regret it now.

>>
>> Based on what I've read about DominoEX, it is a form of Multi-Frequency
>> Shift Keying. This variation looks to be more robust than others,
>> however, anything that can compromise FSK and MFSK has the potential to
>> compromise DominoEX. It will merely be a matter of the degree of
>> severity needed before it becomes unusable.

>
> Any mode can become unusable depending on conditions. The best thing is
> that some digital modes can be decoded when you cannot even hear the
> signal with a human ear. You just cannot do that with CW. With CW if you
> cannot hear it, you cannot decode it.

That is true but it is not a reason to condemn CW. It is merely a working parameter that one must deal with. Besides one can run CW at a higher output without risking damage to the radio to often make up the difference. Keep in mind that if you include the power requirements for the computer and monitor plus radio that a 25watt output signal for the digital mode draws more power than a radio putting out 100watts of CW.

I have repeatedly maintained that each mode has its advantages and disadvantages but the anti-CW crowd takes its particular characteristics as a reason to castigate it. They refuse to objectively evaluate their "high-tech" digital modes for their unique advantages and disadvantages. There are times when a voice signal will beat the digital modes in intelligibility. For example, PSK goes belly up with even the slightest auroral disturbance yet voices, while distorted, can still come through. It will take a higher level of auroral activity to knock out voice in comparison to that required to knock out PSK.

A well rounded ham should be able to select his/her mode on the basis of conditions and not be limited to by the fact that they were allowed to "slide" on the code training.

>>
>> Just because something is old doesn't make it useless or stone age.
>> Pencils have been around far longer and are still highly useful.
>
> I agree. I never said do away with CW. I like to use it myself. However,
> tube rigs suck more electricity than solid state rigs and are bad for the
> environment so old things can be rather useless or damaging. Just like CW,
> it's not useless, but continuing to have a CW testing requirement is
> damaging and outdated.
>

Who says you have to stick to tube rigs to run CW? I know you know better than that. Tube rigs have largely gone away (except for collectors) simply because its much easier to deal with solid state equipment. Yet if one wants to run a legal limit amp, it's going to be a tube unit as they haven't come up with a way to do it cost effectively any other way.

I strongly disagree that a CW testing requirement is damaging or outdated. There simply is not sufficient data to support that point of few. An occasional anecdote does not qualify as valid data.

> Riding horses is fun still, but very few want them for the normal day to
> day transportation now. They may be useful still, but for everyday
> transportation they are no longer required. Horses are found more and
> more out in the pasture where the CW testing requirement belongs.

>

Again I disagree. That analogy is not really valid. A better one would be comparing it to driving an automobile with a stick shift. I personally feel that every one who drives should be required to know how to drive a stick. They often get better mileage than automatics as an experienced driver can do a better job of selecting the shift point than a mere gadget. More people might select stick shifts if only they knew how to drive one.

Dee, N8UZE

2327. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:18:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jOidnX5MRPIT0CTZnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4ubug.121640$H71.11518@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> an old freind wrote:
>> A stone club is still leathal does that mean the army should drill and
>> train with stone weapons?
>
> I'll bet the special forces do indeed study such subjects.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

And no doubt bare hands, wire, and anything else that may be available.

Dee, N8UZE

2328. Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:20:22 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bijp1avbi92b4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83417

DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck from Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm family. Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in escaping interception.

http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184

China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq and Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range C-801 Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803 cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.

The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for its aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a supersonic version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales to Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform, the FH-7 strike fighter.

The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to one ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or nuclear warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to carry nerve gas warheads.

http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml

China's role

If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China will deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier of battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile that is more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120 kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10 coastal-based Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker. Iran has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which can carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.

http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html

Antiship Cruise Missiles

China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused substantial concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the Persian Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise missile

trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently, China's reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong reaction in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against China.

C-802 Missiles

After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship cruise missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a strategic point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.

Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged to violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that transfer destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either Iran or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."

http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2329. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:20:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zrednVOwg-2g0yTZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Yvbug.121641$H71.36712@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> J. D. B. wrote:
>> Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.
>
> Actually, CW is the best mode for me under average
> conditions. It's my favorite mode.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Unfortunately the anti-code crowd sees any rational comparison of the modes as supporting their position. I do not support dropping the code requirement.

Dee, N8UZE

2330. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:22:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152994925.871520.48500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
> news:62e$44b92d9a$d06640f9$32377@FUSE.NET...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that
> >> will make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for
> >> voice, all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you
> >> had the best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better.
> >> Another night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will
> >> favor CW.
> >
> > Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.
> > You agree that different modes work better under differing conditions. I
> > agree. That's why is it utterly stupid to test incoming hams on one mode
> > of communication. Finally we agree on something.
>
> No that has nothing to do with whether it should be tested. We do NOT agree
> on that issue.
lying again bitch
it certainly has something to do with the issue but then you arenot
honest about most thing
```

2331. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:32:16 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152995536.044201.59790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83418

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Is he here,is he there?,,,,

Good question, Cuhulin.

Maybe he's travelling the country with Janet Reno after all.

With him gone, The Great Liberty Net has no proclaimer.

No wonder they've dwindled off to nothing.

2332. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:34:59 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152995699.671081.98920@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Not Lloyd wrote:
> >get help sicko
>
> And you didn't obey him.
why would I obey anybody on here Al
```

2333. (OT) : Picture of 'Sir Hillary'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:42:24 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152996144.511961.132840@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83420

DaviD,

Once again - Why are you bring up the Fact that Bill Clinton
blew-up an Aspirin Factory as a sign of His Greatness !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bill_Clinton
- - - Late One Night Between His Lewinskys :-)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monica_Lewinsky

It's 3 AM in the Morning and Hillary . . .
do you know where Bill is at ? ? ?
-or- Should we say : Up To ! ! !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hillary_Rodham_Clinton

Republican 2008 Presidential Champaign Theme :
Question - How can She hope to Control a Nation ? ? ?
When She couldn't even Control Her Own Husband ! ! !
~ RHF {ibid}

Picture of 'Sir Hillary'
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Hillary_Rodham_Clinton.jpg
Caption : Doing Her Best Nancy Pelosi 'Look'
While Saying To Her Self "Bill Stop That !"

yes - i said that ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:22:13 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Who cares who is wrong? AS long as the terrorists get whats coming to
> >them!
> >_____
> Define 'terrorist'' please. be objective. Then see if your
> definition can be adapted to people who use cruise missiles at 3AM.

2334. Re: HD/IBOC Works Best In Cars ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:50:43 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1152996643.132603.183100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83421

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:rnbib2pf2u4qog4p072pcmloudc1qvnbvv@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:13:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> It's a done deal.
> >>
> >>
> > In more ways than one.
> >
- - Does it work in cars yet?

- HD? Yes, it works best in cars.

DE - Why Do You Say That ?

i want to know ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2335. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:51:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <i3lib2l42159t6kncmff8jrq1c7mq4qgmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 83422

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:16:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:r7fib2p3miem4b8uqih4cer346u4enepe2@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:26:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>
>>>
>>>But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying
>>>it,a
>>>nd it is not working.
>>>
>>>
>> The ''economy of broadcasting'' is on very shaky ground. Because the
>> NAB was afraid to give signal parity (betraying a total lack of
>> confidence in their content) they now must compete with 250 new
>> stations in every market via Sirius and XM. Real smart move.
>
>XM and Sirius are not generating a 0.5 share in any market, in the fifth
>year of operation.
>>
How many subscriptions are there? (Over 10 million)

How many Americans are there? (300 million)

I come up with 3.3% based on babies and deaf people listening.
Whittle that down to 12+ and the % gets bigger.

So much for your ''share''.

2336. Re: (OT) : Picture of 'Sir Hillary'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:57:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B956C0.D27935E0@milestones.com>
Source record: 83423

RHF wrote:

> DaviD,
>
> Once again - Why are you bring up the Fact that Bill Clinton
> blew-up an Aspirin Factory as a sign of His Greatness !
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bill_Clinton
> - - - Late One Night Between His Lewinskys :-)
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monica_Lewinsky
>
> It's 3 AM in the Morning and Hillary . . .
> do you know where Bill is at ? ? ?
> -or- Should we say : Up To ! ! !
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hillary_Rodham_Clinton
>
> Republican 2008 Presidential Champaign Theme :
> Question - How can She hope to Control a Nation ? ? ?
> When She couldn't even Control Her Own Husband ! ! !
> ~ RHF {ibid}
>
> Picture of 'Sir Hillary'
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Hillary_Rodham_Clinton.jpg
> Caption : Doing Her Best Nancy Pelosi 'Look'
> While Saying To Her Self "Bill Stop That !"

I think she has that "Rose Law Firm billing records?, why no, there are no Rose Law Firm billing records on that table" look on her face.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2337. Re: Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:58:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tnlib2hbsn0cecmaqrthianc2fa6t8qb9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 83424

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 15:20:22 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
wrote:

>
HY-2?

2338. Re: (OT) : Picture of 'Sir Hillary'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 20:59:43 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <uolib2lgiicdk558d1egt4msolo76ndcki@4ax.com>
Source record: 83425

On 15 Jul 2006 13:42:24 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

What is the attraction the Clintons have on some people? I don't get
it.

2339. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:00:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B9576F.E5A267FF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83426

David wrote:

> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:16:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:r7fib2p3miem4b8uqih4cer346u4enepe2@4ax.com...
> >> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:26:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying
> >>>it,a
> >>>nd it is not working.
> >>>
> >>>
> >> The ''economy of broadcasting'' is on very shaky ground. Because the
> >> NAB was afraid to give signal parity (betraying a total lack of
> >> confidence in their content) they now must compete with 250 new
> >> stations in every market via Sirius and XM. Real smart move.
> >
> >XM and Sirius are not generating a 0.5 share in any market, in the fifth
> >year of operation.
> >>
> How many subscriptions are there? (Over 10 million)
>
> How many Americans are there? (300 million)
>
> I come up with 3.3% based on babies and deaf people listening.
> Whittle that down to 12+ and the % gets bigger.
>
> So much for your ''share''.

Edweenie will be along shortly to amaze and astound you with even more bullshit
about HD/IBOC.

Hey, maybe you can ask him just when he renounced his citizenship.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2340. Re: (OT) : Picture of 'Sir Hillary'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:03:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B9583E.3B9DEEB6@milestones.com>
Source record: 83427

David wrote:

> On 15 Jul 2006 13:42:24 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> What is the attraction the Clintons have on some people? I don't get
> it.

They're seriously screwed up people, and you know how some of us like to mess with you seriously screwed up people.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2341. Re: (OT) : Picture of 'Sir Hillary'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:15:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B95B04-205@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83428

HitlerB..ch klintoon will Never be the prez or the vp of U.S.A.Belly up to the Bar,boys and gals,,, the drinks are on me.
cuhulin

2342. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:25:43 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <39nib2t8b77ue72kr66kur26244ru7cnho@4ax.com>
Source record: 83429

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 02:25:52 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:07:42 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Steve wrote:
>>
>>> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
>>> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
>>> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
>>
>>You never heard 'em on six?
>>
>>dxAce
>>Michigan
>>USA
>>
>>
>What about WWVH also on some of those? And WWVB on all my clocks?
There are very few general purpose received that can receive WWVB it
is 60khz, which well below even longwave.

2343. Re: Make Lots of Money Quick, Easy & LEGAL with Paypal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:28:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B95E02-211@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83430

ophra is a Stupid old fat ass COW!
cuhulin

2344. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:31:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B95E9E-212@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83432

Het m II,if I was a 15 year old girl,,, do you think I ''would''?
cuhulin

2345. Re: Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:35:10 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1152999310.449150.82680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83431

Now why don't you go out and research all the missiles and other armaments the U.S. has supplied to bad guys around the world. Start with Iraq and Afghanistan and your list will expand as you continue searching.

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck from
> Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm family.
> Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a
> strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in
> escaping interception.
>
> http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184
>
> China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq and
> Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range C-801
> Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803
> cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.
>
> The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for its
> aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a supersonic
> version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales to
> Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform, the
> FH-7 strike fighter.
>
> The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to one
> ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or nuclear
> warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to carry
> nerve gas warheads.
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml
>
> China's role
>
> If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China will
> deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier of
> battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese
> Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing
> could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced
> beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile that is
> more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120
> kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10 coastal-based
> Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker. Iran
> has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which can

> carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.
>
> http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html
>
> Antiship Cruise Missiles
>
> China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused substantial
> concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the Persian
> Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise missile
> trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently, China's
> reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong reaction
> in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against China.
>
> C-802 Missiles
>
> After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program
> which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship cruise
> missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's
> top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile
> development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly
> possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran
> deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a strategic
> point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.
>
> Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the
> United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament
> Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was
> considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged to
> violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is
> also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that transfer
> destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either Iran
> or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing
> sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of
> missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."
>
> http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2346. Re: Thanks mII....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:36:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B95FFB-214@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83434

I almost bet some of y'all wasn't listening to that ''certain'' rush
Oxycontin Viagara limbaugh radio show back in the 1990's. I heard what
that woman said and then all off a sudden that segment got bumped off
the air.Do I look stupid or something?
cuhulin

2347. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:41:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B96125-215@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83435

Israel can not whup Iran and Syria without the help of U.S.A.
cuhulin

2348. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:43:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <718-44B96188-43@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83438

In U.S.fed govt,nowdays,mostly,they are nothing but a bunch of
DumbAsses!
cuhulin

2349. rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:44:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1152999889.890807.108510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83433

First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.

2350. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:45:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <717-44B961FD-216@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83439

matt drudge is a Pussy.He is out on South Beach right now,getting his
boy friends shoved up his Girlyman ass.
cuhulin

2351. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:47:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <718-44B9628C-47@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83440

With janet reno? He couldn't be that crazy!
cuhulin

2352. FA Parts for Hammarlund SP-600 and Collins R-390A Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:51:54 UTC
From: Charlie Hugg <hug-a-bug1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Lrdug.10187$PO.22@dukeread03>
Source record: 83436

FA Hammarlund SP-600 Receiver Parts and some R-390A Parts.
Please take a look.
Thanks, Charlie Hugg, K5MBX

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQfgtpZ1QQfrppZ25QQsassZhugQ2daQ2dbug

2353. Re: rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:52:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B963A3.12DF36C4@milestones.com>
Source record: 83437

Steve wrote:

> First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.

Canucks. I hear Rescue 313 talking to Halifax.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2354. Re: HD/IBOC Works Best In Cars ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 21:57:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xxdug.131466$dW3.101044@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83441

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1152996643.132603.183100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:rnbib2pf2u4qog4p072pcmloudc1qvnbvv@4ax.com...
>> > On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 17:13:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >> It's a done deal.
>> >>
>> >>
>> > In more ways than one.
>> >
> - - Does it work in cars yet?
>
> - HD? Yes, it works best in cars.
>
> DE - Why Do You Say That ?

Best reception conditions exist in cars, with relative isolation fro line noise, computer noise, etc. and n walls / rebar to go through to get to the radio.

2355. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:00:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Lzdug.131467$dW3.17945@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83442

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:i3lib2l42159t6kncmff8jrq1c7mq4qgmg@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:16:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:r7fib2p3miem4b8uqih4cer346u4enepe2@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:26:25 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>But a total disruption of the economy of broadcasting. Canada is trying
>>>>it,a
>>>>nd it is not working.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> The ''economy of broadcasting'' is on very shaky ground. Because the
>>> NAB was afraid to give signal parity (betraying a total lack of
>>> confidence in their content) they now must compete with 250 new
>>> stations in every market via Sirius and XM. Real smart move.
>>
>>XM and Sirius are not generating a 0.5 share in any market, in the fifth
>>year of operation.
>>>
> How many subscriptions are there? (Over 10 million)
>
> How many Americans are there? (300 million)

Actually, there are about 250 million in the ages mesaured by ratings.
>
> I come up with 3.3% based on babies and deaf people listening.

Most installs are in the car. 1/3 of radio listening is in the car. So drop
that 10 million to the equivalent of 3 million.

> Whittle that down to 12+ and the % gets bigger.

And the actually measured usage is around a 0.4 to 0.5.
>
> So much for your ''share''.

No, so much for Arbitron's share. Not every subscriber listens to satellite
all the time in t he car, either.

2356. Re: rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:26:43 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153002403.417181.310760@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83443

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.
>
> Canucks. I hear Rescue 313 talking to Halifax.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Yep, I heard someone (Rescue 313, I believe) say they were returning to
Yarmouth.

Steve

2357. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:33:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <t1rib2tprn6hkb6ravls887sbdk2v252rj@4ax.com>
Source record: 83444

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 22:00:11 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>And the actually measured usage is around a 0.4 to 0.5.
>>
>> So much for your ''share''.
>
>No, so much for Arbitron's share. Not every subscriber listens to satellite
>all the time in t he car, either.
>
>
Measured by whom of whom? If Arbitron is so accurate how come they're
moving toward passive automated measurements?

I guarantee you that satellite radio is siphoning off your best
customers; tech savvy early adopter types with disposable income.
These are just the the low hanging fruit, too. Followed by news
junkies, music niche afficionados and other underserved pockets.

What you'll have left is brain dead lowest common denominator zombies.

2358. Re: WWV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:36:00 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <bdrib2ddk1lpa0oc5a4bua93esvj9m7tku@4ax.com>
Source record: 83445

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 21:25:43 GMT, matt weber <matthewww50@verizon.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 02:25:52 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 22:07:42 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Steve wrote:
>>>
>>>> Currently hearing WWV on 20, 15, 10 and 5 mhz. Can't quite make out
>>>> 2.5. No doubt I will later tonight, but of course I'll lose 20. I check
>>>> occasionally on the off chance I can hear WWV on all five frequencies.
>>>
>>>You never heard 'em on six?
>>>
>>>dxAce
>>>Michigan
>>>USA
>>>
>>>
>>What about WWVH also on some of those? And WWVB on all my clocks?
>There are very few general purpose received that can receive WWVB it
>is 60khz, which well below even longwave.

Can you get them to drop the carrier for a few seconds to see if
that's what I'm getting on my R8B?

2359. Re: Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:36:58 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12birp5d4tthh41@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83447

What language would you be speaking if the U.S. had not?

Don't pull your bullshit Nazi Professor philosophy around here Johnny baby.

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1152999310.449150.82680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Now why don't you go out and research all the missiles and other
> armaments the U.S. has supplied to bad guys around the world. Start
> with Iraq and Afghanistan and your list will expand as you continue
> searching.
>
>
> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> > DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck
from
> > Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm
family.
> > Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a
> > strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in
> > escaping interception.
> >
> > http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184
> >
> > China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq
and
> > Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range
C-801
> > Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803
> > cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.
> >
> > The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for
its
> > aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a
supersonic
> > version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales
to
> > Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform,
the
> > FH-7 strike fighter.
> >
> > The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to
one
> > ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or
nuclear
> > warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to

carry
> > nerve gas warheads.
> >
> > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml
> >
> > China's role
> >
> > If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China
will
> > deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier
of
> > battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese
> > Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing
> > could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced
> > beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile
that is
> > more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120
> > kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10
coastal-based
> > Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker.
Iran
> > has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which
can
> > carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.
> >
> > http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html
> >
> > Antiship Cruise Missiles
> >
> > China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused
substantial
> > concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the
Persian
> > Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise
missile
> > trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently,
China's
> > reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong
reaction
> > in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against
China.
> >
> > C-802 Missiles
> >
> > After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program
> > which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship
cruise
> > missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's
> > top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile
> > development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly

> > possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran
> > deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a
strategic
> > point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.
> >
> > Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the
> > United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament
> > Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was
> > considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged
to
> > violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is
> > also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that
transfer
> > destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either
Iran
> > or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing
> > sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of
> > missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."
> >
> > http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html
> >
> > --
> > ____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>

2360. The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:37:47 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12birqkj53d6j6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83498

"Palestinian" FAKE images industry

http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-images-industry.html

Palestinian Media Fakery

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2361. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:40:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <u9eug.131473$dW3.130802@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83446

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:t1rib2tprn6hkb6ravls887sbdk2v252rj@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 22:00:11 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>And the actually measured usage is around a 0.4 to 0.5.
>>>
>>> So much for your ''share''.
>>
>>No, so much for Arbitron's share. Not every subscriber listens to
>>satellite
>>all the time in t he car, either.
>>
>>
> Measured by whom of whom?

Arbitron.

>If Arbitron is so accurate how come they're
> moving toward passive automated measurements?

You are referring to the people meter. The advantages of the meter are
measurement of radio, TV, streaming, cable and satellite in one survey and
faster delivery of data (it is fed daily form the meter to Arbitrron)

There is not a sidnifican change in usage of each station or its ranks in
the market, as proven by 2 years of testing in Philadelphia and a year in
Houston, still ongoing.

The biggest thing the meter shows is that the diary method is very accurate.
>
> I guarantee you that satellite radio is siphoning off your best
> customers; tech savvy early adopter types with disposable income.

No, it is not. I have looked at satellite diaries from a bunch of markets
and such is not the case. In fact, I programmed five XM channels over the
first 3 years of that service, and did not find that tue there, either.

> These are just the the low hanging fruit, too. Followed by news
> junkies, music niche afficionados and other underserved pockets.

The biggest users are interstate truckers, folks who drive from one city to
another for work, and partisans of formats that just do not exist and can
not exist on terrestrial radio, like 50's oldies, real jazz, etc.
>
> What you'll have left is brain dead lowest common denominator zombies.

There is no qualitative data supporting this.

At the current rate, it will take another 4 to 5 years to get to a 1% share of listening, or the equivalent of one very low rated station in each market.

2362. Re: rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:48:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B970AE.297116E8@milestones.com>
Source record: 83499

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.
> >
> > Canucks. I hear Rescue 313 talking to Halifax.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Yep, I heard someone (Rescue 313, I believe) say they were returning to
> Yarmouth.

You might want to try 5681 for "Plymouth Rescue" out of Plymouth, England. I haven't parked on that frequency for some years but I imagine it may still be in use.

5695 is supposedly a secondary freq, shared with "Edinburgh Rescue" which may also use 5681 as a primary.

Someone from the other side of the pond may have some info that may be more up to date

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2363. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 22:55:06 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bisr4t598gk4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83500

In which camp does John S. belong?

The "Peace Mom's" Partnership with the Prince of Marxist Propaganda
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23312

The Resurrection of European Communism
The West is witnessing the rise of its own homegrown totalitarianism.
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23311

Noam Chomsky's Love Affair with Nazis
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=22454

Heil, Professor Chomsky! - Nazism's academic roots.
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23293

Hitler's Professor Chomsky
http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/individualProfile.asp?indid=1232

Understanding the International Solidarity Movement

"We were given training manuals with a history of the conflict that focused on bringing down western governments like in Israel and America. We were instructed on working in non-hierarchical "affinity groups" so nobody could pinpoint the actual leadership."

What you don't know can kill you,
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23361

Hate-Comics for Kids
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=22438

...a new "corporate" entity has emerged. Its active agents tend to be Palestinians, who have learned the ropes fabricating Israeli "atrocities."
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245

Palestinian Historical Revisionism

The cartoon in the July 6 edition of Al Quds depicts a Palestinian and an Iraqi being crucified on the same cross. This expresses a common Palestinian motif, found in both text and cartoons, that depicts Palestinians as Jesus, and Jesus as a Palestinian.

Historical revision is a backbone of Palestinian political and academic behavior, as the leaders attempt to create a separate "Palestinian Arab" history and identity for themselves, distinct from the general Arab and Islamic history. "Palestinian" Arabs are a very recent creation, and did not exist before the advent of PLO terror in 1965. Note, for example, that under UN resolution 194 in 1948, refugees were not called "Palestinian Refugees,"

relating to a national identity, but were called "Palestine Refugees,"
referring to geography - from where they came.

Jesus was Palestinian, the Palestinians are Jesus
One aspect of this attempt to create a Palestinian history is to present
Jesus, who according to Christian scriptures was a Jew (Judean) living in
the land of Judea-Israel, as a Palestinian. (In fact the name was changed
to Palestine 136 years after Jesus' s death as part of Rome's plan to cut
the Jews' ties to their Land of Israel.)

Jesus has even been presented by Palestinians as the "the first Palestinian
Shahid - Martyr for Allah," [Al-Hayat Al-Jadida, January 17, 2005] applying
to Jesus Islamic theology that evolved centuries later. On a culture program
on Palestinian TV, a Palestinian artist depicted Israeli soldiers arresting
Jesus, and explains that Jesus symbolizes the Palestinians.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23278

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1152999310.449150.82680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Now why don't you go out and research all the missiles and other
> armaments the U.S. has supplied to bad guys around the world. Start
> with Iraq and Afghanistan and your list will expand as you continue
> searching.
>
>
> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> > DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck
from
> > Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm
family.
> > Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a
> > strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in
> > escaping interception.
> >
> > http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184
> >
> > China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq
and
> > Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range
C-801
> > Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803
> > cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.
> >
> > The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for
its
> > aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a
supersonic
> > version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales
to

> > Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform,
the
> > FH-7 strike fighter.
> >
> > The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to
one
> > ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or
nuclear
> > warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to
carry
> > nerve gas warheads.
> >
> > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml
> >
> > China's role
> >
> > If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China
will
> > deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier
of
> > battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese
> > Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing
> > could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced
> > beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile
that is
> > more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120
> > kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10
coastal-based
> > Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker.
Iran
> > has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which
can
> > carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.
> >
> > http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html
> >
> > Antiship Cruise Missiles
> >
> > China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused
substantial
> > concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the
Persian
> > Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise
missile
> > trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently,
China's
> > reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong
reaction
> > in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against
China.

> >
> > C-802 Missiles
> >
> > After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program
> > which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship
cruise
> > missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's
> > top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile
> > development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly
> > possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran
> > deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a
strategic
> > point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.
> >
> > Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the
> > United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament
> > Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was
> > considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged
to
> > violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is
> > also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that
transfer
> > destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either
Iran
> > or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing
> > sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of
> > missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."
> >
> > http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html
> >
> > --
> > ____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>

2364. Re: rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:10:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B975D8.B73F5BCA@milestones.com>
Source record: 83501

dxAce wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > > First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.
> > >
> > > Canucks. I hear Rescue 313 talking to Halifax.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > Yep, I heard someone (Rescue 313, I believe) say they were returning to
> > Yarmouth.
>
> You might want to try 5681 for "Plymouth Rescue" out of Plymouth, England. I
> haven't parked on that frequency for some years but I imagine it may still be in
> use.

I just did a Google and found this on "Plymouth Rescue", which has apparently been closed. Alo indicates that the frequency that may now be in use is 5680 vs. 5681. I seem to recall some slight frequency shifts a number of year ago.

http://myweb.tiscali.co.uk/beaconworld/plymouth.htm

>
>
> 5695 is supposedly a secondary freq, shared with "Edinburgh Rescue" which may
> also use 5681 as a primary.
>
> Someone from the other side of the pond may have some info that may be more up
> to date
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

2365. OT Re: The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:36:39 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <b_eug.108837$I61.55862@clgrps13>
Source record: 83502

SeeingEyeDog wrote:

> "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
>
> http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> mages-industry.html
>
>
> Palestinian Media Fakery
>
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245

Well..they must be really good at it. It appears they have fooled ninety
percent of the world. The Palestinian as 'Perpetual Victim'. I wonder
who they could possible have learned that from.

http://www.soundofegypt.com/palestinian/adult/massacres.htm
http://www.nogw.com/israeliatrocities.html
http://pedulipalestina.blogspot.com/
http://www.answering-christianity.com/pali_torture.htm
http://www.thenews.com.pk/update_detail.asp?id=5161
http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/WO0401/S00014.htm
http://www.nogw.com/images/inminds.jpg
http://www.revisionisthistory.org/palestine.html

My favourite zionist quote:

"One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail."
Rabbi Yaacov Perrin, Feb. 27, 1994 [N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1994, p. 1]

If Jesus were here today, He'd be Palestinian.

mike

2366. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:36:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7957-44B97C16-337@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83503

Israel is the one and only sane Country over there.Dont y'all worry
about Israel.Israel is going to survive.
cuhulin

2367. Re: OT Re: The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:48:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44B97ED1.F8909285@milestones.com>
Source record: 83504

m II wrote:

> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
>
> > "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
> >
> > http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> > mages-industry.html
> >
> >
> > Palestinian Media Fakery
> >
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
>
> Well..they must be really good at it. It appears they have fooled ninety
> percent of the world. The Palestinian as 'Perpetual Victim'. I wonder
> who they could possible have learned that from.
>
> http://www.soundofegypt.com/palestinian/adult/massacres.htm
> http://www.nogw.com/israeliatrocities.html
> http://pedulipalestina.blogspot.com/
> http://www.answering-christianity.com/pali_torture.htm
> http://www.thenews.com.pk/update_detail.asp?id=5161
> http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/WO0401/S00014.htm
> http://www.nogw.com/images/inminds.jpg
> http://www.revisionisthistory.org/palestine.html
>
> My favourite zionist quote:
>
> "One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail."
> Rabbi Yaacov Perrin, Feb. 27, 1994 [N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1994, p. 1]

Here's my favourite quote:

"One million Canucks are not worth one Americans fecal matter."

> If Jesus were here today, He'd be Palestinian.

Well, he sure wouldn't be a dumbass Canuck.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2368. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:50:15 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153007415.566245.75640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83505

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> With janet reno? He couldn't be that crazy!
> cuhulin

You don't know Y-Man ..

He's a wild and crazzzy guy ! ! !

2369. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-15 23:59:28 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <32903$44b981da$d06640f9$12761@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee, you can disagree all you want. The fact is that the world is moving on and away from CW testing. Not the mode, but the testing requirement. It is just outdated and not necessary. If you want to learn it and then use it, great. The time has come to stop forcing an old mode onto people. We can debate the merits of CW all day. In 200 years, no one will be using it. It will die a natural death like all languages because the world evolves. Better things come along. And like many humans, you are resisting change because people hate change. But change is inevitable. You cannot stop this change and it is a change for the better even if you won't admit it. History will show the only way to save the Amateur Radio Service and help its growth is to evolve and change. Out with the old and in with the new. Those that won't evolve will fade from the earth just like stone-age man. Good bye CW testing requirement. Your departure is long, long overdue.

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> Again I disagree. That analogy is not really valid. A better one would be
> comparing it to driving an automobile with a stick shift. I personally feel
> that every one who drives should be required to know how to drive a stick.
> They often get better mileage than automatics as an experienced driver can
> do a better job of selecting the shift point than a mere gadget. More
> people might select stick shifts if only they knew how to drive one.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>
>

2370. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:05:18 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9l0jb2ho7fptva3g5h30c4ko7ksppj3usp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83507

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 19:13:20 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 14:22:13 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Who cares who is wrong? AS long as the terrorists get whats coming to
>>them!
>>____
>Define 'terrorist'' please. be objective. Then see if your
>definition can be adapted to people who use cruise missiles at 3AM.

You seem to fit the bill Rickets....

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2371. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:07:29 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <4m0jb25s01t9m67ifn5svfnahpjt6qgbic@4ax.com>
Source record: 83508

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>>____
>>
>>KE4ODD
>>
>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>prove it.
>>
>>
>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
>wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).

You ought to know....you seem to be the resident expert on morons.
Which wars were you around besides the ones that you were
demonstrating? We all know you were to chicken to fight. As a matter
of fact, you were probably one od them Canadian draft dodgers too!

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2372. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:13:15 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vwfug.121744$H71.63928@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> Unfortunately the anti-code crowd sees any rational comparison of the modes
> as supporting their position. I do not support dropping the code
> requirement.

It's like riding a bicycle when you could be driving an SUV.
If you like to do it, then do it, but don't force others to
adopt your particular favorite aspect of our hobby. There's
room for many favorite aspects.

For communications capability under difficult conditions, CW
cannot hold a candle to PACTOR II. For fun, PACTOR II cannot
hold a candle to CW. That's my personal experience and opinion.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2373. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:49:00 UTC
From: Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net
Message-ID: <34kdfo.sdf04sffs@devner.net>
Source record: 83510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <29GdnQxiI6gLuSTZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
>news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET...
>> John,
>>
>> Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
>> fun is and the copy is much better.
>>
>> A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and various
>> digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The best was
>> DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also has a second
>> channel to display additional information.
>>
>> CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the stone-age
>> hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.
>>
>> MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can use.
>> MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many capabilities in the
>> program.
>>
>> Move on and start having fun.
>>
>
>You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that will
>make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for voice,
>all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you had the
>best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better. Another
>night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will favor CW.
>
>Based on what I've read about DominoEX, it is a form of Multi-Frequency
>Shift Keying. This variation looks to be more robust than others, however,
>anything that can compromise FSK and MFSK has the potential to compromise
>DominoEX. It will merely be a matter of the degree of severity needed
>before it becomes unusable.
>
>Just because something is old doesn't make it useless or stone age. Pencils
>have been around far longer and are still highly useful.
>
>Dee, N8UZE

Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"

Hymie

2374. Iraq's Civil War Spins out of US and Iraqi Government Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:55:25 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153011325.429281.45340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83511

Iraq's Civil War Spins out of US and Iraqi Government Control

http://www.debka.com/article.php?aid=1182

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
>
> http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> mages-industry.html
>
>
> Palestinian Media Fakery
>
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2375. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 00:58:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B98F3A-1520@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83513

If I was the prez,the very first thing I would (forget the prez ceremonies,that's BullS.t!) do is Outlaw abortion.Next,I would have more than enough U.S.Troops on our U.S.Borders and U.S.Coastal areas with Orders to Shoot to KILL any and all foreign aliens.Then I would NUKE any countries in the World I dont like,, starting with limeyand.
cuhulin

2376. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:00:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44B98FC2-1521@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83515

I have some ugly old ex galfriends much better looking and smarter than
janet reno.
cuhulin

2377. Re: rescue comms on 5717 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:01:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153011700.599221.84260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83512

dxAce wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > First heard them about ten minutes ago. They're intermittent.
> > > >
> > > > Canucks. I hear Rescue 313 talking to Halifax.
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > >
> > > Yep, I heard someone (Rescue 313, I believe) say they were returning to
> > > Yarmouth.
> >
> > You might want to try 5681 for "Plymouth Rescue" out of Plymouth, England. I
> > haven't parked on that frequency for some years but I imagine it may still be in
> > use.
>
> I just did a Google and found this on "Plymouth Rescue", which has apparently been
> closed. Alo indicates that the frequency that may now be in use is 5680 vs. 5681. I
> seem to recall some slight frequency shifts a number of year ago.
>
> http://myweb.tiscali.co.uk/beaconworld/plymouth.htm
>
> >
> >
> > 5695 is supposedly a secondary freq, shared with "Edinburgh Rescue" which may
> > also use 5681 as a primary.
> >
> > Someone from the other side of the pond may have some info that may be more up
> > to date
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA

Thanks for this info. I'll have to keep an ear on these frequencies and
see what pops up.

Steve

2378. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:06:58 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <0r3jb29h8r0l4bcbgq2qt512be75mv4j4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 83514

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 22:40:26 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>

>At the current rate, it will take another 4 to 5 years to get to a 1% share
>of listening, or the equivalent of one very low rated station in each
>market.
>
That is the mantra we keep hearing.

2.5 million subs is 1% of the potential audience. Satellite passed
that years ago. If you think people listen to satellite and (not
even) free radio equally, once they have experienced satellite, you
are dreaming.

And the fact that you do not acknowledge the special nature of the
KGOs and KSLs of the world out here in the American West shows a lack
of understanding of broadcasting fundamentals. Forget about sales and
think about service.

Your off-hand dismissal of the half of the population that chooses to
live beyond the suburbs is crass and just makes me hope that the death
of corporate cookie cutter radio comes quickly.

2379. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:10:53 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153012253.101790.290630@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hymie wrote:
> In article <29GdnQxiI6gLuSTZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
> >

> >Dee, N8UZE
>
>
> Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
> situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
> wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
wrong again
>
> Hymie

2380. Floyd tribute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:12:37 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153012357.793649.144250@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83518

Hearing lots of Floyd on 6876 AM through pretty serious QRN.

2381. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:12:41 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gb4jb25uaa4bds450glr06dkl6qtlin9qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 83517

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:07:29 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>>>____
>>>
>>>KE4ODD
>>>
>>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>>prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>>Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
>>wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).
>
>
>You ought to know....you seem to be the resident expert on morons.
>Which wars were you around besides the ones that you were
>demonstrating? We all know you were to chicken to fight. As a matter
>of fact, you were probably one od them Canadian draft dodgers too!
>____
>
Never protested any wars.

Got a good lottery number in '69, thanks a bunch.

That being said, people who volunteer for corporate wars are guilty.
They do no service to a noble calling when they facilitate a corrupt
government's maniacal plan for global conquest.

Lookie here; this is how shit works:

''In essence, the United States had engaged in a massive covert
operation designed to remove a democratically elected leader from
power and reinstall an authoritarian monarch (a move which makes a
mockery of our currently stated desire to "spread democracy" in the
Middle East).

This affair had several disastrous ramifications for the future of American-Iranian relations. First, the Shah, from that point forward, was viewed as a creature of America. Consequently, America became an accessory to his every oppressive act during the subsequent 26 years of his rule. Second, the American embassy in Tehran was permanently marked as a "nest of spies" in the eyes of the Iranian populace. And third, Iranian democracy was strangled in its crib.

The next time the populace rose to overthrow the Shah (in the 1970s), they viewed America as their enemy and were cheering a leader who was significantly less democratic than Mossadeq. When rumors began circulating that the Americans were going to bring the Shah back via yet another covert operation, the Iranian mobs responded by seizing the US embassy in Tehran and holding its workers hostage''

http://www.lewrockwell.com/latulippe/latulippe41.html

2382. Israel Attacks on Lebanon A Psy-Op to Push War on Iran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:15:32 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2p4jb2hu81hankjib3hvlsrng1kq52d1r9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83519

http://www.infowars.com/articles/ww3/israel_attacks_lebanon_psyop.htm

2383. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:22:25 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153012945.226539.187150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Yvbug.121641$H71.36712@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > J. D. B. wrote:
> >> Thanks Dee, you admitted that CW is not the best mode in any condition.
> >
> > Actually, CW is the best mode for me under average
> > conditions. It's my favorite mode.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Unfortunately the anti-code crowd sees any rational comparison of the modes
> as supporting their position. I do not support dropping the code
> requirement.
no Dee we see the results of that comparision as supporting our
postiion

no rational analyis of the GW merits justifies it place in the scheme
of thing
unless Morse code is claerly and always supperior to any other mode
than the justifaction for testing for CW alone in undermined
>
> Dee, N8UZE

2384. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:22:29 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2b0qfj@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2b0qfj@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 83531

John S. Wrote:
> weatherall wrote: Reviews of both are he
> http://www.radiointel.com/reviews.htm
>
> Be aware that the Eton E5 gobbles batteries even when turned off. I
> own one and typically have to recharge the batteries after 2 months
> of
> non-use. My E5 also came with a power adapter that generates
> interference on shortwave bands. Other than those issues, I am happy
> with my E5.
> [/i][/color]
>
> If you left the batteries on a shelf they would discharge too. What
> makes you think the radio is somehow causing a drain.

I use the same type of rechargeable batteries in my Eton E5 and my Sony ICF SW7600GR:

* batteries acquired at the same time
* charged in the same standalone charger
* swapped between the radios to factor out bad batteries

Side by side, rechargeable batteries last longer in the SW7600GR than in the E5. Even if I use the SW7600GR more in a particular time period, the E5 will still end up with depleted batteries first.

Now, let me tell you what I haven't yet tried. I don't know how accurate the battery level sensors are in these two radios. An excellent experiment would be: when the E5 shows that the batteries are too low, take them out and put them into the SW7600GR. Attempt to power on the Sony and see if I can get more life out of them before that radio declares them too low.

--
weatherall

2385. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:34:49 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153013689.468443.271200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83521

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I have some ugly old ex galfriends much better looking and smarter than
> janet reno.
> cuhulin

We all do - you're the first to admit it publicly, though. That took a lot of courage, Cuhulin. Such stark honesty in a place where the critics and detractors can be brutal. My hat's off to ya'.

But it seems that Y-Man has this ongoing fascination for Ms. Reno. It might have been a slip of tongue (or keyboard) but he once mentioned the prospect of perhaps licking Ms. Reno's face. Right here on rrs. True story. Run a search and see for yourself.

I'm hoping that the Y-Man can claim momentary chemical impairment or some other acceptable rationale for his fantasy about Ms. Reno's face.

Meantime let's keep him in our prayers that it's not something worse and lingering.

2386. Re: Israel Attacks on Lebanon A Psy-Op to Push War on Iran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:39:46 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153013986.565690.299020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83522

David wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/articles/ww3/israel_attacks_lebanon_psyop.htm
somebody is on drugs

2387. Re: Israel Attacks on Lebanon A Psy-Op to Push War on Iran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:42:54 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153014174.668980.25020@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83523

David wrote:
> http://www.infowars.com/articles/ww3/israel_attacks_lebanon_psyop.htm

- Hopeless.

Alex Jones sounds like the man

Broadcasting while Watchin The Saucers land

2388. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:46:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jUgug.6083$2v.2484@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83524

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:0r3jb29h8r0l4bcbgq2qt512be75mv4j4h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 22:40:26 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>At the current rate, it will take another 4 to 5 years to get to a 1%
>>share
>>of listening, or the equivalent of one very low rated station in each
>>market.
>>
> That is the mantra we keep hearing.
>
> 2.5 million subs is 1% of the potential audience.

Nope. You are assuming that subscribers will not use terrestrial radio at all. This is proven quite inaccurate.

Second, most receivers (over 90%) are fixed in vehicles. That means only 30% of the radio listening time for each person is reachable by satellite.

The 10 million actual subscribers, multiplied by 0.30, are "worth" 3,000,000 listeners. Since they only listen poart of the time to XM wherever it is installed, they can get about a 0.7 share of listening.

Actual measurement shows it to be more in the range of 0.4%.

> Satellite passed
> that years ago. If you think people listen to satellite and (not
> even) free radio equally, once they have experienced satellite, you
> are dreaming.

I know that. Subscribers to satellite with fixed, in car receivers, listen to over two times as much free radio as satellite. In truth, since most subscribers also listen to some terrestrial radio where they have satellite, the percentage is 75% free and 25% satellite.
>
> And the fact that you do not acknowledge the special nature of the
> KGOs and KSLs of the world out here in the American West shows a lack
> of understanding of broadcasting fundamentals. Forget about sales and
> think about service.

The day of KGO and KSL and KOA and... uh, and, uh.... well, of the few big night signals is gone. Daytime radio audiences are 2 to 4 times greater than night audiences, and AM at night has a lower share of radio listening vs. FM

than it does in the daytime.
>
> Your off-hand dismissal of the half of the population that chooses to
> live beyond the suburbs is crass and just makes me hope that the death
> of corporate cookie cutter radio comes quickly.

Let me see... 60% of the US population lives in the top 50 metros. So half the population CAN NOT live in rural areas. The top 100 markets have bring big city dwellers to over 70% of the US population.

Your math is off. Both on radio and population.

2389. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:47:56 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153014476.145922.280840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83525

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Israel can not whup Iran and Syria without the help of U.S.A.
> cuhulin
Ireal can beat ALL it neighboor at once without us only problem would
resuppling afterward which we would never prevent

2390. Re: Floyd tribute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 01:49:03 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <mXgug.629$AP3.541@fe06.lga>
Source record: 83526

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153012357.793649.144250@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hearing lots of Floyd on 6876 AM through pretty serious QRN.

That's probably the Poet running the Johnson. For those not in the know,
that would be the Crystal Ship. He's off his usual freq of 6875. Are you
sure your not off freq?

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

2391. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 02:15:22 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153016122.292289.157330@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83527

LW wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > I have some ugly old ex galfriends much better looking and smarter than
> > janet reno.
> > cuhulin
>
> We all do - you're the first to admit it publicly, though. That took a
> lot of courage, Cuhulin. Such stark honesty in a place where the
> critics and detractors can be brutal. My hat's off to ya'.
>
> But it seems that Y-Man has this ongoing fascination for Ms. Reno. It
> might have been a slip of tongue (or keyboard) but he once mentioned
> the prospect of perhaps licking Ms. Reno's face. Right here on rrs.
> True story. Run a search and see for yourself.
>
> I'm hoping that the Y-Man can claim momentary chemical impairment or
> some other acceptable rationale for his fantasy about Ms. Reno's face.
>
> Meantime let's keep him in our prayers that it's not something worse
> and lingering.

HAVE NO FEAR .... Y-MAN IS HERE!

HEAR YE HEAR YE. THE GREAT LIBERTY NET IS NOW IN SESSION ON 3952.5 KHZ
LSB.

Listen in for the finest entertainment around! And you might even hear
a jammer or two!

P.S. ... My date with Janet Reno is a sordid part of my past that I'd
rather forget. I was forced into it by losing a bet. It was only the
liquor that allowed me to survive it.

By the way ... "she" has no genitals!

2392. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 02:20:56 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153016456.072805.298430@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83528

SeeingEyeDog wrote:

>
> Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?
>

YES

2393. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 02:51:21 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153018281.628583.11030@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83530
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Lloyd wrote:
let cover wisemen life

nothing at all butranting and raving

2394. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:21:28 UTC
From: liam_mccauley <z@z.z>
Message-ID: <z-A254D7.23212715072006@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 83532

In article <717-44B96125-215@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>,
cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Israel can not whup Iran and Syria without the help of U.S.A.
> cuhulin

They sure can, your tax dollars at work! Those Rosenbergs might just
make one big USS Liberty of Syria!

And stop being so hard on matty drudge. he's just a good jew ish boy
doing whats best for his country.

2395. Remember this Zenith Transoceanic ad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:25:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153020329.563436.202020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83533

http://www.retrojunk.com/details_commercial/1666/

Steve

2396. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:27:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%miug.535$157.8@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83537
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in news:3C_tg.191877$IK3.72019@pd7tw1no:

> J. D. B. wrote:
>> Well at least your estate will get to keep your life savings. If you
>> get sick late in the year, and the healthcare facility/hospital shut
>> down for the rest of the year because it ran out of government money (a
>> typical scenario in Canada), there will be no one to give you any care
>> and you'll just die.
>
> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be able
> to handle reality.
>
> But hey, your life savings will still be intact!
>> Is socialized health care great or what?

Provided you don't die while standing in line for the doctor.

SC

2397. Re: (OT) Beirut Airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:27:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0niug.536$157.101@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83534

"SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote in
news:12bgc968mtcot0f@corp.supernews.com:

>
> "Tony" <tshimi@comcast.net> wrote
>>
>> Welcome to my kill file.
>>
>
> Are you making a threat?
>
>
>

I better watch my back.

2398. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:27:58 UTC
From: Radio Buff <rb.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2niug.537$157.345@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83535
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:1152990389.717979.100130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I'm amazed that of all these postings, I see no mention of Biblicical
> prophecies. The Big Book has a lot to say about this topic. Enough said.
>

This is turning out to look like a conflict of biblical proportion. Don't
bet on the sand niggers.

SC

2399. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4niug.538$157.336@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET:

> John,
>
> Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
> fun is and the copy is much better.
>
> A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and
> various digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The
> best was DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also
> has a second channel to display additional information.
>
> CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the
> stone-age hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital
> modes.

Yes, just as long as it's still required to get a license and the speeds
need to be bumped back up to 13 & 20wpm again.

SC

2400. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5niug.539$157.298@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83539
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"JOHN D" <jawnd393one@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:gD2ug.2582$k31.2016@trnddc06:

>
>> "L is easy to remember. "to hell with it".
>>
>>Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"
>
> I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+
> years cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't
> find anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> projects.
>
> I expect there are some technically competent people who might be
> interested in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> John

They're not interested in being about to communicate in all ways
effectively, they just want to be phonies. They they could've stuck to CB
for that.

2401. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8niug.541$157.430@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83538

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:5350-44B92B73-1453@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> I am not pretending to be a woman.I only want to dress up exactly like a
> woman,somtimes,once in a blue Moon.Yeah,yeah,yeah,I know,M II,,, I
> really once did pretend I was a 15 year old girl pretending I was
> interested in sex with dogs.Do I really look that crazy?
> cuhulin
>
>

Ahhhh... Ahhhh....

SC

2402. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dniug.545$157.328@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83541

"SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote in
news:12biekc7ket3pd2@corp.supernews.com:

> U.S. does not rule out strikes
>
> Via Drudge
> http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3275886,00.html
>
> Iran - tick-tock...
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

Where's a good place to watch the barbeque?

SC

2403. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eniug.546$157.283@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83540

David <rickets@knac.com> wrote in
news:1gfib2t8524mnv17aubr6vo68dr4dg88bv@4ax.com:

> Define 'terrorist'' please. be objective. Then see if your
> definition can be adapted to people who use cruise missiles at 3AM.
>
>

Some assholes need to get spanked & cruise missles at 3am in the morning
is a good way to do it.

sc

2404. So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 03:34:03 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <58u36.mkr.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83529
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we:

1) He was drafted into the US Army.
2) He is a member of the Chemical Corps.
3) The military won't let him retire.
4) He doesn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan', but that's okay, 'cuz
the FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told him he can do it.
5) He is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
ex-wives
6) He was, until recently, paying $5/hr. to access his ISP
7) He has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) He is a bi-sexual 33 yr. old Pagan male ham who likes to be spanked.

9) He can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so he
has to ask people on USENET.

Have I missed anything?

snicker

2405. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:11:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023098.148215.15320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "JOHN D" <jawnd393one@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:gD2ug.2582$k31.2016@trnddc06:
>
> >
> >> "L is easy to remember. "to hell with it".
> >>
> >>Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"
> >
> > I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+
> > years cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> > Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't
> > find anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> > If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> > projects.
> >
> > I expect there are some technically competent people who might be
> > interested in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> > John
>
> They're not interested in being about to communicate in all ways
> effectively, they just want to be phonies. They they could've stuck to CB
> for that.

why do you lie

I'd love to various mode on HF indeed I oly use a mike half the time
now

oone can't "stick to CB for that"

but you can't face facts

2406. Re: Israel gives Syria 72 Hours - tick-tock...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:12:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023156.304074.183850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83543

Slow Code wrote:
> "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote in
> news:12biekc7ket3pd2@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > U.S. does not rule out strikes
> >
> > Via Drudge
> > http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3275886,00.html
> >
> > Iran - tick-tock...
> >
> > --
> > ____
> > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > quod credis.
> > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>
>
> Where's a good place to watch the barbeque?
Alpha C

you know where I can catch a lift on a Starship

beam me out scotty please
>
> SC

2407. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:14:12 UTC
From: liam_mccauley <z@z.z>
Message-ID: <z-3F215F.00141216072006@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 83544

In article <EIVtg.8586$cd3.6668@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> Damn, I thought we squished all the Nazi's in WWII.

Nonsense. You ZioNazi-minded just went on to found israhell. Now go sell
some more US secrets to China, Goebellstein.

(killfiles America-haterberg)

2408. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:18:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023485.020788.108770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83545
Cross-posted to: alt.troll,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Radio Buff wrote:
> "Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:1152990389.717979.100130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I'm amazed that of all these postings, I see no mention of Biblicical
> > prophecies. The Big Book has a lot to say about this topic. Enough said.
> >
>
> This is turning out to look like a conflict of biblical proportion. Don't
> bet on the sand niggers.
it could be I would not bet on the arabs to win the question is will
Isreal glass them
>
> SC

2409. Re: OT Re: The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:27:10 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <yejug.49950$B91.4390@edtnps82>
Source record: 83546

dx(Sunday is part of the weekend, but NOT the end of the week)Ace wrote:
>
> m II wrote:
>
>> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
>>
>>> "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
>>>
>>> http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
>>> mages-industry.html
>>>
>>>
>>> Palestinian Media Fakery
>>>
>>> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
>> Well..they must be really good at it. It appears they have fooled ninety
>> percent of the world. The Palestinian as 'Perpetual Victim'. I wonder
>> who they could possible have learned that from.
>>
>> http://www.soundofegypt.com/palestinian/adult/massacres.htm
>> http://www.nogw.com/israeliatrocities.html
>> http://pedulipalestina.blogspot.com/
>> http://www.answering-christianity.com/pali_torture.htm
>> http://www.thenews.com.pk/update_detail.asp?id=5161
>> http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/WO0401/S00014.htm
>> http://www.nogw.com/images/inminds.jpg
>> http://www.revisionisthistory.org/palestine.html
>>
>> My favourite zionist quote:
>>
>> "One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail."
>> Rabbi Yaacov Perrin, Feb. 27, 1994 [N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1994, p. 1]
>
> Here's my favourite quote:
>
> "One million Canucks are not worth one Americans fecal matter."
>
>> If Jesus were here today, He'd be Palestinian.
>
> Well, he sure wouldn't be a dumbass Canuck.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

>
>

...yaaaaaaaaaawnnnn....your originality is just so underwhelming...

mike

2410. Radio Nile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 04:39:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153024782.550563.207400@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83547

A strong signal from them right now (04:38) on 9905 and slightly weaker on 12060 khz. Just ended a piece about religious work in Chad. I had no idea they put out such a strong signal.

Steve

2411. Re: So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 05:06:42 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <CPjug.8852$e77.65@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 83548
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon.com> wrote in message
news:58u36.mkr.19.1@news.alt.net...
> So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we:
>
> 1) He was drafted into the US Army.
> 2) He is a member of the Chemical Corps.
> 3) The military won't let him retire.
> 4) He doesn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan', but that's okay, 'cuz
> the FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told him he can do it.
> 5) He is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
> ex-wives
> 6) He was, until recently, paying $5/hr. to access his ISP
> 7) He has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
> 8) He is a bi-sexual 33 yr. old Pagan male ham who likes to be spanked.
>
> 9) He can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so he
> has to ask people on USENET.
>
> Have I missed anything?
>
> *snicker*
>
>

Quite the proper assesment!!!!

2412. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 05:30:51 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fakug.8777$PE1.5502@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83549

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Israel is the one and only sane Country over there.Dont y'all worry
> about Israel.Israel is going to survive.
> cuhulin
>

Here's to hoping that the Israelis blow the crap out of the Hezbollah scumbags. After all, they've asked for it.

2413. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 05:41:52 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153028511.971229.180110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83550

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
>
> HAVE NO FEAR .... Y-MAN IS HERE!
>
> P.S. ... My date with Janet Reno is a sordid part of my past that I'd
> rather forget. I was forced into it by losing a bet. It was only the
> liquor that allowed me to survive it.
>
> By the way ... "she" has no genitals!

Whew ... dag-nabbit Y-Man don't leave us hanging like that. Hundreds of thousands of SWLers on here depend on you.

Glad you survived the tryst with Ms. Reno. Thanx for the update on her .. ahem .. features. We were expecting "hung like a horse with nads that won't fit in a dump truck" after the machisimo she demonstrated at Waco.

Hopefully this lost bet has cured you of any compulsion to gamble ever again.

Or else next time you might wake up between Madelyn Albright and Cynthia McKinney.

Ewwwwwwwwww ... somebody bring the clorox.

2414. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 06:13:50 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <88mjb29c2ivaprdjgvmr6bdr6q76bpmo4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 83551

Thats what I thought......candy ass!

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:12:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:07:29 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>>>>____
>>>>
>>>>KE4ODD
>>>>
>>>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>>>prove it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>
>>>Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
>>>wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).
>>
>>
>>You ought to know....you seem to be the resident expert on morons.
>>Which wars were you around besides the ones that you were
>>demonstrating? We all know you were to chicken to fight. As a matter
>>of fact, you were probably one od them Canadian draft dodgers too!
>>____
>>
>Never protested any wars.
>
>Got a good lottery number in '69, thanks a bunch.
>
>That being said, people who volunteer for corporate wars are guilty.
>They do no service to a noble calling when they facilitate a corrupt
>government's maniacal plan for global conquest.
>
>Lookie here; this is how shit works:
>
>''In essence, the United States had engaged in a massive covert
>operation designed to remove a democratically elected leader from

>power and reinstall an authoritarian monarch (a move which makes a
>mockery of our currently stated desire to "spread democracy" in the
>Middle East).
>
>This affair had several disastrous ramifications for the future of
>American-Iranian relations. First, the Shah, from that point forward,
>was viewed as a creature of America. Consequently, America became an
>accessory to his every oppressive act during the subsequent 26 years
>of his rule. Second, the American embassy in Tehran was permanently
>marked as a "nest of spies" in the eyes of the Iranian populace. And
>third, Iranian democracy was strangled in its crib.
>
>The next time the populace rose to overthrow the Shah (in the 1970s),
>they viewed America as their enemy and were cheering a leader who was
>significantly less democratic than Mossadeq. When rumors began
>circulating that the Americans were going to bring the Shah back via
>yet another covert operation, the Iranian mobs responded by seizing
>the US embassy in Tehran and holding its workers hostage''
>
>http://www.lewrockwell.com/latulippe/latulippe41.html
>
>

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2415. Re: Remember this Zenith Transoceanic Ad ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 06:52:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153032765.064688.189590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83553

Steve - Nice "TransOceanic" Trip Down Memory Lane
to the Zenith of the Days when Radio Was King ~ RHF

Steve wrote:
- http://www.retrojunk.com/details_commercial/1666/
-
- Steve

2416. Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 07:30:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153035034.948856.27490@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83554

-IF- You happen to have seen that Mexican Beer Ad
featuring a Legendary AM Medium Wave Radio on TV ?

Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !
http://www.corona.com/flash/index.php

Their Beer may be just so-so -but- That Radio Was . . .
A Great One {Classic} In It's Day ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

RHF wrote:
> Steve - Nice "TransOceanic" Trip Down Memory Lane
> to the Zenith of the Days when Radio Was King ~ RHF
>
>
> Steve wrote:
> - http://www.retrojunk.com/details_commercial/1666/
> -
> - Steve

2417. Re: Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 07:40:56 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9802111D6FC5conanfordhotmailcom1@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 83555

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in news:1153035034.948856.27490
@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> -IF- You happen to have seen that Mexican Beer Ad
> featuring a Legendary AM Medium Wave Radio on TV ?
>
> Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !
> http://www.corona.com/flash/index.php
>
> Their Beer may be just so-so -but- That Radio Was . . .
> A Great One {Classic} In It's Day ! ~ RHF

I thought I saw a Superradio II in a beer advertisement the other day... I guess it wasn't just the beer I was drinking (not Corona) making it appear so.

I'm guessing that they just grab whatever props are available around where they are shooting, and the local guy selling beer on the beach must have had the Superradio.

2418. Re: So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 07:52:15 UTC
From: Charita <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <59d7a.922.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 83552
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:CPjug.8852$e77.65@tornado.texas.rr.com...

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon.com> wrote in message
news:58u36.mkr.19.1@news.alt.net...
> So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we:
>
> 1) He was drafted into the US Army.
> 2) He is a member of the Chemical Corps.
> 3) The military won't let him retire.
> 4) He doesn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan', but that's okay, 'cuz
> the FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told him he can do it.
> 5) He is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
> ex-wives
> 6) He was, until recently, paying $5/hr. to access his ISP
> 7) He has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
> 8) He is a bi-sexual 33 yr. old Pagan male ham who likes to be spanked.
>
> 9) He can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so he
> has to ask people on USENET.
>
> Have I missed anything?
>
> *snicker*
>
>

Quite the proper assesment!!!!

Yes indeed! What a poor legacy he leaves in his wake.

2419. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 08:04:53 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Fqmug.196144$IK3.83468@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83556
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

J. D. B. wrote:
> Sorry John, do some reading for once as that is the reality of the
> Canadian system. You'll actually learn something if you do research
> yourself and not just listen to NPR and CNN.
>
> Now, on the other hand, if you live in the U.K., you'll still have
> healthcare through the end of the year, but if you are too old, they
> just let you die as it is not worth the expenditure to keep someone
> old alive even longer. But again, hey, your estate gets to keep the
> money!
>
> Come on, this isn't really John now is it? Hillary Clinton, is that
> you?
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be
>> able to handle reality.
>
>> JB
>>
>
Here are your words:

> If you get sick late in the year, and the healthcare
> facility/hospital shut down for the rest of the year because it ran
> out of government money (a typical scenario in Canada), there will be
> no one to give you any care and you'll just die.

Pray tell, oh wise one, from what source are you getting this information? You claim that this is a typical scenario in Canada, which is utter BS (= Bush shit), which means that it happens all the time. It seems rather strange that none of this seems to make the headlines or news.

BTW, I overheard this while I was visiting in Toronto and witnessed an accident: " Wow! The police and ambulance are much quicker here than in Atlanta".

JB

2420. Re: Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 08:25:58 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <qKmug.196160$IK3.63204@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83557

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> What language would you be speaking if the U.S. had not?
>
> Don't pull your bullshit Nazi Professor philosophy around here Johnny baby.

Yo Dog Breath!

You grasp of Bush shit is most remarkable and you are a very good Bushevik!

You may now go back to licking your balls!

JB

>
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1152999310.449150.82680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Now why don't you go out and research all the missiles and other
>> armaments the U.S. has supplied to bad guys around the world. Start
>> with Iraq and Afghanistan and your list will expand as you continue
>> searching.
>>
>>
>> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
>>> DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck
> from
>>> Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm
> family.
>>> Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a
>>> strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in
>>> escaping interception.
>>>
>>> http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184
>>>
>>> China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq
> and
>>> Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range
> C-801
>>> Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803
>>> cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.
>>>
>>> The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for
> its
>>> aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a
> supersonic
>>> version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales
> to

>>> Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform,
> the
>>> FH-7 strike fighter.
>>>
>>> The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to
> one
>>> ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or
> nuclear
>>> warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to
> carry
>>> nerve gas warheads.
>>>
>>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml
>>>
>>> China's role
>>>
>>> If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China
> will
>>> deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier
> of
>>> battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese
>>> Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing
>>> could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced
>>> beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile
> that is
>>> more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120
>>> kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10
> coastal-based
>>> Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker.
> Iran
>>> has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which
> can
>>> carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.
>>>
>>> http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html
>>>
>>> Antiship Cruise Missiles
>>>
>>> China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused
> substantial
>>> concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the
> Persian
>>> Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise
> missile
>>> trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently,
> China's
>>> reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong
> reaction
>>> in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against
> China.

>>> C-802 Missiles
>>>
>>> After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program
>>> which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship
> cruise
>>> missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's
>>> top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile
>>> development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly
>>> possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran
>>> deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a
> strategic
>>> point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.
>>>
>>> Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the
>>> United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament
>>> Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was
>>> considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged
> to
>>> violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is
>>> also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that
> transfer
>>> destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either
> Iran
>>> or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing
>>> sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of
>>> missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."
>>>
>>> http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html
>>>
>>> --
>>> ____
>>> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
>>> quod credis.
>>> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
>>> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>
>
>

2421. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 09:53:11 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <g23kb258diqcvnm90icgootgqcpi2vl6fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate statements,
>misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving and
>archiving your comments for future use.

Good luck in a contest Smedly!

2422. Re: Floyd tribute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:00:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA0E3B.95EFDC14@milestones.com>
Source record: 83559

Brian Hill wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153012357.793649.144250@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Hearing lots of Floyd on 6876 AM through pretty serious QRN.
>
> That's probably the Poet running the Johnson. For those not in the know,
> that would be the Crystal Ship. He's off his usual freq of 6875. Are you
> sure your not off freq?

His usual freq these days seems to be 6876 per his email.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2423. Re: OT Re: The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:03:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA0ED6.5CE2854E@milestones.com>
Source record: 83560

m II wrote:

> dx(Sunday is part of the weekend, but NOT the end of the week)Ace wrote:
> >
> > m II wrote:
> >
> >> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> >>
> >>> "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
> >>>
> >>> http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> >>> mages-industry.html
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Palestinian Media Fakery
> >>>
> >>> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
> >> Well..they must be really good at it. It appears they have fooled ninety
> >> percent of the world. The Palestinian as 'Perpetual Victim'. I wonder
> >> who they could possible have learned that from.
> >>
> >> http://www.soundofegypt.com/palestinian/adult/massacres.htm
> >> http://www.nogw.com/israeliatrocities.html
> >> http://pedulipalestina.blogspot.com/
> >> http://www.answering-christianity.com/pali_torture.htm
> >> http://www.thenews.com.pk/update_detail.asp?id=5161
> >> http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/WO0401/S00014.htm
> >> http://www.nogw.com/images/inminds.jpg
> >> http://www.revisionisthistory.org/palestine.html
> >>
> >> My favourite zionist quote:
> >>
> >> "One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail."
> >> Rabbi Yaacov Perrin, Feb. 27, 1994 [N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1994, p. 1]
> >
> > Here's my favourite quote:
> >
> > "One million Canucks are not worth one Americans fecal matter."
> >
> >> If Jesus were here today, He'd be Palestinian.
> >
> > Well, he sure wouldn't be a dumbass Canuck.
>
> ...yaaaaaaaaawnnnn....your originality is just so underwhelming...

Sure beats that of a dumbass Canuck.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2424. Has anyone noticed an incrase in number station traffic in the Gulf States (and Levant) in the past 168 hours?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:04:13 UTC
From: Max Power <mikehack@u.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <e9d42i$j00$1@gnus01.u.washington.edu>
Source record: 83562

Has anyone noticed an increase in number station traffic in the Gulf States
(and Levant) in the past 168 hours?

2425. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:16:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA1203.D8631FBE@milestones.com>
Source record: 83561

Beerbarrel wrote:

> Thats what I thought......candy ass!

Did he get that good number in the Gaynadian lottery?

>
>
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:12:41 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 20:07:29 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> >wrote:
> > >
> >>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> >>>wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Iran and Syria are on the list next.
> >>>>____
> >>>>
> >>>>KE4ODD
> >>>>
> >>>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> >>>>prove it.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
> >>>
> >>>Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
> >>>wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).
> >>
> >>
> >>You ought to know....you seem to be the resident expert on morons.
> >>Which wars were you around besides the ones that you were
> >>demonstrating? We all know you were to chicken to fight. As a matter
> >>of fact, you were probably one od them Canadian draft dodgers too!
> >>____
> >>
> >Never protested any wars.
> > >
> >Got a good lottery number in '69, thanks a bunch.
> > >
> >That being said, people who volunteer for corporate wars are guilty.
> >They do no service to a noble calling when they facilitate a corrupt

> >government's maniacal plan for global conquest.
> >
> >Lookie here; this is how shit works:
> >
> >''In essence, the United States had engaged in a massive covert
> >operation designed to remove a democratically elected leader from
> >power and reinstall an authoritarian monarch (a move which makes a
> >mockery of our currently stated desire to "spread democracy" in the
> >Middle East).
> >
> >This affair had several disastrous ramifications for the future of
> >American-Iranian relations. First, the Shah, from that point forward,
> >was viewed as a creature of America. Consequently, America became an
> >accessory to his every oppressive act during the subsequent 26 years
> >of his rule. Second, the American embassy in Tehran was permanently
> >marked as a "nest of spies" in the eyes of the Iranian populace. And
> >third, Iranian democracy was strangled in its crib.
> >
> >The next time the populace rose to overthrow the Shah (in the 1970s),
> >they viewed America as their enemy and were cheering a leader who was
> >significantly less democratic than Mossadeq. When rumors began
> >circulating that the Americans were going to bring the Shah back via
> >yet another covert operation, the Iranian mobs responded by seizing
> >the US embassy in Tehran and holding its workers hostage''
> >
> >http://www.lewrockwell.com/latulippe/latulippe41.html
> >
> >
>
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

2426. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:30:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA152C.550F3708@milestones.com>
Source record: 83563
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Barnard wrote:

> J. D. B. wrote:
> > Sorry John, do some reading for once as that is the reality of the
> > Canadian system. You'll actually learn something if you do research
> > yourself and not just listen to NPR and CNN.
> >
> > Now, on the other hand, if you live in the U.K., you'll still have
> > healthcare through the end of the year, but if you are too old, they
> > just let you die as it is not worth the expenditure to keep someone
> > old alive even longer. But again, hey, your estate gets to keep the
> > money!
> >
> > Come on, this isn't really John now is it? Hillary Clinton, is that
> > you?
> >
> > John Barnard wrote:
> >
> >> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be
> >> able to handle reality.
> >
> >> JB
> >>
> >
> Here are your words:
>
> > If you get sick late in the year, and the healthcare
> > facility/hospital shut down for the rest of the year because it ran
> > out of government money (a typical scenario in Canada), there will be
> > no one to give you any care and you'll just die.
>
> Pray tell, oh wise one, from what source are you getting this
> information? You claim that this is a typical scenario in Canada, which
> is utter BS (= Bush shit),

Are you certain that isn't Barnard shit?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2427. Re: AM/MW IBOC = Listen Local -or- Hear Hash !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 10:51:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA1A4A.CE68CD77@milestones.com>
Source record: 83564

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000, and all 'round 'tard boy for Univision Radio wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44AB2472.C1E3DFCC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44AB20E9.62B9DF29@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> > You stated:
> >> >
> >> > "No, the only remaining copy is severely faded. Call the school. Ask
> >> > when
> >> > I
> >> > attended. while I did not make the graduation due to being in Mexico
> >> > and
> >> > then Ecuador, I am in the class of '64."
> >> >
> >> > Class of '64 at the Hawken School?
> >>
> >> At Hawken School. No "The."
> >
> > I didn't put a "The" in there, shit for brains! It was a 'the'.
>
> Ah, we have moved from hypen issues to ones of capitalization. i am going to
> do an e e cummings immitation and see if you criticize that as well.
>
> >> > Pretty boring, but you sure went to class!
> >>
> >> No, I hardly ever did.
> >
> > You're so full of shit it is truly amazing!
>
> No, it is you who are. I hated school, and found lots of pretexts for not
> attending. As I said, it was rather boring and routine.
>
> And now I am embarrassed over engaging in this silly discussion. I know who
> I am, and everything on my website is independently verifiable. You are just
> a mean little man who dislikes nearly everyone. I kinda' wish I had been
> born in Toronto: it is North America's most cosmopolitan city, and the
> people are nicer and brighter than you... so you could insult me for being

> Canadian, too, and I would be your one stop hating center.

Well, if you'd been born in Toronto you wouldn't have had to renounce your US Citizenship.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2428. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 12:41:59 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 83565

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>
>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
>
>
That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
are still counted as part of a market.

You bean counters have killed radio.

If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?

2429. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 12:49:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vbdkb2lhv3nofn2gvmbercb1cvbrongatp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83566

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 02:13:50 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>Thats what I thought......candy ass!
>
>
Whatever, chump.

2430. Re: Remember this Zenith Transoceanic ad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 12:51:44 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nidkb2d6rhruoe8sp0hodi93t9m39asqlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83567

On 15 Jul 2006 20:25:29 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
wrote:

>http://www.retrojunk.com/details_commercial/1666/
>
>Steve
>
Can't say I do.

2431. Re: Floyd tribute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:08:52 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <JUqug.643$AP3.184@fe06.lga>
Source record: 83568

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA0E3B.95EFDC14@milestones.com...
>
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153012357.793649.144250@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Hearing lots of Floyd on 6876 AM through pretty serious QRN.
>>
>> That's probably the Poet running the Johnson. For those not in the know,
>> that would be the Crystal Ship. He's off his usual freq of 6875. Are you
>> sure your not off freq?
>
> His usual freq these days seems to be 6876 per his email.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

Yep your right. I just chkd one of those emails.

BH

2432. Re: Hizballah Brings out Chinese-Iranian Silkworm Missle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:10:59 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153055459.740820.203580@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83569

Osama Bin Laden, Saddam Hussein, Shah of Iran, Manuel Noriega, to name
a few. I'm sure you would be far more comfortable to U.S. backed
people like that in power.

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> What language would you be speaking if the U.S. had not?
>
> Don't pull your bullshit Nazi Professor philosophy around here Johnny baby.
>
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1152999310.449150.82680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Now why don't you go out and research all the missiles and other
> > armaments the U.S. has supplied to bad guys around the world. Start
> > with Iraq and Afghanistan and your list will expand as you continue
> > searching.
> >
> >
> > SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> > > DEBKAfile's military sources reveal that the Israeli warship was struck
> from
> > > Beirut by an Iran-made C-802 shore-to-sea missile of the Silkworm
> family.
> > > Weighing 715 kilos, with a range of 120km, the missile is armed with a
> > > strong anti-jamming capability, which lends it a 98% success rate in
> > > escaping interception.
> > >
> > > http://www.debka.com/article_print.php?aid=1184
> > >
> > > China has exported several types of cruise missile systems to Iran, Iraq
> and
> > > Libya, including long-range C-802 Silkworm missiles and shorter-range
> C-801
> > > Sardine missiles. China also recently test-fired a 150-mile-range C-803
> > > cruise missile from its indigenously developed FH-7 strike fighter.
> > >
> > > The FH-7 strike fighter is being considered by Iran as a replacement for
> its
> > > aging force of U.S.-made F-4 Phantoms. The C-803, described as a
> supersonic
> > > version of the C-802 Silkworm, is clearly aimed at future export sales
> to
> > > Middle East customers [Syria?] and is teamed with its launch platform,
> the
> > > FH-7 strike fighter.

> > >
> > > The Chinese Silkworm missile, however, is normally equipped with up to
> one
> > > ton of high explosives, and could easily be modified for chemical or
> nuclear
> > > warheads. Iraq reportedly modified a number of Silkworm missiles to
> carry
> > > nerve gas warheads.
> > >
> > > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/12/2/214523.shtml
> > >
> > > China's role
> > >
> > > If Iran succeeds in building larger and more accurate missiles, China
> will
> > > deserve much of the credit. For years, Beijing has been a major supplier
> of
> > > battlefield and cruise missiles to Iran. In 1987, Iran purchased Chinese
> > > Silkworm anti-ship missiles, which were sent via North Korea so Beijing
> > > could deny responsibility for the exports. Since then, Iran has advanced
> > > beyond the Silkworm by acquiring the new C-802, an anti-ship missile
> that is
> > > more accurate and reliable than the Silkworm and can fly about 120
> > > kilometers. During the Iran-Iraq war, Tehran fired at least 10
> coastal-based
> > > Chinese missiles at Kuwait, one of which hit a U.S.-flagged oil tanker.
> Iran
> > > has also acquired 20 Chinese CSS-8 surface-to-surface missiles, which
> can
> > > carry a 190 kilogram warhead up to 150 kilometers.
> > >
> > > http://www.wisconsinproject.org/countries/iran/iran-missile-1997.html
> > >
> > > Antiship Cruise Missiles
> > >
> > > China's exports of antiship cruise missiles to Iran has caused
> substantial
> > > concern both in the United States and among Iran's neighbors in the
> Persian
> > > Gulf In spite of these concerns, China continuously conducted cruise
> missile
> > > trade with Iran from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s. Recently,
> China's
> > > reported sale of C-802 cruise missiles to Iran triggered a strong
> reaction
> > > in the United States, leading Congress to call for sanctions against
> China.
> > >
> > > C-802 Missiles
> > >

> > > After the 1991 Gulf War, Iran embarked on a naval modernization program
> > > which included the import from China of the advanced C-802 antiship
> cruise
> > > missile. The C-802 at the time, and to the present day, is China's
> > > top-of-the-line antiship cruise missile (though China continues missile
> > > development of more advanced versions). By mid-1997, Iran reportedly
> > > possessed approximately sixty ship-launched C-802s. In addition, Iran
> > > deployed a number of coastal C-802 batteries on Qeshm Island, a
> strategic
> > > point on the eastern side of the Arabian peninsula.
> > >
> > > Reports of the C-802 sale triggered a strong political reaction in the
> > > United States. As early as March 1996, U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament
> > > Agency (ACDA) director John Holum stated that the administration was
> > > considering imposing sanctions on China for the sale, which was alleged
> to
> > > violate the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Nonproliferation Act. This act, which is
> > > also known as the Gore-McCain Act, is targeted at countries that
> transfer
> > > destabilizing weapons, in quantitative and qualitative terms, to either
> Iran
> > > or Iraq. The Clinton administration eventually decided against imposing
> > > sanctions, reportedly because it concluded that the number and type of
> > > missiles transferred were not "destabilizing."
> > >
> > > http://www.ciaonet.org/olj/meria/meria598_gill.html
> > >
> > > --
> > > ____
> > > Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> > > quod credis.
> > > Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> > > http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
> >

2433. Re: Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:17:41 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <V%qug.173105$F_3.64008@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83570

In one episode of "Monk" A woman killed her husband by throwing a Superadio III into the tub with him...

"Conan Ford" <conanford@com.hotmail> wrote in message news:Xns9802111D6FC5conanfordhotmailcom1@64.59.135.159...
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in news:1153035034.948856.27490
> @s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > -IF- You happen to have seen that Mexican Beer Ad
> > featuring a Legendary AM Medium Wave Radio on TV ?
> >
> > Name "That Legendary AM Radio" In The Mexican Beer Ad !
> > http://www.corona.com/flash/index.php
> >
> > Their Beer may be just so-so -but- That Radio Was . . .
> > A Great One {Classic} In It's Day ! ~ RHF
>
> I thought I saw a Superradio II in a beer advertisement the other day... I
> guess it wasn't just the beer I was drinking (not Corona) making it appear
> so.
>
> I'm guessing that they just grab whatever props are available around where
> they are shooting, and the local guy selling beer on the beach must have
> had the Superradio.

2434. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:22:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA3DAB.472F96E6@milestones.com>
Source record: 83571
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John Barnard wrote:

> J. D. B. wrote:
> > Sorry John, do some reading for once as that is the reality of the
> > Canadian system. You'll actually learn something if you do research
> > yourself and not just listen to NPR and CNN.
> >
> > Now, on the other hand, if you live in the U.K., you'll still have
> > healthcare through the end of the year, but if you are too old, they
> > just let you die as it is not worth the expenditure to keep someone
> > old alive even longer. But again, hey, your estate gets to keep the
> > money!
> >
> > Come on, this isn't really John now is it? Hillary Clinton, is that
> > you?
> >
> > John Barnard wrote:
> >
> >> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be
> >> able to handle reality.
> >
> >> JB
> >>
> >
> Here are your words:
>
> > If you get sick late in the year, and the healthcare
> > facility/hospital shut down for the rest of the year because it ran
> > out of government money (a typical scenario in Canada), there will be
> > no one to give you any care and you'll just die.
>
> Pray tell, oh wise one, from what source are you getting this
> information? You claim that this is a typical scenario in Canada, which
> is utter BS (= Bush shit), which means that it happens all the time. It
> seems rather strange that none of this seems to make the headlines or news.

Maybe they just don't want you to know the truth? Not surprising, since the
government in CanaDuh woud fall if you ever discovered the truth.

> BTW, I overheard this while I was visiting in Toronto and witnessed an
> accident: " Wow! The police and ambulance are much quicker here than in
> Atlanta".

Did the accident involve the police and the ambulance racing to the donut shop?
Maybe that's why they appeared to be quicker.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2435. Re: YAESU FT-817 FT-857 FT-897 KEYPAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:34:54 UTC
From: Dexxsterlab <dexxster@email.com>
Message-ID: <44ba407e$0$30141$4fafbaef@reader4.news.tin.it>
Source record: 83572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A keypad for your Yaesu FT-8x7 transceiver

http://www.dexxsterlab.com/kp8x7/kp8x7e.pdf

http://www.qsl.net/it9xxs

2436. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 13:48:53 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <1fc5a$44ba43c6$d06640f9$8681@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry Blow Code, but you cannot turn back the hands of time. The 13 & 20 WPM tests are gone forever. And soon, the CW testing requirement will be eliminated completely. It's the will of the majority. It's evolution. You can whine, cry and carry on all you want about the CW testing requirement. It is going the way of the buggy whip, the Ford Model-T, dinosaurs, the carrier pigeons, and a host of other old, outdated, and unnecessary things of the past. Good Bye and Good Riddance.

Slow Code wrote:

> Yes, just as long as it's still required to get a license and the speeds
> need to be bumped back up to 13 & 20wpm again.
>
> SC

2437. AP News Alert-Beirut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:02:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA46DE.9CF8DD99@milestones.com>
Source record: 83574

BEIRUT, Lebanon

The U.S. Embassy in Beirut says teams have arrived by helicopter to work out the logistics for evacuating Americans.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2438. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:24:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6_rug.173128$F_3.137100@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83575

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
>>
>>
> That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
> are still counted as part of a market.
>
>
> You bean counters have killed radio.
>
> If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?

Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination, against the
terms of their licences, we will object.
>

2439. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:28:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com>
Source record: 83576

David Eduardo wrote:

> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>
> >>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
> >>
> >>
> > That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
> > are still counted as part of a market.
> >
> >
> > You bean counters have killed radio.
> >
> > If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
>
> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination, against the
> terms of their licences, we will object.

Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.

It's hilarious to witness.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2440. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:36:43 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%9sug.400051$Fs1.54282@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83577

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
[snip]

> > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
> > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
> > station to complain.
>
> Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI
listeners
> call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation.
[snip]

IBOC interference sounds like the usual sort of radio hiss which everybody
is used to, but there's a whole lot more hiss.

Were stations getting swamped with complaints about more common sources of
noise such as from computers and TV sets?

> And in LA,
> sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live
soccer
> games.

Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
interference.

Frank Dresser

2441. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:43:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA5094.3E1F223C@milestones.com>
Source record: 83578

Frank Dresser wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
> [snip]
>
> > > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
> > > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call the
> > > station to complain.
> >
> > Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI
> listeners
> > call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation.
> [snip]
>
> IBOC interference sounds like the usual sort of radio hiss which everybody
> is used to, but there's a whole lot more hiss.
>
> Were stations getting swamped with complaints about more common sources of
> noise such as from computers and TV sets?
>
> > And in LA,
> > sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live
> soccer
> > games.
>
> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> interference.

"Nobody" that Edweenie and his minions care about, at least. Edweenie and his ilk care about Cubans and anybody that is of the "Hispanic" persuasion, but they don't care about "Americans".

Ask Edweenie about renouncing his US Citizenship.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2442. Re: Has anyone noticed an incrase in number station traffic in the Gulf States (and Levant) in the past 168 hours?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:50:24 UTC
From: 0000 <09240@31308370.560>
Message-ID: <09240@31308370.560>
Source record: 83579

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 03:04:13 -0700, Max Power <mikehack@u.washington.edu> wrote:
> Has anyone noticed an increase in number station traffic in the Gulf States
> (and Levant) in the past 168 hours?

0 1 1 6 8 2 9 1 9 9 1 3 4 0 9 7 2 8 0 9 3 5 7 3 2 0 6 8 9 7 6 0 8 8
6 6 0 5 8 0 4 0 4 9 0 3 6 3 2 7 2 5 3 9 8 5 0 5 4 9 2 1 0 1 9 7 8 9
2 6 0 7 7 5 7 7 8 4 0 1 1 3 6 4 2 4 0 2 0 4 2 2 5 3 4 5 0 3 5 3 0 5
0 7 0 8 4 9 2 5 0 4 8 6 8 1 1 9 4 2 3 7 5 9 0 9 4 0 2 9 3 2 5 4 9 5
2 4 5 5 0 5 9 9 2 8 0 4 7 5 6 1 3 1 0 3 1 5 4 3 4 7 6 0 2 6 5 5 1 1
0 1 6 9 1 9 8 2 3 5 7 0 6 0 1 6 4 2 2 8 6 7 6 3 7 8 9 3 3 1 4 4 3 1
4 4 0 2 6 3 7 3 4 3 4 5 0 9 8 2 7 5 9 4 8 7 2 8 0 6 0 4 0 3 5 5 7 5
7 3 9 4 7 3 8 2 9 8 1 8 1 8 3 1 2 2 8 5 0 9 2 0 3 2 4 9 7 1 5 5 4 4
9 2 8 8 4 8 7 5 6 8 4 9 0 7 1 9 2 2 8 4 3 1 7 7 1 5 8 6 0 3 1 0 6 9
8 0 7 5 6 4 4 0 3 4 8 5 4 0 8 2 5 1 4 3 9 5 9 8 1 0 2 2 0 8 2 9 8 0
5 5 4 9 5 8 4 3 4 9 4 2 1 0 4 5 4 3 0 3 2 2 3 4 4 4 2 6 4 1 7 9 6 1
9 2 9 4 6 4 3 1 6 4 1 1 0 6 5 1 0 7 3 3 1 8 8 4 4 2 6 1 2 2 3 1 5 3
5 1 7 8 2 4 3 4 5 3 0 0 4 0 8 8 4 0 6 2 5 1 5 1 3 7 3 6 9 9 0 4 0 7
3 2 2 7 6 7 0 6 8 5 7 6 3 1 7 0 4 2 1 0 3 4 7 7 1 7 6 1 1 6 9 5 9 1
2 5 9 3 2 7 8 0 4 2 1 1 2 6 3 4 6 6 9 4 3 1 1 0 3 3 6 2 8 5 4 0 1 4
4 4 1 2 4 6 5 6 7 8 2 1 2 9 7 2 4 1 3 5 2 6 9 8 9 8 4 4 9 5 8 3 9 0
5 4 6 1 0 3 9 2 5 2 1 3 4 5 5 7 1 7 4 1 5 3 9 0 7 8 5 6 1 5 6 7 0 2
8 0 6 7 2 1 9 4 4 3 0 9 1 2 6 5 3 2 9 2 2 7 0 8 3 2 4 9 9 4 2 7 4 8
5 7 0 4 2 4 8 2 4 9 5 1 4 9 4 4 1 6 1 2 5 4 4 0 3 4 4 6 1 9 4 6 7 4
1 9 9 9 2 4 8 8 5 3 7 9 7 8 6 8 1 1 3 6 2 7 0 7 3 2 7 7 9 4 2 0 4 1

2443. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:57:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wtsug.173136$F_3.101423@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Yes, just as long as it's still required to get a license and the speeds
> need to be bumped back up to 13 & 20wpm again.

If CW testing is such a good thing, let's bump it up to 50.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2444. Re: Has anyone noticed an incrase in number station traffic in the ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 14:59:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21827-44BA544D-11@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83581

As in middle East Gulf States/Countries.Do you mean?
cuhulin

2445. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:01:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA54E3-51@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83582

Sounds to me like somebody ate the Hemlock.I have read before many,many
Moons ago,it isn't good to eat Hemlock.
cuhulin

2446. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:07:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA561C-53@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83583

Once in a blue Moon,I halfway think about moving to one of those little bitty Islands just off the wild West Coast of Shamrock land (Ireland) but if I ever do (do a search for, escapefromAmerica.com Ireland) (I get escapefromAmerica freebie email newsletters) I will not renounce my American Nationality/Citizenship.
cuhulin

2447. Re: How to Greet Non-Muslims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:21:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA5982-55@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83585

Yeah (Me) you said that right.
cuhulin

2448. Re: How to Greet Non-Muslims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:22:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21827-44BA59D2-13@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83584

I am a Christian and I Love GOD.
cuhulin

2449. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:35:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA5CCE-59@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83589

That guy who moved in next door to me because last Sunday (a week ago) he owns a Pitbull Dog and he moved to Jackson because Richland,Mississippi (Richland is one of the suburb cities of Jackson) Seeee,,, the Bell South woman whom is paying $270.00 each month on that house,she put in for and she received a Bell South job transfer to Vidalia,Louisiana.She is from Natchez,Mississippi,Natchez is a few miles across the Mississippi River from Vidalia.

Anyway,last Sunday late afternoon,I told that guy's long tall very cute skinny galfriend what the other woman had told me.She told me as soon as he gets tired of her,he will kick stringbean gal out.

Low and behold,I haven't seen that skinny stringbean gal over there since last Tuesday.Yes,that other woman (the Bell South woman) told me yesterday morning,he did kick her out.
cuhulin

2450. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:41:58 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <dhnkb295n12bj4uc5msf74k51pkv0bjsic@4ax.com>
Source record: 83586

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 14:36:43 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
<analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>

>Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
>interference.
>
>
>Frank Dresser
>
>
NRSC-5 STANDARD IS AVAILABLE ON THE WEB

The NRSC-5 Standard is the long-awaited document containing the
technical details of the AM & FM IBOC (HD Radio) broadcast systems.
The document is web-posted as a pdf file and is available for
downloading now.

Although the cover of the document claims "IN-BAND/ON-CHANNEL" digital
radio broadcasting is being discussed, the title is misleading. The
iBiquity IBOC system is really an "IN-BAND/OFF- CHANNEL" affair; that
is, use of IBOC can cause interference well beyond the assigned
frequency of the host analog station.

Take, for example, the reports of interference indicating that the new
IBOC system on KNX, 1070 kHz, Los Angeles, is tearing up the analog
reception of 50,000 watt XEPRS, 1090 kHz, in parts of the greater Los
Angeles area. XEPRS had a fine signal in the affected areas before KNX
switched to IBOC. This interference had not been anticipated based on
past conversations between our office and the IBOC system inventor,
iBiquity, so the situation is disappointing to say the least.

Here, then, is the NRSC-5 document. The spectrum occupancy charts
should be of great interest to many:
http://www.nrscstandards.org/Standards/NRSC-5/NRSC-5.pdf
(CGC Communicator via Rene Tetro, PA, NRC-AM via DXLD)

I've been looking at the NRSC-5 standards this morning and found
something that we all knew inherently, but that the standard now shows
definitively. If you look at the chart showing the hybrid analog-
digital signal with 5 KHZ analog audio, you will see that the actual
digital information does not begin until you are 10 KHZ removed from
the carrier. The digital signal takes up 5KHZ of bandwidth from
about 10 to 15 KHZ from the carrier on upper and lower sidebands.

Consequently, an AM IBOC station on 1100 will take up spectrum from 1085 to 1115 KHZ, wiping out not only the first adjacents (1090 and 1110) but creating havoc with the second adjacents (1080 and 1120), as well. Again, this is pretty much what we have all heard with our own ears and/or suspected, but is now in print for all to see.

A random 10 minute spectrum analysis of one IBOC station here in Philly shows that they are not even close to being within the proposed NRSC-5 mask. How many others out there are like this? This particular station I am sure would be brought into compliance because their engineering department is exceptional. But what about all of those little stations with marginal contract engineers. That's the kind of thing that worries me.

The upside (if there is one) is that if and when AM becomes all digital, and the analog part of IBOC goes away, we will be back to about a 10 KHZ bandwidth -- actually slightly less. Of course, a lot of us on the older end will probably not be around to see this "brave new world." (Rene F. Tetro, ibid.)

I've talked the IBOC spectrum several times on this mailing list, but there still seems to be a lot of confusion about it. See http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/RG-Jul04-08.pdf for more info (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)

Unless all bets are off because the interference occurs over US soil and XEPRS is licensed to Mexico, NO WAY is Los Angeles outside of XEPRS's protected daytime coverage area. According the Bob Carpenter's AMSTNS program, which uses data from the FCC AM database, XEPRS, which is ND days, puts out an RMS field of 3242.44 mV/m @ 1 km. That works out to a highly improbable (given the 178-degree tower height) 458+ mV/m/kW @ 1 km. However, perhaps XEPRS runs a bit more than 50 kW days; it is, after all, a Mexican station. If you accept the above numbers, however, 200 km away over a salt-water path at KNX, XEPRS should deliver a field in excess of 16 mV/m. If you use a more probable inverse-distance field of 380 mV/m/kW @ 1 km for XEPRS, you get a field at 200 km of more than 13 mV/m. The most warped definition of protected AM daytime-groundwave service area that I have heard is that it now extends only to 5 mV/m. As far as I'm concerned, it still extends to 0.5 mV/m. But there can be no question that KNX should not interfere with XEPRS within XEPRS's 13 mV/m contour--unless the fact that XEPRS is a foreign station trumps the other rules (Dan Strassberg, AC 707, ibid.)

Dan: It's my understanding that in all cases involving protection of stations in the US and adjacent countries (Canada, Mexico, Bahamas, etc) a station is protected in its own country but has no protection at all outside its border (Chuck Hutton, ibid.)

Therein lies the rub. The international agreements state that stations are only protected within the borders of the country that they're located in. IBOC/IBAC is thus an effective weapon against the border blasters as well as the rimshots. There is also no doubt, however,

that the use of the AM IBOC system is in clear violation of those same agreements. I made that point in my comments to the FCC last year, and I'm still waiting to see how they respond. Not that I have much doubt (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF Ottawa, ON, ibid.)

Barry, Now what would happen if XEPRS, XETRA, and the other border blasters decided to go 500 KW? I think the Mexican gov could be bought off if needed. I think a 500 KWer aimed at LA would solve the IBOC problem. Hi (Patrick Martin, Seaside OR, ibid.)

http://www.worldofradio.com/dxld5099.txt

2451. Re: Where's Y-Man ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:43:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA5E86-67@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83591

Not enough liquor in the World that would cause me to even attempt such
''an act'' as that.
cuhulin

2452. Re: Has anyone noticed an incrase in number station traffic in the ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:43:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <dknkb21105e54c48peu3n4mvmdvevlvudn@4ax.com>
Source record: 83587

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 09:59:25 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>As in middle East Gulf States/Countries.Do you mean?
>cuhulin
>
Gulf States
From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Gulf states can refer to either:

Gulf States (US), the states of the United States that border the Gulf of Mexico

Persian Gulf States, the countries bordering the Persian Gulf

2453. Happy Anniversary!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:44:23 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <7mnkb2lh9hn7sa4n4o6mq869l8q1h9v1jp@4ax.com>
Source record: 83588

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trinity_test

2454. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:47:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BA5FA4-77@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83592

My bet is on Israel.Do you remember World War Two,Never Again!
cuhulin

2455. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 15:54:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153065244.597895.24840@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83590
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon.com> wrote in message
> news:58u36.mkr.19.1@news.alt.net...
> >
>
> Quite the proper assesment!!!!
Tomanybody can put together a pack of lies about someone

2456. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:03:28 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153065808.874674.72870@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

J. D. B. wrote:
> Sorry Blow Code, but you cannot turn back the hands of time. The 13 & 20
> WPM tests are gone forever. And soon, the CW testing requirement will
> be eliminated completely. It's the will of the majority. It's
> evolution. You can whine, cry and carry on all you want about the CW
> testing requirement. It is going the way of the buggy whip, the Ford
> Model-T, dinosaurs, the carrier pigeons, and a host of other old,
> outdated, and unnecessary things of the past. Good Bye and Good Riddance.
>

not the majority prehaps it is the will of and judgement of those that
are supposed to serve the bets interest of the people

the best interest of the people are served but ending code testing and
the code culture that has dominated it and sent it on a downword slide

not certain we can fix it with NOCode but it will be easier to try
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > Yes, just as long as it's still required to get a license and the speeds
> > need to be bumped back up to 13 & 20wpm again.
> >
> > SC

2457. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:19:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xGtug.121893$H71.113631@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83594

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
>> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
>> >>
>> >>
>> > That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
>> > are still counted as part of a market.
>> >
>> >
>> > You bean counters have killed radio.
>> >
>> > If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
>>
>> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination, against
>> the
>> terms of their licences, we will object.
>
> Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.

The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
FCC.

2458. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:22:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oJtug.121895$H71.78766@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83595

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:%9sug.400051$Fs1.54282@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
> [snip]
>
>> > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again, the
>> > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call
>> > the
>> > station to complain.
>>
>> Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI
> listeners
>> call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation.
> [snip]
>
> IBOC interference sounds like the usual sort of radio hiss which everybody
> is used to, but there's a whole lot more hiss.
>
> Were stations getting swamped with complaints about more common sources of
> noise such as from computers and TV sets?
>
>> And in LA,
>> sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live
> soccer
>> games.
>
> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> interference.

It is not interference. It is the delay. HD has a fallback the analog feature, whereby if the digital signal drops out, the analog signal comes in. This requires buffering the analog signal by 8.1 seconds to compensate for the delay in the digital processing.

Similarly, stations turn off "indecency" delay during live sports.

This is to allow listeners with radios to listen to play by play in the stadium. However, with the FCC announcing today a review of sports broadcasts for indecency, stations will probably keep the indecency delay going, eliminating the ability to have simultaneous play by play forever.

2459. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:23:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <gKtug.121896$H71.47811@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83596

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA5094.3E1F223C@milestones.com...
>
>
> Frank Dresser wrote:
>
>> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
>> interference.
>
> "Nobody" that Edweenie and his minions care about, at least. Edweenie and
> his
> ilk care about Cubans and anybody that is of the "Hispanic" persuasion,
> but
> they don't care about "Americans".

And this has to do with digital delay?
>
> Ask Edweenie about renouncing his US Citizenship.

And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your lies)

2460. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:24:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SKtug.121897$H71.48564@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83597

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21826-44BA54E3-51@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> Sounds to me like somebody ate the Hemlock.I have read before many,many
> Moons ago,it isn't good to eat Hemlock.
> cuhulin

One would drink, not eat, hemlock.

Hint, hint.

2461. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:26:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <OMtug.121899$H71.75584@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83748

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:dhnkb295n12bj4uc5msf74k51pkv0bjsic@4ax.com...
.
>
> Consequently, an AM IBOC station on 1100 will take up spectrum from
> 1085 to 1115 KHZ, wiping out not only the first adjacents (1090 and
> 1110) but creating havoc with the second adjacents (1080 and 1120), as
> well. Again, this is pretty much what we have all heard with our own
> ears and/or suspected, but is now in print for all to see.

Gee, before NRSC, an AM occupied +/- 15 kHz.

2462. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:27:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA68EE.5AA96CFC@milestones.com>
Source record: 83749

David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, as he's done since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
> >> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
> >> > are still counted as part of a market.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > You bean counters have killed radio.
> >> >
> >> > If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
> >>
> >> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination, against
> >> the
> >> terms of their licences, we will object.
> >
> > Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.
>
> The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
> FCC.

But you'll still stamp your foot, right?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2463. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:30:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA6990.C411679F@milestones.com>
Source record: 83750

David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, as he's done since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA5094.3E1F223C@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> >
> >> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> >> interference.
> >
> > "Nobody" that Edweenie and his minions care about, at least. Edweenie and
> > his
> > ilk care about Cubans and anybody that is of the "Hispanic" persuasion,
> > but
> > they don't care about "Americans".
>
> And this has to do with digital delay?
> >
> > Ask Edweenie about renouncing his US Citizenship.
>
> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your lies)

I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his citizenship.

Run along little fake Hispanic, run along.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2464. Re: So, let's re-cap Mark's wonderful life, shall we?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:35:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21827-44BA6AB7-36@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83751

I Joined the U.S.Army in October,1963.Chemicals? 22nd Chemical Company at Fort Hood,Texas (I did a search for that Company on the internet a couple of years,maybe they moved somewhere else) 1965.Smoke pots,smoke greanedes,stuff like that.Everyday when I got off work,I jumped in my little Volkswagen car and I went right straight to the Fort Hood Commisary food store and I bought a big red Apple to knaw on while I was heading to the 22nd Chemical Company mess hall.An Apple a day keeps the doctor away.
cuhulin
.....
Smitty,why do you keep driving that Jeep around and around in circles?
[I did a tune up on it,Ryan] OK,Carry on.
.....

2465. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:47:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <l4uug.121907$H71.116983@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83752

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA68EE.5AA96CFC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake
> Hispanic, as
> he's done since c.2000 wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
>> >> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> > That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
>> >> > are still counted as part of a market.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > You bean counters have killed radio.
>> >> >
>> >> > If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
>> >>
>> >> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination,
>> >> against
>> >> the
>> >> terms of their licences, we will object.
>> >
>> > Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.
>>
>> The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
>> FCC.
>
> But you'll still stamp your foot, right?

Do you ever contribute anything cogent, factual or tangible to an argument?

2466. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:48:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <m5uug.121908$H71.80233@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83753

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA6990.C411679F@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake
> Hispanic, as
> he's done since c.2000 wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BA5094.3E1F223C@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Frank Dresser wrote:
>> >
>> >> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
>> >> interference.
>> >
>> > "Nobody" that Edweenie and his minions care about, at least. Edweenie
>> > and
>> > his
>> > ilk care about Cubans and anybody that is of the "Hispanic"
>> > persuasion,
>> > but
>> > they don't care about "Americans".
>>
>> And this has to do with digital delay?
>> >
>> > Ask Edweenie about renouncing his US Citizenship.
>>
>> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your lies)
>
> I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
> citizenship.

You are slandering now.

2467. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:56:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA6FAF.B248D0B4@milestones.com>
Source record: 83754

David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic, as
he's done since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA68EE.5AA96CFC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake
> > Hispanic, as
> > he's done since c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
> >> >> > On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >> >>Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >> > That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
> >> >> > are still counted as part of a market.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You bean counters have killed radio.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
> >> >>
> >> >> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination,
> >> >> against
> >> >> the
> >> >> terms of their licences, we will object.
> >> >
> >> > Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.
> >>
> >> The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
> >> FCC.

> >
> > But you'll still stamp your foot, right?
>
> Do you ever contribute anything cogent, factual or tangible to an argument?

Nah, I just tell it like it is, 'tard boy. I don't fabricate, I don't obfuscate, I don't do much of anything that a fake Hispanic and apparent citizenship renouncing prick like yourself would do.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2468. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:57:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BA6FFF-1722@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83756

I am all for giving Israel all the NUKES they need to wipe out all of
them crazy ragheads.
cuhulin

2469. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 16:58:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA704A.AF72163B@milestones.com>
Source record: 83755

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA6990.C411679F@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake
> > Hispanic, as
> > he's done since c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BA5094.3E1F223C@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> >> >> interference.
> >> >
> >> > "Nobody" that Edweenie and his minions care about, at least. Edweenie
> >> > and
> >> > his
> >> > ilk care about Cubans and anybody that is of the "Hispanic"
> >> > persuasion,
> >> > but
> >> > they don't care about "Americans".
> >>
> >> And this has to do with digital delay?
> >> >
> >> > Ask Edweenie about renouncing his US Citizenship.
> >>
> >> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your lies)
> >
> > I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
> > citizenship.
>
> You are slandering now.

Really?

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

2470. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:01:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BA7104-1724@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83758

Why do I wear my black bra when I am sitting around in my house? Because
it looks cute on my big boobies.
cuhulin

2471. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:08:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Eouug.121922$H71.29251@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83757

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA704A.AF72163B@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
.
>> >>
>> >> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your
>> >> lies)
>> >
>> > I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
>> > citizenship.
>>
>> You are slandering now.
>
> Really?
>

This is a classic game of "Rope a Dope" and you are the dope. As if we did not already know.

I never, ever, renounced my US Citizenship and there is no proof or evidence to the contrary.

Nice try, and thanks for playing.

2472. (OT) : Happy Anniversary ! - America's Leadership - Promoting World Peace Through Strength !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:13:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153070007.971316.13470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83759

Why - Thank You DaviD ! :o)

Yes - The Trinity Test was the Birth of the Nuclear Age
http://www.angelfire.com/tx/scooterbb/images/badmoon.mid
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trinity_test
http://www.angelfire.com/ct3/amen/bridgeovertroubled.mid
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_testing
http://www.angelfire.com/ct3/amen/saints.mid
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_weapon
http://www.angelfire.com/ct3/amen/weshall.mid
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_weapons_delivery
http://www.geocities.com/missionpraise_scotland/1005ourgodisanawesomegod.mid

DaviD - It's a Pakled World
http://www.eskimo.com/~bpentium/pakleds/
http://www.angelfire.com/tx/scooterb/images/libertybellmarch.mid

To the Pakled way of Thinking . . .
"We are Far From Home"
"We look for Things, Things We Need"
http://ingeb.org/Lieder/meinvate.mid
"He is Smart"
"It Is Broken - Can You Make It Go ?"
"You Think We Are Not Smart"
"We Like To Be Smart"
"You Are Smart - Make Us Strong"
"We Like Power"
"We Are Strong - You are Armed to the Teeth"
"We Are Strong Now - We have better Weapons"
"We Are A Force Now - We Will Have Respect"
"Attack - We Are Strong"
In conclusion : "They Are Smart - We Are Not Strong"

DaviD - You Are In A Time Warp - Going Around In Circles
>From End To Beginning - For Ever and Ever ! Hallelujah !
http://ingeb.org/spiritua/halleluj.html
http://ingeb.org/spiritua/halleluj.mid

God {Allah} Bless America - Hallelujah ! and Amen.
{ For We Have Trinity -and- We Are Strong ! }
http://www.angelfire.com/ct3/amen/battlehymn.mid

America's Leadership - Promoting World Peace Through Strength !
The American Security Council (ASC) Foundation
GoTo=> http://www.ascfusa.org/asc_home.htm/

MOTTO: "Promoting Peace Through Strength"

This Great American Said It Well
"Let every nation know, whether it wishes us well or ill, that
we shall pay any price, bear any burden, meet any hardship,
support any friend, oppose any foe, to assure the survival and
success of liberty. . . .
http://brownielocks.com/wherehaveflowersgone.html
Let us go forth to lead the land we love, asking His blessing
and His help, but knowing that here on earth God's work must
truly be our own." - - - John F. Kennedy
http://brownielocks.com/godblessamerica.html
"Forgive Your Enemies, BUT... Never Forget Their Names."
- - - John F. Kennedy

Another Great American Help to Establish the
"United States Institute of Peace" (USIP)
GoTo=> http://www.usip.org/index.html
http://www.angelfire.com/ct3/amen/kumbayah.mid
United States Institute of Peace Act was finally passed
and signed into law -by- President Ronald Reagan in 1984.
http://brownielocks.com/givepeaceachance.html
America Is A Peace Loving Nation -and-
All Americans Want World Peace
http://www.geocities.com/missionpraise_scotland/kumbayah.mid

God {Allah} Bless America and America's Never Ending
Quest For World Peace For All Of Mankind - Amen ~ RHF
http://www.angelfire.com/tx/scooterbb/images/starnstrips.mid
.
.
. .

- David wrote:
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trinity_test
.

2473. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:15:12 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9802866BDA73Celektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 83760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:32903$44b981da$d06640f9$12761@FUSE.NET:

> Dee, you can disagree all you want. The fact is that the world is
> moving on and away from CW testing. Not the mode, but the testing
> requirement.
> It is just outdated and not necessary. If you want to learn it and
> then use it, great. The time has come to stop forcing an old mode onto
> people. We can debate the merits of CW all day. In 200 years, no one
> will be using it. It will die a natural death like all languages
> because the world evolves. Better things come along. And like many
> humans, you are resisting change because people hate change. But
> change is inevitable. You cannot stop this change and it is a change
> for the better even if you won't admit it. History will show the only
> way to save the Amateur Radio Service and help its growth is to evolve
> and change. Out with the old and in with the new. Those that won't
> evolve will fade from the earth just like stone-age man. Good bye CW
> testing requirement. Your departure is long, long overdue.
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> Again I disagree. That analogy is not really valid. A better one
>> would be comparing it to driving an automobile with a stick shift. I
>> personally feel that every one who drives should be required to know
>> how to drive a stick. They often get better mileage than automatics as
>> an experienced driver can do a better job of selecting the shift point
>> than a mere gadget. More people might select stick shifts if only
>> they knew how to drive one.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>

As it happens, I do think people should have to learn on a stick shift, but
I don't support code testing. If you can't drive a stick shift, you can't
drive my car, as every car I've had has had a stick shift and so will every
car I buy in future. OTOH, every rig I've bought has come with a mic, and
none of them came with a key. Now, I know you can get some QRP rigs that
are CW only.

The point is, there is a very real chance that it may be necessary to drive someone else's car in an emergency, or even just to help out. What happens when you need to get someone to the emergency room and the only car available is a stick shift? It's no good saying that statistically manual shifts are declining in sales, as there are plenty of us who will never buy an automatic.

OK, maybe you can say that you don't own a mic, and all the rigs in your shack are hooked up to a key (good luck on the local repeater!). What then is the realistic scenario where I will have to use one of your radios to save the world? (or even to save the next door neighbour) Doesn't seem to likely, does it?

2474. Hezbullah's Iranian Zelzal Rockets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:15:19 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bkta5gaac5u3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83761

Hezbullah's rockets

What we have seen until now have been Katyusha's and Fajr's, but Hezbullah has longer range rockets that we know about but have not seen yet.

HaAretz reported two months ago that Hezbullah has rockets called the Zelzal-1 and Zelzal-2.

According to HaAretz:

The solid-fuel rockets lack an independent guidance system and their accuracy is questionable but they can still cause considerable damage.

According to intelligence estimates, the rockets are meant to strike non-specific areas, such as towns and cities, and carry a warhead estimated to weigh 600 kilograms. This latest development doubles the range of weapons previously in Hezbollah's arsenal. 600 Kilos is a large warhead. For those of you who think in pounds, that's more than 1300 pounds. That's the size of a good car.

The rockets delivered to the Hezbollah have appeared under different names. One is Zelzal-2, and its earlier model is the Zelzal-1. Another Iranian name for the rocket is Nazeat.

The rocket was first seen in a military parade in Tehran in September 2005, the first such event following the election of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad as president.
...
In 1996, Iran tested the Zelzal-2 and then put the missile system up for sale. The Zelzal-2 is 8.32 m in length and 0.61 m in diameter, with a launch weight of 3,400 kg. It carries its 600 kg warhead to a maximum range of 200 km (124 miles).

http://www.missilethreat.com/missiles/zelzal-1-2-3_iran.html

International defense journals have reported that the Iranian rockets have been stored by the Hezbollah in special bunkers in a number of locations in the Bekaa near Lebanon's border with Syria.

http://www.debka.com/pictures/Lebanon.jpg
--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2475. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:16:42 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bktckdau9dl65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83762

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA46DE.9CF8DD99@milestones.com...
>
>
> BEIRUT, Lebanon
>
>
> The U.S. Embassy in Beirut says teams have arrived by helicopter to work
out the
> logistics for evacuating Americans.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

Yesterday via Stratfor

RED ALERT: GETTING READY

We are now in the period preceding major conventional operations. Israel is in the process of sealing the Lebanese coast. They have disrupted Lebanese telecommunications, although they have not completely collapsed the structure. Israeli aircraft are attacking Hezbollah's infrastructure and road system. In the meantime, Hezbollah, aware it is going to be hit hard, is in a use-it or-lose-it scenario, firing what projectiles it can into Israel.

The Israeli strategy appears to be designed to do two things. First, the Israelis are trying to prevent any supplies from entering Lebanon, including reinforcements. That is why they are attacking all coastal maritime facilities. Second, they are degrading the roads in Lebanon. That will keep reinforcements from reaching Hezbollah fighters engaged in the south. As important, it will prevent the withdrawal and redeployment of heavy equipment deployed by Hezbollah in the south, particularly their rockets, missiles and launchers. The Israelis are preparing the battlefield to prevent a Hezbollah retreat or maneuver.

Hezbollah's strategy has been imposed on it. It seems committed to standing and fighting. The rate of fire they are maintaining into Israel is clearly based on an expectation that Israel will be attacking. The rocketry guarantees the Israelis will attack. Hezbollah has been reported to have anti-tank and anti-air weapons. The Israelis will use airmobile tactics to surround and isolate Hezbollah concentrations, but in the end, they will have to go in, engage and defeat Hezbollah tactically. Hezbollah obviously

knows this, but there is no sign of disintegration on its part. At the very least, Hezbollah is projecting an appetite for combat. Sources in Beirut, who have been reliable to this point, say Hezbollah has weapons that have not yet been seen, such as anti-aircraft missiles, and that these will be used shortly. Whatever the truth of this, Hezbollah does not seem to think its situation is hopeless.

The uncertain question is Syria. No matter how effectively Israel seals the Lebanese coast, so long as the Syrian frontier is open, Hezbollah might get supplies from there, and might be able to retreat there. So far, there has been only one reported airstrike on a Syrian target. Both Israel and Syria were quick to deny this.

What is interesting is that it was the Syrians who insisted very publicly that no such attack took place. The Syrians are clearly trying to avoid a situation in which they are locked into a confrontation with Israel. Israel might well think this is the time to have it out with Syria as well, but Syria is trying very hard not to give Israel casus belli. In addition, Syria is facilitating the movement of Westerners out of Lebanon, allowing them free transit. They are trying to signal that they are being cooperative and nonaggressive.

The problem is this: While Syria does not want to get hit and will not make overt moves, so long as the Syrians cannot guarantee supplies will not reach Hezbollah or that Hezbollah won't be given sanctuary in Syria, Israel cannot complete its mission of shattering Hezbollah and withdrawing. They could be drawn into an Iraq-like situation that they absolutely don't want. Israel is torn. On the one hand, it wants to crush Hezbollah, and that requires total isolation. On the other hand, it does not want the Syrian regime to fall. What comes after would be much worse from Israel's point of view.

This is the inherent problem built into Israel's strategy, and what gives Hezbollah some hope. If Israel does not attack Syria, Hezbollah could well survive Israel's attack by moving across the border. No matter how many roads are destroyed, Israel won't be able to prevent major Hezbollah formations moving across the border. If they do attack Syria and crush al Assad's government, Hezbollah could come out of this stronger than ever.

Judging from the airstrikes in the past 24 hours, it would appear Israel is trying to solve the problem tactically, by degrading Lebanese transport facilities. That could increase the effectiveness of the strategy, but in the end cannot be sufficient. We continue to think Israel will choose not to attack Syria directly and therefore, while the invasion will buy time, it will not solve the problem. Hezbollah certainly expects to be badly hurt, but it does not seem to expect to be completely annihilated. We are guessing, but our guess is that they are reading Israel's views on Syria and are betting that, in the long run, they will come out stronger. Of course, Israel knows this and therefore may have a different plan for Syria. At any rate, this is the great unknown in this campaign.

The other unknown is the withdrawal of Western nationals from Lebanon. We have received very reliable reports from sources in Lebanon who assure us

Hezbollah does not intend to renew hostage taking, which is deemed an old and nonproductive strategy. These same sources have reported splits in Hezbollah over how aggressive it should be. We believe Hezbollah has no current plans for hostage taking. We are not convinced, however, that in the course of the battle it will not change its mind, or that with weakened central control elements, elements of Hezbollah will take hostages as a bargaining chip. Regardless of what Hezbollah is saying now, hostage taking must be taken seriously as a possibility.

The U.S. Embassy in Beirut is now saying plans are being developed in concert with the U.S. Defense Department for extracting U.S. nationals from Lebanon. A convoy scheduled to travel from the American University of Beirut to Amman, Jordan, via Syria, was cancelled at the last moment, with participants being told that the embassy has other plans.

There are said to be 25,000 U.S. citizens in Lebanon, but many of these are Lebanese-American dual nationals who actually live in Lebanon as Lebanese. These are less visible, less at risk and have greater resources for survival. The most at-risk Americans are those who hold only U.S. papers and are clearly American, such as employees of American companies, students studying at Lebanese universities and tourists. There is no clear count of these high-risk nationals, nor is there a count on high-risk nationals from other non-Islamic countries. There are thousands, however, and getting them out will be difficult.

The U.S. Embassy is considering flying them to Cyprus. That would mean an air bridge from Beirut International Airport, where a single runway has been opened, to Cyprus, a short flight away. The United States will not do this while Beirut is under attack, so it will ask the Israelis to create a safe zone and air corridor during the evacuation. But the threat on the ground is real, and we suspect the United States will send troops in to secure the perimeter and surrounding areas against shoulder-launched missiles. They will also keep the precise timing secret, although thousands of people in Lebanon -- the evacuees -- will know it is coming.

There was a Marine Expeditionary Force on maneuvers in the Red Sea a few days ago. We do not know where they are now, but they had 2,200 marines on board -- the right number to secure extraction. We suspect aircraft will be chartered from airlines in the region and that some U.S. Air Force and allied aircraft might also be used. Doubtless, the United States is busy organizing it. Given that the United States cancelled several ad hoc withdrawals, it must be highly confident it has the process nailed; we would expect this operation to get going sometime Sunday. Assuming aircraft that can carry any average of 200 people (purely arbitrary) , 50-100 flights could get everyone out. Assuming that everyone can be notified and can get to Beirut International Airport. That won't happen. The remainder who are at risk will probably be advised to move into Christian areas east and northeast of Beirut and to keep their heads down for the duration. It is also possible that discussion of Cyprus notwithstanding, the path will be through Syria, but we doubt that.

In the meantime, that Israel has not sent major ground units into Lebanon yet (lots of small units are operating there) but is taking rocket attacks and hunkering down indicates it does not plan to act piecemeal. If we were to guess, the main thrust would likely begin late Sunday night or Monday morning. They will be ready by then. Of course we are not privy to Israeli operations, so it could be delayed 24-48 hours to give forces a chance to gear up. But given the Hezbollah bombardment, the Israelis are under pressure to move sooner rather than later.

We are in a relatively quiet spell (emphasis on quiet) . Both sides have made their strategic decisions. Both know how the war will be fought. Hezbollah thinks it can give as good as it will get for a while, and will ultimately be able to regroup for a guerrilla war against the Israelis. Israel thinks it can immobilize and crush Hezbollah quickly and decisively and will be able to withdraw. Both sides know Syria is the wild card, and neither is quite sure how it will play its hand. One side is wrong in its expectations about the outcome. That's the nature of war.

2476. Re: (OT) : Happy Anniversary ! - America's Leadership - Promoting World Peace Through Strength !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:22:08 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vbtkb2hr4v12mt3tlmlm5sovqjb11erjbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 83763

On 16 Jul 2006 10:13:28 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Why - Thank You DaviD ! :o)
>
>Yes - The Trinity Test was the Birth of the Nuclear Age
The point being that war is impossible.

i.e. you gotta hold back or both sides lose.

2477. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:23:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA7627.AF8B0DCB@milestones.com>
Source record: 83764

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA704A.AF72163B@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> .
> >> >>
> >> >> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your
> >> >> lies)
> >> >
> >> > I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
> >> > citizenship.
> >>
> >> You are slandering now.
> >
> > Really?
> >
>
> This is a classic game of "Rope a Dope" and you are the dope. As if we did
> not already know.
>
> I never, ever, renounced my US Citizenship and there is no proof or evidence
> to the contrary.
>
> Nice try, and thanks for playing.

Ahhhh... now the 'tard starts to back-pedal!

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to
flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from
becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their
current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you
un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American
would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you,
Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as
well?

2478. David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:39:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153071595.367357.106450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83765

Doh ! - David - So Tell Me . . .
What Right Do Mexican Licensed Radio Stations like XEPRS
and XETRA have to Broadcast 'into' the USA and reach the
Greater Los Angeles California Radio Market ? ? ?

-IF- An American Licensed Radio Station is 'interferring with a
Mexican Radio Station inside of the Nation of Mexico - Then
they {The Mexicans} have a Valid Complaint [.]
-if- However they can not be heard in LA - No Problemo !
Note- That "LA" is about 213 km ~ 132 Miles from "TJ".

yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 14:36:43 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> >interference.
> >
> >
> >Frank Dresser
> >
> >
> NRSC-5 STANDARD IS AVAILABLE ON THE WEB
>
> The NRSC-5 Standard is the long-awaited document containing the
> technical details of the AM & FM IBOC (HD Radio) broadcast systems.
> The document is web-posted as a pdf file and is available for
> downloading now.
>
> Although the cover of the document claims "IN-BAND/ON-CHANNEL" digital
> radio broadcasting is being discussed, the title is misleading. The
> iBiquity IBOC system is really an "IN-BAND/OFF- CHANNEL" affair; that
> is, use of IBOC can cause interference well beyond the assigned
> frequency of the host analog station.
>

- Take, for example, the reports of interference indicating that the
new
- IBOC system on KNX, 1070 kHz, Los Angeles, is tearing up the analog
- reception of 50,000 watt XEPRS, 1090 kHz, in parts of the greater Los
- Angeles area. XEPRS had a fine signal in the affected areas before
KNX
- switched to IBOC. This interference had not been anticipated based on

- past conversations between our office and the IBOC system inventor,
- iBiquity, so the situation is disappointing to say the least.

>
> Here, then, is the NRSC-5 document. The spectrum occupancy charts
> should be of great interest to many:
> http://www.nrscstandards.org/Standards/NRSC-5/NRSC-5.pdf
> (CGC Communicator via Rene Tetro, PA, NRC-AM via DXLD)
>
> I've been looking at the NRSC-5 standards this morning and found
> something that we all knew inherently, but that the standard now shows
> definitively. If you look at the chart showing the hybrid analog-
> digital signal with 5 KHZ analog audio, you will see that the actual
> digital information does not begin until you are 10 KHZ removed from
> the carrier. The digital signal takes up 5KHZ of bandwidth from
> about 10 to 15 KHZ from the carrier on upper and lower sidebands.
>
> Consequently, an AM IBOC station on 1100 will take up spectrum from
> 1085 to 1115 KHZ, wiping out not only the first adjacents (1090 and
> 1110) but creating havoc with the second adjacents (1080 and 1120), as
> well. Again, this is pretty much what we have all heard with our own
> ears and/or suspected, but is now in print for all to see.
>
> A random 10 minute spectrum analysis of one IBOC station here in
> Philly shows that they are not even close to being within the proposed
> NRSC-5 mask. How many others out there are like this? This particular
> station I am sure would be brought into compliance because their
> engineering department is exceptional. But what about all of those
> little stations with marginal contract engineers. That's the kind of
> thing that worries me.
>
> The upside (if there is one) is that if and when AM becomes all
> digital, and the analog part of IBOC goes away, we will be back to
> about a 10 KHZ bandwidth -- actually slightly less. Of course, a lot
> of us on the older end will probably not be around to see this "brave
> new world." (Rene F. Tetro, ibid.)
>
> I've talked the IBOC spectrum several times on this mailing list, but
> there still seems to be a lot of confusion about it. See
> http://topazdesigns.com/iboc/RG-Jul04-08.pdf for more info
> (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
>
> Unless all bets are off because the interference occurs over US soil
> and XEPRS is licensed to Mexico, NO WAY is Los Angeles outside of

> XEPRS's protected daytime coverage area. According the Bob Carpenter's
> AMSTNS program, which uses data from the FCC AM database, XEPRS, which
> is ND days, puts out an RMS field of 3242.44 mV/m @ 1 km. That works
> out to a highly improbable (given the 178-degree tower height) 458+
> mV/m/kW @ 1 km. However, perhaps XEPRS runs a bit more than 50 kW
> days; it is, after all, a Mexican station. If you accept the above
> numbers, however, 200 km away over a salt-water path at KNX, XEPRS
> should deliver a field in excess of 16 mV/m. If you use a more
> probable inverse-distance field of 380 mV/m/kW @ 1 km for XEPRS, you
> get a field at 200 km of more than 13 mV/m. The most warped definition
> of protected AM daytime-groundwave service area that I have heard is
> that it now extends only to 5 mV/m. As far as I'm concerned, it still
> extends to 0.5 mV/m. But there can be no question that KNX should not
> interfere with XEPRS within XEPRS's 13 mV/m contour--unless the fact
> that XEPRS is a foreign station trumps the other rules (Dan
> Strassberg, AC 707, ibid.)
>
> Dan: It's my understanding that in all cases involving protection of
> stations in the US and adjacent countries (Canada, Mexico, Bahamas,
> etc) a station is protected in its own country but has no protection
> at all outside its border (Chuck Hutton, ibid.)
>
> Therein lies the rub. The international agreements state that stations
> are only protected within the borders of the country that they're
> located in. IBOC/IBAC is thus an effective weapon against the border
> blasters as well as the rimshots. There is also no doubt, however,
> that the use of the AM IBOC system is in clear violation of those same
> agreements. I made that point in my comments to the FCC last year, and
> I'm still waiting to see how they respond. Not that I have much doubt
> (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
>
> Barry, Now what would happen if XEPRS, XETRA, and the other border
> blasters decided to go 500 KW? I think the Mexican gov could be bought
> off if needed. I think a 500 KWer aimed at LA would solve the IBOC
> problem. Hi (Patrick Martin, Seaside OR, ibid.)
>
> http://www.worldofradio.com/dxld5099.txt

2479. Sony Stereo sets with Shortwave (The FH 7 - MK III)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:43:20 UTC
From: swfan <swfan@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <swfan-404100.19432016072006@news.stben.net>
Source record: 83766

I'm just curious whether they have been sold in Europe.

I have a FH 7 - MK III now, and see

- it has the same plastic case as the ICF 2001D/2010D..
- SW from 90 donwto 13 meter

Is there some site with these kind of radio's??

It was bought in the Middle East.

2480. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:44:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA7B0F.4459C495@milestones.com>
Source record: 83767

dxAce wrote:

> BEIRUT, Lebanon
>
> The U.S. Embassy in Beirut says teams have arrived by helicopter to work out the
> logistics for evacuating Americans.

http://www.breitbart.com/news/2006/07/16/D8IT4N2G8.html
(via Drudge)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2481. News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:45:00 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <jkukb21ku6gis4vk8ql426032dqqlv8jdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83768

5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
someday.

5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2482. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:49:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <N_uug.173175$F_3.76389@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83769

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA7627.AF8B0DCB@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BA704A.AF72163B@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> .
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your
>> >> >> lies)
>> >> >>
>> >> > I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
>> >> > citizenship.
>> >>
>> >> You are slandering now.
>> >>
>> > Really?
>> >
>>
>> This is a classic game of "Rope a Dope" and you are the dope. As if we
>> did
>> not already know.
>>
>> I never, ever, renounced my US Citizenship and there is no proof or
>> evidence
>> to the contrary.
>>
>> Nice try, and thanks for playing.
>
> Ahhhh... now the 'tard starts to back-pedal!

No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come up
with the wrong answer. You did.

2483. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:50:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BA7C71-1448@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83771

That ought to learn them Lebanase to harbor them terrorist! GO Get
em,Israel.
cuhulin

2484. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:52:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BA7CF2-1449@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83772

Saigon,all over again.Those Saigon metal steps/stairs are in Michigan.
cuhulin

2485. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 17:57:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com>
Source record: 83770

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's very own fake Hispanic and all 'round crybaby wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA7627.AF8B0DCB@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BA704A.AF72163B@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> .
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> And this has to do with digital delays? (It's just another of your
> >> >> >> lies)
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I'm not the one lying, nor the one who apparently renounced his
> >> >> > citizenship.
> >> >>
> >> >> You are slandering now.
> >> >
> >> > Really?
> >> >
> >>
> >> This is a classic game of "Rope a Dope" and you are the dope. As if we
> >> did
> >> not already know.
> >>
> >> I never, ever, renounced my US Citizenship and there is no proof or
> >> evidence
> >> to the contrary.
> >>
> >> Nice try, and thanks for playing.
> >
> > Ahhhh... now the 'tard starts to back-pedal!
>
> No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come up
> with the wrong answer. You did.

But you did answer it!

"It is simply none of your business."

That's an answer isn't it, shit for brains?

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

2486. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:04:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA7FB1.150AEAC8@milestones.com>
Source record: 83773

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Saigon,all over again.Those Saigon metal steps/stairs are in Michigan.

Yes they are!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2487. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:04:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2nvkb2hl674dhilld10b4ed1iohvib6ff4@4ax.com>
Source record: 83774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
wrote:

>Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
>situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
>wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"

Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?

Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
"code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
next door.

The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?

2488. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:14:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BA8214-1454@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83778

Kingdom is coming. www.juneberry78s.com
cuhulin

2489. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:20:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Vrvug.173183$F_3.33172@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83775

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come up
>> with the wrong answer. You did.
>
> But you did answer it!
>
> "It is simply none of your business."

Saying a personal matter is none of your business, when I do not even know who you are (I know _what_ you are, of course) is hardly answering a question.

2490. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:21:36 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <6f0lb2ljv284gi282bvtlfqe3q1l0nnfvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 83776

On 16 Jul 2006 10:39:55 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Doh ! - David - So Tell Me . . .
>What Right Do Mexican Licensed Radio Stations like XEPRS
>and XETRA have to Broadcast 'into' the USA and reach the
>Greater Los Angeles California Radio Market ? ? ?
>
>-IF- An American Licensed Radio Station is 'interferring with a
>Mexican Radio Station inside of the Nation of Mexico - Then
>they {The Mexicans} have a Valid Complaint [.]
>-if- However they can not be heard in LA - No Problemo !
>Note- That "LA" is about 213 km ~ 132 Miles from "TJ".
>
>yes - it is that simple ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .
You must be fun to live next door to, neighbor.

http://www.bext.com/_CGC/2005/cgc689.htm

2491. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:24:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <131lb219m0rstdml2hco4vr49his8phafs@4ax.com>
Source record: 83777

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 12:52:50 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Saigon,all over again.Those Saigon metal steps/stairs are in Michigan.
>cuhulin
>
Say, that reminds me H. I...

http://www.nationaltrust.org/11most/world_trade_center.html

2492. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:25:32 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <j41lb2toj2cbv312spdruvtjtp7h5pnht0@4ax.com>
Source record: 83779

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 13:45:00 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
>probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
>someday.
>
>5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
>____
>
>KE4ODD
>
>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>prove it.
>
>
>*Used with express written permission of its creator.

''Canuck''?

2493. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:26:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
> wrote:
>
> >Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
> >situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
> >wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
>
> Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
> software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
> hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
> on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?

learn what you are tlaking about psk31 sounds very little like sstv and
most of the programs that can demolate it show you a spectrograhand
they look nothing alike

there is some time confusion in wether that sgnal BPSK 31 or QPSK31 but
the marvels of Windows wallo me to run the output of the sound card
interface to at least 2 program so it can be worked out

you just want to bash any ham that doesnot follow your morse fetish
>
> Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
> "code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
> next door.
>
> The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
> the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
> if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?
it is our right and everybody else right that any restriction to our
access to public spectrum be "neccisary and proper" (one of 3 clauses
in the constitution that give the power to regulate the airwave to the
govet at all) otherwise the 10 reserving all right and power to the
sates or the people applies modifing that is the thrid place where the
consititution of US touches on this issue mby making the constitution
and the TREATIES made the supreme law of the land

according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution

I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
for that document

2494. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:30:11 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <mc1lb2tukf6bvv1mtpt3pkns314rqhaval@4ax.com>
Source record: 83781

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 18:25:32 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 13:45:00 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
>>probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
>>someday.
>>
>>5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
>>____
>>
>>KE4ODD
>>
>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>prove it.
>>
>>
>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>''Canuck''?

That's right "Canuck"....do you understand DD?

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2495. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:31:41 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA860D.F191A42A@milestones.com>
Source record: 83782

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come up
> >> with the wrong answer. You did.
> >
> > But you did answer it!
> >
> > "It is simply none of your business."
>
> Saying a personal matter is none of your business, when I do not even know
> who you are (I know _what_ you are, of course) is hardly answering a
> question.

Shit! If somebody called here and asked ME if I ever renounced my citizenship I'd tell them NO, even if I didn't have the slightest idea who the hell they were.

You, on the other hand, being the pathological liar that you are now try to put the spin on your outrageous bullshit!

It's like I said, Edweenie, any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you

un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

2496. Re: How to Greet Non-Muslims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:33:30 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153074810.151041.172650@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83783

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am a Christian and I Love GOD.
> cuhulin

Hey Cuhulin - why exclude Muslims from such a nice greeting.

It's your version of Ah Salam Alekhem, right?

2497. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 18:51:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA8AAC.1FCCE967@milestones.com>
Source record: 83784

dxAce wrote:

> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Saigon,all over again.Those Saigon metal steps/stairs are in Michigan.
>
> Yes they are!

<http://www.fordlibrarymuseum.gov/museum/exhibits/permanent/Shuttle-Diplomacy.asp>

2498. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:03:53 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bl3lj78mhfgec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83785

"Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
news:mc1lb2tukf6bvv1mtpt3pkns314rqhaval@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 18:25:32 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
> >On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 13:45:00 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
> >>probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
> >>someday.
> >>
> >>5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
> >>
> >
> >''Canuck''?
>
>
>
>
> That's right "Canuck"....do you understand DD?

"Canuck" is a slang term for "Canadian" in Canadian English and sometimes in
American English.
The term was coined in the 19th century, although its etymology is unclear.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Canuck

2499. jealous fellows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:17:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153077431.733515.73460@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83786

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I Joined the U.S.Army in October,1963.Chemicals? 22nd Chemical Company
> at Fort Hood,Texas (I did a search for that Company on the internet a
> couple of years,maybe they moved somewhere else) 1965.Smoke pots,smoke
> greanedes,stuff like that.Everyday when I got off work,I jumped in my
> little Volkswagen car and I went right straight to the Fort Hood
> Commisary food store and I bought a big red Apple to knaw on while I was
> heading to the 22nd Chemical Company mess hall.An Apple a day keeps the
> doctor away.
> cuhulin
> ....
> Smitty,why do you keep driving that Jeep around and around in circles?
> [I did a tune up on it,Ryan] OK,Carry on.

indeed the old chemcial copmanies are gone (withsome revial in recent
years

> ....

2500. Re: Has anyone noticed an incrase in number station traffic in the Gulf States (and Levant) in the past 168 hours?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:19:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153077563.157944.152960@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83787

Max Power wrote:
> Has anyone noticed an increase in number station traffic in the Gulf States
> (and Levant) in the past 168 hours?
no but it would shock me to learn it had not many of these station are
well known to hve Mossad and anyone who think mossad is a little busy
these days is nut

2501. Kol Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:27:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA933C.9B539E7F@milestones.com>
Source record: 83788

Kol Israel 9400 actually put in a pretty usable signal here during their English broadcast at 1900-1925. 11590 was unusable.

Yesterday, 11590 was very marginal and 9400 was useless.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2502. David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:44:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153079060.284755.308860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83789

David,

The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.
- - - And Then There Was IBOC Digital Hash Everywhere !

Once HD-IBOC AM/MW Radio is the norm at night with
Thousands of Local AM/MW Radio Stations trying to
saturate their Local Media Markets with IBOC Hash so
that nothing beyond their Market gets through . . .

Then 50 KW Clear Channels will reduce their Night Time
Analog Power down to 20 to 25 KW as an effective Cost
Savings and Increase the Power Levels in the Digital
Side Bands as more and more newer HD AM Radios are
put in use by a new generation of AM/MW Radio Listeners.

Within Ten Years - At Best the Clears will become Regional
Broadcasters and only do a marginal job of that.

DX ? - What's That Daddy ?
- - - Why Son I Remember When . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 22:40:26 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >At the current rate, it will take another 4 to 5 years to get to a 1% share
> >of listening, or the equivalent of one very low rated station in each
> >market.
> >
> That is the mantra we keep hearing.
>
> 2.5 million subs is 1% of the potential audience. Satellite passed
> that years ago. If you think people listen to satellite and (not
> even) free radio equally, once they have experienced satellite, you
> are dreaming.
>
> And the fact that you do not acknowledge the special nature of the
> KGOs and KSLs of the world out here in the American West shows a lack
> of understanding of broadcasting fundamentals. Forget about sales and
> think about service.

>
> Your off-hand dismissal of the half of the population that chooses to
> live beyond the suburbs is crass and just makes me hope that the death
> of corporate cookie cutter radio comes quickly.

2503. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:45:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <THwug.6165$2v.4313@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83790

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153071595.367357.106450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Doh ! - David - So Tell Me . . .
> What Right Do Mexican Licensed Radio Stations like XEPRS
> and XETRA have to Broadcast 'into' the USA and reach the
> Greater Los Angeles California Radio Market ? ? ?

International allocations allow use of certain frequencies for regional broadcasting.

KGBT, a 50 kw in Harlingen, TX, pushes all its power at Mexico. What right do they have to do that? The right is based on treaties that give 1530 principally to the US to ues.

There are far more US stations pumpling power at Mexico than Mexican staitons pumping power at the US.
>
> -IF- An American Licensed Radio Station is 'interferring with a
> Mexican Radio Station inside of the Nation of Mexico - Then
> they {The Mexicans} have a Valid Complaint [.]
> -if- However they can not be heard in LA - No Problemo !
> Note- That "LA" is about 213 km ~ 132 Miles from "TJ".

There is a joint committee called the Mixta set up to resolve allocations and frequency usage.

2504. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:47:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <iJwug.6167$2v.2515@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83791

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BA860D.F191A42A@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come
>> >> up
>> >> with the wrong answer. You did.
>> >
>> > But you did answer it!
>> >
>> > "It is simply none of your business."
>>
>> Saying a personal matter is none of your business, when I do not even
>> know
>> who you are (I know _what_ you are, of course) is hardly answering a
>> question.
>
> Shit! If somebody called here and asked ME if I ever renounced my
> citizenship
> I'd tell them NO, even if I didn't have the slightest idea who the hell
> they
> were.

But you are not quite sane. You insult everyone, from Canadians to
Hispanics, to owners of radios you do not like, such as the R*75.

2505. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:51:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153079514.420254.221290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83792

DE - So you are saying that US AM Radio Stations are in-fact
"Licensed" to Broadcast 'to' Mexico ! - i don't think so ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153071595.367357.106450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Doh ! - David - So Tell Me . . .
> > What Right Do Mexican Licensed Radio Stations like XEPRS
> > and XETRA have to Broadcast 'into' the USA and reach the
> > Greater Los Angeles California Radio Market ? ? ?
>
> International allocations allow use of certain frequencies for regional
> broadcasting.
>
> KGBT, a 50 kw in Harlingen, TX, pushes all its power at Mexico. What right
> do they have to do that? The right is based on treaties that give 1530
> principally to the US to ues.
>
> There are far more US stations pumpling power at Mexico than Mexican
> staitons pumping power at the US.
> >
> > -IF- An American Licensed Radio Station is 'interferring with a
> > Mexican Radio Station inside of the Nation of Mexico - Then
> > they {The Mexicans} have a Valid Complaint [.]
> > -if- However they can not be heard in LA - No Problemo !
> > Note- That "LA" is about 213 km ~ 132 Miles from "TJ".
>
> There is a joint committee called the Mixta set up to resolve allocations
> and frequency usage.

2506. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:52:35 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153079555.722708.263770@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83793

Osama Bin Laden, Saddam Hussein, Shah of Iran, Manuel Noriega, are but a few of the really bad people we the U.S.A. have backed with money, supplies and armaments. Judging by your comments to date I'm sure you would be far more comfortable with U.S. backed people like that in power. I'm sure you will support spending billions more U.S. dollars supporting dictators and rebels who inevitably turn on us.

Don't forget my friend the U.S.A. backed Osama Bin Laden. And guess what country a majority of the 9-11 trerrorists came from...Saudi Arabia, our buddies.

2507. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 19:53:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BA991B.DC539857@milestones.com>
Source record: 83794

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo, the almost Ecuadorian, who got his dumb ass booted wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA860D.F191A42A@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> No, I just did not ever answer your question to see if you would come
> >> >> up
> >> >> with the wrong answer. You did.
> >> >
> >> > But you did answer it!
> >> >
> >> > "It is simply none of your business."
> >>
> >> Saying a personal matter is none of your business, when I do not even
> >> know
> >> who you are (I know _what_ you are, of course) is hardly answering a
> >> question.
> >>
> > Shit! If somebody called here and asked ME if I ever renounced my
> > citizenship
> > I'd tell them NO, even if I didn't have the slightest idea who the hell
> > they
> > were.
>
> But you are not quite sane.

Helluva lot more sane than some dumbass prancer who runs around pretending to be Hispanic.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2508. Re: Israel Attacks on Lebanon A Psy-Op to Push War on Iran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:00:50 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bl70c2mqooj44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83795

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:2p4jb2hu81hankjib3hvlsrng1kq52d1r9@4ax.com...
> http://www.infowars.com/articles/ww3/israel_attacks_lebanon_psyop.htm
>

Looks like your Dear Author is sympathetic to Syrian/Iranian/Terrorist propaganda.

From Syria's official Propaganda media

"Those who have expressed doubts over the actions of the resistance are in one way or another implicated in the (Israeli) aggression against Beirut and Gaza."

The newspaper was referring to Saudi Arabia, Egypt and Jordan which indirectly criticized Hezbollah for "adventurism" in provoking Israel's onslaught on Lebanon and putting all Arab nations at risk.

Another Syrian government daily, Tishrin, accused Israel and the United States of waging war in order to gain total control over the region.

"After having achieved the destruction of Iraq and sabotaged all efforts to solve the Israeli-Arab conflict and find a just peace in the region, the US administration has given its green light to the Israeli government of Ehud Olmert to burn down the region beginning with Gaza and Lebanon," Tishrin said.

"This is part of an Israeli-American plan for total hegemony in the region."

Syria, which backs but denies arming Hezbollah, was the longtime powerbroker in Lebanon until an international outcry over the assassination of five-time premier Rafiq Hariri forced it to withdraw its troops last year.

http://www.breitbart.com/news/2006/07/16/060716121656.1nxaa10t.html

Iran Provider of Hezbollah's Weaponry

London, Asharq Al-Awsat- According to a source close to a high-ranking official in the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Teheran has supplied Hezbollah with approximately 11,500 missiles and projectiles.

The source said more than 3,000 Hezbollah members have undergone training in Iran, which included guerilla warfare, firing missiles and artillery, operating unmanned drones, marine warfare and conventional war operations. He said they have also trained 50 pilots for the past two years.

According to the source, Hezbollah currently possesses four types of surface-to-surface missiles, some of which extend to a distance of 150

kilometers.

http://www.asharqalawsat.com/english/news.asp?section=1&id=5651

Israeli security officials told WND today they have "concrete information" Iranian soldiers stationed at Hezbollah positions in Lebanon have aided in efforts to fire missiles into Israel since Wednesday.

Jordanian officials told WND they are "100 percent sure" Iranian Revolutionary Guard unit soldiers have fired rockets into Israel. They also said the Syrian army has provided Hezbollah with intelligence information on the locations of strategic Israeli targets to aid in Hezbollah rocket fire.

A Communist Ba'ath party official operating out of the Golan Heights told WND he has information Iranian soldiers have been firing rockets into Israel.

A senior Egyptian security official told WND it would be "very logical" if Iranian Revolutionary Guard soldiers have been helping Hezbollah fire the rockets.

At times, Revolutionary Guard soldiers can be seen operating openly at Hezbollah outposts in plain view from the Israeli side, military officials say.

http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=51075

---------- April 11, 2006 ----------

"Israel and United Nations observers have noticed a buildup of Hezbollah militants along the Israeli-Lebanese border the past month. Israeli security officials last month warned Hezbollah was looking to kidnap Israeli civilians and soldiers and escalate violence along the border."

Iran is attempting to draw Lebanon into a conflict with the U.S. and Israel and is priming the Hezbollah militia to assault the Jewish state in the event of an attack against Iran's nuclear facilities, Lebanon's Druze leader Walid Jumblatt said in an interview.

The Syrians feel at ease because of the Iranian connections. [Syria-appointed Lebanese President Amil] Lahoud is much more confident because of the alliance with the Iranians. The borders between Lebanon and Syria are open. Syria is smuggling [into Lebanon] weapons, ammunition and fighters. Hezbollah too is the best to destabilize Lebanon against independence," said Jumblatt.

Syria last April withdrew tens of thousands of troops it maintained in Lebanon, announcing it was ending its nearly 30-year occupation of the country. The withdrawal was considered a result of mounting international pressure following the assassination in February 2005 of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri, for which Damascus was widely blamed.

But there has been a steady stream of reports Syrian intelligence agents continue to operate in Lebanon. Syrian and Lebanese intelligence agents have

been blamed for a series of bombings and political assassinations that have rocked Lebanon since Hariri's murder.

Jumblatt said he was unsure of the extent of Syria's intelligence network in Lebanon. He called Lebanese President Lahoud a "Syrian agent, just a puppet of [Syrian President Bashar] Assad."

He blasted the international community for "dropping the ball on pressuring Syria" the past few months.

"We heard a lot of talk about pressuring Syria last year, but have not seen much lately," said Jumblatt. "You have to ask for Bashar [Assad] to go then maybe Lahoud might go. ... I don't see it possible to change [Syria's] behavior without changing the regime."

Jumblatt warned together with Syria the Tehran regime has been funneling money and weapons to Hezbollah to use against Israel and American interests in the event of an attack against Iran's nuclear sites.

Jumblatt's statements concerning Hezbollah come in the wake of a report in London's Daily Telegraph stating Iranian Revolutionary Guard units are now deployed at Hezbollah posts along the Israeli border and are developing an advanced intelligence-gathering network for spying on the Jewish state.

A senior Israeli Defense Forces commander told the Telegraph that Hezbollah posts fortified by Iran are "now Iran's frontline with Israel. The Iranians are using Hezbollah to spy on us so that they can collect information for future attacks. And there is very little we can do about it."

http://www.johnbatchelorshow.com/audio/Jumblatt_Walid%204_07_06%209p.mp3

According to Dr. DaviD the U.S. psyops program controls Hezbollah - impressive - LMAO!

2509. Re: OT Re: The Palestinian Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:18:40 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bl81p19ab0v8b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83796

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:b_eug.108837$I61.55862@clgrps13...
> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
>
> > "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
> >
> >
http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> > mages-industry.html
> >
> >
> > Palestinian Media Fakery
> >
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
>
>
> Well..they must be really good at it. It appears they have fooled ninety
> percent of the world. The Palestinian as 'Perpetual Victim'. I wonder
> who they could possible have learned that from.

Their puppet masters - Russia's KGB - Syrian and Palestinian's ally.

> My favourite zionist quote:
>
> "One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail."
> Rabbi Yaacov Perrin, Feb. 27, 1994 [N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1994, p. 1]
>

That is very mild. You should hear the never ending insults and threats the
imams on the Iranian, Syrian and Palestinian TV stations spew out all day,
every day, 365 days a year (available on satelitte all over the world). It
would fill a book of quotes every single day. For a start read MEMRI - look
it up on google.

> If Jesus were here today, He'd be Palestinian.

He is not alive today and he would have nothing to do with murderous
terrorists.
More than likely he would wave his hand and send the Palestinians to thier
40 virgins, in hell.

2510. (OT) : "How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike {There Is A Brotherhood Of Man}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:44:28 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153082668.477600.196360@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83797

"How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike

There Is A Brotherhood Of Man - That Unites Us All !
http://www.presidentreagan.info/speeches/brotherhood.cfm
* A Botherhood of Nations seeking International Peace.
* A Brotherhood of Man here on Earth for Everyone.
* A Brotherhood of Man united by the Human Spirit.
http://www.stlyrics.com/lyrics/howtosucceedinbusinesswithoutreallytrying/brotherhoodofman.htm

"As Sala'amu Alaikum" (Peace Be Upon You)

http://muttaqun.com/greeting.html

"Walaikum As Sala'am" (and Unto You Also, Peace) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

LW wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > I am a Christian and I Love GOD.
> > cuhulin
>
> Hey Cuhulin - why exclude Muslims from such a nice greeting.
>
> It's your version of Ah Salam Alekhem, right?

2511. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:44:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <8zxug.6193$2v.2844@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83648

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153079514.420254.221290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> DE - So you are saying that US AM Radio Stations are in-fact
> "Licensed" to Broadcast 'to' Mexico ! - i don't think so ~ RHF

No station is licensed to broadcast to a specific country. They are licensed for certain powers and directional patterns. If the coverage includes parts of another nation, then the treaties come into effect.

KGBT is definitely licensed to cover parts of Mexico, and the treaties afford interference protection to that station as licensed.

Just as, for example, 1570 is a Mexican Clear Channel and no US station may interfere with its signal. Until a treaty was renegotiate, in the 70's, no US station on 1570 could operate at night anywhere in the US on 1570.

2512. Radio Thailand-All India Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:49:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BAA66F.26E5A325@milestones.com>
Source record: 83649

Radio Thailand 9680 coming in fairly well with English directed to Europe 2030-2045.

At 2045 I switched to All India Radio 11620, also directed to Europe with English sked 2045-2230. Very good signal.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2513. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 20:51:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83650

DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
-and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153079514.420254.221290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > DE - So you are saying that US AM Radio Stations are in-fact
> > "Licensed" to Broadcast 'to' Mexico ! - i don't think so ~ RHF
>
> No station is licensed to broadcast to a specific country. They are licensed
> for certain powers and directional patterns. If the coverage includes parts
> of another nation, then the treaties come into effect.
>
> KGBT is definitely licensed to cover parts of Mexico, and the treaties
> afford interference protection to that station as licensed.
>
- Just as, for example, 1570 is a Mexican Clear Channel
- and no US station may interfere with its signal. Until a
- treaty was renegotiate, in the 70's, no US station on
- 1570 could operate at night anywhere in the US on 1570.

2514. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:28:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <3rblb292agmra72imprcoaeoui6qjespfs@4ax.com>
Source record: 83651

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 14:30:11 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>That's right "Canuck"....do you understand DD?

Not really. What does it mean? Is it a term of disrespect?

2515. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:31:07 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <00clb2h5r43ipos6erl5pnrtvai067bgeh@4ax.com>
Source record: 83652

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 21:28:46 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 14:30:11 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>That's right "Canuck"....do you understand DD?
>
>Not really. What does it mean? Is it a term of disrespect?

No...it's less letters to type DD.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2516. Re: Kol Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:32:22 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <60clb2949ja1ga2r53duins3g5ka7ebhsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83653

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 15:27:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>Kol Israel 9400 actually put in a pretty usable signal here during their English
>broadcast at 1900-1925. 11590 was unusable.
>
>Yesterday, 11590 was very marginal and 9400 was useless.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>
Will be on Sirius140 later this evening, right after R. Netherlands.

http://wrn.org/listeners/schedules/schedule.php?ScheduleID=2

2517. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:33:53 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <o3clb2t40gpg292uasfbnl1n75mfou1tcc@4ax.com>
Source record: 83654

On 16 Jul 2006 12:44:20 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>David,
>
>The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.
>- - - And Then There Was IBOC Digital Hash Everywhere !
>
>Once HD-IBOC AM/MW Radio is the norm at night with
>Thousands of Local AM/MW Radio Stations trying to
>saturate their Local Media Markets with IBOC Hash so
>that nothing beyond their Market gets through . . .
>
>Then 50 KW Clear Channels will reduce their Night Time
>Analog Power down to 20 to 25 KW as an effective Cost
>Savings and Increase the Power Levels in the Digital
>Side Bands as more and more newer HD AM Radios are
>put in use by a new generation of AM/MW Radio Listeners.
>
>Within Ten Years - At Best the Clears will become Regional
>Broadcasters and only do a marginal job of that.
>
>DX ? - What's That Daddy ?
>- - - Why Son I Remember When . . . ~ RHF
I really doubt they're going to sell enough HD radios to achieve
critical mass. Put it up there with dolby FM, SQ quadrophonic and AM
stereo.

2518. Re: (OT) : "How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike {There Is A Brotherhood Of Man}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:35:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <e6clb2tp8m32vn6e8rqvrfmcauhpm1krkh@4ax.com>
Source record: 83655

On 16 Jul 2006 13:44:28 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>"How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike
>
>There Is A Brotherhood Of Man - That Unites Us All !
>http://www.presidentreagan.info/speeches/brotherhood.cfm
>* A Botherhood of Nations seeking International Peace.
>* A Brotherhood of Man here on Earth for Everyone.
>* A Brotherhood of Man united by the Human Spirit.
>http://www.stlyrics.com/lyrics/howtosucceedinbusinesswithoutreallytrying/brotherhoodofman
.htm
>
>"As Sala'amu Alaikum" (Peace Be Upon You)
>
>http://muttaqun.com/greeting.html
>
>"Walaikum As Sala'am" (and Unto You Also, Peace) ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .
My first job in radio was running Mohammed Speaks on Sunday Mornings,
right after Dangers of Apathy and right before the Bridgestone
Motorbike program.

2519. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:49:52 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12blddtsh9jj66b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83656

Wow, you're still trying to push that old propaganda lie?
John, meet DaviD. DaviD, meet John. - LOL

You obviously are not a well read individual.

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1153079555.722708.263770@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Osama Bin Laden, Saddam Hussein, Shah of Iran, Manuel Noriega, are but
> a few of the really bad people we the U.S.A. have backed with money,
> supplies and armaments. Judging by your comments to date I'm sure you
> would be far more comfortable with U.S. backed people like that in
> power. I'm sure you will support spending billions more U.S. dollars
> supporting dictators and rebels who inevitably turn on us.
>
> Don't forget my friend the U.S.A. backed Osama Bin Laden. And guess
> what country a majority of the 9-11 trerrorists came from...Saudi
> Arabia, our buddies.
>

2520. The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 21:51:21 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12bldfhkjo8mja7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83657

Taliban gets smart in Afghanistan's propaganda war

KABUL -- The calls come through nearly every two hours, always from a satellite phone and usually with some new claim of an attack that the reporter must check with the US-led coalition force in Afghanistan.

Sometimes they turn out to be false. Many times, they are true but exaggerated. "I get between six to 10 calls a day from one or the other of the two Taliban spokesmen," said one journalist based in the southern city of Kandahar, a focus of the movement's insurgency launched after it was ousted in 2001. "It is mainly them who tell us first of incidents," he adds.

Under the Pakistan-backed regime, movies, videos, television or photographs of any living creature, even animals, were taboo, as they were seen as idolatrous.

But today the religious students not only watch such material, they produce and distribute it for free - usually high-quality video CDs of rousing speeches and Taliban attacks and what they call sacrifice for a "holy cause."

More than a dozen VCDs obtained by AFP in the past 10 months all carry the logos of one of three studios - Omat (Nation) productions, Manbaul-Jihad (Source of Jihad) or Abdullah videos.

These shadowy outfits produce videos for the Taliban - and probably also for Al Qaeda - in the Arabic, Urdu and Pashto languages that are aimed at potential sympathizers in southern Afghanistan and the adjoining Pakistan tribal belt, and Arab extremists.

Every film includes interviews with purported Taliban commanders who claim to have shot down US helicopters or to have killed US or Afghan soldiers.

Some show gruesome documentary footage of what are called civilian casualties of US bombings in Afghanistan, Iraq and Pakistan, or of Christians chopping off the heads and arms of Muslims in Indonesia's religiously tense Poso district.

The clips focus on children, women and the elderly. "Their targeted audience is a less-educated section of people with little power of political analysis who react religiously and emotionally."

The insurgents have seized the news agenda, analyst Joanna Nathan said. "There is an information vacuum which the insurgents are only too happy to fill," she said.

The Taliban even fill that void by claiming responsibility for attacks that they may have had no part.

Taliban's propaganda planners - finely tuned to the complicated social, ethnic and religions sensitivities of this country - are capitalizing on every weakness, playing on Afghans' cherished notions of religion and liberty from foreign occupation.

Their sophisticated campaign is beyond the capacity of the average illiterate Taliban fighter, said a high-ranking government official who refused to be identified. "There are big hands and organizations behind them," he said. "To gather data, footage and intelligence and reproduce them for Taliban interests is not what the barefoot illiterate Taliban can do in caves and mountains. It is done in cities where they have backers."

Can you say, T-E-R-H-R-A-N ?

http://www.metimes.com/articles/normal.php?StoryID=20060716-030235-1413r

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

2521. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:12:35 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ovdlb2574vop3462b87asukap0fip90ch8@4ax.com>
Source record: 83658

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 16:49:52 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
wrote:

>Wow, you're still trying to push that old propaganda lie?
>John, meet DaviD. DaviD, meet John. - LOL
>
>You obviously are not a well read individual.
http://fas.org/spp/starwars/congress/1992/h920727g.htm

2522. Re: The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:21:57 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153088517.244739.233940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83659

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> Taliban gets smart in Afghanistan's propaganda war
>
> KABUL -- The calls come through nearly every two hours, always from a
> satellite phone and usually with some new claim of an attack that the
> reporter must check with the US-led coalition force in Afghanistan.
>
> Sometimes they turn out to be false. Many times, they are true but
> exaggerated. "I get between six to 10 calls a day from one or the other of
> the two Taliban spokesmen," said one journalist based in the southern city
> of Kandahar, a focus of the movement's insurgency launched after it was
> ousted in 2001. "It is mainly them who tell us first of incidents," he adds.
>
> Under the Pakistan-backed regime, movies, videos, television or photographs
> of any living creature, even animals, were taboo, as they were seen as
> idolatrous.
>
> But today the religious students not only watch such material, they produce
> and distribute it for free - usually high-quality video CDs of rousing
> speeches and Taliban attacks and what they call sacrifice for a "holy
> cause."
>
> More than a dozen VCDs obtained by AFP in the past 10 months all carry the
> logos of one of three studios - Omat (Nation) productions, Manbaul-Jihad
> (Source of Jihad) or Abdullah videos.
>
> These shadowy outfits produce videos for the Taliban - and probably also for
> Al Qaeda - in the Arabic, Urdu and Pashto languages that are aimed at
> potential sympathizers in southern Afghanistan and the adjoining Pakistan
> tribal belt, and Arab extremists.
>
> Every film includes interviews with purported Taliban commanders who claim
> to have shot down US helicopters or to have killed US or Afghan soldiers.
>
> Some show gruesome documentary footage of what are called civilian
> casualties of US bombings in Afghanistan, Iraq and Pakistan, or of
> Christians chopping off the heads and arms of Muslims in Indonesia's
> religiously tense Poso district.
>
> The clips focus on children, women and the elderly. "Their targeted audience
> is a less-educated section of people with little power of political analysis
> who react religiously and emotionally."
>
> The insurgents have seized the news agenda, analyst Joanna Nathan said.

> "There is an information vacuum which the insurgents are only too happy to
> fill," she said.
>
> The Taliban even fill that void by claiming responsibility for attacks that
> they may have had no part.
>
> Taliban's propaganda planners - finely tuned to the complicated social,
> ethnic and religions sensitivities of this country - are capitalizing on
> every weakness, playing on Afghans' cherished notions of religion and
> liberty from foreign occupation.
>
> Their sophisticated campaign is beyond the capacity of the average
> illiterate Taliban fighter, said a high-ranking government official who
> refused to be identified. "There are big hands and organizations behind
> them," he said. "To gather data, footage and intelligence and reproduce them
> for Taliban interests is not what the barefoot illiterate Taliban can do in
> caves and mountains. It is done in cities where they have backers."
>
> Can you say, T-E-R-H-R-A-N ?
>
> http://www.metimes.com/articles/normal.php?StoryID=20060716-030235-1413r
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

They hate our modernity! They hate our freedom!

Are you rapture ready?
http://www.raptureready.com/

2523. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:28:50 UTC
From: Roooooooodney <capofthecream6@barneybignosebaglemaker.com>
Message-ID: <ded3f$44babdc1$d1cc5ba9$12590@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 83660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<folson@innercite.com> wrote in message
news:1152994937.233695.66730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> That I have established a permanent audio shrine to them at
> www.hamjamming.com featuring lots and lots of free .mp3
> downloads of their
> hilarious QSOs from the '70's and '80's. Go to the "audio files" page.
> Enjoy!
>
> W6WBJ - I just love the hom radio!

----> hamjamming dot com ROCKS !!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Great job dude!

Now if SOMEONE could just set up an online
shrine with .MP3 files of the infamous 14.313/14.300/3.894.5
wars of several years ago, the cycle would be complete.

WWW.HAMJAMMERS.COM

Free Audio Downloads From The Dark Netherworld of Ham Radio:

The Most Infamous Lids To Ever Disgrace The Amateur Service!

This website is devoted to demonstrating what an absolutely
worthless, stupid hobby ham radio is.If anybody tells you that
ham radio is fun, or interesting, or that you will meet nice,
interesting people by becoming a ham, DON'T BELIEVE THEM!

Hams are the biggest group of whiners, snivelers, complainers
and all-around no-talent losers you will ever meet.This is
because the system itself is a veritable recipe for selecting
dweebs and idiots with pocket protectors: Take a fat
dweeb who has no friends or social skills and can't
get a date; give him a trivial exam where the questions and
answers are all available beforehand; and then give him
a license and tell him he's somehow "special". Voila!
You have an insufferable, boring ham radio operator
who thinks he's morally and intellectually superior to
other people.

It's inevitable. Don't make the mistake of getting
involved in this hobby!

WARNING: Some of the audio files are
rated "R"! Your Webmaster cannot be responsible
if you become addicted to listening to jamming recordings
and you lose your job because you don't show up for work.

2524. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:35:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153089324.750562.65830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Roooooooodney wrote:
> <folson@innercite.com> wrote in message
> news:1152994937.233695.66730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > That I have established a permanent audio shrine to them at
nah it is cw that causes the stupidity

2525. Help Icom R70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:42:39 UTC
From: Daniele <marius.gd@xy-tiscali.it>
Message-ID: <zhzug.42814$zy5.865662@twister1.libero.it>
Source record: 83662

Hello,
I hope ths is the right newsgroup for my question:
is there someone who knows how to make the alignment of the notch filter in a Icom R-70 receiver? I got an usde one which works, but was quite messed-up with the alignment of the IF section. I was able to re-align it by using the service manual and my instruments (signal gen, oscilloscope and RF voltmeter), but the manual does not report the procedure for notch alignment. I contacted ICom America which answered that the receiver was a very old rig and they didn't have any documentation (sic!)
Please, help...

2526. Re: The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:44:25 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <djzug.136425$S61.58532@edtnps90>
Source record: 83663

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> They hate our modernity! They hate our freedom!

I get the distinct feeling that what they really hate is being invaded
and then killed, tortured and raped. The only light at the end of tunnel
seems to coming from chemical flashlights shoved up non-Christian asses
by worshiper of Jesus.

mike

2527. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 22:55:47 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Ttzug.198089$IK3.152984@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83664

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA68EE.5AA96CFC@milestones.com...
>>
>> David Frackelton Gleason, continuing to pose as 'Eduardo', the fake
>> Hispanic, as
>> he's done since c.2000 wrote:
>>
>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44BA4CF3.EC1C32FB@milestones.com...
>>>>
>>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:3uckb2h0480qmbsdg44g66curdjv38oi2h@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 01:46:55 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>>>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Your math is off. Both on radio and population.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> That's semantics. You know darn well that people beyond the suburbs
>>>>>> are still counted as part of a market.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You bean counters have killed radio.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If satellite is no factor why are you trying to kill it?
>>>>> Nobody is. However, if satellite tries to do local origination,
>>>>> against
>>>>> the
>>>>> terms of their licences, we will object.
>>>> Will you stamp your foot? I've seen a few of you prancers do that.
>>> The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
>>> FCC.
>> But you'll still stamp your foot, right?
>
> Do you ever contribute anything cogent, factual or tangible to an argument?
>
>
>
DxAss would require higher brain functions and reasoning capabilities to
be able to contribute "...anything cogent, factual or tangible...". As

it is, he will never be able to achieve anything better than base comments.

JB

2528. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 23:01:56 UTC
From: TheMonger <baked_ham_with_cb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153090916.643169.173840@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
Konstans with a C is gay enough for me!
<fagfiltered>

shut up markie you silly queen!

yes, that is a great site. the accurate ham site i've ever seen.

2529. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 23:05:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153091125.756626.275680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TheMonger wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> Konstans with a C is gay enough for me!
> <fagfiltered>
>
> shut up markie you silly queen!
no

2530. Re: Help Icom R70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 23:07:48 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9802CCBDA6745fw190a8@199.185.223.74>
Source record: 83667

"Daniele" <marius.gd@xy-tiscali.it> wrote in
news:zhzug.42814$zy5.865662@twister1.libero.it:

> Hello,
> I hope ths is the right newsgroup for my question:
> is there someone who knows how to make the alignment of the notch filter
> in a Icom R-70 receiver? I got an usde one which works, but was quite
> messed-up with the alignment of the IF section. I was able to re-align
> it by using the service manual and my instruments (signal gen,
> oscilloscope and RF voltmeter), but the manual does not report the
> procedure for notch alignment. I contacted ICom America which answered
> that the receiver was a very old rig and they didn't have any
> documentation (sic!) Please, help...
>
>
>

You may find this to be of use :)

http://www.hamdirectory.info/schemi/IC-R70_serv.pdf

Save your self a copy for future use ;)

--
Panzer

2531. Re: Contest Cheats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 23:21:54 UTC
From: TheMonger <baked_ham_with_cb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153092114.593942.295000@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83668
Cross-posted to: alt.hackers.malicious,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

WTF would you know about HF constans,markie?????
thats why everybody fucks with you, even the stupid cb'ers!
you HAVE to answer EVERY post, nimbusters or here.
you homo, trollish bastard!

2532. Re: Yipee! Lebanon getting their weewee spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-16 23:38:55 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <j6Aug.194921$Mn5.105870@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83669

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 18:11:37 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 13:14:44 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Iran and Syria are on the list next.
>>> --
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>> Only a moron would pick a side in that stupid feud. Both sides are
>> wrong (pretty much every war since I've been around, really).
>
>
> Who cares who is wrong? AS long as the terrorists get whats coming to
> them!

Ditto for war criminals that invade countries under Bushevik's lies!
Until you war criminals decided to destabilize Iraq, Iraq was doing a
good job of keeping Iran in check. Thanks for fucking up the regional
balance and having other countries pay a penalty for it.

JB

> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

2533. 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 00:07:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,

or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

2534. NASA to launch another monkey into space.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 00:07:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mxAug.5338$vO.1616@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83671

There's a Kanadian on the next shuttle mission.

SC

2535. Re: Kol Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 00:47:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BADE28.270C5357@milestones.com>
Source record: 83672

David wrote:

> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 15:27:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Kol Israel 9400 actually put in a pretty usable signal here during their English
> >broadcast at 1900-1925. 11590 was unusable.
> >
> >Yesterday, 11590 was very marginal and 9400 was useless.
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> Will be on Sirius140 later this evening, right after R. Netherlands.
>
> http://wrn.org/listeners/schedules/schedule.php?ScheduleID=2

Is that on WRN, 'tard boy? If so, it's my understanding that they relay the 1900-1925 UTC broadcast on WRN at 2330 and 0500.

If that's the case, I've beaten your sorry, satellite lovin, fucked up retarded ass by hours!

Frickin retards, anyways.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2536. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 00:51:30 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BADF12.9087CC61@milestones.com>
Source record: 83673

Beerbarrel wrote:

> 5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
> probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
> someday.
>
> 5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!

Now if only we can get rid of one fake Hispanic AND that damn retard, Rickets, with the same 2 for 1 deal, we're set!

Party! Party! Party!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2537. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:10:03 UTC
From: Konstans_with_a_C <Konstans_with_a_c@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153098602.961002.136620@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way

> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>
> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James
> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra

> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other

> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

Greetings Mr Code;
Perfect Post,Sir.
Your knowledge,as well as your logic, is superior.
Thank you,
an honest ham

2538. EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:20:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BAE5E1.7323F5DF@milestones.com>
Source record: 83675

The EiBi Skeds have been updated as of 17 June:

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

I think they're getting caught up!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2539. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:44:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153100672.428996.287670@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
get help troll

2540. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:44:54 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44BAEB96.7010107@fuse.net>
Source record: 83678
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>>{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>>. .
>>
>
>
>
> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
>
slow code is lo-co
```

2541. Re: Contest Cheats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:45:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153100730.487099.183350@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83677
Cross-posted to: alt.hackers.malicious,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

TheMonger wrote:

> you homo,
get help sicko

2542. Re: NASA to launch another monkey into space.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:47:32 UTC
From: 0000 <91463@15092913.482>
Message-ID: <91463@15092913.482>
Source record: 83679

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> There's a Kanadian on the next shuttle mission.

0 0 1 5 8 2 4 2 4 2 6 1 8 6 6 4 6 9 2 3 4 9 4 3 9 1 5 5 1 6 5 2 7 7
7 5 0 1 8 4 4 4 6 2 1 3 6 8 2 9 2 7 8 6 0 7 8 5 3 9 1 8 1 9 6 9 4 6
0 3 0 4 7 7 7 9 0 4 7 3 3 9 0 2 6 0 0 4 5 3 4 7 1 6 6 8 5 1 4 5 4 5
0 2 2 7 2 2 2 9 5 6 9 5 8 6 6 8 1 1 1 5 9 3 1 6 1 6 7 6 2 2 1 2 5 3
0 7 6 2 7 1 8 7 7 7 3 3 5 4 5 7 0 4 1 1 0 3 8 8 0 0 1 1 3 6 5 4 4 1
6 1 3 5 8 1 2 2 5 8 7 0 6 7 5 8 9 5 2 7 4 2 8 5 4 2 2 9 6 6 1 3 8 5
8 7 6 1 9 2 0 6 2 7 4 7 6 3 3 8 1 7 1 0 3 5 3 5 5 9 2 7 2 0 2 1 8 9
3 7 1 4 4 3 1 9 1 7 3 5 6 5 3 8 5 6 4 9 2 9 8 5 6 1 6 9 2 4 8 6 2 9
0 6 3 1 6 5 9 9 0 6 4 4 4 0 0 8 9 3 8 7 9 5 9 5 4 2 0 2 8 2 2 8 9 6
0 5 2 0 4 2 7 8 6 2 8 7 1 8 1 0 6 1 5 5 6 9 7 6 2 6 9 5 5 8 2 6 3 4
7 7 7 4 6 3 7 5 1 8 3 3 8 9 4 4 5 1 3 3 8 6 9 7 2 5 5 4 1 8 8 8 6 5
3 2 9 1 7 1 9 1 5 8 1 9 2 6 0 6 0 9 3 9 6 5 5 2 9 6 0 8 5 7 4 9 9 3
0 7 5 0 8 0 9 0 9 1 6 0 7 6 0 0 6 6 6 1 8 5 8 9 4 4 6 8 4 6 2 4 3 7
5 2 8 4 2 8 6 9 8 4 5 9 5 2 5 1 4 4 7 2 4 1 7 0 0 1 6 2 6 0 0 1 2 8
6 4 6 2 3 5 6 9 4 1 1 0 3 5 4 0 8 8 2 5 9 2 7 5 4 3 6 5 5 8 3 1 3 0
4 6 5 0 6 9 2 8 0 5 4 4 5 2 3 8 8 2 0 5 8 5 9 4 0 4 3 4 6 6 4 0 3 9
0 9 9 3 8 9 8 2 4 4 5 8 3 4 0 3 0 9 8 9 4 9 4 7 3 0 3 7 0 7 7 1 7 7
5 5 7 4 7 1 9 3 9 2 7 0 5 7 0 4 7 4 3 1 2 7 2 5 5 2 3 2 4 0 0 9 5 7
3 3 9 3 6 8 5 4 9 0 2 0 5 9 4 8 1 6 6 3 2 1 6 5 3 0 6 4 0 1 1 4 4 0
7 1 9 2 5 9 3 7 9 8 7 4 7 8 1 3 2 4 4 8 0 8 9 6 2 0 7 4 5 2 5 2 3 4

2543. get help troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 01:55:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153101305.872615.10300@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Konstans_with_a_C wrote:
get help sicko troll

2544. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:00:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153101624.875176.246610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83681
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
> > news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >
> > >>JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
> > >>{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
> > >> .
> > >> .
> > >>. .
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
> > >
> > slow code is lo-co
yea he canada arabs jews it seems, Ham radio, republicans and democrats

anybody he doesn't hate

2545. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:13:44 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <snCug.136447$S61.21772@edtnps90>
Source record: 83682

dxAce wrote:
>
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>> 5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
>> probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
>> someday.
>>
>> 5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
>
> Now if only we can get rid of one fake Hispanic AND that damn retard, Rickets, with the
> same 2 for 1 deal, we're set!
>
> Party! Party! Party!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I hope you are happy, you blood letting ghoul. Decent Americans are
ashamed to be associated with the likes of you.

http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/world/4050504.html

mike

2546. Re: FS: PL-259 silver plated contact & body, Teflon insulation $1.67 each.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:14:25 UTC
From: Konstans_with_a_C <Konstans_with_a_c@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153102465.788251.77830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame

an_old_friend wrote:
<fag filtered>
the pl 259 post is fine.
it's your sick faggot bullshit people don't like.

2547. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:14:37 UTC
From: Mustafa <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you should think before you crosspost!

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has

> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>
> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James

> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out

> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>

2548. Re: FS: PL-259 silver plated contact & body, Teflon insulation $1.67 each.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:20:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153102848.856014.231450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame

Konstans_with_a_C wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> <fag filtered>
> the pl 259 post is fine.
> it's your sick faggot bullshit people don't like.
Idon't post homosexaul comment you do and are obviosuly awareyou should
not since you can't even sign your name to them

coward

I point that a post that somebody had tried to flame was spam but at
least on topic no mention f sexaulity there

you make it a multi gruop flame and about sexaulity

what s wrong is YOUR efforts to force yoYOUR morailty on the rest of
the net

get help for your mental problem

2549. thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:22:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153102936.885065.241350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mustafa wrote:
> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you should
> think before you crosspost!
thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll
>
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

2550. Re: (OT) : Democrat's Out-Sourcing "Hate America" To Canada !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:24:05 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44BAF4C5.4060705@fuse.net>
Source record: 83687
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

J. D. B. wrote:
> Sorry John, do some reading for once as that is the reality of the
> Canadian system. You'll actually learn something if you do research
> yourself and not just listen to NPR and CNN.
>
> Now, on the other hand, if you live in the U.K., you'll still have
> healthcare through the end of the year, but if you are too old, they
> just let you die as it is not worth the expenditure to keep someone old
> alive even longer. But again, hey, your estate gets to keep the money!
>
> Come on, this isn't really John now is it? Hillary Clinton, is that you?
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> You are one delusional mofo! Lay off the Bush sauce and you'll be able
>> to handle reality.
>
>
>> JB
>>
Oh Brother!
Reality check time: Why don't YOU read a bit about Medicare D?
Aging baby boomers are about to be screwed in ways they couldn't even
imagine.

Insurance companies entirely control Congressional debate and actually
write the rules. Most of Congress doesn't even READ what they're
signing on to.

O'Reilly, is that you?

2551. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:34:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <YGCug.173313$F_3.93610@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83688

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
> -and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF

The clears still exist, but the former 1-A's are protected to only 750
miles, and the B's are protected even less. But they exist. However, most of
them do not pay any attention to out of market listening, as there is no
longer any revenue from it.

2552. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:36:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <aJCug.173314$F_3.163751@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83689

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:o3clb2t40gpg292uasfbnl1n75mfou1tcc@4ax.com...
> On 16 Jul 2006 12:44:20 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>>David,
>>
>>The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.
>>- - - And Then There Was IBOC Digital Hash Everywhere !
>>
>>Once HD-IBOC AM/MW Radio is the norm at night with
>>Thousands of Local AM/MW Radio Stations trying to
>>saturate their Local Media Markets with IBOC Hash so
>>that nothing beyond their Market gets through . . .
>>
>>Then 50 KW Clear Channels will reduce their Night Time
>>Analog Power down to 20 to 25 KW as an effective Cost
>>Savings and Increase the Power Levels in the Digital
>>Side Bands as more and more newer HD AM Radios are
>>put in use by a new generation of AM/MW Radio Listeners.
>>
>>Within Ten Years - At Best the Clears will become Regional
>>Broadcasters and only do a marginal job of that.
>>
>>DX ? - What's That Daddy ?
>>- - - Why Son I Remember When . . . ~ RHF
> I really doubt they're going to sell enough HD radios to achieve
> critical mass. Put it up there with dolby FM, SQ quadrophonic and AM
> stereo.

Since consumer marketing has just begun, how would you know?

2553. Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 02:45:14 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2b2l3j@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2b2l3j@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 83693

Voice of Croatia received on 17 July 2006 during 0200-0225 UTC (primetimeshortwave says the broadcast is only from 0200-0215 UTC). On 9925 khz although I tuned to 9923 khz due to some form of interference. Five-minute news bulletin followed by several songs. Received with a Sangean ATS-505.

Does anyone have a recording of this broadcast, or can you identify any of the songs played? I will also email the station for a tracklist.

How often does the Voice of Croatia go over time?

--
weatherall

2554. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 03:04:20 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153105460.205200.327500@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83690

dxAce wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44B92337.F3E181EA@milestones.com...
> > >

> > > At what point during that 7 years did you renounce your US Citizenship?
> >
> > At what time did you stop beating your wife? Your questions are really
> > transparent.
>
> Oh look Maude, that Gleason boy is trying to be coy!
gues that means you haven't stopped beating your wife

the next question is does she enjoy it? that is is it consentaul S&M or
abuse?
>
> How prancer like!
>
> LMFAO
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

2555. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 03:04:37 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ngvlb2l01q22s0itd9ftnqv265pbo6hff1@4ax.com>
Source record: 83691

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:13:44 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>dxAce wrote:
>>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> 5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
>>> probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
>>> someday.
>>>
>>> 5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
>>
>> Now if only we can get rid of one fake Hispanic AND that damn retard, Rickets, with the
>> same 2 for 1 deal, we're set!
>>
>> Party! Party! Party!
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>
>
>I hope you are happy, you blood letting ghoul. Decent Americans are
>ashamed to be associated with the likes of you.
>
>http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/world/4050504.html
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>mike

The count is up to eight! All Canucks should go to Lebanon for
vacation.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2556. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 03:09:40 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153105780.815335.253720@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83692

dxAce wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...

> It's like I said, Edweenie, any true American would never hesitate in answering
> that question.
and now you are libeling ME Ace

2557. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 03:11:43 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153105903.906604.56210@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83694

m II wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > Beerbarrel wrote:
> >
> >> 5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
> >> probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
> >> someday.
> >>
> >> 5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
> >
> > Now if only we can get rid of one fake Hispanic AND that damn retard, Rickets, with the
> > same 2 for 1 deal, we're set!
> >
> > Party! Party! Party!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
>
> I hope you are happy, you blood letting ghoul. Decent Americans are
> ashamed to be associated with the likes of you.
>
indeed dx ace is happiest when typing about death is he disgusting

2558. Re: HD/IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 03:14:51 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153106091.751821.162120@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83695

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BA68EE.5AA96CFC@milestones.com...
> >
> >

> >> The NAB legal department will, as in the past, file an objection with the
> >> FCC.
> >
> > But you'll still stamp your foot, right?
>
> Do you ever contribute anything cogent, factual or tangible to an argument?
given that his hate is tnaigble thing I would for him the answer to
your question is yes he does sadly

2559. Re: NASA to launch another monkey into space.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 04:13:05 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153109585.100676.281210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83696

"0000" <9...@15092913.482> wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > There's a Kanadian on the next shuttle mission.

12345, whooptee doo.

2560. Re: Contest Cheats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 04:20:50 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153110049.920326.28730@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83697
Cross-posted to: alt.hackers.malicious,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

TheMonger wrote:
> WTF would you know about HF constans,markie?????
> thats why everybody fucks with you, even the stupid cb'ers!
> you HAVE to answer EVERY post, nimbusters or here.
> you homo, trollish bastard!

You may want to get some help with this anger problem you, and many of us in this group seem to have and hold on to, until the given moment when there's an opportunity to vent. My experience has shown me that when I start expressing myself like that, there's usually a problem within myself. In my case, it was worth the investigation. But if that's not the case with you, great! It's probably every body else that's wrong.

2561. Re: The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 04:33:56 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153110835.944302.46330@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83598

m II wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> > They hate our modernity! They hate our freedom!
>
>
> I get the distinct feeling that what they really hate is being invaded
> and then killed, tortured and raped. The only light at the end of tunnel
> seems to coming from chemical flashlights shoved up non-Christian asses
> by worshiper of Jesus.
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

I have it on good authority that the glow stick up the butt is just a harmless college prank. Well, so says Rush Limbaugh. ...Of course, I don't ever remember doing such a prank, nor ripping out fingernails.....

http://www.coe.int/T/E/Com/Files/Events/2006-cia/

2562. Re: NASA to launch another monkey into space.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:28:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB201A-1936@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83599

They ought to put bush on a Rocket all by himself and send him into the
Sun.
cuhulin

2563. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:31:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB20AF-1937@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83600

Ahhhh,look out now.Real Canadians are not terrorist.Canada sent some armored vehicles to Iraq for our U.S.Troops to use.
cuhulin

2564. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:33:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83601

But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
cuhulin

2565. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:39:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2285-1939@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83602

Is redneck a term of disrespect? I am not a redneck (whatever that is?)
but if I was a redneck,I wouldn't be ashamed.
y'all like those hollyweird phoney movies which ''put/cast'' the old
South in a bad ''light''.y'all think we are all ''bubbas'' here in the
old South.y''all think we all live in swamps and go barefooted.Let me go
put my bra on.
cuhulin

2566. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:43:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2377-1940@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83603

There are a few people I regulary email and I always sign my name
exactly like this,
.....D-Day Larry

Respect for our Troops in World War Two.I wish I had been old enough to
have been there.
cuhulin

2567. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:48:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB249C-1941@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83604

dxAce only says it like it is.
cuhulin

2568. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:56:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2687-1942@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83606

I know a guy my age whom is of German descent/ancestry.He was born in Croatia.He once told me Hitler sent him and his family (during World War Two) to Germany.He told me that was the best thing Hitler ever did for him and his family.When he was about ten years old,he and his family immigrated (legally immigrated) to America.He has over forty years of experience with all kinds of computers and computer operating systems.Whenever I have a computer problem,guess who I ask.He lives in the Northeast,America.
cuhulin

2569. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 05:56:56 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <IEFug.195298$Mn5.142618@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83605

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:13:44 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>> 5 Lebonese that were kileed had Canuck citizenships. They were
>>>> probably terrorists that the canucks were going to let in the U.S.
>>>> someday.
>>>>
>>>> 5 muslims gone plus 5 canucks gone! WOW! That 2 for the price of one!
>>> Now if only we can get rid of one fake Hispanic AND that damn retard, Rickets, with the
>>> same 2 for 1 deal, we're set!
>>>
>>> Party! Party! Party!
>>>
>>> dxAce
>>> Michigan
>>> USA
>>
>> I hope you are happy, you blood letting ghoul. Decent Americans are
>> ashamed to be associated with the likes of you.
>>
>> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/world/4050504.html
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> mike
>
>
> The count is up to eight! All Canucks should go to Lebanon for
> vacation.

Spoken like a true war criminal!

See you in Hell, candy-ass boy!

JB

> ____
>

> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

2570. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 06:08:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2947-1943@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83608

I dont remember if I ever saw those metal steps when I was in Saigon in 1964.(like I am going to pay attention to any metal steps? These Boots are made for walking) But,those metal steps are now in the great American City of Grand Rapids,Michigan.Something to do about Gerald (I am a Ford,not a Lincoln) Ford's Library/Museum thingy.
cuhulin

2571. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 06:11:36 UTC
From: Rick <Ratradio@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <sSFug.122144$H71.90359@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83607

Aaah, duhhhh, ummmmm, bla, bla bla bla, bla bla bla.

uhhhh, urrrrr, I, well, uhhhhhhh, wtf?

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I know a guy my age whom is of German descent/ancestry.He was born in
> Croatia.He once told me Hitler sent him and his family (during World War
> Two) to Germany.He told me that was the best thing Hitler ever did for
> him and his family.When he was about ten years old,he and his family
> immigrated (legally immigrated) to America.He has over forty years of
> experience with all kinds of computers and computer operating
> systems.Whenever I have a computer problem,guess who I ask.He lives in
> the Northeast,America.
> cuhulin
>

2572. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 06:19:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB2BFE-1944@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83609

Best thing for shining up your Military Boots and Shoes is,Goats
Milk.Try it some day,it works.Some of them guys would pour some
cigarette lighter fluid on their Boots and Shoes and burn that old
polish off of there.I never burned mine off though and never once did an
Officer jump on me at Class A Inspections.I was a good little Army
dude.Now I am a dirty old man.
cuhulin
.....
Tell em about it,JO JO!
.....

2573. HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 06:50:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153119018.430728.69340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83610

DaviD,

FM Stereo with a plain old AM Radio in a single Box
caused many a Consumer to 'buy-new' and replace
a good AM Radio or AM/FM Radio with a AM and
FM Stereo Radio. It took years but people did make
the change as a Generation of New Radio Listeners
wanted the Better Sound of FM Stereo.
- - - And "Today" FM Stereo is the most acceptable
and common form of FM Radio Broadcasting.

At least with respect to FM IBOC Radio the 'product'
will offer a newer and better Listening Experiance for
the "Next" Generation of FM Radio Listeners.

This "Next" Generation of FM Radio Listeners will be
making the decission to buy a New "HD" {IBOC} Radio.

The Marketplace will decide "IF" :

1 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is only an FM "HD"
{IBOC} Radio with a plain old {Analog} AM Radio in
a single Box -or-

2 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is both an FM "HD"
{IBOC} Radio along with an AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio
in a single Box.

THE BIG OOPS !
The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.

THE POLITICAL PLUS {MINUS}
Many Nations where Broadcasting is restricted and
Radio Listening choices are limited by the Government
will find IBOC to their liking. The Digital Side-Band Hash
will make the "Local" Government Controlled Radio
Station the only listenable Radio News and Information
Source and all those pesky distant foreign radio stations
will be down in the Hash. Big Brother Loves IBOC :o)

David - You and DE - Can tell right now . . .
But in Time "The Marketplace" will decide the Fate and

the Future of "HD" {IBOC} Radio :
* FM Only ? -or-
* AM and FM IBOC Together ?

hd radio - time and a new generation are on it's side ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 12:44:20 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >David,
> >
> >The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.
> >- - - And Then There Was IBOC Digital Hash Everywhere !
> >
> >Once HD-IBOC AM/MW Radio is the norm at night with
> >Thousands of Local AM/MW Radio Stations trying to
> >saturate their Local Media Markets with IBOC Hash so
> >that nothing beyond their Market gets through . . .
> >
> >Then 50 KW Clear Channels will reduce their Night Time
> >Analog Power down to 20 to 25 KW as an effective Cost
> >Savings and Increase the Power Levels in the Digital
> >Side Bands as more and more newer HD AM Radios are
> >put in use by a new generation of AM/MW Radio Listeners.
> >
> >Within Ten Years - At Best the Clears will become Regional
> >Broadcasters and only do a marginal job of that.
> >
> >DX ? - What's That Daddy ?
> >- - - Why Son I Remember When . . . ~ RHF

- I really doubt they're going to sell enough HD radios
- to achieve critical mass.
-
- Put it up there with dolby FM, - SQ quadrophonic
- and AM stereo.

2574. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 06:57:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB34D4-1947@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83611

You lump all Americans together as War criminals? I am neither pro War
or anti War.I spent 1964 in a War Combat zone in Vietnam.But,I reckon,in
your opinion,I dont know anything,eh?
Heyyyyyyyyyy,,,,, Canada! Where are YOU?!
cuhulin

2575. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 07:01:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB35CD-1948@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83613

(Rick) nahhhhhhh,,,, I won't say that one either.I am really trying to be a decent guy.Go push your limits somewhere else.You have a nice day now,you hear?
cuhulin

2576. "HD" {IBOC} Broadcasting And The Creation Of The AM Radio Dead Zone !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 07:09:34 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153120174.887600.210920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83612

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
> > -and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF
>

- The clears still exist, but the former 1-A's are protected to only
- 750 miles, and the B's are protected even less. But they exist.
- However, most of them do not pay any attention to out of market
- listening, as there is no longer any revenue from it.

DE - Yes and "HD" {IBOC} AM Medium Wave Broadcasting
will effectively do away with them all [.]
- - - Why Waste the Extra Broadcast Power ? ? ?
-IF- No One Can Hear You and Understand You -or-
Even knows that you are There ? ? ?

The Digital Side Bands from AM "HD" {IBOC} will create
a "Dead Zone" some where between 75 to 150 Miles :
Where the Magnitude of : #1 the Local Hash; #2 the
Regional Hash; and #3 the Nation Wide Hash : Will all
render any and all Distant AM Radio Signals as another
Audio Fragment in-the-background-noise.
- - - the noise, The Noise. THE NOISE !

'hd' iboc and the creation of the am radio dead zone ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2577. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 07:19:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BB3A06-1951@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83615

Let me cut the light and get me beauty sleep,move over Blueberry doggy.
I am going to call that number at http://lippump.com later on
today.I want to get my lips looking just like Angelina Jolie's pouty
lips.
cuhulin

2578. Re: (OT) : "How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike {There Is A Brotherhood Of Man}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 07:20:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153120815.178609.202280@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83614

David wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 13:44:28 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike
> >
- - There Is A Brotherhood Of Man - That Unites Us All !
- - http://www.presidentreagan.info/speeches/brotherhood.cfm
- - * A Botherhood of Nations seeking International Peace.
- - * A Brotherhood of Man here on Earth for Everyone.
- - * A Brotherhood of Mankind united by the Human Spirit.
- -
http://www.stlyrics.com/lyrics/howtosucceedinbusinesswithoutreallytrying/brotherhoodofman.htm
> >
> >"As Sala'amu Alaikum" (Peace Be Upon You)
> >
> >http://muttaqun.com/greeting.html
> >
> >"Walaikum As Sala'am" (and Unto You Also, Peace) ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> >. .

- My first job in radio was running Mohammed Speaks on
- Sunday Mornings, right after Dangers of Apathy and right
- before the Bridgestone Motorbike program.

David,

Even back then - You were an Agent for Social Change
in the great fabric of the Brotherhood of Man :o)

peace be upon you brother ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2579. Re: (OT) : Happy Anniversary ! - America's Leadership - Promoting World Peace Through Strength !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 07:36:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153121808.648135.115810@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83616

David - Remember "Political Science" by Randy Newman ?
http://www.ocap.ca/songs/polsci.html

David wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 10:13:28 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Why - Thank You DaviD ! :o)
> >
> >Yes - The Trinity Test was the Birth of the Nuclear Age

- The point being that war is impossible.
-
- i.e. you gotta hold back or both sides lose.

Until Some Crazy Figures Out That . . .
It Is A Smart Idea To Do It To Them First
In The Hope That . . .
They Won't Be Able To Do It Back To You - Oops !
http://www.wankinsong.com/bigone.html

let's drop the big one and see what happens ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2580. Re: Help Icom R70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 09:15:12 UTC
From: Daniele <marius.gd@tiscali.it>
Message-ID: <e9fkf1arg1@news.al.sw.ericsson.se>
Source record: 83617

I already had it.
Thanks ;-))

"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9802CCBDA6745fw190a8@199.185.223.74...

> You may find this to be of use :)
>
> http://www.hamdirectory.info/schemi/IC-R70_serv.pdf
>
> Save your self a copy for future use ;)
>
> --
> Panzer
>

2581. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 09:58:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BB5F5E.655F5CF9@milestones.com>
Source record: 83618

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > news:44BA7E0E.A359C2B3@milestones.com...
>
> > It's like I said, Edweenie, any true American would never hesitate in answering
> > that question.
> and now you are libeling ME Ace

Good luck in the contest!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2582. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 10:49:30 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0oqmb2dlg0dn2c7f8bpvj5eficqgbrkfu1@4ax.com>
Source record: 83619

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 05:56:56 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Spoken like a true war criminal!
>
>See you in Hell, candy-ass boy!
>
>JB
>

Me candy-ass? You are the Canuck from DD country!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2583. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:36:14 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153139774.186540.97880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> TheMonger wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > Konstans with a C is gay enough for me!
> > <fagfiltered>
> >
> > shut up markie you silly queen!
> no

STFU, faggot.

2584. Re: Ralph Ennis, WA6GVG, and Paul McKesson, W7DVJ, Are So Great!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:37:37 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153139857.059767.279140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TheMonger wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> Konstans with a C is gay enough for me!

That's because Markie takes a woman's name since he is so submissive in
all his relationships.

> <fagfiltered>
>
> shut up markie you silly queen!
>
> yes, that is a great site. the accurate ham site i've ever seen.

LOL!!!!!!!

2585. Re: thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:39:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <v61nb25ecbn01i8q8lo11jpbr8dutjitn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 83623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Jul 2006 19:22:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll

Pot, kettle ...

2586. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:39:49 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153139989.393054.210140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > STFU, stupid.
> no
>
> and you howl accroos the ngs becuase you can't make me

And you whine across the internet because you are an owned bitch.

E-mail Markie's local law enforcement:

bmclean@houghtonsheriff.com

2587. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:41:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153140082.524293.32300@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Not Lloyd wrote:
> > >get help sicko
> >
> > And you didn't obey him.
> why would I obey anybody on here Al

No, you'd rather abuse and neglect your dying daddy!
```

2588. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:43:15 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153140195.814758.66340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie, abusing his elderly father.

2589. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:48:29 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153140509.286055.91470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83626
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie rode the short bus and it shows.

2590. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:52:18 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153140737.997075.111450@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2591. Re: thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:54:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153140844.475199.280200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 19:22:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll
>
> Pot, kettle ...
you youare much like it thinking seems beyond your as well

2592. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:59:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153141145.884043.146270@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Sasys the kiddie diddler Markie. Markie just loves to get a kid on the
radio and talk about having sex with that kid.

2593. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 12:59:40 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153141180.807410.306530@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83630
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get helps sicko

2594. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:00:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153141201.764153.65010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Says the kiddie diddler Markie.

2595. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:00:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153141244.593477.313970@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83632
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie is a kiddie diddler.
```

2596. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:05:51 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141551.054772.179920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2597. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:06:16 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141576.881800.17800@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83634
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2598. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:07:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141624.028289.162170@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2599. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:08:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141714.289223.192690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2600. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:09:32 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141772.272991.33040@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2601. Re: Kol Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:41:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153143706.437925.9780@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83638

David wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 15:27:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Kol Israel 9400 actually put in a pretty usable signal here during their English
> >broadcast at 1900-1925. 11590 was unusable.
> >
> >Yesterday, 11590 was very marginal and 9400 was useless.
> >
> >dxAce
> >Michigan
> >USA
> >
> Will be on Sirius140 later this evening, right after R. Netherlands.
>
> http://wrn.org/listeners/schedules/schedule.php?ScheduleID=2

Are you sure that Sirius doesn't require a subscription? I'm pretty
sure that it does, in which case their schedules are irrelevant.

2602. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:57:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ro5nb21g7ojca8vo769e2m3t8dq1gufark@4ax.com>
Source record: 83639

```
On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:34:32 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>news:1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
>> -and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF
>
>The clears still exist, but the former 1-A's are protected to only 750
>miles, and the B's are protected even less. But they exist. However, most of
>them do not pay any attention to out of market listening, as there is no
>longer any revenue from it.
>
>
Dwardo doesn't believe in good will. His karma is broken.
```

2603. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:57:48 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eq5nb2tq6ulb72g8mqbb8a7jq5sio2m5r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 83640

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:39:17 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Is redneck a term of disrespect? I am not a redneck (whatever that is?)
>but if I was a redneck,I wouldn't be ashamed.
>y'all like those hollyweird phoney movies which ''put/cast'' the old
>South in a bad ''light''.y'all think we are all ''bubbas'' here in the
>old South.y''all think we all live in swamps and go barefooted.Let me go
>put my bra on.
>cuhulin
>
I can speak ''Good Ole Boy''.

2604. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:58:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mr5nb2hv1lge0mh7acq2k3mavupgtro29s@4ax.com>
Source record: 83641

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:36:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>Since consumer marketing has just begun, how would you know?
>
>
Because for way less money you can get satellite radio.

2605. (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery & our Astronauts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 13:59:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BB97B6-1548@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83643

I am watching the NASA tv channel.I saw Discovery come in and land
safely.Job Well Done Astronauts,Job Well Done.
Wellcome Home.
cuhulin

2606. Re: (OT) : "How To" Greet - Muslims and Non-Muslims Alike {There Is A Brotherhood Of Man}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:02:08 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <a16nb21ftlarl9ocp8k7aqud560g9136tq@4ax.com>
Source record: 83642

On 17 Jul 2006 00:20:15 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>> >"Walaikum As Sala'am" (and Unto You Also, Peace) ~ RHF
>> > .
>> > .
>> >. .
>
>- My first job in radio was running Mohammed Speaks on
>- Sunday Mornings, right after Dangers of Apathy and right
>- before the Bridgestone Motorbike program.
>
>David,
>
>Even back then - You were an Agent for Social Change
>in the great fabric of the Brotherhood of Man :o)
>
>peace be upon you brother ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .
>
http://www.muhammadspeaks.com/

http://www.noi.org/elijah_muhammad_history.htm

2607. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:06:06 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <266nb25m8349u8ld1cajcq1vufceq2salu@4ax.com>
Source record: 83644

On 16 Jul 2006 23:50:18 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>THE BIG OOPS !
>The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
>for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
>Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
>but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
>and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
>become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.
>
Sorry, but all HD radios are required to be AM and FM.

Most of the rest of the world has already chosen Eureka 147 and/or
DRM.

Why spen $200 for a radio that has a few extra channels when you can
get a satellite radio with 150 channels for half the price?

2608. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:11:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BB9A99-1549@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83645

The Miss Mississippi Pageant takes place in Vicksburg,Mississippi next Saturday.(google it if you want to) Of course I will be watching on tv as purr usable.Meooooorrrr
cuhulin

2609. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:21:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BB9CF7-1550@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83647

I haven't checked lately,but I could (maybe still can,at night time)
pick up a music radio station in Monterey,Mexico on my radio right here
in Jackson on the AM band.I have been to Monterey,Mexico before.I still
have a Monterey,Mexico newspaper here from when I bought a hamburger and
coke at a MacDonalds restaurant in Monterey,Mexico in 1992.
cuhulin

2610. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:28:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <s8Nug.173390$F_3.65686@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83646

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ro5nb21g7ojca8vo769e2m3t8dq1gufark@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:34:32 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>>news:1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>> DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
>>> -and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF
>>
>>The clears still exist, but the former 1-A's are protected to only 750
>>miles, and the B's are protected even less. But they exist. However, most
>>of
>>them do not pay any attention to out of market listening, as there is no
>>longer any revenue from it.
>>
>>
> Dwardo doesn't believe in good will. His karma is broken.

This is not an issue of good will. Radio listeners use less and less stations from outside their local areas, and are using less and less AM radio in general.

Very, very few stations have developed any revenue from such listening. For example. farm radio used to be a huge revenue source in early AM hours and during the daytime in agricultural states. Now, farmers get data on PCs at home, and get commodity reports via text on cellular phones, so there is very little agribuisiness advertising any more. Things change, and radio adapts... just like when TV ramped up. The reality is that revenue is based on the ratings and efforts in the local, groundwave market today and that distant listening is not significant.

2611. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:30:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <maNug.173392$F_3.42950@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83698

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:mr5nb2hv1lge0mh7acq2k3mavupgtro29s@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:36:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Since consumer marketing has just begun, how would you know?
>>
>>
> Because for way less money you can get satellite radio.

Since the 2.0 design spec radios are 60 days from getting to market at the least, how do you know?

My first VHS cost $800. My first DVD player was $400, and my first CD player was $1,400. Within a few years, they were mass market priced. Look at LCD and Plasma TVs, or high def TVs.

2612. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:34:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EdNug.173395$F_3.73300@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83699

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:266nb25m8349u8ld1cajcq1vufceq2salu@4ax.com...
> On 16 Jul 2006 23:50:18 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>THE BIG OOPS !
>>The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
>>for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
>>Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
>>but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
>>and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
>>become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.
>>
> Sorry, but all HD radios are required to be AM and FM.
>
> Most of the rest of the world has already chosen Eureka 147 and/or
> DRM.

No, it has not. I have not checked, but I do not think any country has
chosed DRM.

Brazil has chosen HD, as has Philippines. Colombia, Mexico and Central
America are apparently going with HD.
>
> Why spen $200 for a radio that has a few extra channels when you can
> get a satellite radio with 150 channels for half the price?

Satellite requires a $150 a year subscription, while HD is free. And the
receivers will be under $100 fairly soon... probably within a year to 18
months, just like other roll outs.

LA now has 10 additional HD channels running, with another 15 coming... at
least. Add that to 87 other free signals, most of which will be in HD also.

2613. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:39:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <8iNug.173397$F_3.126185@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83700

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153119018.430728.69340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> DaviD,
>
> FM Stereo with a plain old AM Radio in a single Box
> caused many a Consumer to 'buy-new' and replace
> a good AM Radio or AM/FM Radio with a AM and
> FM Stereo Radio. It took years but people did make
> the change as a Generation of New Radio Listeners
> wanted the Better Sound of FM Stereo.

AM stereo was analog, and 5 years too late. FM had lost nearly all music listening when it came out, and there was no interest in marketing it. Today, HD is going to get $200 million in marketing just in the top 10 markets.

> 1 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is only an FM "HD"
> {IBOC} Radio with a plain old {Analog} AM Radio in
> a single Box -or-

All receivers are backwards analog compatible.

> 2 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is both an FM "HD"
> {IBOC} Radio along with an AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio
> in a single Box.

The chipset is AM and FM. All will have AM.

> THE BIG OOPS !
> The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
> for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
> Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
> but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
> and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
> become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.

Brazil is building several dozen HD stations. Philippines is on board, and Mexico is doing testing (since they have narrower separation for same market stations). Colombia and Central America will allow it with no rules. Together, these countries have a greatedr population than the USA.

2614. Re: "HD" {IBOC} Broadcasting And The Creation Of The AM Radio Dead Zone !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:41:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hkNug.173399$F_3.66527@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83701

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153120174.887600.210920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153083112.720051.226920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> > DE - Wake-Up ! There Are NO Clear Channels ! - any more . . .
>> > -and- IBOC will put an End to All the Regional AM'ers Too ~ RHF
>>
>
> - The clears still exist, but the former 1-A's are protected to only
> - 750 miles, and the B's are protected even less. But they exist.
> - However, most of them do not pay any attention to out of market
> - listening, as there is no longer any revenue from it.
>
> DE - Yes and "HD" {IBOC} AM Medium Wave Broadcasting
> will effectively do away with them all [.]

Since they have very little listening a) at night and b) outside the
groundwave coverage, it appears they will sacrifice this tiny audience for
the chance to keep AM radio relevant.

> - - - Why Waste the Extra Broadcast Power ? ? ?
> -IF- No One Can Hear You and Understand You -or-
> Even knows that you are There ? ? ?

50 kw is basically useful to overcome noise levels in big markets. It is
necessay to have 50 kw to even try to cover the Los Angeles or NY or Chicago
metro areas daytime with a listenable signal.

2615. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery & our Astronauts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:42:54 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nigt nare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153147374.881659.61810@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83702

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am watching the NASA tv channel.I saw Discovery come in and land
> safely.Job Well Done Astronauts,Job Well Done.
> Wellcome Home.
> cuhulin
thank god

2616. Re: "HD" {IBOC} Broadcasting And The Creation Of The AM Radio Dead ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:49:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <400-44BBA368-1554@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83703

And GOD stold one of my ribs (Thank you GOD) and created WOMAN.
cuhulin

2617. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 14:56:00 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4yNug.407373$Fs1.168953@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83704

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message

>> When you and I began these discussions, what 5 years ago, now, your
>> contention was that Radio usage was and had been essentially constant for
>> the last 30 years, with only slight losses in share. A definite shift in
>> the balance between AM and FM, to be sure, but overall, Radio was stable,
>> with a very bright future. IIRC, you said something like, Radio has never
>> been more profitable. I recall my comment was to be guardedly
>> optimistic....that just about the time you think things are good, someone
>> comes along with something new, something unexpected, and steals the food
>> off your table. Which comment has been met with varying skepticism, not
>> only from yourself, but others here and on other USENet newsgroups.
>>
>> And here we are talking about AM's survivability, and the stemming of
>> FM erosion in the face of the rising number of alternative choices.
>
> But the lack of probable surviability of AM is not based on new technology.
> It is based on an ageing listener base and the reality of radi time buys.
> What will kill AM is not its technical issues per se, but the fact that AM
> is no longer acceptable to most anyone under 40 and that cutoff point is
> rising each year.

My point was that in less than 5 years, we've gone from discussing
the strength of broadcasting's future, to the probabilities of AM
radio's demise. That things have changed dramatically, and they've
coincided with the rise in practical alternative outlets for content.
Making a move that trashes the bands for the sake of attracting new
listeners to limitedly available technology can't be smart.

I think that age is one component, to be sure. But I think there IS a
technology component as well. If AM audio quality is really an issue,
then there the on going touting of alternative outlets, all touting
higher quality have to take a toll. And although the listener share
erosion to alternative outlets may be small, the revenue share loss will
be significant, especially in the cases of established, heritage
operations, with high staffing costs and corporate numbers to hit. An
upstart with zero budget can push an established heritage station into
dramatic changes with a small listener share erosion based entirely on
lost revenue. In an environment where every nickel is counted by a
corporate home office, a shortfall in revenue, no matter how small, can
have significant impact in how the operation is run. That can have
morale impact. And morale troubles at a radio station have a broad
footprint across a radio stations landscape. None of it good.

All of which can impact survivability. Aging with an audience is one item. And in many cases, it's a big one. But that's a station specific issue. A station that fails can be replaced by more a format of more desirable demographic nature. As you point out, in some big markets, hispanic listening is holding its own, or showing growth in AM listening. That being the case, erosion will be largely resulting from issues of technology: alternative outlets, audio quality, etc.

In that light, trashing the bands in hopes of bringing new listeners to the successful stations through HD audio, makes little sense. Because there are still going to be better sounding alternatives available, that are, in practical terms, more readily and conveniently available. While AM-HD is still not widely distributed. Although the HD rash, is.

Nearly everyone with a disposable income carries a cell phone. And streaming, while still expensive on a cell phone, is becoming commonly available, at lower cost. If you're already paying for something that provides the same benefits of a new technology without requiring that new technology's format specific hardware, are you really going to make the move? Spend the money? Some will, sure. That's what they do. Innovators and early adopters will move to something new BECAUSE it's something new. But they don't stay for very long. But whether the bulk of the public will make a move to HD is a significant gamble. And as the rise in alternatives continue, there will be erosion in the number of AM listeners who find a need to make the move to HD, or remain on the analog AM broadcast channel.

AM stereo was a perfect example of a solution for which there was an eroding problem. A delay was fatal. But the inevitability of the success of AM stereo is far from a foregone conclusion.

DAT was another. A digital solution for high quality recordists, that was shot in the face by the record industry. And while they wrangled out solutions to their concerns, some stunningly boneheaded alternatives that actually made it onto the ratification table, rose alternative solutions that made DAT virtually obsolete before the first approved decks made it to retail.

Both examples were good ideas which were delayed by legal wrangling, as alternatives were introduced. And both suffered from some very bad press about technological implementation that put off sales of hardware. AM stereo was eagerly awaited. Widely written about. And in every article the issue of format compatibility with receivers came to fore. Four different standards implied more than one receiver was required, even though that was never the case. But the public was not up to the long, detailed and often unclear explanations that would have made AM stereo a simple implementation. One station in town broadcasting C-Quam, two broadcast Kahn, one Harris....one receiver would decode them all, but the operator would have to select which format. I had a single multimode receiver and enjoyed AM stereo wherever I encountered it. But I'm a geek. I"m not in the mainstream. Most users didn't understand why the confusing choices. And were disappointed by the results they got as a result. Mainstream users simply waited until things were easier to

understand, or there was something simpler available. AM stereo died from the delay in implementation, the confusing number of stories about it, and bad initial experiences.

Despite successful side by side tests, with huge approval ratings for AM stereo, it was dead on arrival, by the time it was implemented in earnest.

Similarly, DAT was hotly anticipated, and discussions about it were ubiquitous. Then came the record industries objections. And numerous copyguard schemes, including that stunningly stupid audio filter idea, that would have taken DAT from flat response to +0, -60, 20-20K. No audiophile would touch that. And objections from the audio community were equally as vocal as those of the record industry. And while DAT was sitting on the docks waiting to be approved for retail, along came Mini Disc. DCC, and eventually, practical CD-R. Not quite the quality in some cases, but devoid of the bickering, noise and confusing array of hype and explanation that DAT enjoyed. When DAT was finally released to retail, it was virtually dead on arrival.

AM-HD has also enjoyed some notoriety of its own. Long discussed, much written about. And then came the tests. All played out in the public's face. With interference audible wherever it was deployed. That the practical uses to the industry, and the listening public, of that trashed spectra, are limited is not really relevant. Much of the public doesn't understand that. Anymore than they really understand that the noise, in the digital mode, would be gone.

Tests of AM-HD have been met with huge approval numbers. Like DAT. Like AM stereo. Whether the confusing buzz, in the press, and on the public's radios will have the impact of AM stereo, or DAT, has yet to be deterimined. But I'm not confident that AM-HD hasn't already swallowed its own hemlock.

The digital buzz word is all important in marketing today. Especially in electronics. But how it actually works, is not widely understood, to the degree that there is an entire segment of Marketing that sells gimmicks for digital devices, by using simpler to understand analog sales pitches. CD Rings, green markers, and host of filter devices have been sold by the millions under the premise that these devices actually clean up the audio of a compact disc by changing the SHAPE of the bits in the stream. Analog thinking applied to digital technology. Targetted at the great digitally unwashed. Which does nothing to actually improve understanding.

AM-HD tests, are like HD-TV tests. Laboratory grade installations, tweaked for optimum performance, to create a sharp contrast between the old and the new, with the best possible light placed on the new.

But listening isn't done in the laboratory, and stations implementing AM-HD, by your own accounts, are up to engineers with somewhat less than sterling engineering skills or standards of expectations. AM-HD that

I've heard has been poor. Even by comparison to AM analog. And while I realize that early tests are often no indicators of downstream performance, what I've heard has made a poor impression of some persistence. Much as a colleague's early experience with Sirius satellite radio put him off satellite radio. Possibly forever.

The point to all this being, that unless the system is implemented well, with clearly defined benefits, without glitches commonly encountered in beta testing, the public's response is likely to be lukewarm, at best. HD-implementation right now, is a large public beta test. With all the glitches on display. And the artifacts of digital broadcasting on bands with few available analog receivers are on display as well.

This does not create mainstream confidence in the new technology. And that may spell an uncertain future.

At the same time, there are other, equally digital, and more readily accessible outlets coming on stream, that do not carry the confusing array of often conflicting commentary with them.

I agree with you. AM-HD may be too little too late. Maybe not. But it's done something that other new technology hasn't done before it. AM-HD has put its warts on display before it's benefits. AM stereo did not. DAT did not. Satellite Radio did not.

And that, with the rise of other newer technology, other newer, and less confusingly discussed alternatives, may have cast die that will result in one of the great losses in a once noble profession.

>
> AM may survive if it enters the digital world. I wonder if this is like AM
> steeo which could have helped AM a lot in 1978, but by the time it arrived,
> years later, it was too late.
>> WGN revenues are off again, according to a figures released by Tribune:
>
> This is because in 25-54, WGN is 15th in the market. It is near-death as a
> viable money machine, and sustained mostly by sports play by play. It's not
> the technology, it is the fact that it has no salable listener base.

I agree with you that the listener base is aging at WGN. But this is true of many AM stations. Then again, there are stations that ARE attracting younger, more salable demos. You work with a number of them. If the real purpose of AM-HD is to stem the erosion of AM listening, it's of marginal benefit to those stations attracting younger demos. They're already attracting new users through content alone. The benefit of AM-HD would then be greater for an older station like WGN, than it would your AM in LA. But the content would still not be attractive to the salable demos. The techological solution of AM-HD would then have marginal impact on the overall survivability of AM radio under the best of circumstances.

Because, especially in the case of a WGN, where the listeners are more accepting of the AM audio, the HD rash would be more offensive. And with WGN also streaming, alternatives are available. Trashing the bands in search of new listeners to newer technology would seem shortsighted.

> For the first time, as revenues have been flat or off, there has been an
> inventory tightening, mostly lead by Clear Channel. Average spot loads are
> below 11 minutes now, a new low.
>> Clear Channel's Less is More program, where :60s are discouraged and
>> :30's encouraged, with over all fewer units, took a cruel twist when two
>> quarters into the program, in house said that the audience was responding,
>> while external perceptuals showed that the audience thought there were
>> more spots on the air than ever.
>
> I have not seen this. In fact, I have seen a very good "less commercials"
> from the listeners to CCU's Spanish and Hispanic tageted stations.

As I said, there are conflicting results to the new programs. But that's less important than the fact that units are down to a new low. That means to keep revenues up, there must be more non traditional sales. Some of it off-air, much of it not. But as revenue tightens, the focus of some operational items change. And content gets targeted.

>
>> My point is, that though share appears to be slightly off, revenues are
>> starting to fall, and when revenues start to fall, the balance of focus
>> and effort shifts to revenue from programming. Now, you yourself have
>> said on a number of occasions that the sharp GM's and owners realize that
>> the big win goes to the stations that present the best content. Or at
>> least the most popular content. But good content costs. And when revenues
>> are off, the first thing that's sacrificed is the content.
>
> I've not noticed this, either. What I see is a more critical analysis of
> whether high priced talent is deliveing good revenue... with the Mancow
> decision in Chicago an example of a costly show that did not deliver a good
> margin. I think we will see more networked radio... the same model that
> allows Rush to be in Tallahassee.

Mancow is anomalous, in that he was commanding mid 7 figures to produce low ratings. Had he been a networked property, and carried by Q 101 for a syndication fee, he would have been more profitable. Though even as a networked commodity, he wasn't exactly setting the industry on fire.

Rush is a much better example. But a lot of what makes Radio important is local content. Rush, and networked radio does little to provide that. Nor does the increasing tendency to cut costs by eliminating local content due to declining revenues. And it's content, even over audio quality, that attracts and holds listeners.

Consolidation has give us more viable stations, but less service.

AM-HD will not change that.

>> Yes, most stations were not profitable before consolidation. I got
>> that. And I actually understand that. And I actually see and understand
>> that since consolidation many of those unprofitable stations are now
>> viable with a black bottom line. I've seen it for myself at several
>> stations I have regular contact with. But with consolidation also came
>> enormous debt load.
>
> See the above. The Cleaar Channel debt to equity ratio is better than that
> of General Electric!

While that may be true, the magnitude of debt to equity, when
revenues are off is less important than absolute magnitude of debt. Any
debt eats into the bottom line no mattter how good the ratio is, and
when revenues are off, something has to be cut, to hit the target
numbers in order to keep investors comfortable. In the end, that's
usually in content. Content is what attracts listeners.

AM-HD will not change that.

>
>> And advertisers follow the trends into their buys. Radio revenues are
>> declining. And the burden is on Radio to withstand the shrinking revenue
>> share.
>
> Right now, all traditional media are in a flat or declining revenue postion,
> with newspapers and local TV staitions the worst off. Radio is up in some
> markets, off in ohters. This may, in part, be a reaction to severzal big
> growth years, where radio finally broke into getting over 8% of ad
> expenditures... something it never did.
>> CBS Radio just cut loose how many of it's well established and
>> historically significant staff? Because of declining revenue.
>
> Not really. They are bvieng punished on the street for lackluster
> performance, and did a housecleaning. Revenues are pretty much flat, with
> the real mistakes in NY and Chicago offset by huge growth in places like LA.

I believed you just made my point for me. Lackluster performance on
the street is a money issue. Flat revenues are the moral equivalent of
decline when expectations are for growth. The company is taking in less
money than it needs to continue making it's target. And if Chicago and
NY are off, while LA is in growth, the stations in Chicago and NY are
still in decline. And cuts are made based on decline.

If you're just looking at the balance sheet at BlackRock, you're
right. Revenues are flat. If you're looking at the amount of money taken
in at Chicago and NY, it's off. The cuts are related to declining
revenues, where revenues are declining. The cuts were made due to
declining revenue.

>
>> Here in the Windy, the HD-2 staff at WJMK, the legends of Chicago radio
>> were severed at once, this week. Because of revenue short falls.
>>

>> How many halls at CBS stations ran red this week?
>>
>> ClearChannel is talking about staff cuts. Spinning off properties.
>> Because of declining revenues.
>
> And Disney is cutting several thousand employees and cutting back form 22 to
> 8 features a year. This is more about the ongoing demands of investors than
> the actual businesses.

Exactly my point. Money issues have eclipsed content issues in
broadcasting, and in the case of Disney, content throughout the company.
Investors are more important than listeners, viewers, or people who are
not tall enough to ride this ride.

AM-HD will not change that, either.

>> Now, we're trying to do the same thing with IBOC/HD, and in the
>> process, doing what would never have been considered before: trashing the
>> bands with noise, in the interest of boosting listening.
>>
>> You're way too close to the bull, David. Take a step back and see who
>> the horns have really gored.
>
> Fortunately, I am in a sector that is growing hugely, even this year.
> Listening is not down, we are spending more on programming than before, and
> sales are up. the model of investment in programming still works. Those who
> do not follow it are the ones with problems.
>

And that's my point, exactly....investment in programming. Content.
What comes through the grille cloth. AM-HD, while a nice enhancement to
the listening experience for those so equipped, it's not what attracts
new listeners: Content. At the same time it's making the programming
content of AM difficult to enjoy for stations being interfered with.
Even, as in my case, local stations which should be protected from HD rash.

If you're talking about the survivability of AM radio, AM-HD is not
only too little, too late, but it creates the very circumstance for
which it is purported to be the solution: noise and interference. All
the while without ready availability of hardware to implement the solution.

For its expense and liabilities, AM-HD would seem to be a waste of
funds that could be better invested in programming, the model that works.

>

2618. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 15:22:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <401-44BBAB46-313@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83705

A few minutes ago,I heard JT and Dave say it on their
www.supertalkms.com radio talk show.bush caught using the s..t word.I
just happened (s..t happens) to click on www.drudgereport.com and
there is an article about that.Sometimes I use the s..t word,but I am
not the prez.I am only a lowly peon.
cuhulin

2619. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 15:55:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BBB30B-1977@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83706

I was thinking about ''saying something'',,, nahhhhh,,, I won't say that one either.But I just know y'all good folks get me driff.cuhulin
.....
I was lookinnnnn back to see if you was lookin back to see if I was lookin back to see if you was lookin back at me,,,,,,, you was cute as you could beeee,,,,,, standin there lookin back to see if I was lookin back at youuuu,,,,,, oooo weeeeee,,,,,,,,

2620. (can't be too much off topic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:19:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BBB88D-1981@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83707

JT and Dave said,Don't choo cuss on this here radio.We bleeped the president,we will bleep you.
cuhulin

2621. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:37:48 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153154268.832221.319830@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83708

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:266nb25m8349u8ld1cajcq1vufceq2salu@4ax.com...
> > On 16 Jul 2006 23:50:18 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>THE BIG OOPS !
> >>The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
> >>for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
> >>Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
> >>but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
> >>and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
> >>become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.
> >>
> > Sorry, but all HD radios are required to be AM and FM.
> >
> > Most of the rest of the world has already chosen Eureka 147 and/or
> > DRM.
>
> No, it has not. I have not checked, but I do not think any country has
> chosed DRM.
>

- Brazil has chosen HD, as has Philippines. Colombia, Mexico
- and Central America are apparently going with HD.

DE - By "HD" do you mean specifically HD {IBOC} Radio
from iBiquity ? => http://www.ibiquity.com/hd_radio

> >
> > Why spen $200 for a radio that has a few extra channels when you can
> > get a satellite radio with 150 channels for half the price?
>
> Satellite requires a $150 a year subscription, while HD is free. And the
> receivers will be under $100 fairly soon... probably within a year to 18
> months, just like other roll outs.
>
> LA now has 10 additional HD channels running, with another 15 coming... at
> least. Add that to 87 other free signals, most of which will be in HD also.

2622. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:46:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <H9Pug.69092$fb2.41905@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83709

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153154268.832221.319830@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> - Brazil has chosen HD, as has Philippines. Colombia, Mexico
> - and Central America are apparently going with HD.
>
> DE - By "HD" do you mean specifically HD {IBOC} Radio
> from iBiquity ? => http://www.ibiquity.com/hd_radio

Yes. That is the only HD I know of as "HD Radio" is a registered service
mark of iBiquity.

2623. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:47:18 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2b3z3p@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2b3z3p@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 83802

cuhulin@webtv.net Wrote:
> I know a guy my age whom is of German descent/ancestry.He was born in
> Croatia.He once told me Hitler sent him and his family (during World
> War
> Two) to Germany.He told me that was the best thing Hitler ever did for
> him and his family.When he was about ten years old,he and his family
> immigrated (legally immigrated) to America.He has over forty years of
> experience with all kinds of computers and computer operating
> systems.Whenever I have a computer problem,guess who I ask.He lives in
> the Northeast,America.
> cuhulin

Stay out of my threads, whoever you are.

--
weatherall

2624. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:48:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153154882.582383.13430@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83710

David wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 23:50:18 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >THE BIG OOPS !
> >The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
> >for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
> >Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
> >but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
> >and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
> >become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.
> >

- Sorry, but all HD radios are required to be AM and FM.

Good now that 'that' is settled.

- Most of the rest of the world has already chosen Eureka 147
- and/or DRM.

Could HD {IBOC} Radio "Be Out There ? In Their Future !

- Why spen $200 for a radio that has a few extra channels
- when you can get a satellite radio with 150 channels for
- half the price?

David - Two Words : "The Buzz" - It's New ! -and- I Got To Have It !
Translation : HD {IBOC} Radio
- Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side
One-by-One - They Will Buy It !
-and- It Will Grow : bigger, and Bigger. and BIGGER !
Until All Are Comsumed By iBiquity ! ! ! :o)

a new air wave is out there -and- it's hd radio ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2625. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:49:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBBF7F-1857@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83711

Oklahoma, www.drudgereport.com

Retarn to senderrrrrr,,,,,,, adress unknown,,,,,,, no such
numberrrrrrr,,,,,,,, no such phone,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2626. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:54:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7957-44BBC0B8-398@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83714

www.hometownfreepress.com OKLAHOMA

Ohhhhhh,I Love youuuuuu,,,,,,, OKLAHOMA,,,,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2627. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:55:28 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CkPug.8046$ZH1.4506@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
> wrote:
>
>> Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
>> situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
>> wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
>
> Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
> software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
> hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
> on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?
>
> Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
> "code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
> next door.
>
> The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
> the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
> if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?
>
There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
and ability to decipher code. One has absolutely nothing to do with the
other. FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore. I don't care
about the code, whether it lives or dies. If you enjoy brass pounding,
then do it.

Just don't equate that ability with another that is not even slightly
related.

jak

2628. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 16:58:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BBC1B8.ED31D809@milestones.com>
Source record: 83712

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:

> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153154268.832221.319830@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > - Brazil has chosen HD, as has Philippines. Colombia, Mexico
> > - and Central America are apparently going with HD.
> >
> > DE - By "HD" do you mean specifically HD {IBOC} Radio
> > from iBiquity ? => http://www.ibiquity.com/hd_radio
>
> Yes. That is the only HD I know of as "HD Radio" is a registered service
> mark of iBiquity.

Yes, the manufacturers of QRM

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2629. Re: Dr ace and his fount of hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:08:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBC42A-1859@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83715

Watching www.wlbt.news on tv.A lot of prolifers are in Jackson
right now.The Scumbag socalled ''cops'' are arresting them and putting
them on buses and hauling them off,also,the socalled cops (I Literally
Hate most ''cops'',are you a ''cop''? I Hate your guts!!!!!!! DAMN YOU
TO HELL!!!!!!!!!!) (F..K YOU!!!!!!!!) are taking away their Bibles from
them.I am PRO LIFE.
cuhulin

2630. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:17:13 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <5dhnb29hijs1fshp00l9h24nf6ai5oera7@4ax.com>
Source record: 83716

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:56:00 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> DAT was another. A digital solution for high quality recordists, that
>was shot in the face by the record industry. And while they wrangled out
>solutions to their concerns, some stunningly boneheaded alternatives
>that actually made it onto the ratification table, rose alternative
>solutions that made DAT virtually obsolete before the first approved
>decks made it to retail.
>
The trouble with DAT was that it didn't really sound any better than
VHS HiFi which cost a fraction as much. MiniDisc at least offered
some economy and portability.

2631. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:18:38 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <9ihnb2tgu508usglkcvb8qb6lpqi04tred@4ax.com>
Source record: 83717

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:28:40 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>The reality is that revenue is based
>on the ratings and efforts in the local, groundwave market today and that
>distant listening is not significant.
>
>
...public interest, convenience and necessity.

2632. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:21:30 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ckhnb2l2lue76vd1b3mdsdc7g7l56uurrl@4ax.com>
Source record: 83718

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:30:42 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:mr5nb2hv1lge0mh7acq2k3mavupgtro29s@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:36:54 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Since consumer marketing has just begun, how would you know?
>>>
>>>
>> Because for way less money you can get satellite radio.
>
>Since the 2.0 design spec radios are 60 days from getting to market at the
>least, how do you know?
>
That's about 61 days too late. Sirius has an entry level tuner for
$30.

>My first VHS cost $800. My first DVD player was $400, and my first CD player
>was $1,400. Within a few years, they were mass market priced

> Look at LCD
>and Plasma TVs, or high def TVs.

I'd rather not. TV is for morons.

I have never paid more than $100 for a CD player. $1,400 is insane.

2633. Re: This Really Sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:24:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBC7B0-1865@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83719

Give me www.wlbt.com contact us link.I want to tell them what a
bunch of phoney fed govt kissups they are.I want to tell them I like
that cuitie pie (but I am too old to cut the mustard) weather
girl,Joanna Hancock.
cuhulin

2634. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:27:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBC88E-1866@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83720

What the H..L is a warning anyway? Sounds like duck soup to me.
cuhulin

2635. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:29:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBC8F6-1867@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83721

Hey,,, you want some old used toilet paper? Before I auction it off on
eBay.
cuhulin

2636. Re: David -FYI- Mexican Radio Stations Have No Right To Be Heard In The USA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:37:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eVPug.68744$Lm5.15183@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83722

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:9ihnb2tgu508usglkcvb8qb6lpqi04tred@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:28:40 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>The reality is that revenue is based
>>on the ratings and efforts in the local, groundwave market today and that
>>distant listening is not significant.
>>
>>
> ...public interest, convenience and necessity.

Stations are licensed to serve the local community, starting witht he city of license. In the past, like the 60's and 70's, a licensee had to do ascertainment of community needs every 3 years for license renewal. That meant talking to community leaders... stations generally talked to such leaders in a small area around the city of license and the surrounding towns and communities.

The FCC has always emphasized local service... the reason the clears were not granted 500 to 750 kw as they lobbied for from the 40's through about 1968 was that the FCC wanted to encourage more local stations, not regional or national ones.

2637. Re: looking for recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:39:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBCB47-1870@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83726

Eton whaterver they are ''socalled'' ''radios'' dont have a built in AM anteanna.You couldn't twist my arm hard enough to force me to accept one of those for free.
cuhulin

2638. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:40:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BBCB74.782DB45C@milestones.com>
Source record: 83723

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Hey,,, you want some old used toilet paper? Before I auction it off on
> eBay.

Better ask Edweenie. Many of the Hispanics (fake ones too) don't flush that used toilet paper, they actually collect it!

It's true!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2639. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:41:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SYPug.68747$Lm5.30106@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83724

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ckhnb2l2lue76vd1b3mdsdc7g7l56uurrl@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:30:42 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message

>>Since the 2.0 design spec radios are 60 days from getting to market at the
>>least, how do you know?
>>
> That's about 61 days too late. Sirius has an entry level tuner for
> $30.

Gee, it took them 5 years to get there.

And add in $150 a year for subscription. HD has no subscription cost.
>
>>My first VHS cost $800. My first DVD player was $400, and my first CD
>>player
>>was $1,400. Within a few years, they were mass market priced
>
>> Look at LCD
>>and Plasma TVs, or high def TVs.
>
> I'd rather not. TV is for morons.

I guess only morons want to keep up to date on news. On satellite, I have a
choice of news from about 12 different countries, plus the US. If I buy a
newspaper, I only get one local voice. And the web is less than a perfect
source, too, due to bandwidth issues on video.

> I have never paid more than $100 for a CD player. $1,400 is insane.

If you were doing Beautiful Music syndication in the early 80's and found
that a lot of your best cuts were available on CD from Japan (at $35 to $40
each!) you rushed out to get that CD player.

2640. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:43:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Z_Pug.68748$Lm5.23986@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83725

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:5dhnb29hijs1fshp00l9h24nf6ai5oera7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:56:00 GMT, D Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>
>> DAT was another. A digital solution for high quality recordists, that
>>was shot in the face by the record industry. And while they wrangled out
>>solutions to their concerns, some stunningly boneheaded alternatives
>>that actually made it onto the ratification table, rose alternative
>>solutions that made DAT virtually obsolete before the first approved
>>decks made it to retail.
>>
> The trouble with DAT was that it didn't really sound any better than
> VHS HiFi which cost a fraction as much. MiniDisc at least offered
> some economy and portability.

DAT was far better than the MiniDisk (which is actually the standard storage
in much of the Third World in radio), but it is very unreliable. One
engineer said, "A DAT machine is a midget VHS with an attitude."

The reason DAT had a passing popularity was its portability. For radio ENG,
it was the standard for a while. Darned things kept breaking, though.

2641. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:45:17 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <N0Qug.68749$Lm5.19707@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83727

The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for their
day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other benefits
of programs set up by NASA.
I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow minded
twit.

David wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:18:39 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Yeah Right!
>>This is the same Luddite mindset that bashed the Apollo space program.
>>Now we are reaping the benefits of that program: cleaner running cars,
>>medical instrumentation, the Internet, and home computer, just to name a few
>>
>>
>
> Don't forget Tang and Velcro.
>
> The computers NASA developed were crap. Total crap.
>

2642. Re: BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:45:49 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BBCCCD-1874@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83728

llrc crap always keeps screwing me up.I always forget what llrc
means.Don't tell me,I don't want to know again.I will NOT click on this
thread ever again.llrc's can Shove It,as far as I am concerned.I Hate!!!
Stupid ignitals!!!!
cuhulin

2643. Eye-On-IBOC - Looking for Information about IBOC and HD Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 17:57:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153159074.657651.74270@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83729

Eye-On IBOC - Looking for Information about IBOC and HD Radio
and other Digital Terrestrial Technologies ?
BE-RADIO => http://beradio.com/eyeoniboc/
Read about this Topic in the latest Articles from Radio Magazine.
Click-On the Links on the WebPage

HD {IBOC} Radio is iBiquity's Brand of Digital Radio Technology
for Transmission and Reception of Sound that has been Digitized
and Compressed.
HD-RADIO http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HD-Radio

OOPS - "Ibiquity Lied To Us - When they said HD would
lessen Interference with Adjacent Channel Signals."
http://www.mediageek.net/?p=1420

IBOC and Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB)
Topics and Issues -from- Radio World (RW) OnLine
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/iboc/index.shtml

Commentary: Digital Radios and Your Station -by- Mike Bergman
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/iboc/2006.07.05-04_rw_hd_receiver.shtml
A Look at Receiver Design Elements That Affect Your FM HD Coverage Area

iboc - so what do you think . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2644. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 18:12:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7958-44BBD30D-81@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83732

I am eating a ham and cheese sandwich right now and a bunch of back to back old James Cagney movies are on the TCM tv channel.Of course I always have to save back something for my little doggy (and let her lick the plate) if I don't,she will whup me arse.
cuhulin

2645. OT: 0-Day Denial of Service Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 18:21:18 UTC
From: delorean@nim.bstrs
Message-ID: <90389BG.71200760@nim.bstrs>
Source record: 83731

Hackers have allegedly discovered how to take over a peer-to-peer file sharing network and use it to mount a denial of service attack against anyone. Since tens of thousands of machines are on a P2P network at any given moment, the attack can be used to bring any web site to its knees, and the attack can be kept up indefinitely.

No one is sure how it works, but the attack obviously involves "lying" to the P2P network in such a way that the machines which are attached to it go to the targeted IP address and attempt to connect to the victim machine. The large volume of connection attempts quickly swamps the bandwidth of the connection and renders the victim machine invisible to other Internet users.

Apparently, a significant number of what were thought to be bot or zombie attacks was instead the result of misdirecting one or more P2P networks like eDonkey or Bittorrent. Peer-to-peer networking has now become a potential Internet weapon under the control of a few elite hackers, and not one security expert has yet to discuss it.

Truly, if the whispers are correct, an awesome zero-day exploit is currently in use as a net weapon, and it needs to be exposed.

Anyone heard about this exploit?

2646. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 18:33:54 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <52e22$44bbd383$d8c4cade$20738@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 83730

Don't forget that MIT did a lot of the computing work
for NASA back in the day.

--Mike L.

"ka6uup" <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:N0Qug.68749$Lm5.19707@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
> programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for
their
> day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other
benefits
> of programs set up by NASA.
> I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow
minded
> twit.
>
> David wrote:
> > On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 19:18:39 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Yeah Right!
> >>This is the same Luddite mindset that bashed the Apollo space
program.
> >>Now we are reaping the benefits of that program: cleaner running
cars,
> >>medical instrumentation, the Internet, and home computer, just to
name a few
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Don't forget Tang and Velcro.
> >
> > The computers NASA developed were crap. Total crap.
> >

2647. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 18:59:59 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <P6Rug.1165$157.610@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83733

In article <266nb25m8349u8ld1cajcq1vufceq2salu@4ax.com>,
David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>Why spen $200 for a radio that has a few extra channels when you can
>get a satellite radio with 150 channels for half the price?
>
Uh, maybe because you have to pay and pay and pay for the satellite
subscription?

--

jhhaynes at earthlink dot net

2648. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:19:44 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153163984.571840.203600@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie soon to debut on NBC's "Dateline" as an online predator.

2649. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:23:32 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153164212.062814.222830@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

So it is true you neglect and abuse your dying daddy.

2650. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:35:36 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153164935.963387.141870@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Dance, Markie, dance!

2651. Re: The Israeli Pornography Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:37:27 UTC
From: Bernie the Bignose <jewsmakepornos@yahoosblows.com>
Message-ID: <4ec92$44bbe6f4$d1cc7c50$24325@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 83737

Lets not forget also all the jews in the Pr0n industry
and the proven fact that nearly 90% of the Estacy club
drug comes out of drug labs located in Israel and Hassidm
are the primary smugglers who ship this crap into the USA.

2652. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:39:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153165158.388784.300470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2653. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:39:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153165190.157052.268660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko.

2654. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 19:45:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153165509.691792.194510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko
tahnk sick for you help over in CAP you have helped me make my point
about Robeson

2655. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:19:24 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ghSug.409021$Fs1.238518@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83741

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:oJtug.121895$H71.78766@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:%9sug.400051$Fs1.54282@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:ebYtg.131299$dW3.40932@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e99gokaua1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > [snip]
> > >
> >> > Most people would probably blame localized interference, and again,
the
> >> > average listener doesn't know beans about engineering and won't call
> >> > the
> >> > station to complain.
> >>
> >> Believe me, our listeners complain over everything. In Miami, WAQI
> > listeners
> >> call every time the Cuban synchronized jammers change operation.
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > IBOC interference sounds like the usual sort of radio hiss which
everybody
> > > is used to, but there's a whole lot more hiss.
> > >
> > > Were stations getting swamped with complaints about more common sources
of
> > > noise such as from computers and TV sets?
> > >
> >> And in LA,
> >> sports listeners quickly complain when we do not turn HD off for live
> > soccer
> >> games.
> > >
> > > Am I missing something here? I thought "nobody" was bothered by IBOC
> > > interference.
>
> It is not interference. It is the delay. HD has a fallback the analog
> feature, whereby if the digital signal drops out, the analog signal comes
> in. This requires buffering the analog signal by 8.1 seconds to compensate
> for the delay in the digital processing.

>
> Similarly, stations turn off "indecency" delay during live sports.
>
> This is to allow listeners with radios to listen to play by play in the
> stadium. However, with the FCC announcing today a review of sports
> broadcasts for indecency, stations will probably keep the indecency delay
> going, eliminating the ability to have simultaneous play by play forever.
>
>

That's convienient. Today's IBOC delay is tomorrow's FCC mandated indecency delay.

By the way, are listeners also complaining about interference from such sources as TVs and computers?

Frank Dresser

2656. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:27:49 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83742

David Eduardo wrote:

> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
> operating or under installation HD AMs.

WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone back on.

Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in that part of the dial.

--
Stephanie Weil

2657. Re: American Broadcasters Ate a Cyanide Pill Long Ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:35:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xwSug.131826$dW3.64138@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83743

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ghSug.409021$Fs1.238518@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> By the way, are listeners also complaining about interference from such
> sources as TVs and computers?

We used to get these.

TV complaints were decades ago. Pretty much everyone learned a TV and a radio can not sit together and play nice.

Computer stuff started generating calls in the late 80's as offices got computerized fully, and from homes inthe early 90's. Not a lot of them, but certainly enough to know people were noticing. Again, I think folks understand that now.

2658. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:36:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TwSug.131827$dW3.32452@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83744

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
>> operating or under installation HD AMs.
>
> WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone
> back on.
>
> Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in
> that part of the dial.

Actually, there is a hardware issue.

2659. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:42:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BBF632.80112BDA@milestones.com>
Source record: 83745

David Frackelton Gleason, dumb boob from Cleveland who now thinks he's 'Eduardo', prancing Hispanic, yanked his hand outta his panties just long enough to write:

> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
> >> operating or under installation HD AMs.
> >
> > WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone
> > back on.
> >
> > Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in
> > that part of the dial.
>
> Actually, there is a hardware issue.

Well yeah! Their IBOC hardware creates QRM, you frickin retarded boob.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2660. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:46:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <GGSug.131831$dW3.28295@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83746

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BBF632.80112BDA@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, dumb boob from Cleveland who now thinks he's
> 'Eduardo', prancing Hispanic, yanked his hand outta his panties just long
> enough
> to write:
>
>> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> >> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
>> >> operating or under installation HD AMs.
>> >
>> > WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone
>> > back on.
>> >
>> > Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in
>> > that part of the dial.
>>
>> Actually, there is a hardware issue.
>
> Well yeah! Their IBOC hardware creates QRM, you frickin retarded boob.

No, there was a hard drive malfunction when upgrading to the 2.2.5 firmware.

2661. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:54:37 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BBF90D.F12D8F7F@milestones.com>
Source record: 83747

David Noweenie wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BBF632.80112BDA@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, dumb boob from Cleveland who now thinks he's
> > 'Eduardo', prancing Hispanic, yanked his hand outta his panties just long
> > enough
> > to write:
> >
> >> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
> >> >> operating or under installation HD AMs.
> >> >
> >> > WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone
> >> > back on.
> >> >
> >> > Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in
> >> > that part of the dial.
> >>
> >> Actually, there is a hardware issue.
> >
> > Well yeah! Their IBOC hardware creates QRM, you frickin retarded boob.
>
> No, there was a hard drive malfunction when upgrading to the 2.2.5 firmware.

No, their IBOC hardware creates QRM, you frickin retarded boob.

Now pay attention, boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2662. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:55:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <UOSug.131832$dW3.59356@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83798

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153168069.130792.152230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> WAQI, WQBA, KTNQ, WRTO, WADO, KFLC, KLAT, KGBT, KLOK... these are our
>> operating or under installation HD AMs.
>
> WADO-1280 actually turned off its IBOC a few weeks ago. It hasn't gone
> back on.

When did you notice this? We may have a metering / software failure. It was
not supposed to be off prior to the update of firmware.
>
> Supposedly they received interference complaints from other stations in
> that part of the dial.

Maybe back on this afternoon. No complaints.

2663. Looking for Eimac tube data sheets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:56:22 UTC
From: "Dave (from the UK)" <see-my-signature@southminster-branch-line.org.uk>
Message-ID: <44bbf977@212.67.96.135>
Source record: 83799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

I'd like to expand the collection of tube data sheets (mainly Eimac) at

http://www.g8wrb.org/

which has many tubes used by hams (and some which are a bit too big),
like the

I would appreciate either paper copies (or better still electronic
scans) of those I don't have.

Data sheets are several pages long (typically 5~10). I do *not* want the
1 page summaries that are on the Eimac web site.

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catindex.htm

or are reproduced in Eimac catalogues.

If you have a data sheet, you can upload the files (gif, jpeg, pdf etc) at:

http://www.althorne.org/php/

(then check they exist at http://www.althorne.org/up/ although
permissions will prevent you reading them until I have reset them)

I'll then move them to http://www.g8wrb.org/ later.

The following page has a list of the missing / incomplete data sheets.

http://www.g8wrb.org/help.php

That list is automatically generated once/day and gets updated as new
data arrives.

I'll reproduce that list here for completeness. I particularly want the
Eimac Y799, Eimac Y808 and EEV CV6131, as either myself or someone else
has an immediate need for them.

Here is the WANTED list!!!

Air cooled triodes

* 3CPX800A7
* 3CX10,000A1
* 3CX10,000A3
* 3CX10,000D3
* 3CX10,000U7
* 3CX1000A3
* 3CX15,000A3
* 3CX15,000H3

* 3CX20,000H3
* 3CX2500D3
* 3CX3000F1
* 3CX35,000D3
* 3CX4000F3
* 3CX400A7
* 3CX4500F3
* 3CX5000U7

Water cooled triodes

* 3CW10,000H3
* 3CW20,000A3
* 3CW20,000A7
* 3CW20,000H3
* 3CW2000D3
* 3CW2500C7
* 3CW30,000H3
* 3CW30,000H7
* 3CW5000A1
* 3CW5000A3
* 3CW5000A7
* 3CW5000F1
* 3CW5000F3
* 3CW5000F7
* 3CW7000F7
* 3CW7000H3

Vapor cooled triodes

* 3CV200,000D3
* 3CV30,000A3

Air cooled tetrodes

* Y799
* Y808
* 4CPX250K
* 4CX1500BC
* 4CX20,000B
* 4CX20,000C
* 4CX20,000D
* 4CX20,000E
* 4CX35,000C
* 4CX40,000GM
* 4CX600JB
* 4CX7500A

Water cooled tetrodes

* 4CW10,000A
* 4CW10,000B
* 4CW25,000A

* 4CW25,000B
* 4CW300B
* 4CW50,000E

Vapor cooled tetrodes

* 4CV50,000J

Air cooled pentodes

* 5CX1500A
* 5CX1500B

--
Dave K MCSE.

MCSE = Minefield Consultant and Solitaire Expert.

Please note my email address changes periodically to avoid spam.
It is always of the form: month-year@domain. Hitting reply will work
for a couple of months only. Later set it manually.

http://witm.sourceforge.net/ (Web based Mathematica front end)

2664. HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 20:59:58 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83800

Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6

by Edward Montgomery

After reading letters to the editor and excerpts of publicly-filed comments from individuals opposed to the switch to IBOC, Ed Montgomery, an electronics and communications teacher for 34 years, prepared this opinion which he says refers "to another time when a broadcast signal was changed to provide better service even though it introduced what was considered a 'penalty.'"

Human beings generally cling to the status quo. When something new comes along, trepidation often results.

When Thomas Edison developed electricity, the gaslight companies proclaimed the dangers of the new form of energy. "Get a horse!" was the cry for many at the turn of the 19th to the 20th centuries, when the automobile came on the scene.

My father would never buy a car with a radio or an automatic transmission. His standard response to the latter was, "The transmissions break."

He was a firm believer that car radios didn't work outside a specific area. We lived in northern New Jersey. He believed that if you got to Greenwood Lake, which borders New York, the radio would cease to operate. This was empirical evidence he acquired from using a company car with a radio.

What he never understood was that WAAT(AM) - now WWDJ - was a five-kilowatter that couldn't send a signal that distance. It was the only station to which he listened.

Radio purists

But a lot of people like that act out of ignorance. Others use intentional deception to protect their products. The company with the slogan "the most trusted name in electronics" did all it could to suppress the development of FM broadcasting.

And among those who were there, who can forget Hollywood executives in the early 1950s writing off television, saying the average person would never exchange a giant theater screen for a small flickering box in their homes?

That battle created two classes of performers, writers, producers and directors: The elite Hollywood professionals, and the "underlings" in television, mostly based in New York City.

We live in an age of another group of purists who seem to believe that true radio broadcasting should consist of Heising Modulation at the transmitter and five tube superheterodyne receivers. These electronic Luddites fear any change to radio while EMI noise, iPods, Webcasting, satellite radio and those custom mix music CDs take more of the listening audience away each day.

Diversity of program distribution is taking listeners from the traditional broadcaster. Listeners are leaving and they are not likely to return, and this is affecting FM as well as AM.

It's time to leave the warm glow of the 12AV6.

Listening habits have changed over the years. Two-income families have pretty much eliminated the DJ format with family-oriented humor between every song integrating their banter into the commercial clusters. Talk radio has gathered the political and sports demographics and the narrow rotation of some forms of music.

With just a few conglomerates owning most of the stations, the formats are very similar. A decade ago more nighttime radio stations were added to the old clear channel frequencies, adding more noise, limiting their effectiveness at great distances.

The primary service is the area that needs signal free from most noise with a good-fidelity stereo signal, at a minimum. The IBOC system can deliver that. Yes, it does inject noise in the first-adjacent sidebands in that primary zone; but few people have a need to listen to a station a time zone away any more.

Sixty years ago I would not have favored this change. But back then, living in a more rural America, we had broad-banded AM receivers and a need to hear Fred Allen, Eddie Cantor or the latest news from the war. That's no longer the case.

The best way to describe what is happening is that the radio signals will change. Within the limits of keeping most of the analog signal, it is a small price to pay to reduce noise, improve fidelity and add more services.

'Stereo penalty'

This is not the first time radio signals have changed.

When Edwin Armstrong developed wide-band FM he established 100 percent modulation as a frequency deviation of +/- 75 kHz producing the best signal-to-noise ratio. When subcarriers were added, the main channel modulation had to be reduced to accommodate them.

With FM stereo, sum and difference channels of equal loudness were transmitted, severely reducing the main channel deviation. The result was the "stereo penalty," adding noise, often resulting in moving transmitters to better locations and increasing power. Even that didn't always do the job, especially for the Class A stations.

The low receiver sensitivity of that era contributed to a reduced coverage area. The difference then was that there were far fewer FM listeners then than there are AM listeners today. I know of no complaints surrounding the addition of the stereo signal. Most say it saved the band.

There is another way to solve the digital broadcasting dilemma: Follow the path Armstrong did - developing an entirely new form of broadcasting, promoting it himself, fending off those who wanted him to fail. He gathered a significant number of listeners along The Yankee Network.

The battle with RCA was too much, costing his life; but his wife prevailed. There were no conglomerate owners back then, and a little more interest by local broadcasters to experiment.

Few have the willingness to do that today.

Montgomery is laboratory director for video technology and communications at the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology in Fairfax, Va.

RW welcomes other points of view.

2665. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:04:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BBFB52.75EEBA79@milestones.com>
Source record: 83801

David Noweenie wrote:

> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
> by Edward Montgomery
>
> After reading letters to the editor and excerpts of publicly-filed comments
> from individuals opposed to the switch to IBOC, Ed Montgomery, an
> electronics and communications teacher for 34 years, prepared this opinion
> which he says refers "to another time when a broadcast signal was changed to
> provide better service even though it introduced what was considered a
> 'penalty.'"
>
> Human beings generally cling to the status quo. When something new comes
> along, trepidation often results.
>
> When Thomas Edison developed electricity, the gaslight companies proclaimed
> the dangers of the new form of energy. "Get a horse!" was the cry for many
> at the turn of the 19th to the 20th centuries, when the automobile came on
> the scene.
>
> My father would never buy a car with a radio or an automatic transmission.
> His standard response to the latter was, "The transmissions break."
>
> He was a firm believer that car radios didn't work outside a specific area.
> We lived in northern New Jersey. He believed that if you got to Greenwood
> Lake, which borders New York, the radio would cease to operate. This was
> empirical evidence he acquired from using a company car with a radio.
>
> What he never understood was that WAAT(AM) - now WWDJ - was a
> five-kilowatter that couldn't send a signal that distance. It was the only
> station to which he listened.
>
> Radio purists
>
> But a lot of people like that act out of ignorance. Others use intentional
> deception to protect their products. The company with the slogan "the most
> trusted name in electronics" did all it could to suppress the development of
> FM broadcasting.
>
> And among those who were there, who can forget Hollywood executives in the
> early 1950s writing off television, saying the average person would never
> exchange a giant theater screen for a small flickering box in their homes?

>
> That battle created two classes of performers, writers, producers and
> directors: The elite Hollywood professionals, and the "underlings" in
> television, mostly based in New York City.
>
> We live in an age of another group of purists who seem to believe that true
> radio broadcasting should consist of Heising Modulation at the transmitter
> and five tube superheterodyne receivers. These electronic Luddites fear any
> change to radio while EMI noise, iPods, Webcasting, satellite radio and
> those custom mix music CDs take more of the listening audience away each
> day.
>
> Diversity of program distribution is taking listeners from the traditional
> broadcaster. Listeners are leaving and they are not likely to return, and
> this is affecting FM as well as AM.
>
> It's time to leave the warm glow of the 12AV6.
>
> Listening habits have changed over the years. Two-income families have
> pretty much eliminated the DJ format with family-oriented humor between
> every song integrating their banter into the commercial clusters. Talk radio
> has gathered the political and sports demographics and the narrow rotation
> of some forms of music.
>
> With just a few conglomerates owning most of the stations, the formats are
> very similar. A decade ago more nighttime radio stations were added to the
> old clear channel frequencies, adding more noise, limiting their
> effectiveness at great distances.
>
> The primary service is the area that needs signal free from most noise with
> a good-fidelity stereo signal, at a minimum. The IBOC system can deliver
> that. Yes, it does inject noise in the first-adjacent sidebands in that
> primary zone; but few people have a need to listen to a station a time zone
> away any more.
>
> Sixty years ago I would not have favored this change. But back then, living
> in a more rural America, we had broad-banded AM receivers and a need to hear
> Fred Allen, Eddie Cantor or the latest news from the war. That's no longer
> the case.
>
> The best way to describe what is happening is that the radio signals will
> change. Within the limits of keeping most of the analog signal, it is a
> small price to pay to reduce noise, improve fidelity and add more services.
>
> 'Stereo penalty'
>
> This is not the first time radio signals have changed.
>
> When Edwin Armstrong developed wide-band FM he established 100 percent
> modulation as a frequency deviation of +/- 75 kHz producing the best

> signal-to-noise ratio. When subcarriers were added, the main channel
> modulation had to be reduced to accommodate them.
>
> With FM stereo, sum and difference channels of equal loudness were
> transmitted, severely reducing the main channel deviation. The result was
> the "stereo penalty," adding noise, often resulting in moving transmitters
> to better locations and increasing power. Even that didn't always do the
> job, especially for the Class A stations.
>
> The low receiver sensitivity of that era contributed to a reduced coverage
> area. The difference then was that there were far fewer FM listeners then
> than there are AM listeners today. I know of no complaints surrounding the
> addition of the stereo signal. Most say it saved the band.
>
> There is another way to solve the digital broadcasting dilemma: Follow the
> path Armstrong did - developing an entirely new form of broadcasting,
> promoting it himself, fending off those who wanted him to fail. He gathered
> a significant number of listeners along The Yankee Network.
>
> The battle with RCA was too much, costing his life; but his wife prevailed.
> There were no conglomerate owners back then, and a little more interest by
> local broadcasters to experiment.
>
> Few have the willingness to do that today.
>
> Montgomery is laboratory director for video technology and communications at
> the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology in Fairfax, Va.
>
> RW welcomes other points of view.

HD/IBOC = QRM

How's that for an opinion, Noweenie?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2666. Re: OT: 0-Day Denial of Service Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:21:44 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1951307.IbtE3YbYG6@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 83803

DeLorean wrote:

> Truly, if the whispers are correct, an awesome zero-day exploit is
> currently in use as a net weapon, and it needs to be exposed.
>
> Anyone heard about this exploit?

not only is it OLD NEWS, but its pretty microsoft centric as well.....
I may not be a "hacker", but I do know enough of them. all of them call this exploit: "An interesting toy, but not really of any use".

2667. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:23:47 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <uqvnb2h3mdb5e7t1o9ra7bq1brj2i8iv54@4ax.com>
Source record: 83804

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 17:45:17 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:

>The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
>programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for their
>day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other benefits
>of programs set up by NASA.
>I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow minded
>twit.
>
Really. As I recall the Columbia had 5 analog computers that had to
vote on every command and the things were always needing cold reboots
to get synchronized.

I suspect the military drives way more technical innovation than
putting American dicks in space.

2668. Re: David - The Days of the Clear Channels like KGO and KSL are Numbered.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:27:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <030ob257crgbrcbupa6a86ai8ojl6lll45@4ax.com>
Source record: 83805

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 17:41:06 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>I guess only morons want to keep up to date on news. On satellite, I have a
>choice of news from about 12 different countries, plus the US. If I buy a
>newspaper, I only get one local voice. And the web is less than a perfect
>source, too, due to bandwidth issues on video.
>
>> I have never paid more than $100 for a CD player. $1,400 is insane.
>
>If you were doing Beautiful Music syndication in the early 80's and found
>that a lot of your best cuts were available on CD from Japan (at $35 to $40
>each!) you rushed out to get that CD player.
>
>
There's no news on TV. The BBC World Service has the news. Everyone
else fakes it.

If I was doing beautiful music syndication in the early '80s I
would've been offed in my sleep.

2669. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:30:29 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 83806

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
Y'all are about 10 years too late.

2670. Re: Looking for Eimac tube data sheets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:30:34 UTC
From: know code <know_code@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <44bc01d4$0$94128$dbd4f001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 83808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Dave (from the UK) wrote:

> Dave K MCSE.
>
> MCSE = Minefield Consultant and Solitaire Expert.

Or, MCSE = Must Consult Someone Experienced!

2671. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:31:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <_kTug.131839$dW3.12764@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83807

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>>
> Y'all are about 10 years too late.

But that is still the mentality.

2672. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:35:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21826-44BC0286-335@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83812

You can't use that radio in Yonkers,unless that radio runs on batteries.300 stranded on subway in NYC.Will that battery radio work on the subways?
cuhulin

2673. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:38:10 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153172289.956447.245300@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83809

David Eduardo wrote:
> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
> by Edward Montgomery
>
> After reading letters to the editor and excerpts of publicly-filed comments
> from individuals opposed to the switch to IBOC, Ed Montgomery, an
> electronics and communications teacher for 34 years, prepared this opinion
> which he says refers "to another time when a broadcast signal was changed to
> provide better service even though it introduced what was considered a
> 'penalty.'"
>
> Human beings generally cling to the status quo. When something new comes
> along, trepidation often results.
>
> When Thomas Edison developed electricity, the gaslight companies proclaimed
> the dangers of the new form of energy. "Get a horse!" was the cry for many
> at the turn of the 19th to the 20th centuries, when the automobile came on
> the scene.
>
> My father would never buy a car with a radio or an automatic transmission.
> His standard response to the latter was, "The transmissions break."
>
> He was a firm believer that car radios didn't work outside a specific area.
> We lived in northern New Jersey. He believed that if you got to Greenwood
> Lake, which borders New York, the radio would cease to operate. This was
> empirical evidence he acquired from using a company car with a radio.
>
> What he never understood was that WAAT(AM) - now WWDJ - was a
> five-kilowatter that couldn't send a signal that distance. It was the only
> station to which he listened.
>
> Radio purists
>
> But a lot of people like that act out of ignorance. Others use intentional
> deception to protect their products. The company with the slogan "the most
> trusted name in electronics" did all it could to suppress the development of
> FM broadcasting.
>
> And among those who were there, who can forget Hollywood executives in the
> early 1950s writing off television, saying the average person would never
> exchange a giant theater screen for a small flickering box in their homes?
>

> That battle created two classes of performers, writers, producers and
> directors: The elite Hollywood professionals, and the "underlings" in
> television, mostly based in New York City.
>
> We live in an age of another group of purists who seem to believe that true
> radio broadcasting should consist of Heising Modulation at the transmitter
> and five tube superheterodyne receivers. These electronic Luddites fear any
> change to radio while EMI noise, iPods, Webcasting, satellite radio and
> those custom mix music CDs take more of the listening audience away each
> day.
>
> Diversity of program distribution is taking listeners from the traditional
> broadcaster. Listeners are leaving and they are not likely to return, and
> this is affecting FM as well as AM.
>
> It's time to leave the warm glow of the 12AV6.
>
> Listening habits have changed over the years. Two-income families have
> pretty much eliminated the DJ format with family-oriented humor between
> every song integrating their banter into the commercial clusters. Talk radio
> has gathered the political and sports demographics and the narrow rotation
> of some forms of music.
>
> With just a few conglomerates owning most of the stations, the formats are
> very similar. A decade ago more nighttime radio stations were added to the
> old clear channel frequencies, adding more noise, limiting their
> effectiveness at great distances.

What he is saying here is the content comes from the networks, implying
there is no need to listen to a distant station since it will be more
of the same. This just isn't true.

>
> The primary service is the area that needs signal free from most noise with
> a good-fidelity stereo signal, at a minimum. The IBOC system can deliver
> that. Yes, it does inject noise in the first-adjacent sidebands in that
> primary zone; but few people have a need to listen to a station a time zone
> away any more.

Again, this isn't true. IBOC is inferior to a good AM signal. What is
worse, it forces the AM signal to be bandlimited to 5KHz. So you ruin
the analog signal and deliver low bit rate crappy digital audio.

What is true is the digital signal spreads hash on the adjacent analog
channel, but they aren't in the next time zone.

>
> Sixty years ago I would not have favored this change. But back then, living
> in a more rural America, we had broad-banded AM receivers and a need to hear
> Fred Allen, Eddie Cantor or the latest news from the war. That's no longer
> the case.
>

> The best way to describe what is happening is that the radio signals will
> change. Within the limits of keeping most of the analog signal, it is a
> small price to pay to reduce noise, improve fidelity and add more services.

More services? Well, is that what you call a computer playing classic
rock? Reduce noise? IBOC creates noise. Improved fidelity?? Well, if
you don't count digital artifacts.
>
> 'Stereo penalty'
>
> This is not the first time radio signals have changed.
>
> When Edwin Armstrong developed wide-band FM he established 100 percent
> modulation as a frequency deviation of +/- 75 kHz producing the best
> signal-to-noise ratio. When subcarriers were added, the main channel
> modulation had to be reduced to accommodate them.
>
> With FM stereo, sum and difference channels of equal loudness were
> transmitted, severely reducing the main channel deviation. The result was
> the "stereo penalty," adding noise, often resulting in moving transmitters
> to better locations and increasing power. Even that didn't always do the
> job, especially for the Class A stations.

All true, but none of these "improvements" put hash on the adjacent
channel.
>
> The low receiver sensitivity of that era contributed to a reduced coverage
> area. The difference then was that there were far fewer FM listeners then
> than there are AM listeners today. I know of no complaints surrounding the
> addition of the stereo signal. Most say it saved the band.

In the bay area, KFOG has to own two stations to deliver decent stereo.

>
> There is another way to solve the digital broadcasting dilemma: Follow the
> path Armstrong did - developing an entirely new form of broadcasting,
> promoting it himself, fending off those who wanted him to fail. He gathered
> a significant number of listeners along The Yankee Network.
>
> The battle with RCA was too much, costing his life; but his wife prevailed.
> There were no conglomerate owners back then, and a little more interest by
> local broadcasters to experiment.
>
> Few have the willingness to do that today.
>
> Montgomery is laboratory director for video technology and communications at
> the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology in Fairfax, Va.
>
> RW welcomes other points of view.

Create a new band for digital radio and I won't complain, though it
better be a high bit rate if you expect me to buy one. Further, we

could have AM stereo right now if the market wanted it. The technology was delivered, but it never caught on as FM had both stereo, more bandwidth, and less noise.

2674. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:38:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BC0351.C952A6BE@milestones.com>
Source record: 83810

David Noweenie continued to blow it out his Univision Radio shilling ass when he wrote:

> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >>
> > Y'all are about 10 years too late.
>
> But that is still the mentality.

Your mentality, dipshit, is to have the audacity to shill for your QRM creating crap in a radio listening, radio dx'ing forum.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2675. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:42:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <tvTug.131842$dW3.116886@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83811

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BC0351.C952A6BE@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Noweenie continued to blow it out his Univision Radio shilling ass
> when he
> wrote:
>
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
>> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>> >>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>> >>
>> > Y'all are about 10 years too late.
>>
>> But that is still the mentality.
>
> Your mentality, dipshit, is to have the audacity to shill for your QRM
> creating
> crap in a radio listening, radio dx'ing forum.

I see. And you would rather be an ostrich, and not hear any of it... I knew
that.

2676. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 21:55:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BC074A.63F80412@milestones.com>
Source record: 83813

David Noweenie continued to blow it out his Univision Radio shilling ass when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BC0351.C952A6BE@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Noweenie continued to blow it out his Univision Radio shilling ass
> > when he
> > wrote:
> >
> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
> >> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> >>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >> >>
> >> > Y'all are about 10 years too late.
> >>
> >> But that is still the mentality.
> >
> > Your mentality, dipshit, is to have the audacity to shill for your QRM
> > creating
> > crap in a radio listening, radio dx'ing forum.
>
> I see. And you would rather be an ostrich, and not hear any of it... I knew
> that.

And you'd rather be a dipshit... I knew that.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2677. Re: BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:15:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153174500.458095.56420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83814

Cuhulin - If I Remember Correctly . . .
You said this before :o) -and- now once more ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> llrc crap always keeps screwing me up.I always forget what llrc
> means.Don't tell me,I don't want to know again.I will NOT click on this
> thread ever again.llrc's can Shove It,as far as I am concerned.I Hate!!!
> Stupid ignitals!!!!
> cuhulin

2678. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:21:59 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <b4Uug.69169$fb2.47722@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83815

> Stay out of my threads, whoever you are.
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

LOL That sounds odd to me, an outsider who just happened to come by...

2679. (OT) Sam Myers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:23:34 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21079-44BC0DE6-1688@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83818

www.devilfinder.com Legendary Mississippi Bluesman Sam Myers

has passed away,from cancer.I just heard now about it on the 5:00 PM
WLBT tv news report a few minutes ago.
Rest in Peace,Sam Myers.
cuhulin

2680. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:25:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-BE9C4D.15254417072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83816

In article <iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change Educator Says It's Time for
> Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
> by Edward Montgomery
>
> After reading letters to the editor and excerpts of publicly-filed
> comments from individuals opposed to the switch to IBOC, Ed
> Montgomery, an electronics and communications teacher for 34 years,
> prepared this opinion which he says refers "to another time when a
> broadcast signal was changed to provide better service even though it
> introduced what was considered a 'penalty.'"
>
> Human beings generally cling to the status quo. When something new
> comes along, trepidation often results.

< Snip gratuitous BS >

An ignorant opinion coming from anyone and completely inexcusable
opinion coming from an educator.

> Radio purists
> But a lot of people like that act out of ignorance.

< Snip even more blatant and non-relevant BS >

The issue is about:
1. Money invested in receiving equipment.
2. Going to a more complex transmission scheme.
3. Control over who can listen.
4. Using a proprietary scheme over one in the public domain.
5. Only somewhat compatible with existing spectrum usage.
6. The change is advantageous for the broadcasters in reducing costs and
possibly creating additional revenue where the listener just gets
additional costs. A deal for the listener...not.

What a blow hard idiotic opinion.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2681. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:28:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <bm3ob29oe1g5i8v9qs7b0jfd5hor7431f7@4ax.com>
Source record: 83817

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 21:31:38 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>>>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>>>
>> Y'all are about 10 years too late.
>
>But that is still the mentality.
>
Do you forsee a time when you'll be able to charge extra for High
Definition commercials?

2682. Re: HD {IBOC} Radio - Time And A New Generation Are On It's Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:35:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153175750.654985.247160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83819

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153119018.430728.69340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > DaviD,
> >
> > FM Stereo with a plain old AM Radio in a single Box
> > caused many a Consumer to 'buy-new' and replace
> > a good AM Radio or AM/FM Radio with a AM and
> > FM Stereo Radio. It took years but people did make
> > the change as a Generation of New Radio Listeners
> > wanted the Better Sound of FM Stereo.
>
> AM stereo was analog, and 5 years too late. FM had lost nearly all music
> listening when it came out, and there was no interest in marketing it.
> Today, HD is going to get $200 million in marketing just in the top 10
> markets.
> >
> > 1 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is only an FM "HD"
> > {IBOC} Radio with a plain old {Analog} AM Radio in
> > a single Box -or-
>
> All receivers are backwards analog compatible.
> >
> > 2 - That New "HD" {IBOC} Radio is both an FM "HD"
> > {IBOC} Radio along with an AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio
> > in a single Box.
>
> The chipset is AM and FM. All will have AM.
> >
> > THE BIG OOPS !
> > The single draw back of AM and FM Radio IBOC is that
> > for now it is a US "Only" Broadcasting System and any
> > Radios built for it will have a limited market potential.
> > but, But. BUT ! - Should other Nations get on the AM
> > and FM "HD" IBOC Broadcasting Band Wagon it will
> > become a World Wide Broadcasting Standard.
>
> Brazil is building several dozen HD stations. Philippines is on board, and
> Mexico is doing testing (since they have narrower separation for same market
> stations). Colombia and Central America will allow it with no rules.
> Together, these countries have a greatedr population than the USA.

DE,

All this is good to the extent that there will be a greater market for the HD Radios and thus a higher production volume and a lower per unit production cost.

-IF- Within 5-10 Years the EU and China migrate to HD {IBOC} Radio then it will become an effective world standard.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

2683. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:47:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <dsUug.69175$fb2.6391@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83820

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:bm3ob29oe1g5i8v9qs7b0jfd5hor7431f7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 21:31:38 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:ea0ob2p1h13p59132t0hm2pki33j84qlf9@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 20:59:58 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>>>>Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>>>>
>>> Y'all are about 10 years too late.
>>
>>But that is still the mentality.
>>
> Do you forsee a time when you'll be able to charge extra for High
> Definition commercials?

No, but I think the HD-2 channels will produce revenue. At The Conclave
yesterday, the belief was expressed that those channels would produce $800
million in additional revenue by 2008.

2684. (OT) : DaviD's View of the US Space Program - Putting American Dicks In Space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:51:31 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153176691.269545.249040@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83821

DaviD's View of the US Space Program - Putting American Dicks In Space

once again david - you boggle the mind ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 17:45:17 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
> >programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for their
> >day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other benefits
> >of programs set up by NASA.
> >I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow minded
> >twit.
> >
> Really. As I recall the Columbia had 5 analog computers that had to
> vote on every command and the things were always needing cold reboots
> to get synchronized.
>
> I suspect the military drives way more technical innovation than

- putting American dicks in space.

2685. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:51:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83822

Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?

2686. Re: OT: 0-Day Denial of Service Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 22:52:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <7087012333.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 83823

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:21:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> DeLorean wrote:
>
> > Truly, if the whispers are correct, an awesome zero-day exploit is
> > currently in use as a net weapon, and it needs to be exposed.
> >
> > Anyone heard about this exploit?
>
> not only is it OLD NEWS, but its pretty microsoft centric as well.....
> I may not be a "hacker", but I do know enough of them. all of them call this
> exploit: "An interesting toy, but not really of any use".

Say what?

How is Bittorrent "Microsoft centric?" It operates under all OSes
that I know about and has nothing to do with Redmond.

And if it's old news (which I doubt), the hackers in the hacking news
groups haven't bothered to mention it when discussing it over there.

Citations to back up your assertions please?

2687. Lisping Lloyd A. Davies lives in a world of make-believe....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:05:21 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153177521.247852.20830@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lisping Lloyd A Davies wrote:
> that is all..

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Says the 32 year old VIRGIN who calls himself a "Time
Lord" and thinks cheezy sci fi Dr. Who robots are real. Give me a break
Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd.

2688. Queer Markie defends his gay lover Lardass Lloyd Austin Davies....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:07:15 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153177635.231046.57070@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freid to some a nightmare to straight underage kids wrote:
> nope there is a bit more
>
> and tries to force others to jion him in his madness

Oh, what irony. You two really are "Dumb and Dumber."

2689. Re: (OT) : DaviD's View of the US Space Program - Putting American Dicks In Space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:09:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <c46ob2dr0492qouthmrpv04odrn2u2pp0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 83826

On 17 Jul 2006 15:51:31 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>DaviD's View of the US Space Program - Putting American Dicks In Space
>
>once again david - you boggle the mind ~ RHF
> .
> .
>. .
>
>David wrote:
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 17:45:17 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>
>> >The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
>> >programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for their
>> >day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other benefits
>> >of programs set up by NASA.
>> >I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow minded
>> >twit.
>> >
>> Really. As I recall the Columbia had 5 analog computers that had to
>> vote on every command and the things were always needing cold reboots
>> to get synchronized.
>>
>> I suspect the military drives way more technical innovation than
>
>- putting American dicks in space.
>
http://www1.pacific.edu/~c-landis/Rocket_Launch_3-10.jpg

http://www1.pacific.edu/~c-landis/Rocket_Launch_3-11.jpg

2690. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:14:11 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153178051.233062.94020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old friend to nobody, a child predator wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> get help sicko

That what the child welfare worker tell you?

> tahnk sick for you help over in CAP you have helped me make my point
> about Robeson

No, I helped Steve make you look like a bigger fool then you already
do. He really didn't need my help, since you do most of the work.

2691. Re: Lisping Lloyd A. Davies lives in a world of make-believe....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:24:58 UTC
From: kk4tl <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153178698.086165.238690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Someone unknown wrotre
> "Time > Lord" and thinks cheezy sci fi Dr. Who robots are real. Give me a break
> Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd.

You better look out or else he will flog you senseless with talk about
his tiny-tenna.

2692. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:30:08 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1153179008.835473.167550@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko.

2693. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:33:47 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1153179227.514112.21560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend to nobody, a child predator wrote:
get help sicko

2694. FS DX-440 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:42:59 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <7gVug.142588$mF2.121695@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83831

FS Realistic DX-440 receiver in excellent condition with manual and shoulder strap for $50 plus shipping. Photo's available.

2695. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-17 23:46:46 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153180006.216872.79580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freid to some a nightmare to straight underage kids wrote:
get help sicko

2696. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:

> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> should think before you crosspost!

You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to
>> find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to
>> the present writtens.
>>
>>
>> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
>> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM,
>> but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what
>> you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really
>> change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging
>> receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed
>> it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and
>> "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>>
>> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know
>> you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll
>> think you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>>
>> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like
>> "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here.
>> I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>>
>> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
>> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
>> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
>> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
>> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
>> more "LID points".
>>
>> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
>> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
>> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha

>> Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics
>> "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID
>> points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong
>> Oregano Bumperpool."
>>
>> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
>> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
>> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may
>> also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad
>> memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a
>> silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>>
>> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps
>> people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and
>> vice-versa.
>>
>> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn,
>> taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible,
>> pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and
>> if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and
>> not bother you.
>>
>> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
>> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make
>> it a question that will take him a long time to answer.
>>
>> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
>> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
>> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the
>> subject even though you have no damn clue.
>>
>> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a
>> handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a
>> repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will
>> put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the
>> repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score
>> maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the
>> passenger seat.
>>
>> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
>> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
>> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the
>> repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two
>> have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were
>> talking about.
>>
>> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
>> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break
>> in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version.
>> This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving

>> a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
>> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
>> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to
>> help the guy get back out of town, later.
>>
>> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
>> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES"
>> are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice
>> "James Bond" tactics.
>>
>> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
>> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it.
>> Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent
>> frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525
>> that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the
>> road about the good old CB days!
>>
>> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with
>> someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a
>> net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying
>> remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on
>> the repeater, or not available.
>>
>> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each
>> transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like
>> "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress
>> enough about encouraging redundancy.
>>
>> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
>> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
>> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment
>> when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are
>> awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than
>> twenty-five air miles from you.
>>
>> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time,
>> preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis.
>> Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time
>> they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way
>> you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you
>> to talk on it.
>>
>> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
>> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
>> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
>> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them
>> along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can
>> make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>>
>> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel

>> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes
>> you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use
>> phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your
>> making the trip" and "Negatory on that".
>>
>> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you
>> can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other
>> amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure
>> the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your
>> words out from all the racket.
>>
>> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
>> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
>> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if
>> you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to
>> give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being
>> received.
>>
>> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
>> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that
>> at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
>> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people
>> who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll
>> go away after you have finally made a contact.
>>
>> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
>> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
>> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
>> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>>
>> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should
>> always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know
>> when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to
>> you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's
>> still fun!
>>
>> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
>> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While
>> pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be
>> there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad
>> day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on
>> their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your
>> endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at
>> least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's
>> worth for your dues!
>>
>> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound
>> human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The
>> personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>>

>> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
>> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or
>> "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses."
>> Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals
>> about 23.3).
>>
>> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
>> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
>> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
>> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
>> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>>
>
>

2697. Advice to Israel.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OAVug.9485$PE1.8586@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83834

It's best to bomb sand niggers on their own turf. Don't let them cross the border and enter your country. Bill Clinton let some in here and we had 911 happen. You need to take care of Iran and Syria for good this time, because their long range plans have always been to get rid of you. Do whatever it takes. American supports you. :-)

SC

2698. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <PAVug.9486$PE1.8926@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83835

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB2BFE-1944@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> Best thing for shining up your Military Boots and Shoes is,Goats
> Milk.Try it some day,it works.Some of them guys would pour some
> cigarette lighter fluid on their Boots and Shoes and burn that old
> polish off of there.I never burned mine off though and never once did an
> Officer jump on me at Class A Inspections.I was a good little Army
> dude.Now I am a dirty old man.
> cuhulin
> ....
> Tell em about it,JO JO!
> ....
>

Was it your job to milk the goat?

I used spit & Kiwi.

SC

2699. Re: BTW....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RAVug.9487$PE1.6392@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83836

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
news:1153174500.458095.56420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Cuhulin - If I Remember Correctly . . . You said this before :o) -and-
> now once more ! ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

LOL

2700. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SAVug.9488$PE1.2020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:

> On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>
>>> > It's been downhill ever since.
>>>
>>>
>>> I agree with that.
>>>
>>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
>>in english please
>>
>>
>>>
>>> SC
>>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
>
> In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and your
> text and proper quoting and spelling.
>
> Barry

ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.

Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

SC

2701. Re: Eliminating CW will just give retards HF, it won't modernize the service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UAVug.9489$PE1.6391@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Proof:

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> it is our right and everybody else right that any restriction to our
> access to public spectrum be "neccisary and proper" (one of 3 clauses
> in the constitution that give the power to regulate the airwave to the
> govet at all) otherwise the 10 reserving all right and power to the
> sates or the people applies modifing that is the thrid place where the
> consititution of US touches on this issue mby making the constitution
> and the TREATIES made the supreme law of the land
>
> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution
>
> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
> for that document

2702. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WAVug.9490$PE1.28@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:1fc5a$44ba43c6$d06640f9$8681@FUSE.NET:

> Sorry Blow Code, but you cannot turn back the hands of time. The 13 & 20
> WPM tests are gone forever. And soon, the CW testing requirement will
> be eliminated completely. It's the will of the majority. It's
> evolution. You can whine, cry and carry on all you want about the CW
> testing requirement. It is going the way of the buggy whip, the Ford
> Model-T, dinosaurs, the carrier pigeons, and a host of other old,
> outdated, and unnecessary things of the past. Good Bye and Good
> Riddance.

Spoken like a true appliance operator.

If there is any crying and whining after CW is gone, it will be by phonies
wondering why their bands sound like CB.

SC

2703. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XAVug.9491$PE1.2042@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1152984844.086415.203380@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> you are dishonest but most of the CW advocates are

you are a Lazy, but most of the CW haters are

SC

2704. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_AVug.9493$PE1.1406@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:

> In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
>> send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.
>
> I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
> based.
>
> Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
> a digital camera user:
>
> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"

Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.

Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
improvement.

SC

2705. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0BVug.9494$PE1.7622@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83841

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:7958-44BBD30D-81@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net:

> I am eating a ham and cheese sandwich right now and a bunch of back to
> back old James Cagney movies are on the TCM tv channel.Of course I
> always have to save back something for my little doggy (and let her lick
> the plate) if I don't,she will whup me arse.
> cuhulin
>

Well at least she don't shit on the floor.

SC

2706. Re: NASA to launch another monkey into space.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1BVug.9495$PE1.4138@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83842

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB201A-1936@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> They ought to put bush on a Rocket all by himself and send him into the
> Sun.
> cuhulin

Come on now. Look at all the stuff you had the money to buy at the
Goodwill store because of the tax cuts he give you. Of course you have to
have a job for the tax cuts to be a benefit. You can't just sit around
the house all day in a bra and dress typing on webtv.

2707. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3BVug.9496$PE1.826@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83843

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB2285-1939@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> Is redneck a term of disrespect? I am not a redneck (whatever that is?)
> but if I was a redneck,I wouldn't be ashamed.
> y'all like those hollyweird phoney movies which ''put/cast'' the old
> South in a bad ''light''.y'all think we are all ''bubbas'' here in the
> old South.y''all think we all live in swamps and go barefooted.Let me go
> put my bra on.
> cuhulin
>
>

Hey Bubba, What happened to the lesbian with the Injun name?

She still around?

SC

2708. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4BVug.9497$PE1.4031@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83846

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB2377-1940@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> There are a few people I regulary email and I always sign my name
> exactly like this,
> ....D-Day Larry
>
> Respect for our Troops in World War Two.I wish I had been old enough to
> have been there.
> cuhulin
>

Buy the movie "Saving Private Ryan"

2709. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5BVug.9498$PE1.5577@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83845

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
> cuhulin
>

Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on yet.

SC

2710. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7BVug.9499$PE1.9067@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83848
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44BAEB96.7010107@fuse.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
>> news:1152720850.662591.37690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>>JB - Spoken like a True Red and White
>>>{Blue-in-the-Face} Canadian ~ RHF
>>> .
>>> .
>>>. .
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Kanadians are more Red... Commie Red.
>>
> slow code is lo-co

LOL, Good one jawod. Did you come up with that all by yourself
or did you have to copy & paste one of Markie's spelling mistakes.

SC

2711. Re: The Israeli Pornography Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8BVug.9500$PE1.7570@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83847

"Bernie the Bignose" <jewsmakepornos@yahoosblows.com> wrote in
news:4ec92$44bbe6f4$d1cc7c50$24325@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> Lets not forget also all the jews in the Pr0n industry
> and the proven fact that nearly 90% of the Estacy club
> drug comes out of drug labs located in Israel and Hassidm
> are the primary smugglers who ship this crap into the USA.

So that's where democrats get it. I wondered about that.

SC

2712. Re: The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aBVug.9501$PE1.1823@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83849

miso@sushi.com wrote in
news:1153110835.944302.46330@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> m II wrote:
>> miso@sushi.com wrote:
>>
>> > They hate our modernity! They hate our freedom!
>>
>>
>> I get the distinct feeling that what they really hate is being invaded
>> and then killed, tortured and raped. The only light at the end of
>> tunnel seems to coming from chemical flashlights shoved up
>> non-Christian asses by worshiper of Jesus.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> mike
>
> I have it on good authority that the glow stick up the butt is just a
> harmless college prank. Well, so says Rush Limbaugh. ...Of course, I
> don't ever remember doing such a prank, nor ripping out
> fingernails.....
>
> http://www.coe.int/T/E/Com/Files/Events/2006-cia/
>
>

Thank you sir may I have another,

Thank you sir may I have another....

2713. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bBVug.9502$PE1.3075@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 83850

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BB2687-1942@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> I know a guy my age whom is of German descent/ancestry.He was born in
> Croatia.He once told me Hitler sent him and his family (during World War
> Two) to Germany.He told me that was the best thing Hitler ever did for
> him and his family.When he was about ten years old,he and his family
> immigrated (legally immigrated) to America.He has over forty years of
> experience with all kinds of computers and computer operating
> systems.Whenever I have a computer problem,guess who I ask.He lives in
> the Northeast,America.
> cuhulin
>
>

Worcester?

2714. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:11:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181460.976906.304360@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>
> > And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> > should think before you crosspost!
>
>
> You must a be no-coder.
poor troll
```

2715. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:12:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181562.785974.16470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>

>
>
> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
insisting on foucing on the stupid stuff shows you lack a real argument
>
> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
nope tried that just gave me headaches
>
> SC

2716. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:14:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181653.650897.179520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152984844.086415.203380@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > you are dishonest but most of the CW advocates are
>
>
> you are a Lazy, but most of the CW haters are
better lazy than dishonest
>
> SC
```

2717. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:14:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181670.923668.113610@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83854
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44BAEB96.7010107@fuse.net:
> > slow code is lo-co
>
>
> LOL, Good one jawod. Did you come up with that all by yourself
> or did you have to copy & paste one of Markie's spelling mistakes.
you are loco
>
> SC

2718. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:16:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181770.231461.15160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> > Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> > him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>
>
> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>
> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> improvement.
nobody is talking about dummbing anything down

you are
indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
unintelgent

>
> SC

2719. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:23:49 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182228.934285.153550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83856

Slow Code wrote:
> It's best to bomb sand niggers on their own turf. Don't let them cross
> the border and enter your country. Bill Clinton let some in here and we
> had 911 happen. You need to take care of Iran and Syria for good this
> time, because their long range plans have always been to get rid of you.
> Do whatever it takes. American supports you. :-)

get help sicko
> SC

2720. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:24:14 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182254.701387.66740@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83857

```
Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:19261-44BB201A-1936@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > They ought to put bush on a Rocket all by himself and send him into the
> > Sun.
> > cuhulin
>
>
> Come on now. Look at all the stuff you had the money to buy at the
> Goodwill store because of the tax cuts he give you. Of course you have to
> have a job for the tax cuts to be a benefit. You can't just sit around
> the house all day in a bra and dress typing on webtv.
get help sicko
```

2721. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:26:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ohaob2lp3u1105521v13d3phdfu8aphn9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 83860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
<jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
>and ability to decipher code.

Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

>FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.

Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

2722. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:26:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182415.081760.80210@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83858

Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:19261-44BB2377-1940@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>

>
> Buy the movie "Saving Private Ryan"
why?

2723. Re: The Taliban Propaganda Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:26:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182417.815792.80400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83859

Slow Code wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote in
> news:1153110835.944302.46330@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >

>
>
> Thank you sir may I have another,
get help sicko
>
> Thank you sir may I have another....
get help sicko

2724. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:31:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182670.896804.262380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
> >and ability to decipher code.
>
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
whta is needed and why?
>
> >FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
>
> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

nope he has full standing he is an american that means the FCC is
suposed serve not the ARS but the people of the USA.

the problem with you procoders is that you think the ARS owns the
bandwidth not the poeple of the USA

2725. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:40:52 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <06Wug.5006$ly.1271@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 83862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>> There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
>> and ability to decipher code.
>
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
>> FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
>
> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>
Ahh...but I did, once, at 15 years old; and I've picked up a fair amount
of electronics knowledge as well...proving that one has little to do
with the other.

jak

2726. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:45:56 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153183556.166041.153660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
jakdedert wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> > <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >> There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
> >> and ability to decipher code.
> >
> > Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> > can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> > longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
> >
> >> FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
> >
> > Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
> >
> Ahh...but I did, once, at 15 years old; and I've picked up a fair amount
> of electronics knowledge as well...proving that one has little to do
> with the other.
you still do don't let this bozo tell you otherwise (unless you are an
ilgeal alien or something)
>
> jak
```

2727. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 00:58:45 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <coudnfL3iebaryHZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I guess until you stop trying to teach a pig to sing, you will reside in my killfile

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1153181562.785974.16470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>>
>
>>
>>
>> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
>> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
> insisting on foucing on the stupid stuff shows you lack a real argument
>>
>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
> nope tried that just gave me headaches
>>
>> SC
>

2728. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:26:57 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153186017.916143.159010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83865

David Eduardo wrote:

> When did you notice this? We may have a metering / software failure. It was
> not supposed to be off prior to the update of firmware.

When did I notice an absence of IBOC? I'd say about two or three weeks
ago, don't exactly remember when, since I'm not a regular WADO 1280
listener anymore (haven't been for many years since the early 1990s).

> Maybe back on this afternoon. No complaints.

I must stress that the interference complaints are not from me. They
were what another engineer from the New York market told me. I was
just passing the word along.

Whoever can set the record straight has my blessings and eternal
gratitude as always (not that it's worth much ;))

--
Stephanie Weil
New York, USA

2729. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:28:52 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <L4-dneMy-efFpCHZnZ2dnUVZ_vOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
>>> Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to
>>> save him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>
>>
>> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>
>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think
>> tossing it bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is
>> never an improvement.
> nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>
> you are
> indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
> unintelgent
>
>>
>> SC

Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or otherwise!

BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2730. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:33:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153186429.504861.48790@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Noon-Air wrote:
> I guess until you stop trying to teach a pig to sing, you will reside in my
> killfile
thank you very much

if yonly you mean it

2731. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:41:29 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d%Wug.69228$fb2.26566@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
No knowledge of electronics required.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2732. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:41:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <i%Wug.50029$VE1.17018@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83868

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?

It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a lot of
sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There was
noting like this support for AM stereo...
>

2733. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:45:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <L2Xug.50033$VE1.23629@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83870

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153186017.916143.159010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> When did you notice this? We may have a metering / software failure. It
>> was
>> not supposed to be off prior to the update of firmware.
>
> When did I notice an absence of IBOC? I'd say about two or three weeks
> ago, don't exactly remember when, since I'm not a regular WADO 1280
> listener anymore (haven't been for many years since the early 1990s).

I'm sure you will win loads of points from the DXers in the area for
reporting this. There appears to have been an interface issue where local
metering said it was on when it was not. we are fixing it. The QRM (ok, so I
am not as funny as Jay Leno) will be back very shortly.
>
>> Maybe back on this afternoon. No complaints.
>
> I must stress that the interference complaints are not from me. They
> were what another engineer from the New York market told me. I was
> just passing the word along.

Thanks. Neither our DoE nor the local staff have any indication of there
being any complaints.
>
> Whoever can set the record straight has my blessings and eternal
> gratitude as always (not that it's worth much ;))

depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless something
major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog gear
is.

2734. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:48:24 UTC
From: Mustafa <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 83871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>
>> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
>> should think before you crosspost!
>
>
> You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
> gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
> Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:

You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to highlight
your ignorance.
I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
group...answer=absolutely none.
Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

2735. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:52:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153187553.396892.187000@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mustafa wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> > news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
> >
> >> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> >> should think before you crosspost!
> >
> >
> > You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
> > gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
> > Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:
>
> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to highlight
> your ignorance.
> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> group...answer=absolutely none.
he can't think he has proven thatin RRAP manytimes
> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

2736. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:54:37 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153187677.835120.195600@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83874

All of this is nonsense. If God had meant us to listen to radio or
watch television, he'd have given us built-in antennas.

MY entertainment is watching members of my tribe doing the rain dances
and the fertility dances.

No tubes, transistors, or IBOC necessary!

So there!

Joe

David Eduardo wrote:
> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
> by Edward Montgomery
>
> After reading letters to the editor and excerpts of publicly-filed comments
> from individuals opposed to the switch to IBOC, Ed Montgomery, an
> electronics and communications teacher for 34 years, prepared this opinion
> which he says refers "to another time when a broadcast signal was changed to
> provide better service even though it introduced what was considered a
> 'penalty.'"
>
> Human beings generally cling to the status quo. When something new comes
> along, trepidation often results.
>
> When Thomas Edison developed electricity, the gaslight companies proclaimed
> the dangers of the new form of energy. "Get a horse!" was the cry for many
> at the turn of the 19th to the 20th centuries, when the automobile came on
> the scene.
>
> My father would never buy a car with a radio or an automatic transmission.
> His standard response to the latter was, "The transmissions break."
>
> He was a firm believer that car radios didn't work outside a specific area.
> We lived in northern New Jersey. He believed that if you got to Greenwood
> Lake, which borders New York, the radio would cease to operate. This was
> empirical evidence he acquired from using a company car with a radio.
>
> What he never understood was that WAAT(AM) - now WWDJ - was a
> five-kilowatter that couldn't send a signal that distance. It was the only
> station to which he listened.
>
> Radio purists

>
> But a lot of people like that act out of ignorance. Others use intentional
> deception to protect their products. The company with the slogan "the most
> trusted name in electronics" did all it could to suppress the development of
> FM broadcasting.
>
> And among those who were there, who can forget Hollywood executives in the
> early 1950s writing off television, saying the average person would never
> exchange a giant theater screen for a small flickering box in their homes?
>
> That battle created two classes of performers, writers, producers and
> directors: The elite Hollywood professionals, and the "underlings" in
> television, mostly based in New York City.
>
> We live in an age of another group of purists who seem to believe that true
> radio broadcasting should consist of Heising Modulation at the transmitter
> and five tube superheterodyne receivers. These electronic Luddites fear any
> change to radio while EMI noise, iPods, Webcasting, satellite radio and
> those custom mix music CDs take more of the listening audience away each
> day.
>
> Diversity of program distribution is taking listeners from the traditional
> broadcaster. Listeners are leaving and they are not likely to return, and
> this is affecting FM as well as AM.
>
> It's time to leave the warm glow of the 12AV6.
>
> Listening habits have changed over the years. Two-income families have
> pretty much eliminated the DJ format with family-oriented humor between
> every song integrating their banter into the commercial clusters. Talk radio
> has gathered the political and sports demographics and the narrow rotation
> of some forms of music.
>
> With just a few conglomerates owning most of the stations, the formats are
> very similar. A decade ago more nighttime radio stations were added to the
> old clear channel frequencies, adding more noise, limiting their
> effectiveness at great distances.
>
> The primary service is the area that needs signal free from most noise with
> a good-fidelity stereo signal, at a minimum. The IBOC system can deliver
> that. Yes, it does inject noise in the first-adjacent sidebands in that
> primary zone; but few people have a need to listen to a station a time zone
> away any more.
>
> Sixty years ago I would not have favored this change. But back then, living
> in a more rural America, we had broad-banded AM receivers and a need to hear
> Fred Allen, Eddie Cantor or the latest news from the war. That's no longer
> the case.
>
> The best way to describe what is happening is that the radio signals will

> change. Within the limits of keeping most of the analog signal, it is a
> small price to pay to reduce noise, improve fidelity and add more services.
>
> 'Stereo penalty'
>
> This is not the first time radio signals have changed.
>
> When Edwin Armstrong developed wide-band FM he established 100 percent
> modulation as a frequency deviation of +/- 75 kHz producing the best
> signal-to-noise ratio. When subcarriers were added, the main channel
> modulation had to be reduced to accommodate them.
>
> With FM stereo, sum and difference channels of equal loudness were
> transmitted, severely reducing the main channel deviation. The result was
> the "stereo penalty," adding noise, often resulting in moving transmitters
> to better locations and increasing power. Even that didn't always do the
> job, especially for the Class A stations.
>
> The low receiver sensitivity of that era contributed to a reduced coverage
> area. The difference then was that there were far fewer FM listeners then
> than there are AM listeners today. I know of no complaints surrounding the
> addition of the stereo signal. Most say it saved the band.
>
> There is another way to solve the digital broadcasting dilemma: Follow the
> path Armstrong did - developing an entirely new form of broadcasting,
> promoting it himself, fending off those who wanted him to fail. He gathered
> a significant number of listeners along The Yankee Network.
>
> The battle with RCA was too much, costing his life; but his wife prevailed.
> There were no conglomerate owners back then, and a little more interest by
> local broadcasters to experiment.
>
> Few have the willingness to do that today.
>
> Montgomery is laboratory director for video technology and communications at
> the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology in Fairfax, Va.
>
> RW welcomes other points of view.

2737. Re: FS DX-440 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:57:50 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <yeXug.29800$vl5.27536@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 83873

Pix? Details? Is it as deaf as my ATS-803A off the whip? Any known
problems of mod's? How much is shipping (from where)?

"robtgross" <robtgross@att.net> wrote in message
news:7gVug.142588$mF2.121695@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> FS Realistic DX-440 receiver in excellent condition with manual and
> shoulder strap for $50 plus shipping. Photo's available.

2738. Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 01:57:53 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <vnfob2trhat1d2a6f72orhd0nc6aop7h54@4ax.com>
Source record: 83875

Hello all! This one looks good on paper but I can't find any reviews.
I'd like to get a small portable for my husband to take on his
overseas trips, I've been looking at this one, Sony ICF-7600GR or
Degen DE-1103. Any suggestions?
--Renee

2739. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:20:58 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44bc458b$0$6144$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 83876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:

> Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or
> otherwise!
>
> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!
>

"Seen" except for special needs. And those that do special needs will
likely be making millions. There will be about 12 of them that make it,
and thousands that don't, but wish they could.

I know quite a few in the photo biz, and film is, to put it very
bluntly, dead.

tom
K0TAR

2740. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:47:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ptiob2lnnjtt7d395l46sa1htk34f2j8rh@4ax.com>
Source record: 83877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:14:13 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>better lazy than dishonest

Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.

2741. RE: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:49:02 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmille@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e9hi6n010dk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 83889

If anyone really wants to use Morse Code, can't they still ? To me it's like requiring a sixteen year old to learn French <s>, or requiring the metric system be used exclusively. If someone said you had to only read with your left eye, or eat only potatoes on Tuesday, would that be a bad thing <s> ? If you really have to use Morse Code, or you really enjoy using morse code, then by all means do so, but why burden someone else with it if they only really want to talk to someone with their voice ? Or perhaps we should mandate that only vacuum tubes be used in amplifiers, or only ether be used for anesthesia in hospitals. I feel like we have too many people dictating to others. Soon we will only have digital tv to watch, another dictate. When it is all said and done, the world is not going to end if amateur radio dies, any more than if morse code disappears, vacuum tubes disappear, or television disappears replaced by mental telepathy.

2742. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:49:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83878

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?
>
> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a lot of
> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There was
> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >

But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
to say, so why bother?

2743. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:51:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a3job2ddt33b53o51vic57vp4qs5kp6r5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 83879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:12:42 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

>nope tried that just gave me headaches

Not capable of producing a single coherent sentence. Not capable of
learning 26 letter and 10 digits. And thinking gives you a headache.

Only conclusion is that you don't have enough practice, so stop
thinking entirely before you cause permanent damage.

2744. Re: Eliminating CW will just give retards HF, it won't modernize the service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:53:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <67job2hlik3rc0o0bdlpou4p779aga2h7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 83881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
>> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution

>> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
>> for that document

>Proof:

You actually expected him to be able to differentiate between "has the
power to" and "is forced to"?

2745. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:54:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a9job2demngd55nfabeaqv5066c94rr6ij@4ax.com>
Source record: 83882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>improvement.

It is for those who can't rise to the current level. Like someone we
both know.

2746. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:54:24 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191264.842557.94620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:14:13 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >better lazy than dishonest
>
> Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.
interesting to see you support dishonesty over laziness

why then should trustwhat you post
```

2747. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:55:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <eajob2ptgho0kao6mki8dt952bs9mcn1hd@4ax.com>
Source record: 83884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:16:10 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>> improvement.

>nobody is talking about dummbing anything down

Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
expect you to be able to understand that.

>you are
>indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
>unintelgent

That's YOUR stance - giving HF to those not intelligent enough to
actually learn things.

2748. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:56:13 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191373.870561.125760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:12:42 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
>
> >nope tried that just gave me headaches
>
> Not capable of producing a single coherent sentence.
lying again
> Not capable of
> learning 26 letter and 10 digits.
in mores no I am not
> And thinking gives you a headache.
and lying again
>
> Only conclusion is that you don't have enough practice, so stop
> thinking entirely before you cause permanent damage.
we have already seen you support dishonesty over all

why trust you postings to be factual

2749. Re: Eliminating CW is just the lying of those afraid of change

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:58:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191487.202998.224030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
> >> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution
>
> >> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
> >> for that document
>
> >Proof:
>
> You actually expected him to be able to differentiate between "has the
> power to" and "is forced to"?
the Govt lacks the power to test anymore if chalanced it it only had
the power while it was forced by the treaty

2750. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 02:59:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 83887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
<jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

>Ahh...but I did, once

But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.

>proving that one has little to do with the other.

And that you have little to do with this conversation.

2751. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:00:37 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191637.682724.240470@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:16:10 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> >> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> >> improvement.
>
> >nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>
> Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down.
bullshit
> But no one would
> expect you to be able to understand that.
you mean be fooled by that lie
>
> >you are
> >indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
> >unintelgent
>
> That's YOUR stance - giving HF to those not intelligent enough to
> actually learn things.
nope
I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
idealy an improved written test

you want to keep a frat house game in place

but you favor dishonesty

2752. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:01:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nkjob2p7s19nelh20j556mgpsne6vef30n@4ax.com>
Source record: 83888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 01:41:29 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
>> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
>> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

>The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
>No knowledge of electronics required.

For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.

2753. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:08:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <8hYug.123334$H71.101896@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83890

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
>> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?
>>
>> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a lot
>> of
>> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There was
>> noting like this support for AM stereo...
>> >
>
> But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> to say, so why bother?

Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from readers
of the group.

If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.

2754. FOR SALE : Sony ICF-Pro80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:10:57 UTC
From: Dona Alfian <dona.alfian@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153192257.372965.276980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83891

I have

The Sony ICF-Pro80 is a compact wideband receiver covering 150 kHz to 108 MHz in AM, FM-Narrow, FM-Wide and SSB on shortwave. Connect the supplied frequency converter and enjoy additional frequency coverage from 115.15 to 216 MHz. This radio features a digital digital LCD, keypad entry and 40 scanning memories. The top of the radio has jacks for earphones and record output. Multiple types of scanning are supported: memory, program, up/down, manual and priority.

Supplied with: telescopic antenna, shoulder belt, carry case, frequency converter and BNC/TNC adapter.

show review on this site :
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/icfpro80.html

I had to Sold my Sony ICF-Pro80 $320 (include shipped with Fedex)

if you need, Please contact Me on dona.alfian@gmail.com
my profile info : http://www.donaalfian.de.vu

2755. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:12:08 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153192328.628332.204860@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 01:41:29 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> >> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> >> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
> >The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> >No knowledge of electronics required.
>
> For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> memorize.
when did the test aquire gender
> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.
I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
component
> "Knowledge of
> electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.
if you are that depressed about give it up

go fishing but please trying to killthe ARS with your bile

2756. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:14:05 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1mYug.69288$fb2.61157@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
> electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.

In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
more than half a century.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2757. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:24:08 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153193048.114991.42920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83894

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?
> >>
> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a lot
> >> of
> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There was
> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >> >
> >
> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> > to say, so why bother?
>
> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from readers
> of the group.
>
> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.

You're just a garden variety troll...with a very poor understanding of
both radio and marketing.

Get thee behind me, troll!

2758. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:35:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BC570C.CF21B62E@milestones.com>
Source record: 83895

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's paid shill and pedantic prancer wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your time?
> >>
> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a lot
> >> of
> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There was
> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >> >
> >
> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> > to say, so why bother?
>
> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from readers
> of the group.
>
> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.

And you are always playing hide the head up the ass, dipshit!

2759. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:40:20 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153194019.945604.76570@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> > memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> > schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
> > electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.
>
> In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
> electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
> License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
> People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
> more than half a century.
at the risk of seeming foolish but the answer will make a point here I
think tyour license did PRECEED your becoming an EE didn't it, by some
many years
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2760. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 03:48:16 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bomg19hdotvfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
>
>> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
>> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
>> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
>
> The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> No knowledge of electronics required.

Rules and regulations are just an exercise in memorization also. They require no knowledge of electronics either.

The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language requirement for some college degrees, it rounds out the amateur skills.

Dave WD9BDZ

2761. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:02:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153195331.269986.118500@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> >> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> >> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
> >
> >
> > The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> > No knowledge of electronics required.
>
>
> Rules and regulations are just an exercise in memorization also. They
> require no knowledge of electronics either.
>
> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
> requirement for some college degrees, it rounds out the amateur skills.
an improvement over the drivel most of the procoders are posting
although the analogy streches a bit if I ask what college in the wolrd
has a requirement for one foreign lang and only one

did you submit it in your coments to the FCC?

all in all not bad
>
> Dave WD9BDZ

2762. WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:08:55 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83949

buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
can't even test it ???????????

http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ
4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

2763. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:10:35 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c5mdnZFaa8KnwiHZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83950

oh, I forgot, he can't even spell TEN-TEC !!!!!!

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
> and can't even test it ??????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

2764. Re: Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:11:46 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <78GdnRnqRO4cwiHZnZ2dnUVZ_uidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83951

DE-1103, forget the sangean, they haven't kept up with quality performance
of the 1103

<nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:vnfob2trhat1d2a6f72orhd0nc6aop7h54@4ax.com...
> Hello all! This one looks good on paper but I can't find any reviews.
> I'd like to get a small portable for my husband to take on his
> overseas trips, I've been looking at this one, Sony ICF-7600GR or
> Degen DE-1103. Any suggestions?
> --Renee

2765. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:24:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83952

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153186017.916143.159010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> When did you notice this? We may have a metering / software failure. It
> >> was
> >> not supposed to be off prior to the update of firmware.
> >
> > When did I notice an absence of IBOC? I'd say about two or three weeks
> > ago, don't exactly remember when, since I'm not a regular WADO 1280
> > listener anymore (haven't been for many years since the early 1990s).
>
> I'm sure you will win loads of points from the DXers in the area for
> reporting this. There appears to have been an interface issue where local
> metering said it was on when it was not. we are fixing it. The QRM (ok, so I
> am not as funny as Jay Leno) will be back very shortly.
> >
> >> Maybe back on this afternoon. No complaints.
> >
> > I must stress that the interference complaints are not from me. They
> > were what another engineer from the New York market told me. I was
> > just passing the word along.
>
> Thanks. Neither our DoE nor the local staff have any indication of there
> being any complaints.
> >
> > Whoever can set the record straight has my blessings and eternal
> > gratitude as always (not that it's worth much ;))
>
> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless something
> major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
> immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog gear
> is.

Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
next time.

2766. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:29:45 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 83953

Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
>
> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on yet.
>
> SC
>

It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
capacity.

JB

2767. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:30:52 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <0uZug.202949$iF6.138578@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 83954

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 05:56:56 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>
>> Spoken like a true war criminal!
>>
>> See you in Hell, candy-ass boy!
>>
>> JB
>>
>
>
> Me candy-ass? You are the Canuck from DD country!

In your case, the DD in DD 214 stands for "Dishonourable Discharge".

JB
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

2768. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:32:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44BC646D-1888@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83956

dxAce,if you was interested in my old used toilet paper,in all due
respect,I would be worried about you.
cuhulin

2769. Re: The Israeli Pornography Industry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:32:46 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <OvZug.202973$iF6.52138@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 83955

Bernie the Bignose wrote:
> Lets not forget also all the jews in the Pr0n industry
> and the proven fact that nearly 90% of the Estacy club
> drug comes out of drug labs located in Israel and Hassidm
> are the primary smugglers who ship this crap into the USA.
>
Spoken like a true putz! Looks like their plenty of buyers in your
country. Here's a little secret: no demand, no supply!

JB

2770. (OT) Well anyway,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:40:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44BC6629-1890@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83961

here is that article that is in last Saturday's Clarion Ledger newspaper
about the Alligator hunt,if y'all want to check it out.Sounds like fun
to me.
www.devilfinder.com 2006 Alligator Hunt Clarion Ledger
cuhulin

2771. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:47:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mJZug.68920$Lm5.48793@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83957

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153193048.114991.42920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
>> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your
>> >> > time?
>> >>
>> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a
>> >> lot
>> >> of
>> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There
>> >> was
>> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
>> >> >
>> >
>> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
>> > to say, so why bother?
>>
>> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from
>> readers
>> of the group.
>>
>> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
>> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
>> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.
>
> You're just a garden variety troll...with a very poor understanding of
> both radio and marketing.

Huh? There are over 1000 HD stations on the ari, and commitments for more
than a thousand more.

Considering that only about 20% to 25% of AMs licensed are viable (cover
thier market, are not daytimers, do not have radically limited night
patterns, etc), nearly every one will be HD in about 24 to 36 months.

On FM, most viable top 100 market stations will be HD in a couple of years.
There are already over 100 HD-2 formats running.

I have a pretty good understanding of radio, and the credentials and C. V.
to back that statement. Who are you to say I do not?
>
> Get thee behind me, troll!
>

Troll = truthsayer (in this case)

2772. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:47:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <WJZug.68921$Lm5.49839@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83958

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BC570C.CF21B62E@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's paid
> shill and
> pedantic prancer wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
>> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your
>> >> > time?
>> >>
>> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a
>> >> lot
>> >> of
>> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There
>> >> was
>> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
>> >> >
>> >
>> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
>> > to say, so why bother?
>>
>> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from
>> readers
>> of the group.
>>
>> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
>> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
>> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.
>
> And you are always playing hide the head up the ass, dipshit!

Say 100 times into the mirror.

Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.

2773. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:49:08 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <8LZug.141896$771.8470@edtnps89>
Source record: 83959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:

> Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.

Politicians excepted, where just the opposite is true.

mike

2774. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 04:49:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <DLZug.68923$Lm5.65982@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83960

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
>> something
>> major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
>> immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog
>> gear
>> is.
>
> Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
> next time.

It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend the
life of free terrestrial radio.

P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were barely
getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.

2775. Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:06:42 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <hoadncYtolwc8SHZnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83962

Readers of rec.radio.shortwave may be interested to check out my lastest posting on my SDR-1000 blog. I had the opportunity last week to do a "hands-on" comparison between a Winradio g313e receiver and my Flex-Radio SDR-1000. My friend and I concentrated on medium wave DX ability of the rigs, both for daytime DX with the locals at full power, and prior to sunrise for trans-Pacific MW splits.

I will also soon be posting an entry unrelated to the SDR, but hopefully still of interest to radio enthusiasts-- the results of a direct "A-B" comparison between a Kiwa-hotrodded Sony ICF-2010 and an Eton E1 portable.

The blog URL is below.

73,

Guy Atkins
Puyallup, WA USA
www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

2776. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:36:56 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44BC7378-1894@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83963

News Repote,,,, that 31 year old brunette woman next door to me,she doesn't live there anymore.She showed up over there a few hours ago to get that stupid dart board.A bottle of Mexican Herrara something whatever it is liquor (she said it cost $50.00,half full sitting on the floorboard of that car.She isn't driving anywhere tonight,she is over there right now,sleeping it off.I let the air out of her right rear tire,I have air pumps here.She has to be in Minneapolis on the 25th of this month,an issue about her three kids.I want to do what I can to help her get there.
cuhulin

2777. Re: lazy ace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:45:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44BC758E-1897@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83964

She used to poop on my hard wood floor when she was an eight weeks old puppy.Only took me a few days to house break her.Nowdays,she slurps out me right ear (you ever had a little dog's tonge smooch out your ear? you dont know what you are missing!) I dont know how she thought up that ''signal'',it was all her own idea.
cuhulin

2778. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:48:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29047-44BC764A-1898@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83965

I bought goats milk at a food store.By dee way,,,, you know where/how
about Carnation Condensed Milk? I know,,,, do you?
cuhulin

2779. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:57:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29049-44BC7851-415@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83969

Now,what was that Mr.Maus said about Southern food? Hey,do any of the food stores in your area sell Grits? www.devilfinder.com Grits

I have Grits,do you?
cuhulin

2780. Re: (OT) : DaviD's View of the US Space Program - Putting American ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 05:59:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29049-44BC78BD-416@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83968

There was a few wimmins up there too,ya know?
cuhulin

2781. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 06:00:43 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <e7uob2lpr4rmt8ilfg44ssdnk76ib87g4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 83966

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
>
>Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on yet.
>
>SC

Do you know whay there is no CSI Canada?

Only 1 DNA....

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2782. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 06:01:28 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <o8uob2lc8g00vdq4t1n08gj8hpccjf82nm@4ax.com>
Source record: 83967

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 04:30:52 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Beerbarrel wrote:
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 05:56:56 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Spoken like a true war criminal!
>>>
>>> See you in Hell, candy-ass boy!
>>>
>>> JB
>>>
>>
>>
>> Me candy-ass? You are the Canuck from DD country!
>
>In your case, the DD in DD 214 stands for "Dishonourable Discharge".
>

Yep....you guessed it DD.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2783. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 06:16:20 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153203380.499231.249400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83970

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Readers of rec.radio.shortwave may be interested to check out my lastest
> posting on my SDR-1000 blog. I had the opportunity last week to do a
> "hands-on" comparison between a Winradio g313e receiver and my Flex-Radio
> SDR-1000. My friend and I concentrated on medium wave DX ability of the
> rigs, both for daytime DX with the locals at full power, and prior to
> sunrise for trans-Pacific MW splits.
>
> I will also soon be posting an entry unrelated to the SDR, but hopefully
> still of interest to radio enthusiasts-- the results of a direct "A-B"
> comparison between a Kiwa-hotrodded Sony ICF-2010 and an Eton E1 portable.
>
> The blog URL is below.
>
> 73,
>
> Guy Atkins
> Puyallup, WA USA
> www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

If you are talking 5 ft on a side for your Wellbrook loop, then your
results are similar to mine in that this seems to be all the ALA 100
will take without losing gain on the high end of the HF band.

How do you bring the wires back to the ALA100? I came up with two
possibilities:
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop1.gif
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop2.gif

The advantage of 3loop2 is that you don't have wire that is
perdendicular to the rest of the loop.

Also, what is the spacing between your loop wires?

Getting back to the SDRs, it would have been a more interesting review
if an analog radio were thrown into the mix. Also saving some audio
clips would be useful.

2784. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 06:36:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7956-44BC8157-1978@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83971

Ling ting tongggg,,,,,, just sing this songgggg,,,,,,, Kasamoka boom di ayy,,,, kasamoka boom di ayyyyy,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2785. wanna play UnificationModes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 06:48:31 UTC
From: ppatrick2323@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153205311.585080.79160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83972

hi guyz..check tis website out..its an interesting game wher u can play wit other players as well.its..i juz found out couples of days bak..its a massive online gaming and free of charge to play..its al free.get to knw ppl as well play the game at

[patrick2323]

come join me at http://uc.gamestotal.com/i.cfm?p=aboutuc&ref=gssspat

http://gc.gamestotal.com/i.cfm?p=aboutgc&ref=gssspat

2786. Re: Lisping Lloyd A. Davies lives in a world of make-believe....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:20:59 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153221659.768351.125060@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

kk4tl wrote:
> Someone unknown wrote
> > "Time Lord" and thinks cheezy sci fi Dr. Who robots are real. Give me a break
> > Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd.
>
>
> You better look out or else he will flog you senseless with talk about
> his tiny-tenna.

LOL!!!!!!! He'll just cut and paste. Lardass never has anything
relevant to say.

2787. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:21:45 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153221705.014629.315440@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freid to some a nightmare to straight underage kids wrote:
> get help sicko

Spamming twice, Markie?

2788. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:27:12 UTC
From: Warren <juny57@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <f8hpb2h13ph7al1m6p65ntol01iemecjs4@4ax.com>
Source record: 83975

On 17 Jul 2006 20:00:37 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 17 Jul 2006 17:16:10 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> >> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>> >> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>> >> improvement.
>>
>> >nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>>
>> Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down.
>bullshit
>> But no one would
>> expect you to be able to understand that.
>you mean be fooled by that lie
>>
>> >you are
>> >indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
>> >unintelgent
>>
>> That's YOUR stance - giving HF to those not intelligent enough to
>> actually learn things.
>nope
> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
>idealy an improved written test
>
>you want to keep a frat house game in place
>
>but you favor dishonesty

Are you using English?

I can't understand what you are saying.

Please go back to Third Grade and learn how to express yourself.
(Plonk)

Warren

2789. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:28:00 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222080.096555.197930@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83976
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44BAEB96.7010107@fuse.net:
> > > slow code is lo-co
> >
> >
> > LOL, Good one jawod. Did you come up with that all by yourself
> > or did you have to copy & paste one of Markie's spelling mistakes.
> you are loco

PKB, retard.
```

2790. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:31:21 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222281.830680.231230@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
an Old friend wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend to nobody, a child predator wrote:
> get help sicko

Marky is the fool again!
```

2791. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:35:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222522.749081.73400@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> get help sicko.

You're the one who needs that.

2792. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:36:25 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222585.725123.175730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> get help sicko

Once you're on Dateline we'll see how obese you are, fatboy!

2793. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:37:51 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222671.162687.250630@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83980
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

You certainly should, child molester.

2794. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:38:39 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222719.097047.12500@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie.

2795. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:51:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BCCB28.C20B0007@milestones.com>
Source record: 83982

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BC570C.CF21B62E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's paid
> > shill and
> > pedantic prancer wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> >> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your
> >> >> > time?
> >> >>
> >> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a
> >> >> lot
> >> >> of
> >> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There
> >> >> was
> >> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> >> > to say, so why bother?
> >>
> >> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from
> >> readers
> >> of the group.
> >>
> >> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
> >> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
> >> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.
> >
> > And you are always playing hide the head up the ass, dipshit!
>
> Say 100 times into the mirror.
>
> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.

Bad DXer?

Nah, I'm simply not a fake Hispanic, nor a shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2796. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 11:54:31 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XZ3vg.50180$VE1.34983@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

an old freind wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
>> electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
>> License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
>> People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
>> more than half a century.

> at the risk of seeming foolish but the answer will make a point here I
> think your license did PRECEED your becoming an EE didn't it, by some
> many years

My amateur radio license, obtaining by memorizing the ARRL
License Manual in 1952-1953, was the catalyst that caused
me to seek and obtain a EE degree later in 1959.

The point is that an amateur radio license is a learner's
permit to exercise certain privileges during a lifetime
of learning. It is a permit, not a graduation certificate.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2797. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:00:09 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d34vg.50183$VE1.15374@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
> requirement for some college degrees, ...

I carefully avoided any foreign language
requirement for my BS EE.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2798. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:11:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BCCFE7.3BBAF10C@milestones.com>
Source record: 83985

mike maghakian wrote:

> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> can't even test it ??????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
sell. Probably know nothing about radio.

There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.

Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2799. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:32:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153225939.251824.236060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83986

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
> >> something
> >> major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
> >> immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog
> >> gear
> >> is.
> >
> > Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
> > next time.
>
> It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend the
> life of free terrestrial radio.
>
> P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were barely
> getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.

No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.

2800. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:32:47 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1153225967.130170.142780@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83987

mike maghakian wrote:
> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> can't even test it ??????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

I've bought a DIFFERENT radio item from that particular seller, and my experience:

1. The radio worked.

2. The seller was VERY slow in shipping and e-mail communications.

3. The radio was not packed fantastically well but it arrived intact.

That said, if you look at the other sales this guy does (networking and test equipment) and accompanying feedback you can see that he's probably getting this stuff at auction, sometimes is able to plug it in and see it light up, otherwise he leaves quality control up to the customer. He's not evil or anything, he just doesn't have the tech knowledge to do proper testing.

This is not the kind of guy who sells a unit that he knows to be not working. He truly doesn't have the ability to do anything beyond the most basic "plug-it-in-see-it-light-up".

Overall in my purchase I feel I got a bargain, but also realize that I got lucky too.

Tim.

2801. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:41:52 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153226512.223858.256690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83988

mike maghakian wrote:
> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> can't even test it ??????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Hmmm...the ad doesn't specify whether this receiver has been
'cleansed'. I'd want to inquire about that.

2802. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:43:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153226585.228251.264530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83989

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153193048.114991.42920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> >> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your
> >> >> > time?
> >> >>
> >> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a
> >> >> lot
> >> >> of
> >> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There
> >> >> was
> >> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> >> > to say, so why bother?
> >>
> >> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from
> >> readers
> >> of the group.
> >>
> >> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
> >> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
> >> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.
> >
> > You're just a garden variety troll...with a very poor understanding of
> > both radio and marketing.
>
> Huh? There are over 1000 HD stations on the ari, and commitments for more
> than a thousand more.
>
> Considering that only about 20% to 25% of AMs licensed are viable (cover
> thier market, are not daytimers, do not have radically limited night
> patterns, etc), nearly every one will be HD in about 24 to 36 months.
>

> On FM, most viable top 100 market stations will be HD in a couple of years.
> There are already over 100 HD-2 formats running.
>
> I have a pretty good understanding of radio, and the credentials and C. V.
> to back that statement. Who are you to say I do not?
> >
> > Get thee behind me, troll!
> >
>
> Troll = truthsayer (in this case)

Yes, yes, this would all have been very interesting in 1986.

2803. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:49:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eN4vg.69888$fb2.37389@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83990

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153225939.251824.236060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> >> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
>> >> something
>> >> major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
>> >> immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as
>> >> analog
>> >> gear
>> >> is.
>> >
>> > Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
>> > next time.
>>
>> It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend
>> the
>> life of free terrestrial radio.
>>
>> P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were
>> barely
>> getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.
>
> No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
> it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.

And pigs would probably not be used for makin' bacon if they had learned to
fly.

2804. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 12:55:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153227319.887322.44210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83991

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153225939.251824.236060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> >> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
> >> >> something
> >> >> major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
> >> >> immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as
> >> >> analog
> >> >> gear
> >> >> is.
> >> >
> >> > Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
> >> > next time.
> >>
> >> It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend
> >> the
> >> life of free terrestrial radio.
> >>
> >> P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were
> >> barely
> >> getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.
> >
> > No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
> > it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.
>
> And pigs would probably not be used for makin' bacon if they had learned to
> fly.

And your pig is about as earthbound as they come. Better luck next time.

2805. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 13:24:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d2opb2pu52usjbmo17di0o685nmtcgge4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 83992

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 04:47:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>On FM, most viable top 100 market stations will be HD in a couple of years.
>There are already over 100 HD-2 formats running.
>
From what I've heard thus far I'm not impressed. Star 98.7 is running
a 250 song '80s playlist, KROCK (''Q-2'') is running heavily censored
Active Rock, maybe 350 songs . Sol Levine has the right idea. Kill
the AM. Right?

2806. Re: FOR SALE : Sony ICF-Pro80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 13:25:56 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <69opb219272m2d6rr9amjbvu293e2jqhgt@4ax.com>
Source record: 83993

On 17 Jul 2006 20:10:57 -0700, "Dona Alfian" <dona.alfian@gmail.com>
wrote:

>I have
>
>The Sony ICF-Pro80 is a compact wideband receiver covering 150 kHz to
>108 MHz in AM, FM-Narrow, FM-Wide and SSB on shortwave. Connect the
>supplied frequency converter and enjoy additional frequency coverage
>from 115.15 to 216 MHz. This radio features a digital digital LCD,
>keypad entry and 40 scanning memories. The top of the radio has jacks
>for earphones and record output. Multiple types of scanning are
>supported: memory, program, up/down, manual and priority.
>
>Supplied with: telescopic antenna, shoulder belt, carry case,
>frequency converter and BNC/TNC adapter.
>
>show review on this site :
>http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/icfpro80.html
>
>I had to Sold my Sony ICF-Pro80 $320 (include shipped with Fedex)
>
>if you need, Please contact Me on dona.alfian@gmail.com
>my profile info : http://www.donaalfian.de.vu
>
The electrolytics on this model are failing. Unless you've had it
recapped it ain't worth $50.

2807. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 13:33:50 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bt2dnWeIDJGhfiHZnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!

I use a digital for my family memories type shooting.

I use FUJI roll film in 120 size for my serious MF work. It is either Fuji VELVIA for transparencies or NPH for formal portraits.

In either case, digital or film, they have nothing to do with ham radio in general or CW in particular.

CW is!

2808. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 13:37:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153229855.639225.270670@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83995

dxAce wrote:
> David wrote:
>
> > On 11 Jul 2006 08:51:48 -0700, "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
> > >
> > > Great. Click on the L.A. thread and see Dwardo bad-mouthing the
> > > competition.
>
> Well, it is his job. After all, he is a paid shill.
>
> Thanks for the heads up on that LA forum.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Yes...that speaks volumes. Tardo isn't fooling anyone.

2809. Thoughts for a Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 13:58:06 UTC
From: "<RJ>" <baranick@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <9jppb2djjlgo3vg2n5coqnat10c9g9ufnt@4ax.com>
Source record: 83996

The Computer industry got it right the first time.

They provide a (universal) case,
plug-replaceable power supply,
and plug-in circuit cards for features.
You can lash together a working system from "the junk bin".

Suppose the Radio industry followed suit;

You could buy the case of your choice (portable or desk-size)
A case insert for controls, frequency display.
A wall-wart would probably be a suitable power supply.
A plug in card for the audio quality of your choice,
a plug-in for the rf section of your choice.

Configure the radio with the features you need,
using (standardized) plug-in components.

Seems like manufacturers and consumers would win out.

<rj>

2810. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 14:37:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Qm6vg.123428$H71.24965@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83997

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:d2opb2pu52usjbmo17di0o685nmtcgge4r@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 04:47:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>On FM, most viable top 100 market stations will be HD in a couple of
>>years.
>>There are already over 100 HD-2 formats running.
>>
> From what I've heard thus far I'm not impressed. Star 98.7 is running
> a 250 song '80s playlist, KROCK (''Q-2'') is running heavily censored
> Active Rock, maybe 350 songs . Sol Levine has the right idea. Kill
> the AM. Right?

Sol shut down 540 because he was legally required to to sell 1650, which he got "in exchange" in the X-band procedures. He did not shut it down because he wanted to; he did it because he got something better in exchange.

The current HD-2 channels are just starting, with programming that will "expand" in phases as more receivers come to the market. Right now, most are there to prove to the manufacturers that there will be a market.

The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number, in most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't really like, which is hardly a gain.

Calling the limiting of a playlist to songs most people like, as opposed to songs they don't like, is hardly "censorship." It is more like "common sense."

The reason Baskin Robbins does not have 1000 flavors is that most people like the same 8 or 10, and occasionally try one or another in an array of 30 to 40. Same with music.

2811. Re: radio-info.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 14:40:20 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <op6vg.123429$H71.39591@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83898

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153229855.639225.270670@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
>> David wrote:
>>
>> > On 11 Jul 2006 08:51:48 -0700, "IBOC_sucks_!" <streetlords1@aol.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > >
>> > >http://www.radio-info.com/smf/
>> > >
>> > Great. Click on the L.A. thread and see Dwardo bad-mouthing the
>> > competition.
>>
>> Well, it is his job. After all, he is a paid shill.
>>
>> Thanks for the heads up on that LA forum.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>
> Yes...that speaks volumes. Tardo isn't fooling anyone.

Exactly in what way is accurately describing the 1260 signal "bad mouthing"
anything? 1260 is a San Fernando Valley signal that gets a minimal coverage
of parts of the LA basin by day, and practically not at all at night. It is
a suburban signal, like many others (900, 1190, 1460, 1480, 1430, 1300,
1580, 1600, 1220, 1390, etc.) and is not SUPPOSED to cover LA entirely.

2812. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 14:47:34 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <XfydnTu9Gtk7aSHZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 83899

Hi Miso,

My Wellbrook ALA 100 uses connections that are closer to what you show in your first image (3loop1), but the lead wires are fairly short. I have positioned the windings on one "side" of the vertical support pole, and the protective box with the ALA 100 inside is on the other side, as close as possible to the ends of the windings.

I am using 3 inches spacing between turns, which is what Andy Ikin of Wellbrook recommended when I asked for his opinion on the project.

On the SDR radio comparison, I didn't include any audio clips as the results were so close. I suppose the clips could have demonstrated the audio *quality* difference, but I didn't think of that. Maybe when I next on a DXpedition with John he and I can record something; we may be back at the WA coast next month. Come to think of it, I can probably get an audio clip from him of some DX we both listened to and recorded during the last DXpedition, such as 2YB Wellington on 783 kHz.

I didn't included my modded R-75 analog receiver in the Winradio/Flex-Radio comparison, as I've already covered it in detail in former comparisons in the blog.

73,

Guy

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153203380.499231.249400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> If you are talking 5 ft on a side for your Wellbrook loop, then your
> results are similar to mine in that this seems to be all the ALA 100
> will take without losing gain on the high end of the HF band.
>
> How do you bring the wires back to the ALA100? I came up with two
> possibilities:
> http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop1.gif
> http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop2.gif
>
> The advantage of 3loop2 is that you don't have wire that is
> perdendicular to the rest of the loop.
>
> Also, what is the spacing between your loop wires?
>
> Getting back to the SDRs, it would have been a more interesting review
> if an analog radio were thrown into the mix. Also saving some audio

> clips would be useful.
>

2813. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 14:57:54 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153234674.049217.326150@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83900

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:d2opb2pu52usjbmo17di0o685nmtcgge4r@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 04:47:14 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>On FM, most viable top 100 market stations will be HD in a couple of
> >>years.
> >>There are already over 100 HD-2 formats running.
> >>
> > From what I've heard thus far I'm not impressed. Star 98.7 is running
> > a 250 song '80s playlist, KROCK (''Q-2'') is running heavily censored
> > Active Rock, maybe 350 songs . Sol Levine has the right idea. Kill
> > the AM. Right?
>
> Sol shut down 540 because he was legally required to to sell 1650, which he
> got "in exchange" in the X-band procedures. He did not shut it down because
> he wanted to; he did it because he got something better in exchange.
>
> The current HD-2 channels are just starting, with programming that will
> "expand" in phases as more receivers come to the market. Right now, most are
> there to prove to the manufacturers that there will be a market.
>
> The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite
> songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average
> number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number, in
> most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't really
> like, which is hardly a gain.
>
> Calling the limiting of a playlist to songs most people like, as opposed to
> songs they don't like, is hardly "censorship." It is more like "common
> sense."
>
> The reason Baskin Robbins does not have 1000 flavors is that most people
> like the same 8 or 10, and occasionally try one or another in an array of 30
> to 40. Same with music.

Sorry. You're about twenty years too late.

2814. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 15:05:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BCF8AC-2114@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83902

Well,who knows? it might be a great/good working radio.Would you sell a late model car with all of those computerized gadgets and fancy thingamajiggys and you dont have the proper testing/dianostic equipment? Well,eveything on the car lights up ok and the car runs ok.It''s good to go.There is an old saying,Buyer,Beware,or Caveat Emptor.
cuhulin

2815. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies. Should be : a guide to 2M repeaters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 15:05:58 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <qN6vg.173864$F_3.166022@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I love it.
This IS what 2 meter repeater operation has been like for years.
But cell phones are cutting down on traffic except for the die hards.
In fact, 2M repeater operation is getting back to being respectable.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano

> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>

> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James
> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can

> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a

> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>

2816. Anybody can become a Canadian....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 15:16:09 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <goupb2lju8ih09q3l3m3lev6r8io9hmj3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 83903

you just have to have your spine removed....

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2817. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 15:37:48 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <pvvpb2lkhrj5mnofu21cvhqdkdieivalbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 83904

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 14:37:36 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite
>songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average
>number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number, in
>most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't really
>like, which is hardly a gain.
>
The way this was determined was by people trying out songs on focus
groups, but who picks the songs?

I guarantee you I could do a station with a thousand hits and it would
be #1.

2818. Re: Anybody can become a Canadian....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 16:11:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44BD0833-1715@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83906

www.missmississippipageant.com
(they sure do have spines) (of course,I will watch it on tv this
Saturday evening,as Purrrr usual)

Hello mello JAXXXXX,,,, littl darlinnnn,,,,,, you arrrr the beerrrrrr
forrr meeeeee,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

2819. Re: Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 16:16:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44BD0957-1716@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83905

Renee,get that Sony 7600GR Radio for your hubby.You can't go wrong with
that one.
cuhulin

2820. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 16:19:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26668-44BD0A02-3@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83907

Good on yer,WADO,for turning that iboc off.
cuhulin

2821. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 16:23:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44BD0AEC-1717@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83908

Please dont misconstrue Cleveland,Ohio (the monster on the Lake) for
Cleveland,Mississippi. www.555us.com
I doubt if them folks in Cleveland,Mississippi even know what iboc
is.(they probally dont want to know either)
cuhulin

2822. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:01:22 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e9linagaq1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 84129

In article <dsUug.69175$fb2.6391@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>No, but I think the HD-2 channels will produce revenue. At The Conclave
>yesterday, the belief was expressed that those channels would produce $800
>million in additional revenue by 2008.

Is there a second channel on AM HD? (Where's the bandwidth?).

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

2823. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:32:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26664-44BD1B3E-1738@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83909

WLBT tv noon repote,tv news.Ohhhhh yeahhhhhh,,,,,,, the cutest gals in the World are right here in Mississippi.Miss Mississippi update repote.I have to watch The Grapes of Wrath movie on Radio tv now.It is just now crankin up.
cuhulin

2824. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:53:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245224.320558.107960@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2825. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:54:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245251.566938.324790@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83911
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2826. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:58:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245501.151177.173950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2827. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 17:59:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245570.420091.137730@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko
>
> Once you're on Dateline we'll see how obese you are, fatboy!

dream on the way I am likely to be on dateline is tlaking about you and
Robeson

2828. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:00:29 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 83914

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>
> by Edward Montgomery
>
[long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]

IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates. I'd say most of us are keeping pace with the digital revolution. And I'd also say the digital threat to radio is from the individualized media now possible, rather than from the quality of the audio.

Not only do people have more choices, but they have their own choices. That's a powerful pull. And IBOC is just a band trashing form of the old school mass media. IBOC adds little of merit.

Frank Dresser

2829. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:00:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245644.900796.197190@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2830. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:10:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153246247.319645.212830@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2831. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:13:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153246390.770389.263750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83917
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko
> PKB, retard.
althought I was temted to recyve your own there just this once wismen

2832. Re: OT: Outsourcing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:19:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5350-44BD2626-1977@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83918

Pecos River.New Mexico.U.S.66.
I caint tell y'all about them little fellas with their bellys pokin out
and nothing but skin on their bones.
cuhulin

2833. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:28:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BD2856.8C08189F@milestones.com>
Source record: 83919

dxAce wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> > can't even test it ??????????
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
> sell. Probably know nothing about radio.
>
> There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
>
> Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
> serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
>

Up to $1525.00 now.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2834. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:34:16 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <59aqb297iut86038qpls0ha5jdfvvnvd6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 83920

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 21:08:55 -0700, "mike maghakian"
<maghakian@comcast.net> wrote:

>buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
>can't even test it ???????????
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategory
Z4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

Well, at least Ten-Tec is in business and services everything they
have made.

Unless it's been struck by lightning or something, it might be okay --
but obviously a gamble. I guess it'd depend on how close the auction
gets to $4 grand.

bob
k5qwg

2835. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:36:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BD2A1B.C470BFAE@milestones.com>
Source record: 83921

dxAce wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> > > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> > > can't even test it ???????????
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >
> > Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
> > sell. Probably know nothing about radio.
> >
> > There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
> >
> > Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
> > serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
> >
>
> Up to $1525.00 now.

Oh, by the way... I had the use of one for a month. Nice enough radio, but certainly not a nice enough radio to justify the
price tag. No way.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Drake R7, R8, R8A and R8B.
70' and 200' wires and Eavesdropper trap dipole.
GE Superadio II and III
BC895XLT and PRO-2045 scanners

2836. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 18:59:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5351-44BD2F90-466@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 83923

Last night,I held the ladder for that 31 year old divorced brunette
woman next door (she lost the keys to that house) while she crawled
through that kitchen window.(she looked like a frog,going through there)
She said she only came back over there to get that dart board.Then she
got her breast area naked.She has a boygriend (not me) and she was
loaded drunk.I came on over back to my house.She has a big nice tattoo
on her butt.
cuhulin

2837. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:03:08 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-ABD623.12030818072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83922

In article
<1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change Educator Says It's Time for
> > Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >
> > by Edward Montgomery
> >
> [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
>
> IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates. I'd say most of us are
> keeping pace with the digital revolution. And I'd also say the
> digital threat to radio is from the individualized media now
> possible, rather than from the quality of the audio.
>
> Not only do people have more choices, but they have their own
> choices. That's a powerful pull. And IBOC is just a band trashing
> form of the old school mass media. IBOC adds little of merit.

We can find Edward Montgomery sunning himself by the tube filaments.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2838. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:05:55 UTC
From: shoppa@trailing-edge.com
Message-ID: <1153249555.010959.105890@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83924

Bob Miller wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 21:08:55 -0700, "mike maghakian"
> <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> >can't even test it ???????????
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >
>
> Well, at least Ten-Tec is in business and services everything they
> have made.
>
> Unless it's been struck by lightning or something, it might be okay --
> but obviously a gamble. I guess it'd depend on how close the auction
> gets to $4 grand.

The radio I bought from that particular seller had a street price (when new, in 1982, with options) of $10 grand. Of course I didn't pay that much, my actual price was a very tiny percentage of the new price, but I still regarded it as a large chunk of money.

Tim.

2839. Re: Thoughts for a Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:18:14 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <Wtavg.69998$fb2.1792@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 83925

Only problem is...
How many MILLIONS of people want a computer?
How many THOUSANDS want radios?

Not much incentive to do it...

"<RJ>" <baranick@localnet.com> wrote in message
news:9jppb2djjlgo3vg2n5coqnat10c9g9ufnt@4ax.com...
>
> The Computer industry got it right the first time.
>
> They provide a (universal) case,
> plug-replaceable power supply,
> and plug-in circuit cards for features.
> You can lash together a working system from "the junk bin".
>
> Suppose the Radio industry followed suit;
>
> You could buy the case of your choice (portable or desk-size)
> A case insert for controls, frequency display.
> A wall-wart would probably be a suitable power supply.
> A plug in card for the audio quality of your choice,
> a plug-in for the rf section of your choice.
>
> Configure the radio with the features you need,
> using (standardized) plug-in components.
>
> Seems like manufacturers and consumers would win out.
>
> <rj>

2840. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:21:52 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AEA834.12215218072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83926

In article <44BCCFE7.3BBAF10C@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
> > radio-mart and can't even test it ???????????
> >
<http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ3200081251220
QihZ 011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
>
> Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those
> outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to sell. Probably know
> nothing about radio.
>
> There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
>
> Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there
> is something wrong with it, they can still get it serviced, up and
> running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
>

This radio has had ROM revisions and this one may not have the latest.

It also has stickers on it that look like it may have been in
industrial use (calibration and ID stickers) in which case there is a
lot of hours on it. The radio has some long term use issues, which may
or may not have been mitigated by air conditioning in a rack.

Chances are the special bulb for the meter is out. You might be able to
get a replacement from Ten-Tec but it is not listed as a separate item
in the parts list. I replaced mine with LEDs.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2841. Re: Voice of Croatia, 17 July 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:23:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BD351D.23D98773@milestones.com>
Source record: 83927

weatherall wrote:

> Voice of Croatia received on 17 July 2006 during 0200-0225 UTC
> (primetimeshortwave says the broadcast is only from 0200-0215 UTC). On
> 9925 khz although I tuned to 9923 khz due to some form of interference.
> Five-minute news bulletin followed by several songs. Received with a
> Sangean ATS-505.

EiBi shows 9925 to be 0200-0230. Also, 9925 to South America via Wertachtal,
Germany (500 kW) and 9925 to North America via both Wertachtal and Nauen,
Germany (500 kW). So quite probably two tranmitters in use at the same time.

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2842. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:23:25 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3F241C.12232518072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83928

In article <eN4vg.69888$fb2.37389@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153225939.251824.236060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> >> depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick
> >> >> unless something major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD
> >> >> gear, as the gear is so immature nobody is backing it up till
> >> >> the system is as robust as analog gear is.
> >> >
> >> > Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late.
> >> > Better luck next time.
> >>
> >> It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to
> >> extend the life of free terrestrial radio.
> >>
> >> P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we
> >> were barely getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford
> >> them.
> >
> > No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding
> > if it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.
>
> And pigs would probably not be used for makin' bacon if they had
> learned to fly.

That sounds about right. The digital side bands sound like bacon
frying.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2843. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:36:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BD3828.684D6A5@milestones.com>
Source record: 83929

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44BCCFE7.3BBAF10C@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> > > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
> > > radio-mart and can't even test it ???????????
> > >
> <http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ3200081251220
> QihZ 011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
> >
> > Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those
> > outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to sell. Probably know
> > nothing about radio.
> >
> > There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
> >
> > Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there
> > is something wrong with it, they can still get it serviced, up and
> > running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
> >
>
> This radio has had ROM revisions and this one may not have the latest.
>
> It also has stickers on it that look like it may have been in
> industrial use (calibration and ID stickers) in which case there is a
> lot of hours on it. The radio has some long term use issues, which may
> or may not have been mitigated by air conditioning in a rack.
>
> Chances are the special bulb for the meter is out. You might be able to
> get a replacement from Ten-Tec but it is not listed as a separate item
> in the parts list. I replaced mine with LEDs.

My overall impression of the set was: "Damn, that's certainly some expensive
aluminium sheet!"

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2844. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:39:35 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <XNavg.132080$dW3.10638@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83930

Don't bet your life on it

David wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 17:45:17 GMT, ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>>The microprocessor and the integrated chip were the outgrowth of
>>programs set up by NASA. Their computers were state of the art for their
>>day. Cat scanning and magnetic resonance were some of the other benefits
>>of programs set up by NASA.
>>I could go on for hours but why bother. You are a ignorant narrow minded
>>twit.
>>
>
> Really. As I recall the Columbia had 5 analog computers that had to
> vote on every command and the things were always needing cold reboots
> to get synchronized.
>
> I suspect the military drives way more technical innovation than
> putting American dicks in space.
>

2845. Re: Is Davi a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 19:42:34 UTC
From: Cngldog <looking@you.org>
Message-ID: <12bqedn8vb6b1ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83931

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ovdlb2574vop3462b87asukap0fip90ch8@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 16:49:52 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Wow, you're still trying to push that old propaganda lie?
> >John, meet DaviD. DaviD, meet John. - LOL
> >
> >You obviously are not a well read individual.
> http://fas.org/spp/starwars/congress/1992/h920727g.htm
>

To which I refer: Osama Bin Laden and Taliban are no where mentioned in
your link.
And don't forget - NO WMD WERE FOUND in Iraq - LOL

Your hypocritical logic is hilarious.

2846. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 20:31:35 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153254695.923945.18350@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Another day of you neglecting your dying daddy, faggot?

2847. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 20:36:54 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <3Fbvg.611$MX3.140@fe06.lga>
Source record: 83933

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> My overall impression of the set was: "Damn, that's certainly some
> expensive
> aluminium sheet!"
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

That's just it. Those type rigs are way over priced. The same people or gov agencies that bought or buy them are the same ones who pay $50 for ball point pens. I've had a few over the years and they were nice when working but not a big leap better than any high end consumer rig. My dad used to bring them home from work and I fiddled with quite a few different makes. My favorites were always the WJ & Rockwell receivers. For quite few years I had a HF-1000 or WJ-8711 but it took a shit and at the time I couldn't afford to fix it but was able to sell it for enough to buy a R-5000.

--

Regards
B.H.
Southern, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

2848. Re: (OT) Space Shuttle Discovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 20:41:42 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <zJbvg.613$MX3.13@fe06.lga>
Source record: 83934

"ka6uup" <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:j0xtg.119215$H71.96692@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Yeah Right!
> This is the same Luddite mindset that bashed the Apollo space program.
> Now we are reaping the benefits of that program: cleaner running cars,
> medical instrumentation, the Internet, and home computer, just to name a
> few
>

Hi Chuck. Ain't he something.

BH

2849. Re: Is Davi a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 20:42:40 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <brhqb2dhvmr0hnjihkn01vinhr6s62a0uu@4ax.com>
Source record: 83935

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 14:42:34 -0500, "CngIdog" <looking@you.org> wrote:

>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ovdlb2574vop3462b87asukap0fip90ch8@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 16:49:52 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Wow, you're still trying to push that old propaganda lie?
>> >John, meet DaviD. DaviD, meet John. - LOL
>> >
>> >You obviously are not a well read individual.
>> http://fas.org/spp/starwars/congress/1992/h920727g.htm
>>
>
> To which I refer: Osama Bin Laden and Taliban are no where mentioned in
>your link.
>And don't forget - NO WMD WERE FOUND in Iraq - LOL
>
>Your hypocritical logic is hilarious.
>
>
If you hadn't busted the link I might be able to respond, but
otherwise I have no idea what you're talking about.

The Taliban, by the way, tried to warn Bush about 9-11, but they were
ignored (apparently).

2850. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 20:59:36 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153256376.903191.64640@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83936

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Hi Miso,
>
> My Wellbrook ALA 100 uses connections that are closer to what you show in
> your first image (3loop1), but the lead wires are fairly short. I have
> positioned the windings on one "side" of the vertical support pole, and the
> protective box with the ALA 100 inside is on the other side, as close as
> possible to the ends of the windings.
>
> I am using 3 inches spacing between turns, which is what Andy Ikin of
> Wellbrook recommended when I asked for his opinion on the project.
>
> On the SDR radio comparison, I didn't include any audio clips as the results
> were so close. I suppose the clips could have demonstrated the audio
> *quality* difference, but I didn't think of that. Maybe when I next on a
> DXpedition with John he and I can record something; we may be back at the WA
> coast next month. Come to think of it, I can probably get an audio clip from
> him of some DX we both listened to and recorded during the last DXpedition,
> such as 2YB Wellington on 783 kHz.
>
> I didn't included my modded R-75 analog receiver in the Winradio/Flex-Radio
> comparison, as I've already covered it in detail in former comparisons in
> the blog.
>
> 73,
>
> Guy
>
>
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153203380.499231.249400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > If you are talking 5 ft on a side for your Wellbrook loop, then your
> > results are similar to mine in that this seems to be all the ALA 100
> > will take without losing gain on the high end of the HF band.
> >
> > How do you bring the wires back to the ALA100? I came up with two
> > possibilities:
> > http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop1.gif
> > http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/3loop2.gif
> >
> > The advantage of 3loop2 is that you don't have wire that is
> > perdendicular to the rest of the loop.
> >

> > Also, what is the spacing between your loop wires?
> >
> > Getting back to the SDRs, it would have been a more interesting review
> > if an analog radio were thrown into the mix. Also saving some audio
> > clips would be useful.
> >

Thanks. Does Andy have suggestions on how many turns or how long of a loop?

I tried a smaller loop and many turns and the performance was not as good as just a few turns on a large frame.

I'll go look for the R75 comparisons.

2851. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:00:19 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256419.830482.218490@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Not only does Markie reply like a parrot, he has the same brainpower as
one.

2852. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:01:07 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
> idealy an improved written test
>
> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>
> but you favor dishonesty

. -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.

2853. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:01:42 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256502.857930.147640@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie, he's the reason the country is dumbing down.

2854. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:03:30 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256610.227923.234740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

You are the one who molests kids.
That is why you beat a hasty exit from Illiopolis, IL.

> >
> > Once you're on Dateline we'll see how obese you are, fatboy!
>
> dream on the way I am likely to be on dateline is tlaking about you and
> Robeson

Not hardly, online predator, you'll be too busy shitting your pants
while on camera.

2855. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:05:48 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256748.614498.281430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

<sarcasm>

Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.

</sarcasm>

2856. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:06:48 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256807.737215.251600@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83942
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Hey Markie, want a cracker?

2857. Justified Final Solution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:06:49 UTC
From: WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net>
Message-ID: <12bqjbpr4ehs262@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 83943

...
An all day, live poll by an Iranian TV station, Channel One, in Los Angeles, where call-ins were accepted from inside Iran, usually from cell phones, provided a response of at least 50% of callers stating openly they would welcome USA bombing raids, even if civilians died in the process. As long as it removed the Mullahs.

What follows may read like a bizarre, politically incorrect, war-mongering treatise. But then you, personally, did not fail to face down Hitler politically; probably did not endure his concentration camps; fight his storm troopers; nor were among the millions, no, tens of millions of dead in World War II.
...
http://www.iranpressnews.com/english/source/012448.html

From Lebanon

"On behalf of thousands of Lebanese, we ask you to open the doors of Tel Aviv's Ben Gurion Airport to thousands of volunteers in the Diaspora willing to bear arms and liberate their homeland from [Islamic] fundamentalism.

We ask you for support, facilitation and logistics in order to win this struggle and achieve together the same objectives: Peace and Security for Lebanon and Israel and our future generations to come."

The Lebanese army has less than 10,000 military troops. Hezbollah has over 4,000 trained militia forces and there are approximately 700 Iranian Revolutionary Guards in Southern Lebanon and the Bekaa Valley. So why can't the army do the job? Because the majority of Lebanese Muslims making up the army will split and unite along religious lines with the Islamic forces just like what happened in 1976 at the start of the Lebanese civil war.

It all boils down to a war of Islamic Jihad ideology vs. Judeo Christian Westernism. Muslims who are now the majority of Lebanon's population, support Hezbollah because they are part of the Islamic Ummah-the nation. This is the taboo subject everyone is trying to avoid.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23406

2858. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:08:51 UTC
From: Gary Simms <gsimms@one-eleven.net>
Message-ID: <e9jikt$5pd$1@news04.infoave.net>
Source record: 83944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?

2859. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:09:47 UTC
From: craigm <none@domain.invalid>
Message-ID: <W7cvg.643$MX3.357@fe06.lga>
Source record: 83945

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>>>>depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
>>>>something
>>>>major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
>>>>immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog
>>>>gear
>>>>is.
>>>
>>>Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
>>>next time.
>>
>>It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend the
>>life of free terrestrial radio.
>>
>>P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were barely
>>getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.
>
>
> No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
> it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.
>

I think many of the key technologies required did not exist 20 years ago.

2860. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:23:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1FD0E8.14233718072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 83946

In article <44BD3828.684D6A5@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > In article <44BCCFE7.3BBAF10C@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > mike maghakian wrote:
> > >
> > > > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
> > > > radio-mart and can't even test it ??????????
> > > >
> > <http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ32000812
> > 5122Q QihZ 011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>
> > >
> > > Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of
> > > those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to sell.
> > > Probably know nothing about radio.
> > >
> > > There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
> > >
> > > Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if
> > > there is something wrong with it, they can still get it serviced,
> > > up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
> > >
> >
> > This radio has had ROM revisions and this one may not have the
> > latest.
> >
> > It also has stickers on it that look like it may have been in
> > industrial use (calibration and ID stickers) in which case there is
> > a lot of hours on it. The radio has some long term use issues,
> > which may or may not have been mitigated by air conditioning in a
> > rack.
> >
> > Chances are the special bulb for the meter is out. You might be
> > able to get a replacement from Ten-Tec but it is not listed as a
> > separate item in the parts list. I replaced mine with LEDs.
>
> My overall impression of the set was: "Damn, that's certainly some
> expensive aluminium sheet!"

The DSP chips in the radio cost a lot.

It's a really good radio and can sound better then the R8B depending on antenna and conditions. I can't tell which will sound better before I try them both. Generally the SSB is better on the RX340 as it is more flexible in the filter department. The R8B is more sensitive than the RX340. Lots of other differences between them and sometimes I can't figure out why one does better then the other. I'll be keeping both.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2861. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:25:15 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153257915.346799.18980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83947

craigm wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >>news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >>>>depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
> >>>>something
> >>>>major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
> >>>>immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as analog
> >>>>gear
> >>>>is.
> >>>
> >>>Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
> >>>next time.
> >>
> >>It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend the
> >>life of free terrestrial radio.
> >>
> >>P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were barely
> >>getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.
> >
> >
> > No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
> > it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.
> >
>
>
> I think many of the key technologies required did not exist 20 years ago.

I'm sure that's true. What did exist 20 years ago that doesn't exist
today are the market conditions that would make HD a viable alternative
to FM and other competitors.

2862. Re: Can wait to see Israel drowned in shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:29:41 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153258180.995019.163030@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83998

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> My bet is on Israel.Do you remember World War Two,Never Again!
> cuhulin

Yep, I agree no doubt. Israel will never be defeated.

2863. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:33:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153258434.488700.21260@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 83999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2864. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:35:08 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153258508.279170.127750@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84000

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Last night,I held the ladder for that 31 year old divorced brunette
> woman next door (she lost the keys to that house) while she crawled
> through that kitchen window.(she looked like a frog,going through there)
> She said she only came back over there to get that dart board.Then she
> got her breast area naked.She has a boygriend (not me) and she was
> loaded drunk.I came on over back to my house.She has a big nice tattoo
> on her butt.
> cuhulin

Cuhulin, I think you ought to bust a move on that brunette.

2865. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:38:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <45lqb2569ph5f3vnpqhm287chma94billt@4ax.com>
Source record: 84001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Jul 2006 14:01:07 -0700, "Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
>> idealy an improved written test
>>
>> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>>
>> but you favor dishonesty
>
>. -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.

Neither does anyone else, once you destroy the attributions.

2866. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:44:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <falqb2t0c415um4tc07cdclfam0ncb4e7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 84002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
>> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.

>I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
>component

I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
balanced modulator circuitry".

You used to have to draw a few schematics on blank paper - no hints.
Now you have to be able to identify a resistor. Big deal - that
should take all of 3 seconds to memorize. Memorizing which side of
the heart sends out the oxygenated blood doesn't make you a cardiac
surgeon.

2867. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:47:27 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153259247.444041.135430@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2868. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies. Should be : a guide to 2M repeaters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:49:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nplqb2d24h20ksek433uk6f4fspq34d4er@4ax.com>
Source record: 84004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 15:05:58 GMT, "EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote:

>In fact, 2M repeater operation is getting back to being respectable.

Except for those who could time out even a simplex frequency (or a
newsgroup).

<massive snippage>

2869. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:53:35 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153259615.258778.282520@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> Poor Markie, he's the reason the country is dumbing down.
LOL
get help sicko
```

2870. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:54:21 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153259661.085569.285970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84006
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2871. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 21:55:27 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153259727.736159.291410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> <sarcasm>
>
> Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.
>
> </sarcasm>
I don't conservse with sicko that tlak abotuchild rape all the time
get help sicko
```

2872. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:04:01 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260241.240723.217480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> >> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.
>
> >I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
> >component
>
> I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
> balanced modulator circuitry".
you were vague not my fault you can't express yourself
>
> You used to have to draw a few schematics on blank paper - no hints.
so? you used to have as purely pacitcal matter build at at least some
of your station
> Now you have to be able to identify a resistor. Big deal - that
> should take all of 3 seconds to memorize. Memorizing which side of
> the heart sends out the oxygenated blood doesn't make you a cardiac
> surgeon.
nor is a EE needed to be ham and contrube to advancing the state of the
radio art

the tests needed to cover those things THEN

THEN they more os less needed to inculde Morse code (lathough it could
have been avoided but for the treaty)

times change

adapt or die

2873. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:07:01 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260421.724125.141020@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

a thread related not

I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
that Morse encoded spark

2874. the real reason the ARS teters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:14:19 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260859.699235.266190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gary Simms wrote:
> Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?
becuase the socalled better have welched on what they owe those that came before them

2875. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:27:43 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9jn4r$o9g$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84011

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Qm6vg.123428$H71.24965@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite
> songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average
> number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number,
> in most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't
> really like, which is hardly a gain.
>
> Calling the limiting of a playlist to songs most people like, as opposed
> to songs they don't like, is hardly "censorship." It is more like "common
> sense."
>
> The reason Baskin Robbins does not have 1000 flavors is that most people
> like the same 8 or 10, and occasionally try one or another in an array of
> 30 to 40. Same with music.

Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs people like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to a 300 song playlist... that would (and does) drive me totally mad. My personal library of mp3's (not CD's, I have thousands of those) has over 4500 tracks, all but a handful of which were Billboard Hot 100 or Top 100 Country, and more than 9/10 were Top 40. Some of those that were not in the Hot 100 were released before Billboard charts existed, and were in the top 30 of the charts of their day. ~97% of the library is tracks that were popular during my lifetime from about 5 years old on.

I may be a little eccentric, but I can't believe that the average person would like only ~7% of the music they hear over a lifetime.. or I doubt radio would exist. Certainly a 'Top 40' chart would be superfluous..

2876. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:32:00 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84012

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153257915.346799.18980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> craigm wrote:
>> Steve wrote:
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> >>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:1153196686.695954.235650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>>David Eduardo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>depending on what is wrong, it should be back pretty quick unless
>> >>>>something
>> >>>>major is DOA. We do not have redundancy in HD gear, as the gear is so
>> >>>>immature nobody is backing it up till the system is as robust as
>> >>>>analog
>> >>>>gear
>> >>>>is.
>> >>>
>> >>>Sorry, but your technology is about twenty years too late. Better luck
>> >>>next time.
>> >>
>> >>It is not "my" technology but I definitely support any effort to extend
>> >>the
>> >>life of free terrestrial radio.
>> >>
>> >>P.S. There was no digital broadcast technology 20 years ago. we were
>> >>barely
>> >>getting CDs to a price point where anyone could afford them.
>> >
>> >
>> > No, but the whole enterprise might have had a chance of succeeding if
>> > it had appeared 20 years earlier. Oh well.
>> >
>>
>>
>> I think many of the key technologies required did not exist 20 years ago.
>
> I'm sure that's true. What did exist 20 years ago that doesn't exist
> today are the market conditions that would make HD a viable alternative
> to FM and other competitors.

At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder, and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will start coming in in droves.

2877. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 22:52:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153263141.726974.37230@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84014
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:
> (Rogre wismen snet me this grteing and i creid when red it)
please take such a card if it exists fill it with gupwoder stick it up
his ass and light it off

2878. Re: the real reason the ARS teters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 23:22:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <n8rqb25ahov5p7ce7kbd80d2dedeefena9@4ax.com>
Source record: 84015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 18 Jul 2006 15:14:19 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Gary Simms wrote:
>> Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?
>becuase the socalled better have welched on what they owe those that
>came before them
>
Because hams tend to be massive bungs?

2879. Re: Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 23:40:38 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153266037.962156.62730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84016

Dear Ms. Renee,

I own or have owned three Sangean-brand receivers, all of which had problems within a short time (after the warranty expired). One radio "died" entirely, another was getting its computer in a twist, and the third, still in use, gives some problems with its mechanicals - buttons, knobs, etc. Therefore I, personally, would not recommend anyone buy a Sangean radio. That said, I do know there are people who are totally satisfied with theirs, but I can only go by my own experience.

The Degen DE-1103 is a good buy at its price, if bought from the eBay dealer in China, but note that warranty service will be "pot-luck." I do know that the Degens are well-made, especially for a Chinese receiver. (Note that I do not own one.)

I DO own five Sony ICF-SW7600GRs as well as two of the previous model, the ICF-SW7600G. The 'GRs are all in current use. These radios, in addition to performing exceptionally well, especially for a small portable radio, are built to an extremely high standard and hold up very well, probably better than any other small portable. They are easy and straightforward to use.

It is my opinion that your husband (and you!) would like the Sony radio very much and would be pleased with it far longer than the others you mention. I highly recommend it to you.

Best,

Joe

nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> Hello all! This one looks good on paper but I can't find any reviews.
> I'd like to get a small portable for my husband to take on his
> overseas trips, I've been looking at this one, Sony ICF-7600GR or
> Degen DE-1103. Any suggestions?
> --Renee

2880. Greetings to Mark from Roger, His Best Friend.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-18 23:43:24 UTC
From: Roger <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5gdml.2ph.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84013
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

(Rogre wismen snet me this grteing and i creid when red it)

Today is the international day for the mentally disabled.

Please send an encouraging message to a mentally challenged friend--

as I have just done for you.

Just thought I'd let your retarded ass know I don't mind being friends with you.

I don't care if you lick windows or even if you wear a football helmet to work.

It doesn't bother me that you wear your underwear on the outside of your pants.

You hang on in there because you are doing great!

You are Special, so keep trying!

Have a Great Day You Stupid Bastard!!!

2881. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7_evg.1787$157.693@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>>
>>> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
>>> should think before you crosspost!
>>
>>
>> You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more
>> ham gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio
>> is. Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:
>
> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
> highlight your ignorance.
> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> group...answer=absolutely none.
> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

Sorry.

Didn't know you were KKKanadian.

My condolences.

SC

2882. Re: AP News Alert-Beirut Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9_evg.1788$157.1288@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84017

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:29047-44BC764A-1898@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I bought goats milk at a food store.By dee way,,,, you know where/how
> about Carnation Condensed Milk? I know,,,, do you?
> cuhulin

Let me think on it a little, nothing is coming to mind.

SC

2883. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b_evg.1789$157.1505@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1153188203.320942.196200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

Sorry, CB'ers already got dibs on the bands after CW goes away.

SC

2884. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <d_evg.1790$157.1366@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84020

"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1153187677.835120.195600@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> All of this is nonsense. If God had meant us to listen to radio or
> watch television, he'd have given us built-in antennas.
>
> MY entertainment is watching members of my tribe doing the rain dances
> and the fertility dances.
>
> No tubes, transistors, or IBOC necessary!
>
> So there!
>
> Joe

Analssandrini. Is that really your given last name, or did you steal it
from a magician in San Francisco?

SC

2885. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g_evg.1792$157.750@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
>
>> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
>> idealy an improved written test
>>
>> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>>
>> but you favor dishonesty
>
> . -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.
>

You just gave him another headache, Shame on you.

Sc

2886. RE: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i_evg.1793$157.237@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84021

"Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in
news:e9hi6n010dk@enews2.newsguy.com:

> If anyone really wants to use Morse Code, can't they still ? To me it's
> like requiring a sixteen year old to learn French <s>, or requiring the
> metric system be used exclusively. If someone said you had to only read
> with your left eye, or eat only potatoes on Tuesday, would that be a bad
> thing <s> ? If you really have to use Morse Code, or you really enjoy
> using morse code, then by all means do so, but why burden someone else
> with it if they only really want to talk to someone with their voice ?
> Or perhaps we should mandate that only vacuum tubes be used in
> amplifiers, or only ether be used for anesthesia in hospitals. I feel
> like we have too many people dictating to others. Soon we will only have
> digital tv to watch, another dictate. When it is all said and done, the
> world is not going to end if amateur radio dies, any more than if morse
> code disappears, vacuum tubes disappear, or television disappears
> replaced by mental telepathy.

I'll bet you'll sing a different song when your phone don't work, no
internet and there's no power, like after a wide spread natural disaster.
You'll be thankful there are hams then.

I push for hams to be effective in those situations. Everyone else wants
hams to be like CB'ers. Not me.

Sc

2887. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <k_evg.1794$157.812@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84023

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>> cuhulin
>>>
>>
>>
>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>> yet.
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
> capacity.
>
> JB

Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?

SC

2888. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <l_evg.1795$157.1403@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84024

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:5351-44BD2F90-466@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> Last night,I held the ladder for that 31 year old divorced brunette
> woman next door (she lost the keys to that house) while she crawled
> through that kitchen window.(she looked like a frog,going through there)
> She said she only came back over there to get that dart board.Then she
> got her breast area naked.She has a boygriend (not me) and she was
> loaded drunk.I came on over back to my house.She has a big nice tattoo
> on her butt.
> cuhulin
>

Did you offer to squeeze her tattoo?

2889. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:36:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269410.313877.34110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>
> > >

> > > You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
> > > highlight your ignorance.
> > > I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> > > So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> > > group...answer=absolutely none.
> > > Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>
>
> Sorry.
>
> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.

there you go making friend world wide sc
>
> My condolences.
>
> SC

2890. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:38:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269514.075272.321550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>

> > . -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.
> >
>
>
> You just gave him another headache, Shame on you.
not realy I did not listen to the "transmision"

>
> Sc

2891. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:38:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269523.855201.219990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:

> Sorry, CB'ers already got dibs on the bands after CW goes away.
>
> SC

you are sicko get help and you will feel better you are surely suffring
from the long terms effects of narrow band rf expousure

2892. Re: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:41:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269697.544620.192920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84028

Slow Code wrote:
> "Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in
> news:e9hi6n010dk@enews2.newsguy.com:
>
> > If anyone really wants to use Morse Code, can't they still ? To me it's
> > like requiring a sixteen year old to learn French <s>, or requiring the
> > metric system be used exclusively. If someone said you had to only read
> > with your left eye, or eat only potatoes on Tuesday, would that be a bad
> > thing <s> ? If you really have to use Morse Code, or you really enjoy
> > using morse code, then by all means do so, but why burden someone else
> > with it if they only really want to talk to someone with their voice ?
> > Or perhaps we should mandate that only vacuum tubes be used in
> > amplifiers, or only ether be used for anesthesia in hospitals. I feel
> > like we have too many people dictating to others. Soon we will only have
> > digital tv to watch, another dictate. When it is all said and done, the
> > world is not going to end if amateur radio dies, any more than if morse
> > code disappears, vacuum tubes disappear, or television disappears
> > replaced by mental telepathy.
>
>
> I'll bet you'll sing a different song when your phone don't work, no
> internet and there's no power, like after a wide spread natural disaster.
> You'll be thankful there are hams then.
but he will more thankful if those hams can talk to each other at long
distance than if they can't
>
> I push for hams to be effective in those situations. Everyone else wants
> hams to be like CB'ers. Not me.
no you want to eleimate 75 percent of the ARS in 10 year

that is the fact of the matter
>
> Sc

2893. Broadcasting Trade Group Wants to Give Low Power FM to Existing AM Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 00:51:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ce0rb213up4k0gjlafk12p4hl55r5tt92h@4ax.com>
Source record: 84029

These people have no shame.

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native_or_pdf=pdf&id_document=6518400280

2894. FS: Sony 2010 $225 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:10:52 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ApydnXbkEfUHGyDZnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

this 2010 works well and is in overall good condition with wear here and
there. for using not collecting !
will do my world famous AA NiMH adapation for free if you want it !
check here for more info in the mod:
http://home.comcast.net/~maghakian/tips.htm

email me direct !!!!!

2895. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:21:22 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12br28hjeron7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
>> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
>> requirement for some college degrees, ...
>
>
> I carefully avoided any foreign language
> requirement for my BS EE.

Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to college.

Dave

2896. Re: Broadcasting Trade Group Wants to Give Low Power FM to Existing AM Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:27:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153272420.382762.172560@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84032

David,

With the limited range of future AM "HD" {IBOC} Broadcasting
this NAB 'Proposal' to allow AM Radio Stations; who no longer
can reach-out to isolated areas of their Media Market; to use
Low Power FM (LP-FM) re-broadcasters to serve the Radio
Listening Public.

FWIW - Spanish Language {Music} FM Radio Stations are
already doing this right now; up here in the Rural Sierra
Foothills along Highway 49.

this makes sense - in the public interest ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> These people have no shame.
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native_or_pdf=pdf&id_document=6518400280

2897. Re: Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:31:21 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153272681.850154.132290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84033

Slow Code wrote:
> "Verstaldin" <mjmille@surfglobal.net> wrote in
> news:e9hi6n010dk@enews2.newsguy.com:
>
> > If anyone really wants to use Morse Code, can't they still ? To me it's
> > like requiring a sixteen year old to learn French <s>, or requiring the
> > metric system be used exclusively. If someone said you had to only read
> > with your left eye, or eat only potatoes on Tuesday, would that be a bad
> > thing <s> ? If you really have to use Morse Code, or you really enjoy
> > using morse code, then by all means do so, but why burden someone else
> > with it if they only really want to talk to someone with their voice ?
> > Or perhaps we should mandate that only vacuum tubes be used in
> > amplifiers, or only ether be used for anesthesia in hospitals. I feel
> > like we have too many people dictating to others. Soon we will only have
> > digital tv to watch, another dictate. When it is all said and done, the
> > world is not going to end if amateur radio dies, any more than if morse
> > code disappears, vacuum tubes disappear, or television disappears
> > replaced by mental telepathy.
>
>
> I'll bet you'll sing a different song when your phone don't work, no
> internet and there's no power, like after a wide spread natural disaster.

- You'll be thankful there are hams then.

SC - In a Wide Spread Natural Disaster . . .
Many Will Be Thankful for HAMS !
Just One Question - Are They Tasty ? :o) ~ RHF

>
> I push for hams to be effective in those situations. Everyone else wants
> hams to be like CB'ers. Not me.
>
> Sc

2898. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:40:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <F4gvg.174285$F_3.138451@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84034

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:pvvpb2lkhrj5mnofu21cvhqdkdieivalbh@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 14:37:36 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite
>>songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average
>>number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number,
>>in
>>most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't really
>>like, which is hardly a gain.
>>
> The way this was determined was by people trying out songs on focus
> groups, but who picks the songs?
>
> I guarantee you I could do a station with a thousand hits and it would
> be #1.

It has been tried.

1. There are not 1000 hits in most formats (an exception or two exist)
2. when tried, the station with fewer and better songs wins in inverse
proportion to library size.

I had a station come after my classic rocker a few years ago. We had under
500 songs. They did 1,800. We had a 20 share, they had a 1.8.

Years before, I tried doing double the cuts of a leading AC. I lost big.
Listeners gave the variety image to the other station because they played
better music.

2899. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:44:43 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <f8gvg.112323$I61.51990@clgrps13>
Source record: 84035

dx(Sunday is part of the weekend, but NOT the end of the week)Ace wrote:

> I'm LMFAO

You misspelled FMLAO.

Will you be helping Cuhulin pick out a new bra this week? Can you spare
the time from your nocturnal Mexican skull bashing?

mike

2900. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:50:34 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Kdgvg.112396$I61.74377@clgrps13>
Source record: 84036

Telamon wrote:

> That does not sound unreasonable to not see an appreciable difference in
> a RMS amp meter since the power level in the digital side bands is small
> especially if it is taking the place of some of the analog high end
> spectrum.
>

I hate double negatives...Why not say "It sounds reasonable to not see
an appreciable.....) ? ?

mike

2901. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:52:45 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153273965.423975.195550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84037

Brenda Ann wrote:

> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs people
> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to a 300
> song playlist... t

You'd be surprised.

Some people buy an LP and only listen to one or two tracks. Some buy
45s and never listen to the flipside.

Some people go into a particular restaurant and ALWAYS order one
certain thing. Not everyone has very broad tastes in music.

Besides, consider the fact that most "normal" people may listen to the
radio for a couple hours a day, instead of having it constantly playing
like us radio geeks; they probably won't notice the repetition.

In the meantime, however, I will stick with 1,000-song Jack-FM, instead
of 500-song Q-104.

--
Stephanie Weil

2902. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:56:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BD915C-2184@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84039

www.plantek.us Law Enforcement Officers Decapitated at Mexican
Border.

Where is the Outrage? I am OUTRAGED.
cuhulin

2903. Re: Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 01:59:08 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <Mlgvg.30134$vl5.2183@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 84038

I have to agree with your assessment re: Sangean's; my ATS803A only
receives when I have a antenna amplifier on it, otherwise it is stone deaf
on SW.

"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153266037.962156.62730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dear Ms. Renee,
>
> I own or have owned three Sangean-brand receivers, all of which had
> problems within a short time (after the warranty expired). One radio
> "died" entirely, another was getting its computer in a twist, and the
> third, still in use, gives some problems with its mechanicals -
> buttons, knobs, etc. Therefore I, personally, would not recommend
> anyone buy a Sangean radio. That said, I do know there are people who
> are totally satisfied with theirs, but I can only go by my own
> experience.
>
> The Degen DE-1103 is a good buy at its price, if bought from the eBay
> dealer in China, but note that warranty service will be "pot-luck." I
> do know that the Degens are well-made, especially for a Chinese
> receiver. (Note that I do not own one.)
>
> I DO own five Sony ICF-SW7600GRs as well as two of the previous model,
> the ICF-SW7600G. The 'GRs are all in current use. These radios, in
> addition to performing exceptionally well, especially for a small
> portable radio, are built to an extremely high standard and hold up
> very well, probably better than any other small portable. They are easy
> and straightforward to use.
>
> It is my opinion that your husband (and you!) would like the Sony radio
> very much and would be pleased with it far longer than the others you
> mention. I highly recommend it to you.
>
> Best,
>
> Joe
>
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> > Hello all! This one looks good on paper but I can't find any reviews.
> > I'd like to get a small portable for my husband to take on his
> > overseas trips, I've been looking at this one, Sony ICF-7600GR or
> > Degen DE-1103. Any suggestions?
> > --Renee
>

2904. Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:20:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153275616.225575.252170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

SC,

Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission
-and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?
- - - The Times They Are A Changing !

While I can admire and respect an Amateur {HAM} Radio Operator
for Mastering Morris Code (CW).

Morris Code in and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.

Morris Code
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code

Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission 'process' in
and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_wave

The Amateur Radio Service is Greater than both Morris Code
and Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission - IMHO ~ RHF

Boy Scout Merit Badge Requirements - "RADIO"
http://www.meritbadge.com/mb/093.htm
At one time when I was a very young boy nd a Boy Scout
I Learned to Send and Receive Morris Code at about 5WPM
-but- Then I also learned to use Flags to Send Hand-Flag
"Semaphore" Signals Too !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semaphore_(communication)
Neither the ability to use Morris Code or the Semaphone
Flags to Communicate 'defined' Being A Boy Scout.

just an old boy scout at heart ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Slow Code wrote:
> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> > Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
> >> send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.

> >
> > I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
> > based.
> >
> > Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
> > a digital camera user:
> >
> > "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> > him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>
>
> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>
> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> improvement.
>
> SC

2905. Re: Thoughts for a Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:25:06 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <79GdnfVNCdOUBSDZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84041

<RJ> wrote:
> The Computer industry got it right the first time.
>
> They provide a (universal) case,
> plug-replaceable power supply,
> and plug-in circuit cards for features.
> You can lash together a working system from "the junk bin".
>
> Suppose the Radio industry followed suit;
>
> You could buy the case of your choice (portable or desk-size)
> A case insert for controls, frequency display.
> A wall-wart would probably be a suitable power supply.
> A plug in card for the audio quality of your choice,
> a plug-in for the rf section of your choice.
>
> Configure the radio with the features you need,
> using (standardized) plug-in components.
>
> Seems like manufacturers and consumers would win out.
>
> <rj>

Sounds like the Ten-tec RX-320: You pick the computer, you pick the sound card, you pick the control program and you can even put it in a different case!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2906. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:27:14 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hNOdnTBoU6QUBSDZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84042

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Readers of rec.radio.shortwave may be interested to check out my
> lastest posting on my SDR-1000 blog. I had the opportunity last week
> to do a "hands-on" comparison between a Winradio g313e receiver and
> my Flex-Radio SDR-1000. My friend and I concentrated on medium wave
> DX ability of the rigs, both for daytime DX with the locals at full
> power, and prior to sunrise for trans-Pacific MW splits.
>
> I will also soon be posting an entry unrelated to the SDR, but
> hopefully still of interest to radio enthusiasts-- the results of a
> direct "A-B" comparison between a Kiwa-hotrodded Sony ICF-2010 and an
> Eton E1 portable.
> The blog URL is below.
>
> 73,
>
> Guy Atkins
> Puyallup, WA USA
> www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

It would be nice to see a comparison of shortwave comparison as some
receivers are intentionally attenuated in the MW band.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2907. Re: (OT) : DX ace is truely bottomless in his hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:30:03 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <LOgvg.209836$Mn5.149738@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84043

k35454 wrote:
> "John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:hdDtg.171384$Mn5.141078@pd7tw3no...
> an old feind wrote:
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>> RHF wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> DE - Your reply would seem to indicate that you had
>>>>> the Answers to the Test before it was given . . . ~ RHF
>>>> He probably had some help. It would appear that the Hispanic community
> knows how
>>>> to fake most anything. Social Security numbers, Green Cards, birth
> certificates,
>>>> etc.
>>>>
>>>> dxAce
>>>> Michigan
>>>> USA
>>>>
>>>>
>>> So you are capable of sinking to a new low.
>>>
>>> JB
>> he is bottomless in his abilty to sink lower and lower
>>
>>
> It's a sad truth but you are right!
>
> JB
>
> But that does not rule out that on some occasions he is right.
> k35454.
>
>
>
I have no problems granting that DXAss can be right on occasion. But
that's the Law of Averages for him!

JB

2908. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:33:43 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <bSgvg.207016$iF6.197427@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 84044

Slow Code wrote:
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>
>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>> cuhulin
>>>>
>>>
>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>> yet.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
>> capacity.
>>
>> JB
>
>
> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>
> SC
>
We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international community.
Clear enough for you?

JB

2909. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 02:36:39 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <XUgvg.209842$Mn5.113680@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>>
>
>>> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
>>> highlight your ignorance.
>>> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
>>> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
>>> group...answer=absolutely none.
>>> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>>
>> Sorry.
>>
>> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
>
> there you go making friend world wide sc
>> My condolences.
>>
>> SC
>
>
Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.

JB

2910. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:10:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pohvg.132211$dW3.24427@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to
> college.

At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2911. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:14:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153278899.847878.266480@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
Sorry.
> >
> >> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
> >
> > there you go making friend world wide sc
> >> My condolences.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >
> Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
> than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.
>
> JB
well I replied for 2 reason
1 it cahnges the title and dumps it off in many people kilfiles since I
title the my responses to another peice of trash that way here on the
net
2 while I may not like some of thing Canada does (and the reverse is
also true) cananda has been there when the chip were REALY down

2912. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:20:19 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44BDA4F3.7000706@fuse.net>
Source record: 84049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
> SC,
>
> Morris Code
uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it

(and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

2913. Re: Any thoughts on the Sangean PT-80?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:20:21 UTC
From: M Peraaho <mperaaho@northlc.com>
Message-ID: <95adnVDkIItxOSDZnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@trueband.net>
Source record: 84048

I own a Degen 1103, a great radio for the $$$. Delivery from China takes from 7-14 days.

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/4288 for reviews of the Degen 1103 plus other receivers, etc

2914. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:26:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BDA65B-2191@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84050

Lets me go put my bra on.(y'all think I am jokin,now dont y'all?)
Dontchoo worry,Mr.RHF will step in purt soon with the Lyrics to that
song.I heard it on the radio bunches of years ago.
cuhulin

2915. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:31:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BDA785-2192@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84053

www.devilfinder.com Ling Ting Tong
cuhulin

2916. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:31:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84051

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>>
>> by Edward Montgomery
>>
> [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
>
> IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates

I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons for change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content, without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.

> I'd say most of us are keeping
> pace with the digital revolution. And I'd also say the digital threat to
> radio is from the individualized media now possible, rather than from the
> quality of the audio.

HD brings not just an improvement in perceived quality, but, with HD 2 and even HD 3, many more free options.

Most other alternatives that can be called "new radio" are fee based in some way, whether the fee is for the content or the delivery method.

The biggest threats are not these IMHO. They are gaming and other options for leisure time activities, not alternative radio staitons or substitutes.
>
> Not only do people have more choices, but they have their own choices.

A majority of adults do not want to spend time on such choices, at least yet. Part has to do with the complexity of delivery. There is an opportunity for radio to adapt, and I think this is HD.

> That's a powerful pull. And IBOC is just a band trashing form of the old
> school mass media. IBOC adds little of merit.

The band is only trashed if something anyone is listening to is no longer listenable. The issue for AM, for example, is that the audience is getting older and no younger listeners are coming in. This is based on a combination of quality and content... but the content can not be made appealing to under-45's without a commensurate quality gain.

2917. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:37:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <fOhvg.70143$fb2.4180@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84052

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9jn4r$o9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Qm6vg.123428$H71.24965@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> The fact is, most listeners do not have more than a few hundred favorite
>> songs in any genre. Several recent surveys have shown that the average
>> number of songs on an iPod is around 300! When you go beyond that number,
>> in most formats or genres, you are playing songs that listeners don't
>> really like, which is hardly a gain.
>>
>> Calling the limiting of a playlist to songs most people like, as opposed
>> to songs they don't like, is hardly "censorship." It is more like "common
>> sense."
>>
>> The reason Baskin Robbins does not have 1000 flavors is that most people
>> like the same 8 or 10, and occasionally try one or another in an array of
>> 30 to 40. Same with music.
>
> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs people
> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to a
> 300 song playlist... that would (and does) drive me totally mad. My
> personal library of mp3's (not CD's, I have thousands of those) has over
> 4500 tracks, all but a handful of which were Billboard Hot 100 or Top 100
> Country, and more than 9/10 were Top 40. Some of those that were not in
> the Hot 100 were released before Billboard charts existed, and were in the
> top 30 of the charts of their day. ~97% of the library is tracks that were
> popular during my lifetime from about 5 years old on.
>
> I may be a little eccentric, but I can't believe that the average person
> would like only ~7% of the music they hear over a lifetime.. or I doubt
> radio would exist. Certainly a 'Top 40' chart would be superfluous..

Radio plays songs that are hits today.... most songs from the past were hits
but are not appealing for many reasons today.

When you actually play songs for real listeners, you find that there is a
very short list in any mass appeal format of songs that are currently
appealing.

The #1 station in San Antonio, which has been #1 for 5 years, played 57
songs for its first 6 months, and plays about 110 songs now.

The #1 music station in LA plays less than 300 songs, and it has been in the
top three or four stations for 16 years.

What listeners do, if they have a variety of tastes, is look for the best of each genre on different stations. So if you like several genres, you hear the 300 to 500 best on three different stations... a total of over 1000 songs. And you will find that mood determines genre choice at any one moment... so you just can't play multiple genres on a single station in most cases. The exceptions are very rare.

2918. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:39:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84054

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio
> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder,
> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is
> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
> start coming in in droves.

I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to out of
metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and 5 mv/m
for AM) is less than 1% of total.
>
>

2919. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:41:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%Rhvg.70149$fb2.27569@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84055

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153273965.423975.195550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs people
>> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to a
>> 300
>> song playlist... t
>
> You'd be surprised.
>
> Some people buy an LP and only listen to one or two tracks. Some buy
> 45s and never listen to the flipside.
>
> Some people go into a particular restaurant and ALWAYS order one
> certain thing. Not everyone has very broad tastes in music.
>
> Besides, consider the fact that most "normal" people may listen to the
> radio for a couple hours a day, instead of having it constantly playing
> like us radio geeks; they probably won't notice the repetition.

Good point. The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
average American. That is a little over 2 hours a day, so the repetition is
not going to be at all noticeable.

2920. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:56:38 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84056

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >>
> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >>
> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >
> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
>
> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons for
> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
>
> > I'd say most of us are keeping
> > pace with the digital revolution. And I'd also say the digital threat to
> > radio is from the individualized media now possible, rather than from the
> > quality of the audio.
>
> HD brings not just an improvement in perceived quality, but, with HD 2 and
> even HD 3, many more free options.
>
> Most other alternatives that can be called "new radio" are fee based in
> some way, whether the fee is for the content or the delivery method.
>
> The biggest threats are not these IMHO. They are gaming and other options
> for leisure time activities, not alternative radio staitons or substitutes.
> >
> > Not only do people have more choices, but they have their own choices.
>
> A majority of adults do not want to spend time on such choices, at least
> yet. Part has to do with the complexity of delivery. There is an opportunity
> for radio to adapt, and I think this is HD.
>
> > That's a powerful pull. And IBOC is just a band trashing form of the old
> > school mass media. IBOC adds little of merit.
>
> The band is only trashed if something anyone is listening to is no longer

> listenable. The issue for AM, for example, is that the audience is getting
> older and no younger listeners are coming in. This is based on a combination
> of quality and content... but the content can not be made appealing to
> under-45's without a commensurate quality gain.

If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
will be the stake through its heart.

2921. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 03:57:59 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84057

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio
>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder,
>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is
>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
>> start coming in in droves.
>
> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to out of
> metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and 5 mv/m
> for AM) is less than 1% of total.

Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener base
in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)

2922. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:00:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BDAE61-2194@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84061

Yeah,there she is SC.Go look in your mirror.
cuhulin

2923. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:02:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <29ivg.70164$fb2.21818@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84059

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
> will be the stake through its heart

It is already dying. HD is an effort to see if it can be saved.
>

2924. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:02:18 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153281738.467174.219840@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84058

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153273965.423975.195550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >
> >> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs people
> >> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to a
> >> 300
> >> song playlist... t
> >
> > You'd be surprised.
> >
> > Some people buy an LP and only listen to one or two tracks. Some buy
> > 45s and never listen to the flipside.
> >
> > Some people go into a particular restaurant and ALWAYS order one
> > certain thing. Not everyone has very broad tastes in music.
> >
> > Besides, consider the fact that most "normal" people may listen to the
> > radio for a couple hours a day, instead of having it constantly playing
> > like us radio geeks; they probably won't notice the repetition.
>
> Good point. The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
> average American. That is a little over 2 hours a day, so the repetition is
> not going to be at all noticeable.

I hear the same "hits" on KFOG all the time. It's the songs I can't
stand that I noticed the most. Who the hell wants to hear the Cars do
"best friend's girlfriend" in 2006. Hell, I don't want to hear any song
by the Cars. They are so last century...The same with Queen, Madness,
Yes, and similar bands that really don't stand the test of time.

I guess the point is my 300 songs won't be your 300 songs, but if they
play 3000 songs, at least my list will be covered.

2925. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:06:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xdivg.70167$fb2.1668@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84060

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>
>>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
>>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio
>>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder,
>>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is
>>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
>>> start coming in in droves.
>>
>> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to out
>> of metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and 5
>> mv/m for AM) is less than 1% of total.
>
> Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
> appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener base
> in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)

That is a 1% of listening share... and I said "not even." A 0.8 share is
equal to about 13,000 persons.

These are 100,000 listeners who also listen to many local stations home to
the market.

For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It
also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents who
want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier and
clearer via streaming.

2926. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:08:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BDB035-2195@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84063

Saving Private Ryan? My last name is Ryan. www.ryans.org

My eight years older brother (Mom had two sons,I am one of them) and I both were in Vietnam in 1964.He was a Helicopter Mechanic at Vung Tau,Vietnam.I once caught the Chaplin run one Sunday to go visit my brother.
www.angelfire.com/md2/Ldotvets
Page Three,tain
cuhulin

2927. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:11:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ohivg.70175$fb2.17705@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84062

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153281738.467174.219840@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153273965.423975.195550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Brenda Ann wrote:
>> >
>> >> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs
>> >> people
>> >> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to
>> >> a
>> >> 300
>> >> song playlist... t
>> >
>> > You'd be surprised.
>> >
>> > Some people buy an LP and only listen to one or two tracks. Some buy
>> > 45s and never listen to the flipside.
>> >
>> > Some people go into a particular restaurant and ALWAYS order one
>> > certain thing. Not everyone has very broad tastes in music.
>> >
>> > Besides, consider the fact that most "normal" people may listen to the
>> > radio for a couple hours a day, instead of having it constantly playing
>> > like us radio geeks; they probably won't notice the repetition.
>>
>> Good point. The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>> average American. That is a little over 2 hours a day, so the repetition
>> is
>> not going to be at all noticeable.
>
> I hear the same "hits" on KFOG all the time. It's the songs I can't
> stand that I noticed the most. Who the hell wants to hear the Cars do
> "best friend's girlfriend" in 2006. Hell, I don't want to hear any song
> by the Cars. They are so last century...The same with Queen, Madness,
> Yes, and similar bands that really don't stand the test of time.
>
> I guess the point is my 300 songs won't be your 300 songs, but if they
> play 3000 songs, at least my list will be covered.

... and everyone will hate it.

2928. Re: Thoughts for a Slow Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:20:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19261-44BDB311-2196@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84064

Anybody can buy retail quality computer parts and build a quality computer.Building a radio from a bunch of quality radio parts,probally takes more effort than building a computer. www.devilfinder.com
How to build your own computer
cuhulin

2929. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:33:00 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9kcho$3ar$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84065

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:xdivg.70167$fb2.1668@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there.

"For all we know." Now there's the rub. Why not just admit that you DON'T know. I personally don't believe in statistics based upon a tiny percentage of a poplulation, where someone tries to tell me that 10,000 people in a metro area of millions can tell what all those millions like to listen to, watch, see, whatever. You and your kind will tell me that there is a margin of error in these statistics of +/- 3 or 4%. I'm not really buying that, either. Have you gone door to door to ask each person in the area in question what they like in order to verify the results supposed from the tiny sample?

I can take my own polls that are just as scientific and just as likely accurate as yours are. I suspect that about 75% of those polled in this NG and in rec.antiques.radio+phono would say that they are not interested in the introduction of any digital format into the existing bands at all. I'm with several of the others, and feel that a totally new band should be used to introduce a digital-only service. If you want to use iBiquity's trashy system there, then fine. Perhaps you would even get some of these people to tune into it (one of them would not be myself.)

In short, if you want me to buy anything you say about how listeners feel about the IBOC system in general, or the QRM to adjacent and second adjacent channels in particular, then go out and interview them all and I'll go along with what they say, if you will do so also if they tell you to stuff it. I'll bet the subject of potential interference to other stations is not even brought up in the questions asked. How about putting that one in there? "If there were a potential to have interference to a weak station you listen to, even occasionally, would you still want "HD radio" available"? As has been noted before, IBOC is a problem masquerading as a solution to a problem that doesn't exist as far as the general populace of the country is concerned.

2930. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:46:54 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <XMednfrIGNDDJCDZnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84066
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Hi Brian,

Neither the Winradio or Flex-Radio models have any attenuation in the mediumwave range, nor on any other band that I know of. I do know the Flex-Radio folks clearly state "full sensitivity" throughout it's frequency range, and in some forum postings their representatives have mentioned "no attenuation" on any frequency a few times in the 2+ years I've followed the SDR-1000's development. My experience bears this out.

During the comparison, John and I also did some checks in the tropical bands, and found the radios very, very similar on those frequencies too. In fact, at home I use the SDR-1000 much more for tropical band DXing than I do for medium wave, as the trans-Pacific stations are not heard regularly here. I'll mention, though, that once in a while I find my modded ICOM R-75 more sensitive on a DX signal, but the SDR-1000 often has better copy due to the cleaner sounding reproduction. Then there are rare times that the R-75 is clearly the winner on HF. It never hurts to have more than one receiver and more than one antenna around!

Miso-- my comparisons to the ICOM R-75 are here and there throughout the blog. Two to look up, however, are from March 26th and April 16th, 2006.

Regarding the ALA 100 loop: if I recall, Andy didn't think more than 3 turns would be of any benefit. I don't think he mentioned any max. total wire length. I wasn't planning on using more than 50 feet, as that's around the maximum recommended for a large single-turn loop (non-rotating :^) The nice thing about the ALA 100 model is the flexibility for experimenting with various shapes and dimensions. The new ALA 100s also have a higher dynamic range/IP3 preamplifier than the older Wellbrook models like the ALA 1530.

73,

Guy

"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:hNOdnTBoU6QUBSDZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> It would be nice to see a comparison of shortwave comparison as some
> receivers are intentionally attenuated in the MW band.
>
>

2931. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:53:09 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12brelk76dlj901@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod wrote:

> RHF wrote:
>
>> SC,
>>
>> Morris Code
>
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code" Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.

Dave WD9BDZ

2932. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 04:54:46 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-98B1D6.21544618072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84068

In article <DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >>
> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >>
> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >
> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
>
> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons for
> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.

< Snip >

1. Money invested in receiving equipment.
2. Going to a more complex transmission scheme.
3. Control over who can listen.
4. Using a proprietary scheme over one in the public domain.
5. Only somewhat compatible with existing spectrum usage.
6. The change is advantageous for the broadcasters in reducing costs and
possibly creating additional revenue where the listener just gets
additional costs. A deal for the listener...not.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2933. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 05:14:07 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <esfrb297vdlk9u25itk3iuja1ss4i0ca1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 84069

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 02:33:43 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>
>>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>>> cuhulin
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>>> yet.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
>>> capacity.
>>>
>>> JB
>>
>>
>> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>>
>> SC
>>
>We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international community.
>Clear enough for you?
>
>JB

Hard to stand up without a spine!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

2934. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 05:18:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kgjvg.174320$F_3.16278@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84070

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9kcho$3ar$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:xdivg.70167$fb2.1668@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
>> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there.
>
> "For all we know." Now there's the rub. Why not just admit that you DON'T
> know.

Actually, we do know. We can see the listening ZIP codes for work and home of every diarykeeper. We can also make logical conclusions that if an LA resident is listening to WSB at noon, they are listening to a stream. Since none of us have much interest in this, we don't tabulate it when we review diaries since the data is not actionable.

>I personally don't believe in statistics based upon a tiny percentage of a
>poplulation, where someone tries to tell me that 10,000 people in a metro
>area of millions can tell what all those millions like to listen to, watch,
>see, whatever.

Actually, using LA again as an example, there are 7,000 diary keepers over 12 weeks for each survey... or about 600 a week.

Statistics determins the accuracy of any poll, and Arbitron gives a method to calculate the margin of error for any data in the survey. The more granular the data, the bigger the margin.

Statistics is the only science where "error" is not a dirty word. The whole idea of polling is to use as small a sample as possible to get the desired results. Since advertisers are the users of the data, there is no reason to increase the sample if they are willing to spend about $21 billion a year based on the ratings we have.

One test of a survey is to do the same sample size several times, and see if each sample replicates the other(s) within the desired margin of error. In all cases, Arbitron samples are replicable. That means the sample size is accurate for its stated purpose which is putting a value on radio advertising.

> You and your kind will tell me that there is a margin of error in these
> statistics of +/- 3 or 4%.

Actually, the margin of error varies by staiton audience size, age under study, and daypart. A staiton in LA with a 4.8 (current #1 station, KLVE) is really betwen a 4.6 and a 5.0. A station with a 0.4 share is between a 0.2

and a 0.6, or a huge range by percentage. The stations advertisers buy have big numbers, so the accuracy is very good... and many advertisers hedge by doing multi-book averages.

Keep in mind, Arbitron is a sales tool. For programming, we do prporietary research of totally different kinds.

> I'm not really buying that, either. Have you gone door to door to ask each
> person in the area in question what they like in order to verify the
> results supposed from the tiny sample?

I have replicated Arbitron over and over and over. You do not have to do a census, as nobody could afford this. I can do a phone survey instantly that will be highly in agreement with Arbitron if I use the same techniques for balancing the sample to reflect the population by age, sex, geography and ethnicity. I even once did a sample of people in a seminar and came very close to replicating Arbitron. This is not black magic.
>
> I can take my own polls that are just as scientific and just as likely
> accurate as yours are.

I doubt it. First, you have to have a sampling technique that is proportional, weighting formulas to smooth any variations from a perfect sample, lots of people and software to tabulate, etc. You have to incentivize the participants to track listening for a week (or a year with the people meter), and the sample has to be random. Arbitron has a whole crew of Ph. D's working on sampling technique and then the ad industry audits them yearly for about a month.

For proprietary surveys such as radio research companies sometimes do, count on a cost of several thousand dollars a week per station. And this is just for a predictive report on the demo the station most wants, not the whole market. An Arbitron survey of LA costs hundreds of thousands of dollars each quarter.

> I suspect that about 75% of those polled in this NG and in
> rec.antiques.radio+phono would say that they are not interested in the
> introduction of any digital format into the existing bands at all. I'm
> with several of the others, and feel that a totally new band should be
> used to introduce a digital-only service. If you want to use iBiquity's
> trashy system there, then fine. Perhaps you would even get some of these
> people to tune into it (one of them would not be myself.)

I would expect that DXers would not constitute a random sample of a market. You are off to a bad start. I also know that listeners have a lot of interest in having more free choices and digital quality. They just do not know, yet, that HD offers this.
>
> In short, if you want me to buy anything you say about how listeners feel
> about the IBOC system in general, or the QRM to adjacent and second
> adjacent channels in particular, then go out and interview them all and
> I'll go along with what they say, if you will do so also if they tell you

> to stuff it.

The cost of surverying every US listener would be greater than the buget for the US Census. A billion, maybe? There is no reason to do this when a random probability sample can be extremely accurate with less than 1000 interviews per million population.

> I'll bet the subject of potential interference to other stations is not
> even brought up in the questions asked. How about putting that one in
> there? "If there were a potential to have interference to a weak station
> you listen to, even occasionally, would you still want "HD radio"
> available"?

We already know that there is essentially no measured listening to weak signals.

> As has been noted before, IBOC is a problem masquerading as a solution to
> a problem that doesn't exist as far as the general populace of the country
> is concerned.

AM is getting older. The average listener age has increased by about one year as every year passes. In a few more years, there will be no salable audience on AM as all listeners will be over 55. At that point, the band ceases to be viable, and you will not worry whether the signals are weak or strong, near or far, as there will be nothing left on AM but a few preachers or some station in Russian or Farsi in a big metro.

2935. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 05:20:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <pijvg.174321$F_3.131823@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84071

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-98B1D6.21544618072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>> >>
>> >> by Edward Montgomery
>> >>
>> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
>> >
>> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
>>
>> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
>> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
>> for
>> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
>> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
>
> < Snip >
>
> 1. Money invested in receiving equipment.
> 2. Going to a more complex transmission scheme.
> 3. Control over who can listen.
> 4. Using a proprietary scheme over one in the public domain.
> 5. Only somewhat compatible with existing spectrum usage.
> 6. The change is advantageous for the broadcasters in reducing costs and
> possibly creating additional revenue where the listener just gets
> additional costs. A deal for the listener...not.

Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a free
medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will come
down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.

It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not protect
the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.

2936. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 06:10:03 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-CEC7EE.23100318072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84072

In article <pijvg.174321$F_3.131823@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-98B1D6.21544618072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >> >>
> >> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >> >>
> >> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >> >
> >> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
> >>
> >> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> >> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
> >> for
> >> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> >> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> > 1. Money invested in receiving equipment.
> > 2. Going to a more complex transmission scheme.
> > 3. Control over who can listen.
> > 4. Using a proprietary scheme over one in the public domain.
> > 5. Only somewhat compatible with existing spectrum usage.
> > 6. The change is advantageous for the broadcasters in reducing costs and
> > possibly creating additional revenue where the listener just gets
> > additional costs. A deal for the listener...not.
>
> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a free
> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will come
> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
>
> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not protect

> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.

Why would another band cost more money for the listener? Why would partitioning the current band into HD and analog cost more money for the listener? Why would other transmission schemes cost more money for the listener?

It wouldn't cost the listeners more but it would cost the broadcasters more money. So your problem is selling IBOC to the listeners where the benefit is small. The advantage to IBOC is for the broadcasters. IBOC might be a way for broadcasters to cut their electric bill when analog is dropped but that's about it. IBOC will cause listeners to toss their current radios for new ones that will not sound any better than analog for local signals either. IBOC is money down the drain for the listener.

The result is a large cost to the listener for a new radio for little if any benefit. The listener will not have the option of listening to "out of market" signals limiting their choices.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2937. Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 06:23:35 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84073

David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this yet."

I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:

There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and smaller square waves.

AND

You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.

--
Over 5 decades of great hits
Full Spectrum Radio
http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

2938. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 06:35:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153290945.176667.305550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod - Oops ! - You Are Right ~RHF
.
.
. .

jawod wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > SC,
> >
> > Morris Code
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

2939. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 06:55:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153292127.825715.283790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

DGN,

The Modern International Morse Code was invented by
Friedrich Clemens Gerke in 1848 and first used for the
Telegraphy between Hamburg and Cuxhaven in Germany.
After some minor changes in 1865 it has been standardised
at the International Telegraphy Congress in Paris (1865),
and later normed by the ITU as International Morse Code.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code#Modern_International_Morse_code

Vice the "American Morse Code"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Morse_code
Also known as "Railroad" Morse Code
-by- Samuel Finley Breese Morse
http://en.wikipedia.orwiki/Samuel_Morse

Morris-the-Cat knows Code :
mew-meow-meow-mew mew mew meow-mew-mew
meow-meow mew
http://www.swapmeetdave.com/Humor/Cats/Morris.htm

talk to the animals - they know code ~ RHF
.
. .

David G. Nagel wrote:
> jawod wrote:
>
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> SC,
> >>
> >> Morris Code
> >
> > uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
> >
> > (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)
>
>
> Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.
>

> Dave WD9BDZ

2940. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 07:01:06 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-62AF75.00010619072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84076

In article <e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net>,
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
> smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> physics call your bluff.

The reality is worse than the theoretical because you have to add the
distortion and noise of analog to digital conversion and then digital
back to analog.

Analog uses the bandwidth as a contiguous spectrum where the digital
schemes use a series of discrete carriers so it is not as efficient.
Lower efficiency, quantization errors, low bit rate means that there is
no chance digital can sound as good as analog in the same bandwidth.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2941. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 07:04:18 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff@local.host>
Message-ID: <e9klgi$n7d$2@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 84077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
> Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
> that Morse encoded spark
>

Actually that is not correct.
Within the original meaning of CW, the Titanic used a CW transmitter.
It was not a spark transmitter, the rf energy was produced by an alternator
which provided 'continuous' rf power, hence CW. The output was not a damped
wave that a spark transmitter would produce, but an interrupted 'continuous
wave' (from the alternator).

Regards
Jeff

2942. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 08:20:29 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44BDEB4C.632E3641@theseminary.net>
Source record: 84078

Cuhulin, I think you ought to bust a move on that brunette.

==========

Steve, your going to give him a heart attack. I like Cuhulin, for
his simple "down-home-humor". with all the hate/political post on
this list; he kind of smoothes thinks out for me.

Father Michael...

2943. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 09:45:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BDFF29.6FCDF97@milestones.com>
Source record: 84079

David Frackelton Gleason, shamelessly posing as 'Eduardo', gave real Hispanics cause for alarm when he wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
> > don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio
> > or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder,
> > and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is
> > coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
> > start coming in in droves.
>
> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to out of
> metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and 5 mv/m
> for AM) is less than 1% of total.

Fake Hispanics (such as yourself) are probably less than 1% of the total as well.

But they're out there!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2944. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 09:52:23 UTC
From: The Messengers <solomon216@nohorriblespammersgoawaynastytoeragsv21net.co.uk>
Message-ID: <44bf522e$1@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 84080

Hoora for Analog ;-) Mike
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate.
> It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> smaller and smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you
> try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org
>

2945. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 09:56:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84081

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' and trying to hide the fact that
he's just white trash outta Cleveland wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BC570C.CF21B62E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', Univision Radio's paid
> > shill and
> > pedantic prancer wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153190980.946449.143750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1153176700.326940.310010@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Whatever. I can't imagine what motivates you to post this crap here.
> >> >> > You know you aren't going to sell us on IBOC, so why waste your
> >> >> > time?
> >> >>
> >> >> It realtes to DX as HD uses specturm. It also shows that there is a
> >> >> lot
> >> >> of
> >> >> sentiment for it in radio, and it is unlikely it will go away. There
> >> >> was
> >> >> noting like this support for AM stereo...
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > But no one in this group cares. No one is interested in what you have
> >> > to say, so why bother?
> >>
> >> Actually, some are. I have even received e-mails on the subject from
> >> readers
> >> of the group.
> >>
> >> If you are not interested in the subject, you are, like DXass, playing
> >> ostrich. You may not like what I post, so ignore my postings. But HD is
> >> going to be on most of the viable AMs in the US in a few years.
> >
> > And you are always playing hide the head up the ass, dipshit!
>
> Say 100 times into the mirror.
>

> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.

Bigot?

You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.

Shame on you, you worthless prancing prick.

You may now return to digitally manipulating yourself.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2946. Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 09:57:58 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 84082

Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has come to power.

It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is sourced from NPR.

Rant over

Yours truly
Adam

2947. SSB on the sangean ats-505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 09:58:43 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44be0250@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 84083

How does the SSB work on the ats-505?.

2948. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 10:05:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE03CD.4D4F06D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84084

dxAce wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> > > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> > > can't even test it ???????????
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >
> > Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
> > sell. Probably know nothing about radio.
> >
> > There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
> >
> > Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
> > serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.
> >
>
> Up to $1525.00 now.

Up to $1565.00 now.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2949. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 10:48:14 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <xJmdnTgFgepykCPZnZ2dnUVZ_r2dnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 84085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
Morris?

Scott

RHF wrote:

> SC,
>
> Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission
> -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?
> - - - The Times They Are A Changing !
>
> While I can admire and respect an Amateur {HAM} Radio Operator
> for Mastering Morris Code (CW).
>
> Morris Code in and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>
> Morris Code
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code
>
> Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission 'process' in
> and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_wave
>
> The Amateur Radio Service is Greater than both Morris Code
> and Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission - IMHO ~ RHF
>
> Boy Scout Merit Badge Requirements - "RADIO"
> http://www.meritbadge.com/mb/093.htm
> At one time when I was a very young boy nd a Boy Scout
> I Learned to Send and Receive Morris Code at about 5WPM
> -but- Then I also learned to use Flags to Send Hand-Flag
> "Semaphore" Signals Too !
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semaphore_(communication)
> Neither the ability to use Morris Code or the Semaphone
> Flags to Communicate 'defined' Being A Boy Scout.
>
>
> just an old boy scout at heart ~ RHF
> .
> .

> . .
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>>news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>
>>
>>>In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
>>>Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
>>>>send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.
>>>
>>>I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
>>>based.
>>>
>>>Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
>>>a digital camera user:
>>>
>>>"If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
>>>him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>
>>
>>Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>
>>Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>>bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>>improvement.
>>
>>SC
>
>

2950. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:48:26 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153309706.415233.79610@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84086
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> Roger wrote:
> > (Rogre wismen snet me this grteing and i creid when red it)
> please take such a card if it exists fill it with gupwoder stick it up
> his ass and light it off

Markie is really, really into anal! BWHAHAHAHAHA!

2951. Re: Greetings to Mark from Roger, His Best Friend.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:49:20 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153309760.043736.205420@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84087
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:
> (Rogre wismen snet me this grteing and i creid when red it)
>
>
>
> Today is the international day for the mentally disabled.
>
>
>
> Please send an encouraging message to a mentally challenged friend--
>
>
>
> as I have just done for you.
>
>
>
> Just thought I'd let your retarded ass know I don't mind being friends with
> you.
>
>
>
> I don't care if you lick windows or even if you wear a football helmet to
> work.
>
>
>
> It doesn't bother me that you wear your underwear on the outside of your
> pants.
>
>
>
> You hang on in there because you are doing great!
>
>
>
> You are Special, so keep trying!
>
>
>
> Have a Great Day You Stupid Bastard!!!

Hi Llardass!

2952. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:51:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153309914.485797.40850@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84088
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2953. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:52:00 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A1pvg.70221$fb2.15058@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.

Sam's original equipment used ink and scrolling paper to
record the dots and dashes because he didn't think an
ordinary human being could distinguish between the sound
of the dots and the sound of the dashes. He was wrong.
Human operators quickly discovered that they could distinguish
the difference between the down clicks and up clicks and
therefore distinguish the dots from the dashes.

It is true that Sam's "American" Morse was different from
"International" Morse in about a dozen characters but both
used dots and dashes. Still, more characters were alike
than were different.

The term "lid" may have originated from newbie Morse operators
laying a lid on top of the relay receiver to make it easier to
distinguish the dots from the dashes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2954. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:53:10 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153309990.338447.109670@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > <sarcasm>
> >
> > Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.
> >
> > </sarcasm>
> I don't conservse

We know, your English skills are atrocious. You are too stupid to
understand sarcasm, too.
```

2955. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:56:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310204.225252.136410@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2956. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:56:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310207.605252.255470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
> > Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> > use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> > Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.
>
> Sam's original equipment used ink and scrolling paper to
> record the dots and dashes because he didn't think an
> ordinary human being could distinguish between the sound
> of the dots and the sound of the dashes. He was wrong.
> Human operators quickly discovered that they could distinguish
> the difference between the down clicks and up clicks and
> therefore distinguish the dots from the dashes.
oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
day could do so?

2957. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:57:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310272.132969.123120@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!

2958. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:58:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310313.125119.70820@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84094
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Hi kiddie diddler!
```

2959. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 11:59:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310353.842716.137800@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84095
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Hi Kiddie diddler!

2960. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:00:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310428.974226.315340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

```
kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!
still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame
```

2961. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:03:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310592.667897.273360@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

```
an old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!
> still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame

Still more of functional illiterate Mark C. Morgan's idiocy.
```

2962. Re: get help sicko yet more from the Robeson conspricay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:04:29 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310669.424400.11220@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84098
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
>
> Hi kiddie diddler!
hi Steve

2963. More Morkie Mularkie, Now Expanded To Yet More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:07:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153310837.179390.174140@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84099
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> >
> > Hi kiddie diddler!
> hi Steve

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> to whom it concern
>
> Maj Steven J Robeson of the CAP has enaged in a multi effort at libbel
> and fraud

More Morkie Mularkie.

Mork's own words:

QUOTE, with headers:

rec.radio.amateur.policy > MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO
BOTTOM OF POST ! ! ! ! - View Parsed

Show only message text

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail

From: "K4YZ" <stevenrobe...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO BOTTOM OF POST ! !
! !
Date: 18 Jul 2006 02:45:20 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 326
Message-ID: <1153215920.154710.317080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1153123639.554731.254490@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1153133531.807846.199120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
<1153137206.837361.119190@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153137452.705376.127880@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1153139226.082886.51660@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>

<1153140262.012394.71110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153140708.033272.130540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
<1153141256.801484.130400@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153142978.016837.273330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153163472.070482.159880@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.200
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1153215925 14521 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2006
09:45:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 18 Jul 2006 09:45:25 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; AOL 9.0; Windows
NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
X-HTTP-Via: HTTP/1.1 (Velocity/1.3.32 [uScMs f p eN:t cCMp s]),
HTTP/1.1 Turboweb [dtc-tb093 8.4.0], HTTP/1.0
cache-dtc-ad06.proxy.aol.com[CDBC74C8] (Traffic-Server/6.1.0 [uScM])
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.116.200;
posting-account=S2H1pw0AAABMN6X6vM47XP5jq1r6FlhV

an old friend wrote more silliness:

> the facts are no such tape exists and my wife is male

2964. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:07:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310843.220381.261550@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > Poor Markie, he's the reason the country is dumbing down.
> LOL

I'm sure you get one when you look in the mirror.

2965. Re: get help sicko yet more from the Robeson conspricay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:08:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310888.705844.127630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84101
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> >
> > Hi kiddie diddler!
> hi Steve

Hi Dumbass!

2966. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Expanded To Yet More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:09:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310941.621275.132310@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84102
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > get help sicko
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi kiddie diddler!
> > hi Steve
>
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > to whom it concern
> >
> > Maj Steven J Robeson of the CAP has enaged in a multi effort at libbel
> > and fraud
>
>
> More Morkie Mularkie.
>
> Mork's own words:
>
> QUOTE, with headers:
>
> rec.radio.amateur.policy > MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO
> BOTTOM OF POST ! ! ! ! - View Parsed
>
> Show only message text
>
>
> Path:
> g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
>
> From: "K4YZ" <stevenrobe...@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO BOTTOM OF POST ! !
> ! !
> Date: 18 Jul 2006 02:45:20 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 326
> Message-ID: <1153215920.154710.317080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

> References: <1153123639.554731.254490@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> <1153133531.807846.199120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
> <1153137206.837361.119190@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1153137452.705376.127880@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> <1153139226.082886.51660@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> <1153140262.012394.71110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1153140708.033272.130540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
> <1153141256.801484.130400@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1153142978.016837.273330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1153163472.070482.159880@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> <1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.200
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1153215925 14521 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2006
> 09:45:25 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 18 Jul 2006 09:45:25 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; AOL 9.0; Windows
> NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> X-HTTP-Via: HTTP/1.1 (Velocity/1.3.32 [uScMs f p eN:t cCMp s]),
> HTTP/1.1 Turboweb [dtc-tb093 8.4.0], HTTP/1.0
> cache-dtc-ad06.proxy.aol.com[CDBC74C8] (Traffic-Server/6.1.0 [uScM])
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=205.188.116.200;
> posting-account=S2H1pw0AAABMN6X6vM47XP5jq1r6FlhV
>
>
> an old friend wrote more silliness:
>
> > the facts are no such tape exists and my wife is male

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2967. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:09:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310984.142155.42020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84103
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> Hi Kiddie diddler!
still more from the robeson conspriacy

2968. More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:09:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153310985.216120.136470@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84104
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> to whom it concern
>
> Maj Steven J Robeson of the CAP has enaged in a multi effort at libbel
> and fraud

More Morkie Mularkie.

Mork's own words:

QUOTE, with headers:

rec.radio.amateur.policy > MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO
BOTTOM OF POST ! ! ! ! - View Parsed

Show only message text

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail

From: "K4YZ" <stevenrobe...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: MARKIE ADMITS "SHE" IS MALE! READ DOWN TO BOTTOM OF POST ! !
! !
Date: 18 Jul 2006 02:45:20 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 326
Message-ID: <1153215920.154710.317080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1153123639.554731.254490@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1153133531.807846.199120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
<1153137206.837361.119190@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153137452.705376.127880@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1153139226.082886.51660@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1153140262.012394.71110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153140708.033272.130540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
<1153141256.801484.130400@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153142978.016837.273330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153163472.070482.159880@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.200
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1153215925 14521 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2006
09:45:25 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 18 Jul 2006 09:45:25 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1153165082.988777.294760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; AOL 9.0; Windows
NT 5.1; SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
X-HTTP-Via: HTTP/1.1 (Velocity/1.3.32 [uScMs f p eN:t cCMp s]),
HTTP/1.1 Turboweb [dtc-tb093 8.4.0], HTTP/1.0
cache-dtc-ad06.proxy.aol.com[CDBC74C8] (Traffic-Server/6.1.0 [uScM])
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.116.200;
posting-account=S2H1pw0AAABMN6X6vM47XP5jq1r6FlhV

an old friend wrote more silliness:

> the facts are no such tape exists and my wife is male

2969. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:13:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153311209.866961.21960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84105
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > to whom it concern
> >
> > Maj Steven J Robeson of the CAP has enaged in a multi effort at libbel
> > and fraud
>
>
> More Morkie Mularkie.
I refer you to answer given before No further addresign of your issues
will take place until you make the call that proves you are lying

you may of whine all you like

your effeort at misuing quotes out of context and out lies are not
proof

make the call robeson

2970. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:15:59 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3opvg.70224$fb2.34545@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
> by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
> day could do so?

Please note that I didn't say ordinary human beings could read
telegraph code. All I said was that ordinary human beings could
distinguish between the sound of a dot and the sound of a dash.

I think that's a pretty safe assumption with "ordinary" in the
sense of an average human possessing average hearing abilities.
I would bet that a dog could even be trained to distinguish a
dot from a dash.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2971. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:16:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153311370.083647.225490@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84107

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
> > will be the stake through its heart
>
> It is already dying. HD is an effort to see if it can be saved.
> >

HD is a pathetic and misguided effort to see if it can be saved.

2972. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:16:58 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153311417.976949.320310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
get help sicko

2973. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:18:26 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153311506.678480.4530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84109

David Eduardo wrote:

> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a free
> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will come
> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
>
> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not protect
> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.

You don't read these comments very closely, do you Tardo? At least half
a dozen posters have answered every one of the above points, but you
didn't even READ their posts.

2974. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:18:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153311534.122551.258640@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
> > by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
> > day could do so?
>
> Please note that I didn't say ordinary human beings could read
> telegraph code. All I said was that ordinary human beings could
> distinguish between the sound of a dot and the sound of a dash.
>
> I think that's a pretty safe assumption with "ordinary" in the
> sense of an average human possessing average hearing abilities.
> I would bet that a dog could even be trained to distinguish a
> dot from a dash.
I stand corrected although the inclation was that ordinary people could
read the code itself but the poit is made I think
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2975. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:19:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153311580.149311.11620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84111

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>
> >>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
> >>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table radio
> >>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and harder,
> >>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands is
> >>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
> >>> start coming in in droves.
> >>
> >> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to out
> >> of metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and 5
> >> mv/m for AM) is less than 1% of total.
> >
> > Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
> > appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener base
> > in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)
>
> That is a 1% of listening share... and I said "not even." A 0.8 share is
> equal to about 13,000 persons.
>
> These are 100,000 listeners who also listen to many local stations home to
> the market.
>
> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It
> also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents who
> want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier and
> clearer via streaming.

Why should we believe any statistics you produce when you have a vested
interest in the spread of IBOC and the destruction of AM?

2976. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:21:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153311681.159222.53450@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84112

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kcho$3ar$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:xdivg.70167$fb2.1668@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
> >> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there.
> >
> > "For all we know." Now there's the rub. Why not just admit that you DON'T
> > know.
>
> Actually, we do know. We can see the listening ZIP codes for work and home
> of every diarykeeper. We can also make logical conclusions that if an LA
> resident is listening to WSB at noon, they are listening to a stream. Since
> none of us have much interest in this, we don't tabulate it when we review
> diaries since the data is not actionable.
>
> >I personally don't believe in statistics based upon a tiny percentage of a
> >poplulation, where someone tries to tell me that 10,000 people in a metro
> >area of millions can tell what all those millions like to listen to, watch,
> >see, whatever.
>
> Actually, using LA again as an example, there are 7,000 diary keepers over
> 12 weeks for each survey... or about 600 a week.
>
> Statistics determins the accuracy of any poll, and Arbitron gives a method
> to calculate the margin of error for any data in the survey. The more
> granular the data, the bigger the margin.
>
> Statistics is the only science where "error" is not a dirty word. The whole
> idea of polling is to use as small a sample as possible to get the desired
> results. Since advertisers are the users of the data, there is no reason to
> increase the sample if they are willing to spend about $21 billion a year
> based on the ratings we have.
>
> One test of a survey is to do the same sample size several times, and see if
> each sample replicates the other(s) within the desired margin of error. In
> all cases, Arbitron samples are replicable. That means the sample size is
> accurate for its stated purpose which is putting a value on radio
> advertising.
>
> > You and your kind will tell me that there is a margin of error in these
> > statistics of +/- 3 or 4%.

>
> Actually, the margin of error varies by staiton audience size, age under
> study, and daypart. A staiton in LA with a 4.8 (current #1 station, KLVE) is
> really betwen a 4.6 and a 5.0. A station with a 0.4 share is between a 0.2
> and a 0.6, or a huge range by percentage. The stations advertisers buy have
> big numbers, so the accuracy is very good... and many advertisers hedge by
> doing multi-book averages.
>
> Keep in mind, Arbitron is a sales tool. For programming, we do prporietary
> research of totally different kinds.
>
> > I'm not really buying that, either. Have you gone door to door to ask each
> > person in the area in question what they like in order to verify the
> > results supposed from the tiny sample?
>
> I have replicated Arbitron over and over and over. You do not have to do a
> census, as nobody could afford this. I can do a phone survey instantly that
> will be highly in agreement with Arbitron if I use the same techniques for
> balancing the sample to reflect the population by age, sex, geography and
> ethnicity. I even once did a sample of people in a seminar and came very
> close to replicating Arbitron. This is not black magic.
> >
> > I can take my own polls that are just as scientific and just as likely
> > accurate as yours are.
>
> I doubt it. First, you have to have a sampling technique that is
> proportional, weighting formulas to smooth any variations from a perfect
> sample, lots of people and software to tabulate, etc. You have to
> incentivize the participants to track listening for a week (or a year with
> the people meter), and the sample has to be random. Arbitron has a whole
> crew of Ph. D's working on sampling technique and then the ad industry
> audits them yearly for about a month.
>
> For proprietary surveys such as radio research companies sometimes do, count
> on a cost of several thousand dollars a week per station. And this is just
> for a predictive report on the demo the station most wants, not the whole
> market. An Arbitron survey of LA costs hundreds of thousands of dollars each
> quarter.
>
> > I suspect that about 75% of those polled in this NG and in
> > rec.antiques.radio+phono would say that they are not interested in the
> > introduction of any digital format into the existing bands at all. I'm
> > with several of the others, and feel that a totally new band should be
> > used to introduce a digital-only service. If you want to use iBiquity's
> > trashy system there, then fine. Perhaps you would even get some of these
> > people to tune into it (one of them would not be myself.)
>
> I would expect that DXers would not constitute a random sample of a market.
> You are off to a bad start. I also know that listeners have a lot of
> interest in having more free choices and digital quality. They just do not

> know, yet, that HD offers this.
> >
> > In short, if you want me to buy anything you say about how listeners feel
> > about the IBOC system in general, or the QRM to adjacent and second
> > adjacent channels in particular, then go out and interview them all and
> > I'll go along with what they say, if you will do so also if they tell you
> > to stuff it.
>
> The cost of surverying every US listener would be greater than the buget for
> the US Census. A billion, maybe? There is no reason to do this when a random
> probability sample can be extremely accurate with less than 1000 interviews
> per million population.
>
> > I'll bet the subject of potential interference to other stations is not
> > even brought up in the questions asked. How about putting that one in
> > there? "If there were a potential to have interference to a weak station
> > you listen to, even occasionally, would you still want "HD radio"
> > available"?
>
> We already know that there is essentially no measured listening to weak
> signals.
>
> > As has been noted before, IBOC is a problem masquerading as a solution to
> > a problem that doesn't exist as far as the general populace of the country
> > is concerned.
>
> AM is getting older. The average listener age has increased by about one
> year as every year passes. In a few more years, there will be no salable
> audience on AM as all listeners will be over 55. At that point, the band
> ceases to be viable, and you will not worry whether the signals are weak or
> strong, near or far, as there will be nothing left on AM but a few preachers
> or some station in Russian or Farsi in a big metro.

All irrelevant.

2977. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 12:45:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153313148.493129.81580@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84113

Brenda Ann wrote:
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
> smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> physics call your bluff.
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

I don't think he even believes half of what he says in this group. He
has a vested interest ($$$) in promoting IBOC. That's where his
'conviction' comes from.

2978. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:17:40 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Uhqvg.421504$Fs1.415959@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84114

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
>> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It
>> also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents who
>> want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier and
>> clearer via streaming.
>
> Why should we believe any statistics you produce when you have a vested
> interest in the spread of IBOC and the destruction of AM?
>

In truth, IBOC came from two places...one was the long standing
desire to bring new technology to the AM band...like AM stereo. The
other was an FCC mandate (that's Michael Powell's FCC, by the way) that
all such schemes be digital.

I remember talking with Craig Kingcaid, chief engineer at KEEL at the
time, about the digital future of AM radio, as far back as 1986. He
couldn't wait. No practical systems had been proposed, at the time, but
the talk was rampant that eventually, all broadcasting would be digital.
And this was before Powell's FCC issued the digital mandate.

So, while it's fun to poke at David as the source and the cause of
what's happening, he's only implementing the only option that's been
made available to him. Eureka, while a better system, could not be
implemented here because the required spectra were/are in use by defense
operators, and no other system has been approved by FCC.

This is why I've been saying since these discussions have begun that
the only way to impact the implementation of IBOC is to voice your
opinion with the only entity that can make a decision, and that's FCC.
And that any such complaints MUST be based on active interference to a
LOCAL station.

The Commission is largely bureaucrats. And judging by the
demographics, not large users of AM radio, so they're not really tuned
in, as it were, to the issues faced by real world listeners, like you
and me. They are relying on their technical advisors--most of whom don't
live where IBOC interference impinges on LOCAL listening,and lobbyists
for entities like iBiquity--who have a different agenda altogether, and
broadcasters who are desperate for something to help stem the erosion of
listeners....many of whom are just not that bright anyway, and will
grasp at anything that's sold to them. Hell, I worked at one station
where the GM had an afternoon meeting with someone from Eumig, and the

next week, had us running all programming off CASSETTE as a profit generating venture. (One of my mentors, years ago, told me that most of the people who go into Radio flunked out of Hamburger U. Well over half of the GM's and owners I've worked for in my career, entered Radio after getting pushed out of McDonald's. One, was responsible for one of the few failed McDonald's in the US.)

So, if there's going to be any movement on IBOC, other than runaway expansion, and, at this point, there may be WAAAAYYYYY too much invested to simply toss it now (although redeployment under other operating parameters may be possible...but further delays carry their own baggage now that the bands are full of IBOC rash), word from the public is going to have to flood the desks of the Commissioners at FCC. And it's all got to be from real listeners experiencing local interference to content that's otherwise unavailable.

What's been going on here, by sniping at David, as much fun as that is,(and, in truth, some of the discussions have been quite lucid--He has far more patience than he says he does) is you're complaining to the entities generating the interference. That does virtually ZERO good. First, they don't care, they've got an enormous investment, and quite frankly, if you're not listening to their stations, they don't really give a shit that you're not happy. Your complaints impact them in no way. If you're going to complain to a station, you need to tell the station that's being interfered with. Now, THEY will notice. You're part of THEIR revenue stream. Your discontent impacts them directly. And if YOU are unhappy, then there are OTHERS who are unhappy. That means ratings points lost, revenue share loss, and eventually...well, you get the idea. And the station being interfered with has a direct mechanism for addressing such complaints with FCC. A station you listen to, that you can no longer enjoy because of intereferences can be powerful ally, here.

So, if you want to enlist the aid of other entities in your campaign to sway FCC, then enlist the aid of broadcasters that you regularly listened to, that you can no longer. And they must be LOCAL broadcasters.

Get a flood of comments on the desks of Commissioners from real listeners, and broadcasters encountering real world interference from this crap, and you've got a chance. So, to paraphrase what Dino said every week, 'Get those cards and letters coming in.' There's been a delay in the decision to implement IBOC full time....now's your window. Time is of the essence.

But there is a huge investment at play here...and enormous economic impact...It may already be too late to derail this train. And most of the members here have spent a lot of time sniping at the wrong people instead of making their voices heard where decisions are made. At best, now, there may be a chance to reshape the implementation. But the likelihood is that AM IBOC, no matter how shortsighted, as broadcasters often are, is here to stay. At least until the AM band collapses and is sold to Comcast for telemetry.

2979. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:33:49 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <44be32c8.16134265@news-server>
Source record: 84115

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 07:47:34 -0700, "Guy Atkins"
<dxnospam@guyatkins.com> wrote:

>On the SDR radio comparison, I didn't include any audio clips as the results
>were so close. I suppose the clips could have demonstrated the audio
>*quality* difference, but I didn't think of that.

I was a bit surprised you did not refer to the (continuously
graphically adjustable) audio filter of the G313e. Did you overlook
this feature?

Surely this allows you to adjust the audio spectrum exactly the way
you want it, so it seems to me that this comparison is not exactly
valid, because it would have entirely depended on the filter settings.

George

2980. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:37:17 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <44be3386.16323640@news-server>
Source record: 84116
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 22:27:14 -0400, "Brian Denley"
wrote:

>Guy Atkins wrote:
>> Readers of rec.radio.shortwave may be interested to check out my
>> lastest posting on my SDR-1000 blog. I had the opportunity last week
>> to do a "hands-on" comparison between a Winradio g313e receiver and
>> my Flex-Radio SDR-1000. My friend and I concentrated on medium wave
>> DX ability of the rigs, both for daytime DX with the locals at full
>> power, and prior to sunrise for trans-Pacific MW splits.
>>
>> I will also soon be posting an entry unrelated to the SDR, but
>> hopefully still of interest to radio enthusiasts-- the results of a
>> direct "A-B" comparison between a Kiwa-hotrodded Sony ICF-2010 and an
>> Eton E1 portable.
>> The blog URL is below.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Guy Atkins
>> Puyallup, WA USA
>> www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com
>
>It would be nice to see a comparison of shortwave comparison as some
>receivers are intentionally attenuated in the MW band.
>

The G313e performs well down to 10 kHz. See
http://www.winradio.com/home/g313e.htm
(click on Technical Specifications botton right, specs below 150 kHz)

From my own experience, this is probably the best receiver for LF
experimentation currently available.

George

2981. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:49:27 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153316967.476221.256570@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84117

David Eduardo wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153281738.467174.219840@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1153273965.423975.195550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Big difference between ice cream flavors and the number of songs
> >> >> people
> >> >> like. I find it hard to believe that anyone would limit themselves to
> >> >> a
> >> >> 300
> >> >> song playlist... t
> >> >
> >> > You'd be surprised.
> >> >
> >> > Some people buy an LP and only listen to one or two tracks. Some buy
> >> > 45s and never listen to the flipside.
> >> >
> >> > Some people go into a particular restaurant and ALWAYS order one
> >> > certain thing. Not everyone has very broad tastes in music.
> >> >
> >> > Besides, consider the fact that most "normal" people may listen to the
> >> > radio for a couple hours a day, instead of having it constantly playing
> >> > like us radio geeks; they probably won't notice the repetition.
> >>
> >> Good point. The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
> >> average American. That is a little over 2 hours a day, so the repetition
> >> is
> >> not going to be at all noticeable.
> >
> > I hear the same "hits" on KFOG all the time. It's the songs I can't
> > stand that I noticed the most. Who the hell wants to hear the Cars do
> > "best friend's girlfriend" in 2006. Hell, I don't want to hear any song
> > by the Cars. They are so last century...The same with Queen, Madness,
> > Yes, and similar bands that really don't stand the test of time.
> >
> > I guess the point is my 300 songs won't be your 300 songs, but if they
> > play 3000 songs, at least my list will be covered.
>
> ... and everyone will hate it.

You mean the way they're hating you now for trolling RRS?

2982. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:50:56 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <t2esb2td02dt9s4hu6e20qenhiqas0qssv@4ax.com>
Source record: 84118

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 01:40:53 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>1. There are not 1000 hits in most formats (an exception or two exist)
>2. when tried, the station with fewer and better songs wins in inverse
>proportion to library size.
>
>I had a station come after my classic rocker a few years ago. We had under
>500 songs. They did 1,800. We had a 20 share, they had a 1.8.
>
>Years before, I tried doing double the cuts of a leading AC. I lost big.
>Listeners gave the variety image to the other station because they played
>better music.
>
>
Adult Contemptuous is a brain dead format. It is aimed at Zombies.

I'd do a station for smart people, like KCRW, (not quite as
urban-esoteric), with commercials.

2983. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:51:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <p6esb21omk4jm5amv7s3j81psqvp3poagd@4ax.com>
Source record: 84119

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:41:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>average American.
Maybe that's because radio sucks.

2984. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:53:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <39esb25ea843pc8br66kuqif13te45chkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 84120

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:37:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>The #1 station in San Antonio, which has been #1 for 5 years, played 57
>songs for its first 6 months, and plays about 110 songs now.
>
>The #1 music station in LA plays less than 300 songs, and it has been in the
>top three or four stations for 16 years.
>
Are these Mexican stations? They don't count.

2985. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:55:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <jcesb29gm6rhc2462fgrnln3d0t1mpttnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 84121

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:23:35 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
>just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
>I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
>There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
>best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
>analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
>be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
>reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
>smaller square waves.
>
>AND
>
>You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
>used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
>accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
>64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
>me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
>physics call your bluff.

So you think your typical FM has no DSP in its signal path?

2986. Re: SSB on the sangean ats-505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 13:56:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <pfesb2p977jth4injmcedlb98l50719c7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 84122

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:28:43 +0930, adam <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote:

>How does the SSB work on the ats-505?.

You need a safecracker's touch on the clarifier knob.

2987. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 14:21:08 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <ilfsb25stavig94d28i30al5se63f6vm47@4ax.com>
Source record: 84123

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:23:35 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
>just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
>I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
>There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
>best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
>analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
>be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
>reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
>smaller square waves.
>
>AND
>
>You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
>used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
>accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
>64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
>me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
>physics call your bluff.

Well, what is "analog"? A phonograph needle skittering over dust in a
rut dug in vinyl? A magetic head reading bits of iron in a piece of
recording tape? The analog process has its own problems in translating
sound to the ear.

And, if the sound is originally recorded digitally, anyhow, you're not
going to improve it by going analog.

Does any studio still use a "tape machine"?

bob
k5qwg

2988. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 14:29:49 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153319389.385284.102170@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

```
kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > get help sicko
> > >
> > > Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!
> > still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame
>
> Still more of functional illiterate Mark C. Morgan's idiocy.
still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame
```

2989. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 14:59:58 UTC
From: kiebassa queen <applecork2@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <376a5$44be490b$d1cc7b18$21672@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 84125

"adam" <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au...
> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time

VOA should be re-named: "Amerika Kisses Israeli Ass Radio".

VOA is a Govt broadcasting service so what else are we to expect?

Why is it a suprise that the first thing the Israeli's bombed in
Lebanon was the remote TV and Radio transmission facilities of
Al Jazeera and Al Arabia ?

Amerika kisses jew ass 24/7 cause if they dont the dirty kikes
will crash the FED and the USA Banking System that will
precipitate a 2nd Civil War. (which at this point looks more
and more to be a possible good thing in the end game long run ??)

2990. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:00:36 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153321236.205817.286160@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84126

miso@sushi.com wrote:

> I hear the same "hits" on KFOG all the time. I

Then maybe KFOG-FM isn't the right station for you? That's why I've stopped listening to most FM stations, with the exception of my local Jack FM.

But then again, it doesn't matter, since I'm certainly not the type of person that advertisers would want to waste money on catering to. ;)

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY.

2991. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:08:43 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <xKOdnTlPtLKE1iPZnZ2dnUVZ_qydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84127

George, I don't have much experience tuning longwave, so I can't comment on the two receivers used for this band. I do know there are some LW enthusiasts using the SDR-1000, but they're in the minority of Flex-Radio users like I am.

Regarding the audio filter in the g313e, thanks for the reminder. We did try this facility with the Winradio, as well as the audio equalizer in the SDR-1000. I didn't think the Winradio's audio filter added any benefit to helping increase intelligibility of weak signals, over what the excellent DSP filter already provides. Perhaps we weren't using it in a way that helps dig out an ID on weak signals. The SDR-1000's equalizer definitely helps me to boost the critical midrange voice frequencies at times; I have a favorite setting I use about 80% of the time when DXing. For most of the receiver comparisons, though, we turned off both the Winradio audio filter and the Flex-Radio's audio equalizer.

Guy

"George Bell" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:44be3386.16323640@news-server...
>
> The G313e performs well down to 10 kHz. See
> http://www.winradio.com/home/g313e.htm
> (click on Technical Specifications botton right, specs below 150 kHz)
>
> From my own experience, this is probably the best receiver for LF
> experimentation currently available.
>
> George
>
>

2992. A potential solution to IBOC interference.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:13:17 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h_rvg.148832$mF2.139577@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84128

From AllAccess.com

NAB Asks For FM Translators For AM Stations
The NAB is petitioning the FCC to allow AM stations to use FM translators to fill in coverage areas within the AM's 2 mV/m daytime contour or within 25 miles of the station's transmitter. The petition notes AM stations' reception problems from electrical interference and the pending trouble with extending Daylight Savings Time for four additional weeks starting next year, meaning stations which power down at night will experience more weeks of diminished service in drive times.

2993. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:22:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153322549.066019.102550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84130

Brenda Ann wrote:
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
> smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> physics call your bluff.
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

I think the real oxymoron is the idea that we can "save" AM by making
it virtually indistinguishable from FM. That is not salvation. It is
destruction (with perhaps a small amount of desecration thrown in for
good measure). However, it is destruction that, at least in the short
term, will be profitable for companies like Ibiquity, and as long as
the profit motive is there we'll have shills like Tardo stopping by
from time to time.

2994. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:40:58 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <e9o7vbsnn1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 84409

In article <%Rhvg.70149$fb2.27569@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Good point. The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>average American. That is a little over 2 hours a day, so the repetition is
>not going to be at all noticeable.

But there's been some research that people only really want to listen
to a song 15 times or so, and the peak is at about the fifth hearing,
after that their enjoyment of it drops way off. (New Scientist,
about 5 years ago).

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

2995. Re: A potential solution to IBOC interference.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 15:55:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eelsb2lc2mgig67357tl0smb7oqhhg3bk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 84131

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:13:17 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>
> From AllAccess.com
>
>
> NAB Asks For FM Translators For AM Stations
>The NAB is petitioning the FCC to allow AM stations to use FM
>translators to fill in coverage areas within the AM's 2 mV/m daytime
>contour or within 25 miles of the station's transmitter. The petition
>notes AM stations' reception problems from electrical interference and
>the pending trouble with extending Daylight Savings Time for four
>additional weeks starting next year, meaning stations which power down
>at night will experience more weeks of diminished service in drive times.
>
They just want to keep the 3rd adjacents out of the LPFM peoples'
hands.

2996. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:22:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <M_svg.174376$F_3.1035@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84132

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-CEC7EE.23100318072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <pijvg.174321$F_3.131823@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a
>> free
>> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will
>> come
>> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
>>
>> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not
>> protect
>> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.
>
> Why would another band cost more money for the listener?

The chances of a new band are non-existent, and would require totally new,
non-backwards-compatible radios.

>Why would
> partitioning the current band into HD and analog cost more money for the
> listener? Why would other transmission schemes cost more money for the
> listener?

Other systems, like WiMax, etc., have fees for the delivery technology, and
the "receivers" would initially be as expensive as current HD ones. My first
cellular phone was over $800....
>
> It wouldn't cost the listeners more but it would cost the broadcasters
> more money.

It woud cost the lsiteners, as what you suggest obsoletes every radio in
America. And for broadcasters, a new band would cost what HD currently
costs. A total reallocation on AM would simply hasten the death of the band.
Imagine, there are about 1500 directional AMs and many would no longer fit
on current land, or require zoning for new towers or moved ones... probable
average cost of a half-million each!. The average US AM bills $300 thousand
a year.

>So your problem is selling IBOC to the listeners where the
> benefit is small.

Digital sound, double the channels on FM is small benefit? Free is a small
benefit?

> The advantage to IBOC is for the broadcasters. IBOC
> might be a way for broadcasters to cut their electric bill when analog
> is dropped but that's about it.

Long time away on that.

>IBOC will cause listeners to toss their
> current radios for new ones that will not sound any better than analog
> for local signals either. IBOC is money down the drain for the listener.

HD, on local signals, sounds much better, especially on AM... and FM doubles the channels at least-
>
> The result is a large cost to the listener for a new radio for little if
> any benefit. The listener will not have the option of listening to "out
> of market" signals limiting their choices.

In LA, with 9,8 million 12+ persons, the average listening to out of market / out of primary signal are stations is about 13,000. Much of this may be from streaming, or while the listener themselves was out of the market. In other words, there is essentially no listening now, so nothing is being disrupted.

2997. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:24:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <m1tvg.174379$F_3.25910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84133

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate.
> It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> smaller and smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you
> try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.

None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception, and if it is not
digital, it is not good in today's world.

P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent badwidth sounds pretty
analog FM like. Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."

2998. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:28:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <o4tvg.174380$F_3.106159@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84134

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
>>
>> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
>
> Bigot?
>
> You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.

Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
not that.

I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza, which uses a totally
different meaning for the term.

2999. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:30:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE5E20.33538D07@milestones.com>
Source record: 84135

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', paid shill for Univision/iBiquity and notorious fake Hispanic, prancer, 'tard boy, etc. etc. wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >
> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >
> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> > of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate.
> > It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> >
> > AND
> >
> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> > signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you
> > try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> > quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.
>
> None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception, and if it is not
> digital, it is not good in today's world.
>
> P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent badwidth sounds pretty
> analog FM like. Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
> firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."

And you_sound_like_a_shill.

You're running late today, 'tard boy. Late shift at the Taco Bell bending tacos, honing your fake Hispanic skills?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3000. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:31:20 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <s7tvg.174381$F_3.114764@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84136

```
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153311580.149311.11620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> >
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> > news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:e9jncr$oao$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> >>>
>> >>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
>> >>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table
>> >>> radio
>> >>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and
>> >>> harder,
>> >>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands
>> >>> is
>> >>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
>> >>> start coming in in droves.
>> >>
>> >> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to
>> >> out
>> >> of metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and
>> >> 5
>> >> mv/m for AM) is less than 1% of total.
>> >
>> > Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
>> > appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener
>> > base
>> > in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)
>>
>> That is a 1% of listening share... and I said "not even." A 0.8 share is
>> equal to about 13,000 persons.
>>
>> These are 100,000 listeners who also listen to many local stations home
>> to
>> the market.
>>
>> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
>> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It
>> also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents
```

>> who
>> want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier
>> and
>> clearer via streaming.
>
> Why should we believe any statistics you produce when you have a vested
> interest in the spread of IBOC and the destruction of AM?

Why would the success of HD be of importance to me if it destroys 14 of our radio stations in the process? In fact, based on the potential of HD, we just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.

And the statistics are not mine. I just looked at the Arbitron data, just like anyone else subscribed to it can.

3001. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:33:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE5EF7.BCF461B1@milestones.com>
Source record: 84137

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', so shunned by his family that he was 'exported' from Cleveland wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> >
> > Bigot?
> >
> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
>
> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> not that.
>
> I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza, which uses a totally
> different meaning for the term.

So, at least you admit to being a bigot.

Run along now, shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3002. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:36:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lctvg.174382$F_3.101149@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84139

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:p6esb21omk4jm5amv7s3j81psqvp3poagd@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:41:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>>average American.
> Maybe that's because radio sucks.

That is only about 7% below the level in 1952, prior to the lift of the TV
freeze. Did radio suck then, too?
>

3003. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:37:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE5FAC.7ED0F32B@milestones.com>
Source record: 84138

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', so shunned by his family that he was 'exported' from Cleveland wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153311580.149311.11620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
> >> >>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table
> >> >>> radio
> >> >>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and
> >> >>> harder,
> >> >>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands
> >> >>> is
> >> >>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
> >> >>> start coming in in droves.
> >> >>
> >> >> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to
> >> >> out
> >> >> of metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and
> >> >> 5
> >> >> mv/m for AM) is less than 1% of total.
> >> >
> >> > Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
> >> > appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener
> >> > base
> >> > in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)
> >>
> >> That is a 1% of listening share... and I said "not even." A 0.8 share is
> >> equal to about 13,000 persons.
> >>
> >> These are 100,000 listeners who also listen to many local stations home
> >> to
> >> the market.
> >>

> >> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
> >> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It
> >> also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents
> >> who
> >> want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier
> >> and
> >> clearer via streaming.
> >
> > Why should we believe any statistics you produce when you have a vested
> > interest in the spread of IBOC and the destruction of AM?
>
> Why would the success of HD be of importance to me if it destroys 14 of our
> radio stations in the process? In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.

Wow, at least they'll have a prancer doing the programming!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3004. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:44:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Djtvg.174383$F_3.86816@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84141

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153313148.493129.81580@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
>> they
>> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>>
>> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>>
>> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
>> The
>> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of
>> the
>> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
>> would
>> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
>> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller
>> and
>> smaller square waves.
>>
>> AND
>>
>> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
>> is
>> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
>> waveform
>> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal.
>> Even
>> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
>> convince
>> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
>> physics call your bluff.
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Over 5 decades of great hits
>> Full Spectrum Radio
>> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org
>

> I don't think he even believes half of what he says in this group. He
> has a vested interest ($$$) in promoting IBOC. That's where his
> 'conviction' comes from.

I work in radio, and that is my interest.

3005. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:44:30 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <l8osb2h6jklng96tp9vmvn4m0niv35mvv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 84140

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:p6esb21omk4jm5amv7s3j81psqvp3poagd@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:41:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>>>>average American.
>> Maybe that's because radio sucks.
>
>That is only about 7% below the level in 1952, prior to the lift of the TV
>freeze. Did radio suck then, too?
>>
>
You're just full of numbers, aren't you?

In 1952 radio listening was Prime Time more than Drive Time.

I listen to radio all day long and most of the time at night. TV
makes your brain shrink.

3006. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:49:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com>
Source record: 84142

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', so shunned by his family that he was 'exported' from Cleveland wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153313148.493129.81580@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> >> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
> >> they
> >> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >>
> >> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >>
> >> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> >> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> >> The
> >> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of
> >> the
> >> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> >> would
> >> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> >> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller
> >> and
> >> smaller square waves.
> >>
> >> AND
> >>
> >> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> >> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> >> is
> >> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> >> waveform
> >> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal.
> >> Even
> >> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
> >> convince
> >> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> >> physics call your bluff.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> --
> >> Over 5 decades of great hits

> >> Full Spectrum Radio
> >> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org
> >
> > I don't think he even believes half of what he says in this group. He
> > has a vested interest ($$$) in promoting IBOC. That's where his
> > 'conviction' comes from.
>
> I work in radio, and that is my interest.

$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3007. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:49:58 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153327798.231063.296200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84143

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153311580.149311.11620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9kag3$2jt$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:MPhvg.70144$fb2.43741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:e9jncroao1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> At David Eduardo's 'price point' of $100 for an IBOC capable radio, I
> >> >>> don't see them flying off the shelves to replace peoples $15 table
> >> >>> radio
> >> >>> or $40 boom box. I also think that as IBOC is pushed harder and
> >> >>> harder,
> >> >>> and the public starts to figure out where all the noise on the bands
> >> >>> is
> >> >>> coming from, those complaints that he insists are not coming in, will
> >> >>> start coming in in droves.
> >> >>
> >> >> I just looked at the stations reported in the LA book. Listening to
> >> >> out
> >> >> of metro stations or out of primary signal contours (64 dbu for FM and
> >> >> 5
> >> >> mv/m for AM) is less than 1% of total.
> >> >
> >> > Glad you feel you can throw away 100,000 listeners. I'm sure they'll
> >> > appreciate you. (and I'm probably vastly underestimating the listener
> >> > base
> >> > in the greater Los Angeles area by putting it at 10,000,000)
> >>
> >> That is a 1% of listening share... and I said "not even." A 0.8 share is
> >> equal to about 13,000 persons.
> >>
> >> These are 100,000 listeners who also listen to many local stations home
> >> to
> >> the market.
> >>
> >> For all we know, the out of market listening is done while out of the
> >> market, such as commuters who work outside the metro or travel there. It

> >> also may be internet listening to non-local stations by local residents
> >> who
> >> want to stay in touch with a home town. Distant listening is much easier
> >> and
> >> clearer via streaming.
> >
> > Why should we believe any statistics you produce when you have a vested
> > interest in the spread of IBOC and the destruction of AM?
>
> Why would the success of HD be of importance to me if it destroys 14 of our
> radio stations in the process? In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.

You might think you can make a large enough profit in the short term to
justify it. After all, the destruction won't happen overnight. It will
happen only gradually, as HD proves unable to compete with FM and other
media.

Alternatively, those who set the strategy at Ibiquity might be really,
really stupid (unlikely, but it wouldn't be the first time).

>
> And the statistics are not mine. I just looked at the Arbitron data, just
> like anyone else subscribed to it can.

Oh, that's right, and I forgot that Arbitron is the one true source of
impartiality in the world. Gimme a break. Arbitron is ultimately a
marketing research firm. Reminds me of the old saying "A good marketer
finds out what people want; an excellent marketer tells people what
they want."

3008. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:50:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <vptvg.174384$F_3.54188@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84144

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153311506.678480.4530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>
>> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a
>> free
>> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will
>> come
>> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
>>
>> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not
>> protect
>> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.
>
> You don't read these comments very closely, do you Tardo? At least half
> a dozen posters have answered every one of the above points, but you
> didn't even READ their posts.

No, no body has answered the points. They have complained and put out
information that is false.

1. There is a marked improvement in AM quality on HD, making it comparable
to analog FM.
2. FM HD offers additional free channels.
3. The listener does not pay for HD.
4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
5. The lsitener pays for delivery for streaming, wifi, WiMax, etc.
6. There is no other band available for digital in the US.
7. Any change in delivery requires new radios, whether satellite, broadband
or whatever.
8. HD is just beginning its consumer marketing, so it is early to expect
reasonably priced radios.
9. All new consumer electronics start at high prices: CD, DVD, TV, Cellular,
etc.
10. There is hardly any listening to out of market signals.
11. AM will not survive without some "tonic" to revive its relevancy among
listeners advertisers want to reach.
12. AM for under-45 listeners is already dead.
13. The "Digital" term is very important to a large mass of consumers.

3009. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:52:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xrtvg.174385$F_3.147896@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84145

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153322549.066019.102550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>

> I think the real oxymoron is the idea that we can "save" AM by making
> it virtually indistinguishable from FM. That is not salvation. It is
> destruction (with perhaps a small amount of desecration thrown in for
> good measure). However, it is destruction that, at least in the short
> term, will be profitable for companies like Ibiquity, and as long as
> the profit motive is there we'll have shills like Tardo stopping by
> from time to time.
>

I don't work for iBiquity. If this is the basis of your premise, then you
have a faulty foundation for your arguments.

3010. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:54:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9ttvg.174386$F_3.157204@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84146

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:39esb25ea843pc8br66kuqif13te45chkf@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:37:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>The #1 station in San Antonio, which has been #1 for 5 years, played 57
>>songs for its first 6 months, and plays about 110 songs now.
>>
>>The #1 music station in LA plays less than 300 songs, and it has been in
>>the
>>top three or four stations for 16 years.
>>
> Are these Mexican stations? They don't count.

There are no Mexican stations in LA or San Antonio... Mexican stations are
in Mexico.

All stations in the ratings count.

3011. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:58:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Twtvg.174388$F_3.68983@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84147

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:t2esb2td02dt9s4hu6e20qenhiqas0qssv@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 01:40:53 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>1. There are not 1000 hits in most formats (an exception or two exist)
>>2. when tried, the station with fewer and better songs wins in inverse
>>proportion to library size.
>>
>>I had a station come after my classic rocker a few years ago. We had under
>>500 songs. They did 1,800. We had a 20 share, they had a 1.8.
>>
>>Years before, I tried doing double the cuts of a leading AC. I lost big.
>>Listeners gave the variety image to the other station because they played
>>better music.
>>
>>
> Adult Contemptuous is a brain dead format. It is aimed at Zombies.
>
> I'd do a station for smart people, like KCRW, (not quite as
> urban-esoteric), with commercials.

KCRW has a 1.2 share in LA, while the sum of the four AC stations is about
13 sharess.

I'm sure if you added commercials to KCRW, it could manage to work its way
down to a 0.98 or so.
>

3012. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:58:58 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153328338.467004.26470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84248

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153311506.678480.4530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >
> >> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a
> >> free
> >> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will
> >> come
> >> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
> >>
> >> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not
> >> protect
> >> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.
> >
> > You don't read these comments very closely, do you Tardo? At least half
> > a dozen posters have answered every one of the above points, but you
> > didn't even READ their posts.
>
> No, no body has answered the points. They have complained and put out
> information that is false.
>
> 1. There is a marked improvement in AM quality on HD, making it comparable
> to analog FM.
> 2. FM HD offers additional free channels.
> 3. The listener does not pay for HD.
> 4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
> 5. The lsitener pays for delivery for streaming, wifi, WiMax, etc.
> 6. There is no other band available for digital in the US.
> 7. Any change in delivery requires new radios, whether satellite, broadband
> or whatever.
> 8. HD is just beginning its consumer marketing, so it is early to expect
> reasonably priced radios.
> 9. All new consumer electronics start at high prices: CD, DVD, TV, Cellular,
> etc.
> 10. There is hardly any listening to out of market signals.
> 11. AM will not survive without some "tonic" to revive its relevancy among
> listeners advertisers want to reach.
> 12. AM for under-45 listeners is already dead.
> 13. The "Digital" term is very important to a large mass of consumers.

Some of these "points" are not points so much as observations that invite no response at all. Of those "points" that call for an answer, all have all been answered, most more than once.

I think those dollar signs in your eyes are affecting your ability to read other people's posts.

3013. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 16:58:59 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-CF968D.09585819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84249

In article <M_svg.174376$F_3.1035@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-CEC7EE.23100318072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <pijvg.174321$F_3.131823@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable
> >> as a free medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of
> >> receivers will come down. And the analog signal will not be going
> >> away any time soon.
> >>
> >> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did
> >> not protect the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.
> >
> > Why would another band cost more money for the listener?
>
> The chances of a new band are non-existent, and would require totally
> new, non-backwards-compatible radios.

The listener has to buy a new radio in any event.

> > Why would partitioning the current band into HD and analog cost
> > more money for the listener? Why would other transmission schemes
> > cost more money for the listener?
>
> Other systems, like WiMax, etc., have fees for the delivery technology, and
> the "receivers" would initially be as expensive as current HD ones. My first
> cellular phone was over $800....

There are non-proprietary systems that could be used.

> > It wouldn't cost the listeners more but it would cost the broadcasters
> > more money.
>
> It woud cost the lsiteners, as what you suggest obsoletes every radio in
> America. And for broadcasters, a new band would cost what HD currently
> costs. A total reallocation on AM would simply hasten the death of the band.
> Imagine, there are about 1500 directional AMs and many would no longer fit
> on current land, or require zoning for new towers or moved ones... probable
> average cost of a half-million each!. The average US AM bills $300 thousand
> a year.

The listener has to buy a new radio in any event so it would not be more
expensive. The old radio can be used to listen to the old band or format

and the new radio would provide additional choices. The industry is
trying to limit listener choices instead of expanding them.

> > So your problem is selling IBOC to the listeners where the
> > benefit is small.
>
> Digital sound, double the channels on FM is small benefit? Free is a small
> benefit?

I'm addressing AMBCB not FM but the same logic applies. FM use greater
bandwidth a channel and it is possible that there is enough for a
digital scheme to sound OK. However, if that bandwidth is further split
into more than one stream you are back to lower bit rate and poor
quality.

> > The advantage to IBOC is for the broadcasters. IBOC
> > might be a way for broadcasters to cut their electric bill when analog
> > is dropped but that's about it.
>
> Long time away on that.

Maybe, but this is the only reason I can see motivating broadcasters to
implement IBOC.

> > IBOC will cause listeners to toss their current radios for new ones
> > that will not sound any better than analog for local signals
> > either. IBOC is money down the drain for the listener.
>
> HD, on local signals, sounds much better, especially on AM... and FM doubles
> the channels at least-

This is impossible according to information theory. With less efficient
use of the same bandwidth digital must sound worse.

> > The result is a large cost to the listener for a new radio for little if
> > any benefit. The listener will not have the option of listening to "out
> > of market" signals limiting their choices.
>
> In LA, with 9,8 million 12+ persons, the average listening to out of market
> / out of primary signal are stations is about 13,000. Much of this may be
> from streaming, or while the listener themselves was out of the market. In
> other words, there is essentially no listening now, so nothing is being
> disrupted.

The readers of this newsgroup understand the broadcaster/marketing
perspective but except for you we do not share the view of implementing
a scheme that maintains the broadcaster status quo over new choices or a
system that would be an actual improvement in quality and choice for the
listener.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3014. Re: A potential solution to IBOC interference.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:00:31 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153328430.959790.153940@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84250

D Peter Maus wrote:
> From AllAccess.com
>
>
> NAB Asks For FM Translators For AM Stations
> The NAB is petitioning the FCC to allow AM stations to use FM
> translators to fill in coverage areas within the AM's 2 mV/m daytime
> contour or within 25 miles of the station's transmitter.

If AM stations want to simulcast on FM, do what anyone else wanting an
FM station is forced to do. Either pony up and buy an existing
transmitter or apply for an allocation

And then turn in your waste-of-bandwith AM licence.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City.

3015. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:00:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE6542.5703FFA3@milestones.com>
Source record: 84251

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153311506.678480.4530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >
> >> Every alternative costs more than an HD radio. Radio stays viable as a
> >> free
> >> medium, the listener gets more channels and the price of receivers will
> >> come
> >> down. And the analog signal will not be going away any time soon.
> >>
> >> It will cost more to broadcasters. We would not do it if it did not
> >> protect
> >> the future and enhance revenue. It is a business.
> >
> > You don't read these comments very closely, do you Tardo? At least half
> > a dozen posters have answered every one of the above points, but you
> > didn't even READ their posts.
>
> No, no body has answered the points. They have complained and put out
> information that is false.

False information? Say it ain't so, oh fake one.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3016. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:01:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Zztvg.174389$F_3.5896@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84252

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153327798.231063.296200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Why would the success of HD be of importance to me if it destroys 14 of
>> our
>> radio stations in the process? In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
>> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.
>
> You might think you can make a large enough profit in the short term to
> justify it. After all, the destruction won't happen overnight. It will
> happen only gradually, as HD proves unable to compete with FM and other
> media.

This statement shows how little you know of radio. AM is low profit margin
right now, but has great long range potential and that is why those who are
shrewd are not selling the few good ones.

>> And the statistics are not mine. I just looked at the Arbitron data, just
>> like anyone else subscribed to it can.
>
> Oh, that's right, and I forgot that Arbitron is the one true source of
> impartiality in the world. Gimme a break. Arbitron is ultimately a
> marketing research firm. Reminds me of the old saying "A good marketer
> finds out what people want; an excellent marketer tells people what
> they want."

Arbitron provides data for advertisers to use. It is audited by these
advertisers by a group of the finest experts in the US, the members of the
Media research Council.

And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons go up
or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
>

3017. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:02:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <DAtvg.174390$F_3.163866@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84253

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
>>
>> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>
> $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)

Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult? You are pretty sad.

3018. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:04:42 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <KCtvg.174391$F_3.50884@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84255

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:l8osb2h6jklng96tp9vmvn4m0niv35mvv2@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:p6esb21omk4jm5amv7s3j81psqvp3poagd@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:41:47 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>The average use of radio is just over 19 hours a week by the
>>>>average American.
>>> Maybe that's because radio sucks.
>>
>>That is only about 7% below the level in 1952, prior to the lift of the TV
>>freeze. Did radio suck then, too?
>>>
>>
> You're just full of numbers, aren't you?
>
> In 1952 radio listening was Prime Time more than Drive Time.

So?

Actually, mid-days has as much listening as afternoon drive, so your
assumption is wrong.

And the usage in hours of radio in 1965 when Arbitron started was 21 hours.
In 1980 it was just undre 21 hours (20:45) and it has been in that level
ever since.
> I listen to radio all day long and most of the time at night. TV
> makes your brain shrink.

The average person listens just over 2.5 hours a day.

3019. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:04:56 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153328696.284659.199520@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84254

David Eduardo wrote:
> In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.

Care to share which one? :)

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City

3020. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:09:08 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153328935.432592.164350@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84256

Mark Zenier wrote:

> Is there a second channel on AM HD? (Where's the bandwidth?).

Not with the current setup. Although there might be more bandwith
opened up once the analog part of the signal is turned off for good.
But that won't happen for YEARS.

The "killer ap" for AM IBOC is the improved sound and reduction of
static on the digital audio stream.

The killer ap for FM, though, I believe is the additional channels. I
doubt most people wil notice an increase in sound quality in "digital
FM" (if you can still call it that).

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City

3021. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:11:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com>
Source record: 84257

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> >
> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>
> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?

Yes, I do. Check it out.

> You are pretty sad.

No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the season, plus, I'm
here kicking fake Hispanic ass along with actually listening to shortwave radio.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3022. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:16:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5454B3.10164419072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84258

In article <m1tvg.174379$F_3.25910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >
> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >
> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> > of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate.
> > It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> >
> > AND
> >
> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> > signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you
> > try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> > quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.
>
> None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception, and if it is not
> digital, it is not good in today's world.

You gotta be kidding! The technical reasons why a system sounds good or
not does not matter? Digital is better than analog? No way guy!

> P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent badwidth sounds pretty
> analog FM like. Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
> firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."

What happens is that artifacts (distortions) are introduced similar to
pixilation in photographs. The conversion of analog to digital and back
by actual hardware also introduces additional distortion and noise.

It is not possible that digital can sound better than analog in the same
bandwidth.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3023. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:24:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84259

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153327798.231063.296200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Why would the success of HD be of importance to me if it destroys 14 of
> >> our
> >> radio stations in the process? In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
> >> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.
> > >
> > > You might think you can make a large enough profit in the short term to
> > > justify it. After all, the destruction won't happen overnight. It will
> > > happen only gradually, as HD proves unable to compete with FM and other
> > > media.
> >
> > This statement shows how little you know of radio. AM is low profit margin
> > right now, but has great long range potential and that is why those who are
> > shrewd are not selling the few good ones.

Nor are they sabotaging their own operations with a strategy that
assures their doom.

>
> >> And the statistics are not mine. I just looked at the Arbitron data, just
> >> like anyone else subscribed to it can.
> > >
> > > Oh, that's right, and I forgot that Arbitron is the one true source of
> > > impartiality in the world. Gimme a break. Arbitron is ultimately a
> > > marketing research firm. Reminds me of the old saying "A good marketer
> > > finds out what people want; an excellent marketer tells people what
> > > they want."
> >
> > Arbitron provides data for advertisers to use. It is audited by these
> > advertisers by a group of the finest experts in the US, the members of the
> > Media research Council.
> >
> > And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons go up
> > or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
> > >

I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
sarcastic.

3024. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:25:03 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-166866.10250319072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84260

In article <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
wrote:

> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?

Speaking for myself the drive by media does that for me.

> I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the
> time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the
> spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such
> shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio
> out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on
> there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has
> come to power.
>
> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
> sourced from NPR.
>
> Rant over

Propaganda is the REASON VOA or any other government run station is on
the air. Australia and other nations have their own brand of propaganda.
It has always been this way.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3025. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:25:19 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3Wtvg.174399$F_3.29034@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84262

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-CF968D.09585819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <M_svg.174376$F_3.1035@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> Other systems, like WiMax, etc., have fees for the delivery technology,
>> and
>> the "receivers" would initially be as expensive as current HD ones. My
>> first
>> cellular phone was over $800....
>
> There are non-proprietary systems that could be used.

Obviously, if a significant number of commujnities put in free WiFi, and
there are portable devices that are cheap, this is someting that will come
in the future. But as to current environments, it costs, directly or
indirectly, to get delivery of radio alternatives. AM and FM are free.

I suspect, eventually, all radio will be delivered with a new technology.
But if it took satellite, which is a good concept, 5 years to get to 10
million subscribers, I am waiting with caution for the "real" system to
emerge.

>> It woud cost the lsiteners, as what you suggest obsoletes every radio in
>> America. And for broadcasters, a new band would cost what HD currently
>> costs. A total reallocation on AM would simply hasten the death of the
>> band.
>> Imagine, there are about 1500 directional AMs and many would no longer
>> fit
>> on current land, or require zoning for new towers or moved ones...
>> probable
>> average cost of a half-million each!. The average US AM bills $300
>> thousand
>> a year.
>
> The listener has to buy a new radio in any event so it would not be more
> expensive. The old radio can be used to listen to the old band or format
> and the new radio would provide additional choices. The industry is
> trying to limit listener choices instead of expanding them.

Radio, unlike streaming and satellite (in most cases) is highly portable.
There are, by varying estimates, 800 million to one billion radios out
there. Replacing one per household will not make a new band viable.

And, as Peter said, ther eis no available specturm anyway.
>

> I'm addressing AMBCB not FM but the same logic applies. FM use greater
> bandwidth a channel and it is possible that there is enough for a
> digital scheme to sound OK. However, if that bandwidth is further split
> into more than one stream you are back to lower bit rate and poor
> quality.

When split into two, the bandwidth is enough for two better-than-FM
channels.
>
>> > The advantage to IBOC is for the broadcasters. IBOC
>> > might be a way for broadcasters to cut their electric bill when analog
>> > is dropped but that's about it.
>>
>> Long time away on that.
>
> Maybe, but this is the only reason I can see motivating broadcasters to
> implement IBOC.

Peter says he has heard discussion, but I have never heard any discussion of
turning off analog until 100% of usable radios are digital. The power bill,
in a larger market, is so insignificant that it does not matter.

>> HD, on local signals, sounds much better, especially on AM... and FM
>> doubles
>> the channels at least-
>
> This is impossible according to information theory. With less efficient
> use of the same bandwidth digital must sound worse.

It sounds better. COmpression algorithims essentially fool the ear by
removing "irrelevant" data. AM HD sounds like FM analog.
>
> The readers of this newsgroup understand the broadcaster/marketing
> perspective but except for you we do not share the view of implementing
> a scheme that maintains the broadcaster status quo over new choices or a
> system that would be an actual improvement in quality and choice for the
> listener.

Since the economics of radio are such that more stations reduces service
(proven by 80-90 all over America) there is no advantage in this unless you
want 1000 streams from personal iPods.

3026. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 17:25:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <wWtvg.174400$F_3.164747@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84261

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153328696.284659.199520@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> In fact, based on the potential of HD, we
>> just bought this year another AM, this one in the San Francisco market.
>
> Care to share which one? :)

KLOK 1170. Been running it for just over 6 months.

3027. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:01:54 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D03990.11015319072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84263

In article <3Wtvg.174399$F_3.29034@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-CF968D.09585819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > In article <M_svg.174376$F_3.1035@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

< Snip >

> > The listener has to buy a new radio in any event so it would not be
> > more expensive. The old radio can be used to listen to the old band
> > or format and the new radio would provide additional choices. The
> > industry is trying to limit listener choices instead of expanding
> > them.
>
> Radio, unlike streaming and satellite (in most cases) is highly
> portable. There are, by varying estimates, 800 million to one billion
> radios out there. Replacing one per household will not make a new
> band viable.

I don't see anybody carrying around a HD portable radio.

> And, as Peter said, ther eis no available specturm anyway.

You take it over just like IBOC does to AMBCB.

> > I'm addressing AMBCB not FM but the same logic applies. FM use
> > greater bandwidth a channel and it is possible that there is enough
> > for a digital scheme to sound OK. However, if that bandwidth is
> > further split into more than one stream you are back to lower bit
> > rate and poor quality.
>
> When split into two, the bandwidth is enough for two better-than-FM
> channels.

Low bit rate audio sounds like crap. FM has enough bandwidth for one
stereo stream not two.

> >> > The advantage to IBOC is for the broadcasters. IBOC might be a
> >> > way for broadcasters to cut their electric bill when analog is
> >> > dropped but that's about it.
> >>
> >> Long time away on that.
> >

> > Maybe, but this is the only reason I can see motivating
> > broadcasters to implement IBOC.
>
> Peter says he has heard discussion, but I have never heard any
> discussion of turning off analog until 100% of usable radios are
> digital. The power bill, in a larger market, is so insignificant that
> it does not matter.

If Peter said that then I think he is wrong about it. Anyone running a
business wants to reduce costs that add directly to the bottom line.

> >> HD, on local signals, sounds much better, especially on AM... and
> >> FM doubles the channels at least-
> >
> > This is impossible according to information theory. With less
> > efficient use of the same bandwidth digital must sound worse.
>
> It sounds better. COmpression algorithims essentially fool the ear by
> removing "irrelevant" data. AM HD sounds like FM analog.

Your ears must be more easily "fooled" than mine. I don't think most
people will be "fooled."

> > The readers of this newsgroup understand the broadcaster/marketing
> > perspective but except for you we do not share the view of
> > implementing a scheme that maintains the broadcaster status quo
> > over new choices or a system that would be an actual improvement in
> > quality and choice for the listener.
>
> Since the economics of radio are such that more stations reduces
> service (proven by 80-90 all over America) there is no advantage in
> this unless you want 1000 streams from personal iPods.

I think you have this subject all wrong. Your assertion that AMBCB must
go digital to improve the resultant sound quality or fail as a
commercial medium is a house of cards.

1. IBOC can not sound better than analog on local signals for technical
reasons so the argument of "ear fooling" is totally unconvincing.

2. Even if IBOC would make an actual improvement on local signals it
will limit "out of market" listening. And yeah, we know you don't care
about that since it is not part of the stations revenue stream but it
does result on a limiting listener choices.

3. It their is a problem with the AMBCB marketing it is programming
related not the technical delivery.

So where are we at? The industry does not address the real issue of
programming and instead screws with the technical delivery to limit
listener choices.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3028. MONITORING THE MIDDLE EAST TINDER BOX UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:16:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE7711.56241F2@milestones.com>
Source record: 84264

<http://mt-milcom.blogspot.com/2006/07/monitoring-middle-east-tinder-box_18.html>

(Larry Van Horn`s Monitoring Times blog via DXLD)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

Genuine shortwave listener, not a fake Hispanic nor an HD/IBOC shill.

3029. OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:17:18 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <lntsb25dbeutqjsa7c60fch7ff5s11sk95@4ax.com>
Source record: 84265

There were 39 combat related killings in Iraq in January.
In the fair city of Detroit there were 35 murders in the
month of January.That's just one American city,
about as deadly as the entire war-torn country of Iraq.

When some claim that President Bush shouldn't
have started this war, consider the following:

a. FDR led us into World War II.

b. Germany never attacked us; Japan did.
>From 1941-1945, 450,000 lives were lost ...
an average of 112,500 per year.

c. Truman finished that war and started one in Korea.
North Korea never attacked us
>From 1950-1953, 55,000 lives were lost ...
an average of 18,334 per year.

d. John F. Kennedy started the Vietnam "conflict" in 1962.
Vietnam never attacked us.

e. Johnson turned Vietnam into a quagmire.
>From 1965-1975, 58,000 lives were lost .
an average of 5,800 per year.

f. Clinton went to war in Bosnia without UN or French consent.
Bosnia never attacked us.
He was offered Osama bin Laden's head on a platter three
times by Sudan and did nothing. Osama has attacked us on
multiple occasions.

g. In the years since terrorists attacked us , President Bush
has liberated two countries, crushed the Taliban, crippled
al-Qaida, put nuclear inspectors in Libya, Iran, and North
Korea without firing a shot, and captured a terrorist who
slaughtered 300,000 of his own people.

The Democrats are complaining
about how long the war is taking.
But
It took less time to take Iraq than it took Janet Reno
to take the Branch Davidian compound.
That was a 51-day operation.

We've been looking for evidence for chemical weapons
in Iraq for less time than it took Hillary Clinton to find

the Rose Law Firm billing records.

It took less time for the 3rd Infantry Division and the
Marines to destroy the Medina Republican Guard
than it took Ted Kennedy to call the police after his
Oldsmobile sank at Chappaquiddick.

It took less time to take Iraq than it took
to count the votes in Florida!!!!

Our Commander-In-Chief is doing a GREAT JOB!
The Military morale is high!

The biased media hopes we are too ignorant
to realize the facts

But Wait there's more!

JOHN GLENN (ON THE SENATE FLOOR)
Mon, 26 Jan 2004 11:13

Some people still don't understand why military personnel
do what they do for a living. This exchange between
Senators John Glenn and Senator Howard Metzenbaum
is worth reading. Not only is it a pretty impressive
impromptu speech, but it's also a good example of one
man's explanation of why men and women in the armed
services do what they do for a living

This IS a typical, though sad, example of what
some who have never served think of the military.

Senator Metzenbaum (speaking to Senator Glenn):
"How can you run for Senate
when you've never held a real job?"

Senator Glenn (D-Ohio):
"I served 23 years in the United States Marine Corps.
I served through two wars. I flew 149 missions.
My plane was hit by anti-aircraft fire on 12 different
occasions. I was in the space program. It wasn't my
checkbook, Howard; it was my life on the line. It was
not a nine-to-five job, where I took time off to take the
daily cash receipts to the bank."

"I ask you to go with me ... as I went the other day...
to a veteran's hospital and look those men ..
with their mangled bodies in the eye, and tell THEM
they didn't hold a job!

You go with me to the Space Program at NASA
and go, as I have gone, to the widows and Orphans
of Ed White, Gus Grissom and Roger Chaffee...
and you look those kids in the eye and tell them

that their DADS didn't hold a job.

You go with me on Memorial Day and you stand in
Arlington National Cemetery, where I have more friends
buried than I'd like to remember, and you watch
those waving flags

You stand there, and you think about this nation,
and you tell ME that those people didn't have a job?

What about you?"

For those who don't remember
During WWII, Howard Metzenbaum was an attorney
representing the Communist Party in the USA. Now he's a Senator!

If you can read this, thank a teacher.
If you are reading it in English thank a Veteran.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3030. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:35:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84266

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>> >
>> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>>
>> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
>
> Yes, I do. Check it out.
>
>> You are pretty sad.
>
> No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the season,

Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real insight on
what spins your gears.

Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or club
(like seal "hunting")?

3031. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:37:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FZuvg.174438$F_3.135134@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84267

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons go
>> up
>> or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
>> >
>
> I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
> sarcastic.

I am neither naive nor sarcastic in this case. Arbitron tabulates what it finds. There is no partialization to stations, the medium or to any interests involved.

Advertisers and their agents use the data to buy around $21 billion in advertising a year. This would not happen if the yardstick were defective.

3032. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:39:58 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <20vvg.174440$F_3.159625@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84268

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-5454B3.10164419072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <m1tvg.174379$F_3.25910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception, and if it is
>> not
>> digital, it is not good in today's world.
>
> You gotta be kidding! The technical reasons why a system sounds good or
> not does not matter? Digital is better than analog? No way guy!

;Most consumers believe that digital sounds better, whether it does or not.
>
>> P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent badwidth sounds
>> pretty
>> analog FM like. Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
>> firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."
>
> What happens is that artifacts (distortions) are introduced similar to
> pixilation in photographs. The conversion of analog to digital and back
> by actual hardware also introduces additional distortion and noise.
>
> It is not possible that digital can sound better than analog in the same
> bandwidth.

Actually, it can. To transmit an analog signal has simply different
requirements than digital, so there are ways of putting a better digital
product, using compression, in a narrow bandwidth. All you have to do is
switch between HD and analog on an AM station to tell.

3033. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:45:03 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153334703.302937.312340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84269

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons go
> >> up
> >> or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
> >> >
> >
> > I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
> > sarcastic.
>
> I am neither naive nor sarcastic in this case. Arbitron tabulates what it
> finds. There is no partialization to stations, the medium or to any
> interests involved.
>
> Advertisers and their agents use the data to buy around $21 billion in
> advertising a year. This would not happen if the yardstick were defective.

It's not defective. Nor is it impartial. I thought everyone over the
age of twelve understood this.

3034. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:47:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <jgvsb2dmh7p8nd5faaefuq95ujg2ig60vr@4ax.com>
Source record: 84270

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:58:27 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>KCRW has a 1.2 share in LA, while the sum of the four AC stations is about
>13 sharess.
>
How would you know that? NCEs have their own book.

3035. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:48:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <givsb2ldshuko790jgaapnrnphh0fvgltn@4ax.com>
Source record: 84271

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:04:42 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>The average person listens just over 2.5 hours a day.
>
>
The average person believes that Angels are real.

3036. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:49:55 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lkvsb2piq7kvm0knhnmsmuaa36agmd57vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 84272

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message

>3. The listener does not pay for HD.
>4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio every time you buy
one of the bullshit consumer products or services advertised thereon.

3037. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:50:58 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mavvg.174442$F_3.15703@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84273

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-D03990.11015319072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <3Wtvg.174399$F_3.29034@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> Radio, unlike streaming and satellite (in most cases) is highly
>> portable. There are, by varying estimates, 800 million to one billion
>> radios out there. Replacing one per household will not make a new
>> band viable.
>
> I don't see anybody carrying around a HD portable radio.

And you won't for some time. The Intel-iBiquity deal announced a few months
ago is intended to develop portable chipsets with good battery life.
>
>> And, as Peter said, ther eis no available specturm anyway.
>
> You take it over just like IBOC does to AMBCB.

HD shares the AM spectrum with a minimal, if any, disruption to it.

>> When split into two, the bandwidth is enough for two better-than-FM
>> channels.
>
> Low bit rate audio sounds like crap. FM has enough bandwidth for one
> stereo stream not two.

I have listened with our engineers and we agree that the difference between
1 channel and 2 is not perceptable to the human ear. In fact, split in
three, the audio is as good as a present day analog FM, if not better (no
preemphasis, for example)
>>
>> Peter says he has heard discussion, but I have never heard any
>> discussion of turning off analog until 100% of usable radios are
>> digital. The power bill, in a larger market, is so insignificant that
>> it does not matter.
>
> If Peter said that then I think he is wrong about it. Anyone running a
> business wants to reduce costs that add directly to the bottom line.

Peter siad he _had_ heard discussion. I have not. Electricity to a major
market AM is petty cash. In many cases, the tower lights draw more power
than the transmitter.

>> It sounds better. COmpression algorithims essentially fool the ear by
>> removing "irrelevant" data. AM HD sounds like FM analog.

>
> Your ears must be more easily "fooled" than mine. I don't think most
> people will be "fooled."

I have never heard anyone who thought the current AM HD sounded worse than
analog. the only itme it sounds bad is with cascading codecs ahead of the
transmitter.
>>
>> Since the economics of radio are such that more stations reduces
>> service (proven by 80-90 all over America) there is no advantage in
>> this unless you want 1000 streams from personal iPods.
>
> I think you have this subject all wrong. Your assertion that AMBCB must
> go digital to improve the resultant sound quality or fail as a
> commercial medium is a house of cards.

I tis already failing, if about 90% of the listening is age 45 and older,
and about 60% is in unsalable demos. It needs a fix, now.
>
> 1. IBOC can not sound better than analog on local signals for technical
> reasons so the argument of "ear fooling" is totally unconvincing.

All codecs are ear fooling. they remove non-necessary data to compress.
>
> 2. Even if IBOC would make an actual improvement on local signals it
> will limit "out of market" listening. And yeah, we know you don't care
> about that since it is not part of the stations revenue stream but it
> does result on a limiting listener choices.

There is essentially no out of primary coverage listening. Primary signal
zones are not affected.
>
> 3. It their is a problem with the AMBCB marketing it is programming
> related not the technical delivery.

Nope. The issue is that under-45's just will not put up with the audio. many
formats have moved from AM to FM, and found huge increases in 25-44
listening. Bonneville is right now movcin g news talk to FM in DC, Phoenix,
Salt Lake... to get younger isteners who will not use WTOP, KTAR, and KSL
(all of which are the best AM signals in each market) and onters, like Clear
Channel, are following suit.
>
> So where are we at? The industry does not address the real issue of
> programming and instead screws with the technical delivery to limit
> listener choices.

In the case of AM, this is a pure technology vs. age issue. Not a
programming one.

3038. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:52:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Sbvvg.174443$F_3.84146@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84274

```
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153334703.302937.312340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons
>> >> go
>> >> up
>> >> or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
>> >> >
>> >
>> > I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
>> > sarcastic.
>>
>> I am neither naive nor sarcastic in this case. Arbitron tabulates what it
>> finds. There is no partialization to stations, the medium or to any
>> interests involved.
>>
>> Advertisers and their agents use the data to buy around $21 billion in
>> advertising a year. This would not happen if the yardstick were
>> defective.
>
> It's not defective. Nor is it impartial. I thought everyone over the
> age of twelve understood this.

Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.
```

3039. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:53:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Lcvvg.174445$F_3.174175@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84275

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:jgvsb2dmh7p8nd5faaefuq95ujg2ig60vr@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:58:27 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>KCRW has a 1.2 share in LA, while the sum of the four AC stations is about
>>13 sharess.
>>
> How would you know that? NCEs have their own book.

NCEs are measured by Arbitron, but the data is marketed to NCE's by a
separate entity. All the NCE numbers come from Arbitron, and all Arbitron
subscribers have them.
>

3040. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:55:55 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153335355.574574.125180@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

```
an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > get help sicko
> > > >
> > > > Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!
> > > still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame
> > >
> > Still more of functional illiterate Mark C. Morgan's idiocy.
> still more of Robeson conspiracy to defame

Still more of Markie's stupidity.
```

3041. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 18:58:00 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <cuvsb2d5qvj7d3oga4c77g2iaeuv5nilom@4ax.com>
Source record: 84277

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
wrote:

>(ejaculation on the flag excised)

Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
time, and expecting a different result.

If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
forever.

You are a tool.

3042. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:01:17 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153335677.734930.92340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84278
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > Hi Kiddie diddler!
> still more from the robeson conspriacy

Wasn't that a group in the 1970's? No, I 'm confusing them with the
"Hughes Corporation."
```

3043. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:02:04 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153335724.330428.82810@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> get help sicko

Poor Markie!
```

3044. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:03:06 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153335786.140318.87810@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84280
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > to whom it concern
> > >
> > > Maj Steven J Robeson of the CAP has enaged in a multi effort at libbel
> > > and fraud
> >
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie.
> I refer you to answer given before No further addresign of your issues
> will take place until you make the call that proves you are lying
>
> you may of whine all you like
>
> your effeort at misuing quotes out of context and out lies are not
> proof
>
> make the call robeson

The only one whining is you, fool.
```

3045. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:07:11 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4i7e70F2f7cjU1@individual.net>
Source record: 84281

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:cuvsb2d5qvj7d3oga4c77g2iaeuv5nilom@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> wrote:
>
> >(ejaculation on the flag excised)
>
> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
> time, and expecting a different result.
>
> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
> forever.
>
> You are a tool.
>
So the Muslim Jihadists are doing this all over money? Who's the tool?

3046. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:10:25 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153336225.239574.148310@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie has been reduced to this. BWHAHAHAHA!

3047. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:15:08 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-849990.12150819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84283

In article <20vvg.174440$F_3.159625@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5454B3.10164419072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <m1tvg.174379$F_3.25910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception, and if it is
> >> not
> >> digital, it is not good in today's world.
> >
> > You gotta be kidding! The technical reasons why a system sounds good or
> > not does not matter? Digital is better than analog? No way guy!
>
> ;Most consumers believe that digital sounds better, whether it does or not.
> >
> >> P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent badwidth sounds
> >> pretty
> >> analog FM like. Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
> >> firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."
> >
> > What happens is that artifacts (distortions) are introduced similar to
> > pixilation in photographs. The conversion of analog to digital and back
> > by actual hardware also introduces additional distortion and noise.
> >
> > It is not possible that digital can sound better than analog in the same
> > bandwidth.
>
> Actually, it can. To transmit an analog signal has simply different
> requirements than digital, so there are ways of putting a better digital
> product, using compression, in a narrow bandwidth. All you have to do is
> switch between HD and analog on an AM station to tell.

No I'm sorry to say it can not. There are technical reasons why it can't
be what you want. I have not heard the IBOC digital signal but I have
heard DRM that was supposed to be better and it was poor. I do not
expect a different result from IBOC. When the HD 2 radios come out I
will have a listen and give my opinion on the sound but based on DRM I
am not expecting that I will like it. Compression can not make up for
lack of bandwidth. Based on bit rate I would not want to listen to multi
stream HD FM either. HD FM is good for one stream based on bit rate and
you can ague that compression can make up for it but it does not and can
not.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3048. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:27:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <or1tb21oag8spsum1pplc33ctplhi07nut@4ax.com>
Source record: 84284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Jul 2006 15:07:01 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>a thread related not
>
>I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
>Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
>that Morse encoded spark

For the duration of a dash, spark is CW. Maybe slightly damped, but
still CW.

3049. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:28:59 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8t1tb2ttebbve72edbsbdf695qkd9bpomm@4ax.com>
Source record: 84285

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:15:08 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>No I'm sorry to say it can not. There are technical reasons why it can't
>be what you want. I have not heard the IBOC digital signal but I have
>heard DRM that was supposed to be better and it was poor. I do not
>expect a different result from IBOC. When the HD 2 radios come out I
>will have a listen and give my opinion on the sound but based on DRM I
>am not expecting that I will like it. Compression can not make up for
>lack of bandwidth. Based on bit rate I would not want to listen to multi
>stream HD FM either. HD FM is good for one stream based on bit rate and
>you can ague that compression can make up for it but it does not and can
>not.

I think you're wrong regarding a broadcast FM channel. 200 kHz is
plenty of bandwidth for a very nice sounding digital signal.

3050. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:29:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE87FD.2CBF0039@milestones.com>
Source record: 84286

The bean blowing David Eduardo professed his ignorance when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
> > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> >> >
> >> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> >>
> >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> >
> > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> >
> >> You are pretty sad.
> >
> > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the season,
>
> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real insight on
> what spins your gears.

Insight? Edweenie, you wouldn't know real insight even if it crawled up your prancing ass and decided to spend the winter.

> Mole hunting?

Yep.

> Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or club
> (like seal "hunting")?

A simple trap, tard boy.

Welcome to the real world! The one you won't find in a book of numbers.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3051. may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:29:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153337386.329312.265220@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
traps you

3052. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:30:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <012tb2pdvgpca5op2srn2jmj2l4rmle3h0@4ax.com>
Source record: 84288

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:07:11 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:cuvsb2d5qvj7d3oga4c77g2iaeuv5nilom@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >(ejaculation on the flag excised)
>>
>> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
>> time, and expecting a different result.
>>
>> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
>> forever.
>>
>> You are a tool.
>>
>So the Muslim Jihadists are doing this all over money? Who's the tool?
>
>
The angry muslims were created in Langley.

3053. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:32:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jv1tb21o0c80e7dhpsekcfgupsij4u22b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 84289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Jul 2006 15:04:01 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
>> >> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.

>> >I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
>> >component

>> I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
>> balanced modulator circuitry".

>you were vague

I said, "ask them to find a component by function". That's only vague
to those who don't understand simple English.

> not my fault you can't express yourself

Not in what you use for language but, then, I speak English.

>nor is a EE needed to be ham and contrube to advancing the state of the
>radio art

No one said otherwise - but refusing to learn anything shouldn't be a
criterion, and it certainly doesn't contribute to anything but sloth.

>the tests needed to cover those things THEN

>THEN they more os less needed to inculde Morse code (lathough it could
>have been avoided but for the treaty)

>times change

>adapt or die

Oh, I could pass a test on the technical aspects of communications as
it's practiced today. Could you? (Rhetorical question - I know you
couldn't.) And I don't mean could you memorize enough answers to
pass.

3054. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:32:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153337541.613517.284650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
traps you

3055. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:33:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <762tb2hcuqrdtlnj0jnlht6f8736jkuijo@4ax.com>
Source record: 84290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 20:21:22 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to
>college.

Computer programming wasn't (as in, didn't exist), when I went to
college. :) Except maybe at IBM.

3056. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:33:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <282tb2hr85hgsp6lptjg14696gvkl05fg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 84291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:10:39 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
>foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.

Language is an art?

3057. may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:35:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153337715.556239.183560@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84293
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
traps you

3058. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:35:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jQvvg.132507$dW3.76286@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84295

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:lkvsb2piq7kvm0knhnmsmuaa36agmd57vi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>
>>3. The listener does not pay for HD.
>>4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
> Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio every time you buy
> one of the bullshit consumer products or services advertised thereon.

That is a real load. You do not have to buy anything to use terrestrial
radio.
>

3059. may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:35:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153337751.145833.187670@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
traps you

3060. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:36:15 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153337775.195877.45280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84296

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153334703.302937.312340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons
> >> >> go
> >> >> up
> >> >> or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> > I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
> >> > sarcastic.
> >>
> >> I am neither naive nor sarcastic in this case. Arbitron tabulates what it
> >> finds. There is no partialization to stations, the medium or to any
> >> interests involved.
> >>
> >> Advertisers and their agents use the data to buy around $21 billion in
> >> advertising a year. This would not happen if the yardstick were
> >> defective.
> >
> > It's not defective. Nor is it impartial. I thought everyone over the
> > age of twelve understood this.
>
> Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.

LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be partial. Do you think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the world?

If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be fools.

3061. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:36:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE89D8.D58472AD@milestones.com>
Source record: 84198

David wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:07:11 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >news:cuvsb2d5qvj7d3oga4c77g2iaeuv5nilom@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >(ejaculation on the flag excised)
> >>
> >> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
> >> time, and expecting a different result.
> >>
> >> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
> >> forever.
> >>
> >> You are a tool.
> >>
> >So the Muslim Jihadists are doing this all over money? Who's the tool?
> >
> >
> The angry muslims were created in Langley.

No, they were actually created by the Prophet Mohammed while undergoing a desert heat induced hallucination.

Much like you must have undergone in the deserts of California.

Fortunately Mohammed is gone. You, we still have to put up with.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3062. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:38:07 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153337887.497565.6510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84199

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153334703.302937.312340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:1153329867.449001.118210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> And, yes, Arbitron is very impartial. They do not care which staitons
> > >> >> go
> > >> >> up
> > >> >> or down. The jub they have is to measure this dynamic and report it.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >
> > >> > I can't tell whether you're really this naive or if you're being
> > >> > sarcastic.
> > >> >
> > >> I am neither naive nor sarcastic in this case. Arbitron tabulates what it
> > >> finds. There is no partialization to stations, the medium or to any
> > >> interests involved.
> > >>
> > >> Advertisers and their agents use the data to buy around $21 billion in
> > >> advertising a year. This would not happen if the yardstick were
> > >> defective.
> > >
> > > It's not defective. Nor is it impartial. I thought everyone over the
> > > age of twelve understood this.
> >
> > Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.
>
> LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how
> ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be partial. Do you
> think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut
> off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the
> world?
>
> If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you
> won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be
> fools.

Darned typo!

LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be impartial. Do you think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the world?

If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be fools.

3063. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:38:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2h2tb25anl46jvkh0eq8jlnbsshtilmn4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 84297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 10:48:14 +0000, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
wrote:

>Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
>Morris?

Morris is also known as C. W. Katt.

3064. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:39:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1Uvvg.132508$dW3.103962@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84200

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-849990.12150819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> No I'm sorry to say it can not. There are technical reasons why it can't
> be what you want. I have not heard the IBOC digital signal but I have
> heard DRM that was supposed to be better and it was poor.

That is a totally different system, not backwards compatible. It's
application is principally shortwave.

> I do not
> expect a different result from IBOC. When the HD 2 radios come out I
> will have a listen and give my opinion on the sound but based on DRM I
> am not expecting that I will like it.

There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to either
HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well. They
sound very nice, althogh the receivers currently out there are first
generation.

> Compression can not make up for
> lack of bandwidth. Based on bit rate I would not want to listen to multi
> stream HD FM either. HD FM is good for one stream based on bit rate and
> you can ague that compression can make up for it but it does not and can
> not.

"Bandwidth" is not a pure function of spectrum usage, as there are issues of
compression and algorithms. And the HD bandwidth is about +/- 15 kHz (same
as analog pre-NRSC)

3065. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:41:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <HVvvg.132509$dW3.103134@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84202

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:8t1tb2ttebbve72edbsbdf695qkd9bpomm@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:15:08 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>
>>No I'm sorry to say it can not. There are technical reasons why it can't
>>be what you want. I have not heard the IBOC digital signal but I have
>>heard DRM that was supposed to be better and it was poor. I do not
>>expect a different result from IBOC. When the HD 2 radios come out I
>>will have a listen and give my opinion on the sound but based on DRM I
>>am not expecting that I will like it. Compression can not make up for
>>lack of bandwidth. Based on bit rate I would not want to listen to multi
>>stream HD FM either. HD FM is good for one stream based on bit rate and
>>you can ague that compression can make up for it but it does not and can
>>not.
>
> I think you're wrong regarding a broadcast FM channel. 200 kHz is
> plenty of bandwidth for a very nice sounding digital signal.

It is enough for two very good ones, and acceptable for 3 FM analog quality
ones. I have heard two in use, and they sound much better than analog FM.
>

3066. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:41:58 UTC
From: gizb@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7p2tb29a72cgfd8jmb1f7r2toijjc1gh0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 84201

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 04:23:07 +0000, weatherall
<weatherall.2axhrg@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

> My E5 also came with a power adapter that generates
>interference on shortwave bands. Other than those issues, I am happy
>with my E5.

Eton is aware of this problem and has been mailing replacement
adapters. You have to call them to get one. I received mine a few
weeks ago, and there is a marked decrease in interference - a big
improvement.

3067. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:42:15 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153338135.622474.34250@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84203
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
traps you

3068. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:48:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <A0wvg.132510$dW3.117893@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84204

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153337775.195877.45280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.
>
> LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how
> ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be partial. Do you
> think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut
> off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the
> world?

Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be totally imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable stations in every market they study.

There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years ago Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.

Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the operations. In fact, they have a complex of little computer rooms where up to 12 different statins or groups can view their market(s) raw data on any particular day and quesiton any inconsistencies. And those inconsistencies will be reviewed and responded to, up to and including reissuing a ratings book.
>
> If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you
> won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be
> fools.

I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack of knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that conflicts with your preconceptions.

3069. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 19:56:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE8E71.A22283A8@milestones.com>
Source record: 84205

David 'Eduardo', the bloviating 'tard who has the distinction of getting tossed outta both Cleveland and Ecuador wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153337775.195877.45280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.
> >
> > LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how
> > ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be partial. Do you
> > think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut
> > off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the
> > world?
>
> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be totally
> imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable
> stations in every market they study.
>
> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of
> interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of
> complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years ago
> Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on
> formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.
>
> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the operations.
> In fact, they have a complex of little computer rooms where up to 12
> different statins or groups can view their market(s) raw data on any
> particular day and quesiton any inconsistencies. And those inconsistencies
> will be reviewed and responded to, up to and including reissuing a ratings
> book.
> >
> > If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you
> > won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be
> > fools.
>
> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack of
> knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that
> conflicts with your preconceptions.

I think, in your case, you have an innate need to bloviate and shill defective goods for your employer.

To wit, you are full of shit.

Now run along, prancing fool.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3070. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:04:23 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84206

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153337775.195877.45280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Explain how Arbitron is parcialized? I am all ears.
> >
> > LOL...the question isn't how Arbitron is partial. The question is how
> > ANY organization or institution of its kind COULD be partial. Do you
> > think that Arbitron works in some weird kind of corporate vacuum, cut
> > off from all of the powerful interests that populate the rest of the
> > world?
>
> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be totally
> imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable
> stations in every market they study.

Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies upon
subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me? And why
do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality between
stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here. Arbitron is in
business like any other firm, and subject to all of the same forces,
concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't know why I'm even
bothering to explain this...

>
> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of
> interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of
> complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years ago
> Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on
> formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.

If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God
Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to preserve
Arbitron's impartiality?

>
> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the operations.

How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives? How
do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any of the
rest of the back end events and processes that eventually result in
data collection?

> In fact, they have a complex of little computer rooms where up to 12
> different statins or groups can view their market(s) raw data on any
> particular day and quesiton any inconsistencies. And those inconsistencies
> will be reviewed and responded to, up to and including reissuing a ratings
> book.
> >
> > If you want to try to sell IBOC in this group, fine. Go for it. But you
> > won't generate much interest or enthusiasm by making us all out to be
> > fools.
>
> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack of
> knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that
> conflicts with your preconceptions.

Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.

3071. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:13:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <df4tb2pd777rlrhaj9tgsi356gpope0usm@4ax.com>
Source record: 84207

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:35:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:lkvsb2piq7kvm0knhnmsmuaa36agmd57vi@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>
>>>>3. The listener does not pay for HD.
>>>>4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
>> Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio every time you buy
>> one of the bullshit consumer products or services advertised thereon.
>
>That is a real load. You do not have to buy anything to use terrestrial
>radio.
>>
>
>
That's not what you said (and I quote)

''3. The listener does not pay for HD.''

You pay hidden costs for advertising when you buy ''brand name''
products (whether you listen to the radio or not). That's worse than
taxation without representation.

3072. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:15:44 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153340144.421509.59920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84208
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Hi Brian,
>
> Neither the Winradio or Flex-Radio models have any attenuation in the
> mediumwave range, nor on any other band that I know of. I do know the
> Flex-Radio folks clearly state "full sensitivity" throughout it's frequency
> range, and in some forum postings their representatives have mentioned "no
> attenuation" on any frequency a few times in the 2+ years I've followed the
> SDR-1000's development. My experience bears this out.
>
> During the comparison, John and I also did some checks in the tropical
> bands, and found the radios very, very similar on those frequencies too. In
> fact, at home I use the SDR-1000 much more for tropical band DXing than I do
> for medium wave, as the trans-Pacific stations are not heard regularly here.
> I'll mention, though, that once in a while I find my modded ICOM R-75 more
> sensitive on a DX signal, but the SDR-1000 often has better copy due to the
> cleaner sounding reproduction. Then there are rare times that the R-75 is
> clearly the winner on HF. It never hurts to have more than one receiver and
> more than one antenna around!
>
> Miso-- my comparisons to the ICOM R-75 are here and there throughout the
> blog. Two to look up, however, are from March 26th and April 16th, 2006.
>
> Regarding the ALA 100 loop: if I recall, Andy didn't think more than 3 turns
> would be of any benefit. I don't think he mentioned any max. total wire
> length. I wasn't planning on using more than 50 feet, as that's around the
> maximum recommended for a large single-turn loop (non-rotating :^) The
> nice thing about the ALA 100 model is the flexibility for experimenting with
> various shapes and dimensions. The new ALA 100s also have a higher dynamic
> range/IP3 preamplifier than the older Wellbrook models like the ALA 1530.

No, the bad thing about the ALA 100 is the flexibility. Dammit, I keep
fiddling and fiddling with it. ;-)

Is Andy Ikins email address a secret? I don't see it on the website.

>
> 73,
>
> Guy
>
>
> "Brian Denley" wrote in message
> news:hNOdnTBoU6QUBSDZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com...

> > It would be nice to see a comparison of shortwave comparison as some
> > receivers are intentionally attenuated in the MW band.
> >
> >

3073. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:16:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nk4tb2dkbnvbluu874pr04lacs2gb1ktf8@4ax.com>
Source record: 84209

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:39:41 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to either
>HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well.

You cannot listen to the analog on a BA RecepterHD. It switches to
digital automatically.

3074. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:21:06 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <515tb2hu1mumru0lpcema711lcqq0qrrla@4ax.com>
Source record: 84210

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:36:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>Fortunately Mohammed is gone. You, we still have to put up with.
>
http://www.law.harvard.edu/students/orgs/hrj/iss17/booknotes-All.shtml

3075. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:33:04 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE9700.51F462A4@milestones.com>
Source record: 84211

David wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:36:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Fortunately Mohammed is gone. You, we still have to put up with.
> >
> http://www.law.harvard.edu/students/orgs/hrj/iss17/booknotes-All.shtml

Wrong Mohhamed, shit for brains.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3076. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:44:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mQwvg.126841$H71.17557@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84212

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be
>> totally
>> imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable
>> stations in every market they study.
>
> Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies upon
> subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me? And why
> do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality between
> stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here. Arbitron is in
> business like any other firm, and subject to all of the same forces,
> concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't know why I'm even
> bothering to explain this...

You are getting far away from the point.

And that point is that Arbitron does one thing: it measures the size and
composition of radio audiences by the best methods known. These are accepted
polling methods where a census would be impractical due to cost and time
constraints.

All Arbitron does is sample the universe, project into that universe and
issue the data. There is no way to be parcial to any interests, nor is there
any reason. As stated, all electronic measurement is audited by a committe
of users, who are vitally concerned with getting as accurate as possible a
view of reality.
>
>>
>> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of
>> interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of
>> complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years
>> ago
>> Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on
>> formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.
>
> If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
> entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God
> Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to preserve
> Arbitron's impartiality?

I see no way that Arbitron could be partial to anyone. That would mean they distort the data. That is not possible.

>> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the
>> operations.
>
> How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives? How
> do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any of the
> rest of the back end events and processes that eventually result in
> data collection?

Since we are dealing with verifiable input data, frequently audited, who cares what the executives "think." In fact, I doubt they concern themselves with the market ranks of individual stations, but, rather the concern themselves with being accurate and beyond reproach. Confidence is their only real asset.
>>
>> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack
>> of
>> knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that
>> conflicts with your preconceptions.
>
> Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.

What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests radio ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the parameters of a random probability sample. You have an opinion, one which is unfettered by facts.
>

3077. Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:45:49 UTC
From: WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net>
Message-ID: <12bt6fum5n150d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84213

Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm

Big Lies: Demolishing the Myths of the Propaganda War Against Israel

http://www.frontpagemag.com/media/pdf/BigLies.pdf

3078. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:46:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eSwvg.126844$H71.42289@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84214

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:nk4tb2dkbnvbluu874pr04lacs2gb1ktf8@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:39:41 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to
>>either
>>HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well.
>
> You cannot listen to the analog on a BA RecepterHD. It switches to
> digital automatically.

I can do it. We have about 7 of them.

3079. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:56:12 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153342572.650525.72810@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84215

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be
> >> totally
> >> imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable
> >> stations in every market they study.
> >
> > Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies upon
> > subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me? And why
> > do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality between
> > stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here. Arbitron is in
> > business like any other firm, and subject to all of the same forces,
> > concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't know why I'm even
> > bothering to explain this...
>
> You are getting far away from the point.
>
> And that point is that Arbitron does one thing: it measures the size and
> composition of radio audiences by the best methods known. These are accepted
> polling methods where a census would be impractical due to cost and time
> constraints.

Don't be absurd. No--I repeat--no business is that simple, especially
in competitive markets. And that's not getting far from the point. That
IS the point. If you nevertheless choose to go on denying the obvious,
that's up to you; but don't think that your denials are deceiving
anyone.

>
> All Arbitron does is sample the universe, project into that universe and
> issue the data. There is no way to be parcial to any interests, nor is there
> any reason. As stated, all electronic measurement is audited by a committe
> of users, who are vitally concerned with getting as accurate as possible a
> view of reality.
> >
> >>
> >> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of
> >> interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of
> >> complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years
> >> ago
> >> Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on

> >> formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.
> >
> > If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
> > entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God
> > Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to preserve
> > Arbitron's impartiality?
>
> I see no way that Arbitron could be partial to anyone. That would mean they
> distort the data. That is not possible.

You can't possibly be serious.

>
> >> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the
> >> operations.
> >
> > How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives? How
> > do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any of the
> > rest of the back end events and processes that eventually result in
> > data collection?
>
> Since we are dealing with verifiable input data, frequently audited, who
> cares what the executives "think."

Everyone who answers to them. Everyone they answer to. Everyone beyond
Arbitron's boundaries who might affect/be affected by their decisions.
Everyone who has a stake in "the business". Duh.

>In fact, I doubt they concern themselves
> with the market ranks of individual stations, but, rather the concern
> themselves with being accurate and beyond reproach. Confidence is their only
> real asset.
> >>
> >> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack
> >> of
> >> knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that
> >> conflicts with your preconceptions.
> >
> > Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.
>
> What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests radio
> ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the parameters of a
> random probability sample. You have an opinion, one which is unfettered by
> facts.
> >

You are simply playing dumb and evading the issue. You aren't going to
sell anything to me, so kindly move on to your next mark.

3080. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 20:58:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BE9CD8.3DAFE22E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84216

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', genuine fake Hispanic and bloviating shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be
> >> totally
> >> imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all the viable
> >> stations in every market they study.
> >
> > Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies upon
> > subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me? And why
> > do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality between
> > stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here. Arbitron is in
> > business like any other firm, and subject to all of the same forces,
> > concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't know why I'm even
> > bothering to explain this...
>
> You are getting far away from the point.
>
> And that point is that Arbitron does one thing: it measures the size and
> composition of radio audiences by the best methods known. These are accepted
> polling methods where a census would be impractical due to cost and time
> constraints.
>
> All Arbitron does is sample the universe, project into that universe and
> issue the data. There is no way to be parcial to any interests, nor is there
> any reason. As stated, all electronic measurement is audited by a committe
> of users, who are vitally concerned with getting as accurate as possible a
> view of reality.
> >
> >>
> >> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set of
> >> interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been plenty of
> >> complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and such, and years
> >> ago
> >> Arbitron created an advisor panel named from inustry sectors based on
> >> formats and market sizes that adresses these issues as they arrise.
> >
> > If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
> > entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God

> > Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to preserve
> > Arbitron's impartiality?
>
> I see no way that Arbitron could be partial to anyone. That would mean they
> distort the data. That is not possible.
>
> >> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the
> >> operations.
> >
> > How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives? How
> > do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any of the
> > rest of the back end events and processes that eventually result in
> > data collection?
>
> Since we are dealing with verifiable input data, frequently audited, who
> cares what the executives "think." In fact, I doubt they concern themselves
> with the market ranks of individual stations, but, rather the concern
> themselves with being accurate and beyond reproach. Confidence is their only
> real asset.
> >>
> >> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have a lack
> >> of
> >> knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new information that
> >> conflicts with your preconceptions.
> >
> > Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.
>
> What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests radio
> ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the parameters of a
> random probability sample. You have an opinion, one which is unfettered by
> facts.

And you have an opinion, one that is driven by $$$.

As always, keep one eye on the bloviating shill, and one eye on the money.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3081. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:04:00 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F6FCAC.14040019072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84217

In article <1Uvvg.132508$dW3.103962@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-849990.12150819072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > No I'm sorry to say it can not. There are technical reasons why it can't
> > be what you want. I have not heard the IBOC digital signal but I have
> > heard DRM that was supposed to be better and it was poor.
>
> That is a totally different system, not backwards compatible. It's
> application is principally shortwave.

It is a different system but with the same issues digitizing analog
information and the same arguments were made for it verses analog. DRM
has same issues of digitization, bandwidth and compression.

> > I do not expect a different result from IBOC. When the HD 2 radios
> > come out I will have a listen and give my opinion on the sound but
> > based on DRM I am not expecting that I will like it.
>
> There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to
> either HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog
> as well. They sound very nice, althogh the receivers currently out
> there are first generation.

Give some links to the HD 2 radios please.

> > Compression can not make up for lack of bandwidth. Based on bit
> > rate I would not want to listen to multi stream HD FM either. HD FM
> > is good for one stream based on bit rate and you can ague that
> > compression can make up for it but it does not and can not.
>
> "Bandwidth" is not a pure function of spectrum usage, as there are
> issues of compression and algorithms. And the HD bandwidth is about
> +/- 15 kHz (same as analog pre-NRSC)

You have to get your arms around the concept that when you transmit
information data rate is determined by bandwidth. The digitization of
analog material acts as a low pass filter on that information. Any data
compression algorithm is an additional filter and is usually a low pass
one. The filter(s) behave best when the information stream or program
material is dilute and behaves the worst when the material is rich or
dense. Everyone is familiar with the varying amount of jpeg compression
you get when working with pictures and how the picture degrades with
the amount of compression. A picture with more compression takes less

time to up or down load and more bandwidth speeds the time to transmit. It does not matter whether the medium is radio or ethernet, or the that material is sound or a picture the concept is the same. It is all information.

If you limit the bandwidth you have to make it up with compression but that comes with a cost of resolution or quality and that's the way it is despite your inability to acknowledge it.

The problem here is that you are trying to sell IBOC on the fact that it results in a higher quality signal reception and I'm trying to point out to you that is impossible based on information transmission theory. In addition to the theoretical problem hardware adds distortion and noise to the program material. Not only is it impossible for digital to sound as good as analog in the same bandwidth but my related experience with DRM sound quality issues where people tried to convince me that DRM would sound better was easily refuted by going to the DRM consortium web page and listening to their examples of transmissions. They were poor quality compared to analog.

There are plenty of web sites with music and some times you can even find the same material digitized at different bit rates. Listening to these will give you a good idea of how the digitizing rate colors the material and whether it is acceptable.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3082. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:11:40 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-60FF8D.14114019072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84218

In article <44BE89D8.D58472AD@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> David wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:07:11 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:cuvsb2d5qvj7d3oga4c77g2iaeuv5nilom@4ax.com...
> > > >> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel
> > > >> <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >(ejaculation on the flag excised)
> > > >>
> > > >> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions
> > > >> every time, and expecting a different result.
> > > >>
> > > >> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
> > > >> forever.
> > > >>
> > > >> You are a tool.
> > > >>
> > > >So the Muslim Jihadists are doing this all over money? Who's the
> > > >tool?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > The angry muslims were created in Langley.
>
> No, they were actually created by the Prophet Mohammed while
> undergoing a desert heat induced hallucination.
>
> Much like you must have undergone in the deserts of California.
>
> Fortunately Mohammed is gone. You, we still have to put up with.

That was funny Ace.

What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
then they want you dead.

--
Telamon

Ventura, California

3083. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:14:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <dhxvg.126846$H71.92150@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84219

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield->

> Give some links to the HD 2 radios please.

Boston Acoustics. Been out for months and months.

Or are you referring to the design spec 2.0 radios, none of which are out yet. However, you can get HD-2 channels on several of the existing models, including the Polk entertainment center, out in the last month.

3084. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:16:41 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Zixvg.149854$mF2.84023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84220

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >>
> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >>
> > > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> > >
> > > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
> >
> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
for
> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.

And the IBOC proponents totally dismiss the primary advantage of the
heritage delivery system, that is long distance propagation.

Oh, I know. There is no longer any economic advantage to long distance
propagation. But, for most of us, the debate goes beyond money.

The other night I was tuning around and caught a WBZ talkshow about the
tunnel collapse. It was interesting to hear Bostonians give thier opinions
in their own voices. And I know my listening gave no economic benefit to
WBZ or the economy in general or even to myself in particular.
Nevertheless, it was worthwhile.

The radio spectrum is one of our natural resources and ought to be managed
as such. Should every old growth tree in the national forests be chopped
down, even if it benefits some people? There are some national parks in
which hardly anybody visits. Should they be strip mined?

What's the economic value of a clear, starry night? None, really. But we
do make modest restrictions on light pollution despite thier economic costs.

Long distance radio propagation on the AM band is a natural resource which
also deserves some protection.

>
> > I'd say most of us are keeping
> > pace with the digital revolution. And I'd also say the digital threat
to
> > radio is from the individualized media now possible, rather than from
the
> > quality of the audio.
>
> HD brings not just an improvement in perceived quality, but, with HD 2 and
> even HD 3, many more free options.
>
> Most other alternatives that can be called "new radio" are fee based in
> some way, whether the fee is for the content or the delivery method.

I don't have any problem with fee based radio and I don't know anybody who
is much bothered by the concept. If some stations want to try to make a go
of it as pay channels, that's fine as long as they aren't interfering with
other stations.

I do find the latest "free radio" campaign disingenous. And that strikes my
conspiranoiac nerve.

>
> The biggest threats are not these IMHO. They are gaming and other options
> for leisure time activities, not alternative radio staitons or
substitutes.

Yes, but the substitues have only been around for a few years and they're
growing fast. I find these things interesting, at least conceptually. If I
were not so set in my ways, I'm sure I'd be really into them.

> >
> > Not only do people have more choices, but they have their own choices.
>
> A majority of adults do not want to spend time on such choices, at least
> yet. Part has to do with the complexity of delivery. There is an
opportunity
> for radio to adapt, and I think this is HD.

And there will soon be mp3 players which can be loaded direct from a wifi
connection. Personalized music services exist and I have no doubt they will
quickly get better and easier to use.

>
> > That's a powerful pull. And IBOC is just a band trashing form of the
old
> > school mass media. IBOC adds little of merit.
>
> The band is only trashed if something anyone is listening to is no longer
> listenable. The issue for AM, for example, is that the audience is getting
> older and no younger listeners are coming in. This is based on a
combination
> of quality and content... but the content can not be made appealing to

> under-45's without a commensurate quality gain.
>
>

Let's not forget censorship. Alot of popular stuff won't pass FCC muster.

I have the impression that radio just isn't very important to the people I know who are in their late teens and early twenties. Of course, that's a subjective impression of a small, possibly non representive, sample but I don't think my impression is totally invalid. Today's young people just don't have the radio habit as young people did in the 60s and 70s. And this is radio in general, not just AM radio.

I'll start telling the kids the radio stations are no longer playing Freebird and Stairway to Heaven every hour.

Frank Dresser

3085. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:19:52 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jdednYTpjuJlPCPZnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:10:39 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
>>foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.
>
>
> Language is an art?

Back in the olden days a BA degree focused on art, humanities, language, sociology, etc.

Back in the olden days a BS degree focused on math, more math, physics, chemistry, biological sciences, etc.

The basic difference was M A T H ... M O R E M A T H ... then four or more semesters of C A L C U L U S.

In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]

3086. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:27:42 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AFD37C.14274119072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84222

In article <mQwvg.126841$H71.17557@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be
> >> totally imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all
> >> the viable stations in every market they study.
> >
> > Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies
> > upon subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me?
> > And why do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality
> > between stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here.
> > Arbitron is in business like any other firm, and subject to all of
> > the same forces, concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't
> > know why I'm even bothering to explain this...
>
> You are getting far away from the point.
>
> And that point is that Arbitron does one thing: it measures the size
> and composition of radio audiences by the best methods known. These
> are accepted polling methods where a census would be impractical due
> to cost and time constraints.
>
> All Arbitron does is sample the universe, project into that universe
> and issue the data. There is no way to be parcial to any interests,
> nor is there any reason. As stated, all electronic measurement is
> audited by a committe of users, who are vitally concerned with
> getting as accurate as possible a view of reality.
> >
> >>
> >> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set
> >> of interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been
> >> plenty of complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and
> >> such, and years ago Arbitron created an advisor panel named from
> >> inustry sectors based on formats and market sizes that adresses
> >> these issues as they arrise.
> >
> > If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
> > entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God
> > Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to

> > preserve Arbitron's impartiality?
>
> I see no way that Arbitron could be partial to anyone. That would
> mean they distort the data. That is not possible.
>
> >> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the
> >> operations.
> >
> > How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives?
> > How do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any
> > of the rest of the back end events and processes that eventually
> > result in data collection?
>
> Since we are dealing with verifiable input data, frequently audited,
> who cares what the executives "think." In fact, I doubt they concern
> themselves with the market ranks of individual stations, but, rather
> the concern themselves with being accurate and beyond reproach.
> Confidence is their only real asset.
> >>
> >> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have
> >> a lack of knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new
> >> information that conflicts with your preconceptions.
> >
> > Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.
>
> What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests
> radio ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the
> parameters of a random probability sample. You have an opinion, one
> which is unfettered by facts.

This thread has gone on long enough without directly addressing the issue of how does Arbitron and the people that use the data stay impartial to the statistical data? The problem with statistical data is you can make it fit your preconceptions. In order to look at data in an unbiased way scientists use blind studies as an example so what does Arbitron and the people that use it do to stay impartial to the marketing data?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3087. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:30:39 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3DC896.14303919072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84223

In article <dhxvg.126846$H71.92150@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield->
>
> > Give some links to the HD 2 radios please.
>
> Boston Acoustics. Been out for months and months.
>
> Or are you referring to the design spec 2.0 radios, none of which are out
> yet. However, you can get HD-2 channels on several of the existing models,
> including the Polk entertainment center, out in the last month.

I want the version 2 radios so when they do come out post about it.

I sure hope these radios have some type of EPROM in them so they can be
upgraded in the future.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3088. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:42:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sl9tb2ltlnqje5q2vrke31hsd8047jsec3@4ax.com>
Source record: 84224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:19:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still
>like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean
>something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]

It's only been 43 years for me, but I've also forgotten some of the
math and I also like to read about some of the humanities. But I
still earn my living doing the BS stuff, although being a field
anthropologist does sound interesting.

3089. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:47:24 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153345643.979379.240970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84225

David Eduardo wrote:

> KLOK 1170. Been running it for just over 6 months.

I had a feeling. What's the format? Still doing Mexican Nortena pop
as Radio Tricolor?

I remember it from back a trip to 'Frisco back in 1998

--
Steph

3090. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 21:56:25 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153346185.879948.166840@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84228

Telamon wrote:
> In article <mQwvg.126841$H71.17557@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153339463.493048.327560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Since Arbitron does not provide proprietary research, it has to be
> > >> totally imparcial because they depend on having as subscribers all
> > >> the viable stations in every market they study.
> > >
> > > Oh, that's right. Any business, service or institution that relies
> > > upon subscribers has to be perfectly impartial. Are you kidding me?
> > > And why do you keep talking about stations, as though impartiality
> > > between stations is the only kind of impartiality at issue here.
> > > Arbitron is in business like any other firm, and subject to all of
> > > the same forces, concerns and shortcomings as any firm. I don't
> > > know why I'm even bothering to explain this...
> >
> > You are getting far away from the point.
> >
> > And that point is that Arbitron does one thing: it measures the size
> > and composition of radio audiences by the best methods known. These
> > are accepted polling methods where a census would be impractical due
> > to cost and time constraints.
> >
> > All Arbitron does is sample the universe, project into that universe
> > and issue the data. There is no way to be parcial to any interests,
> > nor is there any reason. As stated, all electronic measurement is
> > audited by a committe of users, who are vitally concerned with
> > getting as accurate as possible a view of reality.
> > >
> > >>
> > >> There has never been a complaint about "being partial" to one set
> > >> of interests vs. another since Arbitron started. There have been
> > >> plenty of complaints, questions and suggestions on methodology and
> > >> such, and years ago Arbitron created an advisor panel named from
> > >> inustry sectors based on formats and market sizes that adresses
> > >> these issues as they arrise.
> > >
> > > If you are correct and Arbitron is the one and only truly impartial
> > > entity in existence, please explain how this came about. Does God

> > > Himself systematically intervene in everyday human events to
> > > preserve Arbitron's impartiality?
> >
> > I see no way that Arbitron could be partial to anyone. That would
> > mean they distort the data. That is not possible.
> >
> > >> Further, any subscriber can review the raw data and inspect the
> > >> operations.
> > >
> > > How do I "inspect" the thought processes of its chief executives?
> > > How do I "inspect" the market influences it is subject to, or any
> > > of the rest of the back end events and processes that eventually
> > > result in data collection?
> >
> > Since we are dealing with verifiable input data, frequently audited,
> > who cares what the executives "think." In fact, I doubt they concern
> > themselves with the market ranks of individual stations, but, rather
> > the concern themselves with being accurate and beyond reproach.
> > Confidence is their only real asset.
> > >>
> > >> I don't think everyone is a fool. I think, in your case, you have
> > >> a lack of knowledge and a certain resistance to acquiring new
> > >> information that conflicts with your preconceptions.
> > >
> > > Yeah, well, I'm comfortable letting the record speak for itself.
> >
> > What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests
> > radio ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the
> > parameters of a random probability sample. You have an opinion, one
> > which is unfettered by facts.
>
> This thread has gone on long enough without directly addressing the
> issue of how does Arbitron and the people that use the data stay
> impartial to the statistical data? The problem with statistical data is
> you can make it fit your preconceptions. In order to look at data in an
> unbiased way scientists use blind studies as an example so what does
> Arbitron and the people that use it do to stay impartial to the
> marketing data?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Yes, there's the question of how the data is processed once it's in
hand. There's also the question of why that data, in particular, was
collected, by what means it was collected, when it was collected, and
so on and so on. Even if Arbitron does an outstanding job, it is STILL
not immune to bias because nothing is. Even scientists make no claim to
infallibility--no doubt because once in a while we learn of a study
that WAS juryrigged to promote a particular agenda. The most recent

instance was the South Korean 'expert' on cloning who was disgraced and ultimately even indicted.

3091. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:02:19 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4i7ofcF2hpcjU1@individual.net>
Source record: 84229

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> >
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> c.2000
> > > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> > >
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> > >>>
> > >>> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> > >>>
> > >> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> > >>
> > >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> > >
> > > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> > >
> > >> You are pretty sad.
> > >
> > > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> season,
> >
> > Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> insight on
> > what spins your gears.
> >
> > Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
> club
> > (like seal "hunting")?
>
> Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
> many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> to catch it after months of trying.
>
> --Mike L.

>
He'll have to change to MOLEace. I've only harvested two so far this year. I switched from plunger traps to loops this year, they work far better. The only thing uglier than a mole is a wet opossum.

3092. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:03:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BEAC27.84D783A6@milestones.com>
Source record: 84230

Michael Lawson wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> >
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> c.2000
> > > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> > >
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> > >>>
> > >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> > >>>
> > >>> $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> > >>
> > >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> > >
> > > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> > >
> > >> You are pretty sad.
> > >
> > > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> season,
> >
> > Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> insight on
> > what spins your gears.
> >
> > Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
> club
> > (like seal "hunting")?
>
> Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
> many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> to catch it after months of trying.

And the season is still young!

This is the BEST trap I've ever used:

<http://easymoletrap.radius3.com/index.php?customernumber=775751515349471&pr=How_It_Works>

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3093. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:04:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d2btb25q75bq715bgf0oo28kj4al6o6ir3@4ax.com>
Source record: 84231

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 20:46:02 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:nk4tb2dkbnvbluu874pr04lacs2gb1ktf8@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:39:41 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to
>>>either
>>>HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well.
>>
>> You cannot listen to the analog on a BA RecepterHD. It switches to
>> digital automatically.
>
>I can do it. We have about 7 of them.
>
>
Tell us how, please.

http://www.bostonacoustics.com/manuals/RRHDMAN.pdf

3094. Re: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:09:16 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <8ff2f$44bea8fd$d8c4cade$24145@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 84226

Um, Beerbarrel, not to rain on your parade, but
Glenn and Metzenbaum weren't the senators
from Ohio in 2004. Glenn and Metzenbaum both
retired in the mid-late 90's, and their seats were
filled by George Voinovich and Mike DeWine.
DeWine took Metzenbaum's seat in a contest
with Metzenbaum's anointed successor (and
nephew) Joel Hyatt. Yes, THE Joel Hyatt of
Hyatt Legal Services ("I'm Joel Hyatt, and you
have my word on it.")

The exchange referenced below is an urban
legend, but it's similar to an exchange between
the two in the early 70's (before my time in
being politically aware):

http://urbanlegends.about.com/library/bl_glenn_metzenbaum.htm

--Mike L.

"Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
news:lntsb25dbeutqjsa7c60fch7ff5s11sk95@4ax.com...
> There were 39 combat related killings in Iraq in January.
> In the fair city of Detroit there were 35 murders in the
> month of January.That's just one American city,
> about as deadly as the entire war-torn country of Iraq.
>
>
>
> When some claim that President Bush shouldn't
> have started this war, consider the following:
>
>
>
> a. FDR led us into World War II.
>
> b. Germany never attacked us; Japan did.
> >From 1941-1945, 450,000 lives were lost ...
> an average of 112,500 per year.
>
>
>
> c. Truman finished that war and started one in Korea.
> North Korea never attacked us
> >From 1950-1953, 55,000 lives were lost ...

> an average of 18,334 per year.
>
> d. John F. Kennedy started the Vietnam "conflict" in 1962.
> Vietnam never attacked us.
>
> e. Johnson turned Vietnam into a quagmire.
> >From 1965-1975, 58,000 lives were lost .
> an average of 5,800 per year.
>
> f. Clinton went to war in Bosnia without UN or French consent.
> Bosnia never attacked us.
> He was offered Osama bin Laden's head on a platter three
> times by Sudan and did nothing. Osama has attacked us on
> multiple occasions.
>
> g. In the years since terrorists attacked us , President Bush
> has liberated two countries, crushed the Taliban, crippled
> al-Qaida, put nuclear inspectors in Libya, Iran, and North
> Korea without firing a shot, and captured a terrorist who
> slaughtered 300,000 of his own people.
>
>
>
> The Democrats are complaining
> about how long the war is taking.
> But
> It took less time to take Iraq than it took Janet Reno
> to take the Branch Davidian compound.
> That was a 51-day operation.
>
>
>
> We've been looking for evidence for chemical weapons
> in Iraq for less time than it took Hillary Clinton to find
> the Rose Law Firm billing records.
>
>
>
> It took less time for the 3rd Infantry Division and the
> Marines to destroy the Medina Republican Guard
> than it took Ted Kennedy to call the police after his
> Oldsmobile sank at Chappaquiddick.
>
>
>
> It took less time to take Iraq than it took
> to count the votes in Florida!!!!
>
> Our Commander-In-Chief is doing a GREAT JOB!
> The Military morale is high!

>
> The biased media hopes we are too ignorant
> to realize the facts
>
>
>
> But Wait there's more!
>
>
>
> JOHN GLENN (ON THE SENATE FLOOR)
> Mon, 26 Jan 2004 11:13
>
>
>
> Some people still don't understand why military personnel
> do what they do for a living. This exchange between
> Senators John Glenn and Senator Howard Metzenbaum
> is worth reading. Not only is it a pretty impressive
> impromptu speech, but it's also a good example of one
> man's explanation of why men and women in the armed
> services do what they do for a living
>
>
>
> This IS a typical, though sad, example of what
> some who have never served think of the military.
>
>
>
> Senator Metzenbaum (speaking to Senator Glenn):
> "How can you run for Senate
> when you've never held a real job?"
>
>
>
> Senator Glenn (D-Ohio):
> "I served 23 years in the United States Marine Corps.
> I served through two wars. I flew 149 missions.
> My plane was hit by anti-aircraft fire on 12 different
> occasions. I was in the space program. It wasn't my
> checkbook, Howard; it was my life on the line. It was
> not a nine-to-five job, where I took time off to take the
> daily cash receipts to the bank."
>
>
> "I ask you to go with me ... as I went the other day...
> to a veteran's hospital and look those men ..
> with their mangled bodies in the eye, and tell THEM
> they didn't hold a job!

>
>
>
> You go with me to the Space Program at NASA
> and go, as I have gone, to the widows and Orphans
> of Ed White, Gus Grissom and Roger Chaffee...
> and you look those kids in the eye and tell them
> that their DADS didn't hold a job.
>
>
> You go with me on Memorial Day and you stand in
> Arlington National Cemetery, where I have more friends
> buried than I'd like to remember, and you watch
> those waving flags
>
>
>
> You stand there, and you think about this nation,
> and you tell ME that those people didn't have a job?
>
> What about you?"
>
>
> For those who don't remember
> During WWII, Howard Metzenbaum was an attorney
> representing the Communist Party in the USA. Now he's a Senator!
>
>
>
> If you can read this, thank a teacher.
> If you are reading it in English thank a Veteran.
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

3095. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:12:17 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <m4btb2pjcrmufq49dkbsamgan4n8e11mdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 84232

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 21:11:40 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>
>What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
>want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
>then they want you dead.

Where do you get this stuff from? You are falling for Radical
propaganda.

''WHAT THE CIAï¿½S BIN LADEN EXPERT SAYS

The Commissionï¿½s analysis may have drawn on the writings of Michael
Scheuer, who served in the CIA for 22 years, and who headed the CIA
Counter-Terrorism Centreï¿½s bin Laden task force (1996-1999). Scheuer,
who retired in Nov. 2004, wrote two recent books as ï¿½Anonymousï¿½:
Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes and Imperial Hubris: Why the West Is Losing
the War on Terror. (He was unmasked in the Boston Phoenix.)

Scheuer contests the view put forward by George W. Bush and Tony
Blair: ï¿½We in the United States and the West make a mistake when we
argue, as has [New York Times columnist] Thomas L. Friedman, that bin
Ladenï¿½s attacks are ï¿½not aimed at reversing any specific U.S. foreign
policy,ï¿½ or, as Steve Simon and Daniel Benjamin did in Survival in
early 2002, that bin Laden has ï¿½no discrete set of negotiatiable
political demandsï¿½.ï¿½ (Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 256)

Scheuer argues that Osama bin Laden has ï¿½clear, focused, limited and
widely popular foreign policy goalsï¿½, including:

ï¿½the end of U.S. aid to Israel and the ultimate elimination of that
state; the removal of U.S. and Western forces from Iraq, Afghanistan,
and other Muslim lands; the end of U.S. support for the oppression of
Muslims by Russia, China, and India; the end of U.S. protection for
repressive, apostate regimes in Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Egypt, Jordan,
et cetera; and the conservation of the Muslim worldï¿½s energy resources
and their sale at higher prices.ï¿½

Scheuer observes that, ï¿½Bin Laden is out to drastically alter U.S. and
Western policies toward the Islamic world, not necessarily to destroy
America, much less its freedoms and liberties. He is a practical
warrior, not an apocalyptic terrorist in search of Armageddon.ï¿½
(Imperial Hubris, p. xviii)

Scheuer wrote, while still a serving CIA officer, ï¿½Bin Laden has been precise in telling America the reasons he is waging war on us. None of the reasons have anything to do with our freedom, liberty and democracy, but have everything to do with U.S. policies and actions in the Muslim world.ï¿½ (Imperial Hubris, p. x) Scheuer goes further, arguing that ï¿½the United States, and its policies and actions, are bin Ladenï¿½s only indispensable alliesï¿½. (Imperial Hubris, p. xi)

WHAT CAN WE DO?

The 9/11 Commission also asked the question, ï¿½What can we do to stop these attacks?ï¿½ It suggested that, while bin Ladenï¿½s campaign had begun in reaction to US policies, ï¿½it quickly became far deeperï¿½: ï¿½To the second question of what America could do, al Qaedaï¿½s answer was that America should abandon the Middle East, convert to Islam, and end the immorality and godlessness of its cultureï¿½ If the United States did not comply, it would be at war with the Islamic nationï¿½. (The 9/11 Commission Report, pp. 50-51)

The Commission produced no evidence that al Qaeda had such a maximalist programme. Michael Scheuer vigorously disputes this view, drawing a distinction between ï¿½the things a Muslim would find offensiveï¿½, and things which a Muslim might regard as an attack on Islam or on Muslims. ï¿½Part of bin Ladenï¿½s genius is that he recognized early on the difference between those issues Muslims find offensive about America and the West, and those they find intolerable and life threatening.ï¿½ (Imperial Hubris, p. 10)

Jason Burke, Chief Reporter for the London Observer, points out in his book Al-Qaeda, ï¿½While bin Ladenï¿½s discourse may be based on an interpretation of Islamic history, his power is derived from playing on the current social, economic and political problems of the Muslim world.ï¿½ (Al-Qaeda, Penguin, 2004, p. 25)

In the case of the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, bin Laden and other non-Afghan Muslims ï¿½went there to fight the Red Army not because the Soviets were atheists and communistsï¿½ but because of their brutal invasion. (Imperial Hubris, p. 10) After the invasion was reversed, the mujahideen did not continue armed action against the atheist and anti-Islamic Soviet Union. When the grievance ended, so did the mujahideen war.

Scheuer, as already pointed out, argues that Osama bin Laden has ï¿½clear, focused, [and] limitedï¿½ foreign policy goals. The goal is not the establishment of an Islamic fundamentalist state in the US, whatever the 9/11 Commission asserts, but deep change in US foreign policy.

WHAT WOULD MAKE AL QAEDA STOP?

After 11 September, bin Laden said, ï¿½Just as they are killing us, we have to kill them so there will be a balance of terrorï¿½ We will do as they do. If they kill our women and innocent people, we will kill their women and innocent people until they stop.ï¿½ (Cited in Through

Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 247, emphasis added)

Intervening in the closing days of the 2004 presidential election, bin Laden told the American people, ï¿½Your security does not lie in the hands of Kerry, Bush, or al-Qaeda. Your security is in your own hands. Each and every state that does not tamper with our security will have automatically assured its own security.ï¿½ (BBC translation). This was translated by CNN as, ï¿½Any nation that does not attack us will not be attacked.ï¿½ ï¿½Usï¿½ is meant to refer to the community of Muslim nations and populations, and ï¿½attackï¿½ has a broad meaning, as former CIA official Michael Scheuer explains.

Writing before the invasion of Iraq, Scheuer commented: ï¿½How will [al Qaeda] recognise victory? Easy, by forcing drastic changes in U.S. foreign policyï¿½ when U.S. and British forces evacuate Saudi Arabia and the rest of the Arabian peninsula; when the United States has terminated all aid to Israel; and when the U.S. and UN embargoes on Iraq are lifted.ï¿½ (These achievements, bin Laden believes, ï¿½will lead inevitably to destruction of Israel and what bin Laden has called the regimes of ï¿½hypocritesï¿½ in Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, and elsewhere.ï¿½) (Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 256)

To these goals, one might add the ending of the US-UK occupation of Iraq and presence in Afghanistan.

LEGITIMATE GRIEVANCES

Underlying these demands are legitimate grievances against the West: Western support for Israeli oppression of the Palestinians; the invasion of Iraq and Afghanistan; the brutal sanctions imposed on Iraq (now lifted); and US-UK support for dictatorial regimes in the Middle East. These are immoral policies which should be reversed because they are wrong. So is the policy of ignoring-or supporting-oppression in Chechnya and elsewhere.

It so happens that reversing these immoral policies would drain most if not all of the hatred which fuels al Qaeda. This is how we can stop bin Laden. War, retaliation and violence simply adds to his appeal.

PUNISHMENT OR SURVIVAL

The governments of Britain and the United States can pursue the path of punishment and preventive violence, or they can seek to bring this wave of terrorism to an end. Bringing al Qaeda-style terrorism to an end means, above all, reducing the motivation that exists to carry out terrorism.

This does not mean ï¿½negotiating with terroristsï¿½ or ï¿½capitulating to their demandsï¿½, but seeking justice and human rights for all, including the peoples of Palestine and Iraq.

The answer to terrorism is justice, not more terrorism. London and Washington must also stop practising the terrorism of the powerful -

invasion, occupation, and indirect terrorism via oppressive states.''

http://vitw.org/archives/947

3096. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:13:06 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 84227

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
c.2000
> > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> >> >
> >> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> >>
> >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> >
> > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> >
> >> You are pretty sad.
> >
> > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
season,
>
> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
insight on
> what spins your gears.
>
> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
club
> (like seal "hunting")?

Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
to catch it after months of trying.

--Mike L.

3097. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:23:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ghyvg.174518$F_3.165343@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84233

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Zixvg.149854$mF2.84023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
>> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
>> >>
>> >> by Edward Montgomery
>> >>
>> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
>> >
>> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
>>
>> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
>> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
> for
>> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
>> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
>
> And the IBOC proponents totally dismiss the primary advantage of the
> heritage delivery system, that is long distance propagation.

When the FCC dismissed the final attempts to get the 1 A clears upgraded to
500 to 750 kw each in the late 60's, they began an effort towards extreme
localism that resulted, in the next decade, in the allocation and licencing
of at least on 1 B on every clear channel in the US. At the seme time,
lesser classes were allowed on the clears, including daytimers and lower
powered fulltimers.

The FCC was showing a policy that virtually eliminated the usage of even the
clears for long distance propagation in favor of local, groundwave reception
for AMs. A look at any of the clears in 1960 vs. 1990 or today will show how
this has populated those channels. The other classes, such as regional and
local channels were never guaranteed skywave coverage and were, in fact,
only protected form local skywave interference in the primary coverge area
at night (known as the interference free zone...).

It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a curiosity. Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the mid-50s from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other daypart.
>
> Oh, I know. There is no longer any economic advantage to long distance
> propagation. But, for most of us, the debate goes beyond money.

That is only part of the matter. Would any station be interested in consistent, listenable night audiences, there is declining usage of radio at night, the decline in use of AM by younger listeners and the FCC's own policies that come in the way. Add to that the fact that in may areas, storng international Am interference ruins AM anyway.

Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities. This is because they believe there that AM is not the way of the future. AMs are left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority audiences, like the Chinese stations in Vancouver or the standards station in Toronto.
>
> The other night I was tuning around and caught a WBZ talkshow about the
> tunnel collapse. It was interesting to hear Bostonians give thier
> opinions
> in their own voices. And I know my listening gave no economic benefit to
> WBZ or the economy in general or even to myself in particular.
> Nevertheless, it was worthwhile.

But you could also get WBZ on the web, right? You are not being deprived of the message, just one medium.
>
> The radio spectrum is one of our natural resources and ought to be managed
> as such. Should every old growth tree in the national forests be chopped
> down, even if it benefits some people? There are some national parks in
> which hardly anybody visits. Should they be strip mined?

As I said, the FCC policies going back nearly 40 years have brought us to this point, and, coupled with the "sound" of AM, we have a fait acomplit.
>
> What's the economic value of a clear, starry night? None, really. But we
> do make modest restrictions on light pollution despite thier economic
> costs.
>
> Long distance radio propagation on the AM band is a natural resource which
> also deserves some protection.

The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than more local service that is relible and consistent.
>
> I don't have any problem with fee based radio and I don't know anybody who
> is much bothered by the concept.

Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio. Free
radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population using
it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the priviledges
of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."

> If some stations want to try to make a go
> of it as pay channels, that's fine as long as they aren't interfering with
> other stations.

There is no talk of this. The model is advertising support, not
subscription.
>
> Yes, but the substitues have only been around for a few years and they're
> growing fast. I find these things interesting, at least conceptually. If
> I
> were not so set in my ways, I'm sure I'd be really into them.

Satellite has spent 5 years getting to about a half-share of listening. And
it is cooling (withness XM's failure to meet projections and the loss of 60%
f its market capitalization).
>
> Let's not forget censorship. Alot of popular stuff won't pass FCC muster.

I think you overestimate the number of people who want to hear DJs cuss. And
that is what satellite can serve.

3098. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:29:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Nmyvg.174522$F_3.142109@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84235

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-AFD37C.14274119072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> What record? You have not submitted anything that remotely suggests
>> radio ratings are not of the highest confidence level within the
>> parameters of a random probability sample. You have an opinion, one
>> which is unfettered by facts.
>
> This thread has gone on long enough without directly addressing the
> issue of how does Arbitron and the people that use the data stay
> impartial to the statistical data? The problem with statistical data is
> you can make it fit your preconceptions. In order to look at data in an
> unbiased way scientists use blind studies as an example so what does
> Arbitron and the people that use it do to stay impartial to the
> marketing data?

Arbitron produces quantitative data. There is no interpretation required.

Use: You want to buy a station for its 25-34 female listeners because that is your ad target. You look at the ranking of stations on this demographic. You see how many average listeners the station has. You get a rate quote. You divide the two to see what the cost per thousand listeners is, and you buy the most efficient deliverers of your target.

Since we are looking at a cost delivery analysis, there is very little or anything to "interpret."

While my example is a simple one (in the real world, a cosmetic might target only women in the age group, and only women who were of a specific ethnicity) it is the basic principle. Arbitron allows you to look at geography, age, sex, ethnicity, and even income levels. All of this is quantitative and requires no interpretation. There is no "why do you listen" question... just "when and to what."

3099. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:29:26 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-I6dnY5GqOfbLyPZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:19:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still
>>like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean
>>something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]
>
>
> It's only been 43 years for me, but I've also forgotten some of the
> math and I also like to read about some of the humanities. But I
> still earn my living doing the BS stuff, although being a field
> anthropologist does sound interesting.

I retired from the BS business in 2000.

Now I'm an ordained minister, ordained in 1988 as a Catholic Deacon, and serve as Chaplain to the incarcerated in addition to parish responsibilities.

Us old hams have diversified interests !!

3100. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:30:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <woyvg.174524$F_3.72708@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84236

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153345643.979379.240970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> KLOK 1170. Been running it for just over 6 months.
>
> I had a feeling. What's the format? Still doing Mexican Nortena pop
> as Radio Tricolor?

Music on AM for Hispanics only works where there is no FM.

Format is news / talk.

For the last two years, it had been "La Cumbia Caliente 11-70."

3101. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:39:45 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12btdefmi1ohkb1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84237

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote
>

[ejaculatory propaganda castrated]

>
> You are a tool.
>

You are a fool.

3102. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:40:59 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12btdgnfqft42eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84238

"David" <rickets@knac.com> > >
> The angry muslims were created in Langley.
>

Angry DaviD was created in Beijing.

3103. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:46:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <XCyvg.174527$F_3.32123@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84239

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153346185.879948.166840@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Yes, there's the question of how the data is processed once it's in
> hand.

This is simple. The Arbitron diary (sample at
http://www.davidgleason.com/Research_Arbitron.htm) has people list the times
and stations they listen too in a 7 day period. Arbitron enters each entry
into that market's computer database and then the comuter tabs the data.

> There's also the question of why that data, in particular, was
> collected, by what means it was collected, when it was collected, and
> so on and so on.

The data collected is every instance of radio usage by staiton (whether by
calls or name or frequency or other unique identifier)

There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of the 12
week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those who
will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
non-returners does not vary form that of returners.

The reason why the data is collected is to see what people listen to and
when and when they use and do not use radio.

> Even if Arbitron does an outstanding job, it is STILL
> not immune to bias because nothing is.

Since the data is quantitative, it is hard to find a significant bias.

> Even scientists make no claim to
> infallibility--no doubt because once in a while we learn of a study
> that WAS juryrigged to promote a particular agenda.

As I said before, radio ratings are a poll and as such, have a totally
calculable margin of error based on sample size. The margins are considered
acceptable by the users of the data, meaning the stations that pay for it
and the agencies and advertisers who get it to make ad buying decisions by
purely quantitative methods.

> The most recent
> instance was the South Korean 'expert' on cloning who was disgraced and
> ultimately even indicted.

Cloning has moral implications. If we go to the quantitative part, the fact
was the research was not done. Arbitron is audited. All clients can do their
own audits. There has never been any "issue" based on failure to do what the

approved methodology demanded.

3104. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:51:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <rHyvg.174528$F_3.164342@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84240

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:4i7ofcF2hpcjU1@individual.net...
>> --Mike L.
>>
> He'll have to change to MOLEace. I've only harvested two so far this year.
> I
> switched from plunger traps to loops this year, they work far better. The
> only thing uglier than a mole is a wet opossum.

Thank goodness I live in the desert. I only have to worry about earthquakes coming from underground.

How does MOLEace cook the moles he catches? Broiled? Or Chicken fried mole.

3105. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:52:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <FIyvg.174529$F_3.96430@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84241

```
"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:d2btb25q75bq715bgf0oo28kj4al6o6ir3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 20:46:02 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:nk4tb2dkbnvbluu874pr04lacs2gb1ktf8@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:39:41 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to
>>>>either
>>>>HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well.
>>>
>>> You cannot listen to the analog on a BA RecepterHD. It switches to
>>> digital automatically.
>>
>>I can do it. We have about 7 of them.
>>
>>
> Tell us how, please.

Reduce or shield the antenna. It defaults to analog.
```

3106. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 22:52:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4Jyvg.174531$F_3.167277@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84242

```
"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> c.2000
>> > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>> >> >
>> >> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>> >>
>> >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
>> >
>> > Yes, I do. Check it out.
>> >
>> >> You are pretty sad.
>> >
>> > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> season,
>>
>> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> insight on
>> what spins your gears.
>>
>> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
> club
>> (like seal "hunting")?
>
> Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
> many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> to catch it after months of trying.

what is a vole?
```

3107. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:01:14 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12btemnbi0a428f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84244

"David" <rickets@knac.com>
>
[ejaculatory communist propaganda castrated]
>

Voices in the Wilderness is a member organization of the United for Peace
and Justice anti-war coalition (a network of communist front groups)

http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/groupProfile.asp?grpid=6166

which is led by Leslie Cagan, a longtime committed socialist who aligns her
politics with those of Fidel Castro's Communist Cuba.

http://www.discoverthenetwork.org/individualProfile.asp?indid=629

VW conducted regular trips to Iraq to deliver medical and other supplies,
all in violation of the U.N. sanctions regime as well as several U.S. laws
and Presidential executive orders. The quantity of aid that Voices brought
to Iraq was more symbolic than substantive; the real emphasis was to have
group members "witness" the detrimental effects of sanctions for themselves,
by visiting Iraqi hospitals, schools, and other areas-always in the presence
of official "minders" of the Iraqi regime. After being schooled by spokesmen
of Saddam's regime about the deadly toll that sanctions were taking on
Iraq's population, VW volunteers returned home to convey these sentiments to
audiences all across the United States.

Almost without exception, the founding members of VW were drawn from what
has been dubbed the "Catholic Ultra-resistance" -- those Catholic radicals
sympathetic to the Catholic Worker movement's doctrine of nonviolent
resistance and the personalities of Dorothy Day, Thomas Merton, and,
especially,
the radical priests Daniel
http://www.followthenetwork.org/uidev/personsDetail.cfm?pid=1567&keyword=
and Philip Berrigan.
http://www.followthenetwork.org/uidev/personsDetail.cfm?pid=1566&keyword=

Former VW member Charles M. Brown, who has since come to view the
organization as irresponsible and duplicitous, later acknowledged: "To be
perfectly frank, we were less concerned with the suffering of the Iraqi
people than we were in maintaining our moral challenge to U.S. foreign
policy. We did not agitate for an end to sanctions for purely humanitarian
reasons; it was more important to us to maintain our moral challenge to
'violent' U.S. foreign policy, regardless of what happened in Iraq. For
example, had we been truly interested in alleviating the suffering in Iraq,
we might have considered pushing for an expanded Oil-for-Food program.
Nothing could have interested us less. Indeed, we even regarded the paltry

amounts of aid that we did bring to Iraq as a logistical hassle. . We were so preoccupied with our own agenda that we didn't notice or care that the regime made use of us. When critics asked us whether the group was being exploited by the Iraqi regime, we obfuscated, and in so doing put Saddam and his minions on the same level as the U.S. government."

Because travel to Iraq was central to VW's activities, the organization was wholly dependent upon the regime's good graces to gain necessary travel permits and visas to enter and travel throughout the country. Thus VW had to remain silent on such significant issues as Iraq's human rights abuses, genocide, and especially its refusal to comply with the disarmament requirements of UNSC resolutions 687 and 1441. Until about 2000, there was a policy within the group explicitly barring its members from publicly criticizing the Iraqi regime.

http://www.discoverthenetwork.com/groupProfile.asp?grpid=6341

3108. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:02:34 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eSyvg.424553$Fs1.31678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84243

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
>>>>
>>>> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
>> c.2000
>>>> stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
>>>>
>>>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
>>>>>>> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>>>>>> $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>>>>> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
>>>> Yes, I do. Check it out.
>>>>
>>>>> You are pretty sad.
>>>> No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
>> season,
>>> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
>> insight on
>>> what spins your gears.
>>>
>>> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
>> club
>>> (like seal "hunting")?
>> Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
>> many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
>> had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
>> to catch it after months of trying.
>
> what is a vole?
>
>

A small mouselike rodent. Frequently referred to in "Monty Python's
Flying Circus."
```

3109. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:07:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xWyvg.174534$F_3.139471@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84245

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:eSyvg.424553$Fs1.31678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> A small mouselike rodent. Frequently referred to in "Monty Python's
> Flying Circus."

I feel deprived. They never dubbed that one into Spanish for Latin
America...

So a vole is to a mole what a DAT tape is to a VHS?

3110. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:13:34 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <y0zvg.424612$Fs1.387532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84246

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:eSyvg.424553$Fs1.31678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> A small mouselike rodent. Frequently referred to in "Monty Python's
>> Flying Circus."
>
> I feel deprived. They never dubbed that one into Spanish for Latin
> America...
>
> So a vole is to a mole what a DAT tape is to a VHS?
>
>

LOL!

I was thinking Beta, but we can go with that.

3111. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:14:33 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153350873.399706.8370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84247

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153346185.879948.166840@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Yes, there's the question of how the data is processed once it's in
> > hand.
>
> This is simple. The Arbitron diary (sample at
> http://www.davidgleason.com/Research_Arbitron.htm) has people list the times
> and stations they listen too in a 7 day period. Arbitron enters each entry
> into that market's computer database and then the comuter tabs the data.
>
> > There's also the question of why that data, in particular, was
> > collected, by what means it was collected, when it was collected, and
> > so on and so on.
>
> The data collected is every instance of radio usage by staiton (whether by
> calls or name or frequency or other unique identifier)
>
> There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of the 12
> week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those who
> will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
> non-returners does not vary form that of returners.

So what? Isn't this common knowledge?

>
> The reason why the data is collected is to see what people listen to and
> when and when they use and do not use radio.
>
> > Even if Arbitron does an outstanding job, it is STILL
> > not immune to bias because nothing is.
>
> Since the data is quantitative, it is hard to find a significant bias.

Quantititative data can turn out to harbor enormous biases if you look
at the processes BEHIND the collection of the data. Science is much
more than a collection of data, my friend.

>
> > Even scientists make no claim to
> > infallibility--no doubt because once in a while we learn of a study
> > that WAS juryrigged to promote a particular agenda.
>
> As I said before, radio ratings are a poll and as such, have a totally

> calculable margin of error based on sample size. The margins are considered
> acceptable by the users of the data, meaning the stations that pay for it
> and the agencies and advertisers who get it to make ad buying decisions by
> purely quantitative methods.

Of course the margin of error is calculable ASSUMING that the
underlying methodology is SOUND.

>
> > The most recent
> > instance was the South Korean 'expert' on cloning who was disgraced and
> > ultimately even indicted.
>
> Cloning has moral implications.

What does this have to do with anything? This scientist wasn't
disgraced because he claimed to discover the cloning is wrong, right or
anything in between. He was disgraced because of his handling of
perfectly measurable, quantifiable data. How do you think he was caught
in the first place? I wonder how many like him do not get caught.

If we go to the quantitative part, the fact
> was the research was not done. Arbitron is audited. All clients can do their
> own audits. There has never been any "issue" based on failure to do what the
> approved methodology demanded.

So what? What about the biases in the audits? You just keep digging
your hole deeper and deeper.

Like I said, if you want to sell, sell, but I'm not buying. Kindly sell
your snake oil further down the road.

3112. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:18:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9meft$a0r$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84148

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:jQvvg.132507$dW3.76286@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:lkvsb2piq7kvm0knhnmsmuaa36agmd57vi@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>
>>>3. The listener does not pay for HD.
>>>4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
>> Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio every time you buy
>> one of the bullshit consumer products or services advertised thereon.
>
> That is a real load. You do not have to buy anything to use terrestrial
> radio.

That's a half-truth and you know it. As consumers we DO have to buy a great many things. If the product is advertised on the the radio, then the cost of that advertisement is factored into the bottom line, and therefor the cost of the product to the consumer. Quit trying to blow smoke up our asses. Nothing in this world is ever free. Even so-called NCE stations receive tax dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those as well.

3113. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:23:30 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <S9zvg.424664$Fs1.96314@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84149

Brenda Ann wrote:
>

Even so-called NCE stations receive tax
> dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those as
> well.
>
>
>

Surprisingly few, these days, actually. Most Non-Coms exist by
listener support, corporate grant, and CPB funding.

But very little actual tax money.

That's one reason why Non-Coms frequently have such fine facilties.
They don't have to survive on ratings based advertising revenue streams.

3114. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:25:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <nbzvg.174542$F_3.84259@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84150

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153350873.399706.8370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of the
>> 12
>> week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those
>> who
>> will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
>> non-returners does not vary form that of returners.
>
> So what? Isn't this common knowledge?

You don't seem to know this.
>>
>> Since the data is quantitative, it is hard to find a significant bias.
>
> Quantititative data can turn out to harbor enormous biases if you look
> at the processes BEHIND the collection of the data. Science is much
> more than a collection of data, my friend.

what biases are there in asking a person to record what they listen to? The
fact that the Portable People Meter, in its 5th market test now, shows
essentially the same lsitening levels with a totally passive measurement
(like the black box in an airplane, in fact) shows there are no biases in
recording the data. There can be none at the tabulation level, because it is
pure data keying, is verified, and not subject to anyting but very minor
error.
>>
>> As I said before, radio ratings are a poll and as such, have a totally
>> calculable margin of error based on sample size. The margins are
>> considered
>> acceptable by the users of the data, meaning the stations that pay for it
>> and the agencies and advertisers who get it to make ad buying decisions
>> by
>> purely quantitative methods.
>
> Of course the margin of error is calculable ASSUMING that the
> underlying methodology is SOUND.

A random probability proportional sample is the most standard, clearcut and
bias free sampling technique there is. The methodology is to get a close to
perfect sample,a nd compensate for minor varinces by mathematical weighting,
which has no human intervention.

>>
>> Cloning has moral implications.
>
> What does this have to do with anything?

You picked a bad example of a single individual who was dishonest, dealing with a charged issue. Arbitron is many thousands of people and millions of respondents and an outside auditing committe plus audits by subscribers. Radio listening is a "count 'em" function.

> If we go to the quantitative part, the fact
>> was the research was not done. Arbitron is audited. All clients can do
>> their
>> own audits. There has never been any "issue" based on failure to do what
>> the
>> approved methodology demanded.
>
> So what? What about the biases in the audits? You just keep digging
> your hole deeper and deeper.

How can there be a bias? the audits are done by a team, and the purpose is to determine if proper sampling techniques, in agreement with the accredited methodology are being used.
>
> Like I said, if you want to sell, sell, but I'm not buying. Kindly sell
> your snake oil further down the road.

I don't know who you are. So you have me at a disadvantage. I do know who the heads of all the major broadcast groups are, I know who audits Arbitron, I know the Arbitron folks and I know who the agencies who buy radio are. Based on sheer number of interests and people, Arbitron biases or defects would not go unchallenged for more than a month!

3115. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:30:55 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12btgeehltf71c5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84159

The following has been brought to you by the product of a Socialist
Pennsauken, NJ public education.

"kiebassa queen" <applecork2@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:376a5$44be490b$d1cc7b18$21672@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "adam" <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au...
> > Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
> > it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time
>
> VOA should be re-named: "Amerika Kisses Israeli Ass Radio".
>
> VOA is a Govt broadcasting service so what else are we to expect?
>
> Why is it a suprise that the first thing the Israeli's bombed in
> Lebanon was the remote TV and Radio transmission facilities of
> Al Jazeera and Al Arabia ?
>
> Amerika kisses jew ass 24/7 cause if they dont the dirty kikes
> will crash the FED and the USA Banking System that will
> precipitate a 2nd Civil War. (which at this point looks more
> and more to be a possible good thing in the end game long run ??)
>

3116. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:31:08 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153351868.049229.121620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84151

Brenda Ann wrote:
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
> smaller square waves.
>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> physics call your bluff.
>
>
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

While IBOC is a piece of crap, your statements simply are not true.
Nyquisting sampling yields flawless recording/reproduction of a
bandlimited signal. You can check out this wiki:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nyquist-Shannon_sampling_theorem
While you can't always trust the net, this wiki is more or less the
standard blurb you get in any engineering text on signal processing.

Now technically, the samples are discrete in time but of infinite
resolution. Real life systems have a finite bitwidth, which in turn
sets the noise floor. Beyond that, real life systems don't have perfect
data converters, so distortion is introduced. The clocks have jitter,
blah blah blah. AM transmitters are not perfect either.

The problem with IBOC is the high level of digital compression. If a new band was created, it is quite possible to have superior digital broadcasting.

3117. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:31:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3hzvg.174543$F_3.108062@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84152

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9meft$a0r$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:jQvvg.132507$dW3.76286@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:lkvsb2piq7kvm0knhnmsmuaa36agmd57vi@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>>
>>>>>3. The listener does not pay for HD.
>>>>>4. The listener pays for satellite radio.
>>> Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio every time you buy
>>> one of the bullshit consumer products or services advertised thereon.
>>
>> That is a real load. You do not have to buy anything to use terrestrial
>> radio.
>
> That's a half-truth and you know it. As consumers we DO have to buy a
> great many things.

You know what I meant. To listen to the radio, you do not have to agree to
buy anything. You can buy or not buy at your option.

> If the product is advertised on the the radio, then the cost of that
> advertisement is factored into the bottom line, and therefor the cost of
> the product to the consumer.

Any marketing costs are. So is shipping, spoiled goods, labor, raw
materials. That is not specific to radio however, and thus irrelevant here.
those who offer goods and services have to use some method of letting
consumers know of their existence. But this cost is not caused by raido,
but, instead, by the need to engage in marketing.

> Quit trying to blow smoke up our asses.

Is there some reason you have to use vulgarities? That ususally means the
person so engaged has lost the argument.

> Nothing in this world is ever free. Even so-called NCE stations receive
> tax dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those
> as well.

But these costs are not a consequence of listening to the radio... they are marketing costs that would be the same even if the advertiser never used radio.

3118. Re: MONITORING THE MIDDLE EAST TINDER BOX UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:25 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12btgksbepfk2a9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84160

Excellent, thanks.

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BE7711.56241F2@milestones.com...
>
<http://mt-milcom.blogspot.com/2006/07/monitoring-middle-east-tinder-box_18.
html>
>
> (Larry Van Horn`s Monitoring Times blog via DXLD)
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> Genuine shortwave listener, not a fake Hispanic nor an HD/IBOC shill.
>

3119. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ekzvg.2106$157.1927@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:XUgvg.209842$Mn5.113680@pd7tw3no:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>>> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>>>
>>
>>>> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
>>>> highlight your ignorance.
>>>> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
>>>> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
>>>> group...answer=absolutely none.
>>>> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>>>
>>> Sorry.
>>>
>>> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
>>
>> there you go making friend world wide sc
>>> My condolences.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>
> Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
> than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.
>
> JB
>

Let's hope maybe a little goodness rubs off on the Kanadians then. Eh.

SC

3120. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ikzvg.2108$157.1525@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
news:telamon_spamshield-1A563C.10302219072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com:
>
> He is a Troll that creates endless cross posted threads about CW to
> radio listening and scanner news groups.

SWL's should learn CW too.

You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

But it seems all SWL's want to do is sit around like blobs all day long
and tell themselves their cheap plastic Etons and Grundigs sound good.
They don't want radio skills if it takes a little work to learn them.

I'm guessing that's why Telamon hates CW and ham radio as well. Laziness.

SC

3121. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <kkzvg.2109$157.2014@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84154

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:bSgvg.207016$iF6.197427@pd7tw2no:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>
>>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>>> cuhulin
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>>> yet.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
>>> capacity.
>>>
>>> JB
>>
>>
>> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>>
>> SC
>>
> We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international community.
> Clear enough for you?
>
> JB

Okay Johnie, tell us how you might have been duped, and try not to stick
your head up your ass when you do it. It makes you sound muffled.

SC

3122. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mkzvg.2110$157.1646@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84155

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:19261-44BDAE61-2194@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> Yeah,there she is SC.Go look in your mirror.
> cuhulin
>

No, you're wrong my friend, she wasn't me. You were the one with a crush on her. She dumped you for another woman. Guess she didn't like your dress. <BFG>

SC

3123. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <okzvg.2111$157.1541@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84158

adam <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au:

> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time,

What did VOA say?

SC

3124. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qkzvg.2112$157.1359@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84157

Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net> wrote in
news:44BDEB4C.632E3641@theseminary.net:

> Cuhulin, I think you ought to bust a move on that brunette.
>
> ==
>
> Steve, your going to give him a heart attack. I like Cuhulin, for
> his simple "down-home-humor". with all the hate/political post on
> this list; he kind of smoothes thinks out for me.

Maybe Cuhulin should change his nic to Ex-Lax?

SC

3125. bless you and grant new mental health to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:39:50 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153352390.803161.152920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
bless you and grant new mental health to you

soon

if you don't like the contents of these groups then look to your
posting and sarting posting something potive or on topic

3126. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:41:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ckgtb29ljt37s5jn364ig7qt1klt78kakb@4ax.com>
Source record: 84162

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 23:23:30 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Brenda Ann wrote:
>>
>
> Even so-called NCE stations receive tax
>> dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those as
>> well.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Surprisingly few, these days, actually. Most Non-Coms exist by
>listener support, corporate grant, and CPB funding.
>
> But very little actual tax money.
>
> That's one reason why Non-Coms frequently have such fine facilties.
>They don't have to survive on ratings based advertising revenue streams.
>
Really?

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ptfp/

The corporations and individuals get a tax break for contributing.
These funds must be made up elsewhere. Don't get me wrong, I'm a big
supporter of publicly funded media (it's purer) but don't blow smoke
up my ass about who pays for it.

3127. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:44:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vtgtb2lq91mhdagmtqb18i5pghqlvmrurd@4ax.com>
Source record: 84163

```
On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 22:52:21 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:d2btb25q75bq715bgf0oo28kj4al6o6ir3@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 20:46:02 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>>news:nk4tb2dkbnvbluu874pr04lacs2gb1ktf8@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 19:39:41 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>There are HD-2 radios out there now. I have several. I can listen to
>>>>>either
>>>>>HD channel on the staitons with a second channel, and analog as well.
>>>>
>>>> You cannot listen to the analog on a BA RecepterHD. It switches to
>>>> digital automatically.
>>>
>>>I can do it. We have about 7 of them.
>>>
>>>
>> Tell us how, please.
>
>Reduce or shield the antenna. It defaults to analog.
>
>
Hmmm...
```

3128. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:44:19 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153352659.407310.171810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> news:telamon_spamshield-1A563C.10302219072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com:
> >
> > He is a Troll that creates endless cross posted threads about CW to
> > radio listening and scanner news groups.
>
>
> SWL's should learn CW too.
>
> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
who would be sbe equiped to send such an SOS SC? you want to imporve
the toene of NG

get off your ass and stop troling looking for a fight

bless you and grant new mental health to you

soon

3129. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:44:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153352695.859784.54930@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84165

Slow Code wrote:
>
> Maybe Cuhulin should change his nic to Ex-Lax?
such only contiue the BS you claim you don't like to see
bless you and grant new mental health to you

soon
>
> SC

3130. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:44:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Ztzvg.174545$F_3.8227@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84166

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ckgtb29ljt37s5jn364ig7qt1klt78kakb@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 23:23:30 GMT, D Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>Brenda Ann wrote:
>>>
>>
>> Even so-called NCE stations receive tax
>>> dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those as
>>> well.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Surprisingly few, these days, actually. Most Non-Coms exist by
>>listener support, corporate grant, and CPB funding.
>>
>> But very little actual tax money.
>>
>> That's one reason why Non-Coms frequently have such fine facilties.
>>They don't have to survive on ratings based advertising revenue streams.
>>
> Really?
>
> http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ptfp/
>
> The corporations and individuals get a tax break for contributing.
> These funds must be made up elsewhere. Don't get me wrong, I'm a big
> supporter of publicly funded media (it's purer) but don't blow smoke
> up my ass about who pays for it.
>

All costs of doing business are expenses and figure into the cost of all
goods and services. As to individuals, the tax codes are structured to
encourage private persons to engage in philanthropy. This, as I have said,
has nothing specific to do with radio.

3131. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:45:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Quzvg.174547$F_3.24513@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> SWL's should learn CW too.
> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
How would they hear a CW station?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3132. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:53:30 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <0fhtb2hkk335b1nd1dfeh4minffrllqflf@4ax.com>
Source record: 84168

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:01:14 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com>
>>
>[ejaculatory communist propaganda castrated]
>>
>
>Voices in the Wilderness is a member organization of the United for Peace
>and Justice anti-war coalition (a network of communist front groups)
>
The quotes were from Mr. Scheuer.

3133. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:53:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153353216.895669.181590@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
>
> SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> How would they hear a CW station?

CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3134. rescue comms on 5717

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-19 23:59:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153353544.718178.82260@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84170

Rescue 313 talking to Halifax Military. Heard reference to a surgeon.

3135. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:11:28 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153354288.768657.138620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84171

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153350873.399706.8370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of the
> >> 12
> >> week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those
> >> who
> >> will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
> >> non-returners does not vary form that of returners.
> >
> > So what? Isn't this common knowledge?
>
> You don't seem to know this.

Nope. I know it. I think most people on this group probably do.

> >>
> >> Since the data is quantitative, it is hard to find a significant bias.
> >
> > Quantititative data can turn out to harbor enormous biases if you look
> > at the processes BEHIND the collection of the data. Science is much
> > more than a collection of data, my friend.
>
> what biases are there in asking a person to record what they listen to? The
> fact that the Portable People Meter, in its 5th market test now, shows
> essentially the same lsitening levels with a totally passive measurement
> (like the black box in an airplane, in fact) shows there are no biases in
> recording the data. There can be none at the tabulation level, because it is
> pure data keying, is verified, and not subject to anyting but very minor
> error.

You aren't particularly good at listening to other people and
comprehending what they're saying, are you?

Who is asking the questions? Why those questions? What interest might
the questioners have in the outcome, and so on and so on and so on and
so on ad nauseam. Yawn.

> >>
> >> As I said before, radio ratings are a poll and as such, have a totally
> >> calculable margin of error based on sample size. The margins are
> >> considered
> >> acceptable by the users of the data, meaning the stations that pay for it

> >> and the agencies and advertisers who get it to make ad buying decisions
> >> by
> >> purely quantitative methods.
> >
> > Of course the margin of error is calculable ASSUMING that the
> > underlying methodology is SOUND.
>
> A random probability proportional sample is the most standard, clearcut and
> bias free sampling technique there is. The methodology is to get a close to
> perfect sample,a nd compensate for minor varinces by mathematical weighting,
> which has no human intervention.

See post above. And the one before that. And the one before that.

> >>
> >> Cloning has moral implications.
> >
> > What does this have to do with anything?
>
> You picked a bad example of a single individual who was dishonest, dealing
> with a charged issue. Arbitron is many thousands of people and millions of
> respondents and an outside auditing committe plus audits by subscribers.
> Radio listening is a "count 'em" function.

Right you are, so instead of one possibility of dishonesty and
mishandling data, you have thousands.

Does your mother make you wear a bicycle helmet around the house?

>
> > If we go to the quantitative part, the fact
> >> was the research was not done. Arbitron is audited. All clients can do
> >> their
> >> own audits. There has never been any "issue" based on failure to do what
> >> the
> >> approved methodology demanded.
> >
> > So what? What about the biases in the audits? You just keep digging
> > your hole deeper and deeper.
>
> How can there be a bias? the audits are done by a team, and the purpose is
> to determine if proper sampling techniques, in agreement with the accredited
> methodology are being used.

And what stake do the team members have in the outcome? Who do they
know off the team who might have a stake? And so on. And so on. And so
on. And so on. And so on.

> >
> > Like I said, if you want to sell, sell, but I'm not buying. Kindly sell
> > your snake oil further down the road.
>

> I don't know who you are. So you have me at a disadvantage. I do know who
> the heads of all the major broadcast groups are, I know who audits Arbitron,
> I know the Arbitron folks and I know who the agencies who buy radio are.
> Based on sheer number of interests and people, Arbitron biases or defects
> would not go unchallenged for more than a month!

Large groups of people can be biased. Corporate structures can be
biased. Procedures can be biased. Happens all the time. This is
trivial.

3136. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:21:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9mi5t$b10$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84172

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153351868.049229.121620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> While IBOC is a piece of crap, your statements simply are not true.
> Nyquisting sampling yields flawless recording/reproduction of a
> bandlimited signal. You can check out this wiki:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nyquist-Shannon_sampling_theorem
> While you can't always trust the net, this wiki is more or less the
> standard blurb you get in any engineering text on signal processing.
>
> Now technically, the samples are discrete in time but of infinite
> resolution. Real life systems have a finite bitwidth, which in turn
> sets the noise floor. Beyond that, real life systems don't have perfect
> data converters, so distortion is introduced. The clocks have jitter,
> blah blah blah. AM transmitters are not perfect either.
>
> The problem with IBOC is the high level of digital compression. If a
> new band was created, it is quite possible to have superior digital
> broadcasting.

I use digital audio all the time. I have a vast library of high bitrate mp3 files. But physics will always out. It is not possible to get a perfect reproduction of a complex waveform when converting analog to digital. Here's why:

Let's say you clock a single bit ADC at 256 KHz. Now assume a 256 Hz sine wave (just for ease of doing the math). This means that each cycle will have just 100 points where the waveform is plotted. These plots are square waves that come out as steps approximating the voltage at given points on the waveform. This would be 50 such points on either side of the zero volt line. With filtering, you can get a reasonable sine wave output at a single frequency by filtering the orignal clock frequency out. But for every additional frequency added, and the more complex the waveform becomes, the less likely you will obtain an accurate (even to the ear) reproduction. For instance, a 10KHz waveform will have only about 26 plotting points per cycle, only 13 per half cycle. You are just about as likely to get an output of 20KHz or 5 KHz from that few samples.. and with a complex waveform such as music, you get all manner of spurious output frequencies (artifacting).

Now, again, with decent filtering, you can at least cut the artifacts at frequencies above the audible range, and it can come out sounding fairly good.. but it will never be, nor can it ever be, a perfect representation of the input waveform, but something that looks more like a staircase on a rolling hill.

Now, you add in compression codecs. Yes, there is a lot of redundant data when you convert an analog signal to digital, but there is a limit to how much you can compress it and still retain even most of the original waveform. The more compression used, the less representative of the original analog signal your output will be. What sounds acceptable at 160Kbit sounds like crap at 16Kbit.

3137. Re: rescue comms on 5717

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:33:03 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153355583.087896.229890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84173

Steve wrote:
> Rescue 313 talking to Halifax Military. Heard reference to a surgeon.

Vessel called Raise the Devil (I think) in some sort of distress.
Discussion of whether some guys should jump into the water, but it was
decided "no jump".

3138. Re: rescue comms on 5717

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:37:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153355868.346611.253980@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84174

Steve wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Rescue 313 talking to Halifax Military. Heard reference to a surgeon.
>
> Vessel called Raise the Devil (I think) in some sort of distress.
> Discussion of whether some guys should jump into the water, but it was
> decided "no jump".

Halifax military is pulling Rescue 313 off this situation so that it
can help 2 people in the water just off of some island who's name I
couldn't quite make out.

Anyone else listening to this?

3139. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:40:13 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153356013.301784.103740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84175

Brenda Ann wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153351868.049229.121620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > While IBOC is a piece of crap, your statements simply are not true.
> > Nyquisting sampling yields flawless recording/reproduction of a
> > bandlimited signal. You can check out this wiki:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nyquist-Shannon_sampling_theorem
> > While you can't always trust the net, this wiki is more or less the
> > standard blurb you get in any engineering text on signal processing.
> >
> > Now technically, the samples are discrete in time but of infinite
> > resolution. Real life systems have a finite bitwidth, which in turn
> > sets the noise floor. Beyond that, real life systems don't have perfect
> > data converters, so distortion is introduced. The clocks have jitter,
> > blah blah blah. AM transmitters are not perfect either.
> >
> > The problem with IBOC is the high level of digital compression. If a
> > new band was created, it is quite possible to have superior digital
> > broadcasting.
>
>
> I use digital audio all the time. I have a vast library of high bitrate mp3
> files. But physics will always out. It is not possible to get a perfect
> reproduction of a complex waveform when converting analog to digital. Here's
> why:
>
> Let's say you clock a single bit ADC at 256 KHz. Now assume a 256 Hz sine
> wave (just for ease of doing the math). This means that each cycle will have
> just 100 points where the waveform is plotted. These plots are square waves
> that come out as steps approximating the voltage at given points on the
> waveform. This would be 50 such points on either side of the zero volt line.
> With filtering, you can get a reasonable sine wave output at a single
> frequency by filtering the orignal clock frequency out. But for every
> additional frequency added, and the more complex the waveform becomes, the
> less likely you will obtain an accurate (even to the ear) reproduction. For
> instance, a 10KHz waveform will have only about 26 plotting points per
> cycle, only 13 per half cycle. You are just about as likely to get an
> output of 20KHz or 5 KHz from that few samples.. and with a complex waveform
> such as music, you get all manner of spurious output frequencies
> (artifacting).
>
> Now, again, with decent filtering, you can at least cut the artifacts at
> frequencies above the audible range, and it can come out sounding fairly

> good.. but it will never be, nor can it ever be, a perfect representation of
> the input waveform, but something that looks more like a staircase on a
> rolling hill.
>
> Now, you add in compression codecs. Yes, there is a lot of redundant data
> when you convert an analog signal to digital, but there is a limit to how
> much you can compress it and still retain even most of the original
> waveform. The more compression used, the less representative of the original
> analog signal your output will be. What sounds acceptable at 160Kbit sounds
> like crap at 16Kbit.

Let me state this again: the Nyquist sampled audio will be perfect.

Sampling theory requires perfect impulses to be fed to a perfect
bandlimiting filter. In real life, square waves are fed to a filter
that not only bandlimits, but has an inverse sinc response. In your
artificial example, the sine wave will be reproduced perfect with the
right filter as it meets the Nyquist bandlimiting criteria.

All bets are off once you start to use compression. It becomes a
totally different argument. My point is there is nothing wrong with
digital audio. What you do with the signal is another story.

3140. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 00:40:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ZhAvg.174564$F_3.42715@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84176

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153354288.768657.138620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153350873.399706.8370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of
>> >> the
>> >> 12
>> >> week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those
>> >> who
>> >> will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
>> >> non-returners does not vary form that of returners.
>> >
>> > So what? Isn't this common knowledge?
>>
>> You don't seem to know this.
>
> Nope. I know it. I think most people on this group probably do.

My impression is that most on this group do not know Arbitron methodology.
Half the people _in_ radio don't.
>>
>> what biases are there in asking a person to record what they listen to?
>> The
>> fact that the Portable People Meter, in its 5th market test now, shows
>> essentially the same lsitening levels with a totally passive measurement
>> (like the black box in an airplane, in fact) shows there are no biases in
>> recording the data. There can be none at the tabulation level, because it
>> is
>> pure data keying, is verified, and not subject to anyting but very minor
>> error.
>
>
> You aren't particularly good at listening to other people and
> comprehending what they're saying, are you?
>
> Who is asking the questions? Why those questions? What interest might
> the questioners have in the outcome, and so on and so on and so on and
> so on ad nauseam. Yawn.

Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered. The only thing that is verbal is recruiting. At that point, everything is done via the diary, including the questions that identify age, sex, ethnicity, language preference, work and home ZIP, etc.

Yawn is right. You did not know what the methodology is.
>>
>> A random probability proportional sample is the most standard, clearcut
>> and
>> bias free sampling technique there is. The methodology is to get a close
>> to
>> perfect sample,a nd compensate for minor varinces by mathematical
>> weighting,
>> which has no human intervention.
>
> See post above. And the one before that. And the one before that.

In other words, you do not know what a random probabilty proportional sample is.
>>
>> How can there be a bias? the audits are done by a team, and the purpose
>> is
>> to determine if proper sampling techniques, in agreement with the
>> accredited
>> methodology are being used.
>
> And what stake do the team members have in the outcome? Who do they
> know off the team who might have a stake? And so on. And so on. And so
> on. And so on. And so on.

The MRC members are from universities or are professional statasticians. They have no interests except to insure the methodology, as previously approved, is being followed. the road.
>>
>> I don't know who you are. So you have me at a disadvantage. I do know who
>> the heads of all the major broadcast groups are, I know who audits
>> Arbitron,
>> I know the Arbitron folks and I know who the agencies who buy radio are.
>> Based on sheer number of interests and people, Arbitron biases or defects
>> would not go unchallenged for more than a month!
>
> Large groups of people can be biased. Corporate structures can be
> biased. Procedures can be biased. Happens all the time. This is
> trivial.

Since radio ratings are purely quantitative and there is a huge amount of testing to make sure there is no bias in the diary itself (things like simultaneous samples with different wordings) the effect of bias is insignificant to the results.

3141. Freeplay Lifeline Radios on Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:03:41 UTC
From: Sky Captain <tristram40@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153357421.731167.168290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84177

C.Crane has the Freeplay Lifeline radio on sale for half price this
week ($49.95, normally $99.95). This set runs exclusively on solar
power or wind-up energy, and never needs batteries or electricity.
Also, for every Lifeline radio you buy, C.Crane will donate one to the
Freeplay Foundation to help third-world disaster victims and African
orphans. Go to http://www.ccrane.com for more info.
I have a Freeplay Plus radio and really like its sound and build
quality.

3142. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:06:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84178

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153354288.768657.138620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153350873.399706.8370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> There is a near equal number of diaries distributed in each week of
> >> >> the
> >> >> 12
> >> >> week survery period. excess ones are recruited to compensate for those
> >> >> who
> >> >> will not return them. Followups have shown the usage of radio by
> >> >> non-returners does not vary form that of returners.
> >> >
> >> > So what? Isn't this common knowledge?
> >>
> >> You don't seem to know this.
> >
> > Nope. I know it. I think most people on this group probably do.
>
> My impression is that most on this group do not know Arbitron methodology.
> Half the people _in_ radio don't.
> >>
> >> what biases are there in asking a person to record what they listen to?
> >> The
> >> fact that the Portable People Meter, in its 5th market test now, shows
> >> essentially the same lsitening levels with a totally passive measurement
> >> (like the black box in an airplane, in fact) shows there are no biases in
> >> recording the data. There can be none at the tabulation level, because it
> >> is
> >> pure data keying, is verified, and not subject to anyting but very minor
> >> error.
> >
> >
> > You aren't particularly good at listening to other people and
> > comprehending what they're saying, are you?
> >
> > Who is asking the questions? Why those questions? What interest might
> > the questioners have in the outcome, and so on and so on and so on and
> > so on ad nauseam. Yawn.

>
> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.

Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
just fall from the sky, Sunshine.

The
> only thing that is verbal is recruiting. At that point, everything is done
> via the diary, including the questions that identify age, sex, ethnicity,
> language preference, work and home ZIP, etc.
>
> Yawn is right. You did not know what the methodology is.

On the contrary, I was exactly right about what the methodology
presupposes, and that is ALL that matters in this context.

> >>
> >> A random probability proportional sample is the most standard, clearcut
> >> and
> >> bias free sampling technique there is. The methodology is to get a close
> >> to
> >> perfect sample,a nd compensate for minor varinces by mathematical
> >> weighting,
> >> which has no human intervention.
> >
> > See post above. And the one before that. And the one before that.
>
> In other words, you do not know what a random probabilty proportional sample
> is.

If I don't know what a random probability proportional sample is, then
why don't you pounce on my ignorance and explain how such samples lend
your argument an iota of support? Go ahead, knock yourself out.

> >>
> >> How can there be a bias? the audits are done by a team, and the purpose
> >> is
> >> to determine if proper sampling techniques, in agreement with the
> >> accredited
> >> methodology are being used.
> >
> > And what stake do the team members have in the outcome? Who do they
> > know off the team who might have a stake? And so on. And so on. And so
> > on. And so on. And so on.
>
> The MRC members are from universities or are professional statasticians.
> They have no interests except to insure the methodology, as previously
> approved, is being followed. the road.

Really, they NEVER have other interests? That's absolutely astounding.
How was this achieved? Where do these people come from? Why can't the
CIA recruit this effectively?

> >>
> >> I don't know who you are. So you have me at a disadvantage. I do know who
> >> the heads of all the major broadcast groups are, I know who audits
> >> Arbitron,
> >> I know the Arbitron folks and I know who the agencies who buy radio are.
> >> Based on sheer number of interests and people, Arbitron biases or defects
> >> would not go unchallenged for more than a month!
> >
> > Large groups of people can be biased. Corporate structures can be
> > biased. Procedures can be biased. Happens all the time. This is
> > trivial.
>
> Since radio ratings are purely quantitative and there is a huge amount of
> testing to make sure there is no bias in the diary itself (things like
> simultaneous samples with different wordings) the effect of bias is
> insignificant to the results.

And are there tests that test the tests for bias? And who administers
these tests? What stake might they have in the outcome. And so on. And
so on.

3143. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:08:03 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153357683.927543.89360@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84179
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> traps you

Markie must've gotten assfucked by Jimmy Swaggert again.

3144. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:09:39 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153357779.277885.72250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

an_old_friend wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> traps you

Not very inventive, Markie. But you are a mental defective.

3145. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:18:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BED9F5.38613ADC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84181

The latest edition (19 July) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3146. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:19:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153358363.868602.279710@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
bless you woger

3147. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:25:00 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <MXAvg.147392$771.96762@edtnps89>
Source record: 84183

Brenda Ann wrote:

> Let's say you clock a single bit ADC at 256 KHz. Now assume a 256 Hz sine
> wave (just for ease of doing the math). This means that each cycle will have
> just 100 points where the waveform is plotted.

Could you explain, please...? The ease of the math escapes me.

zero II

3148. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 01:26:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153358767.140169.106870@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84184
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
bless you woger

3149. Thanks everyone! Gonna buy a Sony 7600GR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 02:23:20 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <n5qtb2hkauq53drsm5rurf1v37d2n89cbr@4ax.com>
Source record: 84185

Thanks everyone for your help. Good info on here among the trolls.
Actually, I may get both and keep the Degen for myself! Then we can
compare the two side by side..

--Renee

3150. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 02:29:00 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1153362540.193236.235960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84186

Beerbarrel wrote:

....
> JOHN GLENN (ON THE SENATE FLOOR)
> Mon, 26 Jan 2004 11:13
>
>
>
> Some people still don't understand why military personnel
> do what they do for a living. This exchange between
> Senators John Glenn and Senator Howard Metzenbaum
> is worth reading.

....
> For those who don't remember
> During WWII, Howard Metzenbaum was an attorney
> representing the Communist Party in the USA. Now he's a Senator!

Check Snopes first.

http://www.snopes.com/politics/quotes/glenn.asp

http://www.truthorfiction.com/rumors/g/glenn-metzenbaum.htm

3151. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 02:57:08 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44bef104$0$6141$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 84187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
>
> CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
> gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
>

You have, what we call in the midwest, a MORON CHIP problem.

The part of your brain that keeps you from being a total moron, is broken.

tom
K0TAR

3152. FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:14:02 UTC
From: mike0219116 <mike0219116.mail@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153365242.097799.269240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84188

http://tinyurl.com/okgkl

3153. Re: SSB on the sangean ats-505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:17:41 UTC
From: wavetrapper <k3pi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1153365461.142088.286760@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84189

adam wrote:
> How does the SSB work on the ats-505?

Poorly - which led me to trade it away.

The 1 khz tuning scheme on that radio is one of the goofiest designs
ever (surpassed only by the Degen 1103 volume control adventure).

3154. Re: FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:18:41 UTC
From: mike0219116 <mike0219116.mail@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153365521.708114.127800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84190

mike0219116 wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/okgkl

I case the first link doesn't work:

http://tinyurl.com/qe7h5

3155. Re: FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:19:23 UTC
From: mike0219116 <mike0219116.mail@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153365563.274893.130310@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84191

mike0219116 wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/okgkl

In case the first link won't work:

http://tinyurl.com/qe7h5

3156. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:44:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153367051.402482.124650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Ring wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> >
> > CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
> > gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
> >
>
> You have, what we call in the midwest, a MORON CHIP problem.
>
> The part of your brain that keeps you from being a total moron, is broken.
Frankly I thining it is you that is missing something, asence of humor.
I tend to supect form your posts it was surgiccaly removed at some
point
>
> tom
> K0TAR

3157. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 03:47:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <z1Dvg.132828$dW3.43425@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84193

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.
>
> Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
> just fall from the sky, Sunshine.

It has been under constant development with ongoing blind testing for 46
years. I'd assume a staff of research specialists would knoow enough to
avoid biases induced by language usage, language complexity and such to have
pretty much eliminated bias after all this time. The real proof is taht
totally passive measurement via the people meter yields near identical
results.
>
>> Yawn is right. You did not know what the methodology is.
>
> On the contrary, I was exactly right about what the methodology
> presupposes, and that is ALL that matters in this context.

No, you didn't. You thought there was verbal questioning in data collection.
>>
>> In other words, you do not know what a random probabilty proportional
>> sample
>> is.
>
> If I don't know what a random probability proportional sample is, then
> why don't you pounce on my ignorance and explain how such samples lend
> your argument an iota of support? Go ahead, knock yourself out.

A random sample which is a microcosm of the universe produces input from
individuals in near perfect proportion to the occurance of such behaviours
from the entire universe. Replication studies prove this, by repeating
simultaneously the same test with different radom groups.
>>
>> The MRC members are from universities or are professional statasticians.
>> They have no interests except to insure the methodology, as previously
>> approved, is being followed. the road.
>
> Really, they NEVER have other interests?

I do not believe so. the advertisers who risk $21 billion in ad expenditures
don't.

>That's absolutely astounding.
> How was this achieved? Where do these people come from? Why can't the
> CIA recruit this effectively?

Probably because the CIA is public sector and bound by public secotr
restraints. In the ratings, the advertisers simply look for the best
possible persons.

>>
>> Since radio ratings are purely quantitative and there is a huge amount of
>> testing to make sure there is no bias in the diary itself (things like
>> simultaneous samples with different wordings) the effect of bias is
>> insignificant to the results.
>
> And are there tests that test the tests for bias?

Yes, there are.

> And who administers
> these tests? What stake might they have in the outcome. And so on. And
> so on.

Considering that in this case, there is no interest in anything else but
getting the most accurate measurement, there is no reason to even speculate
about this.
>

3158. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:08:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44BF01C3-49@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84194

www.devilfinder.com Nazi Germany sinking American Supply Ships
cuhulin

3159. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:16:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153369008.597861.210500@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84195

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.
> >
> > Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
> > just fall from the sky, Sunshine.
>
> It has been under constant development with ongoing blind testing for 46
> years. I'd assume a staff of research specialists would knoow enough to
> avoid biases induced by language usage, language complexity and such to have
> pretty much eliminated bias after all this time. The real proof is taht
> totally passive measurement via the people meter yields near identical
> results.

None of this gets you infallibility. The possibility of bias remains,
as it always does, no matter how thorough and diligent people are about
avoiding it. It's a fact of life, and it remains a fact of life even
for those who prefer to stick their heads in the sand.

> >
> >> Yawn is right. You did not know what the methodology is.
> >
> > On the contrary, I was exactly right about what the methodology
> > presupposes, and that is ALL that matters in this context.
>
> No, you didn't. You thought there was verbal questioning in data collection.
> >>

That has nothing to do with the underlying methodology. In this context
it's completely irrelevant.

> >> In other words, you do not know what a random probabilty proportional
> >> sample
> >> is.
> >
> > If I don't know what a random probability proportional sample is, then
> > why don't you pounce on my ignorance and explain how such samples lend
> > your argument an iota of support? Go ahead, knock yourself out.
>
> A random sample which is a microcosm of the universe produces input from
> individuals in near perfect proportion to the occurance of such behaviours

> from the entire universe. Replication studies prove this, by repeating
> simultaneously the same test with different radom groups.

None of this amounts to immunity from bias. Why do you even waste your
breath?

> >>
> >> The MRC members are from universities or are professional statasticians.
> >> They have no interests except to insure the methodology, as previously
> >> approved, is being followed. the road.
> >
> > Really, they NEVER have other interests?
>
> I do not believe so. the advertisers who risk $21 billion in ad expenditures
> don't.

And you know, I'll bet you can just tell....just by looking at
them....that they could NEVER, EVER fall victim to the kinds of biases
that dirty people like you and I are susceptible to. LOL!! Please think
next time before hitting the "post message" button.

>
> >That's absolutely astounding.
> > How was this achieved? Where do these people come from? Why can't the
> > CIA recruit this effectively?
>
> Probably because the CIA is public sector and bound by public secotr
> restraints. In the ratings, the advertisers simply look for the best
> possible persons.

If you're right, they look for and find not only the best possible
persons, but perfect, infallible, superhuman persons. How do they do
it???!!!

> >>
> >> Since radio ratings are purely quantitative and there is a huge amount of
> >> testing to make sure there is no bias in the diary itself (things like
> >> simultaneous samples with different wordings) the effect of bias is
> >> insignificant to the results.
> >
> > And are there tests that test the tests for bias?
>
> Yes, there are.

And are there tests that test the tests' tests for bias?

>
> > And who administers
> > these tests? What stake might they have in the outcome. And so on. And
> > so on.
>
> Considering that in this case, there is no interest in anything else but
> getting the most accurate measurement, there is no reason to even speculate

> about this.
> >

Oh yeah, I forgot. I've been talking about people all this time. You're talking about supernatural beings....angels?

Man, please, promise me that you'll get out of the house once in a while, read a book or something. You sound like the most profoundly sheltered (or bamboozled) person I've ever met.

Oh well. Is this all some sort of bizarre sales pitch? I hope so.

3160. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:32:39 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44bf0762$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 84196

Slow Code wrote:
> adam <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au:
>
>> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
>> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time,
>
>
> What did VOA say?
>
> SC
I didnt really listen, but it so in your face and crude you could get
the idea pretty quick.

3161. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:32:57 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44bf0774$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 84197

kiebassa queen wrote:
> "adam" <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au...
>> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
>> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time
>
> VOA should be re-named: "Amerika Kisses Israeli Ass Radio".
>
> VOA is a Govt broadcasting service so what else are we to expect?
>
> Why is it a suprise that the first thing the Israeli's bombed in
> Lebanon was the remote TV and Radio transmission facilities of
> Al Jazeera and Al Arabia ?
>
> Amerika kisses jew ass 24/7 cause if they dont the dirty kikes
> will crash the FED and the USA Banking System that will
> precipitate a 2nd Civil War. (which at this point looks more
> and more to be a possible good thing in the end game long run ??)
>
No anti-semitism mmkay?.

3162. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:43:09 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44bf09d8$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 84298

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?
>
> Speaking for myself the drive by media does that for me.
>
>> I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the
>> time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the
>> spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such
>> shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio
>> out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on
>> there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has
>> come to power.
>>
>> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
>> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
>> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
>> sourced from NPR.
>>
>> Rant over
>
> Propaganda is the REASON VOA or any other government run station is on
> the air. Australia and other nations have their own brand of propaganda.
> It has always been this way.
>
Not necessarily radio australia doesnt broadcast properganda it
broadcasts what the ABC broadcasts basically and its got complaints from
the current govt of "anti-governent and left-wing bias" so no it isnt
like that.But VOA makes all its programs as properganda which wouldent
annoy me if they didnt claim to be a reliable news source.Im not the
only one who thinks its sucks.Here is what my friend said about the VOA
service.
Most people just ignore VOA rather than give it the time of day, it
used to have a purpose but that died with the cold war.

That sums it up, i heard that VOA is going to wind up its english
service soon.

Good riddance

Yours truly
Adam

3163. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 04:50:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%XDvg.70536$fb2.49800@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84299

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153369008.597861.210500@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.
>> >
>> > Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
>> > just fall from the sky, Sunshine.
>>
>> It has been under constant development with ongoing blind testing for 46
>> years. I'd assume a staff of research specialists would knoow enough to
>> avoid biases induced by language usage, language complexity and such to
>> have
>> pretty much eliminated bias after all this time. The real proof is taht
>> totally passive measurement via the people meter yields near identical
>> results.
>
>
> None of this gets you infallibility. The possibility of bias remains,
> as it always does, no matter how thorough and diligent people are about
> avoiding it. It's a fact of life, and it remains a fact of life even
> for those who prefer to stick their heads in the sand.

As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a viable
option.
>>
>> Considering that in this case, there is no interest in anything else but
>> getting the most accurate measurement, there is no reason to even
>> speculate
>> about this.
>> >
>
> Oh yeah, I forgot. I've been talking about people all this time. You're
> talking about supernatural beings....angels?

No, I am talking about a product that is as accruate, unbiased and
professional as is possible tobotain within the money that can be justified
to pay for such research. the fact that Arbitron has never had an
investigation, scandal, indication of impropriety or similar in 46 years

kind of proves this.

And remember, there is always a group of stations that is angry at Arbitron because they went down and don't want to believe it is thier own fault. So the data gets picked apart constantly.
>
> Man, please, promise me that you'll get out of the house once in a
> while, read a book or something. You sound like the most profoundly
> sheltered (or bamboozled) person I've ever met.

I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now, amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred or so listener interviews.

3164. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:15:08 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wjEvg.151549$mF2.123174@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84300

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.
>>> Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
>>> just fall from the sky, Sunshine.
>> It has been under constant development with ongoing blind testing for 46
>> years. I'd assume a staff of research specialists would knoow enough to
>> avoid biases induced by language usage, language complexity and such to have
>> pretty much eliminated bias after all this time. The real proof is taht
>> totally passive measurement via the people meter yields near identical
>> results.
>
>
> None of this gets you infallibility. The possibility of bias remains,
> as it always does, no matter how thorough and diligent people are about
> avoiding it. It's a fact of life, and it remains a fact of life even
> for those who prefer to stick their heads in the sand.
>
>
>

In fact, yes, the possibility of bias remains. And the one thing about science is that it CAN be and often IS, corrupted.

That said, subscribers to Arbitron have the right, and frequently take it, to inspect the raw data, and, if need be, contest it. It's this transparency that acts as insurance against biases that can tilt results. And I've worked at stations that went to recanvass the diaries every survey period. And there have been books that have been contested, and at least once that I know of, reissued throughout the history of the service. Few, though they be. VERY few.

Further, the competitive nature of Radio is such that, if a station is trying to load the diaries through on air descriptions of Arbitron methodology, certain kind of survey period games, or other Arbitricks, you can bet that other stations in the market report this anomalous behaviour with a vengeance, and delist an offending station. And stations get de-listed all the time.

It's true that no system is infallible, but few are as open as Arbitron for inspection and ability to contest. If there are anomalies to be discovered, you can bet it will be a group of stations whose

revenue streams depend on the results discovering them. In the end, it's the transparent nature of survey that permits the data to be trusted. The conclusions...well...now, there by hangs a tale.

But the Arbitron surveys are not for the benefit of the stations, anyway. The surveys are for the benefit of the advertisers and their agents who buy those stations. You want to talk about manipulation of data? No one plays havoc with the numbers like an advertiser trying to depress a rate.

3165. Re: Winradio g313e and Flex-Radio SDR-1000 Compared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:30:55 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <Bd-dnS65GqewiCLZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84301

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153340144.421509.59920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Is Andy Ikins email address a secret? I don't see it on the website.

I just use the address on Wellbrook's home page, which is "info@" or
"sales@" or similar.

Guy

3166. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:31:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <OyEvg.10464$2v.5716@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84302

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:wjEvg.151549$mF2.123174@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> In fact, yes, the possibility of bias remains. And the one thing about
> science is that it CAN be and often IS, corrupted.
>
> That said, subscribers to Arbitron have the right, and frequently take
> it, to inspect the raw data, and, if need be, contest it. It's this
> transparency that acts as insurance against biases that can tilt results.
> And I've worked at stations that went to recanvass the diaries every
> survey period. And there have been books that have been contested, and at
> least once that I know of, reissued throughout the history of the service.
> Few, though they be. VERY few.

The policy is if an error is detected that materially affects the ratings,
they get reissued. The most common one is where the overall ranking changes
after correcting an error.

Most of these errors are very monor, and do not change a share by even 0.1%.
But, occasionally, one will happen and Arbitron reacts instantly.

I am one of the few to have gotten two separate books reissued and the only
person I know of to have gotten a trend reissued. In all cases, the issues
were handled fast and with great courtesy, and even appreciation.

One would think that each time I visit Arbitorn, which is 3 to 4 times a
year, I would be seen as the devil incarnate. The truth is exactly the
opposite. I get face time with the department heads if I have questions, and
am given every courtesy. THis, to me,. says they are going out of thier way
to do a good job.
>
> Further, the competitive nature of Radio is such that, if a station is
> trying to load the diaries through on air descriptions of Arbitron
> methodology, certain kind of survey period games, or other Arbitricks, you
> can bet that other stations in the market report this anomalous behaviour
> with a vengeance, and delist an offending station. And stations get
> de-listed all the time.

You bring up an interesting point... ratings distortion and bias as created
by a station. Stations that attempt to influence diarykeepers are sanctioned
with the worst penalty of all if the violation is serious... they are not
listed. Smaller violations are noted with an astersk, and the idea is that
time buyers will quesiton the strength of the numbers. Such violations are
rare, and also handled fast. As you say, competitors notify Arbitron very
fast... such as one station I kow of that wes trying to buy diaries at
remotes. They got the mother of all lawyer letters.
>

> It's true that no system is infallible, but few are as open as Arbitron
> for inspection and ability to contest. If there are anomalies to be
> discovered, you can bet it will be a group of stations whose revenue
> streams depend on the results discovering them. In the end, it's the
> transparent nature of survey that permits the data to be trusted. The
> conclusions...well...now, there by hangs a tale.

Since the significant statins in any market depend on the ratings, and
Arbitron is the only game, they tend to respect the rules, which are int he
contract and legally enforcable.
>
> But the Arbitron surveys are not for the benefit of the stations,
> anyway. The surveys are for the benefit of the advertisers and their
> agents who buy those stations. You want to talk about manipulation of
> data? No one plays havoc with the numbers like an advertiser trying to
> depress a rate.

Ain't that the truth. when there were more than one surveys, agencies always
bought from the book where you looked the worst. It's their job.

3167. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:35:30 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uf5ub21hv0ska743s2q21ocqs33mboeoht@4ax.com>
Source record: 84303

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:58:00 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>wrote:
>
>>(ejaculation on the flag excised)
>
>Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
>time, and expecting a different result.
>
>If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
>forever.
>
>You are a tool.

I'm a tool alright! The hammer that's going to bounce off your head!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3168. Re: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:36:25 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6i5ub29tclakniimb8k4m81vp5e9lveovi@4ax.com>
Source record: 84304

I don't really care...It sounded good anyway!

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:09:16 -0400, "Michael Lawson"
<mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote:

>Um, Beerbarrel, not to rain on your parade, but
>Glenn and Metzenbaum weren't the senators
>from Ohio in 2004. Glenn and Metzenbaum both
>retired in the mid-late 90's, and their seats were
>filled by George Voinovich and Mike DeWine.
>DeWine took Metzenbaum's seat in a contest
>with Metzenbaum's anointed successor (and
>nephew) Joel Hyatt. Yes, THE Joel Hyatt of
>Hyatt Legal Services ("I'm Joel Hyatt, and you
>have my word on it.")
>
>The exchange referenced below is an urban
>legend, but it's similar to an exchange between
>the two in the early 70's (before my time in
>being politically aware):
>
>
>http://urbanlegends.about.com/library/bl_glenn_metzenbaum.htm
>
>--Mike L.
>
>"Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
>news:lntsb25dbeutqjsa7c60fch7ff5s11sk95@4ax.com...
>> There were 39 combat related killings in Iraq in January.
>> In the fair city of Detroit there were 35 murders in the
>> month of January.That's just one American city,
>> about as deadly as the entire war-torn country of Iraq.
>>
>>
>>
>> When some claim that President Bush shouldn't
>> have started this war, consider the following:
>>
>>
>>
>> a. FDR led us into World War II.
>>
>> b. Germany never attacked us; Japan did.
>> >From 1941-1945, 450,000 lives were lost ...
>> an average of 112,500 per year.

>>
>>
>>
>> c. Truman finished that war and started one in Korea.
>> North Korea never attacked us
>> >From 1950-1953, 55,000 lives were lost ...
>> an average of 18,334 per year.
>>
>> d. John F. Kennedy started the Vietnam "conflict" in 1962.
>> Vietnam never attacked us.
>>
>> e. Johnson turned Vietnam into a quagmire.
>> >From 1965-1975, 58,000 lives were lost .
>> an average of 5,800 per year.
>>
>> f. Clinton went to war in Bosnia without UN or French consent.
>> Bosnia never attacked us.
>> He was offered Osama bin Laden's head on a platter three
>> times by Sudan and did nothing. Osama has attacked us on
>> multiple occasions.
>>
>> g. In the years since terrorists attacked us , President Bush
>> has liberated two countries, crushed the Taliban, crippled
>> al-Qaida, put nuclear inspectors in Libya, Iran, and North
>> Korea without firing a shot, and captured a terrorist who
>> slaughtered 300,000 of his own people.
>>
>>
>>
>> The Democrats are complaining
>> about how long the war is taking.
>> But
>> It took less time to take Iraq than it took Janet Reno
>> to take the Branch Davidian compound.
>> That was a 51-day operation.
>>
>>
>>
>> We've been looking for evidence for chemical weapons
>> in Iraq for less time than it took Hillary Clinton to find
>> the Rose Law Firm billing records.
>>
>>
>>
>> It took less time for the 3rd Infantry Division and the
>> Marines to destroy the Medina Republican Guard
>> than it took Ted Kennedy to call the police after his
>> Oldsmobile sank at Chappaquiddick.
>>
>>

>>
>> It took less time to take Iraq than it took
>> to count the votes in Florida!!!!
>>
>> Our Commander-In-Chief is doing a GREAT JOB!
>> The Military morale is high!
>>
>> The biased media hopes we are too ignorant
>> to realize the facts
>>
>>
>>
>> But Wait there's more!
>>
>>
>>
>> JOHN GLENN (ON THE SENATE FLOOR)
>> Mon, 26 Jan 2004 11:13
>>
>>
>>
>> Some people still don't understand why military personnel
>> do what they do for a living. This exchange between
>> Senators John Glenn and Senator Howard Metzenbaum
>> is worth reading. Not only is it a pretty impressive
>> impromptu speech, but it's also a good example of one
>> man's explanation of why men and women in the armed
>> services do what they do for a living
>>
>>
>>
>> This IS a typical, though sad, example of what
>> some who have never served think of the military.
>>
>>
>>
>> Senator Metzenbaum (speaking to Senator Glenn):
>> "How can you run for Senate
>> when you've never held a real job?"
>>
>>
>>
>> Senator Glenn (D-Ohio):
>> "I served 23 years in the United States Marine Corps.
>> I served through two wars. I flew 149 missions.
>> My plane was hit by anti-aircraft fire on 12 different
>> occasions. I was in the space program. It wasn't my
>> checkbook, Howard; it was my life on the line. It was
>> not a nine-to-five job, where I took time off to take the
>> daily cash receipts to the bank."

>>
>>
>> "I ask you to go with me ... as I went the other day...
>> to a veteran's hospital and look those men ..
>> with their mangled bodies in the eye, and tell THEM
>> they didn't hold a job!
>>
>>
>>
>> You go with me to the Space Program at NASA
>> and go, as I have gone, to the widows and Orphans
>> of Ed White, Gus Grissom and Roger Chaffee...
>> and you look those kids in the eye and tell them
>> that their DADS didn't hold a job.
>>
>>
>> You go with me on Memorial Day and you stand in
>> Arlington National Cemetery, where I have more friends
>> buried than I'd like to remember, and you watch
>> those waving flags
>>
>>
>>
>> You stand there, and you think about this nation,
>> and you tell ME that those people didn't have a job?
>>
>> What about you?"
>>
>>
>> For those who don't remember
>> During WWII, Howard Metzenbaum was an attorney
>> representing the Communist Party in the USA. Now he's a Senator!
>>
>>
>>
>> If you can read this, thank a teacher.
>> If you are reading it in English thank a Veteran.
>> --
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3169. Re: Sangean ATS-505P versus Eton E5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 05:57:58 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2b8lro@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2b8lro@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 84320

gizb@hotmail.com Wrote:
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 04:23:07 +0000, weatherall
> weatherall.2axhrg@news.radiobanter.com wrote:
> -
> My E5 also came with a power adapter that generates
> interference on shortwave bands. Other than those issues, I am happy
> with my E5.-
>
> Eton is aware of this problem and has been mailing replacement
> adapters. You have to call them to get one. I received mine a few
> weeks ago, and there is a marked decrease in interference - a big
> improvement.

Thanks for the reply - I got my replacement in the past few days, and I
am pleased with the reduction (although not an elimination) of
interference when receiving shortwave frequencies.

--
weatherall

3170. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 06:04:53 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AAB309.23045319072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84305

In article <44bf09d8$1@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?
> >
> > Speaking for myself the drive by media does that for me.
> >
> >> I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the
> >> time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the
> >> spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such
> >> shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio
> >> out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on
> >> there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has
> >> come to power.
> >>
> >> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
> >> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
> >> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
> >> sourced from NPR.
> >>
> >> Rant over
> >
> > Propaganda is the REASON VOA or any other government run station is on
> > the air. Australia and other nations have their own brand of propaganda.
> > It has always been this way.
> >
> Not necessarily radio australia doesnt broadcast properganda it
> broadcasts what the ABC broadcasts basically and its got complaints from
> the current govt of "anti-governent and left-wing bias" so no it isnt
> like that.But VOA makes all its programs as properganda which wouldent
> annoy me if they didnt claim to be a reliable news source.Im not the
> only one who thinks its sucks.Here is what my friend said about the VOA
> service.
> Most people just ignore VOA rather than give it the time of day, it
> used to have a purpose but that died with the cold war.
>
> That sums it up, i heard that VOA is going to wind up its english
> service soon.
>
> Good riddance

I guess I should have said many or most are on the air to broadcast
propaganda such as VOR, Havana Cuba, North Korea, and China as examples.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3171. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 06:40:37 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84306

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>
> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>
> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> of the

What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
digital or analog.

> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> smaller and smaller square waves.

It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
a complex analog signal.

In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
Do you own a CD player?

>
> AND
>
> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz

What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio
with a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.

> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> signal. Even

With any analog system you are always going to lose
frequency response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'.
Digital is often superior.

> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> physics call your bluff.

The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
provide that, but physics is not the limitation.

--
rb

3172. Sony 2010 vs Eton E1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 06:48:27 UTC
From: John Plimmer <plimmer@telkomsa.net>
Message-ID: <e9ncrn$hs2$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 84310

I refer to Guy Atkins interesting side by side of these two receivers:
http://www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com/

His review clearly puts the E1 head and shoulders above the 2010.
This surprised me a bit as having owned a 2010 my impressions of it was that it was a lot more sensitive than any of the other many portables I have owned.

But in his addendum, Guy highlights if this might not be a mismatch of the 50 Ohm antenna system used not matching the Sony, but matching the Eton perfectly.

I agree that this is a likely explanation, as a ham pal of mine used to build matching transformers for Sony portables and found they had no standard Ohmage input into their antenna sockets. He used a test method and meter to ascertain the exact match and it varied through different models of Sony and was nowhere near a standard 50 Ohm input.

So in Guy's test it is likely that the 50 Ohm antenna into the 2010 was a mismatch and that would lower its sensitivity, hence the poor results.

--
John Plimmer, Montagu, Western Cape Province, South Africa
South 33 d 47 m 32 s, East 20 d 07 m 32 s
RX Icom IC-756 PRO III with MW mods
Drake SW8 & ERGO software
Sony 7600D GE SRIII
BW XCR 30, Braun T1000, Sangean 818 & 803A.
GE circa 50's radiogram
Antenna's RF Systems DX 1 Pro, Datong AD-270
Kiwa MW Loop
http://www.dxing.info/about/dxers/plimmer.dx

3173. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 06:57:17 UTC
From: John Plimmer <plimmer@telkomsa.net>
Message-ID: <e9ncrn$hs2$2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 84309

I don't agree with this thread. As a listener in the Third World that is hysterically pro Palestinian, it is important to get the official view of the American government, the most powerful country in the World.

It is refreshing to get the official American government point of view from VOA with sound bites from George W Bush.
Even the BBC to my mind is somewhat biased towards the Palestinians.

So the VOA provides balance to my listening where I can learn of the atrocities and provocations the Palestinians heap upon the Israeli's.

--
John Plimmer, Montagu, Western Cape Province, South Africa
South 33 d 47 m 32 s, East 20 d 07 m 32 s
RX Icom IC-756 PRO III with MW mods
Drake SW8 & ERGO software
Sony 7600D GE SRIII
BW XCR 30, Braun T1000, Sangean 818 & 803A.
GE circa 50's radiogram
Antenna's RF Systems DX 1 Pro, Datong AD-270
Kiwa MW Loop
http://www.dxing.info/about/dxers/plimmer.dx

"adam" <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:44bf0762$1@quokka.wn.com.au...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> adam <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote in news:44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au:
>>
>>> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
>>> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time,

3174. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 07:04:28 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84307

Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >
> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >
> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> > of the
>
> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> digital or analog.
>
> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> > smaller and smaller square waves.
>
> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
> a complex analog signal.
>
> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
> Do you own a CD player?
>
> >
> > AND
> >
> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>
> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio
> with a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
>
> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> > signal. Even

>
> With any analog system you are always going to lose
> frequency response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'.
> Digital is often superior.
>
> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> > physics call your bluff.
>
> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.

Digital audio is compressed in two manners. I'll put lossless
compression in your category of what physics allows, though mathematics
is more like it. Once you get into psychoaccoustics (the other
compression basis), you have left the field of hard science and are now
in the cast in jello world of human perception.

I have never heard a 7kbps stream as good as FM BCB. In fact, there
isn't a telco system with a bit rate that low.

>
>
> --
> rb

3175. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Sucks - NOT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 07:40:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153381241.115598.237370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84308

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?
>
> Speaking for myself the drive by media does that for me.
>
> > I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the
> > time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the
> > spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such
> > shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio
> > out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on
> > there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has
> > come to power.
> >
> > It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
> > mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
> > etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
> > sourced from NPR.
> >
> > Rant over
>
> Propaganda is the REASON VOA or any other government run station is on
> the air. Australia and other nations have their own brand of propaganda.
> It has always been this way.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Telamon - True and the simple fact is :
Governmental International Shortwave Broadcasting 'is'
all about Getting-Your-Message-Out 'to' The World [.]
http://www.shortwave.be/gov.html

Actually at times it seem (to me) that the VOA goes
beyond fair-and-balanced -and- actually sounds like it
is apologizing for BEING "The Voice of America" !

I listen to Deutsche Wella (DW) to hear News from Germany
with a German and European 'perspective'.
http://www.dw-world.de/

I listen to "Radio Habana Cuba {RHC} to hear the News from
Castro's Cuba with a Communist and Anti-America 'perspective'.
http://www.radiohc.cu/ingles/portada.htm
- - - Include Radio China Radio International (CRI) and
The Voice of {North} Korea to this 'perspective'.
http://en.chinabroadcast.cn/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_Korea

I listen to "The BBC" to hear News from "The UK" with
an English and America's Closest Allie 'perspective'.
http://www.bbcworld.com/
http://news.bbc.co.uk/
- - - Down this list are Radio Canada International (RCI);
Radio Australia (ABC) and Radio New Zealand (RNZ)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Canada_International
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Australia
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand

I listen to Radio Japan (NHK World) to hear News from
Japan and Asia with a far-side of the Pacific 'perspective'.
http://www.nhk.or.jp/english/
- - - Include Radio Taiwan; Radio {South} Korea and
the Radio Philippines to this 'perspective'.
http://english.rti.org.tw/
http://rki.kbs.co.kr/english/index.htm
http://www.pbs.gov.ph/linkoverseas.htm

FINALLY - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA

yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3176. Liberal's Whine - Voice of America Sucks ! {Wishing It Were Air America Radio}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 07:58:15 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153382295.335660.128180@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84311

Adam,

The Voice of America (VOA) is NOT Air America Radio
and hopefully never will be . . .

First - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA

When I need a reminder how whinny and out of touch Liberals
can be : I tune-in to Air America Radio for about Five Minutes
and get a dose of their 'sick-sad-reality' of the world - all to often
liberally tainted with hate-america and blame-americans.
http://www.airamerica.com/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Air_America_Radio

yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

adam wrote:
> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I was listening to it and
> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time, other than the sports
> section.It was sport and then "how the spread of democracy is help
> fighting the war on terror" or some such shit i promptly turn the dial,
> it makes me want to throw the radio out of the window.There programs
> make a mockery of there slogan on there site "a trusted source of news"
> it has got worse since bush has come to power.
>
> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
> sourced from NPR.
>
> Rant over
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

3177. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:09:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153382997.351692.12600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
>
- SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
- How would they hear a CW station?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

CM,

Yes - Many Shortwave Radio Listener's (SWL's) do just that.
Listen to the best "AM" International Shortwave Radio
Broadcasters that they can 'hear' at their location.

and morse code is not on their mind ~ RHF
{ just like 'html' is not on my mind as i simply
type these words on rrs }
.
.
. .

3178. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:14:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153383279.604912.16680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
> >
> > SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> > How would they hear a CW station?
>
- CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC
- no matter the mode cw gets trough even without a tranmitter
- for that vital signal SOS
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

aof - not if no one is listening ~ RHF
{ radio - it's about communicating }
```

3179. Re: A potential solution to IBOC interference.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:17:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153383475.573605.50650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84314

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:13:17 GMT, D Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From AllAccess.com
> > >
> > >
> > > NAB Asks For FM Translators For AM Stations
> > >The NAB is petitioning the FCC to allow AM stations to use FM
> > >translators to fill in coverage areas within the AM's 2 mV/m daytime
> > >contour or within 25 miles of the station's transmitter. The petition
> > >notes AM stations' reception problems from electrical interference and
> > >the pending trouble with extending Daylight Savings Time for four
> > >additional weeks starting next year, meaning stations which power down
> > >at night will experience more weeks of diminished service in drive times.
> > >

- They just want to keep the 3rd adjacents out of the
- LPFM peoples' hands.

David {rob} Power To The LPFM Peoples ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3180. The Best Answer to IBOC Interference is . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:22:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153383739.993694.52320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84315

DPM - The Best Answer to IBOC Interference is . . .

To

Turn

It

Off

[.]

yes it is that simple ~ RHF
.
.
. .

D Peter Maus wrote:
> From AllAccess.com
>
>
> NAB Asks For FM Translators For AM Stations
> The NAB is petitioning the FCC to allow AM stations to use FM
> translators to fill in coverage areas within the AM's 2 mV/m daytime
> contour or within 25 miles of the station's transmitter. The petition
> notes AM stations' reception problems from electrical interference and
> the pending trouble with extending Daylight Savings Time for four
> additional weeks starting next year, meaning stations which power down
> at night will experience more weeks of diminished service in drive times.

3181. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:31:13 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153384273.777695.167320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84316

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:04:42 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
> >The average person listens just over 2.5 hours a day.
> >
> >
> The average person believes that Angels are real.

DaviD,

I will close my Eyes and Imagine you with Wings !

See Now Your An Angel :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3182. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:38:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84317

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> >
> > Bigot?
> >
> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
>
> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> not that.
>

- I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
- which uses a totally different meaning for the term.

DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF

.
.
. .

3183. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 08:53:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153385590.034573.58700@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84318

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 23:23:30 GMT, D Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Brenda Ann wrote:
> >>
> > > Even so-called NCE stations receive tax
> > >> dollars either directly or indirectly, so we're all paying for those as
> > >> well.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > > >
> > > > Surprisingly few, these days, actually. Most Non-Coms exist by
> > >listener support, corporate grant, and CPB funding.
> > >
> > > But very little actual tax money.
> > >
> > > That's one reason why Non-Coms frequently have such fine facilties.
> > >They don't have to survive on ratings based advertising revenue streams.
> > >
> > Really?
> >
> > http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ptfp/
> >
> > The corporations and individuals get a tax break for contributing.
> > These funds must be made up elsewhere. Don't get me wrong, I'm a big
> > supporter of publicly funded media (it's purer) but don't blow smoke
> > up my ass about who pays for it.

David,

You mean to say that The Evil Corporations
who give Money to Non-Com Broadcasters
* and get Tax Breaks
* and Pay No Corporate Taxes
Are Ripping-Off The Public ? ? ?
- - - Especially the Public Who Does Not
Watch PBS or Listen to NPR ! ! !

it boggles the mind ~ RHF
.
.

. .

3184. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 09:01:11 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153386071.671597.101830@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84319

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 16:50:35 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>
> >3. The listener does not pay for HD.
> >4. The listener pays for satellite radio.

- Don't be absurd. You pay for so-called free radio
- every time you buy one of the bullshit consumer
- products or services advertised thereon.

DaviD - Yes I Do Gladly - GLADY ! ~ RHF
{ Advertising Makes Me An Informed Consumer }

OBTW - Count-Up the Square Inches of Ads in a
local Newspaper against the Number of Square
Inches of Actual News in that same local Newspaper.
- - - The same local Newspaper that you pay-cash-money-for {buy}.

Commercial Radio and TV are practically AD Free in-comparison - IMHO
.
.
. .

3185. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 09:14:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153386857.595634.152660@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84321

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Zixvg.149854$mF2.84023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >> >>
> >> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >> >>
> >> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >> >
> >> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
> >>
> >> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> >> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
> > for
> >> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> >> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
> >
> > And the IBOC proponents totally dismiss the primary advantage of the
> > heritage delivery system, that is long distance propagation.
>

- When the FCC dismissed the final attempts to get the 1 A clears
- upgraded to 500 to 750 kw each in the late 60's, they began an
- effort towards extreme localism that resulted, in the next decade,
- in the allocation and licencing of at least on 1 B on every clear
- channel in the US. At the seme time, lesser classes were allowed
- on the clears, including daytimers and lower powered fulltimers.

DE,

435 Congress Persons 'each' with a Local AM Radio Station
in their Home District that is Adding to and Building the
Local Economy are Clearly More Important then 25-50
'select' Congress Persons with one Clear Channel AM Radio
Station monopolizing the marketing for an entire region.

~ RHF
.
.
. .
> The FCC was showing a policy that virtually eliminated the usage of even the
> clears for long distance propagation in favor of local, groundwave reception
> for AMs. A look at any of the clears in 1960 vs. 1990 or today will show how
> this has populated those channels. The other classes, such as regional and
> local channels were never guaranteed skywave coverage and were, in fact,
> only protected form local skywave interference in the primary coverge area
> at night (known as the interference free zone...).
>
> It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave
> coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations
> themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a curiosity.
> Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the mid-50s
> from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to
> today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other daypart.
> >
> > Oh, I know. There is no longer any economic advantage to long distance
> > propagation. But, for most of us, the debate goes beyond money.
>
> That is only part of the matter. Would any station be interested in
> consistent, listenable night audiences, there is declining usage of radio at
> night, the decline in use of AM by younger listeners and the FCC's own
> policies that come in the way. Add to that the fact that in may areas,
> storng international Am interference ruins AM anyway.
>
> Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities. This is
> because they believe there that AM is not the way of the future. AMs are
> left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority audiences, like the Chinese
> stations in Vancouver or the standards station in Toronto.
> >
> > The other night I was tuning around and caught a WBZ talkshow about the
> > tunnel collapse. It was interesting to hear Bostonians give thier
> > opinions
> > in their own voices. And I know my listening gave no economic benefit to
> > WBZ or the economy in general or even to myself in particular.
> > Nevertheless, it was worthwhile.
>
> But you could also get WBZ on the web, right? You are not being deprived of
> the message, just one medium.
> >
> > The radio spectrum is one of our natural resources and ought to be managed
> > as such. Should every old growth tree in the national forests be chopped
> > down, even if it benefits some people? There are some national parks in
> > which hardly anybody visits. Should they be strip mined?
>
> As I said, the FCC policies going back nearly 40 years have brought us to
> this point, and, coupled with the "sound" of AM, we have a fait acomplit.
> >

> > What's the economic value of a clear, starry night? None, really. But we
> > do make modest restrictions on light pollution despite thier economic
> > costs.
> >
> > Long distance radio propagation on the AM band is a natural resource which
> > also deserves some protection.
>
> The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than more
> local service that is relible and consistent.
> >
> > I don't have any problem with fee based radio and I don't know anybody who
> > is much bothered by the concept.
>
> Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
> families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
> subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio. Free
> radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population using
> it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the priviledges
> of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."
>
> > If some stations want to try to make a go
> > of it as pay channels, that's fine as long as they aren't interfering with
> > other stations.
>
> There is no talk of this. The model is advertising support, not
> subscription.
> >
> > Yes, but the substitues have only been around for a few years and they're
> > growing fast. I find these things interesting, at least conceptually. If
> > I
> > were not so set in my ways, I'm sure I'd be really into them.
>
> Satellite has spent 5 years getting to about a half-share of listening. And
> it is cooling (withness XM's failure to meet projections and the loss of 60%
> f its market capitalization).
> >
> > Let's not forget censorship. Alot of popular stuff won't pass FCC muster.
>
> I think you overestimate the number of people who want to hear DJs cuss. And
> that is what satellite can serve.

3186. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 09:36:24 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84322

In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
"Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >
> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >
> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> > of the
>
> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> digital or analog.
>
> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> > smaller and smaller square waves.
>
> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
> a complex analog signal.

Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.

> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
> Do you own a CD player?

When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench that
came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The object was to
tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same shape. In order to be
successful one had to tap those pegs into the hole designed to accept it
until all pegs were in the similar their same shaped hole.

I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you could
force any shape peg into any shape hole.

> > AND
> >
> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz

>
> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with a
> 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable SNR. That is
> easy to beat digitally.

SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents the
audio information compared to a digital quantified representation of the
same and the effect of the digitizing rate.

> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> > signal. Even
>
> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> superior.

No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).

> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> > physics call your bluff.
>
> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.

OK I'll bite, what law of physics allows that?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3187. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 10:25:14 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3fmub2tv9kvhmlmgflrcm7dijio47mgc4t@4ax.com>
Source record: 84323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

>Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
>Morris?

Some fictitious cat...

3188. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 10:27:39 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhmub25o0a0itpuu9h0koegtmn0ele7emh@4ax.com>
Source record: 84324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

>> SWL's should learn CW too.
>> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
>> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

>SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
>How would they hear a CW station?

When i was a kid in the 60's a local ship to shore cw station could be
heard just under the local AM broadcast band station my family
listened to. That's what got my interest in radio going. The same
thing happens on short wave if you detune it right...

3189. Re: FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 10:59:38 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <UNSdnSOiRMjw_CLZnZ2dnUVZ_rKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84325

mike0219116 wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/okgkl
>
Next time you take picture put you hand in front of the flash so the
light goes up,not directly on the subject and it will avoid all that
flash stuff in your photo. Maybe better is to use a table lamp next
to the radio with the shade pointed to the subject. Bottom line is the
flash pictures really brings out all the little scrathes etc that are
normal is used equipment but emphasizes it so it looks somewhat damaged?

3190. Re: Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 11:01:21 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <UNSdnSKiRMhJ_CLZnZ2dnUVZ_rKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84326

WhiskeyBarrel wrote:
> Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered
>
> http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm
>
> Big Lies: Demolishing the Myths of the Propaganda War Against Israel
>
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/media/pdf/BigLies.pdf
>
>
Too much to read, can you sum it up for us?

3191. The Republicans got us into this war; How are they Gonna Get us out ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 11:31:27 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153395087.644958.151700@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84327

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>

3192. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 11:47:03 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns98064EBA08724elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 84328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44BDA4F3.7000706@fuse.net:

> RHF wrote:
>> SC,
>>
>> Morris Code
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)
>

Wasn't morris the cat in the TV ads who eat with his paws?

3193. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 11:53:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <E8Kvg.10476$2v.4716@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84329

```
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
>> >
>> > Bigot?
>> >
>> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
>>
>> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
>> not that.
>>
>
> - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
> - which uses a totally different meaning for the term.
>
> DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
```

It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer and philosopher
who said that a cosmic race ("raza c&#65533;smica") would emerge, in which there
were no color lines and all were members of one race.. the human race.

```
"Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
(as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
> .
> .
> . .
>
```

3194. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:01:06 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6gKvg.174657$F_3.5191@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Big Rich Soprano wrote:
> When i was a kid in the 60's a local ship to shore cw station could be
> heard just under the local AM broadcast band station my family
> listened to. That's what got my interest in radio going. The same
> thing happens on short wave if you detune it right...

In that case the AM carrier is the BFO for the CW signal.
How many SWL's are going to accidentally "detune it right"
for the purpose of hearing an SOS?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3195. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:05:29 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153397129.242246.170350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84331
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> bless you woger

Die of your aids.

3196. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:07:27 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153397247.265290.90230@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

an old friend wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> bless you woger

How it sucks to be you, Markie.

3197. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:07:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BF7206.3CF2C7B5@milestones.com>
Source record: 84333

David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:

> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> >> >
> >> > Bigot?
> >> >
> >> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
> >>
> >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> >> not that.
> >>
> >
> > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
> > - which uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> >
> > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
>
> It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer and philosopher
> who said that a cosmic race ("raza cï¿½smica") would emerge, in which there
> were no color lines and all were members of one race.. the human race.

Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was unimportant?

>
> "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
> (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
> people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
> among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.

Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted organization. Do a little research.

Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as yourself to join.

Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake Hispanic (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3198. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:13:34 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84334

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153369008.597861.210500@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153357609.310030.84050@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Nobody is asking questions. The Arbitron diary is self-administered.
> >> >
> >> > Where did the diary come from? Someone designed it, correct? It didn't
> >> > just fall from the sky, Sunshine.
> >>
> >> It has been under constant development with ongoing blind testing for 46
> >> years. I'd assume a staff of research specialists would knoow enough to
> >> avoid biases induced by language usage, language complexity and such to
> >> have
> >> pretty much eliminated bias after all this time. The real proof is taht
> >> totally passive measurement via the people meter yields near identical
> >> results.
> >
> >
> > None of this gets you infallibility. The possibility of bias remains,
> > as it always does, no matter how thorough and diligent people are about
> > avoiding it. It's a fact of life, and it remains a fact of life even
> > for those who prefer to stick their heads in the sand.
>
> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a viable
> option.

EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
"perfectly reliable" you idiot.

> >>
> >> Considering that in this case, there is no interest in anything else but
> >> getting the most accurate measurement, there is no reason to even
> >> speculate
> >> about this.
> >> >
> >
> > Oh yeah, I forgot. I've been talking about people all this time. You're
> > talking about supernatural beings....angels?

>
> No, I am talking about a product that is as accruate, unbiased and
> professional as is possible tobotain within the money that can be justified
> to pay for such research. the fact that Arbitron has never had an
> investigation, scandal, indication of impropriety or similar in 46 years
> kind of proves this.

EXACTLY! "...as accurate, unbiased and professionas as is possible to
obtain within the money" is not the same thing as perfectly reliable.
Why didn't just admit it to start with?

>
> And remember, there is always a group of stations that is angry at Arbitron
> because they went down and don't want to believe it is thier own fault. So
> the data gets picked apart constantly.
> >
> > Man, please, promise me that you'll get out of the house once in a
> > while, read a book or something. You sound like the most profoundly
> > sheltered (or bamboozled) person I've ever met.
>
> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred or so
> listener interviews.

I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,
bitch.

3199. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:27:53 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153398473.242059.284330@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84335

dxAce wrote:
> David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since
> c.2000 wrote:
>
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> > >> >
> > >> > Bigot?
> > >> >
> > >> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
> > >> >
> > >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> > >> not that.
> > >> >
> > >
> > > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
> > > - which uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> > >
> > > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
> >
> > It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer and philosopher
> > who said that a cosmic race ("raza cósmica") would emerge, in which there
> > were no color lines and all were members of one race.. the human race.
>
> Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was unimportant?
>
> >
> > "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
> > (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
> > people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
> > among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
>
> Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted organization. Do a
> little research.
>
> Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as yourself to
> join.
>

> Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake Hispanic
> (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
Maybe both...who knows?

Steve

3200. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:33:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gutub2186vdpr6hhm12qt1r789oibal1oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 84336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:01:06 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>In that case the AM carrier is the BFO for the CW signal.
>How many SWL's are going to accidentally "detune it right"
>for the purpose of hearing an SOS?

You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
just means ragged tone.

3201. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:36:03 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TMKvg.174659$F_3.22158@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
> extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
> strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
> noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
> just means ragged tone.

So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
a BFO is not present?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3202. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:43:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8euub2tids6cfeiil4038hkq11b9se58le@4ax.com>
Source record: 84338

On 20 Jul 2006 01:53:10 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>You mean to say that The Evil Corporations
>who give Money to Non-Com Broadcasters
>* and get Tax Breaks
>* and Pay No Corporate Taxes
>Are Ripping-Off The Public ? ? ?
>- - - Especially the Public Who Does Not
>Watch PBS or Listen to NPR ! ! !
>
>it boggles the mind ~ RHF
> .
> .
No. I don't mean to say that at all. Corporations are non-living
entities and are not capable of being evil.

The benefits of public broadcasting are enjoyed by everyone.

3203. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:44:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tjuub2pg5e2kv847t0r4dsvm53r5sgahah@4ax.com>
Source record: 84339

On 20 Jul 2006 02:01:11 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>OBTW - Count-Up the Square Inches of Ads in a
>local Newspaper against the Number of Square
>Inches of Actual News in that same local Newspaper.
>- - - The same local Newspaper that you pay-cash-money-for {buy}.
>
>Commercial Radio and TV are practically AD Free in-comparison - IMHO
> .
That's an invalid comparison. Newspapers are parallel, radio is
serial.

3204. Re: Liberal's Whine - Voice of America Sucks ! {Wishing It Were Air America Radio}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:52:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <j1vub2tdp3o4253bhgno9qiks46rnd1uhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 84340

On 20 Jul 2006 00:58:15 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>Adam,
>
>The Voice of America (VOA) is NOT Air America Radio
>and hopefully never will be . . .
>
>First - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
>What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
>http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA
>
>When I need a reminder how whinny and out of touch Liberals
>can be : I tune-in to Air America Radio for about Five Minutes
>and get a dose of their 'sick-sad-reality' of the world - all to often
>liberally tainted with hate-america and blame-americans.
>http://www.airamerica.com/
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Air_America_Radio
>
What the Hell are you babbling about now?

Maybe you should listen longer than 5 minutes. I suggest the 3A zulu
Hour of Mike Malloy.

3205. RMA69 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 12:57:50 UTC
From: Warren <warrens@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca>
Message-ID: <44BF7DCF.2020509@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca>
Source record: 84341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

I picked up a RMA69 receiver a few years ago. Looks to be in very good overall condition, but will need some power supply work before I dare to plug it in. Anyone have suggestions where to find information on the receiver? I haven't had any luck with online searches. It seems as if this thing was abandoned and forgotten about decades ago.

Any suggestions please email me,
warrens at ns.sympatico.ca
vo1ks at rac.ca

Thanks
Warren
VO1KS

3206. Re: RMA69 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 13:25:03 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PuLvg.152833$mF2.22568@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

"Warren" <warrens@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca> wrote in message
news:44BF7DCF.2020509@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca...
> I picked up a RMA69 receiver a few years ago. Looks to be in very good
> overall condition, but will need some power supply work before I dare to
> plug it in. Anyone have suggestions where to find information on the
> receiver? I haven't had any luck with online searches. It seems as if
> this thing was abandoned and forgotten about decades ago.
>
> Any suggestions please email me,
> warrens at ns.sympatico.ca
> vo1ks at rac.ca
>
> Thanks
> Warren
> VO1KS
>

Is your radio anything like the RME 69?

http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~postr/bapix/RME69.html

Frank Dresser

3207. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:27:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <vpMvg.70446$Lm5.20734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84343

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
.
>>
>> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
>> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a viable
>> option.
>
>
> EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
> "perfectly reliable" you idiot.

Why is it necessary to ad insult and invective to a discussion? Do your
neighbors punch you out often?

There is no perfection in _anything_ in business. But Arbitron is "perfect
enough" for its purpose, and is essentially devoid of all the objecitons you
made based on abysmal ignorance of the survey methodology.
>>
>> No, I am talking about a product that is as accruate, unbiased and
>> professional as is possible tobotain within the money that can be
>> justified
>> to pay for such research. the fact that Arbitron has never had an
>> investigation, scandal, indication of impropriety or similar in 46 years
>> kind of proves this.
>
> EXACTLY! "...as accurate, unbiased and professionas as is possible to
> obtain within the money" is not the same thing as perfectly reliable.
> Why didn't just admit it to start with?

I have said all along that any poll is done to minimize the cost within the
acceptable margins of error. In fact, one of my earliest statements was that
"statistics is the only science where 'error' is not a dirty word." All
polls short of a census have a margin of error that is predictable.

Your statements about bias and such are irrelevant, and simply indicate a
lack of understanding of how radio ratings work.
>>
>> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
>> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred or
>> so
>> listener interviews.
>
> I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
> part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,

> bitch.

Huh? We do proprietary research on music and program content. You, consequently, have no idea about or any knowledge of how we do it. The only thing you can see is web -accusable measurement of how effective it is...

3208. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:31:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ZsMvg.70451$Lm5.68833@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84344

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153398473.242059.284330@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
> is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
> Maybe both...who knows?

alt.radio.broadcasting is a pretty dead group. Most AM & FM radio discussion
is on www.radio-info.com.

However , the HD discussion came up here, and I think it important, and fun
most of the time, to see the impressions of HD from a different
perspective... most of which here seems to be, as natural, how it interferes
with the activities of DXers and not on its benefits to the radio industry.

3209. Re: SSB on the sangean ats-505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:35:12 UTC
From: Northe Osbrink <northe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <AwMvg.2330$157.1346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84345

adam wrote:
> How does the SSB work on the ats-505?.

If you're asking _whether_ it works, it's not sophisticated, but it _does_ work well enough to tune in an SSB signal and understand it. I've used it to listen to radio amateurs, military and other stations just fine -- its bandwidth is too wide for serious communications work.

As to how to use it: To select SSB, you use the FM - FM Stereo/ SSB - AM switch on the right side, and fine tune with the clarify control, also on the right side. Be sure to start out with the clarify thumbwheel in the center position (there's a notch that you can feel when it's centered). The tuning LED turns red to let you know that SSB is selected. You push in on the tuning knob (left side) to change from the normal 5 kHz to 1 kHz tuning steps.

Northe
N6KO

3210. FS Grundig YB-400PE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:37:45 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <ZyMvg.153022$mF2.201@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84346

The YB-400PE is in mint condition and comes with: Grundig AC adapter, stereo ear plugs, wind-up antenna, owners manual, vinyl carry case and orginal box. The cabinet has a titanium colored finish. $75 plus shipping.

3211. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:52:01 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153407120.987496.288010@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84347

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> .
> >>
> >> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
> >> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a viable
> >> option.
> >>
> >
> > EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
> > "perfectly reliable" you idiot.
>
> Why is it necessary to ad insult and invective to a discussion? Do your
> neighbors punch you out often?

If you troll a newsgroup you're going to get flamed. Nothing surprising
there. If you can't stand the heat, don't walk into the kitchen.

>
> There is no perfection in _anything_ in business. But Arbitron is "perfect
> enough" for its purpose, and is essentially devoid of all the objecitons you
> made based on abysmal ignorance of the survey methodology.

"Perfect enough for its purpose" could be said of many institutions
that are biased. Don't start changing your story again, please. And
don't pretend as though the only kind of bias at issue here concerns
the "survey methodology" because it concerns everything leading up to
the survey, the design of the survey, the structure of Arbitron, the
people at Arbitron, their relationships with other people outside
Arbitron, relationships between Arbitron and other organizations, etc.
Arbitron does not operate in a vacuum. No business does. None. Get your
head out of the sand.

> >>
> >> No, I am talking about a product that is as accruate, unbiased and
> >> professional as is possible tobotain within the money that can be
> >> justified
> >> to pay for such research. the fact that Arbitron has never had an
> >> investigation, scandal, indication of impropriety or similar in 46 years
> >> kind of proves this.
> >>
> >
> > EXACTLY! "...as accurate, unbiased and professionas as is possible to
> > obtain within the money" is not the same thing as perfectly reliable.
> > Why didn't just admit it to start with?

>
> I have said all along that any poll is done to minimize the cost within the
> acceptable margins of error. In fact, one of my earliest statements was that
> "statistics is the only science where 'error' is not a dirty word." All
> polls short of a census have a margin of error that is predictable.
>
> Your statements about bias and such are irrelevant, and simply indicate a
> lack of understanding of how radio ratings work.

Your statements about bias and such are naive, and simply indicate a
lack of understanding of how subtle and ideological bias can be.

> >>
> >> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
> >> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred or
> >> so
> >> listener interviews.
> >
> > I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
> > part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,
> > bitch.
>
> Huh? We do proprietary research on music and program content. You,
> consequently, have no idea about or any knowledge of how we do it. The only
> thing you can see is web -accusable measurement of how effective it is...

I see no evidence of your doing any work at all. You seem to spend all
your time trolling newsgroups.

3212. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:55:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153407305.738340.296960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84398

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153398473.242059.284330@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> > It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> > alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
> > is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
> > Maybe both...who knows?
>
> alt.radio.broadcasting is a pretty dead group. Most AM & FM radio discussion
> is on www.radio-info.com.

You might want to check alt.radio.broadcasting again. I see plenty of
posts there from this week. Maybe things are starting to hop over
there.

>
> However , the HD discussion came up here, and I think it important, and fun
> most of the time, to see the impressions of HD from a different
> perspective... most of which here seems to be, as natural, how it interferes
> with the activities of DXers and not on its benefits to the radio industry.

My guess is you saw it for what it is: an easy opportunity to generate
some kind (any kind) of controversy. That's what trolls do.

3213. Re: FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 14:58:54 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153407534.588197.222590@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84399

mike0219116 wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/okgkl

That's a nice looking receiver. You'll have no trouble finding a buyer.

3214. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:15:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <56Nvg.70599$fb2.68625@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84401

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153407120.987496.288010@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> .
>> >>
>> >> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
>> >> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a
>> >> viable
>> >> option.
>> >>
>> >>
>> > EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
>> > "perfectly reliable" you idiot.
>>
>> Why is it necessary to ad insult and invective to a discussion? Do your
>> neighbors punch you out often?
>
>
> If you troll a newsgroup you're going to get flamed. Nothing surprising
> there. If you can't stand the heat, don't walk into the kitchen.

That still does not answer the question. You, perhaps, just enjoy being
rude.

I did not invent HD. I believe it is a solution for free radio. It is not
going away.

Mr. Maus offered some cogent suggestions on what to do if you object to HD,
none of which include flaming those who point out the reality of the
situation.

>> >> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
>> >> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred
>> >> or
>> >> so
>> >> listener interviews.
>> >>
>> > I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
>> > part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,
>> > bitch.
>>
>> Huh? We do proprietary research on music and program content. You,

>> consequently, have no idea about or any knowledge of how we do it. The
>> only
>> thing you can see is web -accusable measurement of how effective it is...
>
> I see no evidence of your doing any work at all. You seem to spend all
> your time trolling newsgroups.

By the nature of the job, which spans 4 time zones, I have considerable time
in airport lunges, waiting for respondents to arrive, etc. Our usual days
are 18 hours of work.
>

3215. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:21:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BF9F5E.11935564@milestones.com>
Source record: 84403

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since
> > c.2000 wrote:
> >
> > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Bigot?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
> > > >>
> > > >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> > > >> not that.
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
> > > > - which uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> > > >
> > > > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
> > >
> > > It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer and philosopher
> > > who said that a cosmic race ("raza cï¿½smica") would emerge, in which there
> > > were no color lines and all were members of one race.. the human race.
> >
> > Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was unimportant?
> >
> > >
> > > "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
> > > (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
> > > people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
> > > among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
> >
> > Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted organization. Do a
> > little research.
> >
> > Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as yourself to

> > join.
> >
> > Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake Hispanic
> > (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
> is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
> Maybe both...who knows?

Butt kicked too many times in alt.radio.broadcasting?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3216. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:21:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ecNvg.70603$fb2.64616@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84405

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153407305.738340.296960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153398473.242059.284330@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> > It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
>> > alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
>> > is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
>> > Maybe both...who knows?
>>
>> alt.radio.broadcasting is a pretty dead group. Most AM & FM radio
>> discussion
>> is on www.radio-info.com.
>
> You might want to check alt.radio.broadcasting again. I see plenty of
> posts there from this week. Maybe things are starting to hop over
> there.

Three posts average per day, including a librarian form Boston, is hardly
hopping.
>
> My guess is you saw it for what it is: an easy opportunity to generate
> some kind (any kind) of controversy. That's what trolls do.

I think it is an interesting opportunity to discuss where there are
differing opinions.

3217. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:23:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7eNvg.70604$fb2.49640@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84406

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BF9F5E.11935564@milestones.com...
>
>
>>
> Butt kicked too many times in alt.radio.broadcasting?
>

There has never been much traffic on that ng. It is all on
www.radio-info.com

3218. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:24:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153409051.599062.299470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84407

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153407120.987496.288010@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> .
> >> >>
> >> >> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
> >> >> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a
> >> >> viable
> >> >> option.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
> >> > "perfectly reliable" you idiot.
> >>
> >> Why is it necessary to ad insult and invective to a discussion? Do your
> >> neighbors punch you out often?
> >
> >
> > If you troll a newsgroup you're going to get flamed. Nothing surprising
> > there. If you can't stand the heat, don't walk into the kitchen.
>
> That still does not answer the question. You, perhaps, just enjoy being
> rude.

You asked why I added insult and invective to the discussion. My
answer: Because you're a troll and deserve such treatment.

Clear enough for you?

>
> I did not invent HD. I believe it is a solution for free radio. It is not
> going away.

You believe no such thing. You believe it is a payday for you, period.

>
> Mr. Maus offered some cogent suggestions on what to do if you object to HD,
> none of which include flaming those who point out the reality of the
> situation.

You seem to have only the slimmest grasp on reality, given your posts
to this group. As for flaming, if you don't like it, don't be a troll.

Simple.

> > > >> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
> > > >> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred
> > > >> or
> > > >> so
> > > >> listener interviews.
> > > >
> > > I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
> > > part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,
> > > bitch.
> > >
> > Huh? We do proprietary research on music and program content. You,
> > consequently, have no idea about or any knowledge of how we do it. The
> > only
> > thing you can see is web -accusable measurement of how effective it is...
> >
> > I see no evidence of your doing any work at all. You seem to spend all
> > your time trolling newsgroups.
>
> By the nature of the job, which spans 4 time zones, I have considerable time
> in airport lunges, waiting for respondents to arrive, etc. Our usual days
> are 18 hours of work.

I'm glad that this affords you many hours of valuable trolling time. I
hope you're able to generate a lot of controversy and have a good time.

3219. Re: IBOC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:29:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFA13E.4E19F1D6@milestones.com>
Source record: 84408

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153407120.987496.288010@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:1153397614.160598.216750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> .
> > >> >>
> > >> >> As I keep saying... the data is adequately reliable for its intended
> > >> >> purpose. Making it any more precise costs too much money to be a
> > >> >> viable
> > >> >> option.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> > EXACTLY! "Adequately reliable"....which is not the same thing as
> > >> > "perfectly reliable" you idiot.
> > >>
> > >> Why is it necessary to ad insult and invective to a discussion? Do your
> > >> neighbors punch you out often?
> > >
> > >
> > > If you troll a newsgroup you're going to get flamed. Nothing surprising
> > > there. If you can't stand the heat, don't walk into the kitchen.
> >
> > That still does not answer the question. You, perhaps, just enjoy being
> > rude.
>
> You asked why I added insult and invective to the discussion. My
> answer: Because you're a troll and deserve such treatment.
>
> Clear enough for you?
>
> >
> > I did not invent HD. I believe it is a solution for free radio. It is not
> > going away.
>
> You believe no such thing. You believe it is a payday for you, period.
>
> >
> > Mr. Maus offered some cogent suggestions on what to do if you object to HD,
> > none of which include flaming those who point out the reality of the

> > situation.
>
> You seem to have only the slimmest grasp on reality, given your posts
> to this group. As for flaming, if you don't like it, don't be a troll.
> Simple.
>
> >
> > >> >> I am sitting on a beachfont patio of the El san Juan Hotel right now,
> > >> >> amusing myself as my traveling troupe wraps up the data on a hundred
> > >> >> or
> > >> >> so
> > >> >> listener interviews.
> > >> >
> > >> > I'm just glad that you now acknowledge the possibility of error on the
> > >> > part of your little 'troupe'. I promise I won't let you forget it,
> > >> > bitch.
> > >>
> > >> Huh? We do proprietary research on music and program content. You,
> > >> consequently, have no idea about or any knowledge of how we do it. The
> > >> only
> > >> thing you can see is web -accusable measurement of how effective it is...
> > >
> > > I see no evidence of your doing any work at all. You seem to spend all
> > > your time trolling newsgroups.
> >
> > By the nature of the job, which spans 4 time zones, I have considerable time
> > in airport lunges, waiting for respondents to arrive, etc. Our usual days
> > are 18 hours of work.
>
> I'm glad that this affords you many hours of valuable trolling time. I
> hope you're able to generate a lot of controversy and have a good time.

He should spend more time honing his 'Eduardo' shtick. For now, it's not playing
in Peoria.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3220. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:35:14 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <81c30$44bf9e1c$d8c4c9ce$7105@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 84400

```
"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:4i7ofcF2hpcjU1@individual.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> > >
> > > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic
since
> > c.2000
> > > > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> > > >
> > > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> > > >>
> > > >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> > > >>
> > > > $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> > > >
> > > >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> > > >
> > > > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> > > >
> > > >> You are pretty sad.
> > > >
> > > > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> > season,
> > >
> > > Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> > insight on
> > > what spins your gears.
> > >
> > > Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet
or
> > club
> > > (like seal "hunting")?
> >
> > Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
```

> > many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> > had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> > to catch it after months of trying.
> >
> > --Mike L.
> >
> He'll have to change to MOLEace. I've only harvested two so far this
year. I
> switched from plunger traps to loops this year, they work far
better. The
> only thing uglier than a mole is a wet opossum.

I might have to try the loops when/if some new
housing development starts up near us. All of
the moles in the woods are going to want to go
somewhere...

--Mike L.

3221. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:39:09 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <cf6$44bf9f07$d8c4c9ce$7422@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 84402

```
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:4Jyvg.174531$F_3.167277@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic
since
> > c.2000
> >> > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > $$$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> >> >>
> >> >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> >> >
> >> > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> >> >
> >> >> You are pretty sad.
> >> >
> >> > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> > season,
> >>
> >> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> > insight on
> >> what spins your gears.
> >>
> >> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet
or
> > club
> >> (like seal "hunting")?
> >
> > Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
```

> > many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> > had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> > to catch it after months of trying.
>
> what is a vole?

For practical purposes, the main difference between
a vole and a mole is that a mole won't come above
ground at all (or seems to never come above ground).
A vole will occasionally skitter around above ground.
Aside from that, they look a lot alike to the casual
viewer.

A cat took care of my vole for me when the vole
decided it wanted to wander around by the viburnums
that I'd planted last year. It's days were numbered
anyway, since I'd noticed around that time that a
hawk was taking an interest in our backyard.

--Mike L.

3222. Re: FA: Drake R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:41:30 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <99e74$44bf9f93$d8c4c9ce$7739@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 84404

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153407534.588197.222590@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> mike0219116 wrote:
> > http://tinyurl.com/okgkl
>
> That's a nice looking receiver. You'll have no trouble finding a
buyer.

Yeah, it does look nice. It'll go for over $1200 easy.

--Mike L.

3223. IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:45:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com>
Source record: 84410

Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!

I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).

P.S. Stuff your panty hose in it, Edweenie!

3224. Re: The Republicans got us into this war; How are they Gonna Get us out ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 15:47:31 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <cc9vb2hf557b8c2vtctbpf9bn0m7d3q3q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 84411

On 20 Jul 2006 04:31:27 -0700, barnegatdx@aol.com wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>

What war?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3225. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 16:34:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153413293.091968.209340@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > Cecil Moore wrote:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > > > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > > > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
> > >
> > > SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> > > How would they hear a CW station?
> >
> - CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC
> - no matter the mode cw gets trough even without a tranmitter
> - for that vital signal SOS
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> aof - not if no one is listening ~ RHF
> { radio - it's about communicating }
no you are worng CW gets through wether you to hear or not (prehaps I
should say sarcasm on)

3226. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 16:50:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qrcvb2pjpa5l2uf9vn2ohnvr4ef119hlbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 84413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:36:03 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
>not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
>a BFO is not present?

If you can copy CW, you can copy CW. The tone it's coming in with
doesn't make much difference. I've copied signals so weak that they
were just changes in the quality of the noise and I've copied perfect
S9++T9 signals. They were all mostly R9. The R only changes if the
signal fades completely out or if there's interference that masks the
signal. Try that with PSK.

3227. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:01:49 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <IHOvg.994$OZ7.182@fe06.lga>
Source record: 84414

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>
> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
>
> P.S. Stuff your panty hose in it, Edweenie!
>

I agree! IBOC = QRM!!! Do these guys that argue the point think we are
stupid?

--

Regards
B.H.
Southern, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

3228. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:06:55 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <tMOvg.995$OZ7.969@fe06.lga>
Source record: 84415

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
>>
>>
>> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000
>> stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
>>
>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
>>> >>
>>> >> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>>> >
>>> > $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>>>
>>> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
>>
>> Yes, I do. Check it out.
>>
>>> You are pretty sad.
>>
>> No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the season,
>
> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real insight on
> what spins your gears.
>
> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or club
> (like seal "hunting")?
>

When they chew up your G damn yard you'll understand what the importance of
mole hunting is! Gezzzzz!

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

3229. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:08:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFB88F.FD662CDA@milestones.com>
Source record: 84416

Brian Hill wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >
> > I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
> >
> > P.S. Stuff your panty hose in it, Edweenie!
> >
>
> I agree! IBOC = QRM!!! Do these guys that argue the point think we are
> stupid?

Hey, did you ever get that amp from Cuhulin? I emailed him regarding his busted Rolex, but never got a response.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3230. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:14:13 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.

I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
that good but I am not.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3231. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:14:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <NROvg.51964$VE1.30444@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84417

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!

They are updating the hardware.

3232. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:15:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TSOvg.51965$VE1.684@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84419

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:tMOvg.995$OZ7.969@fe06.lga...
>
> When they chew up your G damn yard you'll understand what the importance
> of mole hunting is! Gezzzzz!

They won't chew up my yard. Do moles DX, or just eat ground connectors?

3233. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:19:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFBB05.A5A4EFC4@milestones.com>
Source record: 84420

David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>
> They are updating the hardware.

New and better QRM, oh fake one?

3234. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:53:25 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <pqPvg.174685$F_3.87659@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84421

Angry Muslims were created during the crusades.

David wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 15:36:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Fortunately Mohammed is gone. You, we still have to put up with.
>>
>
> http://www.law.harvard.edu/students/orgs/hrj/iss17/booknotes-All.shtml
>

3235. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 17:54:40 UTC
From: ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ArPvg.174686$F_3.73034@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84422

Actually, you are the one falling for propaganda

David wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 21:11:40 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>
>
>>What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
>>want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
>>then they want you dead.
>
>
> Where do you get this stuff from? You are falling for Radical
> propaganda.
>
>
> ---
>
>
> ''WHAT THE CIAï¿½S BIN LADEN EXPERT SAYS
>
> The Commissionï¿½s analysis may have drawn on the writings of Michael
> Scheuer, who served in the CIA for 22 years, and who headed the CIA
> Counter-Terrorism Centreï¿½s bin Laden task force (1996-1999). Scheuer,
> who retired in Nov. 2004, wrote two recent books as ï¿½Anonymousï¿½:
> Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes and Imperial Hubris: Why the West Is Losing
> the War on Terror. (He was unmasked in the Boston Phoenix.)
>
> Scheuer contests the view put forward by George W. Bush and Tony
> Blair: ï¿½We in the United States and the West make a mistake when we
> argue, as has [New York Times columnist] Thomas L. Friedman, that bin
> Ladenï¿½s attacks are ï¿½not aimed at reversing any specific U.S. foreign
> policy,ï¿½ or, as Steve Simon and Daniel Benjamin did in Survival in
> early 2002, that bin Laden has ï¿½no discrete set of negotiatiable
> political demandsï¿½.ï¿½ (Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 256)
>
> Scheuer argues that Osama bin Laden has ï¿½clear, focused, limited and
> widely popular foreign policy goalsï¿½, including:
>
> ï¿½the end of U.S. aid to Israel and the ultimate elimination of that
> state; the removal of U.S. and Western forces from Iraq, Afghanistan,
> and other Muslim lands; the end of U.S. support for the oppression of
> Muslims by Russia, China, and India; the end of U.S. protection for

> repressive, apostate regimes in Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Egypt, Jordan,
> et cetera; and the conservation of the Muslim worldï¿½s energy resources
> and their sale at higher prices.ï¿½
>
> Scheuer observes that, ï¿½Bin Laden is out to drastically alter U.S. and
> Western policies toward the Islamic world, not necessarily to destroy
> America, much less its freedoms and liberties. He is a practical
> warrior, not an apocalyptic terrorist in search of Armageddon.ï¿½
> (Imperial Hubris, p. xviii)
>
> Scheuer wrote, while still a serving CIA officer, ï¿½Bin Laden has been
> precise in telling America the reasons he is waging war on us. None of
> the reasons have anything to do with our freedom, liberty and
> democracy, but have everything to do with U.S. policies and actions in
> the Muslim world.ï¿½ (Imperial Hubris, p. x) Scheuer goes further,
> arguing that ï¿½the United States, and its policies and actions, are bin
> Ladenï¿½s only indispensable alliesï¿½. (Imperial Hubris, p. xi)
>
> WHAT CAN WE DO?
>
> The 9/11 Commission also asked the question, ï¿½What can we do to stop
> these attacks?ï¿½ It suggested that, while bin Ladenï¿½s campaign had
> begun in reaction to US policies, ï¿½it quickly became far deeperï¿½: ï¿½To
> the second question of what America could do, al Qaedaï¿½s answer was
> that America should abandon the Middle East, convert to Islam, and end
> the immorality and godlessness of its cultureï¿½ If the United States
> did not comply, it would be at war with the Islamic nationï¿½. (The 9/11
> Commission Report, pp. 50-51)
>
> The Commission produced no evidence that al Qaeda had such a
> maximalist programme. Michael Scheuer vigorously disputes this view,
> drawing a distinction between ï¿½the things a Muslim would find
> offensiveï¿½, and things which a Muslim might regard as an attack on
> Islam or on Muslims. ï¿½Part of bin Ladenï¿½s genius is that he recognized
> early on the difference between those issues Muslims find offensive
> about America and the West, and those they find intolerable and life
> threatening.ï¿½ (Imperial Hubris, p. 10)
>
> Jason Burke, Chief Reporter for the London Observer, points out in his
> book Al-Qaeda, ï¿½While bin Ladenï¿½s discourse may be based on an
> interpretation of Islamic history, his power is derived from playing
> on the current social, economic and political problems of the Muslim
> world.ï¿½ (Al-Qaeda, Penguin, 2004, p. 25)
>
> In the case of the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, bin Laden and other
> non-Afghan Muslims ï¿½went there to fight the Red Army not because the
> Soviets were atheists and communistsï¿½ but because of their brutal
> invasion. (Imperial Hubris, p. 10) After the invasion was reversed,
> the mujahideen did not continue armed action against the atheist and
> anti-Islamic Soviet Union. When the grievance ended, so did the

> mujahideen war.
>
> Scheuer, as already pointed out, argues that Osama bin Laden has
> ï¿½clear, focused, [and] limitedï¿½ foreign policy goals. The goal is not
> the establishment of an Islamic fundamentalist state in the US,
> whatever the 9/11 Commission asserts, but deep change in US foreign
> policy.
>
> WHAT WOULD MAKE AL QAEDA STOP?
>
> After 11 September, bin Laden said, ï¿½Just as they are killing us, we
> have to kill them so there will be a balance of terrorï¿½ We will do as
> they do. If they kill our women and innocent people, we will kill
> their women and innocent people until they stop.ï¿½ (Cited in Through
> Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 247, emphasis added)
>
> Intervening in the closing days of the 2004 presidential election, bin
> Laden told the American people, ï¿½Your security does not lie in the
> hands of Kerry, Bush, or al-Qaeda. Your security is in your own hands.
> Each and every state that does not tamper with our security will have
> automatically assured its own security.ï¿½ (BBC translation). This was
> translated by CNN as, ï¿½Any nation that does not attack us will not be
> attacked.ï¿½ ï¿½Usï¿½ is meant to refer to the community of Muslim nations
> and populations, and ï¿½attackï¿½ has a broad meaning, as former CIA
> official Michael Scheuer explains.
>
> Writing before the invasion of Iraq, Scheuer commented: ï¿½How will [al
> Qaeda] recognise victory? Easy, by forcing drastic changes in U.S.
> foreign policyï¿½ when U.S. and British forces evacuate Saudi Arabia and
> the rest of the Arabian peninsula; when the United States has
> terminated all aid to Israel; and when the U.S. and UN embargoes on
> Iraq are lifted.ï¿½ (These achievements, bin Laden believes, ï¿½will lead
> inevitably to destruction of Israel and what bin Laden has called the
> regimes of ï¿½hypocritesï¿½ in Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, and
> elsewhere.ï¿½) (Through Our Enemiesï¿½ Eyes, p. 256)
>
> To these goals, one might add the ending of the US-UK occupation of
> Iraq and presence in Afghanistan.
>
> LEGITIMATE GRIEVANCES
>
> Underlying these demands are legitimate grievances against the West:
> Western support for Israeli oppression of the Palestinians; the
> invasion of Iraq and Afghanistan; the brutal sanctions imposed on Iraq
> (now lifted); and US-UK support for dictatorial regimes in the Middle
> East. These are immoral policies which should be reversed because they
> are wrong. So is the policy of ignoring-or supporting-oppression in
> Chechnya and elsewhere.
>
> It so happens that reversing these immoral policies would drain most

> if not all of the hatred which fuels al Qaeda. This is how we can stop
> bin Laden. War, retaliation and violence simply adds to his appeal.
>
> PUNISHMENT OR SURVIVAL
>
> The governments of Britain and the United States can pursue the path
> of punishment and preventive violence, or they can seek to bring this
> wave of terrorism to an end. Bringing al Qaeda-style terrorism to an
> end means, above all, reducing the motivation that exists to carry out
> terrorism.
>
> This does not mean ï¿½negotiating with terroristsï¿½ or ï¿½capitulating to
> their demandsï¿½, but seeking justice and human rights for all,
> including the peoples of Palestine and Iraq.
>
> The answer to terrorism is justice, not more terrorism. London and
> Washington must also stop practising the terrorism of the powerful -
> invasion, occupation, and indirect terrorism via oppressive states.''
>
> http://vitw.org/archives/947
>

3236. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:02:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21209-44BFC53B-133@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84423

How are things going in Saginaw?
cuhulin

3237. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:19:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFC91C.4322EA9@milestones.com>
Source record: 84424

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> How are things going in Saginaw?

Pretty darn good! I'm more interested in regional news though, i.e. Mt.
Pleasant.

Hey, you still got the busted Rolex?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3238. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:34:52 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153420492.756105.109040@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84425
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> traps you

Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
you. See any black CIA helicopters lately? And what's "reales?" Do you
think you are in Mexico?

3239. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:42:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21209-44BFCE87-137@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84428

The Roosevelt-Astor Espionage Ring. www.cryptome.org/index.html

the ROOM/Club,34 East 62nd Street,New York City.
cuhulin

3240. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:43:22 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153421002.319174.222520@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
<spam>

Hey Markie, are you trying to be the world's longest licensed no code
tech? Schlechte Markierung.

3241. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:47:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21209-44BFCFBC-138@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84429

I think you said you emailed me about my Rolex,I probally accidently deleted your email (along with a lot of other emails from other areas) without reading it first.
If you was asking if I want to sell my Rolex,I dont want to get rid of it.It has sentimental value to me.Thanks anyway though.
cuhulin

3242. May Markie choke on a chicken bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 18:52:23 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153421543.035826.283720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> traps you

May you choke on a chicken bone when you eat that whole cooked chicken
by yourself.

3243. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:00:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFD2D4.56FF8DFD@milestones.com>
Source record: 84430

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I think you said you emailed me about my Rolex,I probally accidently
> deleted your email (along with a lot of other emails from other areas)
> without reading it first.
> If you was asking if I want to sell my Rolex,I dont want to get rid of
> it.It has sentimental value to me.Thanks anyway though.

You're welcome.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3244. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:01:23 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <7qQvg.24846$Z67.12433@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84431

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
>> > they
>> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>> >
>> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>> >
>> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
>> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or
>> > FACSIMILE
>> > of the
>>
>> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
>> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
>> digital or analog.
>>
>> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
>> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
>> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out
>> > of
>> > smaller and smaller square waves.
>>
>> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
>> a complex analog signal.
>
> Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.
>
>> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
>> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
>> Do you own a CD player?
>
> When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench that
> came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The object was to
> tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same shape. In order to be
> successful one had to tap those pegs into the hole designed to accept it
> until all pegs were in the similar their same shaped hole.

>
> I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you could
> force any shape peg into any shape hole.
>
>> > AND
>> >
>> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>>
>> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with a
>> 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
>> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable SNR. That is
>> easy to beat digitally.
>
> SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents the
> audio information compared to a digital quantified representation of the
> same and the effect of the digitizing rate.

Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?

SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
quality with a -90 dB SNR?

>
>> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
>> > logarithm
>> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
>> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an
>> > analog
>> > signal. Even
>>
>> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
>> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
>> superior.
>
> No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).

Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they
use digital coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format
like used to be done on movie films?
Why do cable TV companies charge more for digital TV?
Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?

>
>> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
>> > convince
>> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws
>> > of
>> > physics call your bluff.
>>
>> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
>> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not

>> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
>
> OK I'll bite, what law of physics allows that?

I believe 128 kbps mp3 gives about FM quality. Code
18 bits into one channel symbol using QAM. Then your
symbol rate/bandwidth is under 7 kHz. (Granted you will
need an SNR of about 60 dB or better comming out of the channel
in order to be able to decode it.)

--
rb

3245. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:03:52 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ssQvg.431013$Fs1.178822@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84432

```
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:ghyvg.174518$F_3.165343@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Zixvg.149854$mF2.84023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the
12AV6
> >> >>
> >> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >> >>
> >> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >> >
> >> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
> >>
> >> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> >> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
> > for
> >> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> >> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
> >
> > And the IBOC proponents totally dismiss the primary advantage of the
> > heritage delivery system, that is long distance propagation.
>
> When the FCC dismissed the final attempts to get the 1 A clears upgraded
to
> 500 to 750 kw each in the late 60's, they began an effort towards extreme
> localism that resulted, in the next decade, in the allocation and
licencing
> of at least on 1 B on every clear channel in the US. At the seme time,
> lesser classes were allowed on the clears, including daytimers and lower
> powered fulltimers.
>
> The FCC was showing a policy that virtually eliminated the usage of even
the
```

> clears for long distance propagation in favor of local, groundwave
reception
> for AMs. A look at any of the clears in 1960 vs. 1990 or today will show
how
> this has populated those channels. The other classes, such as regional and
> local channels were never guaranteed skywave coverage and were, in fact,
> only protected form local skywave interference in the primary coverge area
> at night (known as the interference free zone...).
>
> It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave
> coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations
> themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a curiosity.
> Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the mid-50s
> from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to
> today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other daypart.

Who was leading who on this? That is did the FCC decide out of the blue to
deemphasize the clear channels or was it other stations who wanted to
operate at night?

And why would they want to operate at night, anyway?

> >
> > Oh, I know. There is no longer any economic advantage to long distance
> > propagation. But, for most of us, the debate goes beyond money.
>
> That is only part of the matter. Would any station be interested in
> consistent, listenable night audiences, there is declining usage of radio
at
> night, the decline in use of AM by younger listeners and the FCC's own
> policies that come in the way. Add to that the fact that in may areas,
> storng international Am interference ruins AM anyway.
>
> Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities. This is
> because they believe there that AM is not the way of the future. AMs are
> left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority audiences, like the
Chinese
> stations in Vancouver or the standards station in Toronto.

Is the Canadian phase out voluentary?

By the way, I happen to like listening to the brokered /ethnic stations. If
that's all that's someday left on AM, AM will still be quite lively.

> >
> > The other night I was tuning around and caught a WBZ talkshow about the
> > tunnel collapse. It was interesting to hear Bostonians give thier
> > opinions
> > in their own voices. And I know my listening gave no economic benefit
to
> > WBZ or the economy in general or even to myself in particular.
> > Nevertheless, it was worthwhile.

>
> But you could also get WBZ on the web, right?

I wouldn't have known what was on if I was a web listener. That night I had some free time and I was checking propagation. KDKA was audible, but uninteresting. WBZ was clear. WHO was in the noise. WBZ was interesting enough so I stopped there.

I suppose I could something like that on the web, but I find net congestion less charming than fading.

> You are not being deprived of
> the message, just one medium.

Exactly. And the medium is fascinating. It's a fascination I've had since I was 10, tuning into cities I was newly learning about. I had no references to stations and frequencies and I puzzled out which cities were coming in by ads, weather and traffic reports and the local news.

I'm sure I developed my passion for radio at that age. A guy I once knew told me about a road trip he took when he was about 20. He was amazed that he could hear WLS pretty well all the way out to St. George, Utah. Yeah, I explained, radio's like that. And sometimes reception will be lousy. But mostly it's magical. He tried to repeat the magic on his next road trip a few years later. Too much interference, too many stations. Needless to say, he'll never develop the same passion for radio. Ahh, he was probably to old at the time, anyway.

I know I'm not the only person with the passion for radio, this group's full of 'em. But I also know we aren't numerous enough to have an economic impact on the broadcast industry. I suppose we're mostly a pain in the ass to you guys, acting like we get a sharp stick in the ear every time we hear the Din of Ibiquity.

To take away radio's long distance propagation is diminishing a national resource. It turns radio into a mere conduit for the broadcast industry. It takes the magic out of radio.

> The radio spectrum is one of our natural resources and ought to be
managed
> > as such. Should every old growth tree in the national forests be
chopped
> > down, even if it benefits some people? There are some national parks in
> > which hardly anybody visits. Should they be strip mined?
>
> As I said, the FCC policies going back nearly 40 years have brought us to
> this point, and, coupled with the "sound" of AM, we have a fait acomplit.
> >
> > What's the economic value of a clear, starry night? None, really. But
we
> > do make modest restrictions on light pollution despite thier economic
> > costs.
> >

> > Long distance radio propagation on the AM band is a natural resource
which
> > also deserves some protection.
>
> The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than more
> local service that is relible and consistent.

I see. It's all the FCC's fault. I suppose that's another one of their
silly decisions like when they were forcing stations to do "editorials".
So, how long has the broadcast industry been fighting them on this?

> >
> > I don't have any problem with fee based radio and I don't know anybody
who
> > is much bothered by the concept.
>
> Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
> families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
> subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio. Free
> radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population using
> it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the
priviledges
> of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."

Oh, that's really a reach. I really doubt most of the stations would go the
pay route, even if they were offered the chance. But a few might, just as a
few TV stations had a fling with pay TV about 25 years ago.

But let's pretend the unimaginable happened. All the stations went
subscription. All the network stations, all the independents, all the
brokered stations, all the college stations ... well, you get the idea.
Then I guess poorer people -- that is those poor people who hadn't moved to
alternative sources and actually wanted to listen to the radio -- well, I
suppose they'd have to pay to listen to the radio.

Gee, I suppose some of those poor people might have to decide between pay TV
and pay radio:

"62 percent have cable or satellite TV reception. "

http://www.heritage.org/Research/Welfare/bg1713.cfm

It would be interesting to see how this turns out, if radio ever goes
completely to subscription. But, for some reason, I really, really doubt it
will ever put itself to that test.

But maybe there's teeming masses of impoverished Americans who are now
barely able to afford radio. Are they able to buy batteries? If you start
a charity drive to collect batteries for destitute radio listeners, I'll
contribute some new AAs.

>
> > If some stations want to try to make a go

> > of it as pay channels, that's fine as long as they aren't interfering
with
> > other stations.
>
> There is no talk of this. The model is advertising support, not
> subscription.

Could it be because they don't think there's enough money in pay radio?

> >
> > Yes, but the substitues have only been around for a few years and
they're
> > growing fast. I find these things interesting, at least conceptually.
If
> > I
> > were not so set in my ways, I'm sure I'd be really into them.
>
> Satellite has spent 5 years getting to about a half-share of listening.
And
> it is cooling (withness XM's failure to meet projections and the loss of
60%
> f its market capitalization).

And mp3 players. And wifi radios. Not to mention old-fashioned CD-Rs.

> >
> > Let's not forget censorship. Alot of popular stuff won't pass FCC
muster.
>
> I think you overestimate the number of people who want to hear DJs cuss.
And
> that is what satellite can serve.
>
>

Actually, I was thinking about rap. It's summertime, and I drive with my windows down. I hear alot of stuff which is, to put it mildly, unfit for mass radio. I'm guessing I'm hearing recordings.

Frank Dresser

3246. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:17:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFD6D7.87D4AAD6@milestones.com>
Source record: 84433

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I think you said you emailed me about my Rolex,I probally accidently
> deleted your email (along with a lot of other emails from other areas)
> without reading it first.
> If you was asking if I want to sell my Rolex,I dont want to get rid of
> it.It has sentimental value to me.

Mine has sentimental value as well. Purchased just prior to Operation Frequent Wind. Sent it to my dad. I have since inherited it, and had his name and mine engraved on the back. At one point in time I owned six of the buggers, Submariner, GMT Master, etc. They were relatively inexpensive through either the ships store or the Navy Exchange system.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3247. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:22:03 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-E3444E.12220320072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84434

In article <44BFBB05.A5A4EFC4@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic
> since
> c.2000 wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> > > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> > > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >
> > They are updating the hardware.
>
> New and better QRM, oh fake one?

A QRM upgrade adding sputter to the sizzle?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3248. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:27:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFD932.FABCE43E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84435

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44BFBB05.A5A4EFC4@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000 wrote:
> >
> > > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> > > > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> > > > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> > >
> > > They are updating the hardware.
> >
> > New and better QRM, oh fake one?
>
> A QRM upgrade adding sputter to the sizzle?

Probably Snap, Crackle and Pop as well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3249. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:34:26 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <6VQvg.30734$uy3.9959@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84436

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
>> > they
>> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>> >
>> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>> >
>> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
>> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or
>> > FACSIMILE
>> > of the
>>
>> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
>> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
>> digital or analog.
>>
>> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
>> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
>> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out
>> > of
>> > smaller and smaller square waves.
>>
>> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
>> a complex analog signal.
>>
>> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
>> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
>> Do you own a CD player?
>>
>>>
>> > AND
>> >
>> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>>
>> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio
>> with a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
>> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable

>> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
>>
>> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
>> > logarithm
>> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
>> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an
>> > analog
>> > signal. Even
>>
>> With any analog system you are always going to lose
>> frequency response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'.
>> Digital is often superior.
>>
>> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
>> > convince
>> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws
>> > of
>> > physics call your bluff.
>>
>> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
>> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
>> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
>
> Digital audio is compressed in two manners. I'll put lossless
> compression in your category of what physics allows, though mathematics
> is more like it. Once you get into psychoaccoustics (the other
> compression basis), you have left the field of hard science and are now
> in the cast in jello world of human perception.

I've read some about perceptual coding. I've heard .wav files
of the same piece of music coded with limited resolution vs
perceptually coded. They both had the same mean squared error
but the limited resolution version was distinctly noisy and the
perceptually coded one sounded near clean. I believe mp3 effectively
uses at least some perceptual coding.

> I have never heard a 7kbps stream as good as FM BCB. In fact, there
> isn't a telco system with a bit rate that low.

Right and telcos don't approach FM quality. But they are
not in the music/entertainment business. People use phones for
verbal communication. The phone companies are not going
to invest in the coding and power needed for FM quality.

I see from your other posts that you understand Nyquist.
Right. Ignoring quantization noise, if you sample at Fs you can
perfectly reproduce frequency components up to Fs/2
(or .9 x Fs / 2 practically speaking).
Then when you consider quantization noise you can just
use the rule of thumb that you get 6 dB of SNR for each bit.
So if you use a 16 bit ADC you have an SNR of about 96 dB.

Top quality component hi-fi audio amplifiers are on that order.

--
rb

3250. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 19:35:23 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-8CFF60.12352320072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84437

In article <ArPvg.174686$F_3.73034@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
ka6uup <ka6uup@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Actually, you are the one falling for propaganda

< Snip >

Are you gonna keep posting about off topic political stuff or radio?
Let us know whether we need to add you to the kill file.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3251. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:00:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23235-44BFE0C5-29@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84439

With IBOC turned off,real radio listerners are real happy.
cuhulin

3252. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:04:38 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153425878.066253.285510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84438

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>
> They are updating the hardware.

Good. Why don't you share the good news with the folks over in
alt.radio.broadcasting. Maybe they'll want to hear your BS.

3253. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:13:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44BFE3F7.499BC4E5@milestones.com>
Source record: 84440

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> With IBOC turned off,real radio listerners are real happy.

Allow me to rephrase that: With IBOC turned off, real radio listeners are turned on.

P.S. Stuff your panties in it, Edweenie!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3254. Re: RMA69 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:43:29 UTC
From: Warren <warrens@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca>
Message-ID: <44BFEAF4.6030806@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca>
Source record: 84441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

My typo. That's the beast!
Thanks

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "Warren" <warrens@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca> wrote in message
> news:44BF7DCF.2020509@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca...
>
>>I picked up a RMA69 receiver a few years ago. Looks to be in very good
>>overall condition, but will need some power supply work before I dare to
>>plug it in. Anyone have suggestions where to find information on the
>>receiver? I haven't had any luck with online searches. It seems as if
>>this thing was abandoned and forgotten about decades ago.
>>
>>Any suggestions please email me,
>>warrens at ns.sympatico.ca
>>vo1ks at rac.ca
>>
>>Thanks
>>Warren
>>VO1KS
>>
>
>
> Is your radio anything like the RME 69?
>
> http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~postr/bapix/RME69.html
>
> Frank Dresser
>
>

3255. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:43:36 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153428215.966501.243430@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84442

Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> >> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
> >> > they
> >> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >> >
> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >> >
> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> >> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> >> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or
> >> > FACSIMILE
> >> > of the
> >>
> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> >> digital or analog.
> >>
> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> >> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> >> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out
> >> > of
> >> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> >>
> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
> >> a complex analog signal.
> >>
> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
> >> Do you own a CD player?
> >>
> >>
> >> > AND
> >> >
> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> >>
> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio
> >> with a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.

> >> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
> >> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
> >>
> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> >> > logarithm
> >> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> >> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an
> >> > analog
> >> > signal. Even
> >>
> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose
> >> frequency response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'.
> >> Digital is often superior.
> >>
> >> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
> >> > convince
> >> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws
> >> > of
> >> > physics call your bluff.
> >>
> >> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> >> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> >> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
> >
> > Digital audio is compressed in two manners. I'll put lossless
> > compression in your category of what physics allows, though mathematics
> > is more like it. Once you get into psychoaccoustics (the other
> > compression basis), you have left the field of hard science and are now
> > in the cast in jello world of human perception.
>
> I've read some about perceptual coding. I've heard .wav files
> of the same piece of music coded with limited resolution vs
> perceptually coded. They both had the same mean squared error
> but the limited resolution version was distinctly noisy and the
> perceptually coded one sounded near clean. I believe mp3 effectively
> uses at least some perceptual coding.
>
> > I have never heard a 7kbps stream as good as FM BCB. In fact, there
> > isn't a telco system with a bit rate that low.
>
> Right and telcos don't approach FM quality. But they are
> not in the music/entertainment business. People use phones for
> verbal communication. The phone companies are not going
> to invest in the coding and power needed for FM quality.
>
> I see from your other posts that you understand Nyquist.
> Right. Ignoring quantization noise, if you sample at Fs you can
> perfectly reproduce frequency components up to Fs/2
> (or .9 x Fs / 2 practically speaking).
> Then when you consider quantization noise you can just

> use the rule of thumb that you get 6 dB of SNR for each bit.
> So if you use a 16 bit ADC you have an SNR of about 96 dB.
> Top quality component hi-fi audio amplifiers are on that order.
>
> --
> rb

Even the old GSM was 9600bps on the codec.

What you do to evaluate codecs is compress, decompress then convert to PCM. Put the audio on a CD with the original pure PCM and the PCM that represents the codec audio. Play this CD on your stereo, not a freaking computer. Even high bit rate MP3 can be detected.

The problem with all these digital radios is they push compression to the max, i.e. to a point where it is inferior to analog. While people bitched and moaned about the sample rate on CDs being too low, CD audio is superior to the alternatives.

3256. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:48:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153428481.449292.101950@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3257. forgive him his hate and may he find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:49:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153428599.347742.211980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> May you choke on a chicken bone when you eat that whole cooked chicken
> by yourself.
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3258. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:54:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153428840.168216.131700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84445
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> > traps you
>
> Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
> you.

becuase there is one you and Robeson consrpire to libel and harrass and
stalk me
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3259. Re: RMA69 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 20:54:19 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9806B622081Efw190a8@199.185.223.74>
Source record: 84446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

Warren <warrens@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca> wrote in news:44BFEAF4.6030806
@ns.dot.sympatico.dot.ca:

> My typo. That's the beast!
> Thanks
>

Warren:

Take a look here, you will find a manual for it :)

http://bama.edebris.com/manuals/rme/rme69/

Hope it helps you get the beast going again.

--
Panzer

3260. Re: may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:00:25 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153429225.151568.3600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3261. Re: More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:00:56 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153429256.809812.177820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84348
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> Die of your aids.
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3262. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:04:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <etednbf7z8NgciLZnZ2dnUVZ_rWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.
>
> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
> that good but I am not.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.

Dee, N8UZE

3263. Re: Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:06:58 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153429618.703870.55520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84350

James Douglas wrote:
> WhiskeyBarrel wrote:
> > Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered
> >
> > http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm
> >
> > Big Lies: Demolishing the Myths of the Propaganda War Against Israel
> >
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/media/pdf/BigLies.pdf
> >
> >
> Too much to read, can you sum it up for us?
sure I ca the arabs leaders are lying that about covers it

for more details read the stite

3264. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:11:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153429894.595318.61330@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:

> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
your point ? if any Dee
>
> Dee, N8UZE

3265. New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 21:59:42 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153432782.492087.181240@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84352

For One and All,

Here is a New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna
-by- PaulC [W1VLF]
* Four Detailed Photos
* 32" Stainless Steel Whip Antenna
* Overall Length less than 39"
* Coverage from 10 kHz to 2 MHz
[The Entire AM Medium Wave BCB]
* With 'reduced' Coverage up to 30 MHz

NOTE - Other RRS Messages Posted about W1VLF Antenna Designs
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/search?q=W1VLF&start=0&scoring=d&

W1VLF -writes- {WoOps wITH My Re-eDiTing hErE ~ rhf ;-}

Hello All - You are looking at a W1VLF Active Antenna.

This is a E-Probe type Active Antenna as opposed to the
Loop type Antennas that some of you folks are used to
seeing in my eBay Auctions.

The Antenna actually covers from 10Khz up to 30 Mhz
but the response rolls off a little at the top of its Range,
so I am selling this as a VLF LF MF Antenna Only.

Designed to be 'remotely' Powered this is the ideal Antenna
to put "out to pasture".

In other words "Locate" this Antenna as Far Away from
Noise Sources, Power Lines etc.as possible. It's small
size allows you to move the Antenna looking for the
quietest location. This is not a good Antenna for use
inside the house.

I use one of these with 200' of RG58 for general listening
on my Harris RF -590 and HP selective level meter.

Any Questions and Comments ?
- - - Send them to PaulC (AT) SNet [Dot] Net

Thank You ~ W1VLF

- - - - - - - - - - What I See - What It Is - - - - - - - - - -

OK - Appears to be very much 'standard' E-Probe {Whip}
Active Antenna construction.
* Out-Side - PVC Active Antenna Housing with Whip Antenna

coming out the top.
* Assume that the Coax Cable connector on the bottom
is BNC since the Power Invector uses BNC Connectors.
* In-Door - Power Injector with BNC Connectors that
requires 12VDC source.
* You supply your own Coax Cable {Up to 200 Feet}

QUESTION - Could this be the 'new' Active Antenna in the
W1VLF "Skunk Works" {under Design and Development}
that PaulC wrote about ? ? ?

Oops - Almost Forgot ! - Here is the {Missing} Link for the
eBay Auction Listing # 300008650914 to the W1VLF :
New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM Active Antenna
http://cgi.ebay.com/_W0QQitemZ300008650914

ABOUT - W1VLF = eBay Seller : vanagon
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZvanagon
-aka- Rescue Electronic Surplus
http://stores.ebay.com/RESCUE-ELECTRONIC-SURPLUS
Look-Up W1VLF => http://www.qrz.com/

NOTICE - BE ADVISED :
This is NOT my Auction and I am NOT Associated with the eBay Seller.
.
PLEASE NOTE - FWIW :
That this Message is being Posted for Informational Purposes Only.
- - - It was simply an Interesting Antenna Design - - -
.
Know your Seller and something about what they are Selling.
As Always - Buyer Beware - Life is a Gamble and so is eBay.
.
.
probing the air waves with antennas up - iane ~ RHF
.
Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
.
The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
.
|
|
|
/ \
-------!-------

3266. Why Should Only White Males "Know" CW ? ? ? - Women and Minorities Need CW Too ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 22:12:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153433570.393215.45580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
> > extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
> > strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
> > noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
> > just means ragged tone.
>
> So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
> not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
> a BFO is not present?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

CM,

OK - Lets make "CW" 5 WPM a High School Graduation Requirement
and Start the Nation-Wide Testing of Every Child at Every Grade Level
to Ensure that Our Kids Know "CW" ! ! !

We can call it the Uniform Education "Code" {CW} Law -and-
Require that No Child Is Left Behind the "CW" Learning Curve !

Why should only White Males 'know' CW ? ? ?
Equality Demands that Women and Minorities "Know" CW Too ! ! !
- - - We need an Urgent National Federally Funded Program
to Close the "CW" Gap [.]

oops - am i ranting ? ? ? . . . oh never mind ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3267. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 22:22:32 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5D328F.15223220072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84354

In article <7qQvg.24846$Z67.12433@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
"Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>, "Ron
> > Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I
> >> > accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want
> >> > digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this
> >> > yet."
> >> >
> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To
> >> > wit:
> >> >
> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER
> >> > be more accurate than an analog one for any given
> >> > bandwidth/frequency response. The best you can do is get a
> >> > reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> >>
> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> >> digital or analog.
> >>
> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling
> >> > rate. It would be physically impossible for a digital
> >> > reproduction system to perfectly reproduce a complex analog
> >> > signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and smaller square
> >> > waves.
> >>
> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly
> >> reproduce a complex analog signal.
> >
> > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.
> >
> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog
> >> system. Do you own a CD player?
> >

> > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench
> > that came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The
> > object was to tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same
> > shape. In order to be successful one had to tap those pegs into the
> > hole designed to accept it until all pegs were in the similar their
> > same shaped hole.
> >
> > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you
> > could force any shape peg into any shape hole.
> >
> >> > AND
> >> >
> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a
> >> > 7 KHz
> >>
> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with
> >> a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are
> >> correct. But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
> >> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
> >
> > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents
> > the audio information compared to a digital quantified
> > representation of the same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
>
> Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?

Yes, but you put words in the mouth of the pervious poster.

> SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
> quality with a -90 dB SNR?

Sure, I have several analog radios with > 100 dB of dynamic range. They are ball park around 1 uV sensitivity like most SW radios. With a good signal I can turn up the volume as loud as I care to and not hear background noise for stations from around the world, which is an example of real use of signal to noise instead of referring to a number.

And heck add sync detection a good signal sounds like a local broadcaster. This is important to me because I am program listener.

> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> >> > logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response,
> >> > dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital
> >> > codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> >>
> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> >> superior.
> >
> > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).

>
> Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they use digital
> coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format like used to be
> done on movie films? Why do cable TV companies charge more for
> digital TV? Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?

There are lots of reasons to convert information to digital.

1. Processing. Once converted to digital you can process the information with algorithms using software on general purpose computers instead of building analog hardware circuits for each function and digital circuitry can perform that function with greater precision.

2. Storage. On media like CDs or hard disks you can store a vast amount of information and find it quick.

3. Transport. Converted to digital it can go over the Internet.

4. Cheap. Media to put information onto like CD and DVDs.

Analog TV is not very high quality and with modern larger display devices people want a better picture. A bigger display needs a higher resolution to look good and not pixelated and the digital standards provide that over analog. This is not a problem for radio and is actually the reverse where people want to transmit additional information in the same bandwidth so the audio suffers.

Digital is not better than analog. It's just another technology with a different set of advantages and disadvantages.

> >> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try
> >> > to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> >> > quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.
> >>
> >> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> >> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> >> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
> >
> > OK I'll bite, what law of physics allows that?
>
> I believe 128 kbps mp3 gives about FM quality. Code 18 bits into one
> channel symbol using QAM. Then your symbol rate/bandwidth is under 7
> kHz. (Granted you will need an SNR of about 60 dB or better comming
> out of the channel in order to be able to decode it.)

The power you would have to apply is not practical. You would need a comb filter to detect the carriers < 400 Hz apart. In order to keep all the spectral energy within the 7 KHz would require all carriers to be very soft cornered and sloped edged but the < 400 Hz apart carriers need to be very sharp and defined to be detected without error because they are so close together. A back of the envelope calculation of data rate divided by the number of symbol carriers does not mean it is doable. It would be tough to actually operate a broadcast transmitter and keep

spectral energy in that 7 KHz. You would need a lot of power to get a very strong signal at the receiving end to make this work.

And you are not going to get the 128 Kbit channel because you need some kind framing (overhead), error correction, and the system will have to send other information because people will demand it otherwise it will be no real improvement over the current analog system. People will also screw with the audio once it is digitized and want to compress it generating artifacts.

Another price to pay is buffer time. You tune in a station and nothing happens for some length of time. That time could be on the order of 5 to 10 seconds. If the received signal level varies then the audio starts and stops, which is very jarring and nobody will like this behavior. This is much more annoying than the analog fading or having a little background noise.

And yet another drawback is the receiver has more circuitry for all the additional processing will require more power and shorter battery life. Even if you got the whole radio down to one chip it will still take more power to run it than a simpler analog radio.

I think 16 KHz would be a practical minimum bandwidth with a station spacing every 20 KHz for a digital system to be an improvement over a the current analog scheme.

I define improvement as more than what is currently available, which requires more bandwidth be used for a single channel. If you take up the same bandwidth with the same or a different system then either nothing changes or you trade some advantages for others. There is no magic digital system that can stuff more information into the same bandwidth.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3268. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 22:36:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>
> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Slow Code wrote:
> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>
> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I agree with that.
> >>>
> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
> >>in english please
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
> >>
> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and your
> > text and proper quoting and spelling.
> >
> > Barry

Get over yourself.

> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
>
> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
>
> SC

I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
CW?

3269. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 22:59:47 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <DVTvg.115314$A8.105418@clgrps12>
Source record: 84356

John Plimmer wrote:
> I don't agree with this thread. As a listener in the Third World that is
> hysterically pro Palestinian, it is important to get the official view of
> the American government, the most powerful country in the World.
>
> It is refreshing to get the official American government point of view from
> VOA with sound bites from George W Bush.
> Even the BBC to my mind is somewhat biased towards the Palestinians.
>
> So the VOA provides balance to my listening where I can learn of the
> atrocities and provocations the Palestinians heap upon the Israeli's.
>

Some would say you are so full of it that your eyes are turning brown.

==========
To be Palestinian Arab in the land usurped by European Jews is to be
considered untermensch in the territory your forefathers once called
home. The devastation fifty-five years of invasion, occupation and state
terrorism has had on both people and land has created the conditions by
which Gaza and the West Bank can today be called Hell on Earth, pockets
of destitute emptiness where opportunity is extinct and any relevant
future is a but a hollow fantasy.

http://www.thepeoplesvoice.org/cgi-bin/blogs/voices.php/2006/07/19/p9484
==========

3270. (OT) : What Is A "VOLE" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:04:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153436678.572281.25690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84357

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:6561c$44bea9e3$d8c4cade$24536@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:BXuvg.174435$F_3.122424@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BE67BD.D5668C14@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> > c.2000
> >> > stopped digitally stimulating himself long enough to write:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44BE6288.1B638332@milestones.com...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
> >> >>
> >> >> Do you ever contribute any except invective and insult?
> >> >
> >> > Yes, I do. Check it out.
> >> >
> >> >> You are pretty sad.
> >> >
> >> > No actually I'm very happy! Just nailed my 12th mole of the
> > season,
> >>
> >> Now that sounds intellectually challenging... and gives me real
> > insight on
> >> what spins your gears.
> >>
> >> Mole hunting? Do you do this with hounds, or just with a hatchet or
> > club
> >> (like seal "hunting")?
> >
> > Actually, I'm rather impressed if Ace can get that
> > many moles out of his yard in one season. I only
> > had one (a vole) to deal with, and I was never able
> > to catch it after months of trying.
>

- what is a vole?

A "VOLE" is a small Rodent resembling a Mouse
but with a stouter body, a shorter, hairy tail, and
smaller ears and eyes.
http://www.cooperseeds.com/voles.php3?
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vole
Typically a mini-rat that eats your flowers.

and now you know ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3271. Spotlight on National Public Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:06:59 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12c03e01eijk922@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84358

Spotlight on National Public Radio

http://www.afsi.org/MEDIA/NPR/index.html

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3272. B B C Spotlight on the British Broadcasting Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:07:45 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12c03ev4d723e49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84359

B B C Spotlight on the British Broadcasting Service

http://www.afsi.org/MEDIA/bbc/index.htm

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3273. Iran Now Threatening Iraq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:08:51 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12c03h1f5fhpg78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84360

London, Jul. 19 • Iranian authorities have put pressure on Iraqi officials to crack down on the main Iranian opposition group, the People•s Mojahedin Organisation of Iran (PMOI).

http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7971

Iran: We Support Turkey's Possible Cross-Border Operation

"Turkey has the right to annihilate terrorists wherever they are found. Iran is ready to do its best to help Turkey•

http://www.trend.az/?mod=shownews&news=23784&lang=en

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3274. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:22:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Hello,
> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
> band.
> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the distance
> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
> I'll be very thankful for your help.
> Ridgh

You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.

Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.

It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the people in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're experts.

SC

3275. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:23:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wfUvg.2503$bP5.1347@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:Quzvg.174547$F_3.24513@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> SWL's should learn CW too.
>> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress
>> sending an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get
>> help.
>
> SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> How would they hear a CW station?

Many SWL's are Ute listeners. They are the ones most likely to stumble
across an SOS.

Just like a person isn't a real ham unless they've passed a code test, a
shortwave listener isn't a real SWL unless their receiver has a BFO.

(SWL's who listen to shortwave with antique receivers are exempt.)

SC

3276. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:23:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <yfUvg.2504$bP5.1473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84362

"John Plimmer" <plimmer@telkomsa.net> wrote in
news:e9ncrn$hs2$2@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net:

> I don't agree with this thread. As a listener in the Third World that is
> hysterically pro Palestinian, it is important to get the official view
> of the American government, the most powerful country in the World.
>
> It is refreshing to get the official American government point of view
> from VOA with sound bites from George W Bush.
> Even the BBC to my mind is somewhat biased towards the Palestinians.
>
> So the VOA provides balance to my listening where I can learn of the
> atrocities and provocations the Palestinians heap upon the Israeli's.
>

I listen to Yankee Doodle every morning, 9.760MHz, from 1200z to until I
leave for work.

SC

3277. Re: Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:23:56 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <look@it.org>
Message-ID: <12c04dc9lkhg964@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84364

"James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:UNSdnSKiRMhJ_CLZnZ2dnUVZ_rKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> WhiskeyBarrel wrote:
> > Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered
> >
> > http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm
> >
> > Big Lies: Demolishing the Myths of the Propaganda War Against Israel
> >
> > http://www.frontpagemag.com/media/pdf/BigLies.pdf
> >
> >
> Too much to read, can you sum it up for us?

Millions dead and a century of propaganda lies and you want me to summarize?

It all boils down to a war of Islamic Jihad ideology vs. Judeo Christian
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23406

Haj Amin al Husseini the Mufti of Jerusalem, and former president of the
Supreme Muslim Council of Palestine urged Hitler to not allow Jews to flee
to Palestine, and to exterminate them instead. (Serge Trifkovic, The Sword
and the Prophet)

According to German officials who knew the Mufti:

"The Mufti had repeatedly suggested to the various authorities with whom he
was maintaining contact, above all to Hitler, Ribbentrop, and Himmler, the
extermination of European Jewry. He considered this as a comfortable
solution of the Palestinian problem."

When Haj Amin al Husseini, spoke together with Hitler on Berlin Radio in
1942, he cried out:

"Kill the Jews - kill them with your hands, kill them with your teeth - this
is well pleasing to Allah."

http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm

Fast forward to the 1988 Charter of Hamas:

Article 32 refers to an infamous century-old forgery in almost the identical
terms used by Adolf Hitler: "Their plan is embodied in 'The Protocols of the
Elders of Zion', and their present conduct is the best proof of what we are
saying."

Article 22 is an update of The Protocols, alleging that "the Jews" control
all major world events. It is here quoted in full (but without the koranic

verses, which may be found on the various websites in both Arabic and English) . This article - and others - indicates the rich 'conspiracy thinking' of the Hamas Charter:

"For a long time, the enemies have been planning, skillfully and with precision, for the achievement of what they have attained. They took into consideration the causes affecting the current of events. They strived to amass great and substantive material wealth which they devoted to the realization of their dream. With their money, they took control of the world media, news agencies, the press, publishing houses, broad casting stations, and others. With their money they stirred revolutions in various parts of the world with the purpose of achieving their interests and reaping the fruit therein. They were behind the French Revolution [1789], the Communist revolution [1917] and most of the revolutions we heard and hear about, here and there. With their money they formed secret societies, such as Freemasons, Rotary Clubs, the Lions and others in different parts of the world for the purpose of sabotaging societies and achieving Zionist interests. With their money they were able to control imperialistic countries and instigate them to colonize many countries in order to enable them to exploit their resources and spread corruption there. You may speak as much as you want about regional and world wars. They were behind World War I, when they were able to destroy the Islamic Caliphate, making financial gains and controlling resources. They obtained the Balfour Declaration, formed the League of Nations through which they could rule the world. They were behind World War II, through which they made huge financial gains by trading in armaments, and paved the way for the establishment of their state. It was they that instigated the replacement of the League of Nations with the United Nations and the Security Council to enable them to rule the world through them. There is no war going on anywhere without them having their finger in it. [quotation from the Koran] The imperialistic forces in the Capitalist West and Communist East, support the enemy with all their might, in money and in men. These forces take turns in doing that. The day Islam appears, the forces of infidelity would unite to challenge it, for the infidels are but one nation."

Koranic quotes follow to prove the point: "if ye understand."

With the Hamas Charter now binding the Palestinian leadership, its call for the destruction of a Member State of the United Nations is in contravention to Article 2 (4) of the 1945 UN Charter. Article 3 (c) of the 1948 Genocide Convention condemns "direct and public incitement to commit genocide," which is punishable under its article 4. ������The grave situation in Gaza - and not only in Gaza - is linked to the Hamas Charter of religious and racial hatred, which merits debate at the Human Rights Council as soon as possible.
...
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23449

Philip Graves, The Truth about The Protocols: A Literary Forgery (London, 1921 - his articles from The Times)

H. Rauschning, Hitler speaks (London, 1939) , pp. 235-36; Norman Cohn, Warrant for Genocide: The Myth of the Jewish World Conspiracy and The Protocols of the Elders of Zion (London, 1967)

Pierre-Andr&#65533; Taguieff, Les Protocoles des Sages de Sion. Introduction &#65533; l'&#65533;tude des Protocoles: un faux et ses usages dans le si&#65533;cle (Paris, 1992)

3278. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:31:02 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WmUvg.128118$H71.50163@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>> that good but I am not.
>
> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.

How does a deaf person do that?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3279. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:41:27 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c014e1$0$3660$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 84366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:WmUvg.128118$H71.50163@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>>> that good but I am not.
>>
>> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
>
> How does a deaf person do that?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Using a series of flashes of light OR vibrations...........

3280. Did You Hear These News Items Reported On The Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:41:43 UTC
From: ~ <read@it.net>
Message-ID: <12c05f5e3rpvge1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84368

Did you see these news items reported in the newspaper?

http://www.afsi.org/MEDIA/newsLinks/shockers/shockers.html

"The deliberate targeting of Israeli civilians is shamefully equated with the unintentional loss of Palestinian life that is the tragic but unavoidable consequence of legitimate warfare. Worse, since Palestinian terrorists both deliberately target civilians and hide behind them, Israel is cast as the guilty party because more Palestinians have been killed in Arafat's terrorist war than Israelis. No one, of course, would dare suggest that the United States was the guilty party in World War II because German casualties, which included millions of civilians, were twenty times higher then American casualties. So too, only a twisted and corrupt logic would paint America and Britain as the aggressors in the current war because Afghan casualties are reported to have well exceeded the death toll of September 11."

One of the clearest signs of the growing nazification of so much of the world's media is the increasing trend to label Palestinians atrocities, such as the exploding of the bus full of children in Haifa this week, as "Palestinian resistance". The PLO and its amen choruses routinely explain away such mass murders of Jewish children and other civilians as "Palestinian resistance", but so do the BBC and many other establishment media outfits.

Of course describing Palestinian behavior as "resistance" makes precisely as much sense as describing as "resistance" the campaign of the Wehrmacht and Gestapo in the 1940s against the various anti-German partisan forces. In other words, it is yet another Orwellian inversion. The Palestinians are not the forces that resist evil, but rather they are the savages. The Jews are those who are resisting savagery. Resistance against fascism and barbarism is what Israel does when it assassinates terrorists and blows up their homes.

The trendy use of the term "resistance" for Palestinian violence is a rather naked attempt by anti-Semites to justify those atrocities, exactly as would be an attempt to label 1944 German anti-partisan activity as "resistance". It is really entirely consistent with the long insistence by the press on referring to Palestinian mass murderers as "activists" and "militants", as if they are marchers in a gay pride parade or Save-the-Whales march, rather than terrorists and Nazis.

3281. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:42:52 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c014ec$0$3662$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 84367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:etednbf7z8NgciLZnZ2dnUVZ_rWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.
>>
>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>> that good but I am not.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>

If everyone was proficient at everything they did, there "may" be fewer
problems. I'm "rusty" at code, but I can do it. Keep on plugging away.
```

3282. Re: Liberal's Whine - Voice of America Sucks ! {Wishing It Were Air America Radio}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:43:54 UTC
From: ~ <read@it.net>
Message-ID: <12c05inr81e5p21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84369

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:j1vub2tdp3o4253bhgno9qiks46rnd1uhf@4ax.com...
> On 20 Jul 2006 00:58:15 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Adam,
> >
> >The Voice of America (VOA) is NOT Air America Radio
> >and hopefully never will be . . .
> >
> >First - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
> >What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
> >http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA
> >
> >When I need a reminder how whinny and out of touch Liberals
> >can be : I tune-in to Air America Radio for about Five Minutes
> >and get a dose of their 'sick-sad-reality' of the world - all to often
> >liberally tainted with hate-america and blame-americans.
> >http://www.airamerica.com/
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Air_America_Radio
> >
> What the Hell are you babbling about now?
>
> Maybe you should listen longer than 5 minutes. I suggest the 3A zulu
> Hour of Mike Malloy.
>

You should read a book and find a job.

3283. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:48:49 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <BDUvg.70756$fb2.54389@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84370

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BFBB05.A5A4EFC4@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic
> since
> c.2000 wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
>> > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such
>> > as
>> > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>>
>> They are updating the hardware.
>
> New and better QRM, oh fake one?

Hopefully the will tune one of the guy wires so it radiates more towards
Holland.

3284. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:50:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <sFUvg.70757$fb2.1989@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84371

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44BFC91C.4322EA9@milestones.com...
>
>
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> How are things going in Saginaw?
>
> Pretty darn good! I'm more interested in regional news though, i.e. Mt.
> Pleasant.

Ah, isnt't that one of the first towns in America to recognize that bad
facility AMs are dead, and the license (WCEN) was turned in?

3285. Re: Did You Hear These News Items Reported On The Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-20 23:58:44 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153439924.660770.113120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84372

FUCK UM ALL!
~ wrote:
> Did you see these news items reported in the newspaper?
>
> http://www.afsi.org/MEDIA/newsLinks/shockers/shockers.html
>
> "The deliberate targeting of Israeli civilians is shamefully equated with
> the unintentional loss of Palestinian life that is the tragic but
> unavoidable consequence of legitimate warfare. Worse, since Palestinian
> terrorists both deliberately target civilians and hide behind them, Israel
> is cast as the guilty party because more Palestinians have been killed in
> Arafat's terrorist war than Israelis. No one, of course, would dare suggest
> that the United States was the guilty party in World War II because German
> casualties, which included millions of civilians, were twenty times higher
> then American casualties. So too, only a twisted and corrupt logic would
> paint America and Britain as the aggressors in the current war because
> Afghan casualties are reported to have well exceeded the death toll of
> September 11."
>
> One of the clearest signs of the growing nazification of so much of the
> world's media is the increasing trend to label Palestinians atrocities, such
> as the exploding of the bus full of children in Haifa this week, as
> "Palestinian resistance". The PLO and its amen choruses routinely explain
> away such mass murders of Jewish children and other civilians as
> "Palestinian resistance", but so do the BBC and many other establishment
> media outfits.
>
> Of course describing Palestinian behavior as "resistance" makes precisely as
> much sense as describing as "resistance" the campaign of the Wehrmacht and
> Gestapo in the 1940s against the various anti-German partisan forces. In
> other words, it is yet another Orwellian inversion. The Palestinians are
> not the forces that resist evil, but rather they are the savages. The Jews
> are those who are resisting savagery. Resistance against fascism and
> barbarism is what Israel does when it assassinates terrorists and blows up
> their homes.
>
> The trendy use of the term "resistance" for Palestinian violence is a rather
> naked attempt by anti-Semites to justify those atrocities, exactly as would
> be an attempt to label 1944 German anti-partisan activity as "resistance".
> It is really entirely consistent with the long insistence by the press on
> referring to Palestinian mass murderers as "activists" and "militants", as
> if they are marchers in a gay pride parade or Save-the-Whales march, rather
> than terrorists and Nazis.

3286. Let Morkie Die of his aids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:01:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153440062.520964.50680@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84373
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Die of your aids.
> forgive him his hate and may he find peace

May mark C. Morgan lose everybody around him before he dies of his aids.

3287. May Markie's colon cancer kill him soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:02:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153440132.705604.139890@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> forgive him his hate and may he find peace

May he die painfully. Amen.

3288. Re: Iran Now Threatening Iraq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:05:02 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153440301.836539.16930@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84375

Who cares? Let the Sand Niggers kill each other off.
SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> London, Jul. 19 - Iranian authorities have put pressure on Iraqi officials
> to crack down on the main Iranian opposition group, the People's Mojahedin
> Organisation of Iran (PMOI).
>
> http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7971
>
>
> Iran: We Support Turkey's Possible Cross-Border Operation
>
> "Turkey has the right to annihilate terrorists wherever they are found. Iran
> is ready to do its best to help Turkey"
>
> http://www.trend.az/?mod=shownews&news=23784&lang=en
>
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3289. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:07:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PUUvg.70760$fb2.2951@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84376

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ssQvg.431013$Fs1.178822@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>>
>> It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave
>> coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations
>> themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a curiosity.
>> Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the mid-50s
>> from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to
>> today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other daypart.
>
> Who was leading who on this? That is did the FCC decide out of the blue
> to
> deemphasize the clear channels or was it other stations who wanted to
> operate at night?

Neither. The 1-A clears organized into a lobbying group to try to ge thigher
power. The FCC reviewed this and the follow up presentations from the late
40's through about '67 when the commission finally said "no, never" and
decided to develop additional stations on the clear channels to provide more
local service, especially to grey areas, which was a major FCC goal in that
era.
>
> And why would they want to operate at night, anyway?

Everyone wants to operate at night, but we all wish there was no skywave, as
local coverage could be improved. In any case, nobody did not want to
operate at night... they just realized that skywave listening was pretty
much dead after the 1-As were broken down... 30 years ago.

>> Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities. This is
>> because they believe there that AM is not the way of the future. AMs are
>> left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority audiences, like the
> Chinese
>> stations in Vancouver or the standards station in Toronto.
>
> Is the Canadian phase out voluentary?

The CBC has moved nearly all operatins to FM from AM, and commercial AM
operators are encouraged to trade an FM for the AM, with the AM going
silent. This has been going on for over a decade.
>
> By the way, I happen to like listening to the brokered /ethnic stations.
> If

> that's all that's someday left on AM, AM will still be quite lively.

Certainly there will be limited viability. Many stations that are on SCA's
will possibly become AMs... we have Radio Tehran on our 107.5 in LA!
>
> I know I'm not the only person with the passion for radio, this group's
> full
> of 'em. But I also know we aren't numerous enough to have an economic
> impact on the broadcast industry. I suppose we're mostly a pain in the
> ass
> to you guys, acting like we get a sharp stick in the ear every time we
> hear
> the Din of Ibiquity.

I am curious to see if HD can be DXed. No good receiver has HD, and it would
be nice if we had an R-75 (this Bud's for you, Ace) with an HD module!
>>
>> The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than more
>> local service that is relible and consistent.
>
> I see. It's all the FCC's fault. I suppose that's another one of their
> silly decisions like when they were forcing stations to do "editorials".
> So, how long has the broadcast industry been fighting them on this?

The congestion of the bands is definitely due to the FCC seeking increased
grey area and local service from the 50's through the 90's.

The FCC never forced editorials. To the contrary, until the Fairness
Doctrine was killed under Reagan, we were very afraid of doing editorials
and very, very few of us did them due to the risks.

The FCC, buy restricting to low FM powers (100kw and under) and very low AM
powers (nothing over 50 kw) has always encouraged localism. As the clears
went form one fulltime signal to a dozen or so, the FCC was sayking that
they preferred a new local station in St George, UT., to extended coverage
for WLS:

This was the very loudly stated opinion of the FCC for years: local service,
local ascretainment, local ownership, etc.
>>
>> Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
>> families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
>> subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio. Free
>> radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population
>> using
>> it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the
> priviledges
>> of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."
>
>
> Oh, that's really a reach. I really doubt most of the stations would go
> the

> pay route, even if they were offered the chance. But a few might, just as
> a
> few TV stations had a fling with pay TV about 25 years ago.

I do not think any terrestrial stations will go to pay subscriptions.
>
> But let's pretend the unimaginable happened. All the stations went
> subscription. All the network stations, all the independents, all the
> brokered stations, all the college stations ... well, you get the idea.
> Then I guess poorer people -- that is those poor people who hadn't moved
> to
> alternative sources and actually wanted to listen to the radio -- well, I
> suppose they'd have to pay to listen to the radio.

Whatever. But radio will simply die before this happens
>
> Gee, I suppose some of those poor people might have to decide between pay
> TV
> and pay radio:
>
> "62 percent have cable or satellite TV reception. "

And 42% do not.
>
> But maybe there's teeming masses of impoverished Americans who are now
> barely able to afford radio.

I did not say this. They can afford radio, but would not pay $150
discretionary dollars for what is also available for free. In case yu did
not notice, XM and Sirius have hit brick walls. XM stock is off 60% since
the end of last year due to slowdown in subscriptions; Stern is a band-aid-.
>>
>
> Actually, I was thinking about rap. It's summertime, and I drive with my
> windows down. I hear alot of stuff which is, to put it mildly, unfit for
> mass radio. I'm guessing I'm hearing recordings.

The Ivy League white guys at the FCC can not understand it!

P.s. Today, it is hip hop, not rap, mostly.

3290. Re: (OT) : What Is A "VOLE" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:14:07 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <j%Uvg.147580$771.122713@edtnps89>
Source record: 84377

RHF wrote:

> A "VOLE" is a small Rodent resembling a Mouse
> but with a stouter body, a shorter, hairy tail, and
> smaller ears and eyes.
> http://www.cooperseeds.com/voles.php3?
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vole
> Typically a mini-rat that eats your flowers.

Is it called a dxVole in the States?

mike

3291. Re: Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:17:31 UTC
From: jfeng@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1153441051.560496.218490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84378

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> It all boils down to a war of Islamic Jihad ideology vs. Judeo Christian

Don't you mean to say Islamic *Crusader* ideology?

3292. FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:19:40 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153441180.622624.88520@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84379

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >
> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >
> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE
> > of the analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate.
> > It would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> >
> > AND
> >
> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog
> > signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you
> > try to convince me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM
> > quality? The laws of physics call your bluff.
>

- None of this matters. What matters is consumer perception,
- and if it is not digital, it is not good in today's world.

BAD - true, True. and TRUE !
[It Is A Digital World - Especially for the Younger Generation !]

- P.S. The AM has greater compression, but its apparent
- badwidth sounds pretty analog FM like.

- Have you ever listened to an HD AM using the current
- firmware (2.2.5) play music? It _does_ sound "like FM."

The problem that I have now up here in "Them-There-Hills" is
that the number of good sounding AM/MW Radio Stations
{Less-Than 10) is decreasing {IBOC background noise?} :
* FCC : 57 AM Records within 100 km {63 Miles} of 95370.

While the number of good sounding FM Radio Stations is
increasing due to More FM Radio Stations and Translators
{More-Than 30}.
* FCC : 204 FM Records within 100 km {63 Miles} of 95370.

FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !

Low Power (LP) FM that is Community Needs Based
and not simply Corporate Media Market Grabs is very
important to Rural Areas for Expanded Adult Cultural
Awareness and Increased Educational Opportunities for
the Young People growing-up in the country.

better sounding fm hd radio is good news ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3293. Re: Arab Propaganda Against Israel Answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:30:09 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <leVvg.115327$A8.47703@clgrps12>
Source record: 84380

jfeng@my-deja.com wrote:

> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
>> It all boils down to a war of Islamic Jihad ideology vs. Judeo Christian
>
> Don't you mean to say Islamic *Crusader* ideology?
>

This may put things in a different light.

http://www.submission.org/christians/crusades.html

mike

3294. More Morkie Mularkie, Now Spread to More Innocent, Unrelated Newsgroups by the express effort of the Robeson conspriacy to stalk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:30:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153441848.122796.152940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84381
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

> May mark C. Morgan lose everybody around him before he dies of his aids.
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

this the sick mind of Robesons ally

3295. forgive him his hate and may he find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:32:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153441940.960389.296270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

> May he die painfully. Amen.
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3296. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:32:54 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153441974.569908.221640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84383

Steve wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic since
> > c.2000 wrote:
> >
> > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> > > >>>
> > > >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> > > >>>
> > > > Bigot?
> > > >>>
> > > > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to call me a bigot.
> > > >>
> > > >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar Mexican. I am
> > > >> not that.
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza,
> > > > - which uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> > > >
> > > > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
> > >
> > > It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer and philosopher
> > > who said that a cosmic race ("raza cósmica") would emerge, in which there
> > > were no color lines and all were members of one race.. the human race.
> >
> > Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was unimportant?
> >
> > >
> > > "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
> > > (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
> > > people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
> > > among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
> >
> > Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted organization. Do a
> > little research.
> >
> > Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as yourself to
> > join.

> >
> > Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake Hispanic
> > (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My guess
> is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or selling.
> Maybe both...who knows?
>
> Steve

For "DE" posting here or anywhere allows him to refine his skills
and arguments and exposes him to 'other' view points that he may
not see in a professional setting. { Doing Research In-the-Rough }

Will It Play in Peoria ? -and- Can It Become a Hit On Broadway ?
[Broad Appeal -vice- Critical Acclaim]

and that's the way i see it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3297. Re: New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:39:51 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153442391.437519.258850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84384

RHF wrote:
> For One and All,
>
> Here is a New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna
> -by- PaulC [W1VLF]
> * Four Detailed Photos
> * 32" Stainless Steel Whip Antenna
> * Overall Length less than 39"
> * Coverage from 10 kHz to 2 MHz
> [The Entire AM Medium Wave BCB]
> * With 'reduced' Coverage up to 30 MHz
>
> NOTE - Other RRS Messages Posted about W1VLF Antenna Designs
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/search?q=W1VLF&start=0&scoring=d&
>
> W1VLF -writes- {WoOps wITH My Re-eDiTing hErE ~ rhf ;-}
>
> Hello All - You are looking at a W1VLF Active Antenna.
>
> This is a E-Probe type Active Antenna as opposed to the
> Loop type Antennas that some of you folks are used to
> seeing in my eBay Auctions.
>
> The Antenna actually covers from 10Khz up to 30 Mhz
> but the response rolls off a little at the top of its Range,
> so I am selling this as a VLF LF MF Antenna Only.
>
> Designed to be 'remotely' Powered this is the ideal Antenna
> to put "out to pasture".
>
> In other words "Locate" this Antenna as Far Away from
> Noise Sources, Power Lines etc.as possible. It's small
> size allows you to move the Antenna looking for the
> quietest location. This is not a good Antenna for use
> inside the house.
>
> I use one of these with 200' of RG58 for general listening
> on my Harris RF -590 and HP selective level meter.
>
>
> Any Questions and Comments ?
> - - - Send them to PaulC (AT) SNet [Dot] Net
>
> Thank You ~ W1VLF

>
>
> - - - - - - - - - - What I See - What It Is - - - - - - - - - -
>
> OK - Appears to be very much 'standard' E-Probe {Whip}
> Active Antenna construction.
> * Out-Side - PVC Active Antenna Housing with Whip Antenna
> coming out the top.
> * Assume that the Coax Cable connector on the bottom
> is BNC since the Power Invector uses BNC Connectors.
> * In-Door - Power Injector with BNC Connectors that
> requires 12VDC source.
> * You supply your own Coax Cable {Up to 200 Feet}
>
> QUESTION - Could this be the 'new' Active Antenna in the
> W1VLF "Skunk Works" {under Design and Development}
> that PaulC wrote about ? ? ?
>
> Oops - Almost Forgot ! - Here is the {Missing} Link for the
> eBay Auction Listing # 300008650914 to the W1VLF :
> New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM Active Antenna
> http://cgi.ebay.com/_W0QQitemZ300008650914
>
>
> ABOUT - W1VLF = eBay Seller : vanagon
> http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZvanagon
> -aka- Rescue Electronic Surplus
> http://stores.ebay.com/RESCUE-ELECTRONIC-SURPLUS
> Look-Up W1VLF => http://www.qrz.com/
>
> NOTICE - BE ADVISED :
> This is NOT my Auction and I am NOT Associated with the eBay Seller.
> .
> PLEASE NOTE - FWIW :
> That this Message is being Posted for Informational Purposes Only.
> - - - It was simply an Interesting Antenna Design - - -
> .
> Know your Seller and something about what they are Selling.
> As Always - Buyer Beware - Life is a Gamble and so is eBay.
> .
> .
> probing the air waves with antennas up - iane ~ RHF
> .
> Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> .
> The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
> May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always

> have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> .
> |
> |
> |
> / \
> -------!-------

Interesting. Thanks for the info. I've never really gotten into LF or VLF, but that's primarily because I've never lived in a place that's quiet enough. Noise is such a huge factor when you tune "down there."

Steve

3298. APROVECHA ESTA OPORTUNIDAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 00:44:22 UTC
From: Mao <mbedoya@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153442662.881361.209790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84385

Ahora es tu oportunidad; Mucho dinero con una inversion minima.
POR FAVOR LEA TAMBIEN EL FINAL DE ESTA CARTA; LE VA A INTERESAR Y SE MOTIVARA AUN MAS.
Hola amigos:
A continuación les contare como sucedió todo esto:
Este aviso realmente me llamo la atención, además de llegar oportunamente, y luego de pensarlo muy bien, decidí probar, solo necesitaba US$6.00(6 dólares), y pensé " no es nada, comparando con lo que se puede ganar ", y pude comprobar que de verdad funciona, no se como explicarles, pero la vida me cambio bastante; el sistema es muy fácil y funciona(totalmente comprobado), MIENTRAS MAS GENTE SE UNA... MEJOR y mas probabilidades tienes de ganar:
Este es el mensaje original y el sistema que me cambio mi manera de pensar y por el cual, ahora soy otra persona y que encontré en las NEWS, en el que entrega todas las instrucciones.
Dice así:
MENSAJE ORIGINAL.
Esto es lo que le paso a un amigo, días atrás, mientras navegaba por estas paginas de noticias, así mismo como usted lo esta haciendo ahora, se me apareció un articulo similar a este que decía:
"Usted puede ganar miles de dólares en pocas semanas con una inversión de US$6 dólares (seis dólares)", Enseguida pensé: "¡oh, no, otra estafa más ?", pero como la mayoría de nosotros, la curiosidad pudo más ,y seguí leyendo, y seguía diciendo:"
Usted enviará US$1.-(un dólar) a cada uno de los 6 nombres y direcciones mencionados en este articulo , entonces
usted anote su nombre y dirección al final de la
lista reemplazando al numero #6 , y envíe o ponga este articulo a por lo menos 200 NEWS GROUPS (hay miles de estos en todo el mundo).
Nota: Si te encuentras en otro país, debes cambiar tu moneda nacional a dólares, pues en todos los países cambian dólares y es más fácil para todos.
No existe ningún truco, la diferencia de este sistema y otros, es que usted tiene una lista de 6 en vez de 5, esto significa que su promedio de ganancia será aproximadamente ¡¡¡¡¡15 veces mayor!!!!!

Después de pensarlo una y otra vez y de consultarlo con unos amigos, decidí probarlo, pensé que lo único que podría perder era 6 estampillas y US$6 dólares y que lo tomaría como inversión verdad?
Como probablemente muchos de nosotros estaba un poco preocupado por la legalidad de todo esto. Entonces consulte al Correo Central y me confirmaron que en realidad era legal!!!!!!!. Me quedé asombrado

y de inmediato invertí mis US$6 dólares... IMAGINENSE QUE!!!!...
a los días después, empecé a recibir DINERO por correo!
Estaba feliz, como niño con juguete nuevo, y muy sorprendido!!!!
Y pensaba que esto se acabaría en pocos días más y me trate de
olvidar del tema, en todo caso ya había recuperado la inversión,
pero el dinero seguía llegando. En mi primera semana hice entre
US$20 y US$30 dólares. Para el final de la segunda semana había
hecho un total de US$1.000 (mil dólares)!!!!!! No lo PODÍA
CREER!; en la tercera semana $10.000 (diez mil) y todavía seguía
llegando más!; en mi cuarta semana tenía un total de $41.000.-
dólares y esto sigue llegando más rápidamente (en mi casa ,se la
pasan abriendo sobres y yo consiguiendo "NEWSGROUP". ESTO SE PUSO
SERIO.
Todo esto valió la pena, la inversión de US$6 dólares y 6
estampillas, dio sus frutos, y pensar que yo gastaba más de US$6
dólares semanales en sorteos y lotería, y no pasaba nada.

AHORA PERMÍTANME EXPLICARLES COMO FUNCIONA ESTO Y LO MAS
IMPORTANTE..... EL PORQUE FUNCIONA EXCELENTEMENTE
Usted asegúrese de imprimir este articulo AHORA, para sacar toda
la información a medida que lo solicite. El proceso es muy fácil y
consiste en 3 (tres) pasos sencillos:
PASO Nº.1.-
Obtenga 6 hojas de papel y escriba en cada una de
ellas "FAVOR DE INCLUIRME EN SU LISTA DE CORRESPONDENCIA O E-MAIL".
escribe también tu nombre, dirección y correo electrónico. Y pon
también en que POSICIÓN figuraba cada nombre de las personas cuando
enviaste tu DÓLAR
Ahora consiga 6 billetes de US$1 (un dólar) e introduzca cada dólar
en un sobre con la hoja, de manera de envolver el billete con la
hoja, con el fin de que el billete no se vea a través del sobre!!.
Es mejor que el papel sea de color oscuro para prevenir los robos de
correspondencia. Ahora usted debería tener 6 sobres sellados y en
ellos un papel con la frase mencionada, su nombre y dirección, y un
billete de US$1 (un dolar); lo que usted esta haciendo con esto es
crear un "servicio" y eso hace que esto sea ABSOLUTAMENTE LEGAL!!!
Enviar los 6 sobres a las siguientes direcciones:

#1.- Ronald Fuentes -
811 15th street, Union City , New Jersey
07087 USA

#2. - Carlos Ocampo -
222 Hawkins St.
Hollister CA, 95023 USA

#3 - Teodoro Ramos Silva
Oficina central de correo postal
Calles: Serdan y Rosales
Apartado postal # 01 - 318
Colonia centro.

Hermosillo Sonora, Mexico.
C.P. 83000

#4.- Sergio Arturo Tabarez Cataño -
Carrera 7B # 44AA - 49 Barrio Buenos Aires
MEDELLÍN Colombia

#5. - CARLOS MARIO ACEVEDO LASERNA
APARTADO AEREO 67899
MEDELLÍN, Antioquia
Colombia

#6.- MAURICIO BEDOYA PULGARIN
Calle 52A # 62-113
Bello - Antioquia - Colombia

PASO Nº 2.-
Ahora elimine el numero #1 de lista de arriba y mueva los otros nombres un numero para arriba (el #6 se convierte en el #5, y el # 5 se convierte en el #4, etc...) y agregue su NOMBRE Y SU DIRECCIÓN como el #6 de la lista. recuerde solo debe de borrar el numero uno de la lista.
Paso nº 3.-
Cambie todo lo que crea conveniente de este articulo, pero trate de mantenerlo lo más cercano posible al original. Ahora ponga su articulo en por lo menos 200 NEWSGROUP(existen más de 24.000.000 newsgroups), solo necesita 200, pero cuanto mas ponga , más dinero le llegará.
POR FAVOR LEA EL FINAL DE ESTA CARTA; LE VA A INTERESAR Y SE MOTIVARA AUN MAS.
Aquí van algunas indicaciones de cómo introducirse a los newsgroups:
COMO MANEJAR LOS "NEWSGROUPS":
Nº1.-
Usted no necesita redactar toda esta carta para hacer la suya propia. Solamente ponga su cursor al comienzo de esta carta, haga clic y lo deja presionado y bájelo hasta el final de la carta y larguelo.
Toda la carta deberá estar "sombreada". Entonces, apunte y haga clic en "edit" o "edición" arriba de su pantalla, aquí seleccione "copy" o "copiar". Esto hará que toda la carta quede en la memoria temporal de su computadora.
Nº2.-
Abra un archivo nuevo "notepad" o "word" y lleve el cursor arriba
de la pagina en blanco, Presione "edit" y del menú seleccione "paste" o "pegar". Ahora tendrá esta carta en su computadora y
podrá agregar su nombre en el lugar #6 de la lista siguiendo las instrucciones de más arriba.
Nº3.-

Grabe esta carta en su nuevo archivo del notepad como "txt
File" o "archivo de texto", y cada vez que quiera cambiar algo, lo
podra hacer sin problemas

PARA LOS QUE USAN INTERNET EXPLORER.
Nº4.-
Vaya a newsgroups y seleccione "post an article" o "escribir
nuevo mensaje/discusión"
Nº5.-
Cargue el articulo.
Nº6.-
Marque el contenido completo del txt file y copie usando
La misma técnica anterior .Regrese a newsgroup "TO NEWS" y usted
esta
creando y empastando esta carta dentro de su programa o "posting"
Nº7.-
Presione el botón "post"
PARA LOS QUE MANEJAN NETSCAPE.
Nº4.
Dentro del programa netscape, vaya a la ventana
titulada "Window" y seleccione "NetscapeNews". Entonces elija del
menú "Options", seleccione "Show all Newsgroups" En seguida
aparecerá una lista de todos los newsgroups de su server , haga clic
en cualquier nuewsgroup . De este newsgroup haga clic debajo de"TO
NEWS", el cual debería estar arriba, en el extremo izquierdo de la
pagina de newsgroup Esto le llevara a la caja de mensajes .-
Nº5.-
Llene este espacio . Este será el titulo que verán todos cuando
recorran por la lista de un grupo en particular.-
Nº6.-
Marque el contenido completo del txt file y copie usando
lamisca técnica anterior .Regrese a newsgroup "TO NEWS" y usted esta
creando y empastando esta carta dentro de su programa o "posting" .
Nº7.-
Presione "send" , que esta en la parte superior izquierda y
usted ha finalizado con su primer newsgroup.
.....CONGRATULACIONES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
ES TODO!!! Todo lo que tiene que hacer es meterse en diferentes
Newsgroups (grupos) y empastarlos; cuando ya tenga práctica , solo
le tomará unos 30 segundos por cada newsgroup
RECUERDE: MIENTRAS MAS NEWSGROUPS CONSIGA, MAS RESPUESTAS Y DINERO
RECIBIRÁ!!!!, PERO DEBE DE ENTRAR EN POR LO MENOS... 200
NEWSGROUPS...
YA ESTA!!!!!... Usted estará recibiendo dinero de todo el mundo, de
lugares que ni conoce y en unos pocos días!!!. Eventualmente querrá
rentar un P.O.BOX. por la cantidad de sobres que irá recibiendo!!!
(((ASEGURESE DE QUE TODAS LAS DIRECCIONES ESTÉN CORRECTAS)))
CON EL CODIGO POSTAL CORRECTO POR FAVOR.!!!!

Ahora el PORQUE de todo esto:
De 200 enviados, digamos que recibo solo 5 repuestas (bajísimo ejemplo). Entonces hice $5 Dólares con mi nombre en la posición #6,de esta carta. Ahora cada una de las personas que me enviaron los $1 dólares, también hacen un numero de 200 newsgroup de la lista y solo
responden 5 personas a cada uno de los 5 originales, esto hace $25 mas que yo recibo, ahora estas 25 personas ponen un mínimo de 200 newsgroups con mi nombre en el #4 lugar, y solo se reciben 5 repuestas de cada uno. Estaría haciendo otros $125 adicionales, ahora estas 125 personas ponen un mínimo de 200 grupos con mi nombre en el #3 lugar y solo recibe 5 respuestas cada una ,yo recibo un adicional de $625!!!!!!!!!, ok, Aquí esta la parte mas divertida, cada una de estas 625 personas ponen sus cartas con otros 200 grupos con mi nombre en el #2 lugar y cada una recibe solo 5 respuestas .Esto hace que yo reciba $3.125 dolares adicionales!!!!!!!!!!
Estas 3.125 personas enviaran este mensaje a un mínimo de 200 grupos, con mi nombre en el #1 lugar, y solo 5 personas responden de los 200 grupos ,esto hace que yo reciba $15.625 dólares!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
De una inversión de $6 .- dólares , no creen que es FABULOSO!!!!!!!!!!!!!????????????. Y como dije antes, que solo 5 personas respondan de 200 grupos,(el promedio real es de 20 a 30 personas)!!!!!!!!!!!!!! SI QUIEREN SAQUEN CÁLCULOS!!!!!!!!!
SI SOLO 15 PERSONAS RESPONDEN ..... ESTO HACE:
En la #6...=$15,00.-
En la #5....=$225,00.-
En la #4....=$3.375,00.-
En la #3.....=$50.625,00-
En la #2.....=$759.375,00.-
((((Ahora viene lo bueno, no se asusten.-
En la posición #1..... (((((($11.390.625,00))))) ... si, ONCE MILLONES DE DOLARES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Una vez que su nombre ya no este en la lista , saque el último anuncio del newsroup y envíe otros $6 dólares a los nombres en esa lista, poniendo su nombre en el lugar #6 y repetir todo el proceso, y empezar a ponerlos en los newsgroups otra vez, lo que debe recordar que miles de personas como usted y como yo en todo el mundo, se conectan a internet y leerán estos artículos, así que creo que nadie tendrá problemas para invertir $6 dolares, y verás si esto realmente funciona. Algunas personas llegan a pensar...." y si nadie me responde"..... QUÉ, cual es la chance de que esto pase, si hay miles y miles de personas honestas (que, como nosotros) buscan una manera de tener independencia financiera y pagar cuentas!!!!!!, y están dispuestas a tratar, pues " NO HAY PEOR LUCHA QUE, LA QUE NO SE HACE!", se estima que hay 20.000 a 50.000 nuevos usuarios TODOS LOS DIAS, (en todo el mundo). RECUERDE EN HACERLO DE MANERA, HONESTA, LIMPIA Y CORRECTAMENTE y funcionará con toda seguridad!!!
***Dicho de paso: si usted defrauda a las personas, poniendo

mensajes con su nombre y no envía ningún dinero a los demás en esas lista, usted recibirá casi NADA!!!!!
He conversado con personas que conocieron a personas que hicieron eso y solo llegaron a recolectar $150 y eso después de 7 semanas...
RECUERDEN , HÁGANLO EN FORMA HONESTA , LIMPIA Y CORRECTAMENTE, de manera de que ganen dinero y no malgasten el tiempo, si lo hacen bien, en una semana les aseguro que recibirán dinero.
DEBEN SEGUIR LAS REGLAS DEL NEGOCIO, O SINO ESTE SE VERÁ MUY AFECTADO POR PERSONAS INESCRUPULOSAS.
BENDICIONES PARA TODOS Y
SUERTE!!!!!

3299. Re: (OT) : What Is A "VOLE" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:04:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C03629-363@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84395

A Mole is what dxAce catches in that Mole Trap.Caddyshack 2.
cuhulin

3300. Re: APROVECHA ESTA OPORTUNIDAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:36:24 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153445784.066430.154910@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84386

Gracias No.

Me llama Esta Roberto No Dinero.

adios mi amigo

Bobby

3301. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:39:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <61c0c2tn8t28q8javj9krjak26tilcqtd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 84387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>How does a deaf person do that?

How does a blind person read the computer screen?

3302. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:39:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153445987.534517.169510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >How does a deaf person do that?
>
> How does a blind person read the computer screen?
he does not

which of course has nothing to do with the matter at hand somethat
would easy to sow were to have the slightest intelectual hoestly but no
you hacked evverything away

3303. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:42:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JhWvg.70787$fb2.35476@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> How does a deaf person do that?
>
> How does a blind person read the computer screen?

I'm not yet blind but I, like my father, am going deaf.
I couldn't hear a CW signal without a BFO if my life
depended upon it. I can't hear most of the CW signals
even with a BFO.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3304. Re: APROVECHA ESTA OPORTUNIDAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:44:41 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153446281.430835.208480@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84390

Nombre es Robert - Roberto.
No tener pesos.

Adios,
NEO

N9NEO wrote:
> Gracias No.
>
> Me llama Esta Roberto No Dinero.
>
> adios mi amigo
>
> Bobby

3305. Re: APROVECHA ESTA OPORTUNIDAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:44:41 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153446281.727510.186760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84391

Nombre es Robert - Roberto.
No tener pesos.

Adios,
NEO

N9NEO wrote:
> Gracias No.
>
> Me llama Esta Roberto No Dinero.
>
> adios mi amigo
>
> Bobby

3306. Re: APROVECHA ESTA OPORTUNIDAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:47:47 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <4cmdnSBBn5y6r13ZnZ2dnUVZ_uidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84392

Incluso en espaï¿½ol esto es ilegal y estï¿½pido. !!!!! del NO. Del Infierno

Mao wrote:

> Ahora es tu oportunidad; Mucho dinero con una inversion minima.
> POR FAVOR LEA TAMBIEN EL FINAL DE ESTA CARTA; LE VA A INTERESAR Y SE
> MOTIVARA AUN MAS.
> Hola amigos:
> A continuaciï¿½n les contare como sucediï¿½ todo esto:
> Este aviso realmente me llamo la atenciï¿½n, ademiï¿½s de llegar
> oportunamente, y luego de pensarlo muy bien, decidiï¿½ probar, solo
> necesitaba US$6.00(6 dï¿½lares), y pensiï¿½ " no es nada, comparando
> con lo que se puede ganar ", y pude comprobar que de verdad
> funciona, no se como explicarles, pero la vida me cambio bastante;
> el sistema es muy fiï¿½cil y funciona(totalmente comprobado), MIENTRAS
> MAS GENTE SE UNA... MEJOR y mas probabilidades tienes de ganar:
> Este es el mensaje original y el sistema que me cambio mi manera de
> pensar y por el cual, ahora soy otra persona y que encontriï¿½ en las
> NEWS, en el que entrega todas las instrucciones.
> Dice asiï¿½:
> MENSAJE ORIGINAL.
> Esto es lo que le paso a un amigo, dï¿½as atriï¿½s, mientras navegaba
> por estas paginas de noticias, asiï¿½ mismo como usted lo esta haciendo
> ahora, se me apareciï¿½ un articulo similar a este que deciï¿½a:
> "Usted puede ganar miles de dï¿½lares en pocas semanas con una
> inversiï¿½n de US$6 dï¿½lares (seis dï¿½lares)", Enseguida pensiï¿½: "iï¿½oh,
> no, otra estafa miï¿½s ?", pero como la mayoriï¿½a de nosotros, la
> curiosidad pudo miï¿½s ,y seguiï¿½ leyendo, y seguiï¿½a diciendo:"
> Usted enviariï¿½ US$1.-(un dï¿½lar) a cada uno de los 6 nombres y
> direcciones mencionados en este articulo , entonces
> usted anote su nombre y direcciï¿½n al final de la
> lista reemplazando al numero #6 , y enviï¿½e o ponga este articulo a
> por lo menos 200 NEWS GROUPS (hay miles de estos en todo el
> mundo).
> Nota: Si te encuentras en otro paï¿½s, debes cambiar tu moneda
> nacional a dï¿½lares, pues en todos los paï¿½ses cambian dï¿½lares y es
> miï¿½s fiï¿½cil para todos.
> No existe ningiï¿½n truco, la diferencia de este sistema y otros, es
> que usted tiene una lista de 6 en vez de 5, esto significa que su
> promedio de ganancia seriï¿½ aproximadamente iï¿½iï¿½iï¿½iï¿½15 veces
> mayor!!!!!
>
> Despuiï¿½s de pensarlo una y otra vez y de consultarlo con unos
> amigos, decidiï¿½ probarlo, pensiï¿½ que lo iï¿½nico que podriï¿½a perder era 6
> estampillas y US$6 dï¿½lares y que lo tomariï¿½a como inversiï¿½n verdad?

> Como probablemente muchos de nosotros estaba un poco preocupado por
> la legalidad de todo esto. Entonces consulte al Correo Central y
> me confirmaron que en realidad era legal!!!!!!!. Me quedï¿½ asombrado
> y de inmediato invertï¿½ mis US$6 dï¿½lares... IMAGINENSE QUE!!!!...
> a los dï¿½as despuï¿½s, empecï¿½ a recibir DINERO por correo!
> Estaba feliz, como niï¿½o con juguete nuevo, y muy sorprendido!!!!
> Y pensaba que esto se acabarï¿½a en pocos dï¿½as mï¿½s y me trate de
> olvidar del tema, en todo caso ya habï¿½a recuperado la inversiï¿½n,
> pero el dinero seguï¿½a llegando. En mi primera semana hice entre
> US$20 y US$30 dï¿½lares. Para el final de la segunda semana habï¿½a
> hecho un total de US$1.000 (mil dï¿½lares)!!!!!! No lo PODï¿½A
> CREER!; en la tercera semana $10.000 (diez mil) y todavï¿½a seguï¿½a
> llegando mï¿½s!; en mi cuarta semana tenï¿½a un total de $41.000.-
> dï¿½lares y esto sigue llegando mï¿½s rï¿½pidamente (en mi casa ,se la
> pasan abriendo sobres y yo consiguiendo "NEWSGROUP". ESTO SE PUSO
> SERIO.
> Todo esto valiï¿½ la pena, la inversiï¿½n de US$6 dï¿½lares y 6
> estampillas, dio sus frutos, y pensar que yo gastaba mï¿½s de US$6
> dï¿½lares semanales en sorteos y loterï¿½a, y no pasaba nada.
>
> AHORA PERMï¿½TANME EXPLICARLES COMO FUNCIONA ESTO Y LO MAS
> IMPORTANTE..... EL PORQUE FUNCIONA EXCELENTEMENTE
> Usted asegï¿½rese de imprimir este articulo AHORA, para sacar toda
> la informaciï¿½n a medida que lo solicite. El proceso es muy fï¿½cil y
> consiste en 3 (tres) pasos sencillos:
> PASO Nï¿½.1.-
> Obtenga 6 hojas de papel y escriba en cada una de
> ellas "FAVOR DE INCLUIRME EN SU LISTA DE CORRESPONDENCIA O E-MAIL".
> escribe tambiï¿½n tu nombre, direcciï¿½n y correo electrï¿½nico. Y pon
> tambiï¿½n en que POSICIï¿½N figuraba cada nombre de las personas cuando
> enviaste tu Dï¿½LAR
> Ahora consiga 6 billetes de US$1 (un dï¿½lar) e introduzca cada dï¿½lar
> en un sobre con la hoja, de manera de envolver el billete con la
> hoja, con el fin de que el billete no se vea a travï¿½s del sobre!!.
> Es mejor que el papel sea de color oscuro para prevenir los robos de
> correspondencia. Ahora usted deberï¿½a tener 6 sobres sellados y en
> ellos un papel con la frase mencionada, su nombre y direcciï¿½n, y un
> billete de US$1 (un dolar); lo que usted esta haciendo con esto es
> crear un "servicio" y eso hace que esto sea ABSOLUTAMENTE LEGAL!!!
> Enviar los 6 sobres a las siguientes direcciones:
>
> #1.- Ronald Fuentes -
> 811 15th street, Union City , New Jersey
> 07087 USA
>
> #2. - Carlos Ocampo -
> 222 Hawkins St.
> Hollister CA, 95023 USA
>
> #3 - Teodoro Ramos Silva

> Oficina central de correo postal
> Calles: Serdan y Rosales
> Apartado postal # 01 - 318
> Colonia centro.
> Hermosillo Sonora, Mexico.
> C.P. 83000
>
> #4.- Sergio Arturo Tabarez Cataï¿½o -
> Carrera 7B # 44AA - 49 Barrio Buenos Aires
> MEDELLï¿½N Colombia
>
> #5. - CARLOS MARIO ACEVEDO LASERNA
> APARTADO AEREO 67899
> MEDELLï¿½N, Antioquia
> Colombia
>
> #6.- MAURICIO BEDOYA PULGARIN
> Calle 52A # 62-113
> Bello - Antioquia - Colombia
>
> PASO Nï¿½ 2.-
> Ahora elimine el numero #1 de lista de arriba y mueva los
> otros nombres un numero para arriba (el #6 se convierte en el #5, y
> el # 5 se convierte en el #4, etc...) y agregue su NOMBRE Y SU
> DIRECCIï¿½N como el #6 de la lista. recuerde solo debe de borrar el
> numero uno de la lista.
> Paso nï¿½ 3.-
> Cambie todo lo que crea conveniente de este articulo,
> pero trate de mantenerlo lo mï¿½s cercano posible al original. Ahora
> ponga su articulo en por lo menos 200 NEWSGROUP(existen mï¿½s de
> 24.000.000 newsgroups), solo necesita 200, pero cuanto mas ponga ,
> mï¿½s dinero le llegarï¿½.
> POR FAVOR LEA EL FINAL DE ESTA CARTA; LE VA A INTERESAR Y SE MOTIVARA
> AUN MAS.
> Aquï¿½ van algunas indicaciones de cï¿½mo introducirse a los
> newsgroups:
> COMO MANEJAR LOS "NEWSGROUPS":
> Nï¿½1.-
> Usted no necesita redactar toda esta carta para hacer la suya
> propia. Solamente ponga su cursor al comienzo de esta carta, haga
> clic y lo deja presionado y bï¿½jelo hasta el final de la carta y
> larguelo.
> Toda la carta deberï¿½ estar "sombreada". Entonces, apunte y haga
> clic en "edit" o "edicii¿½n" arriba de su pantalla, aquï¿½
> seleccione "copy" o "copiar". Esto harï¿½ que toda la carta quede en
> la memoria temporal de su computadora.
> Nï¿½2.-
> Abra un archivo nuevo "notepad" o "word" y lleve el cursor
> arriba
> de la pagina en blanco, Presione "edit" y del menï¿½

> seleccione "paste" o "pegar". Ahora tendrï¿½ esta carta en su
> computadora y
> podrï¿½ agregar su nombre en el lugar #6 de la lista siguiendo las
> instrucciones de mï¿½s arriba.
> Nï¿½3.-
> Grabe esta carta en su nuevo archivo del notepad como "txt
> File" o "archivo de texto", y cada vez que quiera cambiar algo, lo
> podra hacer sin problemas
> ----------
> ----------
> PARA LOS QUE USAN INTERNET EXPLORER.
> Nï¿½4.-
> Vaya a newsgroups y seleccione "post an article" o "escribir
> nuevo mensaje/discusiï¿½n"
> Nï¿½5.-
> Cargue el articulo.
> Nï¿½6.-
> Marque el contenido completo del txt file y copie usando
> La misma tï¿½cnica anterior .Regrese a newsgroup "TO NEWS" y usted
> esta
> creando y empastando esta carta dentro de su programa o "posting"
> Nï¿½7.-
> Presione el botï¿½n "post"
> PARA LOS QUE MANEJAN NETSCAPE.
> Nï¿½4.
> Dentro del programa netscape, vaya a la ventana
> titulada "Window" y seleccione "NetscapeNews". Entonces elija del
> menï¿½ "Options", seleccione "Show all Newsgroups" En seguida
> aparecerï¿½ una lista de todos los newsgroups de su server , haga clic
> en cualquier nuewsgroup . De este newsgroup haga clic debajo de"TO
> NEWS", el cual deberï¿½a estar arriba, en el extremo izquierdo de la
> pagina de newsgroup Esto le llevara a la caja de mensajes .-
> Nï¿½5.-
> Llene este espacio . Este serï¿½ el titulo que verï¿½n todos cuando
> recorran por la lista de un grupo en particular.-
> Nï¿½6.-
> Marque el contenido completo del txt file y copie usando
> lamisca tï¿½cnica anterior .Regrese a newsgroup "TO NEWS" y usted esta
> creando y empastando esta carta dentro de su programa o "posting" .
> Nï¿½7.-
> Presione "send" , que esta en la parte superior izquierda y
> usted ha finalizado con su primer newsgroup.
> .....CONGRATULACIONES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ES TODO!!! Todo lo que tiene que hacer es meterse en diferentes
> Newsgroups (grupos) y empastarlos; cuando ya tenga prï¿½ctica , solo
> le tomarï¿½ unos 30 segundos por cada newsgroup
> RECUERDE: MIENTRAS MAS NEWSGROUPS CONSIGA, MAS RESPUESTAS Y DINERO
> RECIBIRï¿½!!!!, PERO DEBE DE ENTRAR EN POR LO MENOS... 200
> NEWSGROUPS...
> YA ESTA!!!!!... Usted estarï¿½ recibiendo dinero de todo el mundo, de

> lugares que ni conoce y en unos pocos dï¿½as!!!. Eventualmente querrï¿½
> rentar un P.O.BOX. por la cantidad de sobres que irï¿½ recibiendo!!!
> (((ASEGURESE DE QUE TODAS LAS DIRECCIONES ESTï¿½N CORRECTAS)))
> CON EL CODIGO POSTAL CORRECTO POR FAVOR.!!!!
>
> Ahora el PORQUE de todo esto:
> De 200 enviados, digamos que recibo solo 5 repuestas (bajï¿½simo
> ejemplo). Entonces hice $5 Dï¿½lares con mi nombre en la posiciï¿½n
> #6,de esta carta. Ahora cada una de las personas que me enviaron los
> $1 dï¿½lares, tambiï¿½n hacen un numero de 200 newsgroup de la lista y
> solo
> responden 5 personas a cada uno de los 5 originales, esto hace $25
> mas que yo recibo, ahora estas 25 personas ponen un mï¿½nimo de 200
> newsgroups con mi nombre en el #4 lugar, y solo se reciben 5
> repuestas de cada uno. Estarï¿½a haciendo otros $125 adicionales, ahora
> estas 125 personas ponen un mï¿½nimo de 200 grupos con mi nombre
> en el #3 lugar y solo recibe 5 respuestas cada una ,yo recibo un
> adicional de $625!!!!!!!!!, ok, Aquï¿½ esta la parte mas divertida,
> cada una de estas 625 personas ponen sus cartas con otros 200 grupos
> con mi nombre en el #2 lugar y cada una recibe solo 5
> respuestas .Esto hace que yo reciba $3.125 dolares
> adicionales!!!!!!!!!!
> Estas 3.125 personas enviaran este mensaje a un mï¿½nimo de 200
> grupos, con mi nombre en el #1 lugar, y solo 5 personas responden de
> los 200 grupos ,esto hace que yo reciba $15.625
> dï¿½lares!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> De una inversiï¿½n de $6 .- dï¿½lares , no creen que es
> FABULOSO!!!!!!!!!!!!!?????????????. Y como dije antes,
> que solo 5 personas respondan de 200 grupos,(el promedio real es de
> 20 a 30 personas)!!!!!!!!!!!!!! SI QUIEREN SAQUEN Cï¿½LCULOS!!!!!!!!!
> SI SOLO 15 PERSONAS RESPONDEN ..... ESTO HACE:
> En la #6...=$15,00.-
> En la #5....=$225,00.-
> En la #4....=$3.375,00.-
> En la #3.....=$50.625,00-
> En la #2.....=$759.375,00.-
> ((((Ahora viene lo bueno, no se asusten.-
> En la posiciï¿½n #1..... (((((($11.390.625,00))))) ... si,
> ONCE MILLONES DE DOLARES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Una vez que su nombre ya no este en la lista , saque el ï¿½ltimo
> anuncio del newsroup y envï¿½e otros $6 dï¿½lares a los nombres en esa
> lista, poniendo su nombre en el lugar #6 y repetir todo el proceso,
> y empezar a ponerlos en los newsgroups otra vez, lo que debe
> recordar que miles de personas como usted y como yo en todo el
> mundo, se conectan a internet y leerï¿½n estos artï¿½culos, asï¿½ que
> creo que nadie tendrï¿½ problemas para invertir $6 dolares, y
> verï¿½s si esto realmente funciona. Algunas personas llegan a
> pensar...." y si nadie me responde"..... QUï¿½, cual es la chance de
> que esto pase, si hay miles y miles de personas honestas (que, como
> nosotros) buscan una manera de tener independencia financiera y

> pagar cuentas!!!!!!, y estï¿½n dispuestas a tratar, pues " NO HAY PEOR
> LUCHA QUE, LA QUE NO SE HACE!", se estima que hay 20.000 a 50.000
> nuevos usuarios TODOS LOS DIAS, (en todo el mundo). RECUERDE EN
> HACERLO DE MANERA, HONESTA, LIMPIA Y CORRECTAMENTE y funcionarï¿½
> con toda seguridad!!!
> ***Dicho de paso: si usted defrauda a las personas, poniendo
> mensajes con su nombre y no envï¿½a ningï¿½n dinero a los demï¿½s en esas
> lista, usted recibirï¿½ casi NADA!!!!!
> He conversado con personas que conocieron a personas que hicieron
> eso y solo llegaron a recolectar $150 y eso despuï¿½s de 7
> semanas...
> RECUERDEN , Hï¿½GANLO EN FORMA HONESTA , LIMPIA Y CORRECTAMENTE, de
> manera de que ganen dinero y no malgasten el tiempo, si lo hacen
> bien, en una semana les aseguro que recibirï¿½n dinero.
> DEBEN SEGUIR LAS REGLAS DEL NEGOCIO, O SINO ESTE SE VERï¿½ MUY
> AFECTADO POR PERSONAS INESCRUPULOSAS.
> BENDICIONES PARA TODOS Y
> SUERTE!!!!!!

--
"No hay manera de gobernar a hombres inocentes. La ï¿½nica energï¿½a que cualquier gobierno tiene es la energï¿½a de agrietarse abajo en criminales. Bien, cuando no hay bastantes criminales, marcas una ellos. Uno declara tan muchas cosas para ser un crimen que llega a ser imposible vivir sin leyes que se rompen."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

3307. Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 01:59:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153447197.386440.101380@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB

Todd N9OGL
FREE RADIO AMERICA

3308. Re: FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 02:08:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tnd0c2hjgvqg8jb3sere5hgd9cmlakijqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 84394

On 20 Jul 2006 17:19:40 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

A big problem with AM HD is that it makes the analog sound bad.

3309. For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 02:19:59 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84396

Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other improvement modifications that you know of?

Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.

Frank
K3YAZ
Tucson

3310. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 02:23:40 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <MUWvg.30770$uy3.26803@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84397

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153428215.966501.243430@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
>> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
>> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...

<snipola>

>
> Even the old GSM was 9600bps on the codec.
>
> What you do to evaluate codecs is compress, decompress then convert to
> PCM. Put the audio on a CD with the original pure PCM and the PCM that
> represents the codec audio. Play this CD on your stereo, not a freaking
> computer. Even high bit rate MP3 can be detected.
>
> The problem with all these digital radios is they push compression to
> the max, i.e. to a point where it is inferior to analog.

That is the impression I am getting. Sounds like the digital
radio people have pushed effective source bandwidth
beyond what is practical for the communications channel
and the audience.
But even if they chose a less ambitious compromise there are
aspects of digital that SWLs aren't going to be happy with.
Analog degrades somewhat gracefully with declining SNR.
Digital has a cliff effect. With digital you can get perfect
reception of the designed quality over a wide range of SNR
but if the SNR dips below a certain threshold you get
nasty noise. With analog you might be able to make out
the call letters of some exotic distant station with a 0 dB
SNR but with digital you'll get just noise unless you have
a significantly higher SNR. Check out the Shannon-Hartley
theorem. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shannon_capacity

> While people
> bitched and moaned about the sample rate on CDs being too low, CD audio
> is superior to the alternatives.
>

--
rb

3311. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 02:25:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C03AFF.938A9325@milestones.com>
Source record: 84498

David Eduardo, talking out of his ass faster than he can run wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44BFBB05.A5A4EFC4@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000 wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44BFA509.C9B4E2AD@milestones.com...
> >> > Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such
> >> > as
> >> > Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >>
> >> They are updating the hardware.
> >
> > New and better QRM, oh fake one?
>
> Hopefully the will tune one of the guy wires so it radiates more towards
> Holland.

"the will tune", 'tard boy? Hopefully they'll tune the guy wires faster than
those who have been dispatched to tune you up.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3312. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:16:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153451760.846302.48100@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
>
> Todd N9OGL
> FREE RADIO AMERICA
todd I realy think that this doesn't belong in the ham groups

3313. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:20:45 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <hKXvg.24877$Z67.14062@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84500

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-5D328F.15223220072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <7qQvg.24846$Z67.12433@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
>> message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
>> .com...
>> > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>, "Ron
>> > Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I
>> >> > accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want
>> >> > digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this
>> >> > yet."
>> >> >
>> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To
>> >> > wit:
>> >> >
>> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER
>> >> > be more accurate than an analog one for any given
>> >> > bandwidth/frequency response. The best you can do is get a
>> >> > reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
>> >>
>> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
>> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
>> >> digital or analog.
>> >>
>> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling
>> >> > rate. It would be physically impossible for a digital
>> >> > reproduction system to perfectly reproduce a complex analog
>> >> > signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and smaller square
>> >> > waves.
>> >>
>> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly
>> >> reproduce a complex analog signal.
>> >
>> > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.
>> >
>> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
>> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog

>> >> system. Do you own a CD player?
>> >
>> > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench
>> > that came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The
>> > object was to tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same
>> > shape. In order to be successful one had to tap those pegs into the
>> > hole designed to accept it until all pegs were in the similar their
>> > same shaped hole.
>> >
>> > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you
>> > could force any shape peg into any shape hole.
>> >
>> >> > AND
>> >> >
>> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a
>> >> > 7 KHz
>> >>
>> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with
>> >> a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are
>> >> correct. But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
>> >> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
>> >
>> > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
>> > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents
>> > the audio information compared to a digital quantified
>> > representation of the same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
>>
>> Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?
>
> Yes, but you put words in the mouth of the pervious poster.

No.

>
>> SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
>> quality with a -90 dB SNR?
>
> Sure, I have several analog radios with > 100 dB of dynamic range.
> They
> are ball park around 1 uV sensitivity like most SW radios. With a good
> signal

"good signal" *is* good SNR.

> I can turn up the volume as loud as I care to and not hear
> background noise for stations from around the world, which is an
> example of real use of signal to noise instead of referring to a
> number.
>
> And heck add sync detection a good signal sounds like a local
> broadcaster. This is important to me because I am program listener.

>
>> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
>> >> > logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response,
>> >> > dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital
>> >> > codec to represent an analog signal. Even
>> >>
>> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
>> >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
>> >> superior.
>> >
>> > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).
>>
>> Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they use digital
>> coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format like used to be
>> done on movie films? Why do cable TV companies charge more for
>> digital TV? Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?
>
> There are lots of reasons to convert information to digital.
>
> 1. Processing. Once converted to digital you can process the
> information with algorithms using software on general purpose computers
> instead of building analog hardware circuits for each function and
> digital circuitry can perform that function with greater precision.

So are you not shaking your head side to side anymore?
Are you no longer saying "No, no way, nope." (to
my "Digital is often superior.")?

<snip>

--
rb

3314. Re: FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:22:00 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153452120.142795.80140@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84501

David - AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality within the 'local'
Metro Broadcast Area may in-fact sound better then the
old Analog AM Radio Signal -or- it may not.
- - - Deteriorating AM Analog Radio Signals will most
likely motivate many AM Radio Listeners to go out and
BUY a New "HD" AM/FM Radio. And after that everything
will be Simply Wonderful ! :o)

But - FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !
for the Broadcasting Industry; from a Technical Point-of-View;
and most of all to the FM Radio Listening "Public".

1 - FM "HD" {IBOC} Radio - Yes !

2 - AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio - Just a May Be ?

and that's the way i see it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 20 Jul 2006 17:19:40 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> A big problem with AM HD is that it makes the analog sound bad.

3315. Re: New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:29:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153452578.237545.172490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84502

Steve wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > For One and All,
> >
> > Here is a New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM {Medium Wave} Active Antenna
> > -by- PaulC [W1VLF]
> > * Four Detailed Photos
> > * 32" Stainless Steel Whip Antenna
> > * Overall Length less than 39"
> > * Coverage from 10 kHz to 2 MHz
> > [The Entire AM Medium Wave BCB]
> > * With 'reduced' Coverage up to 30 MHz
> >
> > NOTE - Other RRS Messages Posted about W1VLF Antenna Designs
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/search?q=W1VLF&start=0&scoring=d&
> >
> > W1VLF -writes- {WoOps wITH My Re-eDiTing hErE ~ rhf ;-}
> >
> > Hello All - You are looking at a W1VLF Active Antenna.
> >
> > This is a E-Probe type Active Antenna as opposed to the
> > Loop type Antennas that some of you folks are used to
> > seeing in my eBay Auctions.
> >
> > The Antenna actually covers from 10Khz up to 30 Mhz
> > but the response rolls off a little at the top of its Range,
> > so I am selling this as a VLF LF MF Antenna Only.
> >
> > Designed to be 'remotely' Powered this is the ideal Antenna
> > to put "out to pasture".
> >
> > In other words "Locate" this Antenna as Far Away from
> > Noise Sources, Power Lines etc.as possible. It's small
> > size allows you to move the Antenna looking for the
> > quietest location. This is not a good Antenna for use
> > inside the house.
> >
> > I use one of these with 200' of RG58 for general listening
> > on my Harris RF -590 and HP selective level meter.
> >
> >
> > Any Questions and Comments ?
> > - - - Send them to PaulC (AT) SNet [Dot] Net
> >

> > Thank You ~ W1VLF
> >
> >
> > - - - - - - - - - - What I See - What It Is - - - - - - - - - -
> >
> > OK - Appears to be very much 'standard' E-Probe {Whip}
> > Active Antenna construction.
> > * Out-Side - PVC Active Antenna Housing with Whip Antenna
> > coming out the top.
> > * Assume that the Coax Cable connector on the bottom
> > is BNC since the Power Invector uses BNC Connectors.
> > * In-Door - Power Injector with BNC Connectors that
> > requires 12VDC source.
> > * You supply your own Coax Cable {Up to 200 Feet}
> >
> > QUESTION - Could this be the 'new' Active Antenna in the
> > W1VLF "Skunk Works" {under Design and Development}
> > that PaulC wrote about ? ? ?
> >
> > Oops - Almost Forgot ! - Here is the {Missing} Link for the
> > eBay Auction Listing # 300008650914 to the W1VLF :
> > New "E-Probe" Design VLF LF AM Active Antenna
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/_W0QQitemZ300008650914
> >
> >
> > ABOUT - W1VLF = eBay Seller : vanagon
> > http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZvanagon
> > -aka- Rescue Electronic Surplus
> > http://stores.ebay.com/RESCUE-ELECTRONIC-SURPLUS
> > Look-Up W1VLF => http://www.qrz.com/
> >
> > NOTICE - BE ADVISED :
> > This is NOT my Auction and I am NOT Associated with the eBay Seller.
> > .
> > PLEASE NOTE - FWIW :
> > That this Message is being Posted for Informational Purposes Only.
> > - - - It was simply an Interesting Antenna Design - - -
> > .
> > Know your Seller and something about what they are Selling.
> > As Always - Buyer Beware - Life is a Gamble and so is eBay.
> > .
> > .
> > probing the air waves with antennas up - iane ~ RHF
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> > SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > .
> > The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> > SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm

> > May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> > have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> > .
> > |
> > |
> > |
> > / \
> > -------!-------
>
> Interesting. Thanks for the info. I've never really gotten into LF or
> VLF, but that's primarily because I've never lived in a place that's
> quiet enough. Noise is such a huge factor when you tune "down there."
>
> Steve

Steve - That is true about Listening to LF and VLF Signals.
The Noise and The Signal -or- Is The Noise... The Signal ?

Someone may be interested in this New "E-Probe" Design
-by- W1VLF for it's application as an AM {Medium Wave}
Active Antenna.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

3316. Re: Liberal's Whine - Voice of America Sucks ! {Wishing It Were Air America Radio}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:34:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153452857.463477.200490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84503

David wrote:
> On 20 Jul 2006 00:58:15 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Adam,
> >
> >The Voice of America (VOA) is NOT Air America Radio
> >and hopefully never will be . . .
> >
> >First - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
> >What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
> >http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA
> >
> >When I need a reminder how whinny and out of touch Liberals
> >can be : I tune-in to Air America Radio for about Five Minutes
> >and get a dose of their 'sick-sad-reality' of the world - all to often
> >liberally tainted with hate-america and blame-americans.
> >http://www.airamerica.com/
> >http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Air_America_Radio
> >

- What the Hell are you babbling about now?
-
- Maybe you should listen longer than 5 minutes.
- I suggest the 3A zulu Hour of Mike Malloy.

DaviD - See you do understand
After 5 Minutes of Air America
- - - Its All Liberal-Psycho-Babble ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3317. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:46:22 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-E3E9E1.20462120072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84504

In article <hKXvg.24877$Z67.14062@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
"Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5D328F.15223220072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <7qQvg.24846$Z67.12433@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> > "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> >> .com...
> >> > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>, "Ron
> >> > Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I
> >> >> > accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want
> >> >> > digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this
> >> >> > yet."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To
> >> >> > wit:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER
> >> >> > be more accurate than an analog one for any given
> >> >> > bandwidth/frequency response. The best you can do is get a
> >> >> > reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> >> >>
> >> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> >> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> >> >> digital or analog.
> >> >>
> >> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling
> >> >> > rate. It would be physically impossible for a digital
> >> >> > reproduction system to perfectly reproduce a complex analog
> >> >> > signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and smaller square
> >> >> > waves.
> >> >>
> >> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly
> >> >> reproduce a complex analog signal.
> >> >
> >> > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.

> >> >
> >> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> >> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog
> >> >> system. Do you own a CD player?
> >> >
> >> > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench
> >> > that came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The
> >> > object was to tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same
> >> > shape. In order to be successful one had to tap those pegs into the
> >> > hole designed to accept it until all pegs were in the similar their
> >> > same shaped hole.
> >> >
> >> > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you
> >> > could force any shape peg into any shape hole.
> >> >
> >> >> > AND
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a
> >> >> > 7 KHz
> >> >>
> >> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with
> >> >> a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are
> >> >> correct. But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
> >> >> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
> >> >
> >> > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> >> > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents
> >> > the audio information compared to a digital quantified
> >> > representation of the same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
> >>
> >> Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?
> >
> > Yes, but you put words in the mouth of the pervious poster.
>
> No.
>
> >
> >> SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
> >> quality with a -90 dB SNR?
> >
> > Sure, I have several analog radios with > 100 dB of dynamic range.
> > They
> > are ball park around 1 uV sensitivity like most SW radios. With a good
> > signal
>
> "good signal" *is* good SNR.
>
> > I can turn up the volume as loud as I care to and not hear
> > background noise for stations from around the world, which is an
> > example of real use of signal to noise instead of referring to a

> > number.
> >
> > And heck add sync detection a good signal sounds like a local
> > broadcaster. This is important to me because I am program listener.
> >
> >> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> >> >> > logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response,
> >> >> > dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital
> >> >> > codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> >> >>
> >> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> >> >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> >> >> superior.
> >> >
> >> > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).
> >>
> >> Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they use digital
> >> coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format like used to be
> >> done on movie films? Why do cable TV companies charge more for
> >> digital TV? Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?
> >
> > There are lots of reasons to convert information to digital.
> >
> > 1. Processing. Once converted to digital you can process the
> > information with algorithms using software on general purpose computers
> > instead of building analog hardware circuits for each function and
> > digital circuitry can perform that function with greater precision.
>
> So are you not shaking your head side to side anymore?
> Are you no longer saying "No, no way, nope." (to
> my "Digital is often superior.")?

Now you are sounding like a goofball.

If you comprehended what I wrote you would know the answer but you are
obviously not interested. Why am I not surprised.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3318. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 03:52:27 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A8D178.20522620072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84505

In article <1153441974.569908.221640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Steve wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake
> > > Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:
> > >
> > > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > > >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Bigot?
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to
> > > > >> > call me a bigot.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar
> > > > >> Mexican. I am not that.
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza, - which
> > > > > uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> > > > >
> > > > > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
> > > >
> > > > It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer
> > > > and philosopher who said that a cosmic race ("raza cï¿½smica")
> > > > would emerge, in which there were no color lines and all were
> > > > members of one race.. the human race.
> > >
> > > Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was
> > > unimportant?
> > >
> > >
> > > "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso
> > > means: breed (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in
> > > commonality of a group), people (as in "we the people") and
> > > even a crack in a piece of marble. Today, among Mexican

> > > > immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
> > >
> > > Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted
> > > organization. Do a little research.
> > >
> > > Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as
> > > yourself to join.
> > >
> > > Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake
> > > Hispanic (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?
> > >
> > > dxAce Michigan USA
> >
> > It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> > alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My
> > guess is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or
> > selling. Maybe both...who knows?
> >
> > Steve
>
> For "DE" posting here or anywhere allows him to refine his skills and
> arguments and exposes him to 'other' view points that he may not see
> in a professional setting. { Doing Research In-the-Rough }
>
> Will It Play in Peoria ? -and- Can It Become a Hit On Broadway ? [
> Broad Appeal -vice- Critical Acclaim]
>
> and that's the way i see it ~ RHF

Well it's not working.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3319. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 04:00:15 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-8DF4A2.21001420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84506

In article <hKXvg.24877$Z67.14062@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
"Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5D328F.15223220072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <7qQvg.24846$Z67.12433@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> > "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> >> message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> >> .com...
> >> > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>, "Ron
> >> > Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I
> >> >> > accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want
> >> >> > digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this
> >> >> > yet."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To
> >> >> > wit:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER
> >> >> > be more accurate than an analog one for any given
> >> >> > bandwidth/frequency response. The best you can do is get a
> >> >> > reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
> >> >>
> >> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> >> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> >> >> digital or analog.
> >> >>
> >> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling
> >> >> > rate. It would be physically impossible for a digital
> >> >> > reproduction system to perfectly reproduce a complex analog
> >> >> > signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and smaller square
> >> >> > waves.
> >> >>
> >> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly
> >> >> reproduce a complex analog signal.
> >> >
> >> > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.

> >> >
> >> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> >> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog
> >> >> system. Do you own a CD player?
> >> >
> >> > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench
> >> > that came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The
> >> > object was to tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same
> >> > shape. In order to be successful one had to tap those pegs into the
> >> > hole designed to accept it until all pegs were in the similar their
> >> > same shaped hole.
> >> >
> >> > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you
> >> > could force any shape peg into any shape hole.
> >> >
> >> >> > AND
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a
> >> >> > 7 KHz
> >> >>
> >> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with
> >> >> a 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are
> >> >> correct. But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable
> >> >> SNR. That is easy to beat digitally.
> >> >
> >> > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> >> > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents
> >> > the audio information compared to a digital quantified
> >> > representation of the same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
> >>
> >> Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?
> >
> > Yes, but you put words in the mouth of the pervious poster.
>
> No.
>
> >
> >> SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
> >> quality with a -90 dB SNR?
> >
> > Sure, I have several analog radios with > 100 dB of dynamic range.
> > They
> > are ball park around 1 uV sensitivity like most SW radios. With a good
> > signal
>
> "good signal" *is* good SNR.
>
> > I can turn up the volume as loud as I care to and not hear
> > background noise for stations from around the world, which is an
> > example of real use of signal to noise instead of referring to a

> > number.
> >
> > And heck add sync detection a good signal sounds like a local
> > broadcaster. This is important to me because I am program listener.
> >
> >> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> >> >> > logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response,
> >> >> > dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital
> >> >> > codec to represent an analog signal. Even
> >> >>
> >> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> >> >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> >> >> superior.
> >> >
> >> > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).
> >>
> >> Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they use digital
> >> coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format like used to be
> >> done on movie films? Why do cable TV companies charge more for
> >> digital TV? Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?
> >
> > There are lots of reasons to convert information to digital.
> >
> > 1. Processing. Once converted to digital you can process the
> > information with algorithms using software on general purpose computers
> > instead of building analog hardware circuits for each function and
> > digital circuitry can perform that function with greater precision.
>
> So are you not shaking your head side to side anymore?
> Are you no longer saying "No, no way, nope." (to
> my "Digital is often superior.")?
>
>
> <snip>

The guy that is in love with the new plastic smell of radios finally got
bored and left the news group and apparently you going to be the
"Digital is superior" Troll replacement.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3320. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 04:06:47 UTC
From: Spinner <spinner11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XLGdnQvi-tlEz13ZnZ2dnUVZ_qKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 84507

adam wrote:
> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.I

Tried the whitehouse press secretary lately?
Harmonics all the way through visible light.

3321. Re: FM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Quality Makes Sense {Cent$} !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 04:07:36 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C05308-269@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84508

Some people have thousands of dollars invested in their AM/FM/Shortwave Radios.I suppose IBOC messes up the listening quality of the AM analog radio stations they want to listen to on those expensive radios.
cuhulin

3322. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 04:35:02 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <cfi0c21ejppo8mmof0p283if1se0dr5lc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 84509

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso means: breed
> (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in commonality of a group),
> people (as in "we the people") and even a crack in a piece of marble. Today,
> among Mexican immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
>

Some brotherhood.

http://www.dim.com/~efricha/reconquest.gif

3323. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 04:49:10 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <a1Zvg.214477$Mn5.208908@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84510

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 02:33:43 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>>
>>>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>>>> cuhulin
>>>>>>
>>>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>>>> yet.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
>>>> capacity.
>>>>
>>>> JB
>>>
>>> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international community.
>> Clear enough for you?
>>
>> JB
>
>
> Hard to stand up without a spine!

So that explains why Bush spews his lies while sitting!

JB

> ----------
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.

>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3324. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:01:05 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lcZvg.214544$Mn5.202088@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84511

Slow Code wrote:
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:bSgvg.207016$iF6.197427@pd7tw2no:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>> news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>>
>>>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>>>> cuhulin
>>>>>>
>>>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>>>> yet.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your mental
>>>> capacity.
>>>>
>>>> JB
>>>
>>> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international community.
>> Clear enough for you?
>>
>> JB
>
>
> Okay Johnie, tell us how you might have been duped, and try not to stick
> your head up your ass when you do it. It makes you sound muffled.
>
> SC
>
Here's a list of fine quotes for you:
http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article4882.htm

"See, in my line of work you got to keep repeating things over and over and over again for the truth to sink in, to kind of catapult the propaganda."

George W Bush, 5/24/05

http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/jan2004/wmds-j10.shtml

Gotta love this from a Colin Powell aide:
http://www.cnn.com/2005/WORLD/meast/08/19/powell.un/

And from Powell himself:

"But two years earlier, Powell said just the opposite. The occasion was a press conference on 24 February 2001 during Powell's visit to Cairo, Egypt. Answering a question about the US-led sanctions against Iraq, the Secretary of State said:

We had a good discussion, the Foreign Minister and I and the President and I, had a good discussion about the nature of the sanctions -- the fact that the sanctions exist -- not for the purpose of hurting the Iraqi people, but for the purpose of keeping in check Saddam Hussein's ambitions toward developing weapons of mass destruction. We should constantly be reviewing our policies, constantly be looking at those sanctions to make sure that they are directed toward that purpose. That purpose is every bit as important now as it was ten years ago when we began it. And frankly they have worked. He has not developed any significant capability with respect to weapons of mass destruction. He is unable to project conventional power against his neighbors. So in effect, our policies have strengthened the security of the neighbors of Iraq..."

The lies never cease from King George and his crew. I know the truth is indigestible to you. Hell, you probably don't have the stomach, let alone the balls, to admit that he fooled alot of you.

JB

3325. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:08:48 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <AjZvg.217799$IK3.187159@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84512

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
>>> will be the stake through its heart
>> It is already dying. HD is an effort to see if it can be saved.
>
> HD is a pathetic and misguided effort to see if it can be saved.
>
>
And your alternative is?????

JB

3326. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:22:22 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <iwZvg.217868$IK3.7528@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84513

dxAce wrote:
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', so shunned by his family that he
> was 'exported' from Cleveland wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153313148.493129.81580@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> Brenda Ann wrote:
>>>> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>>>> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
>>>> they
>>>> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>>>>
>>>> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>>>>
>>>> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>>>> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
>>>> The
>>>> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of
>>>> the
>>>> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
>>>> would
>>>> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
>>>> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller
>>>> and
>>>> smaller square waves.
>>>>
>>>> AND
>>>>
>>>> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>>>> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm
>>>> is
>>>> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
>>>> waveform
>>>> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal.
>>>> Even
>>>> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
>>>> convince
>>>> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
>>>> physics call your bluff.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --

>>>> Over 5 decades of great hits
>>>> Full Spectrum Radio
>>>> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org
>>> I don't think he even believes half of what he says in this group. He
>>> has a vested interest ($$$) in promoting IBOC. That's where his
>>> 'conviction' comes from.
>> I work in radio, and that is my interest.
>
> $$$$$$$$$$ (That is your overriding interest)
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>
Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!

JB

3327. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:22:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C06496-193@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84518

When bush was recently in Germany,he had groped that what's her name German woman's shoulder.Did y'all see the incredulous look she gave bush? No sane President of U.S.A.would have done such a thing as that.
cuhulin

3328. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:24:51 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <DyZvg.217881$IK3.193409@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84514

David Eduardo wrote:
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:4i7ofcF2hpcjU1@individual.net...
>>> --Mike L.
>>>
>> He'll have to change to MOLEace. I've only harvested two so far this year.
>> I
>> switched from plunger traps to loops this year, they work far better. The
>> only thing uglier than a mole is a wet opossum.
>
> Thank goodness I live in the desert. I only have to worry about earthquakes
> coming from underground.
>
> How does MOLEace cook the moles he catches? Broiled? Or Chicken fried mole.
>
>
>
I hate to say it but he turns them into guacaMOLE!

JB

3329. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:31:36 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153459896.674799.3880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84515

Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> > "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> >
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> >> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
> >> > they
> >> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> >> >
> >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >> >
> >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> >> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> >> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or
> >> > FACSIMILE
> >> > of the
> >>
> >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> >> digital or analog.
> >>
> >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> >> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> >> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out
> >> > of
> >> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> >>
> >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
> >> a complex analog signal.
> >
> > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.
> >
> >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
> >> Do you own a CD player?
> >
> > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench that
> > came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The object was to
> > tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same shape. In order to be
> > successful one had to tap those pegs into the hole designed to accept it

> > until all pegs were in the similar their same shaped hole.
> >
> > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you could
> > force any shape peg into any shape hole.
> >
> >> > AND
> >> >
> >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> >>
> >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with a
> >> 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
> >> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable SNR. That is
> >> easy to beat digitally.
> >
> > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents the
> > audio information compared to a digital quantified representation of the
> > same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
>
> Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?
>
> SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
> quality with a -90 dB SNR?
>
> >
> >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> >> > logarithm
> >> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> >> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an
> >> > analog
> >> > signal. Even
> >>
> >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> >> superior.
> >
> > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).
>
> Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they
> use digital coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format
> like used to be done on movie films?
> Why do cable TV companies charge more for digital TV?
> Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?
>
> >
> >> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
> >> > convince
> >> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws
> >> > of
> >> > physics call your bluff.

> >>
> >> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> >> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> >> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
> >
> > OK I'll bite, what law of physics allows that?
>
> I believe 128 kbps mp3 gives about FM quality. Code
> 18 bits into one channel symbol using QAM. Then your
> symbol rate/bandwidth is under 7 kHz. (Granted you will
> need an SNR of about 60 dB or better comming out of the channel
> in order to be able to decode it.)

The symbol rate is known as the baud rate, which of course is not the same as bits per second unless your symbol has one bit per baud. [Yes, bits and baud are often used interchangeably, but that does make it right.] So it makes no sense to talk about a 7Khz "stream". You need to have the same units, i.e. bps.

If you convert your weight from lbs to stones, does the smaller number mean you lost weight?

>
>
> --
> rb

3330. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:33:07 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <nGZvg.214686$Mn5.111302@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84516

David wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> wrote:
>
>> (ejaculation on the flag excised)
>
> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
> time, and expecting a different result.
>
> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
> forever.
>
> You are a tool.
>
>
One might even call him a shill ;-).

JB

3331. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:34:51 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <%HZvg.217904$IK3.98117@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84517

Beerbarrel wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:58:00 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> (ejaculation on the flag excised)
>> Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
>> time, and expecting a different result.
>>
>> If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
>> forever.
>>
>> You are a tool.
>
>
>
> I'm a tool alright! The hammer that's going to bounce off your head!

A broken hammer has no use!

JB

> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3332. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:42:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9ppb9cs1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Hello,
>> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
>> band.
>> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
>> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the distance
>> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
>> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
>> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
>> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
>> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
>> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
>> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
>> I'll be very thankful for your help.
>> Ridgh
>
>
> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>
> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>
> It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the people
> in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're
> experts.

Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:

> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.

To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance or
capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.

> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.

True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft comms band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz). These can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with the majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely necessary.

3333. Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:47:12 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84520

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1153441974.569908.221640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > David Frackelton Gleason, putting on airs as 'Eduardo', the fake
> > > > Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1153384724.557822.39850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >> news:44BE01C6.2082926D@milestones.com...
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> Oh, look. It is a bigot masquerading as a bad DXer.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> > Bigot?
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> > You're a member of La Raza and you've got the nerve to
> > > > > >> > call me a bigot.
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> Being of "La Raza" means being a working class, blue collar
> > > > > >> Mexican. I am not that.
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > > - I _am_ a member of the National Council of la Raza, - which
> > > > > > uses a totally different meaning for the term.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > DE - And What Would That 'different' Meaning Be ? ? ? ~ RHF
> > > > >
> > > > > It is the meaning given by vasconcelos, the brilliant writer
> > > > > and philosopher who said that a cosmic race ("raza cósmica")
> > > > > would emerge, in which there were no color lines and all were
> > > > > members of one race.. the human race.
> > > >
> > > > Was the writer so brilliant that capitalization of his name was
> > > > unimportant?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Raza" in Spanish means race as it doe sin English, but it aso
> > > > means: breed (as in horses, dogs, etc), brotherhood (as in

> > > > > commonality of a group), people (as in "we the people") and
> > > > > even a crack in a piece of marble. Today, among Mexican
> > > > > immigrants, "raza" means brotherhood primarily.
> > > > >
> > > > > Most folks soon discover that La Raza is a very bigoted
> > > > > organization. Do a little research.
> > > > >
> > > > > Frankly I'm surprised that the would allow a non-Hispanic such as
> > > > > yourself to join.
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you join La Raza about the same time that you became a fake
> > > > > Hispanic (c.2000) and began using the 'Eduardo' shtick?
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce Michigan USA
> > > >
> > > > It's telling that he chooses to post his crap here rather than in
> > > > alt.radio.broadcasting or some obviously more suitable group. My
> > > > guess is that he's a troll, but he's definitely either trolling or
> > > > selling. Maybe both...who knows?
> > > >
> > > > Steve
> > >
> > > For "DE" posting here or anywhere allows him to refine his skills and
> > > arguments and exposes him to 'other' view points that he may not see
> > > in a professional setting. { Doing Research In-the-Rough }
> > >
> > > Will It Play in Peoria ? -and- Can It Become a Hit On Broadway ? [
> > > Broad Appeal -vice- Critical Acclaim]
> > >
> > > and that's the way i see it ~ RHF
> >
> > Well it's not working.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California

Telamon - I suspect that "DE"s Research In-the-Rough
is working very well for Him.

AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
.
.
. .

3334. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 05:51:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21209-44C06B74-192@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84522

I modeled for that Arm & Hammer picture on the baking soda boxes.
cuhulin

3335. Eton/Lextronix emergency radio FRxxx - possible to get in 76 mhz version?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 06:01:54 UTC
From: Andreas Eibach <aeibach@mail.com>
Message-ID: <e9pqalutj00$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 84521

Hi, I'm considering to buy such thing, but if so, i'd prefer a 76-108
version if this is possible.
Yet it seems even in the US shops - where I clearly remember that the
SONY AIR-8 was also sold with FM freq range 76..108 back in 1986 - the
only version available is the 87.5-108 version.

Please no "why do you ..." questions, if possible. I'd just like to know
whether it's available or not - thank you.

-ae-

3336. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 06:26:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153463174.857764.73260@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84523

```
miso@sushi.com wrote:
> Ron Baker, Pluralitas! wrote:
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-1DC203.02362420072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <FzFvg.17292$MF6.13865@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
> > > "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:
> > >
> > >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > >> > David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
> > >> > deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality...
> > >> > they
> > >> > just don't know that HD provides this yet."
> > >> >
> > >> > I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> > >> >
> > >> > There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
> > >> > accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response.
> > >> > The best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or
> > >> > FACSIMILE
> > >> > of the
> > >>
> > >> What comes out of your speaker is only an approximation or
> > >> facsimile of the original regardless of whether the system is
> > >> digital or analog.
> > >>
> > >> > analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It
> > >> > would be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to
> > >> > perfectly reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out
> > >> > of
> > >> > smaller and smaller square waves.
> > >>
> > >> It is physically impossible for an analog system to perfectly reproduce
> > >> a complex analog signal.
> > >
> > > Well there is a real news alert. Spread the word.
> > >
> > >> In many instances it is possible to produce a better facsimile of
> > >> the original signal using 'square' waves than with an analog system.
> > >> Do you own a CD player?
> > >
> > > When I was a few years old my parents got me this plastic toy bench that
> > > came with a toy hammer and various shaped object pegs. The object was to
> > > tap a peg into the hole in the bench of the same shape. In order to be
```

> > > successful one had to tap those pegs into the hole designed to accept it
> > > until all pegs were in the similar their same shaped hole.
> > >
> > > I never tried it but I imagine that if you got a real hammer you could
> > > force any shape peg into any shape hole.
> > >
> > >> > AND
> > >> >
> > >> > You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
> > >>
> > >> What do you mean by 'high quality'? If you mean 20 kHz audio with a
> > >> 70 dB SNR through a typical MW channel, generally you are correct.
> > >> But AM broadcast is 5 kHz audio with a highly variable SNR. That is
> > >> easy to beat digitally.
> > >
> > > SNR is not what was being discussed, it is the ability of an analog
> > > circuit to follow an electrical voltage waveform that represents the
> > > audio information compared to a digital quantified representation of the
> > > same and the effect of the digitizing rate.
> >
> > Isn't the subject line "Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron"?
> >
> > SNR doesn't figure in quality? Can you give an example of good
> > quality with a -90 dB SNR?
> >
> > >
> > >> > digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what
> > >> > logarithm
> > >> > is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and
> > >> > waveform accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an
> > >> > analog
> > >> > signal. Even
> > >>
> > >> With any analog system you are always going to lose frequency
> > >> response, dynamic range, and 'waveform accuracy'. Digital is often
> > >> superior.
> > >
> > > No, no way, nope, (visual - head moving side to side motion).
> >
> > Hmm. Then why does anyone ever use digital? Why do they
> > use digital coding on CDs? Why don't they use an analog format
> > like used to be done on movie films?
> > Why do cable TV companies charge more for digital TV?
> > Why would anybody pay for it if analog is better?
> >
> > >
> > >> > 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to
> > >> > convince
> > >> > me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws
> > >> > of

> > >> > physics call your bluff.
> > >>
> > >> The laws of physics allow a 7 kHz stream to deliver FM quality
> > >> audio. The IBOC design and/or typical propagation might not
> > >> provide that, but physics is not the limitation.
> > >
> > > OK I'll bite, what law of physics allows that?
> >
> > I believe 128 kbps mp3 gives about FM quality. Code
> > 18 bits into one channel symbol using QAM. Then your
> > symbol rate/bandwidth is under 7 kHz. (Granted you will
> > need an SNR of about 60 dB or better comming out of the channel
> > in order to be able to decode it.)
>
> The symbol rate is known as the baud rate, which of course is not the
> same as bits per second unless your symbol has one bit per baud. [Yes,
> bits and baud are often used interchangeably, but that does make it
> right.] So it makes no sense to talk about a 7Khz "stream". You need to
> have the same units, i.e. bps.
>

- If you convert your weight from lbs to stones,
- does the smaller number mean you lost weight?

Miso - No but you just may 'feel' lighter on your feet ! :o)

i know it is a callous remark ~ RHF
.
.
. .

> >
> >
> > --
> > rb

3337. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 06:46:03 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153464363.169438.75310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> Hello,
> >> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
> >> band.
> >> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
> >> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the distance
> >> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
> >> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
> >> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
> >> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
> >> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
> >> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
> >> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
> >> I'll be very thankful for your help.
> >> Ridgh
> >
> >
> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
> >
> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
> >
> > It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the people
> > in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're
> > experts.
>
> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
>
> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>
> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance or
> capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
> smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
> Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
> the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.

> > > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> > > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> > > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>
> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft comms
> band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz). These
> can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or
> other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with the
> majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the
> carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and
> therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some
> components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely
> necessary.

I believe you have the slope detection application backwards, i.e. it
allows FM to be demodulated on a radio set up for AM demod.

A slope detection FM demod puts the FM signal through a filter that is
not centered properly, i.e. the signal's frequencies are on the slope
of the filter, not the passband. Then as the frequency changes, the
attenuation of the signal also changes, which effectively has turned FM
into AM. Then you rectify and low pass filter, as you would do with an
AM signal.

Note also that the FM demodulator rejects AM by the use of a limiter.
Thus FM radios reject AM quiet well, but the opposite is not true, i.e.
FM can be demodulated by an AM demodulator that is tuned off center a
bit. High end FM radios will spec their AM rejection:
http://www.fanfare.com/fta100-specifications.html
The AM rejection in this application relates to the ability to reject
atmospheric effects on the FM signal strength, but it would reject
broadcast AM too if somehow the AM was on an FM broadcast frequency.

3338. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 07:26:21 UTC
From: Ridgh <nina.p20@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153466781.167188.178710@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thank you very much for your response and good will.
Actually I need it for a surveillance bug working on about 120MHz, not varicap controlled - so it may be used in AM or wide FM as well (not narrow FM).
So, almost any receiver is ok - with my scanner I receive it with both modulation modes equally.
I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :(it's not allowed without a special licence. I have a simple one stucked at the customs for 3 weeks - no way to release it so far.
Thank you again,
Ridgh

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> Hello,
> >> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
> >> band.
> >> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
> >> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the distance
> >> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
> >> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
> >> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
> >> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
> >> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
> >> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
> >> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
> >> I'll be very thankful for your help.
> >> Ridgh
> >
> >
> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
> >
> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
> >
> > It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the people
> > in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're

> > experts.
>
> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
>
> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I don't
> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>
> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance or
> capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
> smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
> Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
> the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.
>
> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying is
> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>
> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft comms
> band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz). These
> can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or
> other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with the
> majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the
> carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and
> therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some
> components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely
> necessary.

3339. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 07:33:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9pvrs$2hp$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153464363.169438.75310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> Hello,
>> >> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
>> >> band.
>> >> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
>> >> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the
>> >> distance
>> >> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
>> >> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
>> >> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
>> >> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
>> >> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
>> >> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
>> >> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
>> >> I'll be very thankful for your help.
>> >> Ridgh
>> >
>> >
>> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
>> > don't
>> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>> >
>> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>> > is
>> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>> >
>> > It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the
>> > people
>> > in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're
>> > experts.
>>
>> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
>>
>> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I

>> > don't
>> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>>
>> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance
>> or
>> capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
>> smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
>> Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
>> the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.
>>
>> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>> > is
>> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>>
>> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
>> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft
>> comms
>> band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz).
>> These
>> can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or
>> other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with
>> the
>> majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the
>> carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and
>> therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some
>> components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely
>> necessary.
>
> I believe you have the slope detection application backwards, i.e. it
> allows FM to be demodulated on a radio set up for AM demod.
>
> A slope detection FM demod puts the FM signal through a filter that is
> not centered properly, i.e. the signal's frequencies are on the slope
> of the filter, not the passband. Then as the frequency changes, the
> attenuation of the signal also changes, which effectively has turned FM
> into AM. Then you rectify and low pass filter, as you would do with an
> AM signal.
>
> Note also that the FM demodulator rejects AM by the use of a limiter.
> Thus FM radios reject AM quiet well, but the opposite is not true, i.e.
> FM can be demodulated by an AM demodulator that is tuned off center a
> bit. High end FM radios will spec their AM rejection:
> http://www.fanfare.com/fta100-specifications.html
> The AM rejection in this application relates to the ability to reject
> atmospheric effects on the FM signal strength, but it would reject
> broadcast AM too if somehow the AM was on an FM broadcast frequency.

You are correct, but 'slope' detection also works to detect an AM signal on
a discriminator or ratio detector. By tuning slightly off frequency (or, by

tuning the detector circuit slightly off frequency from the center carrier.. i.e. detection on the slope of the modulated signal) you can receive AM modulated signals. As stated, I have successfully converted an SRII to receive up to 155 MHz, including the 108-132~ AM aircraft band. Perhaps if the signal from these AM aircraft stations (and the aircraft themselves) were of a higher amplitude, then the limiter would affect them.. i.e. if you were close to your local airport's control tower. Even a stock standard AM/FM radio (not one with an RF amp, those have too much image rejection) will receive aircraft comms between 108 and 129.4 MHz due to various mixing products if the signal is closeby, and this with no modification at all.

>

3340. John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 08:00:44 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 84527

That explains the lack of a spine!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3341. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 10:35:06 UTC
From: Lloyd 1 <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <386440.101380@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 84528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On 20 Jul 2006 20:16:00 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE RADIO AMERICA
> todd I realy think that this doesn't belong in the ham groups

[followups redirected to r.r.a.m.]

Clean up your own act before you go after others. 99.99% of what
you have posted doesn't belong in these news groups either.

P.S. Your Followup-To header was completely screwed up. You can't
even manage that correctly.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 5.0i for non-commercial use
Charset: noconv

iQA/AwUBRMCtQOAMpZnddBm9EQII5QCeMi35e8r0QPEK63PIWLVF+6FnVNYAn2qw
ue4jpldhaeSrRS3mb828HiaP
=e0Eb
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3342. DRAKE SW8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:02:24 UTC
From: JURGYAA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13394-44C0C250-373@storefull-3238.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84529

s.n.9J12950026-serviced by Drake in Jan.-cosmetically a 9.25-performance
a10.0-pick-up in So. Cal. only. $400.00 email or 626-222-9984

3343. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:12:30 UTC
From: switcher <no@KijkenZeHierOokAlNaar.be>
Message-ID: <no-653F3A.14123021072006@news.stben.net>
Source record: 84530

In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
>

AM dxers on the xtreme ??

When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)

When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
around ??

what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
listen to the noise ..)

--
een appeltje te schillen met http://applefaulty.be
http://users.fulladsl.be/spb13810/bwnl.htm
Breng je iMac G5 terug (ik wil binnen 2 jaar geen defecte 2de hands Apple kopen)
http://www.apple.com/nl/support/imac/repairextensionprogram/

3344. Re: Eton/Lextronix emergency radio FRxxx - possible to get in 76 mhz version?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:13:45 UTC
From: switcher <no@KijkenZeHierOokAlNaar.be>
Message-ID: <no-D42B65.14134521072006@news.stben.net>
Source record: 84531

In article <e9pqalutj00$1@news.t-online.com>,
"Andreas Eibach" <aeibach@mail.com> wrote:

> Hi, I'm considering to buy such thing, but if so, i'd prefer a 76-108
> version if this is possible.
> Yet it seems even in the US shops - where I clearly remember that the
> SONY AIR-8 was also sold with FM freq range 76..108 back in 1986 - the
> only version available is the 87.5-108 version.
>
> Please no "why do you ..." questions, if possible. I'd just like to know
> whether it's available or not - thank you.
>
> -ae-
>

The version for Japan should be 76-108 ???

I have owned two sony's made for the Japanese market ...

--
een appeltje te schillen met http://applefaulty.be
http://users.fulladsl.be/spb13810/bwnl.htm
Breng je iMac G5 terug (ik wil binnen 2 jaar geen defecte 2de hands Apple kopen)
http://www.apple.com/nl/support/imac/repairextensionprogram/

3345. May Markie die of his AIDS soon and never find peace in hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:24:48 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153484688.455543.115880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

```
an old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > May he die painfully. Amen.
> forgive

Fuck off, spammer.
```

3346. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:29:43 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153484983.624125.175960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84533
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > May mark C. Morgan lose everybody around him before he dies of his aids.
>I forgive him his hate and may he find his dick in my mouth
>
> this the sick mind of Robesons ally

Everybody hates you Markie, everybody!

3347. May Markie's mental illness get only worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:37:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153485434.836671.288070@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84534
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> > > traps you
> >
> > Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
> > you.
>
> becuase there is one you and Robeson consrpire to libel and harrass and
> stalk me

Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.
```

3348. Markie may you ever be tortured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:39:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153485572.669064.239080@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > May you choke on a chicken bone when you eat that whole cooked chicken
> > by yourself.
> for

For the only bone you put in your mouth is still attatched to something
alive!

3349. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:40:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153485636.857409.304970@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> I forgive him his hate and may he find his dick in my mouth

3350. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 12:59:44 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4d4wg.436434$Fs1.82411@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84537

switcher wrote:
> In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
>> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
>> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
>>
>
> AM dxers on the xtreme ??
>
> When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)
>
> When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
> around ??
>
> what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
> even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
> listen to the noise ..)
>

We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
interesting results

3351. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extremeand Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:15:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C0D366.F319D11B@milestones.com>
Source record: 84538

D Peter Maus wrote:

> switcher wrote:
> > In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> >> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
> >> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
> >> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
> >>
> >
> > AM dxers on the xtreme ??
> >
> > When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)
> >
> > When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
> > around ??
> >
> > what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
> > even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
> > listen to the noise ..)
> >
>
> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
> interesting results

Overkill? Wonder what the beamwidth would be on something like that length vs. frequency would be?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3352. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:20:09 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <53l1c2pv7m654t6l2i57t4hi4msmgvgvek@4ax.com>
Source record: 84539

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:08:48 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Steve wrote:
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>> news:1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
>>>> will be the stake through its heart
>>> It is already dying. HD is an effort to see if it can be saved.
>>
>> HD is a pathetic and misguided effort to see if it can be saved.
>>
>>
>And your alternative is?????
>
>JB
>
I'd make it hi-fi again.

3353. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:21:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <95l1c2lsl997m903saufdiqm1l073o09a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 84540

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:22:22 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>dxAce wrote:

>Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!
>
Unregulated capitalism is cancer.

3354. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:22:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488120.126089.136620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3355. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:22:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488131.437307.140960@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84542
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.
hardly wiseman 99.999pecent of the net don't even know myname

may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3356. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:22:42 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488162.500840.128620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3357. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:23:13 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488193.809464.206600@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84544
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3358. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:24:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488249.460194.146440@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3359. Re: Liberal's Whine - Voice of America Sucks ! {Wishing It Were Air America Radio}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:24:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <0cl1c2du2cmp9uno8jb4uq9edm45cc1k7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 84546

On 20 Jul 2006 20:34:17 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>- - - Its All Liberal-Psycho-Babble ! ~ RHF
> .
Like the Sermon on the Mount?

3360. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:26:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488382.572180.110380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

3361. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:28:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488537.323949.62530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE RADIO AMERICA
> todd I realy think that this doesn't belong in the ham groups

3362. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extremeand Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:34:16 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sJ4wg.156661$mF2.3162@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84449

dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> switcher wrote:
>>> In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
>>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
>>>> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
>>>> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
>>>>
>>> AM dxers on the xtreme ??
>>>
>>> When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)
>>>
>>> When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
>>> around ??
>>>
>>> what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
>>> even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
>>> listen to the noise ..)
>>>
>> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
>> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
>> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
>> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
>> interesting results
>
> Overkill? Wonder what the beamwidth would be on something like that length vs.
> frequency would be?

That's a good question. He did report some occasional noise issues.
But some nice MW and SW captures.

It certainly was a unique innovation.

>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

3363. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:35:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C0D80D.CC60A838@milestones.com>
Source record: 84450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:

> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

I ask the lord for pecae every night before I go to bed.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3364. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:35:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153488918.493669.207930@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84451
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
>
> Todd N9OGL
> FREE RADIO AMERICA
realy does not belong in ham radio NGS

3365. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 13:37:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153489031.198132.5690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
dxAce wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
>
> > kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > traps you
>
> I ask the lord for pecae every night before I go to bed.
glad to see that
```

3366. Propagation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 15:21:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C0F0ED.578F30A2@milestones.com>
Source record: 84453

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/07/21/2/?nc=1
(K7RA, ARRL)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3367. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 15:23:24 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153495403.966495.93550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84455

D Peter Maus wrote:
> switcher wrote:
> > In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> >> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
> >> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
> >> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
> >>
> > >
> > > AM dxers on the xtreme ??
> > >
> > > When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)
> > >
> > > When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
> > > around ??
> > >
> > > what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
> > > even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
> > > listen to the noise ..)
> > >
>
>
> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
> interesting results

I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
rails of that length in some places).

3368. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 15:23:44 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <We6wg.15776$ZH1.5908@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 84454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153464363.169438.75310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> Brenda Ann wrote:
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
>>>> news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> Hello,
>>>>> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
>>>>> band.
>>>>> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
>>>>> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the
>>>>> distance
>>>>> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
>>>>> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
>>>>> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
>>>>> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
>>>>> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
>>>>> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
>>>>> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
>>>>> I'll be very thankful for your help.
>>>>> Ridgh
>>>>
>>>> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
>>>> don't
>>>> know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
>>>>
>>>> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>>>> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>>>> is
>>>> needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>>>>
>>>> It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the
>>>> people
>>>> in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're
>>>> experts.
>>> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
>>>
>>>> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
>>>> don't
>>>> know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
```

>>> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance
>>> or
>>> capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
>>> smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
>>> Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
>>> the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.
>>>
>>>> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>>>> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>>>> is
>>>> needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>>> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
>>> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft
>>> comms
>>> band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz).
>>> These
>>> can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or
>>> other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with
>>> the
>>> majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the
>>> carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and
>>> therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some
>>> components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely
>>> necessary.
>> I believe you have the slope detection application backwards, i.e. it
>> allows FM to be demodulated on a radio set up for AM demod.
>>
>> A slope detection FM demod puts the FM signal through a filter that is
>> not centered properly, i.e. the signal's frequencies are on the slope
>> of the filter, not the passband. Then as the frequency changes, the
>> attenuation of the signal also changes, which effectively has turned FM
>> into AM. Then you rectify and low pass filter, as you would do with an
>> AM signal.
>>
>> Note also that the FM demodulator rejects AM by the use of a limiter.
>> Thus FM radios reject AM quiet well, but the opposite is not true, i.e.
>> FM can be demodulated by an AM demodulator that is tuned off center a
>> bit. High end FM radios will spec their AM rejection:
>> http://www.fanfare.com/fta100-specifications.html
>> The AM rejection in this application relates to the ability to reject
>> atmospheric effects on the FM signal strength, but it would reject
>> broadcast AM too if somehow the AM was on an FM broadcast frequency.
>
> You are correct, but 'slope' detection also works to detect an AM signal on
> a discriminator or ratio detector. By tuning slightly off frequency (or, by
> tuning the detector circuit slightly off frequency from the center carrier..
> i.e. detection on the slope of the modulated signal) you can receive AM
> modulated signals. As stated, I have successfully converted an SRII to
> receive up to 155 MHz, including the 108-132~ AM aircraft band. Perhaps if
> the signal from these AM aircraft stations (and the aircraft themselves)

> were of a higher amplitude, then the limiter would affect them.. i.e. if you
> were close to your local airport's control tower. Even a stock standard
> AM/FM radio (not one with an RF amp, those have too much image rejection)
> will receive aircraft comms between 108 and 129.4 MHz due to various mixing
> products if the signal is closeby, and this with no modification at all.
>
>
I've pulled the tuner out of cheap ghetto blasters and performed this
mod simply by pulling apart the turns of the various coils in the
front-end and retweaking for maximum signal. It is much easier if you
have a sig generator which lets you generate the proper frequencies, or
an airport nearby with a continuous ATIS broadcast.

jak

3369. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 15:27:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0o6wg.175979$F_3.126691@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84456

```
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153495403.966495.93550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> switcher wrote:
>> > In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
>> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
>> >> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
>> >> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
>> >>
>> >
>> > AM dxers on the xtreme ??
>> >
>> > When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise ..
>> > ;-)
>> >
>> > When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
>> > around ??
>> >
>> > what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
>> > even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
>> > listen to the noise ..)
>> >
>>
>>
>> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
>> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
>> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
>> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
>> interesting results
>
>
> I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
> roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
> rails of that length in some places).
```

Minor curves or twists in beverage antennas don't seem to make them inoperative. In many DXpeditions where they are laid out it is necessary to avoid small obstructions, whether they be a garden, a patch of trees, a rock formation, etc. and they seem to work just great. My last experience was aiming at central sub-Saharan Africa from the Gu&#65533;nica, PR beach where I put

a slightly curved beverage across a kind of rocky point, aimed east.

3370. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 15:49:10 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WH6wg.437293$Fs1.405727@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84457

Steve wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> switcher wrote:
>>> In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
>>> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
>>>> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
>>>> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
>>>>
>>> AM dxers on the xtreme ??
>>>
>>> When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise .. ;-)
>>>
>>> When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
>>> around ??
>>>
>>> what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
>>> even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
>>> listen to the noise ..)
>>>
>>
>> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
>> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
>> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
>> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
>> interesting results
>
>
> I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
> roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
> rails of that length in some places).

I'm sure you're right. One of the reasons I qualified "an
approximation of a Beverage." I believe this was in Colorado and Wyoming.

Although there's a straight stretch of 55 between St Louis and Cape
Girardeau that's nearly 20 miles with only vertical displacement for a
few hills.

>

3371. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 16:27:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153499241.787041.111540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84458

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153495403.966495.93550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >> switcher wrote:
> >> > In article <1153460832.454335.143090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> >> > "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us.
> >> >> We are in the Twilight Zone of . . . Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital
> >> >> Radio somewhere between Six Sigma and Infinity [.] ~ RHF
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > AM dxers on the xtreme ??
> >> >
> >> > When my neighbours telly is on, I can't dig further into the noise ..
> >> > ;-)
> >> >
> >> > When there is noise on your radio, does your body feel the electricity
> >> > around ??
> >> >
> >> > what would be extreme ?? sit on an island, away from electricity and
> >> > even digital camera's too (put your camera close to your receiver and
> >> > listen to the noise ..)
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
> >> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
> >> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
> >> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
> >> interesting results
> >
> >
> > I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
> > roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
> > rails of that length in some places).
>
> Minor curves or twists in beverage antennas don't seem to make them
> inoperative. In many DXpeditions where they are laid out it is necessary to
> avoid small obstructions, whether they be a garden, a patch of trees, a rock
> formation, etc. and they seem to work just great. My last experience was

> aiming at central sub-Saharan Africa from the Guánica, PR beach where I put
> a slightly curved beverage across a kind of rocky point, aimed east.

Thanks for making a legitimate post to this group.

3372. Shortwave Transmitter Co-ordinates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 16:45:43 UTC
From: shortwavesites@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153500343.322231.184510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84459

I am researching transmitter site information for domestic &
international shortwave broadcasters & I was wondering if anyone has
access & knowledge of latitude/longitude co-ordinates of TXer sites
outside of the USA & Australia? The co-ordinates should be precise
enough to be detailed down to Degrees, Minutes & SECONDS.

Also any information on the commencement date & last day of broadcast
of broadcasts from SW radio txer sites of broadcasters would be very
much appreciated.

I would be very gratefull for your assistance.

Regards

Ian

Please reply to: shortwavesites (at) gmail.com
[REMOVE spaces & use @ in place of (at) in email reply]

THANK YOU

3373. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 16:47:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ny7wg.128299$H71.124096@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84460

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153499241.787041.111540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...

David Eduardo wrote:
>
> Minor curves or twists in beverage antennas don't seem to make them
> inoperative. In many DXpeditions where they are laid out it is necessary
> to
> avoid small obstructions, whether they be a garden, a patch of trees, a
> rock
> formation, etc. and they seem to work just great. My last experience was
> aiming at central sub-Saharan Africa from the Gu�nica, PR beach where I
> put
> a slightly curved beverage across a kind of rocky point, aimed east.

>>Thanks for making a legitimate post to this group.

You don't think the greatest change to DX reception in about 80 years is a
valid subject?

3374. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:06:22 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153501582.736868.320940@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84461

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153499241.787041.111540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Minor curves or twists in beverage antennas don't seem to make them
> > inoperative. In many DXpeditions where they are laid out it is necessary
> > to
> > avoid small obstructions, whether they be a garden, a patch of trees, a
> > rock
> > formation, etc. and they seem to work just great. My last experience was
> > aiming at central sub-Saharan Africa from the Guánica, PR beach where I
> > put
> > a slightly curved beverage across a kind of rocky point, aimed east.
>
> >>Thanks for making a legitimate post to this group.
>
> You don't think the greatest change to DX reception in about 80 years is a
> valid subject?

I knew it was too good to be true...

No, your attempts at trolling are invalid.

3375. Re: Shortwave Transmitter Co-ordinates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:16:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C10BDA.2AACC070@milestones.com>
Source record: 84463

shortwavesites@gmail.com wrote:

> I am researching transmitter site information for domestic &
> international shortwave broadcasters & I was wondering if anyone has
> access & knowledge of latitude/longitude co-ordinates of TXer sites
> outside of the USA & Australia? The co-ordinates should be precise
> enough to be detailed down to Degrees, Minutes & SECONDS.
>
> Also any information on the commencement date & last day of broadcast
> of broadcasts from SW radio txer sites of broadcasters would be very
> much appreciated.
>
> I would be very gratefull for your assistance.

Don't know whether you've seen this site or not:

http://www.tdp.info/

The WRTH used to list the co-ordinates for some sites, but I'm uncertain of the accuracy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3376. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:16:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2u22c2thtctcpflvkov7senp68dlc8bqrm@4ax.com>
Source record: 84462

On 21 Jul 2006 08:23:24 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
wrote:

>

>> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
>> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
>> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
>> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
>> interesting results
>
>
>I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
>roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
>rails of that length in some places).
>

Don't they use those primatily on curves?

3377. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:17:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C10C1D.C389AF5D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84464

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153499241.787041.111540@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Minor curves or twists in beverage antennas don't seem to make them
> > inoperative. In many DXpeditions where they are laid out it is necessary
> > to
> > avoid small obstructions, whether they be a garden, a patch of trees, a
> > rock
> > formation, etc. and they seem to work just great. My last experience was
> > aiming at central sub-Saharan Africa from the Guï¿½nica, PR beach where I
> > put
> > a slightly curved beverage across a kind of rocky point, aimed east.
>
> >>Thanks for making a legitimate post to this group.
>
> You don't think the greatest change to DX reception in about 80 years is a
> valid subject?

Stuff your panty hose in it, prancer.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3378. Re: Shortwave Transmitter Co-ordinates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:18:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <q132c2pcdcjq8khjjkfleseqgdeo4vmd7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 84465

On 21 Jul 2006 09:45:43 -0700, shortwavesites@gmail.com wrote:

>I am researching transmitter site information for domestic &
>international shortwave broadcasters & I was wondering if anyone has
>access & knowledge of latitude/longitude co-ordinates of TXer sites
>outside of the USA & Australia? The co-ordinates should be precise
>enough to be detailed down to Degrees, Minutes & SECONDS.
>
>Also any information on the commencement date & last day of broadcast
>of broadcasts from SW radio txer sites of broadcasters would be very
>much appreciated.
>
>I would be very gratefull for your assistance.
>
>Regards
>
>Ian
>
>Please reply to: shortwavesites (at) gmail.com
> [REMOVE spaces & use @ in place of (at) in email reply]
>
>THANK YOU
>
You want the World Radio TV Handbook

3379. Re: Shortwave Transmitter Co-ordinates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:23:50 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2c32c295j87nll4bndu5qhcjnjb05rbmuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 84466

On 21 Jul 2006 09:45:43 -0700, shortwavesites@gmail.com wrote:

>I am researching transmitter site information for domestic &
>international shortwave broadcasters & I was wondering if anyone has
>access & knowledge of latitude/longitude co-ordinates of TXer sites
>outside of the USA & Australia? The co-ordinates should be precise
>enough to be detailed down to Degrees, Minutes & SECONDS.
>
>Also any information on the commencement date & last day of broadcast
>of broadcasts from SW radio txer sites of broadcasters would be very
>much appreciated.
>
>I would be very gratefull for your assistance.
>
>Regards
>
>Ian
>
>Please reply to: shortwavesites (at) gmail.com
> [REMOVE spaces & use @ in place of (at) in email reply]
>
>THANK YOU
>
No.The WRTH doesn't seem to have them after all.

3380. ARDS back on 5050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:30:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C10F1F.33EF8DA7@milestones.com>
Source record: 84467

[Aboriginal Resource and Development Services]

** AUSTRALIA. Re 6-105, UNIDENTIFIED: ARDS is indeed back on SW 5050, as first reported July 17 by Alex Vranes in WV. On the ARDS website it is still hard to find anything about SW, but there is a streamer giving all their AM & FM frequencies, and finally at the end::: ``Across north-east Arnhem Land on HF Radio 5050 kHz``. See http://www.ards.com.au/radio.htm or their other pages.

Now to DX ARDS, it`s again a matter of finding a time when it can propagate and when there is little or no co-channel QRM, and Alex already accomplished that, at 0910-0950 (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST)

ARDS transmits out of Humpty Doo, near Darwin, NT.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3381. Re: Modern AM "HD" {IBOC} Digital Radio - AM Dxer's are on the Extreme and Technology has Marginalized Us !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:31:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Cb8wg.128305$H71.37206@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84468

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:2u22c2thtctcpflvkov7senp68dlc8bqrm@4ax.com...
> On 21 Jul 2006 08:23:24 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>> We had one member here, some years ago, who took his Drake SW-2 out
>>> of town, found a stretch of Interstate highway with a steel guard rail,
>>> and connected to that for what became an approximation of a Beverage
>>> that was, in one case, about 12 miles long. He reported some
>>> interesting results
>>
>>
>>I bet the guard rail didn't remain very straight across an expanse of
>>roughly twelve miles (though you could find relatively straight guard
>>rails of that length in some places).
>>
>
> Don't they use those primatily on curves?

Not when the interstate is elevate above ground level. There are some above
average terrain runs that have guard rail in a straight line going on
forever.

3382. Voice of Armenia to leave shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 17:51:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C11418.A6BEE53E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84469

Voice of Armenia announced in its German broadcasts on Sunday, Monday and Tuesday that they will "soon" leave shortwave.
(Kai Ludwig, DXLD)

So get it while you can. Too bad they cancelled the evening English to NAm long ago (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST)

[Edited by dxAce]

See EiBi for current sked:

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3383. Re: Iran shells Kurds in Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 18:23:48 UTC
From: WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net>
Message-ID: <12c26u0filst4ed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84470

Kurdish official says Iran shells Kurds in Iraq

Reuters: A senior Iraqi-Kurdish official accused Iranian forces on Thursday
of shelling Kurdish guerrillas in northern Iraq.

http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7980

"SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org> wrote in message
news:12c03h1f5fhpg78@corp.supernews.com...
> London, Jul. 19 - Iranian authorities have put pressure on Iraqi officials
> to crack down on the main Iranian opposition group, the People's Mojahedin
> Organisation of Iran (PMOI).
>
> http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7971
>
>
> Iran: We Support Turkey's Possible Cross-Border Operation
>
> "Turkey has the right to annihilate terrorists wherever they are found.
Iran
> is ready to do its best to help Turkey"
>
> http://www.trend.az/?mod=shownews&news=23784&lang=en
>
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>
>

3384. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 18:59:25 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hu9wg.157578$mF2.146982@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84471

I must have missed this going through my regular updates, but Tom DeVesto, of Tivoli Audio announced last month that Tivoli is in development of and will soon release it's first HD radio in Fall of 2006 featuring the new chipsets and specifications from iBiquity. For those interested in HD AM, (and those not particularly so) this would be the 2.0 spec that David Gleason has been making reference to.

That DeVesto is on board I find encouraging. It will be interesting to see how AM HD has been improved since I heard it's first incarnation.

It will also be interesting to see what kind of reception range HD will have from my home base, given that on a good day, my local AM's are mostly covered up with the HD rash from stations so equipped.

Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references to it now.

If there is such a stand alone DRM receiver, has it been released for sale in the US? If not, where might one be acquired?

And how widespread is DRM deployment?

p

3385. Markie Moron spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:05:46 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153508746.701300.295120@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> >
> > > kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > > traps you
> >
> > I ask the lord for pecae every night before I go to bed.
> glad to see that

Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
```

3386. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:07:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153508826.869170.206770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE RADIO AMERICA
> realy does not belong in ham radio NGS

I would disagree, I know a number of amateur operators who would like
to listen to it.

Todd N9OGL

3387. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:07:07 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153508827.792912.62650@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Free Radio America is on the air right now on 13.556.00 MHz on USB
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE RADIO AMERICA
> realy does not belong in ham radio NGS

Neither does your spam, stupid.

3388. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:11:34 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153509094.921017.149800@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
>I forgive him his hate and may he find his dick in my mouth

Poor Markie.

3389. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:13:04 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509184.687480.235050@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84476

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3390. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:13:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <aq92c25o5hoje6imuquootv1bd56otce4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 84477

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 18:59:25 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
> I must have missed this going through my regular updates, but Tom
>DeVesto, of Tivoli Audio announced last month that Tivoli is in
>development of and will soon release it's first HD radio in Fall of 2006
>featuring the new chipsets and specifications from iBiquity. For those
>interested in HD AM, (and those not particularly so) this would be the
>2.0 spec that David Gleason has been making reference to.
>
> That DeVesto is on board I find encouraging. It will be interesting
>to see how AM HD has been improved since I heard it's first incarnation.
>
> It will also be interesting to see what kind of reception range HD
>will have from my home base, given that on a good day, my local AM's are
>mostly covered up with the HD rash from stations so equipped.
>
> Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
>receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
>noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references to
>it now.
>
> If there is such a stand alone DRM receiver, has it been released
>for sale in the US? If not, where might one be acquired?
>
> And how widespread is DRM deployment?
>
>
> p
>
http://www.dw-world.de/dw/article/0,2144,1680653,00.html

3391. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:13:46 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509226.035073.125060@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84478

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3392. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:13:48 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509228.539552.287390@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84479

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3393. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:13:53 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509233.632768.10030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84480

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3394. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:14:54 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509294.579644.167380@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84481

Radioman wrote:
> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> > Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> > Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> > manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> > I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> > tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> > BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> > on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> > caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> > removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> > for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> > switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> > improvement modifications that you know of?
> >
> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
> >
> > Frank
> > K3YAZ
> > Tucson

3395. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:15:30 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509330.471805.172850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84482

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3396. Re: Voice of Armenia to leave shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:15:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C127DF.A9275791@milestones.com>
Source record: 84483

dxAce wrote:

> Voice of Armenia announced in its German broadcasts on Sunday, Monday and
> Tuesday that they will "soon" leave shortwave.
> (Kai Ludwig, DXLD)
>
> So get it while you can. Too bad they cancelled the evening English to NAm long
> ago (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> [Edited by dxAce]
>
> See EiBi for current sked:
>
> http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

Heard here in English at 1910 on 9960, preceded by a stirring rendition of what I assume to be their National Anthem. ID'd as Public Radio of Armenia.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3397. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:17:34 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153509454.801421.42720@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84484
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
>I forgive him his hate and may he find his dick in my mouth

So you've been a masochist your whole life, Markie?

3398. Get a clue stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:18:57 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153509537.327384.191180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

an old freind wrote:
(faggot spam)

Get a clue, stupid.

3399. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:21:47 UTC
From: Radioman <radioman@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <1153509707.701971.74860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84486

Sorry about all the replies, next time I' ll
clean my glasses first.
I had an R 70, sorry I let it go. I'll take its tuning strangeness just
to avoid
the memory battery over an R71A. I think most of the "71" mods apply.
Radioman in Michigan

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson

3400. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:24:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <1ea2c25h3hn0oha095j31892golo18968b@4ax.com>
Source record: 84487

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 18:59:25 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
>receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
>noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references to
>it now.

>
http://www.sat-schneider.de/Fraunhofer_Softwareradio.htm

(Scroll down)

3401. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:27:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C12AB7.23C172D1@milestones.com>
Source record: 84488

David wrote:

> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 18:59:25 GMT, D Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
> >receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
> >noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references to
> >it now.
>
> >
> http://www.sat-schneider.de/Fraunhofer_Softwareradio.htm
>
> (Scroll down)

DRM, like David Eduardo, = QRM

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3402. Re: Voice of Armenia to leave shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:44:54 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153511093.965020.253890@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84489

dxAce wrote:
> Voice of Armenia announced in its German broadcasts on Sunday, Monday and
> Tuesday that they will "soon" leave shortwave.
> (Kai Ludwig, DXLD)
>
> So get it while you can. Too bad they cancelled the evening English to NAm long
> ago (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> [Edited by dxAce]
>
> See EiBi for current sked:
>
> http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

There goes another one !

3403. Re: Iraq: Turkey Threatens Military Incursion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:55:05 UTC
From: WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net>
Message-ID: <12c2c9a2miol18e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84490

Iraq: Turkey Threatens Military Incursion

Turkey has said it was taking steps this week to prepare for a cross-border incursion into northern Iraq to hunt down Kurdistan Workers Party (PKK) fighters holed up in the Qandil Mountain range.

http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/library/news/iraq/2006/07/iraq-060721-rferl01.htm

---

> London, Jul. 19 - Iranian authorities have put pressure on Iraqi officials
> to crack down on the main Iranian opposition group, the People's Mojahedin
> Organisation of Iran (PMOI).
>
> http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7971
>
>
> Iran: We Support Turkey's Possible Cross-Border Operation
>
> "Turkey has the right to annihilate terrorists wherever they are found.
Iran
> is ready to do its best to help Turkey"
>
> http://www.trend.az/?mod=shownews&news=23784&lang=en
>
>
> --
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
> http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com
>
>

3404. Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 19:56:06 UTC
From: WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net>
Message-ID: <12c2cb062ra4od2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84491

Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Nearly 25 years after the Falklands War, Argentina and the UK are once again trading rhetoric about sovereignty over the islands

http://www.janes.com/security/international_security/news/fr/fr060721_1_n.shtml

3405. Looking for a new yacht

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:06:47 UTC
From: hyperbillionaire@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153512407.539185.315060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84492

Take a tour in the european yacht industry @ http://www.europaluxury.com

3406. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:52:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C13E8B-486@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84552

Like Barney Fife used to say, You have to Nip it!,Nip it in the bud!
cuhulin

3407. Re: Shortwave Transmitter Co-ordinates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:06 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-39E209.13570621072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84551

In article <44C10BDA.2AACC070@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> shortwavesites@gmail.com wrote:
>
> > I am researching transmitter site information for domestic &
> > international shortwave broadcasters & I was wondering if anyone
> > has access & knowledge of latitude/longitude co-ordinates of TXer
> > sites outside of the USA & Australia? The co-ordinates should be
> > precise enough to be detailed down to Degrees, Minutes & SECONDS.
> >
> > Also any information on the commencement date & last day of
> > broadcast of broadcasts from SW radio txer sites of broadcasters
> > would be very much appreciated.
> >
> > I would be very gratefull for your assistance.
>
> Don't know whether you've seen this site or not:
>
> http://www.tdp.info/
>
> The WRTH used to list the co-ordinates for some sites, but I'm
> uncertain of the accuracy.

You can go to the HFCC (High Frequency Co-ordination Conference) and
down load the latest information (A06) for short wave. The zipped
document is several files and the one you are interested in is Site.txt

It has degrees, minutes, no seconds in the listing.

<http://www.hfcc.org/data/index.html>

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3408. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4dbwg.1160$gF6.521@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>Slow Code wrote:
>> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>
>> >>> >
>> >>
>> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> I agree with that.
>> >>>
>> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
>> >>in english please
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>> SC
>> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
>> >
>> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and
>> > your text and proper quoting and spelling.
>> >
>> > Barry
>
> Get over yourself.
>
>> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages
>> him to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him
>> instead.
>>
>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

>>
>> SC
>
> I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> CW?

Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.

SC

3409. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7dbwg.1161$gF6.551@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1153508826.869170.206770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
>
> I would disagree, I know a number of amateur operators who would like
> to listen to it.
>
> Todd N9OGL

ROFL, Just the ones that can't pass a code test. They can only listen.

SC

3410. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <adbwg.1162$gF6.85@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in
news:e9ppb9cs1@news2.kornet.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Hello,
>>> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
>>> band.
>>> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
>>> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the
>>> distance between the turns will be a little greater than the factory
>>> adjusted coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
>>> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
>>> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
>>> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
>>> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
>>> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
>>> I'll be very thankful for your help.
>>> Ridgh
>>
>>
>> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
>> don't know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the
>> tank.
>>
>> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>> is needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>>
>> It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the
>> people in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers.
>> They're experts.
>
> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
>
>> You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
>> don't know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the
>> tank.
>

> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either
> inductance or capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a
> coil, or use a smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully
> modified a GE Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a
> turn from each of the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning
> for proper tracking.

Like you say, it depends on whether you as add capacitance in series or
parallel.

>
>> Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
>> communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
>> is needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
>
> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft
> comms band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC,
> 12KHz). These can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the
> discriminator (or other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow
> for reception with the majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the
> center frequency of the carrier. The detector circuit could be modified
> to detect only AM (and therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually
> by removing some components and wiring around them, but overall this is
> not absolutely necessary.

Missed the 108 - 140 part.

3411. Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:45 UTC
From: type name here <tnh.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ddbwg.1164$gF6.1109@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84497
Cross-posted to:
talk.politics.misc,alt.politics.bush,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.politics.gw-bush,alt.politics.economics,rec.radio.shortwave

You'll have more to bargain with then than just their lives. It's best to bomb sand niggers on their own turf. Don't let them cross the border and enter your country. Bill Clinton let some in here and we had 911 happen. You need to take care of Iran and Syria for good this time, because their long range plans have always been to get rid of you. Do whatever it takes. American supports you.

3412. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:46 UTC
From: Hey Stupid <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <edbwg.1165$gF6.687@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1153445987.534517.169510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >How does a deaf person do that?
>>
>> How does a blind person read the computer screen?
> he does not
>
> which of course has nothing to do with the matter at hand somethat
> would easy to sow were to have the slightest intelectual hoestly but no
> you hacked evverything away

You friggen lost it. There's no way you could have passed the written,
let alone CW.

May the lord bless and grant us peace from the mental illness that traps
you by pulling the plug on your internet.

3413. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ldbwg.1166$gF6.241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84548

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:23234-44C06496-193@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> When bush was recently in Germany,he had groped that what's her name
> German woman's shoulder.Did y'all see the incredulous look she gave
> bush? No sane President of U.S.A.would have done such a thing as that.
> cuhulin

When clinton was in the whitehouse, he had groped that what's her name
Kathleen Willies puss and tits. Did y'all see how she looked
assulted? No sane President of U.S.A.would have done such a thing as that.
SC

3414. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ndbwg.1167$gF6.506@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84549

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:lcZvg.214544$Mn5.202088@pd7tw3no:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:bSgvg.207016$iF6.197427@pd7tw2no:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>> news:ZsZug.208603$IK3.19428@pd7tw1no:
>>>>
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>>> news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>>>>> cuhulin
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on
>>>>>> yet.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>
>>>>> It's not a tough answer to work out but apparently exceeds your
>>>>> mental capacity.
>>>>>
>>>>> JB
>>>>
>>>> Do we figure it out by what you say, or by what you do?
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>> We don't stand with liars that try to dupe the international
>>> community. Clear enough for you?
>>>
>>> JB
>>
>>
>> Okay Johnie, tell us how you might have been duped, and try not to
>> stick your head up your ass when you do it. It makes you sound
>> muffled.
>>
>> SC
>>

> Here's a list of fine quotes for you:
> http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article4882.htm
>
> "See, in my line of work you got to keep repeating things over and over
> and over again for the truth to sink in, to kind of catapult the
> propaganda."
>
> George W Bush, 5/24/05
>
> http://www.wsws.org/articles/2004/jan2004/wmds-j10.shtml
>
> Gotta love this from a Colin Powell aide:
> http://www.cnn.com/2005/WORLD/meast/08/19/powell.un/
>
> And from Powell himself:
>
> "But two years earlier, Powell said just the opposite. The occasion was
> a press conference on 24 February 2001 during Powell's visit to Cairo,
> Egypt. Answering a question about the US-led sanctions against Iraq, the
> Secretary of State said:
>
> We had a good discussion, the Foreign Minister and I and the
> President and I, had a good discussion about the nature of the sanctions
> -- the fact that the sanctions exist -- not for the purpose of hurting
> the Iraqi people, but for the purpose of keeping in check Saddam
> Hussein's ambitions toward developing weapons of mass destruction. We
> should constantly be reviewing our policies, constantly be looking at
> those sanctions to make sure that they are directed toward that purpose.
> That purpose is every bit as important now as it was ten years ago when
> we began it. And frankly they have worked. He has not developed any
> significant capability with respect to weapons of mass destruction. He
> is unable to project conventional power against his neighbors. So in
> effect, our policies have strengthened the security of the neighbors of
> Iraq..."
>
> The lies never cease from King George and his crew. I know the truth is
> indigestible to you. Hell, you probably don't have the stomach, let
> alone the balls, to admit that he fooled alot of you.
>
> JB

Yep, You were duped alright. By the websites you read, not by George W Bush.

SC

3415. Re: The Republicans got us into this war; How are they Gonna Get us out ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pdbwg.1168$gF6.971@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84550

barnegatdx@aol.com wrote in
news:1153395087.644958.151700@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>The Republicans got us into this war; How are they Gonna Get us out ?

By winning

3416. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 21:17:25 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-E87861.14172521072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84553

In article
<hu9wg.157578$mF2.146982@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> I must have missed this going through my regular updates, but Tom
> DeVesto, of Tivoli Audio announced last month that Tivoli is in
> development of and will soon release it's first HD radio in Fall of
> 2006 featuring the new chipsets and specifications from iBiquity.
> For those interested in HD AM, (and those not particularly so) this
> would be the 2.0 spec that David Gleason has been making reference
> to.
>
> That DeVesto is on board I find encouraging. It will be interesting
> to see how AM HD has been improved since I heard it's first
> incarnation.
>
> It will also be interesting to see what kind of reception range HD
> will have from my home base, given that on a good day, my local AM's
> are mostly covered up with the HD rash from stations so equipped.

I expect that this will be a table top unit.

Got any idea what the radio will sell for?

> Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
> receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
> noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references
> to it now.
>
> If there is such a stand alone DRM receiver, has it been released for
> sale in the US? If not, where might one be acquired?

The prototype units I saw took about 1 Amp or a little over that at some
DC voltage probably in the 12 to 15 Volt range so I'll leave it to you
to calculate the RMS watts it uses.

If you go to the DRM web site I'm sure they will have some links to at
least the prototype radios I saw before. Maybe there are some in actual
production by now by Mayah communications and Coding technologies.

<http://www.drm.org/>

> And how widespread is DRM deployment?

Not very much going on apparently.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3417. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 21:20:05 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153516805.568167.100960@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Ridgh wrote:

> I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :(it's
> not allowed without a special licence.

What countries don't allow VHF airband radios for civilians? Weird.

--
stephanie weil
new york

3418. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 21:25:26 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bBbwg.19502$iP1.664@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 84555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Stephanie Weil wrote:
> Ridgh wrote:
>
>> I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :(it's
>> not allowed without a special licence.
>
> What countries don't allow VHF airband radios for civilians? Weird.
>
Germany, for one....

jak

> --
> stephanie weil
> new york
>
>

3419. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 21:29:48 UTC
From: "Chuck U. Farley" <cfu@emanon.net>
Message-ID: <gHbwg.71023$fb2.30063@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84556

Telamon wrote:

> What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
> want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
> then they want you dead.
>

Oooops, yet another 'Off Topic Post' from the one who chastises others
for doing the same thing.

Telamon, the Great Hypocrite, has struck again!

Remember now, do as he says, not as he does---otherwise he'll <Plonk!>
you...

Bwahaaaaahaaaaahaaa

3420. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 21:32:05 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pJbwg.439104$Fs1.108775@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84557

Telamon wrote:
> In article
> <hu9wg.157578$mF2.146982@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> I must have missed this going through my regular updates, but Tom
>> DeVesto, of Tivoli Audio announced last month that Tivoli is in
>> development of and will soon release it's first HD radio in Fall of
>> 2006 featuring the new chipsets and specifications from iBiquity.
>> For those interested in HD AM, (and those not particularly so) this
>> would be the 2.0 spec that David Gleason has been making reference
>> to.
>>
>> That DeVesto is on board I find encouraging. It will be interesting
>> to see how AM HD has been improved since I heard it's first
>> incarnation.
>>
>> It will also be interesting to see what kind of reception range HD
>> will have from my home base, given that on a good day, my local AM's
>> are mostly covered up with the HD rash from stations so equipped.
>
> I expect that this will be a table top unit.

Yes. The same size, the same cosmetics as the Model One.

>
> Got any idea what the radio will sell for?
>

Not yet.

>> Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
>> receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
>> noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references
>> to it now.
>>
>> If there is such a stand alone DRM receiver, has it been released for
>> sale in the US? If not, where might one be acquired?
>
> The prototype units I saw took about 1 Amp or a little over that at some
> DC voltage probably in the 12 to 15 Volt range so I'll leave it to you
> to calculate the RMS watts it uses.
>
> If you go to the DRM web site I'm sure they will have some links to at
> least the prototype radios I saw before. Maybe there are some in actual

> production by now by Mayah communications and Coding technologies.
>
> <http://www.drm.org/>
>
>> And how widespread is DRM deployment?
>
> Not very much going on apparently.

Apparently.

>

3421. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 22:00:58 UTC
From: Ridgh <nina.p20@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153519258.079176.314110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Switzerland, France, Belgium, Israel, Egypt.... and many more
jakdedert wrote:
> Stephanie Weil wrote:
> > Ridgh wrote:
> >
> >> I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :( it's
> >> not allowed without a special licence.
> >
> > What countries don't allow VHF airband radios for civilians? Weird.
> >
> Germany, for one....
>
> jak
>
> > --
> > stephanie weil
> > new york
> >
> >
```

3422. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 22:01:16 UTC
From: "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153519276.459322.234630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84559

> You'll have more to bargain with then than just their lives. It's best to
> bomb sand niggers on their own turf.

.....
"It's better to jaw, jaw, jaw than war, war, war."

"the worst peace is better than the best war."

..... Winston Churchill

3423. Re: Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 22:25:50 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <e9rk9enoq1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 84560

WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net> wrote:

> Nearly 25 years after the Falklands War, Argentina and the UK are once again
> trading rhetoric about sovereignty over the islands

No biggie, IMO. In a world where a halfwit can tell lies,
lies RECOGNIZED as lies by the rest of the world, and use
those lies to start an unnecessary and unwinnable war,
killing thousands and thousands of innocent people, and
the stupid little chimpanzee can STILL get re-elected,
what is one little war over an island, more or less?

____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu
____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

3424. World Radio Handbook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 22:40:59 UTC
From: Dan Henderson <dandan2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <COqdnTiZXfKTxVzZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84561

Anyone have the Summer supplements of WRH for 1960, 1961, and 1962 available for sale? If so, please contact me...dandan2@comcast.net.

3425. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 22:49:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-9B77F1.15493721072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84562

In article <gHbwg.71023$fb2.30063@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"Chuck U. Farley" <cfu@emanon.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
> > want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
> > then they want you dead.
> >
>
> Oooops, yet another 'Off Topic Post' from the one who chastises others
> for doing the same thing.
>
> Telamon, the Great Hypocrite, has struck again!
>
> Remember now, do as he says, not as he does---otherwise he'll <Plonk!>
> you...
>
> Bwahaaaaahaaaaahaaa

Ah yes same Trolling idiot with yet another handle.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3426. Re: Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 23:11:56 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-66DDFA.16115521072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84563

In article <e9rk9enoq1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>, <kuzen001@duke.edu>
wrote:

> WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net> wrote:
>
> > Nearly 25 years after the Falklands War, Argentina and the UK are once again
> > trading rhetoric about sovereignty over the islands
>
> No biggie, IMO. In a world where a halfwit can tell lies,
> lies RECOGNIZED as lies by the rest of the world, and use
> those lies to start an unnecessary and unwinnable war,
> killing thousands and thousands of innocent people, and
> the stupid little chimpanzee can STILL get re-elected,
> what is one little war over an island, more or less?

You have only demonstrated that you are stuck on stupid in this post
but hey, no biggie.

I haven't listened for Argentina in years. Do they have an english
broadcast people in NA can hear? Might be interesting listening if
things heat up there like they seem to be else where.

Anybody else notice leftists and nut case terrorists world wide seem to
be causing problems? Either it's the heat or it's deliberate
coordination.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3427. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 23:36:08 UTC
From: ~ <read@it.net>
Message-ID: <12c2pge1a2fuu3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84564

Calgary to be specific.

"Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
> That explains the lack of a spine!
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

3428. Iran Paid N.Korea to Launch Missles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 23:38:07 UTC
From: ~ <read@it.net>
Message-ID: <12c2pjuhul9gd73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84566

Terror's Nuclear Nexus

According to reports out of North Korea, not only were Iranian observers - primarily scientists and engineers - present at the multiple missile launches that the Dear Leader flung in the general direction of Japan during U.S. Independence Day celebrations, but the Iranians may even have paid for the tests. It has long been known and reported in this column that the Iranians have worked for years with North Korean counterparts to improve the Nodong and Taepodong missiles (that Iran calls the Shehab class) and to co-develop terminal guidance technology and other aspects of warhead research. The rocket body itself is one of several critical parts of an effective missile, the warhead, payload, and guidance system being others.

Iran has threatened use of these weapons complete with nuclear warhead to exterminate Israel. Those who take the words of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad seriously as he speaks of wiping Israel from the map recognize that every delay, every bit of procrastination, every strategy that relies on the unfit like the EU and UN to do the heavy lifting, are simply opportunities for Iran. They need time to work with their North Korean pals to finalize warhead, nuclear payload, and guidance technologies. Before they can pull the trigger they need to be assured that the attack will work. Hence Iranian sponsorship of the North Korean missile shots.
...
Historically these aggressors use surrogates to test their weaponry prior to launching an irreversible attack against their enemies. Hitler used first the Baltic fighting then the Spanish Civil War as made-to-order settings for experimenting with tactics and weapons before he burst blitzkrieg upon a slumbering world. Similarly Ahmadinejad has reportedly paid for rocket testing in North Korea, experimenting with much more than simply firing off long-range missiles. Key to the Korean test and confusing to many observers are the number of rockets shot off. Why, analysts question, did Kim have to shoot so many? Wasnt the real test about the long-range Taepodong II, capable of reaching America?

It could well be that the Taepodong II test was a smokescreen. Was the entire affair a sleight of hand intended to deceive American and Japanese observers naturally preoccupied with national defenses? It is an entirely plausible hypothesis. The real test was to see how swiftly these missiles could be deployed and simultaneously mass-launched. Suppose the simulation was that each test missile was fired by a military unit that in wartime would be armed with several missiles. Therefore each separate North Korean launch actually represented a score or more of missiles. Then Iranian observers would be able to assess how well the missiles perform in the tactical combat situation they anticipate. In war perhaps hundreds of these rockets could be flying at unsuspecting targets, maybe with VX nerve gas or

radiological warheads, achieving surprise and inflicting massive casualties
on the enemy. This, according to some observers, is exactly the kind of live
simulation for which the Iranians paid large sums to the North Koreans.
...
http://frontpagemagazine.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23441

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3429. Re: World Radio Handbook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 23:41:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <wCdwg.71028$fb2.36561@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84565

"Dan Henderson" <dandan2@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:COqdnTiZXfKTxVzZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com...
> Anyone have the Summer supplements of WRH for 1960, 1961, and 1962
> available for sale? If so, please contact me...dandan2@comcast.net.

They come up, although rarely, on e-bay. If you are a member, put in a
search and set it up for autonotification.

3430. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-21 23:50:11 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <D56dnTXNxqap9VzZnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84567

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.

I'm not Pete, but I have owned an R-70 for a long time (20 years?). My take on it is pretty much what you seem to think. The AM detector leaves something to be desired. It sounds a bit distorted.

I know that Sherwood sells an R-70 synchronous detector. I haven't got $400 to throw at this, to tell whether it's worth the money. I will say I've yet to hear anything negative about their products.

I have the CW filter, and the FM board. Sideband, CW, RTTY, and FM all work quite well. The Notch and PBT are very good.

I have some microcontroller chips and I'm thinking of writing some software to handle the four bit strobed interface in the back of the unit. I'm not sure how complex it is, but I feel I'm about to find out.

DE AB3A

3431. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 00:02:35 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9rpqc$s32$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"jakdedert" <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:bBbwg.19502$iP1.664@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
> Stephanie Weil wrote:
>> Ridgh wrote:
>>
>>> I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :(it's
>>> not allowed without a special licence.
>>
>> What countries don't allow VHF airband radios for civilians? Weird.
>>
> Germany, for one....

South Korea for another.. actually, I think you will find that MOST
countries don't allow any VHF reception other than broadcast unless you are
a ham operator or military affiliated (or a user of the individual
services).

3432. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 00:20:43 UTC
From: Ridgh <nina.p20@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153527643.418949.176630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In any case, if anyone can and wish to help me, here is the image of
the receiver:
http://www.petrutech.com/images/496848_DS_Page_1.jpg

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "jakdedert" <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:bBbwg.19502$iP1.664@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
> > Stephanie Weil wrote:
> >> Ridgh wrote:
> >>
> >>> I cannot buy an airband receiver - as in my primitive country :(it's
> >>> not allowed without a special licence.
> >>
> >> What countries don't allow VHF airband radios for civilians? Weird.
> >>
> > Germany, for one....
>
> South Korea for another.. actually, I think you will find that MOST
> countries don't allow any VHF reception other than broadcast unless you are
> a ham operator or military affiliated (or a user of the individual
> services).

3433. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 00:37:23 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7pr2c2tp1hh78piijvn28u0t4cfd28n0fk@4ax.com>
Source record: 84570

You should try reading what Claude Shannon had to say on the subject.

How good your 7khz channel sounds depends upon how good the signal to
noise ratio is. In an analog system, reduced signal to noise ratio
rarely conveys additional information.
In a digital system, you can arrange the carrier phase relationships
to carry data. The ear however is pretty much insensitive to the phase
relationship. One result is that a 9,600 bit per second vocoder can
reproduce speech quite well, in fact that is the data payload on a GSM
mobile phone.

If our 7khz channel has a 40db S/N ratio, the channel capacity is
actually far higher than 7000 bits per second, with a 40db S/N ratio,
the Shannon theorem says you can get just shy of 100,000 bits per
second. 100,000 bps isn't quite standard FM quality, but it beats the
hell our of anything that you get out of the bandwidth with analog. In
the real world, we cannot quite get to the Shannon theorem limits, but
we can get pretty close, and do quite regularly. The Deep space
network for communicating with planetary probes operates within a tiny
fraction of the Shannon limits....

In an AM analog envirorment, you are bandwidth limited to 0-3.5Khz by
a 7Khz channel, you can get to 0-7Khz if you SSB-SC....

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 07:01:06 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>In article <e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net>,
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
>> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I accidentally
>> deleted while trying to respond) that "people want digital quality... they
>> just don't know that HD provides this yet."
>>
>> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
>>
>> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be more
>> accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency response. The
>> best you can do is get a reasonably close APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the
>> analog signal if you have a high enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would
>> be physically impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
>> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of smaller and
>> smaller square waves.
>>
>> AND
>>

>> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7 KHz
>> digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter what logarithm is
>> used, you are going to LOSE frequency response, dynamic range, and waveform
>> accuracy using any sort of digital codec to represent an analog signal. Even
>> 64Kb mp3 is considered garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince
>> me (and others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
>> physics call your bluff.
>
>The reality is worse than the theoretical because you have to add the
>distortion and noise of analog to digital conversion and then digital
>back to analog.
>
>Analog uses the bandwidth as a contiguous spectrum where the digital
>schemes use a series of discrete carriers so it is not as efficient.
>Lower efficiency, quantization errors, low bit rate means that there is
>no chance digital can sound as good as analog in the same bandwidth.

3434. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 00:40:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153528856.788510.316740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>
> >> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I agree with that.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
> >> >>in english please
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> SC
> >> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
> >> >
> >> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and
> >> > your text and proper quoting and spelling.
> >> >
> >> > Barry
> >
> > Get over yourself.
> >
> >> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages
> >> him to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him
> >> instead.
> >>

> >> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> > stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> > CW?
>
>
> Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.
>
> SC

I don't think a CB will go that far.

3435. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 00:49:24 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153529364.422380.61080@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

jakdedert wrote:

> Germany, for one....
>
> jak

That's weird. I remember seeing an ad in a German magazine (from Germany) for a multi-band portable that covered Air, police and other PS bands. It was one of those walkie-talkie looking things with an analog dial.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

3436. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 01:15:25 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153530925.884825.100190@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84573

ve3... wrote:
> > You'll have more to bargain with then than just their lives. It's best to
> > bomb sand niggers on their own turf.
>
> .....
> "It's better to jaw, jaw, jaw than war, war, war."
>
> "the worst peace is better than the best war."
>
> .... Winston Churchill

"Peace can only be won by the sword"

........N9NEO

3437. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 01:37:17 UTC
From: smaus@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <k1v2c2dn36fmocknetrt6hv7esd9aeigv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 84574

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 20:57:45 GMT, some twit, justifiably embarrased
to sign his name wrote:

>You'll have more to bargain with then than just their lives. It's best to
>bomb sand niggers on their own turf. Don't let them cross the border and
>enter your country. Bill Clinton let some in here and we had 911 happen.
>You need to take care of Iran and Syria for good this time, because their
>long range plans have always been to get rid of you. Do whatever it takes.
>American supports you.

Ralph Schoenman gives a rather more detailed account to Israel's
history than you'll ever see in the mass media in this country.
http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=469

3438. Re: Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 01:52:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153533177.143566.254260@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84575

Telamon wrote:
> In article <e9rk9enoq1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>, <kuzen001@duke.edu>
> wrote:
>
> > WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Nearly 25 years after the Falklands War, Argentina and the UK are once again
> > > trading rhetoric about sovereignty over the islands
> >
> > No biggie, IMO. In a world where a halfwit can tell lies,
> > lies RECOGNIZED as lies by the rest of the world, and use
> > those lies to start an unnecessary and unwinnable war,
> > killing thousands and thousands of innocent people, and
> > the stupid little chimpanzee can STILL get re-elected,
> > what is one little war over an island, more or less?
>
> You have only demonstrated that you are stuck on stupid in this post
> but hey, no biggie.
>
> I haven't listened for Argentina in years. Do they have an english
> broadcast people in NA can hear? Might be interesting listening if
> things heat up there like they seem to be else where.
>
> Anybody else notice leftists and nut case terrorists world wide seem to
> be causing problems? Either it's the heat or it's deliberate
> coordination.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

I can hear them late in the evening fairly often on around 11710.6, but
I'm on the East Coast.

Steve

3439. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 01:57:55 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0c03c2h642vdc3l26irgt3nckntmtl9o1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 84576

I think the original was an attempt to point out that there are limits
to lossless compression, however the Nyquist theorem makes clear that
the example below is not useful.

What he is talking about is that if you sample a 256Hz sine wave at
25.6Khz, you will only get 100 data points on the wave form.
The number of points however is not really of interest. You just need
two per wave form to reconstruct a sine wave. I.E. you can reconstruct
at 256hz sine wave by sampling at only 512 Hz. You just need to make
sure there is a low pass filter on the output on the ADC with a cutoff
well below the sampling rate.

The Fourier Theorem allows you to reconstruct an arbitrary wave form
as the sum of a series of sine wave of differing frequencies and
amplitudes.
For exampe a square wave is
sin(wt)+1/3sin(3wt)+1/5sin(5wt)+1/7sin(7wt) ......

The highest frequency component you can send is half the sampling
rate. So if you want to encode a 256Hz square wave with a 256Khz
sample rate, you will get a pretty good representation since it will
incorporate all of the components up to 499th harmonic, which will
have .2% of the energy of the total sine wave.

>Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>> Let's say you clock a single bit ADC at 256 KHz. Now assume a 256 Hz sine
>> wave (just for ease of doing the math). This means that each cycle will have
>> just 100 points where the waveform is plotted.
>
>
>
>Could you explain, please...? The ease of the math escapes me.
>
>
>
>
>
>zero II

3440. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:00:19 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-963BD1.19001821072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84577

In article <7pr2c2tp1hh78piijvn28u0t4cfd28n0fk@4ax.com>,
matt weber <matthewww50@verizon.net> wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 07:01:06 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> >In article <e9kj10$76b$1@news2.kornet.net>,
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> >
> >> David Eduardo stated in a reply to one of my posts (that I
> >> accidentally deleted while trying to respond) that "people want
> >> digital quality... they just don't know that HD provides this
> >> yet."
> >>
> >> I submit more physics to back up that this is an oxymoron. To wit:
> >>
> >> There is no way that ANY digital form of reproduction can EVER be
> >> more accurate than an analog one for any given bandwidth/frequency
> >> response. The best you can do is get a reasonably close
> >> APPROXIMATION or FACSIMILE of the analog signal if you have a high
> >> enough bitrate AND sampling rate. It would be physically
> >> impossible for a digital reproduction system to perfectly
> >> reproduce a complex analog signal (or even a sine wave) out of
> >> smaller and smaller square waves.
> >>
> >> AND
> >>
> >> You can't get anything like a high quality reproduction out of a 7
> >> KHz digital bandwidth on an AM IBOC channel. It doesn't matter
> >> what logarithm is used, you are going to LOSE frequency response,
> >> dynamic range, and waveform accuracy using any sort of digital
> >> codec to represent an analog signal. Even 64Kb mp3 is considered
> >> garbage for most purposes, and you try to convince me (and
> >> others) that a 7 KHz stream is as good as FM quality? The laws of
> >> physics call your bluff.
> >
> >The reality is worse than the theoretical because you have to add
> >the distortion and noise of analog to digital conversion and then
> >digital back to analog.
> >
> >Analog uses the bandwidth as a contiguous spectrum where the digital
> >schemes use a series of discrete carriers so it is not as efficient.
> >Lower efficiency, quantization errors, low bit rate means that there
> >is no chance digital can sound as good as analog in the same

> >bandwidth.
>
> You should try reading what Claude Shannon had to say on the subject.

As a researcher Claude Shannon developed information theory but the proposed systems DRM and IBOC don't live up to their claims.

> How good your 7khz channel sounds depends upon how good the signal to
> noise ratio is. In an analog system, reduced signal to noise ratio
> rarely conveys additional information.

Let's get real. In the analog world signal to noise is what you want to hear as opposed to what you don't want to hear. What this means in real world terms is if I can turn up the volume as loud as I care to on a radio and only hear the program material I'm golden. If I hear a little noise like static then I'n not quite as good. If I'm hearing a lot of static or hiss but I can still make it out it sucks but if I chose to stick with it I can get most or all the information.

With digital if the signal to noise goes from infinite large to just above the minimum then I get just the program information and zero noise excepting the circuit noise at and following conversion. Sounds pretty good so far right. Then we get close to the SNR edge and bad things start to happen. Whatever your level of artifact tolerance level is it is about to be exceeded. Distortion goes through the roof and you will start missing some of the program material because it will be garbled. There will be no background noise but you will not be able to understand what is being said anyway. Now we move a little closer to the edge and besides the program content being garbled dropouts occur. The program starts and stops in a very jarring way in between all the garbage being generated. We then have things deteriorate a little further and then silence. I got the station tuned in but nothing. Tap the radio on the side, then hit it a little harder, finally drop kick it the result is the same, nothing.

This is better? It has some good points and bad points. I don't think it's better just different. It might be better in some conditions such as well above the SNR threshold where you hear essentially no noise but for that to be better the program material has to be faithfully reproduced and I don't like the sound of DRM. I have not heard the digital part of IBOC yet so the jury is out on that.

> In a digital system, you can arrange the carrier phase relationships
> to carry data. The ear however is pretty much insensitive to the
> phase relationship. One result is that a 9,600 bit per second vocoder
> can reproduce speech quite well, in fact that is the data payload on
> a GSM mobile phone.
>
> If our 7khz channel has a 40db S/N ratio, the channel capacity is
> actually far higher than 7000 bits per second, with a 40db S/N ratio,
> the Shannon theorem says you can get just shy of 100,000 bits per
> second. 100,000 bps isn't quite standard FM quality, but it beats the

> hell our of anything that you get out of the bandwidth with analog.
> In the real world, we cannot quite get to the Shannon theorem limits,
> but we can get pretty close, and do quite regularly. The Deep space
> network for communicating with planetary probes operates within a
> tiny fraction of the Shannon limits....
>
> In an AM analog envirorment, you are bandwidth limited to 0-3.5Khz by
> a 7Khz channel, you can get to 0-7Khz if you SSB-SC....

I'll tell you what I find interesting and that is proposed digital schemes that are supposed to sound better for theoretical reasons but when I hear a sample of what is actually made hardware (opposed to vaporware) it doesn't. However, the expected drawbacks are there.

I believe these digital systems are better when I hear it and I'll believe they only occupy the part of the spectrum they are supposed to use when I see it on a spectrum analyzer.

I'm not falling for the hype. When ever you push the performance of any real world object you spend more money at least in the beginning. I just don't believe that is going to happen with DRM, IBOC or any other acronym describing the next new system that is supposed to be a "better" system until I hear it and see it. There is theory and then there is applied engineering. They are two different things.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3441. Re: Raising the ghost of the Falkland Islands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:02:57 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0C235A.19025721072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84578

In article <1153533177.143566.254260@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <e9rk9enoq1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>, <kuzen001@duke.edu>
> > wrote:
> >
> > > WhiskeyBarrel <looking@you.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Nearly 25 years after the Falklands War, Argentina and the UK are once
> > > > again
> > > > trading rhetoric about sovereignty over the islands
> > >
> > > No biggie, IMO. In a world where a halfwit can tell lies,
> > > lies RECOGNIZED as lies by the rest of the world, and use
> > > those lies to start an unnecessary and unwinnable war,
> > > killing thousands and thousands of innocent people, and
> > > the stupid little chimpanzee can STILL get re-elected,
> > > what is one little war over an island, more or less?
> >
> > You have only demonstrated that you are stuck on stupid in this post
> > but hey, no biggie.
> >
> > I haven't listened for Argentina in years. Do they have an english
> > broadcast people in NA can hear? Might be interesting listening if
> > things heat up there like they seem to be else where.
> >
> > Anybody else notice leftists and nut case terrorists world wide seem to
> > be causing problems? Either it's the heat or it's deliberate
> > coordination.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
> I can hear them late in the evening fairly often on around 11710.6, but
> I'm on the East Coast.
>

I'll give it a try.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3442. Re: May Markie's mental illness get only worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:06:17 UTC
From: Woggy Humjobber <ownwerboner@gaynet.com>
Message-ID: <cs13c2lkcqdmictb5b82vselkd84i1b7fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 84580
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 21 Jul 2006 05:37:14 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an old freind wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
>> > > traps you
>> >
>> > Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
>> > you.
>>
>> becuase there is one you and Robeson consrpire to libel and harrass and
>> stalk me
>
>Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.

Woggy knows this because he personally interviewed everyone in the
world who has ever accessed the internet...

3443. Radio Belarus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:07:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153534031.177088.66070@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84579

Here on the East Coast I'm getting unusually good reception of Radio Belarus tonight on 7210 khz. Now hearing news/news analysis.

Steve

3444. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:26:34 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-962442.19263521072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84581

In article <0c03c2h642vdc3l26irgt3nckntmtl9o1h@4ax.com>,
matt weber <matthewww50@verizon.net> wrote:

> I think the original was an attempt to point out that there are limits
> to lossless compression, however the Nyquist theorem makes clear that
> the example below is not useful.
>
> What he is talking about is that if you sample a 256Hz sine wave at
> 25.6Khz, you will only get 100 data points on the wave form.
> The number of points however is not really of interest. You just need
> two per wave form to reconstruct a sine wave. I.E. you can reconstruct
> at 256hz sine wave by sampling at only 512 Hz. You just need to make
> sure there is a low pass filter on the output on the ADC with a cutoff
> well below the sampling rate.
>
> The Fourier Theorem allows you to reconstruct an arbitrary wave form
> as the sum of a series of sine wave of differing frequencies and
> amplitudes.
> For exampe a square wave is
> sin(wt)+1/3sin(3wt)+1/5sin(5wt)+1/7sin(7wt) ......
>
> The highest frequency component you can send is half the sampling
> rate. So if you want to encode a 256Hz square wave with a 256Khz
> sample rate, you will get a pretty good representation since it will
> incorporate all of the components up to 499th harmonic, which will
> have .2% of the energy of the total sine wave.
>
> >Brenda Ann wrote:
> >
> >> Let's say you clock a single bit ADC at 256 KHz. Now assume a 256 Hz sine
> >> wave (just for ease of doing the math). This means that each cycle will
> >> have
> >> just 100 points where the waveform is plotted.
> >
> >
> >
> >Could you explain, please...? The ease of the math escapes me.

Yup, that's the minimum theoretical sample frequency and just follow
that with an low pass amplifier and you have a sine wave and that's how
CD players are made. Oh, wait a minute, that's wrong! What do I see, two
ADC's interlaced, over sampling, algorithmic corrections, well, well,
well, what do I make of all that stuff?

So the way I see it the wrong questions are being asked. Why is all this nifty analog to digital and back again technology designed to work with local media and defined hardware circuits and its attendant QOS supposed to be a good thing on signals that refract off the varying ionosphere and the earth over vast distances?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3445. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 02:40:18 UTC
From: "Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa>
Message-ID: <megwg.31375$uy3.11686@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 84582

"matt weber" <matthewww50@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:7pr2c2tp1hh78piijvn28u0t4cfd28n0fk@4ax.com...
> You should try reading what Claude Shannon had to say on the subject.
>
> How good your 7khz channel sounds depends upon how good the signal to
> noise ratio is. In an analog system, reduced signal to noise ratio
> rarely conveys additional information.
> In a digital system, you can arrange the carrier phase relationships
> to carry data. The ear however is pretty much insensitive to the phase
> relationship. One result is that a 9,600 bit per second vocoder can
> reproduce speech quite well, in fact that is the data payload on a GSM
> mobile phone.
>
> If our 7khz channel has a 40db S/N ratio, the channel capacity is
> actually far higher than 7000 bits per second, with a 40db S/N ratio,
> the Shannon theorem says you can get just shy of 100,000 bits per
> second. 100,000 bps isn't quite standard FM quality, but it beats the
> hell our of anything that you get out of the bandwidth with analog. In
> the real world, we cannot quite get to the Shannon theorem limits, but
> we can get pretty close, and do quite regularly. The Deep space
> network for communicating with planetary probes operates within a tiny
> fraction of the Shannon limits....
>
> In an AM analog envirorment, you are bandwidth limited to 0-3.5Khz by
> a 7Khz channel, you can get to 0-7Khz if you SSB-SC....

Nice to see another person who understands
Shannon.

--
rb

3446. ALMOST TIME FOR .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:18:51 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153538331.436516.62400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

... THE GREAT LIBERTY NET.

Saturday night @ 22:00 EDT. Somewhere between 3950 and 3990 khz, LSB.

You WILL listen, and you WILL enjoy it.

"I love the lickacrotchee net", - Sid The Lid, K5BLB.

3447. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:22:19 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-EB3EC0.20221921072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84584

In article <megwg.31375$uy3.11686@tornado.socal.rr.com>,
"Ron Baker, Pluralitas!" <stoshu@bellsouth.net.pa> wrote:

> "matt weber" <matthewww50@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:7pr2c2tp1hh78piijvn28u0t4cfd28n0fk@4ax.com...
> > You should try reading what Claude Shannon had to say on the subject.
> >
> > How good your 7khz channel sounds depends upon how good the signal to
> > noise ratio is. In an analog system, reduced signal to noise ratio
> > rarely conveys additional information.
> > In a digital system, you can arrange the carrier phase relationships
> > to carry data. The ear however is pretty much insensitive to the phase
> > relationship. One result is that a 9,600 bit per second vocoder can
> > reproduce speech quite well, in fact that is the data payload on a GSM
> > mobile phone.
> >
> > If our 7khz channel has a 40db S/N ratio, the channel capacity is
> > actually far higher than 7000 bits per second, with a 40db S/N ratio,
> > the Shannon theorem says you can get just shy of 100,000 bits per
> > second. 100,000 bps isn't quite standard FM quality, but it beats the
> > hell our of anything that you get out of the bandwidth with analog. In
> > the real world, we cannot quite get to the Shannon theorem limits, but
> > we can get pretty close, and do quite regularly. The Deep space
> > network for communicating with planetary probes operates within a tiny
> > fraction of the Shannon limits....
> >
> > In an AM analog envirorment, you are bandwidth limited to 0-3.5Khz by
> > a 7Khz channel, you can get to 0-7Khz if you SSB-SC....
>
> Nice to see another person who understands
> Shannon.

Oh, we know about something much better than that, we understand you.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3448. Re: ALMOST TIME FOR .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:27:57 UTC
From: LW <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153538876.968447.100450@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> "I love the lickacrotchee net", - Sid The Lid, K5BLB.

Oh just looky here .. shows up a little early thinking we won't notice.

Well we did.

I betcha right now he's camped out at the Notel Motel with his harem.

Janet and Madelyn and Cynthia.

CNN reported today that Cynthia has been absent from Congress for a few days.

Yep, it all adds up now .. Y-Man .. good to go. He sure can pick 'em, yeahhhh ..

He he he .. hey I'm just ribbin' him some about an event on SHORTWAVE RADIO.

3449. Quantum QX Loop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:35:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153539335.565059.196270@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84586

I picked up one of these and have been playing with it for a couple of weeks. I figured I'd better act fast to enjoy MW before the profiteers destroy it.

The Quantum is a very nice tool. Certainly a big step up from a Select-A-Tenna, which is what I'd been using. It takes some time, patience and practice to use it to full effect, and I probably still have a way to go, but it's a quality product and I'd recommend it to anyone who's interested in MW DXing.

There are plenty of detailed reviews out there, so I won't belabor the point. Just wanted to cast a "vote" in favor of the Quantum.

Steve

3450. Re: FS: Sony ICF-2010 for $240 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:44:11 UTC
From: country27roads@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153539851.403556.242510@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

fern schuster from buenos aires argentina dear friend i interest
have buy your receiver sony icf 2010 contact to me inurgent form you
got it' bye i see later fern

3451. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:46:46 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Jahwg.12821$Ur.1692@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 84588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Stephanie Weil wrote:
> jakdedert wrote:
>
>> Germany, for one....
>>
>> jak
>
> That's weird. I remember seeing an ad in a German magazine (from
> Germany) for a multi-band portable that covered Air, police and other
> PS bands. It was one of those walkie-talkie looking things with an
> analog dial.
>
> --
> Stephanie Weil
> New York City, NY
>
>
If you had the ad today, you could probably find the disclaimer "For
Export Only" somewhere on it.

You can buy them all over (at least during the 80's when I was last
there); but they all had that wording somewhere on the ad or packaging.

"Nur fur Export"

jak

3452. Re: Quantum QX Loop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 03:49:01 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-636F06.20490121072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84589

In article <1153539335.565059.196270@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> I picked up one of these and have been playing with it for a couple of
> weeks. I figured I'd better act fast to enjoy MW before the profiteers
> destroy it.
>
> The Quantum is a very nice tool. Certainly a big step up from a
> Select-A-Tenna, which is what I'd been using. It takes some time,
> patience and practice to use it to full effect, and I probably still
> have a way to go, but it's a quality product and I'd recommend it to
> anyone who's interested in MW DXing.
>
> There are plenty of detailed reviews out there, so I won't belabor the
> point. Just wanted to cast a "vote" in favor of the Quantum.

Is it new, used?
Which one did you get?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3453. Re: Quantum QX Loop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 04:06:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153541170.410463.312180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84590

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1153539335.565059.196270@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > I picked up one of these and have been playing with it for a couple of
> > weeks. I figured I'd better act fast to enjoy MW before the profiteers
> > destroy it.
> >
> > The Quantum is a very nice tool. Certainly a big step up from a
> > Select-A-Tenna, which is what I'd been using. It takes some time,
> > patience and practice to use it to full effect, and I probably still
> > have a way to go, but it's a quality product and I'd recommend it to
> > anyone who's interested in MW DXing.
> >
> > There are plenty of detailed reviews out there, so I won't belabor the
> > point. Just wanted to cast a "vote" in favor of the Quantum.
>
> Is it new, used?
> Which one did you get?
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

I got it new, and it's the regular QX Loop, not the QX Pro, which
provides LW coverage. It wouldn't have made sense for me to get the QX
Pro. My environment is too noisy to do anything serious with LW.

3454. Re: How to convert a domestic analog FM radio to VHF ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 04:07:07 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153541227.152316.293170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Brenda Ann wrote:
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
> news:1153464363.169438.75310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >> news:lfUvg.2501$bP5.388@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> > "Ridgh" <nina.p20@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:1153380014.379315.276620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >> Hello,
> >> >> I need to convert a domestic analog FM receiver to the 108-140 MHz
> >> >> band.
> >> >> I've bought a Sony ICF-S10MK2 receiver and found that the oscillator
> >> >> tank has a 4 turns of 22 gauge. I've turned them apart, so the
> >> >> distance
> >> >> between the turns will be a little greater than the factory adjusted
> >> >> coil, and could receive up to about 115MHz, no more.
> >> >> Is this the way to do it? Maybe to cut one turn will be better?
> >> >> I'm not stucked to this receiver, I'd like to know if other cheap
> >> >> receivers may be converted, and if this is the way, or if I have to
> >> >> adjust anything else. I have all the gear needed, oscilloscopes,
> >> >> counters etc. - but no much knowledge on this particular field.
> >> >> I'll be very thankful for your help.
> >> >> Ridgh
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
> >> > don't
> >> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
> >> >
> >> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> >> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
> >> > is
> >> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
> >> >
> >> > It would be easier just to buy a cheap VHF receiver. Talk to the
> >> > people
> >> > in rec.radio.shortwave for ideas on cheap plastic receivers. They're
> >> > experts.
> >>
> >> Couple problems with your answer. Let's take them one at a time:
> >>
```

> >> > You might be able to get it higher with more inductor adjustments, I
> >> > don't
> >> > know the circuit. Maybe have to add some capacitance to the tank.
> >>
> >> To raise the frequency of resonance, you would decrease either inductance
> >> or
> >> capacitance, or both. I.E. you would remove turns from a coil, or use a
> >> smaller series or parallel capacitor. I have successfully modified a GE
> >> Superadio II to receive as high as 155MHz by removing a turn from each of
> >> the RF, Antenna and Oscillator coils and realigning for proper tracking.
> >>
> >> > Here's your real problem though. Broadcast FM is wideband. FM
> >> > communications 135-175 MHz are narrow band. That means more modifying
> >> > is
> >> > needed to the receiver in order to hear the narrow band FM better.
> >>
> >> True, that broadcast FM is wideband (150KHz total, 75KHz deviation), but
> >> most of the frequencies the OP wishes to listen to are in the aircraft
> >> comms
> >> band, and are not FM at all, but fairly broad band AM (IIRC, 12KHz).
> >> These
> >> can be heard by slope detection on an FM radio, and the discriminator (or
> >> other detector circuit) can be detuned a bit to allow for reception with
> >> the
> >> majority of the radio's circuits tuned to the center frequency of the
> >> carrier. The detector circuit could be modified to detect only AM (and
> >> therefor slope detect FM) fairly easily, actually by removing some
> >> components and wiring around them, but overall this is not absolutely
> >> necessary.
> >
> > I believe you have the slope detection application backwards, i.e. it
> > allows FM to be demodulated on a radio set up for AM demod.
> >
> > A slope detection FM demod puts the FM signal through a filter that is
> > not centered properly, i.e. the signal's frequencies are on the slope
> > of the filter, not the passband. Then as the frequency changes, the
> > attenuation of the signal also changes, which effectively has turned FM
> > into AM. Then you rectify and low pass filter, as you would do with an
> > AM signal.
> >
> > Note also that the FM demodulator rejects AM by the use of a limiter.
> > Thus FM radios reject AM quiet well, but the opposite is not true, i.e.
> > FM can be demodulated by an AM demodulator that is tuned off center a
> > bit. High end FM radios will spec their AM rejection:
> > http://www.fanfare.com/fta100-specifications.html
> > The AM rejection in this application relates to the ability to reject
> > atmospheric effects on the FM signal strength, but it would reject
> > broadcast AM too if somehow the AM was on an FM broadcast frequency.
>
> You are correct, but 'slope' detection also works to detect an AM signal on

> a discriminator or ratio detector. By tuning slightly off frequency (or, by
> tuning the detector circuit slightly off frequency from the center carrier..
> i.e. detection on the slope of the modulated signal) you can receive AM
> modulated signals. As stated, I have successfully converted an SRII to
> receive up to 155 MHz, including the 108-132~ AM aircraft band. Perhaps if
> the signal from these AM aircraft stations (and the aircraft themselves)
> were of a higher amplitude, then the limiter would affect them.. i.e. if you
> were close to your local airport's control tower. Even a stock standard
> AM/FM radio (not one with an RF amp, those have too much image rejection)
> will receive aircraft comms between 108 and 129.4 MHz due to various mixing
> products if the signal is closeby, and this with no modification at all.
>
>
> >

I hate to be argumentative, but I just don't agree with you here.
Check out this link. Just how are you slope detecting with your ratio
detector?

<http://www.radio-electronics.com/info/receivers/fm_demod/fm_demodulation.php>

Slope detection
The very simplest form of FM demodulation is known as slope detection
or demodulation. It simply uses a tuned circuit that is tuned to a
frequency slightly offset from the carrier of the signal. As the
frequency of the signal varies up and down in frequency according to
its modulation, so the signal moves up and down the slope of the tuned
circuit. This causes the amplitude of the signal to vary in line with
the frequency variations. In fact at this point the signal has both
frequency and amplitude variations. The final stage in the process is
to demodulate the amplitude modulation and this can be achieved using a
simple diode circuit. One of the most obvious disadvantages of this
simple approach is the fact that both amplitude and frequency
variations in the incoming signal appear at the output. However the
amplitude variations can be removed by placing a limiter before the
detector. Additionally the circuit is not particularly efficient as it
operates down the slope of the tuned circuit. It is also unlikely to be
particularly linear, especially if it is operated close to the resonant
point to minimise the signal loss.

Ratio and Foster-Seeley detectors
When circuits employing discrete components were more widely sued, the
Ratio and Foster-Seeley detectors were widely used. Of these the ratio
detector was the most popular as it offers a better level of amplitude
modulation rejection of amplitude modulation. This enables it to
provide a greater level of noise immunity as most noise is amplitude
noise, and it also enables the circuit to operate satisfactorily with
lower levels of limiting in the preceding IF stages of the receiver.

3455. Re: Voice of Armenia to leave shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 04:18:10 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153541890.843236.79010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84592

Armenia is a shit hole. No one listens anyway.
dxAce wrote:
> Voice of Armenia announced in its German broadcasts on Sunday, Monday and
> Tuesday that they will "soon" leave shortwave.
> (Kai Ludwig, DXLD)
>
> So get it while you can. Too bad they cancelled the evening English to NAm long
> ago (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST)
>
> [Edited by dxAce]
>
> See EiBi for current sked:
>
> http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

3456. Re: Quantum QX Loop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 04:21:18 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153542078.272117.263440@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84593

Sounds like you guys need to get a life. Try jerking off. MW sucks.
Steve wrote:
> I picked up one of these and have been playing with it for a couple of
> weeks. I figured I'd better act fast to enjoy MW before the profiteers
> destroy it.
>
> The Quantum is a very nice tool. Certainly a big step up from a
> Select-A-Tenna, which is what I'd been using. It takes some time,
> patience and practice to use it to full effect, and I probably still
> have a way to go, but it's a quality product and I'd recommend it to
> anyone who's interested in MW DXing.
>
> There are plenty of detailed reviews out there, so I won't belabor the
> point. Just wanted to cast a "vote" in favor of the Quantum.
>
> Steve

3457. New version of Shortwave Log released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 04:39:35 UTC
From: Robert Sillett <noemail@thisdomain.com>
Message-ID: <b_hwg.2673$tw5.1267@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84596

A new version of Shortwave Log has been released. Shortwave Log is a suite of programs providing logging, propagation, radio control, web control, chat, and audio recording. It can be downloaded from http://www.shortwavelog.com

New in this version are improvements to the Chat program and Voice Command & Control for the Radio Control Server program. You can now use your voice (and a microphone) to provide hands-free control of any of the 24 supported receivers.

Bob

3458. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 05:44:59 UTC
From: Baby Cakes <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5p00h.lqq.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84594
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153509454.801421.42720@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

an old freind wrote:
>I forgive him his hate and may he find his dick in my mouth

So you've been a masochist your whole life, Markie?

...
No. Only since he was 13 and his daddy showed him the business end of a
belt.

3459. Re: Eton/Lextronix emergency radio FRxxx - possible to get in 76 mhz version?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 06:15:09 UTC
From: Andreas Eibach <aeibach@mail.com>
Message-ID: <e9sffe$2nm$02$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 84595

"switcher" <no@KijkenZeHierOokAlNaar.be> wrote:
> In article <e9pqalutj00$1@news.t-online.com>,
> "Andreas Eibach" <aeibach@mail.com> wrote:
>
> > Hi, I'm considering to buy such thing, but if so, i'd prefer a
76-108
> > version if this is possible.
> > Yet it seems even in the US shops - where I clearly remember that
the
> > SONY AIR-8 was also sold with FM freq range 76..108 back in 1986 -
the
> > only version available is the 87.5-108 version.
> >
> > Please no "why do you ..." questions, if possible. I'd just like to
know
> > whether it's available or not - thank you.
> >
> > -ae-
> >
>
> The version for Japan should be 76-108 ???

Yes it is, but that applies to the Sony.
My question is what about the emergency radio.

3460. Re: Eton/Lextronix emergency radio FRxxx - possible to get in 76 mhz version?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 09:15:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9sq6h$c30$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84597

"Andreas Eibach" <aeibach@mail.com> wrote in message
news:e9sffe$2nm$02$1@news.t-online.com...
>
> "switcher" <no@KijkenZeHierOokAlNaar.be> wrote:
>> In article <e9pqalutj00$1@news.t-online.com>,
>> "Andreas Eibach" <aeibach@mail.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Hi, I'm considering to buy such thing, but if so, i'd prefer a
> 76-108
>> > version if this is possible.
>> > Yet it seems even in the US shops - where I clearly remember that
> the
>> > SONY AIR-8 was also sold with FM freq range 76..108 back in 1986 -
> the
>> > only version available is the 87.5-108 version.
>> >
>> > Please no "why do you ..." questions, if possible. I'd just like to
> know
>> > whether it's available or not - thank you.
>> >
>> > -ae-
>> >
>>
>> The version for Japan should be 76-108 ???
>
> Yes it is, but that applies to the Sony.
> My question is what about the emergency radio.

I would look into whether Eton makes a version for the Japanese market.
Should be able to find a contact link on their website.

3461. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 12:03:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C213FC.82F28062@milestones.com>
Source record: 84748

dxAce wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> > can't even test it ??????????
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
> sell. Probably know nothing about radio.
>
> There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
>
> Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
> serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.

Now at $1590.00 with a bit more than two days to go.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3462. Panasonic rf-2200 and rf-4900 manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 12:09:23 UTC
From: "burntout@hotmail.com" <burntout@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tzowg.4618$tw5.3853@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84770

The manuals for the Panasonic rf-2200 previously at http://prarl.org are now located at http://kp4tr.org/rf2200.html

The manuals for the Panasonic rf-4900 are now located at http://kp4tr.org/rf4900.html

3463. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 12:18:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com>
Source record: 84749

dxAce wrote:

> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>
> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).

WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the Saturday morning programs on WSGW 790.

A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3464. Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 12:36:53 UTC
From: David Edtardo <read@book.org>
Message-ID: <12c4785qcc4k1b1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84750

Syria placed its army on war preparedness, pointed Scuds at Israel from Thursday, July 20, the day Tehran took control of Lebanon War

Our sources add Syrian fighter pilots are sitting in their cockpits.

These orders went out from Syrian president Bashar Assad July 20 when Iran's Revolutionary Guards commander Brig.-Gen Yahya Rahim Safavi assumed command of the Lebanon war from Hizballah leader Hassan Nasrallah. Tehran's direct military intervention in the conflict was accompanied by an Iranian weapons airlift which began landing Wednesday, July 19, at the Abu Ad Duhur military airfield north of Homs. The deliveries include large quantities of new missiles, including the long-range Zelzal and Fajr 3 and Fajr 5 missiles, Katyusha rockets, anti-tank and anti-air missiles sent out from RG HQ in Bandar Abbas on the Persian Gulf.

Assad acted on the assumption that Israel, whose air force and ground forces are already hammering the cross-border supply routes north of the Litani River to block the passage of Iranian hardware to Hizballah, will soon decide to go for Iranian military operations in Damascus and Abu Ad Duhur.

Gen. Safavi has set up two forward command posts which coordinate war operations with Hizballah chief of staff Ibrahim Akil.

One center is working out of a cellar of the Iranian embassy in Beirut to regulate Hizballah rocket fire against Israel and direct the groups of 3 or 4 RG officers taking part in every Hizballah face-to-face engagement with Israeli ground troops in the south.

The second, housed in the basement of the Iranian embassy in Damascus, is in charge of communications, intelligence and getting hardware into Lebanon.

The deliveries were made to the Abu Ad Duhur airfield because it belongs to the joint Iranian-Syrian Scud missile factory which employs a large number of Iranian engineers and technicians.

DEBKAfile's military sources report that some of the Iranian arms have Hizballah in Lebanon notwithstanding intense Israeli cutoff operations and their impact will probably be palpable in the coming days.

http://www.debka.com/headline.php?hid=2950

3465. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 13:00:07 UTC
From: David Edtardo <read@book.org>
Message-ID: <12c48joiutvjeb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84751

<smaus@austin.rr.com> wrote
>
> Ralph Schoenman gives a rather more detailed account to Israel's
> history than you'll ever see in the mass media in this country.
> http://kurtnimmo.com/

Another un-vetted clearing house for
Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Islamo-Fascist/Communist -

PROPAGANDA and attempts to REWRITE HISTORY

InFUCKINcredible how ignorant public school dropouts are attracted to
conspiracy nuts and pseudo-science garbage.

lmfao

3466. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 13:52:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C22D95.3BF67009@milestones.com>
Source record: 84752

David Edtardo wrote:

> <smaus@austin.rr.com> wrote
> >
> > Ralph Schoenman gives a rather more detailed account to Israel's
> > history than you'll ever see in the mass media in this country.
> > http://kurtnimmo.com/
>
> Another un-vetted clearing house for
> Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Islamo-Fascist/Communist -
>
> PROPAGANDA and attempts to REWRITE HISTORY
>
> InFUCKINcredible how ignorant public school dropouts are attracted to
> conspiracy nuts and pseudo-science garbage.

Members of the Keepers Of Odd Knowledge Society (KOOKS).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3467. FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:16:31 UTC
From: RobnzBoy <RobnzBoy@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153577791.600914.26070@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can listen to or record from.

It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it. But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to hear well. So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its competition.

Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?

3468. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:23:29 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <84d4c2hrmv31kmlt804j5cfkdorcov3j1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 84754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

On 22 Jul 2006 07:16:31 -0700, "RobnzBoy" <RobnzBoy@gmail.com> wrote:

>I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was
>so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of
>my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
>memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can
>listen to or record from.
>
>It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it.
>But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good
>for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to
>hear well. So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to
>the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio
>speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM
>transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one
>from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the
>CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and
>I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its
>competition.
>
>Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?
>
For way less than $70 you can get some really nice powered speakers.

http://www.cambridgesoundworks.com/store/category.cgi?category=mul_speakers&item=c1pcwzzzz

3469. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:23:57 UTC
From: David Edtardo <read@book.org>
Message-ID: <12c4dh1sc7iq3aa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84757

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:0fhtb2hkk335b1nd1dfeh4minffrllqflf@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:01:14 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <look@it.org>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"David" <rickets@knac.com>
> >>
> >[ejaculatory communist propaganda castrated]
> >>
> >
> >Voices in the Wilderness is a member organization of the United for Peace
> >and Justice anti-war coalition (a network of communist front groups)
> >
> The quotes were from Mr. Scheuer.
>

He is your imam.

3470. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:24:33 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <58d4c2ddcjlt9q8acgtvd1rl2qjr17rk0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 84755

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 07:36:53 -0500, "David Edtardo" <read@book.org>
wrote:

>Syria placed its army on war preparedness, pointed Scuds at Israel from
>Thursday, July 20, the day Tehran took control of Lebanon War
>
>Our sources add Syrian fighter pilots are sitting in their cockpits.
>
>These orders went out from Syrian president Bashar Assad July 20 when Iranï¿½s
>Revolutionary Guards commander Brig.-Gen Yahya Rahim Safavi assumed command
>of the Lebanon war from Hizballah leader Hassan Nasrallah. Tehranï¿½s direct
>military intervention in the conflict was accompanied by an Iranian weapons
>airlift which began landing Wednesday, July 19, at the Abu Ad Duhur military
>airfield north of Homs. The deliveries include large quantities of new
>missiles, including the long-range Zelzal and Fajr 3 and Fajr 5 missiles,
>Katyusha rockets, anti-tank and anti-air missiles sent out from RG HQ in
>Bandar Abbas on the Persian Gulf.
>
>Assad acted on the assumption that Israel, whose air force and ground forces
>are already hammering the cross-border supply routes north of the Litani
>River to block the passage of Iranian hardware to Hizballah, will soon
>decide to go for Iranian military operations in Damascus and Abu Ad Duhur.
>
>Gen. Safavi has set up two forward command posts which coordinate war
>operations with Hizballah chief of staff Ibrahim Akil.
>
>One center is working out of a cellar of the Iranian embassy in Beirut to
>regulate Hizballah rocket fire against Israel and direct the groups of 3 or
>4 RG officers taking part in every Hizballah face-to-face engagement with
>Israeli ground troops in the south.
>
>The second, housed in the basement of the Iranian embassy in Damascus, is in
>charge of communications, intelligence and getting hardware into Lebanon.
>
>The deliveries were made to the Abu Ad Duhur airfield because it belongs to
>the joint Iranian-Syrian Scud missile factory which employs a large number
>of Iranian engineers and technicians.
>
>DEBKAfileï¿½s military sources report that some of the Iranian arms have
>Hizballah in Lebanon notwithstanding intense Israeli cutoff operations and
>their impact will probably be palpable in the coming days.
>
>http://www.debka.com/headline.php?hid=2950
>

>
Do you have a point? I say let the Midlle Easterners kill each other.

3471. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:26:02 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153578362.866256.301060@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84756

Appreciate the comments Jake! As far as the AM detector goes (D74), I was able to improve the distortion issues considerably by using a 1 Meg resistor connected from the -10V rail to the diode's cathode. The AM clarity was noticeably improved as was the low signal S/N ratio. Terry Fugate from the Yahoo Kenwood R-2000 group did numerous experiments with different detector diodes and biasing them in his R-2000 and achieved some respectable results. It was just pure luck that the R70 had a negative rail for direct biasing with just the addition of a resistor.

Frank
K3YAZ
Tucson

Jake Brodsky wrote:
> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> I'm not Pete, but I have owned an R-70 for a long time (20 years?). My
> take on it is pretty much what you seem to think. The AM detector
> leaves something to be desired. It sounds a bit distorted.
>
> I know that Sherwood sells an R-70 synchronous detector. I haven't got
> $400 to throw at this, to tell whether it's worth the money. I will say
> I've yet to hear anything negative about their products.
>
> I have the CW filter, and the FM board. Sideband, CW, RTTY, and FM all
> work quite well. The Notch and PBT are very good.
>
> I have some microcontroller chips and I'm thinking of writing some
> software to handle the four bit strobed interface in the back of the
> unit. I'm not sure how complex it is, but I feel I'm about to find out.
>
> DE AB3A

3472. The Nazi-Arab Alliance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:29:50 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12c4ds0547mm26f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84759

The Nazi-Arab Alliance

Like other Holocaust-deniers, those of the Islamic world aren't just flat-Earth cranks, but virulent anti-Semites. Except for a handful of European skinheads and Aryan Nation types holed up in Idaho, The Protocols of The Learned Elders of Zion is still taken seriously only among Muslims — where it's the Harry Potter of Middle East publishing.

This Czarist forgery (which purports to expose a Jewish conspiracy to control humanity) is ubiquitous in jihad land. Saudi Arabia's late King Faisal often gave copies to foreign visitors. Yasser Arafat was a fan. Arab periodicals quote it religiously, to demonstrate the perfidy of the Jews. In 2002, Egyptian television broadcast a 41-episode, dramatized version of The Protocols, entitled. "Horseman Without A Horse."

The roots of Islamic anti-Semitism run deep. Mohammed never forgave the Jews for rejecting his message. After he came to power, Jewish tribes in the Arabian peninsula were converted by the sword, or massacred. The Koran is rife with the Prophet's disdain for Jews. (He called them descendants of apes and pigs.) Alongside this are calls to fight the Jews, who are indicted as the enemies of Allah.

Over the centuries, this theological anti-Semitism has evolved into a conviction that Jews are the repositories of evil in the world and Islam's principal enemies.

It's not surprising that the resurgence of widespread anti-Semitism on the European continent, after years of quiescence, parallels the influx of Middle East Muslims.

When Pope John Paul II paid a state visit to Syrian President Bashar Al-Assad in 2001, he must have thought he'd stepped off the plane and into a Nuremberg rally. In welcoming the pontiff, Assad proclaimed, "They [the Jews] try to kill all the principles of divine faiths with the same mentality of betraying Jesus Christ and torturing Him, and in the same way that they tried to commit treachery against the Prophet Mohammed."

One who took the Prophet's call to its logical conclusion was Hajj Amin al-Husseini, grand mufti of Jerusalem before World War II. The mufti spent the war years in Berlin as an honored guest of Adolf Hitler.

Working from his office in the capital of the Third Reich, al-Husseini devoted himself to a Nazi victory, recruiting spies to serve in the Middle East and raising a Bosnian Muslim division of the Waffen SS. Described at Nuremberg as one of Eichmann's best friends, the mufti even visited Auschwitz and urged those who ran the gas chambers to "work more

diligently."

In a radio broadcast from Berlin on November 2, 1943, Hitler's partner in genocide condemned the Jews in language that echoed Mein Kampf : "The overwhelming egotism which lies in the character of Jews, their unworthy belief that they are God's chosen nation and their assertion that all was created for them and that other people are animals" is the reason "[t]hey cannot mix with any other nation but live as parasites among the nations, suck out their blood, embezzle their property, corrupt their morals The divine anger and curse that the Holy Koran mentions with reference to the Jews is because of this unique character of the Jews."

After the war, the mufti met a young Yassar Arafat in Cairo, and the torch was passed to the next generation of Islamo-fascists. (Arafat often referred to the Nazi henchman as "our hero al-Husseini.")

In his book, The Myth of Hitler's Pope, Rabbi David Dalin discloses, "Arafat continued the mufti's Nazi legacy by recruiting Nazis and neo-Nazis for Fatah and the PLO. In 1969, for example, the PLO recruited two former Nazi instructors, Erich Altern, a leader of the Gestapo's Jewish affairs section, and Willy Berner, an SS officer in the Mauthausen extermination camp. Another former Nazi, Johann Schuller, was found supplying arms to Fatah."

There are unavoidable parallels between Nazis and Islamists. Both adhere to totalitarian ideologies (though one is disguised as a religion); each group trains its adherents to kill without compunction and to show mercy to neither the young nor old; both nurse historical grudges and long for a settling of accounts; and each see Jews as the principal obstacle to the achievement of its utopian vision.

Of course, British Muslims are offended by Holocaust Memorials. While Nazism was a European phenomenon, post-World War II Hitler wannabes are found almost exclusively in the Arab and Muslim world. After the fall of Berlin, the center of anti-Semitic agitation shifted to Cairo, Damascus, Tehran, Riyadh, and Ramallah.

http://frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19624

Holocaust denial is rampant in the Muslim world.

In 1964, then-Egyptian President Gamal Abdel Nassar (who precipitated the Six-Days War) insisted:, "No one...takes seriously the lie about six million Jews who were murdered."

In 2000, a columnist for The Syria Times wrote, "The most famous myth is that of the so-called Holocaust We strongly believe that gas chambers were not used for burning (sic.) Jews."

Also in 2000, Sheikh Adel Bin Ahmad Bana'ma, a Saudi religious authority speaking at a Jeddah mosque, charged that Jews "disseminate everywhere the lie of the Holocaust and claim that Hitler killed six million Jews in gas chambers This is pure falsehood."

A year later, Palestinian religious leader Sheikh Ibrahim Mahdi declared, "One of the Jews' evil deeds has come to be called the Holocaust." However, the Sheikh insisted, it has been irrefutably proven that "this crime, carried out against some of the Jews, was planned by the Jews' leaders."

Distorting Jewish History
http://frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=21111

Haj Amin al Husseini the Mufti of Jerusalem, and former president of the Supreme Muslim Council of Palestine urged Hitler to not allow Jews to flee to Palestine, and to exterminate them instead. (Serge Trifkovic, The Sword and the Prophet)

According to German officials who knew the Mufti:

"The Mufti had repeatedly suggested to the various authorities with whom he was maintaining contact, above all to Hitler, Ribbentrop, and Himmler, the extermination of European Jewry. He considered this as a comfortable solution of the Palestinian problem. . "

When Haj Amin al Husseini,spoke together with Hitler on Berlin Radio in 1942, he cried out:

"Kill the Jews - kill them with your hands, kill them with your teeth - this is well pleasing to Allah."

Both Germany and England were competing for Arab cooperation during World War II. In order to curry Arab favor, England blocked the entry of fleeing Jews into Palestine. Eichmann said in regards to the Mufti,

"We have promised him that no European Jew would enter Palestine any more."

There were Jews who tried to make deals with the Nazis to get them to spare the lives of Jews (see Hungary, the Crime within a Crime). These Jews reasoned that if they did nothing, all the Jews of Europe would be exterminated so any deal that spared any of them was better than no deal, even a deal with the Nazis. Arab propagandists turn this into an accusation of collaboration with the Nazis to take away their country out of a desire to paint the Jews as Nazis.

http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Read http://www.frontpagemag.com & http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3473. Re: New version of Shortwave Log released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:33:07 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <DGqwg.40066$u11.3197@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 84758

And an EXCELLENT version too! : }

"Robert Sillett" <noemail@thisdomain.com> wrote in message
news:b_hwg.2673$tw5.1267@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> A new version of Shortwave Log has been released. Shortwave Log is a suite
> of programs providing logging, propagation, radio control, web control,
> chat, and audio recording. It can be downloaded from
> http://www.shortwavelog.com
>
> New in this version are improvements to the Chat program and Voice Command
&
> Control for the Radio Control Server program. You can now use your voice
> (and a microphone) to provide hands-free control of any of the 24
supported
> receivers.
>
> Bob
>
>

3474. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:38:28 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12c4ec55d93tc38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 84762

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:58d4c2ddcjlt9q8acgtvd1rl2qjr17rk0q@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 07:36:53 -0500, "David Edtardo" <read@book.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Syria placed its army on war preparedness, pointed Scuds at Israel from
> >Thursday, July 20, the day Tehran took control of Lebanon War
> >
> >Our sources add Syrian fighter pilots are sitting in their cockpits.
> >
> >These orders went out from Syrian president Bashar Assad July 20 when
Iran's
> >Revolutionary Guards commander Brig.-Gen Yahya Rahim Safavi assumed
command
> >of the Lebanon war from Hizballah leader Hassan Nasrallah. Tehran's
direct
> >military intervention in the conflict was accompanied by an Iranian
weapons
> >airlift which began landing Wednesday, July 19, at the Abu Ad Duhur
military
> >airfield north of Homs. The deliveries include large quantities of new
> >missiles, including the long-range Zelzal and Fajr 3 and Fajr 5 missiles,
> >Katyusha rockets, anti-tank and anti-air missiles sent out from RG HQ in
> >Bandar Abbas on the Persian Gulf.
> >
> >Assad acted on the assumption that Israel, whose air force and ground
forces
> >are already hammering the cross-border supply routes north of the Litani
> >River to block the passage of Iranian hardware to Hizballah, will soon
> >decide to go for Iranian military operations in Damascus and Abu Ad
Duhur.
> >
> >Gen. Safavi has set up two forward command posts which coordinate war
> >operations with Hizballah chief of staff Ibrahim Akil.
> >
> >One center is working out of a cellar of the Iranian embassy in Beirut to
> >regulate Hizballah rocket fire against Israel and direct the groups of 3
or
> >4 RG officers taking part in every Hizballah face-to-face engagement with
> >Israeli ground troops in the south.
> >
> >The second, housed in the basement of the Iranian embassy in Damascus, is
in
> >charge of communications, intelligence and getting hardware into Lebanon.

> >
> >The deliveries were made to the Abu Ad Duhur airfield because it belongs
to
> >the joint Iranian-Syrian Scud missile factory which employs a large
number
> >of Iranian engineers and technicians.
> >
> >DEBKAfile's military sources report that some of the Iranian arms have
> >Hizballah in Lebanon notwithstanding intense Israeli cutoff operations
and
> >their impact will probably be palpable in the coming days.
> >
> >http://www.debka.com/headline.php?hid=2950
> >
> >
> Do you have a point? I say let the Midlle Easterners kill each other.
>

Do you have a brain?

3475. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:39:44 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153579184.748176.77390@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

Hello.

I have owned several C. Crane FM Transmitters for a few years. I am very happy with them. (And yes, I know they are made by Sangean!) I use one in my car in conjunction with a notebook computer which has DeLorme's GPS program installed. I play music stored on the computer which is sent by the transmitter to my car radio and, when appropriate, the audible driving directions override the music. I also have one attached to my AOR AR7030 Plus receiver so that I can listen to it via any FM radio in the house.

The unit really packs a wallop - its signal travels almost to the entrance of my development 1/4 mile away.

The unit is well-worth the $70.00 (sometimes $60.00 on sale) and, if you don't like it, you can return it. C. Crane is, in my own experience, a fine company with which to deal. In many years of buying from them I have never had any problems whatsoever and I have always found them very responsive to my needs (even going so far as to make a few "custom" items for me).

Best,

Joe

RobnzBoy wrote:
> I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was
> so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of
> my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
> memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can
> listen to or record from.
>
> It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it.
> But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good
> for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to
> hear well. So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to
> the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio
> speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM
> transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one
> from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the
> CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and
> I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its
> competition.
>
> Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?

3476. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:41:03 UTC
From: "Wayne R." <wruffner@KomKast.net>
Message-ID: <sod4c2hefest7ovg8jsfqrc2tjk6d26k68@4ax.com>
Source record: 84761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

On 22 Jul 2006 07:16:31 -0700, "RobnzBoy" <RobnzBoy@gmail.com> wrote:

>my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have

Please do the world a favor and listen to something besides that
drug-addled nutcase. Pat Robertson doesn't count, either.

We'd be so much better off if even a few ditto-heads thought for
themselves.

3477. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:45:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C239FF-608@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84765

Looks like the war in the middle East will/might escalate.
World War IV. www.homelandsecurityus.com
cuhulin
.....
It would cost me about $10.00 (plus Mississippi Rip Off sales taxes) to
replace that little battery in my five year old ATT&T wireless land line
phone.Heck,I am going to the food store and I will buy a cheap $10.00
corded phone.I dont need a wireless land line phone anyway.
.....

3478. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:51:29 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1NWdnan32OPypl_ZnZ2dnUVZ_qWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84763

Hi Frank,

That is a nice receiver, and the mods that you have done seem to cover
everything. The only thing that I can recommend....if this receiver uses
diodes to switch the input bandpass filters, I would replace them with
1N5767 PIN diodes. Bias them at around 60mA. I think that you can run up to
around 90mA, but that might be pushing them.
Philips also has some suitable PIN diodes, but you want to make sure that
you have a long enough minority carrier lifetime.
Congratulations on the new receiver! I haven't picked up anything new for
awhile, except that NRD-91.

Pete

<fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> improvement modifications that you know of?
>
> Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson
>

3479. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 14:52:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C23BAA.A152785D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

"Wayne R." wrote:

> On 22 Jul 2006 07:16:31 -0700, "RobnzBoy" <RobnzBoy@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
>
> Please do the world a favor and listen to something besides that
> drug-addled nutcase. Pat Robertson doesn't count, either.
>
> We'd be so much better off if even a few ditto-heads thought for
> themselves.

I'd wager that there are more drug addled nutcases that listen to Air America
than listen to Rush.

The demographics of the Liberal/Democrats assure this, simply look up who and
what their constituency consists of.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3480. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:21:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153581694.723384.301720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
bless you and may you find peace

3481. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:22:41 UTC
From: Robert Sillett <noemail@thisdomain.com>
Message-ID: <5prwg.1241$Ch5.470@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 84772

If you like to build electronic kits, check out Ramsey Electronics. I have built their FM-10 and then later upgraded to the FM-100. A friend of mine built the FM25, and it too sounds great.

I get excellent coverage for around a 200 to 300 foot radius of the transmitter, which is unmodified and FCC-legal.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/hk/default.asp?page=amfm

Bob

"RobnzBoy" <RobnzBoy@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1153577791.600914.26070@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was
> so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of
> my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
> memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can
> listen to or record from.
>
> It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it.
> But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good
> for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to
> hear well. So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to
> the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio
> speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM
> transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one
> from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the
> CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and
> I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its
> competition.
>
> Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?
>

3482. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:24:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153581842.570087.33900@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84767
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Baby Cakes wrote:
bless you and may you find peace

3483. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:26:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153581967.210130.272500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
bless you and may you find peace

3484. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:28:59 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <90h4c2t3oj3duug9pnt7bontlbc8tfk642@4ax.com>
Source record: 84769

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 10:52:26 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>"Wayne R." wrote:
>
>> On 22 Jul 2006 07:16:31 -0700, "RobnzBoy" <RobnzBoy@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
>>
>> Please do the world a favor and listen to something besides that
>> drug-addled nutcase. Pat Robertson doesn't count, either.
>>
>> We'd be so much better off if even a few ditto-heads thought for
>> themselves.
>
>I'd wager that there are more drug addled nutcases that listen to Air America
>than listen to Rush.

I'll bet more alcoholics listen to Rush. Of course, alcohol isn't a
drug :-)

bob
k5qwg

>
>The demographics of the Liberal/Democrats assure this, simply look up who and
>what their constituency consists of.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>

3485. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:35:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153582529.458773.46270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84771
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Woggy Humjobber wrote:
> On 21 Jul 2006 05:37:14 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an old freind wrote:
> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> >> > > traps you
> >> >
> >> > Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
> >> > you.
> >>
> >> becuase there is one you and Robeson consrpire to libel and harrass and
> >> stalk me
> >
> >Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.
>
> Woggy knows this because he personally interviewed everyone in the
> world who has ever accessed the internet...

I am sure he has

in his demented mind
bless you and may you find peace

3486. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 15:45:24 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153583124.258510.85750@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:

> > glad to see that
>
> Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
well they will have to wait along time wiseman
bless you and may you find peace

3487. Re: Free Radio America is on the air right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:00:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153584041.035984.92110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1153508826.869170.206770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > I would disagree, I know a number of amateur operators who would like
> > > to listen to it.
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
>
>
> ROFL, Just the ones that can't pass a code test. They can only listen.
if you had botthered to read to read youd noticed the subect was not an
ARS transmission at all but a low power shortwave broardcastunder part
15 code has nothing to with such a transmision
>
> SC

3488. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:04:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153584268.279837.183670@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>

> > I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> > stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> > CW?
>
>
> Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.
the idea the radio could contact the dead was bad enough in frequency
do you need to repeat it

but one of your other nicks is hey stupid so I guess we must expect
that
>
> SC

3489. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:15:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <easwg.52435$VE1.28237@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84776

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
>
>
> dxAce wrote:
>
>> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
>> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>>
>> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
>
> WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
> Saturday
> morning programs on WSGW 790.
>
> A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as well.

And what do I have to do with WBBM? All I told you is that all of us with HD installs got new firmware very recently, and have had to remove the HD signal form the air for a period to make the necessary firmware and related hardware changes.

3490. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:17:53 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea05tvakq1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 84820

In article <1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
<miso@sushi.com> wrote:
>I have never heard a 7kbps stream as good as FM BCB. In fact, there
>isn't a telco system with a bit rate that low.

Looking that the stuff that Google brought up, IBOC-AM gives 20 kHz of analog bandwidth for the primary digital channel. Sidebands from 15-25 kHz either side of the carrier, (5-15 kHz for the second channel). So the next question is how many bits/Hz their modem scheme uses. Various bit rates of 32 and 36 kbps were given, so it's set up with a lot of noise margin at 1.8(?) bits/Hz. (A dial up modem gets more like 7 or 8 bits/Hz on the upstream direction, at about 30 kbps and a 4 kHz Nyquist limit set by the telco's 8 kHz sample rate).

With psychoacoustic compression, how good the program sounds is going to depend on how simple the frequency spectrum is. Modern pop with synthesizers would probably sound the same. (IMHO, the source material sounds like crap to start with). But a glockenspiel concert (or any cymbals in the percussion) will sound pretty painful.

At 36 kbps I'd expect pretty much the same results as you can get with RealAudio on a dialup. No wonder that they need 8 seconds delay for the DSP to do its thing.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

----- SKIPPED -----

3491. MediaLine Radio: Current edition (15 July - 28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:21:15 UTC
From: hgbrice@e-garfield.com
Message-ID: <1153585275.323578.270090@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84777

The current edition of MediaLine Radio is now available on-demand at http://medialineradio.com . This edition features news about what commercial stations think about the BBC and more breaches of Ofcom regulations. The programme also features comedy from Vic and Sade and drama from the Mysterious Traveller.

The programme air at the following times:

Saturday, 1300 UTC, http://mp3.nexus.org
Saturday, 1900 UTC, http://mp3.nexus.org and 5775kHz
Sunday, 0030 UTC, http://mp3.nexus.org
Sunday, 0830 UTC, http://mp3.nexus.org and 13840kHz

The programme also airs via WorldFM (http://www.worldfm.co.nz)

3492. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:31:30 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C252E1.892B47FC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84778

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such as
> >> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >>
> >> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
> >
> > WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
> > Saturday
> > morning programs on WSGW 790.
> >
> > A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as well.
>
> And what do I have to do with WBBM?

You're a paid shill for HD/IBOC.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3493. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:35:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6tswg.52611$VE1.36633@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84779

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C252E1.892B47FC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> and
> paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > dxAce wrote:
>> >
>> >> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such
>> >> as
>> >> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>> >>
>> >> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
>> >
>> > WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
>> > Saturday
>> > morning programs on WSGW 790.
>> >
>> > A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as well.
>>
>> And what do I have to do with WBBM?
>
> You're a paid shill for HD/IBOC.

Also untrue. I have only met one iBiquity employee in my life, at a
programming seminar, and have never even been in their offices.

3494. Re: IBOC Spec 2.0 Radios..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:39:20 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea05tnakn1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 84818

In article <hu9wg.157578$mF2.146982@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Getting back to more SW-centric chatter....has there been a DRM
>receiver released that is not linked to a PC? I seem to recall some
>noise about a stand alone DRM receiver, but can't find any references to
>it now.

Texas Instruments has announced a DSP chip preprogrammed to process
DRM along with other digital modes (Eureka 147?). So everything new
will probably have the same digital guts...

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3495. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 16:40:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C254E7.9FBA1926@milestones.com>
Source record: 84780

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and
paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C252E1.892B47FC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > dxAce wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards such
> >> >> as
> >> >> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >> >>
> >> >> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
> >> >
> >> > WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
> >> > Saturday
> >> > morning programs on WSGW 790.
> >> >
> >> > A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as well.
> >>
> >> And what do I have to do with WBBM?
> >
> > You're a paid shill for HD/IBOC.
>
> Also untrue. I have only met one iBiquity employee in my life, at a
> programming seminar, and have never even been in their offices.

LMFAO

Run along, 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3496. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:01:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kRswg.53078$VE1.17903@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84781

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C254E7.9FBA1926@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> and
> paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C252E1.892B47FC@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
>> > c.2000,
>> > and
>> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > dxAce wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards
>> >> >> such
>> >> >> as
>> >> >> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
>> >> >
>> >> > WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
>> >> > Saturday
>> >> > morning programs on WSGW 790.
>> >> >
>> >> > A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as
>> >> > well.
>> >>
>> >> And what do I have to do with WBBM?
>> >
>> > You're a paid shill for HD/IBOC.
>>
>> Also untrue. I have only met one iBiquity employee in my life, at a
>> programming seminar, and have never even been in their offices.
>

> LMFAO
>
> Run along, 'tard.

There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians, owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.

What _is_ your problem?

3497. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:08:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com>
Source record: 84782

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and
paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C254E7.9FBA1926@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C252E1.892B47FC@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000,
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C217A2.C48D5D09@milestones.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > dxAce wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Good news to report here today regarding IBOC (promoted by 'tards
> >> >> >> such
> >> >> >> as
> >> >> >> Edweenie) on 780 WBBM Chicago is CURRENTLY OFF!!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I can listen to 790 WSGW Saginaw (MI).
> >> >> >
> >> >> > WBBM IBOC crap back on again this morning so I can't listen to the
> >> >> > Saturday
> >> >> > morning programs on WSGW 790.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > A pox upon you Edweenie, and all your fake Hispanic relatives as
> >> >> > well.
> >> >>
> >> >> And what do I have to do with WBBM?
> >> >
> >> > You're a paid shill for HD/IBOC.
> >>

> >> Also untrue. I have only met one iBiquity employee in my life, at a
> >> programming seminar, and have never even been in their offices.
> >
> > LMFAO
> >
> > Run along, 'tard.
>
> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
>
> What _is_ your problem?

Prancers_such_as_yourself.

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

Run along, shilling 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3498. (OT?): Could DTV become the new Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:08:57 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea05tqakp1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 84819

Here's a thought.

Could the sub-channels available on digital Television become a competitor
to local radio.

Digital TV has high power broadband high bit rate (18-20 megabits per second)
transmitters that cover the metropolitan areas.

What's stopping a TV station from generating music channels that use the
high bandwidth MP3 audio and the minimum necessary video signal to go
along with it? If you got the bit stream down to around a half a megabit
per second or less, any or all TV stations could transmit a half a dozen
of these and still have room for HDTV. The video could be minimal,
a low frame rate "slide show" akin to what you could get over the web.

Then all you would need was another little widget with a tuner and
some minimal processing to feed it into a video iPod. Or no video
if don't want to bother with the pictures.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3499. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:15:12 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <78n4c2ljkvhuumcpq8f5pmpifes7mv05a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 84783

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 09:45:19 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Looks like the war in the middle East will/might escalate.
>World War IV.

>
We should be so lucky...

3500. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:22:42 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153588961.971288.81010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84784

David wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 09:45:19 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >Looks like the war in the middle East will/might escalate.
> >World War IV.
>
> >
> We should be so lucky...

that is a sick coment

3501. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:25:14 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153589114.670592.268100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84785

Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
heard of this?

Frank

Pete KE9OA wrote:
> Hi Frank,
>
> That is a nice receiver, and the mods that you have done seem to cover
> everything. The only thing that I can recommend....if this receiver uses
> diodes to switch the input bandpass filters, I would replace them with
> 1N5767 PIN diodes. Bias them at around 60mA. I think that you can run up to
> around 90mA, but that might be pushing them.
> Philips also has some suitable PIN diodes, but you want to make sure that
> you have a long enough minority carrier lifetime.
> Congratulations on the new receiver! I haven't picked up anything new for
> awhile, except that NRD-91.
>
> Pete
>
> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> > Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> > manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> > I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> > tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> > BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> > on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> > caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> > removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> > for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> > switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> > improvement modifications that you know of?
> >
> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
> >
> > Frank
> > K3YAZ
> > Tucson
> >

3502. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:25:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ectwg.53389$VE1.7471@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84786

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>>
>> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
>> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
>> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
>>
>> What _is_ your problem?
>
> Prancers_such_as_yourself.

You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.

3503. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:27:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C25FFD.F278EC14@milestones.com>
Source record: 84787

David wrote:

> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 09:45:19 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >Looks like the war in the middle East will/might escalate.
> >World War IV.
>
> >
> We should be so lucky...

At least there might be some interesting monitoring opportunities for a few minutes or so...

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3504. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:30:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C260AE.89972201@milestones.com>
Source record: 84788

fcathell@msn.com wrote:

> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
> heard of this?

Whatever happened to all those Schottky Diode mods that seemed to be the rage years ago? Would this be something similar?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3505. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:31:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C260F6.D3FF6307@milestones.com>
Source record: 84789

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> >>
> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >
> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>
> You did not answer the question.

Yes, I did. Look up, boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3506. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:35:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C261DC.71604D37@milestones.com>
Source record: 84790

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> >>
> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >
> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>
> You claim I am gay.

Really, when did I claim that, prancer?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3507. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:40:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qqtwg.53401$VE1.10392@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84791

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C261DC.71604D37@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> and
> paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
>> >> to
>> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> >> Canadians,
>> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> >> etc.
>> >>
>> >> What _is_ your problem?
>> >>
>> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>>
>> You claim I am gay.
>
> Really, when did I claim that, prancer?

Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean. You are a nasty piece of work.
Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological testing,
maybe hospitalization.

3508. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:43:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com>
Source record: 84792

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> >>
> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >
> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.

> Yet another insult
> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.

At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.

LMFAO at the fake one.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3509. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:48:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C264ED.38743585@milestones.com>
Source record: 84793

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer, and fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C261DC.71604D37@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >>
> >> You claim I am gay.
> >
> > Really, when did I claim that, prancer?
>
> Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean.

When did I claim you were gay, prancer? Answer the question.

> You are a nasty piece of work.
> Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological testing,
> maybe hospitalization.

Contact away. I'm certain they'd love to hear from a delusional fake Hispanic. Perhaps they might be able to give you a referral so that you might get some help, prancer.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3510. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:50:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Cztwg.53409$VE1.44073@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84794

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> and
> paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
>> >> to
>> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> >> Canadians,
>> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> >> etc.
>> >>
>> >> What _is_ your problem?
>> >>
>> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>
>> Yet another insult
>> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
>> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
>
> At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.

"Hispanic" is a culture. If one spends most of their life in a particular
culture, they are part of it.

You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for the
VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility. At
least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred, racism
and bile.

3511. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:51:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SAtwg.53411$VE1.6008@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84795

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C264ED.38743585@milestones.com...
>>
>> Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean.
>
> When did I claim you were gay, prancer? Answer the question.

Asked and answered. Case rests.
>
>> You are a nasty piece of work.
>> Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological
>> testing,
>> maybe hospitalization.
>
> Contact away. I'm certain they'd love to hear from a delusional fake
> Hispanic.
> Perhaps they might be able to give you a referral so that you might get
> some
> help, prancer.

You are babbling. I'm sure a nice VA psych facility can be found for you.

3512. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and spanked.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:53:09 UTC
From: smaus@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <a3l4c21a8hv03ddibejm647vb5uhvg1t95@4ax.com>
Source record: 84796

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 08:00:07 -0500, "David Edtardo" <read@book.org>
wrote:

>
><smaus@austin.rr.com> wrote
>>
>> Ralph Schoenman gives a rather more detailed account to Israel's
>> history than you'll ever see in the mass media in this country.
>> http://kurtnimmo.com/
>
>Another un-vetted clearing house for
>Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist/Islamo-Fascist/Communist -
>>
>PROPAGANDA and attempts to REWRITE HISTORY
>
>InFUCKINcredible how ignorant public school dropouts are attracted to
>conspiracy nuts and pseudo-science garbage.
>
>lmfao

I've caught Ralph Schoenman's show on WBAI a few times and he makes
some pretty informed and compelling arguments. Do you think Palestine
was barren wilderness when the Israelis were settled there? Do you
think the people who lived there happily abandoned the homes where
they had lived for generations? What's happening to the Palestinians
is analagous to what happened to the "indians" in this country with
the requisite demonization of the victums the obligatory blind eye
turned towards the crimes of the colonizers. Somehow the "kidnapping"
of 3 Israeli soldiers is an outrage but the indefinate imprisonment
without charge of hundreds of Palestinians by Israel isn't wortrhy of
mention. If the many hundreds who were massacred at the Sabra and
Shatila refugee camps had been Israelis instead of Palestinians do you
suppose the news coverage would have been different? The fact that Mr.
Schoenman spares little detail naming dates and towns where massacres
took place tends to give him some creedence. Surely you don't think
that the 30 sec. sound bits that pass for news in this country is the
totality of whats going on in the world and anyone who asserts
otherwise is ignorant. Did you bother to listen to the interview or is
this just a spontaneous ejaculation of bile. The fact that you equate
the mutually exclusive "Left-wing/Liberal/"Progressive"/Socialist and
/Islamo-Fascist/" categories and then throw in Communist, appearently
for good measure, suggests that your understanding of the world is a
little confused. But the MOST disturbing thing about your post is that
now YOU are laughing YOUR fucking ass off. Is this a condition you
aquired through intimate contact with a certain "ace?" We pray for

your speedy recovery.

Steve

which

>
>
>
>

3513. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:57:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com>
Source record: 84797

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >
> >> Yet another insult
> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >
> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
>
> "Hispanic" is a culture. If one spends most of their life in a particular
> culture, they are part of it.
>
> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for the
> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.

And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3514. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 17:59:46 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mItwg.53413$VE1.3244@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84648

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for
>> the
>> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.
>
> And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.

Your keyboard is stuck. It keeps producing the same response, no matter what
the subject.t

3515. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:00:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C267CA.670A576C@milestones.com>
Source record: 84649

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C264ED.38743585@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean.
> >
> > When did I claim you were gay, prancer? Answer the question.
>
> Asked and answered. Case rests.
> >
> >> You are a nasty piece of work.
> >> Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological
> >> testing,
> >> maybe hospitalization.
> >
> > Contact away. I'm certain they'd love to hear from a delusional fake
> > Hispanic.
> > Perhaps they might be able to give you a referral so that you might get
> > some
> > help, prancer.
>
> You are babbling. I'm sure a nice VA psych facility can be found for you.

I'm afraid that you are the one currently babbling, prancer.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3516. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:01:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84650

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> >>
> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >
> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>
> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.

If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
elsewhere.

3517. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:03:52 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153591432.062532.84430@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84651

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C261DC.71604D37@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >>
> >> You claim I am gay.
> >
> > Really, when did I claim that, prancer?
>
> Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean. You are a nasty piece of work.
> Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological testing,
> maybe hospitalization.

I can't believe this. Tardo decides to completely troll this newsgroup
and then, when people give him sh*t, he can't take it. LOL!

3518. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:04:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C268A2.7BE0773D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84652

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for
> >> the
> >> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.
> >
> > And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.
>
> Your keyboard is stuck. It keeps producing the same response, no matter what
> the subject.t

Truth hurts, doesn't it, prancer?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3519. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:05:07 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153591507.474309.159630@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84653

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for
> >> the
> >> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.
> >
> > And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.
>
> Your keyboard is stuck. It keeps producing the same response, no matter what
> the subject.t

You are stuck, troll. You invite the same response every time you
open your troll mouth.

3520. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:06:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C26941.E0179BB4@milestones.com>
Source record: 84654

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44C261DC.71604D37@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > > and
> > > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> > >
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> > >>>
> > >>> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> > >>> to
> > >>> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> > >>> Canadians,
> > >>> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> > >>> etc.
> > >>>
> > >>> What _is_ your problem?
> > >>
> > >> Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> > >>
> > >> You claim I am gay.
> > >
> > > Really, when did I claim that, prancer?
> >
> > Then what the F--K does "prancer" mean. You are a nasty piece of work.
> > Someone should contact the VA about getting you some psychological testing,
> > maybe hospitalization.
>
> I can't believe this. Tardo decides to completely troll this newsgroup
> and then, when people give him sh*t, he can't take it. LOL!

Those prancing fake Hispanics can't take the heat. They have a very low melting
point.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3521. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:12:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84655

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > and
> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >
> >> Yet another insult
> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >
> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
>
> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred, racism
> and bile.

General Class. First licensed in 1970.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3522. What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:16:13 UTC
From: Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net>
Message-ID: <pjq4c2lu629s0tgo5pg960tabc9iuc5g16@4ax.com>
Source record: 84656

ImHotep,

I've been listening to them for about an hour, but I'm not able to hear the ID at the top of the hour. the station decided to fade out right at that time.

They did say though they were broadcasting from "Manchester Tennessee"

They are speaking about the Israeli Lebanon situation and about come Trotskyite named William Crystal.

I really like the wonderful common sense they seem to have.

Does anyone know who this is? They are still on the air I think. I receive them pretty good at 12.180. however that frequency, nor 12.170 75, or 12.185 are listed in the Primetimeshortwave printout.

Thanks

3523. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:21:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C26C99.8CD0175@milestones.com>
Source record: 84657

Gabriel Rapture wrote:

> ImHotep,
>
> I've been listening to them for about an hour, but I'm not able to
> hear the ID at the top of the hour. the station decided to fade out
> right at that time.
>
> They did say though they were broadcasting from "Manchester Tennessee"
>
> They are speaking about the Israeli Lebanon situation and about come
> Trotskyite named William Crystal.
>
> I really like the wonderful common sense they seem to have.
>
> Does anyone know who this is? They are still on the air I think. I
> receive them pretty good at 12.180. however that frequency, nor 12.170
> 75, or 12.185 are listed in the Primetimeshortwave printout.

WWRB operates out of Manchester, TN.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3524. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:27:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C26E1A.34A71314@milestones.com>
Source record: 84658

dxAce wrote:

> Gabriel Rapture wrote:
>
> > ImHotep,
> >
> > I've been listening to them for about an hour, but I'm not able to
> > hear the ID at the top of the hour. the station decided to fade out
> > right at that time.
> >
> > They did say though they were broadcasting from "Manchester Tennessee"
> >
> > They are speaking about the Israeli Lebanon situation and about come
> > Trotskyite named William Crystal.
> >
> > I really like the wonderful common sense they seem to have.
> >
> > Does anyone know who this is? They are still on the air I think. I
> > receive them pretty good at 12.180. however that frequency, nor 12.170
> > 75, or 12.185 are listed in the Primetimeshortwave printout.
>
> WWRB operates out of Manchester, TN.

http://www.wwrb.org/

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3525. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:28:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C26E44-475@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84659

All news is not crap news.I have to watch the Miss Mississippi Pageant
on WLBT tv this evening from 8:00 PM to 10:00 PM.
www.missmississippipageant.com
and article at, www.worldnetdaily.com Beauties overcome nations'
conflict.
cuhulin

3526. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:39:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C270E3.AAFDE36A@milestones.com>
Source record: 84660

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> All news is not crap news.I have to watch the Miss Mississippi Pageant
> on WLBT tv this evening from 8:00 PM to 10:00 PM.
> www.missmississippipageant.com
> and article at, www.worldnetdaily.com Beauties overcome nations'
> conflict.

You gonna invite the wimmins next door to watch it with you?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3527. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:41:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C2716B.92C63B1F@milestones.com>
Source record: 84661

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> >>
> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >
> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>
> You claim I am gay.

Once again, shitstain, where did I make that claim?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3528. DX-one Pro MKII - Ala 1530

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 18:44:35 UTC
From: henk <henk.st-e-lte@wa-n-a-d-o-o.nl>
Message-ID: <44c27211$0$86077$dbd49001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 84662

I'am considering to buy one of these antenna's.
Witch one is the best? both get good reviews.

Henk.

3529. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:01:24 UTC
From: Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net>
Message-ID: <net4c2pdt34emrr39o6cae2uci7vru00so@4ax.com>
Source record: 84663

A thousand thanks DXAce!! :-) You're a great human!

I'm submitting your name for president in 2008!

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 14:27:38 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>dxAce wrote:
>
>> Gabriel Rapture wrote:
>>
>> > ImHotep,
>> >
>> > I've been listening to them for about an hour, but I'm not able to
>> > hear the ID at the top of the hour. the station decided to fade out
>> > right at that time.
>> >
>> > They did say though they were broadcasting from "Manchester Tennessee"
>> >
>> > They are speaking about the Israeli Lebanon situation and about come
>> > Trotskyite named William Crystal.
>> >
>> > I really like the wonderful common sense they seem to have.
>> >
>> > Does anyone know who this is? They are still on the air I think. I
>> > receive them pretty good at 12.180. however that frequency, nor 12.170
>> > 75, or 12.185 are listed in the Primetimeshortwave printout.
>>
>> WWRB operates out of Manchester, TN.
>
> http://www.wwrb.org/
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA
>

3530. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:01:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eCuwg.71053$Lm5.30924@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84664

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> >>
>> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
>> >> to
>> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> >> Canadians,
>> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> >> etc.
>> >>
>> >> What _is_ your problem?
>> >>
>> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>>
>> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
>> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
>> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
>
> If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
> elsewhere.

As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.

Apparently your definition of "trolling" is related to your desire to hide
from the truth and the changes necessary to save AM radio.

3531. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:02:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <WCuwg.71055$Lm5.19718@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84665

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic
> since
> c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
>> > c.2000,
>> > and
>> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
>> >
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
>> >> >> need
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> >> >> Canadians,
>> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> >> >> etc.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
>> >> >
>> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>> >>
>> >> Yet another insult
>> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
>> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
>> >
>> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
>>
>> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred,
>> racism
>> and bile.
>
> General Class. First licensed in 1970.

Beat you by about 5 years.

3532. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:08:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27791.1D131AFC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84666

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000,
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> >> >> need
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yet another insult
> >> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
> >>
> >> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred,
> >> racism
> >> and bile.
> >

> > General Class. First licensed in 1970.
>
> Beat you by about 5 years.

Licensed in Mexico? Ecuador?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3533. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:09:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27803.344A0E90@milestones.com>
Source record: 84667

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000,
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> >> >> need
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Yet another insult
> >> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
> >>
> >> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred,
> >> racism
> >> and bile.
> >
>

> > General Class. First licensed in 1970.
>
> Beat you by about 5 years.

But in that case I was younger, prancer! Are you still licensed?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3534. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:10:59 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153595459.553578.122950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84668

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >>
> >> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >
> > If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
> > elsewhere.
>
> As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
> their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
> broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.
>
> Apparently your definition of "trolling" is related to your desire to hide
> from the truth and the changes necessary to save AM radio.

If AM is going to die, it's going to die; but it'll die much, much
faster if bastards like you have your way. And of course, you know
that, and you know that we know it. But, being a troll, your goal is
only to start trouble. You obviously have way too much time on your
hands...

3535. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:15:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27947.DFFB94F1@milestones.com>
Source record: 84669

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> > >> >>
> > >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> > >> >> to
> > >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> > >> >> Canadians,
> > >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> > >> >> etc.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> > >> >
> > >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> > >> >
> > >> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
> > >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> > >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> > >
> > > If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
> > > elsewhere.
> >
> > As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
> > their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
> > broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.
> >
> > Apparently your definition of "trolling" is related to your desire to hide
> > from the truth and the changes necessary to save AM radio.
>
> If AM is going to die, it's going to die; but it'll die much, much
> faster if bastards like you have your way. And of course, you know
> that, and you know that we know it. But, being a troll, your goal is
> only to start trouble. You obviously have way too much time on your
> hands...

Yep, for a fellow who 'claims' to somehow be in control of $12 billion or more
worth of radio properties...

3536. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:21:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mVuwg.71060$Lm5.42586@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84670

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C27791.1D131AFC@milestones.com...
>>
>> Beat you by about 5 years.
>
> Licensed in Mexico? Ecuador?

Ecuador. HC1DG. Its in a bunch of call books from about '68 to '70.

3537. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:22:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%Vuwg.71061$Lm5.68255@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84671

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C27803.344A0E90@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> Beat you by about 5 years.
>
> But in that case I was younger, prancer! Are you still licensed?

Nope. I lost interest the more I got into higher powered AM and FM
transmitters.

3538. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:25:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27B9D.2559BC8C@milestones.com>
Source record: 84672

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer to the stars wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000,
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> >> >> need
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Yet another insult
> >> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
> >>
> >> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred,
> >> racism
> >> and bile.
> >

> > General Class. First licensed in 1970.
>
> Beat you by about 5 years.

Heck, I almost expected you to come back saying that you'd sent first hand reports in CW to AP, UPI from the grassy knoll...

LMFAO at the prancing fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3539. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:29:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <F0vwg.71062$Lm5.12255@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84673

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153595459.553578.122950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>
>> Apparently your definition of "trolling" is related to your desire to
>> hide
>> from the truth and the changes necessary to save AM radio.
>
> If AM is going to die, it's going to die; but it'll die much, much
> faster if bastards like you have your way.

AM in rated markets can give you an idea of what is happening. In the 7 years since Fall 1998 to the Winter, 2006, AM in Los Angeles has gone from just under 24% of listening to 17%. That is a loss of about 30% of AM listening in that period, or about 3% a year.

At the same time, the average age of AM listeners has crept up by over 5 years, and most of the listeners are in age groups that are of no interest to most advertisers.

And LA is not the worst of cases, because there is such a huge collection of ethnic groups that are only served on AM (staitons in farsi, Chinese dialects, Korean, etc) that many AMs are still prosperous due to serving a niche not served on AM. In markets like Columbus, GA or Wichita, things are even worse.

> And of course, you know
> that, and you know that we know it.

I know no such thing. I know that AM has great potential with HD if the roll out of receivers (7 new ones announced this week, including a $149 after market car radio) comes fast enough and stations promote it enough.

> But, being a troll, your goal is
> only to start trouble. You obviously have way too much time on your
> hands...

Being a realist, I know that AM, where I started in radio, is a 90 year old medium and will definitely die if we do not improve it in a way that is palpable by the listener, especially the under-45 crowd.
>

3540. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:30:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27CE6.B0211270@milestones.com>
Source record: 84674

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C27791.1D131AFC@milestones.com...
> >>
> >> Beat you by about 5 years.
> > >
> > > Licensed in Mexico? Ecuador?
> >
> Ecuador. HC1DG. Its in a bunch of call books from about '68 to '70.

Sorry, not in the 1969 Callbook.

Try again.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3541. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:31:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <d2vwg.71063$Lm5.49827@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84675

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C27947.DFFB94F1@milestones.com...
>
> Yep, for a fellow who 'claims' to somehow be in control of $12 billion or
> more
> worth of radio properties...

I said our company was valued at that price. I am responsible for programming performance on our radio properties... the former Hispanic Broadcasting Corp. I never said I "control" the stations, as they are not mine. MY duty is to make them perform better, which is exactly what we are doing.

3542. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:39:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27EE9.217BCD92@milestones.com>
Source record: 84676

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic since c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C27947.DFFB94F1@milestones.com...
> >
> > Yep, for a fellow who 'claims' to somehow be in control of $12 billion or
> > more
> > worth of radio properties...
>
> I said our company was valued at that price. I am responsible for
> programming performance on our radio properties... the former Hispanic
> Broadcasting Corp. I never said I "control" the stations, as they are not
> mine. MY duty is to make them perform better, which is exactly what we are
> doing.

Spin.

You prancers do it so well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3543. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:40:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C27F2B.91965F4@milestones.com>
Source record: 84677

dxAce wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> > >>
> > >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need to
> > >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to. Canadians,
> > >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc., etc.
> > >>
> > >> What _is_ your problem?
> > >
> > > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >
> > You claim I am gay.
>
> Once again, shitstain, where did I make that claim?

Answer the question, shitstain!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3544. Re: Israel, You should talk peace only after Lebanon is invaded and...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:40:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12569-44C27F47-15@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84680

There is a Statue at Fort Dix,New Jersey,The Ultimate Weapon.I like to
believe that Statue represents every one of our U.S.Army and U.S.Navy
and U.S.Air Force and U.S.Marines and U.S.Coast Guard guys and gals.That
is what it takes to Win Wars,the Ground Pounders.Those terrorist will
have to be rooted out and delth with,one by one.
www.dix.army.mil/history/history.htm
cuhulin

3545. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:51:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C281B5.85EE340E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84678

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000, blew it out his prancing rear when he wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C27803.344A0E90@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Beat you by about 5 years.
> >
> > But in that case I was younger, prancer! Are you still licensed?
>
> Nope. I lost interest the more I got into higher powered AM and FM
> transmitters.

This of course was way before you became interested in becoming a fake Hispanic?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3546. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:53:24 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153598004.810700.171830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84679

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153595459.553578.122950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >>
> >> Apparently your definition of "trolling" is related to your desire to
> >> hide
> >> from the truth and the changes necessary to save AM radio.
> >
> > If AM is going to die, it's going to die; but it'll die much, much
> > faster if bastards like you have your way.
>
> AM in rated markets can give you an idea of what is happening. In the 7
> years since Fall 1998 to the Winter, 2006, AM in Los Angeles has gone from
> just under 24% of listening to 17%. That is a loss of about 30% of AM
> listening in that period, or about 3% a year.
>
> At the same time, the average age of AM listeners has crept up by over 5
> years, and most of the listeners are in age groups that are of no interest
> to most advertisers.

None of this is news, you idiot. What you and your marketing buddies
are wanting to do to AM is worse than its simply dying!

>
> And LA is not the worst of cases, because there is such a huge collection of
> ethnic groups that are only served on AM (staitons in farsi, Chinese
> dialects, Korean, etc) that many AMs are still prosperous due to serving a
> niche not served on AM. In markets like Columbus, GA or Wichita, things are
> even worse.
>
> > And of course, you know
> > that, and you know that we know it.
>
> I know no such thing. I know that AM has great potential with HD if the roll
> out of receivers (7 new ones announced this week, including a $149 after
> market car radio) comes fast enough and stations promote it enough.
>

You are a liar and a troll.

> > But, being a troll, your goal is
> > only to start trouble. You obviously have way too much time on your

> > hands...
>
> Being a realist, I know that AM, where I started in radio, is a 90 year old
> medium and will definitely die if we do not improve it in a way that is
> palpable by the listener, especially the under-45 crowd.
> >

If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
Jerk.

3547. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:57:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C2833A-494@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84684

Them two divorced wimmins next door to be cleared out about a month ago.The Bell South woman put in for and received a Bell South job transfer to Vadalia,Louisiana (she is from Natchez,Mississippi,Natchez is only a few miles from Vadalia) and that other woman went to live with her boyfriend.Bell South woman's notes on that house next to to me are about $270.00 each month.(that old house still needs a whole lot of fixin up and repair work on it) She told me she might see about getting rid of that house.I told her she ought to get about half a dozen pretty wimmins to move in that house.
cuhulin

3548. Kol Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:58:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C28353.D042370A@milestones.com>
Source record: 84682

Kol Israel put in a fairly decent signal this afternoon on 9400 at 1900. 11590 was audible, but not usable at all.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3549. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 19:58:38 UTC
From: pa330@charter.net
Message-ID: <1153598318.216923.40720@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

I HAVE USED SEVERAL MAKES> THE BEST I FOUND IS "MOBILEBLACKBOX" at
www.mobileblackbox.com
RobnzBoy wrote:
> I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was
> so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of
> my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
> memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can
> listen to or record from.
>
> It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it.
> But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good
> for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to
> hear well. So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to
> the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio
> speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM
> transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one
> from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the
> CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and
> I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its
> competition.
>
> Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?

3550. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:04:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C284BB.16DC805E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84683

>
> David Eduardo, prancing fool wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C26AAB.E3898BDC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancer and fake Hispanic
> > since
> > c.2000, and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C263A5.81DD0B97@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since
> >> > c.2000,
> >> > and
> >> > paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> >> >> need
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yet another insult
> >> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm not attempting to be Hispanic-For-Business-Purposes-Only.
> >>
> >> At least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred,
> >> racism
> >> and bile.

> >
> > General Class. First licensed in 1970.
>
> Beat you by about 5 years.

Transmitting hatred, racism and bile?

I wouldn't be a bit surprised.

LMFAO at the sad, prancing fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3551. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:05:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C28503-495@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84686

Wimmins dresses are on sale for $4.00 at the Goodwill store,two or three times every week.I stopped off there this afternoon and I did throw a couple of them on myself.But since I was in such a hurry to get to the Wal Mart store to do my food shopping (bought a cheap GE corded land line phone too,$5.87) and I didn't want to miss the Above and Beyond (Enola Gay Flight Crew guys dropped the Atomic Bomb on Hiroshima) movie on tv,I hurried on up.No worry though,those wimmins dresses are always at the Goodwill store.
cuhulin

3552. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:08:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C285A2-497@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84687

I am sixty four years old.Every since I was a little boy and I started fiddlin around with our old family radio,I learned I could get some long distance radio stations from many places around America.
cuhulin

3553. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:14:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <sGvwg.3304$bP5.647@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84685

Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
news:telamon_spamshield-60FF8D.14114019072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com:
>
> What the terrorists want is power not money. Power over your life. They
> want to control the world and if you don't want to go along with them
> then they want you dead.

What Telamon wants is power not money. Power over your discussions. He
wants to control usenet and if you don't want to go along with him
then he wants you killfiled.

Sc

3554. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:31:52 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153600312.931378.193820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84688

David wrote:

>
> Do you have a point? I say let the Midlle Easterners kill each other.
>

Holy shiite! I actually agree with you about something.

This just in: Hell froze over.

3555. Re: DX-one Pro MKII - Ala 1530

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:33:49 UTC
From: John Plimmer <plimmer@telkomsa.net>
Message-ID: <e9u252$9qp$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 84689

Two good DX buddies of mine have the ALA 1530 and I have the DX 1.
I think (biased) that my DX 1 has the edge, but note it must be carefully mounted according to the instructions.
See my review at:
http://www.dxing.info/equipment/dx1pro.dx

However, the ALA 1530 is much more flexible in it's use. It can be casually mounted in your apartment room without fuss, or in your loft and still give good results. Of course it will perform even better if mounted high outside on a rotator. The rotator is necessary as it is directional on the lower frequencies.

Other DX friends have upgraded from the ALA 1530 to the ALA 100 and claim better results still.

--
John Plimmer, Montagu, Western Cape Province, South Africa
South 33 d 47 m 32 s, East 20 d 07 m 32 s
RX Icom IC-756 PRO III with MW mods
Drake SW8 & ERGO software
Sony 7600D GE SRIII
BW XCR 30, Braun T1000, Sangean 818 & 803A.
GE circa 50's radiogram
Antenna's RF Systems DX 1 Pro, Datong AD-270
Kiwa MW Loop
http://www.dxing.info/about/dxers/plimmer.dx

"henk" <henk.st-e-lte@wa-n-a-d-o-o.nl> wrote in message
news:44c27211$0$86077$dbd49001@news.wanadoo.nl...
> I'am considering to buy one of these antenna's.
> Witch one is the best? both get good reviews.
>
> Henk.

3556. Wellbrook ALA 100 with Rotator - Construction Details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:34:39 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <b8WdnT7uBI5nFI_ZnZ2dnUVZ_rGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave

Those with an interest in building a Wellbrook ALA 100 setup for use on an antenna rotator may want to check out my most recent blog entry (July 22nd). I have pictures and construction details that describe the way I approached the project.

I believe the ALA 100 is the most flexible and useful Wellbrook model for the do-it-yourselfer. You can construct the loop in whatever manner suits your abilities and requirements.

My use of the ALA 100 is primarily for DXing foreign medium wave signals, with a secondary use of hearing third-world broadcasters on the tropical bands. I've heard of some amateur radio operators using Wellbrook antennas for a receive-only solution, too.

73,

Guy Atkins
Puyallup, WA USA
blog: www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

3557. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:41:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C28D96-505@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84693

Oh,that's OK.Israel knows how to knock out Syrian fighter (Iranian ones too) pilots too.
cuhulin

3558. Re: ALMOST TIME FOR .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:42:54 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153600974.801426.243850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LW wrote:
> Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> > "I love the lickacrotchee net", - Sid The Lid, K5BLB.
>
> Oh just looky here .. shows up a little early thinking we won't notice.
>
>
> Well we did.
>
> I betcha right now he's camped out at the Notel Motel with his harem.
>
> Janet and Madelyn and Cynthia.
>
> CNN reported today that Cynthia has been absent from Congress for a few
> days.
>
> Yep, it all adds up now .. Y-Man .. good to go. He sure can pick 'em,
> yeahhhh ..
>
> He he he .. hey I'm just ribbin' him some about an event on SHORTWAVE
> RADIO.
>

Yep, me n' Janet n' Madelyn are taking turns to see who yells "uncle"
first. We finally got Cynthia calmed down by occasionally tossing her
some bananas. Her constant "OOK OOK EEK EEK OOK OOK " was distracting
us.

3559. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:44:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C28E33-506@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84694

I dont want power,just send me money.
cuhulin

3560. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:49:01 UTC
From: "Wayne R." <wruffner@KomKast.net>
Message-ID: <bh35c21g31trr4r4bt4tsps78ujn2th3ri@4ax.com>
Source record: 84692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

On 22 Jul 2006 07:39:44 -0700, "Joe Analssandrini"
<analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote (with clarity & insight):

>The unit is well-worth the $70.00 (sometimes $60.00 on sale) and, if
>you don't like it, you can return it. C. Crane is, in my own
>experience, a fine company with which to deal. In many years of buying
>from them I have never had any problems whatsoever and I have always
>found them very responsive to my needs (even going so far as to make a
>few "custom" items for me).

I have no experience with this particular device, but I second the
opinion that CCrane is a top-notch operation. Sangean too.

They do it because they love it. We've gotta keep track of operations
like these, they're part of what makes life liveable.

http://www.ccrane.com/

3561. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:59:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12568-44C29196-104@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84696

y'all shouldn't believe every thing debka says.
cuhulin

3562. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 20:59:49 UTC
From: "Wayne R." <wruffner@KomKast.net>
Message-ID: <7q35c2t5camjh4a6hd55tckrghgd0vtb8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 84695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 10:52:26 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
(with clarity & insight):

>I'd wager that there are more drug addled nutcases that listen to Air America
>than listen to Rush.

Absolutely. Inarguable. But, in this case, the preacher is the addled
one. And his argument is that *he's* the voice of clear-headedness.

>The demographics of the Liberal/Democrats assure this, simply look up who and
>what their constituency consists of.

So, go ahead with the next piece of logic: Which came first: the
liberals, the addled-ness or the need to become addled?

I'd say that liberal-mindedness promotes the possibility that
occasional addled-ness isn't a big problem. But living in a world
"run" by the Rove/Rush/Right machine perhaps forces a need for it.

But I could be wrong. I'm open to other interpretations.

3563. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:02:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C29263-515@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84697

Well,that's about all that is excellent out of Tennessee.Memphis doesn't
like Mississippi,this I know!
cuhulin

3564. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:03:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C292AE-516@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84598

I would Vote for dxAce for prez.
cuhulin

3565. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:14:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C29542-522@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84604

I also want to buy some wimmins slacks and blowses at the Goodwill store.I keep looking at those bras in that display thingy,but I want a Wonder Bra (www.devilfinder.com Wonder Bra) deep push up Wonder Bra.I guess I will eventually have to break down and head on over to the MacRae's departmental store at Metro Center Mall www.metromalljackson.com just across Highway 80 (about two blocks from me) and let one of them wimmins over there look at my big male boobies and let her help me pick out just exactly the Wonder Bra I wants.
cuhulin

3566. ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:15:24 UTC
From: Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net>
Message-ID: <q655c2ptqj6h0eitctj9dcb2lpu4thvgqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 84599

Shortwave folks,,

The more I listen to you folks here, the more I realize that most of you are INSANE and should be institutionalized. At this point it is time for me to call in a white PhD friend of mine who travels America trying to educate you all about race, and why Amerikkkans wants to slaughter everyone who is not "white". Listen to what he has to say and make the necessary positive changes in your life immediately. You can buy a new computer when you mess it up, but you can't buy a new brain. Stop the mad dog hatred!!

Your minds are a terrible thing to waste!

Tim "White man" Wise

What Kind of Card is Race?

The Absurdity (and Consistency) of White Denial

By Tim Wise

Published on Counterpunch, www.counterpunch.org, April 24, 2006

Recently, I was asked by someone in the audience of one of my speeches, whether or not I believed that racism--though certainly a problem--might also be something conjured up by people of color in situations where the charge was inappropriate. In other words, did I believe that occasionally folks play the so-called race card, as a ploy to gain sympathy or detract from their own shortcomings? In the process of his query, the questioner made his own opinion all too clear (an unambiguous yes), and in that, he was not alone, as indicated by the reaction of others in the crowd, as well as survey data confirming that the belief in black malingering about racism is nothing if not ubiquitous.

It's a question I'm asked often, especially when there are several high-profile news events transpiring, in which race informs part of the narrative. Now is one of those times, as a few recent incidents demonstrate: Is racism, for example, implicated in the alleged rape of a young black woman by white members of the Duke University lacrosse team? Was racism implicated in Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney's recent confrontation with a member of the Capitol police? Or is racism involved in the ongoing investigation into whether or not Barry Bonds--as he is poised to eclipse white slugger Babe Ruth on the

all-time home run list--might have used steroids to enhance his performance?*

Although the matter is open to debate in any or all of these cases, white folks have been quick to accuse blacks who answer in the affirmative of playing the race card, as if their conclusions have been reached not because of careful consideration of the facts as they see them, but rather, because of some irrational (even borderline paranoid) tendency to see racism everywhere. So too, discussions over immigration, "terrorist" profiling, and Katrina and its aftermath often turn on issues of race, and so give rise to the charge that as regards these subjects, people of color are "overreacting" when they allege racism in one or another circumstance.

Asked about the tendency for people of color to play the "race card," I responded as I always do: First, by noting that the regularity with which whites respond to charges of racism by calling said charges a ploy, suggests that the race card is, at best, equivalent to the two of diamonds. In other words, it's not much of a card to play, calling into question why anyone would play it (as if it were really going to get them somewhere). Secondly, I pointed out that white reluctance to acknowledge racism isn't new, and it isn't something that manifests only in situations where the racial aspect of an incident is arguable. Fact is, whites have always doubted claims of racism at the time they were being made, no matter how strong the evidence, as will be seen below. Finally, I concluded by suggesting that whatever "card" claims of racism may prove to be for the black and brown, the denial card is far and away the trump, and whites play it regularly: a subject to which we will return.

Turning Injustice into a Game of Chance: The Origins of Race as "Card"

First, let us consider the history of this notion: namely, that the "race card" is something people of color play so as to distract the rest of us, or to gain sympathy. For most Americans, the phrase "playing the race card" entered the national lexicon during the O.J. Simpson trial. Robert Shapiro, one of Simpson's attorneys famously claimed, in the aftermath of his client's acquittal, that co-counsel Johnnie Cochran had "played the race card, and dealt it from the bottom of the deck." The allegation referred to Cochran's bringing up officer Mark Fuhrman's regular use of the 'n-word' as potentially indicative of his propensity to frame Simpson. To Shapiro, whose own views of his client's innocence apparently shifted over time, the issue of race had no place in the trial, and even if Fuhrman was a racist, this fact had no bearing on whether or not O.J. had killed his ex-wife and Ron Goldman. In other words, the idea that O.J. had been framed because of racism made no sense and to bring it up was to interject race into an arena where it was, or should have been, irrelevant.

That a white man like Shapiro could make such an argument, however, speaks to the widely divergent way in which whites and blacks view our

respective worlds. For people of color--especially African Americans--the idea that racist cops might frame members of their community is no abstract notion, let alone an exercise in irrational conspiracy theorizing. Rather, it speaks to a social reality about which blacks are acutely aware. Indeed, there has been a history of such misconduct on the part of law enforcement, and for black folks to think those bad old days have ended is, for many, to let down their guard to the possibility of real and persistent injury (1).

So if a racist cop is the lead detective in a case, and the one who discovers blood evidence implicating a black man accused of killing two white people, there is a logical alarm bell that goes off in the head of most any black person, but which would remain every bit as silent in the mind of someone who was white. And this too is understandable: for most whites, police are the helpful folks who get your cat out of the tree, or take you around in their patrol car for fun. For us, the idea of brutality or misconduct on the part of such persons seems remote, to the point of being fanciful. It seems the stuff of bad TV dramas, or at the very least, the past--that always remote place to which we can consign our national sins and predations, content all the while that whatever demons may have lurked in those earlier times have long since been vanquished.

To whites, blacks who alleged racism in the O.J. case were being absurd, or worse, seeking any excuse to let a black killer off the hook--ignoring that blacks on juries vote to convict black people of crimes every day in this country. And while allegations of black "racial bonding" with the defendant were made regularly after the acquittal in Simpson's criminal trial, no such bonding, this time with the victims, was alleged when a mostly white jury found O.J. civilly liable a few years later. Only blacks can play the race card, apparently; only they think in racial terms, at least to hear white America tell it.

Anything but Racism: White Reluctance to Accept the Evidence

Since the O.J. trial, it seems as though almost any allegation of racism has been met with the same dismissive reply from the bulk of whites in the U.S. According to national surveys, more than three out of four whites refuse to believe that discrimination is any real problem in America (2). That most whites remain unconvinced of racism's salience--with as few as six percent believing it to be a "very serious problem," according to one poll in the mid 90s (3)--suggests that racism-as-card makes up an awfully weak hand. While folks of color consistently articulate their belief that racism is a real and persistent presence in their own lives, these claims have had very little effect on white attitudes. As such, how could anyone believe that people of color would somehow pull the claim out of their hat, as if it were guaranteed to make white America sit up and take notice? If anything, it is likely to be ignored, or even attacked, and in a particularly vicious manner.

That bringing up racism (even with copious documentation) is far from an effective "card" to play in order to garner sympathy, is evidenced by the way in which few people even become aware of the studies confirming its existence. How many Americans do you figure have even heard, for example, that black youth arrested for drug possession for the first time are incarcerated at a rate that is forty-eight times greater than the rate for white youth, even when all other factors surrounding the crime are identical (4)?

How many have heard that persons with "white sounding names," according to a massive national study, are fifty percent more likely to be called back for a job interview than those with "black sounding" names, even when all other credentials are the same (5)?

How many know that white men with a criminal record are slightly more likely to be called back for a job interview than black men without one, even when the men are equally qualified, and present themselves to potential employers in an identical fashion (6)?

How many have heard that according to the Justice Department, Black and Latino males are three times more likely than white males to have their vehicles stopped and searched by police, even though white males are over four times more likely to have illegal contraband in our cars on the occasions when we are searched (7)?

How many are aware that black and Latino students are about half as likely as whites to be placed in advanced or honors classes in school, and twice as likely to be placed in remedial classes? Or that even when test scores and prior performance would justify higher placement, students of color are far less likely to be placed in honors classes (8)? Or that students of color are 2-3 times more likely than whites to be suspended or expelled from school, even though rates of serious school rule infractions do not differ to any significant degree between racial groups (9)?

Fact is, few folks have heard any of these things before, suggesting how little impact scholarly research on the subject of racism has had on the general public, and how difficult it is to make whites, in particular, give the subject a second thought.

Perhaps this is why, contrary to popular belief, research indicates that people of color are actually reluctant to allege racism, be it on the job, or in schools, or anywhere else. Far from "playing the race card" at the drop of a hat, it is actually the case (again, according to scholarly investigation, as opposed to the conventional wisdom of the white public), that black and brown folks typically "stuff" their experiences with discrimination and racism, only making an allegation of such treatment after many, many incidents have transpired, about which they said nothing for fear of being ignored or attacked (10). Precisely because white denial has long trumped claims of racism, people of color tend to underreport their experiences with racial bias, rather than exaggerate them. Again, when it comes to playing a race card, it is more accurate to say that whites are the dealers with

the loaded decks, shooting down any evidence of racism as little more than the fantasies of unhinged blacks, unwilling to take personal responsibility for their own problems in life.

Blaming the Victims for White Indifference

Occasionally, white denial gets creative, and this it does by pretending to come wrapped in sympathy for those who allege racism in the modern era. In other words, while steadfastly rejecting what people of color say they experience--in effect suggesting that they lack the intelligence and/or sanity to accurately interpret their own lives--such commentators seek to assure others that whites really do care about racism, but simply refuse to pin the label on incidents where it doesn't apply. In fact, they'll argue, one of the reasons that whites have developed compassion fatigue on this issue is precisely because of the overuse of the concept, combined with what we view as unfair reactions to racism (such as affirmative action efforts which have, ostensibly, turned us into the victims of racial bias). If blacks would just stop playing the card where it doesn't belong, and stop pushing for so-called preferential treatment, whites would revert back to our prior commitment to equal opportunity, and our heartfelt concern about the issue of racism.

Don't laugh. This is actually the position put forward recently by James Taranto, of the Wall Street Journal, who in January suggested that white reluctance to embrace black claims of racism was really the fault of blacks themselves, and the larger civil rights establishment (11). As Taranto put it: "Why do blacks and whites have such divergent views on racial matters? We would argue that it is because of the course that racial policies have taken over the past forty years." He then argues that by trying to bring about racial equality--but failing to do so because of "aggregate differences in motivation, inclination and aptitude" between different racial groups--policies like affirmative action have bred "frustration and resentment" among blacks, and "indifference" among whites, who decide not to think about race at all, rather than engage an issue that seems so toxic to them. In other words, whites think blacks use racism as a crutch for their own inadequacies, and then demand programs and policies that fail to make things much better, all the while discriminating against them as whites. In such an atmosphere, is it any wonder that the two groups view the subject matter differently?

But the fundamental flaw in Taranto's argument is its suggestion--implicit though it may be--that prior to the creation of affirmative action, white folks were mostly on board the racial justice and equal opportunity train, and were open to hearing about claims of racism from persons of color. Yet nothing could be further from the truth. White denial is not a form of backlash to the past forty years of civil rights legislation, and white indifference to claims of racism did not only recently emerge, as if from a previous place where whites and blacks had once seen the world similarly.

Simply put: whites in every generation have thought there was no real problem with racism, irrespective of the evidence, and in every generation we have been wrong.

Denial as an Intergenerational Phenomenon

So, for example, what does it say about white rationality and white collective sanity, that in 1963--at a time when in retrospect all would agree racism was rampant in the United States, and before the passage of modern civil rights legislation--nearly two-thirds of whites, when polled, said they believed blacks were treated the same as whites in their communities--almost the same number as say this now, some forty-plus years later? What does it suggest about the extent of white folks' disconnection from the real world, that in 1962, eighty-five percent of whites said black children had just as good a chance as white children to get a good education in their communities (12)? Or that in May, 1968, seventy percent of whites said that blacks were treated the same as whites in their communities, while only seventeen percent said blacks were treated "not very well" and only 3.5 percent said blacks were treated badly? (13)?

What does it say about white folks' historic commitment to equal opportunity--and which Taranto would have us believe has only been rendered inoperative because of affirmative action--that in 1963, three-fourths of white Americans told Newsweek, "The Negro is moving too fast" in his demands for equality (14)? Or that in October 1964, nearly two-thirds of whites said that the Civil Rights Act should be enforced gradually, with an emphasis on persuading employers not to discriminate, as opposed to forcing compliance with equal opportunity requirements (15)?

What does it say about whites' tenuous grip on mental health that in mid-August 1969, forty-four percent of whites told a Newsweek/Gallup National Opinion Survey that blacks had a better chance than they did to get a good paying job--two times as many as said they would have a worse chance? Or that forty-two percent said blacks had a better chance for a good education than whites, while only seventeen percent said they would have a worse opportunity for a good education, and eighty percent saying blacks would have an equal or better chance? In that same survey, seventy percent said blacks could have improved conditions in the "slums" if they had wanted to, and were more than twice as likely to blame blacks themselves, as opposed to discrimination, for high unemployment in the black community (16).

In other words, even when racism was, by virtually all accounts (looking backward in time), institutionalized, white folks were convinced there was no real problem. Indeed, even forty years ago, whites were more likely to think that blacks had better opportunities, than to believe the opposite (and obviously accurate) thing: namely, that whites were advantaged in every realm of American life.

Truthfully, this tendency for whites to deny the extent of racism and racial injustice likely extends back far before the 1960s. Although public opinion polls in previous decades rarely if ever asked questions about the extent of racial bias or discrimination, anecdotal surveys of white opinion suggest that at no time have whites in the U.S. ever thought blacks or other people of color were getting a bad shake. White Southerners were all but convinced that their black slaves, for example, had it good, and had no reason to complain about their living conditions or lack of freedoms. After emancipation, but during the introduction of Jim Crow laws and strict Black Codes that limited where African Americans could live and work, white newspapers would regularly editorialize about the "warm relations" between whites and blacks, even as thousands of blacks were being lynched by their white compatriots.

From Drapetomania to Victim Syndrome -- Viewing Resistance as Mental Illness

Indeed, what better evidence of white denial (even dementia) could one need than that provided by "Doctor" Samuel Cartwright, a well-respected physician of the 19th century, who was so convinced of slavery's benign nature, that he concocted and named a disease to explain the tendency for many slaves to run away from their loving masters. Drapetomania, he called it: a malady that could be cured by keeping the slave in a "child-like state," and taking care not to treat them as equals, while yet striving not to be too cruel. Mild whipping was, to Cartwright, the best cure of all. So there you have it: not only is racial oppression not a problem; even worse, those blacks who resist it, or refuse to bend to it, or complain about it in any fashion, are to be viewed not only as exaggerating their condition, but indeed, as mentally ill (17).

And lest one believe that the tendency for whites to psychologically pathologize blacks who complain of racism is only a relic of ancient history, consider a much more recent example, which demonstrates the continuity of this tendency among members of the dominant racial group in America.

A few years ago, I served as an expert witness and consultant in a discrimination lawsuit against a school district in Washington State. Therein, numerous examples of individual and institutional racism abounded: from death threats made against black students to which the school district's response was pitifully inadequate, to racially disparate "ability tracking" and disciplinary action. In preparation for trial (which ultimately never took place as the district finally agreed to settle the case for several million dollars and a commitment to policy change), the school system's "psychological experts" evaluated dozens of the plaintiffs (mostly students as well as some of their parents) so as to determine the extent of damage done to them as a result of the racist mistreatment. As one of the plaintiff's experts, I reviewed the reports of said psychologists, and while I was not surprised to see them downplay the damage done to the black folks

in this case, I was somewhat startled by how quickly they went beyond the call of duty to actually suggest that several of the plaintiffs exhibited "paranoid" tendencies and symptoms of borderline personality disorder. That having one's life threatened might make one a bit paranoid apparently never entered the minds of the white doctors. That facing racism on a regular basis might lead one to act out, in a way these "experts" would then see as a personality disorder, also seems to have escaped them. In this way, whites have continued to see mental illness behind black claims of victimization, even when that victimization is blatant.

In fact, we've even created a name for it: "victimization syndrome." Although not yet part of the DSM-IV (the diagnostic manual of the American Psychiatric Association, used by its members so as to evaluate patients), it is nonetheless a malady from which blacks suffer, to hear a lot of whites tell it. Whenever racism is brought up, such whites insist that blacks are being encouraged (usually by the civil rights establishment) to adopt a victim mentality, and to view themselves as perpetual targets of oppression. By couching their rejection of the claims of racism in these terms, conservatives are able to parade as friends to black folks, only concerned about them and hoping to free them from the debilitating mindset of victimization that liberals wish to see them adopt.

Aside from the inherently paternalistic nature of this position, notice too how concern over adopting a victim mentality is very selectively trotted out by the right. So, for example, when crime victims band together--and even form what they call victim's rights groups--no one on the right tells them to get over it, or suggests that by continuing to incessantly bleat about their kidnapped child or murdered loved one, such folks are falling prey to a victim mentality that should be resisted. No indeed: crime victims are venerated, considered experts on proper crime policy (as evidenced by how often their opinions are sought out on the matter by the national press and politicians), and given nothing but sympathy.

Likewise, when American Jews raise a cry over perceived anti-Jewish bigotry, or merely teach their children (as I was taught) about the European Holocaust, replete with a slogan of "Never again!" none of the folks who lament black "victimology" suggests that we too are wallowing in a victimization mentality, or somehow at risk for a syndrome of the same name.

In other words, it is blacks and blacks alone (with the occasional American Indian or Latino thrown in for good measure when and if they get too uppity) that get branded with the victim mentality label. Not quite drapetomania, but also not far enough from the kind of thinking that gave rise to it: in both cases, rooted in the desire of white America to reject what all logic and evidence suggests is true. Further, the selective branding of blacks as perpetual victims, absent the application of the pejorative to Jews or crime victims (or the

families of 9/11 victims or other acts of terrorism), suggests that at some level white folks simply don't believe black suffering matters. We refuse to view blacks as fully human and deserving of compassion as we do these other groups, for whom victimization has been a reality as well. It is not that whites care about blacks and simply wish them not to adopt a self-imposed mental straightjacket; rather, it is that at some level we either don't care, or at least don't equate the pain of racism even with the pain caused by being mugged, or having your art collection confiscated by the Nazis, let alone with the truly extreme versions of crime and anti-Semitic wrongdoing.

Conclusion -- See No Evil, Hear No Evil, Wrong as Always

White denial has become such a widespread phenomenon nowadays, that most whites are unwilling to entertain even the mildest of suggestions that racism and racial inequity might still be issues. To wit, a recent survey from the University of Chicago, in which whites and blacks were asked two questions about Hurricane Katrina and the governmental response to the tragedy. First, respondents were asked whether they believed the government response would have been speedier had the victims been white. Not surprisingly, only twenty percent of whites answered in the affirmative. But while that question is at least conceivably arguable, the next question seems so weakly worded that virtually anyone could have answered yes without committing too much in the way of recognition that racism was a problem. Yet the answers given reveal the depths of white intransigence to consider the problem a problem at all.

So when asked if we believed the Katrina tragedy showed that there was a lesson to be learned about racial inequality in America--any lesson at all--while ninety percent of blacks said yes, only thirty-eight percent of whites agreed (18). To us, Katrina said nothing about race whatsoever, even as blacks were disproportionately affected; even as there was a clear racial difference in terms of who was stuck in New Orleans and who was able to escape; even as the media focused incessantly on reports of black violence in the Superdome and Convention Center that proved later to be false; even as blacks have been having a much harder time moving back to New Orleans, thanks to local and federal foot-dragging and the plans of economic elites in the city to destroy homes in the most damaged (black) neighborhoods and convert them to non-residential (or higher rent) uses.

Nothing, absolutely nothing, has to do with race nowadays, in the eyes of white America writ large. But the obvious question is this: if we have never seen racism as a real problem, contemporary to the time in which the charges are being made, and if in all generations past we were obviously wrong to the point of mass delusion in thinking this way, what should lead us to conclude that now, at long last, we've become any more astute at discerning social reality than we were before? Why should we trust our own perceptions or instincts on the matter, when we have run up such an amazingly bad track record as

observers of the world in which we live? In every era, black folks said they were the victims of racism and they were right. In every era, whites have said the problem was exaggerated, and we have been wrong.

Unless we wish to conclude that black insight on the matter--which has never to this point failed them--has suddenly converted to irrationality, and that white irrationality has become insight (and are prepared to prove this transformation by way of some analytical framework to explain the process), then the best advice seems to be that which could have been offered in past decades and centuries: namely, if you want to know about whether or not racism is a problem, it would probably do you best to ask the folks who are its targets. They, after all, are the ones who must, as a matter of survival, learn what it is, and how and when it's operating. We whites on the other hand, are the persons who have never had to know a thing about it, and who--for reasons psychological, philosophical and material--have always had a keen interest in covering it up.

In short, and let us be clear on it: race is not a card. It determines who the dealer is, and who gets dealt.

* Personally, I have no idea whether or not Barry Bonds has used anabolic steroids during the course of his career, nor do I think the evidence marshaled thus far on the matter is conclusive, either way. But I do find it interesting that many are calling for the placement of an asterisk next to Bonds' name in the record books, especially should he eclipse Ruth, or later, Hank Aaron, in terms of career home runs. The asterisk, we are told, would differentiate Bonds from other athletes, the latter of which, presumably accomplished their feats without performance enhancers. Yet, while it is certainly true that Aaron's 755 home runs came without any form of performance enhancement (indeed, he, like other black ball-players had to face overt hostility in the early years of their careers, and even as he approached Ruth's record of 714, he was receiving death threats), for Ruth, such a claim would be laughable. Ruth, as with any white baseball player from the early 1890s to 1947, benefited from the "performance enhancement" of not having to compete against black athletes, whose abilities often far surpassed their own. Ruth didn't have to face black pitchers, nor vie for batting titles against black home run sluggers. Until white fans demand an asterisk next to the names of every one of their white baseball heroes -- Ruth, Cobb, DiMaggio, and Williams, for starters -- who played under apartheid rules, the demand for such a blemish next to the name of Bonds can only be seen as highly selective, hypocritical, and ultimately racist. White privilege and protection from black competition certainly did more for those men's game than creotine or other substances could ever do for the likes of Barry Bonds.

NOTES

(1) There is plenty of information about police racism, misconduct and brutality, both in historical and contemporary terms, available from any number of sources. Among them, see Kristian Williams, Our Enemies in Blue. Soft Skull Press, 2004; and online at the Stolen Lives Project: http://stolenlives.org.

(2) Washington Post. October 9, 1995: A22

(3) Ibid.

(4) "Young White Offenders get lighter treatment," 2000. The Tennessean. April 26: 8A.

(5) Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan, 2004. "Are Emily and Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment in Labor Market Discrimination." June 20. http://post.economics.harvard.edu/faculty/mullainathan/papers/emilygreg.pdf.

(6) Pager, Devah. 2003. "The Mark of a Criminal Record." American Journal of Sociology. Volume 108: 5, March: 937-75.

(7) Matthew R. Durose, Erica L. Schmitt and Patrick A. Langan, Contacts Between Police and the Public: Findings from the 2002 National Survey. U.S. Department of Justice, (Bureau of Justice Statistics), April 2005.

(8) Gordon, Rebecca. 1998. Education and Race. Oakland: Applied Research Center: 48-9; Fischer, Claude S. et al., 1996. Inequality by Design: Cracking the Bell Curve Myth. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press: 163; Steinhorn, Leonard and Barabara Diggs-Brown, 1999. By the Color of Our Skin: The Illusion of Integration and the Reality of Race. NY: Dutton: 95-6.

(9) Skiba, Russell J. et al., The Color of Discipline: Sources of Racial and Gender Disproportionality in School Punishment. Indiana Education Policy Center, Policy Research Report SRS1, June 2000; U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System: Youth 2003, Online Comprehensive Results, 2004.

(10) Terrell, Francis and Sandra L. Terrell, 1999. "Cultural Identification and Cultural Mistrust: Some Findings and Implications," in Advances in African American Psychology, Reginald Jones, ed., Hampton VA: Cobb & Henry; Fuegen, Kathleen, 2000. "Defining Discrimination in the Personal/Group Discrimination Discrepancy," Sex Roles: A Journal of Research. September; Miller, Carol T. 2001. "A Theoretical Perspective on Coping With Stigma," Journal of Social Issues. Spring; Feagin, Joe, Hernan Vera and Nikitah Imani, 1996. The Agony of Education: Black Students in White Colleges and Universities. NY: Routledge.

(11) Taranto, James. 2006. "The Truth About Race in America--IV," Online Journal (Wall Street Journal), January 6.

(12) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll Social Audit, 2001. Black-White Relations in the United States, 2001 Update, July 10: 7-9.

(13) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll, #761, May, 1968

(14) "How Whites Feel About Negroes: A Painful American Dilemma," Newsweek, October 21, 1963: 56

(15) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll #699, October, 1964

(16) Newsweek/Gallup Organization, National Opinion Survey, August 19, 1969

(17) Cartwright, Samuel. 1851. "Diseases and Peculiarities of the Negro Race," DeBow's Review. (Southern and Western States: New Orleans), Volume XI.

(18) Ford, Glen and Peter Campbell, 2006. "Katrina: A Study-Black Consensus, White Dispute," The Black Commentator, Issue 165, January 5.

3567. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:17:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C29603-525@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84605

Poor Markie,my eye! Feel sorry for me! Before I croak,I want to dress up just like a woman.I have to do it at least one time before I croak.
cuhulin

3568. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:20:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C296A1.B9C9D636@milestones.com>
Source record: 84600

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I would Vote for dxAce for prez.

And if elected I would promise you that IBOC will be shut down and all the fake Hispanics will be sent out of the country, back to Ecuador or wherever they were last kicked out of.

Please, send money for my campaign to Cuhulin, c/o Jackson, Mississippi.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3569. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:21:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EFwwg.71377$fb2.30140@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84601

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C27F2B.91965F4@milestones.com...
>
>
> dxAce wrote:
>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> > news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> > >>
>> > >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
>> > >> need to
>> > >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> > >> Canadians,
>> > >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> > >> etc.
>> > >>
>> > >> What _is_ your problem?
>> > > >
>> > > > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>> > >
>> > > You claim I am gay.
>> >
>> Once again, shitstain, where did I make that claim?
>>
> Answer the question... (epithet exorcised)

The term "prancer" is a common demeaning term for gays.

3570. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:22:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eGwwg.71378$fb2.59050@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84603

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C281B5.85EE340E@milestones.com...
>
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> blew
> it out his prancing rear when he wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C27803.344A0E90@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Beat you by about 5 years.
>> >
>> > But in that case I was younger, prancer! Are you still licensed?
>>
>> Nope. I lost interest the more I got into higher powered AM and FM
>> transmitters.
>
> This of course was way before you became interested in becoming a fake
> Hispanic?

No, I was not building any transmitters in 1947.

3571. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:22:26 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C29712-528@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84610

When I was checkin out some of them wimmins dresses at the Goodwill store this aftenoon,,,, a guy stepped over and he took a womans suit thingy,he went in the restroom for a few minutes.I assume he went in there to try on that womans dress suit.It had a lot of pin stripes on it.
cuhulin

3572. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:22:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C29730.ADAFC7D4@milestones.com>
Source record: 84602

Gabriel Rapture wrote:

[crap snipped]

The 'tard boy who previously posted here as 'Knowledge' is apparently back.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3573. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:27:54 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <uLwwg.71379$fb2.5344@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84606

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153598004.810700.171830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> AM in rated markets can give you an idea of what is happening. In the 7
>> years since Fall 1998 to the Winter, 2006, AM in Los Angeles has gone
>> from
>> just under 24% of listening to 17%. That is a loss of about 30% of AM
>> listening in that period, or about 3% a year.
>>
>> At the same time, the average age of AM listeners has crept up by over 5
>> years, and most of the listeners are in age groups that are of no
>> interest
>> to most advertisers.
>
>
> None of this is news, you idiot.

I see. I fit is not news, what is the average share for AM in san Francisco?
El Paso? Atlanta? And what was it 8 years ago?

I see. You did not know. It _is_ news, but you are in denial that something
must be done.

>What you and your marketing buddies
> are wanting to do to AM is worse than its simply dying!

I see. taking something that is doing very poorly and not doing anything
would be the rightr step?
>>
>> I know no such thing. I know that AM has great potential with HD if the
>> roll
>> out of receivers (7 new ones announced this week, including a $149 after
>> market car radio) comes fast enough and stations promote it enough.
>>
>
> You are a liar and a troll.

A troll says something outrageous.

I am saying that new radios are coming out, and the price is going down.
True. Not outrageous.

I am saying that there are over 2000 stations comitted to HD, and there are
new HD 2 channels going on the air daily. . True. Not otrageous.

I am saying AM will die if the current trend continues another 5 years. This
is also true, and quite frightening.
>>
>> Being a realist, I know that AM, where I started in radio, is a 90 year
>> old
>> medium and will definitely die if we do not improve it in a way that is
>> palpable by the listener, especially the under-45 crowd.
>> >
>
> If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
> Jerk

I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The decline
is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
>

3574. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:29:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C298AB.F908DC21@milestones.com>
Source record: 84607

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C281B5.85EE340E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000,
> > blew
> > it out his prancing rear when he wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C27803.344A0E90@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Beat you by about 5 years.
> >> >
> >> > But in that case I was younger, prancer! Are you still licensed?
> >>
> >> Nope. I lost interest the more I got into higher powered AM and FM
> >> transmitters.
> >
> > This of course was way before you became interested in becoming a fake
> > Hispanic?
>
> No, I was not building any transmitters in 1947.

Of course not.

But what does that have to do with you becoming a fake Hispanic c.2000?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3575. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:30:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C298F9.1C73139@milestones.com>
Source record: 84608

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C27F2B.91965F4@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> > >> need to
> >> > >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> > >> Canadians,
> >> > >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> > >> etc.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> > >
> >> > > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >
> >> > You claim I am gay.
> >>
> >> Once again, shitstain, where did I make that claim?
> >
> > Answer the question... (epithet exorcised)
>
> The term "prancer" is a common demeaning term for gays.

Once again, shitstain, when did I claim you were gay?

One last chance, prancer!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3576. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:32:07 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153603927.490989.149450@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84609

You got my vote too!

regards,
NEO

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Well,that's about all that is excellent out of Tennessee.Memphis doesn't
> like Mississippi,this I know!
> cuhulin

3577. Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:38:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C29AD4.6C67189@milestones.com>
Source record: 84611

Received a large packet of stuff this afternoon from Radio Taiwan International.
Wondered why I got it and then I recalled answering something from them where
they were looking for monitors.

Interesting I guess. Suppose I better fill out their report form.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3578. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:42:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com>
Source record: 84612

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic since c.2000 wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153598004.810700.171830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> AM in rated markets can give you an idea of what is happening. In the 7
> >> years since Fall 1998 to the Winter, 2006, AM in Los Angeles has gone
> >> from
> >> just under 24% of listening to 17%. That is a loss of about 30% of AM
> >> listening in that period, or about 3% a year.
> >>
> >> At the same time, the average age of AM listeners has crept up by over 5
> >> years, and most of the listeners are in age groups that are of no
> >> interest
> >> to most advertisers.
> >
> >
> > None of this is news, you idiot.
>
> I see. I fit is not news, what is the average share for AM in san Francisco?
> El Paso? Atlanta? And what was it 8 years ago?
>
> I see. You did not know. It _is_ news, but you are in denial that something
> must be done.
>
> >What you and your marketing buddies
> > are wanting to do to AM is worse than its simply dying!
>
> I see. taking something that is doing very poorly and not doing anything
> would be the rightr step?
> >>
> >> I know no such thing. I know that AM has great potential with HD if the
> >> roll
> >> out of receivers (7 new ones announced this week, including a $149 after
> >> market car radio) comes fast enough and stations promote it enough.
> >>
> >
> > You are a liar and a troll.
>
> A troll says something outrageous.
>
> I am saying that new radios are coming out, and the price is going down.

> True. Not outrageous.
>
> I am saying that there are over 2000 stations comitted to HD, and there are
> new HD 2 channels going on the air daily. . True. Not otrageous.
>
> I am saying AM will die if the current trend continues another 5 years. This
> is also true, and quite frightening.
> >>
> >> Being a realist, I know that AM, where I started in radio, is a 90 year
> >> old
> >> medium and will definitely die if we do not improve it in a way that is
> >> palpable by the listener, especially the under-45 crowd.
> >> >
> >
> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
> > Jerk
>
> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The decline
> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.

Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just fine here.
And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.

Go shill your wares elsewhere.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3579. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 21:58:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C29F99.C844C85@milestones.com>
Source record: 84613

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your need
> >> >> to
> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >
> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >>
> >> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another insult
> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >
> > If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
> > elsewhere.
>
> As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
> their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
> broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.

If you've been a DXer for about 48 years then why didn't you understand my
comments about Radio Difusora being on 4915?

Any 'good' DXer would have known exactly what I was posting about.

LMFAO at the prancing poseur, yet again.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3580. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:06:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84614

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
>> > Jerk
>>
>> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
>> decline
>> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
>
> Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just fine
> here.
> And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.

There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating. Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their hearing.

3581. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:10:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <inxwg.177802$F_3.73190@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84615

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C29F99.C844C85@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
>> >> >> need
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
>> >> >> Canadians,
>> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
>> >> >> etc.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
>> >> >
>> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
>> >>
>> >> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another
>> >> insult
>> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
>> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
>> >
>> > If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
>> > elsewhere.
>>
>> As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
>> their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
>> broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.
>
> If you've been a DXer for about 48 years then why didn't you understand my
> comments about Radio Difusora being on 4915?
```

The term "radio difusora" means "radio station" and if there is nothing after the term, it is totally generic. "Radio Difusora Fluminense" would be "River Radio." Plain "radio difusora" is not specific to any radio station and can be applied to any radio station in the world... "radio difusora

WABC" is WABC radio in New York. Obviously, DX n&#65533;o fala portugu&#65533;s.
>
> Any 'good' DXer would have known exactly what I was posting about.

The term is generic to every radio staiton in the world.

3582. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:14:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C2A35E.46049859@milestones.com>
Source record: 84616

David 'Prancer' Eduardo, poster boy for those kicked out of Ecuador wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> > Jerk
> >>
> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> decline
> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >>
> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just fine
> > here.
> > And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
>
> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior that
> nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating. Two
> generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are old farts
> who do not look at the future or have lost most of their hearing.

Hey, old fart! My hearing is just fine, been tested. It's great! I always wore earplugs.

Stuff your panty hose in it, Edweenie!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3583. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:25:34 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <yBxwg.177806$F_3.120772@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84617

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C2A35E.46049859@milestones.com...
>
>
> David 'Prancer' Eduardo, poster boy for those kicked out of Ecuador wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
>> >> > Jerk
>> >>
>> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
>> >> decline
>> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
>> >
>> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
>> > fine
>> > here.
>> > And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
>>
>> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior that
>> nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating. Two
>> generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are old
>> farts
>> who do not look at the future or have lost most of their hearing.
>
> Hey, old fart! My hearing is just fine, been tested. It's great! I always
> wore
> earplugs.

That must have made the DXing difficult. Unless you made it up. Another
paper DXer.

Are you related to Monferini?

3584. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:33:01 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153607581.890048.127170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84618

Gabriel Rapture wrote:
> Shortwave folks,,
>
> The more I listen to you folks here, the more I realize that most of
> you are INSANE and should be institutionalized. At this point it is
> time for me to call in a white PhD friend of mine who travels America
> trying to educate you all about race, and why Amerikkkans wants to
> slaughter everyone who is not "white". Listen to what he has to say
> and make the necessary positive changes in your life immediately.
> You can buy a new computer when you mess it up, but you can't buy a
> new brain. Stop the mad dog hatred!!
>
> Your minds are a terrible thing to waste!
>
> Tim "White man" Wise
> ---

> What Kind of Card is Race?
>
> The Absurdity (and Consistency) of White Denial
>
> By Tim Wise
> ---

> Published on Counterpunch, www.counterpunch.org, April 24, 2006
>
> Recently, I was asked by someone in the audience of one of my
> speeches, whether or not I believed that racism--though certainly a
> problem--might also be something conjured up by people of color in
> situations where the charge was inappropriate. In other words, did I
> believe that occasionally folks play the so-called race card, as a
> ploy to gain sympathy or detract from their own shortcomings? In the
> process of his query, the questioner made his own opinion all too
> clear (an unambiguous yes), and in that, he was not alone, as
> indicated by the reaction of others in the crowd, as well as survey
> data confirming that the belief in black malingering about racism is
> nothing if not ubiquitous.
>
> It's a question I'm asked often, especially when there are several
> high-profile news events transpiring, in which race informs part of
> the narrative. Now is one of those times, as a few recent incidents
> demonstrate: Is racism, for example, implicated in the alleged rape of
> a young black woman by white members of the Duke University lacrosse
> team? Was racism implicated in Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney's recent

> confrontation with a member of the Capitol police? Or is racism
> involved in the ongoing investigation into whether or not Barry
> Bonds--as he is poised to eclipse white slugger Babe Ruth on the
> all-time home run list--might have used steroids to enhance his
> performance?*
>
> Although the matter is open to debate in any or all of these cases,
> white folks have been quick to accuse blacks who answer in the
> affirmative of playing the race card, as if their conclusions have
> been reached not because of careful consideration of the facts as they
> see them, but rather, because of some irrational (even borderline
> paranoid) tendency to see racism everywhere. So too, discussions over
> immigration, "terrorist" profiling, and Katrina and its aftermath
> often turn on issues of race, and so give rise to the charge that as
> regards these subjects, people of color are "overreacting" when they
> allege racism in one or another circumstance.
>
> Asked about the tendency for people of color to play the "race card,"
> I responded as I always do: First, by noting that the regularity with
> which whites respond to charges of racism by calling said charges a
> ploy, suggests that the race card is, at best, equivalent to the two
> of diamonds. In other words, it's not much of a card to play, calling
> into question why anyone would play it (as if it were really going to
> get them somewhere). Secondly, I pointed out that white reluctance to
> acknowledge racism isn't new, and it isn't something that manifests
> only in situations where the racial aspect of an incident is arguable.
> Fact is, whites have always doubted claims of racism at the time they
> were being made, no matter how strong the evidence, as will be seen
> below. Finally, I concluded by suggesting that whatever "card" claims
> of racism may prove to be for the black and brown, the denial card is
> far and away the trump, and whites play it regularly: a subject to
> which we will return.
>
> Turning Injustice into a Game of Chance: The Origins of Race as "Card"
>
> First, let us consider the history of this notion: namely, that the
> "race card" is something people of color play so as to distract the
> rest of us, or to gain sympathy. For most Americans, the phrase
> "playing the race card" entered the national lexicon during the O.J.
> Simpson trial. Robert Shapiro, one of Simpson's attorneys famously
> claimed, in the aftermath of his client's acquittal, that co-counsel
> Johnnie Cochran had "played the race card, and dealt it from the
> bottom of the deck." The allegation referred to Cochran's bringing up
> officer Mark Fuhrman's regular use of the 'n-word' as potentially
> indicative of his propensity to frame Simpson. To Shapiro, whose own
> views of his client's innocence apparently shifted over time, the
> issue of race had no place in the trial, and even if Fuhrman was a
> racist, this fact had no bearing on whether or not O.J. had killed his
> ex-wife and Ron Goldman. In other words, the idea that O.J. had been
> framed because of racism made no sense and to bring it up was to

> interject race into an arena where it was, or should have been,
> irrelevant.
>
> That a white man like Shapiro could make such an argument, however,
> speaks to the widely divergent way in which whites and blacks view our
> respective worlds. For people of color--especially African
> Americans--the idea that racist cops might frame members of their
> community is no abstract notion, let alone an exercise in irrational
> conspiracy theorizing. Rather, it speaks to a social reality about
> which blacks are acutely aware. Indeed, there has been a history of
> such misconduct on the part of law enforcement, and for black folks to
> think those bad old days have ended is, for many, to let down their
> guard to the possibility of real and persistent injury (1).
>
> So if a racist cop is the lead detective in a case, and the one who
> discovers blood evidence implicating a black man accused of killing
> two white people, there is a logical alarm bell that goes off in the
> head of most any black person, but which would remain every bit as
> silent in the mind of someone who was white. And this too is
> understandable: for most whites, police are the helpful folks who get
> your cat out of the tree, or take you around in their patrol car for
> fun. For us, the idea of brutality or misconduct on the part of such
> persons seems remote, to the point of being fanciful. It seems the
> stuff of bad TV dramas, or at the very least, the past--that always
> remote place to which we can consign our national sins and predations,
> content all the while that whatever demons may have lurked in those
> earlier times have long since been vanquished.
>
> To whites, blacks who alleged racism in the O.J. case were being
> absurd, or worse, seeking any excuse to let a black killer off the
> hook--ignoring that blacks on juries vote to convict black people of
> crimes every day in this country. And while allegations of black
> "racial bonding" with the defendant were made regularly after the
> acquittal in Simpson's criminal trial, no such bonding, this time with
> the victims, was alleged when a mostly white jury found O.J. civilly
> liable a few years later. Only blacks can play the race card,
> apparently; only they think in racial terms, at least to hear white
> America tell it.
>
> Anything but Racism: White Reluctance to Accept the Evidence
>
> Since the O.J. trial, it seems as though almost any allegation of
> racism has been met with the same dismissive reply from the bulk of
> whites in the U.S. According to national surveys, more than three out
> of four whites refuse to believe that discrimination is any real
> problem in America (2). That most whites remain unconvinced of
> racism's salience--with as few as six percent believing it to be a
> "very serious problem," according to one poll in the mid 90s
> (3)--suggests that racism-as-card makes up an awfully weak hand. While
> folks of color consistently articulate their belief that racism is a

> real and persistent presence in their own lives, these claims have had
> very little effect on white attitudes. As such, how could anyone
> believe that people of color would somehow pull the claim out of their
> hat, as if it were guaranteed to make white America sit up and take
> notice? If anything, it is likely to be ignored, or even attacked, and
> in a particularly vicious manner.
>
> That bringing up racism (even with copious documentation) is far from
> an effective "card" to play in order to garner sympathy, is evidenced
> by the way in which few people even become aware of the studies
> confirming its existence. How many Americans do you figure have even
> heard, for example, that black youth arrested for drug possession for
> the first time are incarcerated at a rate that is forty-eight times
> greater than the rate for white youth, even when all other factors
> surrounding the crime are identical (4)?
>
> How many have heard that persons with "white sounding names,"
> according to a massive national study, are fifty percent more likely
> to be called back for a job interview than those with "black sounding"
> names, even when all other credentials are the same (5)?
>
> How many know that white men with a criminal record are slightly more
> likely to be called back for a job interview than black men without
> one, even when the men are equally qualified, and present themselves
> to potential employers in an identical fashion (6)?
>
> How many have heard that according to the Justice Department, Black
> and Latino males are three times more likely than white males to have
> their vehicles stopped and searched by police, even though white males
> are over four times more likely to have illegal contraband in our cars
> on the occasions when we are searched (7)?
>
> How many are aware that black and Latino students are about half as
> likely as whites to be placed in advanced or honors classes in school,
> and twice as likely to be placed in remedial classes? Or that even
> when test scores and prior performance would justify higher placement,
> students of color are far less likely to be placed in honors classes
> (8)? Or that students of color are 2-3 times more likely than whites
> to be suspended or expelled from school, even though rates of serious
> school rule infractions do not differ to any significant degree
> between racial groups (9)?
>
> Fact is, few folks have heard any of these things before, suggesting
> how little impact scholarly research on the subject of racism has had
> on the general public, and how difficult it is to make whites, in
> particular, give the subject a second thought.
>
> Perhaps this is why, contrary to popular belief, research indicates
> that people of color are actually reluctant to allege racism, be it on
> the job, or in schools, or anywhere else. Far from "playing the race

> card" at the drop of a hat, it is actually the case (again, according
> to scholarly investigation, as opposed to the conventional wisdom of
> the white public), that black and brown folks typically "stuff" their
> experiences with discrimination and racism, only making an allegation
> of such treatment after many, many incidents have transpired, about
> which they said nothing for fear of being ignored or attacked (10).
> Precisely because white denial has long trumped claims of racism,
> people of color tend to underreport their experiences with racial
> bias, rather than exaggerate them. Again, when it comes to playing a
> race card, it is more accurate to say that whites are the dealers with
> the loaded decks, shooting down any evidence of racism as little more
> than the fantasies of unhinged blacks, unwilling to take personal
> responsibility for their own problems in life.
>
> Blaming the Victims for White Indifference
>
> Occasionally, white denial gets creative, and this it does by
> pretending to come wrapped in sympathy for those who allege racism in
> the modern era. In other words, while steadfastly rejecting what
> people of color say they experience--in effect suggesting that they
> lack the intelligence and/or sanity to accurately interpret their own
> lives--such commentators seek to assure others that whites really do
> care about racism, but simply refuse to pin the label on incidents
> where it doesn't apply. In fact, they'll argue, one of the reasons
> that whites have developed compassion fatigue on this issue is
> precisely because of the overuse of the concept, combined with what we
> view as unfair reactions to racism (such as affirmative action efforts
> which have, ostensibly, turned us into the victims of racial bias). If
> blacks would just stop playing the card where it doesn't belong, and
> stop pushing for so-called preferential treatment, whites would revert
> back to our prior commitment to equal opportunity, and our heartfelt
> concern about the issue of racism.
>
> Don't laugh. This is actually the position put forward recently by
> James Taranto, of the Wall Street Journal, who in January suggested
> that white reluctance to embrace black claims of racism was really the
> fault of blacks themselves, and the larger civil rights establishment
> (11). As Taranto put it: "Why do blacks and whites have such divergent
> views on racial matters? We would argue that it is because of the
> course that racial policies have taken over the past forty years." He
> then argues that by trying to bring about racial equality--but failing
> to do so because of "aggregate differences in motivation, inclination
> and aptitude" between different racial groups--policies like
> affirmative action have bred "frustration and resentment" among
> blacks, and "indifference" among whites, who decide not to think about
> race at all, rather than engage an issue that seems so toxic to them.
> In other words, whites think blacks use racism as a crutch for their
> own inadequacies, and then demand programs and policies that fail to
> make things much better, all the while discriminating against them as
> whites. In such an atmosphere, is it any wonder that the two groups

> view the subject matter differently?
>
> But the fundamental flaw in Taranto's argument is its
> suggestion--implicit though it may be--that prior to the creation of
> affirmative action, white folks were mostly on board the racial
> justice and equal opportunity train, and were open to hearing about
> claims of racism from persons of color. Yet nothing could be further
> from the truth. White denial is not a form of backlash to the past
> forty years of civil rights legislation, and white indifference to
> claims of racism did not only recently emerge, as if from a previous
> place where whites and blacks had once seen the world similarly.
> Simply put: whites in every generation have thought there was no real
> problem with racism, irrespective of the evidence, and in every
> generation we have been wrong.
>
> Denial as an Intergenerational Phenomenon
>
> So, for example, what does it say about white rationality and white
> collective sanity, that in 1963--at a time when in retrospect all
> would agree racism was rampant in the United States, and before the
> passage of modern civil rights legislation--nearly two-thirds of
> whites, when polled, said they believed blacks were treated the same
> as whites in their communities--almost the same number as say this
> now, some forty-plus years later? What does it suggest about the
> extent of white folks' disconnection from the real world, that in
> 1962, eighty-five percent of whites said black children had just as
> good a chance as white children to get a good education in their
> communities (12)? Or that in May, 1968, seventy percent of whites said
> that blacks were treated the same as whites in their communities,
> while only seventeen percent said blacks were treated "not very well"
> and only 3.5 percent said blacks were treated badly? (13)?
>
> What does it say about white folks' historic commitment to equal
> opportunity--and which Taranto would have us believe has only been
> rendered inoperative because of affirmative action--that in 1963,
> three-fourths of white Americans told Newsweek, "The Negro is moving
> too fast" in his demands for equality (14)? Or that in October 1964,
> nearly two-thirds of whites said that the Civil Rights Act should be
> enforced gradually, with an emphasis on persuading employers not to
> discriminate, as opposed to forcing compliance with equal opportunity
> requirements (15)?
>
> What does it say about whites' tenuous grip on mental health that in
> mid-August 1969, forty-four percent of whites told a Newsweek/Gallup
> National Opinion Survey that blacks had a better chance than they did
> to get a good paying job--two times as many as said they would have a
> worse chance? Or that forty-two percent said blacks had a better
> chance for a good education than whites, while only seventeen percent
> said they would have a worse opportunity for a good education, and
> eighty percent saying blacks would have an equal or better chance? In

> that same survey, seventy percent said blacks could have improved
> conditions in the "slums" if they had wanted to, and were more than
> twice as likely to blame blacks themselves, as opposed to
> discrimination, for high unemployment in the black community (16).
>
> In other words, even when racism was, by virtually all accounts
> (looking backward in time), institutionalized, white folks were
> convinced there was no real problem. Indeed, even forty years ago,
> whites were more likely to think that blacks had better opportunities,
> than to believe the opposite (and obviously accurate) thing: namely,
> that whites were advantaged in every realm of American life.
>
> Truthfully, this tendency for whites to deny the extent of racism and
> racial injustice likely extends back far before the 1960s. Although
> public opinion polls in previous decades rarely if ever asked
> questions about the extent of racial bias or discrimination, anecdotal
> surveys of white opinion suggest that at no time have whites in the
> U.S. ever thought blacks or other people of color were getting a bad
> shake. White Southerners were all but convinced that their black
> slaves, for example, had it good, and had no reason to complain about
> their living conditions or lack of freedoms. After emancipation, but
> during the introduction of Jim Crow laws and strict Black Codes that
> limited where African Americans could live and work, white newspapers
> would regularly editorialize about the "warm relations" between whites
> and blacks, even as thousands of blacks were being lynched by their
> white compatriots.
>
> From Drapetomania to Victim Syndrome -- Viewing Resistance as Mental
> Illness
>
> Indeed, what better evidence of white denial (even dementia) could one
> need than that provided by "Doctor" Samuel Cartwright, a
> well-respected physician of the 19th century, who was so convinced of
> slavery's benign nature, that he concocted and named a disease to
> explain the tendency for many slaves to run away from their loving
> masters. Drapetomania, he called it: a malady that could be cured by
> keeping the slave in a "child-like state," and taking care not to
> treat them as equals, while yet striving not to be too cruel. Mild
> whipping was, to Cartwright, the best cure of all. So there you have
> it: not only is racial oppression not a problem; even worse, those
> blacks who resist it, or refuse to bend to it, or complain about it in
> any fashion, are to be viewed not only as exaggerating their
> condition, but indeed, as mentally ill (17).
>
> And lest one believe that the tendency for whites to psychologically
> pathologize blacks who complain of racism is only a relic of ancient
> history, consider a much more recent example, which demonstrates the
> continuity of this tendency among members of the dominant racial group
> in America.
>

> A few years ago, I served as an expert witness and consultant in a
> discrimination lawsuit against a school district in Washington State.
> Therein, numerous examples of individual and institutional racism
> abounded: from death threats made against black students to which the
> school district's response was pitifully inadequate, to racially
> disparate "ability tracking" and disciplinary action. In preparation
> for trial (which ultimately never took place as the district finally
> agreed to settle the case for several million dollars and a commitment
> to policy change), the school system's "psychological experts"
> evaluated dozens of the plaintiffs (mostly students as well as some of
> their parents) so as to determine the extent of damage done to them as
> a result of the racist mistreatment. As one of the plaintiff's
> experts, I reviewed the reports of said psychologists, and while I was
> not surprised to see them downplay the damage done to the black folks
> in this case, I was somewhat startled by how quickly they went beyond
> the call of duty to actually suggest that several of the plaintiffs
> exhibited "paranoid" tendencies and symptoms of borderline personality
> disorder. That having one's life threatened might make one a bit
> paranoid apparently never entered the minds of the white doctors. That
> facing racism on a regular basis might lead one to act out, in a way
> these "experts" would then see as a personality disorder, also seems
> to have escaped them. In this way, whites have continued to see mental
> illness behind black claims of victimization, even when that
> victimization is blatant.
>
> In fact, we've even created a name for it: "victimization syndrome."
> Although not yet part of the DSM-IV (the diagnostic manual of the
> American Psychiatric Association, used by its members so as to
> evaluate patients), it is nonetheless a malady from which blacks
> suffer, to hear a lot of whites tell it. Whenever racism is brought
> up, such whites insist that blacks are being encouraged (usually by
> the civil rights establishment) to adopt a victim mentality, and to
> view themselves as perpetual targets of oppression. By couching their
> rejection of the claims of racism in these terms, conservatives are
> able to parade as friends to black folks, only concerned about them
> and hoping to free them from the debilitating mindset of victimization
> that liberals wish to see them adopt.
>
> Aside from the inherently paternalistic nature of this position,
> notice too how concern over adopting a victim mentality is very
> selectively trotted out by the right. So, for example, when crime
> victims band together--and even form what they call victim's rights
> groups--no one on the right tells them to get over it, or suggests
> that by continuing to incessantly bleat about their kidnapped child or
> murdered loved one, such folks are falling prey to a victim mentality
> that should be resisted. No indeed: crime victims are venerated,
> considered experts on proper crime policy (as evidenced by how often
> their opinions are sought out on the matter by the national press and
> politicians), and given nothing but sympathy.
>

> Likewise, when American Jews raise a cry over perceived anti-Jewish
> bigotry, or merely teach their children (as I was taught) about the
> European Holocaust, replete with a slogan of "Never again!" none of
> the folks who lament black "victimology" suggests that we too are
> wallowing in a victimization mentality, or somehow at risk for a
> syndrome of the same name.
>
> In other words, it is blacks and blacks alone (with the occasional
> American Indian or Latino thrown in for good measure when and if they
> get too uppity) that get branded with the victim mentality label. Not
> quite drapetomania, but also not far enough from the kind of thinking
> that gave rise to it: in both cases, rooted in the desire of white
> America to reject what all logic and evidence suggests is true.
> Further, the selective branding of blacks as perpetual victims, absent
> the application of the pejorative to Jews or crime victims (or the
> families of 9/11 victims or other acts of terrorism), suggests that at
> some level white folks simply don't believe black suffering matters.
> We refuse to view blacks as fully human and deserving of compassion as
> we do these other groups, for whom victimization has been a reality as
> well. It is not that whites care about blacks and simply wish them not
> to adopt a self-imposed mental straightjacket; rather, it is that at
> some level we either don't care, or at least don't equate the pain of
> racism even with the pain caused by being mugged, or having your art
> collection confiscated by the Nazis, let alone with the truly extreme
> versions of crime and anti-Semitic wrongdoing.
>
> Conclusion -- See No Evil, Hear No Evil, Wrong as Always
>
> White denial has become such a widespread phenomenon nowadays, that
> most whites are unwilling to entertain even the mildest of suggestions
> that racism and racial inequity might still be issues. To wit, a
> recent survey from the University of Chicago, in which whites and
> blacks were asked two questions about Hurricane Katrina and the
> governmental response to the tragedy. First, respondents were asked
> whether they believed the government response would have been speedier
> had the victims been white. Not surprisingly, only twenty percent of
> whites answered in the affirmative. But while that question is at
> least conceivably arguable, the next question seems so weakly worded
> that virtually anyone could have answered yes without committing too
> much in the way of recognition that racism was a problem. Yet the
> answers given reveal the depths of white intransigence to consider the
> problem a problem at all.
>
> So when asked if we believed the Katrina tragedy showed that there was
> a lesson to be learned about racial inequality in America--any lesson
> at all--while ninety percent of blacks said yes, only thirty-eight
> percent of whites agreed (18). To us, Katrina said nothing about race
> whatsoever, even as blacks were disproportionately affected; even as
> there was a clear racial difference in terms of who was stuck in New
> Orleans and who was able to escape; even as the media focused

> incessantly on reports of black violence in the Superdome and
> Convention Center that proved later to be false; even as blacks have
> been having a much harder time moving back to New Orleans, thanks to
> local and federal foot-dragging and the plans of economic elites in
> the city to destroy homes in the most damaged (black) neighborhoods
> and convert them to non-residential (or higher rent) uses.
>
> Nothing, absolutely nothing, has to do with race nowadays, in the eyes
> of white America writ large. But the obvious question is this: if we
> have never seen racism as a real problem, contemporary to the time in
> which the charges are being made, and if in all generations past we
> were obviously wrong to the point of mass delusion in thinking this
> way, what should lead us to conclude that now, at long last, we've
> become any more astute at discerning social reality than we were
> before? Why should we trust our own perceptions or instincts on the
> matter, when we have run up such an amazingly bad track record as
> observers of the world in which we live? In every era, black folks
> said they were the victims of racism and they were right. In every
> era, whites have said the problem was exaggerated, and we have been
> wrong.
>
> Unless we wish to conclude that black insight on the matter--which has
> never to this point failed them--has suddenly converted to
> irrationality, and that white irrationality has become insight (and
> are prepared to prove this transformation by way of some analytical
> framework to explain the process), then the best advice seems to be
> that which could have been offered in past decades and centuries:
> namely, if you want to know about whether or not racism is a problem,
> it would probably do you best to ask the folks who are its targets.
> They, after all, are the ones who must, as a matter of survival, learn
> what it is, and how and when it's operating. We whites on the other
> hand, are the persons who have never had to know a thing about it, and
> who--for reasons psychological, philosophical and material--have
> always had a keen interest in covering it up.
>
> In short, and let us be clear on it: race is not a card. It determines
> who the dealer is, and who gets dealt.
>
>
>
> * Personally, I have no idea whether or not Barry Bonds has used
> anabolic steroids during the course of his career, nor do I think the
> evidence marshaled thus far on the matter is conclusive, either way.
> But I do find it interesting that many are calling for the placement
> of an asterisk next to Bonds' name in the record books, especially
> should he eclipse Ruth, or later, Hank Aaron, in terms of career home
> runs. The asterisk, we are told, would differentiate Bonds from other
> athletes, the latter of which, presumably accomplished their feats
> without performance enhancers. Yet, while it is certainly true that
> Aaron's 755 home runs came without any form of performance enhancement

> (indeed, he, like other black ball-players had to face overt hostility
> in the early years of their careers, and even as he approached Ruth's
> record of 714, he was receiving death threats), for Ruth, such a claim
> would be laughable. Ruth, as with any white baseball player from the
> early 1890s to 1947, benefited from the "performance enhancement" of
> not having to compete against black athletes, whose abilities often
> far surpassed their own. Ruth didn't have to face black pitchers, nor
> vie for batting titles against black home run sluggers. Until white
> fans demand an asterisk next to the names of every one of their white
> baseball heroes -- Ruth, Cobb, DiMaggio, and Williams, for starters --
> who played under apartheid rules, the demand for such a blemish next
> to the name of Bonds can only be seen as highly selective,
> hypocritical, and ultimately racist. White privilege and protection
> from black competition certainly did more for those men's game than
> creotine or other substances could ever do for the likes of Barry
> Bonds.
>
> NOTES
>
> (1) There is plenty of information about police racism, misconduct and
> brutality, both in historical and contemporary terms, available from
> any number of sources. Among them, see Kristian Williams, Our Enemies
> in Blue. Soft Skull Press, 2004; and online at the Stolen Lives
> Project: http://stolenlives.org.
>
> (2) Washington Post. October 9, 1995: A22
>
> (3) Ibid.
>
> (4) "Young White Offenders get lighter treatment," 2000. The
> Tennessean. April 26: 8A.
>
> (5) Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan, 2004. "Are Emily and
> Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment in
> Labor Market Discrimination." June 20.
> http://post.economics.harvard.edu/faculty/mullainathan/papers/emilygreg.pdf.
>
> (6) Pager, Devah. 2003. "The Mark of a Criminal Record." American
> Journal of Sociology. Volume 108: 5, March: 937-75.
>
> (7) Matthew R. Durose, Erica L. Schmitt and Patrick A. Langan,
> Contacts Between Police and the Public: Findings from the 2002
> National Survey. U.S. Department of Justice, (Bureau of Justice
> Statistics), April 2005.
>
> (8) Gordon, Rebecca. 1998. Education and Race. Oakland: Applied
> Research Center: 48-9; Fischer, Claude S. et al., 1996. Inequality by
> Design: Cracking the Bell Curve Myth. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
> University Press: 163; Steinhorn, Leonard and Barabara Diggs-Brown,
> 1999. By the Color of Our Skin: The Illusion of Integration and the

> Reality of Race. NY: Dutton: 95-6.
>
> (9) Skiba, Russell J. et al., The Color of Discipline: Sources of
> Racial and Gender Disproportionality in School Punishment. Indiana
> Education Policy Center, Policy Research Report SRS1, June 2000; U.S.
> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Youth Risk Behavior
> Surveillance System: Youth 2003, Online Comprehensive Results, 2004.
>
> (10) Terrell, Francis and Sandra L. Terrell, 1999. "Cultural
> Identification and Cultural Mistrust: Some Findings and Implications,"
> in Advances in African American Psychology, Reginald Jones, ed.,
> Hampton VA: Cobb & Henry; Fuegen, Kathleen, 2000. "Defining
> Discrimination in the Personal/Group Discrimination Discrepancy," Sex
> Roles: A Journal of Research. September; Miller, Carol T. 2001. "A
> Theoretical Perspective on Coping With Stigma," Journal of Social
> Issues. Spring; Feagin, Joe, Hernan Vera and Nikitah Imani, 1996. The
> Agony of Education: Black Students in White Colleges and Universities.
> NY: Routledge.
>
> (11) Taranto, James. 2006. "The Truth About Race in America--IV,"
> Online Journal (Wall Street Journal), January 6.
>
> (12) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll Social Audit, 2001.
> Black-White Relations in the United States, 2001 Update, July 10: 7-9.
>
> (13) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll, #761, May, 1968
>
> (14) "How Whites Feel About Negroes: A Painful American Dilemma,"
> Newsweek, October 21, 1963: 56
>
> (15) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll #699, October, 1964
>
> (16) Newsweek/Gallup Organization, National Opinion Survey, August 19,
> 1969
>
> (17) Cartwright, Samuel. 1851. "Diseases and Peculiarities of the
> Negro Race," DeBow's Review. (Southern and Western States: New
> Orleans), Volume XI.
>
> (18) Ford, Glen and Peter Campbell, 2006. "Katrina: A Study-Black
> Consensus, White Dispute," The Black Commentator, Issue 165, January
> 5.

Yes, these SWL fanatics can't get out of bed without their cheap
plastic SW receivers ! They have to keep buying new receivers, because
the old ones get ruined by all the facials !

3585. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:35:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1759-44C2A816-55@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84619

1947? I lived for one year in Martinsville,Indiana in 1947.At that age,I didn't know what a transmitter was.My older brother and I was standing in line in that little park in Martinsville,it was near Christmas time and Santa Clause was handing out free bits of candy to all of the snot nosed kids in Martinsville.Brother said,let's go through the line again. cuhulin

3586. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:47:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84620

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153598004.810700.171830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> AM in rated markets can give you an idea of what is happening. In the 7
> >> years since Fall 1998 to the Winter, 2006, AM in Los Angeles has gone
> >> from
> >> just under 24% of listening to 17%. That is a loss of about 30% of AM
> >> listening in that period, or about 3% a year.
> >>
> >> At the same time, the average age of AM listeners has crept up by over 5
> >> years, and most of the listeners are in age groups that are of no
> >> interest
> >> to most advertisers.
> >
> >
> > None of this is news, you idiot.
>
> I see. I fit is not news, what is the average share for AM in san Francisco?
> El Paso? Atlanta? And what was it 8 years ago?
>
> I see. You did not know. It _is_ news, but you are in denial that something
> must be done.

Wrong again, fool.

>
> >What you and your marketing buddies
> > are wanting to do to AM is worse than its simply dying!
>
> I see. taking something that is doing very poorly and not doing anything
> would be the rightr step?

Doing nothing would be better than what you're doing. That's for sure.

> >>
> >> I know no such thing. I know that AM has great potential with HD if the
> >> roll
> >> out of receivers (7 new ones announced this week, including a $149 after
> >> market car radio) comes fast enough and stations promote it enough.
> >>
> >
> > You are a liar and a troll.

>
> A troll says something outrageous.

Everything you say is outrageous.

> I am saying that new radios are coming out, and the price is going down.
> True. Not outrageous.
>
> I am saying that there are over 2000 stations comitted to HD, and there are
> new HD 2 channels going on the air daily. . True. Not otrageous.

Oh, gee, we'd have no idea were it not for you. Get a grip, Ding Dong.

>
> I am saying AM will die if the current trend continues another 5 years. This
> is also true, and quite frightening.
> >>
> >> Being a realist, I know that AM, where I started in radio, is a 90 year
> >> old
> >> medium and will definitely die if we do not improve it in a way that is
> >> palpable by the listener, especially the under-45 crowd.
> >> >
> >
> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
> > Jerk
>
> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The decline
> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.

That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
off.

You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
your little heart out.

3587. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:48:31 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153608511.413223.197270@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84621

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C27F2B.91965F4@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> > >> need to
> >> > >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> > >> Canadians,
> >> > >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> > >> etc.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> > >
> >> > > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >
> >> > You claim I am gay.
> >>
> >> Once again, shitstain, where did I make that claim?
> >
> > Answer the question... (epithet exorcised)
>
> The term "prancer" is a common demeaning term for gays.

If you can't take the heat, go troll some other newsgroup. Loser.

3588. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 22:51:53 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153608713.254743.268570@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84622

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C29F99.C844C85@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153591305.153391.300730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:44C25B76.7DE9C167@milestones.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There is something very wrong and sick about you as shown by your
> >> >> >> need
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> say something negative about nearly everyone you respond to.
> >> >> >> Canadians,
> >> >> >> owners of R-75's, folks of certain political points of view, etc.,
> >> >> >> etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What _is_ your problem?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Prancers_such_as_yourself.
> >> >>
> >> >> You did not answer the question. You claim I am gay. Yet another
> >> >> insult
> >> >> totally devoid of proof, evidence or relevance, in your attempt to be
> >> >> Big-Man-On-Newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > If you're unhappy with your treatment in this group, feel free to troll
> >> > elsewhere.
> >>
> >> As I said before, HD is a significant change in the way DXers will pursue
> >> their hobby in the future. This is irrespective of whether I am in
> >> broadcasting; I am a DXer and have been for about 48 years.
> >
> > If you've been a DXer for about 48 years then why didn't you understand my
> > comments about Radio Difusora being on 4915?
>
> The term "radio difusora" means "radio station" and if there is nothing
> after the term, it is totally generic. "Radio Difusora Fluminense" would be
> "River Radio." Plain "radio difusora" is not specific to any radio station

> and can be applied to any radio station in the world... "radio difusora
> WABC" is WABC radio in New York. Obviously, DX nâo fala português.
> >
> > Any 'good' DXer would have known exactly what I was posting about.
>
> The term is generic to every radio staiton in the world.

And trolls are generic to every newsgroup in the world.

3589. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:14:33 UTC
From: yaliebird2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153610073.760081.310620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.broadcasting

David Eduardo,

Why don't you come over to our group. We would love to chat with you and learn more about IBOC. Just come on over and forget about rec.radio.shortwave.

3590. FA: National Panasonic RF-5000 SW Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:18:23 UTC
From: jtoth624@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153610303.677132.70940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84624

Listed on eBay, Here is a "tiny" URL link:

http://tinyurl.com/s65ug

3591. Tons of freebies!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:23:48 UTC
From: Andrew <smolek1983@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153610628.869699.185500@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84625

Make easy money with this site!! Do nothing!!! Just get people to watch the movie and sign up!!!

http://website.ws/gqdrew83

Want free satelite TV???

http://www.vmcsatellite.com/?aid=254177

Even Better become an affiliate and start making money!!! You dont even have to pay anything!!

http://www.vmcsatellite.com/channels/affiliates.cfm?aid=254177

Want to make 5$ just for signing up????? Make even more just doing surveys!!

http://www.fusioncash.net/?ref=Kicker55

Dont miss out on these great OFFERS!!! Or you'll want to kick your self later!!!

3592. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:25:23 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Dtywg.162114$mF2.161716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84626

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:PUUvg.70760$fb2.2951@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:ssQvg.431013$Fs1.178822@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave
> >> coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations
> >> themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a
curiosity.
> >> Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the
mid-50s
> >> from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to
> >> today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other
daypart.
> >
> > Who was leading who on this? That is did the FCC decide out of the blue
> > to
> > deemphasize the clear channels or was it other stations who wanted to
> > operate at night?
>
> Neither. The 1-A clears organized into a lobbying group to try to ge
thigher
> power. The FCC reviewed this and the follow up presentations from the late
> 40's through about '67 when the commission finally said "no, never" and
> decided to develop additional stations on the clear channels to provide
more
> local service, especially to grey areas, which was a major FCC goal in
that
> era.

But what, the FCC did this on their own, without being lobbyed?

> >
> > And why would they want to operate at night, anyway?
>
> Everyone wants to operate at night, but we all wish there was no skywave,
as
> local coverage could be improved. In any case, nobody did not want to
> operate at night... they just realized that skywave listening was pretty
> much dead after the 1-As were broken down... 30 years ago.

And most markets still had plenty of space on the FM band then. Perfect for
local coverage, perfect for nightthme coverage.

>
> >> Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities. This is
> >> because they believe there that AM is not the way of the future. AMs
are
> >> left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority audiences, like the
> > Chinese
> >> stations in Vancouver or the standards station in Toronto.
> >
> > Is the Canadian phase out voluentary?
>
> The CBC has moved nearly all operatins to FM from AM, and commercial AM
> operators are encouraged to trade an FM for the AM, with the AM going
> silent. This has been going on for over a decade.
> >
> > By the way, I happen to like listening to the brokered /ethnic stations.
> > If
> > that's all that's someday left on AM, AM will still be quite lively.
>
> Certainly there will be limited viability. Many stations that are on SCA's
> will possibly become AMs... we have Radio Tehran on our 107.5 in LA!

That's OK. That's hardly death. AM would be no deader than FM was in the
50s.

> >
> > I know I'm not the only person with the passion for radio, this group's
> > full
> > of 'em. But I also know we aren't numerous enough to have an economic
> > impact on the broadcast industry. I suppose we're mostly a pain in the
> > ass
> > to you guys, acting like we get a sharp stick in the ear every time we
> > hear
> > the Din of Ibiquity.
>
> I am curious to see if HD can be DXed. No good receiver has HD, and it
would
> be nice if we had an R-75 (this Bud's for you, Ace) with an HD module!

I expect DXing IBOC will be all equipment rather than the mental aspects of
DXing. It won't be like listening for ID clues between a couple of stations
fighting it out in the noise.

> >>
> >> The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than
more
> >> local service that is relible and consistent.
> >
> > I see. It's all the FCC's fault. I suppose that's another one of their
> > silly decisions like when they were forcing stations to do "editorials".
> > So, how long has the broadcast industry been fighting them on this?

>
> The congestion of the bands is definitely due to the FCC seeking increased
> grey area and local service from the 50's through the 90's.

Why would a prospective owner have wanted to start or expand a AM operation
in the 50s and 60s when he could have gone to FM?

>
> The FCC never forced editorials. To the contrary, until the Fairness
> Doctrine was killed under Reagan, we were very afraid of doing editorials
> and very, very few of us did them due to the risks.

The FCC did force editoral content, however. And, in that era, alot of the
stations were broadcasting editorals, and the inevetable follow-ups from
the Speaker from the Institute for Editoral Reply.

Thankfully, that's all disappeared with the end of the fairness doctrine.

>
> The FCC, buy restricting to low FM powers (100kw and under) and very low
AM
> powers (nothing over 50 kw) has always encouraged localism. As the clears
> went form one fulltime signal to a dozen or so, the FCC was sayking that
> they preferred a new local station in St George, UT., to extended coverage
> for WLS:
>
> This was the very loudly stated opinion of the FCC for years: local
service,
> local ascretainment, local ownership, etc.
> >>
> >> Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
> >> families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
> >> subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio.
Free
> >> radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population
> >> using
> >> it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the
> > priviledges
> >> of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."
> >
> >
> > Oh, that's really a reach. I really doubt most of the stations would go
> > the
> > pay route, even if they were offered the chance. But a few might, just
as
> > a
> > few TV stations had a fling with pay TV about 25 years ago.
>
> I do not think any terrestrial stations will go to pay subscriptions.

Maybe not. But in a free market, nearly every idea gets tried.

> >
> > But let's pretend the unimaginable happened. All the stations went
> > subscription. All the network stations, all the independents, all the
> > brokered stations, all the college stations ... well, you get the idea.
> > Then I guess poorer people -- that is those poor people who hadn't moved
> > to
> > alternative sources and actually wanted to listen to the radio -- well,
I
> > suppose they'd have to pay to listen to the radio.
>
> Whatever. But radio will simply die before this happens

Ah. We will always have the poor. And the poor will always have radio.

> >
> > Gee, I suppose some of those poor people might have to decide between
pay
> > TV
> > and pay radio:
> >
> > "62 percent have cable or satellite TV reception. "
>
> And 42% do not.

Not all that different from the general population.

> >
> > But maybe there's teeming masses of impoverished Americans who are now
> > barely able to afford radio.
>
> I did not say this. They can afford radio, but would not pay $150
> discretionary dollars for what is also available for free. In case yu did
> not notice, XM and Sirius have hit brick walls. XM stock is off 60% since
> the end of last year due to slowdown in subscriptions; Stern is a
band-aid-.

Nope. I didn't notice. I don't pay much attention to satellite radio.

> >>
> >
> > Actually, I was thinking about rap. It's summertime, and I drive with
my
> > windows down. I hear alot of stuff which is, to put it mildly, unfit
for
> > mass radio. I'm guessing I'm hearing recordings.
>
> The Ivy League white guys at the FCC can not understand it!

I don't even picture them listening to the radio.

>
> P.s. Today, it is hip hop, not rap, mostly.
>
>

Either way, I hear alot of stuff unfit for broadcast.

Frank Dresser

3593. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:27:27 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153610847.166114.48690@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84627

yaliebird2@yahoo.com wrote:
> David Eduardo,
>
> Why don't you come over to our group. We would love to chat with you
> and learn more about IBOC. Just come on over and forget about
> rec.radio.shortwave.

LOL!

3594. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:27:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1wywg.71118$Lm5.38409@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.broadcasting

<yaliebird2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153610073.760081.310620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> David Eduardo,
>
> Why don't you come over to our group. We would love to chat with you
> and learn more about IBOC. Just come on over and forget about
> rec.radio.shortwave.

The average postings on alt.radio.broadcastings are less than 100 per month.
Pretty dull group.

3595. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:31:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <8zywg.71119$Lm5.21669@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84629

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
>> > Jerk
>>
>> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
>> decline
>> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
>
> That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
> off.
>
> You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
> who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
> your little heart out.

Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is no lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also great concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the ONLY solution.

If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.

3596. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:38:32 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <YFywg.217798$iF6.22796@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 84630

David wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:08:48 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> Steve wrote:
>>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:1153281398.819212.193140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> If you have your way, AM radio will soon be a thing of the past. IBOC
>>>>> will be the stake through its heart
>>>> It is already dying. HD is an effort to see if it can be saved.
>>> HD is a pathetic and misguided effort to see if it can be saved.
>>>
>>>
>> And your alternative is?????
>>
>> JB
>>
> I'd make it hi-fi again.
>
>
Good point. The following site has a pretty good intro on the topic:
http://www.icycolors.com/nu9n/am.html

JB

3597. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:42:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153611761.571923.128800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.broadcasting

David Eduardo wrote:
> <yaliebird2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153610073.760081.310620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > David Eduardo,
> >
> > Why don't you come over to our group. We would love to chat with you
> > and learn more about IBOC. Just come on over and forget about
> > rec.radio.shortwave.
>
> The average postings on alt.radio.broadcastings are less than 100 per month.
> Pretty dull group.

Your posts should fit right in, then.

3598. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:44:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5Lywg.71121$Lm5.29429@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84632

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Dtywg.162114$mF2.161716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:PUUvg.70760$fb2.2951@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> Neither. The 1-A clears organized into a lobbying group to try to ge
> thigher
>> power. The FCC reviewed this and the follow up presentations from the
>> late
>> 40's through about '67 when the commission finally said "no, never" and
>> decided to develop additional stations on the clear channels to provide
> more
>> local service, especially to grey areas, which was a major FCC goal in
> that
>> era.
>
> But what, the FCC did this on their own, without being lobbyed?

Yes. The FCC had a policy of localism. Local applicants had a huge advantage
over companies or distant applicants for new TV and FMs as well as AMs in
that period, and localism was required in the license renewal process via
ascertainment of needs, community (PA) programming, news content, etc. The
FCC was obcessed with localism. I do not know of any station or group that
lobbied for it as a policy, although plenty of applicants went for the
licenses based on pushing local ownership and management.
>
>
>> >
>> > And why would they want to operate at night, anyway?
>>
>> Everyone wants to operate at night, but we all wish there was no skywave,
> as
>> local coverage could be improved. In any case, nobody did not want to
>> operate at night... they just realized that skywave listening was pretty
>> much dead after the 1-As were broken down... 30 years ago.
>
>
> And most markets still had plenty of space on the FM band then. Perfect
> for
> local coverage, perfect for nightthme coverage.

By the end of the 60's, the FM band was pretty full, especially in the major
markets, and the FCC was starting on its way to break up the clears.
>

> Why would a prospective owner have wanted to start or expand a AM
> operation
> in the 50s and 60s when he could have gone to FM?

FM was not profitable until the mid-70's on a major scale. In the 50's, the number of FMs declined between 1950 and 1960... by nearly 25%. It was not until the FCC mandated an end to simulcasting in the late 60's that FM started to react, based on new programming, but forced by the FCC.

>> The FCC never forced editorials. To the contrary, until the Fairness
>> Doctrine was killed under Reagan, we were very afraid of doing editorials
>> and very, very few of us did them due to the risks.
>
> The FCC did force editoral content, however.

No, they did everything they could to prevent editorializing, especially the requirement to give equal time for opposing viewpoints. I never worked with a station from 1959 through the 80's that editorialized. It was legally too full of problems and could put the license in jeopardy.

> And, in that era, alot of the
> stations were broadcasting editorals, and the inevetable follow-ups from
> the Speaker from the Institute for Editoral Reply.

Actually, very very few did. Mostly either small town stations that editorialized for the blood drive, or major market ones who had on-staff lawyers, who editorialized for the blood drive.
>
> Thankfully, that's all disappeared with the end of the fairness doctrine.

Yes, now we can all editorialize freely without having to notify, grant replies, etc.

3599. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:45:44 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <IMywg.223404$IK3.148298@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84633

David wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:22:22 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>
>> Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!
>>
> Unregulated capitalism is cancer.
>
>
I agree with you 100%. Unregulated capitalism is unacceptable and even with regulations in place to protect people there are still too many problems.

It was interesting to note that when something affects him then it is all about bean counting and $$$ but when something affects someone else it's tough shit. I was never a big fan of hypocrisy.

JB

3600. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-22 23:47:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153612024.202250.3130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84634

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
> >> > Jerk
> >>
> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> decline
> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >
> > That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
> > off.
> >
> > You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
> > who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
> > your little heart out.
>
> Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is no
> lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by
> every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also great
> concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the ONLY
> solution.
>
> If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are
> simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.

You mean you're trying to pull the wool over our eyes, don't you? You
are a liar and a shill. Like I said, thinking you can 'save' AM with HD
is like thinking you can "correct" a toothache by blowing the person's
head off. Any one who denies this is simply afraid to face stark
realities.

3601. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:12:02 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m9zwg.223444$IK3.155275@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84635

Don't tell me that it took you this long to figure that the .ca referred
to a Canadian address.

No wonder you guys are getting your asses handed to you in Iraq.

Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html

Even the Repubs realize that fracked up:
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/07/22/MNGIHK3KE11.DTL&feed=rss.news

Nice job of destabilizing the region! And for that we will give you the
"Shill of the Year" award.

JB

Beerbarrel wrote:
> That explains the lack of a spine!
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3602. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:12:47 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3azwg.217860$iF6.155910@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 84636

```
~ wrote:
> Calgary to be specific.

Wrong city!

JB

>
> "Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>> ____________________________________________________________
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
> preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>
>
```

3603. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:17:18 UTC
From: norml <normlehf@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4qf5c2ls0cb2333645gn5jmmjp3qbkssna@4ax.com>
Source record: 84637

David,

Although I have my own problems with the closed little marketing bubble of which you seem to be an avatar, I have admired the way you have refused to rise to the bilious persoanal attacks to which you have been subjected in this and other fora.

You have always seemed to be a guy with whom I might wish to have lunch, should you be in the Bay Area.

Don't let dxie get to you now.

Norm

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrotf:

>
>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>news:44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com...
>>
>>>
>>> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for
>>> the
>>> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.
>>
>> And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.
>
>Your keyboard is stuck. It keeps producing the same response, no matter what
>the subject.t
>

3604. Re: Syrian fighter pilots sitting in cockpits - Iran in Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:30:48 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Yqzwg.220301$Mn5.204411@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84638

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> David wrote:
>
>> Do you have a point? I say let the Midlle Easterners kill each other.
>>
>
> Holy shiite! I actually agree with you about something.
>
> This just in: Hell froze over.
>
>
Given the ongoing heatwave, alot of people probably wish that you had
agreed earlier ;-).

JB

3605. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:31:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Przwg.54759$VE1.32804@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84639

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153612024.202250.3130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die
>> >> > immediately.
>> >> > Jerk
>> >>
>> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
>> >> decline
>> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
>> >
>> > That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
>> > off.
>> >
>> > You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
>> > who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
>> > your little heart out.
>>
>> Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is
>> no
>> lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by
>> every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also
>> great
>> concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the
>> ONLY
>> solution.
>>
>> If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are
>> simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.
>
> You mean you're trying to pull the wool over our eyes, don't you? You
> are a liar and a shill. Like I said, thinking you can 'save' AM with HD
> is like thinking you can "correct" a toothache by blowing the person's
> head off. Any one who denies this is simply afraid to face stark
> realities.

The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the sound
is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will listen

to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline, ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's listeners are over the age that advertisers are interested in.

In LA, which is actually a heavier user of AM than many markets, less than 10% of the 12-24 listening is to AM. In 12-34, it is 6%, with 6 different FMs each having more 12-34 listening than the entire AM band in that age group.

You didn't know that, did you!
>

3606. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:34:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Euzwg.54791$VE1.12247@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84640

"norml" <normlehf@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:4qf5c2ls0cb2333645gn5jmmjp3qbkssna@4ax.com...
> David,
>
> Although I have my own problems with the closed little marketing bubble of
> which you seem to be an avatar, I have admired the way you have refused to
> rise to the bilious persoanal attacks to which you have been subjected in
> this and other fora.

Hey, just like Arbitrlon, one can be a prophet for the concept yet a critic
of the implementation. I am currently working on awareness of the need to
hurry the Intel-iBiquity alliance's development of a chip that will allow
efficient portables.... my gripe about HD.
>
> You have always seemed to be a guy with whom I might wish to have lunch,
> should you be in the Bay Area.
>
> Don't let dxie get to you now.

He is a fun troll. I was in the debate club in JHS, so it is nice to have
such a ready foil.
>
> Norm

I get to San Jose about 10 times a year... so maybe we can get a bite or
coffee.

3607. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:35:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153614921.781417.112150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84641

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153612024.202250.3130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die
> >> >> > immediately.
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> >> decline
> >> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >> >
> >> > That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
> >> > off.
> >> >
> >> > You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
> >> > who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
> >> > your little heart out.
> >>
> >> Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is
> >> no
> >> lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by
> >> every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also
> >> great
> >> concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the
> >> ONLY
> >> solution.
> >>
> >> If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are
> >> simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.
> >
> > You mean you're trying to pull the wool over our eyes, don't you? You
> > are a liar and a shill. Like I said, thinking you can 'save' AM with HD
> > is like thinking you can "correct" a toothache by blowing the person's
> > head off. Any one who denies this is simply afraid to face stark
> > realities.
>
> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the sound

> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will listen
> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's listeners
> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.
>
> In LA, which is actually a heavier user of AM than many markets, less than
> 10% of the 12-24 listening is to AM. In 12-34, it is 6%, with 6 different
> FMs each having more 12-34 listening than the entire AM band in that age
> group.
>
> You didn't know that, did you!
> >

It's gradual decline would be far preferable to the fate you would hand
it. Jerk.

3608. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:35:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153614925.738820.55540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84642

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Poor Markie,my eye! Feel sorry for me! Before I croak,I want to dress up
> just like a woman.I have to do it at least one time before I croak.
> cuhulin
goo for it or don't it matters not to me

I do as I choose without harming others which is as close tototal and
absoltue freedom as this world premits

3609. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:56:21 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <g8i5c25aa202gbgme6l8ag0e1a1768fuj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 84643

Don't matter...he got the country...John nospine barnard!

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 00:12:47 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>~ wrote:
>> Calgary to be specific.
>
>Wrong city!
>
>JB
>
>>
>> "Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
>>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>>> ____
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
>> preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>>
>>

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3610. Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 00:57:42 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9ai5c2pp8tovd7kjqe0ritfvjr67g5mj34@4ax.com>
Source record: 84644

Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3611. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 01:48:48 UTC
From: "jaywalker32@yahoo.com" <jaywalker32@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153619328.564033.157350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84645

norml wrote:
> David,
>
> Although I have my own problems with the closed little marketing bubble of
> which you seem to be an avatar, I have admired the way you have refused to
> rise to the bilious persoanal attacks to which you have been subjected in
> this and other fora.
>
> You have always seemed to be a guy with whom I might wish to have lunch,
> should you be in the Bay Area.
>
> Don't let dxie get to you now.
>
> Norm
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrotf:
>
> >
> >"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >news:44C26713.CBBF8AC9@milestones.com...
> >>
> >>>
> >>> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for
> >>> the
> >>> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility.
> >>
> >> And you are simply a prancing fake Hispanic.
> >
> >Your keyboard is stuck. It keeps producing the same response, no matter what
> >the subject.t
> >

I don't know whether you're retarded or a Univision employee (or both),
but this guy is a complete piece of shit. He comes to this group
spouting all kinds of bullshit that is hostile to the interests of the
people here, whines like a baby when he catches hell and says the same
thing over and over and over....all to elicit a reaction of outrage and
indignation on the part of the posters here. He is good at trolling.
I'll give him that. But he's a piece of shit. No one denies that AM is
dying, but in that case let it die with dignity instead of pissing on
it when it's down.

3612. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 02:24:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C2DDC0.4CAB11D1@milestones.com>
Source record: 84646

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', prancing programmer and fake DXer wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C2A35E.46049859@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David 'Prancer' Eduardo, poster boy for those kicked out of Ecuador wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> >> decline
> >> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >> >
> >> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
> >> > fine
> >> > here.
> >> > And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
> >>
> >> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior that
> >> nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating. Two
> >> generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are old
> >> farts
> >> who do not look at the future or have lost most of their hearing.
> >
> > Hey, old fart! My hearing is just fine, been tested. It's great! I always
> > wore
> > earplugs.
>
> That must have made the DXing difficult. Unless you made it up. Another
> paper DXer.
>
> Are you related to Monferini?

Nope, and I'm not a prancing fake Hispanic!

3613. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 02:27:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C2DE9B.857F3200@milestones.com>
Source record: 84647

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die immediately.
> >> > Jerk
> >>
> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> decline
> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >
> > That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
> > off.
> >
> > You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
> > who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
> > your little heart out.
>
> Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is no
> lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by
> every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also great
> concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the ONLY
> solution.
>
> If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are
> simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.

And you're trying to cover the band with QRM, prancer!

3614. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 02:56:33 UTC
From: edhusak@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153623393.536830.214170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84698

David Eduardo wrote:

> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the sound
> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will listen
> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's listeners
> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.

Yo yo yo... ever hear of C-QUAM??? It produces a hi fidelity analog signal, IN FUCKING STEREO, without subjecting the entire band to the interference that IBOC does. And I've heard C-Quam STEREO signals from hundreds of miles away via skywave at night. But, lo and behold, my firends who have been ripped off by buying HD radios, can't seem to get their digital carriers from across town...works welll... and the buzzsaw on the main and adjacent channels, I just love it.

...and IBOC at night???? Please don't make me punch your retarded fucking LED's out.

IBOC on AM is a JOKE... hahaha... J O K E joke.... turn the C-Quam back on, send iNiquity their splatterbox back and go the fuck away.

3615. Shortwave just died!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:25:00 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153625100.373937.83720@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84699

Yep, It's dead. Get another hobby.Try XM, HD Radio, Internet, or just
get a fucking life.You too Frankie and Al.
Douche Bag.

3616. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:35:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23235-44C2EE7B-98@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84701

I just now produced something that sounded like stereo.

www.gulfcoastnews.com Halter Marine Launches Ferry Built for Martha's Vineyard. www.vthaltermarine.com
cuhulin

3617. Re: Wellbrook ALA 100 with Rotator - Construction Details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:41:41 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153626101.333721.76850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Those with an interest in building a Wellbrook ALA 100 setup for use on an
> antenna rotator may want to check out my most recent blog entry (July 22nd).
> I have pictures and construction details that describe the way I approached
> the project.
>
> I believe the ALA 100 is the most flexible and useful Wellbrook model for
> the do-it-yourselfer. You can construct the loop in whatever manner suits
> your abilities and requirements.
>
> My use of the ALA 100 is primarily for DXing foreign medium wave signals,
> with a secondary use of hearing third-world broadcasters on the tropical
> bands. I've heard of some amateur radio operators using Wellbrook antennas
> for a receive-only solution, too.
>
> 73,
>
> Guy Atkins
> Puyallup, WA USA
> blog: www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

Ah, so your loops are concentric. I ran my parallel. Did you discuss
this with Andy?

3618. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:44:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <vgCwg.131724$H71.119302@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84702

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153614921.781417.112150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> In LA, which is actually a heavier user of AM than many markets, less
>> than
>> 10% of the 12-24 listening is to AM. In 12-34, it is 6%, with 6 different
>> FMs each having more 12-34 listening than the entire AM band in that age
>> group.
>>
>> You didn't know that, did you!
>> >
>
> It's gradual decline would be far preferable to the fate you would hand
> it. Jerk.

Gradual? Having less audience for the whole band than any of 6 FM stations
is not slow death... it is death already in the younger demos, where the ad
money is. In a few years, the 35-44 will be gone entirely, and the 45-54
will shrink. At that point, there is no ad revenue. Not one national buy in
LA this year has been for 55+.

The sad thing is that we waited, as an industry, till now.
>

3619. Re: Quantum QX Loop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:49:02 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OkCwg.55027$VE1.10693@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84703

Jerking off? Sounds like you have a lot of experience there. Can't find a woman? No wonder. Women don't like cowards who post under ficticious e-mail addresses....

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1153542078.272117.263440@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sounds like you guys need to get a life. Try jerking off. MW sucks.
> Steve wrote:
>> I picked up one of these and have been playing with it for a couple of
>> weeks. I figured I'd better act fast to enjoy MW before the profiteers
>> destroy it.
>>
>> The Quantum is a very nice tool. Certainly a big step up from a
>> Select-A-Tenna, which is what I'd been using. It takes some time,
>> patience and practice to use it to full effect, and I probably still
>> have a way to go, but it's a quality product and I'd recommend it to
>> anyone who's interested in MW DXing.
>>
>> There are plenty of detailed reviews out there, so I won't belabor the
>> point. Just wanted to cast a "vote" in favor of the Quantum.
>>
>> Steve
>

3620. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:50:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VlCwg.131746$H71.11645@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84704

<jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153619328.564033.157350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> I don't know whether you're retarded or a Univision employee (or both),
> but this guy is a complete piece of shit. He comes to this group
> spouting all kinds of bullshit that is hostile to the interests of the
> people here, whines like a baby when he catches hell and says the same
> thing over and over and over....all to elicit a reaction of outrage and
> indignation on the part of the posters here. He is good at trolling.
> I'll give him that. But he's a piece of shit. No one denies that AM is
> dying, but in that case let it die with dignity instead of pissing on
> it when it's down.

AM is not a person. There is no dignity in its death, but there is the loss off tens of thousands of jobs, and the losses to maybe hundreds of thousands of mutual fund shareholders, insurance companies and pension plans.

Further, whether you agree with me or not, HD is coming and it is time to discuss whether any of the remaining receiver manufacturers, like ICOM, will produce receivers or receiver modules for HD. This is going to be the new reality, and countries like Mexico and Colombia and Brazil in this Hemisphere are already reviewing or have adopted the standard. Rede Globo in Brazil has ordered $2 million in HD devices, for example, and plans to convert all its AMs in the next several years.

3621. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 03:55:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PqCwg.131766$H71.81701@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84705

<edhusak@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153623393.536830.214170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the
>> sound
>> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will
>> listen
>> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
>> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's
>> listeners
>> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.
>
>
> Yo yo yo... ever hear of C-QUAM??? It produces a hi fidelity analog
> signal, IN FUCKING STEREO, without subjecting the entire band to the
> interference that IBOC does.

It was tried and failed, because there were legal delays that caused it to be introduced after FM had already taking th edominant position in music radio. Nobody cared, starting with most radio stations.

The only way to bring a quality improvement to AM is by riding on the same system that FM uses to go digital.

By the way, it is not about stereo. It is about being digital.

> And I've heard C-Quam STEREO signals from
> hundreds of miles away via skywave at night. But, lo and behold, my
> firends who have been ripped off by buying HD radios, can't seem to get
> their digital carriers from across town...works welll... and the
> buzzsaw on the main and adjacent channels, I just love it.

The stations love it. It enhances the coverage of analog AMs where it matters, in the local market.

CQuam is dead. It was dead in 1985. Move on.

3622. Re: Shortwave just died!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:09:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153627774.420041.174860@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84706

Douche Bag wrote:
> Yep, It's dead. Get another hobby.Try XM, HD Radio, Internet, or just
> get a fucking life.You too Frankie and Al.
> Douche Bag.
right growup

3623. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:28:06 UTC
From: n5hsr <n5hsr@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C8Sdncyi58VFZ1_ZnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151874380.808388.138880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> licnesed ham in the radio stores. you can even get unadvterised
> discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!

Hate to tell you this, dude, but a lot of places don't even know what a real ham is, either. I have to go all the way to Wisconsin to go to a real radio store anymore. Back in the 60's we had Olson, Radio Shack and we could order from Burstein Appleby by mail. I was only a kid back then. And Radio Shack had real radio stuff.

BTW, did you know that the Twin Towers and the other buildings were built over the old Radio Row neighborhood in New York?

Charles of Schaumburg

3624. Re: Does Bill Laterbach still sell the "Tiny-Tenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:31:22 UTC
From: moonofneptune <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com>
Message-ID: <c461f$44c2fbb0$d1cc7bd8$10861@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 84708
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<N0VFP @arrl.net (LLOYD AUSTIN DAVIES N0VFP)> wrote in message
news:44c2850a.32532890@news.usenetzone.com...
> Bill, come on my show...
> I'm even gonna give you a FREE AD on my next show!
> Lloyd Davies, Supreme TimeLORD
> Talk Show Host "On the Domestic Front"
> Nim Buster of the year - 2004

The question should be: "Are there still Militialoons and
Pay-triots out there who still buy these things?"
We had two PAY-triot kooks living in our town that I knew of.
One of 'em purchased a whole bunch of GROSSLY OVERPRICED
gold numismatic coins, storable dry food and water jugs along
with other useless crap prior to Y2K thinking the world would end
on Jan 01 2000 when all the computers stopped working.
He ended up having his house sold at Sheriff Sale due to non-payment
of taxes and default on his mortgauge in 2002. The other guy was
caught selling meth from a lab he had in his garage and has not
been seen since he went to jail and his live-in and 3 feral kids
are someplace in AZ no doubt on welfare on some reservation or
some other shithole part of town. Yup! Gotta love these shortwave
radio listening kooks, froot-loops and loons.

3625. Re: Does Bill Laterbach still sell the "Tiny-Tenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:44:59 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153629899.765104.284550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84709
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>N0VFP @arrl.net (LLOYD AUSTIN DAVIES N0VFP)> wrote in message
> Bill, come on my show...
> I'm even gonna give you a FREE AD on my next show!
> Lloyd Davies, Supreme TimeLORD

I wonder if a TinyTenna will pick up The Great Liberty Net and Pastor Pete Peters.

If it will, I want one asap.

Mr. Lauterback should reveal the secret behind this technological blockbuster.

Too bad Art Collins and Curtis LeMay aren't around to hook one of these to an R-390A to make it a really good performer .. :-).

I bet the Pentagon would buy them by the thousands.

3626. Re: ALMOST TIME FOR .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:47:40 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153630060.071067.310850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
>
> We finally got Cynthia calmed down by occasionally tossing her
> some bananas. Her constant "OOK OOK EEK EEK OOK OOK " was distracting
> us.

ROFL .. you da' man, Y-Man.

Take no prisoners dude.

And when y'all get done using and abusing Cynthia be sure to take her
to get that hair fixed.

Ewwww ... whatta mess.

3627. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:47:51 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153630071.874892.6120@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84711

David Eduardo wrote:
> <jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153619328.564033.157350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I don't know whether you're retarded or a Univision employee (or both),
> > but this guy is a complete piece of shit. He comes to this group
> > spouting all kinds of bullshit that is hostile to the interests of the
> > people here, whines like a baby when he catches hell and says the same
> > thing over and over and over....all to elicit a reaction of outrage and
> > indignation on the part of the posters here. He is good at trolling.
> > I'll give him that. But he's a piece of shit. No one denies that AM is
> > dying, but in that case let it die with dignity instead of pissing on
> > it when it's down.
>
> AM is not a person. There is no dignity in its death, but there is the loss
> off tens of thousands of jobs, and the losses to maybe hundreds of thousands
> of mutual fund shareholders, insurance companies and pension plans.
>
> Further, whether you agree with me or not, HD is coming and it is time to
> discuss whether any of the remaining receiver manufacturers, like ICOM, will
> produce receivers or receiver modules for HD. This is going to be the new
> reality, and countries like Mexico and Colombia and Brazil in this
> Hemisphere are already reviewing or have adopted the standard. Rede Globo in
> Brazil has ordered $2 million in HD devices, for example, and plans to
> convert all its AMs in the next several years.

Screw you, pig.

3628. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:48:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153630125.111215.80660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84712

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153614921.781417.112150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> In LA, which is actually a heavier user of AM than many markets, less
> >> than
> >> 10% of the 12-24 listening is to AM. In 12-34, it is 6%, with 6 different
> >> FMs each having more 12-34 listening than the entire AM band in that age
> >> group.
> >>
> >> You didn't know that, did you!
> >> >
> >
> > It's gradual decline would be far preferable to the fate you would hand
> > it. Jerk.
>
> Gradual? Having less audience for the whole band than any of 6 FM stations
> is not slow death... it is death already in the younger demos, where the ad
> money is. In a few years, the 35-44 will be gone entirely, and the 45-54
> will shrink. At that point, there is no ad revenue. Not one national buy in
> LA this year has been for 55+.
>
> The sad thing is that we waited, as an industry, till now.
> >

It's rapid decline would be far preferable to the fate you would hand
it. Jerk.

3629. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 04:50:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153630200.196962.85550@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84713

David Eduardo wrote:
> <edhusak@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153623393.536830.214170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the
> >> sound
> >> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will
> >> listen
> >> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
> >> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's
> >> listeners
> >> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.
> >
> >
> > Yo yo yo... ever hear of C-QUAM??? It produces a hi fidelity analog
> > signal, IN FUCKING STEREO, without subjecting the entire band to the
> > interference that IBOC does.
>
> It was tried and failed, because there were legal delays that caused it to
> be introduced after FM had already taking th edominant position in music
> radio. Nobody cared, starting with most radio stations.
>
> The only way to bring a quality improvement to AM is by riding on the same
> system that FM uses to go digital.
>
> By the way, it is not about stereo. It is about being digital.
>
> > And I've heard C-Quam STEREO signals from
> > hundreds of miles away via skywave at night. But, lo and behold, my
> > firends who have been ripped off by buying HD radios, can't seem to get
> > their digital carriers from across town...works welll... and the
> > buzzsaw on the main and adjacent channels, I just love it.
>
> The stations love it. It enhances the coverage of analog AMs where it
> matters, in the local market.
>
> CQuam is dead. It was dead in 1985. Move on.

You're the one who needs to move on, prick. Time to face reality and
pull your fat little head out of the sand. Bitch.

3630. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:02:59 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84714

David Eduardo wrote:
> <edhusak@gmail.com> wrote in message

>> And I've heard C-Quam STEREO signals from
>> hundreds of miles away via skywave at night. But, lo and behold, my
>> firends who have been ripped off by buying HD radios, can't seem to get
>> their digital carriers from across town...works welll... and the
>> buzzsaw on the main and adjacent channels, I just love it.
>
> The stations love it. It enhances the coverage of analog AMs where it
> matters, in the local market.
>
> CQuam is dead. It was dead in 1985. Move on.
>
>

CQuam is dead for reasons other than industrial delays in
implementation. Although, in my never to be humble opinion, it's a
better solution, you hit the nail here:

> it is not about stereo. It is about being digital.

FCC will not approve any new modulation technology that isn't digital.

This despite earlier mandates that X-band allocations must be C-Quam
equipped. Now, that mandate is dead in favor of the Powell FCC's digital
mandate.

And while it may be here, and it may be inevitable, now, the
implementation has been poorly orchestrated, and with IBOC rash trashing
the bands before receivers have been widely available, short sighted in
the extreme.

What's been done, sadly, is far more deleterious to AM usage than
doing nothing. Because it's not only DXers who are affected, here. It's
users in local coverage areas, who are now dealing with noises that they
never had to before, in areas which, like where I live, are protected as
local coverage but protected local stations are hit with IBOC
interference. And if noise is one of the primary objections to AM usage,
any system that introduces noise to the bands, even if that noise is
gone in the digital mode, will only add to the objections of users who
have marginal interst in AM anyway. Especially when the hardware to
enjoy the new mode is both widely unavailable, and costly. Even if it's
coming. The negative impression made by IBOC rash today, will plant
seeds of undesirability that will persist. And you'll not get a fair
trial when the new hardware is widely available.

What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in how good it may or may not sound.

The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on. In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging acceptance. So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM usage...I'm not seeing it.

So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time to come.

3631. Re: Wellbrook ALA 100 with Rotator - Construction Details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:09:58 UTC
From: Guy Atkins <dxnospam@guyatkins.com>
Message-ID: <P-WdnQ4Fc_9ZmV7ZnZ2dnUVZ_o6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave

I did mention the spiral configuration to him, but he didn't comment on it.
The construction seemed to be easier, so it's the only style I considered
from the start.

Guy

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153626101.333721.76850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ah, so your loops are concentric. I ran my parallel. Did you discuss
> this with Andy?
>

3632. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:14:48 UTC
From: "jaywalker32@yahoo.com" <jaywalker32@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153631688.653820.95250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84716

David Eduardo wrote:
> <jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153619328.564033.157350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I don't know whether you're retarded or a Univision employee (or both),
> > but this guy is a complete piece of shit. He comes to this group
> > spouting all kinds of bullshit that is hostile to the interests of the
> > people here, whines like a baby when he catches hell and says the same
> > thing over and over and over....all to elicit a reaction of outrage and
> > indignation on the part of the posters here. He is good at trolling.
> > I'll give him that. But he's a piece of shit. No one denies that AM is
> > dying, but in that case let it die with dignity instead of pissing on
> > it when it's down.
>
> AM is not a person. There is no dignity in its death, but there is the loss
> off tens of thousands of jobs, and the losses to maybe hundreds of thousands
> of mutual fund shareholders, insurance companies and pension plans.
>
> Further, whether you agree with me or not, HD is coming and it is time to
> discuss whether any of the remaining receiver manufacturers, like ICOM, will
> produce receivers or receiver modules for HD. This is going to be the new
> reality, and countries like Mexico and Colombia and Brazil in this
> Hemisphere are already reviewing or have adopted the standard. Rede Globo in
> Brazil has ordered $2 million in HD devices, for example, and plans to
> convert all its AMs in the next several years.

You should really be ashamed of yourself.

It's one thing troll a newsgroup. It's not a nice thing, but it's
forgiveable. But to mount a moral high horse and lament the loss of
jobs and pension funds that you are personally, and intentionally,
destroying is beyond rude. It is extremely distasteful, and sad. You
obviously get some sort of 'kick' out of visiting this group, saying
bizarre things, deriding the interests of others and just generally
shitting where other people live. Do you ever wonder why? Why do you
derive more satisfaction out of putting other people down than from
pursing interests of your own? Might be worth a moment's reflection.

3633. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:22:03 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <%HDwg.55539$B91.52112@edtnps82>
Source record: 84717

John Barnard wrote:

> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html

The beauty of their mentally lies in it's universality. He'd be just as proud to have blown up orphanages in Mississippi, Alabama, Michigan, Georgia or any other third world state.

Just no stoppin' those country boys when the blood lust starts aflowin'.....

mike

3634. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:23:41 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9v10cesk1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84718

<edhusak@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153623393.536830.214170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the
>> sound
>> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will
>> listen
>> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
>> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's
>> listeners
>> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.
>
>
> Yo yo yo... ever hear of C-QUAM??? It produces a hi fidelity analog
> signal, IN FUCKING STEREO, without subjecting the entire band to the
> interference that IBOC does. And I've heard C-Quam STEREO signals from
> hundreds of miles away via skywave at night. But, lo and behold, my
> firends who have been ripped off by buying HD radios, can't seem to get
> their digital carriers from across town...works welll... and the
> buzzsaw on the main and adjacent channels, I just love it.
>
> ...and IBOC at night???? Please don't make me punch your retarded
> fucking LED's out.
>
> IBOC on AM is a JOKE... hahaha... J O K E joke.... turn the C-Quam back
> on, send iNiquity their splatterbox back and go the fuck away.
>

There ya go. A REAL compatible system, and it doesn't annihilate the
adjacents, either. It's also just as likely to save AM as IBOC (moreso,
imho), and there are already tens of thousands of radios already out there
to receive it.

Oh, and David Eduardo... this should have some meaning to you....

En boca cerrada no entran moscas..

The rest of you, look it up.

3635. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:29:02 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-639EDD.22290222072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84719

In article <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> > Jerk
> >>
> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years.
> >> The decline is technology based, and can be corrected... with
> >> technology.
> > >
> > > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
> > > fine here. And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
> >
> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior
> that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating.
> Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are
> old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their
> hearing.

I don't know where you are coming from on this. I grew up listening to
FM and AM and I think AMBCB sounds just fine. AMBCB has good fidelity
and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I don't care as I listen to
talk radio and news on that band. I don't spend much time with FM.
Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave, and spend time on the Internet
for news.

This is what is bothering me about the move to HD. The move is supposed
to be an improvement but it does not seem that way to me. The move to HD
is just going to cost me money, not make an improvement, and change my
listening in ways I don't want. It's a lousy deal for me to spend money
I don't need to spend to keep getting what I already have. Same problem
for DRM on short wave.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3636. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:31:58 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D73C64.22315722072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84720

In article <Przwg.54759$VE1.32804@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153612024.202250.3130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153608420.856872.248480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If it's put into the hands of scum like you, it will die
> >> >> > immediately.
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years. The
> >> >> decline
> >> >> is technology based, and can be corrected... with technology.
> >> >
> >> > That's like "correcting" someone's crooked teeth by blowing their head
> >> > off.
> >> >
> >> > You're a liar, a fool, a troll and a one of the few men I've ever known
> >> > who is accurate described by the term "bitch". Go ahead troll, troll
> >> > your little heart out.
> >>
> >> Well, over $300,000,000 has already been spent on HD installs. There is
> >> no
> >> lie in stating that HD is happening, and there is a major commitment by
> >> every large broadcaster except Salem to the technology. There is also
> >> great
> >> concern about preventing the loss of AM, and HD is being emplyed as the
> >> ONLY
> >> solution.
> >>
> >> If you think this is exaggeration, trolling, a lie or whatever, you are
> >> simply trying to cover the sky with you hand.
> >
> > You mean you're trying to pull the wool over our eyes, don't you? You
> > are a liar and a shill. Like I said, thinking you can 'save' AM with HD
> > is like thinking you can "correct" a toothache by blowing the person's
> > head off. Any one who denies this is simply afraid to face stark
> > realities.

>
> The problem with AM, in everybody's proprietary research, is that the sound
> is such a barrier that nobody in the most recent two generations will listen
> to it. Until the sound is "fixed" there is no way to prevent the decline,
> ageing and eventual obsolescence of AM. Already, 75% of the band's listeners
> are over the age that advertisers are interested in.
>
> In LA, which is actually a heavier user of AM than many markets, less than
> 10% of the 12-24 listening is to AM. In 12-34, it is 6%, with 6 different
> FMs each having more 12-34 listening than the entire AM band in that age
> group.
>
> You didn't know that, did you!

Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3637. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:37:55 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <TWDwg.124612$I61.88090@clgrps13>
Source record: 84722

matt weber wrote:

> What he is talking about is that if you sample a 256Hz sine wave at
> 25.6Khz, you will only get 100 data points on the wave form.

Exactly. In the ease of doing the math, the decimal place was forgotten.
I was being difficult...Brenda (she) has been most helpful in this
newsgroup and provided me with some rather esoteric tuning advice on a
semi vintage am/fm tuner a while back.

I should be ashamed of myself.

a repentant mike II

3638. Re: HD Article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:44:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153633495.594701.240650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84723

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Zixvg.149854$mF2.84023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:DIhvg.70141$fb2.53155@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1l9vg.415654$Fs1.223742@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:iTSug.131833$dW3.8832@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >> Commentary: IBOC Naysayers Fear Change
> >> >> Educator Says It's Time for Radio to Leave the Warm Glow of the 12AV6
> >> >>
> >> >> by Edward Montgomery
> >> >>
> >> > [long-winded, poorly-focused article snipped]
> >> >
> >> > IBOC opponents aren't technical illiterates
> >>
> >> I have never thought they were. Most are, however, satisfied with the
> >> present analog technologies and have a different opinion on the reasons
> > for
> >> change. The most common issue is to see the opponents focus on content,
> >> without considering the disadvantages of a heritage delivery system.
> >
> > And the IBOC proponents totally dismiss the primary advantage of the
> > heritage delivery system, that is long distance propagation.
>
> When the FCC dismissed the final attempts to get the 1 A clears upgraded to
> 500 to 750 kw each in the late 60's, they began an effort towards extreme
> localism that resulted, in the next decade, in the allocation and licencing
> of at least on 1 B on every clear channel in the US. At the seme time,
> lesser classes were allowed on the clears, including daytimers and lower
> powered fulltimers.
>
> The FCC was showing a policy that virtually eliminated the usage of even the
> clears for long distance propagation in favor of local, groundwave reception
> for AMs. A look at any of the clears in 1960 vs. 1990 or today will show how
> this has populated those channels. The other classes, such as regional and
> local channels were never guaranteed skywave coverage and were, in fact,
> only protected form local skywave interference in the primary coverge area
> at night (known as the interference free zone...).

>
> It has been three decades since the FCC has considered night skywave
> coverage important. It has been that long or longer since stations
> themselves considered skywave coverage to be much more than a curiosity.
> Much of this has to do with the change int he radio model in the mid-50s
> from having the heaviest AM usage at night (before TV was universal) to
> today, when AM listening at night is vastly less than any other daypart.
> >
> > Oh, I know. There is no longer any economic advantage to long distance
> > propagation. But, for most of us, the debate goes beyond money.
>
> That is only part of the matter. Would any station be interested in
> consistent, listenable night audiences, there is declining usage of radio at
> night, the decline in use of AM by younger listeners and the FCC's own
> policies that come in the way. Add to that the fact that in may areas,
> storng international Am interference ruins AM anyway.
>

- Canada is phasing out AM rapidly in all but the biggest cities.
- This is because they believe there that AM is not the way of
- the future. AMs are left in big cit9ies to serve niche and minority
- audiences, like the Chinese stations in Vancouver or the
- standards station in Toronto.

This would naturally mean that many Canadians driving around
in their Cars and Trucks with older AM/FM Radio's in them; and
at home late at night with an older AM/FM Radio; will find them
selves Listening to American AM/MW Radio Broadcasts from
across the border in the USA. - consider the possibility ~ RHF

> >
> > The other night I was tuning around and caught a WBZ talkshow about the
> > tunnel collapse. It was interesting to hear Bostonians give thier
> > opinions
> > in their own voices. And I know my listening gave no economic benefit to
> > WBZ or the economy in general or even to myself in particular.
> > Nevertheless, it was worthwhile.
>
> But you could also get WBZ on the web, right? You are not being deprived of
> the message, just one medium.
> >
> > The radio spectrum is one of our natural resources and ought to be managed
> > as such. Should every old growth tree in the national forests be chopped
> > down, even if it benefits some people? There are some national parks in
> > which hardly anybody visits. Should they be strip mined?
>
> As I said, the FCC policies going back nearly 40 years have brought us to
> this point, and, coupled with the "sound" of AM, we have a fait acomplit.
> >
> > What's the economic value of a clear, starry night? None, really. But we
> > do make modest restrictions on light pollution despite thier economic

> > costs.
> >
> > Long distance radio propagation on the AM band is a natural resource which
> > also deserves some protection.
>
> The FCC has chosen long ago to discard this as less meaningful than more
> local service that is relible and consistent.
> >
> > I don't have any problem with fee based radio and I don't know anybody who
> > is much bothered by the concept.
>
> Try talking to people who make below the US median household income...
> families that live on $15,000 a year, or, for whatever reason, are on
> subsistence programs. Tell them to spend $12 a month for each radio. Free
> radio has many benefits, or there would not be 94% of the population using
> it each week... and any other alternative further segregates the priviledges
> of the "haves" and thes leftovers of the "have nots."
>
> > If some stations want to try to make a go
> > of it as pay channels, that's fine as long as they aren't interfering with
> > other stations.
>
> There is no talk of this. The model is advertising support, not
> subscription.
> >
> > Yes, but the substitues have only been around for a few years and they're
> > growing fast. I find these things interesting, at least conceptually. If
> > I
> > were not so set in my ways, I'm sure I'd be really into them.
>
> Satellite has spent 5 years getting to about a half-share of listening. And
> it is cooling (withness XM's failure to meet projections and the loss of 60%
> f its market capitalization).
> >
> > Let's not forget censorship. Alot of popular stuff won't pass FCC muster.
>
> I think you overestimate the number of people who want to hear DJs cuss. And
> that is what satellite can serve.

3639. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:55:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1681D6.22553122072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84725

In article <44C260AE.89972201@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>
> > Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
> > standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
> > the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
> > heard of this?
>
> Whatever happened to all those Schottky Diode mods that seemed to be the rage
> years ago? Would this be something similar?

That is a standard silicon power supply diode with something like .6 -
.7 volts forward voltage drop. The Schottky would be at least half that
and many are more like .25 volt.

If you don't bias the diodes into their linear range then it takes
signal power just to turn them on/off and that's where some of the
distortion comes from and the non-linear area contributes as well so the
smaller Schottky is an advantage.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3640. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 05:59:51 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153634391.317274.49530@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84726

DX Ace,

Be sure to Register as an Agent of a Foreign Government :o)
http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/fara/q_A.htm
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Agents_Registration_Act

yes it is absurd - but then i am a wild and crazy kind of . . . ~ RHF
.
.
. .

dxAce wrote:
> Received a large packet of stuff this afternoon from Radio Taiwan International.
> Wondered why I got it and then I recalled answering something from them where
> they were looking for monitors.
>
> Interesting I guess. Suppose I better fill out their report form.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

3641. Re: why do you poeple fear real radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:08:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153634889.877306.27530@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

n5hsr wrote:
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1151874380.808388.138880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > nobody gives any specail respect to a swl but they do respect a
> > licnesed ham in the radio stores. you can even get unadvterised
> > discounts if you show your licnese so a ham ticket means moeny saved.
> > I say you moslty dont know enough and thatsw hy you stay a loser swl
> > or cb opreator. Happy 4th losers!
first let me that the ham KB9RQZ is me but KB9RQZ did not make the post
you are repliing to
>
> Hate to tell you this, dude, but a lot of places don't even know what a real
> ham is, either. I have to go all the way to Wisconsin to go to a real
> radio store anymore. Back in the 60's we had Olson, Radio Shack and we
> could order from Burstein Appleby by mail. I was only a kid back then. And
> Radio Shack had real radio stuff.
I agree with you completely as the original poster knew when he posted
his forge
>
> BTW, did you know that the Twin Towers and the other buildings were built
> over the old Radio Row neighborhood in New York?
honest no that is a fact (assumign it is a fact I had not heard
>
> Charles of Schaumburg

3642. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:14:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <RsEwg.71177$Lm5.8164@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84728

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> how good it may or may not sound.

Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
dies.
>
> The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> acceptance.

That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
many-billion-dollar gamble.

>So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
>in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
>HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
>majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
>have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
>usage...I'm not seeing it.

Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
>
> So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time

> to come.

We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
>
>
>
>

3643. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:23:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <uBEwg.71178$Lm5.49191@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84730

<jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153631688.653820.95250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > You should really be ashamed of yourself.
>
> It's one thing troll a newsgroup. It's not a nice thing, but it's
> forgiveable. But to mount a moral high horse and lament the loss of
> jobs and pension funds that you are personally, and intentionally,
> destroying is beyond rude.

Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.

> It is extremely distasteful, and sad. You
> obviously get some sort of 'kick' out of visiting this group, saying
> bizarre things, deriding the interests of others and just generally
> shitting where other people live.

The facts are that 1) I did not invent HD, 2) I had nothing to do with its FCC approval and, 3) never thought it was the best system.

However, it is _the_ system and only system because AM does not have the time for a different one and because any system that is not on the same chip as the FM digital system will fail automatically.

This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is going to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.

> Do you ever wonder why? Why do you
> derive more satisfaction out of putting other people down than from
> pursing interests of your own? Might be worth a moment's reflection.
>

Putting down? Do you have any inkling of how fast AM is losing its economic viability in the USA? Most of the listeners are over 55, a group no large advertiser buys, and the younger demos are shrinking each year as the average age of AM listeners goes up. HD is as good as we are going to get to have a second chance on keeping AM viable.

And did I forget to mention... in the Spanish / Portuguese equivalent of Radio World, several of the Brazilian operators were quoted as considering HD for domestic Short Wave.

You might want me to blame me for that, too.

3644. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:25:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3DEwg.71180$Lm5.44543@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84731

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:e9v10cesk1@news2.kornet.net...

>
> There ya go. A REAL compatible system, and it doesn't annihilate the
> adjacents, either. It's also just as likely to save AM as IBOC (moreso,
> imho), and there are already tens of thousands of radios already out there
> to receive it.

In one word, this will not work: DIGITAL

C-Quam was tried and failed in the mid 80's. Any system that does not come
on the same chip as the FM digital system will also fail. Any system that is
not digital will fail at the marketing stage.
>
> Oh, and David Eduardo... this should have some meaning to you....
>
> En boca cerrada no entran moscas..

Irrelevant in this case.

3645. Re: Wellbrook ALA 100 with Rotator - Construction Details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:27:19 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153636039.570195.170200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave

GA,

Nice design and execution of the Wellbrook ALA 100 Loop Antenna
as a portable and point-able (rotate) Antenna.

A Close-Up Look : The Wellbrook ALA 100 Antenna with Rotor
http://www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com/
The most flexible Wellbrook Antenna for the Radio Antenna Experimenter.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

Guy Atkins wrote:
> Those with an interest in building a Wellbrook ALA 100 setup for use on an
> antenna rotator may want to check out my most recent blog entry (July 22nd).
> I have pictures and construction details that describe the way I approached
> the project.
>
> I believe the ALA 100 is the most flexible and useful Wellbrook model for
> the do-it-yourselfer. You can construct the loop in whatever manner suits
> your abilities and requirements.
>
> My use of the ALA 100 is primarily for DXing foreign medium wave signals,
> with a secondary use of hearing third-world broadcasters on the tropical
> bands. I've heard of some amateur radio operators using Wellbrook antennas
> for a receive-only solution, too.
>
> 73,
>
> Guy Atkins
> Puyallup, WA USA
> blog: www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com

3646. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:29:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84733

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-639EDD.22290222072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
>> >> > Jerk
>> >>
>> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years.
>> >> The decline is technology based, and can be corrected... with
>> >> technology.
>> >
>> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
>> > fine here. And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
>>
>> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior
>> that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating.
>> Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are
>> old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their
>> hearing.
>
> I don't know where you are coming from on this. I grew up listening to
> FM and AM and I think AMBCB sounds just fine.

The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average listener.

I have posted data from a variety of US markets, as well as national
averages. Again: in 12-34 year old listeners, in LA, the total share for AM
is less than the indvidual station shares for the 6 highest rated FMs. 6%.
Nearly nobody. AM may sound good to you, but to nearly everyone under 45, it
is presently irrelevant and sucks.

> AMBCB has good fidelity
> and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I don't care as I listen to
> talk radio and news on that band. I don't spend much time with FM.
> Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave, and spend time on the Internet
> for news.

The problem is just that. Only talk shows and such get on AM, because
anything else that requires fidelty will not work. Talk appeals to a very
old audience, and in many cases, it is getting harder and harder to sell.
>
> This is what is bothering me about the move to HD. The move is supposed
> to be an improvement but it does not seem that way to me. The move to HD

> is just going to cost me money, not make an improvement, and change my
> listening in ways I don't want. It's a lousy deal for me to spend money
> I don't need to spend to keep getting what I already have. Same problem
> for DRM on short wave.

DRM is an effort to make SW relevant, just as HD is for MW, to newer generations that are looking for digital quality (in developed nations) and at least FM quality in others.

3647. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:31:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84734

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield->

> Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.

Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music stations still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening in Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of the sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more viable AM signals than US cities do.

3648. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:33:04 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lanny wrote:
> http://www.3950.net/
>

Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came along and made everyone behave.

Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.

W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.

And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in tallying the votes for this memorable event.

3649. Re: HD Article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:33:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <WKEwg.71183$Lm5.3292@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84736

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1153633495.594701.240650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
> This would naturally mean that many Canadians driving around
> in their Cars and Trucks with older AM/FM Radio's in them; and
> at home late at night with an older AM/FM Radio; will find them
> selves Listening to American AM/MW Radio Broadcasts from
> across the border in the USA. - consider the possibility ~ RHF

Considering that what is available on any signal that would get into most
Canadian cities would be very American talk, I doubt this would have much
appeal. The CBC has FM repeaters all over Canada, and there is no lack of
big FMs, either. Listening to US AM stations is something that is unlikely.

3650. Re: Does Bill Laterbach still sell the "Tiny-Tenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:36:29 UTC
From: timothy <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5rnd6.bh7.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84721
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I wonder if a TinyTenna will pick up The Great Liberty Net and Pastor Pete Peters.

If it will, I want one asap.

Mr. Lauterback should reveal the secret behind this technological blockbuster.

Too bad Art Collins and Curtis LeMay aren't around to hook one of these to an R-390A to make it a really good performer .. :-).

I bet the Pentagon would buy them by the thousands.
....
Bet old Les Luckleer could use one of them down yonder in Miss Pee Pee land.

3651. Re: Does Bill Laterbach still sell the "Tiny-Tenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 06:50:04 UTC
From: Lanny <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5ro6i.pp6.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84724
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"moonofneptune" <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com> wrote in message
news:c461f$44c2fbb0$d1cc7bd8$10861@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

<N0VFP @arrl.net (LLOYD AUSTIN DAVIES N0VFP)> wrote in message
news:44c2850a.32532890@news.usenetzone.com...
> Bill, come on my show...
> I'm even gonna give you a FREE AD on my next show!
> Lloyd Davies, Supreme TimeLORD
> Talk Show Host "On the Domestic Front"
> Nim Buster of the year - 2004

The question should be: "Are there still Militialoons and
Pay-triots out there who still buy these things?"
We had two PAY-triot kooks living in our town that I knew of.
One of 'em purchased a whole bunch of GROSSLY OVERPRICED
gold numismatic coins, storable dry food and water jugs along
with other useless crap prior to Y2K thinking the world would end
on Jan 01 2000 when all the computers stopped working.
He ended up having his house sold at Sheriff Sale due to non-payment
of taxes and default on his mortgauge in 2002. The other guy was
caught selling meth from a lab he had in his garage and has not
been seen since he went to jail and his live-in and 3 feral kids
are someplace in AZ no doubt on welfare on some reservation or
some other shithole part of town. Yup! Gotta love these shortwave
radio listening kooks, froot-loops and loons.

Makes you wonder why they call it the "Great" liberty net. Course' for every
right toon there is a leftist toon character in the wings waiting to whine.

3652. Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 07:18:32 UTC
From: Lanny <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5rprt.vno.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.3950.net/

Scroll to the bottom of the page. See Kevin Alfred Strom's name there?
It the Liberty Net had even a shred of respectability, which it doesn't, the association with Nazi Strom shredded it and tossed it into the toilet.

It is worth downloading the .wav files to listen to W9ART jam Vic and his myopic apostles.

3653. (OT) : Once again the Islam-O-Fascist promote the Lies and the Deception that is "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 08:40:59 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153644059.093383.86100@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84738

- Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
- The Nazi-Arab Alliance

- Like other Holocaust-deniers, those of the Islamic world
- aren't just flat-Earth cranks, but virulent anti-Semites.
- Except for a handful of European skinheads and Aryan
- Nation types holed up in Idaho, The Protocols of The
- Learned Elders of Zion is still taken seriously only among
- Muslims where it's the Harry Potter of Middle East publishing.

Once again the Islam-O-Fascist promote the Lies and
the Deception that is "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Protocols_of_the_Elders_of_Zion
- - - And will someone bring up "The Khazars" next ? ? ?
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khazars
http://www.cyberspaceorbit.com/khazar.html
http://www.apfn.org/THEWINDS/library/khazars.html
http://www.apfn.org/THEWINDS/library/khazars_2.html
http://www.joelbainerman.com/articles/khazars.asp

One Wonders Can Arabs 'be' "Anti-Semites" ?
SEMITES => http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semites
Clearly They {The Arabs as a Group} Are Anti-Jewish !
and even more specically Anti-Zionism ! ! !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Zionism

ABOUT - Zionism and the Quest for a Jewish Homeland.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zionism
Zionism is both a Political Movement and Ideology that
Supports a Homeland {Zion} for all the Jewish People
throughout the World in the Middle Eastern Land of Israel.

Anti-Semite -and- New Anti-Semitism
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Semitic
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_anti-Semitism

Anti-Judaism
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Judaism

more than you wanted to know ~ RHF
.
.
. .

>
> This Czarist forgery (which purports to expose a Jewish conspiracy to
> control humanity) is ubiquitous in jihad land. Saudi Arabia's late King
> Faisal often gave copies to foreign visitors. Yasser Arafat was a fan. Arab
> periodicals quote it religiously, to demonstrate the perfidy of the Jews. In
> 2002, Egyptian television broadcast a 41-episode, dramatized version of The
> Protocols, entitled. "Horseman Without A Horse."
>
> The roots of Islamic anti-Semitism run deep. Mohammed never forgave the Jews
> for rejecting his message. After he came to power, Jewish tribes in the
> Arabian peninsula were converted by the sword, or massacred. The Koran is
> rife with the Prophet's disdain for Jews. (He called them descendants of
> apes and pigs.) Alongside this are calls to fight the Jews, who are indicted
> as the enemies of Allah.
>
> Over the centuries, this theological anti-Semitism has evolved into a
> conviction that Jews are the repositories of evil in the world and Islam's
> principal enemies.
>
> It's not surprising that the resurgence of widespread anti-Semitism on the
> European continent, after years of quiescence, parallels the influx of
> Middle East Muslims.
>
> When Pope John Paul II paid a state visit to Syrian President Bashar
> Al-Assad in 2001, he must have thought he'd stepped off the plane and into a
> Nuremberg rally. In welcoming the pontiff, Assad proclaimed, "They [the
> Jews] try to kill all the principles of divine faiths with the same
> mentality of betraying Jesus Christ and torturing Him, and in the same way
> that they tried to commit treachery against the Prophet Mohammed."
>
> One who took the Prophet's call to its logical conclusion was Hajj Amin
> al-Husseini, grand mufti of Jerusalem before World War II. The mufti spent
> the war years in Berlin as an honored guest of Adolf Hitler.
>
> Working from his office in the capital of the Third Reich, al-Husseini
> devoted himself to a Nazi victory, recruiting spies to serve in the Middle
> East and raising a Bosnian Muslim division of the Waffen SS. Described at
> Nuremberg as one of Eichmann's best friends, the mufti even visited
> Auschwitz and urged those who ran the gas chambers to "work more
> diligently."
>
> In a radio broadcast from Berlin on November 2, 1943, Hitler's partner in
> genocide condemned the Jews in language that echoed Mein Kampf : "The
> overwhelming egotism which lies in the character of Jews, their unworthy
> belief that they are God's chosen nation and their assertion that all was
> created for them and that other people are animals" is the reason "[t]hey
> cannot mix with any other nation but live as parasites among the nations,
> suck out their blood, embezzle their property, corrupt their morals...The
> divine anger and curse that the Holy Koran mentions with reference to the
> Jews is because of this unique character of the Jews."
>

> After the war, the mufti met a young Yassar Arafat in Cairo, and the torch
> was passed to the next generation of Islamo-fascists. (Arafat often referred
> to the Nazi henchman as "our hero al-Husseini.")
>
> In his book, The Myth of Hitler's Pope, Rabbi David Dalin discloses, "Arafat
> continued the mufti's Nazi legacy by recruiting Nazis and neo-Nazis for
> Fatah and the PLO. In 1969, for example, the PLO recruited two former Nazi
> instructors, Erich Altern, a leader of the Gestapo's Jewish affairs section,
> and Willy Berner, an SS officer in the Mauthausen extermination camp.
> Another former Nazi, Johann Schuller, was found supplying arms to Fatah."
>
> There are unavoidable parallels between Nazis and Islamists. Both adhere to
> totalitarian ideologies (though one is disguised as a religion); each group
> trains its adherents to kill without compunction and to show mercy to
> neither the young nor old; both nurse historical grudges and long for a
> settling of accounts; and each see Jews as the principal obstacle to the
> achievement of its utopian vision.
>
> Of course, British Muslims are offended by Holocaust Memorials. While Nazism
> was a European phenomenon, post-World War II Hitler wannabes are found
> almost exclusively in the Arab and Muslim world. After the fall of Berlin,
> the center of anti-Semitic agitation shifted to Cairo, Damascus, Tehran,
> Riyadh, and Ramallah.
>
> http://frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=19624
>
> Holocaust denial is rampant in the Muslim world.
>
> In 1964, then-Egyptian President Gamal Abdel Nassar (who precipitated the
> Six-Days War) insisted:, "No one...takes seriously the lie about six million
> Jews who were murdered."
>
> In 2000, a columnist for The Syria Times wrote, "The most famous myth is
> that of the so-called Holocaust... We strongly believe that gas chambers were
> not used for burning (sic.) Jews."
>
> Also in 2000, Sheikh Adel Bin Ahmad Bana'ma, a Saudi religious authority
> speaking at a Jeddah mosque, charged that Jews "disseminate everywhere the
> lie of the Holocaust and claim that Hitler killed six million Jews in gas
> chambers...This is pure falsehood."
>
> A year later, Palestinian religious leader Sheikh Ibrahim Mahdi declared,
> "One of the Jews' evil deeds has come to be called the Holocaust." However,
> the Sheikh insisted, it has been irrefutably proven that "this crime,
> carried out against some of the Jews, was planned by the Jews' leaders."
>
> Distorting Jewish History
> http://frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=21111
>
> Haj Amin al Husseini the Mufti of Jerusalem, and former president of the

> Supreme Muslim Council of Palestine urged Hitler to not allow Jews to flee
> to Palestine, and to exterminate them instead. (Serge Trifkovic, The Sword
> and the Prophet)
>
> According to German officials who knew the Mufti:
>
> "The Mufti had repeatedly suggested to the various authorities with whom he
> was maintaining contact, above all to Hitler, Ribbentrop, and Himmler, the
> extermination of European Jewry. He considered this as a comfortable
> solution of the Palestinian problem. . "
>
> When Haj Amin al Husseini,spoke together with Hitler on Berlin Radio in
> 1942, he cried out:
>
> "Kill the Jews - kill them with your hands, kill them with your teeth - this
> is well pleasing to Allah."
>
> Both Germany and England were competing for Arab cooperation during World
> War II. In order to curry Arab favor, England blocked the entry of fleeing
> Jews into Palestine. Eichmann said in regards to the Mufti,
>
> "We have promised him that no European Jew would enter Palestine any more."
>
> There were Jews who tried to make deals with the Nazis to get them to spare
> the lives of Jews (see Hungary, the Crime within a Crime). These Jews
> reasoned that if they did nothing, all the Jews of Europe would be
> exterminated so any deal that spared any of them was better than no deal,
> even a deal with the Nazis. Arab propagandists turn this into an accusation
> of collaboration with the Nazis to take away their country out of a desire
> to paint the Jews as Nazis.
>
> http://www.afsi.org/arablies.htm
> ____
> Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
> quod credis.
> Read http://www.frontpagemag.com & http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

3654. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 08:45:29 UTC
From: Woger <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5ruuv.7ek.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 84737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Lanny wrote:
> http://www.3950.net/
>

Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone
can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came
along and made everyone behave.

Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards
ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.

W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.

And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in
tallying the votes for this memorable event.

And don't leave me out! Me! Me! Woger the Wascal. You know me...the Jew with
Spew?
I can twist the cleanest Walt Disney family oriented posts into the most
twisted, perverted and vile posts of anybody on Usenet.
I am vile, rude, crude and uneducated. I'm loud and I'm proud.
Say it loud! "I'm Roger Wiseman and I'm here to stay."

3655. Re: OT: 0-Day Denial of Service Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 10:23:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1696651.RZ5bznfRiC@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 84739

Lloyd wrote:

> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 14:21:44 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
wrote:
>> DeLorean wrote:
>>
>> > Truly, if the whispers are correct, an awesome zero-day exploit is
>> > currently in use as a net weapon, and it needs to be exposed.
>> >
>> > Anyone heard about this exploit?
>>
>> not only is it OLD NEWS, but its pretty microsoft centric as well.....
>> I may not be a "hacker", but I do know enough of them. all of them call
this
>> exploit: "An interesting toy, but not really of any use".
>
> Say what?
>
> How is Bittorrent "Microsoft centric?" It operates under all OSes
> that I know about and has nothing to do with Redmond.
>
> And if it's old news (which I doubt), the hackers in the hacking news
> groups haven't bothered to mention it when discussing it over there.
>
> Citations to back up your assertions please?

gee, try a couple of places like this:
CERT
Security Threat Watch

btw, just because the "hackers" aren't talking, doesn't mean anything other
than they don't consider it important..

taking a look in securitydot.com, the only exploit they list is for a
bttracker exploit in february of 2004!

a secuinia advisory relating to emule was released in mid 2005 and affected
only emule clients (causing a client crash) (secunia advisory: SA16239)

bugtraq reports a companion bug in bnbt trackers as of may 20, 2004. nothing
more recent than that when it comes to bittorrent clients.

BtitTracker is not a standard torrent tracker package (and this one is new).
it requires a specified version having been installed (version 1.3.2) and
the exploit uses a web browser to inject a malformed packet to cause a
php-sql injection problem. this is actually a defect in the php-sql code

and only relates to this one specific kind of tracker (which is not in as wide a use as the package from bittorrent.com)

real bittorrent uses python (a scripting language) and has nothing to do with php (another scripting language) or sql (a database).

perhaps you need to read up some more?

3656. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 11:40:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C36039.127B9C79@milestones.com>
Source record: 84740

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' and whoring for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-639EDD.22290222072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years.
> >> >> The decline is technology based, and can be corrected... with
> >> >> technology.
> >> >
> >> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
> >> > fine here. And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
> >>
> >> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior
> >> that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating.
> >> Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are
> >> old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their
> >> hearing.
> >
> > I don't know where you are coming from on this. I grew up listening to
> > FM and AM and I think AMBCB sounds just fine.
>
> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average listener.
>
> I have posted data from a variety of US markets, as well as national
> averages. Again: in 12-34 year old listeners, in LA, the total share for AM
> is less than the indvidual station shares for the 6 highest rated FMs. 6%.
> Nearly nobody. AM may sound good to you, but to nearly everyone under 45, it
> is presently irrelevant and sucks.
>
> > AMBCB has good fidelity
> > and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I don't care as I listen to
> > talk radio and news on that band. I don't spend much time with FM.
> > Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave, and spend time on the Internet
> > for news.
>
> The problem is just that. Only talk shows and such get on AM, because
> anything else that requires fidelty will not work. Talk appeals to a very

> old audience, and in many cases, it is getting harder and harder to sell.
> >
> > This is what is bothering me about the move to HD. The move is supposed
> > to be an improvement but it does not seem that way to me. The move to HD
> > is just going to cost me money, not make an improvement, and change my
> > listening in ways I don't want. It's a lousy deal for me to spend money
> > I don't need to spend to keep getting what I already have. Same problem
> > for DRM on short wave.
>
> DRM is an effort to make SW relevant, just as HD is for MW, to newer
> generations that are looking for digital quality (in developed nations) and
> at least FM quality in others.

Hey, Edweenie, stuff your panty hose in it, boy.

3657. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 11:48:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C36221.3FA53A2@milestones.com>
Source record: 84741

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' and shamelessly whoring for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-639EDD.22290222072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years.
> >> >> The decline is technology based, and can be corrected... with
> >> >> technology.
> >> >
> >> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
> >> > fine here. And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
> >>
> >> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior
> >> that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating.
> >> Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are
> >> old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their
> >> hearing.
> >
> > I don't know where you are coming from on this. I grew up listening to
> > FM and AM and I think AMBCB sounds just fine.
>
> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average listener.

The fact that YOU are on this group means YOU got lost somewhere along the way, prancing boy.

Find your way back.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3658. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:15:14 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84742

David Eduardo wrote:
> <jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153631688.653820.95250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> <jaywalker32@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> > You should really be ashamed of yourself.
> >
> > It's one thing troll a newsgroup. It's not a nice thing, but it's
> > forgiveable. But to mount a moral high horse and lament the loss of
> > jobs and pension funds that you are personally, and intentionally,
> > destroying is beyond rude.
>
> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars
> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.

The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
buck while the opportunity still exists."

>
> > It is extremely distasteful, and sad. You
> > obviously get some sort of 'kick' out of visiting this group, saying
> > bizarre things, deriding the interests of others and just generally
> > shitting where other people live.
>
> The facts are that 1) I did not invent HD, 2) I had nothing to do with its
> FCC approval and, 3) never thought it was the best system.
>
> However, it is _the_ system and only system because AM does not have the
> time for a different one and because any system that is not on the same chip
> as the FM digital system will fail automatically.
>
> This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is going
> to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't
> blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.

If you're right, then the decline of AM will go from rapid to greased
lightning.

>
> > Do you ever wonder why? Why do you
> > derive more satisfaction out of putting other people down than from
> > pursing interests of your own? Might be worth a moment's reflection.
> >
>

> Putting down? Do you have any inkling of how fast AM is losing its economic
> viability in the USA? Most of the listeners are over 55, a group no large
> advertiser buys, and the younger demos are shrinking each year as the
> average age of AM listeners goes up. HD is as good as we are going to get to
> have a second chance on keeping AM viable.

I'm pretty sure that "putting down" refers to the interests of folks in
this newsgroup. You might want to read the next post you reply to. That
said, HD does not even promise to keep AM viable. It is simply an
alternative form of death. Face this simple fact and pull your fat
little head out of the sand.

>
> And did I forget to mention... in the Spanish / Portuguese equivalent of
> Radio World, several of the Brazilian operators were quoted as considering
> HD for domestic Short Wave.

Is this our fault? We didn't invent HD and we have done absolutely
nothing to promote it. If several Brazilian operators are considering
HD for domestic Short Wave, that is entirely their responsibility from
beginning to end. They did not consult us.

>
> You might want me to blame me for that, too.

The only thing I would blame you for is trolling this newsgroup,
period.

3659. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:22:42 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84743

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
>> content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
>> users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
>> there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
>> how good it may or may not sound.
>
> Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> dies.

Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is.
You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but
you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio
aren't news. But the fact remains.

That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of
no interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not
happening, is there's no change in content to accompany HD
implementation. WGN didn't change content when HD was installed. And I'm
sure that Ace will point out that WBBM's content is the same as before
HD was installed. So, HD is only really benefitting those who are
already using AM. And those younger demos you wish to attract with audio
quality, will be just as unintersted in the content after HD, as the
stations themselves are in those who listen outside of the city grade
contour.

>> The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
>> included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
>> natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
>> in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
>> bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
>> In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
>> acceptance.
>
> That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> many-billion-dollar gamble.

That's exactly my point...it's a gamble. A crap shoot. Targeting the
superficiality and subjective perception of audio quality. While the

real attraction to listening is content.

You've noted growth at your AM's on the West Coast. Those are due to content, not audio quality. And your growth has exceeded expectations. Whether HD has been implemented or not, HD's 'improved' audio quality is not a factor, since receiving hardware is both expensive and not widely available. In fact, your share increase would exceed the number of HD radios sold in those markets were explosive growth has taken place. IF HD audio is not a factor, it's the content that's attracting listeners. In under 45 demo's at that.

However, HD is putting that content off limits to potential listeners, by trashing the bands in weak signal areas with other station's HD rash. If noise and audio quality are, indeed, factors keeping AM from stable growth, or at least stable levels of listenership, increasing noise found in HD sidebands is not going to be a viable solution.

>
>> So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
>> in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
>> HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
>> majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
>> have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
>> usage...I'm not seeing it.
>
> Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
>> So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
>> I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
>> product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
>> unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
>> controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
>> interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
>> to come.
>
> We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.

Then, again, if audio quality is really an issue, that same Tejano format on HD2, since HD radios must resolve both AM and FM HD, will present an attraction of listeners away from the AM station, even if listening is done in AM HD.

So, the net advantage to AM, here is zero where the same content is available on FM, analog or digital.

As you said, that makes AM HD an enormous gamble. And an expensive buy-in to the game.

>>
>>
>>
>
>

3660. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:27:56 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153657676.252259.45280@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84744

David Eduardo wrote:

> The problem is just that. Only talk shows and such get on AM, because
> anything else that requires fidelty will not work. Talk appeals to a very
> old audience, and in many cases, it is getting harder and harder to sell.

That's right, let's "save" AM by making it indistinguishable from FM.
There could even be a little marketing campaign oriented around a "Come
listen to us because we're just like FM now" theme. LOL! I'll give AM
about six months once that happens.

> >
> > This is what is bothering me about the move to HD. The move is supposed
> > to be an improvement but it does not seem that way to me. The move to HD
> > is just going to cost me money, not make an improvement, and change my
> > listening in ways I don't want. It's a lousy deal for me to spend money
> > I don't need to spend to keep getting what I already have. Same problem
> > for DRM on short wave.
>
> DRM is an effort to make SW relevant, just as HD is for MW, to newer
> generations that are looking for digital quality (in developed nations) and
> at least FM quality in others.

Ah yes, DRM....talk about people who refuse to face reality! I'm
surprised you'd put HD into the same category as DRM, but I do think
it's apt. It's sad...I guess they just can't read the writing on the
wall.

3661. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:30:47 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153657847.231359.183260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84745

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > how good it may or may not sound.
>
> Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> dies.
> >
> > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > acceptance.
>
> That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> many-billion-dollar gamble.
>
> >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> >usage...I'm not seeing it.
>
> Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> >
> > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of

> > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > to come.
>
> We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> >
> >
> >
> >

Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
use of this name promote your business?

3662. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:31:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153657917.522082.214680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84746

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield->
>
> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
>
> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music stations
> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening in
> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of the
> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more viable
> AM signals than US cities do.

Yes, but why do you keep ducking the issue about your name?

3663. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:39:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C36DFC.11DBB1F7@milestones.com>
Source record: 84747

D Peter Maus wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> >> content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> >> users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> >> there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> >> how good it may or may not sound.
> >
> > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > dies.
>
> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is.
> You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but
> you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio
> aren't news. But the fact remains.
>
> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of
> no interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not
> happening, is there's no change in content to accompany HD
> implementation. WGN didn't change content when HD was installed. And I'm
> sure that Ace will point out that WBBM's content is the same as before
> HD was installed. So, HD is only really benefitting those who are
> already using AM. And those younger demos you wish to attract with audio
> quality, will be just as unintersted in the content after HD, as the
> stations themselves are in those who listen outside of the city grade
> contour.

I don't listen very much to WBBM, but on the occasions that I do, you are correct in that I have noted no change in their content.

What I do notice without fail is that when they have their HD/IBOC up and running is the total annihilation of at least two adjacent channels.

Such a wonderful system, it seems to me, will only force more listeners away from the MW bands.

The potential to drive those of us who tune around at night (or currently during the daytime) looking for alternative voices from outside our 'local' market or 'area' off the band for good does not seem to be a productive use of this resource.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3664. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 12:50:34 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8M6dnfMxVJEF7V7ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84798

I am not sure if the capacitance of the power diodes would be too high. This could cause poor isolation, but if you reverse bias the unused filter diodes, they might work.

Pete

<fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1153589114.670592.268100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
> heard of this?
>
> Frank
>
> Pete KE9OA wrote:
>> Hi Frank,
>>
>> That is a nice receiver, and the mods that you have done seem to cover
>> everything. The only thing that I can recommend....if this receiver uses
>> diodes to switch the input bandpass filters, I would replace them with
>> 1N5767 PIN diodes. Bias them at around 60mA. I think that you can run up
>> to
>> around 90mA, but that might be pushing them.
>> Philips also has some suitable PIN diodes, but you want to make sure that
>> you have a long enough minority carrier lifetime.
>> Congratulations on the new receiver! I haven't picked up anything new for
>> awhile, except that NRD-91.
>>
>> Pete
>>
>> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
>> > Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
>> > manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
>> > I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
>> > tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
>> > BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
>> > on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
>> > caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
>> > removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
>> > for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
>> > switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
>> > improvement modifications that you know of?

>> >
>> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>> >
>> > Frank
>> > K3YAZ
>> > Tucson
>> >
>

3665. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:10:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C37555.902BADF8@milestones.com>
Source record: 84799

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > >
> > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > dies.
> > >
> > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > acceptance.
> > >
> > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> >
> > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> >
> > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > >
> > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric

> > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > to come.
> >
> > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> use of this name promote your business?

It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David Eduardo on the net.

Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.

One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed, signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.

If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:

Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.

Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.? At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.

Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo', at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census form and become an instant Hispanic.

Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3666. Re: (OT) : Once again the Islam-O-Fascist promote the Lies and the Deception that is "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:18:41 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <uot6c2to8m5a7sa41l2ad5h0hpk28ectmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 84800

On 23 Jul 2006 01:40:59 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>more than you wanted to know ~ RHF
> .
> .
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hunter_%28novel%29

3667. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:26:58 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153661218.104375.116240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84801

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > >
> > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > >
> > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > dies.
> > >
> > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > acceptance.
> > >
> > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > >
> > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > >
> > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > >
> > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and

> > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > to come.
> > >
> > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > use of this name promote your business?
>
> It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> Eduardo on the net.
>
> Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
>
> One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
>
> If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
>
> Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
>
> Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
>
> Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently
> right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> form and become an instant Hispanic.
>
> Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.
>
> dxAce

> Michigan
> USA

Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David Gleason". A link is here:

http://tinyurl.com/l7mr8

He's obviously got some kind of scam going.

Also, I don't know whether this has been posted before, but the following might explain why Tardo wants to disrupt the activities of RRS. Turns out he just plain old doesn't like DXers and "took his Drake to the dumpster" out of disgust:

****
****

KTNQ is no longer testing. IBOC is on 6 A to 6 P permanently. We believe it may be the only one on the West Coast in regular operation (David Gleason, Univisi?n Radio, ibid.)

So, did you guys forget to notify the FCC of your IBOC operation? Notification is supposed to take place within 10 days from your startup date (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)

The FCC list is hardly complete. It is missing several hundred stations that have notified of intent and are installing now. Just in my company, there are 16 stations installing the gear and several already running; they were among the original Ibiquity signers. I'd say that the FCC is simply not paying much attention to the list (Gleason, ibid.)

I'm sure they don't care about intent, or who's signed up with Ibiquity, but you DO have to formally notify them soon after you actually start transmitting IBOC. If you don't, then I'd say you are operating illegally. Of course, that doesn't seem to matter much these days (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)

Barry, KTNQ has done all the necessary notifications. Our company has never been fined or cited for a major violation. Suggesting we are operating incorrectly without first checking is personally, professionally and morally of the highest offense. It's rude, Barry, and insensitive to a company that has 1,500 employees and probably does more service to its communities than any other broadcast company I know of on this planet.

Yes, I am pissed. And really offended.

Why the hell do you and some other DXers think that every radio station in the US is operating illegally and incompetently.

[whining jag clipped]

This kind of crap is enough to make me take the Drake to the dumpster and forget about DXing. And you wonder why stations don't answer reports anymore --- several of the supposed DXers on this group have enough misguided venom to turn off any broadcaster. Most of us are professions, responsible and dedicated. There are over 100 thousand people working in radio. Nearly none of them are dishonest and deceitful.

KTNQ had its paperwork for IBOC in order, as it does on every legal and technical matter, long before you started speculating and pointing your finger.

I first became an NRC member in 1958. After this insult, 2003 will be my last if I can get a refund for my remaining months.

And --- you should have seen this message _before_ I edited it (David Gleason, ibid.)

[snip]

I hope David and Barry can get over what seems to be a pretty mild misunderstanding. I, for one, value both David's industry insight and Barry's outstanding DX skills, and I'd hate to lose either of them on this list or in the club (or at the convention this fall!!!) s (Scott Fybush, NY, ibid.)

The tone of the Barry-gram was simply so dismissive and rude, that I am disposing of the Drake, already wrote to NRC to cancel membership and am out of here. This is like being a conservative at the annual Marx-Engels Awards (Gleason, ibid.)

I would urge that this thread be terminated (NRC-AM Moderator, ibid.)

****
****

It looks like Tardo never really got this tantrum out of his system and is now taking it out on RRS. Talk about a prima donna!

3668. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:35:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84802

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > >
> > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > >
> > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > dies.
> > >
> > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > acceptance.
> > >
> > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > >
> > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > >
> > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > >
> > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and

> > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > to come.
> > >
> > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > use of this name promote your business?
>
> It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> Eduardo on the net.
>
> Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
>
> One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
>
> If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
>
> Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
>
> Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
>
> Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently
> right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> form and become an instant Hispanic.
>
> Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.
>
> dxAce

> Michigan
> USA

It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB conference in San Diego in 2004

http://tinyurl.com/rzgea

he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight. Interesting.

3669. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:37:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C37B87.6D6EFC96@milestones.com>
Source record: 84803

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > > > >
> > > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > > dies.
> > > > >
> > > > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > > > acceptance.
> > > > >
> > > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > > >
> > > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > > >
> > > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.

> > > > >
> > > > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> > > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > > to come.
> > > > >
> > > > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > > > use of this name promote your business?
> > >
> > > It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> > > Eduardo on the net.
> > >
> > > Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> > > was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
> > >
> > > One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> > > did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> > > signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> > > professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> > > David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
> > >
> > > If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> > > which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
> > >
> > > Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> > > Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
> > >
> > > Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> > > At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
> > >
> > > Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> > > at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently
> > > right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> > > form and become an instant Hispanic.
> > >
> > > Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.

> >
> > dxAce
>
>
> Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he
> participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David
> Gleason". A link is here:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/l7mr8
>
> He's obviously got some kind of scam going.
>
> Also, I don't know whether this has been posted before, but the
> following might explain why Tardo wants to disrupt the activities of
> RRS. Turns out he just plain old doesn't like DXers and "took his Drake
> to the dumpster" out of disgust:
>
> **
> **
>
> KTNQ is no longer testing. IBOC is on 6 A to 6 P permanently. We
> believe it may be the only one on the West Coast in regular operation
> (David Gleason, Univisi?n Radio, ibid.)
>
> So, did you guys forget to notify the FCC of your IBOC operation?
> Notification is supposed to take place within 10 days from your
> startup date (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
>
> The FCC list is hardly complete. It is missing several hundred
> stations that have notified of intent and are installing now. Just in
> my company, there are 16 stations installing the gear and several
> already running; they were among the original Ibiquity signers. I'd
> say that the FCC is simply not paying much attention to the list
> (Gleason, ibid.)
>
> I'm sure they don't care about intent, or who's signed up with
> Ibiquity, but you DO have to formally notify them soon after you
> actually start transmitting IBOC. If you don't, then I'd say you are
> operating illegally. Of course, that doesn't seem to matter much
> these days (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
>
> Barry, KTNQ has done all the necessary notifications. Our company has
> never been fined or cited for a major violation. Suggesting we are
> operating incorrectly without first checking is personally,
> professionally and morally of the highest offense. It's rude, Barry,
> and insensitive to a company that has 1,500 employees and probably
> does more service to its communities than any other broadcast company
> I know of on this planet.
>
> Yes, I am pissed. And really offended.

>
> Why the hell do you and some other DXers think that every radio
> station in the US is operating illegally and incompetently.
>
> [whining jag clipped]
>
> This kind of crap is enough to make me take the Drake to the dumpster
> and forget about DXing. And you wonder why stations don't answer
> reports anymore --- several of the supposed DXers on this group have
> enough misguided venom to turn off any broadcaster. Most of us are
> professions, responsible and dedicated. There are over 100 thousand
> people working in radio. Nearly none of them are dishonest and
> deceitful.
>
> KTNQ had its paperwork for IBOC in order, as it does on every legal
> and technical matter, long before you started speculating and pointing
> your finger.
>
> I first became an NRC member in 1958. After this insult, 2003 will be
> my last if I can get a refund for my remaining months.
>
> And --- you should have seen this message _before_ I edited it (David
> Gleason, ibid.)
>
> [snip]
>
> I hope David and Barry can get over what seems to be a pretty mild
> misunderstanding. I, for one, value both David's industry insight and
> Barry's outstanding DX skills, and I'd hate to lose either of them on
> this list or in the club (or at the convention this fall!!!) s (Scott
> Fybush, NY, ibid.)
>
> The tone of the Barry-gram was simply so dismissive and rude, that I
> am disposing of the Drake, already wrote to NRC to cancel membership
> and am out of here. This is like being a conservative at the annual
> Marx-Engels Awards (Gleason, ibid.)
>
> I would urge that this thread be terminated (NRC-AM Moderator, ibid.)
>
> **********
> **********
>
> It looks like Tardo never really got this tantrum out of his system and
> is now taking it out on RRS. Talk about a prima donna!

Yes, I had read that previously but had forgotten about the Drake comment.

Sacrilege!

dxAce
Michigan

USA

3670. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 13:51:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com>
Source record: 84804

dxAce wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > > > >
> > > > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > > > dies.
> > > > >
> > > > > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > > > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > > > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > > > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > > > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > > > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > > > > acceptance.
> > > > >
> > > > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > > > >
> > > > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone

> > > > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> > > > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > > > to come.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > > > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > > > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > > > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > > > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > > > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > > > > > use of this name promote your business?
> > > > >
> > > > > It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> > > > > Eduardo on the net.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> > > > > was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
> > > > >
> > > > > One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> > > > > did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> > > > > signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> > > > > professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> > > > > David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
> > > > >
> > > > > If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> > > > > which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
> > > > >
> > > > > Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> > > > > Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> > > > > At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> > > > > at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently
> > > > > right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> > > > > form and become an instant Hispanic.

> > >
> > > Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> >
> >
> > Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he
> > participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David
> > Gleason". A link is here:
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/l7mr8
> >
> > He's obviously got some kind of scam going.
> >
> > Also, I don't know whether this has been posted before, but the
> > following might explain why Tardo wants to disrupt the activities of
> > RRS. Turns out he just plain old doesn't like DXers and "took his Drake
> > to the dumpster" out of disgust:
> >
> > **
> > **
> >
> > KTNQ is no longer testing. IBOC is on 6 A to 6 P permanently. We
> > believe it may be the only one on the West Coast in regular operation
> > (David Gleason, Univisi?n Radio, ibid.)
> >
> > So, did you guys forget to notify the FCC of your IBOC operation?
> > Notification is supposed to take place within 10 days from your
> > startup date (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
> >
> > The FCC list is hardly complete. It is missing several hundred
> > stations that have notified of intent and are installing now. Just in
> > my company, there are 16 stations installing the gear and several
> > already running; they were among the original Ibiquity signers. I'd
> > say that the FCC is simply not paying much attention to the list
> > (Gleason, ibid.)
> >
> > I'm sure they don't care about intent, or who's signed up with
> > Ibiquity, but you DO have to formally notify them soon after you
> > actually start transmitting IBOC. If you don't, then I'd say you are
> > operating illegally. Of course, that doesn't seem to matter much
> > these days (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
> >
> > Barry, KTNQ has done all the necessary notifications. Our company has
> > never been fined or cited for a major violation. Suggesting we are
> > operating incorrectly without first checking is personally,
> > professionally and morally of the highest offense. It's rude, Barry,
> > and insensitive to a company that has 1,500 employees and probably
> > does more service to its communities than any other broadcast company
> > I know of on this planet.

> >
> > Yes, I am pissed. And really offended.
> >
> > Why the hell do you and some other DXers think that every radio
> > station in the US is operating illegally and incompetently.
> >
> > [whining jag clipped]
> >
> > This kind of crap is enough to make me take the Drake to the dumpster
> > and forget about DXing. And you wonder why stations don't answer
> > reports anymore --- several of the supposed DXers on this group have
> > enough misguided venom to turn off any broadcaster. Most of us are
> > professions, responsible and dedicated. There are over 100 thousand
> > people working in radio. Nearly none of them are dishonest and
> > deceitful.
> >
> > KTNQ had its paperwork for IBOC in order, as it does on every legal
> > and technical matter, long before you started speculating and pointing
> > your finger.
> >
> > I first became an NRC member in 1958. After this insult, 2003 will be
> > my last if I can get a refund for my remaining months.
> >
> > And --- you should have seen this message _before_ I edited it (David
> > Gleason, ibid.)
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > I hope David and Barry can get over what seems to be a pretty mild
> > misunderstanding. I, for one, value both David's industry insight and
> > Barry's outstanding DX skills, and I'd hate to lose either of them on
> > this list or in the club (or at the convention this fall!!!) s (Scott
> > Fybush, NY, ibid.)
> >
> > The tone of the Barry-gram was simply so dismissive and rude, that I
> > am disposing of the Drake, already wrote to NRC to cancel membership
> > and am out of here. This is like being a conservative at the annual
> > Marx-Engels Awards (Gleason, ibid.)
> >
> > I would urge that this thread be terminated (NRC-AM Moderator, ibid.)
> >
> > **********
> > **********
> >
> > It looks like Tardo never really got this tantrum out of his system and
> > is now taking it out on RRS. Talk about a prima donna!
>
> Yes, I had read that previously but had forgotten about the Drake comment.
>
> Sacrilege!

Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two R75's.

So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was yet more of Edweenies BS?

3671. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 14:21:48 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0CLwg.164378$mF2.106308@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84805

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:5Lywg.71121$Lm5.29429@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Dtywg.162114$mF2.161716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:PUUvg.70760$fb2.2951@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> Neither. The 1-A clears organized into a lobbying group to try to ge
> > thigher
> >> power. The FCC reviewed this and the follow up presentations from the
> >> late
> >> 40's through about '67 when the commission finally said "no, never" and
> >> decided to develop additional stations on the clear channels to provide
> > more
> >> local service, especially to grey areas, which was a major FCC goal in
> > that
> >> era.
> >
> > But what, the FCC did this on their own, without being lobbyed?
>
> Yes. The FCC had a policy of localism. Local applicants had a huge
advantage
> over companies or distant applicants for new TV and FMs as well as AMs in
> that period, and localism was required in the license renewal process via
> ascertainment of needs, community (PA) programming, news content, etc. The
> FCC was obcessed with localism. I do not know of any station or group that
> lobbied for it as a policy, although plenty of applicants went for the
> licenses based on pushing local ownership and management.

OK, my first instinct said the FCC was getting lobbyed to maintain the
status quo by the local stations.

So what was motivating the FCC?

> >
> >
> >> >
> >> > And why would they want to operate at night, anyway?
> >>
> >> Everyone wants to operate at night, but we all wish there was no
skywave,
> > as
> >> local coverage could be improved. In any case, nobody did not want to

> >> operate at night... they just realized that skywave listening was
pretty
> >> much dead after the 1-As were broken down... 30 years ago.

"Everyone wants to operate at night", "nobody did want to operate at night".

Whichever. It's hard to say the FCC was doing anybody any favors by
allowing expanded nighttime operation.

> >
> >
> > And most markets still had plenty of space on the FM band then. Perfect
> > for
> > local coverage, perfect for nightthme coverage.
>
> By the end of the 60's, the FM band was pretty full, especially in the
major
> markets, and the FCC was starting on its way to break up the clears.
> >
> > Why would a prospective owner have wanted to start or expand a AM
> > operation
> > in the 50s and 60s when he could have gone to FM?
>
> FM was not profitable until the mid-70's on a major scale. In the 50's,
the
> number of FMs declined between 1950 and 1960... by nearly 25%. It was not
> until the FCC mandated an end to simulcasting in the late 60's that FM
> started to react, based on new programming, but forced by the FCC.

And the broadcast industry pros didn't know what to do with FM, either.

>> The FCC never forced editorials. To the contrary, until the Fairness
> >> Doctrine was killed under Reagan, we were very afraid of doing
editorials
> >> and very, very few of us did them due to the risks.
> >
> > The FCC did force editoral content, however.
>
> No, they did everything they could to prevent editorializing, especially
the
> requirement to give equal time for opposing viewpoints. I never worked
with
> a station from 1959 through the 80's that editorialized. It was legally
too
> full of problems and could put the license in jeopardy.

OK, the issue of editorializing on public radio stations came up before the
Supreme Court in 1984.

A quote from the decision:

"Indeed, the pivotal importance of editorializing as a means of satisfying
the public's interest in receiving a wide variety of ideas and views through

the medium of broadcasting has long been recognized by the FCC; the Commission has for the past 35 years actively encouraged commercial broadcast licensees to include editorials on public affairs in their programming."

And the footnote on the quote:

"[Footnote 14] In 1949, finding that "programs in which the licensee's personal opinions are expressed are [not] intrinsically more or less subject to abuse than any other program devoted to public issues," the FCC concluded that overt licensee editorializing, so long as "it is exercised in conformity with the paramount right of the public to hear a reasonably balanced presentation of all responsible viewpoints" is "consistent with the licensee's duty [468 U.S. 364, 383] to operate in the public interest." Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees, 13 F. C. C. 1246, 1253, 1258 (1949). At the time, of course, this decision applied with equal force to both noncommercial educational licensees and commercial stations. The FCC has since underscored its view that editorializing by broadcast licensees serves the public interest by identifying editorial programming as one of 14 "major elements usually necessary to meet the public interest, needs and desires of the community." FCC Programming Statement, 25 Fed. Reg. 7295 (1960). The Commission has regularly enforced this policy by considering a licensee's editorializing practices in license renewal proceedings. See, e. g., Greater Boston Television Corp. v. FCC, 143 U.S. App. D.C. 383, 402, 444 F.2d 841, 860 (1970); Evening Star Broadcasting Co., 27 F. C. C. 2d 316, 332 (1971); RKO General, Inc., 44 F. C. C. 2d 149, 219 (1969). "

http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?court=US&vol=468&invol=364#f14

>
> > And, in that era, alot of the
> > stations were broadcasting editorals, and the inevetable follow-ups
from
> > the Speaker from the Institute for Editoral Reply.
>
> Actually, very very few did. Mostly either small town stations that
> editorialized for the blood drive, or major market ones who had on-staff
> lawyers, who editorialized for the blood drive.

I know I sure heard alot of editorals on the radio and almost as many editorial replies. They were particularly prevalent on WBBM.

>
> > Thankfully, that's all disappeared with the end of the fairness
doctrine.
>
> Yes, now we can all editorialize freely without having to notify, grant
> replies, etc.
>
>

Yeah, and the programming is more interesting for it. And I haven't heard a stand alone radio editorial in years.

Frank Dresser

3672. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 14:27:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C38756.7884CEA9@milestones.com>
Source record: 84806

dxAce wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > > > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > > > > dies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > > > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > > > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > > > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > > > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > > > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > > > > acceptance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > > > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > > > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > > > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > > > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > > > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > > > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > > > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > > > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > > > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > > > > >

> > > > > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > > > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > > > > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > > > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and
> > > > > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > > > > to come.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > > > > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > > > > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > > > > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > > > > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > > > > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > > > > > > use of this name promote your business?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> > > > > > Eduardo on the net.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> > > > > > was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> > > > > > did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> > > > > > signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> > > > > > professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> > > > > > David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> > > > > > which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> > > > > > Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> > > > > > At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> > > > > > at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently

> > > > right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> > > > form and become an instant Hispanic.
> > > >
> > > > Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > >
> > >
> > > Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he
> > > participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David
> > > Gleason". A link is here:
> > >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/l7mr8
> > >
> > > He's obviously got some kind of scam going.
> > >
> > > Also, I don't know whether this has been posted before, but the
> > > following might explain why Tardo wants to disrupt the activities of
> > > RRS. Turns out he just plain old doesn't like DXers and "took his Drake
> > > to the dumpster" out of disgust:
> > >
> > > **********
> > > **********
> > >
> > > KTNQ is no longer testing. IBOC is on 6 A to 6 P permanently. We
> > > believe it may be the only one on the West Coast in regular operation
> > > (David Gleason, Univisi?n Radio, ibid.)
> > >
> > > So, did you guys forget to notify the FCC of your IBOC operation?
> > > Notification is supposed to take place within 10 days from your
> > > startup date (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
> > >
> > > The FCC list is hardly complete. It is missing several hundred
> > > stations that have notified of intent and are installing now. Just in
> > > my company, there are 16 stations installing the gear and several
> > > already running; they were among the original Ibiquity signers. I'd
> > > say that the FCC is simply not paying much attention to the list
> > > (Gleason, ibid.)
> > >
> > > I'm sure they don't care about intent, or who's signed up with
> > > Ibiquity, but you DO have to formally notify them soon after you
> > > actually start transmitting IBOC. If you don't, then I'd say you are
> > > operating illegally. Of course, that doesn't seem to matter much
> > > these days (Barry McLarnon, VE3JF, Ottawa, ON, ibid.)
> > >
> > > Barry, KTNQ has done all the necessary notifications. Our company has
> > > never been fined or cited for a major violation. Suggesting we are
> > > operating incorrectly without first checking is personally,
> > > professionally and morally of the highest offense. It's rude, Barry,
> > > and insensitive to a company that has 1,500 employees and probably

> > > does more service to its communities than any other broadcast company
> > > I know of on this planet.
> > >
> > > Yes, I am pissed. And really offended.
> > >
> > > Why the hell do you and some other DXers think that every radio
> > > station in the US is operating illegally and incompetently.
> > >
> > > [whining jag clipped]
> > >
> > > This kind of crap is enough to make me take the Drake to the dumpster
> > > and forget about DXing. And you wonder why stations don't answer
> > > reports anymore --- several of the supposed DXers on this group have
> > > enough misguided venom to turn off any broadcaster. Most of us are
> > > professions, responsible and dedicated. There are over 100 thousand
> > > people working in radio. Nearly none of them are dishonest and
> > > deceitful.
> > >
> > > KTNQ had its paperwork for IBOC in order, as it does on every legal
> > > and technical matter, long before you started speculating and pointing
> > > your finger.
> > >
> > > I first became an NRC member in 1958. After this insult, 2003 will be
> > > my last if I can get a refund for my remaining months.
> > >
> > > And --- you should have seen this message _before_ I edited it (David
> > > Gleason, ibid.)
> > >
> > > [snip]
> > >
> > > I hope David and Barry can get over what seems to be a pretty mild
> > > misunderstanding. I, for one, value both David's industry insight and
> > > Barry's outstanding DX skills, and I'd hate to lose either of them on
> > > this list or in the club (or at the convention this fall!!!) s (Scott
> > > Fybush, NY, ibid.)
> > >
> > > The tone of the Barry-gram was simply so dismissive and rude, that I
> > > am disposing of the Drake, already wrote to NRC to cancel membership
> > > and am out of here. This is like being a conservative at the annual
> > > Marx-Engels Awards (Gleason, ibid.)
> > >
> > > I would urge that this thread be terminated (NRC-AM Moderator, ibid.)
> > >
> > > **********
> > > **********
> > >
> > > It looks like Tardo never really got this tantrum out of his system and
> > > is now taking it out on RRS. Talk about a prima donna!
> >
> > Yes, I had read that previously but had forgotten about the Drake comment.

> >
> > Sacrilege!
>
> Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two R75's.
>
> So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was yet more of
> Edweenies BS?

From alt.politics.immigration on June 28 2006:

"I own two of them [referring to the R75's]. Also a Drake and a TenTec."

I seem to recall him saying the Drake was an R8B, but have not yet found that quote.

3673. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 14:46:21 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1ZLwg.164446$mF2.138188@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84807

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:VlCwg.131746$H71.11645@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> AM is not a person. There is no dignity in its death, but there is the
loss
> off tens of thousands of jobs, and the losses to maybe hundreds of
thousands
> of mutual fund shareholders, insurance companies and pension plans.
>

[snip]

Oh, my. This is serious. And since all the AM listeners are projected to become FM listeners, the ad money will similiarly go the FM stations. Will the FM stations be able to hire some of the current AM workers? Not if those AM workers die with AM radio, they won't.

And those poor investors? Well, if they're looking ahead, they'll be selling Clear Channel and buying -- oh, I dunno -- maybe Clear Channel.

Frank Dresser

3674. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:25:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3yMwg.178260$F_3.111431@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84808

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars
>> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.
>
> The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
> buck while the opportunity still exists."

There is no way to make a quick buck in radio. If you know how, please
illuminate us. Otherwise, do what you keep telling me to do: stuff it. Your
defense for soing nothing is based on a total lack of facts, no alternate
plan, no alternatives. You just criticize what is being done, yet have no
suggestions... just invective.
>>
>> This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is
>> going
>> to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't
>> blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.
>
> If you're right, then the decline of AM will go from rapid to greased
> lightning.

Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
explaining this one, too.
>
> I'm pretty sure that "putting down" refers to the interests of folks in
> this newsgroup. You might want to read the next post you reply to. That
> said, HD does not even promise to keep AM viable. It is simply an
> alternative form of death. Face this simple fact and pull your fat
> little head out of the sand.

Proof? This is like saying in 1960 that FM stereo will kill FM. Existing
receivers are compatible, and those with new receivers get a better quality.

The losers: an tiny handful of DXers on AM, and a very few listeners to
distant signals. The DXers will adapt (just as they did to 24/7 schedules in
the 50's and 60's) and the distant "regulars" will have to go to satellite,
streaming, etc.

3675. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:30:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3960D.5EFA559F@milestones.com>
Source record: 84809

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', since c.2000 wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars
> >> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.
> >
> > The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
> > buck while the opportunity still exists."
>
> There is no way to make a quick buck in radio. If you know how, please
> illuminate us. Otherwise, do what you keep telling me to do: stuff it. Your
> defense for soing nothing is based on a total lack of facts, no alternate
> plan, no alternatives. You just criticize what is being done, yet have no
> suggestions... just invective.
> >>
> >> This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is
> >> going
> >> to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't
> >> blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.
> >
> > If you're right, then the decline of AM will go from rapid to greased
> > lightning.
>
> Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
> explaining this one, too.

Sure it does, Edweenie! It DESTROYS the two adjacent channels, you blubbering nitwit!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3676. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:34:25 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153668864.939291.264280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84810

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars
> >> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.
> >
> > The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
> > buck while the opportunity still exists."
>
> There is no way to make a quick buck in radio. If you know how, please
> illuminate us. Otherwise, do what you keep telling me to do: stuff it. Your
> defense for soing nothing is based on a total lack of facts, no alternate
> plan, no alternatives. You just criticize what is being done, yet have no
> suggestions... just invective.

That's more than you have, Sunshine.

> >>
> >> This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is
> >> going
> >> to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't
> >> blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.
> >
> > If you're right, then the decline of AM will go from rapid to greased
> > lightning.
>
> Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
> explaining this one, too.

It does interfere with existing AM listening, nutsack. Maybe you should
actually turn on a radio one of these days. You've apparently never
heard one.

> >
> > I'm pretty sure that "putting down" refers to the interests of folks in
> > this newsgroup. You might want to read the next post you reply to. That
> > said, HD does not even promise to keep AM viable. It is simply an
> > alternative form of death. Face this simple fact and pull your fat
> > little head out of the sand.
>
> Proof? This is like saying in 1960 that FM stereo will kill FM.

No. Not at all.

> Existing
> receivers are compatible, and those with new receivers get a better quality.
>
> The losers: an tiny handful of DXers on AM, and a very few listeners to
> distant signals. The DXers will adapt (just as they did to 24/7 schedules in
> the 50's and 60's) and the distant "regulars" will have to go to satellite,
> streaming, etc.

A tiny handful of people who appear to have you worried enough to keep coming back to this group again and again and again. You sure seem preoccupied with this unimportant group.

3677. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:34:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C39701-762@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84814

''mine'' are natural analog mono/stereo,muffled by doggys couch seat cushions.One time,in the winter time,she came boiling out from her blanket on her couch,she jumped on the hard wood floor and barked her arse off at me.
cuhulin

3678. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:36:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EHMwg.178264$F_3.145778@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84811

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is. You
> remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but you
> can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio aren't
> news. But the fact remains.
>
> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
> interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening, is
> there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN didn't
> change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will point out
> that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed. So, HD is only
> really benefitting those who are already using AM. And those younger demos
> you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just as unintersted in the
> content after HD, as the stations themselves are in those who listen
> outside of the city grade contour.

But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much in
a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier formats, I
think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden them. WGN has to
change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting the Trib's stock
price single handed.
>
>
> That's exactly my point...it's a gamble. A crap shoot. Targeting the
> superficiality and subjective perception of audio quality. While the real
> attraction to listening is content.

No station today will do a youjnger A format. There is still time to adapt
as HD gets into user hands. This is a 5 year issue. Keep in mind that
satellite has talken 5 years to get to around 11 million subscribers. Of
course, this is a poor analogy as satellite seems to have hit a wall... and
may truly never be viable financially.
>
> You've noted growth at your AM's on the West Coast. Those are due to
> content, not audio quality. And your growth has exceeded expectations.

But the growth is in existing older formats on stations that were not doing
well, like KLOK. Its a stop-gap until HD makes younger formats viable. Our
main Miami AM station has an average age of 72!

> Whether HD has been implemented or not, HD's 'improved' audio quality is
> not a factor, since receiving hardware is both expensive and not widely
> available.

$149 car radio this week. 6 others, from the Tivoli on down were announced.

> In fact, your share increase would exceed the number of HD radios sold in
> those markets were explosive growth has taken place. IF HD audio is not a
> factor, it's the content that's attracting listeners. In under 45 demo's
> at that.

Nope, It is all older, and we are talking about going form 0.4 to 0.6 in
some cases. Holding the water out of the fields by putting a finger in the
dike. Waiting for the chance that HD affords us.
>
> However, HD is putting that content off limits to potential listeners,
> by trashing the bands in weak signal areas with other station's HD rash.
> If noise and audio quality are, indeed, factors keeping AM from stable
> growth, or at least stable levels of listenership, increasing noise found
> in HD sidebands is not going to be a viable solution.

None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of its 5
mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in the car,
etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our listening is
inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this market.

We don't lose anything. In fact, the AM HD is useable farther than analog
due to analog noise.
>
> Then, again, if audio quality is really an issue, that same Tejano
> format on HD2, since HD radios must resolve both AM and FM HD, will
> present an attraction of listeners away from the AM station, even if
> listening is done in AM HD.

The AM will probably go away eventually. It is one of the AMs that shoud
never have existed. As long as we keep the audience, and expand it, we
really don't care what the delivery method is.

It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.

3679. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:37:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C397D2-763@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84815

India truck drivers are coming to U.S.A.Are they going to ''fight'' the illegal aliens from South of our U.S.A.Borders for 18 wheeler truck driving jobs in U.S.A.?
cuhulin

3680. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:39:30 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C39831.8827F36@milestones.com>
Source record: 84812

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of dollars
> > >> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.
> > >
> > > The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
> > > buck while the opportunity still exists."
> >
> > There is no way to make a quick buck in radio. If you know how, please
> > illuminate us. Otherwise, do what you keep telling me to do: stuff it. Your
> > defense for soing nothing is based on a total lack of facts, no alternate
> > plan, no alternatives. You just criticize what is being done, yet have no
> > suggestions... just invective.
>
> That's more than you have, Sunshine.
>
> > >>
> > >> This is how AM radio in the Western Hemisphere and, probably, Asia is
> > >> going
> > >> to be. If you think reality is in disagreement with your interests, don't
> > >> blame me. Go see a psychiatrist.
> > >
> > > If you're right, then the decline of AM will go from rapid to greased
> > > lightning.
> >
> > Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
> > explaining this one, too.
>
> It does interfere with existing AM listening, nutsack. Maybe you should
> actually turn on a radio one of these days. You've apparently never
> heard one.
>
> > >
> > > I'm pretty sure that "putting down" refers to the interests of folks in
> > > this newsgroup. You might want to read the next post you reply to. That
> > > said, HD does not even promise to keep AM viable. It is simply an
> > > alternative form of death. Face this simple fact and pull your fat
> > > little head out of the sand.

> >
> > Proof? This is like saying in 1960 that FM stereo will kill FM.
>
> No. Not at all.
>
> > Existing
> > receivers are compatible, and those with new receivers get a better quality.
> >
> > The losers: an tiny handful of DXers on AM, and a very few listeners to
> > distant signals. The DXers will adapt (just as they did to 24/7 schedules in
> > the 50's and 60's) and the distant "regulars" will have to go to satellite,
> > streaming, etc.
>
> A tiny handful of people who appear to have you worried enough to keep
> coming back to this group again and again and again. You sure seem
> preoccupied with this unimportant group.

Obsessed!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3681. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:42:28 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C398E4-764@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84821

Eduardo thinks,,, Al Brillo De Oro,No Me Rebaho.
(At the sign of gold,I wont come down)

Un Coc Tuie,do mamy!,,, Vietnamese.
cuhulin

3682. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:43:12 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153669392.328793.295850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84813

dxAce wrote:
> Received a large packet of stuff this afternoon from Radio Taiwan International.
> Wondered why I got it and then I recalled answering something from them where
> they were looking for monitors.

I've got a perfectly good used 13" LCD monitor. What are they looking
for and what prices are they offering.

>
> Interesting I guess. Suppose I better fill out their report form.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

3683. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:45:18 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153669518.826284.276850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84816

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is. You
> > remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but you
> > can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio aren't
> > news. But the fact remains.
> >
> > That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
> > interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening, is
> > there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN didn't
> > change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will point out
> > that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed. So, HD is only
> > really benefitting those who are already using AM. And those younger demos
> > you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just as unintersted in the
> > content after HD, as the stations themselves are in those who listen
> > outside of the city grade contour.
>
> But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much in
> a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier formats, I
> think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden them. WGN has to
> change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting the Trib's stock
> price single handed.
> >
> >
> > That's exactly my point...it's a gamble. A crap shoot. Targeting the
> > superficiality and subjective perception of audio quality. While the real
> > attraction to listening is content.
>
> No station today will do a youjnger A format. There is still time to adapt
> as HD gets into user hands. This is a 5 year issue. Keep in mind that
> satellite has talken 5 years to get to around 11 million subscribers. Of
> course, this is a poor analogy as satellite seems to have hit a wall... and
> may truly never be viable financially.
> >
> > You've noted growth at your AM's on the West Coast. Those are due to
> > content, not audio quality. And your growth has exceeded expectations.
>
> But the growth is in existing older formats on stations that were not doing
> well, like KLOK. Its a stop-gap until HD makes younger formats viable. Our
> main Miami AM station has an average age of 72!
>
> > Whether HD has been implemented or not, HD's 'improved' audio quality is

> > not a factor, since receiving hardware is both expensive and not widely
> > available.
>
> $149 car radio this week. 6 others, from the Tivoli on down were announced.
>
> > In fact, your share increase would exceed the number of HD radios sold in
> > those markets were explosive growth has taken place. IF HD audio is not a
> > factor, it's the content that's attracting listeners. In under 45 demo's
> > at that.
>
> Nope, It is all older, and we are talking about going form 0.4 to 0.6 in
> some cases. Holding the water out of the fields by putting a finger in the
> dike. Waiting for the chance that HD affords us.
> >
> > However, HD is putting that content off limits to potential listeners,
> > by trashing the bands in weak signal areas with other station's HD rash.
> > If noise and audio quality are, indeed, factors keeping AM from stable
> > growth, or at least stable levels of listenership, increasing noise found
> > in HD sidebands is not going to be a viable solution.
>
> None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of its 5
> mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in the car,
> etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our listening is
> inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this market.
>
> We don't lose anything. In fact, the AM HD is useable farther than analog
> due to analog noise.
> >
> > Then, again, if audio quality is really an issue, that same Tejano
> > format on HD2, since HD radios must resolve both AM and FM HD, will
> > present an attraction of listeners away from the AM station, even if
> > listening is done in AM HD.
>
> The AM will probably go away eventually. It is one of the AMs that shoud
> never have existed. As long as we keep the audience, and expand it, we
> really don't care what the delivery method is.
>
> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.

If this is the best you can come up with, I suggest you read up on how
to put satellites into orbit.

3684. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:48:16 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153669691.581559.265980@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84817

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > >
> > > > What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are interested in AM
> > > > content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no interest in
> > > > users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio quality....if
> > > > there is no interest in the programming, there will be little interest in
> > > > how good it may or may not sound.
> > >
> > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that under-45's can
> > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks, the band
> > > dies.
> > >
> > > The only potential uptick I see is in those auto systems where HD is
> > > included as a standard feature. Sampling of HD, at that point, would be a
> > > natural extension of radio sampling in general...playing with a new radio
> > > in a new car is fairly commonplace. See what it sounds like, on both
> > > bands...even if only to set the presets...there's still sampling going on.
> > > In that context, HD may get a fair hearing, and perhaps some encouraging
> > > acceptance.
> > >
> > > That is exactly what will be the make-or-break as to AM. FM is healthy. It
> > > will become healthier with HD 2 channels. It can potentially drage AM back
> > > into the game. This is why none of the big operators has sold a viable AM in
> > > years... all believe the value will be enhanced by HD. That is a
> > > many-billion-dollar gamble.
> > >
> > > >So, as with most radio techological innovations, it's going to have to be
> > > >in the cars in order to expose that captive audience to a fair hearing of
> > > >HD-AM for an interest to be generated. (This, despite the fact that a
> > > >majority of listening is not in cars.) But for those listeners who would
> > > >have to actively pursue an HD experience without current regular AM
> > > >usage...I'm not seeing it.
> > >
> > > Cars are where Americans are forced to get a new radio. Obviously, there is
> > > a trickle down aspect, as not everyone buys new cars (ever) and not everyone
> > > buys a new car every year or two. But this is the opportunity for AM. It is
> > > not an overnighter, but the band is fading, not exploding.
> > >
> > > So far, you've been talking about how the stations love HD-AM. You and

> > > > I know that the success of any radio station is found in listener centric
> > > > product and behaviour. The point that radio stations love HD-AM is
> > > > unimportant. It's the listener's embrace that matters. And outside of
> > > > controlled demostrations, there is nothing to suggest that there is more
> > > > interest in HD-AM than in C-Quam. And the jury will be out for some time
> > > > to come.
> > >
> > > We are years away from being able to evaluate listener response, which will
> > > be based on product demand. But the few reports that have started coming in
> > > are favorable. On the FM side, we put a Tejano format on last week ont he
> > > KLTN HD2 channel, and we have registered several hundred calls (the format
> > > is on a marginal AM as well) asking about how to buy radios and all were
> > > very excited. This, perhaps and even hopefully will rub off on AM.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > use of this name promote your business?
>
> It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became David
> Eduardo on the net.
>
> Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland, Ohio. He
> was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
>
> One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan, etc., he
> did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards printed,
> signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio publications, both
> professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times as
> David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
>
> If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers death notice
> which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
>
> Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail, Jane
> Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
>
> Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an E. F.?
> At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
>
> Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an 'Eduardo',
> at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick, apparently
> right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the Census
> form and become an instant Hispanic.
>
> Most likely somehow all related to his business activities.
>
> dxAce

> Michigan
> USA

It doesn't get much lamer than that.

Steve

3685. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 15:58:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <71Nwg.178265$F_3.119394@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84822

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153657847.231359.183260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> use of this name promote your business?

It's a second given name. It does not help my professional activities, and
it was given to me decades ago. This is a mind fart of DXass.
>

3686. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:01:05 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2bf33u@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2bf33u@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 84883

dxAce Wrote:
> Received a large packet of stuff this afternoon from Radio Taiwan
> International.
> Wondered why I got it and then I recalled answering something from them
> where
> they were looking for monitors.

Their North America signals (from WYFR facilities) have always been
excellent here in California. I wonder if it is regular practice for
them to use monitors, or if they will be changing their broadcasting
methods in the near future.

--
weatherall

3687. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:01:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <73Nwg.178266$F_3.2591@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84823

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153657917.522082.214680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield->
>>
>> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
>>
>> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
>> stations
>> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening
>> in
>> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of
>> the
>> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
>> viable
>> AM signals than US cities do.
>
> Yes, but why do you keep ducking the issue about your name?

... because I have been over it with DXass over and over again. Eduardo is
my baptismal given name. Like adding "Bob" to "Billy."

Pretty simple. I post with my first names, just as you post with your first
name.

The difference is that we have no idea who you are, and I do not hide my
full identity.

3688. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:04:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Y5Nwg.178268$F_3.104300@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84824

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C36DFC.11DBB1F7@milestones.com...
>
>
>
> What I do notice without fail is that when they have their HD/IBOC up and
> running
> is the total annihilation of at least two adjacent channels.

That no ratings or revenue can be derived from, and outside the local
service area of all stations ocncerned.
>
> Such a wonderful system, it seems to me, will only force more listeners
> away from
> the MW bands.

The only useful listeners to the business model are in the metro areas. Or
primary service areas of rural stations.
>
> The potential to drive those of us who tune around at night (or currently
> during
> the daytime) looking for alternative voices from outside our 'local'
> market or
> 'area' off the band for good does not seem to be a productive use of this
> resource.

Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since ratings
show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not help
the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not in
the home market to the station.

3689. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:12:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TdNwg.178272$F_3.45812@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84825

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C37555.902BADF8@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
>> use of this name promote your business?
>
> It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became
> David
> Eduardo on the net.

Ah, it is all about the net! Wow, that is significant.
>
> Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland,
> Ohio. He
> was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.

It is even on my drivers licences. At school, it was amusing to have "DEFG"
as initials, a pure alphabet sequence.
>
> One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan,
> etc., he
> did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards
> printed,
> signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio
> publications, both
> professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times
> as
> David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.

I did not care for the very long name much in earlier times. I simply
decided it was neat for the web, and now I use it frequently elsewhere. As I
said, always been on my personal documents.

What difference does it make?
>
> If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers
> death notice
> which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
>
> Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail,
> Jane
> Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
>
> Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an

> E. F.?
> At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.

The V.H. is for "Van Heusen" which is a single name. And who knows how reporters do obituaries (it is not called a "death notice, you fool). They don't give the proof to the heirs to check (even if Tom Vail was the publisher of the paper at the time). My sister's name should be Caroline C. G. Oberndorg, too... so what?
>
> Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an
> 'Eduardo',
> at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick,
> apparently
> right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the
> Census
> form and become an instant Hispanic.

Actually, again, as I have told you, in the 1990 Census in Puerto Rico, the census takers filled out the race and Hispanic questions themselves based on observation, as most forms were done door to door there. So I never filled in anything. The Hispanic question has been on every Census questionnaire since 1980, by the way.

3690. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:15:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0hNwg.178274$F_3.13114@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84826

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153661218.104375.116240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he
> participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David
> Gleason". A link is here:

All the participants were listed by the simple form of thier name.

> He's obviously got some kind of scam going.

How so? I have 4 names. Two first names, a middle name which is also my
maternal surname, and a last n ame, which is my paternal surname. Simple.

3691. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:17:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <liNwg.178275$F_3.54887@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84827

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as
> "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming
> division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
> conference in San Diego in 2004
>
> http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
>
> he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
> demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
> Interesting.

NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I am
EVP. Simple.

3692. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:19:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <zkNwg.178276$F_3.83186@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84828

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
>
>
> Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two
> R75's.
>
> So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was
> yet more of
> Edweenies BS?

I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and the R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was retired and needed a good radio.

3693. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:23:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3A268.90D66B0F@milestones.com>
Source record: 84829

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37555.902BADF8@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> >> use of this name promote your business?
> >
> > It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became
> > David
> > Eduardo on the net.
>
> Ah, it is all about the net! Wow, that is significant.
> >
> > Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland,
> > Ohio. He
> > was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
>
> It is even on my drivers licences. At school, it was amusing to have "DEFG"
> as initials, a pure alphabet sequence.
> >
> > One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan,
> > etc., he
> > did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards
> > printed,
> > signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio
> > publications, both
> > professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times
> > as
> > David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
>
> I did not care for the very long name much in earlier times. I simply
> decided it was neat for the web, and now I use it frequently elsewhere. As I
> said, always been on my personal documents.
>
> What difference does it make?
> >
> > If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers
> > death notice
> > which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
> >
> > Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail,
> > Jane

> > Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
> >
> > Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an
> > E. F.?
> > At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
>
> The V.H. is for "Van Heusen" which is a single name. And who knows how
> reporters do obituaries (it is not called a "death notice, you fool). They
> don't give the proof to the heirs to check (even if Tom Vail was the
> publisher of the paper at the time). My sister's name should be Caroline C.
> G. Oberndorg, too... so what?
> >
> > Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an
> > 'Eduardo',
> > at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick,
> > apparently
> > right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the
> > Census
> > form and become an instant Hispanic.
>
> Actually, again, as I have told you, in the 1990 Census in Puerto Rico, the
> census takers filled out the race and Hispanic questions themselves based on
> observation, as most forms were done door to door there. So I never filled
> in anything. The Hispanic question has been on every Census questionnaire
> since 1980, by the way.

Yada, yada. The BS goes on and on.

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3694. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:23:50 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ea07qmqhp1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 84830

"Pete KE9OA" (p.gianako@comcast.net) writes:
> I am not sure if the capacitance of the power diodes would be too high. This
> could cause poor isolation, but if you reverse bias the unused filter
> diodes, they might work.
>
> Pete
>
I seem to recall reading that some of the 1N400X series have a structure
like PIN diodes, I think some of the higher voltage ones. This has been
stated in various places, though I have no idea about whether it's a valid
solution for this specific receiver.

Michael

> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:1153589114.670592.268100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
>> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
>> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
>> heard of this?
>>
>> Frank
>>
>> Pete KE9OA wrote:
>>> Hi Frank,
>>>
>>> That is a nice receiver, and the mods that you have done seem to cover
>>> everything. The only thing that I can recommend....if this receiver uses
>>> diodes to switch the input bandpass filters, I would replace them with
>>> 1N5767 PIN diodes. Bias them at around 60mA. I think that you can run up
>>> to
>>> around 90mA, but that might be pushing them.
>>> Philips also has some suitable PIN diodes, but you want to make sure that
>>> you have a long enough minority carrier lifetime.
>>> Congratulations on the new receiver! I haven't picked up anything new for
>>> awhile, except that NRD-91.
>>>
>>> Pete
>>>
>>> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
>>> > Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
>>> > manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
>>> > I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band

>>> > tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
>>> > BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
>>> > on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
>>> > caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
>>> > removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
>>> > for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
>>> > switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
>>> > improvement modifications that you know of?
>>> >
>>> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
>>> >
>>> > Frank
>>> > K3YAZ
>>> > Tucson
>>> >
>>
>
>

3695. FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:24:12 UTC
From: "<RJ>" <baranick@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <gd87c2til8co4pjshb07d9kh5v36olnc9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 84831

My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.

On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
(probably costs less than a buck)
and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !

It ain't fair !

<rj>

3696. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:27:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3A376.DD127601@milestones.com>
Source record: 84832

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two
> > R75's.
> >
> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was
> > yet more of
> > Edweenies BS?
>
> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and the
> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> retired and needed a good radio.

The only 'bug' you've got Edweenie is the one up your prancing ass regarding
HD/IBOC.

Frickin shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3697. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:28:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VsNwg.178280$F_3.104266@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84833

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0CLwg.164378$mF2.106308@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> OK, my first instinct said the FCC was getting lobbyed to maintain the
> status quo by the local stations.
>
> So what was motivating the FCC?

The motive was a belief in the concept that local media reinforces the
Republic. No kidding... there were frequent references to things like this
back in that era. It was believed local voices were important. I think the
FCC used the newspaper model for localism, not undetrstanding that
newspapers are restricted by distribution, not localism.
> OK, the issue of editorializing on public radio stations came up before
> the
> Supreme Court in 1984.
>
> A quote from the decision:
>
> "Indeed, the pivotal importance of editorializing as a means of satisfying
> the public's interest in receiving a wide variety of ideas and views
> through
> the medium of broadcasting has long been recognized by the FCC; the
> Commission has for the past 35 years actively encouraged commercial
> broadcast licensees to include editorials on public affairs in their
> programming."

But the fact is, due to the restrictions and potential for litigation,
fines, protests, nearly no station did editorialize until later that decade
when Fariness was revoked.

> And the footnote on the quote:
>
> "[Footnote 14] In 1949, finding that "programs in which the licensee's
> personal opinions are expressed are [not] intrinsically more or less
> subject
> to abuse than any other program devoted to public issues," the FCC
> concluded
> that overt licensee editorializing, so long as "it is exercised in
> conformity with the paramount right of the public to hear a reasonably
> balanced presentation of all responsible viewpoints" is "consistent with
> the
> licensee's duty [468 U.S. 364, 383] to operate in the public interest."
> Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees, 13 F. C. C. 1246, 1253, 1258

> (1949).
> At the time, of course, this decision applied with equal force to both
> noncommercial educational licensees and commercial stations. The FCC has
> since underscored its view that editorializing by broadcast licensees
> serves
> the public interest by identifying editorial programming as one of 14
> "major
> elements usually necessary to meet the public interest, needs and desires
> of
> the community." FCC Programming Statement, 25 Fed. Reg. 7295 (1960). The
> Commission has regularly enforced this policy by considering a licensee's
> editorializing practices in license renewal proceedings. See, e. g.,
> Greater
> Boston Television Corp. v. FCC, 143 U.S. App. D.C. 383, 402, 444 F.2d 841,
> 860 (1970); Evening Star Broadcasting Co., 27 F. C. C. 2d 316, 332 (1971);
> RKO General, Inc., 44 F. C. C. 2d 149, 219 (1969). "

Still, nearly nlo station editorialized in the 50's, 60's and 70's. All they needed to do is look at Red Lion to see how editorials can lose the licence. I do not remember a single station that editorialized in that period, and it was out of fear. There were plenty of other ways to get the license renewed. like keeping the commercial locad below 18 minutes, running PSA,s etc., that one did not hve to jump in the fire on editorials.
>>
>> Actually, very very few did. Mostly either small town stations that
>> editorialized for the blood drive, or major market ones who had on-staff
>> lawyers, who editorialized for the blood drive.
>
> I know I sure heard alot of editorals on the radio and almost as many
> editorial replies. They were particularly prevalent on WBBM.

A very big station... one of the top 10 reevenue producers in the USA, in fact.
>
> Yeah, and the programming is more interesting for it. And I haven't heard
> a
> stand alone radio editorial in years.

Most radio stations research. we find that the interest in editorials is about the same as among under-55 readers of the newspaper... nearly none. It is a tune out, and there is so much opinion available today, it is not needed.

3698. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:34:29 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea2pm6pls1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85079

In article <44C260AE.89972201@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>
>> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
>> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
>> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
>> heard of this?

It's supposed to be the higher voltage parts in that series, (the 1N4007).

>Whatever happened to all those Schottky Diode mods that seemed to be the rage
>years ago? Would this be something similar?

Semiconductor-wise, they're pretty much the opposite.

A Schottky diode uses only one type of semiconductor and the junction
is silicon-metal. (The modern version of a cat's wisker detector).
Their feature is that they don't store a cloud of electrons or holes
inside their junction so that they don't have a feature called "reverse
recovery". (Executive summary, they don't look like a short circuit for
a few nanoseconds when the current switches direction). Reverse recovery
causes all sort of problems in switching power supplies, and is also
the cause of RFI from regular old transformer/rectifier power supplies.

A PIN diode uses both P and N type doping in the junction, but in
addition there is a layer of Intrinsic (neutrally doped) silicon
in the middle. This causes a bunch of stored charge to hang around
in the middle of the junction, so that for high frequencies it doesn't
look like a diode anymore. They're used for switches by forward
biasing them to turn them on, or when reverse biased the stored
charge eventually gets swept out of the junction and they look like
an open circuit (or really, a capacitor). As I understand it,
there's a tradeoff between storage time (and switching speed) and
capacitance. The designer would like as much storage time (sets the
low frequency response) and the minimum capacitance.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3699. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:39:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153672759.417100.219150@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84834

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two
> > R75's.
> >
> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was
> > yet more of
> > Edweenies BS?
>
> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and the
> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> retired and needed a good radio.

The only thing you ever gave away is your integrity, assuming you ever
had any.

3700. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:40:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153672821.453045.187730@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84835

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as
> > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming
> > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
> > conference in San Diego in 2004
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
> >
> > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
> > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
> > Interesting.
>
> NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I am
> EVP. Simple.

Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
getting caught in another lie.

3701. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:41:32 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ea08rs$r55$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 84836

"" (baranick@localnet.com) writes:
> My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
> On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.
>
> On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
> (probably costs less than a buck)
> and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !
>
> It ain't fair !
>
> <rj>
Are they different stations up and down the dials, or is it overloading
so the same station appears in multiple places?

This is one of the myths of "expensive stereos". People pay good money
for them, and assume they will have good radios in them. But they are
buying more than a radio (depending on what's included, a cassette deck
a CD player, flashing lights graphic equalizer, a higher power amplifier,
etc). The radio may not even be seen as important, something to put
in but with the knowledge that few will use it and even fewer will care
about anything but a handful of stations.

Under those conditions, why should they allocate money into the design
and construction of a good radio, especially when there are things
that the buyer would find more appealing?

The same myth applies to a shortwave radio that happens to include
an FM section. Too many beleive that since it's an expensive radio,
that will be reflected in the FM section. But, the circuitry doesn't
overlap, the FM section requires a whole extra radio in there, and
the people wanting it want it for local reception. So they add it
in because it will increase sales, but don't spend too much on
the section because that will take away from the main feature, the
shortwave reception.

Michael

3702. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:43:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3A73E.3E505CEC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84837

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two
> > R75's.
> >
> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was
> > yet more of
> > Edweenies BS?
>
> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and the
> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> retired and needed a good radio.

Hey, I'm retired and I need another good radio or two. Why don't you send me
that R8B and the Ten-Tec? After all, you don't use them very much.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3703. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:44:01 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153673041.154096.266030@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84838

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37555.902BADF8@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> >> use of this name promote your business?
> >
> > It's true! Right about that time he ceased being David_Gleason and became
> > David
> > Eduardo on the net.
>
> Ah, it is all about the net! Wow, that is significant.
> >
> > Now he claims to have been baptized 'Eduardo' in 1947, up in Cleveland,
> > Ohio. He
> > was born David Frackelton Gleason in 1946.
>
> It is even on my drivers licences. At school, it was amusing to have "DEFG"
> as initials, a pure alphabet sequence.

Yeah, that's really amusing. I'm busting a gut over it right now.

> >
> > One wonders why, when he spent so much time in Mexico, Ecuador, San Juan,
> > etc., he
> > did not use the 'Eduardo' shtick at that time? He had business cards
> > printed,
> > signed letters to listeners, was on HCJB, had his name in radio
> > publications, both
> > professional and hobby (most often appearing as David Gleason and at times
> > as
> > David F. Gleason) and NEVER once does the name 'Eduardo' ever come up.
>
> I did not care for the very long name much in earlier times. I simply
> decided it was neat for the web, and now I use it frequently elsewhere. As I
> said, always been on my personal documents.

Yeah, people do sometimes just 'decide' that it's time to use a
different name. They usually 'decide' this when they're up to something
and want to deceive others about who they are.

>
> What difference does it make?

You tell us. You're the one who is doing it.

> >
> > If one looks at his resume page, one very telling item is his mothers
> > death notice
> > which appears to be dated 1997 which lists her survivors as:
> >
> > Carolyn G. Oberndorf, David Gleason, H. Lansing Vail, Thomas V. H. Vail,
> > Jane
> > Vaughn and Stanton K. Gleason.
> >
> > Wonder why David didn't at least get an F. tossed in there, let alone an
> > E. F.?
> > At least his stepbrother got the V. H. put in.
>
> The V.H. is for "Van Heusen" which is a single name. And who knows how
> reporters do obituaries (it is not called a "death notice, you fool). They
> don't give the proof to the heirs to check (even if Tom Vail was the
> publisher of the paper at the time). My sister's name should be Caroline C.
> G. Oberndorg, too... so what?
> >
> > Bottom line, he certainly is a Frackelton, but he sure as hell isn't an
> > 'Eduardo',
> > at least until right around the year 2000 when he adopted that shtick,
> > apparently
> > right around the time he discovered that he could check off a box on the
> > Census
> > form and become an instant Hispanic.
>
> Actually, again, as I have told you, in the 1990 Census in Puerto Rico, the
> census takers filled out the race and Hispanic questions themselves based on
> observation, as most forms were done door to door there. So I never filled
> in anything. The Hispanic question has been on every Census questionnaire
> since 1980, by the way.

Make all the excuses you like. You are totally BUSTED!

3704. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:44:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153673085.230327.201090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84839

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153657917.522082.214680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >>
> >> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
> >>
> >> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
> >> stations
> >> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening
> >> in
> >> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of
> >> the
> >> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
> >> viable
> >> AM signals than US cities do.
> >
> > Yes, but why do you keep ducking the issue about your name?
>
> ... because I have been over it with DXass over and over again. Eduardo is
> my baptismal given name. Like adding "Bob" to "Billy."
>
> Pretty simple. I post with my first names, just as you post with your first
> name.
>
> The difference is that we have no idea who you are, and I do not hide my
> full identity.

BUSTED!!

3705. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:45:31 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3A7AB.C3C01805@milestones.com>
Source record: 84840

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as
> > > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming
> > > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
> > > conference in San Diego in 2004
> > >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
> > >
> > > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
> > > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
> > > Interesting.
> >
> > NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I am
> > EVP. Simple.
>
> Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
> getting caught in another lie.

As I've said before, it's always somebody else making mistakes, somebody else confusing dates, etc., etc., etc. with Edfraudo.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3706. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 16:52:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3A961.D5B675A0@milestones.com>
Source record: 84841

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and two
> > R75's.
> >
> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that was
> > yet more of
> > Edweenies BS?
>
> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and the
> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> retired and needed a good radio.

So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3707. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:06:45 UTC
From: moonofneptune <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com>
Message-ID: <ed701$44c3ad43$d1cc5ac5$19757@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 84842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lanny wrote:
> > http://www.3950.net/
> >
>
> Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
> Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
> the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

....the last that I heard, ole "Kind Jewish Indian" has fully entered the
terminal stages of Alzheimer's and is now happily enjoying his dotage
at the Happy Trails Home for Seniors, enjoying his daily bowl of
instant oatmeal, rice cakes, tuna salad and drooling while staring into
space in a wolld inside of his own head while The Price is Right and
Wheel of Fortune plays on the institution TeeVee hanging on the wall.

Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.

here: http://www.geocities.com/SunsetStrip/Disco/3645/pauless.html

Page down halfway, oper each part, right click to save, read at your
lesiure.
(it's where 75% of you are going - enjoy the ride!)

3708. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:09:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153674585.948519.315760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84843

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153657847.231359.183260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Is it true that you only started using "Eduardo" in 2000? How does this
> > use of this name promote your business?
>
> It's a second given name. It does not help my professional activities, and
> it was given to me decades ago. This is a mind fart of DXass.
> >

BUSTED!!

Call it a mind fart if you like. You're the one who's trapped in the
elevator with it! LOL!

3709. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:12:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153674724.747397.7710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84844

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:0CLwg.164378$mF2.106308@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > OK, my first instinct said the FCC was getting lobbyed to maintain the
> > status quo by the local stations.
> >
> > So what was motivating the FCC?
>
> The motive was a belief in the concept that local media reinforces the
> Republic. No kidding... there were frequent references to things like this
> back in that era. It was believed local voices were important. I think the
> FCC used the newspaper model for localism, not undetrstanding that
> newspapers are restricted by distribution, not localism.
> > OK, the issue of editorializing on public radio stations came up before
> > the
> > Supreme Court in 1984.
> >
> > A quote from the decision:
> >
> > "Indeed, the pivotal importance of editorializing as a means of satisfying
> > the public's interest in receiving a wide variety of ideas and views
> > through
> > the medium of broadcasting has long been recognized by the FCC; the
> > Commission has for the past 35 years actively encouraged commercial
> > broadcast licensees to include editorials on public affairs in their
> > programming."
>
> But the fact is, due to the restrictions and potential for litigation,
> fines, protests, nearly no station did editorialize until later that decade
> when Fariness was revoked.
>
> > And the footnote on the quote:
> >
> > "[Footnote 14] In 1949, finding that "programs in which the licensee's
> > personal opinions are expressed are [not] intrinsically more or less
> > subject
> > to abuse than any other program devoted to public issues," the FCC
> > concluded
> > that overt licensee editorializing, so long as "it is exercised in
> > conformity with the paramount right of the public to hear a reasonably
> > balanced presentation of all responsible viewpoints" is "consistent with
> > the

> > licensee's duty [468 U.S. 364, 383] to operate in the public interest."
> > Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees, 13 F. C. C. 1246, 1253, 1258
> > (1949).
> > At the time, of course, this decision applied with equal force to both
> > noncommercial educational licensees and commercial stations. The FCC has
> > since underscored its view that editorializing by broadcast licensees
> > serves
> > the public interest by identifying editorial programming as one of 14
> > "major
> > elements usually necessary to meet the public interest, needs and desires
> > of
> > the community." FCC Programming Statement, 25 Fed. Reg. 7295 (1960). The
> > Commission has regularly enforced this policy by considering a licensee's
> > editorializing practices in license renewal proceedings. See, e. g.,
> > Greater
> > Boston Television Corp. v. FCC, 143 U.S. App. D.C. 383, 402, 444 F.2d 841,
> > 860 (1970); Evening Star Broadcasting Co., 27 F. C. C. 2d 316, 332 (1971);
> > RKO General, Inc., 44 F. C. C. 2d 149, 219 (1969). "
>
> Still, nearly nlo station editorialized in the 50's, 60's and 70's. All they
> needed to do is look at Red Lion to see how editorials can lose the licence.
> I do not remember a single station that editorialized in that period, and it
> was out of fear. There were plenty of other ways to get the license renewed.
> like keeping the commercial locad below 18 minutes, running PSA,s etc., that
> one did not hve to jump in the fire on editorials.
> >>
> >> Actually, very very few did. Mostly either small town stations that
> >> editorialized for the blood drive, or major market ones who had on-staff
> >> lawyers, who editorialized for the blood drive.
> >
> > I know I sure heard alot of editorals on the radio and almost as many
> > editorial replies. They were particularly prevalent on WBBM.
>
> A very big station... one of the top 10 reevenue producers in the USA, in
> fact.
> >
> > Yeah, and the programming is more interesting for it. And I haven't heard
> > a
> > stand alone radio editorial in years.
>
> Most radio stations research. we find that the interest in editorials is
> about the same as among under-55 readers of the newspaper... nearly none. It
> is a tune out, and there is so much opinion available today, it is not
> needed.

BUSTED!!

3710. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:13:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153674786.671235.172670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

moonofneptune wrote:
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> ------
>
> Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about

it is pleasenter reading my old had to spend a breif time till an
injury healed up enough to bring him (well he healed enough then stayed
about 3 days wihile I worked out the last of the adjusments to the
house)

3711. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:15:29 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153674929.257414.210420@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84846

Now that I think of it Michael is correct. It was the 1N4007, the 1 kV version of this slow diode that was recommended. It would tend to have lower junction capacitance because of the wider depletion region due to the heavier doping for the high voltage standoff. Once the diode is biased on dc wise, the forward drop is not significant because it doesn't "switch" with the signal current. I think the trend to Schottky diodes was because of the lower intrinsic capacitance.

Frank

Michael Black wrote:
> "Pete KE9OA" (p.gianako@comcast.net) writes:
> > I am not sure if the capacitance of the power diodes would be too high. This
> > could cause poor isolation, but if you reverse bias the unused filter
> > diodes, they might work.
> >
> > Pete
> >
> I seem to recall reading that some of the 1N400X series have a structure
> like PIN diodes, I think some of the higher voltage ones. This has been
> stated in various places, though I have no idea about whether it's a valid
> solution for this specific receiver.
>
> Michael
>
>
> > <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153589114.670592.268100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
> >> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
> >> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
> >> heard of this?
> >>
> >> Frank
> >>
> >> Pete KE9OA wrote:
> >>> Hi Frank,
> >>>
> >>> That is a nice receiver, and the mods that you have done seem to cover
> >>> everything. The only thing that I can recommend....if this receiver uses
> >>> diodes to switch the input bandpass filters, I would replace them with
> >>> 1N5767 PIN diodes. Bias them at around 60mA. I think that you can run up
> >>> to
> >>> around 90mA, but that might be pushing them.
> >>> Philips also has some suitable PIN diodes, but you want to make sure that

> >>> you have a long enough minority carrier lifetime.
> >>> Congratulations on the new receiver! I haven't picked up anything new for
> >>> awhile, except that NRD-91.
> >>>
> >>> Pete
> >>>
> >>> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1153448399.698091.189090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>> > Hi Pete; I just recently acquired an essentially mint Icom R70 off of
> >>> > Ebay. It has the 500 kHz CW narrow filter included and came with the
> >>> > manual and original box. After using this radio for a couple of weeks
> >>> > I'm very impressed short of the weird ergonomics and the end of band
> >>> > tuning anomalies. The sensitivity and selectivity are superb and the
> >>> > BP shift and IF notch are among the best I've used. The audio is a bit
> >>> > on the shallow side but I replaced a few of the electrolytic coupling
> >>> > caps with film caps and the difference was very noticeable. I also
> >>> > removed the LW/MW input attenuator and disabled the input rf amp defeat
> >>> > for MW/LW. It can now be switched on and off from the front panel
> >>> > switch. What is your experience with this radio and are there any other
> >>> > improvement modifications that you know of?
> >>> >
> >>> > Comments on this receiver from others are welcome.
> >>> >
> >>> > Frank
> >>> > K3YAZ
> >>> > Tucson
> >>> >
> >>
> >
> >

3712. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:24:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <EhOwg.134039$dW3.4564@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84847

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C3960D.5EFA559F@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
>> explaining this one, too.
>
> Sure it does, Edweenie! It DESTROYS the two adjacent channels, you
> blubbering
> nitwit!

It does not destroy anything that is commonly being used. Therefore, if the bandwidth can be used productively for something else, there is no loss.

3713. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:29:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <IlOwg.134040$dW3.82516@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84848

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153668864.939291.264280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153656913.859848.6720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Huh? We are trying to preserve the value of tens of billions of
>> >> dollars
>> >> worth of AM stations by keeping them viable for longer.
>> >
>> > The truth is more along the lines of "We are trying to make a quick
>> > buck while the opportunity still exists."
>>
>> There is no way to make a quick buck in radio. If you know how, please
>> illuminate us. Otherwise, do what you keep telling me to do: stuff it.
>> Your
>> defense for soing nothing is based on a total lack of facts, no alternate
>> plan, no alternatives. You just criticize what is being done, yet have no
>> suggestions... just invective.
>
> That's more than you have, Sunshine.

As I suspected. You have no solution, just criticism of those who are
willing to try something, anything, to save AM.
>>
>> Since HD does not interfere with existing AM listening in analog, try
>> explaining this one, too.
>
> It does interfere with existing AM listening, nutsack. Maybe you should
> actually turn on a radio one of these days. You've apparently never
> heard one.

It does not interfere with anythjing that is bieng listened to by a
measurable segment of the population.

If a supermarket puts licorice flavored ocoffee on the shelves, but it only
moves 2 jars a month, they will use that shelf space for something more
people come to buy.
.
>>
>> The losers: an tiny handful of DXers on AM, and a very few listeners to
>> distant signals. The DXers will adapt (just as they did to 24/7 schedules

>> in
>> the 50's and 60's) and the distant "regulars" will have to go to
>> satellite,
>> streaming, etc.
>
>
> A tiny handful of people who appear to have you worried enough to keep
> coming back to this group again and again and again. You sure seem
> preoccupied with this unimportant group.

Nope. It is just an interesting debate. HD is already on the air on 1000 stations, and another 1000 will come this next year. Nothing is stopping HD. The real issue now is whether any thing, HD included, can save AM.

3714. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:30:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hnOwg.134042$dW3.74926@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84849

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153669518.826284.276850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.
>
> If this is the best you can come up with, I suggest you read up on how
> to put satellites into orbit.
>

That is an even worse business model. There is some doubt that satellite
will be profitable before WiMax makes it obsolete, with better reception in
the long run... especially in home and at work, where satellite is a frail
contender.

3715. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:33:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lpOwg.134045$dW3.129290@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84850

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153672821.453045.187730@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as
>> > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming
>> > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
>> > conference in San Diego in 2004
>> >
>> > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
>> >
>> > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
>> > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
>> > Interesting.
>>
>> NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I am
>> EVP. Simple.
>
> Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
> getting caught in another lie.

Each company has only one President. Nobody has a "President of Programming.
" None. It was simply an error. The NAB program each year has dozens of
similar inoffensive errors, like wrong titles or misspelled names or wrong
call letters.

3716. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:34:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <YqOwg.134047$dW3.126206@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84851

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C3A73E.3E505CEC@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and
>> > two
>> > R75's.
>> >
>> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that
>> > was
>> > yet more of
>> > Edweenies BS?
>>
>> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and
>> the
>> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
>> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
>> retired and needed a good radio.
>
> Hey, I'm retired and I need another good radio or two. Why don't you send
> me
> that R8B and the Ten-Tec? After all, you don't use them very much.

The person I gave the 525 to had a long history of serving the NRC, as an
editor, contributor and fine DXer. He prepared the most extensive list of
Mexican AMs known, and was in radio and print as a professional all his
life. Unlike you, he deserved the radio.

3717. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:36:15 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jsOwg.134048$dW3.108946@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84852

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C3A7AB.C3C01805@milestones.com...
>
>
> Steve wrote:
>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> > news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself
>> > > as
>> > > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and
>> > > programming
>> > > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
>> > > conference in San Diego in 2004
>> > >
>> > > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
>> > >
>> > > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
>> > > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
>> > > Interesting.
>> >
>> > NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I
>> > am
>> > EVP. Simple.
>>
>> Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
>> getting caught in another lie.
>
> As I've said before, it's always somebody else making mistakes, somebody
> else
> confusing dates, etc., etc., etc. with Edfraudo.

That one is pretty obvious. No broadcaster has a president of programming.
The President is the COO or CEO, only. There are not two presidents.
```

3718. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:37:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ltOwg.134049$dW3.7826@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84853

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153674724.747397.7710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> Most radio stations research. we find that the interest in editorials is
>> about the same as among under-55 readers of the newspaper... nearly none.
>> It
>> is a tune out, and there is so much opinion available today, it is not
>> needed.
>
> BUSTED!!

What does that mean? You have now said it three or four times, and it is
borderline incoherence.

3719. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:41:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23235-44C3B4C2-108@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84855

My next oldest sister (her nick name is Tinker) was over here a few minutes ago,checkin to see if I am still alive and kickin.She brought about thirty pounds of frozen leftovers too,as usual.Where am I going to put it? my frige is already so full that when you open the door,stuff falls out.I think I will give it to my litlte African girlfriend up the street.
cuhulin

3720. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:47:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23235-44C3B649-109@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84854

To Kill a Mokingbird.I watched that great movie on tv last night.
cuhulin

3721. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:50:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C3B6D2-592@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84856

I once got a ''freebie'' from a gal in Vinh Long,Vietnam.She gave me the crabs.Nothing in life is free.
cuhulin

3722. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:56:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C3B840-593@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84857

I got rid of my virginity when I was nine years old in 1949.
cuhulin

3723. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 17:58:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C3B8AD-594@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84858

I am retarded,,, just send me radios.
cuhulin

3724. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 18:06:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C3BAAF-595@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84860

Dante's Inferno,,,, on the tv tube rat now.
cuhulin

3725. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 18:12:57 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <CpSdnU5vjvS3IV7ZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84859

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Now that I think of it Michael is correct. It was the 1N4007, the 1 kV
> version of this slow diode that was recommended. It would tend to have
> lower junction capacitance because of the wider depletion region due to
> the heavier doping for the high voltage standoff. Once the diode is
> biased on dc wise, the forward drop is not significant because it
> doesn't "switch" with the signal current. I think the trend to
> Schottky diodes was because of the lower intrinsic capacitance.

There are better switching diodes than the 1N4007, though they are more expensive and less commonly available. It's not a bad switching diode for RF if you don't have anything else handy. If I'm not mistaken, Ulrich Rhode wrote an article in QST some years back about the use of such diodes in high performance receiver designs. His opinion was that although cheap, the 1N4007 didn't have a place in higher performance designs.

DE AB3A

3726. Re: Wellbrook ALA 100 with Rotator - Construction Details

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 18:49:03 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A7782B.11490223072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article ,
"Guy Atkins" wrote:

> I did mention the spiral configuration to him, but he didn't comment on it.
> The construction seemed to be easier, so it's the only style I considered
> from the start.
>
> Guy
>
> wrote in message
> news:1153626101.333721.76850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ah, so your loops are concentric. I ran my parallel. Did you discuss
> > this with Andy?
> >

Spiral concentric or coil will change the pattern but since the antenna
is so electrically small it won't make much difference unless you want
to use the nulls. I would expect that a coil wound would be best. I you
are concerned about using a null in the antenna pattern then go one turn.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3727. Re: (OT?): Could DTV become the new Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 18:55:18 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <44C3C60E.1070506@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 84862

Mark Zenier wrote:
> Could the sub-channels available on digital Television become a competitor
> to local radio.
>
> Digital TV has high power broadband high bit rate (18-20 megabits per second)
> transmitters that cover the metropolitan areas.
>
> What's stopping a TV station from generating music channels that use the
> high bandwidth MP3 audio and the minimum necessary video signal to go
> along with it? If you got the bit stream down to around a half a megabit
> per second or less, any or all TV stations could transmit a half a dozen
> of these and still have room for HDTV. The video could be minimal,
> a low frame rate "slide show" akin to what you could get over the web.
>
> Then all you would need was another little widget with a tuner and
> some minimal processing to feed it into a video iPod. Or no video
> if don't want to bother with the pictures.

At least one PBS-affiliated DTV station is doing just that, carrying the
audio of an associated radio station.

There really doesn't need to be any video at all. The ATSC protocol
treats audio and video as separate streams; a mapping table tells the TV
receiver to pluck the video stream on protocol ID 0x0044 and the audio
stream on 0x0041 and combine them into a TV program called channel 4-1.
There is nothing that requires the 0x0044 video stream to exist - you
could transmit *only* the audio stream. How a typical ATSC TV would
handle that I don't know; my bet would be that it would show a blank
screen. (while playing the audio normally)

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

3728. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 18:56:07 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bDPwg.452634$Fs1.364622@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84863

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is. You
>> remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but you
>> can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio aren't
>> news. But the fact remains.
>>
>> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
>> interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening, is
>> there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN didn't
>> change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will point out
>> that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed. So, HD is only
>> really benefitting those who are already using AM. And those younger demos
>> you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just as unintersted in the
>> content after HD, as the stations themselves are in those who listen
>> outside of the city grade contour.
>
> But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much in
> a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier formats, I
> think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden them. WGN has to
> change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting the Trib's stock
> price single handed.
>>

So, WGN is waiting for receivers to fall into listeners' hands
before lowering their demo target? Once sampled, if the audio quality
is attractive but the content hasn't changed, there will likely not be a
resampling. Leaving HD to benefit those who already listen and enjoy.
But not providing anything more attractive than audio quality for those
who generally do not. If audio quality were the only selling point to
KEZK, it would still be Schulke. And WRTH would have never been
'Beautiful Music.' It's the content that attracted and held listeners to
each. Granted this is in an era when FM still had novelty listening, but
the point is, when the audience began to shift from AM to FM, it was the
content on the stations of either band that changed to create viable
audiences...audio quality was only a factor where content requiring
audio quality was concerned. Music went to FM, where off main music
formats and talk took over AM.

And this was also at a time when AM was still wideband. On my McKay,
an well done AM station could hold its own against an FM station without
breathing hard. Even doing music. This was Leonard Kahn's sacred
evangel: that AM is capable of the same, if not better audio, than
native FM. That is to say, without the pre/de-emphasis that gives FM

it's lower noise figure. Without that, audio quality of FM sux.

NRSC, in it's infinite wisdom, however, institutionalized what
receiver manufacturers had been doing by being cheap....cutting off the
top end of AM receivers and narrowing the audio bandwidth. As late as
1972, a stock Delco AM radio was capable of 8khz audio out that bottom
heavy dashboard speaker. With a different speaker installed, that same
Delco radio was capable of surprising fidelity. This was, of course
before AM Optimod and CRL brought new meaning to the term 'grunge'. What
NRSC did, was start the process of reducing skywave interference by
attacking audio bandwidth...and at the same time audio quality. The
10Khz brickwall plus the pre/de-emphasis of NRSC II helped create the
suckular AM quality we enjoy today.

Shortsighted stopgap measures often require additional shortsighted
stopgap measures to fix the side effects of other shortsighted stopgap
measures...and before you're two generations out, you've created a mess
that can be only remedied by starting over or giving up.

IBOC is the latest in shortsighted stopgap measures. Giving up is next.

>> That's exactly my point...it's a gamble. A crap shoot. Targeting the
>> superficiality and subjective perception of audio quality. While the real
>> attraction to listening is content.
>
> No station today will do a youjnger A format. There is still time to adapt
> as HD gets into user hands. This is a 5 year issue. Keep in mind that
> satellite has talken 5 years to get to around 11 million subscribers. Of
> course, this is a poor analogy as satellite seems to have hit a wall... and
> may truly never be viable financially.

And yet, you yourself have admitted that AM may not have 5 years
to make it. The deathknell may sound before that. So, with time of the
essence, content change must begin quickly, or the losses due to IBOC
rash and stagnant content of sampled HD quality may be irretrievable.

>> You've noted growth at your AM's on the West Coast. Those are due to
>> content, not audio quality. And your growth has exceeded expectations.
>
> But the growth is in existing older formats on stations that were not doing
> well, like KLOK. Its a stop-gap until HD makes younger formats viable. Our
> main Miami AM station has an average age of 72!

Hold on...let me sit down with THAT shocker.

>
>> Whether HD has been implemented or not, HD's 'improved' audio quality is
>> not a factor, since receiving hardware is both expensive and not widely
>> available.
>
> $149 car radio this week. 6 others, from the Tivoli on down were announced.

Announced is one thing. Available is another. And the announcements are for products a month and a half or more out. With IBOC rash trashing listening in my area for more than a year, now listeners--the ones in my neighborhood that I can directly observe the listening habits of--have moved to other outlets. Most of them iPod. With a few to satellite. The rest have moved to FM.

My own listening habits have been dramatically altered. I'm listening, now, to stations I did bother with before. Offering dramatically different programming than I previously could enjoy on AM. Instead of talk, during the day, I listen to Jazz. Or Classical. Or something eclectic on XM.

AM-HD has been trashing the bands here for quite awhile now. And with local AMs being covered by IBOC rash listening has been difficult at the very best. The announcement of a $149 solution soon to come is way too little, way too late. No one that I know is going to wait for solutions to problems that are ongoing, and when the problems first appeared, there were no solutions in sight. The time to introduce those radios was a year ago, when IBOC rash first started to smother parts of the locally used spectrum.

A mere announcement now...well, if you wanted to turn over the entire audience in a short time, this would be the way to do it.

>
>> In fact, your share increase would exceed the number of HD radios sold in
>> those markets were explosive growth has taken place. IF HD audio is not a
>> factor, it's the content that's attracting listeners. In under 45 demo's
>> at that.
>
> Nope, It is all older, and we are talking about going form 0.4 to 0.6 in
> some cases. Holding the water out of the fields by putting a finger in the
> dike. Waiting for the chance that HD affords us.

Then, you're making my point for me. AM-HD is going to benefit only existing listeners. IN the meantime, trashing the band audibly as any potential listeners sample content only underscored that FM is a better option for them. Younger, or older.

>> However, HD is putting that content off limits to potential listeners,
>> by trashing the bands in weak signal areas with other station's HD rash.
>> If noise and audio quality are, indeed, factors keeping AM from stable
>> growth, or at least stable levels of listenership, increasing noise found
>> in HD sidebands is not going to be a viable solution.
>
> None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of its 5
> mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in the car,
> etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our listening is
> inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this market.

When I say that HD puts content off limits to potential listeners, I'm not referring to those listeners outside of a market. I'm talking

about listeners inside the market who are not graced with a city grade signal. WLS, the classic example here, does not put 15mv/m into Lake County. But listenership is, or at least was, quite high in Lake County. But with IBOC rash now sizzling up and down the dial, WLS has been very difficult to capture cleanly. Or on some days, listen to at all. We're not talking about DXing...we're talking about local listening. That's been put off limits by IBOC rash, and yet, solutions have not been widely available.

Cutting off two of the more populous counties in the Chicagoland area is not a show of wisdom when you're goal is to save the AM band from long term exodus. Especially when solutions are only 'announced' and not widely available.

>
> We don't lose anything. In fact, the AM HD is useable farther than analog
> due to analog noise.

Which may be true. But only if the solutions are widely available. Which, they are not. And haven't been for at least the last year as the noise level has steadily grown to eventually cut off some the rated areas of the metro from their favorite stations.

>> Then, again, if audio quality is really an issue, that same Tejano
>> format on HD2, since HD radios must resolve both AM and FM HD, will
>> present an attraction of listeners away from the AM station, even if
>> listening is done in AM HD.
>
> The AM will probably go away eventually. It is one of the AMs that shoud
> never have existed. As long as we keep the audience, and expand it, we
> really don't care what the delivery method is.
>
> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.

Doing nothing may not be an alternative, but it may be better than doing something that produces more immediate harm than potential long term good.

There has to be a better way. But it would take FCC reversing themselves. And we all know how likely that would be.

>
>

3729. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:01:31 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3CB33D.12013023072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84864

In article <VsNwg.178280$F_3.104266@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:0CLwg.164378$mF2.106308@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> >
> > OK, my first instinct said the FCC was getting lobbyed to maintain the
> > status quo by the local stations.
> >
> > So what was motivating the FCC?
>
> The motive was a belief in the concept that local media reinforces the
> Republic. No kidding... there were frequent references to things like this
> back in that era. It was believed local voices were important. I think the
> FCC used the newspaper model for localism, not undetrstanding that
> newspapers are restricted by distribution, not localism.
> > OK, the issue of editorializing on public radio stations came up before
> > the
> > Supreme Court in 1984.
> >
> > A quote from the decision:
> >
> > "Indeed, the pivotal importance of editorializing as a means of satisfying
> > the public's interest in receiving a wide variety of ideas and views
> > through
> > the medium of broadcasting has long been recognized by the FCC; the
> > Commission has for the past 35 years actively encouraged commercial
> > broadcast licensees to include editorials on public affairs in their
> > programming."
>
> But the fact is, due to the restrictions and potential for litigation,
> fines, protests, nearly no station did editorialize until later that decade
> when Fariness was revoked.
>
> > And the footnote on the quote:
> >
> > "[Footnote 14] In 1949, finding that "programs in which the licensee's
> > personal opinions are expressed are [not] intrinsically more or less
> > subject
> > to abuse than any other program devoted to public issues," the FCC
> > concluded
> > that overt licensee editorializing, so long as "it is exercised in

> > conformity with the paramount right of the public to hear a reasonably
> > balanced presentation of all responsible viewpoints" is "consistent with
> > the
> > licensee's duty [468 U.S. 364, 383] to operate in the public interest."
> > Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees, 13 F. C. C. 1246, 1253, 1258
> > (1949).
> > At the time, of course, this decision applied with equal force to both
> > noncommercial educational licensees and commercial stations. The FCC has
> > since underscored its view that editorializing by broadcast licensees
> > serves
> > the public interest by identifying editorial programming as one of 14
> > "major
> > elements usually necessary to meet the public interest, needs and desires
> > of
> > the community." FCC Programming Statement, 25 Fed. Reg. 7295 (1960). The
> > Commission has regularly enforced this policy by considering a licensee's
> > editorializing practices in license renewal proceedings. See, e. g.,
> > Greater
> > Boston Television Corp. v. FCC, 143 U.S. App. D.C. 383, 402, 444 F.2d 841,
> > 860 (1970); Evening Star Broadcasting Co., 27 F. C. C. 2d 316, 332 (1971);
> > RKO General, Inc., 44 F. C. C. 2d 149, 219 (1969). "
>
> Still, nearly nlo station editorialized in the 50's, 60's and 70's. All they
> needed to do is look at Red Lion to see how editorials can lose the licence.
> I do not remember a single station that editorialized in that period, and it
> was out of fear. There were plenty of other ways to get the license renewed.
> like keeping the commercial locad below 18 minutes, running PSA,s etc., that
> one did not hve to jump in the fire on editorials.

< Snip >

That's not my experience. Up until 1985 all I could get was the liberal
point of view on both AM and FM. I always wanted to listen to talk radio
but got turned off by BS that would range center to left. The people on
the left wing shows sucked cutting off anyone that has a conservative
point of view so I stopped listening until there were alternatives. Left
wing talk was on the FM mostly before I noticed other points of view on
AM. FM is still left wing with the PBS stations.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3730. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:07:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153681625.134210.100800@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84865

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153672821.453045.187730@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself as
> >> > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and programming
> >> > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
> >> > conference in San Diego in 2004
> >> >
> >> > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
> >> >
> >> > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
> >> > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
> >> > Interesting.
> >>
> >> NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I am
> >> EVP. Simple.
> >
> > Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
> > getting caught in another lie.
>
> Each company has only one President. Nobody has a "President of Programming.
> " None. It was simply an error. The NAB program each year has dozens of
> similar inoffensive errors, like wrong titles or misspelled names or wrong
> call letters.

BUSTED

3731. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:07:54 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153681674.758784.310560@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84866

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C3A7AB.C3C01805@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:1153661700.334729.102660@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > It's also interesting that, on his website, Tardo represents himself
> >> > > as
> >> > > "Executive Vice President of Univision Radio's research and
> >> > > programming
> >> > > division". However, if you look at the page promoting the NAB
> >> > > conference in San Diego in 2004
> >> > >
> >> > > http://tinyurl.com/rzgea
> >> > >
> >> > > he's "president of programming at Univision Radio". Either Tardo was
> >> > > demoted since 2004 or he isn't able to keep his story straight.
> >> > > Interesting.
> >> >
> >> > NAB made a mistake. So what? At the time, I was VP Programming. Now I
> >> > am
> >> > EVP. Simple.
> >>
> >> Sure it is....sure. NAB didn't make a mistake. You made a mistake by
> >> getting caught in another lie.
> >
> > As I've said before, it's always somebody else making mistakes, somebody
> > else
> > confusing dates, etc., etc., etc. with Edfraudo.
>
> That one is pretty obvious. No broadcaster has a president of programming.
> The President is the COO or CEO, only. There are not two presidents.

BUSTED

3732. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:08:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1367-44C3C928-699@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84868

Tell all them left coast beach gals over there,,, old Hansom Larry Loves them.
cuhulin

3733. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:09:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153681786.049011.14090@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84867

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153674724.747397.7710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Most radio stations research. we find that the interest in editorials is
> >> about the same as among under-55 readers of the newspaper... nearly none.
> >> It
> >> is a tune out, and there is so much opinion available today, it is not
> >> needed.
> >>
> > BUSTED!!
>
> What does that mean? You have now said it three or four times, and it is
> borderline incoherence.

BUSTED

3734. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:19:14 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153682354.832563.132780@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84869

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C3A73E.3E505CEC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and
> >> > two
> >> > R75's.
> >> >
> >> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that
> >> > was
> >> > yet more of
> >> > Edweenies BS?
> >>
> >> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and
> >> the
> >> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> >> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> >> retired and needed a good radio.
> >
> > Hey, I'm retired and I need another good radio or two. Why don't you send
> > me
> > that R8B and the Ten-Tec? After all, you don't use them very much.
>
> The person I gave the 525 to had a long history of serving the NRC, as an
> editor, contributor and fine DXer. He prepared the most extensive list of
> Mexican AMs known, and was in radio and print as a professional all his
> life. Unlike you, he deserved the radio.

BUSTED!

3735. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:26:02 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84870

In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-639EDD.22290222072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <ojxwg.177801$F_3.62023@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C29BC5.759BE44F@milestones.com...
> >> >> > Jerk
> >> >>
> >> >> I have built, managed and programmed AMs for the last 42 years.
> >> >> The decline is technology based, and can be corrected... with
> >> >> technology.
> >> >
> >> > Nothing wrong with the technology, 'tard boy. AM (MW) comes in just
> >> > fine here. And it comes in even better without the HD/IBOC QRM.
> >>
> >> There is everything wrong with the technology. It sounds so inferior
> >> that nobody who "grew up" on FM will touch it, as it is irritating.
> >> Two generations now have no use for AM. The only users, like you, are
> >> old farts who do not look at the future or have lost most of their
> >> hearing.
> >
> > I don't know where you are coming from on this. I grew up listening to
> > FM and AM and I think AMBCB sounds just fine.
>
> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average listener.

I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.

> I have posted data from a variety of US markets, as well as national
> averages. Again: in 12-34 year old listeners, in LA, the total share for AM
> is less than the indvidual station shares for the 6 highest rated FMs. 6%.
> Nearly nobody. AM may sound good to you, but to nearly everyone under 45, it
> is presently irrelevant and sucks.
>
> > AMBCB has good fidelity and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I
> > don't care as I listen to talk radio and news on that band. I don't
> > spend much time with FM. Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave,
> > and spend time on the Internet for news.

I don't think it is the sound as much as FM is in stereo.

> The problem is just that. Only talk shows and such get on AM, because
> anything else that requires fidelty will not work. Talk appeals to a very
> old audience, and in many cases, it is getting harder and harder to sell.

There are a number of AM stations playing music but I don't listen to
them. There are news stations like KNS besides the talkers.

> > This is what is bothering me about the move to HD. The move is supposed
> > to be an improvement but it does not seem that way to me. The move to HD
> > is just going to cost me money, not make an improvement, and change my
> > listening in ways I don't want. It's a lousy deal for me to spend money
> > I don't need to spend to keep getting what I already have. Same problem
> > for DRM on short wave.
>
> DRM is an effort to make SW relevant, just as HD is for MW, to newer
> generations that are looking for digital quality (in developed nations) and
> at least FM quality in others.

DRM is a way just like IBOC for the broadcasters to gain more control
over the listeners. I expect that digital modes on the AM and FM will
change to a subscription service. It will start with only some channels
being a premium service and the rest free advertiser supported but
eventually all will be subscription.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3736. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:26:54 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84871

In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield->
>
> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
>
> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music stations
> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening in
> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of the
> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more viable
> AM signals than US cities do.

Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3737. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:28:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153682920.582609.145910@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84872

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> My next oldest sister (her nick name is Tinker) was over here a few
> minutes ago,checkin to see if I am still alive and kickin.She brought
> about thirty pounds of frozen leftovers too,as usual.Where am I going to
> put it? my frige is already so full that when you open the door,stuff
> falls out.I think I will give it to my litlte African girlfriend up the
> street.
> cuhulin

Well, I'm sure Blueberry Doggie would appreciate a morsel or two.

3738. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:37:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3CFEE.2F4C7E7B@milestones.com>
Source record: 84873

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', went fishing in his panties and came up empty handed yet again before he wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153674724.747397.7710@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> Most radio stations research. we find that the interest in editorials is
> >> about the same as among under-55 readers of the newspaper... nearly none.
> >> It
> >> is a tune out, and there is so much opinion available today, it is not
> >> needed.
> >
> > BUSTED!!
>
> What does that mean? You have now said it three or four times, and it is
> borderline incoherence.

Look Maude! That prancing Gleason boy is trying to be coy once again!

How cute.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3739. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:40:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3D0AD.195CF0A3@milestones.com>
Source record: 84875

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C3A73E.3E505CEC@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C37ED6.D2BF5E75@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Oh yeah, he has indicated to me that he currently has a Drake R8B and
> >> > two
> >> > R75's.
> >> >
> >> > So I wonder if he ever actually disposed of the Drake, or whether that
> >> > was
> >> > yet more of
> >> > Edweenies BS?
> >>
> >> I gave away the Drake I had in LA, keeping the Ten Tec in Prescott and
> >> the
> >> R-75 in the Desert. I added a newer R8B later, as I got the bug for DXing
> >> Mexican AMs again. I also gave away an NRD 525 to an NRC member who was
> >> retired and needed a good radio.
> >
> > Hey, I'm retired and I need another good radio or two. Why don't you send
> > me
> > that R8B and the Ten-Tec? After all, you don't use them very much.
>
> The person I gave the 525 to had a long history of serving the NRC, as an
> editor, contributor and fine DXer. He prepared the most extensive list of
> Mexican AMs known, and was in radio and print as a professional all his
> life. Unlike you, he deserved the radio.

So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3740. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:40:32 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153683632.084738.143200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84874

There's no question that the 1N400X series is a poor switching diode when it comes to speed and reverse recovery characteristics, however, for switching in and out bandpass filters I think the reverse bias junction capacitance is the major issue to prevent unwanted signal coupling. I would definitely look for true PIN diodes if I were upgrading the filter switching diodes rather than use 1N4007s. It's just interesting that there have been articles on the fact that they appear to work reasonably well in some cases. I know the Kenwood R-2000 I had used the equivalent of 1N914s for these diodes in the front end and they were horrible with all kinds of cross talk from stronger, out of band signals.

Frank

Jake Brodsky wrote:
> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> > Now that I think of it Michael is correct. It was the 1N4007, the 1 kV
> > version of this slow diode that was recommended. It would tend to have
> > lower junction capacitance because of the wider depletion region due to
> > the heavier doping for the high voltage standoff. Once the diode is
> > biased on dc wise, the forward drop is not significant because it
> > doesn't "switch" with the signal current. I think the trend to
> > Schottky diodes was because of the lower intrinsic capacitance.
>
> There are better switching diodes than the 1N4007, though they are more
> expensive and less commonly available. It's not a bad switching diode
> for RF if you don't have anything else handy. If I'm not mistaken,
> Ulrich Rhode wrote an article in QST some years back about the use of
> such diodes in high performance receiver designs. His opinion was that
> although cheap, the 1N4007 didn't have a place in higher performance
> designs.
>
> DE AB3A

3741. EiBi Skeds Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 19:43:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3D153.36E14E7C@milestones.com>
Source record: 84876

The EiBi skeds have been updated as of 21 July:

http://www.susi-und-strolch.de/eibi/dx/bc-a06.txt

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3742. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 20:10:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12568-44C3D7BB-125@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84878

Whats her name Joan Fontaine in this old Suspicion movie on Radio tv,,,
she ought to take off that cat hat and that pin striped suit and those
spectacles and put on a dress and let her hair down and she would be A
OK lookin.
cuhulin

3743. Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 20:13:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3D867.98F709FC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84877

La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at 1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000 as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3744. Re: Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 20:22:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3DA8F.A9704A6E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84879

dxAce wrote:

> La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at
> 1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000
> as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in
> presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.

By the way, Niger was last reported on in 2003, also on 9705v. At one time they were also active on 5020.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3745. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 20:23:15 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153686195.286812.24920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84880

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> There's no question that the 1N400X series is a poor switching diode
> when it comes to speed and reverse recovery characteristics, however,
> for switching in and out bandpass filters I think the reverse bias
> junction capacitance is the major issue to prevent unwanted signal
> coupling. I would definitely look for true PIN diodes if I were
> upgrading the filter switching diodes rather than use 1N4007s. It's
> just interesting that there have been articles on the fact that they
> appear to work reasonably well in some cases. I know the Kenwood
> R-2000 I had used the equivalent of 1N914s for these diodes in the
> front end and they were horrible with all kinds of cross talk from
> stronger, out of band signals.
>
> Frank
>

The R2000 uses BA282 band filter switch diodes.

The RX Unit (X55-1340-00) in the R2000 sevice manual gives the
part number, which I just verified by looking at the stock diodes
that I saved when I upgraded both of my R2000s.

See <http://www.vishay.com/diodes/list/product-85526/>

For the 6MHz and 9MHz bands, good HP PINs give a slight but
noticable improvement. I expected a big improvement in the below
500KHz range but found nothing that I could hear.

I still lurk and will make the odd post when I have something usefull
to add. All prior Email accounts are abandoned and dead.

Terry

3746. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 20:56:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3E260.4527EC6E@milestones.com>
Source record: 84881

mike maghakian wrote:

> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> can't even test it ??????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3747. Re: ICFSW7600 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 21:04:39 UTC
From: Stephan Grossklass <sgrokla-nospam04q2@yahoo.de>
Message-ID: <ea0o97$7ka$02$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 84882

blair thompson schrieb:

> The FM tuning on my Sony has lost its bearings, but everything else
> still works fine. It just bugs me that a function has gone south. A
> local techie looked at it for $30, but thought I should probably take
> it to a Sony repair facility for further delving into.

It's the usual bad 'lytics, as detailed on my 7600 page. Those early SMD
things must have been pretty crappy. Basically as long as they haven't
leaked and corroded the PCB, this problem is fixable, if a bit of a
pain.

> Is it worth it to throw more money at this rig? I bought it new 16
> years ago, for $400 Canadian, and have been generally well-satisfied
> with the radio, listening mostly to SW, Morse code, etc. Guess it
> doesn't really owe me much of anything. But maybe I should be looking
> at a rig with newer electronics, etc., probably for less dinero, but
> the same overall features :)

The best portable this size for SSB certainly is the ICF-SW7600GR, as it
has sideband-selectable SSB. Its AM audio, however, should be similarly
depressingly muffled as the 7600G's, and speaker audio almost as
bassless. Were the SW7600 not plagued with the bad caps, it would be a
really decent rig. If you're seriously into SSB stuff, I would suggest
to get a full-blown communications rx.

Stephan
--
Home: http://stephan.win31.de/
Versprecher des Tages:
Wenn die Wespen fliegen, fliegen auch die Pflaumen.

3748. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 21:08:32 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kzRwg.165483$mF2.77514@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84884

dxAce wrote:
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
>> can't even test it ???????????
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

Even if the price goes up another $750, and the buyer had to send it
back for factory service to correct any undocumented problems, the buyer
would still come out ahead on this.

3749. Re: (OT?): Could DTV become the new Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 21:09:49 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8bp7c2pe12o6qiesju21ua8knu5go0jsir@4ax.com>
Source record: 84885

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 18:55:18 GMT, Doug Smith W9WI
<w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote:

>
>At least one PBS-affiliated DTV station is doing just that, carrying the
>audio of an associated radio station.
>
>There really doesn't need to be any video at all. The ATSC protocol
>treats audio and video as separate streams; a mapping table tells the TV
>receiver to pluck the video stream on protocol ID 0x0044 and the audio
>stream on 0x0041 and combine them into a TV program called channel 4-1.
> There is nothing that requires the 0x0044 video stream to exist - you
>could transmit *only* the audio stream. How a typical ATSC TV would
>handle that I don't know; my bet would be that it would show a blank
>screen. (while playing the audio normally)

I'm not sure this is still happening, but for a long time the Los
Angeles PAX affiliate was carrying CRN on it's SAP.

3750. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 21:15:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C3E70D.63E36506@milestones.com>
Source record: 84886

D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> >> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> >> can't even test it ???????????
> >>
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >
> > Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
>
> Even if the price goes up another $750, and the buyer had to send it
> back for factory service to correct any undocumented problems, the buyer
> would still come out ahead on this.

Yep, that's what I figure. There was another that popped up briefly today for sale with a starting price of something
like $3700, but rather rapidly withdrawn I suppose when the seller may have discovered this current auction going on.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3751. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 21:46:11 UTC
From: Stephan Grossklass <sgrokla-nospam04q2@yahoo.de>
Message-ID: <ea0qn2$rp3$00$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 84887

Michael Black schrieb:

> "" (baranick@localnet.com) writes:
>> My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
>> On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.
>>
>> On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
>> (probably costs less than a buck)
>> and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !
>>
>> It ain't fair !

Now what happens if you bring a decent FM tuner (an average 4-ganger
with 3 IF filters should do), the humble Radio Shack 6-element yagi and
possibly a rotor into the equation?

> Are they different stations up and down the dials, or is it overloading
> so the same station appears in multiple places?

Good point. I wouldn't expect ultra-simple scan radios to have much
front-end tracking.

> This is one of the myths of "expensive stereos". People pay good money
> for them, and assume they will have good radios in them. But they are
> buying more than a radio (depending on what's included, a cassette deck
> a CD player, flashing lights graphic equalizer, a higher power amplifier,
> etc). The radio may not even be seen as important, something to put
> in but with the knowledge that few will use it and even fewer will care
> about anything but a handful of stations.

Yep.

> Under those conditions, why should they allocate money into the design
> and construction of a good radio, especially when there are things
> that the buyer would find more appealing?
>
> The same myth applies to a shortwave radio that happens to include
> an FM section. Too many beleive that since it's an expensive radio,
> that will be reflected in the FM section. But, the circuitry doesn't
> overlap, the FM section requires a whole extra radio in there, and
> the people wanting it want it for local reception. So they add it
> in because it will increase sales, but don't spend too much on
> the section because that will take away from the main feature, the
> shortwave reception.

That's also partly true... the current Degens and Tecsuns do seem to put
some emphasis on FM (e.g. DE1103/E5), but Sony has been selling 7600s

with 280 kHz barn doors for ages now (at least they still have two, the simpler stuff has to make do with one FM IF filter and no IFT *ouch*) where 180s would cost insignificantly more and give *much* better selectivity. If you want to take a look at a simple but effective FM receiver concept, the service manual of the Grundig Sonoclock 900 clock radio to be found on the web should be interesting. Something analog built around a CXA1019S (all mono) shouldn't be too outlandish, but they put in nothing less than two 150 kHz filters. (I should have one of these coming soon, btw. :)) Reportedly these Grundigs (typically FM only) have always been good performers, and when I look at the number of 11 tuned circuits in the very first ones around 1970 (later ones had 10, which still was the same as in contemporary Concert-Boys) I'm inclined to believe it. If you can find what seems to be a fairly fancy model of the past on the used market, do give it a try even if some are a bit funky looking. (Clock radios seem to be a bit out of fashion these days, all the better for collectors.)

Stephan
--
Home: http://stephan.win31.de/
Ist ein Sammler von Grundig-Uhrenradios eigentlich ein Sonoclocker?

3752. Re: Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:04:56 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1153692296.912556.289490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84888

dxAce wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at
> > 1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000
> > as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in
> > presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.
>
> By the way, Niger was last reported on in 2003, also on 9705v. At one time they
> were also active on 5020.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Ace, how accurate do you find the WRTH these days? It's been many
years since I bought one, as it didn't appear to be up to the same
standards as when Jens Frost and Andy Sennitt were putting it together.

3753. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:26:41 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GZudndvhufQDaI7ZnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84889

Oftentimes, it is the type of ferrite material used in the mixer baluns. Also, it could be that low Q caps are being used in the lower bands. I have both an NRD-515 and an NRD-91. Both of these receivers exhibit rolloff below 700kHz.
These comparisions are made, using a Drake R7, Palstar R30, Yaesu FRG-100, Icom R-75, Lowe HF150, HF-225, and HF-250. I did repair a Kenwood R-2000 a few years ago, and wondered if it had some sort of attenuation below 1.5MHz. I noticed this same characteristic with a Kenwood R-5000 and an AOR-3030.

Pete

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153686195.286812.24920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>> There's no question that the 1N400X series is a poor switching diode
>> when it comes to speed and reverse recovery characteristics, however,
>> for switching in and out bandpass filters I think the reverse bias
>> junction capacitance is the major issue to prevent unwanted signal
>> coupling. I would definitely look for true PIN diodes if I were
>> upgrading the filter switching diodes rather than use 1N4007s. It's
>> just interesting that there have been articles on the fact that they
>> appear to work reasonably well in some cases. I know the Kenwood
>> R-2000 I had used the equivalent of 1N914s for these diodes in the
>> front end and they were horrible with all kinds of cross talk from
>> stronger, out of band signals.
>>
>> Frank
>>
> ---------------
> The R2000 uses BA282 band filter switch diodes.
>
> The RX Unit (X55-1340-00) in the R2000 sevice manual gives the
> part number, which I just verified by looking at the stock diodes
> that I saved when I upgraded both of my R2000s.
>
> See <http://www.vishay.com/diodes/list/product-85526/>
>
> For the 6MHz and 9MHz bands, good HP PINs give a slight but
> noticable improvement. I expected a big improvement in the below
> 500KHz range but found nothing that I could hear.
>
> I still lurk and will make the odd post when I have something usefull
> to add. All prior Email accounts are abandoned and dead.
>

> Terry
>

3754. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:33:22 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153694002.184402.297700@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84890

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153669518.826284.276850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.
> >
> > If this is the best you can come up with, I suggest you read up on how
> > to put satellites into orbit.
> >
>
> That is an even worse business model. There is some doubt that satellite
> will be profitable before WiMax makes it obsolete, with better reception in
> the long run... especially in home and at work, where satellite is a frail
> contender.

Gee, in that case it looks like your f*cked. Not to mention BUSTED!!!

3755. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:34:54 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <44C3F98E.6060503@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 84891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.mp3.hardware

RobnzBoy wrote:

> I bought a Creative Zen Nano Plus 1 Meg MP3 player last month. It was
> so cool that my wife got one the next day. At this time, I have 250 of
> my favotite songs and a week's worth of Rush Limbaugh, and I still have
> memory left over. Plus it even has an internal FM radio that you can
> listen to or record from.
>
> It sounds really great through headphones, and I love listening to it.
> But I realize that constant listening through headphones is not good
> for my hearing, especially since my job depends on me being able to
> hear well.

Constant listening through headphones is no more damaging to your hearing than the same SPL (sound pressure level) from speakers on an FM radio (or any other source).

The problem with headphone damage is due to the fact that most people (kids) crank the volume up so loud you'd think their ears would bleed. That definitely causes damage! Listening on headphones at reasonable levels does not cause damage, but it can block outside noises somewhat (depending on the kind of headphones), so if you wish to be more mobile than when wearing headphones, or be able to hear other sounds in the environment, then that is the time to go with outside speakers or an FM transmitter...

So I'm looking for an FM transmitter that I can connect to
> the it that would allow me to listen to it through regular radio
> speakers at a much less damaging volume. There's a whole bunch of FM
> transmitters that are designed for this purpose. I tried a cheap one
> from Wal-Mart that really didn't impress me. I'm tempted to buy the
> CCrane FM Transmitter. It gets excellent ratings, but it costs $70, and
> I can't really afford that unless it's just miles beyond its
> competition.
>
> Does anyone have any experience with any of these transmitters?
>
Unless you specifically are in need of transmitting to an FM radio (or multiple radios) perhaps a set of decent computer speakers will do the job. I've used a set of powered speakers with subwoofer on a number of small devices with very good results.

--Rick AH7H

3756. Re: IBOC : What About Content?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:34:59 UTC
From: "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153694099.842796.120430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84892

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> she ought to take off ...
a dress and let her hair down and she would be AOK.
> cuhulin

.....
I listen to the radio for the program content. If it is a good program, I would be glad to use two Dixie cups and a string held by seven of Cuhulin's vestal virgins to listen. I don't really care if the transmission is AM, CQUAM, IBOC, FM , or CW. If it is a good program (as defined by me) I will listen. Since my demographic does not appear on any profit maximizer's radar, most radio programming fits the "vast wasteland" of Newton Minow. (look him up) So, I hear you asking, "What do you listen to?"
..... 1. BBC internet. The range, depth, and variety of the BBC puts US and Canadian radio to shame. I have even switched to high-speed phone so that the telephone service will not be interfered with while I am listening.
...... 2. CBC The CBC is mostly on FM now, but new management has switched to youth-oriented programming which I find of little interest. Too bad it's as clear as a bell.
.... 3. night-time skip. If I can't sleep in my little trundle bed, I see what I can find to listen to via the ionosphere. Coast-to- Coast is often interesting but fading is a problem. If they are doing a program on lost civilizations (I love this stull) that I want to hear without interruption I switch on the laptop and go to KLIF (among others). If Art and Co. are slogging through the causes, beginnings and effects of everything in the universe, I turn to WLW 700 where the truckers won't strain my brain quite so much. I avoid sports radio: I have lived too long to get exited over some player's contract, although I will admit that the sports announcers have clear voices and mucho enthusism. They remind me of the old dictum," The main thing is sincerity, and if you can fake that, you've got it made."
..... 4. Daytime. some shortwave: Chuck Harder, the Power Hour, Alex Jones. However, reception is not good and they are constantly changing frequencies and times which is limiting listening time. Limbaugh and others of that ilk eventually become tedious and irritating. If I want to listen to a bunch of war for fun and profit neocons, I'll look up a KKK meeting and get some really good fidelity.
This whole debate about AM broadcast technology reminds me of two competing salesmen selling a machine to cut up a pile of horse manure. One wants to slice it and the other wants to dice it. And each can prove that theirs is the One True way. But when you are finished, it's still horse manure and I don't want it.

There are still good programs out there but you have to really dig
for them.

3757. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:36:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153694160.025885.129010@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84893

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153661218.104375.116240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Hmmm. That is interesting. I also noticed that in 2004 in San Diego he
> > participated in a panel discussion about the future of radio as "David
> > Gleason". A link is here:
>
> All the participants were listed by the simple form of thier name.
>
> > He's obviously got some kind of scam going.
>
> How so? I have 4 names. Two first names, a middle name which is also my
> maternal surname, and a last n ame, which is my paternal surname. Simple.

Well now you have a 5th name and that's BUSTED!

3758. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:37:30 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84894

David Eduardo wrote:

> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since ratings
> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not help
> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not in
> the home market to the station.

At least he's not BUSTED!!

3759. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 22:40:47 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <PVSwg.226183$IK3.196134@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84895

I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
than you can put a claim on.

Burn motherfucker, burn!

JB

Beerbarrel wrote:
> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3760. 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:05:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153695949.348835.286410@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84896

Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.

Steve

3761. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:08:15 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zjTwg.3807$157.2796@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84897

That's definitely a good point. They don't have the gonads to do much of anything other than smear someone. Of course, should you expect much more out of inbred white trash? I've actually found Canucks to be more informed than your average beer drinking yayhoo who is mentally challenged to even spell his own name. Then again, what else would you expect from a piece of white trash such as that.

John Barnard wrote:

> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
> than you can put a claim on.
>
> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>
> JB
>
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>> --
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>> veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>

3762. Re: Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:13:25 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <poTwg.3810$157.584@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84948

willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
>>dxAce wrote:
>>
>>
>>>La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at
>>>1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000
>>>as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in
>>>presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.
>>
>>By the way, Niger was last reported on in 2003, also on 9705v. At one time they
>>were also active on 5020.
>>
>>dxAce
>>Michigan
>>USA
>
>
> Ace, how accurate do you find the WRTH these days? It's been many
> years since I bought one, as it didn't appear to be up to the same
> standards as when Jens Frost and Andy Sennitt were putting it together.
>

You could always check out the ILG database for frequencies and times.
The WRTH is still a pretty good information source but you can expect
that in the case of major broadcasters to find that frequencies do
change. Now much of your stations in Africa, Latin America, Near East,
and Asia will still probably use the same frequencies they have for
years. I purchased a new copy of WRTH this year and found it to be
relatively decent despite some info that isn't always correct. It isnt
perfect but it suits my needs especially for 20 bucks.

3763. Passive Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:13:45 UTC
From: asdf <asdf@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <KoTwg.1157$Gb1.997@fe08.lga>
Source record: 84949

I have a longwire already that came with my Sony 7600
but I'm thinking that getting a longer antenna will capture more
singal. Any recommendations?

Right now I've connected my longwire to the fireescape
however the end of the antenna is not metallic so im not sure
if this will imporve the signal since the longwire is not making
a contact with the escape.

3764. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - AR3030 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:18:49 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1153696729.280680.327080@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84950

Pete KE9OA wrote:
> Oftentimes, it is the type of ferrite material used in the mixer baluns.
> Also, it could be that low Q caps are being used in the lower bands. I have
> both an NRD-515 and an NRD-91. Both of these receivers exhibit rolloff below
> 700kHz.
> These comparisions are made, using a Drake R7, Palstar R30, Yaesu FRG-100,
> Icom R-75, Lowe HF150, HF-225, and HF-250. I did repair a Kenwood R-2000 a
> few years ago, and wondered if it had some sort of attenuation below 1.5MHz.
> I noticed this same characteristic with a Kenwood R-5000 and an AOR-3030.
>
> Pete

Pete,

A while back you were sending out mods to improve the AR3030. If you
still have them or any more that you discovered I would really
appreciate it if you could pass them along to me.

3765. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:19:31 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7uTwg.3813$157.10@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84951

You have to remember that those guys in here aren't exactly the smartest tacks in the box. They resemble the boys in the movie Deliverance. The only question is whether they were the captors or the captured?

John Barnard wrote:

> Don't tell me that it took you this long to figure that the .ca referred
> to a Canadian address.
>
> No wonder you guys are getting your asses handed to you in Iraq.
>
> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html
>
> Even the Repubs realize that fracked up:
>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/07/22/MNGIHK3KE11.DTL&feed=rss.news
>
>
> Nice job of destabilizing the region! And for that we will give you the
> "Shill of the Year" award.
>
> JB
>
>
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>> ____
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>> veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>

3766. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:20:50 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mvTwg.3814$157.3257@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84952

John Barnard wrote:

> ~ wrote:
>
>> Calgary to be specific.
>
>
> Wrong city!
>
> JB
>

At least you can give him some credit that he can spell Calgary.

>>
>> "Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
>>
>>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>>> ____
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
>>
>> preference to
>>
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

3767. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:20:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C40456-812@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84960

I own some wooden case/cabinet and metal case/cabinet Shortwave Radios.I
go to bed and get out of bed whenever I dang well feel like it.
cuhulin

3768. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:21:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3wTwg.136190$H71.40586@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84953

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:bDPwg.452634$Fs1.364622@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is.
>>> You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but
>>> you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio
>>> aren't news. But the fact remains.
>>>
>>> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
>>> interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening,
>>> is there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN
>>> didn't change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will
>>> point out that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed.
>>> So, HD is only really benefitting those who are already using AM. And
>>> those younger demos you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just
>>> as uninterested in the content after HD, as the stations themselves are
>>> in those who listen outside of the city grade contour.
>>
>> But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much
>> in a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier
>> formats, I think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden
>> them. WGN has to change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting
>> the Trib's stock price single handed.
>>>
>
>
>
> So, WGN is waiting for receivers to fall into listeners' hands before
> lowering their demo target?

I don't think they know how to change the demos on that one. This may be an
example of the casualties of very old leaning AMs. They will have to very
much remake the station for it to work for anyone.

> Once sampled, if the audio quality is attractive but the content hasn't
> changed, there will likely not be a resampling. Leaving HD to benefit
> those who already listen and enjoy.

I think once there are enough receivers, stations with very old profiles
will make changes, even at the risk of losing the big 12+ numbers. WGN
coiuld lose 60% of its audience, and yet not be any less salable today. They
will probably survey the under 55 listeners to see what they like and focus
there, killing the 55+ content.

Master AM programmer Gabe Hobbs, while at WFLA, instructed the hosts to address the elderly as, "are you one of those blue haired old ladies from..." or "sir, would you put your dentures in so we can understand you?" This essentially blew away the oldedr crowd, and was perceived as amusing by the younger listeners who then felt the station was "theirs." WGN will eventually have to do that.

> But not providing anything more attractive than audio quality for those
> who generally do not. If audio quality were the only selling point to
> KEZK, it would still be Schulke. And WRTH would have never been 'Beautiful
> Music.' It's the content that attracted and held listeners to each.
> Granted this is in an era when FM still had novelty listening, but the
> point is, when the audience began to shift from AM to FM, it was the
> content on the stations of either band that changed to create viable
> audiences...audio quality was only a factor where content requiring audio
> quality was concerned. Music went to FM, where off main music formats and
> talk took over AM.

There were several qualities for youth. First, most FMs positioned as having less ocmmercials and less talk. Second, they emphasized the quality.

AM became solid talk more due to the repeal of the Fairness Doctrine than anything else. A few operators, like Randy Michaels, realized tha the "dead" band had life for talk for over-35 listeners.

In the early 70's, I put what was the 4th independent (not a spinn off from a simulcat FM) FM CHR on in Birmingham (First were WMYQ, WDRQ and KLSQ) and the biggest factors were the low commercial load and the quality. But I think success came as a product of both, not one or the other. The station hit #1 in its first year, too.
>
> And this was also at a time when AM was still wideband. On my McKay, an
> well done AM station could hold its own against an FM station without
> breathing hard. Even doing music.

It was also the era when cheap receivers deemphasized AM, and flooded the market. In PR in '75 and on, Grego Ogrinosky and I discovered adjusting one of the earliest tri-band audio processors using an assortment of radios from k-Mart.

If it sounded nice on my Dymek, I knew it was going to sound bad on the average radio.

> This was Leonard Kahn's sacred evangel: that AM is capable of the same, if
> not better audio, than native FM. That is to say, without the
> pre/de-emphasis that gives FM it's lower noise figure. Without that,
> audio quality of FM sux.

The problem is that Lenard cost AM the time advantage. In 1978, AM had nearly 60% of all lsiteneing. In 1982, it had less than 50%. It was already over. Today, only by riding on an FM based system on the same chip do we have any hope.

What I think will happen is that many second tier stations, ones that co ver just enough, but are not the top talker or sports staiton, will try formats that can be viable via HD. It will expand the raqnge of offerings, and make sush stations viable.

Keep in mind that most markets have only 3 or 4 viable AMs. Some, like DC, have none.

Just look at Chicago... 560, 670, 890, 780 are the only fully viable AMs for the metro. Then there are second tier ones, like 1000, 1160... and after that, nothing.

Phoenix has only 2. San Diego has 1. San Francisco has 3, Cleveland has, maybe 2. Miami has one. Atlanta has 1. St. Louis has two. All the other AMs are less than perfect coverage, or totally defective.
>
>
>>> That's exactly my point...it's a gamble. A crap shoot. Targeting the
>>> superficiality and subjective perception of audio quality. While the
>>> real attraction to listening is content.
>>
>> No station today will do a youjnger A format. There is still time to
>> adapt as HD gets into user hands. This is a 5 year issue. Keep in mind
>> that satellite has talken 5 years to get to around 11 million
>> subscribers. Of course, this is a poor analogy as satellite seems to have
>> hit a wall... and may truly never be viable financially.

I think the marginal but potential stations will do new formats. Most of these are in the hands of larger companies, and they will. invest in the facilities.

> And yet, you yourself have admitted that AM may not have 5 years to
> make it. The deathknell may sound before that. So, with time of the
> essence, content change must begin quickly, or the losses due to IBOC rash
> and stagnant content of sampled HD quality may be irretrievable.

AM has the time between now and when so little of hte audience is under 55 that they are not viable as a business. That means that it starts getting difficult in 5 to 7 years, and horrible in 10. If FM HD succeeds, then it would drage AM along.
>>
>> But the growth is in existing older formats on stations that were not
>> doing well, like KLOK. Its a stop-gap until HD makes younger formats
>> viable. Our main Miami AM station has an average age of 72!
>
>
> Hold on...let me sit down with THAT shocker.

Look at WGN. Average age is 57 now. The heritage AMs are getting really old.

Our WAQI is a heritage Cuban station. The listeners are the original imigrants who grew up in Cuba. Our WQ BA has an average age of 41, as we put a younger format on it. WAQI has nearly 3 times the numbers, but we feel HD will help WQBA as we will eventually have to transform WAQI.

>>
>> $149 car radio this week. 6 others, from the Tivoli on down were
>> announced.
>
> Announced is one thing. Available is another.

The car radios are shipping. One of our talents in Houston got one at an audio place... when we switched on HD2 on KLTN.

> And the announcements are for products a month and a half or more out.
> With IBOC rash trashing listening in my area for more than a year, now
> listeners--the ones in my neighborhood that I can directly observe the
> listening habits of--have moved to other outlets. Most of them iPod. With
> a few to satellite. The rest have moved to FM.

If you listen to the racket from Wall Street, they feel satellite may never make it. Its window is closing, they signed all the disenfranchised and early adopters, and they feel the price / value equation does not work for the rest of America.
>
> A mere announcement now...well, if you wanted to turn over the entire
> audience in a short time, this would be the way to do it.

Supposedly there will be about 10 choices on the shelves by labor day.

> Then, you're making my point for me. AM-HD is going to benefit only
> existing listeners. IN the meantime, trashing the band audibly as any
> potential listeners sample content only underscored that FM is a better
> option for them. Younger, or older.

No, in our case, we are aiming at 35-44, and waiting for HD to start.
>>
>> None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of
>> its 5 mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in
>> the car, etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our
>> listening is inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this
>> market.
>
> When I say that HD puts content off limits to potential listeners, I'm
> not referring to those listeners outside of a market. I'm talking about
> listeners inside the market who are not graced with a city grade signal.
> WLS, the classic example here, does not put 15mv/m into Lake County. But
> listenership is, or at least was, quite high in Lake County. But with IBOC
> rash now sizzling up and down the dial, WLS has been very difficult to
> capture cleanly. Or on some days, listen to at all. We're not talking
> about DXing...we're talking about local listening. That's been put off
> limits by IBOC rash, and yet, solutions have not been widely available.

This is a typical problem. The CD started slow. The hardware was costly, so not many CDs put out. Slowly, the prices went down and the software increased. But no manufacturer is going to produce until most of America has HD stations.

>
>
> Doing nothing may not be an alternative, but it may be better than doing
> something that produces more immediate harm than potential long term good.

All of us are gambling. But the fact that no major owner is selling AM shows
a lot of faith in HD.
>
> There has to be a better way. But it would take FCC reversing
> themselves. And we all know how likely that would be.

And that would take too long.

3769. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:22:44 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8xTwg.3816$157.1448@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84954

m II wrote:

> John Barnard wrote:
>
>
>> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
>> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html
>
>
> The beauty of their mentally lies in it's universality. He'd be just as
> proud to have blown up orphanages in Mississippi, Alabama, Michigan,
> Georgia or any other third world state.
>
> Just no stoppin' those country boys when the blood lust starts
> aflowin'.....
>
>
>
>
> mike

The same could be said of their lust when those country boys see their
cousins. After all, look at Beerbarrel

3770. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:24:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C4053B-814@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84961

I have been to Alberta before,in 1956.
cuhulin

3771. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:25:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <SzTwg.136206$H71.3069@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84955

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
>> listener.
>
> I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.

The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
just "there."

>> > AMBCB has good fidelity and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I
>> > don't care as I listen to talk radio and news on that band. I don't
>> > spend much time with FM. Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave,
>> > and spend time on the Internet for news.
>
> I don't think it is the sound as much as FM is in stereo.

FM stereo was permitted in 1960, and it took 3 years to get to 100 stations.
It had zero audience impact then.

What made FM work was when the FCC madated the end to simulcasting, and
suddenly a thousand or so new formats came on all over America, most with no
commercials... in an era when AM had 18 minutes an hour on nearly every
station.
>

> There are a number of AM stations playing music but I don't listen to
> them. There are news stations like KNS besides the talkers.

Those that play music play standards or ethnic fare for the majority of
cases. 65+ audience.

3772. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:26:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <hATwg.136208$H71.53944@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84956

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield->
>>
>> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
>>
>> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
>> stations
>> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening
>> in
>> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of
>> the
>> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
>> viable
>> AM signals than US cities do.
>
> Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.

Most FM listening in the US is not stereo.

3773. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:28:26 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6e18c25ohn9ujp972qic2diivm3e4b8fv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 84957

Hahaha....whatever Mr. Backwoods from Seymour. I forgot that you are
Billy the corn cob kid. Still stickin em up your rear?

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 23:08:15 GMT, Billy Smith
<chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote:

>That's definitely a good point. They don't have the gonads to do much of
>anything other than smear someone. Of course, should you expect much
>more out of inbred white trash? I've actually found Canucks to be more
>informed than your average beer drinking yayhoo who is mentally
>challenged to even spell his own name. Then again, what else would you
>expect from a piece of white trash such as that.
>
>
>John Barnard wrote:
>
>> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>> than you can put a claim on.
>>
>> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>>
>> JB
>>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>>> ____
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>> veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>
>>

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3774. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:30:20 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9i18c2d0a59ttj443qet4p5ra2i4uv2iq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 84958

Hookers from Edmonton don't have any balls. Go back to playing with
your little sister.

hahahahahahaha

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 22:40:47 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>than you can put a claim on.
>
>Burn motherfucker, burn!
>
>JB
>
>Beerbarrel wrote:
>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>> ____
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>

____

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3775. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:30:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C406A1-816@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84963

Canada wouldn't last thirty seconds if we folded up/down our
U.S.Military umbrella (brelly) over Canada.I say go ahead and let Russia
have Canada.
cuhulin

3776. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:34:44 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153697684.568229.269980@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84959

Steve wrote:
> Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
>
> Steve

This sounds like some sort of naval exercise.

Steve

3777. Re: IBOC : What About Content?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:34:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C40797-817@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84964

The Power Hour is good.
cuhulin

3778. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:36:30 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153697790.861941.13000@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84962

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >>
> >> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
> >>
> >> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
> >> stations
> >> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening
> >> in
> >> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of
> >> the
> >> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
> >> viable
> >> AM signals than US cities do.
> >
> > Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.
>
> Most FM listening in the US is not stereo.

Most people in the US aren't BUSTED, but you are.

3779. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:46:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7TTwg.55400$VE1.48029@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84965

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153694002.184402.297700@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153669518.826284.276850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.
>> >
>> > If this is the best you can come up with, I suggest you read up on how
>> > to put satellites into orbit.
>> >
>>
>> That is an even worse business model. There is some doubt that satellite
>> will be profitable before WiMax makes it obsolete, with better reception
>> in
>> the long run... especially in home and at work, where satellite is a
>> frail
>> contender.
>
> Gee, in that case it looks like your f*cked. Not to mention BUSTED!!!

Satellite has 300 channels in the hands of only two owners.

AM and FM radio have 13,500 stations in the hads of about 3500 owners.

When there are viable alternative delivery systems to towers in the marksh
and antennas on the hill, we will push the content through them. For the
moment, there are no "free" alternatives that seem to be both viable, not
tied to a cellular provider, or don't have recurring fees associated to
them.
>

3780. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:48:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <UUTwg.55401$VE1.31595@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84966

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
>> ratings
>> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
>> help
>> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not
>> in
>> the home market to the station.
>
> At least he's not BUSTED!!

Whatever that means.

Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no content, no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult others.

At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today. You don't apport anything.

3781. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:48:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C40ABB-820@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84969

Earphones and earbuds are extremly uncomfortable for me to use.I just do not like to have them clamped on my ears or stuck in my ears at all.That is why speakers were invented.
cuhulin

3782. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:50:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153698605.506662.325690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84967

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153694002.184402.297700@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153669518.826284.276850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> It's a gamble... but doing nothing is not an alternative.
> >> >
> >> > If this is the best you can come up with, I suggest you read up on how
> >> > to put satellites into orbit.
> >> >
> >>
> >> That is an even worse business model. There is some doubt that satellite
> >> will be profitable before WiMax makes it obsolete, with better reception
> >> in
> >> the long run... especially in home and at work, where satellite is a
> >> frail
> >> contender.
> >
> > Gee, in that case it looks like your f*cked. Not to mention BUSTED!!!
>
> Satellite has 300 channels in the hands of only two owners.
>
> AM and FM radio have 13,500 stations in the hads of about 3500 owners.
>
> When there are viable alternative delivery systems to towers in the marksh
> and antennas on the hill, we will push the content through them. For the
> moment, there are no "free" alternatives that seem to be both viable, not
> tied to a cellular provider, or don't have recurring fees associated to
> them.
> >

For the moment, you are BUSTED!!!!

3783. Re: Passive Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:53:51 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153698831.009147.222420@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84968

Your longwire setup should improve your signal. Make sure your wire
does not touch anything that conducts electricity like metal. You can
experiment with the lenth of the wire and the direction to improve
reception.
asdf wrote:
> I have a longwire already that came with my Sony 7600
> but I'm thinking that getting a longer antenna will capture more
> singal. Any recommendations?
>
> Right now I've connected my longwire to the fireescape
> however the end of the antenna is not metallic so im not sure
> if this will imporve the signal since the longwire is not making
> a contact with the escape.

3784. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:58:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C40D21-839@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84972

I easily pick up fourteen loud and clear FM stations on any of my radios which have FM tuners.There are at least six other FM stations which dont come in so loud and clear,but still listenable.
cuhulin

3785. Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-23 23:59:55 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <7738c2ld6pl239ouijc7s151tl2rcl0s1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 84970

The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
corncob?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3786. Re: Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:04:33 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1153699473.184995.220870@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84971

Billy Smith wrote:
> willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >>dxAce wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at
> >>>1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000
> >>>as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in
> >>>presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.
> >>
> >>By the way, Niger was last reported on in 2003, also on 9705v. At one time they
> >>were also active on 5020.
> >>
> >>dxAce
> >>Michigan
> >>USA
> >>
> >>
> > Ace, how accurate do you find the WRTH these days? It's been many
> > years since I bought one, as it didn't appear to be up to the same
> > standards as when Jens Frost and Andy Sennitt were putting it together.
> >>
>
> You could always check out the ILG database for frequencies and times.
> The WRTH is still a pretty good information source but you can expect
> that in the case of major broadcasters to find that frequencies do
> change. Now much of your stations in Africa, Latin America, Near East,
> and Asia will still probably use the same frequencies they have for
> years. I purchased a new copy of WRTH this year and found it to be
> relatively decent despite some info that isn't always correct. It isnt
> perfect but it suits my needs especially for 20 bucks.

This is a very helpful answer. I guess for $20 it is a handy if
imperfect resource to have at hand by the radio. Thanks!

3787. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:05:15 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153699515.362967.224920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84973

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
> >> ratings
> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
> >> help
> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not
> >> in
> >> the home market to the station.
> >
> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
>
> Whatever that means.
>
> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no content,
> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
> others.
>
> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today. You don't apport
> anything.

LOL! You're just mad because you got caught today with your hand in the
cookie jar.

BUSTED!

3788. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:12:57 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153699977.913718.303070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84974

You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
Beerbarrel wrote:
> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
> corncob?
>
>
>
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

3789. Re: Niger 9705v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:13:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C410A2.899B4CEC@milestones.com>
Source record: 84975

willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > La Voix du Sahel in Niger has returned recently to 9705v. Heard here at
> > > 1930-2000 in French. Tried to determine if they had English on Sundays at 2000
> > > as reportedly shown in WRTH but was unable to check due to WYFR sign on in
> > > presumed Arabic at 2000 via Julich.
> >
> > By the way, Niger was last reported on in 2003, also on 9705v. At one time they
> > were also active on 5020.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Ace, how accurate do you find the WRTH these days? It's been many
> years since I bought one, as it didn't appear to be up to the same
> standards as when Jens Frost and Andy Sennitt were putting it together.

I can't say myself as my latest issue would be 1997. I had only heard that Niger was reportedly in English per the WRTH via another source.

Not shown on EiBi nor the current BDXC Africa list as being in English at that time.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3790. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:23:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C412FD.8A30041D@milestones.com>
Source record: 84976

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shillmaster for HD/IBOC wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
> >> ratings
> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
> >> help
> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not
> >> in
> >> the home market to the station.
> >
> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
>
> Whatever that means.
>
> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no content,
> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
> others.
>
> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today.

Yeah, and it beat all your IBOC crap, didn't it, Edweenie?

So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3791. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:25:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4136F.C6E6B590@milestones.com>
Source record: 84977

Steve wrote:

> Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.

Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3792. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:25:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C41385-905@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84979

Stereo plus www.thebuttkicker.com is good.I dont own a
buttkicker,but I want one.Maybe the prices will come down enough someday
I can buy one.
cuhulin

3793. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:32:57 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZyUwg.165988$mF2.109547@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84978

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:bDPwg.452634$Fs1.364622@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>> news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is.
>>>> You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but
>>>> you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio
>>>> aren't news. But the fact remains.
>>>>
>>>> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
>>>> interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening,
>>>> is there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN
>>>> didn't change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will
>>>> point out that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed.
>>>> So, HD is only really benefitting those who are already using AM. And
>>>> those younger demos you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just
>>>> as unintersted in the content after HD, as the stations themselves are
>>>> in those who listen outside of the city grade contour.
>>> But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much
>>> in a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier
>>> formats, I think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden
>>> them. WGN has to change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting
>>> the Trib's stock price single handed.
>>
>>
>> So, WGN is waiting for receivers to fall into listeners' hands before
>> lowering their demo target?
>
> I don't think they know how to change the demos on that one. This may be an
> example of the casualties of very old leaning AMs. They will have to very
> much remake the station for it to work for anyone.
>
>> Once sampled, if the audio quality is attractive but the content hasn't
>> changed, there will likely not be a resampling. Leaving HD to benefit
>> those who already listen and enjoy.
>
> I think once there are enough receivers, stations with very old profiles
> will make changes, even at the risk of losing the big 12+ numbers. WGN
> coiuld lose 60% of its audience, and yet not be any less salable today. They
> will probably survey the under 55 listeners to see what they like and focus
> there, killing the 55+ content.
>

> Master AM programmer Gabe Hobbs, while at WFLA, instructed the hosts to
> address the elderly as, "are you one of those blue haired old ladies
> from..." or "sir, would you put your dentures in so we can understand you?"
> This essentially blew away the oldedr crowd, and was perceived as amusing by
> the younger listeners who then felt the station was "theirs." WGN will
> eventually have to do that.
>

WLS did something like that under Michael Packer. A memo was leaked
to Bob Feder of the Sun-Times who hammered WLS for weeks about the
displacement of the older listeners in favor of younger demos. The
stink hit other media, and eventually, the strategy had to be rescinded.
If Roe Conn called someone a blue hair today, there would be armed
riots at 190 North. If you really want to blow off 55+, it's going to
have to be done gracefully. Guys like Feder really don't get it. And are
on a f*cking mission when they get their back up about things like this.

>> But not providing anything more attractive than audio quality for those
>> who generally do not. If audio quality were the only selling point to
>> KEZK, it would still be Schulke. And WRTH would have never been 'Beautiful
>> Music.' It's the content that attracted and held listeners to each.
>> Granted this is in an era when FM still had novelty listening, but the
>> point is, when the audience began to shift from AM to FM, it was the
>> content on the stations of either band that changed to create viable
>> audiences...audio quality was only a factor where content requiring audio
>> quality was concerned. Music went to FM, where off main music formats and
>> talk took over AM.

{content stipulated. Disagree, but stipulated}

>> Then, you're making my point for me. AM-HD is going to benefit only
>> existing listeners. IN the meantime, trashing the band audibly as any
>> potential listeners sample content only underscored that FM is a better
>> option for them. Younger, or older.
>
> No, in our case, we are aiming at 35-44, and waiting for HD to start.
>>> None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of
>>> its 5 mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in
>>> the car, etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our
>>> listening is inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this
>>> market.
>> When I say that HD puts content off limits to potential listeners, I'm
>> not referring to those listeners outside of a market. I'm talking about
>> listeners inside the market who are not graced with a city grade signal.
>> WLS, the classic example here, does not put 15mv/m into Lake County. But
>> listenership is, or at least was, quite high in Lake County. But with IBOC
>> rash now sizzling up and down the dial, WLS has been very difficult to
>> capture cleanly. Or on some days, listen to at all. We're not talking
>> about DXing...we're talking about local listening. That's been put off
>> limits by IBOC rash, and yet, solutions have not been widely available.
>

> This is a typical problem. The CD started slow. The hardware was costly, so
> not many CDs put out. Slowly, the prices went down and the software
> increased. But no manufacturer is going to produce until most of America has
> HD stations.
>>

The difference being that the CD was an optional outlet to content,
and that the same desirable content was still available through previous
technology. If you didn't have a CD player, you didn't get LP's full of
digital hash. That's my point, here: With the current arrangement, I
can't get the content of my choice--LOCAL content, not out of market
content--because it's covered up with digital rash from offending
stations testing HD. And the digital solution is not widely available
for at least another month and a half. This after a year's worth of
escalating HD interference.

Leaving me, and much of Lake County to move to alternatives. That
seems like it's pretty shortsighted thinking. Unless the goal is to blow
off existing listeners before creating new radio content under HD. Why
is it that the industry is so loathe to address that. So far, you've not
addressed that issue, yourself, here. Which raises the spectre that I,
and my neighbors, are just to be casualties of new technology. Worth
little. Valued less.

The fact that no one wants to address this indicates some mindsets
that are exactly the reason corporations, and media corporations
especially, have become so reviled by street level citizens. We don't
matter. Our complaints, legitimate complaints now, are not only not
addressed, but effectively stonewalled by the unwillingness to actually
acknowledge that a problem exists.

I have a colleague, who, when we all get together to speak of
technical issues in our businesses, has only one answer when the subject
of computers come up: get a Mac.

It doesn't matter what the issue. The response is always the same:
get a Mac.

One particular gathering, the subject of a locked hard drive came up.
One colleague's year's work was out of reach. And we were all discussing
options for retrieving the data. In walks this clown and all he says is
"Get a Mac."

Well the one person who was trying to retrieve his work came over the
table at this Bozo, and we were all pretty much in agreement... here was
someone who had all the answers, but didn't bother to listen to the
questions, and in a very high handed and dismissive tone, offered advice
that failed to address the problem in an ongoing pattern.

This is what's driving so many of this newsgroup to abuse in these
discussions....the problems...the REAL problems associated with the
implementation of AM-HD aren't being addressed, ie, interference with
local stations. And questions about why we don't matter go largely

unaddressed....in favor of data about contours vs ratings, and
monetizable listeners.

So, let me put it this way....WLS is strong in Lake County. Even
though the signal is not 15mv/m. Lake County listenership is high, and
WLS is a strong radio station, here. And yet, here, HD rash has been
encroaching on WLS, to the degree that it's now sometimes virtually
impossible to hear, much less enjoy....and this HD rash is coming from
another radio station. You telling me this is acceptable? Even when
WLS, itself is NOT transmitting HD and so no digital solution is
available? (the C-Quam pilot is still lit and the station is still in
stereo, even as of 00:30 UTC today.

Why is no one addressing this? This is not DX. This is not skywave.
It's LOCAL. And it's local interference.

With all due respect, David...why can't you address THIS?

And circumstances like it across the nation. Because they DO exist.

We get that it's going to become a standard. We get that it uses
'unused interchannel bandwidth.' But there IS interference. And it IS
LOCAL interference. Without an HD solution. It's interference that we
can't avoid, and that we can't resolve. It's LP's full of digital noise
and no CD players within miles.

Is it just 'tough shit?' Is that why you don't address it?

Because, if so, you're going to find that the mentality that allows
the mindset that stonewall's real, valid, local listener's concerns is a
mindset that will bring to market more contempt than embrace. And THAT,
more than any other single factor, is what separates success from
struggle and eventually, failure.

And THAT is what has the historically even tempered members (Brenda
Ann is a good example) of at least this newsgroup spitting and swearing
at you like they'd just gotten a Language Development Grant from the Navy.

And we've shared some light moments, on USENet in the past, but
you're trying even MY patience. And I'm not your adversary.

>> Doing nothing may not be an alternative, but it may be better than doing
>> something that produces more immediate harm than potential long term good.
>
> All of us are gambling. But the fact that no major owner is selling AM shows
> a lot of faith in HD.
>> There has to be a better way. But it would take FCC reversing
>> themselves. And we all know how likely that would be.
>
> And that would take too long.

Especially now that the inteference is here, it's real, and it's been
publicly encountered.

>
>

3794. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:41:51 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4174F.C4C0F222@milestones.com>
Source record: 84980

D Peter Maus wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:bDPwg.452634$Fs1.364622@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:mSJwg.450643$Fs1.414157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>> Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio is.
> >>>> You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have experience, but
> >>>> you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in Radio
> >>>> aren't news. But the fact remains.
> >>>>
> >>>> That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content is of no
> >>>> interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not happening,
> >>>> is there's no change in content to accompany HD implementation. WGN
> >>>> didn't change content when HD was installed. And I'm sure that Ace will
> >>>> point out that WBBM's content is the same as before HD was installed.
> >>>> So, HD is only really benefitting those who are already using AM. And
> >>>> those younger demos you wish to attract with audio quality, will be just
> >>>> as uninterested in the content after HD, as the stations themselves are
> >>>> in those who listen outside of the city grade contour.
> >>> But, until there are receivers out there... nobody is going to cange much
> >>> in a succesful (still) format. The changes will come in second tier
> >>> formats, I think... and in modifications of existing ones to broaden
> >>> them. WGN has to change, as it is in a revenue death spin, and is hurting
> >>> the Trib's stock price single handed.
> >>
> >>
> >> So, WGN is waiting for receivers to fall into listeners' hands before
> >> lowering their demo target?
> >
> > I don't think they know how to change the demos on that one. This may be an
> > example of the casualties of very old leaning AMs. They will have to very
> > much remake the station for it to work for anyone.
> >
> >> Once sampled, if the audio quality is attractive but the content hasn't
> >> changed, there will likely not be a resampling. Leaving HD to benefit
> >> those who already listen and enjoy.
> >
> > I think once there are enough receivers, stations with very old profiles
> > will make changes, even at the risk of losing the big 12+ numbers. WGN
> > coiuld lose 60% of its audience, and yet not be any less salable today. They
> > will probably survey the under 55 listeners to see what they like and focus

> > there, killing the 55+ content.
> >
> > Master AM programmer Gabe Hobbs, while at WFLA, instructed the hosts to
> > address the elderly as, "are you one of those blue haired old ladies
> > from..." or "sir, would you put your dentures in so we can understand you?"
> > This essentially blew away the oldedr crowd, and was perceived as amusing by
> > the younger listeners who then felt the station was "theirs." WGN will
> > eventually have to do that.
> >
>
> WLS did something like that under Michael Packer. A memo was leaked
> to Bob Feder of the Sun-Times who hammered WLS for weeks about the
> displacement of the older listeners in favor of younger demos. The
> stink hit other media, and eventually, the strategy had to be rescinded.
> If Roe Conn called someone a blue hair today, there would be armed
> riots at 190 North. If you really want to blow off 55+, it's going to
> have to be done gracefully. Guys like Feder really don't get it. And are
> on a f*cking mission when they get their back up about things like this.
>
> >> But not providing anything more attractive than audio quality for those
> >> who generally do not. If audio quality were the only selling point to
> >> KEZK, it would still be Schulke. And WRTH would have never been 'Beautiful
> >> Music.' It's the content that attracted and held listeners to each.
> >> Granted this is in an era when FM still had novelty listening, but the
> >> point is, when the audience began to shift from AM to FM, it was the
> >> content on the stations of either band that changed to create viable
> >> audiences...audio quality was only a factor where content requiring audio
> >> quality was concerned. Music went to FM, where off main music formats and
> >> talk took over AM.
>
> {content stipulated. Disagree, but stipulated}
>
> >> Then, you're making my point for me. AM-HD is going to benefit only
> >> existing listeners. IN the meantime, trashing the band audibly as any
> >> potential listeners sample content only underscored that FM is a better
> >> option for them. Younger, or older.
> >
> > No, in our case, we are aiming at 35-44, and waiting for HD to start.
> >>> None of the stations I have studied gets any real listening outside of
> >>> its 5 mv/m signal area (and what there is is suspect... probably done in
> >>> the car, etc) and most is inside the 10 mv/m. In LA, nearly all our
> >>> listening is inside the 15 mv/m due to the high noise levels in this
> >>> market.
> >> When I say that HD puts content off limits to potential listeners, I'm
> >> not referring to those listeners outside of a market. I'm talking about
> >> listeners inside the market who are not graced with a city grade signal.
> >> WLS, the classic example here, does not put 15mv/m into Lake County. But
> >> listenership is, or at least was, quite high in Lake County. But with IBOC
> >> rash now sizzling up and down the dial, WLS has been very difficult to
> >> capture cleanly. Or on some days, listen to at all. We're not talking

> >> about DXing...we're talking about local listening. That's been put off
> >> limits by IBOC rash, and yet, solutions have not been widely available.
> >
> > This is a typical problem. The CD started slow. The hardware was costly, so
> > not many CDs put out. Slowly, the prices went down and the software
> > increased. But no manufacturer is going to produce until most of America has
> > HD stations.
> >>
>
> The difference being that the CD was an optional outlet to content,
> and that the same desirable content was still available through previous
> technology. If you didn't have a CD player, you didn't get LP's full of
> digital hash. That's my point, here: With the current arrangement, I
> can't get the content of my choice--LOCAL content, not out of market
> content--because it's covered up with digital rash from offending
> stations testing HD. And the digital solution is not widely available
> for at least another month and a half. This after a year's worth of
> escalating HD interference.
>
> Leaving me, and much of Lake County to move to alternatives. That
> seems like it's pretty shortsighted thinking. Unless the goal is to blow
> off existing listeners before creating new radio content under HD. Why
> is it that the industry is so loathe to address that. So far, you've not
> addressed that issue, yourself, here. Which raises the spectre that I,
> and my neighbors, are just to be casualties of new technology. Worth
> little. Valued less.
>
> The fact that no one wants to address this indicates some mindsets
> that are exactly the reason corporations, and media corporations
> especially, have become so reviled by street level citizens. We don't
> matter. Our complaints, legitimate complaints now, are not only not
> addressed, but effectively stonewalled by the unwillingness to actually
> acknowledge that a problem exists.
>
> I have a colleague, who, when we all get together to speak of
> technical issues in our businesses, has only one answer when the subject
> of computers come up: get a Mac.
>
> It doesn't matter what the issue. The response is always the same:
> get a Mac.
>
> One particular gathering, the subject of a locked hard drive came up.
> One colleague's year's work was out of reach. And we were all discussing
> options for retrieving the data. In walks this clown and all he says is
> "Get a Mac."
>
> Well the one person who was trying to retrieve his work came over the
> table at this Bozo, and we were all pretty much in agreement... here was
> someone who had all the answers, but didn't bother to listen to the
> questions, and in a very high handed and dismissive tone, offered advice

> that failed to address the problem in an ongoing pattern.
>
> This is what's driving so many of this newsgroup to abuse in these
> discussions....the problems...the REAL problems associated with the
> implementation of AM-HD aren't being addressed, ie, interference with
> local stations. And questions about why we don't matter go largely
> unaddressed....in favor of data about contours vs ratings, and
> monetizable listeners.
>
> So, let me put it this way....WLS is strong in Lake County. Even
> though the signal is not 15mv/m. Lake County listenership is high, and
> WLS is a strong radio station, here. And yet, here, HD rash has been
> encroaching on WLS, to the degree that it's now sometimes virtually
> impossible to hear, much less enjoy....and this HD rash is coming from
> another radio station. You telling me this is acceptable? Even when
> WLS, itself is NOT transmitting HD and so no digital solution is
> available? (the C-Quam pilot is still lit and the station is still in
> stereo, even as of 00:30 UTC today.
>
> Why is no one addressing this? This is not DX. This is not skywave.
> It's LOCAL. And it's local interference.
>
> With all due respect, David...why can't you address THIS?

Because he's a shill, plain and simple. You know this, I know this.

He's been given something to sell, and by god he's gonna sell it to you, me, and
everyone else, whether we like it or not.

He's going to be a shill until the day he's put under.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3795. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:43:30 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <SIUwg.124743$I61.96322@clgrps13>
Source record: 84981

dxVole wrote:

> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

http://wilstar.com/midi/bugsmaroon.wav

3796. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:52:58 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <KRUwg.124744$I61.4530@clgrps13>
Source record: 84982

David Eduardo wrote:

> You, on the other hand, are a simply a nutcase with a radio waiting for the
> VA to discover you ought to be kept under close watch in a facility. At
> least you are not a ham, or you would be transmitting your hatred, racism
> and bile.

Don't forget that he's got a few thousand rounds of Chinese ammunition
for his assault rifles. The VA will wait until it's too late to talk him
down from the campus water tower.

It's sad really...this lunacy could have easily been prevented from
going that far. It's time to get mandatory sanity tests for militia members.

mike

3797. RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 00:58:28 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <9l68c255uksbj2v0dk9a2o14l1ppkp7acj@4ax.com>
Source record: 84984

Hey everyone! As you might know by now I'm the proud owner of a new Sony 7600GR and a Kaito 1103! I'll be headed to the Maldives and Seychelles on business soon, and I'd like to try my hand at ute-monitoring. I just downloaded jvcomm software, now all I need are freqs. I haven't been able to find a good list online (at least one that's not a few years old.) Can anyone help?

3798. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 01:01:52 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <k1Vwg.414$zk7.329@fe03.lga>
Source record: 84983

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153699977.913718.303070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.

Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

BH

3799. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 01:38:14 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153705094.535363.42990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84985

<RJ> wrote:
> My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
> On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.
>
> On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
> (probably costs less than a buck)
> and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !
>
> It ain't fair !
>
> <rj>

A better antenna will do wonders.

3800. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 01:59:30 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6QVwg.223145$Mn5.136314@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84986

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since ratings
>> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not help
>> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids, not in
>> the home market to the station.
>
> At least he's not BUSTED!!
>
>
I believe that you intended to send this comment to Cuhulin.

JB

3801. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:03:11 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zTVwg.223149$Mn5.99717@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84987

Billy Smith wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> ~ wrote:
>>
>>> Calgary to be specific.
>>
>>
>> Wrong city!
>>
>> JB
>>
>
> At least you can give him some credit that he can spell Calgary.

An excellent point though it must have taxed him greatly to get the
correct spelling.

JB

>
>
>>>
>>> "Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>>>> --
>>>>
>>>> KE4ODD
>>>>
>>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
>>>
>>> preference to
>>>
>>>> prove it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

3802. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:05:01 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ea19sd$h4v$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 84988

"John S." (hjsjms@cs.com) writes:
> <RJ> wrote:
>> My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
>> On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.
>>
>> On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
>> (probably costs less than a buck)
>> and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !
>>
>> It ain't fair !
>>
>> <rj>
>
> A better antenna will do wonders.

Maybe not.

For a lot of FM receivers, they are actually too sensitive, which
means in a strong RF field, ie a major city with lots of radio stations,
they start overloading, preventing the reception of lesser stations. Add
a "good antenna" and it will just make matters worse.

The best FM receiver I've ever had (which I admit does not encompass
a wide variety of FM receivers) was a Sony from about 1971. It
was quite "deaf", but unlike a lot of average receivers, it didn't overload.
The trick was it lacked an RF stage, the antenna connecting directly
to the mixer via the front end filtering. When I was given it about
twenty years ago, it was the first time I actually did any FM DXing.

I've read articles about Henry Kloss and he lamented that too many
FM radios had been designed for maximum sensitivity, which worked
fine if you were out in the wilderness, but didn't work at all
if you were in a big city. Apparently his designs were less
spectacular in specs, but resulted in better performance overall.

Michael

3803. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:05:19 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zVVwg.226382$IK3.205423@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84989

Beerbarrel wrote:
> Don't matter...he got the country...John nospine barnard!

Yeah it does matter. He did state "Calgary to be specific" and was
wrong. It matters as much as saying that there are WMDs in Iraq; you
really need to get those facts right before acting.

JB

>
>
>
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 00:12:47 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> ~ wrote:
>>> Calgary to be specific.
>> Wrong city!
>>
>> JB
>>
>>> "Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6b21c2pvv4akjh9dkokr91tpaath5fruuu@4ax.com...
>>>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>>>> _____
>>>>
>>>> KE4ODD
>>>>
>>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
>>> preference to
>>>> prove it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>
>>>
>
> _____
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

>

3804. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:07:41 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NXVwg.220744$iF6.194601@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 84990

Billy Smith wrote:
> m II wrote:
>
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
>>> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html
>>
>>
>> The beauty of their mentally lies in it's universality. He'd be just
>> as proud to have blown up orphanages in Mississippi, Alabama,
>> Michigan, Georgia or any other third world state.
>>
>> Just no stoppin' those country boys when the blood lust starts
>> aflowin'.....
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> mike
>
> The same could be said of their lust when those country boys see their
> cousins. After all, look at Beerbarrel
>
I knew there had to be some brother/father and sister/mother connection
within Swishbarrel's family!

JB

3805. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:09:08 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8ZVwg.226385$IK3.112114@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84991

```
m II wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>
>> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
>> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html
>
> The beauty of their mentally lies in it's universality. He'd be just as
> proud to have blown up orphanages in Mississippi, Alabama, Michigan,
> Georgia or any other third world state.
>
> Just no stoppin' those country boys when the blood lust starts
> aflowin'.....
>
>
>
>
> mike
>
The US military IS lowering its standards to try and get enough people
to enlist. McDonalds wouldn't take him so Uncle Sam did!

JB
```

3806. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:10:12 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8_Vwg.220747$iF6.206479@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 84992

Billy Smith wrote:
> You have to remember that those guys in here aren't exactly the smartest
> tacks in the box. They resemble the boys in the movie Deliverance. The
> only question is whether they were the captors or the captured?

The guy does have Tracy for a name so I can make a really safe bet which
one he was ;-).

JB

>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> Don't tell me that it took you this long to figure that the .ca
>> referred to a Canadian address.
>>
>> No wonder you guys are getting your asses handed to you in Iraq.
>>
>> Hope you are proud of what your kind did to Iraq:
>> http://www.harpers.org/sb-sources-negroponte-nei-cia-1153433546.html
>>
>> Even the Repubs realize that fracked up:
>>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/07/22/MNGIHK3KE11.DTL&feed=rss.news
>>
>>
>> Nice job of destabilizing the region! And for that we will give you
>> the "Shill of the Year" award.
>>
>> JB
>>
>>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> That explains the lack of a spine!
>>> --
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>> veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>

>>
>

3807. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:10:54 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <O_Vwg.124758$I61.124145@clgrps13>
Source record: 84993

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I got rid of my virginity when I was nine years old in 1949.

Those wild boars were brutal, were they? I see why you now prefer dogs instead, you bra wearing deviate.

mike

3808. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:12:08 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Y%Vwg.223159$Mn5.168805@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84994

Beerbarrel wrote:
> Hahaha....whatever Mr. Backwoods from Seymour. I forgot that you are
> Billy the corn cob kid. Still stickin em up your rear?
>

Quit projecting, Tracy. And keep your sick fantasies to yourself.

JB

>
>
>
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 23:08:15 GMT, Billy Smith
> <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> That's definitely a good point. They don't have the gonads to do much of
>> anything other than smear someone. Of course, should you expect much
>> more out of inbred white trash? I've actually found Canucks to be more
>> informed than your average beer drinking yayhoo who is mentally
>> challenged to even spell his own name. Then again, what else would you
>> expect from a piece of white trash such as that.
>>
>>
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>
>>> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>>> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>>> than you can put a claim on.
>>>
>>> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>>>
>>> JB
>>>
>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>>>> ____
>>>>
>>>> KE4ODD
>>>>
>>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>>> veteran preference to
>>>> prove it.
>>>>
>>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

>>>>
>
> ---
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3809. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:22:32 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <I9Wwg.226399$IK3.129628@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 84995

Beerbarrel wrote:
> Hookers from Edmonton don't have any balls. Go back to playing with
> your little sister.

Congratulations! Your hormone therapy is kicking in and soon you can
advertise as Tracy the Tranny.

JB

>
> hahahahahahaha
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 22:40:47 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>> than you can put a claim on.
>>
>> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>>
>> JB
>>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>>> ____
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>
>>
> ____
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>

>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>

3810. VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:23:29 UTC
From: Von Fourche <Khonakong@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BaWwg.2190$gF6.1175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 84996

So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?

3811. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:25:13 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <dcWwg.223170$Mn5.92917@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 84997

Douche Bag wrote:
> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.

McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
too good for Tracy.

JB

> Beerbarrel wrote:
>> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
>> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
>> corncob?
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>

3812. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:34:05 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IkWwg.134$W01.44@dukeread08>
Source record: 84898

Why do you call him uncle sam? He is not your uncle! You are a pansy Canuck.
Besides, Uncle Sam don't take sissies like you!

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:dcWwg.223170$Mn5.92917@pd7tw3no...
> Douche Bag wrote:
> > You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>
> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
> too good for Tracy.
>
> JB
>
> > Beerbarrel wrote:
> >> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
> >> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
> >> corncob?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >>
> >> KE4ODD
> >>
> >> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran
preference to
> >> prove it.
> >>
> >>
> >> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
> >
> >
>

3813. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:39:12 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <kpWwg.162643$771.134865@edtnps89>
Source record: 84899

Brian Hill wrote:

> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153699977.913718.303070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>
>
> Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

dxAce was already taken?

mike

3814. No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:44:07 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153709047.633625.294150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84900

Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on board this sucker.

Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but here goes:

http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav

Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made, which ended up an enormous .wav file.

They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.

Steve

3815. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 02:51:05 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <tAWwg.162644$771.100033@edtnps89>
Source record: 84901

dxVole wrote:

> Please, send money for my campaign to Cuhulin, c/o Jackson, Mississippi.

You want a bestialist cross dresser to handle your campaign funds? He
will spend the thirty bucks you raise on bras and dog food for his dates.

I realize you have no reputation to ruin, but at least think of the
wasted money...

mike

3816. Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 03:04:38 UTC
From: johnaboat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153710278.877904.183330@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84902

```
Join the RR Yahoo group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/johnaboat/
```

3817. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 03:05:23 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153710322.873731.302880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84903

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
> >> listener.
> >
> > I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.
>
> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
> just "there."
>
> >> > AMBCB has good fidelity and so does FM. AMBCB is not stereo but I
> >> > don't care as I listen to talk radio and news on that band. I don't
> >> > spend much time with FM. Generally I listen to AMBCB, short wave,
> >> > and spend time on the Internet for news.
> >
> > I don't think it is the sound as much as FM is in stereo.
>
> FM stereo was permitted in 1960, and it took 3 years to get to 100 stations.
> It had zero audience impact then.
>
> What made FM work was when the FCC madated the end to simulcasting, and
> suddenly a thousand or so new formats came on all over America, most with no
> commercials... in an era when AM had 18 minutes an hour on nearly every
> station.
> >
>
> > There are a number of AM stations playing music but I don't listen to
> > them. There are news stations like KNS besides the talkers.
>
> Those that play music play standards or ethnic fare for the majority of
> cases. 65+ audience.

Sorry, but you're still BUSTED.

3818. IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 03:27:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea1eht$9v1$3@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 84904

D Peter Maus:

He doesn't want to hear about any interference. If there is any, it's to non-existant listeners that do not figure into the demographic. Radio is no longer for everyone, it's for 18-35 year olds that actually do only a small percentage of actual buying, whereas we boomers have a lot more disposable income. Add to that that we will be around a lot longer then the industry thinks we will.. we're no longer dying at 55 years old like we were 50 years ago, but at closer to 85, and will be buying a lot of big ticket items between now and then.

I for one am sick to death of being marginalized out of everything because of my age and tastes (I still listen to MUSIC).

--
Over 5 decades of great hits
Full Spectrum Radio
http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

3819. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 03:39:17 UTC
From: moonofneptune <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com>
Message-ID: <23817$44c44190$d1cc58e2$26995@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 84905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153674786.671235.172670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> moonofneptune wrote:
> > "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > ------
> >
> > Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> > just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> > I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> > ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
> no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about
>
> it is pleasenter reading my old had to spend a breif time till an
> injury healed up enough to bring him (well he healed enough then stayed
> about 3 days wihile I worked out the last of the adjusments to the
> house)
>

Please do not post replies when your drunk Ted. ;)

3820. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:00:54 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <WBXwg.162667$771.147720@edtnps89>
Source record: 84906

John Barnard wrote:

> Yeah it does matter. He did state "Calgary to be specific" and was
> wrong. It matters as much as saying that there are WMDs in Iraq; you
> really need to get those facts right before acting.

But there ARE weapons of mass destruction in Iraq. They KNOW where they are, remember?

==========
Q: And is it curious to you that given how much control U.S. and coalition forces now have in the country, they haven't found any weapons of mass destruction?

Rumsfeld, May 30, 2003: Not at all. If you think -- let me take that, both pieces -- the area in the south and the west and the north that coalition forces control is substantial. It happens not to be the area where weapons of mass destruction were dispersed. We know where they are. They're in the area around Tikrit and Baghdad and east, west, south and north somewhat.

==========

I'm surprised Colin Powell hasn't killed himself yet.

mike

3821. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:11:10 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5FEE8D.21111023072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84907

In article <hATwg.136208$H71.53944@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >>
> >> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
> >>
> >> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
> >> stations
> >> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM listening
> >> in
> >> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality of
> >> the
> >> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
> >> viable
> >> AM signals than US cities do.
> >
> > Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.
>
> Most FM listening in the US is not stereo.

Ok then what is the reason? The reason is not sound quality because it
is OK for the program material.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3822. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:16:53 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D287AA.21165323072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84908

In article <SzTwg.136206$H71.3069@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
> >> listener.
> >
> > I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.
>
> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
> just "there."

< Snip >

I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all the people
that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it? What about all the
people that look to AM radio for news and weather and traffic reports?

Maybe this is an effort to kill talk radio where people can get the
political right point of view. The leftists have TV, newspapers, and FM
covered. It's only on AM band that Air America can't make it.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3823. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:21:47 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5CE7DA.21214723072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84909

In article <weatherall.2bf33u@news.radiobanter.com>,
weatherall <weatherall.2bf33u@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

> dxAce Wrote:
> > Received a large packet of stuff this afternoon from Radio Taiwan
> > International. Wondered why I got it and then I recalled answering
> > something from them where they were looking for monitors.
>
> Their North America signals (from WYFR facilities) have always been
> excellent here in California. I wonder if it is regular practice for
> them to use monitors, or if they will be changing their broadcasting
> methods in the near future.

Yes, we are right in the beam from Florida to Asia. If I'm not getting
their transmission from Florida over way S9 then propagation is very bad.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3824. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:31:46 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MvCdnXLhvYez0FnZnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84910

I am not so sure about that, when my friend had to send back his 340 to TT
last year for a small issue he got the MOST MISERABLE SERVICE over the
course of SEVERAL MONTHS !!!!!! and had to send a registered letter to even
get a response and eventually got a half assed apology from a vide
president. He did get it back fixed after several months !!!!!!!!

my friend said that if the 340 wasn't so great he would sell it and piss on
TT

unless you are a GOVT or industrial customer then the service you get from
TT is a CRAP SHOOT......keep your fingers crossed that you will get it back
in less than 6 months.

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:kzRwg.165483$mF2.77514@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> dxAce wrote:
>>
>> mike maghakian wrote:
>>
>>> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
>>> and
>>> can't even test it ???????????
>>>
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcateg
oryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>
>> Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>
>
> Even if the price goes up another $750, and the buyer had to send it
> back for factory service to correct any undocumented problems, the buyer
> would still come out ahead on this.

3825. Re: Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:35:21 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a4GdnQ9WnO2Z01nZnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84911

be sure to get chuchulin to join.....

<johnaboat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153710278.877904.183330@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> Join the RR Yahoo group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/johnaboat/
>

3826. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:43:01 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C44FD5-604@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84914

Wait a minute! maybe I been looking at too many cutie pie gals on the internet.(y'all can't blame me) I need to see what condition my condition is in.
cuhulin

//
I had to come up for a breath of air,, y'all know how it T'IS
//

3827. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:46:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C450AD-605@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84912

Another $750.00? Forget it!
cuhulin

3828. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:48:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16570-44C45108-32@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84913

Nawwwww,,,,,, I better not say that one either.
Disregard.
cuhulin

3829. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 04:57:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C45330-608@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84916

I told y'all before that Memphis (Tennessee too) doesn't like
Mississippi.
Taryn Foshee (pronounced Fo Shay) is the new Miss Mississippi girl.
www.missmississippipageant.com
cuhulin
(Memphis,Take a Hike!)

3830. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:00:19 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DtYwg.166662$mF2.127372@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84915

mike maghakian wrote:
> I am not so sure about that, when my friend had to send back his 340 to TT
> last year for a small issue he got the MOST MISERABLE SERVICE over the
> course of SEVERAL MONTHS !!!!!! and had to send a registered letter to even
> get a response and eventually got a half assed apology from a vide
> president. He did get it back fixed after several months !!!!!!!!
>
> my friend said that if the 340 wasn't so great he would sell it and piss on
> TT
>
> unless you are a GOVT or industrial customer then the service you get from
> TT is a CRAP SHOOT......keep your fingers crossed that you will get it back
> in less than 6 months.

That's simply not true, Mike. I sent my 350 to TT for a minor repair
and a touchup and it was done, on time, as they promised, for less than
$100.

And my experience is not unique. There are many here who have had
similar experiences. And recounted them, as I did earlier this year.

I've found them to be helpful when I simply needed a question
answered, sometimes offering detailed advice. And no one would mistake
me for a governmental nor industrial customer.

>
>
>
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:kzRwg.165483$mF2.77514@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> mike maghakian wrote:
>>>
>>>> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
>>>> and
>>>> can't even test it ??????????
>>>>
>>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcate
goryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>> Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>>>
>>> dxAce
>>> Michigan
>>> USA
>>>

>>
>> Even if the price goes up another $750, and the buyer had to send it
>> back for factory service to correct any undocumented problems, the buyer
>> would still come out ahead on this.
>
>

3831. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:07:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C45583-610@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84917

I dont know if I would or not.About ten nights ago,that 31 year old pretty divorced brunette woman showed up next door (I held the ladder for her to frog crawl her arse through that kitchen window) with a half empty bottle of Mexican tequila (she said it cost $50.00 a bottle) Nahhhhh,,,, I wouldn't do her.I hope today she is on her way to Minneapolis,court date thingy,her three kids and she is suppose to be there on the 25th of this month.Really,she needs a good ass kicking. cuhulin

3832. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:11:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16570-44C4568F-34@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84918

I am aboot 256 miles from Florida.I own a half acre of land in Putnam County,Florida.Well,lucky me.
cuhulin

3833. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:14:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4573F-614@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84919

I say round up all of them IBOC bastids and air drop them over Iraq,sans Parachutes.
cuhulin

3834. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:36:05 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <9%Ywg.71726$fb2.2640@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84922

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153699515.362967.224920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
>> >> ratings
>> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
>> >> help
>> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids,
>> >> not
>> >> in
>> >> the home market to the station.
>> >
>> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
>>
>> Whatever that means.
>>
>> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no
>> content,
>> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
>> others.
>>
>> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today. You don't apport
>> anything.
>
> LOL! You're just mad because you got caught today with your hand in the
> cookie jar.

Huh? No such thing. All you have done is typed "BUSTED" over and over.

3835. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:36:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <S%Ywg.71728$fb2.63941@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84921

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C412FD.8A30041D@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shillmaster
> for
> HD/IBOC wrote:
>
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >
>> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
>> >> ratings
>> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
>> >> help
>> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids,
>> >> not
>> >> in
>> >> the home market to the station.
>> >
>> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
>>
>> Whatever that means.
>>
>> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no
>> content,
>> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
>> others.
>>
>> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today.
>
> Yeah, and it beat all your IBOC crap, didn't it, Edweenie?
>
> So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).

Same place the first one is. Also have the remains of an R390, too.

3836. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:36:59 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SK-dnXYlKITqwVnZnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84920

it is TRUE for my friend.....

and my experiences have been the same....

so in my book, that is 100% failure on their part...

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DtYwg.166662$mF2.127372@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> mike maghakian wrote:
>> I am not so sure about that, when my friend had to send back his 340 to
>> TT last year for a small issue he got the MOST MISERABLE SERVICE over
>> the course of SEVERAL MONTHS !!!!!! and had to send a registered letter
>> to even get a response and eventually got a half assed apology from a
>> vide president. He did get it back fixed after several months !!!!!!!!
>>
>> my friend said that if the 340 wasn't so great he would sell it and piss
>> on TT
>>
>> unless you are a GOVT or industrial customer then the service you get
>> from TT is a CRAP SHOOT......keep your fingers crossed that you will get
>> it back in less than 6 months.
>
>
> That's simply not true, Mike. I sent my 350 to TT for a minor repair and
> a touchup and it was done, on time, as they promised, for less than $100.
>
> And my experience is not unique. There are many here who have had
> similar experiences. And recounted them, as I did earlier this year.
>
> I've found them to be helpful when I simply needed a question answered,
> sometimes offering detailed advice. And no one would mistake me for a
> governmental nor industrial customer.
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:kzRwg.165483$mF2.77514@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>> mike maghakian wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
>>>>> radio-mart and
>>>>> can't even test it ???????????

>>>>>
>>>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>>> Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>>>>
>>>> dxAce
>>>> Michigan
>>>> USA
>>>>
>>>
>>> Even if the price goes up another $750, and the buyer had to send it
>>> back for factory service to correct any undocumented problems, the buyer
>>> would still come out ahead on this.
>>

3837. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:45:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <W7Zwg.71729$fb2.38983@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84923

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ZyUwg.165988$mF2.109547@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> The difference being that the CD was an optional outlet to content, and
> that the same desirable content was still available through previous
> technology. If you didn't have a CD player, you didn't get LP's full of
> digital hash. That's my point, here: With the current arrangement, I
> can't get the content of my choice--LOCAL content, not out of market
> content--because it's covered up with digital rash from offending stations
> testing HD. And the digital solution is not widely available for at least
> another month and a half. This after a year's worth of escalating HD
> interference.

We have seen nothing like this. We know where our diary mentions come from
and the signal is inot impaired in areas where currently we have listeners.
In fact, listening is up (50% increase in very little is still very little,
though) since HD has been active.
>
> Leaving me, and much of Lake County to move to alternatives. That seems
> like it's pretty shortsighted thinking. Unless the goal is to blow off
> existing listeners before creating new radio content under HD. Why is it
> that the industry is so loathe to address that. So far, you've not
> addressed that issue, yourself, here. Which raises the spectre that I, and
> my neighbors, are just to be casualties of new technology. Worth little.
> Valued less.

What are the specifics? Which stations are interfered with inside the metro.
>
> The fact that no one wants to address this indicates some mindsets that
> are exactly the reason corporations, and media corporations especially,
> have become so reviled by street level citizens. We don't matter. Our
> complaints, legitimate complaints now, are not only not addressed, but
> effectively stonewalled by the unwillingness to actually acknowledge that
> a problem exists.

When we make any change, we spend a lot of time on the street listening.
Other companies should do the same.

> This is what's driving so many of this newsgroup to abuse in these
> discussions....the problems...the REAL problems associated with the
> implementation of AM-HD aren't being addressed, ie, interference with
> local stations. And questions about why we don't matter go largely
> unaddressed....in favor of data about contours vs ratings, and monetizable
> listeners.

The only think we are interested in today is listening inside our home metro. This comes, as I have metioned in posts to Mr. Dresser, as a direct consequence of the FCC policies for decades of encouraging local service by forcing local content, keeping power levels very low as national pollicy, and by not recognizing that most AMs have seen the market outgrow the signal.

> So, let me put it this way....WLS is strong in Lake County. Even though
> the signal is not 15mv/m. Lake County listenership is high, and WLS is a
> strong radio station, here. And yet, here, HD rash has been encroaching on
> WLS, to the degree that it's now sometimes virtually impossible to hear,
> much less enjoy....and this HD rash is coming from another radio station.
> You telling me this is acceptable? Even when WLS, itself is NOT
> transmitting HD and so no digital solution is available? (the C-Quam pilot
> is still lit and the station is still in stereo, even as of 00:30 UTC
> today.

What station? I'm curious about this...

> Why is no one addressing this? This is not DX. This is not skywave.
> It's LOCAL. And it's local interference.
>
> With all due respect, David...why can't you address THIS?

If you tell me the station, I can ask our local engineer, who is very strong on RF issues.
from the Navy.

> And we've shared some light moments, on USENet in the past, but you're
> trying even MY patience. And I'm not your adversary.

This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM will not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done. There is no other solution than HD. Either it works, or the band dies. Nobody is coming into the party, and the ones already there are undesirable to advertisers.

3838. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:47:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <r9Zwg.71730$fb2.6083@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84924

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C4174F.C4C0F222@milestones.com...
>
> Because he's a shill, plain and simple. You know this, I know this.

No, because I do not know the specifics on the interference to WLS. When
Peter gives me them, I will have our Chicago DoE check, and meybe something
interesting will come from this.
>
> He's been given something to sell, and by god he's gonna sell it to you,
> me, and
> everyone else, whether we like it or not.

I have not been given anything to sell. However, I believe AM is dead unless
HD works.

3839. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:47:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44C45EED-701@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84928

Yeahhhhh,,,,, I am going back over to the Goodwill store a few times this weel,looking for some wimmins dresses.I need to cut the light and get me beauty sleep now.Move over,Blueberry doggy.
cuhulin

3840. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:51:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <DdZwg.71732$fb2.2519@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84925

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea1eht$9v1$3@news2.kornet.net...
>D Peter Maus:
>
> He doesn't want to hear about any interference. If there is any, it's to
> non-existant listeners that do not figure into the demographic.

Here are the facts: radio can not sell listeners outside the home market. Radio can not sell listeners over 55.

Neither of these facts are radio's fault, nor can they be addressed or corrected by radio stations.

>Radio is no
> longer for everyone, it's for 18-35 year olds that actually do only a
> small
> percentage of actual buying, whereas we boomers have a lot more disposable
> income.

Nearly all add buys fall in the 18-34 or 25-54 demos, or subsets of them. No buys fall in 55 plus. This is a client dictate, not something radio has anything to do with.

> Add to that that we will be around a lot longer then the industry
> thinks we will.. we're no longer dying at 55 years old like we were 50
> years
> ago, but at closer to 85, and will be buying a lot of big ticket items
> between now and then.

So what? Advertisers do not buy 55+ audiences at all. In the first 6 months of 2006, not one agency buy in LA came down for 55+, in fact. And that is in the market that has 6% of all radio advertising in the USA.
>
> I for one am sick to death of being marginalized out of everything because
> of my age and tastes (I still listen to MUSIC).

Blame Proctor & Gamble et. al. and not radio. We just program to audiences that advertisers buy, not the ones they ignore.

3841. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:51:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84926

```
"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-5FEE8D.21111023072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <hATwg.136208$H71.53944@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> > In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
>> >>
>> >> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
>> >>
>> >> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
>> >> stations
>> >> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM
>> >> listening
>> >> in
>> >> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality
>> >> of
>> >> the
>> >> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
>> >> viable
>> >> AM signals than US cities do.
>> >
>> > Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.
>>
>> Most FM listening in the US is not stereo.
>
> Ok then what is the reason? The reason is not sound quality because it
> is OK for the program material.

FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
```

3842. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 05:53:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <1fZwg.71734$fb2.21041@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 84927

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-D287AA.21165323072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <SzTwg.136206$H71.3069@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> > In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
>> >> listener.
>> >
>> > I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.
>>
>> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
>> just "there."
>
> < Snip >
>
> I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all the people
> that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it? What about all the
> people that look to AM radio for news and weather and traffic reports?

They think about the shows or the content, not about "radio" per se.
>
> Maybe this is an effort to kill talk radio where people can get the
> political right point of view. The leftists have TV, newspapers, and FM
> covered. It's only on AM band that Air America can't make it.

That is a political question.

3843. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 06:27:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C46834.1D3E3322@milestones.com>
Source record: 84929

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and impatiently waiting for AM radio's death notice wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C4174F.C4C0F222@milestones.com...
> >
> > Because he's a shill, plain and simple. You know this, I know this.
>
> No, because I do not know the specifics on the interference to WLS. When
> Peter gives me them, I will have our Chicago DoE check, and meybe something
> interesting will come from this.
> >
> > He's been given something to sell, and by god he's gonna sell it to you,
> > me, and
> > everyone else, whether we like it or not.
>
> I have not been given anything to sell. However, I believe AM is dead unless
> HD works.

Stuff your panty hose in it, shill.

3844. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 06:36:26 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KTZwg.456050$Fs1.403943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 84930

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message

{content stipulated.}

>> So, let me put it this way....WLS is strong in Lake County. Even though
>> the signal is not 15mv/m. Lake County listenership is high, and WLS is a
>> strong radio station, here. And yet, here, HD rash has been encroaching on
>> WLS, to the degree that it's now sometimes virtually impossible to hear,
>> much less enjoy....and this HD rash is coming from another radio station.
>> You telling me this is acceptable? Even when WLS, itself is NOT
>> transmitting HD and so no digital solution is available? (the C-Quam pilot
>> is still lit and the station is still in stereo, even as of 00:30 UTC
>> today.
>
> What station? I'm curious about this...

I'm having trouble receiving WLS. The offending station, I'm not
sure. I can't make out much due to the IBOC rash from there down.

I'd be interested in what your engineer has to say.

I can send you audio files of the AM band from my location, if
you'd like.

> This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM will
> not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done. There is no other
> solution than HD. Either it works, or the band dies. Nobody is coming into
> the party, and the ones already there are undesirable to advertisers.
>

If things were that dire, it would make sense to have a less
intrusive/obtrusive implementation strategy. Disenfranchising local
listening with digital noise on a band that's fighting for it's
survival, is like everything that's been done to AM in the last 30
years: shortsighted, and ultimately, counterproductive.

Taking your scenario one step further... if AM is truly on it's last,
tentative legs, and AM stations of significant investment are in dire
straits, and if the large companies are beginning to move AM stations
to the FM band, where, say, in Chicago would stations like WBBM, WGN,
WSCR, WLS go? There are no open allocations. And it's not like there are
any allocations that would be worthy of sacrifice. And Young Talk was
tried here. It failed dismally. (Ask Turi Ryder how many times she's
been here.) Where would that content go? Where would Rush, Hannity,
Levin, or even Franken, Rhodes, Springer and Malloy go?

More to the point, if AM is over in 10 or less, and you're looking at a 5 year implementation, do you really think that there's a chance in less than 5 years remaining you can rebuild what you've lost?

David, I certainly see how you've arrived at your conclusions, Radio being what it has always been and all...but what you're describing is a roll of the dice with far greater chance of crapping out than staying in the game. Almost entirely by serving the needs of advertisers and broadcasters over the needs of listeners who ultimately carry the water.

And if Radio is really more about Radio than it is about the audience, is it any wonder why listenership and revenues are eroding in favor of alternative outlets? Non traditional outlets permitting mass customization vs traditional radio where answers to complaints are met with prepackaged corporate non responses, and listeners can be disenfranchised by a statistician in a locked room.

Can you see now why I've turned down offers to return?

>

3845. a longwave thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 06:41:56 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2bg0fu@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2bg0fu@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 84934

I just joined Digital Spy forums a few days ago, and there seems to be an issue with longwave threads in the broadcast forum being shut down by moderators. So I thought I'd try starting a longwave thread here.

Sounds like the Isle of Man might have a commercial longwave broadcaster in the near future. I was surprised to hear from other forum users there that cars in Europe have longwave reception in their radios. It must be decades since that happened here in the USA.

Anyone have interesting longwave topics to discuss?

--
weatherall

3846. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 06:45:59 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <qjq8c2phuuc2g5pvm18no1k5ckl6i5dpkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 84931

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 16:17:53 GMT, mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
wrote:

>In article <1153379068.635140.52020@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> <miso@sushi.com> wrote:
>>I have never heard a 7kbps stream as good as FM BCB. In fact, there
>>isn't a telco system with a bit rate that low.
>
>Looking that the stuff that Google brought up, IBOC-AM gives 20 kHz of
>analog bandwidth for the primary digital channel. Sidebands from 15-25
>kHz either side of the carrier, (5-15 kHz for the second channel). So the
>next question is how many bits/Hz their modem scheme uses. Various bit
>rates of 32 and 36 kbps were given, so it's set up with a lot of noise
>margin at 1.8(?) bits/Hz. (A dial up modem gets more like 7 or 8 bits/Hz
>on the upstream direction, at about 30 kbps and a 4 kHz Nyquist limit
>set by the telco's 8 kHz sample rate).
>
>With psychoacoustic compression, how good the program sounds is
>going to depend on how simple the frequency spectrum is. Modern
>pop with synthesizers would probably sound the same. (IMHO, the
>source material sounds like crap to start with). But a glockenspiel
>concert (or any cymbals in the percussion) will sound pretty painful.
>
>At 36 kbps I'd expect pretty much the same results as you can get with
>RealAudio on a dialup. No wonder that they need 8 seconds delay for
>the DSP to do its thing.

The 8 seconds has nothing to do with the DSP. You'd be amazed at the
kind of fire power you can get out of $10 DSP. What you are seeing is
the data is inteleaved 8 ways to sunday to make sure that it can be
resonstructed even if entire blocks of transmitted data is lost. GSM
does the same thing, and that's where most of the delay versus
land-line comes from.
>
>
>Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
>Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----- SKIPPED -----

3847. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 08:10:23 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84932

In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5FEE8D.21111023072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <hATwg.136208$H71.53944@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-091339.12265423072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com.
> >> ..
> >> > In article <SIEwg.71182$Lm5.16337@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> >> news:telamon_spamshield->
> >> >>
> >> >> > Again with the problem of how AMBCB sounds. AMBCB sounds just fine.
> >> >>
> >> >> Even when music is available on FM, such as Mexico (loads of music
> >> >> stations
> >> >> still there) the younger audience does not listen. In fact, FM
> >> >> listening
> >> >> in
> >> >> Mexico is higher than that of the US! It is nearly all about quality
> >> >> of
> >> >> the
> >> >> sound, not the programming... because most Mexican cities have more
> >> >> viable
> >> >> AM signals than US cities do.
> >> >
> >> > Maybe it is just that FM is stereo.
> >>
> >> Most FM listening in the US is not stereo.
> >
> > Ok then what is the reason? The reason is not sound quality because it
> > is OK for the program material.
>
> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.

It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear the
difference on a clock radio.

Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3848. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 08:15:47 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3BAEDE.01154724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 84933

In article <1fZwg.71734$fb2.21041@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-D287AA.21165323072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <SzTwg.136206$H71.3069@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com.
> >> ..
> >> > In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
> >> >> listener.
> >> >
> >> > I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.
> >>
> >> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
> >> just "there."
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> > I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all the people
> > that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it? What about all the
> > people that look to AM radio for news and weather and traffic reports?
>
> They think about the shows or the content, not about "radio" per se.

Well what do most people think then, that the voices are in their head?
Of course they think about radio. They have to take the time to tune the
stations in and program their favorites to memory.

> > Maybe this is an effort to kill talk radio where people can get the
> > political right point of view. The leftists have TV, newspapers, and FM
> > covered. It's only on AM band that Air America can't make it.
>
> That is a political question.

Yeah, and one to think about. Maybe this is a deliberate destruction of
the AMBCB.

--
Telamon

Ventura, California

3849. Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 09:20:28 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 84935

Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!

Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with their power-pack, etc!

Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay for another!

jimdouglas

3850. Re: FM Radio Rant ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:17:05 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153739825.457721.209320@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84936

Michael Black wrote:
> "John S." (hjsjms@cs.com) writes:
> > <RJ> wrote:
> >> My "bookshelf stereo" cost a couple hundred bucks.
> >> On a good day, with antenna, I get maybe four FM stations.
> >>
> >> On a recent trip to a casino, I got a souvenir pocket radio.
> >> (probably costs less than a buck)
> >> and I get (FM) stations all across the dial !
> >>
> >> It ain't fair !
> >>
> >> <rj>
> >
> > A better antenna will do wonders.
>
> Maybe not.
>
> For a lot of FM receivers, they are actually too sensitive, which
> means in a strong RF field, ie a major city with lots of radio stations,
> they start overloading, preventing the reception of lesser stations. Add
> a "good antenna" and it will just make matters worse.
>
> The best FM receiver I've ever had (which I admit does not encompass
> a wide variety of FM receivers) was a Sony from about 1971. It
> was quite "deaf", but unlike a lot of average receivers, it didn't overload.
> The trick was it lacked an RF stage, the antenna connecting directly
> to the mixer via the front end filtering. When I was given it about
> twenty years ago, it was the first time I actually did any FM DXing.
>
> I've read articles about Henry Kloss and he lamented that too many
> FM radios had been designed for maximum sensitivity, which worked
> fine if you were out in the wilderness, but didn't work at all
> if you were in a big city. Apparently his designs were less
> spectacular in specs, but resulted in better performance overall.
>
> Michael

Well, yes that is true in some situations. But in others, especially
the typical suburban setting a simple dipole FM antenna will result in
a big improvement. The OP offered no information aout the environment,
so it's anyones guess as to what will really work.

3851. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:17:46 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <t%1xg.219$i57.37@fe04.lga>
Source record: 84937

"James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
> Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> their power-pack, etc!
>
> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> for another!
>
>
> jimdouglas

Jim,
If the radio is rated for the type and voltage of the batteries you
installed in it, then the batteries are not at fault. Your problem lies else
where.

--

Regards
B.H.
Southern, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

3852. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:19:33 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153739973.787062.17570@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84938

Steve wrote:
> Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> board this sucker.
>
> Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> here goes:
>
> http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
>
> Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> which ended up an enormous .wav file.
>
> They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
>
> Steve

For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?

3853. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:20:45 UTC
From: homepc <wiebe08@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h32xg.457$Nk.261@newsfe21.lga>
Source record: 84939

I recharge my green Degen 1300 MiMH batteries in a slow charge Powerex MH C-401FS battery charger with no problems. Maybe you have one bad battery? Did you check to see if your radio will work with a fresh set of alkaline batteries?

"James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
> Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> their power-pack, etc!
>
> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> for another!
>
>
> jimdouglas

3854. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:26:03 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153740363.730729.311700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84940

James Douglas wrote:
> Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!

Are you saying that batteries of the correct size and voltage which
were recharged in an external charger caused the radio to catch or
fire, or at least begin to smoke?

>
> Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> their power-pack, etc!

I think the manual will say somehting like only NIMH batteries should
be recharged in the radio(meaning not nicad or alkaline).

>
> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> for another!

Never heard of it. I suspect something else.

>
>
> jimdouglas

3855. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 11:41:07 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnec9bv5.uir.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 84941

Pete KE9OA wrote:
> I did repair a Kenwood R-2000 a
> few years ago, and wondered if it had some sort of attenuation below 1.5MHz.
> I noticed this same characteristic with a Kenwood R-5000 and an AOR-3030.

Kenwood units often have extra anttenuation below the 160 meter ham
band to prevent strong broadcast stations from overloading the front ends.

On units sold in the U.S., they often increased it without documenting it.
As an example, my TS-430 had a 220 ohm resistor instead of the (what I
remember as) an 80 ohm one. I replaced it with a 22 ohm resistor from a
"mod" I found and it helped.

My R-5000 also benefitted from a similar "fix".

When I did live in the U.S. (Philly) I was less than five miles from several
AM broadcast transmitters and needed it. Here in Jerusalem, it's the other
way around. Without the mod, the best I could ever get from an AM
broadcast station was S9.

Geoff.
--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

3856. RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:08:03 UTC
From: board@big-8.org
Message-ID: <A1152803125.15535@isc.org>
Source record: 85073

RESULT
rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24 Jul 2006.

This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.

RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave

The last on topic month was March 1995.

There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave propagation, so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to a moderated newsgroup.

HISTORY:

The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with the first message posted in August 1988.

DISTRIBUTION:

This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:

news.announce.newgroups
news.groups
rec.radio.shortwave

PROPONENT:

Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>

CHANGE HISTORY:

2006-07-24 Results posted
2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)
2006-06-20 RFD posted
2006-07-10 RFD received

3857. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:10:32 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743032.598730.154420@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84942

John S. wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> > board this sucker.
> >
> > Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> > Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> > here goes:
> >
> > http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
> >
> > Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> > to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> > which ended up an enormous .wav file.
> >
> > They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> > passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
> >
> > Steve
>
> For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?

The gist of it is this. The pilot tells Icelandic dispatch that they have over 500 people on board and no water, and this promises to make the flight especially miserable for several of the people on board. Pilot says he's thinking about letting the passengers vote on whether to proceed with the flight or divert to some new destination to get water. Icelandic dispatch then asks the pilot where he could go to get running water. The pilot suggests Gander or Boston. Dispatch then asks the pilot if he knows why the plane has no running water...is it because the tanks are empty or because of something else? The pilot says he doesn't know. Icelandic dispatch then says that the flight should continue even if it's going to be uncomfortable for some people. If the flight diverts to Boston, say, and they find out that there's some kind of technical problem responsible for the lack of running water on board, then they'd be screwed because their Boston facility would not be able to repair such a problem.

And that's about where the recording ends. Sorry it wouldn't run for you.

Steve

3858. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:12:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743162.522311.148090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84943

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:ea1eht$9v1$3@news2.kornet.net...
> >D Peter Maus:
> >
> > He doesn't want to hear about any interference. If there is any, it's to
> > non-existant listeners that do not figure into the demographic.
>
> Here are the facts: radio can not sell listeners outside the home market.
> Radio can not sell listeners over 55.

The only fact that's relevant here is this one: You're BUSTED!

Irrelevant crap deleted.

3859. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:14:09 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743249.832288.215830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84944

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-D287AA.21165323072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <SzTwg.136206$H71.3069@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-EA2445.12260123072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >> > In article <5HEwg.71181$Lm5.38656@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> The fact that you are on this group means you are not an average
> >> >> listener.
> >> >
> >> > I don't see my being above average as important to this discussion.
> >>
> >> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It is
> >> just "there."
> >
> > < Snip >
> >
> > I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all the people
> > that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it? What about all the
> > people that look to AM radio for news and weather and traffic reports?
>
> They think about the shows or the content, not about "radio" per se.
> >
> > Maybe this is an effort to kill talk radio where people can get the
> > political right point of view. The leftists have TV, newspapers, and FM
> > covered. It's only on AM band that Air America can't make it.
>
> That is a political question.

Yes it is. Consequently, you'd better keep your mouth shut. You are
BUSTED, after all.

3860. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:14:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743289.569990.176740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84945

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C412FD.8A30041D@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shillmaster
> > for
> > HD/IBOC wrote:
> >
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
> >> >> ratings
> >> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
> >> >> help
> >> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids,
> >> >> not
> >> >> in
> >> >> the home market to the station.
> >> >
> >> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
> >>
> >> Whatever that means.
> >>
> >> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no
> >> content,
> >> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
> >> others.
> >>
> >> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today.
> >
> > Yeah, and it beat all your IBOC crap, didn't it, Edweenie?
> >
> > So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).
>
> Same place the first one is. Also have the remains of an R390, too.

Doesn't matter what receivers you have. You're still BUSTED.

3861. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:18:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743485.396756.228280@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84946

David Eduardo wrote:

Irrelevant nonsense deleted.

>
> This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM will
> not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done.

This is actually a very easy discussion, and the first step is
accepting that you are BUSTED!

3862. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:19:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153743546.765980.180760@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84947

David Eduardo wrote:

> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.

That's perfectly consistent with the fact that you're BUSTED.

3863. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:20:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4BB1F.52EB6A66@milestones.com>
Source record: 85048

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44C412FD.8A30041D@milestones.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 and shillmaster
> > > for
> > > HD/IBOC wrote:
> > >
> > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
> > >> >> ratings
> > >> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
> > >> >> help
> > >> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids,
> > >> >> not
> > >> >> in
> > >> >> the home market to the station.
> > >> >
> > >> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
> > >>
> > >> Whatever that means.
> > >>
> > >> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no
> > >> content,
> > >> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
> > >> others.
> > >>
> > >> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today.
> > >
> > > Yeah, and it beat all your IBOC crap, didn't it, Edweenie?
> > >
> > > So where is the other 'R-75'? You said you had two (2).
> >
> > Same place the first one is. Also have the remains of an R390, too.
>
> Doesn't matter what receivers you have. You're still BUSTED.

At least that one Drake didn't make it to the dumpster.

3864. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:24:39 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153743879.267325.207680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85049

Steve wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> > > Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> > > board this sucker.
> > >
> > > Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> > > Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> > > here goes:
> > >
> > > http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
> > >
> > > Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> > > to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> > > which ended up an enormous .wav file.
> > >
> > > They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> > > passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
> > >
> > > Steve
> >
> > For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?
>
> The gist of it is this. The pilot tells Icelandic dispatch that they
> have over 500 people on board and no water, and this promises to make
> the flight especially miserable for several of the people on board.
> Pilot says he's thinking about letting the passengers vote on whether
> to proceed with the flight or divert to some new destination to get
> water. Icelandic dispatch then asks the pilot where he could go to get
> running water. The pilot suggests Gander or Boston. Dispatch then asks
> the pilot if he knows why the plane has no running water...is it
> because the tanks are empty or because of something else? The pilot
> says he doesn't know. Icelandic dispatch then says that the flight
> should continue even if it's going to be uncomfortable for some people.
> If the flight diverts to Boston, say, and they find out that there's
> some kind of technical problem responsible for the lack of running
> water on board, then they'd be screwed because their Boston facility
> would not be able to repair such a problem.
>
> And that's about where the recording ends. Sorry it wouldn't run for
> you.
>
> Steve

Interesting catch. Those frequencies can result in some interesting catches. Thanks for giving that detailed description.

A lack of potable running water shouldn't be too much of a problem because most flights pack a lot of bottled water. But a lack of water or chemical to flush the toilets would be another thing entirely. I guess we are left to wonder how it played out.

3865. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:26:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4BC73.87DA1186@milestones.com>
Source record: 85050

David Frackelton Gleason, the prancing shill who poses as 'Eduardo' wrote:

[snip]

> This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM will
> not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done.

How about you HD/IBOC hotdogs stand down, and we'll wait 10 years to see if AM still exists?

I'd bet good ol' AM would still be around and operating.

I know it'd be tough on you Edweenie, but I'm certain you could find something else to shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3866. Re: WOULD YOU.....Peter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 12:51:54 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44C4C268.F6E2C30C@theseminary.net>
Source record: 85051

That's simply not true, Mike. I sent my 350 to TT for a minor repair and a touchup and it was done, on time, as they promised, for less than $100.

==========

Peter.

i've been looking at the TT RX350D. i was wondering if you ever had the lock-up prob? read about it on the web; 350 some times needs a re-boot/ re-start?

Father Michael.

3867. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:16:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153746989.620933.228650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85052

John S. wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > John S. wrote:
> > > Steve wrote:
> > > > Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> > > > board this sucker.
> > > >
> > > > Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> > > > Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> > > > here goes:
> > > >
> > > > http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
> > > >
> > > > Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> > > > to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> > > > which ended up an enormous .wav file.
> > > >
> > > > They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> > > > passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
> > > >
> > > > Steve
> > >
> > > For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?
> >
> > The gist of it is this. The pilot tells Icelandic dispatch that they
> > have over 500 people on board and no water, and this promises to make
> > the flight especially miserable for several of the people on board.
> > Pilot says he's thinking about letting the passengers vote on whether
> > to proceed with the flight or divert to some new destination to get
> > water. Icelandic dispatch then asks the pilot where he could go to get
> > running water. The pilot suggests Gander or Boston. Dispatch then asks
> > the pilot if he knows why the plane has no running water...is it
> > because the tanks are empty or because of something else? The pilot
> > says he doesn't know. Icelandic dispatch then says that the flight
> > should continue even if it's going to be uncomfortable for some people.
> > If the flight diverts to Boston, say, and they find out that there's
> > some kind of technical problem responsible for the lack of running
> > water on board, then they'd be screwed because their Boston facility
> > would not be able to repair such a problem.
> >
> > And that's about where the recording ends. Sorry it wouldn't run for
> > you.
> >
> > Steve

>
> Interesting catch. Those frequencies can result in some interesting
> catches. Thanks for giving that detailed description.
>
> A lack of potable running water shouldn't be too much of a problem
> because most flights pack a lot of bottled water. But a lack of water
> or chemical to flush the toilets would be another thing entirely. I
> guess we are left to wonder how it played out.

It sounds like the pilot says they had 520 passengers on board. With that many people, I guess you would want working toilets! I wanted to monitor 8930 to see if there were more developments later, but I had things to do around that house that couldn't really wait.

Steve

3868. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:34:00 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748040.372223.5610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lisping Llardass Lloyd showing his love of Roger's Woger wrote:
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lanny wrote:
> > http://www.3950.net/
> >
>
> Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
> Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
> the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.
>
> I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone
> can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came
> along and made everyone behave.
>
> Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards
> ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.
>
> W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.
>
> And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in
> tallying the votes for this memorable event.
>
> And don't leave me out! Me! Me! Woger

Poor Lardass, too stupid and lazy to upgrade so you can be a
participant to the net you love instead of just being a passive
listener. BWHAHAHAHAHA!

3869. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:34:30 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <G%3xg.4002$bP5.3957@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85054

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1153740363.730729.311700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> James Douglas wrote:
> > Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> > batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> > placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
> Are you saying that batteries of the correct size and voltage which
> were recharged in an external charger caused the radio to catch or
> fire, or at least begin to smoke?
>
> >
> > Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> > the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> > their power-pack, etc!
>
> I think the manual will say somehting like only NIMH batteries should
> be recharged in the radio(meaning not nicad or alkaline).
>
> >

The E-10 must have NIMH batteries selected in System Settings to recharge in the radio.
The would not recharge if the setting was for regular AA batteries.

If radio was set for regular AA and NIMH batteries were inserted
what would happen? The manual is not clear about that. It does say the radio must
be set for the proper batteries and it does say it won't charge regular AA if that
is attempted.
> > Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> > with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> > for another!
>
> Never heard of it. I suspect something else.
>
>
> >
> >
> > jimdouglas
>

3870. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:36:38 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748198.645953.80240@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> moonofneptune wrote:
> > "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > ------
> >
> > Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> > just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> > I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> > ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
> no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about

Poor Markie, so queer for Roger Wiseman.

3871. Re: ALMOST TIME FOR .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:40:46 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748446.371851.40650@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> ... THE GREAT LIBERTY NET.
>
> Saturday night @ 22:00 EDT. Somewhere between 3950 and 3990 khz, LSB.
>
> You WILL listen, and you WILL enjoy it.
>
> "I love the lickacrotchee net", - Sid The Lid, K5BLB.

Fuck you, fatty!

3872. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:45:53 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153748753.632825.131340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85057

Sanjaya wrote:
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1153740363.730729.311700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > James Douglas wrote:
> > > Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> > > batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> > > placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
> >
> > Are you saying that batteries of the correct size and voltage which
> > were recharged in an external charger caused the radio to catch or
> > fire, or at least begin to smoke?
> >
> > >
> > > Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> > > the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> > > their power-pack, etc!
> >
> > I think the manual will say somehting like only NIMH batteries should
> > be recharged in the radio(meaning not nicad or alkaline).
> >
> > >
>
> The E-10 must have NIMH batteries selected in System Settings to recharge in the radio.
> The would not recharge if the setting was for regular AA batteries.
>
> If radio was set for regular AA and NIMH batteries were inserted
> what would happen? The manual is not clear about that. It does say the radio must
> be set for the proper batteries and it does say it won't charge regular AA if that
> is attempted.

That is good information. The O.P. should go back and re-read the
manual and read your message. I get the feeling that the manual was
probably skimmed at best.

I've had the best luck using a wall wart recharger and 2 sets of nimh
batteries on a DE1103. That setup allows the radio to stay truly
portable at all times.

> > > Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> > > with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> > > for another!
> >
> > Never heard of it. I suspect something else.
> >

> >
> > >
> > >
> > > jimdouglas
> >

3873. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:47:40 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748860.779692.204180@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
>
> > > glad to see that
> >
> > Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
> well they will have to wait along time wiseman

No, you morbidly obese fat fuck, you'll be dead soon.

3874. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:48:55 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748935.892807.76370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85059
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.
> hardly wiseman 99.999pecent of the net don't even know myname

Wrong, Markie, your name is being spead around the internet, child
molester

3875. Re: May Markie's mental illness get only worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:49:56 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748996.283038.267140@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85060
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Woggy Humjobber Lardass Lloyd Austin Davies wrote:
> On 21 Jul 2006 05:37:14 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an old freind wrote:
> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> >> > > traps you
> >> >
> >> > Heal yourself, first. You are the one claiming a conspiracy against
> >> > you.
> >>
> >> becuase there is one you and Robeson consrpire to libel and harrass and
> >> stalk me
> >>
> >Oh, didn't you know, everybody on the Internet hates you, queer.
>
> Woggy knows

He knows you called him up and asked if you could suck his dick, Davies.

3876. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:53:13 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153749193.415462.284080@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85061
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
>
> I am sure he has

Just like I'm sure you've sucked a dick.

3877. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 13:57:31 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153749451.183444.120570@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

an old friend wrote:
> bless you and may you find peace

Let's hope you die of your AIDS in a painful manner.

3878. Re: WOULD YOU.....Peter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:05:37 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Rs4xg.458245$Fs1.278290@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85063

Father Mike wrote:
> That's simply not true, Mike. I sent my 350 to TT for a minor repair
> and a touchup and it was done, on time, as they promised, for less than
> $100.
>
> ==
>
> Peter.
>
> i've been looking at the TT RX350D. i was wondering if you ever had the
> lock-up prob? read about it on the web; 350 some times needs a re-boot/
> re-start?
>
> Father Michael.

I've not encountered that, no. I had a backlight fail. Which apparently is not an uncommon problem with early RX-350. The problem was corrected with more substantial SMD resistors and a minor circuit modification on subsequent units, and now, mine.

Firware is stable, and I've never encountered so much as a blip. Updates went smoothly as well. No, it's not locked up, and believe me when I tell you, I did my best to try to force one.

I've been so pleased with RX-350 that I sold several of my other receivers.

And the folks at Ten-Tec couldn't have been more helpful.

p

3879. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:08:03 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153750083.729926.247230@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85064
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Baby Cakes wrote:
> bless you and may you find peace

May you die of your aids along with your daddy and shemale wife.

3880. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:24:45 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153751085.577067.280470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85065

Steve wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> > > John S. wrote:
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> > > > > board this sucker.
> > > > >
> > > > > Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> > > > > Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> > > > > here goes:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> > > > > to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> > > > > which ended up an enormous .wav file.
> > > > >
> > > > > They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> > > > > passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve
> > > >
> > > > For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?
> > >
> > > The gist of it is this. The pilot tells Icelandic dispatch that they
> > > have over 500 people on board and no water, and this promises to make
> > > the flight especially miserable for several of the people on board.
> > > Pilot says he's thinking about letting the passengers vote on whether
> > > to proceed with the flight or divert to some new destination to get
> > > water. Icelandic dispatch then asks the pilot where he could go to get
> > > running water. The pilot suggests Gander or Boston. Dispatch then asks
> > > the pilot if he knows why the plane has no running water...is it
> > > because the tanks are empty or because of something else? The pilot
> > > says he doesn't know. Icelandic dispatch then says that the flight
> > > should continue even if it's going to be uncomfortable for some people.
> > > If the flight diverts to Boston, say, and they find out that there's
> > > some kind of technical problem responsible for the lack of running
> > > water on board, then they'd be screwed because their Boston facility
> > > would not be able to repair such a problem.
> > >
> > > And that's about where the recording ends. Sorry it wouldn't run for
> > > you.
> > >

> > > Steve
> >
> > Interesting catch. Those frequencies can result in some interesting
> > catches. Thanks for giving that detailed description.
> >
> > A lack of potable running water shouldn't be too much of a problem
> > because most flights pack a lot of bottled water. But a lack of water
> > or chemical to flush the toilets would be another thing entirely. I
> > guess we are left to wonder how it played out.
>
> It sounds like the pilot says they had 520 passengers on board. With
> that many people, I guess you would want working toilets!

For sure! That pilot could have 520 people dancing in the aisles and
they wouldn't be keeping time to the music!

> I wanted to
> monitor 8930 to see if there were more developments later, but I had
> things to do around that house that couldn't really wait.
>
> Steve

3881. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:37:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4DB24.5788D156@milestones.com>
Source record: 85066

John Barnard wrote:

> Douche Bag wrote:
> > You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>
> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
> too good for Tracy.

All of CanaDuh is a discharge, and it ain't #1, it's good ol' #2.

Johnny, you need to take your show on the road, I'm certain it might play well in venues outside of Edmontoon.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3882. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:48:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4DDC5-647@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85067

My girlfriend is ''funny''.
cuhulin

3883. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:50:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4DE2D-649@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85069

Winnipeg or Calgary.(Calgree) Mannnnn,,,,,,, let's put the show on the
road.
cuhulin

3884. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 14:54:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4DF11-651@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85070

Corn cobs used to be used for toilet paper.Nothing but real toilet paper has ever ''touched'' me.There have been a few otherwise times before though.We are all Human,,,, we are all Animals.
cuhulin

3885. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:01:39 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16569-44C4E0D3-134@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85072

My U.S.Army DD 214 is an Honorable Discharge at Fort Hood,Texas,,,,
October 29,1965. (I never got in a speck of trouble when I was in the
U.S.Army)
Go to, www.angelfire.com/md2/Ldotvets

and click on Page Three.You scroll around on there and you look
for,tain.tain is ME!
Platoon! Ten Hut!,,, I Salute all of the Wonderfull Guys and Gals in our
U.S.Military.
cuhulin

3886. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:10:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4E2D6-653@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85075

Larry Leonard (what is it about them d..n Larrys anyway?) at Oregon Magazine in Oregon once tried to Join the U.S.Air Force.The doc caught a heart murmor in Larry Leonard's chest.Larry Leonard told me he picked up that U.S.Air Force recruiter guy by his shirt.The recruiter guy said,it wouldn't make any difference,, they would catch you down the line anyway.
Lets have a show of hands for Larry Leonard in Oregon,,, at least he tried.
cuhulin

3887. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:12:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4E352.E5BF99B2@milestones.com>
Source record: 85071

dxAce wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
>
> Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.

The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3888. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:19:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4E4EF.AE9D254A@milestones.com>
Source record: 85074

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> My U.S.Army DD 214 is an Honorable Discharge at Fort Hood,Texas,,,,
> October 29,1965. (I never got in a speck of trouble when I was in the
> U.S.Army)

I took my discharge at Naval Air Station, Sigonella, Sicily.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3889. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:19:43 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <745f8$44c4e070$d8c4cb65$14774@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85068

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea1eht$9v1$3@news2.kornet.net...
> D Peter Maus:
>
> He doesn't want to hear about any interference. If there is any,
it's to
> non-existant listeners that do not figure into the demographic.
Radio is no
> longer for everyone, it's for 18-35 year olds that actually do only
a small
> percentage of actual buying, whereas we boomers have a lot more
disposable
> income. Add to that that we will be around a lot longer then the
industry
> thinks we will.. we're no longer dying at 55 years old like we were
50 years
> ago, but at closer to 85, and will be buying a lot of big ticket
items
> between now and then.
>
> I for one am sick to death of being marginalized out of everything
because
> of my age and tastes (I still listen to MUSIC).

If it makes you feel any better (probably not), at least
you're not in Gen X like I am. We're in that little valley
between the Boomers and the Boomers' kids, and we're
kind of aware that once the Boomers leave the stage,
we'll be shoved aside by the Boomers' kids.

--Mike L.

3890. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:24:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4E61F-654@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85076

I need to stop biting my fingernails.(it's old habit since I was a young cute little boy) How am I ever going to get my fingernails looking like a womans fingernails?
cuhulin

3891. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:28:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4E723-655@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85077

Telamon,I think you are right about,deliberate destruction of AMBCB.In my opinion,U.S.fed govt doesn't want us to listen to long distance AM Radio.I have mentioned something about that before many,many Moons ago in this very news group.
cuhulin

3892. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:30:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C4E7A3-656@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85078

I know of a gal in Petal,Mississippi who got,,,,,,
Nawwww,,, I better not deliberate on that one either.
cuhulin

3893. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:54:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4ED43.5EC8FAB8@milestones.com>
Source record: 85080

The Big-8 Management Board wrote:

> RESULT
> rec.radio.shortwave will be removed
>
> The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the newsgroup
> rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24 Jul 2006.
>
> This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.
>
> RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave
>
> The last on topic month was March 1995.
>
> There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave propagation,
> so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to a
> moderated newsgroup.
>
> HISTORY:
>
> The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with the first
> message posted in August 1988.
>
> DISTRIBUTION:
>
> This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:
>
> news.announce.newgroups
> news.groups
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> PROPONENT:
>
> Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>
>
> CHANGE HISTORY:
>
> 2006-07-24 Results posted
> 2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)
> 2006-06-20 RFD posted
> 2006-07-10 RFD received

Tell Jim to shove it up his prancing ass.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3894. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:55:06 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153756506.846854.78150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85081

Steve wrote:
> Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> board this sucker.
>
> Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> here goes:
>
> http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
>
> Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> which ended up an enormous .wav file.
>
> They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
>
> Steve

FWIW, I can hear the audio, though it seems to end abruptly.

3895. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:58:50 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153756730.255206.150680@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85082

m...@sushi.com wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
> > board this sucker.
> >
> > Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
> > Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
> > here goes:
> >
> > http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
> >
> > Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
> > to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
> > which ended up an enormous .wav file.
> >
> > They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
> > passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
> >
> > Steve
>
> FWIW, I can hear the audio, though it seems to end abruptly.

Yes, it ended abruptly because I was using a free webhosting account
that tolerates only very limited uploads.

Steve

3896. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 15:59:04 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <bfr9c2d06fed7ig9fmi1enn9nm4vc5hijm@4ax.com>
Source record: 85083

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 04:20:28 -0500, James Douglas
<james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:

>Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
>batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
>placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
>Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
>the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
>their power-pack, etc!
>
>Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
>with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
>for another!
>
>
>jimdouglas

The charging method didn't smoke the radio. I use an external charger
with mine and use the really hot silver NiMhs from Fry's.

3897. Re: (OT?): Could DTV become the new Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:00:43 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea5g8e$7jg$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85337

In article <44C3C60E.1070506@invalid.invalid>,
Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote:
>Mark Zenier wrote:
>> Could the sub-channels available on digital Television become a competitor
>> to local radio.
>>
>> Digital TV has high power broadband high bit rate (18-20 megabits per second)
>> transmitters that cover the metropolitan areas.
>>
>> What's stopping a TV station from generating music channels that use the
>> high bandwidth MP3 audio and the minimum necessary video signal to go
>> along with it? If you got the bit stream down to around a half a megabit
>> per second or less, any or all TV stations could transmit a half a dozen
>> of these and still have room for HDTV. The video could be minimal,
>> a low frame rate "slide show" akin to what you could get over the web.
>>
>> Then all you would need was another little widget with a tuner and
>> some minimal processing to feed it into a video iPod. Or no video
>> if don't want to bother with the pictures.
>
>At least one PBS-affiliated DTV station is doing just that, carrying the
>audio of an associated radio station.
>
>There really doesn't need to be any video at all. The ATSC protocol
>treats audio and video as separate streams; a mapping table tells the TV
>receiver to pluck the video stream on protocol ID 0x0044 and the audio
>stream on 0x0041 and combine them into a TV program called channel 4-1.
> There is nothing that requires the 0x0044 video stream to exist - you
>could transmit *only* the audio stream. How a typical ATSC TV would
>handle that I don't know; my bet would be that it would show a blank
>screen. (while playing the audio normally)

Ah, yes. That way they can have audio tracks for different languages.

With the possiblity of a couple dozen 200-350 kbps MP3 (or whatever)
audio broadcasts in each metro area, why are the IBOC guys even
bothering?

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3898. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:04:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1367-44C4EFA5-887@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85087

I done told y'all rug rats before about them Etons,,, But HEY,,, dont
believe me!
I have to watch Chain Lightning old,old movie on Radio tv now.It isn't
my fault.
cuhulin

3899. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:05:03 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Pc6xg.4031$bP5.1497@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85084

dxAce wrote:
>
> The Big-8 Management Board wrote:
>
>
>> RESULT
>> rec.radio.shortwave will be removed
>>
>>The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the newsgroup
>>rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24 Jul 2006.
>>
>>This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.
>>
>>RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave
>>
>>The last on topic month was March 1995.
>>
>>There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave propagation,
>>so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to a
>>moderated newsgroup.
>>
>>HISTORY:
>>
>>The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with the first
>>message posted in August 1988.
>>
>>DISTRIBUTION:
>>
>>This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:
>>
>> news.announce.newgroups
>> news.groups
>> rec.radio.shortwave
>>
>>PROPONENT:
>>
>>Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>
>>
>>CHANGE HISTORY:
>>
>>2006-07-24 Results posted
>>2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)
>>2006-06-20 RFD posted
>>2006-07-10 RFD received

>
>
> Tell Jim to shove it up his prancing ass.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

He probably already has figuring that he sounds like a butt pirate
anyway. By the way, if you don't like what is posted here, go bleep
yourself. Maybe you'll be smart enough to connect the dots.

3900. Re: VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:11:04 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <si6xg.4033$bP5.2146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85085

Von Fourche wrote:

> So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
> certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?
>
>

Is that a VOA source or just another troll? I've been listening to VOA
over the past week in the afternoons and the English to Africa and
nothing was mentioned. Nor anything else has been mentioned about it.

3901. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:13:27 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Hk6xg.4034$bP5.2075@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85086

Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
didn't teach you much in the military.

Douche Bag wrote:

> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>>The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
>>Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
>>corncob?
>>
>>
>>
>>_____
>>
>>KE4ODD
>>
>>Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
>>prove it.
>>
>>
>>*Used with express written permission of its creator.
>
>

3902. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:15:03 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>
>>Ahh...but I did, once
>
> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>
>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>
> And that you have little to do with this conversation.

You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
just the sign of the times.

BH

3903. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:15:04 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cm6xg.4036$bP5.1519@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85088

m II wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153699977.913718.303070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>>
>>
>>
>> Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?
>
>
>
> dxAce was already taken?
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

It could be worse than DxAce, you could always be called beerbarrel. Of course in Beerbarrels case, it only testifies to his lack of intelligence considering what your average beer barrel is made of.

3904. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:17:21 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lo6xg.4037$bP5.3490@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85090

Face it, they needed a warm body to put out on the front lines to be
used as a decoy. I doubt if he received an honorable discharge anyway.
The only discharge he knows about is the one that ran down his daddys leg.

John Barnard wrote:

> Douche Bag wrote:
>
>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>
>
> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
> too good for Tracy.
>
> JB
>
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
>>> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
>>> corncob?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ____
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>> veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>>
>>
>

3905. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:23:21 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Zt6xg.4039$bP5.3341@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85091

I am not from Seymour moron as it is about 45 miles from here.
Mwhahahahah. I am also not from the backwoods because I live somewhere
much bigger than that butthole of the world called Warner Robins. Who in
the hell would even name their town that, other than some Forrest Gump
wannabe. That or some illiterate trailer trash such as your ilk. By the
way Traci Fag, is your drill sargeant still getting you over the beer
barrel alone?

John Barnard wrote:

> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>> Hahaha....whatever Mr. Backwoods from Seymour. I forgot that you are
>> Billy the corn cob kid. Still stickin em up your rear?
>>
>
> Quit projecting, Tracy. And keep your sick fantasies to yourself.
>
> JB
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 23:08:15 GMT, Billy Smith
>> <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> That's definitely a good point. They don't have the gonads to do much
>>> of anything other than smear someone. Of course, should you expect
>>> much more out of inbred white trash? I've actually found Canucks to
>>> be more informed than your average beer drinking yayhoo who is
>>> mentally challenged to even spell his own name. Then again, what else
>>> would you expect from a piece of white trash such as that.
>>>
>>>
>>> John Barnard wrote:
>>>
>>>> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>>>> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>>>> than you can put a claim on.
>>>>
>>>> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>>>>
>>>> JB
>>>>

>>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>>>>> ____
>>>>>
>>>>> KE4ODD
>>>>>
>>>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>>>> veteran preference to
>>>>> prove it.
>>>>>
>>>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>>>>
>>
>> ____
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>> veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>

3906. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:24:03 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Du6xg.4040$bP5.138@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85092

It's Traci Fag, mwahahhahahhaha.

John Barnard wrote:

> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
>> Hookers from Edmonton don't have any balls. Go back to playing with
>> your little sister.
>
>
> Congratulations! Your hormone therapy is kicking in and soon you can
> advertise as Tracy the Tranny.
>
> JB
>
>
>
>>
>> hahahahahahaha
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 22:40:47 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>> I've got more balls and spine than you and the rest of your war
>>> criminals combined. At least I can post under my own name which more
>>> than you can put a claim on.
>>>
>>> Burn motherfucker, burn!
>>>
>>> JB
>>>
>>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>>
>>>> Just like the rest of his faggot Canucky sisters!
>>>> ____
>>>>
>>>> KE4ODD
>>>>
>>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>>> veteran preference to
>>>> prove it.
>>>>
>>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

>>>>
>>
>> --
>>
>> KE4ODD
>>
>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>> veteran preference to
>> prove it.
>>
>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>

3907. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:26:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C4F4A8.6AD08F48@milestones.com>
Source record: 85093

Billy Smith wrote:

> Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
> myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
> were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
> didn't teach you much in the military.

You were in the military, weren't you Billy? I know folks have referred to you as Major Retard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3908. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:28:24 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Iy6xg.4043$bP5.881@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85094

Beerbarrel wrote:

> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>news:19261-44BB2111-1938@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>
>>>But Please dont think I am taking sides with the Canadians.
>>>cuhulin
>>>
>>
>>
>>Good, because we haven't figured out what side the canadians are on yet.
>>
>>SC
>
>
>
> Do you know whay there is no CSI Canada?
>
> Only 1 DNA....
> --
>
> KE4ODD

Kind of like your trailer trash ilk from Warner Robins? One DNA

3909. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:28:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153758520.331390.320520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > > glad to see that
> > >
> > > Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
> > well they will have to wait along time wiseman
>
> No, you morbidly obese fat fuck, you'll be dead soon.
getting closer to an actionable threat watch yourself
forgive him his hate and may he find peace

3910. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:29:17 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <xz6xg.4044$bP5.2981@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85096

Beerbarrel wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:58:00 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>(ejaculation on the flag excised)
>>
>>Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
>>time, and expecting a different result.
>>
>>If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
>>forever.
>>
>>You are a tool.
>
>
>
>
> I'm a tool alright! The hammer that's going to bounce off your head!
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

The real term you were wanting to use regarding Traci Fag is that he is
a stool.

3911. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:36:46 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea5g8i$7jj$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85338

In article <44C4ED43.5EC8FAB8@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>The Big-8 Management Board wrote:
>> rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

>Tell Jim to shove it up his prancing ass.

It's a forged troll, Steve. The B8MB isn't going to post from Aioe.org.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3912. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 16:55:15 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44C4FB73-770@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 84998

That divorced woman in Petal,Mississippi scared me so nuch,,,, I had to get back over here and cool off for a while.
cuhulin

3913. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:06:26 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153760786.887239.202090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84999

Michael Lawson wrote:
> Har de har har.
>
> I skim news.groups, so I knew it was a troll when I
> saw it.
>
> It's not a bad idea to rework the FAQ, however, and
> maybe push for creation of rec.radio.satellite.
>
> --Mike L.
>
> "The Big-8 Management Board" <board@big-8.org> wrote in message
> news:A1152803125.15535@isc.org...
> >
> > RESULT
> > rec.radio.shortwave will be removed
> >
> > The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the
> newsgroup
> > rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24
> Jul 2006.
> >
> > This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.
> >
> >
> > RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave
> >
> > The last on topic month was March 1995.
> >
> > There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave
> propagation,
> > so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to
> a
> > moderated newsgroup.
> >
> >
> > HISTORY:
> >
> > The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with
> the first
> > message posted in August 1988.
> >
> >
> > DISTRIBUTION:

> >
> > This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:
> >
> > news.announce.newgroups
> > news.groups
> > rec.radio.shortwave
> >
> > PROPONENT:
> >
> > Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>
> >
> >
> > CHANGE HISTORY:
> >
> > 2006-07-24 Results posted
> > 2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)
> > 2006-06-20 RFD posted
> > 2006-07-10 RFD received
> >
> >

Agreed.

3914. Hahaha.....Corncob had a nerve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:06:53 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gfv9c2dsbpu4ust5gt9uuqhs1f1qldmbed@4ax.com>
Source record: 85000

Struck a nerve with ole corncob....hahahahaha....

Like those cobs chocolate covered do ya billy?

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3915. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:07:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44C4FE69-771@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85001

Doggy started barking her arse off.Somebody was knocking on my front door.y'all democrats and libs need to learn,,, Dont ever knock on my door and dont every try to phone ME!
By the way,I did NOT answer that knocking on my front door a few minutes ago! Dont waste your Commie time with ME! F..K YOU!
cuhulin

3916. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:10:22 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44C4FEFE-772@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85006

I bet them Sicilian gals are cute too.
cuhulin

3917. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:13:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15416-44C4FFD0-773@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85009

As big a double big old double belly beer gut as I have,,,,,,, I look like I am pregant and going to give birth to eight little babies at the same time.
cuhulin

3918. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:16:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <qf7xg.11632$2v.8857@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85003

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
>
> It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
> response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear the
> difference on a clock radio.
>
> Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with
> the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area
> but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I
> find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty
> loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.

Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract younger
demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.

3919. hahahaha....Billy "corncob" Smith sets record for stupid posts!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:16:59 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <k20ac294jnbree83i8mdpqjhuk086hq63v@4ax.com>
Source record: 85002

I got to that corncobber for sure!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

3920. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:18:06 UTC
From: switcher <no@KijkenZeHierOokAlNaar.be>
Message-ID: <no-DC11FA.19180624072006@news.stben.net>
Source record: 85004

In article <A1152803125.15535@isc.org>,
board@big-8.org (The Big-8 Management Board) wrote:

> This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.

OK, I will set up a news server

there will be 2 lines of pub for each message, but the elctricity will
be payed like that ..

--
een appeltje te schillen met http://applefaulty.be
http://users.fulladsl.be/spb13810/bwnl.htm
Breng je iMac G5 terug (ik wil binnen 2 jaar geen defecte 2de hands Apple kopen)
http://www.apple.com/nl/support/imac/repairextensionprogram/

3921. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:18:42 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <f37da$44c4fc55$d8c4cb65$30269@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85097

Har de har har.

I skim news.groups, so I knew it was a troll when I
saw it.

It's not a bad idea to rework the FAQ, however, and
maybe push for creation of rec.radio.satellite.

--Mike L.

"The Big-8 Management Board" <board@big-8.org> wrote in message
news:A1152803125.15535@isc.org...
>
> RESULT
> rec.radio.shortwave will be removed
>
> The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the
newsgroup
> rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24
Jul 2006.
>
> This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.
>
>
> RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave
>
> The last on topic month was March 1995.
>
> There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave
propagation,
> so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to
a
> moderated newsgroup.
>
>
> HISTORY:
>
> The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with
the first
> message posted in August 1988.
>
>
> DISTRIBUTION:
>
> This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:

>
> news.announce.newgroups
> news.groups
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> PROPONENT:
>
> Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>
>
>
> CHANGE HISTORY:
>
> 2006-07-24 Results posted
> 2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)
> 2006-06-20 RFD posted
> 2006-07-10 RFD received
>
>

3922. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:20:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <kj7xg.11634$2v.314@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85007

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-3BAEDE.01154724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <1fZwg.71734$fb2.21041@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>> >> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about radio. It
>> >> is
>> >> just "there."
>> >
>> > < Snip >
>> >
>> > I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all the
>> > people
>> > that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it? What about all
>> > the
>> > people that look to AM radio for news and weather and traffic reports?
>>
>> They think about the shows or the content, not about "radio" per se.
>
> Well what do most people think then, that the voices are in their head?
> Of course they think about radio. They have to take the time to tune the
> stations in and program their favorites to memory.

People do not spend any time thinking about how radio works, why one band has some programs and why another has others, etc. They think only as far as the on and off switch and the presets. It is an appliance, free, and easy to change. Nobody, short of those on this group and similar ones, spend any time considering how radio works.

In the case of AM, the only thing listeners under 45 think is that it sounds bad. Period.

This is why the programming of WTOP in DC moved to FM, that of WNLS in Tallahassee moved to FM, of WTAR in Phoenix now going to simulcast on FM, KSL in Salt Lake doing the same thing... etc. These stations believe they have a viable format in, at least, 35-44, which they do not get, but believe they can get with the better sound quality of FM.

3923. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:21:45 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea5g8l$7jk$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85339

In article <n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com>,
James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
>batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
>placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
>Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
>the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
>their power-pack, etc!
>
>Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
>with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
>for another!

I think you can reverse the polarity of a NiMH/Nicad cell if
you put it in the charger backwards.

You got a meter?

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

3924. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:22:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PI7xg.11636$2v.2063@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85008

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C4BC73.87DA1186@milestones.com...
>
>
> David Frackelton Gleason, the prancing shill who poses as 'Eduardo' wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
>> This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM
>> will
>> not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done.
>
> How about you HD/IBOC hotdogs stand down, and we'll wait 10 years to see
> if AM
> still exists?
>
> I'd bet good ol' AM would still be around and operating.

It probably would. But, you are not getting the main issue: every 18 months, the average age of AM listeners increases by a year. That means that in another few years, most AM listeners will be over 55, and advertisers do not buy over 55 listenership. The stations may be around, but they will be losing money.

3925. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:23:13 UTC
From: "Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" <barfball3@yahoonosprm.com>
Message-ID: <1c325$44c504a5$d1cc791b$3524@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85012

"The Big-8 Management Board" <board@big-8.org> wrote in message
news:A1152803125.15535@isc.org...
> The Big-8 Management Board has
> decided by consensus to remove the newsgroup
> rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on
> 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24 Jul 2006.

We voted too, and our consensus is that you're a
cross-dressing East Memphis transvestite who likes
troll truck stops so and to suck big round
black she-male cockmeat sausages for $20 an hour........

3926. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:25:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153761945.719322.69600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85010

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C4BC73.87DA1186@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > David Frackelton Gleason, the prancing shill who poses as 'Eduardo' wrote:
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> >> This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting that AM
> >> will
> >> not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done.
> >
> > How about you HD/IBOC hotdogs stand down, and we'll wait 10 years to see
> > if AM
> > still exists?
> >
> > I'd bet good ol' AM would still be around and operating.
>
> It probably would. But, you are not getting the main issue: every 18 months,
> the average age of AM listeners increases by a year. That means that in
> another few years, most AM listeners will be over 55, and advertisers do not
> buy over 55 listenership. The stations may be around, but they will be
> losing money.

You are not getting the main issue: You are BUSTED!

3927. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:26:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153762004.914571.308080@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85011

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:e9v10cesk1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> >
> > There ya go. A REAL compatible system, and it doesn't annihilate the
> > adjacents, either. It's also just as likely to save AM as IBOC (moreso,
> > imho), and there are already tens of thousands of radios already out there
> > to receive it.
>
> In one word, this will not work: DIGITAL
>
> C-Quam was tried and failed in the mid 80's. Any system that does not come
> on the same chip as the FM digital system will also fail. Any system that is
> not digital will fail at the marketing stage.
> >
> > Oh, and David Eduardo... this should have some meaning to you....
> >
> > En boca cerrada no entran moscas..
>
> Irrelevant in this case.

Right. All that's relevant is that you're BUSTED.

3928. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:28:26 UTC
From: "Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" <barfball3@yahoonosprm.com>
Message-ID: <c1cd1$44c504a6$d1cc791b$3524@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85013

"James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...

> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> for another!

Never ever charge NiMh cells in a NiCad charger.
It will OVER-CHARGE them every time. However the
radio should have had some kind of an over-voltage
circuit built into it. That said however, after
that experience are you are REALLY going to buy
another one of these cheap Chinese made Eton
pieces of junk??? Get yourself a Sony or a Grundig
or better yet a vintage Radio Shack or GE or Panasonic
shortwave. They were built far better than the modern
day crap and their analog tuning is best for swl listening.

3929. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:32:25 UTC
From: "Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" <barfball3@yahoonosprm.com>
Message-ID: <c44e5$44c504a7$d1cc791b$3524@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85015

"Beerbarrel" <beerbarrel@cox.net> wrote in message
news:7738c2ld6pl239ouijc7s151tl2rcl0s1s@4ax.com...
> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
> corncob?

Before (they ate it first) then they tossed it in the
bin in the "ole two-holer" out back.

What I want to know is did they get suckered into the
gold numismatic coins scam like so many other
shortwave radio listening PAYtriots seem to do? (laughing)

I love that guy from ----- who is shilling coins for $1400
each that have only have half an oz of gold in them.
Not bad a $700 per item markup. Only the shortwave
paytriot fools would bit this rotten carrot on a line....

3930. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:34:37 UTC
From: "Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" <barfball3@yahoonosprm.com>
Message-ID: <4f05d$44c504a9$d1cc791b$3524@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85014

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C3E260.4527EC6E@milestones.com...
>
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
radio-mart and
> > can't even test it ???????????
> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ
4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

It's called SHILL BIDDING. Anyone who'd pay that
much for an un-tested radio DESERVES to be ass-screwed
out of their money.

3931. Re: Passive Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:36:14 UTC
From: sparkysko@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153762574.114387.222730@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85016

I like coax (cable TV type, RG58 or RG6) connecting to a longwire. They sell a connector you can solder the longwire onto this (It's a type F connector usually for coax). Also they have a type F connector to 1/8" minijack which will go into many of the radios. Not sure if you have minijack or just a wire for your radio.

I've tried a longwire without coax, and it picks up too much noise inside the house. The coax helps you just get the signal from the outside. It sounds like you're in an apartment in New York or something, and that you'd probably need to 'dangle' the antenna down. Vertical antennas will pick up more noise than a horizontal antenna. Ground the outside of the coax if you can, but I did not notice much difference when I did.

3932. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:39:20 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <b1866$44c5012c$d8c4cb65$31521@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85005

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C36DFC.11DBB1F7@milestones.com...
>
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7qDwg.162981$mF2.9700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >> What I'm seeing, is interest in HD-AM by users who are
interested in AM
> > >> content, and who regularly use AM anyway. But little or no
interest in
> > >> users who do not regularly use AM. Regardless of the audio
quality....if
> > >> there is no interest in the programming, there will be little
interest in
> > >> how good it may or may not sound.
> > >
> > > Viscious circle there... until there is an audio quality that
under-45's can
> > > tolerate, there will be no programming. And as the clock ticks,
the band
> > > dies.
> >
> > Well, of course it's a vicious circle. Most everything in Radio
is.
> > You remember how tough it is to get hired until you have
experience, but
> > you can't get experience until you get hired. Vicious circles in
Radio
> > aren't news. But the fact remains.
> >
> > That said, it's content that drives listening. If the content
is of
> > no interest to the target, HD isn't going to help. What's not
> > happening, is there's no change in content to accompany HD
> > implementation. WGN didn't change content when HD was installed.
And I'm
> > sure that Ace will point out that WBBM's content is the same as
before
> > HD was installed. So, HD is only really benefitting those who are
> > already using AM. And those younger demos you wish to attract with
audio

> > quality, will be just as unintersted in the content after HD, as
the
> > stations themselves are in those who listen outside of the city
grade
> > contour.
>
> I don't listen very much to WBBM, but on the occasions that I do,
you are correct
> in that I have noted no change in their content.
>
> What I do notice without fail is that when they have their HD/IBOC
up and running
> is the total annihilation of at least two adjacent channels.
>
> Such a wonderful system, it seems to me, will only force more
listeners away from
> the MW bands.
>
> The potential to drive those of us who tune around at night (or
currently during
> the daytime) looking for alternative voices from outside our 'local'
market or
> 'area' off the band for good does not seem to be a productive use
of this
> resource.

If all HD does is "improve" the sound but not
the programming, then there won't be much reason
to move to it. If the programming is generic and
formulaic (and the younger crowd has figured that
out), then why listen? So you can hear the same
stuff only with better sound?

People will migrate to a new radio format if there's
a promise of real change in what is being listened
to, not the same stuff only sounding better.

It's like purchasing a car. If the imports were simply
as good as the Big Three, then no one would buy
them except for non-practical reasons. However,
the imports were made better than the Big Three's
cars, and there then became a practical reason to
buy one. Personal example: I own a Ford Contour,
and in the first 5 years I owned it, it had a total of
something like $3000 worth of repairs to it. That's
repairs, not regular maintenance. By comparison,
we also own a Toyota Sienna, which in the 5 years
we've owned it we've had $0 worth of extraneous
repairs to it. While the Contour cost less than either
a similarly equipped Corolla or Camry, the extra money
spent on repairs makes either car look less expensive

by comparision. Especially since the Contour is now 10 years old, and has had about $5000 worth of repairs to it.

The moral is that you have to be getting more in a practical sense for HD radio to be accepted by the masses. Just saying "it sounds better" won't do anything if that's the only thing that HD has going for it.

--Mike L.

3933. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:44:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5070D.9D69229C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85017

dxAce wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart and
> > can't even test it ??????????
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
> Put your thinking cap on. This is obviously for sale by one of those outfits that cruises about looking for stuff to
> sell. Probably know nothing about radio.
>
> There is no reserve on the radio, and it is currently at $909.00.
>
> Could be a good deal for someone who might figure that even if there is something wrong with it, they can still get it
> serviced, up and running, for a very good price vs. the cost of a new one.

Receiver closed at $2575.00. Bidding was still at $1590,00 with 40 seconds to go, then the action started.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3934. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:48:31 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <PJ7xg.11640$2v.9981@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85019

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:KTZwg.456050$Fs1.403943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:

>> What station? I'm curious about this...

> I'm having trouble receiving WLS. The offending station, I'm not
> sure. I can't make out much due to the IBOC rash from there down.
>
>
> I'd be interested in what your engineer has to say.

I am going to contact him today. He is in the middle of an AM site move, so he has all the necesary gear out and can probably do a spot check.

> If things were that dire, it would make sense to have a less
> intrusive/obtrusive implementation strategy. Disenfranchising local
> listening with digital noise on a band that's fighting for it's survival,
> is like everything that's been done to AM in the last 30 years:
> shortsighted, and ultimately, counterproductive.

This is about the first case of such interference with a "big" station I have heard of. In some cases, there are cases of stations losing fringe coverage, such as Salem's decision to turn off HD on WIND to protect the very rural coverage of 540 outside Milwaukee. But, for most broadcasters, there has been a reasoned decision to sacrifice some remote coverage for the improved quality of HD in the metros.

It may be this is akin to making the decision to ride a flat on the rim to get out of dangerous traffic... you save your life, but ruin the rim. It is a trade off.

>
> Taking your scenario one step further... if AM is truly on it's last,
> tentative legs, and AM stations of significant investment are in dire
> straits, and if the large companies are beginning to move AM stations to
> the FM band, where, say, in Chicago would stations like WBBM, WGN, WSCR,
> WLS go? There are no open allocations. And it's not like there are any
> allocations that would be worthy of sacrifice. And Young Talk was tried
> here. It failed dismally. (Ask Turi Ryder how many times she's been here.)
> Where would that content go? Where would Rush, Hannity, Levin, or even
> Franken, Rhodes, Springer and Malloy go?

In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast KTAR on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or interference issues.

In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did niche formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in DC.

Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an FM that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.

This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less and less between swaps.

There are some markets where AM just willnot survive, as there are literally no full coverage stations. In most rural areas the billing is now on FM, and the AMs are also dieing. A good example is Moberly, MO. The Class IV KWIX was famous as a local station that, in the 70's, billed over $1 million. Today, it barely does $100 thousand while the sister FM bills nearly $2 million. KWIX was so famous that they even had a school where they trained sales management of smaller market stations. But the AM, in obviously competent hands, has become a rider on the FM bandwagon. It is a 1 kw operation on 1340, while the FM is a full C. Nobody in that part of Missouri is being deprived of AM service since I find about 8 FMs now put a 60 dbu over Moberly, so the local service has imporved.
>
>
> More to the point, if AM is over in 10 or less, and you're looking at a
> 5 year implementation, do you really think that there's a chance in less
> than 5 years remaining you can rebuild what you've lost?

I don't think it is about rebuilding. Once HD has adequater receivers, there will be new formats. Some, like WGN, made the mistake of ageing with the listener and may be dead for all time.

> David, I certainly see how you've arrived at your conclusions, Radio
> being what it has always been and all...but what you're describing is a
> roll of the dice with far greater chance of crapping out than staying in
> the game. Almost entirely by serving the needs of advertisers and
> broadcasters over the needs of listeners who ultimately carry the water.

The reason AM is losing viability is that it only attracts 55+ listeners. Advertisers do not buy this crowd, so eventually, stations start losing money. All free radio formats work only if there is advertiser support. This is why teen lsitening is lower than 18-54. We don't program to teens, as there is no revenue.
>
> And if Radio is really more about Radio than it is about the audience,
> is it any wonder why listenership and revenues are eroding in favor of
> alternative outlets?

Revenue is not eroding. It is growing slowly, but is, in the last few years, over 8% of all ad revenue for the first time since post-freeze days.

> Non traditional outlets permitting mass customization vs traditional radio
> where answers to complaints are met with prepackaged corporate non
> responses, and listeners can be disenfranchised by a statistician in a
> locked room.

There are a percentage of people to whom mass appeal offerings are not appealing, starting with teens and over 55. Radio can not afford to go after these groups, so they must find alternatives.

3935. Re: VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:49:24 UTC
From: Von Fourche <Khonakong@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EK7xg.2408$gF6.2030@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85020

"Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:si6xg.4033$bP5.2146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Von Fourche wrote:
>
>> So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
>> certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?
>>
>>
>
> Is that a VOA source or just another troll? I've been listening to VOA
> over the past week in the afternoons and the English to Africa and nothing
> was mentioned. Nor anything else has been mentioned about it.

From what I've read VOA English is shutting down because of budget cuts
or something.

3936. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:56:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C509D3.54C1CBE7@milestones.com>
Source record: 85021

David Frackelton Gleason, prancing as 'Eduardo' and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:KTZwg.456050$Fs1.403943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
>
> >> What station? I'm curious about this...
>
> > I'm having trouble receiving WLS. The offending station, I'm not
> > sure. I can't make out much due to the IBOC rash from there down.
> >
> >
> > I'd be interested in what your engineer has to say.
>
> I am going to contact him today. He is in the middle of an AM site move, so
> he has all the necesary gear out and can probably do a spot check.
>
> > If things were that dire, it would make sense to have a less
> > intrusive/obtrusive implementation strategy. Disenfranchising local
> > listening with digital noise on a band that's fighting for it's survival,
> > is like everything that's been done to AM in the last 30 years:
> > shortsighted, and ultimately, counterproductive.
>
> This is about the first case of such interference with a "big" station I
> have heard of. In some cases, there are cases of stations losing fringe
> coverage, such as Salem's decision to turn off HD on WIND to protect the
> very rural coverage of 540 outside Milwaukee. But, for most broadcasters,
> there has been a reasoned decision to sacrifice some remote coverage for the
> improved quality of HD in the metros.
>
> It may be this is akin to making the decision to ride a flat on the rim to
> get out of dangerous traffic... you save your life, but ruin the rim. It is
> a trade off.
>
> >
> > Taking your scenario one step further... if AM is truly on it's last,
> > tentative legs, and AM stations of significant investment are in dire
> > straits, and if the large companies are beginning to move AM stations to
> > the FM band, where, say, in Chicago would stations like WBBM, WGN, WSCR,
> > WLS go? There are no open allocations. And it's not like there are any
> > allocations that would be worthy of sacrifice. And Young Talk was tried
> > here. It failed dismally. (Ask Turi Ryder how many times she's been here.)
> > Where would that content go? Where would Rush, Hannity, Levin, or even

> > Franken, Rhodes, Springer and Malloy go?
>
> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast KTAR
> on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
> interference issues.
>
> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did niche
> formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in DC.
>
> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an FM
> that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
>
> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less and
> less between swaps.
>
> There are some markets where AM just willnot survive, as there are literally
> no full coverage stations. In most rural areas the billing is now on FM, and
> the AMs are also dieing. A good example is Moberly, MO. The Class IV KWIX
> was famous as a local station that, in the 70's, billed over $1 million.
> Today, it barely does $100 thousand while the sister FM bills nearly $2
> million. KWIX was so famous that they even had a school where they trained
> sales management of smaller market stations. But the AM, in obviously
> competent hands, has become a rider on the FM bandwagon. It is a 1 kw
> operation on 1340, while the FM is a full C. Nobody in that part of Missouri
> is being deprived of AM service since I find about 8 FMs now put a 60 dbu
> over Moberly, so the local service has imporved.
> >
> >
> > More to the point, if AM is over in 10 or less, and you're looking at a
> > 5 year implementation, do you really think that there's a chance in less
> > than 5 years remaining you can rebuild what you've lost?
>
> I don't think it is about rebuilding. Once HD has adequater receivers, there
> will be new formats. Some, like WGN, made the mistake of ageing with the
> listener and may be dead for all time.
>
> > David, I certainly see how you've arrived at your conclusions, Radio
> > being what it has always been and all...but what you're describing is a
> > roll of the dice with far greater chance of crapping out than staying in
> > the game. Almost entirely by serving the needs of advertisers and
> > broadcasters over the needs of listeners who ultimately carry the water.
>
> The reason AM is losing viability is that it only attracts 55+ listeners.
> Advertisers do not buy this crowd, so eventually, stations start losing
> money. All free radio formats work only if there is advertiser support. This
> is why teen lsitening is lower than 18-54. We don't program to teens, as
> there is no revenue.
> >
> > And if Radio is really more about Radio than it is about the audience,

> > is it any wonder why listenership and revenues are eroding in favor of
> > alternative outlets?
>
> Revenue is not eroding. It is growing slowly, but is, in the last few years,
> over 8% of all ad revenue for the first time since post-freeze days.
>
> > Non traditional outlets permitting mass customization vs traditional radio
> > where answers to complaints are met with prepackaged corporate non
> > responses, and listeners can be disenfranchised by a statistician in a
> > locked room.
>
> There are a percentage of people to whom mass appeal offerings are not
> appealing, starting with teens and over 55. Radio can not afford to go after
> these groups, so they must find alternatives.

Your alternative might be hauling your prancing ass outta here and shilling
elsewhere.

3937. Re: VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 17:59:21 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZT7xg.2415$gF6.1488@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85022

Von Fourche wrote:

> "Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:si6xg.4033$bP5.2146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>Von Fourche wrote:
>>
>>
>>> So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
>>>certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Is that a VOA source or just another troll? I've been listening to VOA
>>over the past week in the afternoons and the English to Africa and nothing
>>was mentioned. Nor anything else has been mentioned about it.
>
>
>
> From what I've read VOA English is shutting down because of budget cuts
> or something.
>
>
>

Hmmmm, that's a new one to me even. I wouldn't be surprised if there
wasn't some reduction. I heard that one of the Eastern European services
for VOA was to be phased out at one point,but I cant remember which.

3938. Re: hahahaha....Billy "corncob" Smith sets record for stupid posts!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:01:08 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EV7xg.2417$gF6.424@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85023

Just like when I got to your momma, right beerbarrel.

> I got to that corncobber for sure!
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

3939. Re: Hahaha.....Corncob had a nerve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:01:42 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aW7xg.2419$gF6.1656@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85024

No, but your momma sure likes it.

Beerbarrel wrote:

> Struck a nerve with ole corncob....hahahahaha....
>
>
> Like those cobs chocolate covered do ya billy?
> --
>
> KE4ODD
>
> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> prove it.
>
>
> *Used with express written permission of its creator.

3940. Re: VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:04:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C50B92.BE1C0FA4@milestones.com>
Source record: 85025

Billy Smith wrote:

> Von Fourche wrote:
>
> > "Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:si6xg.4033$bP5.2146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> >>Von Fourche wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
> >>>certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Is that a VOA source or just another troll? I've been listening to VOA
> >>over the past week in the afternoons and the English to Africa and nothing
> >>was mentioned. Nor anything else has been mentioned about it.
> >
> >
> >
> > From what I've read VOA English is shutting down because of budget cuts
> > or something.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Hmmmm, that's a new one to me even. I wouldn't be surprised if there
> wasn't some reduction. I heard that one of the Eastern European services
> for VOA was to be phased out at one point,but I cant remember which.

I believe I posted a few things about the projected cuts or lack therof sometime
back.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3941. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:04:54 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <9e653$44c5072a$d8c4cb65$925@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85018

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:KTZwg.456050$Fs1.403943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>
>
> {content stipulated.}
>
>
>
> >> So, let me put it this way....WLS is strong in Lake County.
Even though
> >> the signal is not 15mv/m. Lake County listenership is high, and
WLS is a
> >> strong radio station, here. And yet, here, HD rash has been
encroaching on
> >> WLS, to the degree that it's now sometimes virtually impossible
to hear,
> >> much less enjoy....and this HD rash is coming from another radio
station.
> >> You telling me this is acceptable? Even when WLS, itself is NOT
> >> transmitting HD and so no digital solution is available? (the
C-Quam pilot
> >> is still lit and the station is still in stereo, even as of 00:30
UTC
> >> today.
> >
> > What station? I'm curious about this...
>
>
> I'm having trouble receiving WLS. The offending station, I'm
not
> sure. I can't make out much due to the IBOC rash from there down.
>
>
> I'd be interested in what your engineer has to say.
>
> I can send you audio files of the AM band from my location, if
> you'd like.
>
>
>
>
>

>
> > This is not an easy discussion, and the first step is accepting
that AM will
> > not exist in 10 years or less if something is not done. There is
no other
> > solution than HD. Either it works, or the band dies. Nobody is
coming into
> > the party, and the ones already there are undesirable to
advertisers.
> >
>
>
> If things were that dire, it would make sense to have a less
> intrusive/obtrusive implementation strategy. Disenfranchising local
> listening with digital noise on a band that's fighting for it's
> survival, is like everything that's been done to AM in the last 30
> years: shortsighted, and ultimately, counterproductive.
>
>
> Taking your scenario one step further... if AM is truly on it's
last,
> tentative legs, and AM stations of significant investment are in
dire
> straits, and if the large companies are beginning to move AM
stations
> to the FM band, where, say, in Chicago would stations like WBBM,
WGN,
> WSCR, WLS go? There are no open allocations. And it's not like there
are
> any allocations that would be worthy of sacrifice. And Young Talk
was
> tried here. It failed dismally. (Ask Turi Ryder how many times she's
> been here.) Where would that content go? Where would Rush, Hannity,
> Levin, or even Franken, Rhodes, Springer and Malloy go?

Cincinnati is in the same boat. Between Dayton,
Columbus, Lexington, Louisville, Indy and us,
there's no bandwidth to move major AM stations
(the big ones locally: 550, 700, 1360, and 1530).
The only way to do that is to remove the format
on some other stations, and someone's going to
lose out. Which is it? Urban contemporary?
Mix? Album oriented rock? Classic rock?

If Clear Channel wants to move any of their
four AM stations, they'll have to give up one
of those formats to do it. Or buy more stations,
but those stations are owned (for the most part)
by other mega conglomerates who aren't selling.

> More to the point, if AM is over in 10 or less, and you're
looking at
> a 5 year implementation, do you really think that there's a chance
in
> less than 5 years remaining you can rebuild what you've lost?
>
>
> David, I certainly see how you've arrived at your conclusions,
Radio
> being what it has always been and all...but what you're describing
is a
> roll of the dice with far greater chance of crapping out than
staying in
> the game. Almost entirely by serving the needs of advertisers and
> broadcasters over the needs of listeners who ultimately carry the
water.

Peter, you know, this might be a good thing in the
end. If AM ceases to be viable from a corporate
perspective, some local group will come along and
buy the stations and put on their own thing. In
a bizarre sort of way, it might lead to a renaissance
in the AM format; the big boys leave, and someone
will fill in the blank spaces.

There may be fewer listeners, but the radio will be
oriented more toward what those listeners want,
rather than packaged demographics.

> And if Radio is really more about Radio than it is about the
> audience, is it any wonder why listenership and revenues are eroding
in
> favor of alternative outlets? Non traditional outlets permitting
mass
> customization vs traditional radio where answers to complaints are
met
> with prepackaged corporate non responses, and listeners can be
> disenfranchised by a statistician in a locked room.
>
> Can you see now why I've turned down offers to return?

You're a wise man, Peter, if you ask me.

--Mike L.

3942. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:20:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C50F84.8AAE6A5E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85026

"Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C3E260.4527EC6E@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> > > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
> radio-mart and
> > > can't even test it ???????????
> > >
> > >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategor
yZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >
> > Still at $1590.00 with about 21 hours to go.
>
> It's called SHILL BIDDING.

ANY bid is a SHILL bid for many of you KOOKS.

> Anyone who'd pay that
> much for an un-tested radio DESERVES to be ass-screwed
> out of their money.

You know about ass-screwing, don't you 'tard?

Capt... meet Eduardo...

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3943. Re: Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:28:10 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <_i8xg.57750$Eh1.7556@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 85027

& all the political & other off-topic posters; they'll make ya popular &
hopefully take 'em off here! : }

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:a4GdnQ9WnO2Z01nZnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> be sure to get chuchulin to join.....
>
>
> <johnaboat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153710278.877904.183330@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Join the RR Yahoo group:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/johnaboat/
> >
>
>

3944. Re: Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:40:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C51436-705@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85030

yahoo = Spammers R US.
I reckon y'all have forgotten about yahoo Spammers R US,about five or six years ago.
If yahoo ever emails me ever again,I WILL take that so-called prez of yahoo Spammers R US to COURT!
cuhulin

3945. Re: Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:42:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23235-44C5147A-129@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85029

I aint jinin nuttun!
cuhulin

3946. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:43:10 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2x8xg.459889$Fs1.129086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85028

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:KTZwg.456050$Fs1.403943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>>> What station? I'm curious about this...
>
>> I'm having trouble receiving WLS. The offending station, I'm not
>> sure. I can't make out much due to the IBOC rash from there down.
>>
>>
>> I'd be interested in what your engineer has to say.
>
> I am going to contact him today. He is in the middle of an AM site move, so
> he has all the necesary gear out and can probably do a spot check.
>

Thank you. Today's actually been a pretty good day. I haven't seen
this much signal on 890 here in a couple of weeks, so what noise there
is less than it was last week, when I could barely make out the station.

>> If things were that dire, it would make sense to have a less
>> intrusive/obtrusive implementation strategy. Disenfranchising local
>> listening with digital noise on a band that's fighting for it's survival,
>> is like everything that's been done to AM in the last 30 years:
>> shortsighted, and ultimately, counterproductive.
>
> This is about the first case of such interference with a "big" station I
> have heard of. In some cases, there are cases of stations losing fringe
> coverage, such as Salem's decision to turn off HD on WIND to protect the
> very rural coverage of 540 outside Milwaukee. But, for most broadcasters,
> there has been a reasoned decision to sacrifice some remote coverage for the
> improved quality of HD in the metros.
>
> It may be this is akin to making the decision to ride a flat on the rim to
> get out of dangerous traffic... you save your life, but ruin the rim. It is
> a trade off.

I can see where the decision is made. But what I can't see is how, so
piecemeal this implementation is being done. A strategy to broadcasters,
perhaps, but chaos to the listeners, who do, after all account for those
who pay the freight.

>
>> Taking your scenario one step further... if AM is truly on it's last,

>> tentative legs, and AM stations of significant investment are in dire
>> straits, and if the large companies are beginning to move AM stations to
>> the FM band, where, say, in Chicago would stations like WBBM, WGN, WSCR,
>> WLS go? There are no open allocations. And it's not like there are any
>> allocations that would be worthy of sacrifice. And Young Talk was tried
>> here. It failed dismally. (Ask Turi Ryder how many times she's been here.)
>> Where would that content go? Where would Rush, Hannity, Levin, or even
>> Franken, Rhodes, Springer and Malloy go?
>
> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast KTAR
> on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
> interference issues.
>
> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did niche
> formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in DC.
>
> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an FM
> that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
>
> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less and
> less between swaps.
>

Something like that here, would be interesting to watch, give that
talk on FM, when tried, was not successful.

> There are some markets where AM just willnot survive, as there are literally
> no full coverage stations. In most rural areas the billing is now on FM, and
> the AMs are also dieing. A good example is Moberly, MO. The Class IV KWIX
> was famous as a local station that, in the 70's, billed over $1 million.
> Today, it barely does $100 thousand while the sister FM bills nearly $2
> million. KWIX was so famous that they even had a school where they trained
> sales management of smaller market stations. But the AM, in obviously
> competent hands, has become a rider on the FM bandwagon. It is a 1 kw
> operation on 1340, while the FM is a full C. Nobody in that part of Missouri
> is being deprived of AM service since I find about 8 FMs now put a 60 dbu
> over Moberly, so the local service has imporved.

I remember KWIX. Listened to it many times while travelling. KWIX
was one of the reasons why I was so excited to get the offer from KOEL,
another monster in the middle of a cornfield in Iowa, but that convered
50 counties in 3 states. I had more listeners on KOEL at night than some
cities had population.

They're a shade of their former selves, now.

>>
>> More to the point, if AM is over in 10 or less, and you're looking at a
>> 5 year implementation, do you really think that there's a chance in less
>> than 5 years remaining you can rebuild what you've lost?
>

> I don't think it is about rebuilding. Once HD has adequater receivers, there
> will be new formats. Some, like WGN, made the mistake of ageing with the
> listener and may be dead for all time.

They're trying. Todd Manley is the imaging director there, and he's
done a lot to hip the place up. Callers are sounding younger, too.
Whether there's hope...no telling. That would be one I'd be very sorry
to see go.

3947. Re: WOULD YOU.....Peter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 18:45:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C51534-707@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85031

$350.00 is too much to spend for any kind of repair on anything.
cuhulin

3948. Re: WOULD YOU.....Peter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:00:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C518C4.43C0B316@milestones.com>
Source record: 85032

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> $350.00 is too much to spend for any kind of repair on anything.

Sometimes one has to spend money to make money.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3949. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:01:14 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <_N8xg.55565$VE1.8062@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85033

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2x8xg.459889$Fs1.129086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast
>> KTAR on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
>> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
>> interference issues.
>>
>> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did
>> niche formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in
>> DC.
>>
>> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an
>> FM that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
>>
>> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less
>> and less between swaps.
>>
>
>
> Something like that here, would be interesting to watch, give that talk
> on FM, when tried, was not successful.

I do not think that the idea that you can do talk for people who are not into talk will ever work. Talk listeners, aside from morning shows or potty talk, appeals to 35+ in any language. So the issue is to make the delivery method and the format relevant to the 35-44 group that should listen to talk, but does not because they grew up after AM was the music medium of choice and do not like it.

Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.

Since stations on AM went to talk formats because they could not do music one, we know music is the last thing that may come back to AM with HD. For a start, talk shows will sound better to the 35-44 demo and help keep stations with a good balance of 35-54 to counter the "old" perception by buyers.

Asking under-35's, in their majority, to listen to any kind of talk with content is not going to work. I have done personality heavy stations that played 4 or 5 songs an hour all day, but there was a music base and the talk was not political... it was lifestyle. I think we will see some creative attempts and a bunch of failures before we find out how to make AM become

relevant to younger demos. Personally, I think it is going to be fun. And in the long run, it will benefit listeners.... look how the fear of death after the TV freeze forced music radio to develop into a robust alternative.

3950. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:07:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com>
Source record: 85034

David Frackelton Gleason, prancing as 'Eduardo' and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:2x8xg.459889$Fs1.129086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>
> >> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast
> >> KTAR on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
> >> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
> >> interference issues.
> >>
> >> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did
> >> niche formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in
> >> DC.
> >>
> >> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an
> >> FM that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
> >>
> >> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less
> >> and less between swaps.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Something like that here, would be interesting to watch, give that talk
> > on FM, when tried, was not successful.
>
> I do not think that the idea that you can do talk for people who are not
> into talk will ever work. Talk listeners, aside from morning shows or potty
> talk, appeals to 35+ in any language. So the issue is to make the delivery
> method and the format relevant to the 35-44 group that should listen to
> talk, but does not because they grew up after AM was the music medium of
> choice and do not like it.
>
> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they tend
> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these listeners
> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.

If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are you doing HERE, boy?

If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even more.

Once again, hit the road, prancing shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3951. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:12:38 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153768358.832858.157850@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85035

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
> >
> > It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
> > response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear the
> > difference on a clock radio.
> >
> > Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with
> > the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area
> > but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I
> > find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty
> > loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.
>
> Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract younger
> demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
> somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
> reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.

This is all perfectly consistent with the fact that you are B-U-S-T-E-D!

3952. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:24:11 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85036

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
>
>>
>> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
>> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they
>> tend
>> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these
>> listeners
>> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.
>
> If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are you doing
> HERE,
> boy.

I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred point of
vie wor not.
>
> If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even more.

I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew up on
both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.

I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in your
car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can get. The
passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to "that noise."

Most listeners are not DXers and don't want fading, static and such.
>
> Once again, hit the road, prancing shill.

I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Ju�rez, Chih.

3953. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:40:38 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153770038.390980.41930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85037

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
> >> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they
> >> tend
> >> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these
> >> listeners
> >> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.
> >
> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are you doing
> > HERE,
> > boy.
>
> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred point of
> vie wor not.

What the future holds is your continuing to be BUSTED.

> >
> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even more.
>
> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew up on
> both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>
> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in your
> car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can get. The
> passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to "that noise."
>
> Most listeners are not DXers and don't want fading, static and such.

Most listeners aren't BUSTED, either.

> >
> > Once again, hit the road, prancing shill.
>
> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juárez, Chih.

May Montezuma exact his most terrible revenge on you.

3954. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:51:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C524A5.AACD7E1A@milestones.com>
Source record: 85038

David Eduardo wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
> >> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they
> >> tend
> >> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these
> >> listeners
> >> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.
> >
> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are you doing
> > HERE,
> > boy.
>
> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred point of
> vie wor not.
> >
> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even more.
>
> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew up on
> both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>
> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in your
> car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can get. The
> passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to "that noise."
>
> Most listeners are not DXers and don't want fading, static and such.
> >
> > Once again, hit the road, prancing shill.
>
> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juï¿½rez, Chih.

Prancing territory?

3955. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 19:52:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153770761.484399.233230@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85039

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153699515.362967.224920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1153694250.180831.104740@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Listeners like you are not of any "value" to the local market. Since
> >> >> ratings
> >> >> show listening to stations by location, your listening to DX does not
> >> >> help
> >> >> the distant station... since your listening shows up in Grand Rapids,
> >> >> not
> >> >> in
> >> >> the home market to the station.
> >> >
> >> > At least he's not BUSTED!!
> >>
> >> Whatever that means.
> >>
> >> Are you DX's sock puppet? You both post the same gibberish, with no
> >> content,
> >> no facts, no suggestions and no information. You just are here to insult
> >> others.
> >>
> >> At least DX had a brief exchange about Niger today. You don't apport
> >> anything.
> >
> > LOL! You're just mad because you got caught today with your hand in the
> > cookie jar.
>
> Huh? No such thing. All you have done is typed "BUSTED" over and over.

That's because you're BUSTED!

3956. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:05:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153771529.134774.8460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85040

dxAce wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> >
> > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
>
> The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
listening for a while.

Steve

3957. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:12:06 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C52996.B7204980@milestones.com>
Source record: 85041

Steve wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > >
> > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> >
> > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> listening for a while.

Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going on up frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
>
> Steve

3958. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:15:27 UTC
From: Fung Gu Tu <dxass@wipe.net>
Message-ID: <zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85042

dxAce wrote:
>
> Obsessed!

You sure are obsessed!

You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting out his mother's obituary fer crissake!

Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!

3959. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:20:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C52B81.482B98B5@milestones.com>
Source record: 85043

Fung Gu Tu wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > Obsessed!
>
> You sure are obsessed!
>
> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!

Ferreting? Heck, it's right there on the prancers website fer crissake!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3960. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:24:46 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i0axg.169246$mF2.67739@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85044

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:2x8xg.459889$Fs1.129086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast
>>> KTAR on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
>>> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
>>> interference issues.
>>>
>>> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did
>>> niche formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in
>>> DC.
>>>
>>> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an
>>> FM that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
>>>
>>> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less
>>> and less between swaps.
>>>
>>
>> Something like that here, would be interesting to watch, give that talk
>> on FM, when tried, was not successful.
>
> I do not think that the idea that you can do talk for people who are not
> into talk will ever work. Talk listeners, aside from morning shows or potty
> talk, appeals to 35+ in any language. So the issue is to make the delivery
> method and the format relevant to the 35-44 group that should listen to
> talk, but does not because they grew up after AM was the music medium of
> choice and do not like it.
>
> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they tend
> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these listeners
> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.
>
> Since stations on AM went to talk formats because they could not do music
> one, we know music is the last thing that may come back to AM with HD. For a
> start, talk shows will sound better to the 35-44 demo and help keep stations
> with a good balance of 35-54 to counter the "old" perception by buyers.
>
> Asking under-35's, in their majority, to listen to any kind of talk with
> content is not going to work. I have done personality heavy stations that
> played 4 or 5 songs an hour all day, but there was a music base and the talk
> was not political... it was lifestyle. I think we will see some creative
> attempts and a bunch of failures before we find out how to make AM become

> relevant to younger demos. Personally, I think it is going to be fun. And in
> the long run, it will benefit listeners.... look how the fear of death after
> the TV freeze forced music radio to develop into a robust alternative.
>
>

If you're right, and I'm not fully convinced you are about this, what you're suggesting is something of a second, if not third, Golden Age, where innovation is survival, and creativity sells.

IF that were to happen, you may be on to something very exciting. But it would take some visionary players. And some investors 'hands off.'

3961. S10d The Czech Lady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:25:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153772729.244496.280770@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85045

Recorded this not too long ago...

http://renopithicus2.tripod.com/8175numbers.wav

3962. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:26:25 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <R1axg.134833$dW3.122982@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85098

"Fung Gu Tu" <dxass@wipe.net> wrote in message
news:zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> dxAce wrote:
>>
>> Obsessed!
>
>
> You sure are obsessed!
>
> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a certain
> model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting out his
> mother's obituary fer crissake!
>
> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!

Well, with summer static and all that, he needs something to keep himself
busy.

The funny thing is that he finds things I post to the website within hours
of their posting.

Were I a Hollywood actor, I could claim I was so famous I had my own
stalker.

David
(the one with the R-75)

3963. Re: S10d The Czech Lady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:26:55 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153772815.636866.296770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85046

Steve wrote:
> Recorded this not too long ago...
>
> http://renopithicus2.tripod.com/8175numbers.wav

This was recorded on 8175 usb in the early evening hours. I haven't heard her again, but I've only looked a few times.

Steve

3964. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:27:03 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <r2axg.169252$mF2.142146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85047

David Eduardo wrote:

> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juï¿½rez, Chih.

Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?

p

>
>

3965. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:29:30 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153772969.977101.172540@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85099

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Fung Gu Tu" <dxass@wipe.net> wrote in message
> news:zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> > dxAce wrote:
> >>
> >> Obsessed!
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed!
> >
> > You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> > memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a certain
> > model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting out his
> > mother's obituary fer crissake!
> >
> > Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
>
> Well, with summer static and all that, he needs something to keep himself
> busy.
>
> The funny thing is that he finds things I post to the website within hours
> of their posting.
>
> Were I a Hollywood actor, I could claim I was so famous I had my own
> stalker.
>
> David
> (the one with the R-75)

Yeah, but you're not a Hollywood actor. You're just BUSTED.

3966. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:30:59 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C52E03.3B438289@milestones.com>
Source record: 85100

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Fung Gu Tu" <dxass@wipe.net> wrote in message
> news:zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> > dxAce wrote:
> >>
> >> Obsessed!
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed!
> >
> > You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> > memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a certain
> > model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting out his
> > mother's obituary fer crissake!
> >
> > Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
>
> Well, with summer static and all that, he needs something to keep himself
> busy.
>
> The funny thing is that he finds things I post to the website within hours
> of their posting.

Many times in minutes!

> Were I a Hollywood actor, I could claim I was so famous I had my own
> stalker.
>
> David
> (the one with the R-75)

The one who can't read the faceplate!

LMFAO at the poseur, yet again.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3967. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:35:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C52EF6.8C00EC3C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85101

D Peter Maus wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juï¿½rez, Chih.
>
> Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?

He hasn't the time as he's not packing curds...

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3968. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:38:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4daxg.134914$dW3.132628@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85103

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:r2axg.169252$mF2.142146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Ju�rez, Chih.
>
>
> Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?

The goat cheese I had with breakfast was delicious.

3969. Re: RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:38:30 UTC
From: John <johnpwr@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153773510.569065.200640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85102

nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> Hey everyone! As you might know by now I'm the proud owner of a new
> Sony 7600GR and a Kaito 1103! I'll be headed to the Maldives and
> Seychelles on business soon, and I'd like to try my hand at
> ute-monitoring. I just downloaded jvcomm software, now all I need are
> freqs. I haven't been able to find a good list online (at least one
> that's not a few years old.) Can anyone help?

Try these! Only a few days old:

4583.0 50/460 DDK2 WX
4268.7 120/576 CFH FAX-WX map
4217.5 75/804 CFH WX
4295.5 75/828 FUE Test
5097.2 75/860 CFH WX
13510.3 75/840 CFH WX
8439.3 75/850 PBB TEST
8038.3 120/576 GFA FAX-WX map
10101.4 50/440 DDK9 WX
3782.5 75/850 CTP TEST
12654.3 SitB 100/170 TAH WX

//John

3970. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:42:12 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Egaxg.169292$mF2.5915@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85104

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:r2axg.169252$mF2.142146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>>> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juï¿½rez, Chih.
>>
>> Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?
>
> The goat cheese I had with breakfast was delicious.
>
>

I'm a big fan of Chihuahua cheese...I just don't know how they sit on
those little stools.

3971. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:44:06 UTC
From: John <johnpwr@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153773846.728893.184580@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85105

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > >
> > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > >
> > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > listening for a while.
>
> Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going on up
> frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
> >
> >
> > Steve

Been listening since 2000UTC (Boston, MA) no copy.

Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??

//John

3972. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 20:51:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C532E9.CFA23480@milestones.com>
Source record: 85106

John wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > > > >
> > > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> > > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> > > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > > >
> > > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > > listening for a while.
> > >
> > Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going on up
> > frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve
>
> Been listening since 2000UTC (Boston, MA) no copy.
>
> Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??

Most utility and military comms are USB. The frequency is 6218.

The activity is currently almost continuos at the moment. You can't miss it.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3973. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:05:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5362E.CA88B2B5@milestones.com>
Source record: 85107

dxAce wrote:

> John wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> > > > > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > USA
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > > > > listening for a while.
> > > >
> > > > Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going on
up
> > > > frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
> > > >
> > > > dxAce
> > > > Michigan
> > > > USA
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Steve
> > >
> > > Been listening since 2000UTC (Boston, MA) no copy.
> > >
> > > Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??
> >
> Most utility and military comms are USB. The frequency is 6218.

>
> The activity is currently almost continuos at the moment. You can't miss it.

The upper frequency seems to be around 6219.5 USB with what sounds like comms in Chinese, around the same level as the comms on 6218. They don't talk very often.

Wonder if it's some sort of joint manoeuvres with Taiwan?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3974. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:21:25 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153776085.666256.254720@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85108

dxAce wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
>
> > John wrote:
> >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder what
> > > > > > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > USA
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > > > > > listening for a while.
> > > > >
> > > > > Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going on
up
> > > > > frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Steve
> > > >
> > > > Been listening since 2000UTC (Boston, MA) no copy.
> > > >
> > > > Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??
> > >

> > Most utility and military comms are USB. The frequency is 6218.
> >
> > The activity is currently almost continuos at the moment. You can't miss it.
>
> The upper frequency seems to be around 6219.5 USB with what sounds like comms in Chinese,
> around the same level as the comms on 6218. They don't talk very often.
>
> Wonder if it's some sort of joint manoeuvres with Taiwan?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Yeah, I'm hearing that too. It does sound like Chinese.

3975. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:41:30 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C53E8A.BFCE47AD@milestones.com>
Source record: 85109

Fung Gu Tu wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > Obsessed!
>
> You sure are obsessed!
>
> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!
>
> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!

Thanks Edweenie! I appreciate it!

LMFAO

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3976. Re: VOA Shutting Down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:44:46 UTC
From: danr_18@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153777486.753807.33980@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85110

A few days ago, they had this post on the DXLD:

http://www.worldofradio.com/dxld6106.txt

"Voice of Armenia announced in its German broadcasts on
Sunday, Monday and Tuesday that they will "soon" leave shortwave:"

When I first scanned it, I read Voice of America.... When I actually
read it, I realized what was actually written... :-)

I guess it's related to how your mind really reads things:
http://joi.ito.com/archives/2003/09/14/ordering_of_letters_dont_matter.html

dxAce wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
>
> > Von Fourche wrote:
> >
> > > "Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:si6xg.4033$bP5.2146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >>Von Fourche wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>> So the VOA English service is completely shutting down? Is that 100%
> > >>>certain? What about VOA English to Africa? What about Special English?
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>Is that a VOA source or just another troll? I've been listening to VOA
> > >>over the past week in the afternoons and the English to Africa and nothing
> > >>was mentioned. Nor anything else has been mentioned about it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From what I've read VOA English is shutting down because of budget cuts
> > > or something.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Hmmmm, that's a new one to me even. I wouldn't be surprised if there
> > wasn't some reduction. I heard that one of the Eastern European services
> > for VOA was to be phased out at one point,but I cant remember which.
>
> I believe I posted a few things about the projected cuts or lack therof sometime

> back.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

3977. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:46:38 UTC
From: Robert Sherrod <rtsherrod@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <2dbxg.95203$1i1.11836@attbi_s72>
Source record: 85111

Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n wrote:
> "James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
>> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
>> for another!
>
> Never ever charge NiMh cells in a NiCad charger.
> It will OVER-CHARGE them every time. However the
> radio should have had some kind of an over-voltage
> circuit built into it. That said however, after
> that experience are you are REALLY going to buy
> *another one* of these cheap Chinese made Eton
> pieces of junk??? Get yourself a Sony or a Grundig
> or better yet a vintage Radio Shack or GE or Panasonic
> shortwave. They were built far better than the modern
> day crap and their analog tuning is best for swl listening.
>

I assume you are referring to vintage Grundigs or European Grundigs. All "Grundig" radios sold in the United States are produced by Eton Corp and the Grundig name is licensed. I have a "Grundig" Mini 30PE produced by Eton and have had no problems with it.

When recommending vintage shortwave receivers Zenith Transoceanic's should also be considered. They were rugged receivers and very well designed.

Thanks,

Bobby
KC9IHK

3978. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:51:34 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-8F7539.14513424072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85112

In article <qf7xg.11632$2v.8857@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
> >
> > It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
> > response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear the
> > difference on a clock radio.
> >
> > Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with
> > the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area
> > but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I
> > find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty
> > loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.
>
> Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract younger
> demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
> somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
> reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.

Well I suggest that you find out what the 35-44 group wants to talk
about then.

I'm not sure how you came to the conclusion the 35-44 group is not
listening. I hear plenty of people calling in to talk shows that are in
that age group. Often they call from work during the day or home later
in the day.

Their is no sense in putting music on AM except for the nostalgia
stations like I used to list to as a kid WKBW 1520 in Buffalo.

I would listen to WKBW because they brought back some of the
personalities from the past and they were very entertaining (read
besides the music that was played) but it looks like they went to left
wing talk radio. Pretty ugly. This link should be instructive.

<http://wkbwradio.com/wwkb.htm>

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3979. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:59:24 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85113

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-8F7539.14513424072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <qf7xg.11632$2v.8857@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> > In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
>> >
>> > It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
>> > response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear
>> > the
>> > difference on a clock radio.
>> >
>> > Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with
>> > the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area
>> > but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I
>> > find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty
>> > loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.
>>
>> Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract
>> younger
>> demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
>> somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
>> reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.
>
> Well I suggest that you find out what the 35-44 group wants to talk
> about then.

We already know that. They just don't want to hear it on AM. This is why, as
I have posted several times, a growing group of major market AM news / talk
staitons have moved to FM or are simulcasting on FM... because they know the
AM delivery system is not acceptable to the 35-44 group.
>
> I'm not sure how you came to the conclusion the 35-44 group is not
> listening. I hear plenty of people calling in to talk shows that are in
> that age group. Often they call from work during the day or home later
> in the day.

Very, very few are listening. Keep in mind, talk shows screen calls and nuke
most of the Social Security age callers, and give preference to younger
sounding listeners. It only takes a few calls out of thousands to give this

impression. Most listeners are, however, over 45... 60% are over 55.
>
> Their is no sense in putting music on AM except for the nostalgia
> stations like I used to list to as a kid WKBW 1520 in Buffalo.

That does not work. Clear Channel tried "real oldies" going back to the 50's on a group of fairly decent AMs, and so did Entercom. None worked.

Standards is pure 65+. None of these groups is salable to advertisers.

With improved quality vai HD, AM will have further opportunites to appeal to 35-44 with existing formats and may develop new or niche formats.
>
> I would listen to WKBW because they brought back some of the
> personalities from the past and they were very entertaining (read
> besides the music that was played) but it looks like they went to left
> wing talk radio. Pretty ugly. This link should be instructive.

They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format that they could sell.

3980. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 21:59:42 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AB41D7.14594224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85114

In article <kj7xg.11634$2v.314@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-3BAEDE.01154724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > In article <1fZwg.71734$fb2.21041@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> The average listener does not spend any time thinking about
> >> >> radio. It is just "there."
> >> >
> >> > < Snip >
> >> >
> >> > I think plenty of people think about AM radio. What about all
> >> > the people that listen to talk radio? They don't think about it?
> >> > What about all the people that look to AM radio for news and
> >> > weather and traffic reports?
> >>
> >> They think about the shows or the content, not about "radio" per
> >> se.
> >
> > Well what do most people think then, that the voices are in their
> > head? Of course they think about radio. They have to take the time
> > to tune the stations in and program their favorites to memory.
>
> People do not spend any time thinking about how radio works, why one
> band has some programs and why another has others, etc. They think
> only as far as the on and off switch and the presets. It is an
> appliance, free, and easy to change. Nobody, short of those on this
> group and similar ones, spend any time considering how radio works.
>
> In the case of AM, the only thing listeners under 45 think is that it
> sounds bad. Period.

Where do you get this? How does AM sound worse to these people than FM?

> This is why the programming of WTOP in DC moved to FM, that of WNLS
> in Tallahassee moved to FM, of WTAR in Phoenix now going to simulcast
> on FM, KSL in Salt Lake doing the same thing... etc. These stations
> believe they have a viable format in, at least, 35-44, which they do
> not get, but believe they can get with the better sound quality of
> FM.

What we have here is a failure to communicate. People do think about radio when looking for programming content and when they find what they like program in the buttons on the radio so they can go right to it. People will listen at certain times to be entertained such as during car commutes because you can listen and drive at the same time.

I am not different than the majority of people in that I turn the radio on to hear the programming. If I want to "think" about radio I read and post to this news group.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3981. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:02:47 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <bsbxg.72505$Lm5.52910@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85115

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-AB41D7.14594224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <kj7xg.11634$2v.314@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
.
>>
>> In the case of AM, the only thing listeners under 45 think is that it
>> sounds bad. Period.
>
> Where do you get this? How does AM sound worse to these people than FM?

I "get that" by talking to listeners in person, or by having my staff do
that. AM is irrelevant due to sound quality. Under a certain age, you could
be giving away money and they would not listen because it is AM.
>
>> This is why the programming of WTOP in DC moved to FM, that of WNLS
>> in Tallahassee moved to FM, of WTAR in Phoenix now going to simulcast
>> on FM, KSL in Salt Lake doing the same thing... etc. These stations
>> believe they have a viable format in, at least, 35-44, which they do
>> not get, but believe they can get with the better sound quality of
>> FM.
>
> What we have here is a failure to communicate. People do think about
> radio when looking for programming content and when they find what they
> like program in the buttons on the radio so they can go right to it.

But they do not ask "why." They just select from a menu of free options.

3982. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:16:22 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-37ABCE.15162124072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85116

In article <_N8xg.55565$VE1.8062@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:2x8xg.459889$Fs1.129086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>
> >> In Phoenix, Bonneville bought a top 10 CHR, and is going to simulcast
> >> KTAR on it. In Salt Lake, they took a lower-performing FM and nuked the
> >> programming to simulcast KSL. In both cases, the AM had no coverage or
> >> interference issues.
> >>
> >> In Washington, they moved WTOP, the frequent #1 station to FM, and did
> >> niche formatting on the old AM channel which was the best Am signal in
> >> DC.
> >>
> >> Clear Channel took Tallahassee's best AM (1270) and moved news talk to an
> >> FM that was lower in billings and put only sports on the AM, WNLS.
> >>
> >> This sort of thing is starting to happen, with the intervals being less
> >> and less between swaps.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Something like that here, would be interesting to watch, give that talk
> > on FM, when tried, was not successful.
>
> I do not think that the idea that you can do talk for people who are not
> into talk will ever work. Talk listeners, aside from morning shows or potty
> talk, appeals to 35+ in any language. So the issue is to make the delivery
> method and the format relevant to the 35-44 group that should listen to
> talk, but does not because they grew up after AM was the music medium of
> choice and do not like it.
>
> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking to
> listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM LoFi they tend
> to be. This is because the band has no inherent stigma, and these listeners
> grew up on the sound... as awful as it is.
>
> Since stations on AM went to talk formats because they could not do music
> one, we know music is the last thing that may come back to AM with HD. For a
> start, talk shows will sound better to the 35-44 demo and help keep stations
> with a good balance of 35-54 to counter the "old" perception by buyers.
>
> Asking under-35's, in their majority, to listen to any kind of talk with

> content is not going to work. I have done personality heavy stations that
> played 4 or 5 songs an hour all day, but there was a music base and the talk
> was not political... it was lifestyle. I think we will see some creative
> attempts and a bunch of failures before we find out how to make AM become
> relevant to younger demos. Personally, I think it is going to be fun. And in
> the long run, it will benefit listeners.... look how the fear of death after
> the TV freeze forced music radio to develop into a robust alternative.

Give it a rest already! I have good stereo equipment at home in my car
and appreciate high fidelity reproduction in music. AM sounds good
because it is good not because I'm old and tolerant.

What are you, dense? You think I would listen to AMBCB if it did not
sound good? Why do think I generally do not listen to Internet radio?
Well I'll tell you why it sucks with all the digital artifacts in the
low bit rate stream.

Good God, I'm the one here complaining about the sound of DRM and
Internet streaming and you think that I think AMBCB is OK because I'm
tolerant?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3983. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:17:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16569-44C5470B-163@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85117

An 18 wheeler tanker truck turned over on I-55 in North Jackson earlier today.Five pee em WLBT tv news guy Burt Case said it was hauling Isonol (spelling) and that stuff is flammable (flam Mable) and that stuff stinks to high heaven.He said that stuff is used for making perfume.

You just got to love it.
cuhulin
.....
Oh Paddy Dear,did you hear?,,,, the news is going aroundddd,,,,,,,,,,,
.....

3984. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:25:11 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16569-44C548C7-164@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85119

Mr.Maus,,,,,,, I wont kiss up,but I reckon you are A OK.Can you whistle the Big Noise from Winetka thingy? I remember some of the whistling lyrics to it.Do you?
cuhulin

3985. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:28:07 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-4A171C.15280724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85118

In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
> >> is.
> >
> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
> > you doing HERE, boy.
>
> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
> point of vie wor not.
> >
> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
> > more.
>
> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>
> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> "that noise."

< Snip >

Then you change the station to a local one. I don't expect other people to listen to a weak distant noisy signal. Only people that are into distant radio reception are into that kind of listening but of course distant stations can be strong and sound just fine to myself and other people in the car that are not into distant station listening.

This is not a problem with AMBCB it is a social problem. I never turn the radio up as loud with other people in the car. Psychologically you generally don't want the radio as loud when you don't control it just like you don't want the car going as fast when you are not the driver. And generally you want some conversation so any noise from the radio competes with that.

Speakers are right next to the heads of people in the back seat and sound louder to them so any noise is much louder to them. When I'm in the back seat I generally ask the driver to turn it down whatever is playing.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3986. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:31:48 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16569-44C54A54-165@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85124

I have to watch/listen to The Andy Griffith Radio tv show on tv now.All
bets are off.
cuhulin

3987. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:34:13 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-1A6E7F.15341324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85120

In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >
> >>
> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
> >> is.
> >
> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
> > you doing HERE, boy.
>
> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
> point of vie wor not.
> >
> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
> > more.
>
> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>
> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> "that noise."
>
< Snip >

They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
stations. What a revelation this must be to you.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3988. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:35:35 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F565FA.15353524072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85121

In article <4daxg.134914$dW3.132628@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:r2axg.169252$mF2.142146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juï¿½rez, Chih.
> >
> >
> > Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?
>
> The goat cheese I had with breakfast was delicious.

The cheese you spread around here isn't as good.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3989. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:36:16 UTC
From: Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net>
Message-ID: <7liac2ddv5ipr1uhpoqjaap96brvn7p5u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 85122

And to think, I just suggested that you would make a good president.

It turns out that you are nothing but a KKK minded gutter snipe who
has to play with radios all the time because no human in his city
wants to associate with him.

Well,

You'd still probably be better than the savages in the current
administration, but that's not saying much. :-0

I'm not sure what you mean by the word "Knowledge" but my minds is
loaded with trillions of terabytes of accurate information about this
planet and the people who inhabit it unlike those who criticize me.

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 17:22:56 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Gabriel Rapture wrote:
>
>[crap snipped]
>
>The 'tard boy who previously posted here as 'Knowledge' is apparently back.
>
>dxAce
>Michigan
>USA

3990. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:42:06 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-522FBF.15420724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85123

In article <zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
Fung Gu Tu <dxass@wipe.net> wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > Obsessed!
>
>
> You sure are obsessed!
>
> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!
>
> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!

The only thing more obsessive is an individual such as yourself that
comes up with a new handle because you are such a coward.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3991. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:45:17 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A88031.15451724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85125

In article <R1axg.134833$dW3.122982@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Fung Gu Tu" <dxass@wipe.net> wrote in message
> news:zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> > dxAce wrote:
> >>
> >> Obsessed!
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed!
> >
> > You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> > memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a certain
> > model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting out his
> > mother's obituary fer crissake!
> >
> > Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
>
> Well, with summer static and all that, he needs something to keep himself
> busy.
>
> The funny thing is that he finds things I post to the website within hours
> of their posting.
>
> Were I a Hollywood actor, I could claim I was so famous I had my own
> stalker.

Yes, I agree that you have put on a good act posting in this news group.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3992. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:47:53 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85126

In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-8F7539.14513424072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <qf7xg.11632$2v.8857@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-EB36EC.01102324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com.
> >> ..
> >> > In article <%dZwg.71733$fb2.64394@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>,
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> FM in mono sounds better, even on a clock radio.
> >> >
> >> > It does not sound better to me. FM has a little more of a high end
> >> > response but that's about it. You sure as hell are not going to hear
> >> > the
> >> > difference on a clock radio.
> >> >
> >> > Like I said before AM sounds good. I don't see what the problem is with
> >> > the sound quality. The quality can go down when you go out of the area
> >> > but you won't consider that anyway because it is out of the market. I
> >> > find weak signal FM more annoying than weak signal AM. FM gets a pretty
> >> > loud background hiss on a weak signal when you turn up the volume.
> >>
> >> Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract
> >> younger
> >> demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
> >> somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
> >> reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.
> >
> > Well I suggest that you find out what the 35-44 group wants to talk
> > about then.
>
> We already know that. They just don't want to hear it on AM. This is why, as
> I have posted several times, a growing group of major market AM news / talk
> staitons have moved to FM or are simulcasting on FM... because they know the
> AM delivery system is not acceptable to the 35-44 group.
> >
> > I'm not sure how you came to the conclusion the 35-44 group is not
> > listening. I hear plenty of people calling in to talk shows that are in
> > that age group. Often they call from work during the day or home later

> > in the day.
>
> Very, very few are listening. Keep in mind, talk shows screen calls and nuke
> most of the Social Security age callers, and give preference to younger
> sounding listeners. It only takes a few calls out of thousands to give this
> impression. Most listeners are, however, over 45... 60% are over 55.
> >
> > Their is no sense in putting music on AM except for the nostalgia
> > stations like I used to list to as a kid WKBW 1520 in Buffalo.
>
> That does not work. Clear Channel tried "real oldies" going back to the 50's
> on a group of fairly decent AMs, and so did Entercom. None worked.
>
> Standards is pure 65+. None of these groups is salable to advertisers.
>
> With improved quality vai HD, AM will have further opportunites to appeal to
> 35-44 with existing formats and may develop new or niche formats.
> >
> > I would listen to WKBW because they brought back some of the
> > personalities from the past and they were very entertaining (read
> > besides the music that was played) but it looks like they went to left
> > wing talk radio. Pretty ugly. This link should be instructive.
>
> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format
> that they could sell.

They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are wrong.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3993. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:51:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-16017B.15513324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85127

In article <bsbxg.72505$Lm5.52910@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-AB41D7.14594224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <kj7xg.11634$2v.314@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> .
> >>
> >> In the case of AM, the only thing listeners under 45 think is that it
> >> sounds bad. Period.
> >
> > Where do you get this? How does AM sound worse to these people than FM?
>
> I "get that" by talking to listeners in person, or by having my staff do
> that. AM is irrelevant due to sound quality. Under a certain age, you could
> be giving away money and they would not listen because it is AM.
> >
> >> This is why the programming of WTOP in DC moved to FM, that of WNLS
> >> in Tallahassee moved to FM, of WTAR in Phoenix now going to simulcast
> >> on FM, KSL in Salt Lake doing the same thing... etc. These stations
> >> believe they have a viable format in, at least, 35-44, which they do
> >> not get, but believe they can get with the better sound quality of
> >> FM.
> >
> > What we have here is a failure to communicate. People do think about
> > radio when looking for programming content and when they find what they
> > like program in the buttons on the radio so they can go right to it.
>
> But they do not ask "why." They just select from a menu of free options.

Who said anything about why? Are you reading what I posted? I understand
that people not into radio spend no time thinking about it. Why do you
think that this is suddenly a problem with a certain age group and AMBCB?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3994. Re: a longwave thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 22:59:43 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-4F181A.15594324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85128

In article <weatherall.2bg0fu@news.radiobanter.com>,
weatherall <weatherall.2bg0fu@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

> I just joined Digital Spy forums a few days ago, and there seems to be
> an issue with longwave threads in the broadcast forum being shut down
> by moderators. So I thought I'd try starting a longwave thread here.
>
> Sounds like the Isle of Man might have a commercial longwave
> broadcaster in the near future. I was surprised to hear from other
> forum users there that cars in Europe have longwave reception in their
> radios. It must be decades since that happened here in the USA.
>
> Anyone have interesting longwave topics to discuss?

Europe has commercial broadcasters on the long wave band. I don't think
the long wave has ever had licensed stations in the Americas.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3995. (OT) Idea..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:04:52 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153782292.450991.54330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85129

1: Get a set of RCA Infra red TV Headphones; (RatShack Carries them)

2: set them up on the TV to test them out

3: disconnect them from the TV

4: hook them up near a window

5: Plug them into a portable shortwave on the Windowsill via the
Headphone jack

6: Wander about, listening to SWR, beamed to your I/R Headphones

thoughts? Comments?

3996. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:06:54 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F191A3.16065324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85131

In article <A1152803125.15535@isc.org>,
board@big-8.org (The Big-8 Management Board) wrote:

> RESULT
> rec.radio.shortwave will be removed
>
> The Big-8 Management Board has decided by consensus to remove the newsgroup
> rec.radio.shortwave. Voting began on 23 Jul 2006, and ended on 24 Jul 2006.
>
> This group will be removed on 31 Jul 2006.
>
>
> RATIONALE: remove rec.radio.shortwave
>
> The last on topic month was March 1995.
>
> There are other more suitable means of discussing short wave propagation,
> so rec.radio.shortwave is not a suitable candidate for conversion to a
> moderated newsgroup.
>
>
> HISTORY:
>
> The newsgroup rec.radio.shortwave was created in August 1988, with the first
> message posted in August 1988.
>
>
> DISTRIBUTION:
>
> This document has been posted to the following newsgroups:
>
> news.announce.newgroups
> news.groups
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> PROPONENT:
>
> Jim Riley <jimrtex@pipeline.com>
>
>
> CHANGE HISTORY:
>
> 2006-07-24 Results posted
> 2006-07-23 2nd RFD (Last Call for Comments)

> 2006-06-20 RFD posted
> 2006-07-10 RFD received

What a funny guy you are.
You should have posted this on April 1.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3997. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:07:02 UTC
From: Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net>
Message-ID: <jdkac2peud0jqcuan41uf4masu6vma789n@4ax.com>
Source record: 85130

Humor please kind sire.

I understand your first statement, but I don't follow you when you say
they have to keep buying new radios because of:

"They have to keep buying new receivers, because the old ones get
ruined by all the facials !"

I think I see the humor in what you are saying, but would you please
splain it to me? :-)

On 22 Jul 2006 15:33:01 -0700, sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:

>Yes, these SWL fanatics can't get out of bed without their cheap
>plastic SW receivers ! They have to keep buying new receivers, because
>the old ones get ruined by all the facials !

3998. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:30:43 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23236-44C55823-22@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85133

Nawwwww,,,, I wont say that one either,about Edmonton.(I am not knocking Edmonton) I have never been to Edmonton before,so what do I know?
cuhulin

3999. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:34:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153784047.393476.242480@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85132
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Baby Cakes wrote:
> > bless you and may you find peace
>
> May you die of your aids along with your daddy and shemale wife.
bless you and may you find peace

4000. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:34:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C558F0-745@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85268

www.devilfinder.com Warner Robins Georgia World War Two

You have something to say about Warner Robins,Georgia?
cuhulin

4001. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:36:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C5598F-746@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85269

I say you need to get your panties straightened out.Or do I do,dont wear
any undies at all.
cuhulin

4002. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:41:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZUcxg.9472$vO.5716@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85134

Gabriel Rapture <enraptured@charter.net> wrote in
news:q655c2ptqj6h0eitctj9dcb2lpu4thvgqn@4ax.com:

> Shortwave folks,,
>
> The more I listen to you folks here, the more I realize that most of
> you are INSANE and should be institutionalized. At this point it is
> time for me to call in a white PhD friend of mine who travels America
> trying to educate you all about race, and why Amerikkkans wants to
> slaughter everyone who is not "white". Listen to what he has to say
> and make the necessary positive changes in your life immediately.
> You can buy a new computer when you mess it up, but you can't buy a
> new brain. Stop the mad dog hatred!!

The sand niggers started it!

4003. Re: ! What kind of CARD,,, is the Race Card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nVcxg.9473$vO.9245@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85136

sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote in
news:1153607581.890048.127170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Gabriel Rapture wrote:
>> Shortwave folks,,
>>
>> The more I listen to you folks here, the more I realize that most of
>> you are INSANE and should be institutionalized. At this point it is
>> time for me to call in a white PhD friend of mine who travels America
>> trying to educate you all about race, and why Amerikkkans wants to
>> slaughter everyone who is not "white". Listen to what he has to say
>> and make the necessary positive changes in your life immediately.
>> You can buy a new computer when you mess it up, but you can't buy a
>> new brain. Stop the mad dog hatred!!
>>
>> Your minds are a terrible thing to waste!
>>
>> Tim "White man" Wise
>> ---
>> ------------------------ What Kind of Card is Race?
>>
>> The Absurdity (and Consistency) of White Denial
>>
>> By Tim Wise
>> ---
>> -------------------------- Published on Counterpunch,
>> www.counterpunch.org, April 24, 2006
>>
>> Recently, I was asked by someone in the audience of one of my
>> speeches, whether or not I believed that racism--though certainly a
>> problem--might also be something conjured up by people of color in
>> situations where the charge was inappropriate. In other words, did I
>> believe that occasionally folks play the so-called race card, as a
>> ploy to gain sympathy or detract from their own shortcomings? In the
>> process of his query, the questioner made his own opinion all too
>> clear (an unambiguous yes), and in that, he was not alone, as
>> indicated by the reaction of others in the crowd, as well as survey
>> data confirming that the belief in black malingering about racism is
>> nothing if not ubiquitous.
>>
>> It's a question I'm asked often, especially when there are several
>> high-profile news events transpiring, in which race informs part of
>> the narrative. Now is one of those times, as a few recent incidents

>> demonstrate: Is racism, for example, implicated in the alleged rape of
>> a young black woman by white members of the Duke University lacrosse
>> team? Was racism implicated in Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney's recent
>> confrontation with a member of the Capitol police? Or is racism
>> involved in the ongoing investigation into whether or not Barry
>> Bonds--as he is poised to eclipse white slugger Babe Ruth on the
>> all-time home run list--might have used steroids to enhance his
>> performance?*
>>
>> Although the matter is open to debate in any or all of these cases,
>> white folks have been quick to accuse blacks who answer in the
>> affirmative of playing the race card, as if their conclusions have
>> been reached not because of careful consideration of the facts as they
>> see them, but rather, because of some irrational (even borderline
>> paranoid) tendency to see racism everywhere. So too, discussions over
>> immigration, "terrorist" profiling, and Katrina and its aftermath
>> often turn on issues of race, and so give rise to the charge that as
>> regards these subjects, people of color are "overreacting" when they
>> allege racism in one or another circumstance.
>>
>> Asked about the tendency for people of color to play the "race card,"
>> I responded as I always do: First, by noting that the regularity with
>> which whites respond to charges of racism by calling said charges a
>> ploy, suggests that the race card is, at best, equivalent to the two
>> of diamonds. In other words, it's not much of a card to play, calling
>> into question why anyone would play it (as if it were really going to
>> get them somewhere). Secondly, I pointed out that white reluctance to
>> acknowledge racism isn't new, and it isn't something that manifests
>> only in situations where the racial aspect of an incident is arguable.
>> Fact is, whites have always doubted claims of racism at the time they
>> were being made, no matter how strong the evidence, as will be seen
>> below. Finally, I concluded by suggesting that whatever "card" claims
>> of racism may prove to be for the black and brown, the denial card is
>> far and away the trump, and whites play it regularly: a subject to
>> which we will return.
>>
>> Turning Injustice into a Game of Chance: The Origins of Race as "Card"
>>
>> First, let us consider the history of this notion: namely, that the
>> "race card" is something people of color play so as to distract the
>> rest of us, or to gain sympathy. For most Americans, the phrase
>> "playing the race card" entered the national lexicon during the O.J.
>> Simpson trial. Robert Shapiro, one of Simpson's attorneys famously
>> claimed, in the aftermath of his client's acquittal, that co-counsel
>> Johnnie Cochran had "played the race card, and dealt it from the
>> bottom of the deck." The allegation referred to Cochran's bringing up
>> officer Mark Fuhrman's regular use of the 'n-word' as potentially
>> indicative of his propensity to frame Simpson. To Shapiro, whose own
>> views of his client's innocence apparently shifted over time, the
>> issue of race had no place in the trial, and even if Fuhrman was a

>> racist, this fact had no bearing on whether or not O.J. had killed his
>> ex-wife and Ron Goldman. In other words, the idea that O.J. had been
>> framed because of racism made no sense and to bring it up was to
>> interject race into an arena where it was, or should have been,
>> irrelevant.
>>
>> That a white man like Shapiro could make such an argument, however,
>> speaks to the widely divergent way in which whites and blacks view our
>> respective worlds. For people of color--especially African
>> Americans--the idea that racist cops might frame members of their
>> community is no abstract notion, let alone an exercise in irrational
>> conspiracy theorizing. Rather, it speaks to a social reality about
>> which blacks are acutely aware. Indeed, there has been a history of
>> such misconduct on the part of law enforcement, and for black folks to
>> think those bad old days have ended is, for many, to let down their
>> guard to the possibility of real and persistent injury (1).
>>
>> So if a racist cop is the lead detective in a case, and the one who
>> discovers blood evidence implicating a black man accused of killing
>> two white people, there is a logical alarm bell that goes off in the
>> head of most any black person, but which would remain every bit as
>> silent in the mind of someone who was white. And this too is
>> understandable: for most whites, police are the helpful folks who get
>> your cat out of the tree, or take you around in their patrol car for
>> fun. For us, the idea of brutality or misconduct on the part of such
>> persons seems remote, to the point of being fanciful. It seems the
>> stuff of bad TV dramas, or at the very least, the past--that always
>> remote place to which we can consign our national sins and predations,
>> content all the while that whatever demons may have lurked in those
>> earlier times have long since been vanquished.
>>
>> To whites, blacks who alleged racism in the O.J. case were being
>> absurd, or worse, seeking any excuse to let a black killer off the
>> hook--ignoring that blacks on juries vote to convict black people of
>> crimes every day in this country. And while allegations of black
>> "racial bonding" with the defendant were made regularly after the
>> acquittal in Simpson's criminal trial, no such bonding, this time with
>> the victims, was alleged when a mostly white jury found O.J. civilly
>> liable a few years later. Only blacks can play the race card,
>> apparently; only they think in racial terms, at least to hear white
>> America tell it.
>>
>> Anything but Racism: White Reluctance to Accept the Evidence
>>
>> Since the O.J. trial, it seems as though almost any allegation of
>> racism has been met with the same dismissive reply from the bulk of
>> whites in the U.S. According to national surveys, more than three out
>> of four whites refuse to believe that discrimination is any real
>> problem in America (2). That most whites remain unconvinced of
>> racism's salience--with as few as six percent believing it to be a

>> "very serious problem," according to one poll in the mid 90s
>> (3)--suggests that racism-as-card makes up an awfully weak hand. While
>> folks of color consistently articulate their belief that racism is a
>> real and persistent presence in their own lives, these claims have had
>> very little effect on white attitudes. As such, how could anyone
>> believe that people of color would somehow pull the claim out of their
>> hat, as if it were guaranteed to make white America sit up and take
>> notice? If anything, it is likely to be ignored, or even attacked, and
>> in a particularly vicious manner.
>>
>> That bringing up racism (even with copious documentation) is far from
>> an effective "card" to play in order to garner sympathy, is evidenced
>> by the way in which few people even become aware of the studies
>> confirming its existence. How many Americans do you figure have even
>> heard, for example, that black youth arrested for drug possession for
>> the first time are incarcerated at a rate that is forty-eight times
>> greater than the rate for white youth, even when all other factors
>> surrounding the crime are identical (4)?
>>
>> How many have heard that persons with "white sounding names,"
>> according to a massive national study, are fifty percent more likely
>> to be called back for a job interview than those with "black sounding"
>> names, even when all other credentials are the same (5)?
>>
>> How many know that white men with a criminal record are slightly more
>> likely to be called back for a job interview than black men without
>> one, even when the men are equally qualified, and present themselves
>> to potential employers in an identical fashion (6)?
>>
>> How many have heard that according to the Justice Department, Black
>> and Latino males are three times more likely than white males to have
>> their vehicles stopped and searched by police, even though white males
>> are over four times more likely to have illegal contraband in our cars
>> on the occasions when we are searched (7)?
>>
>> How many are aware that black and Latino students are about half as
>> likely as whites to be placed in advanced or honors classes in school,
>> and twice as likely to be placed in remedial classes? Or that even
>> when test scores and prior performance would justify higher placement,
>> students of color are far less likely to be placed in honors classes
>> (8)? Or that students of color are 2-3 times more likely than whites
>> to be suspended or expelled from school, even though rates of serious
>> school rule infractions do not differ to any significant degree
>> between racial groups (9)?
>>
>> Fact is, few folks have heard any of these things before, suggesting
>> how little impact scholarly research on the subject of racism has had
>> on the general public, and how difficult it is to make whites, in
>> particular, give the subject a second thought.
>>

>> Perhaps this is why, contrary to popular belief, research indicates
>> that people of color are actually reluctant to allege racism, be it on
>> the job, or in schools, or anywhere else. Far from "playing the race
>> card" at the drop of a hat, it is actually the case (again, according
>> to scholarly investigation, as opposed to the conventional wisdom of
>> the white public), that black and brown folks typically "stuff" their
>> experiences with discrimination and racism, only making an allegation
>> of such treatment after many, many incidents have transpired, about
>> which they said nothing for fear of being ignored or attacked (10).
>> Precisely because white denial has long trumped claims of racism,
>> people of color tend to underreport their experiences with racial
>> bias, rather than exaggerate them. Again, when it comes to playing a
>> race card, it is more accurate to say that whites are the dealers with
>> the loaded decks, shooting down any evidence of racism as little more
>> than the fantasies of unhinged blacks, unwilling to take personal
>> responsibility for their own problems in life.
>>
>> Blaming the Victims for White Indifference
>>
>> Occasionally, white denial gets creative, and this it does by
>> pretending to come wrapped in sympathy for those who allege racism in
>> the modern era. In other words, while steadfastly rejecting what
>> people of color say they experience--in effect suggesting that they
>> lack the intelligence and/or sanity to accurately interpret their own
>> lives--such commentators seek to assure others that whites really do
>> care about racism, but simply refuse to pin the label on incidents
>> where it doesn't apply. In fact, they'll argue, one of the reasons
>> that whites have developed compassion fatigue on this issue is
>> precisely because of the overuse of the concept, combined with what we
>> view as unfair reactions to racism (such as affirmative action efforts
>> which have, ostensibly, turned us into the victims of racial bias). If
>> blacks would just stop playing the card where it doesn't belong, and
>> stop pushing for so-called preferential treatment, whites would revert
>> back to our prior commitment to equal opportunity, and our heartfelt
>> concern about the issue of racism.
>>
>> Don't laugh. This is actually the position put forward recently by
>> James Taranto, of the Wall Street Journal, who in January suggested
>> that white reluctance to embrace black claims of racism was really the
>> fault of blacks themselves, and the larger civil rights establishment
>> (11). As Taranto put it: "Why do blacks and whites have such divergent
>> views on racial matters? We would argue that it is because of the
>> course that racial policies have taken over the past forty years." He
>> then argues that by trying to bring about racial equality--but failing
>> to do so because of "aggregate differences in motivation, inclination
>> and aptitude" between different racial groups--policies like
>> affirmative action have bred "frustration and resentment" among
>> blacks, and "indifference" among whites, who decide not to think about
>> race at all, rather than engage an issue that seems so toxic to them.
>> In other words, whites think blacks use racism as a crutch for their

>> own inadequacies, and then demand programs and policies that fail to
>> make things much better, all the while discriminating against them as
>> whites. In such an atmosphere, is it any wonder that the two groups
>> view the subject matter differently?
>>
>> But the fundamental flaw in Taranto's argument is its
>> suggestion--implicit though it may be--that prior to the creation of
>> affirmative action, white folks were mostly on board the racial
>> justice and equal opportunity train, and were open to hearing about
>> claims of racism from persons of color. Yet nothing could be further
>> from the truth. White denial is not a form of backlash to the past
>> forty years of civil rights legislation, and white indifference to
>> claims of racism did not only recently emerge, as if from a previous
>> place where whites and blacks had once seen the world similarly.
>> Simply put: whites in every generation have thought there was no real
>> problem with racism, irrespective of the evidence, and in every
>> generation we have been wrong.
>>
>> Denial as an Intergenerational Phenomenon
>>
>> So, for example, what does it say about white rationality and white
>> collective sanity, that in 1963--at a time when in retrospect all
>> would agree racism was rampant in the United States, and before the
>> passage of modern civil rights legislation--nearly two-thirds of
>> whites, when polled, said they believed blacks were treated the same
>> as whites in their communities--almost the same number as say this
>> now, some forty-plus years later? What does it suggest about the
>> extent of white folks' disconnection from the real world, that in
>> 1962, eighty-five percent of whites said black children had just as
>> good a chance as white children to get a good education in their
>> communities (12)? Or that in May, 1968, seventy percent of whites said
>> that blacks were treated the same as whites in their communities,
>> while only seventeen percent said blacks were treated "not very well"
>> and only 3.5 percent said blacks were treated badly? (13)?
>>
>> What does it say about white folks' historic commitment to equal
>> opportunity--and which Taranto would have us believe has only been
>> rendered inoperative because of affirmative action--that in 1963,
>> three-fourths of white Americans told Newsweek, "The Negro is moving
>> too fast" in his demands for equality (14)? Or that in October 1964,
>> nearly two-thirds of whites said that the Civil Rights Act should be
>> enforced gradually, with an emphasis on persuading employers not to
>> discriminate, as opposed to forcing compliance with equal opportunity
>> requirements (15)?
>>
>> What does it say about whites' tenuous grip on mental health that in
>> mid-August 1969, forty-four percent of whites told a Newsweek/Gallup
>> National Opinion Survey that blacks had a better chance than they did
>> to get a good paying job--two times as many as said they would have a
>> worse chance? Or that forty-two percent said blacks had a better

>> chance for a good education than whites, while only seventeen percent
>> said they would have a worse opportunity for a good education, and
>> eighty percent saying blacks would have an equal or better chance? In
>> that same survey, seventy percent said blacks could have improved
>> conditions in the "slums" if they had wanted to, and were more than
>> twice as likely to blame blacks themselves, as opposed to
>> discrimination, for high unemployment in the black community (16).
>>
>> In other words, even when racism was, by virtually all accounts
>> (looking backward in time), institutionalized, white folks were
>> convinced there was no real problem. Indeed, even forty years ago,
>> whites were more likely to think that blacks had better opportunities,
>> than to believe the opposite (and obviously accurate) thing: namely,
>> that whites were advantaged in every realm of American life.
>>
>> Truthfully, this tendency for whites to deny the extent of racism and
>> racial injustice likely extends back far before the 1960s. Although
>> public opinion polls in previous decades rarely if ever asked
>> questions about the extent of racial bias or discrimination, anecdotal
>> surveys of white opinion suggest that at no time have whites in the
>> U.S. ever thought blacks or other people of color were getting a bad
>> shake. White Southerners were all but convinced that their black
>> slaves, for example, had it good, and had no reason to complain about
>> their living conditions or lack of freedoms. After emancipation, but
>> during the introduction of Jim Crow laws and strict Black Codes that
>> limited where African Americans could live and work, white newspapers
>> would regularly editorialize about the "warm relations" between whites
>> and blacks, even as thousands of blacks were being lynched by their
>> white compatriots.
>>
>> From Drapetomania to Victim Syndrome -- Viewing Resistance as Mental
>> Illness
>>
>> Indeed, what better evidence of white denial (even dementia) could one
>> need than that provided by "Doctor" Samuel Cartwright, a
>> well-respected physician of the 19th century, who was so convinced of
>> slavery's benign nature, that he concocted and named a disease to
>> explain the tendency for many slaves to run away from their loving
>> masters. Drapetomania, he called it: a malady that could be cured by
>> keeping the slave in a "child-like state," and taking care not to
>> treat them as equals, while yet striving not to be too cruel. Mild
>> whipping was, to Cartwright, the best cure of all. So there you have
>> it: not only is racial oppression not a problem; even worse, those
>> blacks who resist it, or refuse to bend to it, or complain about it in
>> any fashion, are to be viewed not only as exaggerating their
>> condition, but indeed, as mentally ill (17).
>>
>> And lest one believe that the tendency for whites to psychologically
>> pathologize blacks who complain of racism is only a relic of ancient
>> history, consider a much more recent example, which demonstrates the

>> continuity of this tendency among members of the dominant racial group
>> in America.
>>
>> A few years ago, I served as an expert witness and consultant in a
>> discrimination lawsuit against a school district in Washington State.
>> Therein, numerous examples of individual and institutional racism
>> abounded: from death threats made against black students to which the
>> school district's response was pitifully inadequate, to racially
>> disparate "ability tracking" and disciplinary action. In preparation
>> for trial (which ultimately never took place as the district finally
>> agreed to settle the case for several million dollars and a commitment
>> to policy change), the school system's "psychological experts"
>> evaluated dozens of the plaintiffs (mostly students as well as some of
>> their parents) so as to determine the extent of damage done to them as
>> a result of the racist mistreatment. As one of the plaintiff's
>> experts, I reviewed the reports of said psychologists, and while I was
>> not surprised to see them downplay the damage done to the black folks
>> in this case, I was somewhat startled by how quickly they went beyond
>> the call of duty to actually suggest that several of the plaintiffs
>> exhibited "paranoid" tendencies and symptoms of borderline personality
>> disorder. That having one's life threatened might make one a bit
>> paranoid apparently never entered the minds of the white doctors. That
>> facing racism on a regular basis might lead one to act out, in a way
>> these "experts" would then see as a personality disorder, also seems
>> to have escaped them. In this way, whites have continued to see mental
>> illness behind black claims of victimization, even when that
>> victimization is blatant.
>>
>> In fact, we've even created a name for it: "victimization syndrome."
>> Although not yet part of the DSM-IV (the diagnostic manual of the
>> American Psychiatric Association, used by its members so as to
>> evaluate patients), it is nonetheless a malady from which blacks
>> suffer, to hear a lot of whites tell it. Whenever racism is brought
>> up, such whites insist that blacks are being encouraged (usually by
>> the civil rights establishment) to adopt a victim mentality, and to
>> view themselves as perpetual targets of oppression. By couching their
>> rejection of the claims of racism in these terms, conservatives are
>> able to parade as friends to black folks, only concerned about them
>> and hoping to free them from the debilitating mindset of victimization
>> that liberals wish to see them adopt.
>>
>> Aside from the inherently paternalistic nature of this position,
>> notice too how concern over adopting a victim mentality is very
>> selectively trotted out by the right. So, for example, when crime
>> victims band together--and even form what they call victim's rights
>> groups--no one on the right tells them to get over it, or suggests
>> that by continuing to incessantly bleat about their kidnapped child or
>> murdered loved one, such folks are falling prey to a victim mentality
>> that should be resisted. No indeed: crime victims are venerated,
>> considered experts on proper crime policy (as evidenced by how often

>> their opinions are sought out on the matter by the national press and
>> politicians), and given nothing but sympathy.
>>
>> Likewise, when American Jews raise a cry over perceived anti-Jewish
>> bigotry, or merely teach their children (as I was taught) about the
>> European Holocaust, replete with a slogan of "Never again!" none of
>> the folks who lament black "victimology" suggests that we too are
>> wallowing in a victimization mentality, or somehow at risk for a
>> syndrome of the same name.
>>
>> In other words, it is blacks and blacks alone (with the occasional
>> American Indian or Latino thrown in for good measure when and if they
>> get too uppity) that get branded with the victim mentality label. Not
>> quite drapetomania, but also not far enough from the kind of thinking
>> that gave rise to it: in both cases, rooted in the desire of white
>> America to reject what all logic and evidence suggests is true.
>> Further, the selective branding of blacks as perpetual victims, absent
>> the application of the pejorative to Jews or crime victims (or the
>> families of 9/11 victims or other acts of terrorism), suggests that at
>> some level white folks simply don't believe black suffering matters.
>> We refuse to view blacks as fully human and deserving of compassion as
>> we do these other groups, for whom victimization has been a reality as
>> well. It is not that whites care about blacks and simply wish them not
>> to adopt a self-imposed mental straightjacket; rather, it is that at
>> some level we either don't care, or at least don't equate the pain of
>> racism even with the pain caused by being mugged, or having your art
>> collection confiscated by the Nazis, let alone with the truly extreme
>> versions of crime and anti-Semitic wrongdoing.
>>
>> Conclusion -- See No Evil, Hear No Evil, Wrong as Always
>>
>> White denial has become such a widespread phenomenon nowadays, that
>> most whites are unwilling to entertain even the mildest of suggestions
>> that racism and racial inequity might still be issues. To wit, a
>> recent survey from the University of Chicago, in which whites and
>> blacks were asked two questions about Hurricane Katrina and the
>> governmental response to the tragedy. First, respondents were asked
>> whether they believed the government response would have been speedier
>> had the victims been white. Not surprisingly, only twenty percent of
>> whites answered in the affirmative. But while that question is at
>> least conceivably arguable, the next question seems so weakly worded
>> that virtually anyone could have answered yes without committing too
>> much in the way of recognition that racism was a problem. Yet the
>> answers given reveal the depths of white intransigence to consider the
>> problem a problem at all.
>>
>> So when asked if we believed the Katrina tragedy showed that there was
>> a lesson to be learned about racial inequality in America--any lesson
>> at all--while ninety percent of blacks said yes, only thirty-eight
>> percent of whites agreed (18). To us, Katrina said nothing about race

>> whatsoever, even as blacks were disproportionately affected; even as
>> there was a clear racial difference in terms of who was stuck in New
>> Orleans and who was able to escape; even as the media focused
>> incessantly on reports of black violence in the Superdome and
>> Convention Center that proved later to be false; even as blacks have
>> been having a much harder time moving back to New Orleans, thanks to
>> local and federal foot-dragging and the plans of economic elites in
>> the city to destroy homes in the most damaged (black) neighborhoods
>> and convert them to non-residential (or higher rent) uses.
>>
>> Nothing, absolutely nothing, has to do with race nowadays, in the eyes
>> of white America writ large. But the obvious question is this: if we
>> have never seen racism as a real problem, contemporary to the time in
>> which the charges are being made, and if in all generations past we
>> were obviously wrong to the point of mass delusion in thinking this
>> way, what should lead us to conclude that now, at long last, we've
>> become any more astute at discerning social reality than we were
>> before? Why should we trust our own perceptions or instincts on the
>> matter, when we have run up such an amazingly bad track record as
>> observers of the world in which we live? In every era, black folks
>> said they were the victims of racism and they were right. In every
>> era, whites have said the problem was exaggerated, and we have been
>> wrong.
>>
>> Unless we wish to conclude that black insight on the matter--which has
>> never to this point failed them--has suddenly converted to
>> irrationality, and that white irrationality has become insight (and
>> are prepared to prove this transformation by way of some analytical
>> framework to explain the process), then the best advice seems to be
>> that which could have been offered in past decades and centuries:
>> namely, if you want to know about whether or not racism is a problem,
>> it would probably do you best to ask the folks who are its targets.
>> They, after all, are the ones who must, as a matter of survival, learn
>> what it is, and how and when it's operating. We whites on the other
>> hand, are the persons who have never had to know a thing about it, and
>> who--for reasons psychological, philosophical and material--have
>> always had a keen interest in covering it up.
>>
>> In short, and let us be clear on it: race is not a card. It determines
>> who the dealer is, and who gets dealt.
>>
>>
>>
>> * Personally, I have no idea whether or not Barry Bonds has used
>> anabolic steroids during the course of his career, nor do I think the
>> evidence marshaled thus far on the matter is conclusive, either way.
>> But I do find it interesting that many are calling for the placement
>> of an asterisk next to Bonds' name in the record books, especially
>> should he eclipse Ruth, or later, Hank Aaron, in terms of career home
>> runs. The asterisk, we are told, would differentiate Bonds from other

>> athletes, the latter of which, presumably accomplished their feats
>> without performance enhancers. Yet, while it is certainly true that
>> Aaron's 755 home runs came without any form of performance enhancement
>> (indeed, he, like other black ball-players had to face overt hostility
>> in the early years of their careers, and even as he approached Ruth's
>> record of 714, he was receiving death threats), for Ruth, such a claim
>> would be laughable. Ruth, as with any white baseball player from the
>> early 1890s to 1947, benefited from the "performance enhancement" of
>> not having to compete against black athletes, whose abilities often
>> far surpassed their own. Ruth didn't have to face black pitchers, nor
>> vie for batting titles against black home run sluggers. Until white
>> fans demand an asterisk next to the names of every one of their white
>> baseball heroes -- Ruth, Cobb, DiMaggio, and Williams, for starters --
>> who played under apartheid rules, the demand for such a blemish next
>> to the name of Bonds can only be seen as highly selective,
>> hypocritical, and ultimately racist. White privilege and protection
>> from black competition certainly did more for those men's game than
>> creotine or other substances could ever do for the likes of Barry
>> Bonds.
>>
>> NOTES
>>
>> (1) There is plenty of information about police racism, misconduct and
>> brutality, both in historical and contemporary terms, available from
>> any number of sources. Among them, see Kristian Williams, Our Enemies
>> in Blue. Soft Skull Press, 2004; and online at the Stolen Lives
>> Project: http://stolenlives.org.
>>
>> (2) Washington Post. October 9, 1995: A22
>>
>> (3) Ibid.
>>
>> (4) "Young White Offenders get lighter treatment," 2000. The
>> Tennessean. April 26: 8A.
>>
>> (5) Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan, 2004. "Are Emily and
>> Greg More Employable Than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment in
>> Labor Market Discrimination." June 20.
>> http://post.economics.harvard.edu/faculty/mullainathan/papers/emilygreg.
>> pdf.
>>
>> (6) Pager, Devah. 2003. "The Mark of a Criminal Record." American
>> Journal of Sociology. Volume 108: 5, March: 937-75.
>>
>> (7) Matthew R. Durose, Erica L. Schmitt and Patrick A. Langan,
>> Contacts Between Police and the Public: Findings from the 2002
>> National Survey. U.S. Department of Justice, (Bureau of Justice
>> Statistics), April 2005.
>>
>> (8) Gordon, Rebecca. 1998. Education and Race. Oakland: Applied

>> Research Center: 48-9; Fischer, Claude S. et al., 1996. Inequality by
>> Design: Cracking the Bell Curve Myth. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
>> University Press: 163; Steinhorn, Leonard and Barabara Diggs-Brown,
>> 1999. By the Color of Our Skin: The Illusion of Integration and the
>> Reality of Race. NY: Dutton: 95-6.
>>
>> (9) Skiba, Russell J. et al., The Color of Discipline: Sources of
>> Racial and Gender Disproportionality in School Punishment. Indiana
>> Education Policy Center, Policy Research Report SRS1, June 2000; U.S.
>> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Youth Risk Behavior
>> Surveillance System: Youth 2003, Online Comprehensive Results, 2004.
>>
>> (10) Terrell, Francis and Sandra L. Terrell, 1999. "Cultural
>> Identification and Cultural Mistrust: Some Findings and Implications,"
>> in Advances in African American Psychology, Reginald Jones, ed.,
>> Hampton VA: Cobb & Henry; Fuegen, Kathleen, 2000. "Defining
>> Discrimination in the Personal/Group Discrimination Discrepancy," Sex
>> Roles: A Journal of Research. September; Miller, Carol T. 2001. "A
>> Theoretical Perspective on Coping With Stigma," Journal of Social
>> Issues. Spring; Feagin, Joe, Hernan Vera and Nikitah Imani, 1996. The
>> Agony of Education: Black Students in White Colleges and Universities.
>> NY: Routledge.
>>
>> (11) Taranto, James. 2006. "The Truth About Race in America--IV,"
>> Online Journal (Wall Street Journal), January 6.
>>
>> (12) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll Social Audit, 2001.
>> Black-White Relations in the United States, 2001 Update, July 10: 7-9.
>>
>> (13) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll, #761, May, 1968
>>
>> (14) "How Whites Feel About Negroes: A Painful American Dilemma,"
>> Newsweek, October 21, 1963: 56
>>
>> (15) The Gallup Organization, Gallup Poll #699, October, 1964
>>
>> (16) Newsweek/Gallup Organization, National Opinion Survey, August 19,
>> 1969
>>
>> (17) Cartwright, Samuel. 1851. "Diseases and Peculiarities of the
>> Negro Race," DeBow's Review. (Southern and Western States: New
>> Orleans), Volume XI.
>>
>> (18) Ford, Glen and Peter Campbell, 2006. "Katrina: A Study-Black
>> Consensus, White Dispute," The Black Commentator, Issue 165, January
>> 5.
>
> Yes, these SWL fanatics can't get out of bed without their cheap
> plastic SW receivers ! They have to keep buying new receivers, because
> the old ones get ruined by all the facials !

ROFL

People here have gotten plonked for saying things like that.

SC

4004. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oVcxg.9474$vO.3149@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85135

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:15416-44C4FB73-770@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> That divorced woman in Petal,Mississippi scared me so nuch,,,, I had to
> get back over here and cool off for a while.
> cuhulin

Cold shower help?

4005. Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pVcxg.9475$vO.1554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

That's what you have to decide.

SC

4006. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rVcxg.9476$vO.3204@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85137

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:IMywg.223404$IK3.148298@pd7tw1no:

> David wrote:
>> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:22:22 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>> dxAce wrote:
>>
>>> Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!
>>>
>> Unregulated capitalism is cancer.
>>
>>
> I agree with you 100%. Unregulated capitalism is unacceptable and even
> with regulations in place to protect people there are still too many
> problems.
>
> It was interesting to note that when something affects him then it is
> all about bean counting and $$$ but when something affects someone else
> it's tough shit. I was never a big fan of hypocrisy.
>
> JB

It must suck for you to live on the Shit side of the border.

SC

4007. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153784535.633739.249980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
> > <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
> >
> >>Ahh...but I did, once
> >
> > But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
> >
> >>proving that one has little to do with the other.
> >
> > And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>
> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
> just the sign of the times.
Indeed I understand the points of the CW crwod but I simply reject the
ntotions that merits of CW merit the strangle hold it has

after all I can do even EME without knowing a BIT of Morse did so last
night
>
> BH

4008. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>
>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>
>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>
>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>
>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>
>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>
> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but
> it's just the sign of the times.
>
> BH

We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull back out & clean up.

A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept more dumbing down.

Help save Ham radio:

1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class every ten years.

2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

4009. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vVcxg.9479$vO.7903@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85140

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:1367-44C4EFA5-887@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net:

> I done told y'all rug rats before about them Etons,,, But HEY,,, dont
> believe me!
> I have to watch Chain Lightning old,old movie on Radio tv now.It isn't
> my fault.
> cuhulin

whose fault is it then?

4010. Re: FM transmitter suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wVcxg.9480$vO.596@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85139

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:1757-44C40ABB-820@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> Earphones and earbuds are extremly uncomfortable for me to use.I just do
> not like to have them clamped on my ears or stuck in my ears at all.That
> is why speakers were invented.

What came first, the chicken or the egg?

SC

4011. Re: For Pete Gianakopolis - IC-R70 comments/question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xVcxg.9481$vO.4597@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85141

fcathell@msn.com wrote in
news:1153589114.670592.268100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Thanks for the info and advice Pete. I recently saw a comment that
> standard 1N4002 type (60 Hz) diodes are actually good replacements for
> the PIN diodes on the front-end band pass switching networks. Have you
> heard of this?
>
> Frank

If you can stick with PIN diodes.

They give better isolation when reverse biased that other types.

4012. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zVcxg.9482$vO.772@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85144

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4E2D6-653@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> Larry Leonard (what is it about them d..n Larrys anyway?) at Oregon
> Magazine in Oregon once tried to Join the U.S.Air Force.The doc caught a
> heart murmor in Larry Leonard's chest.Larry Leonard told me he picked up
> that U.S.Air Force recruiter guy by his shirt.The recruiter guy said,it
> wouldn't make any difference,, they would catch you down the line
> anyway.
> Lets have a show of hands for Larry Leonard in Oregon,,, at least he
> tried.
> cuhulin

Poppin the top on a Budweiser for Larry in Oregon. Salute!

SC

4013. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AVcxg.9483$vO.8323@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85145

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4DF11-651@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> Corn cobs used to be used for toilet paper.Nothing but real toilet paper
> has ever ''touched'' me.There have been a few otherwise times before
> though.We are all Human,,,, we are all Animals.
> cuhulin

You know you're really tough when you can you a pine cone.

sc

4014. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BVcxg.9484$vO.282@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85146

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4DE2D-649@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> Winnipeg or Calgary.(Calgree) Mannnnn,,,,,,, let's put the show on the
> road.
> cuhulin
>

What do you call a Kanadian with an IQ of 100?

A Town.

4015. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CVcxg.9485$vO.6305@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85147

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4DDC5-647@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> My girlfriend is ''funny''.
> cuhulin

... But looks aren't everything.

SC

4016. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <DVcxg.9486$vO.6991@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85248

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16569-44C4E0D3-134@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> Go to, www.angelfire.com/md2/Ldotvets
>
> and click on Page Three.You scroll around on there and you look
> for,tain.tain is ME!

Are you cer-tain?

Sc

4017. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FVcxg.9487$vO.4210@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85249

dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
news:44C4F4A8.6AD08F48@milestones.com:

>
>
> Billy Smith wrote:
>
>> Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
>> myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
>> were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
>> didn't teach you much in the military.
>
> You were in the military, weren't you Billy? I know folks have referred
> to you as Major Retard.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan

Major Billy was in charge of the "Don't ask, don't tell" battalion.

SC

4018. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GVcxg.9488$vO.787@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85250

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:15416-44C4FFD0-773@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> As big a double big old double belly beer gut as I have,,,,,,, I look
> like I am pregant and going to give birth to eight little babies at the
> same time.
> cuhulin

Or you haven't taken a shit in a while. LOL

SC

4019. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HVcxg.9489$vO.2854@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85251

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:23234-44C3B6D2-592@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I once got a ''freebie'' from a gal in Vinh Long,Vietnam.She gave me the
> crabs.Nothing in life is free.
> cuhulin
>
>

Tis better to have lobster on piano than crabs on organ.

Sc

4020. Re: HD article from Radio World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:32 UTC
From: Slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IVcxg.9490$vO.636@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85252

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:23235-44C3B4C2-108@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> My next oldest sister (her nick name is Tinker) was over here a few
> minutes ago,checkin to see if I am still alive and kickin.She brought
> about thirty pounds of frozen leftovers too,as usual.Where am I going to
> put it? my frige is already so full that when you open the door,stuff
> falls out.I think I will give it to my litlte African girlfriend up the
> street.
> cuhulin

Then you two could go Goodwill Shoppin.

SC

4021. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KVcxg.9491$vO.4427@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85253

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4E723-655@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> Telamon,I think you are right about,deliberate destruction of AMBCB.In
> my opinion,U.S.fed govt doesn't want us to listen to long distance AM
> Radio.I have mentioned something about that before many,many Moons ago
> in this very news group.
> cuhulin
>
>

It's a liberal plot to get rid of Hannity, Limbaugh, and Savage.

SC

4022. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LVcxg.9492$vO.5222@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85254

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4E61F-654@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> I need to stop biting my fingernails.(it's old habit since I was a young
> cute little boy) How am I ever going to get my fingernails looking like
> a womans fingernails?
> cuhulin
>
>

Put on a dress.

Sc

4023. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MVcxg.9493$vO.804@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85255

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C4573F-614@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> I say round up all of them IBOC bastids and air drop them over Iraq,sans
> Parachutes.
> cuhulin

Drop them on Kanada, they won't notice the stink.

Sc

4024. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OVcxg.9494$vO.8327@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85257

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:23234-44C3B840-593@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I got rid of my virginity when I was nine years old in 1949.
> cuhulin

Who bought it?

SC

4025. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RVcxg.9495$vO.2685@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85256

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:17208-44C39701-762@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:

> ''mine'' are natural analog mono/stereo,muffled by doggys couch seat
> cushions.One time,in the winter time,she came boiling out from her
> blanket on her couch,she jumped on the hard wood floor and barked her
> arse off at me.
> cuhulin

Blue berry doggie did that?

Sc

4026. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WVcxg.9496$vO.7621@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85258

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:1759-44C2A816-55@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> 1947? I lived for one year in Martinsville,Indiana in 1947.At that age,I
> didn't know what a transmitter was.My older brother and I was standing
> in line in that little park in Martinsville,it was near Christmas time
> and Santa Clause was handing out free bits of candy to all of the snot
> nosed kids in Martinsville.Brother said,let's go through the line again.
> cuhulin
>
>

Did you get that banjo ya wanted for Christmas.

SC

4027. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%Vcxg.9497$vO.4509@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85259

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in news:16568-44C4E7A3-656@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> I know of a gal in Petal,Mississippi who got,,,,,,
> Nawwww,,, I better not deliberate on that one either.
> cuhulin

Is that like, "There once was a girl from Nantucket...

4028. Re: Its True! John "Barnyard" Barnard has no spine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:43:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lWcxg.9500$vO.4760@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85260

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:1757-44C406A1-816@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> Canada wouldn't last thirty seconds if we folded up/down our
> U.S.Military umbrella (brelly) over Canada.I say go ahead and let Russia
> have Canada.
> cuhulin

Folks that live near the border would have to listening to their whining.

Bad idea.

4029. Re: News report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:43:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uWcxg.9501$vO.5636@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85261

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:15416-44C4FE69-771@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Doggy started barking her arse off.Somebody was knocking on my front
> door.y'all democrats and libs need to learn,,, Dont ever knock on my
> door and dont every try to phone ME!
> By the way,I did NOT answer that knocking on my front door a few minutes
> ago! Dont waste your Commie time with ME! F..K YOU!
> cuhulin

What if it was one of the wimmins wanting a good time? You missed out.
You could have looked through the peep hole.

SC

4030. Re: Radio Reference Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:43:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FWcxg.9502$vO.9067@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85262

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:23235-44C5147A-129@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> I aint jinin nuttun!
> cuhulin
>
>

Party pooper.

Slow Code

4031. Re: Radio Taiwan International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:43:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QWcxg.9503$vO.3686@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85263

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:16568-44C45583-610@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:

> I dont know if I would or not.About ten nights ago,that 31 year old
> pretty divorced brunette woman showed up next door (I held the ladder
> for her to frog crawl her arse through that kitchen window) with a half
> empty bottle of Mexican tequila (she said it cost $50.00 a bottle)
> Nahhhhh,,,, I wouldn't do her.I hope today she is on her way to
> Minneapolis,court date thingy,her three kids and she is suppose to be
> there on the 25th of this month.Really,she needs a good ass kicking.
> cuhulin
>
>

That's the second time you helped her though the window. You people in
mississippi got something against doors?

4032. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:43:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0Xcxg.9504$vO.9057@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85264

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:12567-44C29712-528@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> When I was checkin out some of them wimmins dresses at the Goodwill
> store this aftenoon,,,, a guy stepped over and he took a womans suit
> thingy,he went in the restroom for a few minutes.I assume he went in
> there to try on that womans dress suit.It had a lot of pin stripes on
> it.
> cuhulin

Sounds like you found a new shopping friend.

4033. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:44:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dXcxg.9505$vO.6921@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85265

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:12567-44C29603-525@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> Poor Markie,my eye! Feel sorry for me! Before I croak,I want to dress up
> just like a woman.I have to do it at least one time before I croak.
> cuhulin
>
>

The pink tutu didn't count?

Sc

4034. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:44:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <sXcxg.9506$vO.3423@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85266

dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
news:44C296A1.B9C9D636@milestones.com:

>
>
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
>> I would Vote for dxAce for prez.
>
> And if elected I would promise you that IBOC will be shut down and all
> the fake Hispanics will be sent out of the country, back to Ecuador or
> wherever they were last kicked out of.
>
> Please, send money for my campaign to Cuhulin, c/o Jackson, Mississippi.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

You'll get my vote if you help save CW.

SC

4035. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:44:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HXcxg.9507$vO.8229@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85267

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:15416-44C45EED-701@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Yeahhhhh,,,,, I am going back over to the Goodwill store a few times
> this weel,looking for some wimmins dresses.

You looked best in the pink tutu.

Sc

4036. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:45:46 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153784746.093197.20990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85270

Slow Code wrote:
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
> news:44C4F4A8.6AD08F48@milestones.com:

>
> Major Billy was in charge of the "Don't ask, don't tell" battalion.
ah yes gay bashing again smr stupid
>
> SC

4037. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:48:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21211-44C55C45-28@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85272

I have a Better Idea.Remove fcc Post Haste!
cuhulin

4038. Re: RESULT: rec.radio.shortwave will be removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:51:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21210-44C55D09-144@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85276

Which reminds me,,, I better flip on over to my webtv,flowerin,thingy
and see if that divorced thirty four year old woman from Miamii is
hollerin me up.Later.
cuhulin

4039. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:53:35 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153785215.684168.244880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> That's what you have to decide.
>
> SC
no it isn't
CW as a licenseing requiremtn is on life support and the plug will be
pulled soon

CB has nothing to to do with it

4040. Re: (OT) Idea..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:56:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <egnac2dg1rangg3bj5eh674p4ug4smero3@4ax.com>
Source record: 85273

On 24 Jul 2006 16:04:52 -0700, Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:

>
>1: Get a set of RCA Infra red TV Headphones; (RatShack Carries them)
>
>2: set them up on the TV to test them out
>
>3: disconnect them from the TV
>
>4: hook them up near a window
>
>5: Plug them into a portable shortwave on the Windowsill via the
>Headphone jack
>
>6: Wander about, listening to SWR, beamed to your I/R Headphones
>
>
>thoughts? Comments?
>
http://reviews.cnet.com/4566-7877_7-0.html?filter=500444_3809360_

4041. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-24 23:57:40 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153785460.537599.82210@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85274

```
Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:12567-44C29603-525@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > Poor Markie,my eye! Feel sorry for me! Before I croak,I want to dress up
> > just like a woman.I have to do it at least one time before I croak.
> > cuhulin
> >
> >
>
> The pink tutu didn't count?
bless you and may you find peace

>
> Sc
```

4042. Number Stations Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:02:25 UTC
From: asdf <asdf@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <ocdxg.97$ht4.6@fe12.lga>
Source record: 85275

anyone knows of any that are on the air right now?
Which website has schedules for them.

Also I never managed to tune to any SSB station
even though my radio (7600GR) is supposed to be
able to hear them. Which are on the air right now?

thank you very much

4043. Re: (OT) Idea..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:04:58 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153785898.444303.94910@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85277

David wrote:
> On 24 Jul 2006 16:04:52 -0700, Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
>
> >
> > >1: Get a set of RCA Infra red TV Headphones; (RatShack Carries them)
> > >
> > >2: set them up on the TV to test them out
> > >
> > >3: disconnect them from the TV
> > >
> > >4: hook them up near a window
> > >
> > >5: Plug them into a portable shortwave on the Windowsill via the
> > >Headphone jack
> > >
> > >6: Wander about, listening to SWR, beamed to your I/R Headphones
> > >
> > >
> > >thoughts? Comments?
> > >
> > http://reviews.cnet.com/4566-7877_7-0.html?filter=500444_3809360_

RadioShack 2.4GHz Wireless Stereo Headphones

- Wireless headphones might be OK up on Ruby Ridge or out in Milatoon,
Michigan or some such

- But in a crowded urban environment, Such as L.A, NYC or San Fran,
with Scads of wireless devices beaming round on the same frequency

your neighbors Cellphone / Computer noises

gets to be a bother . . .

4044. Re: (hURL) The Prayer Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:19:23 UTC
From: "Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n" <barfball3@yahoonosprm.com>
Message-ID: <55bda$44c56381$d1cc56c5$9059@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85278
Cross-posted to:
alt.slack,alt.tasteless,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa,talk.bizarre

"Alimony Al" <weirdlinks@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:Mr6xg.71983$fb2.70237@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> "The antenna receives signals from God."
>
> http://tinyurl.com/znkuq
>
> --
> _____________________
> Want to see MORE of the same?
> Visit http://www.kooksites.com
> For Sick, Strange & Bizarre Fringe!

4045. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:20:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Ysdxg.56158$VE1.39608@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85279

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-1A6E7F.15341324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
>> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
>> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
>> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
>> >> is.
>> >
>> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
>> > you doing HERE, boy.
>>
>> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
>> point of vie wor not.
>> >
>> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
>> > more.
>>
>> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
>> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>>
>> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
>> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
>> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
>> "that noise."
>>
> < Snip >
>
> They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
> during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
> stations. What a revelation this must be to you.

They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear, with
fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.

4046. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:20:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>
>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>
>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>
>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>
>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>
>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but
>> it's just the sign of the times.
>>
>> BH
>
>
> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because once
> it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull back out &
> clean up.
>
> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
> more dumbing down.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio:
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.

>

No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio and
there is no reason to think it would improve things.

>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>

Might be OK.

>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>

Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>

Probably wouldn't make any difference.

>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>

Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in the
politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
>

>

4047. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:20:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ltdxg.56159$VE1.35054@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85280

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-522FBF.15420724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> Fung Gu Tu <dxass@wipe.net> wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>> >
>> > Obsessed!
>>
>>
>> You sure are obsessed!
>>
>> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
>> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
>> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
>> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!
>>
>> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
>
> The only thing more obsessive is an individual such as yourself that
> comes up with a new handle because you are such a coward.

You mean DXace has a sock puppet that he can talk to? Interesting.

4048. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:21:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <nudxg.56160$VE1.7969@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85282

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format
>> that they could sell.
>
> They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are wrong.

It is profitable where it is on a half decent signal, like Miami, San Diego,
Portland, etc.

4049. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:36:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C56793-749@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85284

Life is likeeeeee,,,, a Mountain Railroaddddd,,,,,,

Dont let them little twisty fits get you down.

www.devilfinder.com Mo Bandy the Rodeo Clown
cuhulin

4050. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:47:55 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <AamdnXWrlMQU9FjZnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85283

James Douglas wrote:
> Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>
> Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> their power-pack, etc!
>
> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> for another!
>
>
> jimdouglas

Jeesh, lots of information, possibly a troll! Nope.

The batteries are Eton Ni-MH 1100 which came with the radio! I never got the batteries to charge correctly within the radio and ETON replaced the batteries as they indicated there were problems with some batteries. In fact I received them today, one day too late I guess. I am pleased that they sent out new batteries!

I charged them with the a charger which supports only Ni-MH type batteries. I have charged these same Eton batteries before in this charger with no problems. The internal setting are correct and the batteries were installed correctly.

It smoked, no fire, just smoke and that terrible smell that indicates your favorite bedside radio is fried. I just sent them an email and wonder what they will say. I purchased another one today on Ebay for $29.00 + 10.00 Fedex Shipping. I don't think I will be using rechargeable batteries in the new one!

4051. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:48:29 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <AamdnXSrIMQ29FjZnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85285

homepc wrote:
> I recharge my green Degen 1300 MiMH batteries in a slow charge Powerex MH
> C-401FS battery charger with no problems. Maybe you have one bad battery?
> Did you check to see if your radio will work with a fresh set of alkaline
> batteries?
>
>
> "James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
>> batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
>> placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!
>>
>> Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
>> the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
>> their power-pack, etc!
>>
>> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
>> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
>> for another!
>>
>>
>> jimdouglas
>
>
Nope dead still with regular batteries.

4052. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:51:42 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <AamdnXerlMT291jZnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85286

Capt. HentaiVideoPr0n wrote:
> "James Douglas" <james.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:n8qdnWXU0Yi2DVnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>> Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
>> with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
>> for another!
>
> Never ever charge NiMh cells in a NiCad charger.
> It will OVER-CHARGE them every time. However the
> radio should have had some kind of an over-voltage
> circuit built into it. That said however, after
> that experience are you are REALLY going to buy
> *another one* of these cheap Chinese made Eton
> pieces of junk??? Get yourself a Sony or a Grundig
> or better yet a vintage Radio Shack or GE or Panasonic
> shortwave. They were built far better than the modern
> day crap and their analog tuning is best for swl listening.
>
I have a few of little portables and this Eton E-10 is by far the best
sounding of them ALL! I can pickup quite a few SW stations and it's been
great as a bedside radio which I use every night. I really loved the
timer and would set it and listen till,,,,,,,,

4053. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 00:59:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153789149.679540.21960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> >
> > 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
>
> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.
>
> >
> > 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
>
> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
certainly would but then you are into killing the ars of course
>
> >
> > 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> > things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
> >
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in the
> politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
ask Carl Stevenson about that one
> >
>
> >
```

4054. I recant! I heard something on shortwave last night!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:00:04 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153789204.261381.214340@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85288

It sounded like something was dying.

4055. Thanks John!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:14:42 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <j2sac2dhfegm8fn7uk8k32fsu5ufucst1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 85200

On 24 Jul 2006 13:38:30 -0700, "John" <johnpwr@verizon.net> wrote:

>
>nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>> Hey everyone! As you might know by now I'm the proud owner of a new
>> Sony 7600GR and a Kaito 1103! I'll be headed to the Maldives and
>> Seychelles on business soon, and I'd like to try my hand at
>> ute-monitoring. I just downloaded jvcomm software, now all I need are
>> freqs. I haven't been able to find a good list online (at least one
>> that's not a few years old.) Can anyone help?
>
>Try these! Only a few days old:
>
>4583.0 50/460 DDK2 WX
>4268.7 120/576 CFH FAX-WX map
>4217.5 75/804 CFH WX
>4295.5 75/828 FUE Test
>5097.2 75/860 CFH WX
>13510.3 75/840 CFH WX
>8439.3 75/850 PBB TEST
>8038.3 120/576 GFA FAX-WX map
>10101.4 50/440 DDK9 WX
>3782.5 75/850 CTP TEST
>12654.3 SitB 100/170 TAH WX
>
>
>
>//John

Thanks JOhn!! That should get me started. I'm looking forward to it.
And thanks Renee for the radios....

4056. Re: Number Stations Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:20:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C571D4.D1CA7F45@milestones.com>
Source record: 85289

asdf wrote:

> anyone knows of any that are on the air right now?
> Which website has schedules for them.
>
> Also I never managed to tune to any SSB station
> even though my radio (7600GR) is supposed to be
> able to hear them. Which are on the air right now?

Go here:

http://www.spynumbers.com/

Click on Database.

Go down to: See what stations may be on the air now.

After that, wander the site. Have fun.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4057. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can commun...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:25:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1368-44C57309-186@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85292

Der it go again,,, on Radio tv..... UH HUH,,,,,,, The Third Man.Ladys and Gals,,, y'all want to know sompin purrtainin to Fort Hood,Texas,1965?
cuhulin

4058. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:25:36 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C57310.97406B60@milestones.com>
Source record: 85290

David Frackelton Gleason, prancing in Ciudad Juarez as 'Eduardo', the fake Hispanic wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-522FBF.15420724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > Fung Gu Tu <dxass@wipe.net> wrote:
> >
> >> dxAce wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Obsessed!
> >>
> >>
> >> You sure are obsessed!
> >>
> >> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> >> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
> >> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
> >> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!
> >>
> >> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
> >
> > The only thing more obsessive is an individual such as yourself that
> > comes up with a new handle because you are such a coward.
>
> You mean DXace has a sock puppet that he can talk to? Interesting.

Stuff a sock puppet in it, Edweenie!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4059. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:29:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153790961.764488.166530@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85291

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format
> >> that they could sell.
> >
> > They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are wrong.
>
> It is profitable where it is on a half decent signal, like Miami, San Diego,
> Portland, etc.

And you are BUSTED everywhere.

4060. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:31:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153791100.322951.122490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85293

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-522FBF.15420724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <zT9xg.11699$2v.7231@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > Fung Gu Tu <dxass@wipe.net> wrote:
> >
> >> dxAce wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Obsessed!
> >>
> >>
> >> You sure are obsessed!
> >>
> >> You going over his resume with a fine-toothed comb, you damn near
> >> memorized his web site, debating the hyphen (or lack thereof) in a
> >> certain model radio (a hyphen? Who's the pedant, chump?), even ferreting
> >> out his mother's obituary fer crissake!
> >>
> >> Worst case of obsession I've ever seen--and YOU are the one obsessed!!!
> >
> > The only thing more obsessive is an individual such as yourself that
> > comes up with a new handle because you are such a coward.
>
> You mean DXace has a sock puppet that he can talk to? Interesting.

I suspect your sock puppet disowned you as soon as you were BUSTED!

4061. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:32:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153791168.124110.127360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85294

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-AB41D7.14594224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <kj7xg.11634$2v.314@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> .
> >>
> >> In the case of AM, the only thing listeners under 45 think is that it
> >> sounds bad. Period.
> >
> > Where do you get this? How does AM sound worse to these people than FM?
>
> I "get that" by talking to listeners in person, or by having my staff do
> that. AM is irrelevant due to sound quality. Under a certain age, you could
> be giving away money and they would not listen because it is AM.

Odds are they can just tell that you're BUSTED.

4062. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:34:05 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153791245.070608.128370@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85295

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-1A6E7F.15341324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
> >> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
> >> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
> >> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
> >> >> is.
> >> >
> >> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
> >> > you doing HERE, boy.
> >>
> >> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
> >> point of vie wor not.
> >> >
> >> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
> >> > more.
> >>
> >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> >> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
> >>
> >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> >> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> >> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> >> "that noise."
> >>
> > < Snip >
> >
> > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
> > during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
> > stations. What a revelation this must be to you.
>
> They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear, with
> fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.

And they're objecting to you because you're BUSTED.

4063. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:35:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5757E.478BD50E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85296

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format
> > >> that they could sell.
> > >>
> > > They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are wrong.
> > >
> > It is profitable where it is on a half decent signal, like Miami, San Diego,
> > Portland, etc.
>
> And you are BUSTED everywhere.

He's been busted in Miami...
And down San Diego way...
He's been busted in Portland...
...Busted USA

Apologies to whoever.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4064. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can commun...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:36:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16570-44C575B6-40@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85297

Klien tools are good tools.I am building a shed over my little ''tool''
cuhulin

4065. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:40:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C57684.9D8692E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85198

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > > In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a format
> > >> that they could sell.
> > >
> > > They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are wrong.
> >
> > It is profitable where it is on a half decent signal, like Miami, San Diego,
> > Portland, etc.
>
> And you are BUSTED everywhere.

He's been busted in Miami...
And down San Diego way...
He's been busted in Portland...
And in Ecuador they say...
Edweenie's been busted... Busted USA...

Apologies to whoever.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4066. Re: I recant! I heard something on shortwave last night!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:42:27 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <4Gexg.73$He.20@fe02.lga>
Source record: 85199

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153789204.261381.214340@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> It sounded like something was dying.
>

Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

4067. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:53:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C57994-758@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85201

I dont know what a ''vintage'' Grundig is,,,, But I do own a 1957 wooden cabinet AM/FM/Phonograph Grundig (made by Telefumken,in my opinion) Shortwave floor model Radio.In fact,I am looking at it right now,parked on my living room hard wood old floor by one of my living room front windows.Not for sale or trade for love or money.
cuhulin

4068. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 01:57:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C57A71-759@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85202

Vanessa better be in the Minneapolis area right now.She has a fed govt
court date on the 25th of this month,July,2006.
And she bugs me about nagging her!
cuhulin

4069. LOST: Dick Cheney's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:06:20 UTC
From: Ho Lee Kow <kow@china.net>
Message-ID: <w0fxg.56203$VE1.34678@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85203

A sad but true story from pp. 69-70 of "The End of Iraq" by Peter W. Galbraith:

"As Cheney put it in an interview he gave after leaving office in 1993, 'Now you can say, well, you should have gone to Baghdad and gotten Saddam, I don't think so. I think if we had done that we would have been bogged down there for a very long period of time with the real possibility we might not have succeeded'."

Dick, Dick, Dick---you were exactly right in your 1993 statement, almost psychic, as a matter of fact.

How did you manage to lose your brains between 1993 and 2003?

Looks like "Dead-Eye" Dick's memory isn't much better than his aim!

4070. Re: I recant! I heard something on shortwave last night!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:07:30 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <C1fxg.126876$A8.117504@clgrps12>
Source record: 85204

Brian Hill wrote:

>
> Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

It's Francais for 'Cuhulin'?

mike

4071. Re: I recant! I heard something on shortwave last night!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:11:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C57DC4.90B7E0D5@milestones.com>
Source record: 85205

m II wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> >
> > Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?
>
> It's Francais for 'Cuhulin'?

Nah, it's Francais for 'dumbass Canuck'.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4072. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:17:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C57F23.EB68AED2@milestones.com>
Source record: 85206

Ho Lee Kow wrote:

> Dick, Dick, Dick---

Been looking for it long?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4073. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:21:43 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Xefxg.127029$A8.109179@clgrps12>
Source record: 85207

dx(wee weenie)Ace wrote:
>
> Ho Lee Kow wrote:
>
>> Dick, Dick, Dick---
>
> Been looking for it long?

If it's yours, the answer is a resounding YES!

Damn near impossible to find I'd venture...

mike

4074. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:25:14 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5810A.B976CA42@milestones.com>
Source record: 85208

m II wrote:

> dx(wee weenie)Ace wrote:
> >
> > Ho Lee Kow wrote:
> >
> >> Dick, Dick, Dick---
> >
> > Been looking for it long?
>
> If it's yours, the answer is a resounding YES!
>
> Damn near impossible to find I'd venture...

But you're gonna keep looking, right, you perverted Canuck?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4075. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:32:21 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Vofxg.58777$B91.7055@edtnps82>
Source record: 85209

Slow Code wrote:
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
> news:44C296A1.B9C9D636@milestones.com:
>
>>
>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>>> I would Vote for dxAce for prez.
>> And if elected I would promise you that IBOC will be shut down and all
>> the fake Hispanics will be sent out of the country, back to Ecuador or
>> wherever they were last kicked out of.
>>
>> Please, send money for my campaign to Cuhulin, c/o Jackson, Mississippi.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>
>
> You'll get my vote if you help save CW.
>
>
> SC
>

A vote for Ace is just a new bra for Cuhulin. Please don't vote Deviant.

mike

4076. Re: RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:33:26 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oeKdnbiOwL5mH1jZnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85210

Are those carrier frequencies or shifted?
Thanks

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
"John" <johnpwr@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:1153773510.569065.200640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Try these! Only a few days old:
>
> 4583.0 50/460 DDK2 WX
> 4268.7 120/576 CFH FAX-WX map
> 4217.5 75/804 CFH WX
> 4295.5 75/828 FUE Test
> 5097.2 75/860 CFH WX
> 13510.3 75/840 CFH WX
> 8439.3 75/850 PBB TEST
> 8038.3 120/576 GFA FAX-WX map
> 10101.4 50/440 DDK9 WX
> 3782.5 75/850 CTP TEST
> 12654.3 SitB 100/170 TAH WX
>
>
>
> //John
>

4077. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:36:13 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <xsfxg.58780$B91.27795@edtnps82>
Source record: 85211

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> My girlfriend is ''funny''.
> cuhulin

I also find dxAce hilarious. Unlike you, however, I've never had the
urge to have sex with him. Do you wear your bra when you see each other?

Does your dog watch?

mike

4078. Re: What is the Excellent station on 12.1770 to 12.185 right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:39:12 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C58450.7E86E432@milestones.com>
Source record: 85212

m II wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
> > news:44C296A1.B9C9D636@milestones.com:
> >
> >>
> >> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> >>
> >>> I would Vote for dxAce for prez.
> >> And if elected I would promise you that IBOC will be shut down and all
> >> the fake Hispanics will be sent out of the country, back to Ecuador or
> >> wherever they were last kicked out of.
> >>
> >> Please, send money for my campaign to Cuhulin, c/o Jackson, Mississippi.
> >>
> >> dxAce
> >> Michigan
> >> USA
> >
> >
> > You'll get my vote if you help save CW.
> >
> >
> > SC
> >
>
> A vote for Ace is just a new bra for Cuhulin. Please don't vote Deviant.

A vote for M II is just another prosthetic penis for John Barnard. Please don't
vote Dumbass Canuck.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4079. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:40:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C584A0.4836136F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85213

m II wrote:

> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > My girlfriend is ''funny''.
> > cuhulin
>
> I also find dxAce hilarious. Unlike you, however, I've never had the
> urge to have sex with him.

You're happy with John Barnard then?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4080. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:41:18 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <ixfxg.127248$A8.83732@clgrps12>
Source record: 85214

dxAce wrote:

> I took my discharge at Naval Air Station, Sigonella, Sicily.

..and not just one I bet. Did you have a tough time straightening your back afterwards? Were those Sicilians as brutal to you as the Greeks? Just how long has homosexuality been running rampant in the US Navy?

mike

4081. ATTN DAVID: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad Wants YOU!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:42:12 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1pthtvv1m1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85218

http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=2948

4082. Dead Iranian Soldiers in Lebanon Transferred to Tehran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:43:08 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1pvcbveq625@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85217

The bodies of Iranian Revolutionary Guard soldiers killed by the Israeli army in Lebanon have been transported to Syria and flown to Tehran, senior Lebanese political sources said.

Israeli and Egyptian security officials confirmed the news, which follows a report that first appeared in The New York Sun, that Iranian forces posted to southern Lebanon have been aiding Hezbollah terrorists in their attacks against Israel, including helping to fire rockets into Israeli population centers.

The Lebanese sources said between six and nine dead Iranian Revolutionary Guard soldiers were brought in trucks last week into Syria for a flight back to Iran.
...
http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=8009
http://www.iranpressnews.com/english/source/014849.html

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4083. Dirty Bomb Material Destined For Iran Intercepted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:44:02 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1q0dq5mus26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85216

Dirty Bomb Material Destined For Iran Intercepted

Border guards seized a British lorry on its way to make a delivery to the Iranian military - after discovering it was packed with radioactive material that could be used to build a dirty bomb.

The lorry set off from Kent on its way to Tehran but was stopped by officials at a checkpoint on Bulgaria's northern border with Romania after a scanner indicated radiation levels 200 times above normal.

The lorry was impounded and the Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (NPA) was called out. On board they found ten lead-lined boxes addressed to the Iranian Ministry of Defence. Inside each box was a soil-testing device, containing highly dangerous quantities of radioactive caesium 137 and americium-beryllium.

The soil testers had been sent to Iran by a British firm with the apparent export approval of the Department of Trade and Industry.

Last night, the head of the Bulgarian NRA, Nikolai Todorov, said he was shocked that devices containing so much nuclear material could be sold so easily. He said: "The devices are highly radioactive - if you had another 90 of them you would be able to make an effective dirty bomb."

And a spokesman for the Bulgarian customs office, said: "The documentation listed the shipment as destined for the Ministry of Transport in Tehran, although the final delivery address was the Iranian Ministry of Defence.
...
http://www.dailymail.co.uk/pages/live/articles/news/news.html?in_article_id=397124&in_page_id=1770

--

Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4084. Lebanon Is Not Innocent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:45:06 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1q276et8a29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85219

Lebanon Is Not Innocent

...

Critics of Israel's defensive war against Islamic terrorists are busily wringing their hands over the destruction that has been wreaked on Lebanon, which is portrayed as innocent. They invoke these tragedies while calling on Israel to cease its fire and leave the Hezbollah aggressors intact. Since Israel had no role in starting this war, this is like blaming the Allies for the damage inflicted on Germany in World War II and doing so in the midst of the war. Critics who make such charges and demands in the midst of a war are aiding and abetting the aggressors.

...

It will be objected that Lebanon is helpless, that its democracy was destroyed and its territory conquered by the PLO, Hezbollah, Syria and Iran. It will be said that the Lebanese cannot resist the superior force of Hezbollah's "state within a state." But this is an argument in bad faith. No one is helpless. When France was occupied by Germany during World War II, DeGaulle organized the "free French" into a fighting force. The so-called "Cedar Revolution" showed that there are ways of manifesting opposition and resistance to the occupiers. Even though it failed, it showed that resistance is possible. If there is resistance to Islamic terror in Lebanon today, it is as invisible as moderate Islam. Put bluntly, while the Lebanese have demonstrated their resistance to the Syrian occupier in the very recent past, there has been no evidence of it when the aggressor is an Islamic force bent on obliterating the Jews.

...

The last stand of Western imperialism is the patronizing attitude displayed by Western radicals and liberals toward Third World Muslims and Arabs. If Americans taught their children to murder Muslims as a quick pass to heaven, the left would regard this as a crime against humanity. But if Palestinians are the perpetrators of such crimes and Jews are the targets, it's a different story. In this case terror is the only means (and therefore the understandable means) of a "desperate" people. Jews who have been told by Iran and Hezbollah that their extinction is imminent of course aren't desperate.

...

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23485

Malignant Neglect

Israel's hypocritical critics conveniently forget some recent history that places Israel's actions in proper context. Israel left Lebanon in 2000, after being assured that there would be an effective buffer in the southern region of Lebanon to protect Israel from any further terrorist attacks. Kofi

Annan at the time also sought to allay any Israeli concerns about Hezbollah's intentions. In a meeting with then Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak on June 21, 2000, Kofi Annan expressed faith in Hezbollah's leader Hassan Nasrollah. Annan praised Hezbollah's exercise of restraint, responsibility and discipline after the withdrawal and assured Barak that Nasrollah intended to continue exercising such restraint. (Source: The Jerusalem Post, July 19, 2006) UN forces on the ground in Lebanon were to be reinforced to help keep the peace. The Lebanese government was supposed to establish its authority in southern Lebanon and put an end to Hezbollah's de facto military control in the area.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23490

In any normal country a junior coalition member that attacked a neighboring country without its partners' consent would be swiftly disavowed and ousted from the government.
...
The Lebanese army has even openly assisted Hizbullah during the past week's fighting. Hizbullah's successful missile strike on an Israeli naval vessel Friday night, for instance, would have been impossible had the army's radar stations not given Hizbullah the ship's coordinates. Hizbullah does not have radar stations of its own.

Far from repudiating Hizbullah's attacks on Israel, the Lebanese government has actively defended, facilitated and assisted them at every turn. There are thus no grounds for treating it as an innocent party in this conflict. And until the international community recognizes this, its efforts to resolve the crisis will inevitably fail.
...
http://www.jpost.com/servlet/Satellite?cid=1153291950870&pagename=JPost%2FJPArticle%2FPrinter

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4085. Iran's Islam-O-Fascist Empire will be "Darker than the Soviet Union"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:45:52 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1q3cfs99e2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85220

Iran's Islam-O-Fascist Empire will be "Darker than the Soviet Union"

Upon the direction of Syria, Lebonon's Army has been dispatched into
Christian stronghold central Lebonon to surpress anti-Syrian opposition,
pro-democracy and pro-sovereignty activists.

http://www.clandestineradio.com/gcw/gcw_060724.mp3

Did Palestine Have a Democratic Election?

http://www.daikynguyen.com/eet/print_archive/united_states/boston/2006/02-FE
B/06/A7.pdf

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre
quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4086. Suicide Armies Launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:46:50 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb1q4iancsj2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85221

Suicide Armies Launched

Teams of Iranian suicide bombers were heading for Lebanon's war zone last night in a terrifying bid to spark meltdown in the Middle East.

Twenty-seven martyrdom-seekers have been sent to Syria on their way to front line positions.

The mad fanatics, belonging to the Iranian Martyrs of Islam World Movement, have been training for months to wreak maximum havoc on military and soft civilian targets. Their aim is to spark terror which will detonate all-out war and suck Western nations into a final bloody showdown.
...
http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=8007

DAVID, SIGN UP HERE --->
http://www.iranfocus.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=2948

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4087. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:47:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5863E.20FF0F2E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85215

m II wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
> > I took my discharge at Naval Air Station, Sigonella, Sicily.
>
> ..and not just one I bet. Did you have a tough time straightening your
> back afterwards? Were those Sicilians as brutal to you as the Greeks?
> Just how long has homosexuality been running rampant in the US Navy?

Not as long as its been running rampant in the Gaynadian Navy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4088. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:51:52 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <cHfxg.164815$771.115518@edtnps89>
Source record: 85222

dxVole wrote:

>>> My girlfriend is ''funny''.
>>> cuhulin

>> I also find dxAce hilarious. Unlike you, however, I've never had the
>> urge to have sex with him.
>
> You're happy with John Barnard then?

Not in the sense that you and the Wunderkind cuhulin are. Heterosexual
men such as Mr. Barnard and myself don't spend much time wondering just
how darn blissful you and the brassiere wearing ex Army pervert are.

Now that I understand your rather 'comfortable' relationship to the
Doggy Daddy, I can see why you have NEVER called him on any of his
insane statements.

As a public service to you and your lover, please be informed that,
besides the moral turpitude, there ARE dangers to your licentious lifestyle.

http://www.marvistavet.com/html/body_canine_herpes_infection.html

mike

4089. WTB Drake Mint R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 02:53:47 UTC
From: ckreling@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153796027.630801.160990@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85223

If you have one - please email me - thanks !

4090. Re: No potable water on board transatlantic Icelandic Airways flight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:13:59 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <623bc29u0mgs1s9el1m440b3cil7obqmfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 85224

Maybe I have missed something, but the largest aircraft in the
Icelandic fleet is a 767-300, and I want to see you get over 500 pax
in an 767-300ER, even in an all economy configuration.

On 24 Jul 2006 04:19:33 -0700, "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:

>
>Steve wrote:
>> Might not sound like a big deal, except there are over 500 people on
>> board this sucker.
>>
>> Here's a rather large recording of a phone patch between the pilot and
>> Icelandic Airways dispatch. The pilot isn't always easy to copy, but
>> here goes:
>>
>> http://renopithicus.tripod.com/aircraft2.wav
>>
>> Sorry about the quality of the recording, but I wasn't really prepared
>> to record anything. This is also just part of the recording I made,
>> which ended up an enormous .wav file.
>>
>> They said any further comms would be on 8930 khz, but enough time has
>> passed that it may not be worth monitoring now.
>>
>> Steve
>
>For some reason it isn't playing. Can you give a summary?

4091. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:22:51 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pridnfecBYAbE1jZnZ2dnUVZ_qWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85225

considering that I have seen 340's with 90 day waranties for less than
$3000, for this seller to buy this one for $2575 means he is a fool.

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
> and can't even test it ???????????
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategor
yZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

4092. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:25:21 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea42qa$dc6$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85226

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C57684.9D8692E@milestones.com...
>
>
> Steve wrote:
>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> > news:telamon_spamshield-FB9516.15475324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> > > In article <0pbxg.72503$Lm5.30855@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
>> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >> They got no local ratings that they could sell. They changed to a
>> > >> format
>> > >> that they could sell.
>> > >
>> > > They think liberal left wing radio is going to sell? Then they are
>> > > wrong.
>> >
>> > It is profitable where it is on a half decent signal, like Miami, San
>> > Diego,
>> > Portland, etc.
>>
>> And you are BUSTED everywhere.
>
> He's been busted in Miami...
> And down San Diego way...
> He's been busted in Portland...
> And in Ecuador they say...
> Edweenie's been busted... Busted USA...
>
> Apologies to whoever.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Half of the Beach Boys are spinning in their graves..
```

4093. IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:34:42 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85227

http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml

--
Over 5 decades of great hits
Full Spectrum Radio
http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

4094. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:37:33 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12568-44C591FD-159@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85230

alf a seed in the belly weed of a Rolling Tumbleweed,,,,,,, I am goin backkkkkk,,,,,,, I am going bacjjjjjjjj,,,,,,,,
cuhulin

4095. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:37:53 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c59219$0$2926$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 85228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com...

>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
>>

You're most likely correct on getting into the "politics" of the ARRL to "try" to get anywhere. But, good luck. It is more like a "good ole boys club". Anytime I've ever seen any reps to the area at a hamfest - they acted like snobs more than trying to communicate with hams of their concerns OR to try to win those hams who weren't members - to become members. If the rep couldn't give me the time of day, the ARRL didn't need my money either. I stopped my membership when it was due for renewal. That was a good 15 years ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR ARRL REP HERE"

lou

4096. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:39:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C59281-839@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85231

What the H..L is a da.n cuhulin anyway?
cuhulin

4097. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:41:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85229

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>

That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.

4098. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:42:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C5930D-840@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85239

Most I ever gave a Woman for ''repair'' was twenty dollars.
cuhulin

4099. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:45:52 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153799152.541323.96900@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85232

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >
>
> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.

At least he's not B-U-S-T-E-D

4100. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:46:47 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Hugxg.127346$A8.60826@clgrps12>
Source record: 85233

Aceykins wrote:

> But you're gonna keep looking, right, you perverted Canuck?

Cuhulin seems to be covering things very well. When did you tell him to come out of the closet and buy some women's clothes? Do you dress as a 'Pirata' during your little tete-a-tetes?

mike

4101. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:47:39 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153799259.223316.236150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85234

David Eduardo wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:r2axg.169252$mF2.142146@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> I'm on the road, thanks for asking. Lovely day in Cd. Juárez, Chih.
> >
> >
> > Oh, good. Bring me back some cheese, would you?
>
> The goat cheese I had with breakfast was delicious.

Was this before or after you were BUSTED?

4102. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:49:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C594CF-842@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85238

www.devilfinder.com Robins Nest

Yeahhhh, a few years ago I used to get them preg Nancy freebie email newsletters.Once in a while I would look at them stretch marks on them wonderfull pregnant gals bellys.

4103. Re: IBOC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:50:28 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <8ygxg.127347$A8.98641@clgrps12>
Source record: 85235

Steve wrote:

>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>
> At least he's not B-U-S-T-E-D
>

You're getting him confused with Cuhulin, who has been going on about
bras and his man boobs for a month now. If anyone is Busted, it's not David.

mike

4104. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:51:50 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C59556-843@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85240

Nothing is more Beautifull and more Wonderfull than a Pregnant WOMAN!
cuhulin

4105. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:53:41 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153799621.071001.118990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85236

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

Good article. I agree that FM IBOC is tolerable due to the capture ratio of FM. AM IBOC noise will mix perfectly with the alternate channel AM (analog) signal. It is a doomed system. I'm just amazed anyone with a technical background even considered AM IBOC to be practical.

4106. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 03:55:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 85237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>just the sign of the times.

The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
able to put it on the air immediately.

It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.

4107. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:33:02 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-5E4532.21330224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85241

In article <Ysdxg.56158$VE1.39608@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-1A6E7F.15341324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
> >> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
> >> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
> >> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
> >> >> is.
> >> >
> >> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
> >> > you doing HERE, boy.
> >>
> >> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
> >> point of vie wor not.
> >> >
> >> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
> >> > more.
> >>
> >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> >> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
> >>
> >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> >> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> >> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> >> "that noise."
> >>
> > < Snip >
> >
> > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
> > during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
> > stations. What a revelation this must be to you.
>
> They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear, with
> fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.

During the daytime fading and static are not a problem. Static is not a problem at night either but fading is a night time problem for 60 mile plus stations from LA, San diego and further but no problem on the local stations.

See you want your cake and you want to eat it too. Day or night is not a problem on the locals, which according to you are the only ones to be considered. The only problem is distant stations at night, which have any fading associated with them and they don't count now do they.

So their is no problem with the stations that count for noise, fading or sound quality.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4108. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:34:31 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A3E694.21343024072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85242

In article <1153791245.070608.128370@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-1A6E7F.15341324072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com..
> > .
> > > In article <v79xg.55569$VE1.40683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:44C51A78.81C18C12@milestones.com...
> > >> >
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Many comment that "AM sounds fine to me." We find that in talking
> > >> >> to listeners... the older the listener, the more tolerant of AM
> > >> >> LoFi they tend to be. This is because the band has no inherent
> > >> >> stigma, and these listeners grew up on the sound... as awful as it
> > >> >> is.
> > >> >
> > >> > If it's that damn awful, shit for brains, then what the fuck are
> > >> > you doing HERE, boy.
> > >>
> > >> I am telling what the future holds, whether it is your preferred
> > >> point of vie wor not.
> > >>
> > >> > If regular AM is that damn bad, then you must hate shortwave even
> > >> > more.
> > >>
> > >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> > >> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
> > >>
> > >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> > >> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> > >> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> > >> "that noise."
> > >>
> > > < Snip >
> > >
> > > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
> > > during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
> > > stations. What a revelation this must be to you.
> >
> > They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear,

> > with
> > fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.
>
> And they're objecting to you because you're BUSTED.

David seems to have a lot of good information but his reasoning is poor
as posted on this news group.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4109. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:36:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea46v6inv1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>>just the sign of the times.
>
> The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
> able to put it on the air immediately.
>
> It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
> able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
> initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
> real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.

Ahh.. the 1977 solution.. first, middle and last initials followed by your 5
digit zip code....

4110. Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:40:45 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cb8fj2foiv295@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85244

Women have no souls according to Syrian President

The father of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad, the late Hafez al-Assad, like his Iraqi counterpart Saddam Hussein, leaned heavily on the occult.

While Hussein consorted with the Jinn, the King and Queen of the desert sands, who he believed gave him immortality, al-Assad led a regime dominated by the belief that women do not have souls.

Al-Assad originated from the Alawite religious minority, though in essence a sect of Shiite Islam, is a world apart from Islam in doctrine and practice.

"The secretive faith in name indicating followers of Ali, son-in-law of Islam's founding Prophet Mohammed also combines elements of Christianity and astrology." (Apologetics Index).

Politically Bashar al-Assad is a chip off the proverbial old block. Shaped by his father's lifetime crusade against Israel, he has steadfastly resisted Israeli and American pressure to abandon support for Hezbollah.

It was the terrorist group's capture of two Israel Defense Forces soldiers and barrage of rocket attacks that sparked major Israeli military action against Lebanese targets for the first time since it withdrew from south Lebanon in 2000 after a 22-year occupation.

Bashar al-Assad finds an ally in Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad who has vowed to wipe Israel off the map. His alliance to Hezbollah has been called a "love affair".

Gary C. Gambill and Ziad K. Abdelnour, who wrote Hezbollah: Between Tehran and Damascus for the Middle East Intelligence Bulletin got it right back in February 2002:

"Bashar's love affair with Hezbollah is attributed by some observers to naivet. "A Syria that can be manipulated by Hezbollah, which in turn, under Iranian guidance, could well miss the crucial moment when Iran and Hezbollah attempt to spark a huge conflagration on Israel's northern border," says Israeli commentator Ehud Ya'ari, "A weak and na've Syria acts as an accelerator for Hezbollah, not a brake."

Elected president of Syria with 97.99 percent of the votes in a nationwide election on July 17, 2000 al-Assad is an ophthalmologist by profession. Once head of the Syrian Computer Society, an organization dedicated to developing an interest in computer technology among the young people of Syria, he also joined the Army, becoming a staff colonel in 1999.

The Alawite religion practiced by his father, a longtime president of Syria, is believed to date to the 9th century,

Peculiar to the Alawites is the belief that women do not have souls.

"Astrological phenomena also take on special meaning. There is a belief, for example, that the Milky Way is made up of deified souls of believers. (Apologetics Index).

The Alawites, at about 1.5 million strong in Syria and representing about 12 percent of the country's population, are considered by some to be a distant offshoot of the Shiite branch of Islam. Most members of the sect live in Syria, although there are scattered communities in Turkey and Lebanon as well.

Founded by a man named Ibn Nusayr, who declared himself the gateway to truth, the belief system of the Alawites has been a matter of speculation, rumour and suspicion from more orthodox Muslims of both Shiite and Sunni sects from their beginnings in the 9th century.

As secretive as the Masons in nature, only a small group within the sect is initiated into Alawite rituals and doctrine, but researchers who have studied the group say they drink wine in some ceremonies, incorporate elements of Phoenician paganism, and hold that Ali, the son-in-law of the Prophet Muhammad, is a divine. All of that is, of course anathema to conventional Islam.

Meanwhile, if there were ever two reigning presidents who live up to the old adage of "like father like son, it's Bashar and Hafez al-Assad.

http://www.canadafreepress.com/2006/cover072106.htm

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4111. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:46:14 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <rmhxg.12218$lv.7015@fed1read12>
Source record: 85245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<somebody> wrote ...

> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

... and new aircraft pilot license requirement: Demonstrate an engine start by spinning the prop -- by hand. Even if you intend to fly only jets, some old "prop-job" might be the only thing that can get through in an emergency.

I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for the transition away from spark?

4112. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 04:53:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C5A3B9-772@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85246

Move over Blueberry doggy gal.Time to reach and cut the light and get me beautifull sleep.

4113. CW?.....semaphore, lets fight for that..I love my flags. I also have 2 cans and a string

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 05:18:08 UTC
From: BruceMN44 <Brucemm33@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153804688.525553.57490@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85247

I can't for the life of me understand why there is so much concern over

learning code. After 20 yrs in radiation physics (ionizing, not RF communications) as a living, I finally decided to go for an amature radio license. It wasn't until I got here that I learned about this rift in the community (I have seen it in a few places)....granted it may be a few spirited individuals on each side that perpetuate this argument of learning code. I'm very dismayed by this rift, I wanted to

join a fellow group of RF communication enthusianists. I don't need a segration here too...there is enough of that society already, I don't need that in a hobby too. I hear my family calling....thats were my time needs to be spent.
Bruce
Duluth, MN

4114. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 05:30:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B2D865.22304424072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85298

In article <ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net>,
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

<http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_gu
est_barry_2.shtml>

Sounds like a reasonable article except that he thinks DRM sounds OK. I
don't think it sounds good and is most definitely a step down from
analog in quality. DRM could sound OK if the bit rate was increased but
that does not solve the other drawbacks it has and is certainly not an
improvement over analog.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4115. Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 05:33:17 UTC
From: israeliwebtv@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153805597.326228.281730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85299

Hey all,
For all the non Nazis here that want to get real and objective news in Hebrew from Israel you can go to this web page its totally free and works most of the time.
http://israeliwebtv.awardspace.com/
Enjoy and tell your friends.

4116. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 05:37:47 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-EC57F1.22374724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85300

```
In article <Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_
> > barry_2.shtml
> >
>
> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.

What, you have a problem with Canadians?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California
```

4117. AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio... a bit of Engineering Sleight-of-Hand - Guaranteed to Cause AM Adjacent Channel Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 05:58:32 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153807112.588300.10930@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85301

- Brenda Ann wrote:
-
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml

- Davis goes on to mention that the digital carriers are modulated
- as complex conjugate pairs, orthogonal to the AM carrier. This
- is done for the purpose of "minimizing interference to existing
- analog operations." This is true as far as it goes, but it omits
- some important details.

- The complex conjugate modulation actually does not apply to
- the primary digital sidebands, the ones upon which his attention
- is focused. More important, he does not mention that the sole
- purpose of this modulation technique is to keep digital noise out
- of analog receivers tuned to the host IBOC station.

BAD - So with AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio :

1 - You have the AM Analog Carrier and the 'in-phase' Analog Side
Bands

2 - Plus the 'out-of-phase' Digital Side Bands

Great for keeping the Digital Signal out of the Analog Signal
On-Channel.

-BUTT- Both numbers 1 and 2 cause Adjacent Channel interference
and result in twice as much Adjacent Channel Interference -especially-
when trying to hear a Distant {Weaker} AM Analog Signal.

or - am i reading this wrong ? ~ RHF
.
.
. .

>
> --
> Over 5 decades of great hits
> Full Spectrum Radio
> http://fsr.jadephoenix.org

4118. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 06:14:30 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <aFixg.56276$VE1.45718@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85302

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-EC57F1.22374724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
>> > http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_
>> > barry_2.shtml
>> >
>>
>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>
> What, you have a problem with Canadians?

No. The are way ahead of us on AM, realizing that it is mostly useless and
should only be kept for local service in the larger cities.

4119. Re: AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio... a bit of Engineering Sleight-of-Hand - Guaranteed to Cause AM Adjacent Channel Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 06:24:10 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-CEF8DA.23241024072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85303

In article <1153807112.588300.10930@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> - Brenda Ann wrote:
> -
> http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_ba
> rry_2.shtml
>
> - Davis goes on to mention that the digital carriers are modulated
> - as complex conjugate pairs, orthogonal to the AM carrier. This
> - is done for the purpose of "minimizing interference to existing
> - analog operations." This is true as far as it goes, but it omits
> - some important details.
>
> - The complex conjugate modulation actually does not apply to
> - the primary digital sidebands, the ones upon which his attention
> - is focused. More important, he does not mention that the sole
> - purpose of this modulation technique is to keep digital noise out
> - of analog receivers tuned to the host IBOC station.
>
> BAD - So with AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio :
>
> # 1 - You have the AM Analog Carrier and the 'in-phase' Analog Side
> Bands
>
> # 2 - Plus the 'out-of-phase' Digital Side Bands
>
> Great for keeping the Digital Signal out of the Analog Signal
> On-Channel.
>
> -BUTT- Both numbers 1 and 2 cause Adjacent Channel interference
> and result in twice as much Adjacent Channel Interference -especially-
> when trying to hear a Distant {Weaker} AM Analog Signal.
>
> or - am i reading this wrong ? ~ RHF

I have not the IBOC technical specification but according to the article
the secondary digital channel uses a modulation method that minimizes
interference to the analog carrier and sidebands of that same channel
and that the primary digital channel does not use that method.

Either digital channel can interfere with an adjacent channel.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4120. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 06:31:39 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153809099.010780.164640@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85304

Telamon wrote:
> In article <ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net>,
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
> <http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_gu
> est_barry_2.shtml>
>
> Sounds like a reasonable article except that he thinks DRM sounds OK. I
> don't think it sounds good and is most definitely a step down from
> analog in quality. DRM could sound OK if the bit rate was increased but
> that does not solve the other drawbacks it has and is certainly not an
> improvement over analog.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

The only DRM I've heard is from their website, and it wasn't very good.

Do you recall that story about the Dumont TV. Dumont would take a good TV, lets say a Philco. Dumont would pull out tubes until it didn't work anymore, then put back that last tube. [OK, it was supposed to be a joke.] I think these digital radio companies increase the compression until everyone agrees the sound sucks, then they back off the compression a little.

4121. Be Safe - Be Wise - Use the Right type of Charger with the Right type of Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 06:35:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153809330.019403.3580@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85305

Sanjaya,

Standard Alkaline "AA" Battery about 1.5 VDC

NiMH and NiCad "AA" Battery about 1.2 VDC

Going from Alkaline Batteries to NiMH or NiCad
Batteries should not produce any bad results other
than slightly less performance.
= = = 6.0 VDC down to 4.8 VDC

However, for a Radio Designed or Set-Up for NiMH
or NiCad Batteries inserting and using Alkaline type
Batteries could cause some possible problems
= = = 4.8 VDC up to 6.0 VDC

If the Radio is Designed to use a built-in NiMH Battery
Recharging Circuit with the installed NiMH Batteries.
Then using an External NiMH Battery Charger should
with NiMH Batteries should not be a problem.

The key 'safety' problem with NiMH Batteries seems
to be when some one tries to Re-Charge them with
a NiCad Battery Recharger. Then they can overheat;
get very hot; start a fire; and/or explode. -But- They
usually only charge up to 1.2 VDC and if they are OK
after re-charging; can be installed into a radio and used
safely. Repeating the process several time may not be
the smartest thing to do...

Be Safe - Be Wise - Use the Right type of Charger
with the Right type of Battery.

~ RHF
.
.
. .

Sanjaya wrote:
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1153740363.730729.311700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > James Douglas wrote:
> > > Never got the batteries to re-charge via the radio so I dropped the
> > > batteries into my normal AA charger. 12 hours later took them out and
> > > placed them into my radio and !Pffof! smoked the bitch!

> >
> > Are you saying that batteries of the correct size and voltage which
> > were recharged in an external charger caused the radio to catch or
> > fire, or at least begin to smoke?
> >
> > >
> > > Looked in the manual and it indicates that you should never recharge
> > > the batteries in any other way than indicated, ie, in the radio with
> > > their power-pack, etc!
> >
> > I think the manual will say somehting like only NIMH batteries should
> > be recharged in the radio(meaning not nicad or alkaline).
> >
> > >
>
> The E-10 must have NIMH batteries selected in System Settings to recharge in the radio.
> The would not recharge if the setting was for regular AA batteries.
>
> If radio was set for regular AA and NIMH batteries were inserted
> what would happen? The manual is not clear about that. It does say the radio must
> be set for the proper batteries and it does say it won't charge regular AA if that
> is attempted.
> > > Anyone heard of this before, there were the batteries that were included
> > > with the radio, green eton NI-MH 1100! Guess it's time to go to ebay
> > > for another!
> >
> > Never heard of it. I suspect something else.
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > jimdouglas
> >

4122. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 07:57:10 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-60D62D.00570925072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85306

In article <1153809099.010780.164640@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
miso@sushi.com wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net>,
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> >
> > <http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_gu
> > est_barry_2.shtml>
> >
> > Sounds like a reasonable article except that he thinks DRM sounds OK. I
> > don't think it sounds good and is most definitely a step down from
> > analog in quality. DRM could sound OK if the bit rate was increased but
> > that does not solve the other drawbacks it has and is certainly not an
> > improvement over analog.
> >
>
> The only DRM I've heard is from their website, and it wasn't very good.

Yeah, it is sucky low bit rate audio. That's what it is and to say other
wise is just dressing up a pig.

> Do you recall that story about the Dumont TV. Dumont would take a good
> TV, lets say a Philco. Dumont would pull out tubes until it didn't work
> anymore, then put back that last tube. [OK, it was supposed to be a
> joke.] I think these digital radio companies increase the compression
> until everyone agrees the sound sucks, then they back off the
> compression a little.

Because audio is a continuos stream of data the resolution of the
digitized audio is determined by the bit rate or information flow rate.
Some people think that compression can send a higher information rate
through a link or in other words artificially increase the data rate
with no consequence to the original data stream. You have to accept a
level of distortion for a level of compression. Compression trades an
increase in data rate for a alteration of the program material. Photos
don't look as good when they have been compressed and audio does not
sound as good when it has been compressed. That's just the way it is.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4123. Re: AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio... a bit of Engineering Sleight-of-Hand - Guaranteed to Cause AM Adjacent Channel Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 08:19:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153815570.310588.17200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85307

Telamon,

First you have the existing Analog AM Carrier 'on-channel'
with it's Analog AM Side-Bands causing Interference with
the Adjacent Channels.

Second with AM "HD" {IBOC} you have the 'out-of-phase'
Digital Side-Bands causing MORE Interference with the
Adjacent Channels.

To me this means - Twice as much Interference to the
Adjacent Channels resulting from both Analog and
Digital Side-Band Signals.

doubly (2Xs) bad news for am dx'ers ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1153807112.588300.10930@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > - Brenda Ann wrote:
> > -
> > http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_ba
> > rry_2.shtml
> >
> > - Davis goes on to mention that the digital carriers are modulated
> > - as complex conjugate pairs, orthogonal to the AM carrier. This
> > - is done for the purpose of "minimizing interference to existing
> > - analog operations." This is true as far as it goes, but it omits
> > - some important details.
> >
> > - The complex conjugate modulation actually does not apply to
> > - the primary digital sidebands, the ones upon which his attention
> > - is focused. More important, he does not mention that the sole
> > - purpose of this modulation technique is to keep digital noise out
> > - of analog receivers tuned to the host IBOC station.
> >
> > BAD - So with AM "HD" {IBOC} Radio :
> >
> > # 1 - You have the AM Analog Carrier and the 'in-phase' Analog Side
> > Bands

> >
> > # 2 - Plus the 'out-of-phase' Digital Side Bands
> >
> > Great for keeping the Digital Signal out of the Analog Signal
> > On-Channel.
> >
> > -BUTT- Both numbers 1 and 2 cause Adjacent Channel interference
> > and result in twice as much Adjacent Channel Interference -especially-
> > when trying to hear a Distant {Weaker} AM Analog Signal.
> >
> > or - am i reading this wrong ? ~ RHF
>
> I have not the IBOC technical specification but according to the article
> the secondary digital channel uses a modulation method that minimizes
> interference to the analog carrier and sidebands of that same channel
> and that the primary digital channel does not use that method.
>
> Either digital channel can interfere with an adjacent channel.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

4124. Tom & Carol Valentine ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 09:42:28 UTC
From: Gary
Message-ID: <g8pbc2tvqt2sjl38q0183f1gqovpt90jeu@4ax.com>
Source record: 85308

I've seen transcripts on Google done by a Tom Valentine. I was wondering if this is the same Tom Valentine that used to post in Rec Radio Amateur Misc and if so does he have an amatuer license and to go a step further what his call sign is ? I believe this Tom Valentine broadcasts on SW as well, though I could be wrong on that ?

TIA Gary

4125. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 10:32:24 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153823544.085380.83960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85309
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Baby Cakes wrote:
> > > bless you and may you find peace
> >
> > May you die of your aids along with your daddy and shemale wife.
> bless

Die of your aids, Marqueer.
```

4126. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 10:34:49 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153823689.449551.123080@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old friend wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > glad to see that
> > > > >
> > > > > Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
> > > well they will have to wait along time wiseman
> >
> > No, you morbidly obese fat fuck, you'll be dead soon.
> getting closer to an actionable threat watch yourself

Referencing your morbid obesity is a threat? You are a fucking
imbecile!

Now fuck off.
```

4127. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:06:13 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153825573.622589.222240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> bless

Hey Markie, hear from any state workers recently? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

4128. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:11:05 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C5FC49.9E46140F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85312

mike maghakian wrote:

> considering that I have seen 340's with 90 day waranties for less than
> $3000, for this seller to buy this one for $2575 means he is a fool.

Mike, I'm beginning to think that anyone who spends a buck, or more importantly, anyone who makes a buck selling a radio
is in your eyes, a fool.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
>
> "mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
> > and can't even test it ???????????
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
> >

4129. Re: What <- stop the fcuking ontopic posts and bless you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:17:05 UTC
From: konstans@geocities.com
Message-ID: <1153447197.3A6440.101380@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85313

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 22:39:12 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> A vote for M II is just another prosthetic penis for John Barnard. Please don't
> vote Dumbass Canuck.
god must loev pissants because he made so many of you.

4130. Re: What <- stop the fcuking ontopic posts and bless you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:26:35 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153826795.712995.186760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85314

konstans@geocities.com wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 22:39:12 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > A vote for M II is just another prosthetic penis for John Barnard. Please don't
> > vote Dumbass Canuck.
> god must loev pissants because he made so many of you.

And just look what he did with you..........imbecile.

4131. Re: Hahaha.....Corncob had a nerve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:29:15 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153826955.485476.35130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85315

Billy Smith wrote:
> No, but your momma sure likes it.

Oh, Lardass you are so clever...not.

Tell us how your mommy Shelly is a $2 whore.

>
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
> > Struck a nerve with ole corncob....hahahahaha....
> >
> >
> > Like those cobs chocolate covered do ya billy?
> > ____
> >
> > KE4ODD
> >
> > Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> > prove it.
> >
> >
> > *Used with express written permission of its creator.

4132. Re: hahahaha....Billy "corncob" Smith sets record for stupid posts!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:30:22 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153827022.045476.234640@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85316

Billy Smith wrote:
> Just like when I got to your momma, right beerbarrel.

You haven't got to any woman, Lardass, you are a 32 year old virgin.

Pizza BOY!

> > I got to that corncobber for sure!
> > ____
> >
> > KE4ODD
> >
> > Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> > prove it.
> >
> >
> > *Used with express written permission of its creator.

4133. Re: OT: Got this in an email....it's still true today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:31:43 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153827103.567285.24640@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85317

Billy Smith wrote:
> Beerbarrel wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 18:58:00 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 14:17:18 -0400, Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
> > >>wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>>(ejaculation on the flag excised)
> > >>
> > >>Insanity is repeating an experiment, with the same conditions every
> > >>time, and expecting a different result.
> > >>
> > >>If there was no money to be made fighting wars we'd have peace
> > >>forever.
> > >>
> > >>You are a tool.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > I'm a tool alright! The hammer that's going to bounce off your head!
> > > ____
> > >
> > > KE4ODD
> > >
> > > Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to
> > > prove it.
> > >
> > >
> > > *Used with express written permission of its creator.
> >
> > The real term you were wanting to use regarding Traci Fag is that he is
> > a stool.

STFU, fatty.

4134. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 11:45:04 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea5038rdj1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85318

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1153809099.010780.164640@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Telamon wrote:
>> In article <ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net>,
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>>
>> <http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_gu
>> est_barry_2.shtml>
>>
>> Sounds like a reasonable article except that he thinks DRM sounds OK. I
>> don't think it sounds good and is most definitely a step down from
>> analog in quality. DRM could sound OK if the bit rate was increased but
>> that does not solve the other drawbacks it has and is certainly not an
>> improvement over analog.
>>
>> --
>> Telamon
>> Ventura, California
>
> The only DRM I've heard is from their website, and it wasn't very good.
>
>
> Do you recall that story about the Dumont TV. Dumont would take a good
> TV, lets say a Philco. Dumont would pull out tubes until it didn't work
> anymore, then put back that last tube. [OK, it was supposed to be a
> joke.]

I remember Dumont sets being fairly good. I had heard that story told about
Xonix sets back in the late 60's and early 70's.

4135. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:05:02 UTC
From: "g. beat"
Message-ID: <r7qdnc0nF6FqlVvZnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:pVcxg.9475$vO.1554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> That's what you have to decide.
>
> SC
>

Personally, I would rather have the First Class and Second Class Radio Telephone back. Thank the broadcasters for lobbying to eliminate that requirement -- ironic that the industry has been sliding ever since (go figure) --
and of course -- this happen at the same time that personal computers for business usage were expanding, LAN for the PCs & cellular telephones introduced, and AT&T was broken up (1984 Judge Green order). SO -- the best "talent" left the industry (since the industry no longer wanted to pay for it).

gb

4136. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:38:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r44cc2pqqqeju085pc7n8bp6r746caadrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 85320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Jul 2006 16:42:15 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>after all I can do even EME

I sincerely doubt that. You probably couldn't even figure the loss on
an EME path.

4137. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:41:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <084cc2pa83r32o3ibkvf3ac79o7npu4nfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 85321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
>ARRL REP HERE"

Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
better.

4138. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:42:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hc4cc2l0p9m5edooei3a48h81gne3mt781@4ax.com>
Source record: 85322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 13:36:13 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>
>>>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>>>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>>>just the sign of the times.
>>
>> The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
>> able to put it on the air immediately.
>>
>> It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
>> able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
>> initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
>> real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.
>
>Ahh.. the 1977 solution.. first, middle and last initials followed by your 5
>digit zip code....

And remember how that "improved" things? :)

4139. Re: IBOC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:45:32 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153831532.215510.317570@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85323

m II wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> >> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >
> > At least he's not B-U-S-T-E-D
> >
>
> You're getting him confused with Cuhulin, who has been going on about
> bras and his man boobs for a month now. If anyone is Busted, it's not David.
>
>
>
>
> mike

By "BUSTED" I mean discredited and exposed for a fraud, not "colorful".
Cuhulin never presents himself as anything he's not...that's one of his
charms!

4140. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 12:46:02 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153831562.044042.273890@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85324

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-EC57F1.22374724072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> > http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_
> >> > barry_2.shtml
> >> >
> >>
> >> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >
> > What, you have a problem with Canadians?
>
> No. The are way ahead of us on AM, realizing that it is mostly useless and
> should only be kept for local service in the larger cities.

But you're stilll BUSTED.
```

4141. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 13:16:28 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <MQoxg.72632$Lm5.66505@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85325

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-5E4532.21330224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <Ysdxg.56158$VE1.39608@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
>> >> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
>> >>
>> >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
>> >> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
>> >> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
>> >> "that noise."
>> >>
>> > < Snip >
>> >
>> > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
>> > during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
>> > stations. What a revelation this must be to you.
>>
>> They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear,
>> with
>> fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.
>
> During the daytime fading and static are not a problem. Static is not a
> problem at night either but fading is a night time problem for 60 mile
> plus stations from LA, San diego and further but no problem on the local
> stations.

Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what unusual
thing you can get."

In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA in
LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a non-DXer due
to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under power lines, etc.

4142. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 14:19:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153837159.254766.323320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85326

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5E4532.21330224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <Ysdxg.56158$VE1.39608@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I grew
> >> >> up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and such.
> >> >>
> >> >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you are in
> >> >> your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual thing you can
> >> >> get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why you are listeing to
> >> >> "that noise."
> >> >>
> >> > < Snip >
> >> >
> >> > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the volume
> >> > during station changing or use the memory buttons programed to local
> >> > stations. What a revelation this must be to you.
> >>
> >> They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal clear,
> >> with
> >> fading and static, not to the tuning in of that station.
> >
> > During the daytime fading and static are not a problem. Static is not a
> > problem at night either but fading is a night time problem for 60 mile
> > plus stations from LA, San diego and further but no problem on the local
> > stations.
>
> Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what unusual
> thing you can get."
>
> In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA in
> LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a non-DXer due
> to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under power lines, etc.

Re-read, please. I said, "B-U-S-T-E-D."

4143. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 14:54:31 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <Hgqxg.12045$2v.1623@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

C u p o r C o n e . . . . .

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:pVcxg.9475$vO.1554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> That's what you have to decide.
>
> SC
>

4144. FS Sencore T130 Tube Tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 15:20:39 UTC
From: Charlie Hugg <hug-a-bug1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0Fqxg.13385$PO.9589@dukeread03>
Source record: 85328

For Sale a very nice Sencore Mighty Mite III, T130, tube tester in very good condition and it works good. Comes with the original manuals. It will test many useful tubes such as radio tubes and audio tubes 7199, 6550, 12AX7, 12AU7, 6DC6, 7868, 6BQ5, Etc It tests for Emission, grid leakage, and shorts. A great little tester and easy to use. Buyer pays shipping. Price is $55. Thanks, Charlie Hugg, K5MBX

4145. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 15:28:40 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153841320.484127.88130@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > bless
>
> Hey Markie, hear from any state workers recently? BWHAHAHAHAHA!
had a chat with county worker but he was with the road crew
bless you and may you find peace

4146. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 15:41:31 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153842091.291441.16690@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 24 Jul 2006 16:42:15 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >after all I can do even EME
>
> I sincerely doubt that. You probably couldn't even figure the loss on
> an EME path.
doubt it all you like

figure the path with any precison no, but I am can use the various
charts to know know I need to contact various types of stations

theseday 100w a 13b2 a preamp and you are able to pick up the larger
stations, and they can hear you

why do Ineed to be figure the path loss when I can determine the
parameters for sucess.

I honestly don't care how much of the signal islost along the way

I care wether a readble signal reach the otherside

4147. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 15:45:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153842300.466951.174190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> <somebody> wrote ...
>
> > Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> ... and new aircraft pilot license requirement: Demonstrate an engine start
> by spinning the prop -- by hand. Even if you intend to fly only jets, some
> old "prop-job" might be the only thing that can get through in an emergency.
>
> I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for
> the transition away from spark?
it idid so I hear and again with adoction of SSB and

the dino never learn that change is part of life and dealing with
change is part of being adult

4148. bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 15:48:03 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153842483.671500.171680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85332

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> konstans@geocities.com wrote:
> > On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 22:39:12 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > A vote for M II is just another prosthetic penis for John Barnard. Please don't
> > > vote Dumbass Canuck.
> > god must loev pissants because he made so many of you.
>
> And just look what he did with you..........imbecile.
nope you are responding another forger likely to yourself
bless you and may you find peace

4149. Re: RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:01:49 UTC
From: John <johnpwr@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153843309.461043.265410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85333

Brian Denley wrote:
> Are those carrier frequencies or shifted?
> Thanks
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
> "John" <johnpwr@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:1153773510.569065.200640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Try these! Only a few days old:
> >
> > 4583.0 50/460 DDK2 WX
> > 4268.7 120/576 CFH FAX-WX map
> > 4217.5 75/804 CFH WX
> > 4295.5 75/828 FUE Test
> > 5097.2 75/860 CFH WX
> > 13510.3 75/840 CFH WX
> > 8439.3 75/850 PBB TEST
> > 8038.3 120/576 GFA FAX-WX map
> > 10101.4 50/440 DDK9 WX
> > 3782.5 75/850 CTP TEST
> > 12654.3 SitB 100/170 TAH WX
> >
> >
> >
> > //John
> >

Hi Brian,

I'll guess and say carrier; the receiver is an ICOM R71A in the RTTY
position, feeding the audio into a Universal M-7000 decoder, and then
to a video monitor.

//John

4150. ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:03:04 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <12ccg5nsau19kac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85334

[eom]

4151. Re: Al-Jazeera: "I Told Lebanese Political Leaders We Would Abduct Israeli Soldiers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:04:41 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <12ccg8qi37qo757@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85335

Hassan Nasrallah: "I Told Them [Lebanese Political Leaders] That We Must Resolve the Issue of the Prisoners, and That the Only Way to Resolve it is by Abducting Israeli Soldiers"

Interviewer: "Did you inform them that you were about to abduct Israeli soldiers?"

Hassan Nasrallah: "I told them that we must resolve the issue of the prisoners, and that the only way to resolve it is by abducting Israeli soldiers."

Interviewer: "Did you say this clearly?"

Hassan Nasrallah: "Yes, and nobody said to me: 'No, you are not allowed to abduct Israeli soldiers.' Even if they had told me not to... I'm not defending myself here. I said that we would abduct Israeli soldiers, in meetings with some of the main political leaders in the country. I don't want to mention names now, but when the time comes to settle accounts, I will.

"Today, we Shi'ites are fighting Israel. Our fighting and perseverance ultimately serve our brothers in Palestine, who are Sunni, not Shi'ite. In other words, we, Shi'ites and Sunnis, fight side by side against Israel."

"For 23 years, we have been talking to our people, motivating them, talking about martyrdom, the honor of martyrdom, and the place of the martyrs. Do the Zionists, or those who encourage them, believe that I, or anyone in the Hizbullah leadership, fears martyrdom? We love martyrdom. We take precautions in order to prevent Israel from making any gains. But on the personal level, and as a personal aspiration, each and every one of us hopes to be destined to martyrdom at the hands of those people, the killers of the prophets and the messengers, and most hostile to the believers, as it says in the Koran."

4152. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:05:27 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12ccga7n3do8ta0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
> <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
>>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
>>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
>>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
>>ARRL REP HERE"
>
>
> Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
> Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
> so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
> human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
> better.

Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.

Dave WD9BDZ
```

4153. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:20:56 UTC
From: John <johnpwr@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1153844455.301164.44170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85340

Steve wrote:
> dxAce wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> > > John wrote:
> > >
> > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder
what
> > > > > > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in Florida.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > > > > > listening for a while.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity going
on up
> > > > > frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??
> > >
> > > Most utility and military comms are USB. The frequency is 6218.
> > >
> > > The activity is currently almost continuos at the moment. You can't miss it.
> >
> > The upper frequency seems to be around 6219.5 USB with what sounds like comms in
Chinese,
> > around the same level as the comms on 6218. They don't talk very often.
> >
> > Wonder if it's some sort of joint manoeuvres with Taiwan?
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> Yeah, I'm hearing that too. It does sound like Chinese.

Check radio.rec. scanner group talking about joint excerise off of
Alantic coast. For the second consecutive day, the RAF E-3D AWACS
aircraft has
departed MacDill AFB and says he is "heading to W-122 to work with
Giantkiller for JTFEX-06."

4154. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:31:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153845074.694631.112920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
> > <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
> >>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
> >>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
> >>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
> >>ARRL REP HERE"
> >
> >
> > Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
> > Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
> > so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
> > human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
> > better.
>
>
> Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
> for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.
>
> Dave WD9BDZ
anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
inquire of Carl Stevenson

4155. Re: 6218 khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 16:39:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C6493F.F7098FCF@milestones.com>
Source record: 85342

John wrote:

> Steve wrote:
> > dxAce wrote:
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > >
> > > > John wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > > dxAce wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Interesting comms on 6218 khz. Don't know who it is, but they keep
> > > > > > > > > > talking about whether they "have liquid yet", whatever that means.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sounds like US Navy tracking exercises.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The apparent tracking net is still running as heard here at 1510. I wonder
what
> > > > > > > > carrier group is out on ops? I haven't heard back from my contact in
Florida.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > dxAce
> > > > > > > > Michigan
> > > > > > > > USA
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't know but the exercise sounds to be in full swing now. I've been
> > > > > > > listening for a while.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Me too! Ever since around 1500. There also seems to be some other activity
going on up
> > > > > > frequency possibly in conjunction with that going on on 6218.
> > > > >
> > > > > dxAce
> > > > > Michigan
> > > > > USA
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Steve

> > > > >
> > > > > Been listening since 2000UTC (Boston, MA) no copy.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wasn't stated, but, assumed LSB. What is the upper frequency??
> > > >
> > > > Most utility and military comms are USB. The frequency is 6218.
> > > >
> > > > The activity is currently almost continuos at the moment. You can't miss it.
> > >
> > > The upper frequency seems to be around 6219.5 USB with what sounds like comms in
Chinese,
> > > around the same level as the comms on 6218. They don't talk very often.
> > >
> > > Wonder if it's some sort of joint manoeuvres with Taiwan?
> > >
> > > dxAce
> > > Michigan
> > > USA
> >
> > Yeah, I'm hearing that too. It does sound like Chinese.
>
> Check radio.rec. scanner group talking about joint excerise off of
> Alantic coast. For the second consecutive day, the RAF E-3D AWACS
> aircraft has
> departed MacDill AFB and says he is "heading to W-122 to work with
> Giantkiller for JTFEX-06."

OK, well now that explains why I thought I was hearing Chinese up on 6219.5.
Apparently it must have been Japanese as they (JASDEF) are supposedly in this exercise as
well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4156. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 17:12:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153847537.309493.36530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> That's what you have to decide.
>
> SC

SC - 10-4 Good Buddy ! :o) ~ RHF

I give Honor and Respect to any Amateur Radio {Ham} Operator :
Who has built and uses his own Receiver and CW Transmitter
for regular CW use. I will gladly read their posts and listen to
their arguments as to "Why" CW is important to the ARS.
- BUT - "IF" all they have every done is bought ready-made
equipment and claim that CW is necessary to the ARS
- please don't waste my time.
.
.
. .

4157. what has ever happened to Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 17:14:07 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153847646.415297.46200@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85344

In response to my claim of my first EME contact someone in RRAP came back with "I doubt you can caluate the pathloos on an EME path (or words to that effect)

You know what I can't calualte that path loss

Indeed I would discourage anyone from trying to calculate it before trying the path what I have heard about those numbers is pretty discouraging

Why can I make such a contact when other say they can't or that I can't?

simple becuase I always KNEW I would, from the first monet I heard of EME contact which was from a question on Tech test back in 97 (I had heard a few days ebfore that No Code License existed I ordered the study but it arrived only the VE session so I tired the test cold KNOWING I would pass it then or next week or..) at the monent knowing nothing more than that such a contact was possible with my license I swore I would make one (or more)

I read the about the mode in detail and soon (in 97) realize I would likely have to wait awhile to keep that vow, but from time to time I renewed my efforts at first in data gathering a new milestone 2004 when I heard about the results with JT modes I began to pracetice with the early ones in terestial contact then last winter I learn of current jt65b mode and the details of the power and anttenna requiement abit of agonizing over wether I wanted to work with crushcrafts 31 foot 17b2 that I KNEW would work but that I have trouble steer or keeping safe in our harsh winters or the 13b2 I thought might work (and it did work like a charm, which it is in some sense of course) figuring I could always use it in terrestial modes and that I could later phase it with a second one and be assured of sucess then

but ALWAYS in mind was the KNOWLEDGE and assurance that I WOULD suceed and that even if I had failed now it was merely a lesson learned , part of paying my dues as it were

I knew I would one day be able to do it

I know anybody reading reading these words can do EME if they poccess 2 things

the will to reach for the Moon
the confindence to not count the obstacles

You have MY call on it KB9RQZ (sone to be /AE)

if you can't the data drop me a comment here or
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com

4158. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 17:43:40 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea8295$mr8$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85529

In article <Pl7xg.11636$2v.2063@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>It probably would. But, you are not getting the main issue: every 18 months,
>the average age of AM listeners increases by a year. That means that in
>another few years, most AM listeners will be over 55, and advertisers do not
>buy over 55 listenership. The stations may be around, but they will be
>losing money.

So, lobby Congress to set up a grant system so that local governments
can buy out the stations and set them up municipal information broadcasts
for homeland security and the like. Given what's on some of the stations
here, the local high school could do just as good a job programming them.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4159. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 17:52:01 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea829imrl1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85530

In article <qf7xg.11632$2v.8857@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Many efforts have been made, all across the US, to make AM attract younger
>demos via formats designed for 35-44, etc. The only one that has worked
>somewhat is sports, but all music attempts have failed miserably. The
>reason: AM does not sound as good. Listeners tell us this.

Well, the technical details of the stuff broadcast isn't that much
different. There's not going to be much content in the 9-15 kHz
frequencies in (what an old recording engineer who used to work at
A&M called) "that pop crap". He said that if they had problems cutting
a master disk, they just whacked off the high end until the cutter head
amps were happy, and none of the producers seemed to notice.

So it must be the current set of really crappy AM radios. Or the
processing that the stations (over)do.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4160. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 17:55:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153850158.091982.257410@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85345

m II wrote:
> dxVole wrote:
>

> Not in the sense that you and the Wunderkind cuhulin are. Heterosexual
> men such as Mr. Barnard and myself don't spend much time wondering just
> how darn blissful you and the brassiere wearing ex Army pervert are.
what brassiere wearing exarmy guy are you ranting about?

I have not seen one posting here

4161. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 18:01:46 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ea829omrs1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85531

In article <ea5038rdj1@news2.kornet.net>,
Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
><miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
>news:1153809099.010780.164640@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Do you recall that story about the Dumont TV. Dumont would take a good
>> TV, lets say a Philco. Dumont would pull out tubes until it didn't work
>> anymore, then put back that last tube. [OK, it was supposed to be a
>> joke.]
>
>I remember Dumont sets being fairly good. I had heard that story told about
>Xonix sets back in the late 60's and early 70's.

Muntz, in the '50s. Any Muntz set left is probably a collectors item
because even in the mid 60s' when I was hacking old TV sets, there
weren't many left still working.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4162. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 18:10:52 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <hincc21ecqh70gpgr6av9lqlh9jp2kimrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 85346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On 25 Jul 2006 10:12:17 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>I give Honor and Respect to any Amateur Radio {Ham} Operator :
>Who has built and uses his own Receiver and CW Transmitter
>for regular CW use. I will gladly read their posts and listen to
>their arguments as to "Why" CW is important to the ARS.
>- BUT - "IF" all they have every done is bought ready-made
>equipment and claim that CW is necessary to the ARS
>- please don't waste my time.

---------- REPLY SEPARATOR ----------

Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
things. What does one have to do with the other?

Did you build your own car? Dishwasher? Toaster? Razor?

Bill, W6WRT

4163. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 18:28:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153852119.194366.137430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 25 Jul 2006 10:12:17 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I give Honor and Respect to any Amateur Radio {Ham} Operator :
> >Who has built and uses his own Receiver and CW Transmitter
> >for regular CW use. I will gladly read their posts and listen to
> >their arguments as to "Why" CW is important to the ARS.
> >- BUT - "IF" all they have every done is bought ready-made
> >equipment and claim that CW is necessary to the ARS
> >- please don't waste my time.
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
> things. What does one have to do with the other?
everything if you ask most of the CW advocates
>
> Did you build your own car? Dishwasher? Toaster? Razor?
>
> Bill, W6WRT

4164. Space date set for Scotty's ashes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 18:57:12 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12ccqc6mrhr3293@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85148

Space date set for Scotty's ashes

Star Trek actor James Doohan, who played the engineer Scotty in the original TV series, will now have his remains blasted into space in October.

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/entertainment/5212644.stm

4165. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:00:09 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12ccqhrmov72mf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85150

>
> It smoked, no fire, just smoke and that terrible smell that indicates
> your favorite bedside radio is fried. I just sent them an email and
> wonder what they will say. I purchased another one today on Ebay for
> $29.00 + 10.00 Fedex Shipping. I don't think I will be using
> rechargeable batteries in the new one!
>

Soon, you will have spent enough to have purchased a Sony 7600GR.
You get what you pay for.

4166. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:00:58 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <4iqcc2l2lqa7fg1mahoma3afors04qm8r8@4ax.com>
Source record: 85152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On 25 Jul 2006 11:28:39 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
>> things. What does one have to do with the other?

>everything if you ask most of the CW advocates

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

A non-answer. Try again. What does one have to do with the other?

Bill, W6WRT

4167. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:01:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153854089.899136.321580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > glad to see that
> > > >
> > > > > Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
> > > > well they will have to wait along time wiseman
> > >
> > > No, you morbidly obese fat fuck, you'll be dead soon.
> > getting closer to an actionable threat watch yourself
>
> Referencing your morbid obesity is a threat? You are a fucking
> imbecile!
no sayiing I am going to die is close to one without your lie about my
being obesse it would have been a death htreat

>
> Now fuck off.
no

4168. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:02:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mkqcc2dquk3org9k3nae8dmc2t5ch5eaao@4ax.com>
Source record: 85149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 11:05:27 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
>for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.

And, like politics, those who don't vote deserve the representatives
they get.

4169. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:02:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ulqcc2lt37imgv8p0mvpq4po0hk5b2d0hh@4ax.com>
Source record: 85151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Jul 2006 09:31:14 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
>inquire of Carl Stevenson

Or Steve Mendelson?

4170. FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:13:14 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e3uxg.467640$Fs1.358554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85154

From AllAccess.com:

>
> FCC Opens Ownership Review Docket (Again) "You should expect your
> government to do more this time." It's been a long time coming, but
> the FCC late MONDAY finally released its Further Notice Of Proposed
> Rule Making on ownership rules.
>
> The review, ordered by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third
> Circuit, includes reviews of opwership limits for local TV and radio,
> crossownership of broadcast and newspaper interests in the same
> market, the "dual network rule" preventing common ownership of two of
> the "big four" TV networks, and the "UHF discount" used in
> determining a company's national reach for ownership cap purposes.
> The Commission has expanded the comment period to 120 days for the
> docket and will hold six public hearings across the country on the
> issue,
>
> Chairman KEVIN MARTIN said that "the Commission should take into
> account the competitive realities of the media marketplace while also
> ensuring the promotion of the important goals of localism and
> diversity. As the item indicates, the Commission will look carefully
> at the relationship between media ownership and localism as it moves
> forward with this rulemaking. To that end, the Commission will
> incorporate into this proceeding the efforts undertaken on this issue
> since the last examination of our media ownership rules."
>
> Copps: Demand More
>
> Commissioner MICHAEL COPPS. who complained that "localism is not
> front-and-center in this proceeding" and that it does not offer
> specific plans to increase minority ownership, warned that "in
> WASHINGTON, things arenï¿½t always what they seem. In fact, this
> innocuous-looking document initiates the single most important public
> policy debate that the FCC will tackle this year. Donï¿½t let its
> slimness fool you. It means that this Commission has begun to decide
> on behalf of the American people the future of our media. It means
> deciding whether or not to accelerate media concentration, step up
> the loss of local news and change forever the critical role
> independent newspapers perform for our Country."
>
> "We have a choice to make," COPPS added. "Will we repeat the mistakes
> of the past? Or will we work for a process and an outcome that
> respect the millions of Americans that care deeply about their

> communitiesï¿½ media and what their kids watch, hear and read? Weï¿½ll
> soon know what choice the FCC makes.... If you see hearings in your
> hometown, instead of a just a few preselected cities, youï¿½ll know. If
> you see FCC Commissioners come to listen to your point of view
> personally, instead of expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> to get heard, youï¿½ll know. If the FCC contracts for independent,
> well-funded studies and seeks public comment on those studies,
> instead of buying a few-half hearted, time-crunched papers that slide
> into the record without comment, youï¿½ll know. And, critically, if the
> FCC shows you the specific rules that will reshape the American media
> before forcing a vote, instead of rushing from this short document to
> a final vote, youï¿½ll know.
>
> "You should expect your government to do more this time."
>
>
> Also complaining was Commissioner JONATHAN ADELSTEIN, who charged
> that "the manner in which the Commission is launching this critical
> proceeding is totally inadequate. It is like submitting a high-school
> term paper for a Ph.D. thesis. This Commission failed in 2003, and if
> we donï¿½t change course, we will fail again.
>
> "The large media companies wanted, and today they get, a blank check
> to permit further media consolidation. The Notice is so open-ended
> that it will permit the majority of the Commission to allow giant
> media companies to get even bigger at the time, place and manner of
> their choosing. That is the reason I have refused to support
> launching this proceeding until now, and it is why I am dissenting
> from the bulk of this Notice. This Notice is thin gruel to those
> hoping for a meaty discussion of media ownership issues."

4171. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:14:26 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WPqdncz7YoMN8FvZnZ2dnUVZ_vCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:

SNIPPED

>
> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
> things. What does one have to do with the other?
>
> Did you build your own car? Dishwasher? Toaster? Razor?
>
> Bill, W6WRT

:-)

Bill, I had a master machinist work for me in the 1960s. He could make ANYTHING!!

I asked him if he could make a car. Sure!! His reply: "All I need is a block of steel 6X6X20 feet, a large milling machine, and a large lathe."

He was a Ham Radio Operator, Collins stuff of the 60s.

/s/ DD W1MCE

4172. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:18:01 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153855081.190895.69000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2006 09:31:14 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
> >inquire of Carl Stevenson
>
> Or Steve Mendelson?
sorry don't know his story

I Know Carl stried to stand for ARRL director (midalantic) and was
refused a place on the ballot

4173. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:23:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153855387.106686.133430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 25 Jul 2006 11:28:39 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
> >> things. What does one have to do with the other?
>
>
> >everything if you ask most of the CW advocates
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> A non-answer. Try again. What does one have to do with the other?
why try again?
that is what is insited on by the CW advocates along with anybody that opposes the requirement is lazy or wnat to turn the ARS into CB no proof no evidence just mantras

Id rather answer what does a CW test show about my abilty to operate on HF when I have no intention to ever use CW. I d love an answer to that question but I will nver get it either
>
> Bill, W6WRT

4174. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 19:38:21 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B15A8D.12382125072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85158

In article <no-527FE1.08583225072006@news.supernews.com>,
Mike <no@where.man> wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-5E4532.21330224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> > During the daytime fading and static are not a problem. Static is not a
> > problem at night either but fading is a night time problem for 60 mile
> > plus stations from LA, San diego and further but no problem on the local
> > stations.
>
> Local AM at night has plenty of problems. New Zealand comes in better
> at night here than some of the half dozen local stations. If there's
> an evening thunderstorm going on - which is *very* common here this time
> of year - then forget AM!
>
> During the day, I can get exactly one local AM station at work.
> Granted, it's your typical office building with concrete and steel,
> fluorescent lights and hundreds of computers, but FM has no problem in
> this environment does it?
>
> It is for these reasons that, relatively speaking, no one is listening
> to AM. If the programming (sports talk, news, weather, traffic) moves
> to FM, then I'll move with it. I'm not married to AM.

You can always stack the deck of possibilities in favor of an argument.

Lightning is not a problem for me because it does not happen very often
in southern California but I could see that being a problem in other
parts of the country. I expect that if the lightning storm was a
energetic one FM would also be affected.

FM does better in a office building because it is broadcast on a 100
times shorter wavelength that can penetrate the non-conductive openings
to where you are located inside the building. This is no argument for me
at home or in the car but like you I listened to FM with the radio on my
desk at work for the same reason.

Digital mode AM is not going to make a difference on a office building
penetration over analog.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4175. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 20:13:55 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-E81AFC.13135525072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85159

In article <MQoxg.72632$Lm5.66505@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-5E4532.21330224072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
> > In article <Ysdxg.56158$VE1.39608@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> I clearly stated I was relaying listener opoinions, not mine. I
> >> >> grew up on both AM and DX and have no problems with fading and
> >> >> such.
> >> >>
> >> >> I would imagine that many DXers have had this experience: you
> >> >> are in your car and tuning the AM dial to see what unusual
> >> >> thing you can get. The passenger or passengers quickly ask why
> >> >> you are listeing to "that noise."
> >> >>
> >> > < Snip >
> >> >
> >> > They are objecting to the noise between stations. Turn down the
> >> > volume during station changing or use the memory buttons
> >> > programed to local stations. What a revelation this must be to
> >> > you.
> >>
> >> They are objecting to listening to a station that is not crystal
> >> clear, with fading and static, not to the tuning in of that
> >> station.
> >
> > During the daytime fading and static are not a problem. Static is
> > not a problem at night either but fading is a night time problem
> > for 60 mile plus stations from LA, San diego and further but no
> > problem on the local stations.
>
> Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what
> unusual thing you can get."
>
> In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA
> in LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a
> non-DXer due to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under
> power lines, etc.

Now wait a minute. Here I am doing my best for discussion purposes
buying into part of your theories on what is important in AMBCB and you

try to slog the discussion back to DXing. I'm not buying into debate tactics.

You made an real world listening situation comment and I, using your own reasoning, dismissed it based on your own market rationalizations on what stations I should be listening too. Using your reasoning the local stations have strong signals that sounds good on the average car radio and not sound noisy. The other people in the car would not object to listening to local stations.

I don't DX AM radio stations in the car. I listen to distant stations, when they sound good, for the program material. I'm a program listener not a DXer.

If KOH in Nevada was coming in with a strong signal at the time other people in the car have not had a problem with listening to it. If started coming in poorly I would change the station to one that was coming in well local or distant. I don't expect people that are not into radio DXing to listen to a poor quality signal.

It makes no difference when listening to FM analog, FM digital, AM analog, or AM digital if the signal is poor for any reason the average person is not going to listen to it. Going digital or using a different band are engineering exercises at solving different issues other than just reception. Arguments to the contrary are nonsensical.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4176. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 20:40:18 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153860018.027583.116140@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

BT - One Word : "Amateur" {Ham} as in Operator ~ RHF

All 'others' for the most part are 'appliance operators'
just like so many CB'ers - IMHO.
.
.
. .

Bill Turner wrote:
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 25 Jul 2006 11:28:39 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
> >> things. What does one have to do with the other?
>
>
> >everything if you ask most of the CW advocates
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> A non-answer. Try again. What does one have to do with the other?
>
> Bill, W6WRT

4177. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 20:49:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85161

In article <no-38BD6C.15522025072006@news.supernews.com>,
Mike <no@where.man> wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-B15A8D.12382125072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> > You can always stack the deck of possibilities in favor of an argument.
>
> I thought I was just stating my opinion, along with the facts of the
> climate where I live. No "deck stacking" here.

Yeah, an opinion based on "stacking the deck" to favor your opinion. You
set up a circumstance that was not a part of the discussion, which was
listening at home or in the car.

> > Lightning is not a problem for me because it does not happen very often
> > in southern California but I could see that being a problem in other
> > parts of the country. I expect that if the lightning storm was a
> > energetic one FM would also be affected.
>
> Perhaps, but I'll take FM in a storm over AM any day.
>
> > FM does better in a office building because it is broadcast on a 100
> > times shorter wavelength that can penetrate the non-conductive openings
> > to where you are located inside the building.
>
> Yes, I understand all of that.
>
> > Digital mode AM is not going to make a difference on a office building
> > penetration over analog.
>
> Yes, I understand that also. That's why I'd rather see it move to FM
> than to "digital AM".

Make it move? Make what move? I think the idea is to add channels or
streams while the current stations keep what they have maintaining the
status quo.

> FWIW, if radio stations really *are* serious about this "digital AM"
> stuff, then they need some clever marketing to make it work. Call it
> "ZM" or something - make people think it's something brand new.
> "Digital AM" isn't going to cut it because it's stuck with the "AM" name.

I'd call it BS-M and *if* they were serious they would present a system
that was an actual improvement over the present analog system.

IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one" based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate long distance while you are at it.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4178. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:16:37 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <VSvxg.12175$2v.11711@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85162

"Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
news:no-38BD6C.15522025072006@news.supernews.com...
> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-B15A8D.12382125072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>> You can always stack the deck of possibilities in favor of an argument.
>
> I thought I was just stating my opinion, along with the facts of the
> climate where I live. No "deck stacking" here.
>
>> Lightning is not a problem for me because it does not happen very often
>> in southern California but I could see that being a problem in other
>> parts of the country. I expect that if the lightning storm was a
>> energetic one FM would also be affected.
>
> Perhaps, but I'll take FM in a storm over AM any day.
>
>> FM does better in a office building because it is broadcast on a 100
>> times shorter wavelength that can penetrate the non-conductive openings
>> to where you are located inside the building.
>
> Yes, I understand all of that.
>
>> Digital mode AM is not going to make a difference on a office building
>> penetration over analog.
>
> Yes, I understand that also. That's why I'd rather see it move to FM
> than to "digital AM".
>
> FWIW, if radio stations really *are* serious about this "digital AM"
> stuff, then they need some clever marketing to make it work. Call it
> "ZM" or something - make people think it's something brand new.
> "Digital AM" isn't going to cut it because it's stuck with the "AM" name.

It is being marketed as HD Radio, irrespective of the band.

4179. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:17:36 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153862256.387291.315870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

RHF wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > That's what you have to decide.
> >
> > SC
>
> SC - 10-4 Good Buddy ! :o) ~ RHF
>
> I give Honor and Respect to any Amateur Radio {Ham} Operator :
> Who has built and uses his own Receiver and CW Transmitter
> for regular CW use. I will gladly read their posts and listen to
> their arguments as to "Why" CW is important to the ARS.
> - BUT - "IF" all they have every done is bought ready-made
> equipment and claim that CW is necessary to the ARS
> - please don't waste my time.

BTW any coment on No tech has bulilt and uses (when he can someone else
to talk) a ^M AM rig one tube and all old type of design (based on an
old ham 5 meter unit)
> .
> .
> . .

4180. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:19:51 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153862391.296097.123170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85164

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
> news:no-38BD6C.15522025072006@news.supernews.com...
> > In article
> > <telamon_spamshield-B15A8D.12382125072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> > Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >> You can always stack the deck of possibilities in favor of an argument.
> >
> > I thought I was just stating my opinion, along with the facts of the
> > climate where I live. No "deck stacking" here.
> >
> >> Lightning is not a problem for me because it does not happen very often
> >> in southern California but I could see that being a problem in other
> >> parts of the country. I expect that if the lightning storm was a
> >> energetic one FM would also be affected.
> >
> > Perhaps, but I'll take FM in a storm over AM any day.
> >
> >> FM does better in a office building because it is broadcast on a 100
> >> times shorter wavelength that can penetrate the non-conductive openings
> >> to where you are located inside the building.
> >
> > Yes, I understand all of that.
> >
> >> Digital mode AM is not going to make a difference on a office building
> >> penetration over analog.
> >
> > Yes, I understand that also. That's why I'd rather see it move to FM
> > than to "digital AM".
> >
> > FWIW, if radio stations really *are* serious about this "digital AM"
> > stuff, then they need some clever marketing to make it work. Call it
> > "ZM" or something - make people think it's something brand new.
> > "Digital AM" isn't going to cut it because it's stuck with the "AM" name.
>
> It is being marketed as HD Radio, irrespective of the band.

And you are BUSTED, regardless of your aliases.

4181. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:20:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <uWvxg.12177$2v.10586@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85165

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-E81AFC.13135525072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <MQoxg.72632$Lm5.66505@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>> Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what
>> unusual thing you can get."
>>
>> In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA
>> in LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a
>> non-DXer due to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under
>> power lines, etc.
>
> Now wait a minute. Here I am doing my best for discussion purposes
> buying into part of your theories on what is important in AMBCB and you
> try to slog the discussion back to DXing. I'm not buying into debate
> tactics.

In this case, I am discussing what is acceptable reception to some is not to
others. Those used to "the old days" of listening to out of market AMs at
night have a tolerance. the younger generations do not.
>
> You made an real world listening situation comment and I, using your
> own reasoning, dismissed it based on your own market rationalizations
> on what stations I should be listening too. Using your reasoning the
> local stations have strong signals that sounds good on the average
> car radio and not sound noisy. The other people in the car would not
> object to listening to local stations.

I was only saying that tuning to an "outside station" is acceptable to
traditional AM users. To all others, it is not.
>
> I don't DX AM radio stations in the car. I listen to distant stations,
> when they sound good, for the program material. I'm a program listener
> not a DXer.

If it is way out of market and on skywave, I would argue that it is DX.
Distance = DX.
>
> It makes no difference when listening to FM analog, FM digital, AM
> analog, or AM digital if the signal is poor for any reason the average
> person is not going to listen to it. Going digital or using a different
> band are engineering exercises at solving different issues other than
> just reception. Arguments to the contrary are nonsensical.

We may be saying the same thing. I agree here, entirely.

The changes to HD are not intended to improve reception. They are intended to improve the perception of quality.

4182. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:21:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C68B46.AE237FCE@milestones.com>
Source record: 85166

David Frackelton Gleason, posing somewhere as 'Eduardo', fake Hispanic and paid shill for Univision Radio/iBiquity wrote:

> "Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
> news:no-38BD6C.15522025072006@news.supernews.com...
> > In article
> > <telamon_spamshield-B15A8D.12382125072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> > Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >> You can always stack the deck of possibilities in favor of an argument.
> >
> > I thought I was just stating my opinion, along with the facts of the
> > climate where I live. No "deck stacking" here.
> >
> >> Lightning is not a problem for me because it does not happen very often
> >> in southern California but I could see that being a problem in other
> >> parts of the country. I expect that if the lightning storm was a
> >> energetic one FM would also be affected.
> >
> > Perhaps, but I'll take FM in a storm over AM any day.
> >
> >> FM does better in a office building because it is broadcast on a 100
> >> times shorter wavelength that can penetrate the non-conductive openings
> >> to where you are located inside the building.
> >
> > Yes, I understand all of that.
> >
> >> Digital mode AM is not going to make a difference on a office building
> >> penetration over analog.
> >
> > Yes, I understand that also. That's why I'd rather see it move to FM
> > than to "digital AM".
> >
> > FWIW, if radio stations really *are* serious about this "digital AM"
> > stuff, then they need some clever marketing to make it work. Call it
> > "ZM" or something - make people think it's something brand new.
> > "Digital AM" isn't going to cut it because it's stuck with the "AM" name.
>
> It is being marketed as HD Radio, irrespective of the band.

Shilled, Edweenie?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4183. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:22:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C68B91.BE78CB55@milestones.com>
Source record: 85167

an old feind wrote:

> RHF wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > That's what you have to decide.
> > >
> > > SC
> >
> > SC - 10-4 Good Buddy ! :o) ~ RHF
> >
> > I give Honor and Respect to any Amateur Radio {Ham} Operator :
> > Who has built and uses his own Receiver and CW Transmitter
> > for regular CW use. I will gladly read their posts and listen to
> > their arguments as to "Why" CW is important to the ARS.
> > - BUT - "IF" all they have every done is bought ready-made
> > equipment and claim that CW is necessary to the ARS
> > - please don't waste my time.
>
> BTW any coment on No tech has bulilt and uses (when he can someone else
> to talk) a ^M AM rig one tube and all old type of design (based on an
> old ham 5 meter unit)

Translation?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4184. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:24:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153862686.321594.190890@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85168

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-E81AFC.13135525072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <MQoxg.72632$Lm5.66505@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what
> >> unusual thing you can get."
> >>
> >> In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA
> >> in LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a
> >> non-DXer due to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under
> >> power lines, etc.
> >
> > Now wait a minute. Here I am doing my best for discussion purposes
> > buying into part of your theories on what is important in AMBCB and you
> > try to slog the discussion back to DXing. I'm not buying into debate
> > tactics.
>
> In this case, I am discussing what is acceptable reception to some is not to
> others. Those used to "the old days" of listening to out of market AMs at
> night have a tolerance. the younger generations do not.
> >
> > You made an real world listening situation comment and I, using your
> > own reasoning, dismissed it based on your own market rationalizations
> > on what stations I should be listening too. Using your reasoning the
> > local stations have strong signals that sounds good on the average
> > car radio and not sound noisy. The other people in the car would not
> > object to listening to local stations.
>
> I was only saying that tuning to an "outside station" is acceptable to
> traditional AM users. To all others, it is not.
> >
> > I don't DX AM radio stations in the car. I listen to distant stations,
> > when they sound good, for the program material. I'm a program listener
> > not a DXer.
>
> If it is way out of market and on skywave, I would argue that it is DX.
> Distance = DX.
> >
> > It makes no difference when listening to FM analog, FM digital, AM
> > analog, or AM digital if the signal is poor for any reason the average
> > person is not going to listen to it. Going digital or using a different
> > band are engineering exercises at solving different issues other than

> > just reception. Arguments to the contrary are nonsensical.
>
> We may be saying the same thing. I agree here, entirely.
>
> The changes to HD are not intended to improve reception. They are intended
> to improve the perception of quality.

Doesn't matter what you say. You're BUSTED.

4185. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:25:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C68C41.6529BD3A@milestones.com>
Source record: 85169

David Frackelton Gleason, prancing shill posing as 'Eduardo' wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-E81AFC.13135525072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <MQoxg.72632$Lm5.66505@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Re-read, please. I said, "You are tuning the AM dial to see what
> >> unusual thing you can get."
> >>
> >> In other words, you find some distant station, like listening to KOA
> >> in LA... a reasonable clear signal for a DXer, but horrible for a
> >> non-DXer due to fading, static bursts, buzz every time you go under
> >> power lines, etc.
> >
> > Now wait a minute. Here I am doing my best for discussion purposes
> > buying into part of your theories on what is important in AMBCB and you
> > try to slog the discussion back to DXing. I'm not buying into debate
> > tactics.
>
> In this case, I am discussing what is acceptable reception to some is not to
> others. Those used to "the old days" of listening to out of market AMs at
> night have a tolerance. the younger generations do not.
> >
> > You made an real world listening situation comment and I, using your
> > own reasoning, dismissed it based on your own market rationalizations
> > on what stations I should be listening too. Using your reasoning the
> > local stations have strong signals that sounds good on the average
> > car radio and not sound noisy. The other people in the car would not
> > object to listening to local stations.
>
> I was only saying that tuning to an "outside station" is acceptable to
> traditional AM users. To all others, it is not.
> >
> > I don't DX AM radio stations in the car. I listen to distant stations,
> > when they sound good, for the program material. I'm a program listener
> > not a DXer.
>
> If it is way out of market and on skywave, I would argue that it is DX.
> Distance = DX.

IBOC = QRM

dxAce
Michigan

USA

4186. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 21:38:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mbwxg.12182$2v.2496@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85170

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the
> band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the
> bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one"
> based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate
> long distance while you are at it.

We were handed AM. We don't like the fact that it has skywave, which has not
been particularly helpful since TV took over evening entertainment.

The reason AM became outmoded is that the FCC itself could not decide
whether to allow regional or national coverage or to promote localism... so
they never allowed US AMs the amount of power needed to really be anything
but a local medium.... and licensed thousands of lower power stations that
only find that skywave creates interference that reduces coverage.

4187. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 22:28:34 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-751296.15283425072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85171

In article <no-B47108.17171625072006@news.supernews.com>,
Mike <no@where.man> wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> > Yeah, an opinion based on "stacking the deck" to favor your opinion. You
> > set up a circumstance that was not a part of the discussion, which was
> > listening at home or in the car.
>
> WTF are you talking about? Listening to AM in a car or at home - at
> night - is definitely different from listening to AM in a car or at home
> - during the day! Either sucks during a thunderstorm. FM is better
> in all of these scenarios.

WTF indeed. Read the thread. Did I write day time and night time was the
same? Noooo.

Since you won't or can't I'll explain one last time for your greater
than average comprehension needs. The discussion was about home and car
AMBCB listening and you added listening in an office building. The
thread is about IBOC (read the subject line). I state that IBOC is not
an improvement and David thinks it is. We both write about scenarios
about listening in a car. Then you jump in sniping the thread about
listening in an office building. You were just introducing new thoughts
that were a little discontinuous to what was being discussed.

> You were the one claiming that local AM had no problems at night!
>
> > > Yes, I understand that also. That's why I'd rather see it move to FM
> > > than to "digital AM".
> >
> > Make it move? Make what move? I think the idea is to add channels or
> > streams while the current stations keep what they have maintaining the
> > status quo.
>
> Where did I say "make it move". I said I'd rather see it move to FM
> than to "digital AM", for all of the above reasons!
>
> Reading is *so* fundamental.

You have a real comprehension problem about what you wrote so here you
go from a few lines above.

"That's why I'd rather see it move to FM than to "digital AM".

And now going back through the thread to see what you previously wrote
and sniped I see you mean the program material.

So basically you don't know how to snip appropriately either.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4188. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 22:41:12 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-9F411E.15411225072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85172

In article <mbwxg.12182$2v.2496@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the
> > band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the
> > bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one"
> > based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate
> > long distance while you are at it.
>
> We were handed AM. We don't like the fact that it has skywave, which has not
> been particularly helpful since TV took over evening entertainment.
>
> The reason AM became outmoded is that the FCC itself could not decide
> whether to allow regional or national coverage or to promote localism... so
> they never allowed US AMs the amount of power needed to really be anything
> but a local medium.... and licensed thousands of lower power stations that
> only find that skywave creates interference that reduces coverage.

Ok then who is responsible for perpetuating the continued use of a band
that has long range propagation?

When things started out decades ago the night time long distance
propagation was desired, then at some point the FCC wanted the use of
the band to be local and changed rules to favor that. Now that it is
proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to a new
mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change the band to
a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?

What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The status
quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of licenses
first dibs on the new band.

There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog band space
could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the air TV.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4189. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 22:44:34 UTC
From: norml <normlehf@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <nf7dc2te1qa1llechf1beks238tfe7i5ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 85173

That story was originally told about Mad Man Muntz. And it involved all of the components, not just the tubes.

DuMont's were pretty good sets. A.B.D. was, for some time, ahead of everybody in building large CRTs. You really must see a 30" DuMont Royal Sovereign from about 1951.

Norm

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrotf:

>
>I remember Dumont sets being fairly good. I had heard that story told about
>Xonix sets back in the late 60's and early 70's.
>

4190. Re: CW?.....semaphore, lets fight for that..I love my flags. I also have 2 cans and a string

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:24:11 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153869851.131106.139330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85174

I know what you mean, Bruce. I find the debate/rift downright laughable
myself. When I got my amateur license back in the early 1970's, I
actually found the code to be easier to learn than the theory part of
it all. I guess not everyone's brain works the same...who knows.
Have a good one!

4191. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NVxxg.4657$bP5.1016@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"g. beat" <@<spam protected>> wrote in
news:r7qdnc0nF6FqlVvZnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:pVcxg.9475$vO.1554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> That's what you have to decide.
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> Personally, I would rather have the First Class and Second Class Radio
> Telephone back. Thank the broadcasters for lobbying to eliminate that
> requirement -- ironic that the industry has been sliding ever since (go
> figure) --

That's what happens when you lower standards, why hams don't realize that,
I'll never know. Maybe it's just laziness.

SC

4192. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>>
>>>
>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>>
>>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>>
>>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>>
>>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>>
>>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>>
>>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be
>>> gone sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys
>>> but it's just the sign of the times.
>>>
>>> BH
>>
>>
>> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because
>> once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull
>> back out & clean up.
>>
>> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
>> more dumbing down.
>>
>>
>> Help save Ham radio:

>>
>>
>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>
>
> No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio
> and there is no reason to think it would improve things.

Yah friggen right, NOT! Continuing to stay knowledgable and re-testing won't improve things? Sheesh!! What's your problem then, If licensees remembered what was on their exams ten years ago passing the exams again should be a breeze. Of course, if they don't rememeber, they'll have to study again. This will make better hams. And the fact that this requirement has never existed in the history of ham radio doesn't make it a bad idea. You're just Lazy.

>>
>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>
> Might be OK.

Thank you.

>>
>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>
> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff allowing you better enjoyment of the service.

>>
>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>
> Probably wouldn't make any difference.

Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be bad?

>>
>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you

> want.

The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed. Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if you know anything about radio.

4193. Re: Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YVxxg.4659$bP5.2862@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85177

israeliwebtv@gmail.com wrote in
news:1153805597.326228.281730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> Hey all,
> For all the non Nazis here that want to get real and objective news in
> Hebrew from Israel you can go to this web page its totally free and
> works most of the time.
> http://israeliwebtv.awardspace.com/
> Enjoy and tell your friends.

I hope it's got lots of sounds of screaming arabs.

4194. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:38:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153870723.219035.156660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
> >

> > Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> > the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you
> > want.
>
>
> The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through
> surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out
> with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we
> discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued
> policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank
> account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed.
> Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The
> ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if
> you know anything about radio.
you mean the ARRL gave in and tired to make a grab for what it thought
it could get (coded extra) and failed opening to door for our final
victory

4195. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:45:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153871112.379896.76980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "g. beat" <@<spam protected>> wrote in
> news:r7qdnc0nF6FqlVvZnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:pVcxg.9475$vO.1554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> That's what you have to decide.
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> >
> > Personally, I would rather have the First Class and Second Class Radio
> > Telephone back. Thank the broadcasters for lobbying to eliminate that
> > requirement -- ironic that the industry has been sliding ever since (go
> > figure) --
>
> That's what happens when you lower standards, why hams don't realize that,
> I'll never know. Maybe it's just laziness.
no it happens because technolgy is marching on

that Hams like you can't face that is the biggest thing wrong with the
ARS today
>
> SC

4196. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-25 23:59:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153871982.323532.121250@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85180

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the
> > band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the
> > bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one"
> > based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate
> > long distance while you are at it.
>
> We were handed AM.

You were handed your ass when you got BUSTED.

4197. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:08:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Gnyxg.56424$VE1.29179@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85181

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-9F411E.15411225072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <mbwxg.12182$2v.2496@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> >
>> > IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the
>> > band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the
>> > bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one"
>> > based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate
>> > long distance while you are at it.
>>
>> We were handed AM. We don't like the fact that it has skywave, which has
>> not
>> been particularly helpful since TV took over evening entertainment.
>>
>> The reason AM became outmoded is that the FCC itself could not decide
>> whether to allow regional or national coverage or to promote localism...
>> so
>> they never allowed US AMs the amount of power needed to really be
>> anything
>> but a local medium.... and licensed thousands of lower power stations
>> that
>> only find that skywave creates interference that reduces coverage.
>
> Ok then who is responsible for perpetuating the continued use of a band
> that has long range propagation?

The FCC. Just the same reason why we never considered Eureka... the band is
in military service in the US. The same reason Long Wave is not used in the
US. And so on.
>
> When things started out decades ago the night time long distance
> propagation was desired, then at some point the FCC wanted the use of
> the band to be local and changed rules to favor that.

Actually, if you peruse Broadcasting Magazine going back to the late 30's,
you find a couple of decades of indecision on the part of the FCC. When
there were few stations, when the bands were reallocated in around 1932, the
FCC established the clears because there were so few local stations yet.
Then, after the war, they doubled the AMs in 4 years, and kept postpoing the
upgrading of clears to 500 to 750 kw. Eventually, this became a written (via
administrative law) position of favoring localism over broad coverage. This

changed even FM, where power limits of 50 kw or 100 kw (by zone) were imposed where up to 500 kw had been earlier authorized.

So, through the 70's, many, many local stations were authorized, FMs were dropped in power caps, and only the 24 1 A clears survived, but at the low power of 50 kw. By the end of the 70's, even the clears were broken down to give new local servi ce, mostly in the west.

>Now that it is
> proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to a new
> mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change the band to
> a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?

And what frequencies would you use? And that would obsolete existing radios, which HD does not do.
>
> What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The status
> quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of licenses
> first dibs on the new band.

But, in the way the AM band is used today, it does not make as big a mess as everyone complains. I have seen several recent RW articles in which skywave is still defended as the reason why HD is not a good idea. These experts do not understand that, starting with the FCC in the 40's, skywave is no longer relevant.
>
> There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog band space
> could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the air TV.

The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and the FCC plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in Bemidji will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.

4198. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:22:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153873360.371559.121850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85182

David Eduardo wrote:

Irrelevant twaddle deleted.

You are so BUSTED!!

4199. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:25:26 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <WDyxg.56426$VE1.34067@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85183

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1153873360.371559.121850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
>
> You are so BUSTED!!

What is "twaddle?"

4200. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:30:05 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-06FFF7.17300425072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85184

In article <Gnyxg.56424$VE1.29179@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-9F411E.15411225072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <mbwxg.12182$2v.2496@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:telamon_spamshield-972834.13493725072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com.
> >> ..
> >> >
> >> > IBOC blows. If you are going to make the change to digital split the
> >> > band and make half of it digital or better use a different band. If the
> >> > bean counting think goes with the "only good station is a local one"
> >> > based on an advertising model then pick a band that does not propagate
> >> > long distance while you are at it.
> >>
> >> We were handed AM. We don't like the fact that it has skywave, which has
> >> not
> >> been particularly helpful since TV took over evening entertainment.
> >>
> >> The reason AM became outmoded is that the FCC itself could not decide
> >> whether to allow regional or national coverage or to promote localism...
> >> so
> >> they never allowed US AMs the amount of power needed to really be
> >> anything
> >> but a local medium.... and licensed thousands of lower power stations
> >> that
> >> only find that skywave creates interference that reduces coverage.
> >
> > Ok then who is responsible for perpetuating the continued use of a band
> > that has long range propagation?
>
> The FCC. Just the same reason why we never considered Eureka... the band is
> in military service in the US. The same reason Long Wave is not used in the
> US. And so on.
> >
> > When things started out decades ago the night time long distance
> > propagation was desired, then at some point the FCC wanted the use of
> > the band to be local and changed rules to favor that.
>
> Actually, if you peruse Broadcasting Magazine going back to the late 30's,
> you find a couple of decades of indecision on the part of the FCC. When

> there were few stations, when the bands were reallocated in around 1932, the
> FCC established the clears because there were so few local stations yet.
> Then, after the war, they doubled the AMs in 4 years, and kept postpoing the
> upgrading of clears to 500 to 750 kw. Eventually, this became a written (via
> administrative law) position of favoring localism over broad coverage. This
> changed even FM, where power limits of 50 kw or 100 kw (by zone) were
> imposed where up to 500 kw had been earlier authorized.
>
> So, through the 70's, many, many local stations were authorized, FMs were
> dropped in power caps, and only the 24 1 A clears survived, but at the low
> power of 50 kw. By the end of the 70's, even the clears were broken down to
> give new local servi ce, mostly in the west.
>
> >Now that it is
> > proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to a new
> > mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change the band to
> > a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?
>
> And what frequencies would you use? And that would obsolete existing radios,
> which HD does not do.
> >
> > What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The status
> > quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of licenses
> > first dibs on the new band.
>
> But, in the way the AM band is used today, it does not make as big a mess as
> everyone complains. I have seen several recent RW articles in which skywave
> is still defended as the reason why HD is not a good idea. These experts do
> not understand that, starting with the FCC in the 40's, skywave is no longer
> relevant.
> >
> > There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog band space
> > could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the air TV.
>
> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and the FCC
> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in Bemidji
> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.

Further above you asked what frequencies would I use and the last
paragraph I previously wrote answers that. I don't see why some of the
old TV band could not be used for a new commercial digital radio band
that normally would not propagate out of the local area. Antennas would
be smaller like the current FM band.

The hybrid IBOC is temporary and the analog will portion will be done
away with anyway so existing radios will be obsoleted.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4201. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:31:48 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C6B7F4.B4B61D8E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85185

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as Edtardo wrote:

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153873360.371559.121850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
> >
> > You are so BUSTED!!
>
> What is "twaddle?"

Baloney: pretentious or silly talk or writing.

You know... what YOU do, oh shiller of IBOC/QRM

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4202. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:37:45 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153874265.882823.57780@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85186

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1153873360.371559.121850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
> >
> > You are so BUSTED!!
>
> What is "twaddle?"

As BUSTED as you are, you shouldn't even concern yourself.

4203. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:42:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <cUyxg.56430$VE1.18574@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85187

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-06FFF7.17300425072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
.
>>
>> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and the FCC
>> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in Bemidji
>> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.
>
> Further above you asked what frequencies would I use and the last
> paragraph I previously wrote answers that. I don't see why some of the
> old TV band could not be used for a new commercial digital radio band
> that normally would not propagate out of the local area. Antennas would
> be smaller like the current FM band.

The FCC is not going to give up multi-billion dollar frequencies for a few
dollars. They already have a plan and demand for the TV channels, and this
is pretty much set in cement.
>
> The hybrid IBOC is temporary and the analog will portion will be done
> away with anyway so existing radios will be obsoleted.

Probably not for a decade, if that. There is no sunset law on it going all
digital... unlike HDTV.

4204. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:47:19 UTC
From: "bajohnson29@yahoo.com" <bajohnson29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153874839.140486.144370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85188

Could someone refresh my memory on just how many media outlets a
corporation can own in one market?? I seem to have lost track of the
FCC rulings on that subject.

It would seem that, at least with regards to radio, this kind of
decision will have a huge impact. If radio is truly a personal medium,
then it becomes very hard for a large corporation to continue to meet
the needs of the immediate community. I'm not sure how a ruling in
favor of the large conglomerates would affect small town America, but
certainly it would have an effect on those smaller stations that are
based around major markets. That would seem a shame to loose the
personal input and local flavors of those smaller stations - in favor
of a bigger signal. Local businesses opting to spend their advertising
dollars with the larger signal stations - i.e., those that reach
50-100 miles - would be a waste for that business if they only pull
their customers from 10 - 15 miles away. So, then maybe the point to
bring up to little stations is that your sales staff needs to do a
better job educating the local businesses.

But it is the almighty dollar that wins in the end - so the FCC needs
to find a way to help smaller stations stay afloat while not loosing
the revenue that the larger corporations can generate. It is much the
same for newspaper outlets. Around here, the local papers have all
been bought up by Liberty or Daily Herald. There really aren't any
local papers anymore in this area. Somehow, this problem is sounding
much like the WAL-MART dilemma. So, question is, what do we, grass
roots America, do about it? How do we present our case to the FCC
without "expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> to get heard" ???

D Peter Maus wrote:
> From AllAccess.com:
>
>
>
> >
> > FCC Opens Ownership Review Docket (Again) "You should expect your
> > government to do more this time." It's been a long time coming, but
> > the FCC late MONDAY finally released its Further Notice Of Proposed
> > Rule Making on ownership rules.
> >
> > The review, ordered by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third
> > Circuit, includes reviews of opwership limits for local TV and radio,
> > crossownership of broadcast and newspaper interests in the same
> > market, the "dual network rule" preventing common ownership of two of

> > the "big four" TV networks, and the "UHF discount" used in
> > determining a company's national reach for ownership cap purposes.
> > The Commission has expanded the comment period to 120 days for the
> > docket and will hold six public hearings across the country on the
> > issue,
> >
> > Chairman KEVIN MARTIN said that "the Commission should take into
> > account the competitive realities of the media marketplace while also
> > ensuring the promotion of the important goals of localism and
> > diversity. As the item indicates, the Commission will look carefully
> > at the relationship between media ownership and localism as it moves
> > forward with this rulemaking. To that end, the Commission will
> > incorporate into this proceeding the efforts undertaken on this issue
> > since the last examination of our media ownership rules."
> >
> > Copps: Demand More
> >
> > Commissioner MICHAEL COPPS. who complained that "localism is not
> > front-and-center in this proceeding" and that it does not offer
> > specific plans to increase minority ownership, warned that "in
> > WASHINGTON, things aren't always what they seem. In fact, this
> > innocuous-looking document initiates the single most important public
> > policy debate that the FCC will tackle this year. Don't let its
> > slimness fool you. It means that this Commission has begun to decide
> > on behalf of the American people the future of our media. It means
> > deciding whether or not to accelerate media concentration, step up
> > the loss of local news and change forever the critical role
> > independent newspapers perform for our Country."
> >
> > "We have a choice to make," COPPS added. "Will we repeat the mistakes
> > of the past? Or will we work for a process and an outcome that
> > respect the millions of Americans that care deeply about their
> > communities' media and what their kids watch, hear and read? We'll
> > soon know what choice the FCC makes.... If you see hearings in your
> > hometown, instead of a just a few preselected cities, you'll know. If
> > you see FCC Commissioners come to listen to your point of view
> > personally, instead of expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> > to get heard, you'll know. If the FCC contracts for independent,
> > well-funded studies and seeks public comment on those studies,
> > instead of buying a few-half hearted, time-crunched papers that slide
> > into the record without comment, you'll know. And, critically, if the
> > FCC shows you the specific rules that will reshape the American media
> > before forcing a vote, instead of rushing from this short document to
> > a final vote, you'll know.
> >
> > "You should expect your government to do more this time."
> >
> >
> > Also complaining was Commissioner JONATHAN ADELSTEIN, who charged
> > that "the manner in which the Commission is launching this critical

> > proceeding is totally inadequate. It is like submitting a high-school
> > term paper for a Ph.D. thesis. This Commission failed in 2003, and if
> > we don't change course, we will fail again.
> >
> > "The large media companies wanted, and today they get, a blank check
> > to permit further media consolidation. The Notice is so open-ended
> > that it will permit the majority of the Commission to allow giant
> > media companies to get even bigger at the time, place and manner of
> > their choosing. That is the reason I have refused to support
> > launching this proceeding until now, and it is why I am dissenting
> > from the bulk of this Notice. This Notice is thin gruel to those
> > hoping for a meaty discussion of media ownership issues."

4205. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 00:59:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PrOdnSX08LU9I1vZnZ2dnUVZ_oCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>>>
>>>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>>>
>>>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>>>
>>>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>>>
>>>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be
>>>> gone sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys
>>>> but it's just the sign of the times.
>>>>
>>>> BH
>>>
>>>
>>> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because
>>> once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull
>>> back out & clean up.
>>>
>>> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
>>> more dumbing down.
>>>
```

>>>
>>> Help save Ham radio:
>>>
>>>
>>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>>
>>
>> No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio
>> and there is no reason to think it would improve things.
>
>
> Yah friggen right, NOT! Continuing to stay knowledgable and re-testing
> won't improve things? Sheesh!! What's your problem then, If licensees
> remembered what was on their exams ten years ago passing the exams again
> should be a breeze. Of course, if they don't rememeber, they'll have to
> study again. This will make better hams. And the fact that this
> requirement has never existed in the history of ham radio doesn't make it
> a bad idea. You're just Lazy.
>
>

Not hardly. Every time they change the pool, I get an up-to-date study guide just to keep current and see what's new. Could pass the test any day of the week and twice on Sunday. By the way the exam has changed in 10 years. There is some common stuff but there is also new stuff.

However, the biggest problem would be manpower for conducting the tests. Based on the current number of hams, that would be over 60,000 people retesting every year. The existing test system (and the prior systems when things were administered by the FCC) were all designed around the single testing concept. Essentially, it would mean almost every VE team would need to conduct test sessions weekly or hold huge test sessions monthly. There just aren't enough of us to do that. Plus many facilities now charge for the use of the facility. And the bigger the room, the higher the fee.

>>>
>>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>>
>>
>> Might be OK.
>
>
> Thank you.
>
>
>>>
>>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>>
>>
>> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

>
>
> It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can
> exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff
> allowing you better enjoyment of the service.
>

I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already know it at a basic level.

The filter argument, I consider totally bogus.

Code is either a basic part of ham radio or it is not. That should be the criteria for determining if it should be tested.

>
>>>
>>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>>
>>
>> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
>
> Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep
> studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade
> after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be
> bad?
>

In today's climate, it will not be an incentive. Those who want to upgrade don't need the non-renewability clause. The rest will simply let their licenses lapse. Those who would let it lapse aren't on the air enough to contribute anyway.

>
>>>
>>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>>
>>
>> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
>> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you
>> want.
>
>
> The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through
> surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out
> with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we
> discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued
> policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank

> account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed.
> Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The
> ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if
> you know anything about radio.
>

So why don't you go run for office and promote your platform? Or form your own lobbying group? Complaining here won't get it done. If you want your platform to prevail, the YOU have to do the work to convince people. While the ARRL proposal was not what I wanted, it did indeed reflect some of the things that a significant percentage wanted.

As far as the ARRL padding the corporate bank account, if that is true, then you need to report them to the IRS as non-profit organizations are not allowed to do this.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4206. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 01:11:17 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153876277.115073.260980@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85190

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-06FFF7.17300425072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> .
> >>
> >> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and the FCC
> >> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in Bemidji
> >> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.
> >>
> > Further above you asked what frequencies would I use and the last
> > paragraph I previously wrote answers that. I don't see why some of the
> > old TV band could not be used for a new commercial digital radio band
> > that normally would not propagate out of the local area. Antennas would
> > be smaller like the current FM band.
>
> The FCC is not going to give up multi-billion dollar frequencies for a few
> dollars. They already have a plan and demand for the TV channels, and this
> is pretty much set in cement.
> >
> > The hybrid IBOC is temporary and the analog will portion will be done
> > away with anyway so existing radios will be obsoleted.
>
> Probably not for a decade, if that. There is no sunset law on it going all
> digital... unlike HDTV.

None of this matters. You're BUSTED.

4207. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 01:21:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4szxg.56439$VE1.51706@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85191

<bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153874839.140486.144370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> Could someone refresh my memory on just how many media outlets a
> corporation can own in one market?? I seem to have lost track of the
> FCC rulings on that subject.

It is complex. Basically, for radio it is 8 stations in markets with a certain number of facilities, with a sub cap on AM and FM. In smaller markets it goes to fewer stations. Markets are now determined by Arbitreon market definitons, with non-rated markets using coverage overlaps and some additonal criteria. There is an FCC short course at http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/reviewrules.html

> It would seem that, at least with regards to radio, this kind of
> decision will have a huge impact. If radio is truly a personal medium,
> then it becomes very hard for a large corporation to continue to meet
> the needs of the immediate community.

I would agree in some cases. However, each station has its own program director and staff, and each competes with every other station in the market. Since most good PDs know that local involvment is key in adult demos, this does not suffer, or the station goes down in ratings.

> I'm not sure how a ruling in
> favor of the large conglomerates would affect small town America, but
> certainly it would have an effect on those smaller stations that are
> based around major markets.

Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic media. This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are failing and it can provide better news coverage to the radio and tv stations they might own.

> That would seem a shame to loose the
> personal input and local flavors of those smaller stations - in favor
> of a bigger signal. Local businesses opting to spend their advertising
> dollars with the larger signal stations - i.e., those that reach
> 50-100 miles - would be a waste for that business if they only pull
> their customers from 10 - 15 miles away. So, then maybe the point to
> bring up to little stations is that your sales staff needs to do a
> better job educating the local businesses.

There is not going to be any change in radio ownership, if you believe the predictions. What might change is the newspaper aspect, and a lowering of trhe caps in smaller markets or a redifinition to non rated markets that makes them smaller and allows fewer stations.

>
> But it is the almighty dollar that wins in the end - so the FCC needs
> to find a way to help smaller stations stay afloat while not loosing
> the revenue that the larger corporations can generate.

Small stations are small stations, no matter who owns them. They are either inferior facilities in bigger markets or stations in smaller makets. Any change will not affect technical operations and power. It will effect who can own what in each market area. A small station owned by a big company is still a small station.

> It is much the
> same for newspaper outlets. Around here, the local papers have all
> been bought up by Liberty or Daily Herald. There really aren't any
> local papers anymore in this area. Somehow, this problem is sounding
> much like the WAL-MART dilemma. So, question is, what do we, grass
> roots America, do about it? How do we present our case to the FCC
> without "expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> to get heard" ???

Newspapers are consolidating because the business is shrinking badly, and many papers are losing money. Only by combining infrastructure can most survive... or by owning cash flowing electroinc media outlets.

4208. OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 01:59:31 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89>
Source record: 85192

it would appear that assholes know no boundaries

http://snipurl.com/tvmu

4209. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:06:05 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <h6Axg.174602$771.110289@edtnps89>
Source record: 85193

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:

> Women have no souls according to Syrian President

That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the situation warrants it.

It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.

mike

4210. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:10:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153879804.637396.76830@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85194

David Eduardo wrote:
> <bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153874839.140486.144370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > Could someone refresh my memory on just how many media outlets a
> > corporation can own in one market?? I seem to have lost track of the
> > FCC rulings on that subject.
>
> It is complex. Basically, for radio it is 8 stations in markets with a
> certain number of facilities, with a sub cap on AM and FM. In smaller
> markets it goes to fewer stations. Markets are now determined by Arbitreon
> market definitons, with non-rated markets using coverage overlaps and some
> additonal criteria. There is an FCC short course at
> http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/reviewrules.html
>
> > It would seem that, at least with regards to radio, this kind of
> > decision will have a huge impact. If radio is truly a personal medium,
> > then it becomes very hard for a large corporation to continue to meet
> > the needs of the immediate community.
>
> I would agree in some cases. However, each station has its own program
> director and staff, and each competes with every other station in the
> market. Since most good PDs know that local involvment is key in adult
> demos, this does not suffer, or the station goes down in ratings.
>
> > I'm not sure how a ruling in
> > favor of the large conglomerates would affect small town America, but
> > certainly it would have an effect on those smaller stations that are
> > based around major markets.
>
> Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic media.
> This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are failing and
> it can provide better news coverage to the radio and tv stations they might
> own.
>
> > That would seem a shame to loose the
> > personal input and local flavors of those smaller stations - in favor
> > of a bigger signal. Local businesses opting to spend their advertising
> > dollars with the larger signal stations - i.e., those that reach
> > 50-100 miles - would be a waste for that business if they only pull
> > their customers from 10 - 15 miles away. So, then maybe the point to
> > bring up to little stations is that your sales staff needs to do a
> > better job educating the local businesses.
>
> There is not going to be any change in radio ownership, if you believe the

> predictions. What might change is the newspaper aspect, and a lowering of
> trhe caps in smaller markets or a redifinition to non rated markets that
> makes them smaller and allows fewer stations.
> >
> > But it is the almighty dollar that wins in the end - so the FCC needs
> > to find a way to help smaller stations stay afloat while not loosing
> > the revenue that the larger corporations can generate.
>
> Small stations are small stations, no matter who owns them. They are either
> inferior facilities in bigger markets or stations in smaller makets. Any
> change will not affect technical operations and power. It will effect who
> can own what in each market area. A small station owned by a big company is
> still a small station.
>
> > It is much the
> > same for newspaper outlets. Around here, the local papers have all
> > been bought up by Liberty or Daily Herald. There really aren't any
> > local papers anymore in this area. Somehow, this problem is sounding
> > much like the WAL-MART dilemma. So, question is, what do we, grass
> > roots America, do about it? How do we present our case to the FCC
> > without "expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> > to get heard" ???
>
> Newspapers are consolidating because the business is shrinking badly, and
> many papers are losing money. Only by combining infrastructure can most
> survive... or by owning cash flowing electroinc media outlets.

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.

4211. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:11:33 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <IuAxg.1714$hj5.737@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85498

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries

Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.

BH

4212. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:23:56 UTC
From: "bajohnson29@yahoo.com" <bajohnson29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153880636.529904.315590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85195

"Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic
media.
This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
failing and
it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
might
own."

I thought newspapers could always own electronic mediums.

But the point you make about newspapers needing to consolidate
"because the business is shrinking badly, and many papers are losing
money. Only by combining infrastructure can most
survive... or by owning cash flowing electronic media outlets."
This is a very valid reason for allowing companies to own more media
outlets within a given market.

"This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
failing and
it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
might
own."

I hadn't considered this situation from this particular viewpoint
- and it may very well be that the FCC is trying to save more local
media than I had originally considered.

David Eduardo wrote:
> <bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153874839.140486.144370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > Could someone refresh my memory on just how many media outlets a
> > corporation can own in one market?? I seem to have lost track of the
> > FCC rulings on that subject.
>
> It is complex. Basically, for radio it is 8 stations in markets with a
> certain number of facilities, with a sub cap on AM and FM. In smaller
> markets it goes to fewer stations. Markets are now determined by Arbitreon
> market definitons, with non-rated markets using coverage overlaps and some
> additonal criteria. There is an FCC short course at
> http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/reviewrules.html
>
> > It would seem that, at least with regards to radio, this kind of
> > decision will have a huge impact. If radio is truly a personal medium,
> > then it becomes very hard for a large corporation to continue to meet
> > the needs of the immediate community.
>

> I would agree in some cases. However, each station has its own program
> director and staff, and each competes with every other station in the
> market. Since most good PDs know that local involvment is key in adult
> demos, this does not suffer, or the station goes down in ratings.
>
> > I'm not sure how a ruling in
> > favor of the large conglomerates would affect small town America, but
> > certainly it would have an effect on those smaller stations that are
> > based around major markets.
>
> Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic media.
> This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are failing and
> it can provide better news coverage to the radio and tv stations they might
> own.
>
> > That would seem a shame to loose the
> > personal input and local flavors of those smaller stations - in favor
> > of a bigger signal. Local businesses opting to spend their advertising
> > dollars with the larger signal stations - i.e., those that reach
> > 50-100 miles - would be a waste for that business if they only pull
> > their customers from 10 - 15 miles away. So, then maybe the point to
> > bring up to little stations is that your sales staff needs to do a
> > better job educating the local businesses.
>
> There is not going to be any change in radio ownership, if you believe the
> predictions. What might change is the newspaper aspect, and a lowering of
> trhe caps in smaller markets or a redifinition to non rated markets that
> makes them smaller and allows fewer stations.
> >
> > But it is the almighty dollar that wins in the end - so the FCC needs
> > to find a way to help smaller stations stay afloat while not loosing
> > the revenue that the larger corporations can generate.
>
> Small stations are small stations, no matter who owns them. They are either
> inferior facilities in bigger markets or stations in smaller makets. Any
> change will not affect technical operations and power. It will effect who
> can own what in each market area. A small station owned by a big company is
> still a small station.
>
> > It is much the
> > same for newspaper outlets. Around here, the local papers have all
> > been bought up by Liberty or Daily Herald. There really aren't any
> > local papers anymore in this area. Somehow, this problem is sounding
> > much like the WAL-MART dilemma. So, question is, what do we, grass
> > roots America, do about it? How do we present our case to the FCC
> > without "expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> > to get heard" ???
>
> Newspapers are consolidating because the business is shrinking badly, and
> many papers are losing money. Only by combining infrastructure can most

> survive... or by owning cash flowing electroinc media outlets.

4213. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:23:59 UTC
From: "bajohnson29@yahoo.com" <bajohnson29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153880639.354120.261200@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85196

"Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic
media.
This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
failing and
it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
might
own."

I thought newspapers could always own electronic mediums.

But the point you make about newspapers needing to consolidate
"because the business is shrinking badly, and many papers are losing
money. Only by combining infrastructure can most
survive... or by owning cash flowing electronic media outlets."
This is a very valid reason for allowing companies to own more media
outlets within a given market.

"This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
failing and
it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
might
own."

I hadn't considered this situation from this particular viewpoint
- and it may very well be that the FCC is trying to save more local
media than I had originally considered.

David Eduardo wrote:
> <bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153874839.140486.144370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > Could someone refresh my memory on just how many media outlets a
> > corporation can own in one market?? I seem to have lost track of the
> > FCC rulings on that subject.
>
> It is complex. Basically, for radio it is 8 stations in markets with a
> certain number of facilities, with a sub cap on AM and FM. In smaller
> markets it goes to fewer stations. Markets are now determined by Arbitreon
> market definitons, with non-rated markets using coverage overlaps and some
> additonal criteria. There is an FCC short course at
> http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/reviewrules.html
>
> > It would seem that, at least with regards to radio, this kind of
> > decision will have a huge impact. If radio is truly a personal medium,
> > then it becomes very hard for a large corporation to continue to meet
> > the needs of the immediate community.
>

> I would agree in some cases. However, each station has its own program
> director and staff, and each competes with every other station in the
> market. Since most good PDs know that local involvment is key in adult
> demos, this does not suffer, or the station goes down in ratings.
>
> > I'm not sure how a ruling in
> > favor of the large conglomerates would affect small town America, but
> > certainly it would have an effect on those smaller stations that are
> > based around major markets.
>
> Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic media.
> This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are failing and
> it can provide better news coverage to the radio and tv stations they might
> own.
>
> > That would seem a shame to loose the
> > personal input and local flavors of those smaller stations - in favor
> > of a bigger signal. Local businesses opting to spend their advertising
> > dollars with the larger signal stations - i.e., those that reach
> > 50-100 miles - would be a waste for that business if they only pull
> > their customers from 10 - 15 miles away. So, then maybe the point to
> > bring up to little stations is that your sales staff needs to do a
> > better job educating the local businesses.
>
> There is not going to be any change in radio ownership, if you believe the
> predictions. What might change is the newspaper aspect, and a lowering of
> trhe caps in smaller markets or a redifinition to non rated markets that
> makes them smaller and allows fewer stations.
> >
> > But it is the almighty dollar that wins in the end - so the FCC needs
> > to find a way to help smaller stations stay afloat while not loosing
> > the revenue that the larger corporations can generate.
>
> Small stations are small stations, no matter who owns them. They are either
> inferior facilities in bigger markets or stations in smaller makets. Any
> change will not affect technical operations and power. It will effect who
> can own what in each market area. A small station owned by a big company is
> still a small station.
>
> > It is much the
> > same for newspaper outlets. Around here, the local papers have all
> > been bought up by Liberty or Daily Herald. There really aren't any
> > local papers anymore in this area. Somehow, this problem is sounding
> > much like the WAL-MART dilemma. So, question is, what do we, grass
> > roots America, do about it? How do we present our case to the FCC
> > without "expecting you to hire a $500 an hour lobbyist
> > to get heard" ???
>
> Newspapers are consolidating because the business is shrinking badly, and
> many papers are losing money. Only by combining infrastructure can most

> survive... or by owning cash flowing electroinc media outlets.

4214. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:26:44 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <EpAxg.133309$A8.88853@clgrps12>
Source record: 85197

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> m II wrote:
>> dxVole wrote:
>>
>
>
>> Not in the sense that you and the Wunderkind cuhulin are. Heterosexual
>> men such as Mr. Barnard and myself don't spend much time wondering just
>> how darn blissful you and the brassiere wearing ex Army pervert are.

> what brassiere wearing exarmy guy are you ranting about?
>
> I have not seen one posting here
>

That is strange. It's most of what he posts about nowadays...

http://snipurl.com/tvo8

mike

4215. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:36:16 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <AyAxg.174604$771.127033@edtnps89>
Source record: 85499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:

> Construction/design skills and operating skills are two different
> things. What does one have to do with the other?
>
> Did you build your own car? Dishwasher? Toaster? Razor?

Why, certainly....and as soon as I stop these multiple, deep lacerations gushing red, I'll delve a bit deeper into the ...

Honey..is that ambulance here yet? I'm getting real faint.....

4216. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:40:38 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89>
Source record: 85500

Brian Hill wrote:

> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>
>
> Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>
> BH
>
>

So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to help stop it?

mike

4217. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 02:46:03 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-F446F9.19460325072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85501

In article <no-07AADB.22173425072006@news.supernews.com>,
Mike <no@where.man> wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-751296.15283425072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
> > WTF indeed. Read the thread. Did I write day time and night time was the
> > same? Noooo.
>
> Wow you are an asshole.
>
> I know what the thread is about. I know the objections to IBOC. If
> you read what I actually wrote - not what you think I wrote - you would
> see that I was ACTUALLY AGREEING WITH YOU! I would rather see current
> AM programming go to FM rather than fuck up AM with "digital"!
>
> Do you get it yet?
>
>
> >"That's why I'd rather see it move to FM than to "digital AM".
>
> Yes, that's exactly it. Given a choice of fucking up AM or moving to
> FM, I vote for the latter.
>
> You pompous, presumptuous twat. Have a good life, dipshit.

Same to you.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4218. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 03:12:34 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153883554.418275.162040@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85502

```
m II wrote:
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> > news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> >> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
> > >
> > >
> > > Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
> > >
> > > BH
> > >
> > >
>
>
> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
> help stop it?
Bush backs Hezbollah?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> mike
```

4219. Re: CW?.....semaphore, lets fight for that..I love my flags. I also have 2 cans and a string

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 03:19:25 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153883965.700048.155750@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85503

Koikus wrote:
> I know what you mean, Bruce. I find the debate/rift downright laughable
> myself. When I got my amateur license back in the early 1970's, I
> actually found the code to be easier to learn than the theory part of
> it all. I guess not everyone's brain works the same...who knows.
> Have a good one!
in the 70's I spent 5 years 5 day awekk not managing to learn code at
even 5 wpm (passed to novice writiens easy

in the 90s learned of the no code tech licnse passed the tests before
the ordered study guide arrived (it showed while I was at the test
sesion)

Ihave no personal use for the mode but don't oject to its use by those
that enjoy it just want such an obselete requirement removed for the
restof us

4220. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 03:23:25 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <L8ydnXoQXYu6fVvZnZ2dnUVZ_oqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85504

I meant the buyer was a fool, not the seller

"mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:pridnfecBYAbE1jZnZ2dnUVZ_qWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> considering that I have seen 340's with 90 day waranties for less than
> $3000, for this seller to buy this one for $2575 means he is a fool.
>
>
>
> "mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than radio-mart
>> and can't even test it ???????????
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>
>
>

4221. Re: WOULD YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 03:24:18 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7pudneQkyobBfVvZnZ2dnUVZ_o2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85505

no, anyone who does a foolish thing like smoke or buy a piece of junk
without research is a fool

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C5FC49.9E46140F@milestones.com...
>
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> considering that I have seen 340's with 90 day waranties for less than
>> $3000, for this seller to buy this one for $2575 means he is a fool.
>
> Mike, I'm beginning to think that anyone who spends a buck, or more
> importantly, anyone who makes a buck selling a radio
> is in your eyes, a fool.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>>
>>
>> "mike maghakian" <maghakian@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:3vWdnbvcsMFLwyHZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> > buy a $4000 receiver from someone who has a rating worse than
>> > radio-mart
>> > and can't even test it ???????????
>> >
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/Tentac-RX-340-HF-DSP-Receiver_W0QQitemZ320008125122QQihZ011QQcategoryZ4673QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>> >
>
>

4222. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 03:34:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <zpBxg.75632$Lm5.47635@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85506

<bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153880636.529904.315590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic
> media.
> This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
> failing and
> it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
> might
> own."
>
> I thought newspapers could always own electronic mediums.

There are some grandfathered ones, but it is currently prohibited for new
purchases.
>
> "This may have two benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
> failing and
> it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
> might
> own."
>
> I hadn't considered this situation from this particular viewpoint
> - and it may very well be that the FCC is trying to save more local
> media than I had originally considered.

The FCC policy since the later 40's has been based on localism, so this fits
the stated policy.
>

4223. Re: FCC, Media and Ownership.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 04:00:17 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153886417.236332.29380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85507

David Eduardo wrote:
> <bajohnson29@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153880636.529904.315590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > "Most of what is being debated is to let newspapers own electronic
> > media.
> > This may have tow benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
> > failing and
> > it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
> > might
> > own."
> >
> > I thought newspapers could always own electronic mediums.
>
> There are some grandfathered ones, but it is currently prohibited for new
> purchases.
> >
> > "This may have two benefits: it can save many newspapers that are
> > failing and
> > it can provide better news coverage to the radio and TV stations they
> > might
> > own."
> >
> > I hadn't considered this situation from this particular viewpoint
> > - and it may very well be that the FCC is trying to save more local
> > media than I had originally considered.
>
> The FCC policy since the later 40's has been based on localism, so this fits
> the stated policy.
> >

Irrelevant. You are BUSTED.

4224. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 04:10:13 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153887013.410181.76520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> > news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
> >

> > It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can
> > exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff
> > allowing you better enjoyment of the service.
> >
>
> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
> know it at a basic level.
meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
as mode welfare
>
> The filter argument, I consider totally bogus.
because
>
> Code is either a basic part of ham radio or it is not. That should be the
> criteria for determining if it should be tested.
Dee do you seriously support the implied postion that if we end Code
tesing we should indeed end Code USE?
>
> >
> >>>
> >>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >>>
> >>
> >> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
> >
> > Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep
> > studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade
> > after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be
> > bad?
> >
>
> In today's climate, it will not be an incentive. Those who want to upgrade
```

> don't need the non-renewability clause. The rest will simply let their
> licenses lapse. Those who would let it lapse aren't on the air enough to
> contribute anyway.

thanks a lot btch

4225. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 04:31:20 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <bqodc2hb039ia8hj7il2pjemvibns19n2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 85509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
> I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for
> the transition away from spark?
>

Yes, they did.

--
73, Eric F. Richards, KB0YDN, efricha@dim.com
"A few old diehards still blazoned 'Spark Forever!' on their QSL cards..."
- from "200 Meters & Down", copyright 1936, ARRL

4226. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 07:02:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C71369.856A917B@milestones.com>
Source record: 85510

m II wrote:

> Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
>
> > Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>
> That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> situation warrants it.
>
> It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.

Canucks are AsSouls.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4227. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 07:05:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C71432.BA262ED3@milestones.com>
Source record: 85511

an old feind wrote:

> m II wrote:
> > Brian Hill wrote:
> >
> > > "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> > >> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
> > >
> > >
> > > Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
> > >
> > > BH
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
> > abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
> > help stop it?
> Bush backs Hezbollah?

No, but dumbass Canucks do. And if one listens to the BBC these days one would swear the Brits do as well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4228. Re: NIMH batterys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 07:25:26 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c718e2$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85512

Tony Calguire wrote:
> When I got the digital camera,
>> I had to get the NIMH batterys and the charger.
>> then I said;
>> Why buy alkalines any more ?
>> and I switched my radios to NIMH batts too.
>>
>> Now here's food for thought;
>> A pair of alkalines measure 3.1 v
>> A pair of NIMHs measure 2.4 v > 2.6 v
>>
>> Would the lower voltage affect the performance
>> of any of these "3 volt radios" ?
>>
>
>
> I've never had a problem using NiMHs. I think most solid-state
> electronics can operate in a range of tolerable voltages; the only place
> there'd be an issue is in something with an electric motor.
>
> My experience with alkalines and the digital camera was that the camera
> would announce "Low Battery" after about a half-hour's use, but the
> batteries would still light up green on the battery tester. I think
> most non-technical people would just take the batteries out of the
> camera and throw them away without even considering if they had some
> juice left in them. What a waste.
>
> NiMHs last a lot longer in the camera, and they only take an hour to
> charge. They're so much better than the old NiCads, too.
I used these batteries in my radio excellent ones last for ages.
> http://www.dse.com.au/cgi-bin/dse.storefront/44c719ec08e418342740c0a87f9c06fb/Product/View/S4056

cheap too and the performance its quite good.

4229. Re: Voice of America (VOA) Sucks - NOT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 07:41:08 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c71c97@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85513

RHF wrote:
> Telamon wrote:
>> In article <44be0224@quokka.wn.com.au>, adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?
>> Speaking for myself the drive by media does that for me.
>>
>>> I was listening to it and it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the
>>> time, other than the sports section.It was sport and then "how the
>>> spread of democracy is help fighting the war on terror" or some such
>>> shit i promptly turn the dial, it makes me want to throw the radio
>>> out of the window.There programs make a mockery of there slogan on
>>> there site "a trusted source of news" it has got worse since bush has
>>> come to power.
>>>
>>> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
>>> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
>>> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
>>> sourced from NPR.
>>>
>>> Rant over
>> Propaganda is the REASON VOA or any other government run station is on
>> the air. Australia and other nations have their own brand of propaganda.
>> It has always been this way.
>>
>> --
>> Telamon
>> Ventura, California
>
> Telamon - True and the simple fact is :
> Governmental International Shortwave Broadcasting 'is'
> all about Getting-Your-Message-Out 'to' The World [.]
> http://www.shortwave.be/gov.html
>
> Actually at times it seem (to me) that the VOA goes
> beyond fair-and-balanced -and- actually sounds like it
> is apologizing for BEING "The Voice of America" !
>
> I listen to Deutsche Wella (DW) to hear News from Germany
> with a German and European 'perspective'.
> http://www.dw-world.de/
>
> I listen to "Radio Habana Cuba {RHC} to hear the News from

> Castro's Cuba with a Communist and Anti-America 'perspective'.
> http://www.radiohc.cu/ingles/portada.htm
> - - - Include Radio China Radio International (CRI) and
> The Voice of {North} Korea to this 'perspective'.
> http://en.chinabroadcast.cn/
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_of_Korea
>
> I listen to "The BBC" to hear News from "The UK" with
> an English and America's Closest Allie 'perspective'.
> http://www.bbcworld.com/
> http://news.bbc.co.uk/
> - - - Down this list are Radio Canada International (RCI);
> Radio Australia (ABC) and Radio New Zealand (RNZ)
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Canada_International
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_Australia
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radio_New_Zealand
>
> I listen to Radio Japan (NHK World) to hear News from
> Japan and Asia with a far-side of the Pacific 'perspective'.
> http://www.nhk.or.jp/english/
> - - - Include Radio Taiwan; Radio {South} Korea and
> the Radio Philippines to this 'perspective'.
> http://english.rti.org.tw/
> http://rki.kbs.co.kr/english/index.htm
> http://www.pbs.gov.ph/linkoverseas.htm
>
> FINALLY - I Listen To The Voice of America (VOA) To Hear :
> What We Americans Are Saying About Ourselves To The World !
> http://www.voanews.com/english/portal.cfm
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VOA
>
>
> yes it is that simple ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
Hmm yes i can and do listen to CRI without having the urge to throw the radio out of the window.VOA is soooo blatant in its properganda its not funny you dont see this on CRI i couldent speak about radio havana because unfortunately they dont broadcast here (although i would be happy if there is link to listen to it online).

Voice of korea is so blatant its funny, its even got a cult following of sorts amongst people who dont support DRPK, but listen to it because its so overtop and oversold its hard to take seriously and is actually entertaining.Ive listen to a little of the radio from south korea, its ok and radio japan is good to at times it can be a little too fluffy for my liking.

BBC world service is top shelf i listen to that EVERY DAY ditto DW radio. I must listen to radio phillipines one day its seems a little elusive. :)

4230. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 07:42:42 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153899762.315282.316990@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85514

```
m II wrote:
> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>
> http://snipurl.com/tvmu

Firing on the rescue crew. Shades of the USS Liberty incident.
```

4231. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 09:50:06 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea7do0$14e$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85515

"norml" <normlehf@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:nf7dc2te1qa1llechf1beks238tfe7i5ph@4ax.com...
> That story was originally told about Mad Man Muntz. And it involved all of
> the components, not just the tubes.
>
> DuMont's were pretty good sets. A.B.D. was, for some time, ahead of
> everybody in building large CRTs. You really must see a 30" DuMont Royal
> Sovereign from about 1951.
>
> Norm
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrotf:

I'm not sure it was a Royal Sovereign, but when I live in St. Louis in 1967-68 there was a 30" Dumont set in the basement of our apartment building. Very impressive indeed, compared to the typical 21" B/W set of the time.

4232. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 11:08:07 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <r2lxg.75657$Lm5.41301@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85516

Brenda Ann wrote:

> I'm not sure it was a Royal Sovereign, but when I live in St. Louis
> in 1967-68 there was a 30" Dumont set in the basement of our
> apartment

See the Dumont Royal Sovereign in person at the "Early TV Foundation"
in Hilliard, OH (near Columbus) or take a look at:

http://www.earlytelevision.org/american_postwar.html

Look under the heading "1950-1960 Sets".

4233. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 11:47:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153914442.325149.128880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > glad to see that
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just like the world will be glad to see your obituary.
> > > > > well they will have to wait along time wiseman
> > > > >
> > > > No, you morbidly obese fat fuck, you'll be dead soon.
> > > getting closer to an actionable threat watch yourself
> >
> > Referencing your morbid obesity is a threat? You are a fucking
> > imbecile!
> no sayiing I am going to die is close to one without your lie about my
> being obesse it would have been a death htreat

No, stupid, morbid obese fat faggots like you have a higher mortality
rate. And you admitted being obese, that and your homosexuality got you
kicked out of the Army.

> >
> > Now fuck off.
> no

Yes, retard.
```

4234. Re: Voice of America sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 12:00:59 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1153915259.929126.319280@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85518

adam wrote:
> Is it just me or does VOA give you the shits?.

Uh, no. I haven't found a way to ingest radio broadcasts, but possibly
you have. I would imagine if you ingested enough written reports from
any source they would give you a serious case of indigestion.

> I was listening to it and
> it was NOTHING BUT PROPERGANDA all the time, other than the sports
> section.

Just what exactly is Propaganda (not properganda).

> It was sport and then "how the spread of democracy is help
> fighting the war on terror" or some such shit i promptly turn the dial,
> it makes me want to throw the radio out of the window.There programs
> make a mockery of there slogan on there site "a trusted source of news"
> it has got worse since bush has come to power.

Which news sources give just news that is completely free of bias. I
have yet to find a news source that isn't biased because of the country
the broadcaster originates from. Our view of the world is influenced
by our country of origin.

>
> It is so bad that the radio station in Australia (ABC newsradio) that
> mainly takes programs from overseas sources (i.e DW radio,RNW etc
> etc)doesnt take ANY VOA programs its main american radio program is
> sourced from NPR.

>
> Rant over
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

4235. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 12:07:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153915635.643905.252110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > bless
> >
> > Hey Markie, hear from any state workers recently? BWHAHAHAHAHA!
> had a chat with county worker but he was with the road crew

So you blow road crew guys on the side of road?

4236. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 12:21:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uenec25e1vdqausni9t2k8tqcacntdaj4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 85520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Jul 2006 21:10:13 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:

>> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
>> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
>> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
>> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
>> know it at a basic level.

>meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
>as mode welfare

As opposed to making a ham license something anyone can get merely by
asking for one - because, like you, they don't have the intelligence
to pass a real test.

4237. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 13:00:25 UTC
From: maxhavlena@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1153918825.894492.242860@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85521

Hi Carter,
That 30 incher must have been impressive back then. The biggest B/W set I ever saw in the early fifties was 21 inch. I think there were 23 inchers. By the way since Muntz was mentioned I'd like to add that I met Mr. Muntz about 25 years ago here in San Diego. He was making wide screen sets--the kind with the lenticular screen and a mirror. When I told him I was from Detroit (his home town too) He told me all about (condensed version of coarse) his history in manufacturing. He showed me the car he made but didnt sell too well.
Short fat guy that liked to talk.

Dennis W6EH

> See the Dumont Royal Sovereign in person at the "Early TV Foundation"
> in Hilliard, OH (near Columbus) or take a look at:
>
> http://www.earlytelevision.org/american_postwar.html
>
> Look under the heading "1950-1960 Sets".

4238. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 13:02:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tupec2tr5akr5tpegu6acujr13p8pkn5cm@4ax.com>
Source record: 85522

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:40:45 -0500, "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad"
<read@book.com> wrote:

>Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>
or Sam Kinison...

4239. Re: NIMH batterys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 13:08:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <r5qec2hc6au03u6skoaf4k1d6i12ca50r2@4ax.com>
Source record: 85523

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 16:55:26 +0930, adam <adman32003@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>I used these batteries in my radio excellent ones last for ages.
>> http://www.dse.com.au/cgi-bin/dse.storefront/44c719ec08e418342740c0a87f9c06fb/Product/View/S4056
>
>cheap too and the performance its quite good.

http://shop1.outpost.com/product/4885160?site=sr:SEARCH:MAIN_RSLT_PG

4240. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 13:08:59 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <faqec2d808bu2r29nqhjia3tkbr4uleth4@4ax.com>
Source record: 85524

On 25 Jul 2006 20:12:34 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>

>Bush backs Hezbollah?

Most likeley he is playing both sides.

4241. Too bad this ebay auction has already ended....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 13:45:18 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153921518.615611.182810@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85525

http://tinyurl.com/syfy8

4242. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 14:33:06 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85526

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Gnyxg.56424$VE1.29179@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

::history lesson snipped::

> >Now that it is
> > proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to a
new
> > mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change the
band to
> > a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?
>
> And what frequencies would you use? And that would obsolete existing
radios,
> which HD does not do.

No it wouldn't; you've stated yourself that there's
no movement to replace the analog streams with
digital, but rather it's an addition to the current
service.

> > What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The
status
> > quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of
licenses
> > first dibs on the new band.
>
> But, in the way the AM band is used today, it does not make as big a
mess as
> everyone complains. I have seen several recent RW articles in which
skywave
> is still defended as the reason why HD is not a good idea. These
experts do
> not understand that, starting with the FCC in the 40's, skywave is
no longer
> relevant.
> >
> > There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog band
space
> > could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the
air TV.
>
> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and
the FCC
> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in

Bemidji
> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.

I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.

No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
extending the deadline until enough old televisions
have died off to make it practical.

Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
purchase" list anytime soon.

--Mike L.

4243. How about some different kinds of Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 15:01:09 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C783B5-1230@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85528

www.devilfinder.com Unusual Radio Designs

I bought a 2006 ListenUp Summer Source Book (Catalog) at the Goodwill store last week.Mostly,the ListenUp site/store is about high end (lots of money) devices. www.listenup.com
cuhulin

4244. Re: Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 15:12:21 UTC
From: Bigdog <z@z.z>
Message-ID: <z-AB1D14.11122126072006@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85527

In article <YVxxg.4659$bP5.2862@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> israeliwebtv@gmail.com wrote in
> news:1153805597.326228.281730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Hey all,
> > For all the non Nazis here that want to get real and objective news in
> > Hebrew from Israel you can go to this web page its totally free and
> > works most of the time.
> > http://israeliwebtv.awardspace.com/
> > Enjoy and tell your friends.
>

Nazis/Israelis. Same thing. They're combined. Indistinguishable.
ZioNazis! ZioNazis!ZioNazis! jewish ragheads zionazis. jewslims.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fAoe26MaTew

Keeping a close eye on antiAmerican ZioNazis, their sympathizer cells in
our country and their continued antiAmerican crimes

4245. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 15:14:53 UTC
From: Bigdog <z@z.z>
Message-ID: <z-B3B7F1.11145326072006@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85542

In article <12ccg5nsau19kac@corp.supernews.com>,
"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir> wrote:

> [eom]

Your distraction isnt fooling anyone you antiAmerican jewslim zionazi

Youre being watched closely zionazi jewslim.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lqDKgqvGgn8

4246. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 15:59:45 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85532

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
.
>
> I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
>
> No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> have died off to make it practical.
>
> Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> purchase" list anytime soon.

They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to anyone for
free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to analog.

4247. Re: Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:13:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15418-44C794C6-50@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85534

www.lyngsat.com If you buy the dish and antenna and remote
control,get it installed and set up properly.And there are no monthly
fees either.
cuhulin

4248. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:26:27 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85533

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> .
> >
> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >
> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> > have died off to make it practical.
> >
> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> > purchase" list anytime soon.
>
>
> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
anyone for
> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to
analog.

That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
people who might consider upgrading their television
now will wait for the freebie.

--Mike L.

4249. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:27:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <rJMxg.135680$dW3.50207@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85535

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
>> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
>> .
>> >
>> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
>> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
>> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
>> >
>> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
>> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
>> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
>> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
>> > have died off to make it practical.
>> >
>> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
>> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
>> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
>> > purchase" list anytime soon.
>>
>>
>> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
> anyone for
>> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to
> analog.
>
> That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
> advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
> too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
> people who might consider upgrading their television
> now will wait for the freebie.

It is federally funded. The government needs the revenue from the vacated
spectrum.

4250. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:28:09 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tKMxg.3363$gF6.2535@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85536

dxAce wrote:
>
> m II wrote:
>
>
>>Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>>
>>That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
>>have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
>>situation warrants it.
>>
>>It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.
>
>
> Canucks are AsSouls.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

How would you know Ace? Is it because you're one yourself

4251. Re: CW?.....semaphore, lets fight for that..I love my flags. I also have 2 cans and a string

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:29:17 UTC
From: W Page Pyne <wa3eop@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <xLMxg.5421$fL3.1616@trnddc07>
Source record: 85537

I passed my 5 wpm code back in the 60's and later 13 and finally a few years back 20 wpm but I side along with those who don't see code as needed for an amateur radio license requirement.

Use of CW in the ham bands has diminished significantly over the years. The NTS Traffic nets and contests still hang on to the mode but general use on a weekday outside of a net or for some dxers is very sparse. I seldom use it myself.

Why test for one mode of operation when there are many other modes that can be used and these are not necessarily tested in the license exams? To single out CW as a must test mode of operation seems silly in this age.

60 years ago when CW was as popular as AM (No SSB in common use yet) I can see the test requirement, here in the 21st century no.

Page WA3EOP
"Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1153869851.131106.139330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I know what you mean, Bruce. I find the debate/rift downright laughable
> myself. When I got my amateur license back in the early 1970's, I
> actually found the code to be easier to learn than the theory part of
> it all. I guess not everyone's brain works the same...who knows.
> Have a good one!
>

4252. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:32:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153931541.881953.143900@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85538

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> .
> >
> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >
> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> > have died off to make it practical.
> >
> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> > purchase" list anytime soon.
>
>
> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to anyone for
> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to analog.

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED!

4253. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:33:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153931591.248647.148280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85539

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> >> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> >> .
> >> >
> >> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> >> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> >> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >> >
> >> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> >> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> >> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> >> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> >> > have died off to make it practical.
> >> >
> >> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> >> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> >> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> >> > purchase" list anytime soon.
> >>
> >>
> >> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
> > anyone for
> >> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to
> > analog.
> >
> > That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
> > advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
> > too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
> > people who might consider upgrading their television
> > now will wait for the freebie.
>
> It is federally funded. The government needs the revenue from the vacated
> spectrum.

Yes, but you're still BUSTED.

4254. The Boatanchor Classifieds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:34:42 UTC
From: "Jeff James, W2NBC" <w2nbc@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DQMxg.19$%Z1.9@fe09.lga>
Source record: 85540

You never know what you'll find..

http://www.dealamerica.com/deal/cgi-bin/ads/bcads.cgi

FREE ads Dedicated to Vintage Amateur and Shortwave Radio...

4255. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers If Necesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:47:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153932453.938671.304910@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

4256. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 16:50:40 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153932640.581493.275950@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you
>
> No, stupid, morbid obese fat faggots like you have a higher mortality
> rate. And you admitted being obese, that and your homosexuality got you
> kicked out of the Army.
never admited to being obese or thatI was thrown out of the army for
that

4257. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:02:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153933364.118890.188000@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2006 21:10:13 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
> >> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
> >> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
> >> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
> >> know it at a basic level.
>
> >meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
> >as mode welfare
>
> As opposed to making a ham license something anyone can get merely by
> asking for one - because, like you, they don't have the intelligence
> to pass a real test.
no one hass advocated giving a license away except of course procoders
like yourself
Funny I can mange ee qso's (second one last night) and yet you inist I
am unintelgent based on my inabilty to serve as a modem, a machine
funtion

4258. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:13:28 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YoNxg.475437$Fs1.299202@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85545

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >
>
> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>
>

Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital radio and the digital buzzword has no value?

Frank Dresser

4259. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:37:27 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85546

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>
>>
>
> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>

Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.

In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.

I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.

Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.

> Frank Dresser
>
>

4260. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:52:08 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4ipoe8F4utttU1@individual.net>
Source record: 85398

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>
>You would know Ahole.

4261. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:53:28 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4ipogpF4v3vrU1@individual.net>
Source record: 85399

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> > news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> >> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
> > >
> > >
> > > Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
> > >
> > > BH
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
> help stop it?
>
So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it. What does the worthless
UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.

4262. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:55:39 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4ipokrF4tdk3U1@individual.net>
Source record: 85400

"Bigdog" <z@z.z> wrote in message
news:z-B3B7F1.11145326072006@nntp.aioe.org...
> In article <12ccg5nsau19kac@corp.supernews.com>,
> "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir> wrote:
>
> > [eom]
>
> Your distraction isnt fooling anyone you antiAmerican jewslim zionazi
>
> Youre being watched closely zionazi jewslim.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lqDKgqvGgn8

And you're probably already on a list as a terrorist sympathizer. I bet you
collect Hezbolla trading cards to.

4263. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 17:59:02 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <1203b$44c7a8fb$422a9820$22628@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85547

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:rJMxg.135680$dW3.50207@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> >> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> >> .
> >> >
> >> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> >> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> >> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >> >
> >> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> >> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> >> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> >> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> >> > have died off to make it practical.
> >> >
> >> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> >> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> >> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> >> > purchase" list anytime soon.
> >>
> >>
> >> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
> > anyone for
> >> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital
to
> > analog.
> >
> > That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
> > advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
> > too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
> > people who might consider upgrading their television
> > now will wait for the freebie.
>
> It is federally funded. The government needs the revenue from the
vacated
> spectrum.

Considering the way the feds are spending money,
I doubt that weighs heavily on their minds.

--Mike L.

4264. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:05:42 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <imbfc2tgo4ihhgp3eoio7516j4qsbau3p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 85401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 15:14:26 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Bill, I had a master machinist work for me in the 1960s. He could make ANYTHING!!
>
>I asked him if he could make a car. Sure!! His reply: "All I need is a block of
>steel 6X6X20 feet, a large milling machine, and a large lathe."
>
>He was a Ham Radio Operator, Collins stuff of the 60s.
>
>/s/ DD W1MCE

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

The question was not if you "could" build you own car, it was "did"
you.

Bill, W6WRT

4265. Re: Choices, Choices, Choices... CW or CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:11:55 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <bjbfc21hlfu4979i7s737fh8hf6f99vl30@4ax.com>
Source record: 85402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On 25 Jul 2006 13:40:18 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>BT - One Word : "Amateur" {Ham} as in Operator ~ RHF
>
>All 'others' for the most part are 'appliance operators'
>just like so many CB'ers - IMHO.
> .

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

Nope. It's "Amateur Radio Operator", not "Amateur Radio Constructor".

I'll ask again: What does construction skill have to do with operator
skill?

Bill, W6WRT

4266. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:27:12 UTC
From: OldDog <z@z.z>
Message-ID: <ea8c4k$th5$1@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85403

Boo!

"Bigdog" <z@z.z> wrote in message
news:z-B3B7F1.11145326072006@nntp.aioe.org...
> In article <12ccg5nsau19kac@corp.supernews.com>,
> "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir> wrote:
>
> > [eom]
>
> Your distraction isnt fooling anyone you antiAmerican jewslim zionazi
>
> Youre being watched closely zionazi jewslim.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lqDKgqvGgn8

4267. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:32:49 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lzOxg.475927$Fs1.166412@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85404

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
>
>
> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>
> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>
>
> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>
>
> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>
>
>

Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time. If they had introduced it back in the seventies, HD radio would have merely been "Lemon Scented".

Frank Dresser

4268. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:42:18 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85405

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>>
>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>
>>
>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>
>>
>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.

Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.

IBOC was inevitable.

If they had introduced it back
> in the seventies, HD radio would have merely been "Lemon Scented".

LOL! And Avocado Green.

>
>
> Frank Dresser
>
>
>

4269. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:44:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7B7F1.45B04DE6@milestones.com>
Source record: 85406

D Peter Maus wrote:

> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> > >
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > > Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
> > > radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
> > >
>
> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>
> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>
> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>
> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.

I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said 'Digital Ready'.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4270. Lardass Lloyd the striped whale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:49:52 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153939792.912789.288310@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

4271. (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 18:59:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153940395.518169.252600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85409

OTTAWA (CP) - Prime Minister Stephen Harper says Canada
would prefer to keep its troops out of the Middle East.
- - -Translation - Canada Whimps Out !
http://cnews.canoe.ca/CNEWS/Canada/2006/07/25/1701687-cp.html

Ahead of a Rome summit that will include Foreign Affairs
Minister Peter MacKay, the prime minister said that any
ceasefire between Israel and the Lebanese militant group
Hezbollah would be better enforced by Middle East countries.
- - - Translation - The Arabs will 'police' themselves against
Israel - Not !

Mira Sucharov - "Canada hasn't been a top peacekeeper in
many years," she said. "We can't expect what we would
have expected a decade ago."
- - - Translation - Canada We Are Now Whimps !

Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ? ~ RHF
.
.
. .

m II wrote:
> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>
> http://snipurl.com/tvmu

4272. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:03:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153940584.014174.212770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85410

Once again we are treated to
More Anti-Jewish Hatred from
M II the Can-A-Duha-ian ~ RHF
.
.
. .

m II wrote:
> Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
>
> > Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>
> That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> situation warrants it.
>
> It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.
>
>
>
>
> mike

4273. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:08:37 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <81a9c$44c7b94b$422a9820$15660@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85407

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44C7B7F1.45B04DE6@milestones.com...
>
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> > >
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> > >> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a
colossal
> > >> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the
last 10
> > >> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > > Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand
for digital
> > > radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
> > >
> >
> > Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one
of them.
> >
> > In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >
> > I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> > Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
nonetheless.
> >
> > Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get
stares like
> > you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>
> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the
front that said 'Digital
> Ready'.

The idea was that they were able to handle the extreme sound frequencies that were reproduced more easily with CDs better. Considering that the regular RS headphones were pretty darn restrictive in frequency response, that's not a surprise.

--Mike L.

4274. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:08:58 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e5Pxg.177342$mF2.168612@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85411

```
dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>>>
>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>>
>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>
>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>
>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>
> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
> Ready'.
>

Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'

Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.

In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
headphones branded "Digital.'
```

4275. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:14:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153941293.924625.113970@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

Poor Markie.

> >
> > No, stupid, morbid obese fat faggots like you have a higher mortality
> > rate. And you admitted being obese, that and your homosexuality got you
> > kicked out of the Army.
> never admited to being obese or thatI was thrown out of the army for
> that

But you were thrown out for sodomy and your obesity was just a bonus
reason.

4276. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttelling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:15:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7BF65.1CB59EE4@milestones.com>
Source record: 85413

D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >
> >> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >>>> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
> >>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
> >>>
> >> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
> >>
> >> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>
> >> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
> >>
> >> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
> >> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >
> > I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
> > Ready'.
> >
>
> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
>
> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
>
> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'

> headphones branded "Digital.'

My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4277. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:20:36 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <agPxg.1950$qH5.1425@fe05.lga>
Source record: 85414

```
D Peter Maus wrote:
> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>
>
> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>

Funny you should mention that. Back in the late 70's I went to a
presentation by Intel on the 8085. One of the applications they
suggested for it was toasters!
```

4278. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttelling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:21:08 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EgPxg.476222$Fs1.391837@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85415

```
dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>
>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>>>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>>>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>>>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
>>>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>>>>>
>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>>>>
>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>
>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>>>
>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
>>> Ready'.
>>>
>> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
>> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
>>
>> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
>> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
>>
>> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
>> headphones branded "Digital.'
```

>
> My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.

Quite the engineering marvel for it's day, wasn't it.

>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

4279. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:24:28 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153941868.895869.7640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you reales from the mental illness that
> traps you

What's "reales?"

4280. Tracking Exercise 6219.4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:27:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7C218.A4C3039F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85417

I'm picking up the tracking exercise traffic this afternoon on 6219.4.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4281. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttellingus:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:27:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7C21B.ED65D909@milestones.com>
Source record: 85418

```
D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >
> >> dxAce wrote:
> >>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >>>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >>>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >>>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
> >>>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
> >>>>>
> >>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
> >>>>
> >>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>
> >>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
> >>>>
> >>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
> >>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
> >>> Ready'.
> >>>
> >> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
> >> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
> >>
> >> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
> >> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
> >>
```

> >> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
> >> headphones branded "Digital.'
> >
> > My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.
>
> Quite the engineering marvel for it's day, wasn't it.

Still works pretty darn good. I'd like to pick another up at the price I got this one at.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4282. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:33:15 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%rPxg.177415$mF2.58468@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85419

Mark S. Holden wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>
>>
>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>
>
> Funny you should mention that. Back in the late 70's I went to a
> presentation by Intel on the 8085. One of the applications they
> suggested for it was toasters!
>

I remember something like that. Perfect toast every time. And
programmable, IIRC, so you could set it up the night before to make your
breakfast toast in the morning.

Ah...the days of promise.

4283. Re: (OT) : Once again the Islam-O-Fascist promote the Lies and the Deception that is "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:34:04 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153942444.734288.251600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85421

```
David - You Are What You Read ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David wrote:
> On 23 Jul 2006 01:40:59 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >more than you wanted to know ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hunter_%28novel%29
```

4284. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttellingus:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:34:09 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RsPxg.177420$mF2.105733@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85420

```
dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>
>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>>>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>>>>>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>>>>>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>>>>>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
>>>>>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
>>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>>>> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
>>>>> Ready'.
>>>>>
>>>> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
>>>> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
>>>>
>>>> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
>>>> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
```

>>>>
>>>> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
>>>> headphones branded "Digital.'
>>> My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.
>> Quite the engineering marvel for it's day, wasn't it.
>
> Still works pretty darn good. I'd like to pick another up at the price I got this one at.
>

Yeah, that digital rash sounds so much better in stereo.

> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

4285. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttellingus:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:38:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7C4B8.C3817A4F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85422

D Peter Maus wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > D Peter Maus wrote:
> >
> >> dxAce wrote:
> >>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> dxAce wrote:
> >>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>>>>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>>>>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>>>>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >>>>>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >>>>>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >>>>>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
> >>>>>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
> >>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>>>> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
> >>>>> Ready'.
> >>>>>
> >>>> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
> >>>> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
> >>>>

> >>>> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
> >>>> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
> >>>>
> >>>> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
> >>>> headphones branded "Digital.'
> >>> My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.
> >> Quite the engineering marvel for it's day, wasn't it.
> >
> > Still works pretty darn good. I'd like to pick another up at the price I got this one
at.
> >
>
> Yeah, that digital rash sounds so much better in stereo.

LOL... yeah, I could tune one to 790 and the other to 770. Then, just for kicks, I could
put the SR
III in the back of the room on 780 for the center channel.

4286. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:55:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <cNPxg.135738$dW3.62762@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85423

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:YoNxg.475437$Fs1.299202@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> news:ea43brdfq1@news2.kornet.net...
>> >
>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>> >
>>
>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>
>>
>
> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for
> digital
> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?

No, it suggests the transformation to digital is best done with an in-band
transition, such as FM to FM stereo where there is backwards compatibility.

4287. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:57:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <UOPxg.135739$dW3.1342@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85424

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:1203b$44c7a8fb$422a9820$22628@FUSE.NET...
>
> Considering the way the feds are spending money,
> I doubt that weighs heavily on their minds.

Actually, it is the stated reason for not postponing the final transfer to
all HDTV.

4288. is there anybody looking for revenge for the "hamann-bridge"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 19:59:24 UTC
From: philipp.kageneck@googlemail.com
Message-ID: <1153943964.570698.219380@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85425

well, maybe i've got something for you.

the german tv-station "ZDF" - it's sth like like BBC - is looking for the most beautiful place all over germany. it's an internet-vote, and the viewers are allowed to send their own places.

so we did it. we are a non-commercial campusradio from aachen, near the dutch border.

we send in a place which is used to be a meeting-point for the homeless of aachen. now this place is fighting against places like the reichstag, neuschwanstein or the "brandenburger tor".

but we need assitance to get that place on top. maybe you can help an take revenge for the hamann-bridge?

here we go: visit http://vote.orte.zdf.de/. i'll try to get you a "how to", beacause the page is in german, as you possibly may imagine.

so, visit this page, make sure you have checked the box beside the word "zuschauervorschlaege" on top. then you'll see the list of places. the 4th from top is called "Aachen, Rondell an der Wüllnerstraße". that's our place. just click on "abstimmen" and give a random name and adress. at the box "PLZ" you may type the number "52062" and at the box "wohnort" you can type "aachen", e.g.

just make sure that you type in a valid mail-adress, because you'll receive a mail with a link. if you've clicked on that link, your vote is finished.

and germany may get a meeting-point for the homeless as the most beautiful place... let's hope!

thanks for the attention :)

ps: if you like to see a picture of that place, visit http://beatritze.twoday.net/stories/2420155/

4289. Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:03:02 UTC
From: Gus <awbosse@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153944181.933667.268890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85426

Hi everyone,

I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search the group before deciding to post).

Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands, etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.

In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio appears to work well.

The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable. The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006 _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL. Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1 (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.

On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for my purposes.

Thanks,

Gus

4290. Re: Tracking Exercise 6219.4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:08:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7CBA0.799E0405@milestones.com>
Source record: 85427

dxAce wrote:

> I'm picking up the tracking exercise traffic this afternoon on 6219.4.

Also getting comms on 6694 per a logging by Mike Comer, Titusville, FL on the MilCom list.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4291. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:13:22 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153944802.460140.263390@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85428

David Eduardo wrote:

> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.

Well, I think we have WAY too many MW stations in the USA.

A serious deletion of about half the stations out there - and moving them to FM - would be:

1) Beneficial for the affected stations, since they would be on a band that has better penetration into office buildings, better sound and resistance to static. This makes them more attractive to advertisers.

2) Beneficial for the remaining MW stations because the band will get less cluttered. This will enable them to raise power and serve wider geographical areas, especially in large metro areas where the city has spread out beyond the station's daytime signal.

Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000 or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or even 50,000 watts!!

You also will have less need for these weird-ass directional antenna arrays, where you're trying to protect this station or that station on adjacent or co-located channels.

Canada could cooperate by eliminating their "reserved" allocations, so that transmission restrictions on USA stations could be eliminated. Why hang on to these allocations if you're not going to use them anymore, you know?

Obviously this won't be doable in cities where the entire FM dial is taken up, but for moving an isolated low power (1,000 watts and below) small-town AM station or two onto a semi-empty FM dial it would be perfect.

Also, daytime stations should be returned to DAYTIME ONLY! What is this nonsense of night-service from former daytimers with only a watt or two....or even 5 or 10 watts? In congested areas you can't go out too far anyway and the electrical noise will kill you.

The clear channels should remain CLEAR.

AM radio was never meant for strictly local service. It has too much coverage range, especially at night. It's better suited for

long-distance broadcasting.

Ideally these long-range AM stations should also be networked in order to provide seamless coverage across the country. Let FM do local service.

Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

4292. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:15:26 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <u3Qxg.32$_A6.13@fe02.lga>
Source record: 85429

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>> news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>
>>
>> Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>
>> BH
>
>
> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to help
> stop it?
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

I'm all for what we and the Israelis are doing and it's about time. So go
ahead and squirm.

BH

4293. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:17:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153945030.972272.126450@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85431

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:1203b$44c7a8fb$422a9820$22628@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > Considering the way the feds are spending money,
> > I doubt that weighs heavily on their minds.
>
> Actually, it is the stated reason for not postponing the final transfer to
> all HDTV.

That has absolutely no bearing on the fact that you're BUSTED.

4294. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:17:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7CDC9.54AB3CE5@milestones.com>
Source record: 85432

Stephanie Weil wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> > failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> > years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>
> Well, I think we have WAY too many MW stations in the USA.
>
> A serious deletion of about half the stations out there - and moving
> them to FM - would be:
>
> 1) Beneficial for the affected stations, since they would be on a band
> that has better penetration into office buildings, better sound and
> resistance to static. This makes them more attractive to advertisers.
>
> 2) Beneficial for the remaining MW stations because the band will get
> less cluttered. This will enable them to raise power and serve wider
> geographical areas, especially in large metro areas where the city has
> spread out beyond the station's daytime signal.
>
> Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
> or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
> even 50,000 watts!!
>
> You also will have less need for these weird-ass directional antenna
> arrays, where you're trying to protect this station or that station on
> adjacent or co-located channels.
>
> Canada could cooperate by eliminating their "reserved" allocations, so
> that transmission restrictions on USA stations could be eliminated.
> Why hang on to these allocations if you're not going to use them
> anymore, you know?
>
> Obviously this won't be doable in cities where the entire FM dial is
> taken up, but for moving an isolated low power (1,000 watts and below)
> small-town AM station or two onto a semi-empty FM dial it would be
> perfect.
>
> Also, daytime stations should be returned to DAYTIME ONLY! What is
> this nonsense of night-service from former daytimers with only a watt
> or two....or even 5 or 10 watts? In congested areas you can't go out

> too far anyway and the electrical noise will kill you.
>
> The clear channels should remain CLEAR.
>
> AM radio was never meant for strictly local service. It has too much
> coverage range, especially at night. It's better suited for
> long-distance broadcasting.
>
> Ideally these long-range AM stations should also be networked in order
> to provide seamless coverage across the country. Let FM do local
> service.

Edweenie will be back momentarily from alt.politics.immigration to feed you his patented line of BS.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4295. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:17:18 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <f5Qxg.34$_A6.15@fe02.lga>
Source record: 85430

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:4ipogpF4v3vrU1@individual.net...
>
> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>> Brian Hill wrote:
>>
>> > "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>> > news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>> >> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>> >
>> >
>> > Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>> >
>> > BH
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
>> help stop it?
>>
> So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it. What does the
> worthless
> UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.
>
>

The UN sat on it's ass since the day it began. All they do is watch and
waste time and $s

BH

4296. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:17:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1153945077.477492.163220@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85433

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:YoNxg.475437$Fs1.299202@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> >
> >
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
> >> >
> >>
> >> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> >> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> >> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for
> > digital
> > radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>
> No, it suggests the transformation to digital is best done with an in-band
> transition, such as FM to FM stereo where there is backwards compatibility.

BUSTED
```

4297. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:22:18 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153945338.234514.92400@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85434

David Eduardo wrote:

> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.

Well, I think we have WAY too many MW stations in the USA.

A serious deletion of about half the stations out there - and moving them to FM - would be:

1) Beneficial for the affected stations, since they would be on a band that has better penetration into office buildings, better sound and resistance to static. This makes them more attractive to advertisers.

2) Beneficial for the remaining MW stations because the band will get less cluttered. This will enable them to raise power and serve wider geographical areas, especially in large metro areas where the city has spread out beyond the station's daytime signal.

Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000 or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or even 50,000 watts!!

You also will have less need for these weird-ass directional antenna arrays, where you're trying to protect this station or that station on adjacent or co-located channels.

Canada could cooperate by eliminating their "reserved" allocations, so that transmission restrictions on USA stations could be eliminated. Why hang on to these allocations if you're not going to use them anymore, you know?

Obviously this won't be doable in cities where the entire FM dial is taken up, but for moving an isolated low power (1,000 watts and below) small-town AM station or two onto a semi-empty FM dial it would be perfect.

Also, daytime stations should be returned to DAYTIME ONLY! What is this nonsense of night-service from former daytimers with only a watt or two....or even 5 or 10 watts? In congested areas you can't go out too far anyway and the electrical noise will kill you.

The clear channels should remain CLEAR.

AM radio was never meant for strictly local service. It has too much coverage range, especially at night. It's better suited for

long-distance broadcasting.

Ideally these long-range AM stations should also be networked in order to provide seamless coverage across the country. Let FM do local service.

Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

4298. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:24:56 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153945496.494363.61410@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
yopu know you dream of sex with him wismen
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

4299. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:25:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153945541.010776.205600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace

4300. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:26:39 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153945599.494230.59710@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace
> But you were thrown out for sodomy and your obesity was just a bonus
> reason.
no wismen was never even accused of sodomy in the service

gmay the lord you the help you need so you may find peace

4301. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:31:55 UTC
From: wavetrapper <k3pi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1153945915.874270.100990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85438

I think that anyone that has even a semi-serious interest in shortwave should get a radio with SSB reception capability and the ability to tune in 1 khz or smaller increments (and fine tune from there) . The 7600GR is a very good portable. I have the older 7600g which is consider my best portable.

With SSB you can listen to utility stations and the amateur radio bands. Also, you can listen to AM stations in sideband mode and help fight off interference.

The question to ask yourself, in my opinion, is whether the 1102 or 1103 would do the job for you (half the cost). There are a lot of big fans of the 1103 (I can't get used to the unusual ergonomics of the radio). I like the 1102 a lot despite some of its unique characteristics.

I wouldn't second guess your purchase. You have true LSB and USB, synchro detect (which can help at times), continuous SW coverage. If I could only have one of the current under-$200 portables, the 7600g(r) would be the one.

I am sure the 1103 fans will be here soon to promote that one! [it ain't bad, but I prefer the 7600g(r)]

Russ
K3Pi

Gus wrote:
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
> to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
> the group before deciding to post).
>
> Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
> an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
> listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
> etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
>
> In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
> absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
> (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
> set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
> ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
> appears to work well.
>

> The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it
> enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
> The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
> _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
> beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will
> get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
> Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1
> (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered
> from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for
> about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like
> $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
>
> On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
> (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync
> lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with
> this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a
> lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for
> my purposes.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gus

4302. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:37:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ZnQxg.135755$dW3.50989@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85439

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153944802.460140.263390@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>
> Well, I think we have WAY too many MW stations in the USA.

We have them for a variety of reasons. First, local service became a
priority of the FCC following W.W. II, and causedx all manner of low power
and daytime stations to be licensed. Second, the 1930's AMs that were not
clears were great in the 30's but as cities spread with urban sprawl, many
stations no longer covered their market area.

Yep, there are way to many defective facilities.
>
> A serious deletion of about half the stations out there - and moving
> them to FM - would be:

The formats can move to FM if viable.HD-2 may be a big help there. Docket
80-90 effectively overpopulated the FM band, too.
>
> 1) Beneficial for the affected stations, since they would be on a band
> that has better penetration into office buildings, better sound and
> resistance to static. This makes them more attractive to advertisers.

While advertisers do not pay much attention to coverage, they do to
ratings. And at work listeing in most markets is over 35% of total
listening.
>
> 2) Beneficial for the remaining MW stations because the band will get
> less cluttered. This will enable them to raise power and serve wider
> geographical areas, especially in large metro areas where the city has
> spread out beyond the station's daytime signal.
>
> Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
> or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
> even 50,000 watts!!

In today's world of man made noise, you are very correct. 1 kw or even 5 kw
in a bigger city is not enough to cover well.

In Buenos Aires, I worked with the only Am that fully covered the market, and had no noise downtown: 100 kw on 710. We had to directionalize it towards downtown to overcome noise, though. And the market has plenty of 50 kw stations, all of which have coverage holes.
>
> You also will have less need for these weird-ass directional antenna
> arrays, where you're trying to protect this station or that station on
> adjacent or co-located channels.
>
> Canada could cooperate by eliminating their "reserved" allocations, so
> that transmission restrictions on USA stations could be eliminated.
> Why hang on to these allocations if you're not going to use them
> anymore, you know?

This needs a diplomatic solution. Its a great idea-.
>
> Also, daytime stations should be returned to DAYTIME ONLY! What is
> this nonsense of night-service from former daytimers with only a watt
> or two....or even 5 or 10 watts? In congested areas you can't go out
> too far anyway and the electrical noise will kill you.

True. This was a move to seem to allow daytimers to stay on for the Friday football game at the High School. But there are now more FMs in rural markets than Ams, so there is no need.
>
> The clear channels should remain CLEAR.

But they have not been since the 70's. That horse left the barn.
>
> AM radio was never meant for strictly local service. It has too much
> coverage range, especially at night. It's better suited for
> long-distance broadcasting.

In the US, the days of noisy, fady skywave reception are gone. AM listening at night is so low anyway, that nothing is going to help it.
>
> Ideally these long-range AM stations should also be networked in order
> to provide seamless coverage across the country. Let FM do local
> service.

That works in many other countries. That is the reason RDS was invented, in fact, to seek and change to multiple channels of the same national net. The problem, though, is that so little radio is listened to at night that there is no revenue value in this.

4303. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:45:17 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <p1lfc2p7ohcs5g4qu706rsaafcu3ibk5o5@4ax.com>
Source record: 85440

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 18:42:18 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
>modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
>
> IBOC was inevitable.
>
>
Ugh!!

IBOC was the NAB's lame attempt at digital.

4304. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:47:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d4lfc2ltcq1ure92dur2j0j7ose64qsk3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 85441

On 26 Jul 2006 13:13:22 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
<stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:

>Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
>or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
>even 50,000 watts!!
>
That's insane. Do you have any idea how much the electricity would
cost?

100 KW is only 3 dB stronger than 50.

4305. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:50:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nblfc2d18urth0g9ebvptafvndhrd3sa4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 85442

On 26 Jul 2006 13:03:02 -0700, "Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hi everyone,
>
>I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
>to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
>the group before deciding to post).
>
>Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
>an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
>listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
>etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
>
Where do you live?

4306. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:52:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C7D610-1120@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85445

I weighed 244 pounds when I joined the Army in October 1962.Then I lost some weight and then later on,all of those second and third helpings of cherry pies in the mess halls put it all back on me again.Now I weigh about 280 pounds.
cuhulin

4307. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:54:28 UTC
From: Gus <awbosse@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153947268.456186.220620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85443

I live in Santa Barbara, CA---about 100 miles north of LA. However, in
October I will be moving to the greater Washington, DC area.

--Gus

David wrote:
> On 26 Jul 2006 13:03:02 -0700, "Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi everyone,
> >
> >I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
> >to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
> >the group before deciding to post).
> >
> >Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
> >an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
> >listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
> >etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
> >
> Where do you live?

4308. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 20:59:46 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12cflufi70aqu52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85444

The Degen/Kaito radios and accessories have absolutely Z.E.R.O. resale value.

Learn to opperate and appreciate the Sony 7600GR's Sync Detector which is currently not available in ANY radio in its price class. The 7600GR with the AN-LP1 antenna is an unbeatable combination in a portable radio unless you step up to a Sony SW-07 $$$.

"Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153944181.933667.268890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
> to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
> the group before deciding to post).
>
> Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
> an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
> listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
> etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
>
> In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
> absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
> (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
> set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
> ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
> appears to work well.
>
> The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it
> enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
> The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
> _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
> beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will
> get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
> Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1
> (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered
> from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for
> about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like
> $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
>
> On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
> (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync
> lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with
> this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a
> lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for

> my purposes.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gus
>

4309. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:01:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12569-44C7D811-52@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85448

Israel is holding up their end OK.
cuhulin

4310. Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:09:45 UTC
From: RonH <rgheath@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tSQxg.5739$157.4263@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85447

There is an interesting comparison between the E1 and R75 at Guy Atkins blog at www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com. It is by a MW DXer in Finland and include an audio clip of WBBR in NY on the E1. Very impressive. Apparently the Finn got one of the lower price reconditioned units. As they are availabe for about $400, I'l have to start shoping around

Ron

4311. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:10:26 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6TQxg.246505$IK3.19622@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 85449

Slow Code wrote:
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:IMywg.223404$IK3.148298@pd7tw1no:
>
>> David wrote:
>>> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:22:22 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>> Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!
>>>>
>>> Unregulated capitalism is cancer.
>>>
>>>
>> I agree with you 100%. Unregulated capitalism is unacceptable and even
>> with regulations in place to protect people there are still too many
>> problems.
>>
>> It was interesting to note that when something affects him then it is
>> all about bean counting and $$$ but when something affects someone else
>> it's tough shit. I was never a big fan of hypocrisy.
>>
>> JB
>
>
> It must suck for you to live on the Shit side of the border.
>
> SC
>
Shit only happens when Bush speaks.

JB

4312. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardoisn'ttellingus:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:11:10 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OTQxg.476888$Fs1.1993@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85450

```
dxAce wrote:
>
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>
>> dxAce wrote:
>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>
>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> dxAce wrote:
>>>>>>> D Peter Maus wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>>>>>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:Jpgxg.56240$VE1.38007@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>>>>>>> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>>> news:ea43br$dfq$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>>>>>>>>
http://www.rwonline.com/reference-room/commentary/2006.07.19-03_rw_hd_guest_barry_2.shtml
>>>>>>>>>> That is pretty funny... a guy from Canada, where Eureka is a colossal
>>>>>>>>>> failure and where the total count of AMs is down by half in the last 10
>>>>>>>>>> years wants to give lessons on broadcasting.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Doesn't Eureka's problems suggest that there is no strong demand for digital
>>>>>>>>> radio and the digital buzzword has no value?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of them.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares like
>>>>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>>>>>> I recall seeing speakers at Radio Shack that had a sticker on the front that said
'Digital
>>>>>>> Ready'.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Speakers. Headphones. Amps. Receivers. There was a panoply of devices
>>>>>> that were tagged as 'Digital Ready.'
```

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Same products, but now perceived to be 'new and improved.' And this
>>>>>> wasn't limited to RS. It was everywhere.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> In many cases, still is. Sony still markets a like of 'professional'
>>>>>> headphones branded "Digital.'
>>>>> My GE Superadio II is 'Digital Ready'. It picks up the IBOC QRM just fine.
>>>> Quite the engineering marvel for it's day, wasn't it.
>>> Still works pretty darn good. I'd like to pick another up at the price I got this one
at.
>>>
>> Yeah, that digital rash sounds so much better in stereo.
>
> LOL... yeah, I could tune one to 790 and the other to 770. Then, just for kicks, I could
put the SR
> III in the back of the room on 780 for the center channel.
>

I think you may be on to something really scary.

>

4313. Re: Digital Quality is an oxymoron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:21:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7DCD8.4644C88F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85451

John Barnard wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
> > John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > news:IMywg.223404$IK3.148298@pd7tw1no:
> >
> >> David wrote:
> >>> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 05:22:22 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> dxAce wrote:
> >>>> Nice of you to rag like a Communist and put down free enterprise!
> >>>>
> >>> Unregulated capitalism is cancer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >> I agree with you 100%. Unregulated capitalism is unacceptable and even
> >> with regulations in place to protect people there are still too many
> >> problems.
> >>
> >> It was interesting to note that when something affects him then it is
> >> all about bean counting and $$$ but when something affects someone else
> >> it's tough shit. I was never a big fan of hypocrisy.
> >>
> >> JB
> >
> >
> > It must suck for you to live on the Shit side of the border.
> >
> > SC
> >
> Shit only happens when Bush speaks.

And it sure happens in CanaDuh. 24/7

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4314. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:22:26 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m2Rxg.240833$iF6.194281@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 85452

Steve wrote:
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
>
> You are so BUSTED!!
>
Yo Timmy,

Could you work on expanding your vocabulary for the next week or two?

JB

4315. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:24:28 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <e58d2$44c7d92d$422a9820$18108@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85446

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:UOPxg.135739$dW3.1342@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:1203b$44c7a8fb$422a9820$22628@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > Considering the way the feds are spending money,
> > I doubt that weighs heavily on their minds.
>
> Actually, it is the stated reason for not postponing the final
transfer to
> all HDTV.

I'm still skeptical. (Gee, can't you tell?) I suppose
part of the reason for my skepticism is the great
metric debacle. Anyone who works in the sciences
can tell you that metric is the standard there, but
boy did metric lay an egg when we were supposed
to convert to that for general measures in the US.

--Mike L.

4316. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:25:33 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153949133.598348.50460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85453

Don't waste your money on a shortwave radio. Shortwave is dead.
SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> The Degen/Kaito radios and accessories have absolutely Z.E.R.O. resale
> value.
>
> Learn to opperate and appreciate the Sony 7600GR's Sync Detector which is
> currently not available in ANY radio in its price class. The 7600GR with
> the AN-LP1 antenna is an unbeatable combination in a portable radio unless
> you step up to a Sony SW-07 $$$.
>
> "Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153944181.933667.268890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Hi everyone,
> >
> > I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
> > to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
> > the group before deciding to post).
> >
> > Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
> > an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
> > listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
> > etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
> >
> > In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
> > absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
> > (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
> > set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
> > ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
> > appears to work well.
> >
> > The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it
> > enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
> > The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
> > _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
> > beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will
> > get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
> > Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1
> > (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered
> > from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for
> > about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like
> > $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
> >
> > On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
> > (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync

> > lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with
> > this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a
> > lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for
> > my purposes.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Gus
> >

4317. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:27:27 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153949247.503714.159880@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85454

David Eduardo wrote:
Second, the 1930's AMs that were not
> clears were great in the 30's but as cities spread with urban sprawl, many
> stations no longer covered their market area.

That's exactly why the band needs to be purged, and the high-power AM stations (WOR, WRKO, KDKA, KNX, etc.) and regionals (WLIB, WEZE, KQKE, WMCA, etc.) need their power doubled.

> In the US, the days of noisy, fady skywave reception are gone. AM listening
> at night is so low anyway, that nothing is going to help it.

Well, that still doesn't explain the reasoning behind why network overnight shows like Coast to Coast AM, Jim Bohannon, etc. are so popular (besides the cheap syndication). Obviously there are people listening - those who work at night need something.

The stations that don't wish to broadcast overnight could just turn off their transmitters.

I know that's the current practice among AM stations in Cali, Colombia (or at least was in 200) - after midight, a third of the dial is empty. I assume it's because of diminished advertising revenue, so it doesn't justify leaving the transmitter up and burning electricity.

> That is the reason RDS was invented, in
> fact, to seek and change to multiple channels of the same national net. The
> problem, though, is that so little radio is listened to at night that there
> is no revenue value in this.

I don't think RBDS (or RDS) works on MW though. I think it's strictly an FM feature, just like FM-SCA is.

Cranking up the power would significantly overcome the night-time fading in significant areas though.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York

4318. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:28:03 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85455

Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
using the AM sync.
RonH wrote:
> There is an interesting comparison between the E1 and R75 at Guy Atkins blog
> at www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com. It is by a MW DXer in Finland and include an
> audio clip of WBBR in NY on the E1. Very impressive. Apparently the Finn got
> one of the lower price reconditioned units. As they are availabe for about
> $400, I'l have to start shoping around
>
> Ron

4319. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:31:33 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <VaRxg.246633$IK3.8852@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 85456

m II wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>
>> Yeah it does matter. He did state "Calgary to be specific" and was
>> wrong. It matters as much as saying that there are WMDs in Iraq; you
>> really need to get those facts right before acting.
>
>
> But there ARE weapons of mass destruction in Iraq. They KNOW where they
> are, remember?
>
>
> ==
> Q: And is it curious to you that given how much control U.S. and
> coalition forces now have in the country, they haven't found any weapons
> of mass destruction?
>
> Rumsfeld, May 30, 2003: Not at all. If you think -- let me take
> that, both pieces -- the area in the south and the west and the north
> that coalition forces control is substantial. It happens not to be the
> area where weapons of mass destruction were dispersed. We know where
> they are. They're in the area around Tikrit and Baghdad and east, west,
> south and north somewhat.

I think Rumsfeld was confusing a carpet answer with carpet bombing.

>
> ==
>
>
>
> I'm surprised Colin Powell hasn't killed himself yet.

I have some sympathy for Powell. The CIA straight-out lied to Powell
concerning the intel on Iraq and the WMDs. Nothing worse to be a
high-ranking figure in a government and getting used and shafted.

JB

>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> mike
>

4320. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:31:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7DF43.5C27EEBA@milestones.com>
Source record: 85457

John Barnard wrote:

> Steve wrote:
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
> >
> > You are so BUSTED!!
> >
> Yo Timmy,
>
> Could you work on expanding your vocabulary for the next week or two?

Yo Johnny,

Could you work on CanaDuh Health making those spine transplants available for
all your countrymen?

You folks are as genetically pathetic as the French.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4321. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:33:14 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <rcRxg.29$db.23@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85458

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
> using the AM sync.

Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

4322. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:37:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12567-44C7E081-1123@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85463

Ireland worker finds ancient Psalm in bog.
www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/world/4071057.html

Amazing,but since it is Ireland,I am not surprised.I own a big reprint book of the Book of Kells.

It isn't all crap news.
cuhulin

4323. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:37:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ngRxg.12467$2v.8404@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85459

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:d4lfc2ltcq1ure92dur2j0j7ose64qsk3s@4ax.com...
> On 26 Jul 2006 13:13:22 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
> <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
>>or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
>>even 50,000 watts!!
>>
> That's insane. Do you have any idea how much the electricity would
> cost?
>
> 100 KW is only 3 dB stronger than 50.

It increases the useful coverage area. If a station does not cover its
market fully, the extra power can make it useful. As I mentioned, in one
international market, we found only a directional 100 kw could fully cover
the market... and the AM is #1 in that market because it has such good
coverage. We also needed 200 kw for an FM to cover adequately, something not
possible in the USA... so markets like San Francisco have no FM that covers
the entire market.
>

4324. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:38:37 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <xhRxg.246680$IK3.28435@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 85460

dxAce wrote:
>
> John Barnard wrote:
>
>> Douche Bag wrote:
>>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
>> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
>> too good for Tracy.
>
> All of CanaDuh is a discharge, and it ain't #1, it's good ol' #2.

In other words, you are used to being dumped on?

JB

>
> Johnny, you need to take your show on the road, I'm certain it might play well in venues
> outside of Edmontoon.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>

4325. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:41:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7E19C.85BF0D26@milestones.com>
Source record: 85461

John Barnard wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
> >
> > John Barnard wrote:
> >
> >> Douche Bag wrote:
> >>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
> >> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
> >> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
> >> too good for Tracy.
> >
> > All of CanaDuh is a discharge, and it ain't #1, it's good ol' #2.
>
> In other words, you are used to being dumped on?

Not like you are, boy!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4326. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:41:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7E1A1.F4565B04@milestones.com>
Source record: 85462

dxAce wrote:

> John Barnard wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >
> > > Irrelevant twaddle deleted.
> > >
> > > You are so BUSTED!!
> > >
> > Yo Timmy,
> >
> > Could you work on expanding your vocabulary for the next week or two?
>
> Yo Johnny,
>
> Could you work on CanaDuh Health making those spine transplants available for
> all your countrymen?
>
> You folks are as genetically pathetic as the French.

Speaking of the French... I was over at the local sporting goods store (buying
more ammo) and I saw that they had some French carbines for sale.

The placard said: "Never Fired. Only Dropped Once"

Each one came with a large square of white cloth (not sure what that was for,
though I guess it could be cut up into cleaning patches).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4327. World of Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:45:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7E295.CF4EB04A@milestones.com>
Source record: 85464

Hey, just a reminder that World of Radio should be up on WBCQ 7415 at 2200.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4328. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:46:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <3pRxg.12469$2v.12027@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85465

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153949247.503714.159880@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> Well, that still doesn't explain the reasoning behind why network
> overnight shows like Coast to Coast AM, Jim Bohannon, etc. are so
> popular (besides the cheap syndication). Obviously there are people
> listening - those who work at night need something.

The syndicator makes money by bulking up hundreds of stations, all of which
are judged by the local ratings. Together, it is salable.

KFI in LA in morning drive reaches 150,000 persons per average quarter hour.
In Mid-5 AM, it reaches 12,000. But add KFI and a few hundred other
stations, and you have several hundred thousand listeners... and yu can get
some revenue. And stations do not want to be off the air, so this is easy,
cheap programming, even if they make no money, they get a good lead on AM
drive.
>
> The stations that don't wish to broadcast overnight could just turn off
> their transmitters.

The reason we don't,a s every engineer knows, is so that we do not have a
nasty surprise when we want to go on at 6 AM and the transmitter does not
work. I did my first 24/7 station in '64 for this reason... a couple of
missed hours of AM drive pay the light bill, and it is insurance gladly paid
for.
>
> I know that's the current practice among AM stations in Cali, Colombia
> (or at least was in 200) - after midight, a third of the dial is empty.
> I assume it's because of diminished advertising revenue, so it doesn't
> justify leaving the transmitter up and burning electricity.

Most of the major stations are 24/7, and nearly all the commercial FMs are.
>
>> That is the reason RDS was invented, in
>> fact, to seek and change to multiple channels of the same national net.
>> The
>> problem, though, is that so little radio is listened to at night that
>> there
>> is no revenue value in this.
>
> I don't think RBDS (or RDS) works on MW though. I think it's strictly

> an FM feature, just like FM-SCA is.

Correct. I forgot we were talking about AM. AM is so dead in Europe, I guess they did not even develop the technology.
>
> Cranking up the power would significantly overcome the night-time
> fading in significant areas though.

But most listeners would not put up, today, with the occasional fades and static. And then there is the auroral effect in Summer and the evenings in Fall and Spring when a local 1-A is obliterated within a hundred miles of its transmitter by some Venezuelan station (I remember this on many occasions less than 60 miles from 1100 in Cleveland!).

4329. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:47:10 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4iq66vF4vaddU1@individual.net>
Source record: 85466

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:rcRxg.29$db.23@fe03.lga...
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
> > using the AM sync.
>
>
> Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?
>
The above lame-ass review should tell you something.

4330. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:47:32 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153950452.356594.230120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85467

Keep asking me!
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
> > using the AM sync.
>
>
> Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

4331. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:48:05 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <pqRxg.4989$bP5.3145@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85468

Keep the Sony 7600GR
Forget the KA31 antenna.
Get the Sony antenna use it on the Sony radio.

You want SSB and the Sony is great for that.

There have been quality control problems of late with the DE1103s.
This was an issue when it first came out, then was cleared up. Now
another batch of them is giving people fits (see the Yahoo group de1103)

Before this new bad batch was released I would have said not to hesitate
getting a DE1103, it's the best performer for dollar spent in portables. (My opinion)
Incredibly sensitive off the built in whip, better sounding speaker than the Sony,
and acceptable SSB if you can get the fine tuning ritual down.

Wavetrapper doesn't like the volume control, keypad layout, ergonomics
of the 1103. Many feel the same way. I never had a problem getting used
to it, but with the newer ones having problems I wouldn't recommend an 1103 now

"Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153944181.933667.268890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
> to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
> the group before deciding to post).
>
> Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
> an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
> listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
> etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
>
> In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
> absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
> (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
> set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
> ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
> appears to work well.
>
> The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it
> enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
> The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
> _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
> beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will
> get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
> Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1

> (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered
> from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for
> about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like
> $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
>
> On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
> (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync
> lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with
> this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a
> lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for
> my purposes.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gus
>

4332. Ping: wavetrapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:48:06 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <qqRxg.4990$bP5.2562@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85469

Whatever happened to the Degen DE1104.

Your 2005 news at radiointel.com says it was ready
to go last summer.

4333. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:49:13 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <trRxg.240920$iF6.14879@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 85470

m II wrote:
> dxVole wrote:
>
>>>> My girlfriend is ''funny''.
>>>> cuhulin
>
>>> I also find dxAce hilarious. Unlike you, however, I've never had the
>>> urge to have sex with him.
>>
>> You're happy with John Barnard then?
>
>
> Not in the sense that you and the Wunderkind cuhulin are. Heterosexual
> men such as Mr. Barnard and myself don't spend much time wondering just
> how darn blissful you and the brassiere wearing ex Army pervert are.
>
I think it is more than coincidence that DxDisgrace and Cuhulin:

1. took part in the same war
2. Dx claims to like to twiddle with knobs whereas Cuhulin is into
womens underwear and dresses
3. Take the "brothers in arms" meaning to a new definition
4. Both like Snoop Dogg and Scooby-Do (Scrappy-Do is only for Cuhulin)
5. Both display some form of psych problems

Where's the VA when you need them?

JB

> Now that I understand your rather 'comfortable' relationship to the
> Doggy Daddy, I can see why you have NEVER called him on any of his
> insane statements.
>
>
> As a public service to you and your lover, please be informed that,
> besides the moral turpitude, there ARE dangers to your licentious
> lifestyle.
>
> http://www.marvistavet.com/html/body_canine_herpes_infection.html
>
>
>
>
> mike
>

4334. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:53:43 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <HvRxg.240932$iF6.181070@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 85471

Billy Smith wrote:
> Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
> myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
> were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
> didn't teach you much in the military.
>

Traci will have a tough time in the real world. Maybe his true calling
in life is to be a sista to some brother in prison?

JB

>
> Douche Bag wrote:
>
>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>> Beerbarrel wrote:
>>
>>> The qusestion is? Did Billy "Corncob" Smith and John "Barnyard"
>>> Barnard eat the corn off of the cob before of after they used the
>>> corncob?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>>
>>> KE4ODD
>>>
>>> Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point
>>> veteran preference to
>>> prove it.
>>>
>>>
>>> *Used with express written permission of its creator.
>>
>>
>

4335. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:55:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <GxRxg.121$8W7.32@fe07.lga>
Source record: 85472

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153950452.356594.230120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Keep asking me!

And further more, do you fill yourself with water and vinegar or a more
modern blend of high tech crotch cleaning solution?

4336. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 21:56:02 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <SxRxg.243073$Mn5.192261@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 85473

dxSadSackofShitAce wrote:
>
> Billy Smith wrote:
>
>> Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
>> myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
>> were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
>> didn't teach you much in the military.
>
> You were in the military, weren't you Billy? I know folks have referred to you as Major
> Retard.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
>
I hear the Sad Sack cartoon was based on your misadventures.

JB

4337. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:00:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7E5F6.E02F9CA6@milestones.com>
Source record: 85474

John Barnard wrote:

> dxSadSackofShitAce wrote:
> >
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >> Interesting that Traci Fag, er Beerbarrel would bring up the idea of
> >> myself and John having anything like that going on. Considering that
> >> were a good thousand miles apart. Nice try dumbass! Obviously they
> >> didn't teach you much in the military.
> >
> > You were in the military, weren't you Billy? I know folks have referred to you as Major
> > Retard.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >
> >
> >
> I hear the Sad Sack cartoon was based on your misadventures.

You hear a lot of incorrect stuff up in CanaDuh, don't you, boy?

Figures.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4338. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:07:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7E7B5.7FC1773E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85475

David Frackelton Gleason, still posing as 'Eduardo', the confused Anglo from Cleveland wrote:

> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153949247.503714.159880@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > Well, that still doesn't explain the reasoning behind why network
> > overnight shows like Coast to Coast AM, Jim Bohannon, etc. are so
> > popular (besides the cheap syndication). Obviously there are people
> > listening - those who work at night need something.
>
> The syndicator makes money by bulking up hundreds of stations, all of which
> are judged by the local ratings. Together, it is salable.
>
> KFI in LA in morning drive reaches 150,000 persons per average quarter hour.
> In Mid-5 AM, it reaches 12,000. But add KFI and a few hundred other
> stations, and you have several hundred thousand listeners... and yu can get
> some revenue. And stations do not want to be off the air, so this is easy,
> cheap programming, even if they make no money, they get a good lead on AM
> drive.
> >
> > The stations that don't wish to broadcast overnight could just turn off
> > their transmitters.
>
> The reason we don't,a s every engineer knows, is so that we do not have a
> nasty surprise when we want to go on at 6 AM and the transmitter does not
> work. I did my first 24/7 station in '64 for this reason... a couple of
> missed hours of AM drive pay the light bill, and it is insurance gladly paid
> for.
> >
> > I know that's the current practice among AM stations in Cali, Colombia
> > (or at least was in 200) - after midight, a third of the dial is empty.
> > I assume it's because of diminished advertising revenue, so it doesn't
> > justify leaving the transmitter up and burning electricity.
>
> Most of the major stations are 24/7, and nearly all the commercial FMs are.
> >
> >> That is the reason RDS was invented, in
> >> fact, to seek and change to multiple channels of the same national net.
> >> The
> >> problem, though, is that so little radio is listened to at night that

> >> there
> >> is no revenue value in this.
> >
> > I don't think RBDS (or RDS) works on MW though. I think it's strictly
> > an FM feature, just like FM-SCA is.
>
> Correct. I forgot we were talking about AM. AM is so dead in Europe, I guess
> they did not even develop the technology.
> >
> > Cranking up the power would significantly overcome the night-time
> > fading in significant areas though.
>
> But most listeners would not put up, today, with the occasional fades and
> static. And then there is the auroral effect in Summer and the evenings in
> Fall and Spring when a local 1-A is obliterated within a hundred miles of
> its transmitter by some Venezuelan station (I remember this on many
> occasions less than 60 miles from 1100 in Cleveland!).

Ahhhh... Cleveland. That was before you became a fake Hispanic, right?

4339. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:14:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C7E957-1111@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85480

Put a rock in one and wind it up and turn it loose,just like David and
Goliath.
cuhulin

4340. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:16:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16569-44C7E9CD-250@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85479

It was us men that first started wearing ear rings and crocheing.
cuhulin

4341. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:17:18 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ORRxg.243172$Mn5.137222@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 85476

MnMikew wrote:
> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>> Brian Hill wrote:
>>
>>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>> news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>>
>>> Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>>
>>> BH
>>>
>>>
>>
>> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
>> help stop it?
>>
> So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it.

Stop it? You damn idiots helped to get the ball going by invading Iraq.
Saddam is scum but he was busy keeping the Iranians at bay and the
Syrians weren't doing much during that time. Your invasion just made it
easier for weapons to get through to the terrorist cells.

About damn time you tried cleaning up your own mess!

JB

What does the worthless
> UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.
>
>
>

4342. Re: World of Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:17:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C7EA14.7580D1FB@milestones.com>
Source record: 85477

dxAce wrote:

> Hey, just a reminder that World of Radio should be up on WBCQ 7415 at 2200.

And, unfortunately WBCQ having a LOT of technical difficulties this evening.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4343. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:20:07 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <rURxg.246899$IK3.87451@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 85478

RHF wrote:
> OTTAWA (CP) - Prime Minister Stephen Harper says Canada
> would prefer to keep its troops out of the Middle East.
> - - -Translation - Canada Whimps Out !
> http://cnews.canoe.ca/CNEWS/Canada/2006/07/25/1701687-cp.html
>
> Ahead of a Rome summit that will include Foreign Affairs
> Minister Peter MacKay, the prime minister said that any
> ceasefire between Israel and the Lebanese militant group
> Hezbollah would be better enforced by Middle East countries.
> - - - Translation - The Arabs will 'police' themselves against
> Israel - Not !
>
> Mira Sucharov - "Canada hasn't been a top peacekeeper in
> many years," she said. "We can't expect what we would
> have expected a decade ago."
> - - - Translation - Canada We Are Now Whimps !
>
> Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ? ~ RHF

Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!

JB

> .
> .
> . .
>
>
> m II wrote:
>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/tvmu
>
>

4344. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 22:58:04 UTC
From: Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NfGdnfeNA-_tblrZnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 85481

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:ORRxg.243172$Mn5.137222@pd7tw3no...
> MnMikew wrote:
>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>> news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>>> Brian Hill wrote:
>>>
>>>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>>>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>>>
>>>> Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>>>
>>>> BH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>>> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
>>> help stop it?
>>>
>> So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it.
>
> Stop it? You damn idiots helped to get the ball going by invading Iraq.
> Saddam is scum but he was busy keeping the Iranians at bay and the Syrians
> weren't doing much during that time. Your invasion just made it easier for
> weapons to get through to the terrorist cells.
>
> About damn time you tried cleaning up your own mess!
>

I'm tempted to excuse your Neville Chamberlain-like cowardice and effete attitude as the ravings of yet another overeducated denizen of the white "whine" and quiche crowd who never fully recovered from romancing with the reds while at some second-rate Canadian university.

However, what IS inexcusable is your total ignorance of events in the Middle East and your lame-brained attempts to pass off as fact leftist propaganda on events of which you have no real knowledge. Get a new act or a new education, put down the Guardian, and forget about the like-minded stooges at CBC radio. I doubt you are salvageable but there's always hope.

4345. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:00:17 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <3uSxg.44$2M3.21@fe04.lga>
Source record: 85482

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> Stop it? You damn idiots helped to get the ball going by invading Iraq.
> Saddam is scum but he was busy keeping the Iranians at bay and the Syrians
> weren't doing much during that time. Your invasion just made it easier for
> weapons to get through to the terrorist cells.
>
> About damn time you tried cleaning up your own mess!
>
> JB

Fuck you JB.

4346. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:01:11 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <VuSxg.45$2M3.17@fe04.lga>
Source record: 85483

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!
>
> JB

Canada couldn't clean the shit off it's own ass.

BH

4347. Re: Too bad this ebay auction has already ended....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:21:05 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16568-44C7F8E1-1126@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85485

I have never messed around with paper mache before,but it looks easy enough,paper mache over a cardboard or screen wire frame or styrofoam frame.Make your own Mermaid and name it Wanda.
cuhulin

4348. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:32:54 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <dYSxg.19412$ak6.3432@newsfe06.phx>
Source record: 85484

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:VuSxg.45$2M3.17@fe04.lga...

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!
>
> JB

Canada couldn't clean the shit off it's own ass.

BH

You are confusing the real Canadians with the "canadians"
registered under this multiculture diversity thingy. k35454.

4349. Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:40:59 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153957259.725672.244730@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85486

<http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure of/for
the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".
All of his PDFs are informative, but his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio Filters" series
are must reads. His conclussions in his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio Filters (Amplified) -
Simplified and improved", 29-MAY-2006, are downright fascinating. I have been
playing with his design and a couple of steep cut off 3600Hz filters. I don't
have the tools to duplicate his research. I knew from the "get go" that my
remote/weak signal source with which I use to test detector, and to a lesser
degree, antenna/feedline combination suffered from the major weakness that
there was no multipath effects. All of my experiments were local ground wave
and I couldn't, and still can't, "messure" the effects of such fading.

I would love to have a Drake R8B, but I was forced to deal with the receivers I do
have. I used R390, R392, R2000(modified), R2000(stock),(borrowed)AOR7030,
and a DX398 for some simple tests. I am just out of the ground wave for several
MW stations and around dawn and dusk I get serious and nasty fading. So for
the last few weeks I have been comparing stock, ie non-synchronous, detectors
with synchronous detectors, and the addition of a brick wall 3.5KHz low pass fitler.

As Mr. Lankford concludes a synch detector is only (and that might ought to be
"may") be slightly better then a AF LP good filter. It is rather frustrating to have
spent the last 18 months building an outboard synchronous detector to find that
a simple LP filter offered so much improvement. Don't get me wrong, a synch
detector is a usefull addition, but not the end all I had hoped.

I will disagree with his use of a simple bipolar 2W AF amp. The one thing I have
descerned is that after AF detection, any additional distortion rapidly degrades
intelligibility. I found MOSFETs, and vacuum tubes, amps allowed me to understand
signals better then 6dB down from a "good" bipolar AF amp.

"My" third R2000 was siezed by my wife. I had added a MOSFET amp, redesigned
the treble cut to a tone-tilt control and just completed adding switchable 3KHz/4KHz
Filters as designed by Mr. Lankford. My wife has been doing some casual SWL for the
last few days and agrees the filters are very good for nasty band conditions.

Email is abandoned and dead.
Terry

4350. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:51:59 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <zeTxg.12493$2v.5650@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85487

```
Mark S. Holden wrote:
> D Peter Maus wrote:
>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>
>>
>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>
>
> Funny you should mention that. Back in the late 70's I went to a
> presentation by Intel on the 8085. One of the applications they
> suggested for it was toasters!
>
IIRC, the 6502 processor as used in the Apple II was originally to be
used in washing machines and other appliances...
```

4351. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:54:31 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12568-44C800B7-216@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85488

Israel FM Calls 4 Organized Campaign To Fix Information On The Web.
The Rumor Mill News Reading Room at, www.rumormillnews.com
cuhulin

4352. Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-26 23:59:44 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <eaam1icur1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85558

Anyone see an explanation of why Radio Aus. was only sending out
the automated "Waltzing Matilda" all Wednesday (in Seattle) night?
Spot checking from 08:00 UTC on 9580/9590 and later on 9475, 9560, 6020,
5995 until sometime before 16:15, (when they were back on 9710), that
was all I could hear.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4353. Re: a longwave thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:05:47 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <YtSdnXLjtazDnlXZnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85489

weatherall wrote:
> I just joined Digital Spy forums a few days ago, and there seems to be
> an issue with longwave threads in the broadcast forum being shut down
> by moderators. So I thought I'd try starting a longwave thread here.
>
> Sounds like the Isle of Man might have a commercial longwave
> broadcaster in the near future. I was surprised to hear from other
> forum users there that cars in Europe have longwave reception in their
> radios. It must be decades since that happened here in the USA.
>
> Anyone have interesting longwave topics to discuss?

Keep listening. In North America there isn't much on Long Wave except a few aviation navigation beacons. And every year, there are fewer and fewer of them. You may hear a few differential GPS broadcasts. However, the best time to listen is in the winter months early in the morning before sunrise. Then you stand a pretty good chance of hearing the LW broadcasts from Europe.

4354. Re: Too bad this ebay auction has already ended....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:09:19 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <PuTxg.36256$vl5.9961@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 85490

Ya gotta admit, he did a good job! : }

"Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
news:no-D978A5.18384026072006@news.supernews.com...
> In article <1153921518.615611.182810@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > http://tinyurl.com/syfy8
>
> Yeah because it looks *so* real - doesn't look like cardboard and papier
> mache at all!
>
> Mike

4355. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:09:25 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea9034$lb1$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85491

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Gnyxg.56424$VE1.29179@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> ::history lesson snipped::
>
>> >Now that it is
>> > proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to a
> new
>> > mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change the
> band to
>> > a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?
>>
>> And what frequencies would you use? And that would obsolete existing
> radios,
>> which HD does not do.
>
> No it wouldn't; you've stated yourself that there's
> no movement to replace the analog streams with
> digital, but rather it's an addition to the current
> service.
>
>> > What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The
> status
>> > quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of
> licenses
>> > first dibs on the new band.
>>
>> But, in the way the AM band is used today, it does not make as big a
> mess as
>> everyone complains. I have seen several recent RW articles in which
> skywave
>> is still defended as the reason why HD is not a good idea. These
> experts do
>> not understand that, starting with the FCC in the 40's, skywave is
> no longer
>> relevant.
>> >
>> > There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog band
> space
>> > could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the
> air TV.

>>
>> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and
> the FCC
>> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in
> Bemidji
>> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.
>
> I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
>
> No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> have died off to make it practical.
>
> Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> purchase" list anytime soon.
>

Digital television (or radio) is not on my 'to buy' list. Nor will it be. There's nothing on either worth spending money for a new, more expensive receiver for.

And how, I wonder, can they ever expect people to buy those expensive DTV's when there are still $100 20" analog sets (and $150 27" sets) out there?

4356. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:10:23 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea904ulbt1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85492

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
>> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
>> .
>> >
>> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
>> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
>> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
>> >
>> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
>> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
>> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
>> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
>> > have died off to make it practical.
>> >
>> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
>> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
>> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
>> > purchase" list anytime soon.
>>
>>
>> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
> anyone for
>> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital to
> analog.
>
> That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
> advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
> too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
> people who might consider upgrading their television
> now will wait for the freebie.
>
> --Mike L.

Those set top boxes aren't going to do my portable and pocket TV's any good
at all.

4357. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:14:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea90cl$lg7$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85493

"Mark Zenier" <mzenier@eskimo.com> wrote in message
news:ea829imrl1@eskinews.eskimo.com...
>
> So it must be the current set of really crappy AM radios. Or the
> processing that the stations (over)do.
>

Bravo! Bravo! The radio and recording industries screw things up and blame the listener. It's nice to see that someone else finally places the blame squarely where it belongs.

If it weren't for the insane over processing, AM radio would be a lot more palatable to most people. What do they expect when they process out all the dynamic range (they do this on FM as well) and limit the frequency response to 5KHz?

4358. Re: Lardass Lloyd the striped whale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:15:41 UTC
From: Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net>
Message-ID: <2006072620154182327%testicle@sacnet>
Source record: 85494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 2006-07-26 14:49:52 -0400, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com said:

> http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

Why don't you faggots gets a room?

4359. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:17:36 UTC
From: pete nalda <lpnalda@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20060726191736657-0500@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 85495

In <12cflufi70aqu52@corp.supernews.com> SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> The Degen/Kaito radios and accessories have absolutely Z.E.R.O. resale
> value.
>
> Learn to opperate and appreciate the Sony 7600GR's Sync Detector which
> is currently not available in ANY radio in its price class. The
> 7600GR with the AN-LP1 antenna is an unbeatable combination in a
> portable radio unless you step up to a Sony SW-07 $$$.

I once had a 7600g (the one that came out just before the gr) and I kind
of wore it out (then eventually lost it). I don't want to sound
ungrateful but I *still* miss that radio. The features it had were just
too useful and though now I have a Kaito KA1103 (aka Degen DE1103) it's
filling the void some but there are just too many things that Sony could
do that the 1103 can't do such as having switchable sidebands or the
sync detect (even if one didn't like the audio of the sync detector,
you'd still get decent ECSS performance out of the sideband modes of the
7600/7600g/7600gr. For goodness sake keep that Sony, as even without
the amped antenna, you've got a fine little reciever there. And yeah I
could see the possibilty that my 1103 won't retain street value but
it'll do the trick until I figure out a way to get another Sony.
>
> "Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153944181.933667.268890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> Hi everyone,
>>
>> I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
>> to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did
>> search the group before deciding to post).
>>
>> Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after
>> hearing an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested
>> in listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio
>> Netherlands, etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can
>> find one.
>>
>> In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
>> absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
>> (middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
>> set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
>> ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
>> appears to work well.
>>
>> The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it

>> enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
>> The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
>> _Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
>> beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that
>> will get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
>> Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1
>> (which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105
>> delivered from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31
>> antenna for about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (
>> more like $160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
>>
>> On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
>> (unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and
>> sync lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time
>> with this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---
>> $160 is a lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work
>> well enough for my purposes.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Gus
>>
>
>
>

4360. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:22:38 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea90rulne1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85496

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153949247.503714.159880@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> Second, the 1930's AMs that were not
>> clears were great in the 30's but as cities spread with urban sprawl,
>> many
>> stations no longer covered their market area.
>
> That's exactly why the band needs to be purged, and the high-power AM
> stations (WOR, WRKO, KDKA, KNX, etc.) and regionals (WLIB, WEZE, KQKE,
> WMCA, etc.) need their power doubled.

Doubling power would do little or nothing at all. To double the range you
would need 5x the power. Doubling the power would only bring the signal up
3dB, which, on the fringe, wouldn't even bring it out of the noise. Wouldn't
bring your local signal out of the noise, if it's there already.

>
> Cranking up the power would significantly overcome the night-time
> fading in significant areas though.

Nope. Wouldn't really change anything. The physics of the ionosphere don't
change with power.

4361. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:34:17 UTC
From: NomadW8 <cpa2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153960457.863164.114570@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85497

I am a long, long time SWL & Ham (since 1959). I have used literally
hundreds of shortwave receivers & hamband receivers over that time.Two
months ago I bought a Degen 1103 used for $35.

I say return the Sony & get the Degen (Kaito)1103.

It's a very good radiofor the $'s. Matches the Sony 7600 in
performance.
Receives SSB/CW (unlike the 1101) & is inexpensive.

I use mine daily to monitor the ham bands, listen to AM & FM broadcast
& shortwave broadcast.

You can have low price & good performance.

See reviews here: http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/4288

&

http://www.radiointel.com/phil/2005_phil_port_guide.pdf

Terry
W8EJO

4362. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:38:03 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <LVTxg.73249$fb2.51865@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85348

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea90rulne1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153949247.503714.159880@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>> Second, the 1930's AMs that were not
>>> clears were great in the 30's but as cities spread with urban sprawl,
>>> many
>>> stations no longer covered their market area.
>>
>> That's exactly why the band needs to be purged, and the high-power AM
>> stations (WOR, WRKO, KDKA, KNX, etc.) and regionals (WLIB, WEZE, KQKE,
>> WMCA, etc.) need their power doubled.
>
> Doubling power would do little or nothing at all. To double the range you
> would need 5x the power. Doubling the power would only bring the signal up
> 3dB, which, on the fringe, wouldn't even bring it out of the noise.
> Wouldn't bring your local signal out of the noise, if it's there already.

I have heard the station I reference (710 AM, 100 kw, intentionally
directional) on the AUX at 50 kw, and places where it was perfectly
listenable become unlistenable. 3 db can make a huge difference in
overcoming man made noise. In fact, the power was intentionally cut to make
empirical observations at a bunch of different high noise sites. This was
done before it went directional, also, to verify that the additional power
towards the city was helping.

In most cases, we are not talking about adding fringe listening, but making
the signal listenable in its market.

In noisy environments, any improvements in strength are helpful and result
in increased ratings.
>
>
>>
>> Cranking up the power would significantly overcome the night-time
>> fading in significant areas though.
>
>
> Nope. Wouldn't really change anything. The physics of the ionosphere don't
> change with power.
>

>

4363. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:43:21 UTC
From: norml <normlehf@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ck2gc29fgl88so8699gh9e85pumggjs5c7@4ax.com>
Source record: 85349

Good point.

I discovered recently that I have an unassembled Ramsey kit for a micro-power TV transmitter that can be tuned to channel 5 or 6. I vaguely remember buying it so that I can transmit anything I want to my vintage (and soon-to-be-vintage) TVs. I don't know if it is still in the Ramsey catalog.

I also have part 15 AM and FM transmitters so that I can hear what I want on any antique receiver I have. (Right now I am listening, as I do most afternoons, to All Things Considered--being transmitted by KQED-FM--on the same model 1937 Zenith my family owned when I was a toddler.

Norm

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrotf:

>Those set top boxes aren't going to do my portable and pocket TV's any good
>at all.
>

4364. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:47:31 UTC
From: norml <normlehf@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <633gc29fmlvbct1m0bvu8k4etp8611l4jp@4ax.com>
Source record: 85350

I have always heard that Muntz TVs were advertised (and sold in Muntz showrooms) only in major cities because one of their major economies was omitting I.F. stages. They were not fringe-area receivers!

Norm

mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier) wrotf:
>
>Muntz, in the '50s. Any Muntz set left is probably a collectors item
>because even in the mid 60s' when I was hacking old TV sets, there
>weren't many left still working.

4365. Re: a longwave thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 00:54:18 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1153961658.147400.11280@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85351

>
> Keep listening. In North America there isn't much on Long Wave except a
> few aviation navigation beacons. And every year, there are fewer and
> fewer of them. You may hear a few differential GPS broadcasts.
> However, the best time to listen is in the winter months early in the
> morning before sunrise. Then you stand a pretty good chance of hearing
> the LW broadcasts from Europe.

Which ones are most likely to be heard? What would be a reasonable
antenna for longwave? I've never heard anything but beacons on LW, but
I do like the hunt for them.

4366. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 01:04:43 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <q44gc212in0ojukc77iekug1r4j8vsgqn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 85352

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 21:37:23 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:d4lfc2ltcq1ure92dur2j0j7ose64qsk3s@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Jul 2006 13:13:22 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
>> <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
>>>or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
>>>even 50,000 watts!!
>>>
>> That's insane. Do you have any idea how much the electricity would
>> cost?
>>
>> 100 KW is only 3 dB stronger than 50.
>
>It increases the useful coverage area. If a station does not cover its
>market fully, the extra power can make it useful. As I mentioned, in one
>international market, we found only a directional 100 kw could fully cover
>the market... and the AM is #1 in that market because it has such good
>coverage. We also needed 200 kw for an FM to cover adequately, something not
>possible in the USA... so markets like San Francisco have no FM that covers
>the entire market.
>>
FM coverage is not a matter of power. It is a matter of antenna. The
antenna needs a good location and needs to be well engineered. Many
high-power FMs use wide aperture antennas to get high ERP and that
creates a lot of nulls.

4367. more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 01:11:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153962707.725909.48670@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Barry's Leftnut wrote:
> On 2006-07-26 14:49:52 -0400, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com said:
>
> > http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Why don't you faggots gets a room?
the problem that original poster (who is realy AB8MQ) desperately want
sex with Lloyd who wisely wether becuase he is traight or just has good
taste in men does not want to sex with AB8MQ

4368. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 01:14:01 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1153962841.500481.315910@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85354

Great info Terry! Keep it up and keep us informed.

Frank
K3YAZ

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> <http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
> Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure
> of/for
> the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".
> All of his PDFs are informative, but his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters" series
> are must reads. His conclussions in his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters (Amplified) -
> Simplified and improved", 29-MAY-2006, are downright fascinating. I
> have been
> playing with his design and a couple of steep cut off 3600Hz filters. I
> don't
> have the tools to duplicate his research. I knew from the "get go" that
> my
> remote/weak signal source with which I use to test detector, and to a
> lesser
> degree, antenna/feedline combination suffered from the major weakness
> that
> there was no multipath effects. All of my experiments were local ground
> wave
> and I couldn't, and still can't, "messure" the effects of such fading.
>
> I would love to have a Drake R8B, but I was forced to deal with the
> receivers I do
> have. I used R390, R392, R2000(modified),
> R2000(stock),(borrowed)AOR7030,
> and a DX398 for some simple tests. I am just out of the ground wave
> for several
> MW stations and around dawn and dusk I get serious and nasty fading. So
> for
> the last few weeks I have been comparing stock, ie non-synchronous,
> detectors
> with synchronous detectors, and the addition of a brick wall 3.5KHz low
> pass fitler.
>
> As Mr. Lankford concludes a synch detector is only (and that might
> ought to be
> "may") be slightly better then a AF LP good filter. It is rather
> frustrating to have

> spent the last 18 months building an outboard synchronous detector to
> find that
> a simple LP filter offered so much improvement. Don't get me wrong, a
> synch
> detector is a usefull addition, but not the end all I had hoped.
>
> I will disagree with his use of a simple bipolar 2W AF amp. The one
> thing I have
> descerned is that after AF detection, any additional distortion rapidly
> degrades
> intelligibility. I found MOSFETs, and vacuum tubes, amps allowed me to
> understand
> signals better then 6dB down from a "good" bipolar AF amp.
>
> "My" third R2000 was siezed by my wife. I had added a MOSFET amp,
> redesigned
> the treble cut to a tone-tilt control and just completed adding
> switchable 3KHz/4KHz
> Filters as designed by Mr. Lankford. My wife has been doing some casual
> SWL for the
> last few days and agrees the filters are very good for nasty band
> conditions.
>
> Email is abandoned and dead.
> Terry

4369. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 01:15:30 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7cOdnVswBcclJlXZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85355

How can any audio filter make up for severe distortion?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

4370. Re: RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 02:07:01 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TK6dnUn-C-RWglXZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85356

I think these are shifted John. For instance, 4268.7 is probably the well known Canadian Halifax station at 4271 KHz . I don't know the R71A well enough but maybe you have to shift it 2.3 KHz by the pick up the FAX? Different receivers do it differently. Thanks again for the list!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

"John" <johnpwr@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:1153843309.461043.265410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Denley wrote:
>> Are those carrier frequencies or shifted?
>> Thanks
>>
>> --
>> Brian Denley
>> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>> "John" <johnpwr@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:1153773510.569065.200640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Try these! Only a few days old:
>> >
>> > 4583.0 50/460 DDK2 WX
>> > 4268.7 120/576 CFH FAX-WX map

> Hi Brian,
>
> I'll guess and say carrier; the receiver is an ICOM R71A in the RTTY
> position, feeding the audio into a Universal M-7000 decoder, and then
> to a video monitor.
>
>
> //John
>

4371. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 02:26:30 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <aff3e$44c82005$422a9820$11223@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85357

```
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea9034$lb1$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Gnyxg.56424$VE1.29179@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > ::history lesson snipped::
> >
> >> >Now that it is
> >> > proposed to continue the local over distant usage AND going to
a
> > new
> >> > mode requires that everyone buy new receivers why not change
the
> > band to
> >> > a frequency range that does not favor distant propagation?
> >>
> >> And what frequencies would you use? And that would obsolete
existing
> > radios,
> >> which HD does not do.
> >
> > No it wouldn't; you've stated yourself that there's
> > no movement to replace the analog streams with
> > digital, but rather it's an addition to the current
> > service.
> >
> >> > What is the point of making a mess of the current AM band? The
> > status
> >> > quo could be maintained by giving the current AMBCB holders of
> > licenses
> >> > first dibs on the new band.
> >>
> >> But, in the way the AM band is used today, it does not make as
big a
> > mess as
> >> everyone complains. I have seen several recent RW articles in
which
> > skywave
> >> is still defended as the reason why HD is not a good idea. These
> > experts do
```

> >> not understand that, starting with the FCC in the 40's, skywave
is
> > no longer
> >> relevant.
> >> >
> >> > There are all kinds of solutions out there. Former TV analog
band
> > space
> >> > could be used for radio or as a sub channel on digital over the
> > air TV.
> >>
> >> The TV band is going to be auctioned off for new technologies and
> > the FCC
> >> plans to bring in billions. Giving licenses for new "AM swaps" in
> > Bemidji
> >> will not come anywhere close to that, so it will not happen.
> >
> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >
> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> > have died off to make it practical.
> >
> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> > purchase" list anytime soon.
> >
>
> Digital television (or radio) is not on my 'to buy' list. Nor will
it be.
> There's nothing on either worth spending money for a new, more
expensive
> receiver for.

The only reason to replace a receiver is if you are
upgrading to a home theater setup (or upgrading
that, really) or if you got bit by the audiophile bug
and want a better quality amp.

Of course, there are the early adopters, those that
have to have the highest end stuff, and the people
who really do go out and buy new stuff every
couple of years or so, but most of America doesn't
fall into that category.

> And how, I wonder, can they ever expect people to buy those
expensive DTV's
> when there are still $100 20" analog sets (and $150 27" sets) out
there?

Yep. If they were serious about making people
convert, they should have mandated all televisions
have digital built in, even if they can receive
analog now.

--Mike L.

4372. Re: IBOC Crap News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 02:27:47 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <de73$44c82052$422a9820$11389@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85358

```
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ea904u$lbt$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:b7511$44c7933f$422a9820$27707@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:M8Mxg.135655$dW3.42279@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> >> news:98c8$44c778aa$422a9820$15073@FUSE.NET...
> >> .
> >> >
> >> > I'm still waiting on that one happening. There are still
> >> > plenty of people out there who haven't bought their
> >> > new digital TV yet, technological improvement or not.
> >> >
> >> > No Congressman wants to be seen as blowing off a
> >> > big constituency like the elderly on a fixed income
> >> > who can't afford the new sets; Congress will keep
> >> > extending the deadline until enough old televisions
> >> > have died off to make it practical.
> >> >
> >> > Aside from that, the way other prices have been rising
> >> > lately I don't think that people will be putting a digital
> >> > converter or a digital television at the top of their "to
> >> > purchase" list anytime soon.
> >>
> >>
> >> They have developed a plan to provide digital cable converters to
> > anyone for
> >> free. Makes old sets totally usable via downconversion of digital
to
> > analog.
> >
> > That'll be interesting, but they have to fund it and
> > advertise it first. I can't see the big box stores being
> > too thrilled about it, either, since it means that the
> > people who might consider upgrading their television
> > now will wait for the freebie.
> >
> > --Mike L.
>
> Those set top boxes aren't going to do my portable and pocket TV's
```

any good
> at all.

You'd have to put the converter onto a Part 15 television
transmitter to get it to work, and something tells me that
those will be illegal when the band is reallocated for
whatever.

--Mike L.

4373. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 02:30:53 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <c887c$44c8210c$422a9820$11827@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85359

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:GxRxg.121$8W7.32@fe07.lga...
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153950452.356594.230120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Keep asking me!
>
> And further more, do you fill yourself with water and vinegar or a
more
> modern blend of high tech crotch cleaning solution?

Oh Lord, Brian. I'm so grateful I read this after
dinner; the mental image that inspired was
unsettling, to say the least.

--Mike L.

4374. Re: Lardass Lloyd the striped whale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 02:34:20 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153967659.873858.87370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

billy_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> http://www.irrelevantlink.com >
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

Now don't be making fun of Mr. Davies.

His condition could be the result of working for a pizza company.

If you don't back off, profit Stair might give you a false prediction.

4375. Re: Ping: wavetrapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 03:01:24 UTC
From: wavetrapper <k3pi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1153969284.007871.280120@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85361

Hi there,

We haven't heard anything new about the 1104 in ages. The same goes
for the 1108, which is the one I am really interested in.

By the way, Ulis should have radiointel updated and back in action
within a week or so. He has had an unusually busy and difficult
summer.

I'm sure he will post any new info on these models as he learns of new
info.

Sanjaya wrote:
> Whatever happened to the Degen DE1104.
>
> Your 2005 news at radiointel.com says it was ready
> to go last summer.

4376. Reverse Station Lookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 03:20:46 UTC
From: asdf <asdf@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <oiWxg.72$Q31.10@fe08.lga>
Source record: 85362

let say im listening to station at freq 12345 khz
is there a website out there which will give me the
name of the station?

thanx

4377. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 04:24:11 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <44C83FC8.3040608@telus.net>
Source record: 85363

RHF wrote:
> Once again we are treated to
> More Anti-Jewish Hatred from
> M II the Can-A-Duha-ian ~ RHF

It's time for you to do some research. I didn't invent the idea of Jews being the only ones given souls by G_d. It predates the Torah.

http://www.askmoses.com/article.html?h=192&o=220

==========
An orthodox Jew is thus being totally honest when he tells a Gentile that Jews and Gentiles have the same soul. It's the soul of the dog or the cat they share in common. But the Jew has the extra soul which distinguishes him from the dog, the cat or the Gentile.

http://www.geocities.com/mewatch99/soul.html
==========

The idea that all souls are the same is one of the biggest mistakes of modern spirituality. We are so used to thinking that definitions create barriers and barriers cause hatred that we are convinced that to be spiritual means to have no borders. From a Kabbalistic perspective, this totally misses the point of existence.

http://www.chabad.org/library/article.asp?AID=166900
==========

So we see that the Jews, because of their special spiritual level, are considered to be a genus different from all the other people.

http://www.daatemet.org.il/daathalacha/en_gentiles5.html

==========

4378. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 04:39:22 UTC
From: Scpmiller@aol.com
Message-ID: <1153975162.207064.132040@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85364

The Degen 1103 is a great cheap radio. I have also had the Sony 7600
(sold it when I bought the G), 7600G and now the 7600GR.
The Sony's are my goto radios for travel. They are rugged, lots of
features and sound great with good headphones. I will get the antenna
one of these days too. Also, I could get my money out of them anytime
I wanted.
The degen is a wonderful set for the money if you get a good one and I
have had lots of fun with it. But I don't take it with me. That jog
wheel tuning looks too delicate for me and the way I travel. I use the
radio around the house to check the ham bands and general conditions.
I switch to the Sony if I want to get serious. If you want to have fun
with this hobby go with the Sony.

NomadW8 wrote:
> I am a long, long time SWL & Ham (since 1959). I have used literally
> hundreds of shortwave receivers & hamband receivers over that time.Two
> months ago I bought a Degen 1103 used for $35.
>
> I say return the Sony & get the Degen (Kaito)1103.
>
> It's a very good radiofor the $'s. Matches the Sony 7600 in
> performance.
> Receives SSB/CW (unlike the 1101) & is inexpensive.
>
> I use mine daily to monitor the ham bands, listen to AM & FM broadcast
> & shortwave broadcast.
>
> You can have low price & good performance.
>
>
> See reviews here: http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/4288
>
> &
>
> http://www.radiointel.com/phil/2005_phil_port_guide.pdf
>
>
>
> Terry
> W8EJO

4379. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 04:45:39 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <TxXxg.141884$I61.14028@clgrps13>
Source record: 85365

Brian Hill wrote:

> I'm all for what we and the Israelis are doing and it's about time.

I am truly sorry you are backing murderers.

==========
A detailed timeline of the bombing has been provided by UN sources and reported by CNN. According to the timeline, the first bomb exploded around 200 yards from the post at 1.20pm yesterday.Unifil observers then telephoned their designated contact with the Israeli military, who assured them the attacks would stop.

Over the next several hours, nine more bombs fell close to the post, each one followed by a call to the Israeli military, the UN officer said.The main Unifil base in the town of Naqoura lost contact with the post at 7.40pm, seemingly the time when the post received a direct hit.

A report was made by Milos Strugar, a United Nations Interim Force spokesman, shortly after the bomb hit: "One aerial bomb directly impacted the building and shelter in the base of the United Nations Observer Group in in the area of Khiam," he said. "There are casualties among the observers. A UNIFIL dispatched rescue team which is on the spot is still unable to clear the rubble," he said. He also reported that Israeli firing continued during the rescue operation

http://snipurl.com/txun

==========

==========
UN observers in Lebanon telephoned the IDF 10 times in six hours to ask it to stop shelling near their position before an attack killed four observers and sparked international anger against Israel, UN officials said Wednesday.

http://news.google.ca/nwshp?hl=en&tab=wn&q=
==========

==========
"The co-ordinated artillery and aerial attack on a long established and clearly marked UN post ... occurred despite personal assurances given to me by (Israeli) Prime Minister Ehud Olmert that UN positions would be spared Israeli fire."

http://snipurl.com/txue
==========

mike

4380. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 04:59:26 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <OKXxg.141886$I61.90218@clgrps13>
Source record: 85366

Brian Hill wrote:

> And further more, do you fill yourself with water and vinegar or a more
> modern blend of high tech crotch cleaning solution?

If it's good enough for cuhulin to bath in and gargle with....

4381. VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:04:48 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <QPXxg.141889$I61.17781@clgrps13>
Source record: 85367

I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.

How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?

mike

4382. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:29:26 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WaYxg.3580$gF6.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85368

I'm trying to make the connection between the comment he made and the so
called Jewish hatred you are saying he is involved in. If you would
bother to read again, you might get the real point of the comment

RHF wrote:
> Once again we are treated to
> More Anti-Jewish Hatred from
> M II the Can-A-Duha-ian ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> m II wrote:
>
>>Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>>
>>That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
>>have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
>>situation warrants it.
>>
>>It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>mike
>
>

4383. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:31:54 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <edYxg.3581$gF6.1578@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85369

Brian Hill wrote:

> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>
>>Brian Hill wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>>news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>>
>>>>it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>>
>>>
>>>Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>>
>>>BH
>>
>>
>>So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>>abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to help
>>stop it?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>mike
>
>
> I'm all for what we and the Israelis are doing and it's about time. So go
> ahead and squirm.
>
> BH
>
>

Watch everyone squirm if this war spreads because it won't just be
Israel and Hizbollah. Now the Iranian terrorist volumteers are showing
up on the scene. Iran isn't sending them either as an official policy
even though you know that very well might be bunk. They are trying to go
through Turkey at this point from I have been reading.

4384. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:33:07 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <neYxg.3582$gF6.1999@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85370

Brian Hill wrote:

> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:4ipogpF4v3vrU1@individual.net...
>
>>"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>>
>>>Brian Hill wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>>>
>>>>>it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>>>
>>>>BH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>>>abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
>>>help stop it?
>>>
>>
>>So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it. What does the
>>worthless
>>UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.
>>
>>
>
>
> The UN sat on it's ass since the day it began. All they do is watch and
> waste time and $s
>
> BH
>

Do we really need the UN anyway? After all most of their so called
military people are pansy asses and aren't worth the time. If it was up
to me, they would take their headquarters over to France or somewhere.

4385. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:37:21 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <liYxg.3583$gF6.3270@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85371

John Barnard wrote:

> dxAce wrote:
>
>>
>> John Barnard wrote:
>>
>>> Douche Bag wrote:
>>>
>>>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
>>>
>>> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
>>> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
>>> too good for Tracy.
>>
>>
>> All of CanaDuh is a discharge, and it ain't #1, it's good ol' #2.
>
>
> In other words, you are used to being dumped on?
>
> JB
>
>>
>> Johnny, you need to take your show on the road, I'm certain it might
>> play well in venues
>> outside of Edmontoon.
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA

In Michshitgan, he's used to Golden showers and being dumped on. After
all, what do you expect of Holland where they tiptoe in the tulips.

4386. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:38:09 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5jYxg.135857$dW3.2225@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85372

```
"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:q44gc212in0ojukc77iekug1r4j8vsgqn6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 21:37:23 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:d4lfc2ltcq1ure92dur2j0j7ose64qsk3s@4ax.com...
>>> On 26 Jul 2006 13:13:22 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
>>> <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
>>>>or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
>>>>even 50,000 watts!!
>>>>
>>> That's insane. Do you have any idea how much the electricity would
>>> cost?
>>>
>>> 100 KW is only 3 dB stronger than 50.
>>
>>It increases the useful coverage area. If a station does not cover its
>>market fully, the extra power can make it useful. As I mentioned, in one
>>international market, we found only a directional 100 kw could fully cover
>>the market... and the AM is #1 in that market because it has such good
>>coverage. We also needed 200 kw for an FM to cover adequately, something
>>not
>>possible in the USA... so markets like San Francisco have no FM that
>>covers
>>the entire market.
>>>
> FM coverage is not a matter of power. It is a matter of antenna. The
> antenna needs a good location and needs to be well engineered. Many
> high-power FMs use wide aperture antennas to get high ERP and that
> creates a lot of nulls.

FM is a matter of power and height. All major antenna manufacturers, like
ERI, build good antennas.

For example, 50 kw ERP at 1000 feet is the same theoretical coverage as
about 25 kw ERP at 2000 feet.

What is a wide aperture FM antenna? I have BUILT FM antennas and have never
heard this.
```

Antennas for FM consist of one or more bays. Circularly polarized, 2 bays is about unity gain. Spacing between elements affects gain, and also the vertical radiation, and mechanical beam tilt and null fill may be employed to compensate for overshoot or an irregular mounting structure.

Given this, the number of bays creates gain. Stations, if they can, would use one or two bays as multiple bays create a narrower beam and potential for overshoot. Large market stations will nearly always have two to four bay antennas.

4387. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 05:50:41 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153979441.153102.136160@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85373

m II wrote:
> I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
> He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
> the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.
>
> How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
> acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

The sound quality is more than adequate for shortwave. Many VCRs act funny if you try to record without video present, so you need to use the VCR in simulcast mode. It doesn't matter what video you use. THe VCR needs the video for timing.

I think recording on the PC is less work, plus you can use sound editors such as Audacity, SoundForge, etc.

4388. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 06:00:58 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1153980058.653870.267190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85374

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> <http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
> Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure
> of/for
> the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".
> All of his PDFs are informative, but his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters" series
> are must reads. His conclussions in his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters (Amplified) -
> Simplified and improved", 29-MAY-2006, are downright fascinating. I
> have been
> playing with his design and a couple of steep cut off 3600Hz filters. I
> don't
> have the tools to duplicate his research. I knew from the "get go" that
> my
> remote/weak signal source with which I use to test detector, and to a
> lesser
> degree, antenna/feedline combination suffered from the major weakness
> that
> there was no multipath effects. All of my experiments were local ground
> wave
> and I couldn't, and still can't, "messure" the effects of such fading.
>
> I would love to have a Drake R8B, but I was forced to deal with the
> receivers I do
> have. I used R390, R392, R2000(modified),
> R2000(stock),(borrowed)AOR7030,
> and a DX398 for some simple tests. I am just out of the ground wave
> for several
> MW stations and around dawn and dusk I get serious and nasty fading. So
> for
> the last few weeks I have been comparing stock, ie non-synchronous,
> detectors
> with synchronous detectors, and the addition of a brick wall 3.5KHz low
> pass fitler.
>
> As Mr. Lankford concludes a synch detector is only (and that might
> ought to be
> "may") be slightly better then a AF LP good filter. It is rather
> frustrating to have
> spent the last 18 months building an outboard synchronous detector to
> find that
> a simple LP filter offered so much improvement. Don't get me wrong, a
> synch

> detector is a usefull addition, but not the end all I had hoped.
>
> I will disagree with his use of a simple bipolar 2W AF amp. The one
> thing I have
> descerned is that after AF detection, any additional distortion rapidly
> degrades
> intelligibility. I found MOSFETs, and vacuum tubes, amps allowed me to
> understand
> signals better then 6dB down from a "good" bipolar AF amp.
>
> "My" third R2000 was siezed by my wife. I had added a MOSFET amp,
> redesigned
> the treble cut to a tone-tilt control and just completed adding
> switchable 3KHz/4KHz
> Filters as designed by Mr. Lankford. My wife has been doing some casual
> SWL for the
> last few days and agrees the filters are very good for nasty band
> conditions.
>
> Email is abandoned and dead.
> Terry

It is an interesting idea, but nobody builds LCR filters. Rather, you use the LCR filter as a prototype, then build a leapfrog active filter from signal flow graphs based on the physical LCR filter.

The problem with elliptic filters with sharp cutoffs is they ring. I think that would be more annoying than the flutter it is trying to remove.

4389. Re: Ping: wavetrapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 07:02:31 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <byZxg.651$0e5.279@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 85375

Thanks.

All my best to Ulis.

"wavetrapper" <k3pi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:1153969284.007871.280120@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi there,
>
> We haven't heard anything new about the 1104 in ages. The same goes
> for the 1108, which is the one I am really interested in.
>
> By the way, Ulis should have radiointel updated and back in action
> within a week or so. He has had an unusually busy and difficult
> summer.
>
> I'm sure he will post any new info on these models as he learns of new
> info.
>

4390. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 07:31:23 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fZZxg.179591$mF2.51147@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85376

m II wrote:
> I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
> He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
> the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.
>
> How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
> acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?
>
>

If you're using an earlier, linear track only machine, slow speed
audio can be pretty poor.

VHS Hi-Fi, though, can be surprisingly good even at the slowest speed.

I frequently used a VHS Hi-Fi machine for airchecks when producing
large scale field events that would sometimes run two or three days.

The audio was always so good I never had to be embarrassed about the
quality when I presented a recording to a client, or host sponsor.

>
>
>
>
> mike

4391. (Rev. 2.0) Tell All Venezuelans, To Remove Chavez INSTANTLY, And Explain Why {HRI 20060729-V2.0} - includes 'The First International Law' {HRI 20021124-V2.0}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 08:19:07 UTC
From: Koos Nolst Trenite <AmbassadorForMankind@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153988347.736307.218060@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85377
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.usa.congress,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.democrats.d,soc.culture.mexican,rec.radio.shortwave

Tell All Venezuelans, To Remove Chavez INSTANTLY, And Explain Why

26 July 2006
{HRI 20060729-V2.0}

(Version 2.0)

'

Hugo Chavez is a well-known sociopath, and you don't want him in charge
of ANY people, of course,

and also not in charge of any people's possessions, of
course.

'

You remove him BY FORCEFULLY POINTING OUT ENOUGH TRUTH ABOUT HIM.

Remember? You are (then) doing that, what any Diplomats
will hate, and will try to kill or remove you for, for your
naming, exposing and removing evil.

Nevertheless:

You remove him BY FORCEFULLY POINTING OUT and
holding there, ENOUGH TRUTH ABOUT HIM.

And that means not just privately, to your
spouse or so, of course, but PUBLICLY.

Chavez HATES truth, that is why he does nothing but
forcefully lying.

To break that force of lies, which weak people
do absorb, you will also have to point out the
lies of Chavez.

Nobody does that, so you will have to
do that.

'

The fact that Chavez completely hates and gets others to hate
George W. Bush, is ALREADY complete proof of

Hugo Chavez being a severely Criminal Mind.

Hugo Chavez HATES PEOPLE - all people, including the people of Venezuela, of course.

Castro HATES PEOPLE. Castro and Chavez HATE each other, and they HATE everyone, including themselves.

Chavez HATES Morales (Bolivia); Chavez HATES Lulu Da Silva (Brazil), Chavez HATES Kirchner (Argentina); Chavez HATES Belarus and its dictator; Chavez HATES the Russian people and its traitor, Putin. Chavez HATES Russian rifle-maker Mr. Kalaznikov, while "embracing him".

Chavez HATES people while embracing them.

Chavez HATES 'Che' Guevara, and 'Che' Guevara HATES all people too, including himself.

Che Guevara is a purely evil individual, I mean: evil ONLY.

'

'

Hugo Chavez - like earlier Criminal Minds, adequately described in your history books -

and remember, that Adolf Hitler, a person VERY SIMILAR TO HUGO CHAVEZ (equal certainly in Hate and in creating Hate and lies only) was also "loved" by Criminals and by those he hypnotized,

also Hugo Chavez uses his speeches and his television shows, solely with the purpose to hypnotize

(I mean that very literally - he uses that time to hypnotize)

all who are attending or viewing, ALSO hypnotizing his opponents and generally decent people,

he hypnotizes ALSO decent people into not seeing his extreme Hate for all people.

'

Hugo Chavez emanates - radiates - Evil and lies and Hatred ONLY, constantly and forcefully, as you know very well.

'

Therefore:

Tell all Venezuelans to remove Chavez INSTANTLY, to end the evil called Hugo Chavez NOW - if not stopped, he will go on and on and on and on, more and more and more and more evil.

You are to (you MUST) use any and all means of communication and of authority available to you, and demand of others to do so too,

as any physical communication is merely a token, a symbol that DOES represent your actual thought, your viewpoint and the force and care in your viewpoint, any communication basically is telepathic in nature.

You of course have to create and then hold the Energy and force of your thought -

something the Criminals are doing all the time, but THEY do it - Chavez does it - to destroy life and to drive people very crazy.

I already explained to you elsewhere, but I will do it again below, that Chavez and Castro are LYING ONLY:

THEY HATE PEOPLE, but they can not say that, of course, so they say "They Hate Americans."

THEY DO HATE THEIR OWN PEOPLE, and they HATE you too.

Exactly the same applies to the other dictators - most of whom are "friends" with each other, in order to further their evil.

You know all those heads of state by name, and

while Reuters starts to show some signs of sanity in reporting with some truth about such most vital matters,

the AMERICAN (!) Associated Press tells you still nothing but lies, from and about those 'heads of state.'

Which is why I have started to call these the Associated Parrots.

I still have to make something up for Amnesty International, which PRETENDS to "face and expose evil" - if it suits them, that is.

THEY DO HATE THEIR OWN PEOPLE, and they HATE you too.

If you do not create and hold the force of your own thoughts and viewpoint, then you have already lost to them, then they can do whatever they want, and have you look at it 'speechless,' and 'powerless,' unable to act BECAUSE YOU DID NOT HOLD THE FORCE AND ENERGY OF YOUR

OWN VIEWPOINT and look through Criminal Minds like Chavez
is one - a very active one, and an extremely dangerous
one if not removed.

'

'

Hugo Chavez emanates - radiates - Evil and lies and Hatred ONLY,
constantly and forcefully, as you know very well.

'

I will say this again for you - maybe I have to break though the mental
force of his hypnotizing Energies:

Hugo Chavez HATES PEOPLE, all people, including the people of
Venezuela, of course.

Castro HATES PEOPLE. Castro and Chavez HATE each other, and they
HATE everyone, including themselves.

Chavez HATES Morales (Bolivia), Chavez HATES Lulu Da Silva
(Brazil), Chavez HATES Kirchner (Argentina), Chavez HATES Belarus
and its dictator, Chavez HATES the Russian people and its
traitor, Putin. Chavez HATES Russian rifle-maker Mr. Kalaznikov,
while "embracing him".

Chavez HATES Che Guevara, and Che Guevara HATES all people too,
including himself. Che Guevara is a purely evil individual,
I mean: evil ONLY.

'

'

You, whatever your position is, are to DEMAND of EVERY Venezuelan, to
remove Chavez INSTANTLY,

and you are to presume very correctly, that not all Venezuelans
are cowards, nor locked up ...YET.

'

'

I give you a bit of another perspective on it, you having a
conscience:

Anyone who leaves Hugo Chavez in office, and does not ACTIVELY
remove him, can certainly not be called a Christian, not from any
viewpoint at all.

Nor a Mohammedan, for that matter, supposing Islam became
disinfected from the 'Arabian Virus' (from Criminal Minds
still un-named or even worshiped or supported by Arabians).

'

A Criminal Mind is not allowed even to run for office, in a Democracy,
let alone to be allowed to hypnotize,

to mentally make unaware so that he then can mentally bully them,

enough people, let alone when very few people actually turned up to
vote, to "elect" him, a Criminal Mind - Hugo Chavez - a sociopath,
hating of all of Mankind forever.

'

'

The First International Law

24 November 2002
{HRI 20021124-V2.0}
(issued 10 December 2002)

(Version 2.0
on 23 Sept 2003)

Criminal Minds are NOT allowed to govern a people,
a country or state, or federation of states;
that IS the First International Law. {I-01}

It is an INTERNATIONAL Law, because, quite
obviously, the population of the region,
country, state, or federation, failed to
prevent a Criminal Mind from acquiring
such a position of leadership. {I-01-A}

Individuals or groups that can not or do not
want to understand this Law, or who find this
Law unnecessary, are either themselves
Criminal Minds, or they are Destructive Cowards
who protect and hide Criminal Minds. {I-01-B}

Examining and determining whether a head of state,
- regardless of how he came to power -
IS a Criminal Mind, or,
- which amounts to the same condition -
whether he is a puppet to a Criminal Mind,
(that examination and determination) is done
by the World Council, or
- lacking such -
by the United Nations Assembly members. {I-02}

The Councillors
- or lacking these, the members of
the United Nations Assembly -
will act and must show that they act according
to a proper understanding of the matter, as

put forth in:

1. Definition Of Intelligence
2. Definition Of Insane
3. Defining Love And Hate
4. Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds
5. Intentional Omission Of Truth
6. The Bush Effect
7. Fine Particle Physics
8. The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love And Beauty
9. Definition Of Schizophrenic
10. Religious Freedom
11. Course In Human Rights Philosophy
12. Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds
{I-02-A} (see Comment #3 for full titles)

In other words, they do not have the right
to be uneducated, or to make irrational
decisions, or to have unspoken motives; and
they must accordingly motivate their decision,
and they must do so publicly. {I-02-B}

They must not use religious or political
arguments or agendas, nor must they falsely
accuse others of that, to hide their intention
to undermine
- with an intention falsely to invoke, or
on the other hand, with an intention
falsely to prevent -
the natural use of the First International Law.
{I-02-C}

International peace-keeping forces can and must
be mandated and ordered by the World Councillors

- or lacking these, by the United Nations
Assembly members acting rationally and in
the interest of all people and not for the
economic or political or religious interest
of a group at the expense of others -

to carry out the decision, if lesser methods of
enforcement fail to bring about an immediate result.
{I-03}

Due to the nature of the Criminal Mind, there
is to be applied 'no tolerance,' and there are
no deadlines to be set nor observed, once a
decision has been made public; the decision is
valid and enforceable immediately, and by everyone.
{I-03-A}

It is further self-understood and natural to
decent and caring people, that the well-being of
people, the halting of harm inflicted upon them or
about to be inflicted upon the population concerned,
overrides any economic considerations, overrides
any political structures or group interests, or
their laws, protocols and rules, and overrides any
religious or historic customs. {I-03-B}

Koos Nolst Trenite "Cause Trinity"
human rights philosopher and poet

'Men of all nations came
to listen to Solomon's wisdom,
sent by all the kings of the world,
who had heard of his wisdom.'

1 Kings 4:34

Comment #1:
The understanding and detection of Criminal Minds now has been
adequately discovered and well described, and so this natural
law can be implemented, following a sufficient amount of people
to have been informed of its existence, as well as people
having been enabled to understand and to identify those who
want to prevent the knowledge, the understanding or the
carrying out of the First International Law.

Comment #2:
To have this knowledge, and thus naturally following it, will
save thousands of trillions of dollars, and will, for the whole
population of Earth, avoid endless and severe suffering, and
herald a new era of prosperity and freedom and health.

This is knowledge of such utmost importance, that no military
commander, no diplomat, and no politician could do without:
To identify actual enemies and actual friends correctly, and
to have an understanding of what they are doing and to predict
what they will do.

Comment #3:
The following list will bring about sufficient understanding of
the Criminal Mind.

The articles are placed in the order from easy to more difficult
understanding. They are written with non-English readers in mind.

For the rest, your curiosity and your desire to care for others
- as you yourself always wanted to, that is, without being
deceived or blinded, without being misguided or diverted or
stopped - your now undiverted and unstopped care for others may
and will guide you.

1. Definition Of Intelligence
2. Definition Of Insane
3. Defining Love And Hate
4. Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds
5. Intentional Omission Of Truth
6. The Bush Effect
7. Fine Particle Physics
8. The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love And Beauty
9. Definition Of Schizophrenic
10. Religious Freedom
11. Course In Human Rights Philosophy
12. Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds

_____
Full title and location of knowledge:

[most of these issues have been updated considerably
- see elsewhere for newer versions]

1. 'Definition Of Intelligence - From Plato' (7 September 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0309062315.30f40b0b%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

2. 'Definition Of Insane - Relation To Humor' (5 Feb 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302160841.7730fa14%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

3. 'Defining Love And Hate - A Law Of Life' V2.0i
(29 July 2002 - Version 2.0i on 7 January 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301071559.6ebc81be%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

4. 'Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds' (9 May 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0305090914.75e26917%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

5. 'Detecting Criminal Minds By Their Intentional Omission Of Truth'
(19 August 2002 - Version 1.2)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0209090620.508a3d65%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

6. 'The Bush Effect' (5 Mar 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303050537.72deb2ca%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

7. 'Fine Particle Physics To Understand Persistent, Violent
Psychotics' (27 Feb 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302271444.1b9b5a4f%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

8. 'The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love and Beauty'
(7 Mar 2003 - issued 19 April 2003) {HRI 20030307-pi-1}

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304190111.56242138%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

9. 'Definition Of Schizophrenic' (25 Nov 2002)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0211251347.2df7db22%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

10. 'Religious Freedom - (Definition for Human Rights enforcement)'
(7 Apr 2003 - Issue 3) {HRI 20030407-3-V1.0}

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304071226.644addd5%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

11. 'A Course In Human Rights Philosophy' (19 Jan 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301271217.6231715%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

12. 'Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds' (16 July 2003)

------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0307180725.8408834%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

_____
Further References:

[most of these issues have been updated considerably
- see elsewhere for newer versions]

- 'Understanding Of Those Who Harm Life
- Criminal Minds Radiate Lies' (11 June 2003) (second part)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306150210.2571749f%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

- 'Defining The Major Harmful Or Bad Energies - As They Are Created
And Projected By Criminal Minds' (11 June 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306120437.64f020a0%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

- 'Not WHY Is There Suffering, But WHO Causes It - Is The Question'
(10 June 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306150335.6c685390%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

- 'On Those Who Want Awareness Not Understood'
(16 April 2003 - Version 1.0) {HRI 20030416-V1.0}
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304190749.57941660%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

- 'Definition Of Religion - (for Human Rights enforcement)'
(7 Apr 2003 - Issue 2) {HRI 20030407-2-V1.0}
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304070916.57d8346%40posting.google.com&outp

ut=gplain

- 'Definitions Of 'Political' And 'Religious' Agenda' (7 Apr 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304070143.78625ab9%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'The First International Law' (Version 1.1) (24 Nov 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303200104.19a06e60%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Writing Technique - Non-fiction - Facing Life' (17 Mar 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303170354.5106521%40posting.google.com&outp
ut=gplain

- 'How Do You Face Evil? - A Questionnaire'
(1 February 2002 - issued 20 Feb 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302201625.6fc0448f%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Living In The Present - Definition' (2 Jan 2003)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301041426.6b552e59%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Tolerance Defined In Holland (The Netherlands)' (25 Dec 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301011116.402c5aaa%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Your Spark Of Life Into The Darkness' (on Christmas Day 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212260059.50f5e60c%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Genuine Art - Producing It, Recognizing it' (20 Dec 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212221504.60a0655c%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Theory Of Repairing Criminal Minds' (13 Dec 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212161432.5de2745d%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'Current Understanding of Mental Illness - Consequences'
(9 Dec 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212160600.461c9b3b%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'De-cloaking Criminal Minds - Their Use Of The Double-Lie'
(14 Oct 2002, posted 25 Oct 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0210251305.85850d1%40posting.google.com&outp
ut=gplain

- 'The Nature Of The Cosmos As Seen From Earth (Version 1.0)
(29 August 2001)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0211060029.323b80d9%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

- 'The Mafia Code Against Mankind' (18 Oct 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0210180308.42416a71%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

- 'A Poem of Love and Adventure' (17 July 2002)
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0207171309.1696976a%40posting.google.com&output=gplain

Copyright 2002-2006 by Koos Nolst Trenite - human rights philosopher
and poet
This is 'learnware' - it may not be altered, and it is free for
anyone who learns from it, and (even if he can not learn from it)
who passes it on unaltered, and with this message included,
to others who might be able to learn from it.
None of my writings may be used, ever, to support any political
or religious or scientific agenda, but only to educate, and to
encourage people to judge un-dominated and for themselves,
about any organizations or individuals.
Send free-of-Envy and free-of-Hate, Beautiful e-mails to:
PlatoWorld at Lycos.com
(address unreadable for Internet robots
- replace ' at ' with the '@' symbol)

4392. Universal radio shipping rates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 09:36:56 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c88932$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85378

Anyone know about universal radio shipping rates especially in regards
to overseas?.

any help appreciated

Yours truly
Adam

4393. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 10:25:03 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c89479$1@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85379

I hate to sound smart but you can easily buy a voice recorder for cheap or an mp3 recorder (hell if you have one they might have one there i know mine does) or a mini disc recorder if your so incline.VHS sound quality deteriorates quickly,after a few plays it would be starting to go funny.Also with the first two you dont need to buy any medium maybe a USB drive if you want it to be completely portable.

Yours truly
Adam

4394. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 10:36:56 UTC
From: wavetrapper <k3pi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1153996616.686844.18330@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85380

I agree with adam here.

I use a mini-disc recorder for this. Great application.

adam wrote:
> I hate to sound smart but you can easily buy a voice recorder for cheap
> or an mp3 recorder (hell if you have one they might have one there i
> know mine does) or a mini disc recorder if your so incline.VHS sound
> quality deteriorates quickly,after a few plays it would be starting to
> go funny.Also with the first two you dont need to buy any medium maybe a
> USB drive if you want it to be completely portable.
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

4395. Re: Reverse Station Lookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 11:06:59 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44C89E51.58C1E7A1@theseminary.net>
Source record: 85381

let say im listening to station at freq 12345 khz
is there a website out there which will give me the
name of the station?

.....

try this-

http://hfradio.org/swbc/

kind of up to date.

Father Michael.

4396. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 11:09:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C89EFD.27295597@milestones.com>
Source record: 85382

```
Billy Smith wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:4ipogpF4v3vrU1@individual.net...
> >
> >>"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> >>news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
> >>
> >>>Brian Hill wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
> >>>>
> >>>>>it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
> >>>>
> >>>>BH
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
> >>>abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
> >>>help stop it?
> >>>
> >>
> >>So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it. What does the
> >>worthless
> >>UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > The UN sat on it's ass since the day it began. All they do is watch and
> > waste time and $s
> >
> > BH
> >
>
```

> Do we really need the UN anyway? After all most of their so called
> military people are pansy asses and aren't worth the time. If it was up
> to me, they would take their headquarters over to France or somewhere.

Move 'em to CanaDuh. They're the 'new' France.

Even Edweenie wishes he were born there.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4397. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 11:15:49 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153998948.946261.156620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85383

Brian Denley wrote:
> How can any audio filter make up for severe distortion?
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

Please read the pdf at:
<http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/Audio/On%20The%20Causes%20And%20Cures%20Of%20Audio%20Distortion%20Of%20Received%20AM%20Signals%20Due%20To%20Fading%20II.pdf>
Lots of nifty formulae and even has FFT trasform screen captures to
show his reasoing.

Terry

4398. Re: (Rev. 2.0) Tell All Venezuelans, To Remove Chavez INSTANTLY, And Explain Why {HRI 20060729-V2.0} - includes 'The First International Law' {HRI 20021124-V2.0}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 11:19:56 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c8a15e@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85384
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.usa.congress,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.democrats.d,soc.culture.mexican,rec.radio.shortwave

Koos Nolst Trenite wrote:
> Tell All Venezuelans, To Remove Chavez INSTANTLY, And Explain Why
>
> 26 July 2006
> {HRI 20060729-V2.0}
>
> (Version 2.0)
>
> '
>
> Hugo Chavez is a well-known sociopath, and you don't want him in charge
> of ANY people, of course,
>
> and also not in charge of any people's possessions, of
> course.
>
> '
>
> You remove him BY FORCEFULLY POINTING OUT ENOUGH TRUTH ABOUT HIM.
>
> Remember? You are (then) doing that, what any Diplomats
> will hate, and will try to kill or remove you for, for your
> naming, exposing and removing evil.
>
> Nevertheless:
>
> You remove him BY FORCEFULLY POINTING OUT and
> holding there, ENOUGH TRUTH ABOUT HIM.
>
> And that means not just privately, to your
> spouse or so, of course, but PUBLICLY.
>
> Chavez HATES truth, that is why he does nothing but
> forcefully lying.
>
> To break that force of lies, which weak people
> do absorb, you will also have to point out the
> lies of Chavez.
>
> Nobody does that, so you will have to
> do that.

>
> '
>
> The fact that Chavez completely hates and gets others to hate
> George W. Bush, is ALREADY complete proof of
>
> Hugo Chavez being a severely Criminal Mind.
>
> Hugo Chavez HATES PEOPLE - all people, including the people of
> Venezuela, of course.
>
> Castro HATES PEOPLE. Castro and Chavez HATE each other, and they
> HATE everyone, including themselves.
>
> Chavez HATES Morales (Bolivia); Chavez HATES Lulu Da Silva
> (Brazil), Chavez HATES Kirchner (Argentina); Chavez HATES Belarus
> and its dictator; Chavez HATES the Russian people and its
> traitor, Putin. Chavez HATES Russian rifle-maker Mr. Kalaznikov,
> while "embracing him".
>
> Chavez HATES people while embracing them.
>
> Chavez HATES 'Che' Guevara, and 'Che' Guevara HATES all people
> too, including himself.
>
> Che Guevara is a purely evil individual, I mean: evil ONLY.
>
> '
>
> '
>
> Hugo Chavez - like earlier Criminal Minds, adequately described in your
> history books -
>
> and remember, that Adolf Hitler, a person VERY SIMILAR TO HUGO
> CHAVEZ (equal certainly in Hate and in creating Hate and lies
> only) was also "loved" by Criminals and by those he hypnotized,
>
> also Hugo Chavez uses his speeches and his television shows, solely
> with the purpose to hypnotize
>
> (I mean that very literally - he uses that time to hypnotize)
>
> all who are attending or viewing, ALSO hypnotizing his opponents and
> generally decent people,
>
> he hypnotizes ALSO decent people into not seeing his extreme Hate for
> all people.
>
> '

>
> Hugo Chavez emanates - radiates - Evil and lies and Hatred ONLY,
> constantly and forcefully, as you know very well.
>
> '
>
> Therefore:
>
> Tell all Venezuelans to remove Chavez INSTANTLY, to end the evil called
> Hugo Chavez NOW - if not stopped, he will go on and on and on and on,
> more and more and more and more evil.
>
> You are to (you MUST) use any and all means of communication and of
> authority available to you, and demand of others to do so too,
>
> as any physical communication is merely a token, a symbol that
> DOES represent your actual thought, your viewpoint and the force
> and care in your viewpoint, any communication basically is
> telepathic in nature.
>
> You of course have to create and then hold the Energy and force
> of your thought -
>
> something the Criminals are doing all the time, but THEY
> do it - Chavez does it - to destroy life and to drive
> people very crazy.
>
> I already explained to you elsewhere, but I will do
> it again below, that Chavez and Castro are LYING
> ONLY:
>
> THEY HATE PEOPLE, but they can not say that, of
> course, so they say "They Hate Americans."
>
> THEY DO HATE THEIR OWN PEOPLE, and they HATE you
> too.
>
> Exactly the same applies to the other
> dictators - most of whom are "friends" with
> each other, in order to further their evil.
>
> You know all those heads of state by
> name, and
>
> while Reuters starts to show some signs
> of sanity in reporting with some truth
> about such most vital matters,
>
> the AMERICAN (!) Associated Press
> tells you still nothing but lies, from

> and about those 'heads of state.'
>
> Which is why I have started to call
> these the Associated Parrots.
>
> I still have to make something up for
> Amnesty International, which PRETENDS
> to "face and expose evil" - if it suits
> them, that is.
>
> THEY DO HATE THEIR OWN PEOPLE, and they HATE you
> too.
>
>
> If you do not create and hold the force of your own
> thoughts and viewpoint, then you have already lost to
> them, then they can do whatever they want, and have you
> look at it 'speechless,' and 'powerless,' unable to act
> BECAUSE YOU DID NOT HOLD THE FORCE AND ENERGY OF YOUR
> OWN VIEWPOINT and look through Criminal Minds like Chavez
> is one - a very active one, and an extremely dangerous
> one if not removed.
>
> '
>
> '
>
> Hugo Chavez emanates - radiates - Evil and lies and Hatred ONLY,
> constantly and forcefully, as you know very well.
>
> '
>
> I will say this again for you - maybe I have to break though the mental
> force of his hypnotizing Energies:
>
> Hugo Chavez HATES PEOPLE, all people, including the people of
> Venezuela, of course.
>
> Castro HATES PEOPLE. Castro and Chavez HATE each other, and they
> HATE everyone, including themselves.
>
> Chavez HATES Morales (Bolivia), Chavez HATES Lulu Da Silva
> (Brazil), Chavez HATES Kirchner (Argentina), Chavez HATES Belarus
> and its dictator, Chavez HATES the Russian people and its
> traitor, Putin. Chavez HATES Russian rifle-maker Mr. Kalaznikov,
> while "embracing him".
>
> Chavez HATES Che Guevara, and Che Guevara HATES all people too,
> including himself. Che Guevara is a purely evil individual,
> I mean: evil ONLY.

>
> '
>
> '
>
> You, whatever your position is, are to DEMAND of EVERY Venezuelan, to
> remove Chavez INSTANTLY,
>
> and you are to presume very correctly, that not all Venezuelans
> are cowards, nor locked up ...YET.
>
> '
>
> '
>
> I give you a bit of another perspective on it, you having a
> conscience:
>
> Anyone who leaves Hugo Chavez in office, and does not ACTIVELY
> remove him, can certainly not be called a Christian, not from any
> viewpoint at all.
>
> Nor a Mohammedan, for that matter, supposing Islam became
> disinfected from the 'Arabian Virus' (from Criminal Minds
> still un-named or even worshiped or supported by Arabians).
>
> '
>
> A Criminal Mind is not allowed even to run for office, in a Democracy,
> let alone to be allowed to hypnotize,
>
> to mentally make unaware so that he then can mentally bully them,
>
> enough people, let alone when very few people actually turned up to
> vote, to "elect" him, a Criminal Mind - Hugo Chavez - a sociopath,
> hating of all of Mankind forever.
>
> '
>
> '
>
> The First International Law
>
> 24 November 2002
> {HRI 20021124-V2.0}
> (issued 10 December 2002)
>
> (Version 2.0
> on 23 Sept 2003)
>

>
>
>
> Criminal Minds are NOT allowed to govern a people,
> a country or state, or federation of states;
> that IS the First International Law. {I-01}
>
>
> It is an INTERNATIONAL Law, because, quite
> obviously, the population of the region,
> country, state, or federation, failed to
> prevent a Criminal Mind from acquiring
> such a position of leadership. {I-01-A}
>
> Individuals or groups that can not or do not
> want to understand this Law, or who find this
> Law unnecessary, are either themselves
> Criminal Minds, or they are Destructive Cowards
> who protect and hide Criminal Minds. {I-01-B}
>
>
> Examining and determining whether a head of state,
> - regardless of how he came to power -
> IS a Criminal Mind, or,
> - which amounts to the same condition -
> whether he is a puppet to a Criminal Mind,
> (that examination and determination) is done
> by the World Council, or
> - lacking such -
> by the United Nations Assembly members. {I-02}
>
>
> The Councillors
> - or lacking these, the members of
> the United Nations Assembly -
> will act and must show that they act according
> to a proper understanding of the matter, as
> put forth in:
>
> 1. Definition Of Intelligence
> 2. Definition Of Insane
> 3. Defining Love And Hate
> 4. Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds
> 5. Intentional Omission Of Truth
> 6. The Bush Effect
> 7. Fine Particle Physics
> 8. The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love And Beauty
> 9. Definition Of Schizophrenic
> 10. Religious Freedom
> 11. Course In Human Rights Philosophy

> 12. Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds
> {I-02-A} (see Comment #3 for full titles)
>
> In other words, they do not have the right
> to be uneducated, or to make irrational
> decisions, or to have unspoken motives; and
> they must accordingly motivate their decision,
> and they must do so publicly. {I-02-B}
>
> They must not use religious or political
> arguments or agendas, nor must they falsely
> accuse others of that, to hide their intention
> to undermine
> - with an intention falsely to invoke, or
> on the other hand, with an intention
> falsely to prevent -
> the natural use of the First International Law.
> {I-02-C}
>
>
> International peace-keeping forces can and must
> be mandated and ordered by the World Councillors
>
> - or lacking these, by the United Nations
> Assembly members acting rationally and in
> the interest of all people and not for the
> economic or political or religious interest
> of a group at the expense of others -
>
> to carry out the decision, if lesser methods of
> enforcement fail to bring about an immediate result.
> {I-03}
>
> Due to the nature of the Criminal Mind, there
> is to be applied 'no tolerance,' and there are
> no deadlines to be set nor observed, once a
> decision has been made public; the decision is
> valid and enforceable immediately, and by everyone.
> {I-03-A}
>
> It is further self-understood and natural to
> decent and caring people, that the well-being of
> people, the halting of harm inflicted upon them or
> about to be inflicted upon the population concerned,
> overrides any economic considerations, overrides
> any political structures or group interests, or
> their laws, protocols and rules, and overrides any
> religious or historic customs. {I-03-B}
>
>

>
> Koos Nolst Trenite "Cause Trinity"
> human rights philosopher and poet
>
>
> 'Men of all nations came
> to listen to Solomon's wisdom,
> sent by all the kings of the world,
> who had heard of his wisdom.'
>
> 1 Kings 4:34
>
> Comment #1:
> The understanding and detection of Criminal Minds now has been
> adequately discovered and well described, and so this natural
> law can be implemented, following a sufficient amount of people
> to have been informed of its existence, as well as people
> having been enabled to understand and to identify those who
> want to prevent the knowledge, the understanding or the
> carrying out of the First International Law.
>
> Comment #2:
> To have this knowledge, and thus naturally following it, will
> save thousands of trillions of dollars, and will, for the whole
> population of Earth, avoid endless and severe suffering, and
> herald a new era of prosperity and freedom and health.
>
> This is knowledge of such utmost importance, that no military
> commander, no diplomat, and no politician could do without:
> To identify actual enemies and actual friends correctly, and
> to have an understanding of what they are doing and to predict
> what they will do.
>
> Comment #3:
> The following list will bring about sufficient understanding of
> the Criminal Mind.
>
> The articles are placed in the order from easy to more difficult
> understanding. They are written with non-English readers in mind.
>
> For the rest, your curiosity and your desire to care for others
> - as you yourself always wanted to, that is, without being
> deceived or blinded, without being misguided or diverted or
> stopped - your now undiverted and unstopped care for others may
> and will guide you.
>
> 1. Definition Of Intelligence
> 2. Definition Of Insane
> 3. Defining Love And Hate
> 4. Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds

> 5. Intentional Omission Of Truth
> 6. The Bush Effect
> 7. Fine Particle Physics
> 8. The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love And Beauty
> 9. Definition Of Schizophrenic
> 10. Religious Freedom
> 11. Course In Human Rights Philosophy
> 12. Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds
>
>
> _____
> Full title and location of knowledge:
>
> [most of these issues have been updated considerably
> - see elsewhere for newer versions]
>
> 1. 'Definition Of Intelligence - From Plato' (7 September 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0309062315.30f40b0b%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 2. 'Definition Of Insane - Relation To Humor' (5 Feb 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302160841.7730fa14%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 3. 'Defining Love And Hate - A Law Of Life' V2.0i
> (29 July 2002 - Version 2.0i on 7 January 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301071559.6ebc81be%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 4. 'Standard Responses Of Criminal Minds' (9 May 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0305090914.75e26917%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 5. 'Detecting Criminal Minds By Their Intentional Omission Of Truth'
> (19 August 2002 - Version 1.2)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0209090620.508a3d65%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 6. 'The Bush Effect' (5 Mar 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303050537.72deb2ca%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 7. 'Fine Particle Physics To Understand Persistent, Violent
> Psychotics' (27 Feb 2003)

>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302271444.1b9b5a4f%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 8. 'The Trinity Of Science - Truth, Love and Beauty'
> (7 Mar 2003 - issued 19 April 2003) {HRI 20030307-pi-1}
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304190111.56242138%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 9. 'Definition Of Schizophrenic' (25 Nov 2002)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0211251347.2df7db22%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 10. 'Religious Freedom - (Definition for Human Rights enforcement)'
> (7 Apr 2003 - Issue 3) {HRI 20030407-3-V1.0}
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304071226.644addd5%40posting.google
.com&output=gplain
>
> 11. 'A Course In Human Rights Philosophy' (19 Jan 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301271217.6231715%40posting.google.
com&output=gplain
>
> 12. 'Two More Tools To Detect Criminal Minds' (16 July 2003)
>
> ------http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0307180725.8408834%40posting.google.
com&output=gplain
>
>
> __________________
> Further References:
>
> [most of these issues have been updated considerably
> - see elsewhere for newer versions]
>
> - 'Understanding Of Those Who Harm Life
> - Criminal Minds Radiate Lies' (11 June 2003) (second part)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306150210.2571749f%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Defining The Major Harmful Or Bad Energies - As They Are Created
> And Projected By Criminal Minds' (11 June 2003)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306120437.64f020a0%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Not WHY Is There Suffering, But WHO Causes It - Is The Question'
> (10 June 2003)

> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0306150335.6c685390%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'On Those Who Want Awareness Not Understood'
> (16 April 2003 - Version 1.0) {HRI 20030416-V1.0}
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304190749.57941660%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Definition Of Religion - (for Human Rights enforcement)'
> (7 Apr 2003 - Issue 2) {HRI 20030407-2-V1.0}
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304070916.57d8346%40posting.google.com&ou
tput=gplain
>
> - 'Definitions Of 'Political' And 'Religious' Agenda' (7 Apr 2003)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0304070143.78625ab9%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'The First International Law' (Version 1.1) (24 Nov 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303200104.19a06e60%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Writing Technique - Non-fiction - Facing Life' (17 Mar 2003)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0303170354.5106521%40posting.google.com&ou
tput=gplain
>
> - 'How Do You Face Evil? - A Questionnaire'
> (1 February 2002 - issued 20 Feb 2003)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0302201625.6fc0448f%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Living In The Present - Definition' (2 Jan 2003)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301041426.6b552e59%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Tolerance Defined In Holland (The Netherlands)' (25 Dec 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0301011116.402c5aaa%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Your Spark Of Life Into The Darkness' (on Christmas Day 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212260059.50f5e60c%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Genuine Art - Producing It, Recognizing it' (20 Dec 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212221504.60a0655c%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'Theory Of Repairing Criminal Minds' (13 Dec 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212161432.5de2745d%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>

> - 'Current Understanding of Mental Illness - Consequences'
> (9 Dec 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0212160600.461c9b3b%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'De-cloaking Criminal Minds - Their Use Of The Double-Lie'
> (14 Oct 2002, posted 25 Oct 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0210251305.85850d1%40posting.google.com&ou
tput=gplain
>
>
> - 'The Nature Of The Cosmos As Seen From Earth (Version 1.0)
> (29 August 2001)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0211060029.323b80d9%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'The Mafia Code Against Mankind' (18 Oct 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0210180308.42416a71%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
> - 'A Poem of Love and Adventure' (17 July 2002)
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3b6f518d.0207171309.1696976a%40posting.google.com&o
utput=gplain
>
>
> Copyright 2002-2006 by Koos Nolst Trenite - human rights philosopher
> and poet
> This is 'learnware' - it may not be altered, and it is free for
> anyone who learns from it, and (even if he can not learn from it)
> who passes it on unaltered, and with this message included,
> to others who might be able to learn from it.
> None of my writings may be used, ever, to support any political
> or religious or scientific agenda, but only to educate, and to
> encourage people to judge un-dominated and for themselves,
> about any organizations or individuals.
> Send free-of-Envy and free-of-Hate, Beautiful e-mails to:
> PlatoWorld at Lycos.com
> (address unreadable for Internet robots
> - replace ' at ' with the '@' symbol)
>
Err how about no VIVA CHAVEZ!

4399. Re: Universal radio shipping rates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 11:41:04 UTC
From: bm <Bjarne.Mjelde@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154000464.192815.231800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85385

You should first find out if they ship overseas at all. A friend of
mine in EU was told rather recently by U-R that they no longer ship
overseas, he was buying a radio.

BM

adam wrote:
> Anyone know about universal radio shipping rates especially in regards
> to overseas?.
>
> any help appreciated
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

4400. SW7600G Power Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:11:46 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <642yg.482563$Fs1.91965@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85386

Is it normal when using the radio with an AC adapter, that if you unplug the adapter from the wall outlet with the cable still attached to the radio that the radio still operates?

I thought that when connecting the power cable to the radio that the internal batteries are disconnected by the power jack.

Thanks

4401. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:41:47 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154004107.106609.295800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85387

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:q44gc212in0ojukc77iekug1r4j8vsgqn6@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 21:37:23 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >>news:d4lfc2ltcq1ure92dur2j0j7ose64qsk3s@4ax.com...
> >>> On 26 Jul 2006 13:13:22 -0700, "Stephanie Weil"
> >>> <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Think of how much a 50,000 watter could benefit by climbing to 100,000
> >>>>or 500,000 watts and a 5,000 or 10,000 watter by moving to 20,000 or
> >>>>even 50,000 watts!!
> >>>>
> >>> That's insane. Do you have any idea how much the electricity would
> >>> cost?
> >>>
> >>> 100 KW is only 3 dB stronger than 50.
> >>
> >>It increases the useful coverage area. If a station does not cover its
> >>market fully, the extra power can make it useful. As I mentioned, in one
> >>international market, we found only a directional 100 kw could fully cover
> >>the market... and the AM is #1 in that market because it has such good
> >>coverage. We also needed 200 kw for an FM to cover adequately, something
> >>not
> >>possible in the USA... so markets like San Francisco have no FM that
> >>covers
> >>the entire market.
> >>>
> > FM coverage is not a matter of power. It is a matter of antenna. The
> > antenna needs a good location and needs to be well engineered. Many
> > high-power FMs use wide aperture antennas to get high ERP and that
> > creates a lot of nulls.
>
>
> FM is a matter of power and height. All major antenna manufacturers, like
> ERI, build good antennas.
>
> For example, 50 kw ERP at 1000 feet is the same theoretical coverage as
> about 25 kw ERP at 2000 feet.
```

>
> What is a wide aperture FM antenna? I have BUILT FM antennas and have never
> heard this.
>
> Antennas for FM consist of one or more bays. Circularly polarized, 2 bays is
> about unity gain. Spacing between elements affects gain, and also the
> vertical radiation, and mechanical beam tilt and null fill may be employed
> to compensate for overshoot or an irregular mounting structure.
>
> Given this, the number of bays creates gain. Stations, if they can, would
> use one or two bays as multiple bays create a narrower beam and potential
> for overshoot. Large market stations will nearly always have two to four bay
> antennas.

You can just save your breath. We don't care what you have to say
because you are B-U-S-T-E-D.

4402. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:47:08 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17209-44C8B5CC-276@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85388

Heck NO,we dont need the un.That un building in New York City should be busted down to rubble and bulldozed into the Hudson River.With all of those un people still in that un building.
cuhulin

4403. Re: Lardass Lloyd the striped whale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:57:38 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154005057.910821.48160@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Barry's Leftnut wrote:
> On 2006-07-26 14:49:52 -0400, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com said:
>
> > http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Why don't you faggots gets a room?

You seem to be an expert on that, faggot.

4404. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:58:36 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154005116.734441.63510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
an old freind wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> > http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
> yopu know i dream of sex with him wismen
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA! Markie, you are the self-admitted one who sucks dicks
on here.
```

4405. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 12:59:58 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154005198.811076.307430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> Barry's Leftnut wrote:
> > On 2006-07-26 14:49:52 -0400, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com said:
> >
> > > http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
> > >
> > > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > Why don't you faggots gets a room?
> the problem that original poster (who is realy AB8MQ) desperately want
> sex with Lloyd who wisely wether becuase he is traight or just has good
> taste in men does not want to sex with AB8MQ

The ones who aren't straight are you and Lardass, Markie. You keep
dreaming of a three-way with your lover Lardass and Roger, faggot.
It'll never happen.

4406. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:06:58 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <b9dhc29nvvbabnu9uhec7iu8tksct76qe6@4ax.com>
Source record: 85392

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 05:38:09 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>

>
>FM is a matter of power and height. All major antenna manufacturers, like
>ERI, build good antennas.

Until some yake sticks it on the side of a fat tower. Unless an
antenna is tested on the same kind of support structure that it will
live on all bets are off.

>For example, 50 kw ERP at 1000 feet is the same theoretical coverage as
>about 25 kw ERP at 2000 feet.
>
or 7 KW at 3,500'

>What is a wide aperture FM antenna? I have BUILT FM antennas and have never
>heard this

.Aperture is the vertical profile of a colinear array.

(I don't build them. I have machinists who build them for me).

>Antennas for FM consist of one or more bays. Circularly polarized, 2 bays is
>about unity gain. Spacing between elements affects gain, and also the
>vertical radiation, and mechanical beam tilt and null fill may be employed
>to compensate for overshoot or an irregular mounting structure.

Mechanical Null fill? How about electrical beam tilt?

4407. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:08:43 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2lehc2pdjmq00tcfknclmahsbjg6o5bdso@4ax.com>
Source record: 85393

On 26 Jul 2006 23:00:58 -0700, miso@sushi.com wrote:

>

>It is an interesting idea, but nobody builds LCR filters. Rather, you
>use the LCR filter as a prototype, then build a leapfrog active filter
>from signal flow graphs based on the physical LCR filter.
>

Really? What kind of filters does the Drake R8 series use?

4408. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:09:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <anehc2pf9m52oe1fkjmpvc1vul480oqnad@4ax.com>
Source record: 85394

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 05:04:48 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
>He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
>the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.
>
>How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
>acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?
>
>
Use VHS HiFi. It records with an FM carrier. Excellent quality. Use
a cheap video camera to record a clock.

4409. Re: SW7600G Power Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:11:24 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <irehc293rasnndprlefeb9vj9ophek3i4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 85395

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 12:11:46 GMT, robtgross <robtgross@att.net> wrote:

>Is it normal when using the radio with an AC adapter, that if you unplug
>the adapter from the wall outlet with the cable still attached to the
>radio that the radio still operates?
>
>I thought that when connecting the power cable to the radio that the
>internal batteries are disconnected by the power jack.
>
>Thanks
Electronic switching.

4410. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:21:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154006502.671394.273250@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace
> > But you were thrown out for sodomy and your obesity was just a bonus
> > reason.
> no wismen was never even accused of sodomy in the service

No, Wiseman wasn't, but you were! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

4411. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:24:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154006649.105747.75520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace

Poor spamming Markie!

4412. Re: SW7600G Power Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:30:50 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <ee3yg.483027$Fs1.228421@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85548

So, its normal for the radio to stay on even if the adapter is removed
from the wall outlet with the cable still plugged into the power jack?

Thanks

>Is it normal when using the radio with an AC adapter, that if you unplug
>>the adapter from the wall outlet with the cable still attached to the
>>radio that the radio still operates?
>>
>>I thought that when connecting the power cable to the radio that the
>>internal batteries are disconnected by the power jack.
>>
>>Thanks

Electronic switching.

4413. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:34:59 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154007298.957763.76300@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85549

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> John Barnard wrote:
>
> > dxAce wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> John Barnard wrote:
> >>
> >>> Douche Bag wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> You're a fool. I'll bet your DD214 was for a general discharge.
> >>>
> >>> McDonalds wouldn't take him and Uncle Sam did. And then Uncle Sam
> >>> realized that a mistake had been made. A general discharge sounds almost
> >>> too good for Tracy.
> >>
> >>
> >> All of CanaDuh is a discharge, and it ain't #1, it's good ol' #2.
> >
> >
> > In other words, you are used to being dumped on?
> >
> > JB
> >
> >>
> >> Johnny, you need to take your show on the road, I'm certain it might
> >> play well in venues
> >> outside of Edmontoon.
> >>
> >> dxAce
> >> Michigan
> >> USA
>
>
> In Michshitgan, he's used to Golden showers and being dumped on. After
> all, what do you expect of Holland where they tiptoe in the tulips.

As opposed to you, who never showers or bathes, huh, Corncob boy?
```

4414. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:36:13 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154007373.169087.26960@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85550

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> I'm trying to make the connection between the comment he made and the so
> called Jewish hatred you are saying he is involved in. If you would
> bother to read again, you might get the real point of the comment

Should we post some of your "Jewish hatred," Lardass Davies?

> RHF wrote:
> > Once again we are treated to
> > More Anti-Jewish Hatred from
> > M II the Can-A-Duha-ian ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > . .
> >
> > m II wrote:
> >
> >>Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Women have no souls according to Syrian President
> >>
> >>That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> >>have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> >>situation warrants it.
> >>
> >>It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>mike
> >
> >
```

4415. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:40:30 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C8C24E-1504@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85551

An old buddy (he is sixty five years old) of mine once told me his wife told him to add one cup of bleach to his bath water.I dont understand how that can do any good.Ordinary Palmolive soap and water is what I use.
cuhulin

4416. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 13:54:59 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17208-44C8C5B3-1505@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85552

I am Lucky in that I live soooooo far South of Canada.(South is always best) www.indo.com/distance says Jackson is 751 miles from Milwaukee.I could look up in my Rand McNalley Road Atlas how far Jackson is from Canada,but I am too lazy right now.
cuhulin

4417. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 14:37:15 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vc4yg.483431$Fs1.8833@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85553

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:cNPxg.135738$dW3.62762@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> No, it suggests the transformation to digital is best done with an in-band
> transition, such as FM to FM stereo where there is backwards
compatibility.
>
>

If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have bought
the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.

If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it suggests
a weak demand.

It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.

The buzzwords for such a non-demand would be, accurately for once,
EXXXXXTREME TO THE MAXXXXX!

Frank Dresser

4418. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 14:59:56 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Mx4yg.483567$Fs1.27060@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85554

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>
> >> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of
them.
> >>
> >> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>
> >>
> >> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
> >>
> >>
> >> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
like
> >> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > > Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
>
> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
>
> IBOC was inevitable.

So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.

And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more persuasive
than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.

>
>
>
> If they had introduced it back
> > in the seventies, HD radio would have merely been "Lemon Scented".
>
>
> LOL! And Avocado Green.

>
>
>
>

Back in the seventies, expert marketers were split between avocado green and woodgrain. There's no doubting that avocado green HD radios would have sold and sold and sold. Unless they didn't. Then the woodgrain experts would loudly proclaim that avocado green HD radios killed the market.

Frank Dresser

4419. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:20:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154013616.801326.189910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Barry's Leftnut wrote:

> The ones who aren't straight are you and Lardass, Markie. You keep
> dreaming of a three-way with your lover Lardass and Roger, faggot.
> It'll never happen.
but you are the only only raing about it wiseman
```

4420. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:23:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154013801.724582.277530@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace

4421. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:24:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154013851.569341.241210@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace!

4422. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:28:05 UTC
From: jfeng@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1154014085.595975.231980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85559

David wrote:
> Use VHS HiFi. It records with an FM carrier. Excellent quality. Use
> a cheap video camera to record a clock.

You can get use the video output from another VCR. One of my VCRs
showed the time and the date when the DISPLAY function was enabled.
The SET CLOCK screen might also work to provide an automatic time stamp.

4423. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:29:44 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85560

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of
> them.
>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital nonetheless.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
> like
>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
>>
>> IBOC was inevitable.
>
> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.

Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
finite amount of time.
Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.

There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent an
FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.

So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
federal policies have helped create.

The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
(read that: timely) marketing.

>
> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more persuasive
> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.

No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.

The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
most optimistic.

Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
are incompatible.

>
>>
>>
>> If they had introduced it back
>>> in the seventies, HD radio would have merely been "Lemon Scented".
>>
>> LOL! And Avocado Green.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Back in the seventies, expert marketers were split between avocado green and
> woodgrain. There's no doubting that avocado green HD radios would have sold
> and sold and sold. Unless they didn't. Then the woodgrain experts would
> loudly proclaim that avocado green HD radios killed the market.
>
> Frank Dresser
>
>

You have a very good understanding of Marketing.

4424. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:34:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154014497.833289.296500@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85561

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace

4425. Re: Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:35:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C8DD3A.ACC09D67@milestones.com>
Source record: 85562

Mark Zenier wrote:

> Anyone see an explanation of why Radio Aus. was only sending out
> the automated "Waltzing Matilda" all Wednesday (in Seattle) night?
> Spot checking from 08:00 UTC on 9580/9590 and later on 9475, 9560, 6020,
> 5995 until sometime before 16:15, (when they were back on 9710), that
> was all I could hear.

Apparently a feed problem, and has been happening as of late:

** AUSTRALIA. R. Australia`s 9590 transmitter was running continuous
Waltzing Matilda instead of regular programming, July 22 when checked
at 1320, 1337 and 1419. Other frequencies, 9580 (until 14), 9560 and
6020 were normal. Both 9580 and 9590 are Shepparton, so what`s the
problem getting the same programming on both? Different feed circuits,
I suppose, and one of them broke down, but that`s no excuse.

I also checked 6080, and as usual all I could hear was ABC NT relay
not // 6020 or other RA frequencies, and no Singapore audible under.
At 1342 July 22 the DJ mentioned abc.net.au (Glenn Hauser, OK, DX
LISTENING DIGEST 6-107)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4426. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:35:25 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <135yg.483783$Fs1.52557@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85563

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153998948.946261.156620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Denley wrote:
> > How can any audio filter make up for severe distortion?
> >
> > --
> > Brian Denley
> > http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
> ----------
> Please read the pdf at:
>
<http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/Audio/On%20The%20Causes%20And%20Cures%20Of%20Au
dio%20Distortion%20Of%20Received%20AM%20Signals%20Due%20To%20Fading%20II.pdf
>
> Lots of nifty formulae and even has FFT trasform screen captures to
> show his reasoing.
>
> Terry
>

Am I reading the nifty formulae wrong? It looks to me like he's deriving
the distortion of a diode detector from the modulation index only. My sense
of these things says that a 50% modulated signal at a tenth of a volt is
going to have much more distortion than a 50% modulated signal at 10 volts.

Frank Dresser

4427. AMERICA IN DANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 15:40:57 UTC
From: nano4designs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154014857.182298.252280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85564

AMERICA IN DANGER!

Secret Gas-Aerosol Rooms operated in US
(in Philadelphia, Narberth, Lower Merion, PA)
(in Wilmington and Newark, DE)
(in Hampton, Williamsburg and Richmond, VA)
AND ELSEWHERE.... details below...
Bio-aerosols used to hurt and simulate panic attacks + ...!
Soviets locked critics into nut-houses, now WE do the same!

Say "Stop, I care" - call Senate (202) 224-3121
House of Representatives (202) 225-3121
What IS going on in America? *Copy and Post*

Big Brother spins protection...
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Looked at this?!! Go to Google/Yahoo newsGroups:

TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms

US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms
(SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton
and Williamsburg (VA) and other places. Highly volatile aerosols with
and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways a...

Full text was at:
http://buyit.philly.com/findit/search/results.jsp?catId=krd_philenqda...

This was a new on-line ad placed in Philadelphia Inquirer and
Philadelphia Daily News on www.philly.com (see full text below)
It was about the secret aerosols rooms in US. One could find it by
searching "secret", say, in the ad section of philly.com.

Democracy can not function effectively without the informed public. As
a former NASA Langley scientist working in the area of nanotechnology
and nanoscale particles, I have been recently exposed to the
establishment of secret gas-aerosol rooms inside of United States, at
least in the Mid-Atlantic region (from Philadelphia to Virginia).
First, the highly irritant aerosols have surfaced at the NASA Langley
Research Center in several safety accidents. Investigations were
blocked, probably, because of lack of Congressional approval for such
financially-lucrative research at NASA. Later, these aerosols had been
encountered outside of NASA in Virginia, Delaware and Pennsylvania
.

This points out to yet another proliferation of originally-sensitive technologies into domestic American life to hurt and harass people without a proper oversight and much control. This amounts to torture, extra-judicial prosecution and authoritarian intimidation tactics. The use of such irritants and the operations of the secret gas-aerosol rooms are gross violations of human and constitutional rights of American citizens and various domestic and international laws.

------------------Full text of an ad from Philadelphia's phily.com--------------------------
TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms
US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms (SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton and Williamsburg (VA). Highly volatile aerosols with and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways and eyes irritation, chest pain and inflammation. FBI and Homeland Security associates are likely operators of SARs, while collaborators may be hotel staff and landlords, who get financial/material or other incentives. US citizens and kids are victims.

AMERICA IN DANGER!

America in danger -
The vice is NOT impeached.
America in danger -
The public trust is breached.

Big Brother spins protection...
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Which side are you on, boys?
Which side moves you on?
Which side are you on, girls?
Which side moves you on?
(Chorus after F. Reece)

Your church is burned by haters.
The priests to go to jail?
Your dreams are cheyned by traitors,
But hope still shines through veil.

"Dust rooms" came back to gas us
With neo-Naz (or Con) embrace...
New immigrants do make US!
And not the SSuper-race...
V. Haryk-Bukovynsky © VMH

4428. Re: AMERICA IN DANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:05:23 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <d7c8f$44c8e01c$422a9820$10641@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85565

The real question out of this is whether they use
Febreze or Oust...

--Mike L.

<nano4designs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1154014857.182298.252280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
AMERICA IN DANGER!

Secret Gas-Aerosol Rooms operated in US
(in Philadelphia, Narberth, Lower Merion, PA)
(in Wilmington and Newark, DE)
(in Hampton, Williamsburg and Richmond, VA)
AND ELSEWHERE.... details below...
Bio-aerosols used to hurt and simulate panic attacks + ...!
Soviets locked critics into nut-houses, now WE do the same!

Say "Stop, I care" - call Senate (202) 224-3121
House of Representatives (202) 225-3121
What IS going on in America? *Copy and Post*

Big Brother spins protection...
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Looked at this?!! Go to Google/Yahoo newsGroups:

TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms

US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms
(SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton
and Williamsburg (VA) and other places. Highly volatile aerosols with
and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways a...

Full text was at:
http://buyit.philly.com/findit/search/results.jsp?catId=krd_philenqda...

This was a new on-line ad placed in Philadelphia Inquirer and
Philadelphia Daily News on www.philly.com (see full text below)
It was about the secret aerosols rooms in US. One could find it by
searching "secret", say, in the ad section of philly.com.

Democracy can not function effectively without the informed public. As
a former NASA Langley scientist working in the area of nanotechnology
and nanoscale particles, I have been recently exposed to the
establishment of secret gas-aerosol rooms inside of United States, at

least in the Mid-Atlantic region (from Philadelphia to Virginia).
First, the highly irritant aerosols have surfaced at the NASA Langley Research Center in several safety accidents. Investigations were blocked, probably, because of lack of Congressional approval for such financially-lucrative research at NASA. Later, these aerosols had been encountered outside of NASA in Virginia, Delaware and Pennsylvania
.
This points out to yet another proliferation of originally-sensitive technologies into domestic American life to hurt and harass people without a proper oversight and much control. This amounts to torture, extra-judicial prosecution and authoritarian intimidation tactics. The use of such irritants and the operations of the secret gas-aerosol rooms are gross violations of human and constitutional rights of American citizens and various domestic and international laws.

----------Full text of an ad from Philadelphia's phily.com----------
TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms
US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms (SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton and Williamsburg (VA). Highly volatile aerosols with and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways and eyes irritation, chest pain and inflammation. FBI and Homeland Security associates are likely operators of SARs, while collaborators may be hotel staff and landlords, who get financial/material or other incentives. US citizens and kids are victims.

AMERICA IN DANGER!

America in danger -
The vice is NOT impeached.
America in danger -
The public trust is breached.

Big Brother spins protection...
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Which side are you on, boys?
Which side moves you on?
Which side are you on, girls?
Which side moves you on?
(Chorus after F. Reece)

Your church is burned by haters.
The priests to go to jail?
Your dreams are cheyned by traitors,
But hope still shines through veil.

"Dust rooms" came back to gas us
With neo-Naz (or Con) embrace...

New immigrants do make US!
And not the SSuper-race...
V. Haryk-Bukovynsky &#65533; VMH

4429. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:06:23 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4is6k0F56gj9U1@individual.net>
Source record: 85566

"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:ORRxg.243172$Mn5.137222@pd7tw3no...
> > So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it.
>
> Stop it? You damn idiots helped to get the ball going by invading Iraq.
> Saddam is scum but he was busy keeping the Iranians at bay and the
> Syrians weren't doing much during that time. Your invasion just made it
> easier for weapons to get through to the terrorist cells.
>
> About damn time you tried cleaning up your own mess!
>
Explain how invading Iraq caused Hezbolla to kidnapp and kill several
Israeli soldiers. This conflict pre-dates Iraq by several decades. Do some
reasearch.

The Middle East has been awash in weapons for years, Iraq had NOTHING do
with it. You can thank Syria and Iran for that.

Typical blame America First clueless dweeb.

4430. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:07:58 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4is6mvF5967rU1@individual.net>
Source record: 85567

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:TxXxg.141884$I61.14028@clgrps13...
> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > I'm all for what we and the Israelis are doing and it's about time.
>
>
>
> I am truly sorry you are backing murderers.
>
And I suppose Hezbolla are not murders? Idiot.

4431. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:08:58 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <s6phc2d1id3oif83j56nc01rrq1aqealds@4ax.com>
Source record: 85568

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
<analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have bought
>the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
>
>If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it suggests
>a weak demand.
>
>It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.

Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
years.

4432. Re: SW7600G Power Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:13:54 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fhphc2defq1snraostp626veao94uedrph@4ax.com>
Source record: 85569

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 13:30:50 GMT, robtgross <robtgross@att.net> wrote:

>So, its normal for the radio to stay on even if the adapter is removed
>from the wall outlet with the cable still plugged into the power jack?
>
>Thanks
>
> >Is it normal when using the radio with an AC adapter, that if you unplug
> >>the adapter from the wall outlet with the cable still attached to the
> >>radio that the radio still operates?
> >>
> >>I thought that when connecting the power cable to the radio that the
> >>internal batteries are disconnected by the power jack.
> >>
> >>Thanks
>
>Electronic switching.

If that's what it does. Not that unusual.

4433. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:18:21 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12chpqe4tmg7938@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85570

If the VCR is of a stereo Hi-Fi vintage than the audio is recorded
digitally. It is fairly close to CD quality. Few people are aware of this
fact.
The recorded audio is much better than the heavilly compressed digital mp3.

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
news:QPXxg.141889$I61.17781@clgrps13...
> I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
> He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
> the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.
>
> How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
> acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

4434. Re: Universal radio shipping rates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:26:25 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2bmhs1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2bmhs1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 85810

adam Wrote:
> Anyone know about universal radio shipping rates especially in regards
> to overseas?.
>
> any help appreciated
>
> Yours truly
> Adam

Email them and tell them where you are. They are good about replying.

--
weatherall

4435. Iran's War - Aug. 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:27:23 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <eaapfo$9pg$1@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85571

"What we are seeing is a series of conflicts that foreshadow a future world conflict, just as the Spanish Civil war prefigured the Second World War."

Just as Hitler used Franco as his proxy in Spain to test new military techniques and equipment on the battlefield, so Iran is using Hezbollah as its proxy to do the same.

Druze Leader: Iran testing Israeli military
http://intelligence-summit.blogspot.com/2006/07/druze-leader-iran-testing-israeli.html

This is a strategy Iran is testing out for a future war. Iran is testing Israel, probing Israel's reaction, and testing the response of the international community.

Let's recall how this all began.
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23557

"People need to realize this is not a bilateral conflict. It is part of the broad regional and international conflict between the West and Islamic fundamentalism championed by Iran."

Unchecked, Iran will continue its march toward nuclear power, and it will use terrorist proxies to conduct war against the West. In the future, those proxies will have nuclear weapons. [a page out of Communist China's play book.]

This is the "hurricane" Iranian president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad promised the world earlier this week in Tehran, in yet another "mein kampf" statement.

Now ask yourself, what is the significance of Iran's delay until August 22 to reply to the UN?

The Supreme National Security Council of Iran chose the August 22 date "for a very precise reason. August 21, 2006 (Rajab 27, 1427) is known in the Islamic calendar as the Night of the Sira'a and Miira'aj, the night Prophet Mohammed (saas) ascended to heaven from the Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem on a Bourak (Half animal, half man), while a great light lit-up the night sky, and visited Heaven and Hell also Beit al-Saada and Beit al-Shaqaa (House of Happiness and House of Misery) and then descended back to Mecca.."

The Night Journey, or Miraj, is central to Islam's claim to Jerusalem as an Islamic holy city.

Ahmadinejad is planning an illumination of the night sky over Jerusalem to rival the one that greeted the Prophet of Islam on his journey. What the Iranian President, he says, is "promising the world by August 22 is the light in the sky over the Aqsa Mosque that took place the night before. That is his answer to the package of incentives the international community

offered Iran on June 6."

Certainly a nuclear attack on Jerusalem or even an all-out conventional assault against Israel by Iran would be consistent with Ahmadinejad's oft-repeated denials of Israel's right to exist and recent predictions that its demise was at hand. He hinted at the use of nuclear weapons in his phrasing when he said that Israel "pushed the button of its own destruction" by finally retaliating against Hizballah's relentless rocket barrage from south Lebanon.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23533

Iran preping Aug 22 with Jerusalem propaganda:

According to the Iranian conservative newspaper, Kayhan, claimed Thursday in its top story that "Tel Aviv has been evacuated."

"Following the recent threats of Hassan Nasrallah, some of the Israeli government bodies were evacuated from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem."

The newspaper is associated with the spiritual leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei.

http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3282238,00.html

Of course all Christians know the significance Jerusalem holds and the Final Days...

4436. Re: AMERICA IN DANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:31:19 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C8EA57-1484@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85573

I use Febreeze.Febreeze is good.
cuhulin

4437. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:37:07 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154018227.752439.319870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85572

David wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have bought
> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >
> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it suggests
> >a weak demand.
> >
> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
>
> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> years.

The iBiquity shill (or shall we say, Univision executive), has been very busy on the radio-info.com web site (he has 8000) posts. The radioinsight.com web site, looks like the sister site to, radio-info.com, but its HD moderators are pro-HD/IBOC, so it is a losing battle (they will make a final post, then lock the thread). Although, radio-info.com is moderated, its HD moderators do not get involved in posting. Well, I came across this old link, which I had been looking for, but David tried to down-play it, by saying it was just a blog (it is an actual article, written by Mark Ramsey, the president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy company, and Mercury Radio Research). The article gets right to the heart of the problem with HD/IBOC and blows away all of his rebuttals/arguments - he

didn't have much to say about it. I noticed his last comment about satellite radio, but this article has a little something to say about that:

http://www.hear2.com/2005/05/the_premature_d.html

4438. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:42:41 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85574

David wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have bought
> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >
> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it suggests
> >a weak demand.
> >
> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
>
> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> years.

And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away, watch).

4439. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:43:23 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154018603.647852.100960@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85575

David Eduardo wrote:

> FM is a matter of power and height. All major antenna manufacturers, like
> ERI, build good antennas.

The height gives you range. The wattage gives you penetration -
ability to punch through concrete walls and what not.

A 10 watter signal on top of the Empire State Building will carry far.
But you'll probably only be able to hear it if you're either outside,
or sitting next to a window.

This is why I can get significantly beter reception in my windowless
bunker (high atop the 47th floor of One Chase Manhattan Plaza in the
Financial District) from a 6,000 like WSKQ or WQHT; than a
600-something watter like WKCR-FM or WCAA.

All four stations broadcast from the top of Empire.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

4440. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:44:38 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154018678.451583.37180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85576

David wrote:

> Mechanical Null fill? How about electrical beam tilt?

You can also do mechanical beam-tilt, I think.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York, NY

4441. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:46:01 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85577

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of
> > them.
> >>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
nonetheless.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
> > like
> >>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
> >> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
> >> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
> >>
> >> IBOC was inevitable.
> >
> > So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
> > consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
>
> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
> finite amount of time.
> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.

But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed. The
demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.

I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which opens it up to all sorts of other interference.

But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay much for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or two more than standard AM.

Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine didn't kill off the market, it enhanced it.

>
> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent an
> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.

If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand window?

>
> So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
> belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
> federal policies have helped create.
>
> The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
> digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
> (read that: timely) marketing.

I'm not seeing any particular demand for digital solutions. Sure, people like DVDs better than videocassetes, but they like them because they're more convienient. Probably alot of people think their old VCR was also digital because it had digital readouts.

And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to had to force the transition.
>
>
> >
> > And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
persuasive
> > than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
>
>
> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.

I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't enforce.

>
> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
> most optimistic.

> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
> are incompatible.

[snip]

It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market. I think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people isn't the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming some interesting programming ends up there.

Frank Dresser

4442. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:50:30 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154019030.338513.314350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85578

miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> The sound quality is more than adequate for shortwave.

I've used a VCR for recording AM radio in the past. It sounds quite well. In fact, I remember WFAN using videotapes for airchecking their programs - in addition to the standard reel-to-reel decks - back in the early 1990s. The video section of the VCR was just taken from the surveilance cameras.

> Many VCRs act
> funny if you try to record without video present, so you need to use
> the VCR in simulcast mode. It doesn't matter what video you use. THe
> VCR needs the video for timing.

Yup. I never hooked up the video on the machine when I recorded that one time. I got a rolling black screen - but the audio played OK. I'm sure that it could have been improved with a video signal.

Stephanie Weil
New York City

4443. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 16:56:28 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <eaar6hfri1@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85579

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote
>
> > Women have no souls according to Syrian President
>
> That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> situation warrants it.
>
> It would appear that the Syrian President is only HALF as exclusionary.
>

Later "m II" posts:

> An orthodox Jew is thus being totally honest when he tells a Gentile
> that Jews and Gentiles have the same soul. It's the soul of the dog or
> the cat they share in common. But the Jew has the extra soul which
> distinguishes him from the dog, the cat or the Gentile.

It would appear that Mike is can not perform basic math.

4444. HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:00:08 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85580

Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution of HD/IBOC:

http://www.hear2.com/2005/05/the_premature_d.html

4445. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:08:16 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154020096.090752.293990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85581

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> As big a double big old double belly beer gut as I have,,,,,,, I look
> like I am pregant and going to give birth to eight little babies at the
> same time.
> cuhulin

Cuhulin, I have an idea for a great new reality TV series. Would you be
okay with Paris Hilton coming to live with you for a few weeks?

4446. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:09:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Lq6yg.3033$db.2279@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85582

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:c887c$44c8210c$422a9820$11827@FUSE.NET...
>
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> news:GxRxg.121$8W7.32@fe07.lga...
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153950452.356594.230120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Keep asking me!
>>
>> And further more, do you fill yourself with water and vinegar or a
> more
>> modern blend of high tech crotch cleaning solution?
>
> Oh Lord, Brian. I'm so grateful I read this after
> dinner; the mental image that inspired was
> unsettling, to say the least.
>
> --Mike L.
>
>

I'm sorry Mike. :(

BH

4447. Re: Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:10:55 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <eadbppaha1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85646

In article <44C8DD3A.ACC09D67@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
>
>Mark Zenier wrote:
>
>> Anyone see an explanation of why Radio Aus. was only sending out
>> the automated "Waltzing Matilda" all Wednesday (in Seattle) night?
>> Spot checking from 08:00 UTC on 9580/9590 and later on 9475, 9560, 6020,
>> 5995 until sometime before 16:15, (when they were back on 9710), that
>> was all I could hear.
>
>Apparently a feed problem, and has been happening as of late:

They must be changing something around because there's been some
strange stuff. They've been running the BBC occasionally on 15515 at,
as I remember, 22:00. And one Saturday, at 23:00 on 17795, they had the
BBC ID before the hour in the few minutes after the transmission began,
and then switched to R. Aus. after the time ticks.

It would be nice if they got a hour or two of the Beeb in the
Pacific. R. Aus seems to have enough signals out there at that
hour anyway.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4448. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:19:43 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <MA6yg.3034$db.2372@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85584

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message news:TxXxg.141884

> I am truly sorry you are backing murderers.
>

Mike,Your brain is fried! If I thought you had even a faint grasp on
reality, I would argue my point but.....................

PS Do you think every freind or ally to the US is evil?

BH

4449. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:34:32 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <5bcd7$44c8f50a$422a9820$19204@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85583

```
"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:Lq6yg.3033$db.2279@fe03.lga...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:c887c$44c8210c$422a9820$11827@FUSE.NET...
> >
> > "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> > news:GxRxg.121$8W7.32@fe07.lga...
> >>
> >> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1153950452.356594.230120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Keep asking me!
> >>
> >> And further more, do you fill yourself with water and vinegar or
a
> > more
> >> modern blend of high tech crotch cleaning solution?
> >
> > Oh Lord, Brian. I'm so grateful I read this after
> > dinner; the mental image that inspired was
> > unsettling, to say the least.
> >
> > --Mike L.
> >
> >
>
> I'm sorry Mike. :(

I'm fine, Brian. I did laugh at it after a while, tho.

--Mike L.
```

4450. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:38:36 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <tS6yg.1570$_A6.157@fe02.lga>
Source record: 85585

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message

> I'm fine, Brian. I did laugh at it after a while, tho.
>
> --Mike L.
>
>

That's good. At the price of food now days, I'd hate to see ya loose your supper.

PS You do gotta wonder about these weirdo's that show up with strange handles like Douche Bag and contribute nothing but shit.

BH

4451. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:43:42 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iX6yg.181532$mF2.104862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85586

```
Frank Dresser wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one of
>>> them.
>>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
> nonetheless.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
>>> like
>>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
>>>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
>>>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
>>>>
>>>> IBOC was inevitable.
>>> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
>>> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
>> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
>> finite amount of time.
>> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
>> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
>> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
>> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.
>
> But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed. The
> demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.
>

That's why I said "usually." There are exceptions. Fewer by far, though.
```

> I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The
> platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a
> problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which opens
> it up to all sorts of other interference.
>

The good stereo receivers had variable bandwidth that depended on
signal strength. Sony made a bunch of models that opened up with strong
stereo signals. Sony wasn't alone in this.

That's actually not a problem.

> But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay much
> for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM
> stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM
> stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot
> more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or two
> more than standard AM.

And eventually, it would have been. But important to the discussion
is that AM stereo was proposed as far back as the 50's, with practical
demonstrations in the 60's. What kept it from taking off, was that at
the time it was announced, and interest developed, FCC made a mess of
the matter by a confusing array of stereo systems, most of which were
not mutually compatible, and multimode receivers required users to
select between the systems available on the receiver pursuant to the
system being broadcast. It was actually not as complex a thing as it
sounds, but there was a LOT of press about this, and most of it gross
misinformation. Which put off public embrace of the concept. By the time
the C-Quam system had been selected as the standard, and Kahn's suits
had been dropped, the window had closed. The public was no longer
excited about the concept, and was actively put off by the dizzying
array of misinformation.

Unlike Television in the 40's and 50's, which also required new
hardware, and some effort in antenna installation and deployment, there
wasn't a souring of the demand, by such widely misinformed press,
disseminating misinformation. The Television window didn't close.

AM Stereo did. As with a lot of new ideas whose times have been missed.

>
> Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine didn't
> kill off the market, it enhanced it.

Actually, the VHS machine simply exploited it.

Enhancements came from camcorders, 8 and Hi-8, which led to 8 with
digital audio, which led to Digital video, which has led now to DVR's,
TiVO, and the array of Miglia products (and their kind) that replace all
outboard Video hardware with PC and Mac based DV.

>
>> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or

>> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
>> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent an
>> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
>> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.
>
>
> If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand window?

We wouldn't. But then the difference between a product without
demand, and a product introduced after the demand window was closed is a
difference of academics.

When Lotus announced the M100 Elan in 1990, orders were placed
immediately. I placed one myself. It was going to be the biggest sports
car introduction in years. Huge. The numbers of orders placed were
dramatic. And then production delays. Delivery delays. And even the
patient customer began to ask for their money back. Finally the Elan hit
the US. About 45 days after Mazda began selling the Miata, for about
$25K less.

I waited and took delivery of mine. But the end of production was
announced the day I put the key in the ignition. Truth is, that the M100
was the finest car Lotus had ever fielded. And it would have sold in the
thousands. But they missed their window, and with the cancellations of
so many orders, they lost money. Production ceased. And the Miata bloomed.

Timing really is everything.

>
>> So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
>> belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
>> federal policies have helped create.
>>
>> The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
>> digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
>> (read that: timely) marketing.
>
> I'm not seeing any particular demand for digital solutions. Sure, people
> like DVDs better than videocassetes, but they like them because they're more
> convienient. Probably alot of people think their old VCR was also digital
> because it had digital readouts.
>

"Digital" is the hottest sell word on the planet. And yes, there were
several 'digital VCR's.' Only underscoring that there is demand for
digital solutions.

Or at least the digital buzzword.

DVD's are not only convenient, they're digital. CD's are not only
convenient, they're also digital. And that has driven an very near
frenzy of digital affiliations. Digital coffeemakers. Digital electric
blankets. Digital speakers. Digital headphones.

I've even seen a pair of digital earplugs.

"Digital" is a sell word. And it's working.

Whether or not a device is really a digital device....not relevant.
It's what it's perceived to be with a digital name...and that's higher
quality more accurate. Perception is the game in Marketing.

> And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to had to
> force the transition.

Yes and no. It would have come. But much slower. And the government
needed the Analog spectrum to raise cash.

>>
>>> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
> persuasive
>>> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
>>
>> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
>> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.
>
> I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't enforce.

Because the market couldn't be moved by enorcement. Digital was
already on the horizon. Enforcing AM stereo with digital coming, and non
digital solutions not on the public's radar, would have been foolhardy.
The bureaucrat's pen and the judge's gavel are no match for a market
which is not interested.

>
>> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
>> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
>> most optimistic.
>
>
>> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
>> are incompatible.
>
>
> [snip]
>
> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market. I
> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people isn't
> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
> some interesting programming ends up there.
>

You're right. It's going to be content. If the content isn't
there....there's just no reason for the buy.

> Frank Dresser
>
>

4452. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:45:31 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154022331.459032.113690@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85588

RonH wrote:
> There is an interesting comparison between the E1 and R75 at Guy Atkins blog
> at www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com. It is by a MW DXer in Finland and include an
> audio clip of WBBR in NY on the E1. Very impressive. Apparently the Finn got
> one of the lower price reconditioned units. As they are availabe for about
> $400, I'l have to start shoping around
>
> Ron

Is the Icom known as a good MW DX receiver? I never recall hearing this
about it, but I haven't followed the reviews all that closely, either.
I thought the Icom's strength was SW, and especially utilities.

Steve

4453. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:45:44 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <qtuhc2lcptrj6eu2pd37b96nqo7r1mb9p8@4ax.com>
Source record: 85587

hahaha....that's funny stuff!

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 01:59:31 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>
>http://snipurl.com/tvmu

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

4454. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:48:07 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <o1vhc2pph4uebg7os7t2aan68rcsei77ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 85589

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 05:33:07 GMT, Billy Smith
<chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>
>Do we really need the UN anyway? After all most of their so called
>military people are pansy asses and aren't worth the time. If it was up
>to me, they would take their headquarters over to France or somewhere.

If it were up to you, they would all be cobbing!

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

4455. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:48:56 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <l3vhc29rsq6i5cicubc0cgrb34oop7krc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 85590

Where is your spine barney?

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 22:17:18 GMT, John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote:

>MnMikew wrote:
>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>> news:GCAxg.174605$771.6446@edtnps89...
>>> Brian Hill wrote:
>>>
>>>> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:70Axg.174599$771.93202@edtnps89...
>>>>> it would appear that assholes know no boundaries
>>>>
>>>> Yea Mike, your post is evidence of that.
>>>>
>>>> BH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> So, Brian, have you written your Congressman yet? The Bush backed
>>> abomination in the Middle East is spreading. Will you at least try to
>>> help stop it?
>>>
>> So far the US is the ONLY people trying to stop it.
>
>Stop it? You damn idiots helped to get the ball going by invading Iraq.
>Saddam is scum but he was busy keeping the Iranians at bay and the
>Syrians weren't doing much during that time. Your invasion just made it
>easier for weapons to get through to the terrorist cells.
>
>About damn time you tried cleaning up your own mess!
>
>JB
>
>What does the worthless
>> UN do? Send in unarmed Canadians. How worthless can u get.
>>
>>
>>

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

4456. Re: OT Just as bright as the American forces....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:50:25 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <46vhc2td8j3l23soa3kljcb1r0ogstj9v9@4ax.com>
Source record: 85591

We are truly sorry that Gore let's you use his internet!

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 04:45:39 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:

>Brian Hill wrote:
>
>> I'm all for what we and the Israelis are doing and it's about time.
>
>
>
>I am truly sorry you are backing murderers.
>
>
>==
> A detailed timeline of the bombing has been provided by UN sources and
>reported by CNN. According to the timeline, the first bomb exploded
>around 200 yards from the post at 1.20pm yesterday.Unifil observers then
>telephoned their designated contact with the Israeli military, who
>assured them the attacks would stop.
>
>Over the next several hours, nine more bombs fell close to the post,
>each one followed by a call to the Israeli military, the UN officer
>said.The main Unifil base in the town of Naqoura lost contact with the
>post at 7.40pm, seemingly the time when the post received a direct hit.
>
>A report was made by Milos Strugar, a United Nations Interim Force
>spokesman, shortly after the bomb hit: "One aerial bomb directly
>impacted the building and shelter in the base of the United Nations
>Observer Group in in the area of Khiam," he said. "There are casualties
>among the observers. A UNIFIL dispatched rescue team which is on the
>spot is still unable to clear the rubble," he said. He also reported
>that Israeli firing continued during the rescue operation
>
>http://snipurl.com/txun
>
>==
>
>==
>UN observers in Lebanon telephoned the IDF 10 times in six hours to ask
>it to stop shelling near their position before an attack killed
>four observers and sparked international anger against Israel,
>UN officials said Wednesday.
>
>http://news.google.ca/nwshp?hl=en&tab=wn&q=
>==

>
>==
>"The co-ordinated artillery and aerial attack on a long established and
>clearly marked UN post ... occurred despite personal assurances given to
>me by (Israeli) Prime Minister Ehud Olmert that UN positions would be
>spared Israeli fire."
>
>http://snipurl.com/txue
>==
>
>
>
>
>
>mike

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

4457. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:51:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <e4vhc2pchu939nj2653cvse4ooimpc6dcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 85592

On 27 Jul 2006 09:42:41 -0700, sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:

>

>
>And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
>11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
>companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
>watch).
>

That's factually inaccurate. The companies are acquiring subs faster
than the 2 remaining DBS entities did during their boost phase.

You can't say ''fuck'' on HD radio.

4458. Re: Funniest thing on shortwave....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:53:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1757-44C8FD93-1507@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85594

Nahhhh,I dont like those rich snooty people.
Bonnie and Clyde movie was on tv last night.They was shooting up an old vacant farm house that belonged to an old guy.The old guy drove up and said the bank stold his house and ran him and his family off his land.Clyde told him,We rob banks.
cuhulin

4459. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 17:53:38 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lcvhc2lhijo2k6nh2h0p430mifep73t9c5@4ax.com>
Source record: 85593

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 11:18:21 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <star@shot.org>
wrote:

>If the VCR is of a stereo Hi-Fi vintage than the audio is recorded
>digitally. It is fairly close to CD quality. Few people are aware of this
>fact.

FM, not digital.

4460. Re: Reverse Station Lookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 18:41:42 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <GN7yg.58148$Eh1.25022@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 85596

Get the software Shortwave Log from www.shortwavelog.com; that'll do it for
ya! : }

"asdf" <asdf@asdf.com> wrote in message news:oiWxg.72$Q31.10@fe08.lga...
> let say im listening to station at freq 12345 khz
> is there a website out there which will give me the
> name of the station?
>
> thanx
>
>

4461. Re: Comparison of E1 with R75 for MW DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 19:01:33 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <966af$44c90970$422a9820$946@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85595

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154022331.459032.113690@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> RonH wrote:
> > There is an interesting comparison between the E1 and R75 at Guy
Atkins blog
> > at www.sdr-1000.blogspot.com. It is by a MW DXer in Finland and
include an
> > audio clip of WBBR in NY on the E1. Very impressive. Apparently
the Finn got
> > one of the lower price reconditioned units. As they are availabe
for about
> > $400, I'l have to start shoping around
> >
> > Ron
>
> Is the Icom known as a good MW DX receiver? I never recall hearing
this
> about it, but I haven't followed the reviews all that closely,
either.
> I thought the Icom's strength was SW, and especially utilities.

To take full advantage of the R75, you'd need to
disable the MW attenuator. The Kiwa mods would
help as well. I'm not an R75 owner nor an E1
owner, but I imagine that others may have
both.

--Mike L.

4462. Re: AMERICA IN DANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 19:31:15 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <238d1$44c91485$8b370cf3$32442@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 85597

Yea Febreeze beats the hell outta Oust.....America in Danger?......Nahhh
they just smell better than the other stinkin fucking countries!
<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1757-44C8EA57-1484@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
>I use Febreeze.Febreeze is good.
> cuhulin
>

4463. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 19:52:50 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <eab5hg$r3c$1@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 85798

VCR Hi-Fi records/reads audio two ways:

The old analog way with the linear audio track on the long side of the tape.
This mode is FM and is backward compatable with non-HiFi recorded tapes.

The HiFi audio mode is Pulse Code Modulation. PCM is used to record audio
with the video head but separate from the video. The video head takes a
swipe across the tape. When it reaches near the bottom, it stops recording
video and starts recording DIGITAL audio using the same head.

During playback, the head listens for video sending it to the screen and
when it reaches the end of a swipe, shifts its attention to audio sending
the digits to a circuit that converts the signal back into stereo sound.

If the DIGITAL audio is not present on the tape the HiFi VCR will use the
old analog FM linear audio track instead.

In the 1980s and 1990s, some people used the Hi-Fi tracks on VHS and BetaMax
VCRs to record audio. This was a stopgap measure while affordable digital
audio was being developed and sounded far better than audio cassettes.

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:lcvhc2lhijo2k6nh2h0p430mifep73t9c5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 11:18:21 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <star@shot.org>
> wrote:
>
> >If the VCR is of a stereo Hi-Fi vintage than the audio is recorded
> >digitally. It is fairly close to CD quality. Few people are aware of
this
> >fact.
>
> FM, not digital.
>

4464. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:08:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%29yg.1357$TV.1124@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85799

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154017855.279579.280540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> I think we have David, on the run, over there..
>

Not really. The article is full of errors and misconceptions. With over 2000
on air or in construction HD stations, nobody is on the run.

4465. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:11:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85800

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
>> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
>> >bought
>> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
>> >
>> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
>> >suggests
>> >a weak demand.
>> >
>> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
>>
>> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
>> years.
>
> And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
> companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
> watch).

Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity ration
any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start up
costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.

However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price off
in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the early
adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do
not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.

4466. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:14:13 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <p89yg.1360$TV.1263@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85801

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154018603.647852.100960@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> FM is a matter of power and height. All major antenna manufacturers, like
>> ERI, build good antennas.
>
> The height gives you range. The wattage gives you penetration -
> ability to punch through concrete walls and what not.
>
> A 10 watter signal on top of the Empire State Building will carry far.
> But you'll probably only be able to hear it if you're either outside,
> or sitting next to a window.
>
> This is why I can get significantly beter reception in my windowless
> bunker (high atop the 47th floor of One Chase Manhattan Plaza in the
> Financial District) from a 6,000 like WSKQ or WQHT; than a
> 600-something watter like WKCR-FM or WCAA.
>
> All four stations broadcast from the top of Empire.

But most of us, given height or power, would take height. KSCA in LA could be 78 kw on Flint Peak (where it was) but is 4800 watts on Mt Wilson. The trade for height is favorable, even at that low power.

On the other hand, at some point, it is counter-productive. We brought KRCV down from 570 watts at near 1000 feet HAAT to 6000 watts at 100 meters,a nd the signal usability improved drastically, even if we lost some theoretical coverage.

4467. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:15:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C91EF5.5C4D3467@milestones.com>
Source record: 85802

David Eduardo wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154017855.279579.280540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > I think we have David, on the run, over there..
> >
>
> Not really. The article is full of errors and misconceptions. With over 2000
> on air or in construction HD stations, nobody is on the run.

But you are busted...

The Edweenie song:

He's been busted in Miami...
And down San Diego way...
He's been busted in Portland...
And in Ecuador they say...
Edweenie's been busted... Busted USA...

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4468. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:16:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Za9yg.1363$TV.1018@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85803

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154018678.451583.37180@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> David wrote:
>
>> Mechanical Null fill? How about electrical beam tilt?
>
> You can also do mechanical beam-tilt, I think.

Yep I did this on a home-made antenna on Mt. Pichincha in Ecuador in the 60's. We were about 1000 meters right above the city, and I mounted the bays (vertical only) on a pole which had a pivot at the bottom and a chain at the top. We cranked out the chain until the antenna was angled about 20 degrees or so towards Quito, and got terrific results. We also found that by reducing power form 10 kw to about 1000 watts, we vastly reduced multipath, serious in the Andes, and got much better reception.

4469. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:17:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C91F76.DCC8A37C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85804

David Frackelton Gleason, still posing as 'Eduardo', but just an anglo from Cleveland wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David wrote:
> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
> >> >bought
> >> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >> >
> >> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
> >> >suggests
> >> >a weak demand.
> >> >
> >> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
> >>
> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> >> years.
> >
> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
> > companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
> > watch).
>
> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity ration
> any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start up
> costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.
>
> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price off
> in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the early
> adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do
> not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.

Just as there is no value to IBOC, you prancing fool.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4470. Re: HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:18:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6c9yg.1364$TV.1316@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85805

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> of HD/IBOC:

Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.

In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling something.

4471. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:37:43 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12ci91cgie6hcdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85806

The "HiFi" audio in consumer grade HiFi VHS is recorded in Audio Frequency Modulation (AFM).
Digital audio PCM is only available on high end S-VHS machines.

"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
> VCR Hi-Fi records/reads audio two ways:
>
> The old analog way with the linear audio track on the long side of the tape.
> This mode is FM and is backward compatable with non-HiFi recorded tapes.
>
> The HiFi audio mode is Pulse Code Modulation. PCM is used to record audio
> with the video head but separate from the video. The video head takes a
> swipe across the tape. When it reaches near the bottom, it stops recording
> video and starts recording DIGITAL audio using the same head.
>
> During playback, the head listens for video sending it to the screen and
> when it reaches the end of a swipe, shifts its attention to audio sending
> the digits to a circuit that converts the signal back into stereo sound.
>
> If the DIGITAL audio is not present on the tape the HiFi VCR will use the
> old analog FM linear audio track instead.
>
> In the 1980s and 1990s, some people used the Hi-Fi tracks on VHS and BetaMax
> VCRs to record audio. This was a stopgap measure while affordable digital
> audio was being developed and sounded far better than audio cassettes.
>

4472. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 20:41:22 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Px9yg.3157$db.2690@fe03.lga>
Source record: 85807

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message news:h6Axg.174602> That's pretty tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> situation warrants it.
> mike

Oh fuck Mike! Both Jews and Christians believe that their the only faith with souls and all non believers are doomed and that goes for Muslims as well. Give me a break.

BH

4473. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:03:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <9gaic25b0bs55rkp81jer6rliqdccdqo5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 85808

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:52:50 -0500, "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad"
<dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir> wrote:

>VCR Hi-Fi records/reads audio two ways:
>
>The old analog way with the linear audio track on the long side of the tape.
>This mode is FM and is backward compatable with non-HiFi recorded tapes.
>
>The HiFi audio mode is Pulse Code Modulation. PCM is used to record audio
>with the video head but separate from the video. The video head takes a
>swipe across the tape. When it reaches near the bottom, it stops recording
>video and starts recording DIGITAL audio using the same head.
>
>During playback, the head listens for video sending it to the screen and
>when it reaches the end of a swipe, shifts its attention to audio sending
>the digits to a circuit that converts the signal back into stereo sound.
>
>If the DIGITAL audio is not present on the tape the HiFi VCR will use the
>old analog FM linear audio track instead.
>
>In the 1980s and 1990s, some people used the Hi-Fi tracks on VHS and BetaMax
>VCRs to record audio. This was a stopgap measure while affordable digital
>audio was being developed and sounded far better than audio cassettes.
>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:lcvhc2lhijo2k6nh2h0p430mifep73t9c5@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 11:18:21 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <star@shot.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >If the VCR is of a stereo Hi-Fi vintage than the audio is recorded
>> >digitally. It is fairly close to CD quality. Few people are aware of
>this
>> >fact.
>>
>> FM, not digital.
>>
>
>
Thanks.

4474. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:03:22 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154034202.373573.193920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> but you are the only only raing about it wiseman

What's "raing" Is that something to do with the ancient Egyptian sun god, or did you just fuck up again?

4475. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:22:04 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <08ayg.485892$Fs1.65394@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85811

SeeingEyeDog wrote:
> The "HiFi" audio in consumer grade HiFi VHS is recorded in Audio Frequency
> Modulation (AFM).
> Digital audio PCM is only available on high end S-VHS machines.

And some of the early 8MM....

>
> "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
>> VCR Hi-Fi records/reads audio two ways:
>>
>> The old analog way with the linear audio track on the long side of the
> tape.
>> This mode is FM and is backward compatable with non-HiFi recorded tapes.
>>
>> The HiFi audio mode is Pulse Code Modulation. PCM is used to record audio
>> with the video head but separate from the video. The video head takes a
>> swipe across the tape. When it reaches near the bottom, it stops recording
>> video and starts recording DIGITAL audio using the same head.
>>
>> During playback, the head listens for video sending it to the screen and
>> when it reaches the end of a swipe, shifts its attention to audio sending
>> the digits to a circuit that converts the signal back into stereo sound.
>>
>> If the DIGITAL audio is not present on the tape the HiFi VCR will use the
>> old analog FM linear audio track instead.
>>
>> In the 1980s and 1990s, some people used the Hi-Fi tracks on VHS and
> BetaMax
>> VCRs to record audio. This was a stopgap measure while affordable digital
>> audio was being developed and sounded far better than audio cassettes.
>>
>
>

4476. Re: Universal radio shipping rates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:25:38 UTC
From: bm <Bjarne.Mjelde@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154035538.529479.219980@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85812

Disregard my message, I later found out that the item in question was
not CE-marked, hence they could not ship it to Europe.

BM

bm skrev:
> You should first find out if they ship overseas at all. A friend of
> mine in EU was told rather recently by U-R that they no longer ship
> overseas, he was buying a radio.
>
> BM
>
> adam wrote:
> > Anyone know about universal radio shipping rates especially in regards
> > to overseas?.
> >
> > any help appreciated
> >
> > Yours truly
> > Adam

4477. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:27:31 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154035651.560195.314880@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85813

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154017855.279579.280540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > I think we have David, on the run, over there..
> >
>
> Not really. The article is full of errors and misconceptions. With over 2000
> on air or in construction HD stations, nobody is on the run.

Your opinions are invalid. You are BUSTED.

4478. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:29:19 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154035759.726716.324180@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and keep you and grant you peace

I see you finally spelled "peace" correctly after a week of spamming it
misspelled.

4479. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:30:34 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154035834.128604.248190@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
<spam>

Tell us why you were accused of sodomy, Marqueer.

4480. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:35:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154036131.625901.319770@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

4481. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:45:59 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154036759.172192.137070@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> <spam>
>
> Tell us why you were accused of sodomy, Marqueer.
I can't tell why you keep accusing me of that?
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

4482. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:58:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1368-44C936F4-286@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85821

Cindy Sheehan buys five acres in Crawford. www.drudgereport.com
Ahh hah hah hah hah hah,,,, I love it.

I have a snail mail from ''Tex'' Houston in Midland that dates back
about four or five years ago about how disappointed folks in Midland are
in bush.
cuhulin

4483. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 21:58:25 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85818

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
> I
> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
> isn't
> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
> some interesting programming ends up there.

Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
Think about it:

They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there at
present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in more
listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the stations
going to be programming these new channels for free? I think not..
programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel, royalties, ad
inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time on those channels
to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to sell more ad time in
an already oversaturated market) or start charging for the service.

Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see any
way around it.

4484. Markie C. Morgan molests kids in his home!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:00:25 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154037625.620638.215890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

17366 River Rd
Chassell, MI 49916

4485. may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:02:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154037751.438700.20740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

4486. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:14:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <nVayg.12753$2v.1405@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85822

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
>> I
>> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
>> isn't
>> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
>> some interesting programming ends up there.
>
> Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> Think about it:

Neither. They are new "radio stations" that can be sold to advertisers, and
a way of giving additional services to listeners. Free services.
>
> They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there
> at present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in
> more listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the
> stations going to be programming these new channels for free? I think
> not.. programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel,
> royalties, ad inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time
> on those channels to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to
> sell more ad time in an already oversaturated market) or start charging
> for the service.

In the major markets where the HD 2 channels are rolling out, there is
plenty of revenue for the full facility FMs. Revenue tends to grow with new
alternatives, and can come from reallocation of print and outdoor and direct
mail local dollars.
>
> Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see
> any way around it.

We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no plans
to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop. In
the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
capital... just start them up and build them.

4487. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:19:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154038755.611222.224080@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85823

Brian Hill wrote:
> "m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message news:h6Axg.174602> That's pretty
> tame. According to the Jewish Religion, NO ONE but Jews
> > have Souls and they be in possession of more than just one if the
> > situation warrants it.
> > mike
>
> Oh fuck Mike! Both Jews and Christians believe that their the only faith
> with souls and all non believers are doomed and that goes for Muslims as
> well. Give me a break.
and don't the Jappanesse (shnto types believe only they have a place
uder the emperor benvonalent care and....
>
> BH

4488. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:37:54 UTC
From: marq_morcum@mail2queen.com
Message-ID: <1154039874.336156.299660@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

Sexual Immorality
12"Everything is permissible for me"-but not everything is
beneficial. "Everything is permissible for me"-but I will not be
mastered by anything. 13"Food for the stomach and the stomach for
food"-but God will destroy them both. The body is not meant for
sexual immorality, but for the Lord, and the Lord for the body. 14By
his power God raised the Lord from the dead, and he will raise us also.
15Do you not know that your bodies are members of Christ himself? Shall
I then take the members of Christ and unite them with a prostitute?
Never! 16Do you not know that he who unites himself with a prostitute
is one with her in body? For it is said, "The two will become one
flesh."[b] 17But he who unites himself with the Lord is one with him in
spirit.

18Flee from sexual immorality. All other sins a man commits are
outside his body, but he who sins sexually sins against his own body.
19Do you not know that your body is a temple of the Holy Spirit, who is
in you, whom you have received from God? You are not your own; 20you
were bought at a price. Therefore honor God with your body.

4489. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:41:24 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154040084.779178.251290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

```
marq_mor...@mail2queen.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > traps you
the is a radio NG you know?
```

4490. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:50:42 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154040642.638367.49440@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
why not stop your constnat references to m2m sex if you are going to
bitch about it so

4491. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 22:58:44 UTC
From: TheMonger <baked_ham_with_cb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154041124.071303.178600@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

an old freind wrote:
> marq_mor...@mail2queen.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > > traps you
> the is a radio NG you know?

http://www.godhatesfags.com

SODOMY IS AN ABOMINABLE SIN, WORTHY OF DEATH.
"If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them." Leviticus 20:13. "Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them." Romans 1:32.

See also Leviticus 18:22, Genesis 19, Romans 1:18-32, I Corinthians 6:9-11, I Timothy 1:10, and Jude 7.
GOD HATES ALL WORKERS OF INIQUITY.
"The foolish shall not stand in thy sight, thou hatest all workers of iniquity." Psalm 5:5.
See also Leviticus 20:23, Leviticus 26:30, Deuteronomy 32:19, Psalm 5:6, Psalm 11:5, Malachi 1:3, Matthew 7:23, and Romans 9:13.
JESUS CHRIST DIED ONLY FOR THOSE WHO BELIEVE.
"For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life." John 3:16.
See also John 13:1, John 17:9, Ephesians 5:25, etc.
ONLY GOD'S ELECT HAVE THE CAPABILITY TO BELIEVE.
"Therefore they could not believe, because that Esaias said again, He hath blinded their eyes, and hardened their heart; that they should not see with their eyes, nor understand with their heart, and be converted, and I should heal them." John 12:39,40.
See also John 10:11,26, Matthew 11:25,26, Acts 13:48, Romans 9:19-24, etc.
SODOMITES ARE PROUD OF THEIR SIN ("GAY PRIDE"), AND IN THAT PRIDEFUL STATE THEY CANNOT REPENT - YOU CANNOT REPENT OF SOMETHING YOU'RE PROUD OF.
"Were they ashamed when they had committed abomination? nay, they were not at all ashamed, neither could they blush: therefore they shall fall among them that fall: at the time that I visit them they shall be cast down, saith the LORD." Jeremiah 6:15.

See also Jeremiah 13:23, Romans 1:24-32, Ezekiel 16:49-50, etc.
THE SODOMITES' ONLY HOPE IS TO HAVE THE UNAMBIGUOUS TRUTH PREACHED TO THEM, AND PERHAPS GOD WILL SOFTEN THEIR HEARTS AND GRANT THEM REPENTANCE TO DEPART FROM THEIR SIN AND NAME THE NAME OF CHRIST. NOT VERY LIKELY, THOUGH, SINCE GOD HAS GIVEN THEM UP.
"Nevertheless the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal, The Lord knoweth them that are his. And, Let every one that nameth the name of Christ depart from iniquity." II Timothy 2:19. "Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness...unto vile affections...to a reprobate mind." Romans 1:24-28.
See also II Timothy 2:24-26, Psalm 5:5, I Corinthians 6:9-11, Acts 17:30, Jude 22,23, etc.
THERE IS A HELL WHERE ALL IMPENITENT SINNERS WILL RESIDE FOR ALL ETERNITY. THAT INCLUDES SODOMITES (CALLED "DOGS").
"And they shall go forth, and look upon the carcasses of the men that have transgressed against me: for their worm shall not die, neither shall their fire be quenched; and they shall be an abhorring unto all flesh." Isaiah 66:24. "For without are dogs..." Revelation 22:15.
See also Mark 9:44, Luke 16:23, Revelation 20:15.
In summary, sodomites are wicked and sinners before the Lord exceedingly (Gen. 13:13), are violent and doom nations (Gen. 19:1-25; Jgs. 19), are abominable to God (Lev. 18:22), are worthy of death for their vile, depraved, unnatural sex practices (Lev. 20:13; Rom. 1:32), are called dogs because they are filthy, impudent and libidinous (Deut. 23:17,18; Mat. 7:6; Phil. 3:2), produce by their very presence in society a kind of mass intoxication from their wine made from grapes of gall from the vine of Sodom and the fields of Gomorrah which poisons society's mores with the poison of dragons and the cruel venom of asps (Deut. 32:32,33), declare their sin and shame on their countenance (Isa. 3:9), are shameless and unable to blush (Jer. 6:15), are workers of iniquity and hated by God (Psa. 5:5), are liars and murderers (Jn. 8:44), are filthy and lawless (2 Pet. 2:7,8), are natural brute beasts (2 Pet. 2:12), are dogs eating their own vomit and sows wallowing in their own feces (2 Pet. 2:22), will proliferate at the end of the world bringing final judgment on mankind (Lk. 17:28-30), have been finally given up by God to uncleanness dishonoring their own bodies among themselves, to vile affections, and to a reprobate mind such that they cannot think straight about anything (Rom. 1:23-28), have wholly given themselves over to fornication and gone after strange flesh (Jude 7), must be pulled as faggots from the fire (Jude 23), and have no hope of Heaven unless they repent (Rev. 22:15), which they can't do in their prideful state (Jer. 6:15). They need to hear this truth if they are to have any hope of penitence, faith in Jesus Christ and salvation (I Timothy 4:2-4).

4492. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:08:46 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154041725.946165.105270@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

```
TheMonger wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > marq_mor...@mail2queen.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > > > traps you
> > the is a radio NG you know?
>
> http://www.godhatesfags.com
this is a radio NG but god loves me I know that well

some of his followers of course don't but that is ok too
```

4493. FS Grundig YB-400PE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:14:33 UTC
From: robtgross <robtgross@att.net>
Message-ID: <tNbyg.486574$Fs1.170875@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85829

The YB-400PE is in mint condition and comes with: Grundig AC adapter, stereo ear plugs, wind-up antenna, owners manual, vinyl carry case and orginal box. The cabinet has a titanium colored finish. $70 plus shipping.

4494. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:29:27 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154042967.053458.131680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85830

Frank Dresser wrote:
>
> Am I reading the nifty formulae wrong? It looks to me like he's deriving
> the distortion of a diode detector from the modulation index only. My sense
> of these things says that a 50% modulated signal at a tenth of a volt is
> going to have much more distortion than a 50% modulated signal at 10 volts.
>
> Frank Dresser

Very few radios drive the detector with anything near 10V.
The R390 and R392 have the highest diode drive voltages I have
seen and I think they are less then about 3V.

Most modern, IE "solid state", receivers I have measured have less
1V. All that I have seen that use discrete diode detectors as oppossed
to ICs, have farily high AF gain stages.

I didn't post this as an attemp to claim that "Synchronous detectors"
are a hoax,
but to offer another viewpoint that is backed up by what appears to be
valid
engineering to me.

ASCII text is not my choice for this arcane topic because of the great
difficulty
in expressing meaningfull equations.

This is merely another tool to be used in trying to receceive fading
signals.
His filters work much better then I expected. I found that by forward
biasing
the detector in my R2000 I got a much cleaner, ie lower distortion,
signal.
This was difficult to manage over very modest temperature changes.
A full wave "improved AM detector" gave even better results.
<http://www.amwindow.org/tech/htm/alowdisdet.htm>
A synch detector in an outboard detector gave even better results.

But the simple improved AM detector with a 4000Hz LP filter is a pretty
close
match to the synch detector at 1/100 the effort.

The above link goes into the math, this link starts with simpler math
and may
help the none engineers enter the fray.
<http://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/~www_pa/Scots_Guide/RadCom/part9/page2.html>

Another unusual but good detector can be seen at:
<http://www.pan-tex.net/usr/r/receivers/elrpicamdetect.htm>
Tom Holden's Synch detector group has a link to a very detailed math
examination of "detection". I lost the link to that paper so you will
have to ask
Tom or join his group.

And please note Mr. Lankford is not merely slapping a 4000Hz LP AF
filter
in the audio chain, he is offset tunning, with good narrow IF fitlers,
to eliminate
one sideband.

Terry

4495. Stealth Handgrenade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:35:23 UTC
From: Verstaldin <mjmiller@surfglobal.net>
Message-ID: <eabijc013uc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 85832

Stand in the middle of Beirut and hold it as high in the air as you can - it attracts missles and artillery - visible all the way from Tehran.
Brio
The earth belongs to God +

4496. ARRL Maryland State Convention this Saturday, July 29, in Hagerstown, MD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:53:14 UTC
From: VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <CfidnTRfq6BEzFTZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85831

ARRL Maryland State Convention this Saturday, July 29, in Hagerstown, MD.

For info, click on:
<http://www.w3cwc.org/MDC2006.htm>

4497. Re: HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-27 23:55:53 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154044553.351519.267370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85833

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> > of HD/IBOC:
>
> Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
>
> In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> something.

David,

You are spewing the bullshit again, here is his background and
companies:

Hear2.0 is the very first audio entertainment strategy company. It is
the sister company to Mercury Radio Research, a leading provider of
strategic research services to the radio industry.

MARK RAMSEY, PRESIDENT

As head of one of the radio industry's leading research companies,
Mercury Radio Research. Mark Ramsey has been a key strategic advisor to
many broadcasters nationwide, including CBS Radio, Entravision
Communications, Saga Communications, Susquehanna Radio, Corus
Entertainment, and many others. Ramsey has published articles in all
the major radio trade publications and is a recognized radio futurist.

Ramsey is the author of the well-known and widely-quoted Radio
Marketing Nexus - now the home of hear2.0.

Ramsey's most recent book aimed at broadcasters, Fresh Air: Marketing
Gurus on Radio, has been recently released, and is available through
Amazon.com and Barnes and Noble.

4498. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:09:16 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1154045356.363405.90360@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85834

Terry, Frank, et al.

Yes, an interesting article. Thanks for bringing it up to the front
burner again.

I don't understand his representation of the fading term as it has no
link to the actual fading frequency. I would think the distortion
would have to be created by the fade. The only frequencies discussed
are w_carrier, and w_audio.

Also his depiction of instantaneous fourier spectrum at the point of
deepest fade leaves me guessing. I would have liked to see him strobe
the fade in and out at a fixed frequency and see the spectrum output of
that. All in all a good article. I read it a few weeks ago briefly,
but haven't had the time to get into it. I'll for sure make the time
knowing there might be some meaningfull discussion here. Usually the
threads degenerate at warp speed.

My boss just bought a smokin nice spectrum analyzer that I might be
able to share with him.

And David, what was that comment about the Drake receivers? Do you
know what type of filters they use?

regards,
Bob
N9NEO

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> <http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
> Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure
> of/for
> the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".
> All of his PDFs are informative, but his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters" series
> are must reads. His conclussions in his "Elliptic Low Pass Audio
> Filters (Amplified) -
> Simplified and improved", 29-MAY-2006, are downright fascinating. I
> have been
> playing with his design and a couple of steep cut off 3600Hz filters. I
> don't
> have the tools to duplicate his research. I knew from the "get go" that
> my
> remote/weak signal source with which I use to test detector, and to a
> lesser
> degree, antenna/feedline combination suffered from the major weakness

> that
> there was no multipath effects. All of my experiments were local ground
> wave
> and I couldn't, and still can't, "messure" the effects of such fading.
>
> I would love to have a Drake R8B, but I was forced to deal with the
> receivers I do
> have. I used R390, R392, R2000(modified),
> R2000(stock),(borrowed)AOR7030,
> and a DX398 for some simple tests. I am just out of the ground wave
> for several
> MW stations and around dawn and dusk I get serious and nasty fading. So
> for
> the last few weeks I have been comparing stock, ie non-synchronous,
> detectors
> with synchronous detectors, and the addition of a brick wall 3.5KHz low
> pass fitler.
>
> As Mr. Lankford concludes a synch detector is only (and that might
> ought to be
> "may") be slightly better then a AF LP good filter. It is rather
> frustrating to have
> spent the last 18 months building an outboard synchronous detector to
> find that
> a simple LP filter offered so much improvement. Don't get me wrong, a
> synch
> detector is a usefull addition, but not the end all I had hoped.
>
> I will disagree with his use of a simple bipolar 2W AF amp. The one
> thing I have
> descerned is that after AF detection, any additional distortion rapidly
> degrades
> intelligibility. I found MOSFETs, and vacuum tubes, amps allowed me to
> understand
> signals better then 6dB down from a "good" bipolar AF amp.
>
> "My" third R2000 was siezed by my wife. I had added a MOSFET amp,
> redesigned
> the treble cut to a tone-tilt control and just completed adding
> switchable 3KHz/4KHz
> Filters as designed by Mr. Lankford. My wife has been doing some casual
> SWL for the
> last few days and agrees the filters are very good for nasty band
> conditions.
>
> Email is abandoned and dead.
> Terry

4499. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:16:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154045770.830062.167320@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

```
an old freind wrote:
> TheMonger wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > marq_mor...@mail2queen.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> > > > > traps you
> > > the is a radio NG you know?
> > >
> > http://www.godhatesfags.com
> this is a radio NG but god loves me I know that well

Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
faggot.
```

4500. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:17:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154045862.221719.44360@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old feind wrote:
> why not stop your constnat references to m2m sex if you are going to
> bitch about it so

Because it's making you cry, you cocksucking queer.

4501. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:19:02 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1154045942.737810.219080@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
> faggot.
you will be there if you believe the Bible for violating the ten
comandaments and bearing false witness

bless you and grant you pecae soon

4502. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:20:38 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1154046038.179903.315100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:

> Because it's making you cry, you cocksucking queer.
no crying here

just you violating the word of thof god you claim to trying to enforce

bless you and grant you pecae soon

4503. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:24:37 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154046277.088191.243410@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Because it's making you cry, you cocksucking queer.
> no crying here
>
> just you violating the word of thof god you claim to trying to enforce
>
> bless you and grant you pecae soon

Blaspheming God with your perverted ways, faggot?

4504. Re: more wismen trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:29:28 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1154046568.332087.215110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
you are the one that violates the express word of god
> Blaspheming God with your perverted ways, faggot?

4505. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 00:48:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23234-44C95ED5-1198@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85841

www.standeyo.com Pic of the Day.

Most Animals get along niecely with one another.Most Human Animals though,unfortunately,that just does not happen and it will never happen either.Us Human Animals are the worst Animals on Earth.I dont doubt at all that in the future all Human Animals will self destruct and most of the other Animal Species will still be around millions of years long after all Humans on Earth are long gone.Yes,the Good Animals will Rule the World once again.
cuhulin

4506. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:10:02 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C963EA.F96E9AE@milestones.com>
Source record: 85842

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', and still yapping, flapping, and prancing for IBOC QRM wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>
> >> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
> >> I
> >> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
> >> isn't
> >> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
> >> some interesting programming ends up there.
> >
> > Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> > Think about it:
>
> Neither. They are new "radio stations" that can be sold to advertisers, and
> a way of giving additional services to listeners. Free services.
> >
> > They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there
> > at present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in
> > more listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the
> > stations going to be programming these new channels for free? I think
> > not.. programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel,
> > royalties, ad inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time
> > on those channels to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to
> > sell more ad time in an already oversaturated market) or start charging
> > for the service.
>
> In the major markets where the HD 2 channels are rolling out, there is
> plenty of revenue for the full facility FMs. Revenue tends to grow with new
> alternatives, and can come from reallocation of print and outdoor and direct
> mail local dollars.
> >
> > Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see
> > any way around it.
>
> We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no plans
> to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop. In
> the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of

> capital... just start them up and build them.

Still shilling the QRM, Edweenie yammers on and on.

No wonder mommy sent him out of the country.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4507. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:17:31 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ccpic2levlo694o841kcqb642qbu1q4mhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 85843

```
On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>news:eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>
>>> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
>>> I
>>> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
>>> isn't
>>> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
>>> some interesting programming ends up there.
>>
>> Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
>> Think about it:
>
>Neither. They are new "radio stations" that can be sold to advertisers, and
>a way of giving additional services to listeners. Free services.
>>
>> They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there
>> at present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in
>> more listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the
>> stations going to be programming these new channels for free? I think
>> not.. programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel,
>> royalties, ad inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time
>> on those channels to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to
>> sell more ad time in an already oversaturated market) or start charging
>> for the service.
>
>In the major markets where the HD 2 channels are rolling out, there is
>plenty of revenue for the full facility FMs. Revenue tends to grow with new
>alternatives, and can come from reallocation of print and outdoor and direct
>mail local dollars.
>>
>> Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see
>> any way around it.
>
>We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no plans
>to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop. In
```

>the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
>capital... just start them up and build them.
>
>
>
You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.

4508. Re: HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:25:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <mIdyg.182880$F_3.140659@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85844

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154044553.351519.267370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
>> > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
>> > of HD/IBOC:
>>
>> Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
>> company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
>>
>> In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
>> something.
>
> David,
>
> You are spewing the bullshit again, here is his background and
> companies:
>
> Hear2.0 is the very first audio entertainment strategy company. It is
> the sister company to Mercury Radio Research, a leading provider of
> strategic research services to the radio industry.

You are reading right from Mr Ramsey's website.

Hear 2.0 is a brand new company, and there is not much that indicates it has
any customers. It is part of a blog, for gawd's sake, not an enterprise.

Mark'sw radio research company does perceptual and music testing, just like
a couple of dozen other companies do. It is not the largest, like Coleman,
Critical Mass Media, Broadcast Architecture, Paragon, etc. It is not the
smallest, but is at the small side. It is hardly a trendsetter.

Heck, I have done projects for Susquehanna, Infinity, and a bunch of similar
companies in the US... and for some that are even bigger outside the US. I
could write about the same thing as what follows:
>
> MARK RAMSEY, PRESIDENT
>
> As head of one of the radio industry's leading research companies,
> Mercury Radio Research. Mark Ramsey has been a key strategic advisor to
> many broadcasters nationwide, including CBS Radio, Entravision
> Communications, Saga Communications, Susquehanna Radio, Corus

> Entertainment, and many others. Ramsey has published articles in all
> the major radio trade publications and is a recognized radio futurist.

Mark is a good researcher. I admire a lot of what he has done. However, he is off base on the HD thing and, as I have cited, is actually wrong on some key facts... probably because he has not spent enough time reviewing the history of broadcasting. He is a smart guy, and I doubt he would like you using out of context his opinions.

4509. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:26:33 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <dJdyg.182881$F_3.10776@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85845

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:ccpic2levlo694o841kcqb642qbu1q4mhm@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no
>>plans
>>to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop.
>>In
>>the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
>>capital... just start them up and build them.
>>
>>
>>
> You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.
>

I'll bet our tejano channels in Houston and Dallas hit critical mass within
two years.

4510. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:30:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <s3qic2pl74idp66pahb0a0m2d0dl6p4m77@4ax.com>
Source record: 85846

On 27 Jul 2006 17:09:16 -0700, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Terry, Frank, et al.

>And David, what was that comment about the Drake receivers? Do you
>know what type of filters they use?
>
>
''The R8 IF filters
hark back to the models 1, 2 and early R4,
is they are LC tuned circuits, not crystal
filters. Crystal filters have gotten more
expensive over the years (is the world
running out of quartz, too?), and apparently
LC filters are now more cost-effective.
Some receiver users claim that LC filters
provide better audio response for listening
to broadcast stations. From a performance
standpoint, I wouldnï¿½t have known the R8
had I.C. filters if I hadnï¿½t read about them
in the Owne r 's Manual.''

http://www.dproducts.be/drake_museum/qst-r8.pdf

4511. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:30:51 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <pNdyg.364$DW3.116@fe06.lga>
Source record: 85847

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23234-44C95ED5-1198@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
> www.standeyo.com Pic of the Day.
>
> Most Animals get along niecely with one another.Most Human Animals
> though,unfortunately,that just does not happen and it will never happen
> either.Us Human Animals are the worst Animals on Earth.I dont doubt at
> all that in the future all Human Animals will self destruct and most of
> the other Animal Species will still be around millions of years long
> after all Humans on Earth are long gone.Yes,the Good Animals will Rule
> the World once again.
> cuhulin
>

You may be right Cahulin

BH

4512. Re: HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:32:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C96933.666A0583@milestones.com>
Source record: 85598

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo', struggled to write:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154044553.351519.267370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> >> > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> >> > of HD/IBOC:
> >>
> >> Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> >> company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
> >>
> >> In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> >> something.
> >
> > David,
> >
> > You are spewing the bullshit again, here is his background and
> > companies:
> >
> > Hear2.0 is the very first audio entertainment strategy company. It is
> > the sister company to Mercury Radio Research, a leading provider of
> > strategic research services to the radio industry.
>
> You are reading right from Mr Ramsey's website.
>
> Hear 2.0 is a brand new company, and there is not much that indicates it has
> any customers. It is part of a blog, for gawd's sake, not an enterprise.
>
> Mark'sw radio research company does perceptual and music testing, just like
> a couple of dozen other companies do. It is not the largest, like Coleman,
> Critical Mass Media, Broadcast Architecture, Paragon, etc. It is not the
> smallest, but is at the small side. It is hardly a trendsetter.
>
> Heck, I have done projects for Susquehanna, Infinity, and a bunch of similar
> companies in the US... and for some that are even bigger outside the US. I
> could write about the same thing as what follows:
> >
> > MARK RAMSEY, PRESIDENT
> >
> > As head of one of the radio industry's leading research companies,

> > Mercury Radio Research. Mark Ramsey has been a key strategic advisor to
> > many broadcasters nationwide, including CBS Radio, Entravision
> > Communications, Saga Communications, Susquehanna Radio, Corus
> > Entertainment, and many others. Ramsey has published articles in all
> > the major radio trade publications and is a recognized radio futurist.
>
> Mark is a good researcher. I admire a lot of what he has done. However, he
> is off base on the HD thing and, as I have cited, is actually wrong on some
> key facts... probably because he has not spent enough time reviewing the
> history of broadcasting. He is a smart guy, and I doubt he would like you
> using out of context his opinions.

YOU are off base on HD/IBOC, oh retarded one.

4513. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:49:53 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <53eyg.143801$I61.6246@clgrps13>
Source record: 85599

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:

> It would appear that Mike is can not perform basic math.

...but can mike perform basic sentence construction?

4514. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:51:01 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154051461.389643.37430@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85600

Brenda Ann wrote:

> Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> Think about it:

Lease them out to third party operators for multi-ethnic programming.

Imagine this. You have Reggaeton music on your normal HD-1 stream.

Lease out your HD-2 to say....a Spanish Christian broadcaster who can't
afford to buy a station of their own, or some other ethnic group(s)
that doesn't have the critical mass to support a full-time station.
Ditto the HD-3.

Assuming the analog FM goes away, how many streams can you split each
frequency on the 88-108 band into?

--
Steph

4515. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 01:53:32 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <w6eyg.143802$I61.21680@clgrps13>
Source record: 85601

Brian Hill wrote:

>> Yes,the Good Animals will Rule
>> the World once again.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> You may be right Cahulin

Someone once said that after the Third World War, only Cockroaches and Keith Richards will survive. When told about this, Richards said "Yeah..and I'll EAT the Cockroaches!"

mike

4516. Re: Women Have No Souls according to Syrian President

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 02:07:18 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eabrc1rvb1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85602

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:pNdyg.364$DW3.116@fe06.lga...
>
> <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:23234-44C95ED5-1198@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
>> www.standeyo.com Pic of the Day.
>>
>> Most Animals get along niecely with one another.Most Human Animals
>> though,unfortunately,that just does not happen and it will never happen
>> either.Us Human Animals are the worst Animals on Earth.I dont doubt at
>> all that in the future all Human Animals will self destruct and most of
>> the other Animal Species will still be around millions of years long
>> after all Humans on Earth are long gone.Yes,the Good Animals will Rule
>> the World once again.
>> cuhulin
>>
>
> You may be right Cahulin
>
> BH

Sounds like Cuhulin's quoting from the Satanic Bible. Lots of that sort of
stuff in there.

4517. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 02:23:20 UTC
From: Scott Nelson <treasuredude62@nospamyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Spydnd0Q__m56FTZnZ2dnUVZ_vKdnZ2d@midco.net>
Source record: 85603

I used to do this all the time. I would record the video of the local
preview channel along with the audio. The preview channel had the time
displayed constantly.

Scott

David wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 05:04:48 GMT, m II <none@telus.net> wrote:
>
>> I remember someone using a VCR tape for audio recording from the radio.
>> He set the record time to six hours and when recording, would write down
>> the time in order to locate a desired segment at a later date.
>>
>> How is the sound quality at such a slow tape speed? Speech may be
>> acceptable, but would music still be of good enough quality?
>>
>>
> Use VHS HiFi. It records with an FM carrier. Excellent quality. Use
> a cheap video camera to record a clock.
>

--
Stop by and visit me on the Web...

http://www.treasuredude.net.tc
or
http://pie.midco.net/treasuredude

"If you give a person a fish, they'll fish for a day. But if you train a
person to fish, they'll fish for a lifetime."
- Dan Quayle

4518. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 03:04:53 UTC
From: toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
Message-ID: <qnvic2dro16g4m8r0jusjjlbfo0ktfvc26@4ax.com>
Source record: 85604

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 16:32:54 -0700, "k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:

>
>"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:VuSxg.45$2M3.17@fe04.lga...
>
>"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!
>>
>> JB
>
>
>Canada couldn't clean the shit off it's own ass.
>
>BH
>
> You are confusing the real Canadians with the "canadians"
> registered under this multiculture diversity thingy. k35454.
>
>

I love Canada. Super Country!!! Great neighbor.

4519. Sony shortwave repair, and reception question--

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 03:10:02 UTC
From: Jean Barto <jsbarto1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <C0EEF84A.1FD41%jsbarto1@cox.net>
Source record: 85605

Hi folks--

I have two Sony shortwave receivers that need repair--one is the ICF SW-007, the battery bay on that one has a bad spring mechanism, and keeps popping open--I have to tape it shut with duct tape. Less importantly, the top section of the antenna broke off, and I'd also like to have the antenna replaced/repaired if possible.

My other receiver (forget the nomenclature, but it's the "weird looking" small portable that came out four or five years ago) has a broken volume dial. I dropped the radio on its side a few months ago, and the volume dial became jammed so it couldn't be advanced easily. I fiddled around with it some, and proceeded to break it entirely--the volume dial came out of the slot entirely, and into my hands. Now, the radio is continually on the *highest* volume level, and now there's no way to turn it down, since the volume dial is no longer in its slot.

So, I'd like to have both radios repaired if I can--they both fit my needs (small and portable and easy to pack/travel with), neither of them are "throwaway" radios by any means, and to me, worth fixing. However, I couldn't find anywhere on the Sony website where they listed radio repair facilities. I also checked Universal Radio's website, and I think they said they no longer accept Sony radios for repair.

Hopefully, someone here can help with this, and suggest someplace where I can send my radios for repair.

Lastly, I've noticed the reception on all of my favorite stations (WWCR, Radio Havana, etc.) is *lousy*, and has been so for the past couple of weeks. Is it the time of year, or something else? Just wondering.

Thanks in advance,

Jean in SE VA

4520. Re: SSB on the sangean ats-505

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 03:20:21 UTC
From: adam <adman32003@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <44c98275@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 85606

Northe Osbrink wrote:
>
>
> adam wrote:
>> How does the SSB work on the ats-505?.
>
> If you're asking _whether_ it works, it's not sophisticated, but it
> _does_ work well enough to tune in an SSB signal and understand it. I've
> used it to listen to radio amateurs, military and other stations just
> fine -- its bandwidth is too wide for serious communications work.
>
> As to how to use it: To select SSB, you use the FM - FM Stereo/ SSB - AM
> switch on the right side, and fine tune with the clarify control, also
> on the right side. Be sure to start out with the clarify thumbwheel in
> the center position (there's a notch that you can feel when it's
> centered). The tuning LED turns red to let you know that SSB is
> selected. You push in on the tuning knob (left side) to change from the
> normal 5 kHz to 1 kHz tuning steps.
>
> Northe
> N6KO
>
>
>
cheers mate ill try it tonight.

4521. FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 03:59:34 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13>
Source record: 85607

They're gonna BURN for this....

http://cbs5.com/topstories/local_story_208212723.html

mike

4522. Re: Iran's War - Aug. 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 04:13:17 UTC
From: Spinner <spinner11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TPqdnfjwyepAE1TZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 85608

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
<<SNIP>>

You'll feel much better after I pull that dud 12BE6 out of the back of your head and replace it with a nice new *metal* 6L6.

4523. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 05:02:46 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-BE8E3D.22024627072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85609

In article <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David wrote:
> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
> >> >bought
> >> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >> >
> >> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
> >> >suggests
> >> >a weak demand.
> >> >
> >> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
> >>
> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> >> years.
> >
> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
> > companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
> > watch).
>
>
> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity ration
> any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start up
> costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.
>
> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price off
> in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the early
> adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do
> not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.

Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with their
operating costs.

--
Telamon

Ventura, California

4524. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 05:19:35 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <H7hyg.183103$F_3.20100@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85610

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
> They're gonna BURN for this....

It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title or lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure worked on you!

4525. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 05:20:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Q8hyg.183104$F_3.26257@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85611

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-BE8E3D.22024627072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
>> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
>> >> >bought
>> >> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
>> >> >
>> >> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
>> >> >suggests
>> >> >a weak demand.
>> >> >
>> >> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
>> >>
>> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
>> >> years.
>> >
>> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
>> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
>> > companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
>> > watch).
>>
>>
>> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity
>> ration
>> any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start
>> up
>> costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.
>>
>> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price
>> off
>> in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the
>> early
>> adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do
>> not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.

>
> Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
> roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with their
> operating costs.

Nope. XM actually has operating cas flow, or EBITDA. And they should have free cash flow by Q4 of 2006 or Q1 of next year... Sirius is about a year behind them.

Both are on plan so far.

4526. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 05:40:42 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <urhyg.66210$B91.30819@edtnps82>
Source record: 85612

David Eduardo wrote:

> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
>> They're gonna BURN for this....
>
> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title or
> lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
> worked on you!

Well, no...

I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon.
They had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.

"Nobody ever went broke underestimating the taste of the American public."

HL Mencken

4527. HD "2" FM Radio - Is It Worth the Price of IBOC on AM Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 06:14:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154067266.586729.92350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85613

David wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >news:eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>
> >> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >> news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>
> >>> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
> >>> I
> >>> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
> >>> isn't
> >>> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band, assuming
> >>> some interesting programming ends up there.
> >>
> >> Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> >> Think about it:
> >
> >Neither. They are new "radio stations" that can be sold to advertisers, and
> >a way of giving additional services to listeners. Free services.
> >>
> >> They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there
> >> at present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in
> >> more listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the
> >> stations going to be programming these new channels for free? I think
> >> not.. programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel,
> >> royalties, ad inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time
> >> on those channels to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to
> >> sell more ad time in an already oversaturated market) or start charging
> >> for the service.
> >
> >In the major markets where the HD 2 channels are rolling out, there is
> >plenty of revenue for the full facility FMs. Revenue tends to grow with new
> >alternatives, and can come from reallocation of print and outdoor and direct
> >mail local dollars.
> >>
> >> Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see
> >> any way around it.
> >
> >We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no plans
> >to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop. In

> >the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
> >capital... just start them up and build them.
> >
> >
> >

- You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.

"Critical Mass" - David that is a Great idea !

An FM HD2 Channel featuring Bob Brinker
and the "MoneyTalk Radio" Program ;-) ~ RHF
http://www.bobbrinker.com/
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bob_Brinker
http://abcradio.go.com/show?id=91

As for me I am still waiting for True Bilingualism to come
to TV and the Media when all the Spanish Language TV
Stations will feature "English" Simulcast on the SAP.
Noticias + Desportes + Novelas
{ SAP = News + Sports + Soap Operas }
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simulcast
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_audio_program
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novela
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TV_y_Novelas
- - - It Ought To Be A Law ! ;-)
.
.
. .

4528. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 06:14:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lXhyg.76051$Lm5.9193@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85614

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
news:urhyg.66210$B91.30819@edtnps82...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
>> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
>>> They're gonna BURN for this....
>>
>> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title
>> or lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
>> worked on you!
>
>
>
> Well, no...
>
> I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon. They
> had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
> denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.
>

It is not a format. It is a stunt to change the perception of that dial
position from one of an evangelical station to a pop station of some kind...
coming next week.

I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car dealer
you think that they sell elephants there!

It's a stunt.

4529. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 06:17:47 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85615

```
In article <Q8hyg.183104$F_3.26257@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-BE8E3D.22024627072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David wrote:
> >> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> >> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
> >> >> >bought
> >> >> >the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
> >> >> >suggests
> >> >> >a weak demand.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
> >> >>
> >> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> >> >> years.
> >> >
> >> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> >> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
> >> > companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
> >> > watch).
> >>
> >>
> >> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity
> >> ration
> >> any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start
> >> up
> >> costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.
> >>
> >> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price
> >> off
> >> in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the
```

> >> early
> >> adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do
> >> not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.
> >
> > Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
> > roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with their
> > operating costs.
>
> Nope. XM actually has operating cas flow, or EBITDA. And they should have
> free cash flow by Q4 of 2006 or Q1 of next year... Sirius is about a year
> behind them.
>
> Both are on plan so far.

Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?

They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4530. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 06:32:55 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154068375.098094.275580@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85616

toTaLhAt wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 16:32:54 -0700, "k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:VuSxg.45$2M3.17@fe04.lga...
> >
> >"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >
> >> Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!
> >>
> >> JB
> >
> >
> >Canada couldn't clean the shit off it's own ass.
> >
> >BH
> >
> > You are confusing the real Canadians with the "canadians"
> > registered under this multiculture diversity thingy. k35454.
> >
> >
>
>

- I love Canada. Super Country!!! Great neighbor.

toTaLhAt - You must live in Greenland ! ~ RHF
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenland
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Canada
.
.
. .

4531. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 06:53:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154069618.223920.223240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85617

DE,

Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.

You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a

trade publication.

and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> > of HD/IBOC:
>
> Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
>
> In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> something.

4532. (OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 07:04:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154070269.731149.316050@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85618

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
>
> > I'm fine, Brian. I did laugh at it after a while, tho.
> >
> > --Mike L.
> >
> >
>
> That's good. At the price of food now days, I'd hate to see ya loose your
> supper.
>
>
> PS You do gotta wonder about these weirdo's that show up with strange
> handles like Douche Bag and contribute nothing but shit.
>
> BH

(OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

BH - His prior screen name was "Coffee Enema"
-but- everyone knew it was a total misrepresentation
-cause- everything that he posted clearly indicated
that he was still Full-of-Shit ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4533. (OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 07:13:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154070809.192319.104970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85619

(OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
> > using the AM sync.
>
>
- Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?

(OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

To Know Your Self -is- To Love Yourself.
{ To Thy Own Self Be True ! }

Then Again - Everyone has to have a Dream -and-
Have the Hope of Becoming Some Thing Some Day !

what's in a screen name of a persona-non-grata ~ RHF
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Persona_non_grata
.
.
. .

4534. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 09:08:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C9D404.AD9CF36C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85620

David Eduardo wrote:

> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:urhyg.66210$B91.30819@edtnps82...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> >> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
> >>> They're gonna BURN for this....
> >>
> >> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title
> >> or lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
> >> worked on you!
> >
> >
> >
> > Well, no...
> >
> > I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon. They
> > had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
> > denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.
> >
>
> It is not a format. It is a stunt to change the perception of that dial
> position from one of an evangelical station to a pop station of some kind...
> coming next week.
>
> I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car dealer
> you think that they sell elephants there!
>
> It's a stunt.

Kinda like a shtick. You know, like becoming a fake Hispanic.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4535. Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 09:23:08 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85621

Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.

Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.

http://propagation.hfradio.org/

(NW7US, SWL mail list)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4536. Like New s530 High Performance Field Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 09:27:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154078847.588962.179030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85622

Yes siree bob 'rhf' finds another new and wonderful radio :
"Like New s530 High Performance Field Radio"

Oops - This is another eBay 'typo' - It's just another
display model radio from RadioShack and is really
only a Eton {Grundig} S350 Radio.

my bad ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4537. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 11:25:49 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154085949.137172.229820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85623

N9NEO wrote:
> Terry, Frank, et al.
>
> Yes, an interesting article. Thanks for bringing it up to the front
> burner again.
>
> I don't understand his representation of the fading term as it has no
> link to the actual fading frequency. I would think the distortion
> would have to be created by the fade. The only frequencies discussed
> are w_carrier, and w_audio.
>
> Also his depiction of instantaneous fourier spectrum at the point of
> deepest fade leaves me guessing. I would have liked to see him strobe
> the fade in and out at a fixed frequency and see the spectrum output of
> that. All in all a good article. I read it a few weeks ago briefly,
> but haven't had the time to get into it. I'll for sure make the time
> knowing there might be some meaningfull discussion here. Usually the
> threads degenerate at warp speed.
>
> My boss just bought a smokin nice spectrum analyzer that I might be
> able to share with him.
>
> And David, what was that comment about the Drake receivers? Do you
> know what type of filters they use?
>
>
> regards,
> Bob
> N9NEO

One big problem with recreating real world HF propagation is the random
nature of multipath. Lankford's sweeping RF notch recreates a simple
single fade, but can't
produce the multiple "comb filter" like effect I have noticed. I built
a simple HiFer
"beacon" that allows me to check a "real world" RF signal for just how
much
SN effected intelligibility. I found that many non obvious things had a
big effect.
One of the simplest source of nastiness is the post detection AF chain.
I had
doubted that minor things like the type of capacitor could "really make
any diference".
My whole goal was to improve my best radio as much as I could for as

little money
as possible. A synch detector is one improvement. But even though a
"good" synch
detector with a phasing fitler is supposed to reject non signal out of
band signals.
see :<http://home.worldnet.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/sync_det.html>
It does help, in some conditions.
I found the biggest jump in intelligibility for the least money came
from an "Improved
AM detector". See: <http://www.amwindow.org/tech/htm/alowdisdet.htm>
To qoute "There are no intermodulation terms to contend with. In fact,
functionaly,
this is identical to synchronous detection. The negative half wave
signal is derived
in a similar fashion."
For clear signals, with no or minimal fading this detector is cleaner
then any other
detector I have played with. With this detector, a decent 4KHz IF
filter, and using
offset tuning on AM with a 4KHZ AF LP fitler, the result is very close
in performance
a Synch detector. If you wish to follow my "saga", please look up the
various threads from last summer.

IF I were doing this project over I would likely go with the better
Kiwa fitler module and the improved AM detector. Along with an improved
AF chain. My wife's R2000 has a
4HKZ mechanical fiter in the AM-N/SSB slot, the improved AM detector
and a home
built 2W MOSFET audio chain. And she loves it.

Terry

4538. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 11:44:03 UTC
From: Beerbarrel <beerbarrel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <73ujc2logbc5shpf590lvc50jeut1ir7mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 85624

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 03:04:53 GMT, toTaLhAt <roadbike@budweiser.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 16:32:54 -0700, "k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message news:VuSxg.45$2M3.17@fe04.lga...
>>
>>"John Barnard" <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>
>>> Translation - We got tired of cleaning up your messes!
>>>
>>> JB
>>
>>
>>Canada couldn't clean the shit off it's own ass.
>>
>>BH
>>
>> You are confusing the real Canadians with the "canadians"
>> registered under this multiculture diversity thingy. k35454.
>>
>>
>
>
>I love Canada. Super Country!!! Great neighbor.

The country is great! It's the people that screw it up.

KE4ODD

Service to my country? Me too and I've got my DD214 and 5 point veteran preference to prove it.

*Used with express written permission of its creator.

4539. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 11:59:33 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154087973.683891.327560@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85625

It'll get tired after a month or so;

Who'd advertize there ?

m II wrote:
> They're gonna BURN for this....
>
>
> http://cbs5.com/topstories/local_story_208212723.html
>
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

4540. More Morgan trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:44:17 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154090657.436704.273390@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> you are the one that violates the express word of god

No, read your bible, faggot. You are doing that with your perversions.
Remeber Sodom and Gommorah?

4541. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:46:05 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <sn1kc2dfgof3fldk57akdg0qefbs0sm5lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 85627

```
On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 01:26:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:ccpic2levlo694o841kcqb642qbu1q4mhm@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no
>>>plans
>>>to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop.
>>>In
>>>the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
>>>capital... just start them up and build them.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.
>>
>
>I'll bet our tejano channels in Houston and Dallas hit critical mass within
>two years.
>
>
Only if you give away a lot of radios.
```

4542. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:48:18 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <kr1kc2pk4vmar8l73vfpump632i2jbvlru@4ax.com>
Source record: 85628

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 05:02:46 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
>roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with their
>operating costs.

Both predict Cash Flow Break Even in the next 12 months.

4543. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:50:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ou1kc2170bu87ptnj5ng7g5uu2495n36ed@4ax.com>
Source record: 85629

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 06:17:47 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>
>Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
>
>They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
>supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.

XM is stumbling a bit as they rely heavily on General Motors. Sirius
is doing fine.

4544. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:50:37 UTC
From: lijiupeng123@126.com
Message-ID: <1154091037.092334.79220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85630

Dear friend. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com. There is lots of commodities on it, which is my favorite collection. For example, bags, shoes, guitar, violin even curios......they are is very discount. Your dream can become true with its help. if you need it,please tell to me or bid it,I am a wholesale merchant,you need some more items,i will give you the least price in the world. Thank so much.

4545. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:56:40 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154091400.802973.49460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

an Old friend wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
> > faggot.
> you will be there if you believe the Bible for violating the ten
> comandaments and bearing false witness

You mean like you've been doing?

4546. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 12:59:12 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <9g2kc2d1rbgicih96it0phrkgp3dmftk0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 85632

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 05:40:42 GMT, m II <c@in.the.hat> wrote:

>David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
>> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
>>> They're gonna BURN for this....
>>
>> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title or
>> lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
>> worked on you!
>
>
>
>Well, no...
>
>I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon.
>They had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
>denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.
>
So God didin't invent sex?

4547. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:10:32 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154092232.871949.32090@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > <spam>
> >
> > Tell us why you were accused of sodomy, Marqueer.
> I can't tell why you keep accusing me of that?

Mainly because it's why you got kicked out of the Army.
```

4548. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:11:16 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154092276.631492.304720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ!

4549. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:11:23 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154092283.342769.31830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85635

RHF wrote:
> DE,
>
> Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
>
> You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
>
> trade publication.
>
> and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> > > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> > > of HD/IBOC:
> >
> > Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> > company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
> >
> > In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> > something.

Actually, get onto the Hear2.0 home page, scroll down, and search on,
"HD radio" - ther e is a wealth of information, that DE won't be able
to rebutt.

4550. Re: HD/IBOC article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:14:36 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154092476.516300.54770@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85636

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the evolution
> > of HD/IBOC:
>
> Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
>
> In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> something.

DE,

You are truly, "king of the spin-doctors" - and who was one of his
customers - CBS Radio, one among many ! And, his company is the first
of its kind !

4551. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:17:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154092677.336886.102100@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85637

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:ccpic2levlo694o841kcqb642qbu1q4mhm@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no
> >>plans
> >>to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop.
> >>In
> >>the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
> >>capital... just start them up and build them.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.
> >
>
> I'll bet our tejano channels in Houston and Dallas hit critical mass within
> two years.

Makes no difference whether they do or not. You'll still be BUSTED.

4552. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:18:39 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154092719.304054.249490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85638

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-BE8E3D.22024627072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > In article <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David wrote:
> >> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> >> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people would have
> >> >>bought
> >> >>the digital radios regardless of whatever band digital was on.
> >> >>
> >> >>If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly puppy, it
> >> >>suggests
> >> >>a weak demand.
> >> >>
> >> >>It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
> >> >>
> >> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less than 5
> >> >> years.
> >> >
> >> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> >> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now, and both
> >> > companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and explain that away,
> >> > watch).
> >>
> >>
> >> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to equity
> >> ration
> >> any greater than General Electric. They have financed most of the start
> >> up
> >> costs by equity issues, and have relatively manageable debt.
> >>
> >> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM stock price
> >> off
> >> in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing poorly. This is because the
> >> early
> >> adopters, they feel, are already in on satellite and the value seekers do

> >> not perceive there to be value at $12.95 a month.
> >
> > Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
> > roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with their
> > operating costs.
>
> Nope. XM actually has operating cas flow, or EBITDA. And they should have
> free cash flow by Q4 of 2006 or Q1 of next year... Sirius is about a year
> behind them.
>
> Both are on plan so far.

And you are still BUSTED.

4553. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:53:27 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154094807.206198.261720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85639

David Eduardo wrote:
> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
> > They're gonna BURN for this....
>
> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title or
> lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
> worked on you!

Doesn't matter if it worked on him. You're still BUSTED.

4554. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 13:54:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154094882.404643.217830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85640

David Eduardo wrote:
> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:urhyg.66210$B91.30819@edtnps82...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> >> news:GYfyg.143819$I61.142791@clgrps13...
> >>> They're gonna BURN for this....
> >>
> >> It is a stunt. They are just playing any song that has "sex" in the title
> >> or lyric. It is supposed to suck people in and attract attention. It sure
> >> worked on you!
> >
> >
> >
> > Well, no...
> >
> > I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon. They
> > had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
> > denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.
> >
>
> It is not a format. It is a stunt to change the perception of that dial
> position from one of an evangelical station to a pop station of some kind...
> coming next week.
>
> I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car dealer
> you think that they sell elephants there!
>
> It's a stunt.

Irrelevant. You're still BUSTED.

4555. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 14:27:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CA1EC0-56@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85641

That is Crap ''radio''.If a radio station in this area was running that Crap,I wouldn't listen to it.I sort of miss (although I didn't listen much to them,other members of my family tuned them in) those old soap opera programs that used to be on the radio back in the 1940's and 1950's.
cuhulin

4556. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 14:40:11 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <88714$44ca21cc$8b37071a$4231@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 85642

FUCK OFF COMMIE!

(Hows that for foreign relations?)

Jesus Christ those commie fuckups are stealing/building everything nowadays...check out the knockoff gucci bags made by the finest slave labor in the world!

<lijiupeng123@126.com> halfassed wrote wrote english in message news:1154091037.092334.79220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dear friend. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com. There is lots of
> Phone Number:86010-87310526
Email:service@lanvs.com Fax:86010-87310526
Detail Address:Chao Yang District,Beijing,China Zip Code:100021 ICP:05061904
Admin Log

4557. Re: Recommendation (new radio, return, Sony vs. Kaito, etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 14:44:34 UTC
From: Steve <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <hh8kc259oq90od665mkeunnsjrb5r0aert@4ax.com>
Source record: 85698

On 26 Jul 2006 13:03:02 -0700, "Gus" <awbosse@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hi everyone,
>
>I'm new to this list and shortwave (SWL). I have an interesting twist
>to the age old question, "what's a good first radio" (Yes, I did search
>the group before deciding to post).
>
>Okay, I decided I wanted to get into shortwave listening (after hearing
>an NPR report on numbers stations). I'm primarily interested in
>listening to major broadcasts (BBC, VOA, VOR, VOK, Radio Netherlands,
>etc.) and maybe the occasional numbers station, if I can find one.
>
>In terms of electronics, I tend to subscribe to two schools: buy the
>absolute best OR buy the cheapest thing that will get the job done
>(middle of the road stuff tends to be over priced given the feature
>set). Well, after a little reading, I opted to buy the Sony
>ICF-SW7600GR. I received the '7600GR a few days ago, and the radio
>appears to work well.
>
>The '7600GR was relatively expensive, and I'm not sure if I'll use it
>enough to really reap the benefits of having a top-quality portable.
>The Kaito KA1101 (Degen DE1101) got a favorable review in the 2006
>_Passport to World Band..._ and at other SWL sites online, and I'm
>beginning to wonder if I should have went the "cheapest thing that will
>get the job done" route, especially since I'm so new to SWL.
>Furthermore, I'm thinking of getting an antenna, and the Sony AN-LP1
>(which apparently pairs well with the '7600GR) is about $105 delivered
>from Japan (on eBay). I can order a KA1101 with the KA31 antenna for
>about $100 delivered, which will save me well over $100 (more like
>$160) when compared to the '7600GR + AN-LP1 combo.
>
>On to my question, should I return the '7600GR? Will I notice a big
>(unfavorable) difference in performance in the KA1101? Is SSB and sync
>lock really necessary for my interests. I'm having a hard time with
>this decision, so any input or recommendations are welcome---$160 is a
>lot of money for me, especially if the KA1101 will work well enough for
>my purposes.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Gus

I just received the Kaito 1103 and Sony 7600GR. I actually like the
Kaito better. The ergonomics become intuitive once you have spent a

little time with the radio, and the much more expensive Sony does not perform any better in my admittedly unscientific tests. If I were doing it over again I would get the 1103 with the active loop antenna. It works great for SSB as well--good enough to receive WEFAX, etc. Just my $.02. --Steve

4558. Top News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 14:54:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA2511.99FAEE29@milestones.com>
Source record: 85643

The latest edition (27 July) of Top News has been posted:

http://www.wwdxc.de/topnews.htm

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4559. K7RA/ARRL Propagation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 15:25:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA2C79.3DD687A4@milestones.com>
Source record: 85644

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/07/28/2/?nc=1

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4560. Re: (OT) : Oh Canada ! - What Happen To You ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 15:39:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15533-44CA2FA2-14@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85645

Military to put Cheynne Mountain on standby; once touted as America's safest spot. www.drudgereport.com

Bye y'all,I am moving to one of those little Isles just off the West coast of Ireland.
cuhulin

4561. Broadcasting,Low Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 15:49:45 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CA3219-59@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85647

www.devilfinder.com Atmospheric Broadcasting
cuhulin

4562. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:09:31 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <%Eqyg.66255$B91.35169@edtnps82>
Source record: 85748

David wrote:

>> I first heard of the change on a local CBC AM station this afternoon.
>> They had a good laugh at the irony of it. Pandering to the lowest common
>> denominator seems to be an accepted way of fattening the bottom line.
>>
> So God didin't invent sex?
>

Sure. He also invented dogs. That does not give cuhulin the permission
to practice the former on the latter.

mike

4563. Re: VCR sound quality for taping radio broacasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:21:48 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <eafuk7$18c$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85682

In article <12chpqe4tmg7938@corp.supernews.com>,
SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org> wrote:
>If the VCR is of a stereo Hi-Fi vintage than the audio is recorded
>digitally. It is fairly close to CD quality. Few people are aware of this
>fact.

No, it's wideband FM on the same track as the video using some tricks:
head gap angled opposite the video, wider gap, and low frequency carriers
so that the sound signal is "under" the video signal in the oxide.
Seperate carriers per side, too, I think.

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4564. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:22:05 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <NQqyg.134653$A8.94510@clgrps12>
Source record: 85749

Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:

> It'll get tired after a month or so;
>
> Who'd advertize there ?

Exactly. I'm wondering if this format change indicates a trend. Are the religion based stations losing people to the extent they are no longer able to attract advertisers or contributors?

It's a sad state of affairs that the new owners think a tasteless approach will increase income. David Eduardo is probably right when he says there will be another change once the initial 'excitement' is over.

It's all publicity.

ï¿½The only thing worse than being talked about is not being talked about.ï¿½

Oscar Wilde

4565. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:28:55 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <bXqyg.134654$A8.102100@clgrps12>
Source record: 85750

Rayburn wrote:
> FUCK OFF COMMIE!
>
> (Hows that for foreign relations?)
>
> Jesus Christ those commie fuckups are stealing/building everything
> nowadays...check out the knockoff gucci bags made by the finest slave labor
> in the world!
>
>
> <lijiupeng123@126.com> halfassed wrote wrote english in message
> news:1154091037.092334.79220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Dear friend. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com. There is lots of
>> Phone Number:86010-87310526
> Email:service@lanvs.com Fax:86010-87310526
> Detail Address:Chao Yang District,Beijing,China Zip Code:100021 ICP:05061904
> Admin Log
>
>

The spammer's provider is also the communist government. Think any
complaints will do any good?

inetnum: 222.128.0.0 - 222.131.255.255
netname: CNCGROUP-BJ
descr: CNCGROUP Beijing province network
descr: China Network Communications Group Corporation
descr: No.156,Fu-Xing-Men-Nei Street,
descr: Beijing 100031
country: CN

mike

4566. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:35:17 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <91ryg.492623$Fs1.340979@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85751

m II wrote:
> Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
>
>> It'll get tired after a month or so;
>>
>> Who'd advertize there ?
>
> Exactly. I'm wondering if this format change indicates a trend. Are the
> religion based stations losing people to the extent they are no longer
> able to attract advertisers or contributors?
>
> It's a sad state of affairs that the new owners think a tasteless
> approach will increase income. David Eduardo is probably right when he
> says there will be another change once the initial 'excitement' is over.
>
> It's all publicity.

We had a station sterilize a frequency here in Chicago. Went from
CHR, to "Hell Radio..." which drew a LOT of fire (so to speak) and then
to Young Talk, which failed dismally.

When WNIB went from it's classical format, to WDRV's Classic Rock,
they stunted with a week of 24 hour single artist segues before they
settled down.

I did a format change from Rock to Country where we took the station
dark and did crickets and bird sound effects, with only a legal ID each
hour, for a weekend.

Things will settle down into a more mainstream format.

But to answer your question....there has been a strong movement in
recent years by, for, and against religious stations in the US.

For awhile there, and some still today, religious broadcasters
snapped up the non comm frequencies for satellite distributed
evangelicals. Many public stations found themselves crowded out of
coverage by drop-in religious broadcasters. There was, and has been
huge, debate over this due to the pseudo commercial nature of much
religious programming and the threatened loss of some Public Radio
coverage.

Aggressive steps have been taken by Public Broadcasters to protect
their franchises, by consolidating locals allocations in the region to
retain their coverage and prevent religious drop-ins from eating up
their weaker signal areas, mostly fringe. WBEZ acquired two additional

frequencies, and is working on a third, in the wake of this.

Still, religious FM's are having a tough time meeting the revenue goals possible with more mainstream fare, and are the target of acquisitions all over the dial. Like the Florians at WNIB, it's tough to turn down nearly half a billion dollars, no matter how well you're doing, or how much you believe in your product.

>
>
>
> ï¿½The only thing worse than being talked about is not being talked about.ï¿½
>
> Oscar Wilde

4567. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:37:18 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <23ryg.134657$A8.90841@clgrps12>
Source record: 85752

David Eduardo wrote:

> I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car dealer
> you think that they sell elephants there!

No. I think the car dealer got drunk and passed out on the lot. His
salesman PUT that elephant on the car dealer's chest when he was passed out.

Salesmen get their fun where they can find it. I don't imagine it to be
an easy life. We'll have to ask dxAce....

mike

4568. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 16:52:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13057-44CA40CD-55@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85754

I once stumbled across a website about a Berkeley Parade.I just now did
a search for it and I saw, www.howberkeleycanyoube.com

Just pointing out that I doubt there will ever be any shortage of people
over there in that area who won't stay tuned in to that radio stations
''new format''.
cuhulin

4569. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:03:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154106189.182722.212970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
> > > faggot.
> > you will be there if you believe the Bible for violating the ten
> > comandaments and bearing false witness
>
> You mean like you've been doing?
which I have NEVER done

but you do to me many times daily

4570. bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:05:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154106347.369597.164240@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
bearing false witness is a sin wismen

4571. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:31:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154107884.394359.86500@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > <spam>
> > >
> > > Tell us why you were accused of sodomy, Marqueer.
> > I can't tell why you keep accusing me of that?
>
> Mainly because it's why you got kicked out of the Army.
nope I was kicked out for being 2 punds overweight was never accused of
sodmy in the service eevn informaly but
bearing false witness is a sin wismen
```

4572. Re: Sony shortwave repair, and reception question--

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:34:47 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12ckilomitfr4c1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85757

Goto http://groups.yahoo.com/ and search for a group named "SW07" or "icf-sw07". Also do a search for "icfsw07" parts to fix it yourself: http://www.partsolver.com

"Jean Barto" <jsbarto1@cox.net> wrote in message news:C0EEF84A.1FD41%jsbarto1@cox.net...
> Hi folks--
>
> I have two Sony shortwave receivers that need repair--one is the ICF SW-007,
> the battery bay on that one has a bad spring mechanism, and keeps popping
> open--I have to tape it shut with duct tape. Less importantly, the top
> section of the antenna broke off, and I'd also like to have the antenna
> replaced/repaired if possible.
>
> My other receiver (forget the nomenclature, but it's the "weird looking"
> small portable that came out four or five years ago) has a broken volume
> dial. I dropped the radio on its side a few months ago, and the volume dial
> became jammed so it couldn't be advanced easily. I fiddled around with it
> some, and proceeded to break it entirely--the volume dial came out of the
> slot entirely, and into my hands. Now, the radio is continually on the
> *highest* volume level, and now there's no way to turn it down, since the
> volume dial is no longer in its slot.
>
> So, I'd like to have both radios repaired if I can--they both fit my needs
> (small and portable and easy to pack/travel with), neither of them are
> "throwaway" radios by any means, and to me, worth fixing. However, I
> couldn't find anywhere on the Sony website where they listed radio repair
> facilities. I also checked Universal Radio's website, and I think they said
> they no longer accept Sony radios for repair.
>
> Hopefully, someone here can help with this, and suggest someplace where I
> can send my radios for repair.
>
> Lastly, I've noticed the reception on all of my favorite stations (WWCR,
> Radio Havana, etc.) is *lousy*, and has been so for the past couple of
> weeks. Is it the time of year, or something else? Just wondering.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Jean in SE VA
>

4573. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:37:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154108222.365798.120950@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
bearing false witness is a sin wismen

4574. Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 17:45:06 UTC
From: Stephen Drummonds <stephencd@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_-6dnVc1KeW30FfZnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 85759

I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

4575. Re: Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:10:12 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-24BF0F.11101228072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85760

In article <eadbppaha1@eskinews.eskimo.com>,
mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier) wrote:

> In article <44C8DD3A.ACC09D67@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >Mark Zenier wrote:
> >
> >> Anyone see an explanation of why Radio Aus. was only sending out
> >> the automated "Waltzing Matilda" all Wednesday (in Seattle) night?
> >> Spot checking from 08:00 UTC on 9580/9590 and later on 9475, 9560, 6020,
> >> 5995 until sometime before 16:15, (when they were back on 9710), that
> >> was all I could hear.
> >
> >Apparently a feed problem, and has been happening as of late:
>
> They must be changing something around because there's been some
> strange stuff. They've been running the BBC occasionally on 15515 at,
> as I remember, 22:00. And one Saturday, at 23:00 on 17795, they had the
> BBC ID before the hour in the few minutes after the transmission began,
> and then switched to R. Aus. after the time ticks.
>
> It would be nice if they got a hour or two of the Beeb in the
> Pacific. R. Aus seems to have enough signals out there at that
> hour anyway.

They rebroadcast their domestic network programming for a good part of
the day and that's what I like to listen to the call in programs so hear
the average Australian.

Occasionally they loose their feed to it.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4576. Re: (OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:12:11 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-374B90.11121128072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85761

In article <1154070809.192319.104970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:

> (OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
> > "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153949283.495097.162860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > Both the E1 and the R75 are lacking. The Eton E1 is junk. The R75 sucks
> > > using the AM sync.
> >
> >
> - Why do you call yourself Douche Bag?
>
> (OT) : With a Screen Name like "Douche Bag"
>
> To Know Your Self -is- To Love Yourself.
> { To Thy Own Self Be True ! }
>
> Then Again - Everyone has to have a Dream -and-
> Have the Hope of Becoming Some Thing Some Day !
>
> what's in a screen name of a persona-non-grata ~ RHF
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Persona_non_grata

Their is a number of cranks that post here and change their handle once
most people have them in their kill file. Just kill file their new
handle and be done with it.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4577. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:18:36 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-2751BD.11183628072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85762

In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
>
> Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
>
> http://propagation.hfradio.org/
>
> (NW7US, SWL mail list)

The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
does not. Yesterday when we were in the geomagnetic storm NZ and
Australia were putting big signals in here and I suspect that any over
the pole signals might have been degraded.

I expected Australia and NZ to sound poor but they were very good and
sounded like a local broadcaster a few miles down the road with over S9
levels.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4578. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:30:12 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AB00E6.11301228072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85763

```
In article
<telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

> In article <Q8hyg.183104$F_3.26257@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-BE8E3D.22024627072006@newsclstr02.news.prodi
> > gy.com.. .
> > > In article <U59yg.1359$TV.1084@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:1154018561.412480.269190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > David wrote:
> > >> >> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 14:37:15 GMT, "Frank Dresser"
> > >> >> <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> >If there were a strong demand for digital radio, people
> > >> >> >would have bought the digital radios regardless of whatever
> > >> >> >band digital was on.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> >If digital has to be nursed along as if it were a sickly
> > >> >> >puppy, it suggests a weak demand.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> >It's concevable there's absolutely no demand at all.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Sirius and XM have acquired 11,000,000 subscribers in less
> > >> >> than 5 years.
> > >> >
> > >> > And, David forgot to mention, that although Sirius and XM have
> > >> > 11,000,000 subscribers, they were expecting 40,000,000 by now,
> > >> > and both companies are very heavy in debt (but he wil try and
> > >> > explain that away, watch).
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Both companies are not heavily in debt. Neither has a debt to
> > >> equity ration any greater than General Electric. They have
> > >> financed most of the start up costs by equity issues, and have
> > >> relatively manageable debt.
```

> > >>
> > >> However, the investment community has knocked 75% of the XM
> > >> stock price off in the last 18 months, and Sirius is doing
> > >> poorly. This is because the early adopters, they feel, are
> > >> already in on satellite and the value seekers do not perceive
> > >> there to be value at $12.95 a month.
> > >
> > > Bottom line both companies are losing money per share and need to
> > > roughly double their current subscriber base to break even with
> > > their operating costs.
> >
> > Nope. XM actually has operating cas flow, or EBITDA. And they
> > should have free cash flow by Q4 of 2006 or Q1 of next year...
> > Sirius is about a year behind them.
> >
> > Both are on plan so far.
>
> Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
>
> They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers
> they supposed to have at this time and so have revised their
> schedual.

Both companies are off plan on subscriber numbers and are revising their estimates. Both companies need to roughly double their numbers to break even. The current EPS is negative for both companies or in other words they are both still losing money. Their is no argument about this just go look it up. It looks like another 1 to 2 years for either company to break even as long as the economy hangs in there according to most analysts.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4579. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:35:16 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154111716.416206.245080@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85764

David wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 06:17:47 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
> >
> >They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
> >supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.
>
> XM is stumbling a bit as they rely heavily on General Motors. Sirius
> is doing fine.

Wrong - both companies are heavily in debt...

4580. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:36:18 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <COsyg.186086$mF2.83092@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85765

```
"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:iX6yg.181532$mF2.104862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one
of
> >>> them.
> >>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
> > nonetheless.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
> >>> like
> >>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
> >>>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
> >>>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
> >>>>
> >>>> IBOC was inevitable.
> >>> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
> >>> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
> >> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
> >> finite amount of time.
> >> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
> >> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
> >> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
> >> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.
> >
> > But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed.
The
```

> > demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.
> >
>
>
> That's why I said "usually." There are exceptions. Fewer by far,
though.
>
>
>
>
> > I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The
> > platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a
> > problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which
opens
> > it up to all sorts of other interference.
> >
>
>
> The good stereo receivers had variable bandwidth that depended on
> signal strength. Sony made a bunch of models that opened up with strong
> stereo signals. Sony wasn't alone in this.
>
> That's actually not a problem.
>
>
> > But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay
much
> > for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM
> > stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM
> > stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot
> > more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or
two
> > more than standard AM.
> >
>
> And eventually, it would have been. But important to the discussion
> is that AM stereo was proposed as far back as the 50's, with practical
> demonstrations in the 60's. What kept it from taking off, was that at
> the time it was announced, and interest developed, FCC made a mess of
> the matter by a confusing array of stereo systems, most of which were
> not mutually compatible, and multimode receivers required users to
> select between the systems available on the receiver pursuant to the
> system being broadcast. It was actually not as complex a thing as it
> sounds, but there was a LOT of press about this, and most of it gross
> misinformation. Which put off public embrace of the concept. By the time
> the C-Quam system had been selected as the standard, and Kahn's suits
> had been dropped, the window had closed. The public was no longer
> excited about the concept, and was actively put off by the dizzying
> array of misinformation.
>

> Unlike Television in the 40's and 50's, which also required new
> hardware, and some effort in antenna installation and deployment, there
> wasn't a souring of the demand, by such widely misinformed press,
> disseminating misinformation. The Television window didn't close.
>
> AM Stereo did. As with a lot of new ideas whose times have been missed.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine
didn't
> > kill off the market, it enhanced it.
>
>
> Actually, the VHS machine simply exploited it.
>
> Enhancements came from camcorders, 8 and Hi-8, which led to 8 with
> digital audio, which led to Digital video, which has led now to DVR's,
> TiVO, and the array of Miglia products (and their kind) that replace all
> outboard Video hardware with PC and Mac based DV.
>
>
>
> >
> >> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
> >> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
> >> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent
an
> >> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
> >> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.
> >
> >
> > If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand
window?
>
>
>
> We wouldn't. But then the difference between a product without
> demand, and a product introduced after the demand window was closed is a
> difference of academics.
>
> When Lotus announced the M100 Elan in 1990, orders were placed
> immediately. I placed one myself. It was going to be the biggest sports
> car introduction in years. Huge. The numbers of orders placed were
> dramatic. And then production delays. Delivery delays. And even the
> patient customer began to ask for their money back. Finally the Elan hit
> the US. About 45 days after Mazda began selling the Miata, for about
> $25K less.

>
> I waited and took delivery of mine. But the end of production was
> announced the day I put the key in the ignition. Truth is, that the M100
> was the finest car Lotus had ever fielded. And it would have sold in the
> thousands. But they missed their window, and with the cancellations of
> so many orders, they lost money. Production ceased. And the Miata
bloomed.
>
> Timing really is everything.

It sounds like keeping promises is everything. It's harder for a company to
sell big ticket items when they look like screw-ups.

Speaking of AM stereo, windows and car analogies, imagine it's 1955 and
Chevrolet tried to sell their new V8 car at a higher price than the well
established Cadillac V8. They couldn't. The Chevy V8 would have failed,
not because it was introduced years after the Caddy, but because they were
trying to get people to pay more than what they already knew a good V8 car
should cost.

If the stereo on AM was practically a give-away, as it was on FM, it would
have suceeded even into the 90s.

>
>
> >
> >> So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
> >> belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
> >> federal policies have helped create.
> >>
> >> The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
> >> digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
> >> (read that: timely) marketing.
> >
> > I'm not seeing any particular demand for digital solutions. Sure,
people
> > like DVDs better than videocassetes, but they like them because they're
more
> > convienient. Probably alot of people think their old VCR was also
digital
> > because it had digital readouts.
> >
>
>
> "Digital" is the hottest sell word on the planet. And yes, there were
> several 'digital VCR's.' Only underscoring that there is demand for
> digital solutions.
>
> Or at least the digital buzzword.
>
> DVD's are not only convenient, they're digital. CD's are not only

> convenient, they're also digital. And that has driven an very near
> frenzy of digital affiliations. Digital coffeemakers. Digital electric
> blankets. Digital speakers. Digital headphones.
>
> I've even seen a pair of digital earplugs.
>
> "Digital" is a sell word. And it's working.

"Digital" will soon be a punch line. And the last guys to know will be the marketers -- the same guys who tried to sell us "space age" floor tiles and "turbo" diesel exhaust cologne.

>
> Whether or not a device is really a digital device....not relevant.
> It's what it's perceived to be with a digital name...and that's higher
> quality more accurate. Perception is the game in Marketing.
>
>
>
>
> > And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to
had to
> > force the transition.
>
>
> Yes and no. It would have come. But much slower. And the government
> needed the Analog spectrum to raise cash.
>
>
>
> >>
> >>> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
> > persuasive
> >>> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
> >>
> >> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
> >> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.
> >
> > I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't
enforce.
>
>
> Because the market couldn't be moved by enorcement. Digital was
> already on the horizon. Enforcing AM stereo with digital coming, and non
> digital solutions not on the public's radar, would have been foolhardy.
> The bureaucrat's pen and the judge's gavel are no match for a market
> which is not interested.

Again, it was the bureaucrat's decision not to demand it. The decision not to demand makes sense, but making sense is not a requriement for bureaucratic decisions.

>
>
>
> >
> >> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
> >> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
> >> most optimistic.
> >
> >
> >> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
> >> are incompatible.
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
I
> > think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
isn't
> > the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band,
assuming
> > some interesting programming ends up there.
> >
>
>
> You're right. It's going to be content. If the content isn't
> there....there's just no reason for the buy.

Content and price.

4581. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:36:19 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DOsyg.186087$mF2.85650@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85766

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:eabcpe$n7d$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:d56yg.181344$mF2.108039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
> > I
> > think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
> > isn't
> > the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band,
assuming
> > some interesting programming ends up there.
>
> Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> Think about it:

Well, I do think that pay radio is an option if IBOC fails. There's no
guarantees with IBOC and I think ibiquity would be foolish not to have a
back-up plan.

>
> They say the markets can only barely support the stations that are there
at
> present, and that IBOC is supposed to enhance the market and bring in more
> listeners to make the market more profitable... HOWEVER, are the stations
> going to be programming these new channels for free? I think not..
> programming costs money. It costs for equipment, personnel, royalties, ad
> inf. They will have to either try to sell commercial time on those
channels
> to help pay for their implementation (mind you, try to sell more ad time
in
> an already oversaturated market) or start charging for the service.

I dunno. Maybe the revenues can expand. The population is expanding.

Maybe an IBOC station will be able to run at a lower cost due to shared
facilities. Maybe some of the AMers will move up there and take up some of
the slack.

>
> Don't see how anyone looking at the situation with an honest eye can see
any
> way around it.

>
>

I think IBOC FM has a chance. The bigger issue is whether there will be enough radios to get the extra programming.

Frank Dresser

4582. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:36:22 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GOsyg.186088$mF2.134480@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85767

```
"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:iX6yg.181532$mF2.104862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one
of
> >>> them.
> >>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
> > nonetheless.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
> >>> like
> >>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
> >>>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
> >>>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
> >>>>
> >>>> IBOC was inevitable.
> >>> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
> >>> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
> >> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
> >> finite amount of time.
> >> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
> >> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
> >> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
> >> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.
> >
> > But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed.
The
```

> > demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.
> >
>
>
> That's why I said "usually." There are exceptions. Fewer by far,
though.
>
>
>
>
> > I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The
> > platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a
> > problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which
opens
> > it up to all sorts of other interference.
> >
>
>
> The good stereo receivers had variable bandwidth that depended on
> signal strength. Sony made a bunch of models that opened up with strong
> stereo signals. Sony wasn't alone in this.
>
> That's actually not a problem.
>
>
> > But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay
much
> > for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM
> > stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM
> > stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot
> > more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or
two
> > more than standard AM.
> >
>
> And eventually, it would have been. But important to the discussion
> is that AM stereo was proposed as far back as the 50's, with practical
> demonstrations in the 60's. What kept it from taking off, was that at
> the time it was announced, and interest developed, FCC made a mess of
> the matter by a confusing array of stereo systems, most of which were
> not mutually compatible, and multimode receivers required users to
> select between the systems available on the receiver pursuant to the
> system being broadcast. It was actually not as complex a thing as it
> sounds, but there was a LOT of press about this, and most of it gross
> misinformation. Which put off public embrace of the concept. By the time
> the C-Quam system had been selected as the standard, and Kahn's suits
> had been dropped, the window had closed. The public was no longer
> excited about the concept, and was actively put off by the dizzying
> array of misinformation.
>

> Unlike Television in the 40's and 50's, which also required new
> hardware, and some effort in antenna installation and deployment, there
> wasn't a souring of the demand, by such widely misinformed press,
> disseminating misinformation. The Television window didn't close.
>
> AM Stereo did. As with a lot of new ideas whose times have been missed.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine
didn't
> > kill off the market, it enhanced it.
>
>
> Actually, the VHS machine simply exploited it.
>
> Enhancements came from camcorders, 8 and Hi-8, which led to 8 with
> digital audio, which led to Digital video, which has led now to DVR's,
> TiVO, and the array of Miglia products (and their kind) that replace all
> outboard Video hardware with PC and Mac based DV.
>
>
>
> >
> >> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
> >> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
> >> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent
an
> >> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
> >> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.
> >
> >
> > If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand
window?
>
>
>
> We wouldn't. But then the difference between a product without
> demand, and a product introduced after the demand window was closed is a
> difference of academics.
>
> When Lotus announced the M100 Elan in 1990, orders were placed
> immediately. I placed one myself. It was going to be the biggest sports
> car introduction in years. Huge. The numbers of orders placed were
> dramatic. And then production delays. Delivery delays. And even the
> patient customer began to ask for their money back. Finally the Elan hit
> the US. About 45 days after Mazda began selling the Miata, for about
> $25K less.

>
> I waited and took delivery of mine. But the end of production was
> announced the day I put the key in the ignition. Truth is, that the M100
> was the finest car Lotus had ever fielded. And it would have sold in the
> thousands. But they missed their window, and with the cancellations of
> so many orders, they lost money. Production ceased. And the Miata
bloomed.
>
> Timing really is everything.

It sounds like keeping promises is everything. It's harder for a company to
sell big ticket items when they look like screw-ups.

Speaking of AM stereo, windows and car analogies, imagine it's 1955 and
Chevrolet tried to sell their new V8 car at a higher price than the well
established Cadillac V8. They couldn't. The Chevy V8 would have failed,
not because it was introduced years after the Caddy, but because they were
trying to get people to pay more than what they already knew a good V8 car
should cost.

If the stereo on AM was practically a give-away, as it was on FM, it would
have suceeded even into the 90s.

>
>
> >
> >> So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
> >> belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
> >> federal policies have helped create.
> >>
> >> The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
> >> digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
> >> (read that: timely) marketing.
> >
> > I'm not seeing any particular demand for digital solutions. Sure,
people
> > like DVDs better than videocassetes, but they like them because they're
more
> > convienient. Probably alot of people think their old VCR was also
digital
> > because it had digital readouts.
> >
>
>
> "Digital" is the hottest sell word on the planet. And yes, there were
> several 'digital VCR's.' Only underscoring that there is demand for
> digital solutions.
>
> Or at least the digital buzzword.
>
> DVD's are not only convenient, they're digital. CD's are not only

> convenient, they're also digital. And that has driven an very near
> frenzy of digital affiliations. Digital coffeemakers. Digital electric
> blankets. Digital speakers. Digital headphones.
>
> I've even seen a pair of digital earplugs.
>
> "Digital" is a sell word. And it's working.

"Digital" will soon be a punch line. And the last guys to know will be the marketers -- the same guys who tried to sell us "space age" floor tiles and "turbo" diesel exhaust cologne.

>
> Whether or not a device is really a digital device....not relevant.
> It's what it's perceived to be with a digital name...and that's higher
> quality more accurate. Perception is the game in Marketing.
>
>
>
>
> > And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to
had to
> > force the transition.
>
>
> Yes and no. It would have come. But much slower. And the government
> needed the Analog spectrum to raise cash.
>
>
>
> >>
> >>> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
> > persuasive
> >>> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
> >>
> >> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
> >> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.
> >
> > I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't
enforce.
>
>
> Because the market couldn't be moved by enorcement. Digital was
> already on the horizon. Enforcing AM stereo with digital coming, and non
> digital solutions not on the public's radar, would have been foolhardy.
> The bureaucrat's pen and the judge's gavel are no match for a market
> which is not interested.

Again, it was the bureaucrat's decision not to demand it. The decision not to demand makes sense, but making sense is not a requriement for bureaucratic decisions.

>
>
>
> >
> >> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
> >> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
> >> most optimistic.
> >
> >
> >> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
> >> are incompatible.
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
I
> > think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
isn't
> > the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band,
assuming
> > some interesting programming ends up there.
> >
>
>
> You're right. It's going to be content. If the content isn't
> there....there's just no reason for the buy.

Content and price.

4583. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:36:26 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KOsyg.186089$mF2.146051@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85768

```
"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:iX6yg.181532$mF2.104862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> > "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one
of
> >>> them.
> >>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
> >>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
> > nonetheless.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
> >>> like
> >>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
> >>>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
> >>>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
> >>>>
> >>>> IBOC was inevitable.
> >>> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
> >>> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
> >> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
> >> finite amount of time.
> >> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
> >> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
> >> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
> >> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.
> >
> > But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed.
The
```

> > demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.
> >
>
>
> That's why I said "usually." There are exceptions. Fewer by far,
though.
>
>
>
>
> > I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The
> > platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a
> > problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which
opens
> > it up to all sorts of other interference.
> >
>
>
> The good stereo receivers had variable bandwidth that depended on
> signal strength. Sony made a bunch of models that opened up with strong
> stereo signals. Sony wasn't alone in this.
>
> That's actually not a problem.
>
>
> > But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay
much
> > for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM
> > stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM
> > stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot
> > more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or
two
> > more than standard AM.
> >
>
> And eventually, it would have been. But important to the discussion
> is that AM stereo was proposed as far back as the 50's, with practical
> demonstrations in the 60's. What kept it from taking off, was that at
> the time it was announced, and interest developed, FCC made a mess of
> the matter by a confusing array of stereo systems, most of which were
> not mutually compatible, and multimode receivers required users to
> select between the systems available on the receiver pursuant to the
> system being broadcast. It was actually not as complex a thing as it
> sounds, but there was a LOT of press about this, and most of it gross
> misinformation. Which put off public embrace of the concept. By the time
> the C-Quam system had been selected as the standard, and Kahn's suits
> had been dropped, the window had closed. The public was no longer
> excited about the concept, and was actively put off by the dizzying
> array of misinformation.
>

> Unlike Television in the 40's and 50's, which also required new
> hardware, and some effort in antenna installation and deployment, there
> wasn't a souring of the demand, by such widely misinformed press,
> disseminating misinformation. The Television window didn't close.
>
> AM Stereo did. As with a lot of new ideas whose times have been missed.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine
didn't
> > kill off the market, it enhanced it.
>
>
> Actually, the VHS machine simply exploited it.
>
> Enhancements came from camcorders, 8 and Hi-8, which led to 8 with
> digital audio, which led to Digital video, which has led now to DVR's,
> TiVO, and the array of Miglia products (and their kind) that replace all
> outboard Video hardware with PC and Mac based DV.
>
>
>
> >
> >> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
> >> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
> >> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent
an
> >> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
> >> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.
> >
> >
> > If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand
window?
>
>
>
> We wouldn't. But then the difference between a product without
> demand, and a product introduced after the demand window was closed is a
> difference of academics.
>
> When Lotus announced the M100 Elan in 1990, orders were placed
> immediately. I placed one myself. It was going to be the biggest sports
> car introduction in years. Huge. The numbers of orders placed were
> dramatic. And then production delays. Delivery delays. And even the
> patient customer began to ask for their money back. Finally the Elan hit
> the US. About 45 days after Mazda began selling the Miata, for about
> $25K less.

>
> I waited and took delivery of mine. But the end of production was
> announced the day I put the key in the ignition. Truth is, that the M100
> was the finest car Lotus had ever fielded. And it would have sold in the
> thousands. But they missed their window, and with the cancellations of
> so many orders, they lost money. Production ceased. And the Miata
bloomed.
>
> Timing really is everything.

It sounds like keeping promises is everything. It's harder for a company to
sell big ticket items when they look like screw-ups.

Speaking of AM stereo, windows and car analogies, imagine it's 1955 and
Chevrolet tried to sell their new V8 car at a higher price than the well
established Cadillac V8. They couldn't. The Chevy V8 would have failed,
not because it was introduced years after the Caddy, but because they were
trying to get people to pay more than what they already knew a good V8 car
should cost.

If the stereo on AM was practically a give-away, as it was on FM, it would
have suceeded even into the 90s.

>
>
> >
> >> So, what's driving the supply, is the Federal Government, and the
> >> belief that digital radio is a solution to a problem that years of
> >> federal policies have helped create.
> >>
> >> The public demand is for digital solutions. Whether or not it's THIS
> >> digital solution remains to be seen, and will be a function of correct
> >> (read that: timely) marketing.
> >
> > I'm not seeing any particular demand for digital solutions. Sure,
people
> > like DVDs better than videocassetes, but they like them because they're
more
> > convienient. Probably alot of people think their old VCR was also
digital
> > because it had digital readouts.
> >
>
>
> "Digital" is the hottest sell word on the planet. And yes, there were
> several 'digital VCR's.' Only underscoring that there is demand for
> digital solutions.
>
> Or at least the digital buzzword.
>
> DVD's are not only convenient, they're digital. CD's are not only

> convenient, they're also digital. And that has driven an very near
> frenzy of digital affiliations. Digital coffeemakers. Digital electric
> blankets. Digital speakers. Digital headphones.
>
> I've even seen a pair of digital earplugs.
>
> "Digital" is a sell word. And it's working.

"Digital" will soon be a punch line. And the last guys to know will be the marketers -- the same guys who tried to sell us "space age" floor tiles and "turbo" diesel exhaust cologne.

>
> Whether or not a device is really a digital device....not relevant.
> It's what it's perceived to be with a digital name...and that's higher
> quality more accurate. Perception is the game in Marketing.
>
>
>
>
> > And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to
had to
> > force the transition.
>
>
> Yes and no. It would have come. But much slower. And the government
> needed the Analog spectrum to raise cash.
>
>
>
> >>
> >>> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
> > persuasive
> >>> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
> >>
> >> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
> >> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.
> >
> > I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't
enforce.
>
>
> Because the market couldn't be moved by enorcement. Digital was
> already on the horizon. Enforcing AM stereo with digital coming, and non
> digital solutions not on the public's radar, would have been foolhardy.
> The bureaucrat's pen and the judge's gavel are no match for a market
> which is not interested.

Again, it was the bureaucrat's decision not to demand it. The decision not to demand makes sense, but making sense is not a requriement for bureaucratic decisions.

>
>
>
> >
> >> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
> >> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
> >> most optimistic.
> >
> >
> >> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
> >> are incompatible.
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
I
> > think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
isn't
> > the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band,
assuming
> > some interesting programming ends up there.
> >
>
>
> You're right. It's going to be content. If the content isn't
> there....there's just no reason for the buy.

Content and price.

4584. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:48:42 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13059-44CA5C0A-1@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85769

In the Summer time,Earth is a few million miles further away form the Sun and the Northern Latitudes are tilted toward the Sun.In the Winter time,the opposite is true.That is why we have Winter,Spring,Summer and Fall.Maybe all of that has something (along with the Sunspots too) to do with radio reception.Well,of course it does.

My DirecTV Radio tv satellite signal quit at 1:42 PM this afternoon because it is stormy weather between my satellite dish and that ''Bird'' way up there in the Sky and I can't watch Dangerous Crossing movie on tv now,but we are getting a very nice Rain right now.Come onnnnnnn,Rain.
cuhulin
www.tcnj.edu/~hofmann/swamp.htm

4585. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:55:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154112910.403538.272330@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Why do you do it so much?

4586. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 18:58:22 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i7tyg.186160$mF2.131084@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85771

<r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154042967.053458.131680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank Dresser wrote:
> >
> > Am I reading the nifty formulae wrong? It looks to me like he's
deriving
> > the distortion of a diode detector from the modulation index only. My
sense
> > of these things says that a 50% modulated signal at a tenth of a volt is
> > going to have much more distortion than a 50% modulated signal at 10
volts.
> >
> > Frank Dresser
>
> Very few radios drive the detector with anything near 10V.
> The R390 and R392 have the highest diode drive voltages I have
> seen and I think they are less then about 3V.

The range is extreme, but not outlandish.

>
> Most modern, IE "solid state", receivers I have measured have less
> 1V. All that I have seen that use discrete diode detectors as oppossed
> to ICs, have farily high AF gain stages.

But I'd expect considerably less distortion at 3V rather than 1V.

And I'd also expect that no radio really uses a square law detector to
detect the audio. Real detectors try to linerize a diode's operation by
lightly loading the detector with a reletively high resistance and trying to
minimize operation in the diode's "square law" area. Both voltage and AC/DC
impedance are important considerations in determing diode audio detector
distortion.

I suspect the term "square law detector" is the same sort of term as "first
detector" -- what's now known as a mixer.

I know I've been tripped up by these archaic terms before.

Frank Dresser

4587. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:13:30 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154114009.975553.254680@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
> > > > faggot.
> > > you will be there if you believe the Bible for violating the ten
> > > comandaments and bearing false witness
> >
> > You mean like you've been doing?
> which I have NEVER done

Oh, like saying Wiseman has a lisp and he beat his mommy? Hypocrite.

> but you do to me many times daily

You get what you deserve, you lying hypocrite.

4588. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:16:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA6285.78F4C98C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85773

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> > expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> > wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> > shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> > at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> > Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
> >
> > Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> > moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> > next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> > minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
> >
> > http://propagation.hfradio.org/
> >
> > (NW7US, SWL mail list)
>
> The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
> Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
> does not.

Actually shortwave propagation has very little if anything to do with the
'weather'.

The 'space' part comes in because of the effect the sun has on propagation.
Therefore it is 'space weather' vs. terrestrial weather.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4589. Re: bless you and may you find peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:27:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154114870.818173.167610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > <spam>
> > > >
> > > > Tell us why you were accused of sodomy, Marqueer.
> > > I can't tell why you keep accusing me of that?
> >
> > Mainly because it's why you got kicked out of the Army.
> nope I was kicked out for being 2 punds overweight was never accused of
> sodmy in the service eevn informaly but
> bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Then why are you lying about why you got kicked out of the Army?

4590. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:28:01 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA6541.402DA2ED@milestones.com>
Source record: 85775

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> > expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> > wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> > shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> > at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> > Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
> >
> > Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> > moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> > next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> > minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
> >
> > http://propagation.hfradio.org/
> >
> > (NW7US, SWL mail list)
>
> The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
> Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
> does not. Yesterday when we were in the geomagnetic storm NZ and
> Australia were putting big signals in here and I suspect that any over
> the pole signals might have been degraded.
>
> I expected Australia and NZ to sound poor but they were very good and
> sounded like a local broadcaster a few miles down the road with over S9
> levels.

That's primarily becaue those signals were most ikely not following a
trans-polar route but rather a trans-equatorial path.

In some instances solar storm conditions will actually cause trans-equatorial
path signals to be enhanced.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4591. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:35:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nnpkc2dltdfe1lauo3kpfk46c572cg7aq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 85776

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 12:45:06 -0500, "Stephen Drummonds"
<stephencd@centurytel.net> wrote:

>I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
>to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
>or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve
>
>
Where are you?

4592. More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:37:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>
Source record: 85777

I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on 760.

IBOC = QRM

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4593. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:43:54 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13057-44CA68FA-75@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85778

Some of those new car salesmen (women too) and used car salesmen are
pretty good.I have no problem with watching them on tv.
cuhulin

4594. Re: Like New s530 High Performance Field Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:45:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13058-44CA6973-13@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85779

Are you pedallin radios for sale nowdays?
cuhulin

4595. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:59:41 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A80DFD.12594128072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85780

In article <44CA6285.78F4C98C@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> > > expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> > > wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> > > shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> > > at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> > > Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
> > >
> > > Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> > > moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> > > next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> > > minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
> > >
> > > http://propagation.hfradio.org/
> > >
> > > (NW7US, SWL mail list)
> >
> > The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
> > Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
> > does not.
>
> Actually shortwave propagation has very little if anything to do with the
> 'weather'.
>
> The 'space' part comes in because of the effect the sun has on propagation.
> Therefore it is 'space weather' vs. terrestrial weather.

I shortened "space weather" to just "weather" since it is the subject
and I used the full term first and was attempting to be less formal in
my post. I guess that was unclear writing on my part. Yes, I agree
Australia and NZ propagation to me is not over the poles and that
propagation over the poles is generally the most affected by geomagnetic
storms. I guess I was unclear there also.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4596. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 19:59:42 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-C0EC76.12594228072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85781

In article <44CA6541.402DA2ED@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Telamon wrote:
>
> > In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> > > expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> > > wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> > > shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> > > at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> > > Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
> > >
> > > Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> > > moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> > > next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> > > minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
> > >
> > > http://propagation.hfradio.org/
> > >
> > > (NW7US, SWL mail list)
> >
> > The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
> > Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
> > does not. Yesterday when we were in the geomagnetic storm NZ and
> > Australia were putting big signals in here and I suspect that any over
> > the pole signals might have been degraded.
> >
> > I expected Australia and NZ to sound poor but they were very good and
> > sounded like a local broadcaster a few miles down the road with over S9
> > levels.
>
> That's primarily becaue those signals were most ikely not following a
> trans-polar route but rather a trans-equatorial path.
>
> In some instances solar storm conditions will actually cause trans-equatorial
> path signals to be enhanced.

That seems to be the case.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4597. Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:02:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154116934.264656.279590@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85782

SD,

For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
* Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
your choice of Antennas.
* The RF Environment {Noise} of your Location {Neighborhood}
also can be a Factor in your choice of Antennas.

1 - Two Major Determining Factors would be :
* The Size-of-the-Area {Space} that you have to put the
Wire Antenna up in; and the relative noise of your location.
- - - - - vice - - - - -
* The Distance-Away-from the Home and other Sources
of Noise that you could Locate the Active Antenna.

2 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" 'passive' Wire Antennas
for the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Sized - Big to Small}
* Alpha Delta DX-Ultra Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3377.html
* Antenna Supermarket Eavesdropper SWL Sloper Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0013.html
* * Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna - Rigged as a
15 Foot Vertical by 30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L"
{Low Noise} Antenna with Coax Cable feed-in-line.
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT8.html
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2205.html
* RF Systems Mini-Windom Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3575.html

3 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" Active Antennas for
the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Priced - High to Moderate}
* Dressler ARA60S Active Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/4061.html
* RF Systems DX-10 Professional Active Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2868.html
* * McKay Dymek DA-100E Active Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0328.html
* LF Engineering H-800 Skymatch Active Antenna
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT15.html
* MFJ-1024 Active Antenna
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/1132.html

Most of these are considered to offer Full Spectrum Coverage of
both the Medium-Wave and Shortwave Bands : 500 kHz - 30 MHz.

FWIW - As time goes on you may wish to have both an
Out-Side Wire Antenna and an Active Antenna as a "Pair"
of Antennas to Choose from for a variety of Shortwave
Listening (SWL) needs.

iane ~ RHF
.
Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
.
The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
.
|
|
|
/ \
-------!-------

Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

4598. Re: Like New s530 High Performance Field Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:13:09 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154117589.290982.260580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85783

Cuhulin - I find some eBay 'typos' amusing.
Usually - Wow What's This ?
Followed by Oops that's a good one ! :o)

Cuhulin - Have a few Wind-Up-Radios
-but- No Pedaling Radios :o) ~ RHF
.
.
. .

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> Are you pedallin radios for sale nowdays?
> cuhulin

4599. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:20:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4kuyg.1645$TV.1319@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85784

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> Both are on plan so far.
>
> Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?

I get it from the financials available through my broker's research service.
>
> They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
> supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.

The loss was based on a huge nonrecurring item that did not affect EBITDA.
They are projecting free cash flow by Q4 of this year or 1 of next year,
which is on track.

They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but these
are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and were
part of the early press releases in 2001.

4600. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:21:57 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Fluyg.1646$TV.352@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85785

<Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154087973.683891.327560@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> It'll get tired after a month or so;
>
> Who'd advertize there ?

It is a stunt, designed to run a few days while the real format is being readied. It is like doing a heartbeat for all weekend, or playing the same song over and over... also attention getters used to announce that what was there is gone and a new format is coming.

We do not sell ads on stunting days.

4601. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:25:39 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7puyg.1647$TV.649@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85786

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
news:NQqyg.134653$A8.94510@clgrps12...
> Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
>
>> It'll get tired after a month or so;
>>
>> Who'd advertize there ?
>
> Exactly. I'm wondering if this format change indicates a trend. Are the
> religion based stations losing people to the extent they are no longer
> able to attract advertisers or contributors?

Stunting prior to a new format is and has been the norm for 5 decades of US radio. Stunts run a few days to a week or so, but are usually not as creative as this... often they are the songs of construction work (saws and hammers) or a heartbeat or a clock counting down. Or the favorite, play the same song over and over for days.

> It's a sad state of affairs that the new owners think a tasteless approach
> will increase income.

It is a stunt, not intended to create income. It is intended to get publicity. Which it did.

> David Eduardo is probably right when he says there will be another change
> once the initial 'excitement' is over.

Yeah, in a couple of more days.

> It's all publicity.

Correct. It is not a format, it is not intended to be listened to. It is intended to garner attention.

4602. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:26:37 UTC
From: Kim <krolradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154118396.968380.107220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85787

I wonder when there is a coronal hole that AM radio at night is very
poor even if K or A index is low.
I have noticed this over years of checking propagation that coronal
hole output = poor reception on AM at night.

Any explanation of why coronal holes effect AM more than B-C Class
solar flares or I am I wrong in my observation?

Kim

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44CA6541.402DA2ED@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > Telamon wrote:
> >
> > > In article <44C9D77C.E0A0552C@milestones.com>,
> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Minor geomagnetic storm continues. Increased geomagnetic activity is
> > > > expected to continue for next 24 to 48 hours. Source is high speed solar
> > > > wind with Bz orientation southward, due to coronal hole, coupled with weak
> > > > shock of July 28, 2006. Current Kp index: 6. Current solar wind: 634 km/s
> > > > at 2.7 protons/cm3. On 2006 Jul 28 0748Z: Bz: -2.7 nT. Aurora Activity
> > > > Level was 8 at 0618 UTC.
> > > >
> > > > Summary for last 24 hours: Space weather for the past 24 hours has been
> > > > moderate. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G2 level occurred. Forecast for
> > > > next 24 hours: Space weather for the next 24 hours is expected to be
> > > > minor. Geomagnetic storms reaching the G1 level are expected.
> > > >
> > > > http://propagation.hfradio.org/
> > > >
> > > > (NW7US, SWL mail list)
> > >
> > > The space weather/propagation connection is still a mystery to me.
> > > Sometimes propagation conditions follow the weather and sometimes it
> > > does not. Yesterday when we were in the geomagnetic storm NZ and
> > > Australia were putting big signals in here and I suspect that any over
> > > the pole signals might have been degraded.
> > >
> > > I expected Australia and NZ to sound poor but they were very good and
> > > sounded like a local broadcaster a few miles down the road with over S9
> > > levels.
> >

> > That's primarily becaue those signals were most ikely not following a
> > trans-polar route but rather a trans-equatorial path.
> >
> > In some instances solar storm conditions will actually cause trans-equatorial
> > path signals to be enhanced.
>
> That seems to be the case.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

4603. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:28:01 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <lruyg.1648$TV.1372@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85788

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154092283.342769.31830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> RHF wrote:
>> DE,
>>
>> Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
>>
>> You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
>>
>> trade publication.
>>
>> and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>> . .
>>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>> > > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
>> > > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the
>> > > evolution
>> > > of HD/IBOC:
>> >
>> > Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
>> > company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
>> >
>> > In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
>> > something.
>
> Actually, get onto the Hear2.0 home page, scroll down, and search on,
> "HD radio" - ther e is a wealth of information, that DE won't be able
> to rebutt.

HD works for its announced purpose, which is improving local listening to
local stations.

Unless something out of the wild happens with RIAA (which would require a
new chipset design for the "flag") there is nothing stopping HD at this
point, despite what consultants who seldom see the inside of a radio station
say.

4604. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:30:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ytuyg.1649$TV.230@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85790

```
"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:sn1kc2dfgof3fldk57akdg0qefbs0sm5lo@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 01:26:33 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:ccpic2levlo694o841kcqb642qbu1q4mhm@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 22:14:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>We now have 8 HD2 channels on, with about 20 more to come. We have no
>>>>plans
>>>>to do pay, and will sustain them with few commercials till they develop.
>>>>In
>>>>the end, it is like getting 30 free radio stations with no cost of
>>>>capital... just start them up and build them.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>> You will never be able to reach critical mass on an HD2 channel.
>>>
>>
>>I'll bet our tejano channels in Houston and Dallas hit critical mass
>>within
>>two years.
>>
>>
> Only if you give away a lot of radios.
>

Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
```

4605. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:34:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <cxuyg.1650$TV.437@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85791

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1154069618.223920.223240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> DE,
>
> Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
>
> You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
>
> trade publication.
>
> and that is the way i see it ~ RHF

Interesting idea, but most publications have beaten HD to death... RW is full of pros and cons. Interestingly, the cons are all from folks who don't run stations or are at stations that don't want to spend any capex dollars.

The end result is that HD is now on 1000 stations, with another 1000 on the way and another 1000 committed for. Just the first 1000 reach over 70% of the US population.

4606. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:34:18 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <exuyg.38398$vl5.3964@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 85789

While an external antenna, such as an Eavesdropper, would be the best
solution for you, an internal active antenna such as the Radio Shack
amplified shortwave antenna is good too!

"Stephen Drummonds" <stephencd@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:_-6dnVc1KeW30FfZnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve
>
>

4607. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:35:21 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <dyuyg.1651$TV.513@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85792

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
news:23ryg.134657$A8.90841@clgrps12...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car
>> dealer you think that they sell elephants there!
>
>
> No. I think the car dealer got drunk and passed out on the lot. His
> salesman PUT that elephant on the car dealer's chest when he was passed
> out.
>
> Salesmen get their fun where they can find it. I don't imagine it to be an
> easy life. We'll have to ask dxAce....

That is like asking Dr. Kevorkian about the latest advances in geriatric
medicine.

4608. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:39:15 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154119155.290681.185330@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85793

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154092283.342769.31830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> >> DE,
> >>
> >> Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
> >>
> >> You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
> >>
> >> trade publication.
> >>
> >> and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
> >> .
> >> .
> >> . .
> >>
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1154019608.736264.129640@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >> > > Mark Ramsey, president of Hear2.0, the audio entertainment strategy
> >> > > company, and Mercury Radio Research, is very critical of the
> >> > > evolution
> >> > > of HD/IBOC:
> >> >
> >> > Hear 2.0 is basically a one man consultancy. Mercury is a good research
> >> > company, but among the smaller ones. I know nobody who has used it.
> >> >
> >> > In other words, consider that consultants who do blogs are selling
> >> > something.
> >
> > Actually, get onto the Hear2.0 home page, scroll down, and search on,
> > "HD radio" - ther e is a wealth of information, that DE won't be able
> > to rebutt.
>
> HD works for its announced purpose, which is improving local listening to
> local stations.
>
> Unless something out of the wild happens with RIAA (which would require a
> new chipset design for the "flag") there is nothing stopping HD at this
> point, despite what consultants who seldom see the inside of a radio station
> say.

Irrelevant. You're BUSTED.

4609. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:40:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154119206.092485.274810@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85794

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1154069618.223920.223240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > DE,
> >
> > Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
> >
> > You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
> >
> > trade publication.
> >
> > and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
>
> Interesting idea, but most publications have beaten HD to death... RW is
> full of pros and cons. Interestingly, the cons are all from folks who don't
> run stations or are at stations that don't want to spend any capex dollars.
>
> The end result is that HD is now on 1000 stations, with another 1000 on the
> way and another 1000 committed for. Just the first 1000 reach over 70% of
> the US population.

Irrelevant. You are BUSTED.

4610. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:43:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA76FE.D23BACD1@milestones.com>
Source record: 85795

David Frackelton Gleason, still prancing as 'Eduardo', wrote:

> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:23ryg.134657$A8.90841@clgrps12...
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> >> I wonder whether when you see an inflatable elephant on top of a car
> >> dealer you think that they sell elephants there!
> >
> >
> > No. I think the car dealer got drunk and passed out on the lot. His
> > salesman PUT that elephant on the car dealer's chest when he was passed
> > out.
> >
> > Salesmen get their fun where they can find it. I don't imagine it to be an
> > easy life. We'll have to ask dxAce....
>
> That is like asking Dr. Kevorkian about the latest advances in geriatric
> medicine.

Dr. Kevorkian needs to euthanize you, you stupid prancing fake Hispanic.

LMFAO at the shill, yet again.

4611. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:46:07 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jluyg.494125$Fs1.227700@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85796

```
Frank Dresser wrote:
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:iX6yg.181532$mF2.104862@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>> news:IZ4yg.181071$mF2.22208@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:eIOxg.475984$Fs1.283180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>>>>>> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:rLNxg.475586$Fs1.54581@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>>>>>>> Eureka's problems may be many, but that it's digital isn't one
> of
>>>>> them.
>>>>>>>> In today's market the digital buzzword is EVERYTHING.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I've seen toasters with a "Digital" applique across the case.
>>>>>>>> Referring to the light/dark gradient display, but digital
>>> nonetheless.
>>>>>>>> Say the word 'Analog' at a trade show, today, and you get stares
>>>>> like
>>>>>>>> you were Crispin Glover's haberdasher.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Ah, then IBOC has come at an opportune time.
>>>>>> Exactly. But more to the issue, is that FCC mandated that any new
>>>>>> modulation schemes for broadcast, AM/FM/TV must be digital.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> IBOC was inevitable.
>>>>> So, there is a demand for digital. The demand isn't coming from the
>>>>> consumers, but from an even higher source -- the Federal Government.
>>>> Demand is usually a windowed item. It frequently exists only for a
>>>> finite amount of time.
>>>> Like most innovations, the public doesn't really know whether or not
>>>> it wants digital radio, until it experiences it. Not unlike AM stereo.
>>>> There was a demand, there, too. it was just missed as a result of the
>>>> gamesmanship by AM stereo providers.
>>> But, strong demand, in the sense I'm using the term, isn't windowed.
> The
>>> demand for TV in the late 40s certainly wasn't.
```

>>>
>>
>> That's why I said "usually." There are exceptions. Fewer by far,
> though.
>>
>>
>>
>>> I'm not sure AM stereo would have ever taken off with the public. The
>>> platform motion effect is weird. Thunderstorm interference is still a
>>> problem. To work at it's best, AM stereo needs a wideband radio which
> opens
>>> it up to all sorts of other interference.
>>>
>>
>> The good stereo receivers had variable bandwidth that depended on
>> signal strength. Sony made a bunch of models that opened up with strong
>> stereo signals. Sony wasn't alone in this.
>>
>> That's actually not a problem.
>>
>>
>>> But I think the biggest factor was that people just don't want to pay
> much
>>> for radios and AM stereo radios cost a little more, and the multimode AM
>>> stereo radios cost a bit more yet. By the mid 80s, how much more did FM
>>> stereo cost than FM mono? Couple of bucks or something like that? Alot
>>> more AM stereo radios would have sold if AM stereo was just a buck or
> two
>>> more than standard AM.
>>
>> And eventually, it would have been. But important to the discussion
>> is that AM stereo was proposed as far back as the 50's, with practical
>> demonstrations in the 60's. What kept it from taking off, was that at
>> the time it was announced, and interest developed, FCC made a mess of
>> the matter by a confusing array of stereo systems, most of which were
>> not mutually compatible, and multimode receivers required users to
>> select between the systems available on the receiver pursuant to the
>> system being broadcast. It was actually not as complex a thing as it
>> sounds, but there was a LOT of press about this, and most of it gross
>> misinformation. Which put off public embrace of the concept. By the time
>> the C-Quam system had been selected as the standard, and Kahn's suits
>> had been dropped, the window had closed. The public was no longer
>> excited about the concept, and was actively put off by the dizzying
>> array of misinformation.
>>
>> Unlike Television in the 40's and 50's, which also required new
>> hardware, and some effort in antenna installation and deployment, there
>> wasn't a souring of the demand, by such widely misinformed press,
>> disseminating misinformation. The Television window didn't close.
>>

>> AM Stereo did. As with a lot of new ideas whose times have been missed.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Certainly the VCR industry withstood gamesmanship. The VHS machine
> didn't
>>> kill off the market, it enhanced it.
>>
>> Actually, the VHS machine simply exploited it.
>>
>> Enhancements came from camcorders, 8 and Hi-8, which led to 8 with
>> digital audio, which led to Digital video, which has led now to DVR's,
>> TiVO, and the array of Miglia products (and their kind) that replace all
>> outboard Video hardware with PC and Mac based DV.
>>
>>
>>
>>>> There is a demand, today, for nearly all things digital. Whether or
>>>> not broadcasters would move that way on their own, especially given the
>>>> enormous cost, AND the interference issues, is another matter. Absent
> an
>>>> FCC mandate, implementation, like AM stereo, if left to market forces
>>>> could, and likely would take longer than the demand window would allow.
>>>
>>> If digital radio fails, how would we even know there was a demand
> window?
>>
>>
>> We wouldn't. But then the difference between a product without
>> demand, and a product introduced after the demand window was closed is a
>> difference of academics.
>>
>> When Lotus announced the M100 Elan in 1990, orders were placed
>> immediately. I placed one myself. It was going to be the biggest sports
>> car introduction in years. Huge. The numbers of orders placed were
>> dramatic. And then production delays. Delivery delays. And even the
>> patient customer began to ask for their money back. Finally the Elan hit
>> the US. About 45 days after Mazda began selling the Miata, for about
>> $25K less.
>>
>> I waited and took delivery of mine. But the end of production was
>> announced the day I put the key in the ignition. Truth is, that the M100
>> was the finest car Lotus had ever fielded. And it would have sold in the
>> thousands. But they missed their window, and with the cancellations of
>> so many orders, they lost money. Production ceased. And the Miata
> bloomed.
>> Timing really is everything.
>
> It sounds like keeping promises is everything. It's harder for a company to

> sell big ticket items when they look like screw-ups.
>
> Speaking of AM stereo, windows and car analogies, imagine it's 1955 and
> Chevrolet tried to sell their new V8 car at a higher price than the well
> established Cadillac V8. They couldn't. The Chevy V8 would have failed,
> not because it was introduced years after the Caddy, but because they were
> trying to get people to pay more than what they already knew a good V8 car
> should cost.
>
> If the stereo on AM was practically a give-away, as it was on FM, it would
> have suceeded even into the 90s.
>
>>

And on car radios it was. It was included in all Chrysler radios from
the beginning, up to a few years ago when it was an option on the higher
end stereos.

GM did AM stereo on it's radios, too, eventually moving it to the
higher end rigs, and as with Chrysler dropped it.

What they really screwed up on, is that no one made an issue of it.
It was rarely spoken of. No promotion at all. Unlike FM stereo which
was. And there were still few AM stereo stations.

Even when it was heard, though, it still made no impact, as most
listeners didn't recognize it as stereo audio.

Which is also true of FM. Most FM listening in this the US is mono,
even though most radios and radio stations are running in stereo.

>>
>> "Digital" is the hottest sell word on the planet. And yes, there were
>> several 'digital VCR's.' Only underscoring that there is demand for
>> digital solutions.
>>
>> Or at least the digital buzzword.
>>
>> DVD's are not only convenient, they're digital. CD's are not only
>> convenient, they're also digital. And that has driven an very near
>> frenzy of digital affiliations. Digital coffeemakers. Digital electric
>> blankets. Digital speakers. Digital headphones.
>>
>> I've even seen a pair of digital earplugs.
>>
>> "Digital" is a sell word. And it's working.
>
> "Digital" will soon be a punch line. And the last guys to know will be the
> marketers -- the same guys who tried to sell us "space age" floor tiles and
> "turbo" diesel exhaust cologne.
>

You're exactly right about that.

>
>> Whether or not a device is really a digital device....not relevant.
>> It's what it's perceived to be with a digital name...and that's higher
>> quality more accurate. Perception is the game in Marketing.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> And if enough people cared about digital TV, the FCC wouldn't have to
> had to
>>> force the transition.
>>
>> Yes and no. It would have come. But much slower. And the government
>> needed the Analog spectrum to raise cash.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> And a bureaucrat's pen backed up by a judge's gavel is far more
>>> persuasive
>>>>> than a whole dictionary full of buzzwords.
>>>> No, actually, it's not. When the AM X-Band was created, allocations
>>>> were granted with the stipulation that the station implement AM stereo.
>>> I don't see the contradiction. The FCC had a stipulation it didn't
> enforce.
>>
>> Because the market couldn't be moved by enorcement. Digital was
>> already on the horizon. Enforcing AM stereo with digital coming, and non
>> digital solutions not on the public's radar, would have been foolhardy.
>> The bureaucrat's pen and the judge's gavel are no match for a market
>> which is not interested.
>
> Again, it was the bureaucrat's decision not to demand it. The decision not
> to demand makes sense, but making sense is not a requriement for
> bureaucratic decisions.

Demand by the Federal Governmental is not the same as consumer
demand, I'm referring to consumer demand--market force. A Governmental
decree is no match for a market which is not interested. If the FCC had
ordered implementation of AM stereo, there's no guarantee that AM stereo
would have caught fire. There's every indication that the public
wouldn't have cared.

What would drive AM stereo is not what drove FM stereo. And the times
were different. FM stereo when introduced was a new and exciting
concept. Most Hi-Fi systems were still mono at the time. Even the higher
end units. Stereo radio was a dramatic improvement, or at least a
change, from mono sound on, compared to stereo sound which was available
on records.

AM stereo was introduced 20 years after stereo FM had become
commonplace. Stereo was a non issue. No mono Hi-Fi sets had been
produced in years by that time. And much of the stereo depth of field is
carried in the upper mids and top end of the audio, where AM is commonly
deficient. Many listeners to AM stereo weren't aware they were listening
to AM stereo, even when it was on their radios.

So, even if there had been a mandate by FCC, AM stereo would have
been a non issue to the listening public. AM music listening had already
migrated to FM, and AM Stereo didn't make enough of a difference to the
listening experience anyway. The window of opportunity had been missed.

It was too late.

>
>>
>>
>>>> The demand window for AM stereo had been missed, so there was no
>>>> demand. Implementation of AM stereo in the X-band was slight, at the
>>>> most optimistic.
>>>
>>>> Now, it's virtually zero, with the IBOC mandate. AM Stereo and IBOC
>>>> are incompatible.
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> It'll be interesting to see what happens with the consumer radio market.
> I
>>> think the most important factor for selling HD radios for most people
> isn't
>>> the "crystal clear audio" but the extra channels on the FM band,
> assuming
>>> some interesting programming ends up there.
>>>
>>
>> You're right. It's going to be content. If the content isn't
>> there....there's just no reason for the buy.
>
>
> Content and price.

It's been demostrated time and again, that price is a minor issue, if
at all. People will pay for the content they want, if the content is not
available elsewhere. What's more to the issue, though, is that with HD
Radio, the listener has to make the buy-in BEFORE he can discover if
he/she enjoys the content.

That will make a difference.

>
>

4612. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:48:52 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154119732.448618.28970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85797

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> Both are on plan so far.
> >
> > Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
>
> I get it from the financials available through my broker's research service.
> >
> > They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
> > supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.
>
> The loss was based on a huge nonrecurring item that did not affect EBITDA.
> They are projecting free cash flow by Q4 of this year or 1 of next year,
> which is on track.
>
> They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but these
> are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and were
> part of the early press releases in 2001.

Yes, yes, but none of this changes the fact that you are BUSTED.

4613. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:57:43 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-308DDB.13574328072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85699

In article
<i7tyg.186160$mF2.131084@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> <r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1154042967.053458.131680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Frank Dresser wrote:
> > >
> > > Am I reading the nifty formulae wrong? It looks to me like he's
> > > deriving the distortion of a diode detector from the modulation
> > > index only. My sense of these things says that a 50% modulated
> > > signal at a tenth of a volt is going to have much more distortion
> > > than a 50% modulated signal at 10 volts.
> > >
> > > Frank Dresser
> >
> > Very few radios drive the detector with anything near 10V. The R390
> > and R392 have the highest diode drive voltages I have seen and I
> > think they are less then about 3V.
>
> The range is extreme, but not outlandish.
>
> >
> > Most modern, IE "solid state", receivers I have measured have less
> > 1V. All that I have seen that use discrete diode detectors as
> > oppossed to ICs, have farily high AF gain stages.
>
> But I'd expect considerably less distortion at 3V rather than 1V.
>
> And I'd also expect that no radio really uses a square law detector
> to detect the audio. Real detectors try to linerize a diode's
> operation by lightly loading the detector with a reletively high
> resistance and trying to minimize operation in the diode's "square
> law" area. Both voltage and AC/DC impedance are important
> considerations in determing diode audio detector distortion.
>
> I suspect the term "square law detector" is the same sort of term as
> "first detector" -- what's now known as a mixer.
>
> I know I've been tripped up by these archaic terms before.

I'm not a radio circuit designer but detectors circuits are designed for
a certain situation and will not produce the expected output if the
expected input conditions do not exist. All RF carrier and sidebands

(tones) are an alternating wave forms. To recover the AM modulated information the sideband tones are rectified and averaged, which is the low frequency audio modulation. The sideband tones are usually much lower than the carrier but the detector rectifies all of these signals. For the detector design a minimum signal level is required for it to rectify the side band tones and the designs have depended on the carrier to be there so that the detector is switching on and off into the liner region of the diode. If the carrier is not there then the sideband tone signal is switching the diode on and off resulting in a lot of distortion.

The sync detection uses a PLL circuit to lock a local oscillator to the received carrier and that is summed with the received carrier and side band tones so that when the received carrier disappears due to selective fading the locked local oscillator signal is enough to keep the detector operating in its liner region with just the side band tones present.

The same thing happens using a BFO or when you switch to SSB mode on a radio but here the local oscillator is not locked to the received carrier and you have to tune the radio very carefully to get it spot on the received carrier frequency so the side tones are reproduced at the original modulation audio frequencies.

Before sync detection circuit designers would use diodes with smaller non-liner switching regions using germanium for example with lower forward voltages. These diodes would need less signal power to turn on and off into the liner region of it operating curves so less energy from the carrier would be needed to keep the detector in its liner region. This is a help when the received carrier only fades a little but does not help if fades a lot or disappears.

Some detector designs would use a DC bias on the diode to put it on the edge of its liner region to improve its small signal sensitivity. The optimum bias voltage will depend on the diode characteristics.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4614. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 20:58:17 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154120297.775735.12230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85700

Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

Wellbrook loop.

4615. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:02:31 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-630AD5.14023128072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85701

In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> 760.
>
> IBOC = QRM

That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
antenna parameters right or it spreads out.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4616. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:04:24 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-247D96.14042428072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85702

```
In article <4kuyg.1645$TV.1319@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> Both are on plan so far.
> >
> > Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
>
> I get it from the financials available through my broker's research service.
> >
> > They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
> > supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.
>
> The loss was based on a huge nonrecurring item that did not affect EBITDA.
> They are projecting free cash flow by Q4 of this year or 1 of next year,
> which is on track.
>
> They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but these
> are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and were
> part of the early press releases in 2001.

You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California
```

4617. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:13:02 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154121182.011017.311440@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85703

David wrote:
> On 26 Jul 2006 23:00:58 -0700, miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> >
>
> >It is an interesting idea, but nobody builds LCR filters. Rather, you
> >use the LCR filter as a prototype, then build a leapfrog active filter
> >from signal flow graphs based on the physical LCR filter.
> >
>
> Really? What kind of filters does the Drake R8 series use?

As a demod filter? I would image a low order active filter to clean
things up. Remember, this is the audio band, not RF. I've seen some
write ups on 455khz IFs being done with active filters.

Kiwa sells an active filter for 455Khz
http://www.kiwa.com/kiwa455.html

Note the AR7030 has "tone controls", so certainly it has an active
filter past the demod. The problem with building LCR filters in the
audio band is they are bulky, not to mention often inaccurate. With
active filters, you have more flexibility over component values.

4618. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:18:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CA7F35.AA8099DF@milestones.com>
Source record: 85705

Telamon wrote:

> In article <4kuyg.1645$TV.1319@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> > >>
> > >> Both are on plan so far.
> > >
> > > Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
> >
> > I get it from the financials available through my broker's research service.
> > >
> > > They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
> > > supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.
> >
> > The loss was based on a huge nonrecurring item that did not affect EBITDA.
> > They are projecting free cash flow by Q4 of this year or 1 of next year,
> > which is on track.
> >
> > They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but these
> > are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and were
> > part of the early press releases in 2001.
>
> You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.

Absolutely correct! If the broker *really* knew what the hell was going on there would be no need to go to work every day as a broker.

Brokers are no more than glorified salespeople or shills, much like Edweenie!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4619. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:33:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154122434.436450.145180@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > bearing false witness is a sin wismen
>
> Why do you do it so much?
I don't you do and just did so again

4620. bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:35:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154122504.759691.189260@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

> > bearing false witness is a sin wismen
>
> Then why are you lying about why you got kicked out of the Army?
I am not lying

you are bearing false witness which is a sin wismen

4621. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:38:26 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <17495$44ca7f29$422a9820$18733@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 85704

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1154120297.775735.12230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> > I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active
antenna
> > to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth
the money
> > or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input
Steve
>
> Wellbrook loop.

If he wants to spend the dollars, the Wellbrook loop
will do well, especially if he has local noise to deal
with.

--Mike L.

4622. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:41:48 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154122908.429942.15260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85708

David Eduardo wrote:
> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:NQqyg.134653$A8.94510@clgrps12...
> > Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com wrote:
> >
> >> It'll get tired after a month or so;
> >>
> >> Who'd advertize there ?
> >
> > Exactly. I'm wondering if this format change indicates a trend. Are the
> > religion based stations losing people to the extent they are no longer
> > able to attract advertisers or contributors?
>
> Stunting prior to a new format is and has been the norm for 5 decades of US
> radio. Stunts run a few days to a week or so, but are usually not as
> creative as this... often they are the songs of construction work (saws and
> hammers) or a heartbeat or a clock counting down. Or the favorite, play the
> same song over and over for days.
> >
> > It's a sad state of affairs that the new owners think a tasteless approach
> > will increase income.
>
> It is a stunt, not intended to create income. It is intended to get
> publicity. Which it did.
>
> > David Eduardo is probably right when he says there will be another change
> > once the initial 'excitement' is over.
>
> Yeah, in a couple of more days.
> >
> > It's all publicity.
>
> Correct. It is not a format, it is not intended to be listened to. It is
> intended to garner attention.

Irrelevant. You are BUSTED.

4623. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:42:11 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154122931.261889.17550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85709

David Eduardo wrote:
> <Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154087973.683891.327560@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > It'll get tired after a month or so;
> >
> > Who'd advertize there ?
>
> It is a stunt, designed to run a few days while the real format is being
> readied. It is like doing a heartbeat for all weekend, or playing the same
> song over and over... also attention getters used to announce that what was
> there is gone and a new format is coming.
>
> We do not sell ads on stunting days.

Doesn't matter. You are BUSTED.

4624. Re: a longwave thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:44:44 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <f9adnQ3UdorQGFfZnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85710

willismat239@earthlink.net wrote:
> Which ones are most likely to be heard? What would be a reasonable
> antenna for longwave? I've never heard anything but beacons on LW, but
> I do like the hunt for them.

There are some web sites dedicated to hunting and identifying
Non-Directional Beacons (NDBs). This is one I like:

http://mare.radio.tripod.com/4ute.html

Also look in to

http://www.lwca.org/

Most NDBs are pretty dull listening. A very few broadcast AWOS and ASOS
weather from the airports where they're located. But that's about it.
I'd be more excited about transatlantic LW reception...

DE AB3A

4625. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 21:52:11 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <69GdnXhTjPGRGlfZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85711

Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

Active antennas can be reasonably good, but there is one tiny hitch:
You need to isolate it from all the electrical and computer hash you'll
generate in your house. Do not buy a cheap active antenna or you'll be
sorry.

It's actually easier to erect a random wire antenna and use it instead
of an active antenna. That said, a well isolated loop antenna with an
external power supply, isolated from the rest of the house, might be
just what the doctor ordered for a small suburban lot. Loop antennas
have the advantage of being able to null out local groundwave signals.

However, if you have more than fifty feet of distance available to you,
I suggest you look in to the random wire first. It's cheaper, and it
just plain works...

DE AB3A

4626. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that traps you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:03:15 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154124195.686652.153360@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.pizza.delivery.drivers

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Nope, it's right there in the Bible, you will be roasting in hell,
> > > > > faggot.
> > > > you will be there if you believe the Bible for violating the ten
> > > > comandaments and bearing false witness
> > >
> > > You mean like you've been doing?
> > which I have NEVER done
>
> Oh, like saying Wiseman has a lisp and he beat his mommy? Hypocrite.
I never said you beat your mom and your recored voice has a lisp or
similar impedant
>
> > but you do to me many times daily
>
> You get what you deserve, you lying hypocrite.
for what ? i never did you any harm at all till you one day you
decided to start attacking with you flase charge of childmolestor

4627. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:26:24 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154125584.317811.141160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85713

miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
> As a demod filter? I would image a low order active filter to clean
> things up. Remember, this is the audio band, not RF. I've seen some
> write ups on 455khz IFs being done with active filters.
>
> Kiwa sells an active filter for 455Khz
> http://www.kiwa.com/kiwa455.html
>
> Note the AR7030 has "tone controls", so certainly it has an active
> filter past the demod. The problem with building LCR filters in the
> audio band is they are bulky, not to mention often inaccurate. With
> active filters, you have more flexibility over component values.

Drake uses an LC filter in the IF. They "Get away" with it becuase of
the
lower IF they use. R390s, original not the R390A, and the R392 use
several staged of LC filters and have excellent skirts.

The Kiwa filter you refference is not a "active filter", but a ceramic
filter
with amplification. To me active filter means opamp or norton amp
with feedback to control pass/reject charactoristics. The premium Kiwa
unit is nearly as good as a crystal or mechanical filter and MUCH
easier
to connect. I installed one in a friends R2000 and was impressed by
the quality and how well it worked.

A big advantage of passive LC filters is they are much less "fussy"
then active filters. I like not having to mess with power and proper
bypassing.
And if you are willing to wind your own torroids, it is pretty easy to
get the
L very close to what you want. The C can be built with standard value
caps in parallel.

The Tone-Tilt filter I used in all 3 of our R2000s is active because it
would be
VERY difficult to use LC filters effectively.

Terry

4628. Re: Active antennas (Thanks for info!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:49:35 UTC
From: Stephen Drummonds <stephencd@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <7eSdnX2nc_0UCVfZnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 85714

Thanks to all who wrote and gave me some very good answers to my question. I think I will go with the wire antenna for now also to the gentleman that asked what area I am from Im located in Alabama Thanks to all who responded!! Steve

"Jake Brodsky" <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net> wrote in message news:69GdnXhTjPGRGlfZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Stephen Drummonds wrote:
>> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active
>> antenna to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth
>> the money or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input
>> Steve
>
> Active antennas can be reasonably good, but there is one tiny hitch: You
> need to isolate it from all the electrical and computer hash you'll
> generate in your house. Do not buy a cheap active antenna or you'll be
> sorry.
>
> It's actually easier to erect a random wire antenna and use it instead of
> an active antenna. That said, a well isolated loop antenna with an
> external power supply, isolated from the rest of the house, might be just
> what the doctor ordered for a small suburban lot. Loop antennas have the
> advantage of being able to null out local groundwave signals.
>
> However, if you have more than fifty feet of distance available to you, I
> suggest you look in to the random wire first. It's cheaper, and it just
> plain works...
>
> DE AB3A

4629. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:51:07 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 85715

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
>
>
For cars?

4630. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:52:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <985lc25auvcd430fh6pgoahg112avjcqp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 85716

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:04:24 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>
>You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.

They just released a 10-Q yesterday, didn't they?

4631. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:58:01 UTC
From: Harveyat8c43z0@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154127481.264844.304620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85717

Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

Steve:

IF you live in a Very , low noise environment;

- Or can move the active antenna out away from your home, Into a low
noise environment, then I suggest messing around with this.

Putting up an active antenna in a noisy environment will just ..
Amplify the ambient noise as well as Shortwave Signals

Suggest Just use a regular random wire, with a co-ax feed.

Congratulations on th R-75

4632. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 22:58:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <je5lc29r04mpkoi0q6rgvmk2dqlvdadsbg@4ax.com>
Source record: 85718

On 28 Jul 2006 13:02:14 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>SD,
>
>For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
>Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
>* Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
>your choice of Antennas.
It depends on your location and what you want to listen to. A 50'
random wire is OK for SWL but it has nulls and is somewhat weak for
MW. A coax matcher and cable complicates the installation.

I'm away from any strong local signals and get really good service out
of an MFJ-1024 on my bedroom radio. I can hear KOMO 1,000 very
reliably every night and I'm 3 states away.

4633. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:08:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <GNwyg.1703$TV.1122@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85719

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-247D96.14042428072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <4kuyg.1645$TV.1319@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:telamon_spamshield-09CCF7.23174727072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>> >>
>> >> Both are on plan so far.
>> >
>> > Where do you get your numbers. Yahoo says EPS (ttm): -3.06 for XMSR?
>>
>> I get it from the financials available through my broker's research
>> service.
>> >
>> > They are also off plan. They do not have the number of subscribers they
>> > supposed to have at this time and so have revised their schedual.
>>
>> The loss was based on a huge nonrecurring item that did not affect
>> EBITDA.
>> They are projecting free cash flow by Q4 of this year or 1 of next year,
>> which is on track.
>>
>> They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but
>> these
>> are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and were
>> part of the early press releases in 2001.
>
> You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.

My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar, as well as many analyst
reports, all kinds of analysis of financials and fundamentals, as well as
the latest SEC reports. It is vastly more ample than what I could do on my
own, and includes many sources that individuals can't even get except
through a broker or investment banker.

4634. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:09:08 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <oOwyg.1704$TV.1438@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85720

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:985lc25auvcd430fh6pgoahg112avjcqp4@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:04:24 GMT, Telamon
> <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>>
>>You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
>
> They just released a 10-Q yesterday, didn't they?

Yes. It is available online.
>

4635. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:09:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <COwyg.1705$TV.104@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85721

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
>>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
>>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
>>
>>
> For cars?

Both.
>

4636. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:12:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <4Rwyg.1706$TV.1479@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85722

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CA7F35.AA8099DF@milestones.com...
>
>> >
>> > They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but
>> > these
>> > are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and
>> > were
>> > part of the early press releases in 2001.
>>
>> You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
>
> Absolutely correct! If the broker *really* knew what the hell was going on
> there
> would be no need to go to work every day as a broker.

Most brokers give their accounts, depending on level, access to all kinds of
tools and reports and software. I get access to the Edgar reports free,
among many other things my brokerage account gives me.
>
> Brokers are no more than glorified salespeople or shills, much like
> Edweenie!

I practically never talk to a broker. I do everything online, except things
that are not easy to do, like exercise warrants or sell stock options.
Otherwise, I use the broker-provided research and tools to explore
opportunities.

4637. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:15:42 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154128542.324004.127300@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85723

Telamon wrote:
> In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> > 760.
> >
> > IBOC = QRM
>
> That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
> All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
HD board.

4638. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:20:41 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154128841.023832.168350@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85724

miso@sushi.com wrote:
> As a demod filter? I would image a low order active filter to clean
> things up. Remember, this is the audio band, not RF. I've seen some
> write ups on 455khz IFs being done with active filters.
>
> Kiwa sells an active filter for 455Khz
> http://www.kiwa.com/kiwa455.html
>
> Note the AR7030 has "tone controls", so certainly it has an active
> filter past the demod. The problem with building LCR filters in the
> audio band is they are bulky, not to mention often inaccurate. With
> active filters, you have more flexibility over component values.

For an example of what I consider to be a very usefull filter, please look at:
<http://members.tripod.com/roymal/ReverbTone.htm>

With minor component value chages, it is easy to get more or less cut/booast and/or frequency range.

Please note that I had nothing to do with this page, I found it usefull.

Terry

4639. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:24:36 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <247lc2lt3dmgpgptjtmciqkasv8oa56o58@4ax.com>
Source record: 85725

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 23:08:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar,

The ''Edgar'' that charges money is a scam.

http://edgar.sec.gov/

4640. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-28 23:39:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Xexyg.1719$TV.1141@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85726

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:247lc2lt3dmgpgptjtmciqkasv8oa56o58@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 23:08:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar,
>
> The ''Edgar'' that charges money is a scam.

The reports are useful, and I get them for free as part of my online
brokerage account. Free is nice.

4641. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:10:20 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131819.941346.181590@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85727

sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> Telamon wrote:
> > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> > > 760.
> > >
> > > IBOC = QRM
> >
> > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
> > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
> If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> HD board.

He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
old guy! I couldn't believe it.

4642. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:11:25 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131885.202104.105760@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85728

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44CA7F35.AA8099DF@milestones.com...
> >
> >> >
> >> > They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but
> >> > these
> >> > are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and
> >> > were
> >> > part of the early press releases in 2001.
> >>
> >> You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
> >>
> > Absolutely correct! If the broker *really* knew what the hell was going on
> > there
> > would be no need to go to work every day as a broker.
>
> Most brokers give their accounts, depending on level, access to all kinds of
> tools and reports and software. I get access to the Edgar reports free,
> among many other things my brokerage account gives me.
> >
> > Brokers are no more than glorified salespeople or shills, much like
> > Edweenie!
>
> I practically never talk to a broker. I do everything online, except things
> that are not easy to do, like exercise warrants or sell stock options.
> Otherwise, I use the broker-provided research and tools to explore
> opportunities.

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.

4643. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:11:50 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131910.530025.213670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85729

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:985lc25auvcd430fh6pgoahg112avjcqp4@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:04:24 GMT, Telamon
> > <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
> >
> > They just released a 10-Q yesterday, didn't they?
>
> Yes. It is available online.
> >

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.

4644. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:12:12 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131932.705066.127010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85730

```
David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
> >>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
> >>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
> >>
> >>
> > For cars?
>
> Both.
> >

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
```

4645. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:12:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131962.886161.79060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85731

David wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 23:08:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar,
>
> The ''Edgar'' that charges money is a scam.
>
> http://edgar.sec.gov/

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.

4646. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:13:15 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154131995.905322.228420@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85732

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:247lc2lt3dmgpgptjtmciqkasv8oa56o58@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 23:08:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar,
> >
> > The ''Edgar'' that charges money is a scam.
>
> The reports are useful, and I get them for free as part of my online
> brokerage account. Free is nice.

Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.

4647. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:42:02 UTC
From: "Carter, k8vt" <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <u9yyg.73663$fb2.40939@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85733

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

> Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure
> of/for
> the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".

Interesting! In the RTTY world, this is known as selective fading.
Usually an o'scope is used as a tuning indicator, one set of deflection
plates being connected to the "mark" signal and the other set of
deflection plates being connected to the "space" signal, giving the
classic "cross" display (looks like a 'plus' sign on the screen).

The mark and space signal generally used to be 850 Hz apart, commercial
press stuff 425 Hz apart and most of the stuff today using a 170 Hz shift.

During disturbed ionospheric conditions, you would see this selective
fading come into play; i.e., either the mark or space would fade to
nothing while the other signal was solid--even though they were only 170
Hertz apart.

4648. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 00:47:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7471-44CAB02A-177@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85734

It ought to be called ICRAP.

Want to buy a house? www.sabrinasoldit.com
cuhulin

4649. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:02:26 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154134946.088534.160200@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85735

RHF wrote:
> SD,
>
> For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
> Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
> * Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
> your choice of Antennas.
> * The RF Environment {Noise} of your Location {Neighborhood}
> also can be a Factor in your choice of Antennas.
>
> 1 - Two Major Determining Factors would be :
> * The Size-of-the-Area {Space} that you have to put the
> Wire Antenna up in; and the relative noise of your location.
> - - - - - vice - - - - -
> * The Distance-Away-from the Home and other Sources
> of Noise that you could Locate the Active Antenna.
>
> 2 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" 'passive' Wire Antennas
> for the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Sized - Big to Small}
> * Alpha Delta DX-Ultra Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3377.html
> * Antenna Supermarket Eavesdropper SWL Sloper Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0013.html
> * * Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna - Rigged as a
> 15 Foot Vertical by 30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L"
> {Low Noise} Antenna with Coax Cable feed-in-line.
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT8.html
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2205.html
> * RF Systems Mini-Windom Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3575.html
>
> 3 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" Active Antennas for
> the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Priced - High to Moderate}
> * Dressler ARA60S Active Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/4061.html
> * RF Systems DX-10 Professional Active Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2868.html
> * * McKay Dymek DA-100E Active Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0328.html
> * LF Engineering H-800 Skymatch Active Antenna
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT15.html
> * MFJ-1024 Active Antenna
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/1132.html
>

> Most of these are considered to offer Full Spectrum Coverage of
> both the Medium-Wave and Shortwave Bands : 500 kHz - 30 MHz.
>
> FWIW - As time goes on you may wish to have both an
> Out-Side Wire Antenna and an Active Antenna as a "Pair"
> of Antennas to Choose from for a variety of Shortwave
> Listening (SWL) needs.
>
>
> iane ~ RHF
> .
> Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> .
> The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
> May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> .
> |
> |
> |
> / \
> -------!-------
>
> Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> > I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> > to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> > or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve

RHF, I'm surprised you didn't mention the "Mini Whip".

Steve

4650. (OT) Astronaut Kills Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:05:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7471-44CAB475-184@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85736

www.drudgereport.com
For some reason,the link wont pull up for me.Is there another link to
it?
cuhulin

4651. CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:21:47 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154136107.174851.263090@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85737

I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.

Steve

4652. Re: (OT) Astronaut Kills Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:23:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CAB88F.F2DF0D30@milestones.com>
Source record: 85738

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> www.drudgereport.com
> For some reason,the link wont pull up for me.Is there another link to
> it?

Doesn't open here either, BUT here is a link to an ARRL story I believe is the same fellow:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/07/27/1/?nc=1

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4653. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:25:32 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CAB90C.891BCF1C@milestones.com>
Source record: 85739

Steve wrote:

> I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.

That's that Canuck station that claims accurate time?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4654. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:30:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154136657.650375.176420@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85740

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.
>
> That's that Canuck station that claims accurate time?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I guess it claims accurate time....when it's actually broadcasting!

Steve

4655. Re: (OT) Astronaut Kills Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:46:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gfflc2h4b1q0e0fcp5h08aueq7nmtajbjm@4ax.com>
Source record: 85741

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:05:57 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>www.drudgereport.com
>For some reason,the link wont pull up for me.Is there another link to
>it?
>cuhulin
>
http://www.collectspace.com/news/news-072706a.html

4656. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 01:59:13 UTC
From: garyarnold@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154138353.425871.63290@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85742

m II wrote:
> Exactly. I'm wondering if this format change indicates a trend. Are the
> religion based stations losing people to the extent they are no longer
> able to attract advertisers or contributors?
>
Not at all. The facts: For many years, K-LOVE (www.klove.com) was
using this frequency (106.3) to serve an area around Kingsburg to
Fresno. But in recent years K-LOVE added two more powerful stations at
88.5 and 91.1 that serves a larger area including the Kingsburg to
Fresno area. There was no sense keeping all three stations for this
area so they sold 106.3. Just look at www.klove.com and see how many
stations they own in the US and you will see christian radio is not
losing people. K-LOVE is adding stations all the time to their network.

4657. 3185 jammin!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 02:40:26 UTC
From: "IAM...IAAM" <fullfillment@charter.net>
Message-ID: <qfilc2hleg5f2f8fqg7av2ac2lfe861q1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 85743

I don't know who this is, but from the top of the hour to 0235Z they were playing some great 70's and 80's R&B. Then at 0235 they went into some 70's and 80's alternative rock. Now the music is stuck on the same chord for a minute or so. :-)

check it in!

4658. Re: 3185 jammin!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 02:43:49 UTC
From: "IAM...IAAM" <fullfillment@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ipilc29gar2l5j21abr51njidsa6jq15nm@4ax.com>
Source record: 85744

What happened?

The song has been stuck on the same thing for over five minutes now.
It is a bad CD or something?

Where is the DJ?

He wasting bandwidth~! :-)

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:40:26 -0500, IAM...IAAM
<fullfillment@charter.net> wrote:

>I don't know who this is, but from the top of the hour to 0235Z they
>were playing some great 70's and 80's R&B. Then at 0235 they went into
>some 70's and 80's alternative rock. Now the music is stuck on the
>same chord for a minute or so. :-)
>
>check it in!

4659. Re: 3185 jammin!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 02:45:00 UTC
From: "IAM...IAAM" <fullfillment@charter.net>
Message-ID: <hsilc2la66ne86g9ervjfnuqgf2us1c41p@4ax.com>
Source record: 85745

Now it's kickin again playing the "Village People"

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:40:26 -0500, IAM...IAAM
<fullfillment@charter.net> wrote:

>I don't know who this is, but from the top of the hour to 0235Z they
>were playing some great 70's and 80's R&B. Then at 0235 they went into
>some 70's and 80's alternative rock. Now the music is stuck on the
>same chord for a minute or so. :-)
>
>check it in!

4660. Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 02:49:20 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154141360.708333.299460@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85746

Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.

4661. Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 02:50:05 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154141405.198374.4120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85747

Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.

4662. RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 03:10:04 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8Z-dnZpiZJwZTFfZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85648

there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is up to $405

auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself

4663. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 03:11:37 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <JIAyg.134754$A8.93430@clgrps12>
Source record: 85649

David Eduardo wrote:

>> Salesmen get their fun where they can find it. I don't imagine it to be an
>> easy life. We'll have to ask dxAce....
>
> That is like asking Dr. Kevorkian about the latest advances in geriatric
> medicine.

..true...

Mind you, I've heard Kevorkian is like Mother Theresa in comparison to
the Ace.

mike

4664. Re: FM Format change in Fresno...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 03:16:58 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <KqAyg.134755$A8.87432@clgrps12>
Source record: 85650

Steve wrote:

>> We do not sell ads on stunting days.
>
> Doesn't matter. You are BUSTED.

Hey, Steve..with all respect, this is getting as boring as the
repetitious 'tard postings.

mike

4665. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 03:30:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11567-44CAD64A-90@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85651

At the sound of the Bell, it is perzactly 10:30 PM.
cuhulin

4666. Re: (OT) Astronaut Kills Himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 03:49:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11567-44CADAD2-98@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85652

I just now clicked on that article again at drudgereport.com

It works ok now.It links to, www.thepilot.com
cuhulin

4667. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 04:06:10 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154145970.575468.299730@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85653

Who cares. Shortwave is dead.What A-Hole would spend $400 on an old
portable radio.

maghakian wrote:
> there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is up
> to $405
>
> auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself

4668. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 04:31:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11569-44CAE49B-3@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85654

Watch C-Span tomorrow (Saturday) night at 8:00 PM EST.
www.infowars.com has something about that.(911) I put a reminder in
both of my tv sets so I wont miss it.
cuhulin

4669. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 05:11:46 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1154149905.994252.139560@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85655

Steve wrote:
> I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.
>
> Steve

At 0510 UTC, I have them here at +20/S9.
Most entertaining broadcast I've heard in a while, LOL.

4670. Re: Geomagnetic Minor Storm to continue (28 July 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 07:09:58 UTC
From: Kenelly Heaviside <KH@bluesky.net>
Message-ID: <aRDyg.46$L31.10@trndny06>
Source record: 85656

> In the Summer time,Earth is a few million miles further away form the
> Sun and the Northern Latitudes are tilted toward the Sun.In the Winter
> time,the opposite is true.That is why we have Winter,Spring,Summer and
> Fall.Maybe all of that has something (along with the Sunspots too) to do
> with radio reception.Well,of course it does.

The earth is closest to the sun (perihelion) in early January which is summer in the southern hemisphere. Six months later in July we are farthest from the sun (aphelion) which is summer in the north. This is why shortwave conditions are generally poorer at this time of year in the northern hemisphere because we are farthest from the sun and therefore receive less radiation to energize the ionosphere. The longer days of summer in the north help to compensate for this effect to a certain degree but not completely.

4671. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 07:56:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154159761.433231.233430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85657

Stephanie Weil wrote:
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > Those extra channels will eventually be pay channels, or dropped entirely.
> > Think about it:
>
> Lease them out to third party operators for multi-ethnic programming.
>
> Imagine this. You have Reggaeton music on your normal HD-1 stream.
>
> Lease out your HD-2 to say....a Spanish Christian broadcaster who can't
> afford to buy a station of their own, or some other ethnic group(s)
> that doesn't have the critical mass to support a full-time station.
> Ditto the HD-3.
>
> Assuming the analog FM goes away, how many streams can you split each
> frequency on the 88-108 band into?
>
> --
> Steph

SW - The HD2 the new home of former
Shortwave Radio Broadcasters ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4672. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 08:10:49 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154160649.058543.18260@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85658

Steve,

PA0RDT-Mini-Whip {Active} Antenna - Inch-per-Inch - It Works !
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/dbc4666783b0a2f6

Shortwave Listening (SWL) "Receive-Only" Antennas
- Away Is Better - Then Up ! - Or Is It ?
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/d475a10814862d1d

~ RHF
.
.
. .

Steve wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > SD,
> >
> > For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
> > Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
> > * Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
> > your choice of Antennas.
> > * The RF Environment {Noise} of your Location {Neighborhood}
> > also can be a Factor in your choice of Antennas.
> >
> > 1 - Two Major Determining Factors would be :
> > * The Size-of-the-Area {Space} that you have to put the
> > Wire Antenna up in; and the relative noise of your location.
> > - - - - - vice - - - - -
> > * The Distance-Away-from the Home and other Sources
> > of Noise that you could Locate the Active Antenna.
> >
> > 2 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" 'passive' Wire Antennas
> > for the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Sized - Big to Small}
> > * Alpha Delta DX-Ultra Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3377.html
> > * Antenna Supermarket Eavesdropper SWL Sloper Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0013.html
> > * * Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna - Rigged as a
> > 15 Foot Vertical by 30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L"
> > {Low Noise} Antenna with Coax Cable feed-in-line.
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT8.html
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2205.html
> > * RF Systems Mini-Windom Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/3575.html

> >
> > 3 - Here are a few Good "Out-Side" Active Antennas for
> > the Shortwave Listener (SWL) : {Priced - High to Moderate}
> > * Dressler ARA60S Active Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/4061.html
> > * RF Systems DX-10 Professional Active Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2868.html
> > * * McKay Dymek DA-100E Active Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/0328.html
> > * LF Engineering H-800 Skymatch Active Antenna
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT15.html
> > * MFJ-1024 Active Antenna
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/1132.html
> >
> > Most of these are considered to offer Full Spectrum Coverage of
> > both the Medium-Wave and Shortwave Bands : 500 kHz - 30 MHz.
> >
> > FWIW - As time goes on you may wish to have both an
> > Out-Side Wire Antenna and an Active Antenna as a "Pair"
> > of Antennas to Choose from for a variety of Shortwave
> > Listening (SWL) needs.
> >
> >
> > iane ~ RHF
> > .
> > Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
> > SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
> > .
> > The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
> > SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
> > May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
> > have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
> > .
> > |
> > |
> > |
> > / \
> > -------!-------
> >
> > Stephen Drummonds wrote:
> > > I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
> > > to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
> > > or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve
>
> RHF, I'm surprised you didn't mention the "Mini Whip".
>
> Steve

4673. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 08:48:10 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154162890.731771.316610@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85659

David wrote:
> On 28 Jul 2006 13:02:14 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >SD,
> >
> >For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
> >Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
> >* Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
> >your choice of Antennas.

- It depends on your location and what you want to listen to.
- A 50' random wire is OK for SWL but it has nulls and is
- somewhat weak for MW. A coax matcher and cable
- complicates the installation.

David - The Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna
* That is Rigged {Set-Up} as a 15 Foot Vertical by
30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L" {Low Noise} Antenna
with Coax Cable feed-in-line and remote Ground Rod.
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT8.html
* Gives good all-around Shortwave Band reception and
works well on the AM/MW Band Antenna too.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2205.html
* It is no more complicated to install then most
small Active Antennas.
* Active Antennas need to be installed properly and
in the right location. Otherwise they can be great
Noise Amplifiers and make Radio Listening a pain.

- I'm away from any strong local signals and get really
- good service out of an MFJ-1024 on my bedroom radio.
- I can hear KOMO 1,000 very reliably every night and
- I'm 3 states away.

David - The MFJ-1024 Active Antenna was on the list
of Active Antennas that I provided.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/1132.html
* For many who can not have a very 'visible' Antenna
small Active Antennas like the MFJ-1024 do a very
good job in letting them hear what they want to hear.
* The only feature of the MFJ-1024 that I do not like
is the Telescoping Whip Antenna.
* * I would replace the short Whip Antenna with a
solid CB Whip Antenna mounted on a piece of 21

Foot Top-Rail and 50 Foot of Coax Cable to get it
away from the House and out-side Up-in-the-Air.
* * Small Active Antennas like the MFJ-1024 some
times can be used In-Side the Attic with a Pair of
Perpendicular One-Metre Diamond Wire Loops.

and that's my view from the far side of . . .
the antenna wire - iane ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4674. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:36:45 UTC
From: willismat239@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1154169405.721387.161830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85660

mike maghakian wrote:
> there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is up
> to $405
>
> auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself

All I see is an RF-2200/DR22 with a "Buy It Now" price of $425 listed
by someone named MMaghakian, who says to "buy from an expert."

4675. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:37:40 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154169460.897175.310020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85661

Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131819.941346.181590@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit,
> > > > > and even
> > > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC
> > > > > Crap on
> > > > > 760.
> > > > >
> > > > > IBOC = QRM
> > > >
> > > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
> > > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Telamon
> > > > Ventura, California
> > >
> > > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> > > HD board.
> >
> > He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> > young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> > he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> > However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> > old guy! I couldn't believe it.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike

Mike = Tardo

4676. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:38:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154169484.231824.198670@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85662

Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131885.202104.105760@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > > news:44CA7F35.AA8099DF@milestones.com...
> > > >
> > > >> >
> > > >> > They revised down the figures based on straight line projections, but
> > > >> > these
> > > >> > are still in line with the original projections when XM launched and
> > > >> > were
> > > >> > part of the early press releases in 2001.
> > > >>
> > > >> You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely correct! If the broker *really* knew what the hell was going on
> > > > there
> > > > would be no need to go to work every day as a broker.
> > >
> > > Most brokers give their accounts, depending on level, access to all kinds of
> > > tools and reports and software. I get access to the Edgar reports free,
> > > among many other things my brokerage account gives me.
> > > >
> > > > Brokers are no more than glorified salespeople or shills, much like
> > > > Edweenie!
> > >
> > > I practically never talk to a broker. I do everything online, except things
> > > that are not easy to do, like exercise warrants or sell stock options.
> > > Otherwise, I use the broker-provided research and tools to explore
> > > opportunities.
> >
> > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike

Mike = Tardo

4677. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:38:28 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154169508.416228.279410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85663

```
Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131910.530025.213670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:985lc25auvcd430fh6pgoahg112avjcqp4@4ax.com...
> > > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:04:24 GMT, Telamon
> > > > <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>You might want to do your own research and not just rely on your broker.
> > > >
> > > > They just released a 10-Q yesterday, didn't they?
> > >
> > > Yes. It is available online.
> > > >
> >
> > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike

Mike = Tardo
```

4678. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:38:51 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154169531.825805.247620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85664

```
Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131962.886161.79060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David wrote:
> > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 23:08:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >My brokerage account gives me free access to Edgar,
> > >
> > > The ''Edgar'' that charges money is a scam.
> > >
> > > http://edgar.sec.gov/
> >
> > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike

Mike = Tardo
```

4679. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:39:18 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154169558.571887.211050@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85665

```
Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131932.705066.127010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> > > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > > > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
> > > >>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
> > > >>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > > For cars?
> > >
> > > Both.
> > > >
> >
> > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike
```

Mike = Tardo

4680. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:56:00 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154170560.165454.45690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85666

```
Mike wrote:
> In article <1154131932.705066.127010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> > > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > > > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable audience
> > > >>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
> > > >>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > > For cars?
> > >
> > > Both.
> > > >
> >
> > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
>
> Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
>
> Mike

LOL!!!

http://tinyurl.com/zbxhv

This is a real masterpiece, nitwit!
```

4681. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 10:58:26 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB3F52.630E69E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85667

mike maghakian wrote:

> there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is up
> to $405
>
> auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself

How did it get up to $405 when the winning bid was $400?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4682. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 11:00:33 UTC
From: John Steffes <jsteffes@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ldHyg.6476$dj2.1387@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 85694

dxAce wrote:
> I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> 760.
>
> IBOC = QRM
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
WJR is a clear channel, high power station. It should be booming at your
20 with the rig you have indicated.

John

4683. Re: 3185 jammin!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 11:26:24 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB45E0.8661BBD3@milestones.com>
Source record: 85668

"IAM...IAAM" wrote:

> I don't know who this is, but from the top of the hour to 0235Z they
> were playing some great 70's and 80's R&B. Then at 0235 they went into
> some 70's and 80's alternative rock. Now the music is stuck on the
> same chord for a minute or so. :-)

WWRB, Manchester, TN

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4684. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 11:43:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB49FC.68A422C2@milestones.com>
Source record: 85669

"Carter, k8vt" wrote:

> r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Dallas Lankford has done some serious research on the cause and cure
> > of/for
> > the distortion caussed by ionospheric "hops".
>
> Interesting! In the RTTY world, this is known as selective fading.

Gee! In the SWL'ing world, this is known as selective fading.

Who woulda thunk it.

It's multipath distortion.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4685. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 12:20:13 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <bekmc25o5c01dpkvdfd5fl0hjqlnq59ii7@4ax.com>
Source record: 85670

On 29 Jul 2006 01:48:10 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On 28 Jul 2006 13:02:14 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >SD,
>> >
>> >For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
>> >Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
>> >* Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
>> >your choice of Antennas.
>
>- It depends on your location and what you want to listen to.
>- A 50' random wire is OK for SWL but it has nulls and is
>- somewhat weak for MW. A coax matcher and cable
>- complicates the installation.
>
>David - The Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna
>* That is Rigged {Set-Up} as a 15 Foot Vertical by
>30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L" {Low Noise} Antenna
>with Coax Cable feed-in-line and remote Ground Rod.
This is no different than any other wire antenna with a coax matcher.

I have a random wire. A random wire with an MLB. And the MFJ-1024.

I posted my observation.

I don't appreciate your Doctor Bronner on Acid replies. They are
anarchy and they are more confusing tha helpful.

You have issues.

4686. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 12:28:08 UTC
From: bm <Bjarne.Mjelde@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154176088.101464.142980@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85671

Well, it works.

I have been playing with the ELPAF since last autumn; first on my R-390A, which, despite having done the AF Deck mod, does have its quirks with regard to audio quality. The ELPAF cleaned up audio admirably. Mostly doing MW DX then. Then, this summer together with a modified IC-703 mostly on SW. It practically eliminates the distortion caused by fades, as well as high-frequency hiss and noise giving an audibly better signal to noise ratio. The trade-off is of course a more limited audio response. Personally I can live with that - I never use bandwidths wider than 6-7 kHz anyway. My ELPAF has a bypass switch so it is easy to compare audio quality.

I used to have an SE-3 as well, and enjoyed the excellent audio it produced. The ELPAF does little less with regard to audio recovery. I am thinking about doing an A-B comparison between the two later on.

BM

4687. The Cuhulin Song!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 12:49:21 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB5951.A99F7B7D@milestones.com>
Source record: 85672

http://www.acme.com/jef/netgems/womens_underwear.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4688. Re: Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 13:59:04 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <DQJyg.3063$DW3.795@fe06.lga>
Source record: 85673

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154141405.198374.4120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data
> donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay
> for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor
> to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.
>

You never answered the question pertaining to your ingredients. Modern high tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

4689. BPL Test in Austin TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 14:08:30 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fuqmc2pd2h1h186gvn022jvg6551qo5dv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 85674

http://www.statesman.com/business/content/business/stories/other/07/28energy.html

4690. Deutsche Telekom/Gambelli Qualify in FCC auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 14:11:31 UTC
From: Otis <Otis@wv-net.com>
Message-ID: <12cmr4jfopn2253@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85675

168 bidders qualify in FCC auction
http://www.siliconvalley.com/mld/siliconvalley/business/technology/15147966.htm?source=rss&channel=siliconvalley_technology

BRUCE MEYERSON

Associated Press

NEW YORK - The Federal Communications Commission on Friday issued a list of 168 bidders that have qualified to participate in an upcoming auction of wireless licenses that is expected to raise billions of dollars for the government while ushering in more next-generation services.

The auction of 1,122 licenses, slated to begin on Aug. 9, covers slices of the airwaves that are currently used by the federal government. The FCC also issued a list of more than 80 would-be participants whose applications were rejected.

The qualified bidders include T-Mobile USA, a unit of Deutsche Telekom AG that badly needs more spectrum so it can introduce the speedier Internet connections that its main cellular rivals already offer. Two of those competitors, Cingular Wireless and Verizon Wireless also were on the list of qualified bidders even though both already have much more spectrum than T-Mobile.

Also qualified to bid was Lynch AWS Corp., an entity led by money manager Mario Gabelli, who earlier this month reached a settlement of charges that he had defrauded the government in wireless auctions between 1995 and 2000. Gabelli and his affiliated companies agreed to pay $130 million to settle a civil-fraud suit.

4691. Re: BPL Test in Austin TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 14:42:41 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1154184161.449727.256980@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85676

Well, this Peter Collins is NOT very informed about this "new"
technology. It's obvious that the local utility has shoved some bucks
into his pockets. What is it with these politicians and BPL. Just
like flies to shit!

Frank

David wrote:
> http://www.statesman.com/business/content/business/stories/other/07/28energy.html

4692. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:00:38 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <qmtmc2l98mr0d07k1p3244o9qpn02f8507@4ax.com>
Source record: 85677

On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 12:45:06 -0500, "Stephen Drummonds"
<stephencd@centurytel.net> wrote:

>I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
>to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
>or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve
>

I have a Dymek Stoner DA100E active antenna, indoors. It works fine,
doesn't take up much space, but a full size outdoor wire antenna
provides stronger, louder reception of signals.

A big wire antenna is cheaper, the active antenna is more convenient;
take your choice.

bob
k5qwg

4693. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:02:46 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85678

Steve wrote:
> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> > Telamon wrote:
> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> > > > 760.
> > > >
> > > > IBOC = QRM
> > >
> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Telamon
> > > Ventura, California
> >
> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> > HD board.
>
> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> old guy! I couldn't believe it.

If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...

4694. Re: HD/IBOC Article by Mark Ramsey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:06:56 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154185616.148320.305960@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85679

David Eduardo wrote:
> "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:1154069618.223920.223240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > DE,
> >
> > Mark Ramsey offers some interesting insight and intriguing questions.
> >
> > You should write a point-by-point rebuttal and market it for print in a
> >
> > trade publication.
> >
> > and that is the way i see it ~ RHF
>
> Interesting idea, but most publications have beaten HD to death... RW is
> full of pros and cons. Interestingly, the cons are all from folks who don't
> run stations or are at stations that don't want to spend any capex dollars.
>
> The end result is that HD is now on 1000 stations, with another 1000 on the
> way and another 1000 committed for. Just the first 1000 reach over 70% of
> the US population.

Asshole, they can broadcast in HD all they want, but with no cunsumer
interest in HD Radio, a moot point !

4695. broadcast automation software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:10:45 UTC
From: loa210@freemail.gr
Message-ID: <1154185845.253257.57430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85680

```
broadcast automation software

--------------------------------------------------

iMediaTouch broadcast automation software,
------------------------------------------------------------
modules
m1 ON-AIR module
m2 Voice Tracking module
m3 Production module
m4 LogTools module
------------------------------------------------------------
optional modules
om1 RemoteVT ... iMediaTouch Remote VoiceTracking module
om2 On-Air Remote ... iMediaTouch On-Air Remote module
om3 iMediaDataCast ... RDS Encoder module
om4 iMediaLogger ... Digital Logger & Podcaster module
om5 iMediaImport ... Automatic Content Importer module
om6 iMediaAccess ... Wide Area Content Management module
om6 iMediaPix ... Cart Replacement module
om7 iMediaMultiStream ... Multi-Channel Live Stream Encoder
------------------------------------------------------------
```

4696. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:20:10 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154186410.091268.59560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85681

sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> Steve wrote:
> > sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> > > Telamon wrote:
> > > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760 Detroit, and even
> > > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of IBOC Crap on
> > > > > 760.
> > > > >
> > > > > IBOC = QRM
> > > >
> > > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band On
> > > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Telamon
> > > > Ventura, California
> > >
> > > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> > > HD board.
> >
> > He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> > young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> > he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> > However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> > old guy! I couldn't believe it.
>
> If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...

Yeah, although he can't get his story straight about exactly what his
job title is. Sometimes it's VP, sometimes it's President. Judging from
the record, he was a President some years ago and is now a VP. Would be
interesting to know how that little shift came about. But whatever his
title, he's a sad commentary on Univision.

4697. Re: The Cuhulin Song!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:23:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24404-44CB7D82-46@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85686

Haw Haw Haw,that's good.
That married Irish woman wayyyy over yonder across the big pond in England emailed me yesterday wanting to know what she can send me for the Maybelline eye liner pencils I sent her.(I kept the five photos of her and her dad and her hubby and her dad's girlfriend celebrating her fiftieth birthday last April 22nd but I tore up the check (cheque) she had sent with the photos.I told her to send me a copy of that photo she has of that statue of Tain Bo Cualgne in the Dublin Post Office.
cuhulin

4698. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:24:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <%4Lyg.77233$Lm5.45664@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85683

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steve wrote:
>> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
>> > Telamon wrote:
>> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
>> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
>> > > > Detroit, and even
>> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
>> > > > IBOC Crap on
>> > > > 760.
>> > > >
>> > > > IBOC = QRM
>> > >
>> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
>> > > On
>> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
>> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
>> > >
>> > > --
>> > > Telamon
>> > > Ventura, California
>> >
>> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
>> > HD board.
>>
>> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
>> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
>> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
>> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
>> old guy! I couldn't believe it.
>
> If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...

You could also just visit my website...

4699. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:27:38 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <K7Lyg.77235$Lm5.74165@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85684

```
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154186410.091268.59560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
>> Steve wrote:
>> > sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
>> > > Telamon wrote:
>> > > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
>> > > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
>> > > > > Detroit, and even
>> > > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
>> > > > > IBOC Crap on
>> > > > > 760.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > IBOC = QRM
>> > > >
>> > > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
>> > > > On
>> > > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
>> > > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
>> > > >
>> > > > --
>> > > > Telamon
>> > > > Ventura, California
>> > >
>> > > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
>> > > HD board.
>> >
>> > He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
>> > young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
>> > he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
>> > However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
>> > old guy! I couldn't believe it.
>>
>> If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
>
> Yeah, although he can't get his story straight about exactly what his
> job title is. Sometimes it's VP, sometimes it's President. Judging from
> the record, he was a President some years ago and is now a VP. Would be
> interesting to know how that little shift came about. But whatever his
> title, he's a sad commentary on Univision.
```

I have the title straight. It was for a half-decade Vice President, programming, and is now EVP. Very simple. There are no "presidents of programming" anywhere. NAB goofed, but nobody except you seems to care. Not the 10,000 people at the convention, not my comapny, not my associates.

I was never President of the company.
>

4700. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:29:22 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB7ED2.40A50EFC@milestones.com>
Source record: 85685

David Eduardo wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> >> > Telamon wrote:
> >> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> >> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
> >> > > > Detroit, and even
> >> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
> >> > > > IBOC Crap on
> >> > > > 760.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > IBOC = QRM
> >> > >
> >> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
> >> > > On
> >> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> >> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> >> > >
> >> > > --
> >> > > Telamon
> >> > > Ventura, California
> >> >
> >> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> >> > HD board.
> >>
> >> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> >> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> >> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> >> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> >> old guy! I couldn't believe it.
> >
> > If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
> >
> You could also just visit my website...

He's been busted in Miami...
And down San Diego way...
He's been busted in Portland...
And in Ecuador they say...

Edweenie's been busted... Busted USA...

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4701. Re: Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:36:36 UTC
From: mzenier@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <eaina9ovl1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 85862

In article <telamon_spamshield-24BF0F.11101228072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
>
>They rebroadcast their domestic network programming for a good part of
>the day and that's what I like to listen to the call in programs so hear
>the average Australian.
>
>Occasionally they loose their feed to it.

<paranoia>
And sometimes, (like the recent disagreement with Indonesia about
refugees from West Paupaua (sp?)), the times they lose their feed
seems to corrolate with times when the local domestic broadcasts
may not be diplomatically acceptable.
</paranoia>

Mark Zenier mzenier@eskimo.com
Googleproofaddress(account:mzenier provider:eskimo domain:com)

4702. Re: Active antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:38:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <050nc25sio2rbbe9omp2nnb2m5a2fmtke0@4ax.com>
Source record: 85687

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 15:00:38 GMT, Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 12:45:06 -0500, "Stephen Drummonds"
><stephencd@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>>I am considering buying a Icom r75 and would like to get an active antenna
>>to go with it. my question is this Are the active antennas worth the money
>>or should I stick with a wire antenna? Thanks for any input Steve
>>
>
>I have a Dymek Stoner DA100E active antenna, indoors. It works fine,
>doesn't take up much space, but a full size outdoor wire antenna
>provides stronger, louder reception of signals.
>
>A big wire antenna is cheaper, the active antenna is more convenient;
>take your choice.
>
>bob
>k5qwg

The Stoner and the MFJ-1024 are essentially car radio antennas. They
are omnidirectional and vertically polarised. These can be
advantageous attributes sometimes. The more antennas the merrier.

4703. CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 15:58:25 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cn1mdbml46s3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85690

CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda
...
12:05 p.m.: Our car is being led through back streets to a broken-down building with five ambulances parked in front. "These are the emergency workers who respond to casualty calls when Israel drops their bombs," the Hezbollah man says. "Take your pictures and talk to some of them if you'd like." We're growing tired of what is now obviously a dog-and-pony show, but we decide to play along, and approach one driver with a few questions. Anderson asks him what kind of casualties he's seeing, but before he can answer, the ambulance beside us turns on his siren and screeches out, followed by the next ambulance, then the next. It's a well coordinated and not-so-subtle piece of propaganda that might as well come with a soundtrack titled "Hezbollah Cares."

12:16 p.m.: We again ask the Hezbollah guy (he won't give us his name) when we can talk to some residents, but he brushes us off and tells us maybe at our next stop. He's now on his cell phone and it's not hard to imagine he's making sure all the props are in place before we move on. I wish I spoke Arabic. He opens our car door, slides in, and says he's riding with us. We're fine with it and offer him a bottle of water. "No thank you," he says in English. While we have his attention, Anderson asks him if we can talk to someone in Hezbollah's leadership. His answer is short: "Not while we're at war." He gets out of our car and onto the back of someone's motor scooter.

12:30 p.m.: We're now driving through a neighborhood that hasn't seen any bombing, but it's here we're told we can talk to some residents. Hezbollah guy takes us down to what amounts to a crude bomb shelter and tells us the people here live on this street but are afraid to sleep in their apartment. The concrete room is dimly lit and dank. Two people on plastic chairs are watching an Arabic news channel. One sits in the corner yelling angry epithets about Israel for the reporters. We wait for the media gaggle to leave, then introduce ourselves. They tell us they're a mother, her son and his wife. There's no way to know if it's true. The conversation follows a familiar pattern:

"Are you scared?"

"No!"

"Will you fight?"

"To the death!"

"Do you hate Israel?"

"Of course, and its mother America!"

We thank them for their insights and move back up to the street.

12:44 p.m.: We're back on the street and on cue, a Hezbollah resistance song is now blaring from an apartment. A young man on the porch dressed in black is giving us the victory sign. I look behind me and there's our Hezbollah guide encouraging the young man to lift his hands higher so our camera can see.

12:50 p.m.: Anderson is doing a few more stand-ups about our story that's quickly become less about Hezbollah and more about their crude propaganda machine when the "family" emerges from the bunker behind us and joins their friends in the street. They're laughing, talking loudly, and gesturing with their hands, mocking anger. I really should learn Arabic. Anderson does another stand-up about the group now standing behind us.

12:55 p.m.: We pile into our van and are now driving out of the Hezbollah-controlled neighborhood. It feels like we've just left a haunted house: Slightly frightening at first, but ridiculous by the end.

http://www.cnn.com/CNN/Programs/anderson.cooper.360/blog/2006/07/our-very-strange-day-with-hezbollah.html

"Palestinian" FAKE images industry
http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-images-industry.html

Palestinian Media Fakery
http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245

--

_____
Est autem fides credere quod nondum vides; cuius fidei merces est videre quod credis.
Brought to you by http://www.frontpagemag.com &
http://china-e-lobby.blogspot.com

4704. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:01:13 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154188873.442050.7650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85688

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154186410.091268.59560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> >> Steve wrote:
> >> > sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> >> > > Telamon wrote:
> >> > > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> >> > > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
> >> > > > > Detroit, and even
> >> > > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
> >> > > > > IBOC Crap on
> >> > > > > 760.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > IBOC = QRM
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
> >> > > > On
> >> > > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> >> > > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > --
> >> > > > Telamon
> >> > > > Ventura, California
> >> > >
> >> > > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> >> > > HD board.
> >> >
> >> > He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> >> > young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> >> > he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> >> > However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> >> > old guy! I couldn't believe it.
> >>
> >> If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
> >
> > Yeah, although he can't get his story straight about exactly what his
> > job title is. Sometimes it's VP, sometimes it's President. Judging from
> > the record, he was a President some years ago and is now a VP. Would be
> > interesting to know how that little shift came about. But whatever his
> > title, he's a sad commentary on Univision.

>
> I have the title straight. It was for a half-decade Vice President,
> programming, and is now EVP. Very simple. There are no "presidents of
> programming" anywhere. NAB goofed, but nobody except you seems to care. Not
> the 10,000 people at the convention, not my comapny, not my associates.
>
> I was never President of the company.
> >

LOL...give it up jerk...you are BUSTED.

4705. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:02:24 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154188944.649472.109450@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85689

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steve wrote:
> >> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> >> > Telamon wrote:
> >> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> >> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
> >> > > > Detroit, and even
> >> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
> >> > > > IBOC Crap on
> >> > > > 760.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > IBOC = QRM
> >> > >
> >> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
> >> > > On
> >> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> >> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> >> > >
> >> > > --
> >> > > Telamon
> >> > > Ventura, California
> >> >
> >> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> >> > HD board.
> >>
> >> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> >> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> >> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> >> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> >> old guy! I couldn't believe it.
> >
> > If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
>
> You could also just visit my website...

Yeah, it's probably a lot easier to keep your lies in the proper order
there.

BUSTED.

4706. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:05:19 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CB873E.627C38CF@milestones.com>
Source record: 85691

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Steve wrote:
> > >> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> > >> > Telamon wrote:
> > >> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > >> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > >> > >
> > >> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
> > >> > > > Detroit, and even
> > >> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
> > >> > > > IBOC Crap on
> > >> > > > 760.
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > IBOC = QRM
> > >> > >
> > >> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
> > >> > > On
> > >> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > >> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > --
> > >> > > Telamon
> > >> > > Ventura, California
> > >> >
> > >> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> > >> > HD board.
> > >>
> > >> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> > >> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> > >> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> > >> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> > >> old guy! I couldn't believe it.
> > >
> > > If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
> > >
> > You could also just visit my website...
> >
> Yeah, it's probably a lot easier to keep your lies in the proper order
> there.

>
> BUSTED.

Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:

"krp":
Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?

"David Eduardo":
You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from becoming a citizen at that time.

"dxAce":
Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.

"David Eduardo":
Not then.

"dxAce":
Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you un-renounce it?

"David Eduardo":
Are you an employee of the Department of State?

"dxAce":
Nope. And you didn't answer the question.

"David Eduardo":
You figure it out.

"dxAce":
I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American would never hesitate in answering that question.

"David Eduardo":
It is simply none of your business.

"dxAce":
Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you, Frackelton?

More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as well?

4707. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:06:42 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cn25reft5i0b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85693

ANY Chinese who can post on a Usenet newsgroup is most definitely well connected with the Chinese Communist Party.

No one in China has free access to Usenet newsgroups unless they are cleared by high level political authorities. This person is most likely connected with the Communist People's "Liberation" Army - PLA.

It is also likely this person is phishing for western contacts to groom them to provide high tech to the PLA.

Read the August issue of Popular Mechanics for a very insightful article about Chinese spies in America and their techniques.

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
news:bXqyg.134654$A8.102100@clgrps12...
> Rayburn wrote:
> > FUCK OFF COMMIE!
> >
> > (Hows that for foreign relations?)
> >
> > Jesus Christ those commie fuckups are stealing/building everything
> > nowadays...check out the knockoff gucci bags made by the finest slave
labor
> > in the world!
> >
> >
> > <lijiupeng123@126.com> halfassed wrote wrote english in message
> > news:1154091037.092334.79220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> Dear friend. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com. There is lots of
> >> Phone Number:86010-87310526
> > Email:service@lanvs.com Fax:86010-87310526
> > Detail Address:Chao Yang District,Beijing,China Zip Code:100021
ICP:05061904
> > Admin Log
> >
> >
>
> The spammer's provider is also the communist government. Think any
> complaints will do any good?
>
> inetnum: 222.128.0.0 - 222.131.255.255
> netname: CNCGROUP-BJ
> descr: CNCGROUP Beijing province network
> descr: China Network Communications Group Corporation
> descr: No.156,Fu-Xing-Men-Nei Street,

> descr: Beijing 100031
> country: CN
>
>
>
>
>
>
> mike

4708. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:09:09 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85692

dxAce wrote:
> Steve wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1154185366.493853.280120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Steve wrote:
> > > >> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
> > > >> > Telamon wrote:
> > > >> > > In article <44CA6783.C257DD83@milestones.com>,
> > > >> > > dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > > I was just tuning around with the SR II and tuned in WJR 760
> > > >> > > > Detroit, and even
> > > >> > > > putting WBBM 780 Chicago in the null I was still getting a lot of
> > > >> > > > IBOC Crap on
> > > >> > > > 760.
> > > >> > > >
> > > >> > > > IBOC = QRM
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > That's because it was misnamed IBOC. It should be IBOAC for In Band
> > > >> > > On
> > > >> > > All Channels. It's hard to get all the modulator, transmitter, and
> > > >> > > antenna parameters right or it spreads out.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > --
> > > >> > > Telamon
> > > >> > > Ventura, California
> > > >> >
> > > >> > If you want more IBOC crap, go look at DE's posts on radio-info.com's
> > > >> > HD board.
> > > >>
> > > >> He's just as BUSTED there as he is here. I initially thought he was a
> > > >> young person, yanking people's chains, not really understanding what
> > > >> he's talking about but also not caring that he didn't understand.
> > > >> However, if you look at the photo of him on that NAB website, he's an
> > > >> old guy! I couldn't believe it.
> > > >
> > > > If you already didn't know, I think he is a Univision executive...
> > >
> > > You could also just visit my website...
> >
> > Yeah, it's probably a lot easier to keep your lies in the proper order

> > there.
> >
> > BUSTED.
>
> Here is the following from an exchange in alt.politics.immigration:
>
> "krp":
> Do you also recall claiming to be an ECUADORIAN REFUGEE who knows as well as
> Cubans do having to flee THEIR country?
>
> "David Eduardo":
> You get everything mixed up. I said I was a refugee myself, as I had to
> flee Ecuador to avoid being killed. In fact, I was a matter of days away from
> becoming a citizen at that time.
>
> "dxAce":
> Nowadays one must renounce their current citizenship and give up their
> current passport to become a citizen of Ecuador.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> Not then.
>
> "dxAce":
> Did you at any time renounce your citizenship and if so, when did you
> un-renounce it?
>
> "David Eduardo":
> Are you an employee of the Department of State?
>
> "dxAce":
> Nope. And you didn't answer the question.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> You figure it out.
>
> "dxAce":
> I'll take that as a yes, you did renounce your citizenship. Any true American
> would never hesitate in answering that question.
>
> "David Eduardo":
> It is simply none of your business.
>
> "dxAce":
> Ahhhh... another 'YES'. You really are a worthless piece of shit, aren't you,
> Frackelton?
> ------------------------------
>
> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American as
> well?

Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.

4709. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:43:06 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <peMyg.3629$DW3.10@fe06.lga>
Source record: 85695

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154145970.575468.299730@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Who cares. Shortwave is dead.What A-Hole would spend $400 on an old
> portable radio.
>

You never answered the question pertaining to your ingredients. Modern high tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

4710. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:45:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <ggMyg.14136$2v.6309@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85696

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
>>
>> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
>> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American
>> as
>> well?
>
> Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
>

The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural relationship.

4711. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:46:48 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TIydnUdyEJxyDVbZnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85697

bidder cancelled his bid after I posted the message

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CB3F52.630E69E@milestones.com...
>
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>
>> there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is
>> up
>> to $405
>>
>> auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself
>
> How did it get up to $405 when the winning bid was $400?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

4712. Re: RF-2200 on ebay up to $405

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:47:22 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z5GdnWjPK7CMDFbZnZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85898

almost everything I own has its price !!!!!

<willismat239@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1154169405.721387.161830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> mike maghakian wrote:
>> there is an RF-2200 on ebay right now that isn't that special and it is
>> up
>> to $405
>>
>> auction 290010711039 if you want to see this for yourself
>
> All I see is an RF-2200/DR22 with a "Buy It Now" price of $425 listed
> by someone named MMaghakian, who says to "buy from an expert."
>

4713. Re: SW7600G Power Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 16:56:11 UTC
From: inagaddadavida@webtv.net
Message-ID: <780-44CB932B-42@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85899

i dont have that particular radio but none of the several different sangean models that i own have "electronic switching". i cant think of another radio that i have ever used that didnt use mechanical switching on the power jack. if that radio does have this feature then it seems to be of a more advanced design than is common. perhaps other circuits are superior also? you know sony.... better quality, but usually just a little bit odd.

4714. Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:12:40 UTC
From: "H. State" <hollowstate5964@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154193160.830514.151880@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85900

The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.

4715. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:22:17 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85901

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > dxAce wrote:
> >>
> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American
> >> as
> >> well?
> >
> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> >
>
> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural relationship.

A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.

4716. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:36:23 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <r0Nyg.501605$Fs1.87252@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85902

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-308DDB.13574328072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...

[snip]

>
> Some detector designs would use a DC bias on the diode to put it on the
> edge of its liner region to improve its small signal sensitivity. The
> optimum bias voltage will depend on the diode characteristics.
>

There's a linear region in the usual model of a semiconductor diode (a fixed voltage drop with a series resistance), but that model is only an approximation. The other model, the square law model, is also just an approximation, although it's supposed to be close enough over small parts of the curve.

However, the diode doesn't have to be linear in order to have a fairly linear diode detector circuit. Imagine we have a diode whose forward resistance drops in a square law with the voltage. At 0.1V the forward resistance is 1 meg. At 0.2V the forward resistance is 1K. At .0.3V the forward resistance is 32 ohms. At 0.4V the resistance is 5.6V, and so on.

Now, let's put this nonlinear diode in series with a linear load resistance and decide that the circuit is pretty much linear once the diode resistance drops to 10% of the load resistance. Well, it's obvious that diode detector circuits which work into higher resistance loads will linearize themselves at lower voltages than diode detectors which work into lower resistance loads.

Below a certain voltage, the diode's non linear characteristics will dominate the detector. Low voltage signals will have much more of their waveform in this funky reigion than high voltage signals, even at the same modulation index.

So, as I see it, there's alot more to know about a diode detector's audio distortion than only the modulation index. There's the actual characteristics of the diode, the resistance of the load and the signal voltage the detector is operating at.

There's also the RF filtering, which will tend to "sawtooth" the audio a bit, much as the rectifier and capacitor do in a power supply. There's also some resistances/capacitances in the AVC line.

But I could be wrong. If so, let me know!

Frank Dresser

4717. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:42:02 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <K5Nyg.183479$F_3.2294@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85903

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > dxAce wrote:
>> >>
>> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
>> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
>> >> American
>> >> as
>> >> well?
>> >
>> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
>> >
>>
>> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
>> relationship.
>
> A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.

What lie?

4718. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:43:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <eh7nc25r9b5hnisstblsksu627pj1gda57@4ax.com>
Source record: 85904

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 11:00:33 GMT, John Steffes <jsteffes@cfl.rr.com>
wrote:

>WJR is a clear channel, high power station. It should be booming at your
>20 with the rig you have indicated.
>
>John
And WBBM is flea power?

4719. Re: CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:45:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <il7nc21t0dk4aflt9kv6khjcjtahbofl6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 85905

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 10:58:25 -0500, "Mahmoud Ahmadinejad"
<read@book.com> wrote:

You have a point?

4720. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:49:41 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85906

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > dxAce wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> >> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
> >> >> American
> >> >> as
> >> >> well?
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> >> >
> >>
> >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
> >> relationship.
> >
> > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
>
> What lie?

Give it up. You're BUSTED.

4721. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:53:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0gNyg.183481$F_3.170621@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85907

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > dxAce wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing
>> >> >> as
>> >> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
>> >> >> American
>> >> >> as
>> >> >> well?
>> >> >
>> >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
>> >> relationship.
>> >
>> > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
>> > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
>>
>> What lie?
>
> Give it up. You're BUSTED.

I knew you had no answer.

4722. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 17:54:23 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154195662.716683.299120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85908

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >
> > As a demod filter? I would image a low order active filter to clean
> > things up. Remember, this is the audio band, not RF. I've seen some
> > write ups on 455khz IFs being done with active filters.
> >
> > Kiwa sells an active filter for 455Khz
> > http://www.kiwa.com/kiwa455.html
> >
> > Note the AR7030 has "tone controls", so certainly it has an active
> > filter past the demod. The problem with building LCR filters in the
> > audio band is they are bulky, not to mention often inaccurate. With
> > active filters, you have more flexibility over component values.
>
> Drake uses an LC filter in the IF. They "Get away" with it becuase of
> the
> lower IF they use. R390s, original not the R390A, and the R392 use
> several staged of LC filters and have excellent skirts.

Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is at
the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.

>
> The Kiwa filter you refference is not a "active filter", but a ceramic
> filter
> with amplification. To me active filter means opamp or norton amp
> with feedback to control pass/reject charactoristics. The premium Kiwa
> unit is nearly as good as a crystal or mechanical filter and MUCH
> easier
> to connect. I installed one in a friends R2000 and was impressed by
> the quality and how well it worked.
>
> A big advantage of passive LC filters is they are much less "fussy"
> then active filters. I like not having to mess with power and proper
> bypassing.
> And if you are willing to wind your own torroids, it is pretty easy to
> get the
> L very close to what you want. The C can be built with standard value
> caps in parallel.

Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are much
larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.

>
>
> The Tone-Tilt filter I used in all 3 of our R2000s is active because it
> would be
> VERY difficult to use LC filters effectively.
>
> Terry

4723. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:04:30 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154196270.681309.23260@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85909

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > dxAce wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing
> >> >> >> as
> >> >> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
> >> >> >> American
> >> >> >> as
> >> >> >> well?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
> >> >> relationship.
> >> >
> >> > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> >> > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
> >>
> >> What lie?
> >
> > Give it up. You're BUSTED.
>
> I knew you had no answer.

LOL...you mean you hoped I'd go for the bait, don't you?

4724. Re: CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:10:16 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44CBA485.4E6BC680@theseminary.net>
Source record: 85910

You have a point?

==========

you have to ask? it's faux tv. the favorite of the DC crowd. if he wants to watch and listen to little gay Andy, that's his business. (not that there is any thing wrong with that). now David, go turn on your shortwave radio and get the real news. (not the faux news propaganda).

Father Michael.

4725. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:32:49 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBA9D1.1BFEB964@milestones.com>
Source record: 85911

"H. State" wrote:

> The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.

Yep, shown at the end of the description:

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/0350.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4726. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:34:03 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBAA1B.42A2955B@milestones.com>
Source record: 85912

Steve wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > dxAce wrote:
> > >>
> > >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> > >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal American
> > >> as
> > >> well?
> > >
> > > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> > >
> >
> > The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural relationship.
>
> A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.

I, personally, NEVER take advise from fake Hispanics.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4727. Re: CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:35:29 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cnastb9865n2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85913

"DaviD" <rickets@knac.com> spittled
>
> You have a point?
>

You have a brain?

4728. Re: Is John S. a Communist or Socialist sympathiser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:40:45 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cnb6qemrpic13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85914

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1153079555.722708.263770@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Osama Bin Laden, Saddam Hussein, Shah of Iran, Manuel Noriega, are but
> a few of the really bad people we the U.S.A. have backed with money,
> supplies and armaments. Judging by your comments to date I'm sure you
> would be far more comfortable with U.S. backed people like that in
> power. I'm sure you will support spending billions more U.S. dollars
> supporting dictators and rebels who inevitably turn on us.
>
> Don't forget my friend the U.S.A. backed Osama Bin Laden. And guess
> what country a majority of the 9-11 trerrorists came from...Saudi
> Arabia, our buddies.
>

Lies of the Left II: Osama

From almost the first days of the War on Terror, leftists and anti-American writers and speakers have blamed the U.S. and the CIA for "creating" Osama Bin Laden and his Al-Qaeda network. They have argued that the U.S. support for the Afghani mujahedeen fighters, funneled through the CIA and Pakistani intelligence agencies, allowed Bin Laden to build his network and, in time, turn it against the U.S. Among intelligence experts, this phenomenon is called "blowback" - the occasional situation of an agent turning against his handlers and supporters. Although blowback could be a reasonable fear with creating an agent, it doesn't apply here, in fact, most of the claims of leftist critics are completely unsupported by facts.

Lie Number One: The CIA recruited Osama Bin Laden, and thousands of Arabs, to fight in Afghanistan against the Soviet Union.

Truth: The CIA didn't recruit anyone to fight in Afghanistan, not even Bin Laden.

After Soviet troops entered Afghanistan in December 1979, the native Afghan fundamentalist factions that opposed the Soviet puppet regime were galvanized. Not only did they have a war against the ruling government, they had an actual superpower to declare jihad, or holy war, against. The call for jihad resonated throughout the Islamic world, striking a chord among the most fervent and extreme Islamic factions throughout the Middle East. Among these fundamentalists was a young Arabian son of an extremely wealthy and well-connected family - Osama Bin Laden. Without any active participation from the CIA as many as 25,000 Arabs went to take part in the jihad[1]. They went to join in the only legitimate holy war that was available to them at the time. As for Osama, he is reported to have told an interviewer from Arabic language Al-Quds al-Arabi, "I was enraged, and went there at once."

Of course, there was really no need for any Arabs to be recruited for the struggle. Even if substantially more than the estimated 25,000 Arabs went to Afghanistan, the native Afghans involved in the jihad against the Soviet-backed regime numbered in the hundreds of thousands. The Arabs who came to join in were often reported by the natives to be more of a pain than a help. "They thought they were kings," was what one Afghan told a reporter. The Arabs were more ignored by the Afghans than not. John Simpson, a BBC reporter, recounted that he had an encounter with Bin Laden. Bin Laden ordered the reporter's Afghan driver to kill him, offering the driver five hundred dollars to do so - a very reasonable sum for an act which would have few consequences. The driver refused. Bin Laden was reported to have wept on his cot in frustration afterward.

Lie: The CIA trained Osama Bin Laden, and his supporters, in how to wage terror as a weapon of war.

Fact: The CIA trained absolutely no one in Afghanistan, or in neighboring Pakistan, neither in the tactics of terror or even in how to use the Stinger missiles provided to the Afghans.

The entire CIA effort in Afghanistan was more logistical than operational. Vince Cannistraro, the National Security Council staff director who headed up coordination of policy for Afghanistan in the mid-1980's told author Peter Bergen that there were only six CIA employees in Pakistan at any given time - and none actually in Afghanistan - and that they were administrators rather than field officers. Bergen also reports that CIA officials only rarely met with leaders of the Afghan resistance, and never with the Arabs who came to the jihad. This is not to imply that U.S. officials never met with Afghan leaders. They did. There were plenty of photo opportunities to be had, and elected and high level bureaucrats often took advantage of them. But to believe that, say a Congressional staff member went to Afghanistan to train resistance warriors, much less terrorists would be pushing the bounds of possibility.

What the CIA did, in reality, was buy weapons, ammunition, and supplies, in vast quantities. The Pakistani intelligence agency, the ISI, distributed the weapons and supplies once the Americans delivered them. This was a fundamental rule of Pakistani policy according to Brigadier Mohammed Yousaf, who ran the ISI operation in Afghanistan between 1983 and 1989: No American was to come into contact with the mujahedeen and no American was to be involved in the distribution of arms or supplies once they arrived in Pakistan. So far as the Arabs who went to the jihad, such as Bin Laden, were concerned, there was even less contact between them and the Americans. The Arabs had their own sources of funding and support, and no need of the Americans at all.

What training was provided was provided by Pakistan and likely did include terror tactics. The Pakistani's were no strangers to terrorist activities. They had been supporting terrorism, mainly in the Kashmir region of India, since the 1970's[2]. The Pakistani's directed the bulk of American-financed support to the Afghan groups that were the most extremist, the most Islamist, the most violent. These groups were reported by the Pakistani's to also be the most effective, although those claims are questionable. The

group, which received the highest percentage of aid, as well as the most support from Arab sources, was the Hizb party, which was headed by a Muslim fanatic named Gulbuddin Hekmatyar, who was a Pakistani agent from long before the jihad began.

There were more moderate Afghan commanders available, but they were not trusted so much by Pakistan, and therefore weren't seen as reliable by the Americans. Perhaps the biggest mistake the U.S. made in the Afghan operation was in not taking greater account of who the Pakistani's were paying with our tax dollars. However, the ISI was seen as being more familiar with the situation "on the ground" and was allowed to run the show. If the CIA had taken a stronger hand in determining the allocation of support, it was likely that the ISI would have stopped funneling any support to the Afghans.

Lie: The CIA helped Bin Laden build an underground camp at Khost, which was used to train terrorists.

Fact: An Afghan commander built the camp at Khost in 1982, with funding from Saudi Arabia. As stated above, there were few instances of any Americans going into Afghanistan. It would be too dangerous to hand the Soviets or their supporters a propaganda victory by allowing them to capture an American.

Even if Bin Laden had been involved in building the Khost camp, as he was involved in building other camps, he would not have needed CIA help to do so. Osama had no difficulty in using equipment from his family's construction empire to build camps, tunnel complexes, etc., in Afghanistan.

Lie: The CIA helped Bin Laden all along, and continues to help him.

Fact: Bin Laden never had any relations with Americans or American officials. Al Qaeda sources told Peter Bergen that Bin Laden was predicting conflict with the Americans from the early 1980's.

To seriously believe that Bin Laden would willingly have ever worked with the Americans, one would have to so completely discard common sense as to believe that Bert the Sesame Street character really was an Al Qaeda operative because he has been seen in Internet photos with Bin Laden.

After the Afghan jihad ended, Bin Laden went home to Saudi Arabia, where he predicted that the Saudi kingdom would soon have to face Saddam Hussein and proposed using Arab veterans of Afghanistan to protect the land of the Prophet. When American troops arrived to drive Iraq from Kuwait and support the de facto alliance with Saudi Arabia, Osama grew quite irate. Even today, one of the continuing demands from Al Qaeda is the immediate departure from Arabia of all American troops.

As is clear from the facts, the accusations by the Left of an American role in the creation of the Al Qaeda terror threat are, at least, greatly exaggerated. While the efforts of the U.S. in Afghanistan during the 1980's were not without some errors, they did not lead to the fostering of the threat to world peace and order that Osama Bin Laden and his followers represent. Even without American involvement in the Afghan jihad, it is at

least as likely that Bin Laden would have been involved and found his "calling" as the ringleader of present day international terrorism.

Even if the accusations from the Left were true, and the U.S. took some responsibility for the "creation" of Al Qaeda, one must look at what was gained. In Afghanistan, the United States became involved in order to, as Zbigniew Brzezinski put it, "to sow s**t in [the Soviet's] backyard." In supporting the Afghans against the Soviets and their puppet regime, we succeeded in draining the might of the Red Army and likely bringing a faster end to the Cold War and the liberation of not only Afghanistan but the freeing of more than a billion people in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe.

One must always consider the source in any propaganda campaign such as the one represented by these charges. Here, the left-wing, Blame America First extremists would rather apologize for the individual responsible for killing thousands of Americans and blame the victims for those murders. Reality shows another explanation.

---

[1] The figure of 25,000 Arabs comes from both sources close to Bin Laden and the CIA.

[2] This support continues even today, and may yet lead to war between Pakistan and India.

---

http://frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=5443

The Left's Ongoing Lies About Vietnam

THE SUFFERING of the Indochinese people under communism is one of the most tragic sagas of the 20th century. The terror that communists perpetrated in Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos after their victory in 1975 defies simple characterization.

The leftists among us, meanwhile, continue to spout their lies about Southeast Asia and about the horror that communism brought to that region.

Just like contemporary neo-nazis who revel in practicing holocaust denial, leftists just simply can't help themselves from engaging in gulag denial. They love erasing the historical memory of the millions of people who were liquidated on the altar of socialist ideals. And engaging in historical amnesia is precisely where socialists and neo-nazis share one of their most sacred common bonds.

And now we have H. Bruce Franklin, a professor of English and American Studies at Rutgers University, who has stepped forward to tell us that communism only brought peace and fraternity to Indochina.

In the March-April 2002 edition of the International Socialist Review, which is otherwise known as the Journal of Revolutionary Marxism (and this title is not meant to be a joke), Franklin writes an article glorifying the memory

of the anti-war movement in America during the Vietnam War. Titled "Vietnam. The Antiwar Movement We Are Supposed To Forget," the essay is an excerpt from Franklin's book Vietnam and Other American Fantasies (University of Massachusetts Press, 2000)

Franklin pleads with his readers not to forget the anti-war movement, which he complains the capitalists in America have forced people to do. He emphasizes that remembering the anti-war movement is crucial, since it triumphed in bringing about an American defeat and a communist victory in Southeast Asia. And he means this in a positive sense.

Usually I read the International Socialist Review for the same reason I read other Marxist and socialist literature: for a good laugh. It really is very amusing. Sometimes I get the giggles for hours on end after reading our contemporary leftwing intellectuals' ongoing agony about capitalist modes of production, surplus value, expropriation, and the near-approaching Marxist revolution. Reading this stuff is sometimes so hilarious that I succumb to sidesplitting fits of laughter.

But oftentimes it's not very funny at all.

Aside from how pathetically stupid it is, there is little that is funny about Marxism.

There is little that is funny about a set of ideas that has resulted in the liquidation of a 100 million lives in the 20th century.

So this time around, I wasn't very humored when I stumbled onto Franklin's piece on Vietnam.

Franklin praises the anti-war movement, which allowed the communist victory and paved the road for the subsequent mass genocide in Indochina. He writes that the anti-war movement should be

"one legitimate source of great national pride about American culture and behavior during the war. In most wars, a nation dehumanizes and demonizes the people on the other side. Almost the opposite happened during the Vietnam War. Countless Americans came to see the people of Vietnam fighting against U.S. forces as anything but an enemy to be feared and hated. Tens of millions sympathized with their suffering, many came to identify with their 2,000-year struggle for independence, and some even found them an inspiration for their own lives."

It is precisely an interpretation like this that reflects one of the most putrid lies of the Left: that "the people" can somehow be associated with the communists who imprison them. In other words, Franklin writes on the assumption that the U.S. was somehow fighting the people of Vietnam, when in fact it was actually fighting the communists who were seeking to imprison them.

The fact of the matter is that it was North Vietnam and the Vietcong, as well as the anti-war demonstrators in America, who were the enemies of the Vietnamese people -- not the American government which sacrificed 56,000 of

its young men in an effort to save them.

Franklin gives us a long (and terribly boring) account of all the different groups that played a role in the anti-war movement. He is very proud in remembering the "outrage" that he says served as a key emotion behind anti-war demonstrations.

But I can't help from wondering, Franklin: just where exactly did all this "outrage" of the anti-war protestors go when the communists did not bring the paradise that the Left predicted they would? When the communists started liquidating people en masse and setting up concentration camps, where was the "outrage" of the Left then?

Franklin isn't interested in such questions. Instead, he warns us at the end of his piece that we cannot

"understand what America is becoming if we fail to comprehend how the same nation and its culture could have produced an abomination as shameful as the Vietnam War and a campaign as admirable as the 30-year movement that helped defeat it."

Sorry, Franklin, you got it twisted: it was the American effort to save Indochina from communism that was admirable. And it was the anti-war movement, of which you are so proud, that was the shameful -- and shameless -- abomination.

Franklin's article reveals to us an individual who clearly prides himself in having declared his partisanship with the communist enemy in the Vietnam War. His only regret is obviously what most of the unapologetic former anti-war demonstrators regret: that he failed to personally travel to Hanoi during the war to volunteer his personal assistance in torturing American POWs.

While Franklin boasts about what he thinks are the anti-war movement's great accomplishments, history reminds us that this movement helped spawn a bloodbath in Indochina. David Horowitz, who helped to organize the first campus demonstration against the war at the University of California, Berkeley in 1962, has reflected on this tragedy. In his "An Open Letter to the `Anti-War' Demonstrators: Think Twice Before You Bring The War Home," he recalls how the anti-war movement prolonged the war itself and how,

"Every testimony by North Vietnamese generals in the postwar years has affirmed that they knew they could not defeat the United States on the battlefield, and that they counted on the division of our people at home to win the war for them. The Vietcong forces we were fighting in South Vietnam were destroyed in 1968. In other words, most of the war and most of the casualties in the war occurred because the dictatorship of North Vietnam counted on the fact Americans would give up the battle rather than pay the price necessary to win it. This is what happened. The blood of hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese, and tens of thousands of Americans, is on the hands of the anti-war activists who prolonged the struggle and gave victory to the Communists."

Giving victory to the communists spawned a horror for Southeast Asia that made the Vietnam war look like a time of peace.

After Saigon fell to North Vietnam in 1975, the summary executions of tens of thousands of innocent South Vietnamese began. There were to be two million refugees and more than a million people thrown into the new communist gulags and "re-education camps." Tens of thousands of South Vietnamese boat people perished in the Gulf of Thailand and in the South China Sea in their attempt to escape what the likes of H. Bruce Franklin had helped to create.

The anti-war movement in America also facilitated the communist takeovers of Laos and Cambodia. The Khmer Rouge victory in Cambodia led to a killing field in which some three million Cambodians were exterminated. Paul Johnson has given a succinct, detailed and gut-wrenching account of this tragedy in his classic work Modern Times.

The Black Book of Communism, meanwhile, provides a meticulous and comprehensive account.

In just a few years after the communist takeovers in Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia, more Indochinese citizens were killed by the communists than had died on both sides in the whole Vietnam war.

H. Bruce Franklin wants us to remember the anti-war movement in America during the Vietnam War. We do remember it.

And we remember it for what it was: a shameful and shameless abomination, which saw tens of thousands of spoiled moral degenerates betray the lives and freedoms of the Indochinese people -- as they offered themselves for an association with tyranny and a complicity with evil.

http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=185

4729. It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 18:54:28 UTC
From: Gridleakkbe3 <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <7b027$44cbaf02$d1cc58f6$23613@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 85915
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You KNOW where....

You KNOW when....

You KNOW who....

So don't MISS IT again! wheeeeeeeeeeeee!!

Tonight's special topic !! "How to be an anti-semite jew hating windbag
and also support Hezbollah while eating a Big Mac & Fries and not
slobbering/belching food into your D-104 microphone screen" . . . . . .
With our SPECIAL GUEST (drum roll please!) . . . . . MEL GIBSON
Live from the LA Drunk Tank via ham radio cheap jew telephove charge
avoiding phone patch method.....

This promises to be a great sow tonight folks!

4730. Re: RTTY, FAX, CW freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:00:29 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBBE5D-220@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85932

RTTY,looks like Rin Tin Tin.
cuhulin
.....
It's too hot and humid outside.About two hours ago,I mowed my back yard
and about one third of my front yard.Von Ryan's Express movie is on tv
and then at 3:30 PM,The Great Escape movie.I might knock out the rest of
my yard when The Great Escape movie is over at 7:00 PM
.....

4731. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:04:38 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBB146-211@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85917

That was a computer operated radio,operated via computer,wasen't it?
Will they replace it with something else? I think they should replace it
with non computer operated radios.
cuhulin

4732. Re: BPL Test in Austin TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:06:20 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBB1AC-213@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85919

Follow The Money.
cuhulin

4733. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:08:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBB244-215@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85918

www.missgreatbritain.co.uk Danielle Lloyd Miss Great Britain 2006
cuhulin

4734. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:13:43 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0BC1E9.12134329072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85916

In article <r0Nyg.501605$Fs1.87252@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> message
> news:telamon_spamshield-308DDB.13574328072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy
> .com...
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > Some detector designs would use a DC bias on the diode to put it on
> > the edge of its liner region to improve its small signal
> > sensitivity. The optimum bias voltage will depend on the diode
> > characteristics.
> >
>
> There's a linear region in the usual model of a semiconductor diode
> (a fixed voltage drop with a series resistance), but that model is
> only an approximation. The other model, the square law model, is
> also just an approximation, although it's supposed to be close enough
> over small parts of the curve.
>
> However, the diode doesn't have to be linear in order to have a
> fairly linear diode detector circuit. Imagine we have a diode whose
> forward resistance drops in a square law with the voltage. At 0.1V
> the forward resistance is 1 meg. At 0.2V the forward resistance is
> 1K. At .0.3V the forward resistance is 32 ohms. At 0.4V the
> resistance is 5.6V, and so on.
>
> Now, let's put this nonlinear diode in series with a linear load
> resistance and decide that the circuit is pretty much linear once the
> diode resistance drops to 10% of the load resistance. Well, it's
> obvious that diode detector circuits which work into higher
> resistance loads will linearize themselves at lower voltages than
> diode detectors which work into lower resistance loads.
>
> Below a certain voltage, the diode's non linear characteristics will
> dominate the detector. Low voltage signals will have much more of
> their waveform in this funky reigion than high voltage signals, even
> at the same modulation index.
>
> So, as I see it, there's alot more to know about a diode detector's
> audio distortion than only the modulation index. There's the actual

> characteristics of the diode, the resistance of the load and the
> signal voltage the detector is operating at.
>
> There's also the RF filtering, which will tend to "sawtooth" the
> audio a bit, much as the rectifier and capacitor do in a power
> supply. There's also some resistances/capacitances in the AVC line.
>
> But I could be wrong. If so, let me know!

I don't anything wrong with what you wrote but you seem to think that the diode used makes no difference because you can make it up its deficiencies with an amplifier whose input impedance and gain adjusts for it. Basically that is true that you can use a less efficient diode but you will have to provide higher signal levels to it and weak signals will still be distorted due to compression. I suppose you could use a logarithmic type amplifier following the detector in order to make up for the compression.

If you look at the diode curves germanium has one of the better forward current to input voltage ratios of several diode types. Not being a radio designer my approach would be to use a diodes fairly liner region with a better forward current to input voltage ratio where the least distortion and compression would be due to it and therefor the least needed correction to be made up for by a amplifier with a fixed correction. Another reason to use a more efficient diode besides the signal level power needed is the power the diode itself burns when you bias the diodes with larger forward voltage junctions.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4735. Re: may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:20:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBB4F8-217@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85923

There used to be a Lloyd Ford dealeship on South State Street here in beautifull Jackson wayyyyyyy back in the 1940's and 1950's and 1960's.They might have been there back in the 1930's and 1920's too.Next door to them was a Buick (Fowler Buick) dealership and they had an old antique Buick car in there that had wheels on it that looked like farm wagon wheels or some kind of old carriage wheels and out on the sidewalk was an old antique gasoline pump.They kept the old antique Buick car and gasoline pump there for display purposes.y'all ought to see inside the old Southern Feeds store on Wilmington Street,it looks like an old farm museum in there.
cuhulin

4736. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:23:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBB594.D8A6F60D@milestones.com>
Source record: 85920

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> That was a computer operated radio,operated via computer,wasen't it?

The RX-320D is the computer operated radio.

>
> Will they replace it with something else?

No idea. It seems like when originally marketed it they were promising support
and up grades forever. Typical Ten-Tec.

> I think they should replace it
> with non computer operated radios.
> cuhulin

4737. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:24:04 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0FF4D5.12240429072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85921

```
In article <1154170560.165454.45690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> Mike wrote:
> > In article <1154131932.705066.127010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> > > > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > > > > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >>Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable
> > > > >>audience
> > > > >>group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
> > > > >>Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > > For cars?
> > > > >
> > > > Both.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
> > >
> > Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
> >
> > Mike
>
> LOL!!!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/zbxhv
>
> This is a real masterpiece, nitwit!

His mastery of the english language is why I Plonked him.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California
```

4738. Re: IBOC... a bit of engineering sleight of hand that David Eduardo isn't telling us:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:25:45 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-157F39.12254529072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85922

In article <1154170560.165454.45690@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> Mike wrote:
> > In article <1154131932.705066.127010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
> >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > > "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:f65lc294nolrtibdv78gf16dfd1i1qa2ut@4ax.com...
> > > > > On Fri, 28 Jul 2006 20:30:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > > > > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Nope. Exclusive format in about 80% of the Houston Metro, viable
> > > > > >audience
> > > > > >group, and strong demand already. We now have to give websites like
> > > > > >Crutchfield, as the few HDs available in HOU are gone.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > For cars?
> > > > >
> > > > > Both.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Doesn't matter. You're BUSTED.
> > >
> > > Doesn't matter. You're a dumb ass!
> > >
> > > Mike
> >
> > LOL!!!
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/zbxhv
> >
> > This is a real masterpiece, nitwit!

Actually this is just another sock puppet handle of the guy who just
loves the smell of a new plastic radio.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4739. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:32:30 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3A196B.12323029072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85924

In article <44CAB90C.891BCF1C@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.
>
> That's that Canuck station that claims accurate time?

I have found them to be behind the times.

Pretty soon they they will be in english, french, and spanish.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4740. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:37:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBB915.B10AB6D7@milestones.com>
Source record: 85925

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44CAB90C.891BCF1C@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> >
> > > I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.
> >
> > That's that Canuck station that claims accurate time?
>
> I have found them to be behind the times.
>
> Pretty soon they they will be in english, french, and spanish.

I just checked them a bit ago and audible here on 3330 (which leads me to
believe I'm much to close to CanaDuh), 7335 and 14670.

I'm currently listening to WBCQ, 7415 which is much to close to CanaDuh as well.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4741. Re: CHU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:40:36 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-371BB3.12403629072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85926

In article <1154136107.174851.263090@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> I'm hearing CHU again on 7335 khz for the first time in quite a while.

I usually get them daily on USB depending on time of day of course. They
put out enough carrier so you can detect them AM mode but your radio
will be more sensitive in SSB mode.

3330
7335
14670

Link:
<http://inms-ienm.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/en/time_services/shortwave_broadcasts_e.
php>

Quote: "Three frequencies are used: 3330, 7335, and 14670 kHz. The
transmission mode, upper single sideband with carrier re-inserted,
provides time signal service without requiring a special SSB radio, and
also provides three standard frequencies."

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4742. Re: The Cuhulin Song!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:44:01 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6AD32D.12440129072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85927

In article <44CB5951.A99F7B7D@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> http://www.acme.com/jef/netgems/womens_underwear.html

I've heard this sung on the radio. Funny.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4743. Re: BPL Test in Austin TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:46:28 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-250982.12462729072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85928

In article <1154184161.449727.256980@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
fcathell@msn.com wrote:

> Well, this Peter Collins is NOT very informed about this "new"
> technology. It's obvious that the local utility has shoved some bucks
> into his pockets. What is it with these politicians and BPL. Just
> like flies to shit!
>
> Frank
>
>
> David wrote:
> > http://www.statesman.com/business/content/business/stories/other/07/28energy
> > .html

It's just another front on the war to destroy AMBCB and short wave radio.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4744. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 19:59:07 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-4C7C99.12590529072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85929

In article <12cn25reft5i0b2@corp.supernews.com>,
"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <read@book.com> wrote:

> "m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message
> news:bXqyg.134654$A8.102100@clgrps12...
> > Rayburn wrote:
> > > FUCK OFF COMMIE!
> > >
> > > (Hows that for foreign relations?)
> > >
> > > Jesus Christ those commie fuckups are stealing/building everything
> > > nowadays...check out the knockoff gucci bags made by the finest slave
> labor
> > > in the world!
> > >
> > >
> > > <lijiupeng123@126.com> halfassed wrote wrote english in message
> > > news:1154091037.092334.79220@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >> Dear friend. Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com. There is lots of
> > >> Phone Number:86010-87310526
> > > Email:service@lanvs.com Fax:86010-87310526
> > > Detail Address:Chao Yang District,Beijing,China Zip Code:100021
> ICP:05061904
> > > Admin Log
> > >
> > >
> >
> > The spammer's provider is also the communist government. Think any
> > complaints will do any good?
> >
> > inetnum: 222.128.0.0 - 222.131.255.255
> > netname: CNCGROUP-BJ
> > descr: CNCGROUP Beijing province network
> > descr: China Network Communications Group Corporation
> > descr: No.156,Fu-Xing-Men-Nei Street,
> > descr: Beijing 100031
> > country: CN
> >
>
> ANY Chinese who can post on a Usenet newsgroup is most definitely well
> connected with the Chinese Communist Party.
>
> No one in China has free access to Usenet newsgroups unless they are cleared
> by high level political authorities. This person is most likely connected

> with the Communist People's "Liberation" Army - PLA.
>
> It is also likely this person is phishing for western contacts to groom them
> to provide high tech to the PLA.
>
> Read the August issue of Popular Mechanics for a very insightful article
> about Chinese spies in America and their techniques.

That's nothing. What about all the Chinese that become citizens so they
can work for our defense contractors and then covertly copy sensitive
documents they work with to the red chinese govenrment. The Chinese
have sub, nuclear, missile, and heck even ICBM USA technology now.

Commercially, USA business capital has been flowing into China for
years and soon as their economy ramps up they won't need us anymore.

We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick their
economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4745. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:00:34 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-95CD7F.13003329072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85930

In article <44CBA9D1.1BFEB964@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> "H. State" wrote:
>
> > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
>
> Yep, shown at the end of the description:
>
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/0350.html

Think they will come out with another commercial radio?

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4746. Re: John "Barnyard" Barnard is from Canuckistan isn't he?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:05:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBBF7D-221@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85933

NO sympthy for powell whatsoever from me! www.realchange.org
cuhulin
(Actually,NO sympathy for any and all politicians!)

4747. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:08:44 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBC04C.6A30B522@milestones.com>
Source record: 85931

Telamon wrote:

> In article <44CBA9D1.1BFEB964@milestones.com>,
> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> > "H. State" wrote:
> >
> > > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
> >
> > Yep, shown at the end of the description:
> >
> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/0350.html
>
> Think they will come out with another commercial radio?

That's a very good question!

One I certainly don't have an answer for.

4748. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:14:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24404-44CBC196-50@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85934

Nobody can phone me.I always keep my stupid phone Unplugged except when I want to use it.It wont work to ask me to plug my phone in either.That is like asking me to speed up because I drive too slow and I will slow down even slower.
cuhulin

4749. Re: Eton E-10 Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:26:21 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBC46D-224@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85936

I told y'all before some of those Chinese radios are liable to burn your house down.Just like some of those Dell laptop computers.Four out of five laptop computers are made in Shanghai,China.
cuhulin

4750. Re: LOST: Dick Cheney's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:30:40 UTC
From: Wun Hung Lo <hung@china.net>
Message-ID: <QzPyg.183505$F_3.62479@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 85935

Ho Lee Kow wrote:
> A sad but true story from pp. 69-70 of "The End of Iraq" by Peter W.
> Galbraith:
>
> "As Cheney put it in an interview he gave after leaving office in 1993,
> 'Now you can say, well, you should have gone to Baghdad and gotten
> Saddam, I don't think so. I think if we had done that we would have been
> bogged down there for a very long period of time with the real
> possibility we might not have succeeded'."
>
> Dick, Dick, Dick---you were exactly right in your 1993 statement, almost
> psychic, as a matter of fact.
>
> How did you manage to lose your brains between 1993 and 2003?
>
> Looks like "Dead-Eye" Dick's memory isn't much better than his aim!

Too bad the Dickster isn't the only one with a bad memory.

Brown and Root had the contracts to build airfields, bases and hospitals
in South Vietnam.

From "The Man Who Ran the Moon" (biography of James Webb, head of
NASA), by Piers Bizony, p. 49:

"In August 1966 a certain young Republican senator from Illinois was
outspokenly critical of this cronyism [with Brown and Root]. 'Why such a
huge contract has not been, and is not now being, adequately audited is
beyond me. The potential for waste and profiteering is substantial'. In
1967 the General Accounting Office identified massive financial
improprieties, and disappearences of huge amounts of its war materiel.
American GIs in Vietnam nicknamed the company Burn & Loot. By the dawn
of the 21st century it [Brown & Root] had become part of the Halliburton
group, and Donald Rumsfeld from Illinois was no longer so critical of
its construction projects in theaters of war."

So, the Dickster AND Rummy both sold their souls to the Devil.

4751. FS: Drake R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:35:16 UTC
From: Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1154205316.887988.232440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Have a beautiful Drake R8 with new top panel, new bottom panel with metal bail, brand new R8E front panel never installed, manual and box, works perfect, $525 + shipping, US Postal money order only.

Bill K9KZ
bill.k9kz@gmail.com
Carmel, IN
PH 317-846-0016

4752. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:40:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24404-44CBC7C9-53@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85938

I doubt if China could maintain a growing industry and prosperity without building and exporting Chinese made products to other Countries around the World.Most of the Chinese people in China are desperately poor and live in shacks with hardly more than the bare necessities and often,not even that.If China wasen't exporting now,they would be back in the dark ages.Do y'all remember nixon standing on the Great Wall of China? And Japan's economy after World War Two,,,, America helped Japan build new factories in Japan that were better than factories in America. cuhulin

4753. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:53:22 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cnivc9k9l4f5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85939

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> >
> > Read the August issue of Popular Mechanics for a very insightful article
> > about Chinese spies in America and their techniques.
>
> That's nothing. What about all the Chinese that become citizens so they
> can work for our defense contractors and then covertly copy sensitive
> documents they work with to the red chinese govenrment. The Chinese
> have sub, nuclear, missile, and heck even ICBM USA technology now.

Read the Pop. Mech. Aug issue.

> Commercially, USA business capital has been flowing into China for
> years and soon as their economy ramps up they won't need us anymore.

As their economy "ramps up"? It is already on overdrive and ready to
collapse.

> We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick their
> economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
> transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
> broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.

You must be referring to French made jamming equipment.

4754. Radio New Zealand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 20:58:55 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154206735.932726.27890@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85940

I've been listening to them this afternoon on 15720. They're having some sort of audio problem. They sound great for fifteen minutes or so, then the audio begins to 'sputter'.

Steve

4755. Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 21:23:50 UTC
From: Kim <krolradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154208230.413517.187100@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85941

After months of promotion- no longer for sale?

http://miniccradio.com/

4756. Re: Radio New Zealand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 21:34:11 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CBD453.7D9A957E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85942

Steve wrote:

> I've been listening to them this afternoon on 15720. They're having
> some sort of audio problem. They sound great for fifteen minutes or so,
> then the audio begins to 'sputter'.

Seemingly OK here.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4757. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 21:38:53 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-36CA52.14385329072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85943

In article <12cnivc9k9l4f5d@corp.supernews.com>,
"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <read@book.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> > >
> > > Read the August issue of Popular Mechanics for a very insightful article
> > > about Chinese spies in America and their techniques.
> >
> > That's nothing. What about all the Chinese that become citizens so they
> > can work for our defense contractors and then covertly copy sensitive
> > documents they work with to the red chinese govenrment. The Chinese
> > have sub, nuclear, missile, and heck even ICBM USA technology now.
>
> Read the Pop. Mech. Aug issue.

Which article are you referring too?

> > Commercially, USA business capital has been flowing into China for
> > years and soon as their economy ramps up they won't need us anymore.
>
> As their economy "ramps up"? It is already on overdrive and ready to
> collapse.

As long as our investment dollars and money for manufactured goods flow
into their economy they are in good shape.

> > We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick their
> > economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
> > transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
> > broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.
>
> You must be referring to French made jamming equipment.

I don't know who made the transmitters, just that their are plenty of
them.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4758. Re: Radio New Zealand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 21:41:48 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-A24C76.14414829072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85944

In article <1154206735.932726.27890@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> I've been listening to them this afternoon on 15720. They're having
> some sort of audio problem. They sound great for fifteen minutes or so,
> then the audio begins to 'sputter'.
>
Other than the buzz saw noise that started up since they began the DRM
crap they sound OK at the moment.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4759. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:03:13 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBE931-252@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85955

Ohhhh,I bought the nicest ladies blue night gown at the Goodwill store
the other day,cost me only $1.50.
cuhulin
.....
Did you ever read that book,The Ruptured Chinaman by Wun Hung Low?
.....

4760. Re: FS: Drake R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:17:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <dinnc298jhm6hbjg5thje6t1g61mkfe1kn@4ax.com>
Source record: 85945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Jul 2006 13:35:16 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:

>Have a beautiful Drake R8 with new top panel, new bottom panel with
>metal bail, brand new R8E front panel never installed, manual and box,
>works perfect, $525 + shipping, US Postal money order only.
>
>Bill K9KZ
>bill.k9kz@gmail.com
>Carmel, IN
>PH 317-846-0016
>
Why do you have extra pieces?

4761. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:18:45 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <olnnc217eaus10oil1nr9il3elnm039pml@4ax.com>
Source record: 85946

On 29 Jul 2006 14:23:50 -0700, "Kim" <krolradio@yahoo.com> wrote:

>After months of promotion- no longer for sale?
>
>
>http://miniccradio.com/
>
Is this guy related to Bob Grove?

4762. Re: LOST: all sense of context

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:35:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154212535.156477.235740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85947

Ho Lee Kow wrote:
> A sad but true story from pp. 69-70 of "The End of Iraq" by Peter W.
> Galbraith:
>
> "As Cheney put it in an interview he gave after leaving office in 1993,
> 'Now you can say, well, you should have gone to Baghdad and gotten
> Saddam, I don't think so. I think if we had done that we would have been
> bogged down there for a very long period of time with the real
> possibility we might not have succeeded'."
>
> Dick, Dick, Dick---you were exactly right in your 1993 statement, almost
> psychic, as a matter of fact.
it was also assumed that saddam would not last the century on his own
either
>
> How did you manage to lose your brains between 1993 and 2003?
times change
>
> Looks like "Dead-Eye" Dick's memory isn't much better than his aim!

4763. Re: LOST: all sense of context

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:53:52 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBE700-250@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85951

Mr.Whittington could have lost his life because Drunken Shotgun cheney
has NO brain.
cuhulin

4764. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:56:18 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154213778.387487.276580@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85948

Dear Kim,

Frankly, the Mini CCRadio, as projected, was just a "me-too" radio, offering nothing new to the purchaser. I wrote to C. Crane about this - after all, if a new radio is being designed and is to be sold for $150.00, it ought to offer, among its features, HD-Radio (IBOC), certainly, at least, on FM (and probably AM too), whether or not some people want or like this new form of broadcasting (personally I see no need for it!).

In addition, I think a newly designed "long-range" AM radio should definitely feature a good synchronous detection circuit. I realize that there are some few people who say they do not care for this circuit (frankly, it is my belief that they do not know how to properly use it or have not experienced a good one) but, even if that is the case, the circuit can always be turned off.

$150.00 is a lot of money for a radio which features no new design features. So, unless C. Crane rethinks this entire project (and obtains the services of a good radio designer), it is my opinion that this discontinuance is no loss whatsoever. After all, we have the Sony ICF-SW7600GR in the $150.00 range and the GE Superadio III in the $40.00 range and these two radios (and, of course, there are some others) should easily suit the vast majority of long-distance AM listeners who do not or cannot buy a true communications receiver or who (just) want a portable radio for MW DXing.

I must also say, in conclusion, that, in my opinion, the original CCRadio and (I should presume) the CCRadio Plus (I have no experience with that model but I understand overall performance is the same) are disappointments. Not only do they not receive any better than the GE Superadios (they often receive less well!) their construction quality leaves much to be desired. They are not even as well-made as the GE SRs and though that model is not the paragon of construction quality, it costs only $40.00 not $150.00!

As always, the above is my opinion only.

Best,

Joe

Kim wrote:
> After months of promotion- no longer for sale?
>
>
> http://miniccradio.com/

4765. Re: LOST: Ho Lee Kow's Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 22:58:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CBE82D-251@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85950

The dick or the brain?
cuhulin

4766. Re: Radio New Zealand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:02:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-74EAAF.16023329072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85949

In article
<telamon_spamshield-A24C76.14414829072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

> In article <1154206735.932726.27890@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > I've been listening to them this afternoon on 15720. They're having
> > some sort of audio problem. They sound great for fifteen minutes or so,
> > then the audio begins to 'sputter'.
> >
> Other than the buzz saw noise that started up since they began the DRM
> crap they sound OK at the moment.

They switched over late from 15720 KHz to 13730 KHz at 22:57 instead of
22:35 UTC.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4767. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:10:40 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154214640.779166.322940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85952

Hello All.

This is sad. Several years ago, when I was in the market for a good tabletop communications receiver, I investigated this model. It was unfortunate that, though it appeared to have a great deal of promise, Ten-tec never really followed through with support, "software" (operating) improvements, etc. (I bought, as many of you know, an AOR AR7030 Plus.)

To answer another message on this post, while the radio could be controlled by computer (as can my AOR), it is, in fact, a stand-alone (traditional) tabletop radio.

Even as it was, however, it was, at least according to virtually all the reviews I read, a good radio and I know there are many owners who are very happy with their 350s. This is a sad day for all SW listeners.

In my opinion, should one wish to have a modern, well-designed, shortwave communications receiver, of which there are fewer and fewer choices, the time to buy is now. Sacrifice what you will - lunches, movies, cable-TV, clothes and jewelry for your wife (better have pre-paid funeral arrangements if you go that route!), whatever - and buy any one of these top-quality receivers (and I do NOT mean some "used" eBay wonder!). You won't regret it.

Best,

Joe

H. State wrote:
> The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.

4768. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:10:41 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-82E4A3.16104129072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85953

In article <1154213778.387487.276580@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Kim wrote:
> > After months of promotion- no longer for sale?
> >
> >
> > http://miniccradio.com/
>
>
> Dear Kim,
>
> Frankly, the Mini CCRadio, as projected, was just a "me-too" radio,
> offering nothing new to the purchaser. I wrote to C. Crane about this -
> after all, if a new radio is being designed and is to be sold for
> $150.00, it ought to offer, among its features, HD-Radio (IBOC),
> certainly, at least, on FM (and probably AM too), whether or not some
> people want or like this new form of broadcasting (personally I see no
> need for it!).
>
> In addition, I think a newly designed "long-range" AM radio should
> definitely feature a good synchronous detection circuit. I realize that
> there are some few people who say they do not care for this circuit
> (frankly, it is my belief that they do not know how to properly use it
> or have not experienced a good one) but, even if that is the case, the
> circuit can always be turned off.

The people who post that sync is overrated are either Trolling or don't
know what they are talking about.

> $150.00 is a lot of money for a radio which features no new design
> features. So, unless C. Crane rethinks this entire project (and obtains
> the services of a good radio designer), it is my opinion that this
> discontinuance is no loss whatsoever. After all, we have the Sony
> ICF-SW7600GR in the $150.00 range and the GE Superadio III in the
> $40.00 range and these two radios (and, of course, there are some
> others) should easily suit the vast majority of long-distance AM
> listeners who do not or cannot buy a true communications receiver or
> who (just) want a portable radio for MW DXing.

The Sony 7600G and 7600GR are tough acts to follow.

> I must also say, in conclusion, that, in my opinion, the original
> CCRadio and (I should presume) the CCRadio Plus (I have no experience
> with that model but I understand overall performance is the same) are
> disappointments. Not only do they not receive any better than the GE

> Superadios (they often receive less well!) their construction quality
> leaves much to be desired. They are not even as well-made as the GE SRs
> and though that model is not the paragon of construction quality, it
> costs only $40.00 not $150.00!

< Snip >

Another problem with smaller AMBCB radios is the ferrite antenna has to be smaller with less gain. A new design radio for AM should have sync detection and I guess the HD capability if the standard survives in its current form.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4769. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:14:17 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-62E44F.16141729072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85954

In article <1154214640.779166.322940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
"Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > H. State wrote:
> > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
> >
>
> This is sad. Several years ago, when I was in the market for a good
> tabletop communications receiver, I investigated this model. It was
> unfortunate that, though it appeared to have a great deal of promise,
> Ten-tec never really followed through with support, "software"
> (operating) improvements, etc. (I bought, as many of you know, an AOR
> AR7030 Plus.)
>
> To answer another message on this post, while the radio could be
> controlled by computer (as can my AOR), it is, in fact, a stand-alone
> (traditional) tabletop radio.
>
> Even as it was, however, it was, at least according to virtually all
> the reviews I read, a good radio and I know there are many owners who
> are very happy with their 350s. This is a sad day for all SW listeners.
>
> In my opinion, should one wish to have a modern, well-designed,
> shortwave communications receiver, of which there are fewer and fewer
> choices, the time to buy is now. Sacrifice what you will - lunches,
> movies, cable-TV, clothes and jewelry for your wife (better have
> pre-paid funeral arrangements if you go that route!), whatever - and
> buy any one of these top-quality receivers (and I do NOT mean some
> "used" eBay wonder!). You won't regret it.

Yeah that's to bad. This was a consumer priced DSP radio. I know they
were late with the software upgrades but I think people that bought the
radio were finally happy with it.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4770. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:19:44 UTC
From: Mike <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <no-386B7F.19194429072006@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 85956

In article <1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> > dxAce wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> > >> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
> > >> >> American
> > >> >> as
> > >> >> well?
> > >> >
> > >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
> > >> relationship.
> > >
> > > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> > > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
> >
> > What lie?
>
> Give it up. You're BUSTED.

Give it up. You're a DUMB ASS.

Mike

4771. Spare horsewhip?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:37:32 UTC
From: Wun Hung Lo <hung@china.net>
Message-ID: <0jSyg.140181$H71.73707@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85957

As seen on the NBC national news (July 29):

This will be the laziest Congress since 1948. The story told how the congress critters fly in on Tuesday afternoon, do their voting on Wednesday, then fly home on Thursday. This year, they will work a total of 76 (yes, that's seventy-six) days. Oh, and by the way, the absolutely -lowest- paid congress critter gets an annual salary of $165,200. The American sheeple should be up in arms about this.

Cuhulin is right---ALL politicians are crooks!

Anyone got a spare horsewhip? Tar and feathers?

4772. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:38:10 UTC
From: Wun Hung Lo <hung@china.net>
Message-ID: <CjSyg.140182$H71.118807@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85959

Joe Analssandrini wrote:

> In my opinion, should one wish to have a modern, well-designed,
> shortwave communications receiver, of which there are fewer and fewer
> choices,

Joe, you're right--there are fewer and fewer choices--and on that basis,
now might be the time to buy.

On the other hand, we have to ask the obvious question: WHY are there
fewer and fewer choices?

At least two obvious answers come to mind:

1)The manufacturers see fewer buyers for their products.

2) Maybe the -manufacturers- view short wave as, if not dying, at least
tapering off, with less to listen to and therefore fewer potential buyers.

If the market was there, one would think the manufacturers would be
there to satisfy the demand.

Is the hand writing on the wall?

4773. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:38:31 UTC
From: Wun Hung Lo <hung@china.net>
Message-ID: <XjSyg.140183$H71.8432@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85958

> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote [about a hundred times]

>> Give it up. You're BUSTED.

Mike wrote:

> Give it up. You're a DUMB ASS.
>
> Mike

But at least he is an obsessive-compulsive DUMB ASS!

4774. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:39:54 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154216394.349980.232240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85960

Mike wrote:
> In article <1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > dxAce wrote:
> > > >> >
> > > >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> > > >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
> > > >> American
> > > >> as
> > > >> well?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
> > > >> relationship.
> > > >>
> > > > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> > > > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
> > >
> > > What lie?
> >
> > Give it up. You're BUSTED.
>
> Give it up. You're a DUMB ASS.
>
> Mike

Too bad you didn't work that into your story about Alicia in the
trailor. LOL!!

4775. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:41:39 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154216499.768755.254660@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85961

Wun Hung Lo wrote:
> > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote [about a hundred times]
>
> >> Give it up. You're BUSTED.
>
> Mike wrote:
>
> > Give it up. You're a DUMB ASS.
> >
> > Mike
>
> But at least he is an obsessive-compulsive DUMB ASS!

And at least I'm hung high and long, not low and small.

4776. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-29 23:47:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154216877.642271.325670@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85962

Mike wrote:
> In article <1154195381.391633.274460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1154193737.639948.105660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > David Eduardo wrote:
> > > >> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1154189349.644279.141770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> >
> > > >> > dxAce wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> More insight into the workings of David Frackelton Gleason, posing as
> > > >> >> 'Eduardo'... shill for Univision, and apparently a very disloyal
> > > >> >> American
> > > >> >> as
> > > >> >> well?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Yeah, he's not fooling anyone. He's so BUSTED it's not even funny.
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> The tow of you should move in together and have an unnatural
> > > >> relationship.
> > > >
> > > > A man your age, who lies about his professional status and trolls
> > > > internet newsgroups, shouldn't offer personal advice to anyone.
> > >
> > > What lie?
> >
> > Give it up. You're BUSTED.
>
> Give it up. You're a DUMB ASS.
>
> Mike

Everybody cover your feet!!!

4777. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 00:10:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154218235.718223.75310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85963

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1154214640.779166.322940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > > H. State wrote:
> > > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
> > >
> >
> > This is sad. Several years ago, when I was in the market for a good
> > tabletop communications receiver, I investigated this model. It was
> > unfortunate that, though it appeared to have a great deal of promise,
> > Ten-tec never really followed through with support, "software"
> > (operating) improvements, etc. (I bought, as many of you know, an AOR
> > AR7030 Plus.)
> >
> > To answer another message on this post, while the radio could be
> > controlled by computer (as can my AOR), it is, in fact, a stand-alone
> > (traditional) tabletop radio.
> >
> > Even as it was, however, it was, at least according to virtually all
> > the reviews I read, a good radio and I know there are many owners who
> > are very happy with their 350s. This is a sad day for all SW listeners.
> >
> > In my opinion, should one wish to have a modern, well-designed,
> > shortwave communications receiver, of which there are fewer and fewer
> > choices, the time to buy is now. Sacrifice what you will - lunches,
> > movies, cable-TV, clothes and jewelry for your wife (better have
> > pre-paid funeral arrangements if you go that route!), whatever - and
> > buy any one of these top-quality receivers (and I do NOT mean some
> > "used" eBay wonder!). You won't regret it.
>
> Yeah that's to bad. This was a consumer priced DSP radio. I know they
> were late with the software upgrades but I think people that bought the
> radio were finally happy with it.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Well, there are new DSP receivers on the way....

http://kd-elektronik.com/index_e.html

4778. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 00:23:55 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <v_Syg.176076$S61.104041@edtnps90>
Source record: 85964

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Nobody can phone me.I always keep my stupid phone Unplugged except when
> I want to use it.It wont work to ask me to plug my phone in either.That
> is like asking me to speed up because I drive too slow and I will slow
> down even slower.

Someone asked you to smarten up, didn't they?

mike

4779. Re: ATTN DAVID: Please call me, Tel 98 21 8893-8821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 00:52:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CC02BA-311@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85965

Try it someday.Unplug that stupid land line phone and if you own a cell phone,put it out there on the concrete sidewalk and take a hammer to it.It will make you feel sooooooooo much better.
cuhulin

4780. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:07:47 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15533-44CC0663-60@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85966

I subscribe to Popular Mechanics and Popular Science snail mail delivery magazines.I have been subscribing to both of those Magazines since 1949.I read that article about China several weeks ago.People talk about the klintoons selling America down the River,they dont realize g.w.bush is doing the same thing too.
cuhulin

4781. Re: Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:25:03 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <PTTyg.145534$I61.80244@clgrps13>
Source record: 85967

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1154141405.198374.4120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data
>> donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay
>> for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor
>> to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.
>>
>
> You never answered the question pertaining to your ingredients. Modern high
> tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?
>
> BH
>
>
>

Does modern high tech go with fish and chips?

mike

4782. another buying guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:25:40 UTC
From: weatherall <weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 85977

This showed up on Google news in the past couple days. Unfortunately
the article's author is not very knowledgeable.

http://tinyurl.com/r7uzr

--
weatherall

4783. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:54:42 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rc-dnSL_Yr_xjFHZnZ2dnUVZ_r-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85968

No it's not a computer operated receiver. It's a dsp receiver.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24403-44CBB146-211@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> That was a computer operated radio,operated via computer,wasen't it?
> Will they replace it with something else? I think they should replace it
> with non computer operated radios.
> cuhulin
>

4784. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:58:19 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gKOdncRTzenYj1HZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85969

From what I hear, the problem is that Ten-Tec never sold enough of them (RX-350) to make any money. It may be that Drake, Ten-Tec, Kenwood, NRD and others no longer see a viable market in high end receivers.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message news:44CBC04C.6A30B522@milestones.com...
>
>
> Telamon wrote:
>
>> In article <44CBA9D1.1BFEB964@milestones.com>,
>> dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>>
>> > "H. State" wrote:
>> >
>> > > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
>> >
>> > Yep, shown at the end of the description:
>> >
>> > http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/0350.html
>>
>> Think they will come out with another commercial radio?
>
> That's a very good question!
>
> One I certainly don't have an answer for.
>
>

4785. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 01:59:39 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Roydnb9JEp0Lj1HZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85970

Isn't that a tranceiver?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154218235.718223.75310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Telamon wrote:
>> In article <1154214640.779166.322940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
>> "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Well, there are new DSP receivers on the way....
>
> http://kd-elektronik.com/index_e.html
>

4786. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:12:19 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154225539.467800.297800@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85971

Brian Denley wrote:
> Isn't that a tranceiver?
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154218235.718223.75310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Telamon wrote:
> >> In article <1154214640.779166.322940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
> >> "Joe Analssandrini" <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > Well, there are new DSP receivers on the way....
> >
> > http://kd-elektronik.com/index_e.html
> >

Sorry...I didn't mean the software defined radio. Click the "DSP
receiver" button on the left hand side of the screen and you'll find
information about the forthcoming successor to the KWZ-30.

4787. end fed antenna reccomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:23:43 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <PKUyg.59594$Eh1.35559@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 85972

Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on the prowl for an
end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it, and am soliciting recommendations
please . . .

4788. Radio Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:27:09 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154226428.994238.156820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85973

Nice signal from them tonight on 15515 khz. Sounds kinda like this:

http://renopithicus.tripod.com/autn.wav

4789. Re: Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:41:40 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CC1C64-317@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85974

Fish and Chips? No wonder those people are so ugly looking over there.
HAGGIS HAGGIS HAGGIS,GIVE ME MORE HAGGIS.
cuhulin

4790. (not too off topic) U.S.Citizens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:56:57 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CC1FF9-318@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85976

49 become U.S.Citizens in ceremony.
www.clarionledger.com Local News

I take it they did it the right way,by formerly applying to become
U.S.Citizens and not sneaking across our U.S.Borders like bush's Illegal
buddies do.
cuhulin

4791. Re: end fed antenna reccomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 02:57:05 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2PadnUvUc-eWvVHZnZ2dnUVZ_qKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85975

I will be setting up my RF Systems MLBA Mk-4 tomorrow (66 ft). It's all
stainless steel since I live in the northeast near the ocean.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
"Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote in message
news:PKUyg.59594$Eh1.35559@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on the prowl for an
> end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it, and am soliciting
> recommendations
> please . . .
>
>

4792. Re: It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 03:30:26 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1154230226.666411.100080@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gridleakkbe3 wrote:
> You KNOW where.... Yep, 3950 khz, give or take a little.
>
> You KNOW when.... Yep, 10pm EDT sharp till about 2am EDT.
>
> You KNOW who.... Yep, Vic and (hopefully) Sir Sidney and Kind Jewish Indian
>
> So don't MISS IT again! ... 10-4 y'all - be there or be square. Join such great SWL-ers as Mr. Davies, Y-Man, Red October, and tens of thousands of others.

Too bad Y-Man missed his cue again today. I guess Cynthia and Madelyn
have him pinned down in the hot tub over at the Best Western.

You go Y-Man ! ! !

4793. Re: More IBOC Crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 03:36:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15533-44CC2940-62@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85979

'Nova' Asteroid Could Rock your World in '36. www.standeyo.com

Dont worry about global warming, call Bruce Willis.
cuhulin

4794. Re: another buying guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 03:59:17 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M_GdnTjixegQs1HZnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85980

maybe one of the know-it-alls of this group should write one !

"weatherall" <weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> This showed up on Google news in the past couple days. Unfortunately
> the article's author is not very knowledgeable.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/r7uzr
>
>
>
>
> --
> weatherall

4795. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 04:02:50 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KbWyg.505336$Fs1.431890@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 85981

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-0BC1E9.12134329072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
>
> I don't anything wrong with what you wrote but you seem to think that
> the diode used makes no difference because you can make it up its
> deficiencies with an amplifier whose input impedance and gain adjusts
> for it.

I don't think we disagree on anything important, but I wanted to say that, after a point, it won't make any practical difference to the distortion of the detector if a diode has a linear region or a very non linear square law region. The resistance of the load soon dominates the characteristiscs of the circuit.

The rest of my reply was mostly aimed at the original article's contention that a diodes distortion level can be derived from only from a diode's presumed square law characteristics and the modulation index.

>Basically that is true that you can use a less efficient diode
> but you will have to provide higher signal levels to it and weak
> signals will still be distorted due to compression. I suppose you could
> use a logarithmic type amplifier following the detector in order to make
> up for the compression.

I suppose, but I don't see any need. The distortion of the diode detector can be quite low if it's driven at a proper level to minimize the the amount of the waveform in the non linear region of the detector.

>
> If you look at the diode curves germanium has one of the better forward
> current to input voltage ratios of several diode types.

Right. A germanium diode would generally give less distortion and better sensitivity than a silicon diode. More than that, there used to be a bunch of specialized germanium diodes intended for radio audio detection, video detection and such. It seems now it's 1N34A types.

> Not being a
> radio designer my approach would be to use a diodes fairly liner region
> with a better forward current to input voltage ratio where the least
> distortion and compression would be due to it and therefor the least
> needed correction to be made up for by a amplifier with a fixed
> correction. Another reason to use a more efficient diode besides the
> signal level power needed is the power the diode itself burns when you
> bias the diodes with larger forward voltage junctions.
>

Efficiency is a bigger consideration with crystal sets.

Frank Dresser

4796. Re: end fed antenna reccomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 04:45:36 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154234736.464454.255000@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85982

Brian Denley wrote:
> I will be setting up my RF Systems MLBA Mk-4 tomorrow (66 ft). It's all
> stainless steel since I live in the northeast near the ocean.
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
> "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote in message
> news:PKUyg.59594$Eh1.35559@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> > Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on the prowl for an
> > end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it, and am soliciting
> > recommendations
> > please . . .
> >
> >

Please tell us how you like the MLBA Mk-4. The world can't have too
many antenna reviews.

Steve

4797. Re: Radio Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 05:25:17 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <778-44CC42BD-377@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85983

There are some bad doughts in Australia,and in America and other places around the World.Those famous Waterfalls in Brazil are becoming a trickle.
cuhulin
.....
Swamp Root,a Diuretic to the kidneys and it's a mild laxative too.
.....

4798. Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 06:46:20 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154241980.618877.96660@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85984

Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters
-by- PRI & KUT
http://www.pri.org/bordblas.html
http://www.prx.org/pieces/11083
http://www.semo.edu/sepr/news/index_10145.htm
KUT Austin (TX) => http://www.kut.org/
http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887838
http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887317
http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887887

"Border Radio" -by- Gene Fowler and Bill Crawford
(Limelight, 1990) is a detailed and amusing history
of the snake oil salesmen, radio preachers, and
rollicking disc jockeys who worked south of the border
on powerful AM stations, beaming their English-language
signals north with programming illegal in the US.

NOTE - National Public Radio (NPR) is proposing
a merger with Public Radio International (PRI)
http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/1998/01/12/story4.html
Both 'non-profit' organizations receive federal funds
indirectly through the Corporation for Public Broad-
casting (CPB), which gives 'public' Radio Stations
money to buy programming.

and now you know ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4799. Re: Shortwave Listening (SWL) : Active Antenna ? -or- Wire Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 06:51:41 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154242301.029241.229750@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85985

David wrote:
> On 29 Jul 2006 01:48:10 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >David wrote:
> >> On 28 Jul 2006 13:02:14 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >SD,
> >> >
> >> >For Shortwave Listening (SWL) what you would spend on an
> >> >Active Antenna; you could buy a good 'passive' Wire Antenna.
> >> >* Your Location {Region} and Weather can be a Factor in
> >> >your choice of Antennas.
> >>
> >>- It depends on your location and what you want to listen to.
> >>- A 50' random wire is OK for SWL but it has nulls and is
> >>- somewhat weak for MW. A coax matcher and cable
> >>- complicates the installation.
> >>
> >David - The Par Electronics EF-SWL Antenna
> >* That is Rigged {Set-Up} as a 15 Foot Vertical by
> >30 Foot Horizontal Inverted "L" {Low Noise} Antenna
> >with Coax Cable feed-in-line and remote Ground Rod.
> This is no different than any other wire antenna with a coax matcher.
>
> I have a random wire. A random wire with an MLB. And the MFJ-1024.
>
> I posted my observation.
>
> I don't appreciate your Doctor Bronner on Acid replies. They are
> anarchy and they are more confusing tha helpful.
>
- You have issues.

David - Issues . . . That I Do !
and thank you for your expert opinion ~ RHF
.
.
. .

4800. End Fed Shortwave Listening (SWL) Antenna Reccomendations ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 07:31:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1154244663.845054.105490@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85986

- Lisa Simpson wrote:
- Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on
- the prowl for an end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it,
- and am soliciting recommendations please . . .

LS,

1 - My choice for simple and easy would be the Par Electronics
End-Fed Shortwave Listening (EF-SWL) Antenna.
http://www.monitoringtimes.com/html/mt2003reviews.html
http://www.parelectronics.com/swl_end.htm
http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/3707
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT8.html
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/sw_ant/2205.html

Rig {Set-Up} the 45 Foot Wire Antenna Element as a
15 Foot Vertical-Up-Leg by 30 Foot Horizontal-Out-Arm
configured as an Inverted "L" {Low Noise} Antenna using
a Coax Cable feed-in-line and remote Ground Rod.

The Par EF-SWL uses a Wire Antenna Element that is
14 AWG "Flex-Weave" Insulated Wire that is made up
of 168 Strands of #36 Gauge Copper Wire.

Gives good all-around Shortwave Band reception and
works well on the AM/MW Band Antenna too.

Note - More of RHF's posts here on RRS about the Par
Electronics EF-SWL Antenna => http://tinyurl.com/s8yua

2 - Another 'alternative' would be one of these RF Junkie
Matching Transformers with a Shortwave Listening (SWL)
Antenna of your own making :

* RF Junkie 'introduces' new SWLZ Balun
using "F" Connector for use with RG6 Coax Cable
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/a9b90bced20caa9d

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/674ed98cedc9e5eb

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/0380b823057d9744
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/49b07e98a66ab88b

* LMZ-75 Shortwave Listener (SWL) Longwire Antenna
{Random Wire} Impedance Matcher
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/f577c0e9783e7421

* Rigging - The LMZ-75, SWLZ and RF Systems MLB
(Magnetic LongWire Balun)
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.shortwave/msg/9b6df0ac85d0fbea

Note - More of RHF's posts here on RRS about the RF Junkie
Matching Transformers => http://tinyurl.com/myxe8

iane ~ RHF
.
Shortwave Listener Antennas => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/
SWL Antenna Group => http://tinyurl.com/ogvcf
.
The Shortwave Listener's Blessing :
SWL BLESSING => http://tinyurl.com/s2bjm
May You Never Tire of Listening to the Radio and Always
have Strong Signals and Noise Free Reception ~ RHF {ibid}
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-SWL-Antenna/message/9233
.
|
|
|
/ \
-------!-------

4801. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 08:08:20 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154246899.881957.168340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85987

RHF wrote:
> Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters
> -by- PRI & KUT
> http://www.pri.org/bordblas.html
> http://www.prx.org/pieces/11083
> http://www.semo.edu/sepr/news/index_10145.htm
> KUT Austin (TX) => http://www.kut.org/
> http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887838
> http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887317
> http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/kut/news.newsmain?action=article&ARTICLE_ID=887887
>
> "Border Radio" -by- Gene Fowler and Bill Crawford
> (Limelight, 1990) is a detailed and amusing history
> of the snake oil salesmen, radio preachers, and
> rollicking disc jockeys who worked south of the border
> on powerful AM stations, beaming their English-language
> signals north with programming illegal in the US.
>
> NOTE - National Public Radio (NPR) is proposing
> a merger with Public Radio International (PRI)
> http://www.bizjournals.com/washington/stories/1998/01/12/story4.html
> Both 'non-profit' organizations receive federal funds
> indirectly through the Corporation for Public Broad-
> casting (CPB), which gives 'public' Radio Stations
> money to buy programming.
>
> and now you know ~ RHF
> .
> .
> . .

I heard it today. Interesting, but I think they overplay the importance
of the border stations. I wasn't on the left coast for Wolfman Jack in
his heyday, but you would think the whole rock and roll biz depended on
him from listening to the that show.

Often musical trends start in clubs long before the recording companies
get in the picture.

4802. Re: another buying guide/RF-2200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 11:36:53 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CC99D5.C35DEBE3@milestones.com>
Source record: 85988

mike maghakian wrote:

> maybe one of the know-it-alls of this group should write one !

I see you dropped the price on the RF-2200.

<http://cgi.ebay.com/MINT-PANASONIC-RF-2200-DR-22-PORTABLE-MINT_W0QQitemZ230012934213QQihZ013QQcategoryZ15051QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem>

>
>
> "weatherall" <weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> news:weatherall.2bqns3@news.radiobanter.com...
> >
> > This showed up on Google news in the past couple days. Unfortunately
> > the article's author is not very knowledgeable.
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/r7uzr
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > weatherall

4803. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 11:43:34 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eai5s9$d52$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85989

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1154246899.881957.168340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I wasn't on the left coast for Wolfman Jack in
> his heyday, but you would think the whole rock and roll biz depended on
> him from listening to the that show.
>
> Often musical trends start in clubs long before the recording companies
> get in the picture.
>

I was out west when Wolfman was in his hayday.. and I can say that he was quite instrumental in bringing rock and roll to much of the western US, far beyond the 'local' reach of the stations he worked at. There was much of rock and roll that was never played on stations in places like Idaho, Utah, Nevada, Arizona and New Mexico, because of the very conservative nature of these states. Though my listening to Wolfman and his contemporaries on border radio didn't start till I was 13 in 1968, they were still a significant influence in those areas. At that time, stations in Salt Lake City were still playing 'cleaned up' versions of top chart songs like Lou Christie's "Lighting Strikes" and "Rhapsody in the Rain", and not playing some of the chart records at all. We turned to the border blasters to hear the stuff our locals weren't giving us.

4804. Brenda E-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 12:01:10 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <m_ednXLg_epWA1HZnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 85990

Sorry, deleted your address, can you send it again.

james.douglas@genesis-software.com

4805. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 12:50:47 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <alapc2di1s6sdg4scgl3s35ft7vo9ude4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 85991

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 20:43:34 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
><miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
>news:1154246899.881957.168340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> I wasn't on the left coast for Wolfman Jack in
>> his heyday, but you would think the whole rock and roll biz depended on
>> him from listening to the that show.
>>
>> Often musical trends start in clubs long before the recording companies
>> get in the picture.
>>
>
>I was out west when Wolfman was in his hayday.. and I can say that he was
>quite instrumental in bringing rock and roll to much of the western US, far
>beyond the 'local' reach of the stations he worked at. There was much of
>rock and roll that was never played on stations in places like Idaho, Utah,
>Nevada, Arizona and New Mexico, because of the very conservative nature of
>these states. Though my listening to Wolfman and his contemporaries on
>border radio didn't start till I was 13 in 1968, they were still a
>significant influence in those areas. At that time, stations in Salt Lake
>City were still playing 'cleaned up' versions of top chart songs like Lou
>Christie's "Lighting Strikes" and "Rhapsody in the Rain", and not playing
>some of the chart records at all. We turned to the border blasters to hear
>the stuff our locals weren't giving us.
>
Long before he was in L. A., the Wolfman broadcast out of Del Rio,
Texas late at night on 1570. I remember him from the early '60s and
it was just plain creepy.

''Stan's Record Shop, 728 Texas Street, Shreveport, Louisiana'' was
his sponsor.

4806. Re: End Fed Shortwave Listening (SWL) Antenna Reccomendations ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 12:53:17 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <9tapc2tuav28kl42but0l3jm4qe7ut4asa@4ax.com>
Source record: 85992

On 30 Jul 2006 00:31:03 -0700, "RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>- Lisa Simpson wrote:
>- Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on
>- the prowl for an end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it,
>- and am soliciting recommendations please . . .

http://www.geocities.com/qrp_baluns/lm.html

4807. Re: another buying guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:20:43 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154265643.230905.255130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85993

weatherall wrote:
> This showed up on Google news in the past couple days. Unfortunately
> the article's author is not very knowledgeable.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/r7uzr
>
>
> --
> weatherall

Well

We could have Marvin The Paranoid Android do a review for us about the dismal state of Shortwave..

" Full of Bible Beaters and Nuts..

Nothing remotly pallatable forthcoming in new receivers..

BBC has left us, abandoning its huge mass of

Program Listeners to dwell on static..

furthuremore, Matter is disintegrating..

And Jesus Christ, mascaradiong as A California State Trooper

pulls Mel Gibson over,

Only to hear a Litany of Curses

Not a word about all this on Shortwave..

" I think you ought to know I'm feeling very depressed by the whole situation.."

- Marvin, the Paranoid Android

4808. Re: another buying guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:33:49 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154266429.688713.303330@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85994

barnegatdx@aol.com wrote:
> weatherall wrote:
> > This showed up on Google news in the past couple days. Unfortunately
> > the article's author is not very knowledgeable.
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/r7uzr
> >
> >
> > --
> > weatherall
>
> Well
>
> We could have Marvin The Paranoid Android do a review for us about the
> dismal state of Shortwave..
>
> " Full of Bible Beaters and Nuts..
>
> Nothing remotly pallatable forthcoming in new receivers..
>
> BBC has left us, abandoning its huge mass of
>
> Program Listeners to dwell on static..
>
> furthuremore, Matter is disintegrating..
>
> And Jesus Christ, mascaradiong as A California State Trooper
>
> pulls Mel Gibson over,
>
> Only to hear a Litany of Curses
>
> Not a word about all this on Shortwave..
>
>
> " I think you ought to know I'm feeling very depressed by the whole
> situation.."
>
> - Marvin, the Paranoid Android

Given the influx of inexpensive Chinese portables in recent years, I
wouldn't be surprised if shortwave is experiencing some kind of
resurgence. However, even if it is, it'll probably be a few years
before that translates into renewed demand in the high-end portable and

tabletop markets.

4809. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:34:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CCB552-356@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85995

Back in the 1950's I used to listen to that preacher guy in Del
Rio,Texas.I can't remember his name just now.
Utah,conservative??????????? Since when?
cuhulin

4810. AIR Latest Changes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:48:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CCB8B7.E5475CED@milestones.com>
Source record: 85996

Dear Friends,

Here are latest changes for AIR SW Home & External
Services.

External Services:

To Sri Lanka:

0000-0045 7360 Chennai Tamil 100 kW
0045-0115 7360 Chennai Sinhala 100 kW
1000-1100 7270 Chennai English 100 kW
1115-1215 7270 Chennai Tamil 100 kW
1300-1500 7270 Chennai Sinhala 100 kW

To China:

1145-1315 9425 Bangalore Chinese 500 kW (ex 11840
Khampur, Delhi) from 28 July 2006

Home Service

0130-0430 7360 Chennai 100 KW AIR FM Gold in Tamil
(ex 7270, AIR FM Gold in Hindi)

AIR Mumbai on 7195 was lately noted on 7190. It was
corrected to 7195 within a minute after my telephone
to the station yesterday afternoon.
(Jose Jacob, VU2JOS, dx_india)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4811. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:49:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154267375.750789.120260@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85997

Brian Denley wrote:
> From what I hear, the problem is that Ten-Tec never sold enough of them
> (RX-350) to make any money. It may be that Drake, Ten-Tec, Kenwood, NRD and
> others no longer see a viable market in high end receivers.
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>

That may be the case. I never had the sense that the RX-350 was a big seller. Ten-Tec certainly seems to be selling plenty of the RX-320D, and I've been tempted to buy one of those little gems myself. And of course Ten-Tec still sells the RX-340. It's their mid-range receiver that they're dropping--and of course we're just *assuming* that they're dropping it, rather than retiring it to make room for a successor.

Steve

4812. News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:54:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CCBA1B.1E9A9D5E@milestones.com>
Source record: 85848

Sorry for the way this looks, but that's the way it came)

Our News Letter for July,
Another happy â□□wetï¿½? month in the Philippines, it has rained very hard night and
day for the last four weeks, we have had typhoons running north of us out to sea

but the rain bands have been passing over us in waves of rain. Everything is wet,
the walls the van and us. Today we had five hours of sun shine, opened the house up
and aired it out, sure feels nice! Itâ□□s raining again, hard! There is a big storm
that may go right over us next week unless it turns.
We now have two wonderful little dogs, Ralf the Japanese Spitz and Blackie the
Miniature Pinscher. We tried to keep a Basset Hound but it just didnâ□□t work (The
Rude French). We have had Ralf for a year but just got Blackie this week. We have a
well guarded house with lots of noise. We have built a great friendship with a
local veterinarian. He is becoming a good friend; his wife is a nurse (RN)
We two retirees try to get up by 7 or so everyday then we sit on the porch and
drink our morning pot of coffee before we rush in the kitchen for our late
breakfast. Over breakfast we try to decide what we are going to do with the rest of
the day. We like to ride out to a mall about 12 miles north and have lunch while

watching crowds of people climbing over each other trying get their shopping done
at lunch time (we always try to get a table right in the way of the kids)!
I find lots of little things to do around here some days if I look, I donâ□□t look
too hard! I have been rebuilding little things around the house or maybe I should
say I have been watching other people rebuild little things around the house. Last
week I had the outside of the out side fence glazed over so week can â□□haveï¿½? it
painted. Today I bought hollow brick and cement to line our outside flower box in
front of the house; they start on that next week. Iâ□□ll try to find time to take

pictures of the house so I can send you copies.
Nonetâ□□s big project are drapes, she is making new drapes for the house with a foot-pump sawing machines. She is so happy and proud of her drapes, she just sparkles!!!! Each room is getting a different set, color and with matching sheets in the bedrooms.
I am thinking of getting into â□□Racing Pigeonsï¿½?. I have researched racing pigeons for three weeks on the net and have a ream of information. I have my plans for a

little loft and will start with two sets of sitting birds. The doc will give me a set of new 21 day old birds so I can start flying sooner. It takes seven months to have a pigeon ready to race. The only drew back is that I will have to be here full time to take care of them. Iâ□□m not sure I want to tie myself down yet; maybe I

should wait until I am ready to slow down before starting this project.
We are also looking for property on the beach either here on Luzon or on Palawan

where we can build a nice bamboo house and spend our days being â□□Beach Bumsï¿½?, an honorable profession. We have been down to Palawan and looked around, it sure is

nice down there and something to think about. Nonet has family down there, nice people. We are going to try to make a few trips up to the north area of Luzon and see if we can find something we like up there. If we stayed on this island then we would have the international airport, shopping in Manila and where Nonetâ□□s friends from the bank are.
I have thought about backing a few small businesses but donâ□□t think I want to get involved in the taxes and politics that go with it. I have given a lot of thought to Cyber-Cafes, seems like this would be a nice thing to get into just for something to do BUT, it would have to be a very good deal for me to mess with it because I just donâ□□t need it.
I still feel bad three days a week when I should be lifting and the other three days when I should be riding my bike. I feel great the other day because all we have to do is go to chURch. Each day I tell myself that I am going to workout but for some reason I just donâ□□t get â□□aroundtoitï¿½?. I guess I should feel good that I still

have it as a â□□roundtoitï¿½? and so help me I am going to do it starting next
Monday, I think well maybe if I remember!!!

OK my friends, I hope this brings you up to date on the life and times of the
happy
retirees. Iâ□□ll try to find time to send out a few pictures and do another nice

newsy newsletter next month.
Thanks for being our friends and feel free to visit anytime, we have a
spare
bedroom with air-conditioning. The plane arrives every morning about 10, another

thing we like to do is watch the plane come in and see whoâ□□s on it.

Take Care,

Burr & Nonet

---

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4813. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:55:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <qhepc2haeap2hd7ea82cpov87q4n3lpc4l@4ax.com>
Source record: 85849

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:34:10 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

>Back in the 1950's I used to listen to that preacher guy in Del
>Rio,Texas.I can't remember his name just now.
>Utah,conservative???????????? Since when?
>cuhulin
>
Brother Al?

4814. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 13:56:04 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CCBA74-361@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85850

www.lucianne.com/threads2.asp?=287931

What Frances says.
It started back in the early 1970's,Oregon,Washington State,Utah.I know how and why.Do you?
cuhulin

4815. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 14:18:27 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CCBFB3-365@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85851

That is an old computer browser Burr used to type that message.Probally
IE4 operating system,more or less the same that webtv uses.It's ancient.
I am glad Burr is doing OK.
cuhulin

4816. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 14:32:24 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15532-44CCC2F8-368@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85852

I have never owned a Ten-Tec before.From what some people say,I gather Ten-Tec makes some good radios,but their business/software department needs some improving.At least they are made in the good old U.S.A.I need to look around for a good deal on a non computer operated Ten-Tec Radio.
cuhulin

4817. Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 15:01:06 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154271666.758680.236910@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85853

http://audacity.sourceforge.net/

I use this to make short recordings. If you have a microphone that connects to your computer, it's easy, and won't cost you anything.

I'm always wishing that more folks would post links to audio recordings. It's nice to hear what various stations sound like from another person's shack, with another person's gear, etc. A recording is worth a thousand words.

Steve

4818. Re: another buying guide/RF-2200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 15:28:06 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11568-44CCD006-71@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85854

Does it have PanaVision? Is it better than my Panasonic RF-2600 Radio?
google it if you want to, Panasonic RF-2600 Radio
cuhulin

4819. Re: another buying guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 15:34:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11567-44CCD191-356@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85855

www.rense.com Is Mel Gibson's Arrest A Setup?

I think Mel Gibson let that booze overload his mouth.I do like all of
his movies though.
cuhulin

4820. Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 15:44:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11567-44CCD3CA-357@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85856

www.cdi.org/russia/johnson
(Radio) Johnson's Russia List.
cuhulin

4821. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:06:34 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154275594.221896.219850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85857

miso@sushi.com wrote:
snip
> Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is at
> the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.
snip
> Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are much
> larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.

Mr. Lankford's main concept is that by using a narrow enough IF filter,
a narrow filter with with a step attenuation skirt, and by offset
tunning to
only get the carrier and the desired sideband, and with a following
suitable AF LP fitler can do wonders. It is not magic, and doesn't work

with every receiver and under every condition. In the ret of this, and
all
future posts, I will simply call it "ELPAF".

I can say is that it is a usefull technique, and will even help when
used
premium receiver like an AOR7030 or R390, the filter can really reduce
the effects of "fading". With a modest receiver like the R2000 that has

been upgraded with a suitablely narrow IF filter, the results are
impressive.
With a "marginal" receiver like the ATS909/DX398 the results are
nothing
short of amazing.

Terry

4822. Re: Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:13:25 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <rkmpc2drgses32ej0883unko07g2jcne5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 85858

On 30 Jul 2006 08:01:06 -0700, "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
wrote:

>http://audacity.sourceforge.net/
>
>I use this to make short recordings. If you have a microphone that
>connects to your computer, it's easy, and won't cost you anything.
>
>I'm always wishing that more folks would post links to audio
>recordings. It's nice to hear what various stations sound like from
>another person's shack, with another person's gear, etc. A recording is
>worth a thousand words.
>
>Steve
>
Thanks. Very nice.

4823. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:19:06 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <csmpc2h5rb2tjk026lpl1kpobsormj8db6@4ax.com>
Source record: 85859

On 30 Jul 2006 09:06:34 -0700, r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

>miso@sushi.com wrote:
>snip
>> Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is at
>> the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.
>snip
>> Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are much
>> larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.
>
>Mr. Lankford's main concept is that by using a narrow enough IF filter,
>a narrow filter with with a step attenuation skirt, and by offset
>tunning to
>only get the carrier and the desired sideband, and with a following
>suitable AF LP fitler can do wonders. It is not magic, and doesn't work
>
>with every receiver and under every condition. In the ret of this, and
>all
>future posts, I will simply call it "ELPAF".
>
>I can say is that it is a usefull technique, and will even help when
>used
>premium receiver like an AOR7030 or R390, the filter can really reduce
>the effects of "fading". With a modest receiver like the R2000 that has
>
>been upgraded with a suitablely narrow IF filter, the results are
>impressive.
>With a "marginal" receiver like the ATS909/DX398 the results are
>nothing
>short of amazing.
>
>Terry
>
I used a similar technique with my R-390A. I'd tune one side of the
carrier and use the BFO to provide a local one. You have to back way
off on the RF gain as the 390A BFO is sort of weak.

4824. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:21:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CCDCA6.65027BFF@milestones.com>
Source record: 85860

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> snip
> > Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is at
> > the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.
> snip
> > Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are much
> > larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.
>
> Mr. Lankford's main concept is that by using a narrow enough IF filter,
> a narrow filter with with a step attenuation skirt, and by offset
> tunning to
> only get the carrier and the desired sideband, and with a following
> suitable AF LP fitler can do wonders. It is not magic, and doesn't work
>
> with every receiver and under every condition. In the ret of this, and
> all
> future posts, I will simply call it "ELPAF".
>
> I can say is that it is a usefull technique, and will even help when
> used
> premium receiver like an AOR7030 or R390, the filter can really reduce
> the effects of "fading". With a modest receiver like the R2000 that has
>
> been upgraded with a suitablely narrow IF filter, the results are
> impressive.
> With a "marginal" receiver like the ATS909/DX398 the results are
> nothing
> short of amazing.

Almost sounds like the 'syncho-phase' detector on the R7/A. Use of a narrower filter and tilting the passband control to either USB/LSB.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4825. multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:28:29 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1154276908.989927.38500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85861

Sorry for being such a RX newbie here. I guess I shoulda stayed awake more during signals class. My expertise is very large switching and resonant power supplies and transmitters.

So the multipath distortion causes fading of the carrier only?? This makes some sense to me. A small set of the lower sideband frequencies would also cause phase cancellation, but since the audio spectrum is moving around so fast no one notices. I think I'm on the right track here. So use another carrier slaved to the received carrier and you get better reception during fade. Even if it wanders a few cycles during fade you probably don't hear anyway. I guess that is how a sync detector works.

I Imagine it would be a chore to build a sync detector from the ground up, but I would also think it must have already been put into an ic chip, no???

I like the link R2000swl posted to AmWindow for the precision full-wave rectifier. I think I'll stick it on a pc board and give it a try. If anybody wants a board let me know. They are very inexpensive.

bm, or anyone else, if you have good link to ELPAF or alternative RX circuits then maybe I could throw that down on same board if not too much room.

Details of board size at www.expresspcb.com I do the small one double side and no silkscreen 3 boiards 60bucks.

You can contact me off board at yzordderrex@verizon.net

73
Bob
N9NEO

bm wrote:
> Well, it works.
>
> I have been playing with the ELPAF since last autumn; first on my
> R-390A, which, despite having done the AF Deck mod, does have its
> quirks with regard to audio quality. The ELPAF cleaned up audio
> admirably. Mostly doing MW DX then. Then, this summer together with a
> modified IC-703 mostly on SW. It practically eliminates the distortion
> caused by fades, as well as high-frequency hiss and noise giving an
> audibly better signal to noise ratio. The trade-off is of course a
> more limited audio response. Personally I can live with that - I never
> use bandwidths wider than 6-7 kHz anyway. My ELPAF has a bypass switch
> so it is easy to compare audio quality.

>
> I used to have an SE-3 as well, and enjoyed the excellent audio it
> produced. The ELPAF does little less with regard to audio recovery. I
> am thinking about doing an A-B comparison between the two later on.
>
> BM

4826. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:44:14 UTC
From: Arthrnyork@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15383-44CCE1DE-525@storefull-3237.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85863

Ten -Tec is concentrating on higher priced
products. RX 400 (coming soon), RX- 340,Orion II (high performance
xceiver) are not marketed for an average SWL.Very sad indeed...

4827. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:54:42 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cppc0impvhlfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85864

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote
> >
> > Read the Pop. Mech. Aug issue.
>
> Which article are you referring too?
>

POPULAR MECHANICS the current August 2006 issue.
"How China Steals Our Military Secrets"

> > > Commercially, USA business capital has been flowing into China for
> > > years and soon as their economy ramps up they won't need us anymore.
> >
> > As their economy "ramps up"? It is already on overdrive and ready to
> > collapse.
>
> As long as our investment dollars and money for manufactured goods flow
> into their economy they are in good shape.

Write your Congressman and Senator.

> > > We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick their
> > > economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
> > > transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
> > > broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.
> >
> > You must be referring to French made jamming equipment.
>
> I don't know who made the transmitters, just that their are plenty of
> them.
>

...and the French made plenty of money. The Chi-Commies are exporting the
technology to their client States like Zimbabwe.

4828. Re: CNN's Anderson Cooper: Hezbollah Propaganda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 16:59:02 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cppk0q8nqn312@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85865

One Western journalist spent days scouring the south Lebanese port city of Tyre and the surrounding villages for a sign of the militants who triggered the Israeli assault, but came up empty.

Then, two men showed up at his seafront hotel. He had asked too many questions, they said. He would have to return to Beirut. The journalist in question asked not to be identified fearing Hezbollah retaliation.

"This is their counter intelligence. They have a very effective local networks who report every thing that is going on," says Goksel, the former UN advisor.

An ice cream vendor in downtown Tyre simply shrugs his shoulders when asked about the shadowy organization. He knows they are around, he says, but no one knows where.

"Even during peaceful times we never see them anywhere," says Ali Mohammed, 56. "They are a part of the people. We don't know who is Hezbollah and who is not. It could be you or me."

http://uk.news.yahoo.com/26072006/323/hezbollah-remains-control-nowhere-found.html

- Much like Communist China.

Hassan Nasrallah: In His Own Words

"If they (Jews) all gather in Israel, it will save us the trouble of going after them worldwide."
http://www.camera.org/index.asp?x_context=7&x_issue=11&x_article=1158

Nasrallah may sound like he's holding a strong hand, but as his losses mount his posturing is starting to look pathetic. Now's the time to call his bluff and up the ante by piling on the pressure.
http://www.welt.de/z/plog/blog.php/the_free_west/the_free_wests_weblog/2006/07/29/hassan_nasrallahs_suicide_mission

Hezbollah was using UN post [and children] as 'shield'
http://www.canada.com/ottawacitizen/news/story.html?id=37278180-a261-421d-84a9-7f94d5fc6d50

UN: "Hezbollah is cowardly, hiding behind innocent men, women and children..."

At least 144 Hezbollah rockets have rained down on Israel cities today.

>
http://www.cnn.com/CNN/Programs/anderson.cooper.360/blog/2006/07/our-very-st

> range-day-with-hezbollah.html
>
> "Palestinian" FAKE images industry
>
http://theproblemwithmostarabmuslims.blogspot.com/2006/06/palestinian-fake-i
> mages-industry.html
>
> Palestinian Media Fakery
> http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/ReadArticle.asp?ID=23245
>

4829. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:05:03 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <778-44CCE6BF-428@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85866

Back to the Future movie is on Radio tv.See if your radio has a Flux Capacitor.According to the doc,that's what makes time travel possible. Calling Art Bell,calling George Noory.I found the secret to time travel.
cuhulin

4830. Re: Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:10:04 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cpq8n7cr9jof2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85867

Another fine product example of an American public school system drop-out.

"Bigdog" <z@z.z> wrote
>
> Nazis/Israelis. Same thing. They're combined. Indistinguishable.
> ZioNazis! ZioNazis!ZioNazis! jewish ragheads zionazis. jewslims.

[Islam-0-Facist ejaculate castrated]

> ____
> Keeping a close eye on antiAmerican ZioNazis, their sympathizer cells in
> our country and their continued antiAmerican crimes
> ____

4831. Re: Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:16:56 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12cpqljhgg94db5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 85868

I am looking for a computer software recorder that allows me to record live internet streams, from radio stations for example, while unattended. I would like to schedule it to automatically connect to the stream at given start time, start recording, and end the recording at a given stop time. Creating an audio file type of my choice for later listening.

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message news:1154271666.758680.236910@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> http://audacity.sourceforge.net/
>
> I use this to make short recordings. If you have a microphone that
> connects to your computer, it's easy, and won't cost you anything.
>
> I'm always wishing that more folks would post links to audio
> recordings. It's nice to hear what various stations sound like from
> another person's shack, with another person's gear, etc. A recording is
> worth a thousand words.
>
> Steve
>

4832. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:38:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lkrpc29v4oqcs338v0neoq3kqcaic6f50u@4ax.com>
Source record: 85869

On 30 Jul 2006 09:28:29 -0700, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Sorry for being such a RX newbie here. I guess I shoulda stayed awake
>more during signals class. My expertise is very large switching and
>resonant power supplies and transmitters.
>
>So the multipath distortion causes fading of the carrier only?? This
>makes some sense to me. A small set of the lower sideband frequencies
>would also cause phase cancellation, but since the audio spectrum is
>moving around so fast no one notices. I think I'm on the right track
>here. So use another carrier slaved to the received carrier and you
>get better reception during fade. Even if it wanders a few cycles
>during fade you probably don't hear anyway. I guess that is how a sync
>detector works.
>
>I Imagine it would be a chore to build a sync detector from the ground
>up, but I would also think it must have already been put into an ic
>chip, no???
>
>I like the link R2000swl posted to AmWindow for the precision full-wave
>rectifier. I think I'll stick it on a pc board and give it a try. If
>anybody wants a board let me know. They are very inexpensive.
>
>bm, or anyone else, if you have good link to ELPAF or alternative RX
>circuits then maybe I could throw that down on same board if not too
>much room.
>
>Details of board size at www.expresspcb.com I do the small one double
>side and no silkscreen 3 boiards 60bucks.
>
>You can contact me off board at yzordderrex@verizon.net
>
>73
>Bob
>N9NEO

>
http://users.adelphia.net/~alexmm/Prod_det/detector.htm

4833. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:43:22 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <htrpc21fgvabqbreogktu6tcqbo2sqnaj4@4ax.com>
Source record: 85870

On 30 Jul 2006 09:28:29 -0700, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
wrote:

http://home.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/sync_det.html

4834. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 17:47:12 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <778-44CCF0A0-430@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85871

There is a public library at 651 East Main Street in
Ventura.Tomorrow,see if they have the Popular Mechanics magazine for
August 2006.Or check the magazine racks in the stores.Or
www.popularmechanics.com
cuhulin

4835. Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:30:25 UTC
From: "R.F. Burns" <rburns@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5V6zg.140401$H71.109753@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85872

George Bush is sending more troops to Baghdad to "get Baghdad under control".

Get -Baghdad- under control (to say nothing of the rest of the country)? In the 4th year over there?? Mission accomplished????

Heckuva job!!! (of flim-flamming the American sheeple)

You twenty-nine per centers can be proud.

Bwaaahaaaaaahaaaahaaaa

4836. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:39:10 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CCFCCE.4A9C1C0F@milestones.com>
Source record: 85873

"R.F. Burns" wrote:

> George Bush is sending more troops to Baghdad to "get Baghdad under
> control".

Beats cutting and running like you Liberals do!

You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France), you'd adapt to their culture in a matter of minutes.

You're Reps voted to go to war, and as soon as it gets a bit tough, it's cut and run time.

And don't give me that sorry old BS about Bush lying! You're Reps had access TO ALL the information the President did.

Do us all a favour, and stuff a sock in it, 'tard boy!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4837. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:51:00 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ec7zg.11553$2M3.1542@fe04.lga>
Source record: 85874

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> Beats cutting and running like you Liberals do!
>
> You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France), you'd
> adapt to
> their culture in a matter of minutes.
>
> You're Reps voted to go to war, and as soon as it gets a bit tough, it's
> cut and
> run time.
>
> And don't give me that sorry old BS about Bush lying! You're Reps had
> access TO
> ALL the information the President did.
>
> Do us all a favour, and stuff a sock in it, 'tard boy!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

It's never their fault Steve. It's always somebody else's.

BH

4838. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:53:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <xe7zg.77825$Lm5.27192@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85875

"Arthur Pozner" <Arthrnyork@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15383-44CCE1DE-525@storefull-3237.bay.webtv.net...
> Ten -Tec is concentrating on higher priced
> products. RX 400 (coming soon), RX- 340,Orion II (high performance
> xceiver) are not marketed for an average SWL.Very sad indeed...
>

What price range will the RX 400 be at?

4839. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:54:24 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154285664.092394.236690@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85876

Dear Sir,

I tghink the problem is complicated and not easily addressed. The BBC and some other international broadcasters evidently feel that shortwave is "done" and they are moving onto "other" means of delivery. Yet the "religious" broadcasters appear to be increasing their shortwave presence and they depend upon listeners to send them financial support. Would they increase their shortwave presence if they were not seeing results?

In my opinion, the shortwave equipment quandary is the same as the high-fidelity equipment one - the mass audience seems to be happy with "lo-fi" sound from their CDs and iPods and they "ooh and ah" when they hear good sound but then they do nothing about their own sad situation. To be frank, most of today's music is trash anyway, in my opinion, and the "multi-channel" "synthesized" sound (which could never be actually performed live) is not high fidelity in the first place, so it just doesn't matter how it's recorded or played back. The audience for better music (classical, jazz, Broadway shows, etc.) is small and the recording companies have little interest in other than a mass audience. And it appears that good sound reproducing apparatus is low on the mass audiences' list of priorities. (It is out there, of course, and well-worth seeking out.)

The same applies to the big international broadcasters (as well as the radio manufacturers) - they have NO IDEA (they have NEVER had any idea) of the size of their shortwave audience so they assume that since "everybody" has a computer, "nobody" listens to shortwave any more and "everybody" listens on their computers (or on their local "educational" FM outlets)!

Well, I for one, do NOT listen on my computer (and I can't stand NPR for the most part - I can''t stand the idiotic "conservative" talk-shows either) and those stations which have abandoned the shortwaves are just not heard by me (one example is Switzerland).

I can presume that the manufacturing end "thoughts" are the same as the high-end hi-fi one - "everybody" buys "only" portables so why bother to manufacture a high quality tabletop unit, notwithstanding that, once properly designed, the units can be marketed for many years without change, thus amortizing the initial design costs. (The AOR AR7030, for example, has been manufactured for over ten years now and, though the factory does improve the design as problems and./or solutions become apparent - they are VERY responsive that way - their design costs have been minimal in recent years.) Part of the reason that radios may be discontinued is that a needed part may itself have been discontinued

and therefore the set, as designed, can no longer be made. And the manufacturers, knowing that the market for expensive radios is small (and has ALWAYS been small), think that, rather than design a new highe-end radio, they can make more money making HDTVs, DVD blanks, or Condensed Soup.

Even though it's far from perfect, look at the ICOM IC-R75. It sells for about $600.00 and, even with a few modifications bringing its ultimate cost up to around $1000.00, it still sells and performs well. It would be nice if ICOM itself would make a few (much-needed) modifications, but, even without them, we have Kiwa to help improve this receiver to somewhere in the vicinity of where it ought to be. If ICOM can design and manufacture a radio to such high standards at such a relatively low price, why can't others? I do believe that such radios would indeed sell and would sell over many years.

The Chinese manufacturers evidently agree with me as they keep introducing new models and their offerings are becoming more and more sophisticated and, I hope, their quality of constructon is improving also. Even India is getting into the game with the Eton E1. There have been shortwave radios manufactured in India for many years but this is the first model of which I am aware that has been marketed in the United States.

Maybe the true fact is that some companies "get it" and others "do not." AOR is hanging in there, so is ICOM, and, most definitely, so is Eton. And CRI has been increasing its English-language schedule even while others are decreasing theirs.

And who knows - maybe, as someone else has said here, Ten-tec is planning to bring out a new, improved "mid-priced" tabletop shortwave receiver.

We can hope.

Best,

Joe

Wun Hung Lo wrote:
> Joe Analssandrini wrote:
>
>
>
> > In my opinion, should one wish to have a modern, well-designed,
> > shortwave communications receiver, of which there are fewer and fewer
> > choices,
>
> Joe, you're right--there are fewer and fewer choices--and on that basis,
> now might be the time to buy.
>
> On the other hand, we have to ask the obvious question: WHY are there
> fewer and fewer choices?

>
> At least two obvious answers come to mind:
>
> 1)The manufacturers see fewer buyers for their products.
>
> 2) Maybe the -manufacturers- view short wave as, if not dying, at least
> tapering off, with less to listen to and therefore fewer potential buyers.
>
> If the market was there, one would think the manufacturers would be
> there to satisfy the demand.
>
> Is the hand writing on the wall?

4840. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 18:58:09 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154285889.466509.110730@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85877

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Arthur Pozner" <Arthrnyork@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15383-44CCE1DE-525@storefull-3237.bay.webtv.net...
> > Ten -Tec is concentrating on higher priced
> > products. RX 400 (coming soon), RX- 340,Orion II (high performance
> > xceiver) are not marketed for an average SWL.Very sad indeed...
> >
>
> What price range will the RX 400 be at?

It doesn't matter because you're BUSTED.

4841. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:15:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CD0550.85621F76@milestones.com>
Source record: 85878

Arthur Pozner wrote:

> Ten -Tec is concentrating on higher priced
> products. RX 400 (coming soon), RX- 340,Orion II (high performance
> xceiver) are not marketed for an average SWL.Very sad indeed...

Ten-Tec RX-400:

http://radio.tentec.com/Commercial/RX400

Price... under $6000.00

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4842. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:26:23 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7471-44CD07DF-499@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85880

You got that right Joe.Most of todays music (so-called ''music'') really is trash.I like the old,old slow music and songs from the 1950's and wayyyyyy on back, many many decades wayyyy on back.Most people nowdays wouldn't know good music and songs if it slapped them upside their ears.
cuhulin

4843. Redsun RP2100 Review by Jay Allen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:29:32 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154287772.312192.91920@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85879

Hello All.

I think this will be of interest to everyone here.

< http://www.radiointel.com/review-redsunrp2100.htm >

Best,

Joe

4844. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:35:18 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7471-44CD09F6-500@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85883

More U.S.Troops isn't going to get Baghdad (and Iraq) under control.To heck with those middle East countries,I say.We need our U.S.Troops back in America to police our U.S.Borders and U.S.Coastlines and get America under control.Let those ragheads fight it out with each other and kill each other off,it will all come out in the wash.
cuhulin

4845. DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:36:43 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85881

David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it sounds good or not, but I dislike it."

4846. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:36:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7472-44CD0A57-97@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85884

Cap d' Agde,Frogland.
cuhulin

4847. Re: Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:42:04 UTC
From: jfeng@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <1154288524.618922.3260@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85882

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
> I am looking for a computer software recorder that allows me to record live
> internet streams, from radio stations for example, while unattended. I
> would like to schedule it to automatically connect to the stream at given
> start time, start recording, and end the recording at a given stop time.
> Creating an audio file type of my choice for later listening.
>

I like Total Recorder from http://www.highcriteria.com . The trial
version is free, but it periodically adds a short noise burst to the
recording. The Standard Edition is not very expensive. I don't think
that it will connect automatically to an audio stream. However, once
you are connected, it will do automated timed recordings and save them
in a variety of formats.

4848. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:45:11 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154288711.415178.322320@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85885

David wrote:
> On 30 Jul 2006 09:28:29 -0700, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
> http://home.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/sync_det.html

While this synchronous detector works quite well, there is a link
<http://home.worldnet.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/allpass/allpass.html>
to a filter that allows improved reception by "rejecting" signals other
then the desired signal. While this does improve reception, it isn't
quite as effective as some literature would suggest.

If you really interested in synch detectors, Tom Holden has an
excellent
page, <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Synch_AM/messages> that has
some links to very good resources.

Terry

4849. Re: end fed antenna reccomendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:45:26 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <in2qc29o3n7ts20n419kh2jb0m00lchc3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 85886

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 02:23:43 GMT, "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com>
wrote:

>Finally got my antenna mast erected today, and am now on the prowl for an
>end fed shortwave antenna to attach to it, and am soliciting recommendations
>please . . .
>

Attach a piece of wire to the center pin of a coax plug, screw the
plug onto your radio, run the wire out your window, up your mast,
across the yard, however long you want it to be.

That's a description of my 20 meter end fed wire (okay, it goes
through a tuner, but if you're not transmitting, there's no need to
use one).

You might be surprised how **little** house noise you pick up, running
a wire directly into the window, sans coax.

bob
k5qwg

4850. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:48:28 UTC
From: Cameltoe Johnson <Cam@toe.net>
Message-ID: <2006073015482844303%Cam@toenet>
Source record: 85887

On 2006-07-30 15:35:18 -0400, cuhulin@webtv.net said:

> More U.S.Troops isn't going to get Baghdad (and Iraq) under control.To
> heck with those middle East countries,I say.We need our U.S.Troops back
> in America to police our U.S.Borders and U.S.Coastlines and get America
> under control.Let those ragheads fight it out with each other and kill
> each other off,it will all come out in the wash.
> cuhulin

That's the first post from you I actually agree with. Amazing.

4851. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 19:48:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CD0D1A.269FEE72@milestones.com>
Source record: 85888

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> More U.S.Troops isn't going to get Baghdad (and Iraq) under control.To
> heck with those middle East countries,I say.We need our U.S.Troops back
> in America to police our U.S.Borders and U.S.Coastlines and get America
> under control.Let those ragheads fight it out with each other and kill
> each other off,it will all come out in the wash.

Yes, but one must make sure to add Raghead-Be-Goneï¿½ to the final rinse cycle.

4852. Re: Border Blasters and Outlaw Broadcasters -by- PRI & KUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 20:12:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eaj3mc$r52$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85889

> On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:34:10 -0500, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>
>>Back in the 1950's I used to listen to that preacher guy in Del
>>Rio,Texas.I can't remember his name just now.
>>Utah,conservative???????????? Since when?
>>cuhulin

Since ALWAYS? The Mormons are one of the most conservative groups in the country. They make the Baptists look like hedonists.

4853. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 20:24:28 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 85890

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."

David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with some authority that IBOC is poor engineering. Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering. The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market" doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market. He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system. Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of comment about the poster's credibility.

I have him killfiled. I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line. No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed to eventual failure.

4854. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 20:42:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20579-44CD19B8-97@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85892

I am an anti-HD militant too.Anybody who has good sense is.
cuhulin

4855. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 20:48:20 UTC
From: georgesmn@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154292500.306782.219240@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85891

H. State wrote:
> The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.

Hope nobody feels too sad about this. The Eton E1XM ($500) was rated slightly better overall than the RX-350, in Passport to Worldband Radio. George (MN)

4856. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 20:51:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20580-44CD1BE2-27@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85893

Which has me thinking.Since the Bell South woman next door put in for
and received a Bell South job transfer to her home town area of Natchez
and the brunette woman has gone to be with her boyfriend,and since my
old washing machine went belly up,I think I will start going over there
and use their washing machine.
cuhulin

4857. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:03:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20578-44CD1EB0-529@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 85896

You like Motorscooters? There is a Motorscooter guy in West Michigan.
http://wlfraed.home.netcom.com

alt.scooter news group.
cuhulin

4858. iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:12:24 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154293944.155624.63080@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85894

YES !

All links from:

http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/

1) HD Radio to Crash and Burn (iBiquity financial mayhem)

http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radio-to-crash-and-burn.html

2) HD Radio's Dirty Little Secret

http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radios-dirty-little-secret.html

3) CPD's HD Radio Coverage Investigation

http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html

4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:

http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/

5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD

http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm

4859. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:13:59 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154294039.863311.309170@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85895

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I am an anti-HD militant too.Anybody who has good sense is.
> cuhulin

AGREED !

4860. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:23:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com>
Source record: 85897

sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:

> YES !
>
> All links from:
>
> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>
> 1) HD Radio to Crash and Burn (iBiquity financial mayhem)
>
> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radio-to-crash-and-burn.html
>
> 2) HD Radio's Dirty Little Secret
>
> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radios-dirty-little-secret.html
>
> 3) CPD's HD Radio Coverage Investigation
>
> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
>
> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
>
> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>
> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
>
> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm

Edweenie wil be along shortly!

4861. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:38:21 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154295501.807463.253070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86048

dxAce wrote:
> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
>
> > YES !
> >
> > All links from:
> >
> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >
> > 1) HD Radio to Crash and Burn (iBiquity financial mayhem)
> >
> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radio-to-crash-and-burn.html
> >
> > 2) HD Radio's Dirty Little Secret
> >
> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radios-dirty-little-secret.html
> >
> > 3) CPD's HD Radio Coverage Investigation
> >
> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
> >
> > 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
> >
> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >
> > 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
> >
> > http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
>
> Edweenie wil be along shortly!

Yeah, old Tardo had better dust off his resume and finally decide what
his job title was.

4862. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:39:20 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154295559.952569.187620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86049

georgesmn@yahoo.com wrote:
> H. State wrote:
> > The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
>
> Hope nobody feels too sad about this. The Eton E1XM ($500) was rated
> slightly better overall than the RX-350, in Passport to Worldband
> Radio. George (MN)

That's one of the reasons why I don't take Passport as seriously as I
once did.

4863. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:51:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154296306.308012.310200@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86050

Brenda Ann wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> > militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> > radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> > sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
>
>
> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with some
> authority that IBOC is poor engineering. Anything that causes interference
> to another station is poor engineering. The fact that he maintains that
> "nobody listens outside the local market" doesn't change the fact that
> people DO listen outside the local market. He has been offered anecdotal
> evidence time and time again by several people in this group, along with
> real demonstrable evidence by professionals in the radio industry that IBOC
> is a badly flawed system. Each time he has dismissed them out of hand,
> usually with some sort of comment about the poster's credibility.
>
> I have him killfiled. I don't care what he says about me. I am very
> comfortable in the fact that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all
> seem to look only at the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about
> the bottom line. No more so than any other business. Any business is about
> serving the customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this
> is doomed to eventual failure.

Well said!

Steve

4864. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:53:11 UTC
From: Tom <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <1154296391.634109.178760@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86051

N9NEO wrote:
> So the multipath distortion causes fading of the carrier only?? This
> makes some sense to me. A small set of the lower sideband frequencies
> would also cause phase cancellation, but since the audio spectrum is
> moving around so fast no one notices. I think I'm on the right track

No, a simple 2-path is effectively a comb filter with the separation
between
frequencies of constructive and destructive interference determined by
the
difference in propagation delay. With enough delay, this separation can
be
much less than the bandwidth of the desired signal, causing multiple
cancellations within the passband. The delay difference is not a
constant
due to the roiling ionosphere so the frequencies at which destructive
interference occurs and their separations are constantly changing. The
severest form of distortion is when a cancellation occurs at the
carrier
frequency but if you have ever heard of 'flanging' in the recording
industry, you'll know what the interference effect can be when a
cancellation occurs in the sidebands. It is is very noticeable.

> So use another carrier slaved to the received carrier and you
> get better reception during fade. Even if it wanders a few cycles
> during fade you probably don't hear anyway. I guess that is how a sync
> detector works.

That's basically it.

Tom

4865. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:54:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CD2AA6-402@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86054

He could move to the Philipines and be a beach bum.
cuhulin

4866. Re: Radio Australia problems(?) 8:00-16:00 UTC Wednesday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:57:11 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-43E7DB.15572530072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86052

In article <eaina9ovl1@eskinews.eskimo.com>,
mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier) wrote:

> In article
> <telamon_spamshield-24BF0F.11101228072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>,
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >They rebroadcast their domestic network programming for a good part of
> >the day and that's what I like to listen to the call in programs so hear
> >the average Australian.
> >
> >Occasionally they loose their feed to it.
>
> <paranoia>
> And sometimes, (like the recent disagreement with Indonesia about
> refugees from West Paupaua (sp?)), the times they lose their feed
> seems to corrolate with times when the local domestic broadcasts
> may not be diplomatically acceptable.
> </paranoia>
>

Interesting observation.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4867. Re: multipath distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 21:59:58 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1154296798.729578.54800@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86053

Thanks Terry, I just signed up.

regards,
Bob

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> David wrote:
> > On 30 Jul 2006 09:28:29 -0700, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/sync_det.html
>
> While this synchronous detector works quite well, there is a link
> <http://home.worldnet.att.net/~wa1sov/technical/allpass/allpass.html>
> to a filter that allows improved reception by "rejecting" signals other
> then the desired signal. While this does improve reception, it isn't
> quite as effective as some literature would suggest.
>
> If you really interested in synch detectors, Tom Holden has an
> excellent
> page, <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Synch_AM/messages> that has
> some links to very good resources.
>
> Terry

4868. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 22:05:28 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-D91D7D.16054130072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86055

In article <1154275594.221896.219850@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

> miso@sushi.com wrote: snip
> > Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is
> > at the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.
>
> < snip >
>
> > Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are
> > much larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.
>
> Mr. Lankford's main concept is that by using a narrow enough IF
> filter, a narrow filter with with a step attenuation skirt, and by
> offset tunning to only get the carrier and the desired sideband, and
> with a following suitable AF LP fitler can do wonders. It is not
> magic, and doesn't work with every receiver and under every
> condition. In the ret of this, and all future posts, I will simply
> call it "ELPAF".
>
> I can say is that it is a usefull technique, and will even help when
> used premium receiver like an AOR7030 or R390, the filter can really
> reduce the effects of "fading". With a modest receiver like the R2000
> that has
>
> been upgraded with a suitablely narrow IF filter, the results are
> impressive. With a "marginal" receiver like the ATS909/DX398 the
> results are nothing short of amazing.

Any time you narrow the frequency range with a filter you lower the
noise floor possibly making the faded carrier large enough so the
detector does not greatly distort the audio.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4869. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 22:07:20 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-91CEE4.16073430072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86056

In article <12cppc0impvhlfa@corp.supernews.com>,
"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <read@book.com> wrote:

> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote
> > >
> > > Read the Pop. Mech. Aug issue.
> >
> > Which article are you referring too?
> >
>
> POPULAR MECHANICS the current August 2006 issue.
> "How China Steals Our Military Secrets"
>
> > > > Commercially, USA business capital has been flowing into China for
> > > > years and soon as their economy ramps up they won't need us anymore.
> > >
> > > As their economy "ramps up"? It is already on overdrive and ready to
> > > collapse.
> >
> > As long as our investment dollars and money for manufactured goods flow
> > into their economy they are in good shape.
>
> Write your Congressman and Senator.
>
> > > > We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick their
> > > > economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
> > > > transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
> > > > broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.
> > >
> > > You must be referring to French made jamming equipment.
> >
> > I don't know who made the transmitters, just that their are plenty of
> > them.
> >
>
> ...and the French made plenty of money. The Chi-Commies are exporting the
> technology to their client States like Zimbabwe.

I check the article out. Thanks.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4870. FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 22:08:49 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Nd2dnW-bRvV6sFDZnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 86057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I have an NRD-525 for sale. it is in very good condition and comes with:

copy of user manual
service manual on CD
note and mods on improving the 525
nice metal external speaker
some antenna wire

price is $425 plus shipping

if you read the reviews everyone rates this receiver as outstanding

4871. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 22:24:18 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3F7869.16243230072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86058

In article <44CD0550.85621F76@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Arthur Pozner wrote:
>
> > Ten -Tec is concentrating on higher priced products. RX 400
> > (coming soon), RX- 340,Orion II (high performance xceiver) are not
> > marketed for an average SWL.Very sad indeed...
>
> Ten-Tec RX-400:
>
> http://radio.tentec.com/Commercial/RX400
>
> Price... under $6000.00

Looks like computer control only. I hope that TCP/IP is an ethernet port
for at least 10 Mbit data rate instead of a slow serial port. You can
add an ethernet port to any product for $50 to $150.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4872. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:31:59 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Pjbzg.77871$Lm5.39530@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86059

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
>

That pretty much sums up her position.

Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.

That would be the first nazi Luddite I have ever encountered.

4873. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:39:20 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Iqbzg.77873$Lm5.42223@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86060

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
>> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
>> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
>> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
>
>
> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with
> some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.

And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
engineering solution to a marketing problem.

> Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
> The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
> doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.

They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
economic benefit to stations.

> He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
> people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.

It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.

I am also a professional, and have been for decades.

I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
listened to outside their local markets.

>Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of
>comment about the poster's credibility.

Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
>

> I have him killfiled.

Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
$200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.

> I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that
> where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the
> bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.

Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to the
consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.

> No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
> customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
> to eventual failure.

And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.

4874. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:42:37 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154302957.492807.257420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Good Luck. Shortwave is dead. No one is buying HF radios anymore.
mike maghakian wrote:
> I have an NRD-525 for sale. it is in very good condition and comes with:
>
> copy of user manual
> service manual on CD
> note and mods on improving the 525
> nice metal external speaker
> some antenna wire
>
> price is $425 plus shipping
>
> if you read the reviews everyone rates this receiver as outstanding

4875. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:43:42 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154303022.706733.202800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86062

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> >> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> >> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> >> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> > IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> > stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> > has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with
> > some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
>
> And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
> engineering solution to a marketing problem.
>
> > Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
> > The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
> > doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.
>
> They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
> economic benefit to stations.
>
> > He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
> > people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> > professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.
>
> It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
> analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
>
> I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
>
> I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
> and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
> other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
> listened to outside their local markets.
>
> >Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of
> >comment about the poster's credibility.

>
> Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
> what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> >
> > I have him killfiled.
>
> Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
> $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
>
> > I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that
> > where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the
> > bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.
>
> Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to the
> consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.
>
> > No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
> > customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
> > to eventual failure.
>
> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.

LOL! You're the ostrich Tardo, and in addition you are BUSTED.

4876. Re: It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:44:15 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1154303055.775839.203290@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

KK4TL wrote:
> Gridleakkbe3 wrote:
> > You KNOW where.... Yep, 3950 khz, give or take a little.
> >
> > You KNOW when.... Yep, 10pm EDT sharp till about 2am EDT.
> >
> > You KNOW who.... Yep, Vic and (hopefully) Sir Sidney and Kind Jewish Indian
> >
> > So don't MISS IT again! ... 10-4 y'all - be there or be square. Join such great SWL-ers as Mr. Davies, Y-Man, Red October, and tens of thousands of others.
>
> Too bad Y-Man missed his cue again today. I guess Cynthia and Madelyn
> have him pinned down in the hot tub over at the Best Western.
>
> You go Y-Man ! ! !
>

Yeah, I missed half of the net, which was apparently jammed by some
3945 guys before I got home. Cindy Sheehan had me pinned down in bed
and wouldn't let me leave. She just loves it when I don my John Kerry
mask and give her "chin". What a squealer! Did you know her panties are
red with a hammer and sickle pattern?

Cynthia couldn't make it. She passed out at Popeye's Fried Chicken
after a day long crack binge.

4877. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:44:46 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154303085.975286.152720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86064

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> > militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> > radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> > sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
>
> That pretty much sums up her position.
>
> Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
> closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>
> That would be the first nazi Luddite I have ever encountered.

You're BUSTED troll.

4878. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:49:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86065

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
>

>>
>> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html

Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all analog
FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
also rubust.
>>
>> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:

Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over 70%
of the US population.
>>
>> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>>
>> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD

Inaccurate reporting.
>>
>> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm

iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just in
hardware already.

4879. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:54:27 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <TEbzg.77881$Lm5.28814@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86066

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154295501.807463.253070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> dxAce wrote:
>> sidwellfriends@aol.com wrote:
>>
>> > YES !
>> >
>> > All links from:
>> >
>> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>> >
>> > 1) HD Radio to Crash and Burn (iBiquity financial mayhem)
>> >
>> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radio-to-crash-and-burn.html
>> >
>> > 2) HD Radio's Dirty Little Secret
>> >
>> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/hd-radios-dirty-little-secret.html
>> >
>> > 3) CPD's HD Radio Coverage Investigation
>> >
>> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
>> >
>> > 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
>> >
>> > http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>> >
>> > 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
>> >
>> > http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
>>
>> Edweenie wil be along shortly!
>
> Yeah, old Tardo had better dust off his resume and finally decide what
> his job title was.

I know what it is and was. I don't need a resume, as I have frequent job
offers I turn down. How about you?

4880. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-30 23:57:52 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1154303872.388365.235180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86067

H. State wrote:
> The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.

Is Ten Tec out of the receiver market entirely now?

4881. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:16:35 UTC
From: Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com>
Message-ID: <1hiqc2hed07vp1b65ukrt6rkvajas2itph@4ax.com>
Source record: 86068

On 29 Jul 2006 15:56:18 -0700, "Joe Analssandrini"
<analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:

>In addition, I think a newly designed "long-range" AM radio should
>definitely feature a good synchronous detection circuit. I realize that
>there are some few people who say they do not care for this circuit
>(frankly, it is my belief that they do not know how to properly use it
>or have not experienced a good one) but, even if that is the case, the
>circuit can always be turned off.

A sync detector for ground wave AM below 1700 khz ??

bob
k5qwg

>
>$150.00 is a lot of money for a radio which features no new design
>features. So, unless C. Crane rethinks this entire project (and obtains
>the services of a good radio designer), it is my opinion that this
>discontinuance is no loss whatsoever. After all, we have the Sony
>ICF-SW7600GR in the $150.00 range and the GE Superadio III in the
>$40.00 range and these two radios (and, of course, there are some
>others) should easily suit the vast majority of long-distance AM
>listeners who do not or cannot buy a true communications receiver or
>who (just) want a portable radio for MW DXing.
>
>I must also say, in conclusion, that, in my opinion, the original
>CCRadio and (I should presume) the CCRadio Plus (I have no experience
>with that model but I understand overall performance is the same) are
>disappointments. Not only do they not receive any better than the GE
>Superadios (they often receive less well!) their construction quality
>leaves much to be desired. They are not even as well-made as the GE SRs
>and though that model is not the paragon of construction quality, it
>costs only $40.00 not $150.00!

I have both CC radios, and the SuperRadio, too -- the CCs may not
receive much better, but they have a lot more features and 2 more
bands. They ain't $40 radios, tho' I think the SuperRadio is a bargain
at its price.

>
>As always, the above is my opinion only.
>
>Best,
>
>Joe

>
>Kim wrote:
>> After months of promotion- no longer for sale?
>>
>>
>> http://miniccradio.com/

4882. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:36:26 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154306186.129790.132260@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86069

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> snip
> > Except we are not talking about IF filters .The "fading" filter is at
> > the end of the chain, i.e. past the demod.
> snip
> > Except this is at audio frequencies, where the component sizes are much
> > larger. Again, this is not at IF frequencies.
>
> Mr. Lankford's main concept is that by using a narrow enough IF filter,
> a narrow filter with with a step attenuation skirt, and by offset
> tunning to
> only get the carrier and the desired sideband, and with a following
> suitable AF LP fitler can do wonders. It is not magic, and doesn't work
>
> with every receiver and under every condition. In the ret of this, and
> all
> future posts, I will simply call it "ELPAF".

My point still holds in that nobody serious builds LCR filters for
auido (speaker crossovers exempted). His LCR filter IS in the audio
chain, not the IF.

>
> I can say is that it is a usefull technique, and will even help when
> used
> premium receiver like an AOR7030 or R390, the filter can really reduce
> the effects of "fading". With a modest receiver like the R2000 that has
>
> been upgraded with a suitablely narrow IF filter, the results are
> impressive.
> With a "marginal" receiver like the ATS909/DX398 the results are
> nothing
> short of amazing.
>
> Terry

4883. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:36:58 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154306218.453319.134510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86070

David Eduardo wrote:
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
> >
>
> >>
> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
>
> Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all analog
> FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
> also rubust.
> >>
> >> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
>
> Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
> Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over 70%
> of the US population.
> >>
> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >>
> >> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
>
> Inaccurate reporting.
> >>
> >> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
>
> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just in
> hardware already.

More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !

4884. Re: SWL Guide for Pocket PC, Palm, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:43:51 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <bnczg.60839$Eh1.30010@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 86072

Ret www.shortwavelog.com . . .

"DJ" <replytonewsgorup@yourconvenience.com> wrote in message
news:nJqdnQGyn8Ebz1DZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com...
> I frequently use the NASWA WWW Shortwave Listening Guide at
> http://www.naswa.net/swlguide. Is there a version of that guide or any
SWL
> guide for the pocket pc?
>
>

4885. SWL Guide for Pocket PC, Palm, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:44:51 UTC
From: DJ <replytonewsgorup@yourconvenience.com>
Message-ID: <nJqdnQGyn8Ebz1DZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 86071

I frequently use the NASWA WWW Shortwave Listening Guide at
http://www.naswa.net/swlguide. Is there a version of that guide or any SWL
guide for the pocket pc?

4886. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:53:14 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <780-44CD547A-103@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86075

What's a job resume? When I went to apply for a job at Delta Cotton Oil, Mr.Prestage said,Hell,you dont need to do that,just be here at 7:30 in the morning.
cuhulin

4887. Re: Redsun RP2100 Review by Jay Allen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:53:19 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1154307199.325016.262950@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86073

Joe Analssandrini wrote:
> Hello All.
>
> I think this will be of interest to everyone here.
>
> < http://www.radiointel.com/review-redsunrp2100.htm >
>
> Best,
>
> Joe

That is a thorough and balanced review. Thanks for posting it.

4888. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 00:53:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <6wczg.77901$Lm5.46454@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86074

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154306218.453319.134510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
>> >
>>
>> >>
>> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
>>
>> Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all
>> analog
>> FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
>> also rubust.
>> >>
>> >> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
>>
>> Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
>> Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over
>> 70%
>> of the US population.
>> >>
>> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
>> >>
>> >> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
>>
>> Inaccurate reporting.
>> >>
>> >> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
>>
>> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
>> in
>> hardware already.
>
> More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !

That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.

4889. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:05:27 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154307927.839505.144430@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86076

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154306218.453319.134510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
> >>
> >> Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all
> >> analog
> >> FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
> >> also rubust.
> >> >>
> >> >> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
> >>
> >> Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
> >> Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over
> >> 70%
> >> of the US population.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >> >>
> >> >> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
> >>
> >> Inaccurate reporting.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
> >>
> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
> >> in
> >> hardware already.
> >
> > More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !
>
> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.

More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !

4890. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:07:30 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G9SdnVkST8IAylDZnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 86077

No. They still make the $300 RX-320 (it sells well) and they make the high
end RX-340 ($4K) and the RX-400 is due out soon ($6K).

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1154303872.388365.235180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> H. State wrote:
>> The Ten Tec RX-350D receiver has been discontinued.
>
> Is Ten Tec out of the receiver market entirely now?
>

4891. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:10:34 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154308234.115299.294010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86078

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154306218.453319.134510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
> >>
> >> Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all
> >> analog
> >> FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
> >> also rubust.
> >> >>
> >> >> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
> >>
> >> Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
> >> Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over
> >> 70%
> >> of the US population.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >> >>
> >> >> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
> >>
> >> Inaccurate reporting.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
> >>
> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
> >> in
> >> hardware already.
> >
> > More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !
>
> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.

Gotcha good this time, Eduardo ! BTW, looks like I have chased
autopaint-1 off the radio-intel.com site, he will be protected from all
the anti-IBOC truth, so he doesn't have to face it - the HD moderators

are all pro-IBOC - they make a final insult, then lock the thread.
See, you over there, motherfucker !

4892. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:23:14 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44CD5B80.C2109D2D@theseminary.net>
Source record: 86079

http://radio.tentec.com/Commercial/RX400

Price... under $6000.00

==========

looks interesting, but, i don't believe i'll be listing my 340
on ebay too soon. i think at 6K, i would rather go for the WJ1K.
i like to turn knobs.

Father Michael...

4893. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:24:10 UTC
From: Father Mike <fathermike@theseminary.net>
Message-ID: <44CD5BB8.3CD6356A@theseminary.net>
Source record: 86080

Here here, well put Joe...

Father Michael...

4894. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:24:41 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <7edzg.7591$8W7.202@fe07.lga>
Source record: 86082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154302957.492807.257420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Good Luck. Shortwave is dead. No one is buying HF radios anymore.

You never answered the question pertaining to your ingredients. Modern high
tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

4895. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:34:35 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154309675.030238.142860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86081

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154306218.453319.134510@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> >> news:44CD2365.776074D3@milestones.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/cpds-hd-radio-coverage-investigation.html
> >>
> >> Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all
> >> analog
> >> FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
> >> also rubust.
> >> >>
> >> >> 4) HD Radio: Where are the 1300 stations?:
> >>
> >> Over 1000 on air, 1000 licenced and building (there is a backlog from
> >> Harris, BE and Nautel) and 1000 mor ecommitted. That takes care of over
> >> 70%
> >> of the US population.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.orbitcast.com/archives/terrestrial/hd_radio/
> >> >>
> >> >> 5) Radio Industry Showing Signs Of Doubt About HD
> >>
> >> Inaccurate reporting.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.audiographics.com/agd/022006-1.htm
> >>
> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
> >> in
> >> hardware already.
> >
> > More fucking spin, from the spin-doctor king !
>
> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.

Like the data on your resume?

4896. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:42:50 UTC
From: "R.F. Burns" <rburns@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uedzg.3652$TV.990@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86083

dxAce wrote:

> Beats cutting and running like you Liberals do!
>
> You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France),
> you'd adapt to their culture in a matter of minutes.

Bzzzzt! WRONG again, Sunshine! Try and pay attention.

Nothing to do with "cut and run", directly or indirectly, in my post, quoted in its -entirety- below for your RE-reading pleasure.

It was my basic observation of a simple FACT, as stated by Bush himself, nothing more, nothing less.

Dodge, dance and deflect all you want, but if, into the FOURTH year, Bush is STILL trying to "get Baghdad under control", it is obvious to most people (apparently except you), that the mission has NOT been accomplished. "Liberal" or "conservative" has nothing to do with that simple statement of fact. However, you DO have to ask what the hell we have been doing there for the last 4 years.

Any 'cut and run' is a construct of your own feeble and uncomprehending mind and has nothing at all to do with my observation of the statement Bush made.

Original post:

> George Bush is sending more troops to Baghdad to "get Baghdad under
> control".
>
> Get -Baghdad- under control (to say nothing of the rest of the
> country)? In the 4th year over there?? Mission accomplished????
>
> Heckuva job!!! (of flim-flamming the American sheeple)
>
> You twenty-nine per centers can be proud.
>
> Bwaaahaaaaaahaaaahaaaa

4897. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:43:36 UTC
From: "R.F. Burns" <rburns@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cfdzg.3653$TV.73@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86084

Brian Hill wrote:

> It's never their fault Steve. It's always somebody else's.

Well, I see you and the fake ace have kissed and made up. Thought you were too smart to be a dxDingleberry sock puppet again. How disappointing.

P.S.

I said nothing about 'fault'; however, seeing as -you- brought it up, tell us then, whose fault you think it is that after almost 4 years, Baghdad is -still- not under control.

I believe Bush is the "Commander-in-Chief", that the buck was supposed to stop with him and that he made the BIG show (eyewash for the sheeple?) of saying "mission accomplished".

Sorry, but after this amount of time and the fact that Baghdad is -still- out of control by Bush's own defacto admission , I am contending that the mission is NOT accomplished.

4898. Re: Spare horsewhip?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 01:46:59 UTC
From: Me <me@my.computer>
Message-ID: <n0oqc213bd9r9aiekhectcqgn7b984n4km@4ax.com>
Source record: 86085

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 23:37:32 GMT, Wun Hung Lo <hung@china.net> wrote:

>As seen on the NBC national news (July 29):
>
>This will be the laziest Congress since 1948. The story told how the
>congress critters fly in on Tuesday afternoon, do their voting on
>Wednesday, then fly home on Thursday. This year, they will work a total
>of 76 (yes, that's seventy-six) days. Oh, and by the way, the absolutely
>-lowest- paid congress critter gets an annual salary of $165,200. The
>American sheeple should be up in arms about this.
>
>Cuhulin is right---ALL politicians are crooks!
>
>Anyone got a spare horsewhip? Tar and feathers?

I wish they'd stay home all the time. That way they won't be able to
sneak amnesty for illegal aliens into law while we're paying attention
to the middle east wars.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4899. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:03:51 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154311431.032538.275160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86086

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> >> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> >> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> >> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> > IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> > stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> > has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with
> > some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
>
> And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
> engineering solution to a marketing problem.
>
> > Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
> > The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
> > doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.
>
> They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
> economic benefit to stations.
>
> > He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
> > people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> > professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.
>
> It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
> analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
>
> I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
>
> I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
> and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
> other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
> listened to outside their local markets.
>
> >Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of
> >comment about the poster's credibility.

>
> Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
> what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> >
> > I have him killfiled.
>
> Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
> $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
>
> > I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that
> > where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the
> > bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.
>
> Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to the
> consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.
>
> > No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
> > customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
> > to eventual failure.
>
> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.

I busted you good on radioinfo - fuck you old man (I just wanted to say
that here, because radio-info is somewhat moderated) !

4900. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:07:43 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154311663.696450.206990@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86087

David Eduardo wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> >> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> >> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> >> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> > IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> > stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> > has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with
> > some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
>
> And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
> engineering solution to a marketing problem.
>
> > Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
> > The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
> > doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.
>
> They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
> economic benefit to stations.
>
> > He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
> > people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> > professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.
>
> It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
> analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
>
> I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
>
> I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
> and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
> other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
> listened to outside their local markets.
>
> >Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of
> >comment about the poster's credibility.

>
> Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
> what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> >
> > I have him killfiled.
>
> Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
> $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
>
> > I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that
> > where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the
> > bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.
>
> Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to the
> consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.
>
> > No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
> > customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
> > to eventual failure.
>
> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.

How can you spew the same shit over and over again - what, did someone
sew up your asshole, so you have to shit out of your mouth ? I busted
you, old motherfucker !

4901. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:14:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CD67A2.F115FA0F@milestones.com>
Source record: 86088

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >
> > <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> >> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> >> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> >> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
> >
> > David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
> > IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
> > stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as Steve
> > has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak with
> > some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
>
> And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
> engineering solution to a marketing problem.
>
> > Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
> > The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
> > doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.
>
> They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
> economic benefit to stations.
>
> > He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
> > people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> > professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.
>
> It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
> analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
>
> I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
>
> I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
> and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
> other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
> listened to outside their local markets.
>
> >Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of

> >comment about the poster's credibility.
>
> Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
> what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> >
> > I have him killfiled.
>
> Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
> $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
>
> > I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact that
> > where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at the
> > bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.
>
> Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to the
> consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.
>
> > No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
> > customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
> > to eventual failure.
>
> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.

Edweenie, get your panty hose out of the closet (yeah, where your server is,
boy) and stuff 'em!

LMFAO at the prancing shill.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4902. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:19:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CD68CA.4E19995C@milestones.com>
Source record: 86089

"R.F. Burns" wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > It's never their fault Steve. It's always somebody else's.
>
> Well, I see you and the fake ace have kissed and made up. Thought you
> were too smart to be a dxDingleberry sock puppet again. How disappointing.

Fake ace?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4903. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:21:19 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <d3qqc2tei89602a6k727f7gtktaalnfih0@4ax.com>
Source record: 86090

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 23:49:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all analog
>FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
>also rubust.

You know the F in F 50/50 stands for?

FICTIONAL!

64 dBu on a 20' pole in the middle of a parking lot. Give me a real
world break!

4904. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 02:22:02 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <i9qqc2paoichfgri015obpofrn3jdg1dn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 86091

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 00:53:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
>anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.
>
>
Harry Helms is a prick. We can agree on that.

4905. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:19:43 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <jFezg.140534$H71.64657@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86092

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:d3qqc2tei89602a6k727f7gtktaalnfih0@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 23:49:04 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Mr Conrade, despite 50 years in radio, does not understand neraly all
>>analog
>>FM listening comes in the 64 dbu contour. At that point, the HD signal is
>>also rubust.
>
> You know the F in F 50/50 stands for?
>
> FICTIONAL!
>
> 64 dBu on a 20' pole in the middle of a parking lot. Give me a real
> world break!

Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening (quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.

4906. Re: Free israeli webtv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:21:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26504-44CD771E-20@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86096

Four More Marines Die As Iraq Violence Continues. www.rense.com
More U.S.Troops to Iraq? Pull all of our U.S.Troops out of the middle East and NUKE those middle Eastern countries.Problem Solved.Molon Labe.
Peace Follows Victory.

Standing Wolf,you are Right.
cuhulin

4907. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:21:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <AGezg.140535$H71.60973@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86093

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154311663.696450.206990@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

>> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
>> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
>
> How can you spew the same shit over and over again -

Becuase it is true and verifiable, both with Arbitron and independent
research.

>what, did someone
> sew up your asshole, so you have to shit out of your mouth ? I busted
> you, old motherfucker !

Actually, you show yourself for an incredulous fool.

4908. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:23:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Klezg.140536$H71.17001@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86094

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154311431.032538.275160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
>> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
>
> I busted you good on radioinfo - fuck you old man (I just wanted to say
> that here, because radio-info is somewhat moderated) !
>

And happy trails to you, p'odner.

You think that you have won by posting a website hosted by Harry Helms, with data that is out of date, untruthful and unsubstantiated (including out of context quotes, inaccurate financial data, etc.) means you have "Won?" All it means is that you found another ignorant fellow-traveler.

4909. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:24:44 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <0Kezg.140537$H71.36986@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86095

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154308234.115299.294010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
>> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.
>
> Gotcha good this time, Eduardo ! BTW, looks like I have chased
> autopaint-1 off the radio-intel.com site, he will be protected from all
> the anti-IBOC truth, so he doesn't have to face it - the HD moderators
> are all pro-IBOC - they make a final insult, then lock the thread.
> See, you over there, motherfucker !
>

Why? Have you found some more lies to post?

On the other hand, I am going to work on the HD2 formats for two more LA
radio stations this week.

4910. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:33:01 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 86097

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening
>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The
>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>
>
That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
live in the city.

4911. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 03:36:56 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <sVezg.140541$H71.63491@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 85998

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening
>>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The
>>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>>
>>
> That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> live in the city.

Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro (MSA).

4912. Re: Ten Tec RX-350D discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 04:04:17 UTC
From: Byung Myung Sying <pying@fong.com>
Message-ID: <b5vqc25kmti2d9n71nb6ptjtsavij52kmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 85999

In the past, I have owned a couple of Drake 7-Line receivers (including the 4245 along with a Sherwood SE3)), but I have never enjoyed a receiver as much as my RX-350. While it's sync detector for shortwave broadcast is pretty badly implemented, it's incredibly stable and quiet. Instead of using it's sync detector, I simply employ ECSS (turn on USB or LSB mode) techniques. The results, using ECSS and the infinitely variable bandwidth controls on both AM and SSB are pretty amazing. Excellent fidelity, stability, and unsurpassed ability to cut out adjacent channel interference. I have enjoyed more broadcast DX from this receiver due to its stability and quietness than from any other including the 4245 with a full boat of filters.

Despite what Passport says, I would highly recommend this receiver for its stability, fidelity, DRM ability (if you're into that -- it is directly interfacable), and ability to reject interference (I live about a block away from several commercial FM stations and have never been disturbed when using the RX-350).

Bad things about the RX-350 (mostly due to TenTec's rotten service and support):

1) TenTec's support has sucked big time. The sync detection could have been easily fixed in software, but they just didn't seem to care enough to have had any updates for the past 4 years or so.

2) LCD display fades into black splotches when heated up. TenTec's rotten "American" service department wanted to charge me for fixing this under warranty. It was difficult to find anyone at TenTec who even understood the problem that I was having.

In conclusion, I love the receiver, but TenTec will never get any of my future business due to their rotten support.

Fred
N8UC -- Oak Park, MI

4913. Re: Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 04:45:38 UTC
From: homepc <wiebe08@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KVfzg.28$Jn3.21@newsfe19.lga>
Source record: 86000

http://www.audiograbber.com-us.net/

This is a good free program for ripping cd's and recording from the net and
off the line in. It doesn't come with the noise reduction plugins, you have
to pay for those : (. But it does have a timed recording feature.

"Mahmoud Ahmadinejad" <read@book.com> wrote in message
news:12cpqljhgg94db5@corp.supernews.com...
>I am looking for a computer software recorder that allows me to record live
> internet streams, from radio stations for example, while unattended. I
> would like to schedule it to automatically connect to the stream at given
> start time, start recording, and end the recording at a given stop time.
> Creating an audio file type of my choice for later listening.
>
>
> "Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:1154271666.758680.236910@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> http://audacity.sourceforge.net/
>>
>> I use this to make short recordings. If you have a microphone that
>> connects to your computer, it's easy, and won't cost you anything.
>>
>> I'm always wishing that more folks would post links to audio
>> recordings. It's nice to hear what various stations sound like from
>> another person's shack, with another person's gear, etc. A recording is
>> worth a thousand words.
>>
>> Steve
>>
>
>

4914. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 04:48:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7471-44CD8B80-571@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86001

www.clarionledger.com Southern Style.Make a Note.
Oh,Mr.Faulkner,do you Write?

I reckon I will go to the New Stage Theater (its only about six miles
Northeast of me) and see that Play.
Its all natural analog too.
cuhulin

4915. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 04:59:00 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <p6gzg.7625$8W7.2903@fe07.lga>
Source record: 86002

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CD68CA.4E19995C@milestones.com...
>
>
> "R.F. Burns" wrote:
>
>> Brian Hill wrote:
>>
>> > It's never their fault Steve. It's always somebody else's.
>>
>> Well, I see you and the fake ace have kissed and made up. Thought you
>> were too smart to be a dxDingleberry sock puppet again. How
>> disappointing.
>
> Fake ace?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

I think we have another substance abuser in the forum.

BH

4916. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 05:04:07 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <urGdnfx5KI7VEIDZnZ2dnUVZ_q2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 86003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

if shortwave is dead why do you waste your time on a shortwave group ??

read here for some descriptions of yourself:

http://www.thecomputershow.com/nsa/gngstupidisasstupiddoes.htm

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154302957.492807.257420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Good Luck. Shortwave is dead. No one is buying HF radios anymore.
> mike maghakian wrote:
>> I have an NRD-525 for sale. it is in very good condition and comes with:
>>
>> copy of user manual
>> service manual on CD
>> note and mods on improving the 525
>> nice metal external speaker
>> some antenna wire
>>
>> price is $425 plus shipping
>>
>> if you read the reviews everyone rates this receiver as outstanding
>

4917. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 05:12:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20578-44CD9122-585@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86004

dxAce posted an email he got from Burr.Art Bell is in the Philipines and Art is talking on C to C tonight.Maybe Burr will see a flying saucer and get over to Art and tell us all about it.
cuhulin

4918. Re: Handy -- and FREE -- audio recording software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 06:45:49 UTC
From: Han <websitehan@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154328349.696268.281790@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86005

hello

I think www.looprecorder.de is the best choice. I makes recordings 10
minutes long (or any other amount) and lopps over it .
You can save at any time.

you can also vist my website with recordings (made with loop recorder)
from radiostations below 30 MHz .

http://home.hetnet.nl/~hanhardonk/

Greetings Han , The Netherlands

4919. Re: FS: Drake R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 07:53:34 UTC
From: Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1154332414.191611.288250@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

David wrote:
> On 29 Jul 2006 13:35:16 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Have a beautiful Drake R8 with new top panel, new bottom panel with
> >metal bail, brand new R8E front panel never installed, manual and box,
> >works perfect, $525 + shipping, US Postal money order only.
> >
> >Bill K9KZ
> >bill.k9kz@gmail.com
> >Carmel, IN
> >PH 317-846-0016
> >
> Why do you have extra pieces?

I bought it from a fellow who described it as "in like new" condition.
The top was only fair. I added the bottom with the metal bail because
it's SOOOO much better. The front was touched up with magic marker and
had some scratches. So I got the top and bottom from Drake. I got the
R8E front panel on Ebay. I wanted it to be as close to new as I could
get it. The old guy I got it from said he has eye problems :) He did
reimburse me $50.

4920. Based upon Honor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 08:52:49 UTC
From: 666 <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <6h2cf.i09.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 86006
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> I see. When it is correctly pointed out to you that your intellectual
> superiors consider your blatherings to be a waste of oxygen, you attack
the
> messenger rather than the facts presented.
when you falsely claim I have intelectual superoirs in posting in the
NG you cease to be a messenger and become a libeler, let alone go on
about wasting O2

...
Prove that there are those of us who are NOT your intellectual superior. By
which yardstick are you going to measure or determine this? By the very
simple fact that we post correctly, use good grammar and don't splatter our
posts with misspelled words is example enough.

...
thee are those that consider my efforts a waste. I would conceed that
they ight have point if the facts were clearly to the contary
you presented no facts you just repeat more libel
...
I repeated nothing. I made a statement based upon my observations. There is
no libel involved.
...

here are some facts

Fact: I set out to stop what has been K4YZ multi year effort to libel
everyone he is seriously oposed to. I have achived that goal.
...
Fact: You "saw" Steve in post after post that were not his. You falsely
accused Steve of making anon posts when he, in fact, did no such thing when
you yourself were accused of the same. How many email addresses and sock
puppets do you need? Steve has one...his REAL name and callsign.
...

Fact: I decided to resist the effort of many folks to use an accusation
of Homosexaulity as weapon in these NG's. I have not been entirely
succesfull to date OTOH most posters have come to the point of noting
"so what?" (or words to that effect) in response to such efforts, thus
I am satfied with the results
...
The accusations you refer to were mostly posts made by Wiseman in his
attempt to anger you after your forty to fifty posts per day. Wiseman is no
intellectual giant but you went way past the typical boundaries and even
went so far as to follow Wiseman to the Nim Busters boards where you further

antagonized him. Last I heard, Mark, the Admin banned you from the Nim Boards and to be banned from that group of misfits takes some bit of doing.
....

Fact:in resisting the effort of various folks to label tech and NoCoders as incapple Ham I believe the way such trolls as "slow code" and Al klein quiting the feild have shown that my point is made.
...
This is a moot point. If you were so easily offended by comments aimed toward No-Coders, the problem is yours. Had you any intellect you would have ignored the posts, but you couldn't leave well-enough alone. You just HAD to stick your nose in.
...

Fact: in persuing justice for all (even Wismen as I try to restrain those tat feel the need to enhance his records of sin further) I have prefromed a Mitvah
...
Fact: You are not "persuing" justice for all. You are taking every opportunity to spam, irritate, and inject inane comments where none are due. You have performed nothing other than flooding the newsgroups with one-liners that make little to no sense.
...

The price I have paid for this is what? Making it more obvious (not that it was ever a secert) that I suffer from dyslexia and aphasia which make my words esp with typed with passion (or boredom as is often the case in hauling out Wisemen trash) an adventureto say the least to read. For you I supose this would be mortifing to me it is indeed something I had to get used too by the 3rd or 4th grade
...
That, Mark, is an excuse. There are many of your peers who have overcome what you claim to be an affliction. You could do likewise, were you not so lazy. I have no pity for you or your excuses.
...

you may make of my typos all you like it hardly bothers me (msotly it bothers me when the mispeeling at point near the heart of the peice of writing is seized upon to avoid the point itself but even that makes my oint in many cases
...
If my "corrections" regarding your poor spelling didn't bother you, you would have not made the above comment. If you want to make a point, and make it intelligently, then take the time to do it correctly. Your compositions are akin to those that a second-grader would create. You can hardly expect your "points" to be taken seriously when you cannot present them in a format that the majority of us can understand. You are at fault here, Mark. Not the rest of us who speak English.
...

you are a cowwrd and clearly without honor
...

Was that supposed to cut to the core of my being? Upset me? Send me crying? To the contrary, Mark, your words, misspelled as they are, are meaningless. As I've said in the past, I look at you as a bouncy-ball toy to be slapped around much as a cat would do with a mouse. You are entertainment, Mark. A diversion. A nonentity. I respond to you for two reasons. First, I am bored. Secondly, you are so easy to bounce around that I find you mildly amusing and a soft target to boot.

Now let me see how you will twist this. Did I "libbel" you by referring to you as a soft target? Did I comport myself as being the Antichrist by using "666"? It will be interesting to see how you will surely misinterpret my commentary.

Lecture me not about honor, Mark. You, of all people, know not the meaning of the word.

4921. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 10:55:38 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CDE1AA.66178319@milestones.com>
Source record: 86008

David Eduardo wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154311431.032538.275160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> >> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
> >
> > I busted you good on radioinfo - fuck you old man (I just wanted to say
> > that here, because radio-info is somewhat moderated) !
> >
>
> And happy trails to you, p'odner.
>
> You think that you have won by posting a website hosted by Harry Helms, with
> data that is out of date, untruthful and unsubstantiated (including out of
> context quotes, inaccurate financial data, etc.) means you have "Won?" All
> it means is that you found another ignorant fellow-traveler.

Stuff a sock in it, Frackelton.

4922. Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 10:57:01 UTC
From: Neil Long <nlenterprises@hotmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1154343421.859290.223320@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86009

I'm Neil Long, I am a radio presenter who does the breakfast show on
Radio Jackie, a former pirate station now legal! Based in SW London
-www.radiojackie.com

I have a radio blog which i would be delighted if you would like to
visit on http://getintoradio.blogspot.com

Good to meet you all.

4923. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 11:09:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CDE4D8.A37B3D45@milestones.com>
Source record: 86010

"R.F. Burns" wrote:

> Brian Hill wrote:
>
> > It's never their fault Steve. It's always somebody else's.
>
> Well, I see you and the fake ace have kissed and made up. Thought you
> were too smart to be a dxDingleberry sock puppet again. How disappointing.
>
> P.S.
>
> I said nothing about 'fault'; however, seeing as -you- brought it up,
> tell us then, whose fault you think it is that after almost 4 years,
> Baghdad is -still- not under control.
>
> I believe Bush is the "Commander-in-Chief", that the buck was supposed
> to stop with him and that he made the BIG show (eyewash for the
> sheeple?) of saying "mission accomplished".

You Liberal 'tards sure are hung up on that "mission accomplished" thing, aren't you?

The DNC needs a new playbook.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4924. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 11:22:37 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154344957.900966.30090@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86011

miso@sushi.com wrote:
>> r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>>snip
>
> My point still holds in that nobody serious builds LCR filters for
> auido (speaker crossovers exempted). His LCR filter IS in the audio
> chain, not the IF.
>
>
Your comment that "nobody serious" is so off base as to be asiniine.
Dallas Lankford is clearly one of most serious DXers alive today.
Why not take a look at all the technical information at
<http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
before jumping to silly conclussions.

Terry

4925. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 11:54:37 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154346877.315422.91960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86012

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening
> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The
> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
> >>
> >>
> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> > live in the city.
>
> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro (MSA).

You are busted iBiquity shill ! More shit out of your mouth ! Fuck
you, old man !

4926. Re: Spare horsewhip?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 11:55:58 UTC
From: hudleypearse@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154346958.833362.245300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86013

Wun Hung Lo wrote:
> As seen on the NBC national news (July 29):
>
> This will be the laziest Congress since 1948. The story told how the
> congress critters fly in on Tuesday afternoon, do their voting on
> Wednesday, then fly home on Thursday. This year, they will work a total
> of 76 (yes, that's seventy-six) days. Oh, and by the way, the absolutely
> -lowest- paid congress critter gets an annual salary of $165,200. The
> American sheeple should be up in arms about this.
>
> Cuhulin is right---ALL politicians are crooks!
>
> Anyone got a spare horsewhip? Tar and feathers?

Well, they HAVE surrendered pretty much all their duties to the Pres.
The will of Bush is law, and a bill is not law unless Bush Caesar wills
it.

Let them all be trampled by drunken elephants.

Hudley Pearse

4927. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 11:56:13 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154346973.935283.227300@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86014

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154311431.032538.275160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> >> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
> >
> > I busted you good on radioinfo - fuck you old man (I just wanted to say
> > that here, because radio-info is somewhat moderated) !
> >
>
> And happy trails to you, p'odner.
>
> You think that you have won by posting a website hosted by Harry Helms, with
> data that is out of date, untruthful and unsubstantiated (including out of
> context quotes, inaccurate financial data, etc.) means you have "Won?" All
> it means is that you found another ignorant fellow-traveler.

Hey asshole - it is dated June 2006 - that is HARDLY out-of-date - so,
fuck you old man !

4928. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 12:30:36 UTC
From: Gridleakkbe3 <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 86015

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
in
> hardware already.

It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.

4929. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 12:31:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <XKmzg.1161$Eo1.946@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86016

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154346877.315422.91960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
>> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
>> >>listening
>> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured.
>> >>The
>> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>> >>
>> >>
>> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
>> > live in the city.
>>
>> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
>> (MSA).
>
> You are busted iBiquity shill ! More shit out of your mouth ! Fuck
> you, old man !

Profanity and cussing generally mean the swearer has lost the argument and
is having a snit.

4930. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 12:34:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <eNmzg.1162$Eo1.299@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86017

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1154346973.935283.227300@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154311431.032538.275160@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
>> >> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
>> >
>> > I busted you good on radioinfo - fuck you old man (I just wanted to say
>> > that here, because radio-info is somewhat moderated) !
>> >
>>
>> And happy trails to you, p'odner.
>>
>> You think that you have won by posting a website hosted by Harry Helms,
>> with
>> data that is out of date, untruthful and unsubstantiated (including out
>> of
>> context quotes, inaccurate financial data, etc.) means you have "Won?"
>> All
>> it means is that you found another ignorant fellow-traveler.
>
> Hey asshole - it is dated June 2006 - that is HARDLY out-of-date - so,
> fuck you old man !

Most of the data is dated April, which is an eternity in something as fast moving as HD. And much of the "reporting" has no verification at all, such as the bit on iBiquity supposedly being in financial trouble. The fact is, they just signed a joint venture deal with Intel (Heard of them?) for further chipset development, including an infusion of Intel capital.

Most of that stuff is either BD pontification or lies.

4931. Re: It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 12:54:53 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1154350493.669121.149440@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Somebody Somewhere (aka Y-Man) wrote:
>>
> Cindy Sheehan had me pinned down in bed and wouldn't let me leave.
> She just loves it when I don my John Kerry mask and give her "chin".
> What a squealer!
> Did you know her panties are red with a hammer and sickle pattern?

Dag-Nabbit, Y-Man, you have all the luck.
Only profit Stair scores better than you.
Last time I saw Cindy Shehan she was hugged up on Jesse Jackson.
I guess sloppy seconds after Jesse ain't bad if it's with a classy girl
like Cindy.
But you better be careful or Jesse might get jealous.

4932. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 12:59:57 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154350797.253823.175920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86019

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154308234.115299.294010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who is
> >> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.
> >
> > Gotcha good this time, Eduardo ! BTW, looks like I have chased
> > autopaint-1 off the radio-intel.com site, he will be protected from all
> > the anti-IBOC truth, so he doesn't have to face it - the HD moderators
> > are all pro-IBOC - they make a final insult, then lock the thread.
> > See, you over there, motherfucker !
> >
>
> Why? Have you found some more lies to post?
>
> On the other hand, I am going to work on the HD2 formats for two more LA
> radio stations this week.

And are you doing this in your capacity as President of Programming or
some other title? Or have you even made a decision about this yet? LOL!!

4933. Re: It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:00:26 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154350826.777006.221640@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Gridleakkbe3 wrote:
> You KNOW where....
>
> You KNOW when....
>
> You KNOW who....
>
> So don't MISS IT again! wheeeeeeeeeeeee!!
>
> Tonight's special topic !! "How to be an anti-semite jew hating windbag
> and also support Hezbollah while eating a Big Mac & Fries and not
> slobbering/belching food into your D-104 microphone screen" . . . . . .
> With our SPECIAL GUEST (drum roll please!) . . . . . MEL GIBSON
> Live from the LA Drunk Tank via ham radio cheap jew telephove charge
> avoiding phone patch method.....
>
> This promises to be a great sow tonight folks!

"great sow?" That would be you, Lardass! BWHAHAHAHAHA Isn't that why
you are all alone at home listening to them?

4934. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:00:31 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154350831.050166.114560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86021

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening
> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The
> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
> >>
> >>
> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> > live in the city.
>
> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro (MSA).

And the readers of this group aren't interested in people who are
BUSTED.

4935. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:02:29 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154350949.421371.114020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86022

David Eduardo wrote:
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154346877.315422.91960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> >> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
> >> >>listening
> >> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured.
> >> >>The
> >> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> >> > live in the city.
> >>
> >> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
> >> (MSA).
> >
> > You are busted iBiquity shill ! More shit out of your mouth ! Fuck
> > you, old man !
>
> Profanity and cussing generally mean the swearer has lost the argument and
> is having a snit.

Not when the other party is a mere troll.

If you hadn't gotten caught using aliases and fake resumes, you might
have pulled it off. But because you did get caught, you are now BUSTED.

4936. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:03:23 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga>
Source record: 86023

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Iqbzg.77873$Lm5.42223@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
>>> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
>>> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
>>> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
>>
>>
>> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to hear AM
>> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low bitrate
>> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides, as
>> Steve has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer, I speak
>> with some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
>
> And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a good
> engineering solution to a marketing problem.
>
>> Anything that causes interference to another station is poor engineering.
>> The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local market"
>> doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local market.
>
> They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and provide no
> economic benefit to stations.
>
>> He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by several
>> people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
>> professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed system.
>
> It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM than
> analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
>
> I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
>
> I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM is ageing
> and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is. All the
> other compalints are based on interference to stations that are not being
> listened to outside their local markets.
>

>>Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some sort of
>>comment about the poster's credibility.
>
> Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners, which is
> what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
>>
>> I have him killfiled.
>
> Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the first
> $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
>
>> I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the fact
>> that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look only at
>> the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom line.
>
> Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any service to
> the consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or whatever.
>
>> No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving the
>> customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this is doomed
>> to eventual failure.
>
> And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because analog AM
> quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
>

You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and why do
you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch of
seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?

--

Regards
B.H.
Southern, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

4937. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:06:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <evvrc2dc3oq7ooskp0sc9g7eitoqes4ea5@4ax.com>
Source record: 86024

```
On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all listening
>>>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured. The
>>>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>>>
>>>
>> That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
>> live in the city.
>
>Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro (MSA).
>
>
And we aren't interested in you. That's why we have Sirius and XM and
your HD is going to fail.
```

4938. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:06:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Lfnzg.137909$dW3.64661@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86025

"Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
> in
>> hardware already.
>
> It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
> out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
> pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
> just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
> this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.

First, less than a third of radio listening is done in the car. Second, new cars are sold every year, and there are aftermarket radios and add-ons that will eventually contribute to the penetration of HD, especially since the HD2 channels are free and can only be had with an HD radio.

4939. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:08:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Xgnzg.137910$dW3.6009@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86026

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154350797.253823.175920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154308234.115299.294010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>
>> >> That whole site has something to do with Harry Helms, another DXer who
>> >> is
>> >> anti-radio. Much of the data is just flat wrong.
>> >
>> > Gotcha good this time, Eduardo ! BTW, looks like I have chased
>> > autopaint-1 off the radio-intel.com site, he will be protected from all
>> > the anti-IBOC truth, so he doesn't have to face it - the HD moderators
>> > are all pro-IBOC - they make a final insult, then lock the thread.
>> > See, you over there, motherfucker !
>> >
>>
>> Why? Have you found some more lies to post?
>>
>> On the other hand, I am going to work on the HD2 formats for two more LA
>> radio stations this week.
>
> And are you doing this in your capacity as President of Programming or
> some other title? Or have you even made a decision about this yet? LOL!!

You are easily amused if a miss-print by the NAB sends you into paroxysms of
laughter. (I never was President of Programming as such a position does not
exist in my company or any other).

4940. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:09:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <7inzg.137911$dW3.116666@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86027

"Steve" <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:1154350949.421371.114020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154346877.315422.91960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > David Eduardo wrote:
>> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
>> >> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
>> >> >>listening
>> >> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is
>> >> >>measured.
>> >> >>The
>> >> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
>> >> > live in the city.
>> >>
>> >> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
>> >> (MSA).
>> >
>> > You are busted iBiquity shill ! More shit out of your mouth ! Fuck
>> > you, old man !
>>
>> Profanity and cussing generally mean the swearer has lost the argument
>> and
>> is having a snit.
>
> Not when the other party is a mere troll.
>
> If you hadn't gotten caught using aliases and fake resumes,

Now you are busted. Resume is 100% factual, name is 100% mine. Next make
believe ad hominem, please?

4941. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:13:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <IInzg.137914$dW3.36345@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86028

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
>
>
> You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and why do
> you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch of
> seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?
>

This is a nice time-killer or filler. Currently, I am sitting at an airport at around 6 AM waiting to go visit a station... and this is more amusing than the LA Times (watching planes land is more amusing than that).

I am also a seasoned DXer (2200 stations confirmed, 50 states, 87 countries, on MW) and find it interesting that the symbiotic relationship of radio stations and DXers is now a position of hostility because some DXers seem to think AM (on ME or SW) will go on forever just for them. The fact is, AM is dieing and only something like HD can possibly save it (no guarantee) yet DXers find it abhorrent that we should try.

4942. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:15:17 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <Fnnzg.137915$dW3.45468@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86029

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:evvrc2dc3oq7ooskp0sc9g7eitoqes4ea5@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
>>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
>>>>listening
>>>>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured.
>>>>The
>>>>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
>>> live in the city.
>>
>>Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
>>(MSA).
>>
>>
> And we aren't interested in you. That's why we have Sirius and XM and
> your HD is going to fail.

XM stock is off 60% in the last 18 months, as investment analysts project slower growth. Satellite represents less than 0.5% of radio listening now, and would have to get near 20 million subscribers just to represent a 1 share of national listening... that is at least 3 years away.

4943. Another Dallas Lankford article on synch detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:23:19 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154352199.609650.25430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86030

To add more fuel ot the fire:
<http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/Audio/AM%20Synchronous%20Detector%20Experiences.pdf>

The date on this addition is July 19, and either it was just placed in
the archive, or I just noticd it. At least it gives us something to
think about.

Terry

4944. Re: Based upon Honor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 13:33:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154352799.185026.297780@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

666 wrote:
> > I see. When it is correctly pointed out to you that your intellectual
> > superiors consider your blatherings to be a waste of oxygen, you attack
> the
> > messenger rather than the facts presented.
> when you falsely claim I have intelectual superoirs in posting in the
> NG you cease to be a messenger and become a libeler, let alone go on
> about wasting O2
>
> ...
> Prove that there are those of us who are NOT your intellectual superior. By
> which yardstick are you going to measure or determine this? By the very
> simple fact that we post correctly, use good grammar and don't splatter our
> posts with misspelled words is example enough.
>
> ...
> thee are those that consider my efforts a waste. I would conceed that
> they ight have point if the facts were clearly to the contary
> you presented no facts you just repeat more libel
> ...
> I repeated nothing. I made a statement based upon my observations. There is
> no libel involved.
> ...
>
> here are some facts
>
> Fact: I set out to stop what has been K4YZ multi year effort to libel
> everyone he is seriously oposed to. I have achived that goal.
> ...
> Fact: You "saw" Steve in post after post that were not his. You falsely
> accused Steve of making anon posts when he, in fact, did no such thing when
> you yourself were accused of the same. How many email addresses and sock
> puppets do you need? Steve has one...his REAL name and callsign.
> ...
>
> Fact: I decided to resist the effort of many folks to use an accusation
> of Homosexaulity as weapon in these NG's. I have not been entirely
> succesfull to date OTOH most posters have come to the point of noting
> "so what?" (or words to that effect) in response to such efforts, thus
> I am satfied with the results
> ...
> The accusations you refer to were mostly posts made by Wiseman in his
> attempt to anger you after your forty to fifty posts per day. Wiseman is no

> intellectual giant but you went way past the typical boundaries and even
> went so far as to follow Wiseman to the Nim Busters boards where you further
> antagonized him. Last I heard, Mark, the Admin banned you from the Nim
> Boards and to be banned from that group of misfits takes some bit of doing.
> ....
>
> Fact:in resisting the effort of various folks to label tech and
> NoCoders as incapple Ham I believe the way such trolls as "slow code"
> and Al klein quiting the feild have shown that my point is made.
> ...
> This is a moot point. If you were so easily offended by comments aimed
> toward No-Coders, the problem is yours. Had you any intellect you would have
> ignored the posts, but you couldn't leave well-enough alone. You just HAD to
> stick your nose in.
> ...
>
> Fact: in persuing justice for all (even Wismen as I try to restrain
> those tat feel the need to enhance his records of sin further) I have
> prefromed a Mitvah
> ...
> Fact: You are not "persuing" justice for all. You are taking every
> opportunity to spam, irritate, and inject inane comments where none are due.
> You have performed nothing other than flooding the newsgroups with
> one-liners that make little to no sense.
> ...
>
> The price I have paid for this is what? Making it more obvious (not
> that it was ever a secert) that I suffer from dyslexia and aphasia
> which make my words esp with typed with passion (or boredom as is often
> the case in hauling out Wisemen trash) an adventureto say the least to
> read. For you I supose this would be mortifing to me it is indeed
> something I had to get used too by the 3rd or 4th grade
> ...
> That, Mark, is an excuse. There are many of your peers who have overcome
> what you claim to be an affliction. You could do likewise, were you not so
> lazy. I have no pity for you or your excuses.
> ...
>
> you may make of my typos all you like it hardly bothers me (msotly it
> bothers me when the mispeeling at point near the heart of the peice of
> writing is seized upon to avoid the point itself but even that makes my
> oint in many cases
> ...
> If my "corrections" regarding your poor spelling didn't bother you, you
> would have not made the above comment. If you want to make a point, and make
> it intelligently, then take the time to do it correctly. Your compositions
> are akin to those that a second-grader would create. You can hardly expect
> your "points" to be taken seriously when you cannot present them in a format
> that the majority of us can understand. You are at fault here, Mark. Not the
> rest of us who speak English.

> ...
>
> you are a cowwrd and clearly without honor
> ...
> Was that supposed to cut to the core of my being? Upset me? Send me crying?
> To the contrary, Mark, your words, misspelled as they are, are meaningless.
> As I've said in the past, I look at you as a bouncy-ball toy to be slapped
> around much as a cat would do with a mouse. You are entertainment, Mark. A
> diversion. A nonentity. I respond to you for two reasons. First, I am bored.
> Secondly, you are so easy to bounce around that I find you mildly amusing
> and a soft target to boot.
> Now let me see how you will twist this. Did I "libbel" you by referring to
> you as a soft target? Did I comport myself as being the Antichrist by using
> "666"? It will be interesting to see how you will surely misinterpret my
> commentary.
> Lecture me not about honor, Mark. You, of all people, know not the meaning
> of the word.

"Libbel?"HAHAHAHAHAHA Libby's? Markie is a man of beans.

4945. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:15:57 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE109D.456F740@milestones.com>
Source record: 86032

David Fracketon Gleason, prancing as 'Eduardo' since c.2000 wrote:

> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154346877.315422.91960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >> news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> >> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
> >> >>listening
> >> >>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured.
> >> >>The
> >> >>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> >> > live in the city.
> >>
> >> Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
> >> (MSA).
> >
> > You are busted iBiquity shill ! More shit out of your mouth ! Fuck
> > you, old man !
>
> Profanity and cussing generally mean the swearer has lost the argument and
> is having a snit.

Would that be akin to you getting your panties in a knot, oh fake one?

4946. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:34:35 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE14FB.91B89DD6@milestones.com>
Source record: 86033

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and why do
> > you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch of
> > seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?
> >
>
> This is a nice time-killer or filler. Currently, I am sitting at an airport
> at around 6 AM waiting to go visit a station... and this is more amusing
> than the LA Times (watching planes land is more amusing than that).
>
> I am also a seasoned DXer (2200 stations confirmed, 50 states, 87 countries,
> on MW) and find it interesting that the symbiotic relationship of radio
> stations and DXers is now a position of hostility because some DXers seem to
> think AM (on ME or SW) will go on forever just for them. The fact is, AM is
> dieing and only something like HD can possibly save it (no guarantee) yet
> DXers find it abhorrent that we should try.

Edweenie just might be the Giovanni Bellabarba of MW DX'ing.

4947. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:35:34 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE1536.7595374B@milestones.com>
Source record: 86034

David Eduardo wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and why do
> > you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch of
> > seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?
> >
>
> This is a nice time-killer or filler. Currently, I am sitting at an airport
> at around 6 AM waiting to go visit a station... and this is more amusing
> than the LA Times (watching planes land is more amusing than that).
>
> I am also a seasoned DXer (2200 stations confirmed, 50 states, 87 countries,
> on MW) and find it interesting that the symbiotic relationship of radio
> stations and DXers is now a position of hostility because some DXers seem to
> think AM (on ME or SW) will go on forever just for them. The fact is, AM is
> dieing and only something like HD can possibly save it (no guarantee) yet
> DXers find it abhorrent that we should try.

You're full of shit, Edweenie!

4948. The RF notch filter Dallas Lankford uses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:43:46 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154357026.417969.160920@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86036

I had wondered how DL managed to do the tests I have mentioned.
I followed some not, to me, obvious links and found this lihnk:
<http://members.cox.net/dallaslankford/High_Performance_RF_Notch_Filter.pdf>
I hope to assemble one and do some testing of my equipment.

Terry

4949. Re: The RF notch filter Dallas Lankford uses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:58:52 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE1AAB.CF815290@milestones.com>
Source record: 86038

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

> I had wondered how DL managed to do the tests I have mentioned.
> I followed some not, to me, obvious links and found this lihnk:
> <http://members.cox.net/dallaslankford/High_Performance_RF_Notch_Filter.pdf>
> I hope to assemble one and do some testing of my equipment.

Drake used an RF notch filter in the R7/A and if I recall also in some if not all of their earlier amateur receivers.

Unfortunately they did not incorporate it into the R8 series of receivers.

In my opinion, RF notching is the preferred way to go, as it helps to eliminate the offending signal from affecting the AGC adversely.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4950. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 14:59:00 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE1AB4-496@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86040

Yeah,Burr is cool.By the way,Michigan,I heard Paul Gallo talking to Greg Parulski (spelling) this morning on Paul's radio talk show.Greg works for Ford in Dearborn and he is at Watson Quality Ford www.watsonford.com today showing off a new 300 horsepower orange convertible Ford car.Basically,Greg is going around the Country and talking about the new 2007 Ford cars and this evening he heads on over to Shreveport,Cajunland.(Louisiana)
cuhulin

4951. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:02:55 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <ae138$44ce16ee$422a9837$27095@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 86035

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CCBA1B.1E9A9D5E@milestones.com...
> Sorry for the way this looks, but that's the way it came)

::snipped::

Thanks for the info, Ace. Good to hear he's up and
running, so to speak.

--Mike L.

4952. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:03:47 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE1BD3.EE88671B@milestones.com>
Source record: 86039

Michael Lawson wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Iqbzg.77873$Lm5.42223@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > > news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > >>
> > >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > >>> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted
> anti-HD
> > >>> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy
> an HD
> > >>> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue
> if it
> > >>> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to
> hear AM
> > >> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low
> bitrate
> > >> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides,
> as
> > >> Steve has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer,
> I speak
> > >> with some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
> > >
> > > And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a
> good
> > > engineering solution to a marketing problem.
> > >
> > >> Anything that causes interference to another station is poor
> engineering.
> > >> The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local
> market"
> > >> doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local
> market.
> > >
> > > They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and

> provide no
> > > economic benefit to stations.
> > >
> > >> He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by
> several
> > >> people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> > >> professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed
> system.
> > >
> > > It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM
> than
> > > analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
> > >
> > > I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
> > >
> > > I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM
> is ageing
> > > and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is.
> All the
> > > other compalints are based on interference to stations that are
> not being
> > > listened to outside their local markets.
> > >
> > >>Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some
> sort of
> > >>comment about the poster's credibility.
> > >
> > > Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners,
> which is
> > > what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> > >>
> > >> I have him killfiled.
> > >
> > > Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the
> first
> > > $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
> > >
> > >> I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the
> fact
> > >> that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look
> only at
> > >> the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom
> line.
> > >
> > > Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any
> service to
> > > the consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or
> whatever.
> > >
> > >> No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving

> the
> > >> customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this
> is doomed
> > >> to eventual failure.
> > >
> > > And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because
> analog AM
> > > quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
> > >
> >
> >
> > You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and
> why do
> > you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch
> of
> > seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?
>
> The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
> the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.
>
> http://frontier.cincinnati.com/blogs/tv/2006/07/radio-ratings-are-in.asp
>
> Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
> it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.

Where did it move to? As I recall it was a 13xx frequency.

If you know what it is, maybe I can pick it up here. I used to tune WCKY in the evenings on ocassion for a good laugh.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4953. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:12:54 UTC
From: Gridleakkbe3 <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <d1fbe$44ce1df5$d1cc7957$18491@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 86041

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:Lfnzg.137909$dW3.64661@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> news:e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million
just
> > in
> >> hardware already.
> >
> > It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
> > out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
> > pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
> > just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
> > this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.
>
> First, less than a third of radio listening is done in the car. Second,
new
> cars are sold every year, and there are aftermarket radios and add-ons
that
> will eventually contribute to the penetration of HD, especially since the
> HD2 channels are free and can only be had with an HD radio.

....sure! (keep dreaming) The HD upgrade for my Subaru at the dealer
was $800. How many people do you know would put down that kind
of cabbage to get a radio upgrade - apart from dumbass ham radio
operators of course..?

4954. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:18:33 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <1a848$44ce1a99$422a9837$28101@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 86037

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Iqbzg.77873$Lm5.42223@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >>
> >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >>> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted
anti-HD
> >>> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy
an HD
> >>> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue
if it
> >>> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >>
> >>
> >> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED to
hear AM
> >> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a low
bitrate
> >> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears. Besides,
as
> >> Steve has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an engineer,
I speak
> >> with some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
> >
> > And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is a
good
> > engineering solution to a marketing problem.
> >
> >> Anything that causes interference to another station is poor
engineering.
> >> The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the local
market"
> >> doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the local
market.
> >
> > They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and
provide no
> > economic benefit to stations.

> >
> >> He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again by
several
> >> people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence by
> >> professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly flawed
system.
> >
> > It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound on FM
than
> > analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
> >
> > I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
> >
> > I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that AM
is ageing
> > and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening is.
All the
> > other compalints are based on interference to stations that are
not being
> > listened to outside their local markets.
> >
> >>Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some
sort of
> >>comment about the poster's credibility.
> >
> > Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no listeners,
which is
> > what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> >>
> >> I have him killfiled.
> >
> > Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the
first
> > $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
> >
> >> I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in the
fact
> >> that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to look
only at
> >> the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the bottom
line.
> >
> > Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any
service to
> > the consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or
whatever.
> >
> >> No more so than any other business. Any business is about serving
the
> >> customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do this

is doomed
> >> to eventual failure.
> >
> > And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because
analog AM
> > quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
> >
>
>
> You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit and
why do
> you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a bunch
of
> seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?

The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.

http://frontier.cincinnati.com/blogs/tv/2006/07/radio-ratings-are-in.asp

Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.

--Mike L.

4955. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:26:18 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE211A.CF314924@milestones.com>
Source record: 86043

Michael Lawson wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44CCBA1B.1E9A9D5E@milestones.com...
> > Sorry for the way this looks, but that's the way it came)
>
> ::snipped::
>
> Thanks for the info, Ace. Good to hear he's up and
> running, so to speak.

I hope the Cuzz is doing alright. Not a peep about radio listening though!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4956. Re: The RF notch filter Dallas Lankford uses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:32:03 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154359923.029081.107940@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86044

dxAce wrote:
> r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I had wondered how DL managed to do the tests I have mentioned.
> > I followed some not, to me, obvious links and found this lihnk:
> > <http://members.cox.net/dallaslankford/High_Performance_RF_Notch_Filter.pdf>
> > I hope to assemble one and do some testing of my equipment.
>
> Drake used an RF notch filter in the R7/A and if I recall also in some if not
> all of their earlier amateur receivers.
>
> Unfortunately they did not incorporate it into the R8 series of receivers.
>
> In my opinion, RF notching is the preferred way to go, as it helps to eliminate
> the offending signal from affecting the AGC adversely.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

DL's notch filter is designed to simulate fading. I will admit that I
hadn't even
considered trying to add one to my setup. I was only thinking of trying
to do
some tests to see how well my various detectors would cope with fading.

Thanks for widening my outlook!

Terry

4957. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:33:08 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <e45f8$44ce1dfe$422a9837$10912@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 86042

```
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CE1BD3.EE88671B@milestones.com...
>
>
> Michael Lawson wrote:
>
> > "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
> > news:ucnzg.14945$qH5.12483@fe05.lga...
> > >
> > > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Iqbzg.77873$Lm5.42223@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > >
> > > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:eaj4cu$r9g$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > >>
> > > >> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>> David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted
> > anti-HD
> > > >>> militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would
buy
> > an HD
> > > >>> radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no
clue
> > if it
> > > >>> sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> David Eduardo is welcome to say what he wishes. I don't NEED
to
> > hear AM
> > > >> IBOC (or even FM) to know that I don't like it. AM IBOC is a
low
> > bitrate
> > > >> stream. There's no way it can sound any good to my ears.
Besides,
> > as
> > > >> Steve has said over and over again: "IBOC=QRM". As an
engineer,
> > I speak
> > > >> with some authority that IBOC is poor engineering.
> > > >>
> > > > And as an engineer, I can speak with some authority that HD is
a
```

> > good
> > > > engineering solution to a marketing problem.
> > > >
> > > >> Anything that causes interference to another station is poor
> > engineering.
> > > >> The fact that he maintains that "nobody listens outside the
local
> > market"
> > > >> doesn't change the fact that people DO listen outside the
local
> > market.
> > > >
> > > > They do not listen in a statstically meaningful quantity, and
> > provide no
> > > > economic benefit to stations.
> > > >
> > > >> He has been offered anecdotal evidence time and time again
by
> > several
> > > >> people in this group, along with real demonstrable evidence
by
> > > >> professionals in the radio industry that IBOC is a badly
flawed
> > system.
> > > >
> > > > It works. It has better sond on AM than analog, better sound
on FM
> > than
> > > > analog, and adds multiple additional channels to FM.
> > > >
> > > > I am also a professional, and have been for decades.
> > > >
> > > > I can offer demonstrable, documented evidence (and have) that
AM
> > is ageing
> > > > and dieing. I can prove how minscule out of market listening
is.
> > All the
> > > > other compalints are based on interference to stations that
are
> > not being
> > > > listened to outside their local markets.
> > > >
> > > >>Each time he has dismissed them out of hand, usually with some
> > sort of
> > > >>comment about the poster's credibility.
> > > >
> > > > Radio is a business. If we have no interference and no
listeners,
> > which is

> > > > what will happen without AM HD, who will benefit?
> > > >>
> > > >> I have him killfiled.
> > > >
> > > > Ah, another ostrich. Hide, and HD will go away. Tomorrow, the
> > first
> > > > > $200,000,000 marketing campaign by the HD Alliance starts.
> > > > >
> > > >> I don't care what he says about me. I am very comfortable in
the
> > fact
> > > >> that where I am right, I am right. His arguments all seem to
look
> > only at
> > > >> the bottom line. Radio isn't (and can't be) only about the
bottom
> > line.
> > > > >
> > > > > Oh, yes it is. Without a profit, no business can provide any
> > service to
> > > > > the consumer, listener, user, client, customer, patient or
> > whatever.
> > > > >
> > > >> No more so than any other business. Any business is about
serving
> > the
> > > >> customer. ALL the customers. Any business that does not do
this
> > is doomed
> > > >> to eventual failure.
> > > > >
> > > > > And right now, AM radio is losing customers like mad because
> > analog AM
> > > > > quality sucks unless you are a DXer or grew up on it.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > You sure are obsessed. How much time do you spend on this shit
and
> > why do
> > > > you continue to beat your head the wall trying to convince a
bunch
> > of
> > > > seasoned DXers that know your full of shit?
> > >
> > The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
> > the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.
> >
> >
http://frontier.cincinnati.com/blogs/tv/2006/07/radio-ratings-are-in.asp

> >
> > Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
> > it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.
>
> Where did it move to? As I recall it was a 13xx frequency.
>
> If you know what it is, maybe I can pick it up here. I used to tune
WCKY in the
> evenings on ocassion for a good laugh.

1360. Good luck on picking it up; it's actually a shame
that Homer moved off of 1360 for 1530; the sports
format on 1360 has been one of the most stable
periods of 1360's history.

--Mike L.

4958. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 15:51:25 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <hf9sc2lirhca132l37ga0or2gfjecp5sil@4ax.com>
Source record: 86045

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 13:15:17 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

>XM stock is off 60% in the last 18 months, as investment analysts project
>slower growth. Satellite represents less than 0.5% of radio listening now,
>and would have to get near 20 million subscribers just to represent a 1
>share of national listening... that is at least 3 years away.
>
>
Bullshit. There will be 20 MM listeners in under a year. Each sub
represents more than 1 listener. Price per share is not the
Arbitrons.

Your dismissive attitude toward the exurban audience is symtomatic of
the dinosaur radio business in general. Y'all are a bunch of
assholes. It's conveyed in the programming.

For your information, my neighborhood is full of satellite radio
subscribers.

4959. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 16:04:41 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1154361881.626468.264610@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86046

David Eduardo wrote:
> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:evvrc2dc3oq7ooskp0sc9g7eitoqes4ea5@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >>news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> >>> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
> >>>>listening
> >>>>(quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however it is measured.
> >>>>The
> >>>>fact is, outside that contour, there is little listening.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people don't
> >>> live in the city.
> >>
> >>>>Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the metro
> >>>>(MSA).
> >>
> >>
> > And we aren't interested in you. That's why we have Sirius and XM and
> > your HD is going to fail.
>
> XM stock is off 60% in the last 18 months, as investment analysts project
> slower growth. Satellite represents less than 0.5% of radio listening now,
> and would have to get near 20 million subscribers just to represent a 1
> share of national listening... that is at least 3 years away.

Boy David, caught you talking out of you ass - you were defending XM on
radio-info !

4960. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 16:04:56 UTC
From: alogg@kornot.biz
Message-ID: <43ijfcd02oii0fokdc0w4o0vf@kornot.biz>
Source record: 86047

In article <20579-44CD19B8-97@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>I am an anti-HD militant too.Anybody who has good sense is.
>cuhulin
>

Brenda isn't very nice either. I went to her house once to look at her shortwave radio's. I was explaining to her about differences in quality between different makes, models, manufacturers, and plastic. I must have said something she didn't like because she farted in her hand and put it right by my nose. I never smelled anything so bad in all my life. I'm never going back to her house again.

Jeff

4961. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 16:19:55 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20578-44CE2DAB-633@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86148

Hold out your coffee cup and catch this booger. KLINK!
cuhulin

4962. Re: Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 16:25:07 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20578-44CE2EE3-634@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86149

I used to listen to a rock music radio station (with my webtv box) for a few minutes in London.
cuhulin

4963. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 16:39:43 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154363983.816683.129520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86150

David Eduardo wrote:

> Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
> closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.

Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
clowns like me quote something you say ;)).

I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
her style.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City, NY

4964. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:05:58 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20578-44CE3876-642@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86151

I hope that Greg guy from Dearborn has done pushed that button on that Ford Mustang convertible car and put the top up because right now it is raining on top of Watson Quality Ford (raining on top of my house and yard too) dealership on the I-55 Frontage Road in North Jackson.The rain is here from Alabama.Thank you,Alabama.Shreveport might get some rain too. www.hometownfreepress.com ALABAMA
cuhulin

4965. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:15:07 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE3A9B.9F53D9D2@milestones.com>
Source record: 86152

Stephanie Weil wrote:

> David Eduardo wrote:
>
> > Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
> > closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>
> Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
> your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
> clowns like me quote something you say ;)).
>
> I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
> her style.

Why would Edweenie have a Pacific Bell (SBC) server in his closet?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4966. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:30:52 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <f7rzg.9805$DW3.4062@fe06.lga>
Source record: 86153

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message

> Why would Edweenie have a Pacific Bell (SBC) server in his closet?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

Because he's a MW broadcast specialist. lol!!

BH

4967. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:37:56 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154367476.678088.121660@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

To cheap to sell it on Ebay Mikey? No one wants an old boat anchor!

mike maghakian wrote:
> if shortwave is dead why do you waste your time on a shortwave group ??
>
> read here for some descriptions of yourself:
>
> http://www.thecomputershow.com/nsa/gngstupidisasstupiddoes.htm
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1154302957.492807.257420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Good Luck. Shortwave is dead. No one is buying HF radios anymore.
> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >> I have an NRD-525 for sale. it is in very good condition and comes with:
> >>
> >> copy of user manual
> >> service manual on CD
> >> note and mods on improving the 525
> >> nice metal external speaker
> >> some antenna wire
> >>
> >> price is $425 plus shipping
> >>
> >> if you read the reviews everyone rates this receiver as outstanding
> >

4968. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:38:27 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44CE3FE2.C8314D50@earthlink.net>
Source record: 86155

David Eduardo wrote:
>
> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
> > militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
> > radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
> > sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
> >
>
> That pretty much sums up her position.
>
> Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
> closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>
> That would be the first nazi Luddite I have ever encountered.

So, you have the Verison ISP in your closet?

WHOIS results for 71.102.13.26
Generated by www.DNSstuff.com
Location: United States [City: Cerritos, California]

NOTE: More information appears to be available at ZV20-ARIN.

OrgName: Verizon Internet Services Inc.
OrgID: VRIS
Address: 1880 Campus Commons Dr
City: Reston
StateProv: VA
PostalCode: 20191
Country: US

NetRange: 71.96.0.0 - 71.127.255.255
CIDR: 71.96.0.0/11
NetName: VIS-71-96
NetHandle: NET-71-96-0-0-1
Parent: NET-71-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: NS1.BELLATLANTIC.NET
NameServer: NS2.BELLATLANTIC.NET
NameServer: NS2.VERIZON.NET
NameServer: NS4.VERIZON.NET
Comment:
RegDate: 2005-01-18
Updated: 2005-08-15

OrgAbuseHandle: VISAB-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: VIS Abuse
OrgAbusePhone: +1-214-513-6711
OrgAbuseEmail: *****@verizon.net

OrgTechHandle: ZV20-ARIN
OrgTechName: Verizon Internet Services
OrgTechPhone: +1-703-295-4583
OrgTechEmail: ******@verizon.com

ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2006-07-30 19:10
Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4969. Re: Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:40:54 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154367653.947726.136830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86156

Hi there? You sound like you talk with a lisp and a broken wrist!

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> I used to listen to a rock music radio station (with my webtv box) for a
> few minutes in London.
> cuhulin

4970. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:43:07 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Kirzg.9808$DW3.5795@fe06.lga>
Source record: 86158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154302957.492807.257420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Good Luck. Shortwave is dead. No one is buying HF radios anymore.

Modern high tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

4971. Re: Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:44:32 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <2krzg.9809$DW3.2729@fe06.lga>
Source record: 86157

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154367653.947726.136830@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> Hi there? You sound like you talk with a lisp and a broken wrist!

Modern high tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

4972. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 17:45:10 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11567-44CE41A6-485@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86159

So,what's in your closets? Mine are full of junk.Old
radios,telephones,books,a few of my clothes that long since wont fit me
anymore.
cuhulin

4973. Dave may be awake...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:02:50 UTC
From: Dave <db5151@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <12csheemssvtgc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 86160

Just FYI. Snapped awake, and feel I couldn't sleep right now if I had to.
Thinking about calling Irma. Feedback?

Love you,

D

4974. BBCWS Adds/Changes Frequencies To Caribbean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:05:16 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE465C.14819C72@milestones.com>
Source record: 86161

Hi Glenn, These new frequencies just in from Mark Deutsch of
BBC-WS. Can you
use them? Cheers (George Poppin, San Francisco, July 31,
DX LISTENING DIGEST)

You bet we can! However, 11675 and 5975 are not new, and
the hours are still
much too limited. Apparently means 11865 at 11-13, and
15390 at 21-22 are
replaced? (Glenn Hauser, DX LISTENING DIGEST) Viz.:

Hi George, Thanks as always for the report. It's always useful
to know what can
be heard there, especially as so little is actually going your
way. You'll
notice changes in our remaining Americas coverage from
tomorrow - we've been
concerned in particular that parts of Caribbean were poorly
served. But I fear
that (for that very reason) you may not hear much of this in
California.

6130 1100-1200 from Montsinï¿½ry
9750 1200-1300 from Montsinï¿½ry
9660 1100-1300 from Cypress Creek (SC)
11675 2100-2200 from Greenville
5975 2200-2300 from Montsinï¿½ry
13765 2100-2300 from Cypress Creek (SC)

Best wishes from London, (Mark Deutsch, BBCWS London via
George Poppin, CA, DX
LISTENING DIGEST)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4975. Dave is an idiot. Please ignore...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:06:13 UTC
From: Dave <db5151@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <12cshktb0f8l261@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 86162

Sorry people, my bad. Clicked the wrong button...

"Dave" <db5151@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:12csheemssvtgc3@corp.supernews.com...
> Just FYI. Snapped awake, and feel I couldn't sleep right now if I had to.
> Thinking about calling Irma. Feedback?
>
> Love you,
>
> D
>
>

4976. Hammarlund HQ-180

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:07:04 UTC
From: Steve <sdaniel13@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <1154369224.283415.294540@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86163

I don't know if it's still available, but if I lived in or around
Southern California I would investigate this:

http://santabarbara.craigslist.org/ele/184212976.html

4977. Re: AIR Latest Changes-Addendum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:11:56 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE47EC.6151890@milestones.com>
Source record: 86164

> Dear Friends,
>
> Here are latest changes for AIR SW Home & External
> Services.
>
> External Services:
>
> To Sri Lanka:
>
> 0000-0045 7360 Chennai Tamil 100 kW
> 0045-0115 7360 Chennai Sinhala 100 kW
> 1000-1100 7270 Chennai English 100 kW
> 1115-1215 7270 Chennai Tamil 100 kW
> 1300-1500 7270 Chennai Sinhala 100 kW
>
> To China:
>
> 1145-1315 9425 Bangalore Chinese 500 kW (ex 11840
> Khampur, Delhi) from 28 July 2006
>
> Home Service
>
> 0130-0430 7360 Chennai 100 KW AIR FM Gold in Tamil
> (ex 7270, AIR FM Gold in Hindi)
>
> AIR Mumbai on 7195 was lately noted on 7190. It was
> corrected to 7195 within a minute after my telephone
> to the station yesterday afternoon.
> (Jose Jacob, VU2JOS, dx_india)

Dear friends,

Here are the frequencies dropped/not heard of AIR in
connection with my last email attached below:

7270 100 kW Chennai 0700-1330 1430-1740 (AIR FM Gold)
7275 100 kW Chennai 0025-0430 (AIR FM Gold)
7360 100 kW Delhi (Kingsway) 0700-1330 (AIR FM Gold)
9820 250 kW Panaji 1300-1500 Sinhala (Sri Lanka)

(Jose Jacob, dx_india)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4978. Re: Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:19:35 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE49B7-520@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86165

Did your strap snap? Here's how to fix it.
Wardrobe Malfunctions.
Southern Style at www.clarionledger.com
cuhulin

4979. Re: It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:34:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154370878.393710.41170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
grant you peace and forgivness for your crimes

4980. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:36:11 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44CE4D6A.9E1A81A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 86167

David Eduardo wrote:
>
> "Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> news:e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million just
> > in
> >> hardware already.
> >
> > It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
> > out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
> > pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
> > just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
> > this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.
>
> First, less than a third of radio listening is done in the car. Second, new
> cars are sold every year, and there are aftermarket radios and add-ons that
> will eventually contribute to the penetration of HD, especially since the
> HD2 channels are free and can only be had with an HD radio.

My dad and step mom just bought a new Honda Element with XM radio.
They weren't interested in IBOC, and the dealer couldn't talk them into
it. If a car salesman can't sell something. it says a lot.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4981. Re: Another Dallas Lankford article on synch detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:40:22 UTC
From: Will <wgmartin99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154371222.062786.8010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86168

Maybe all this is meaningful only when referring to really high-end
receivers. All I know is that I sometimes hear annoying distortion
when listening to a reasonable-strength SWBC station, and hitting
the "Sync" button on the Grundig 800 or the Sony 2010 makes it
stop. That increases my listening enjoyment. What more can I ask of it?

Will

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> To add more fuel ot the fire:
> <http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/Audio/AM%20Synchronous%20Detector%20Experiences.pdf>
>
> The date on this addition is July 19, and either it was just placed in
> the archive, or I just noticd it. At least it gives us something to
> think about.
>
> Terry

4982. grant you peace and forgivness for your crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:41:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154371269.796271.150370@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

666 wrote:
> > I see. When it is correctly pointed out to you that your intellectual
> > superiors consider your blatherings to be a waste of oxygen, you attack
> the
> > messenger rather than the facts presented.
> when you falsely claim I have intelectual superoirs in posting in the
> NG you cease to be a messenger and become a libeler, let alone go on
> about wasting O2
>
> ...
> Prove that there are those of us who are NOT your intellectual superior. By
> which yardstick are you going to measure or determine this? By the very
> simple fact that we post correctly, use good grammar and don't splatter our
> posts with misspelled words is example enough.
you do not post correctly you lie and misrepresent the fact that you
claim misspelling is proof of inferioity is amoug your dishonorable
untruth If mispelling were proof of stupdity then Albert Einstain would
be reckoned one of the dumbest men on earth

the inablity to reconize the diefferent between statements like "it
would take killing you to stop you from posting" and what would be a
true death threat "I will post stop you from posting by any means
neccasary. Indeed thatI use langauge better than ost of you is clear
proof I am not any of your inferior

4983. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:50:08 UTC
From: Will <wgmartin99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154371808.053279.99300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86170

What was the little horizontal door under the LCD display for?
Earbud storage or something like that?

Will

David wrote:
> On 29 Jul 2006 14:23:50 -0700, "Kim" <krolradio@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >After months of promotion- no longer for sale?
> >
> >http://miniccradio.com/

4984. Re: Dave is an idiot. Please ignore...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 18:51:55 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44CE511A.2F99C049@earthlink.net>
Source record: 86171

Dave wrote:
>
> Sorry people, my bad. Clicked the wrong button...
>
> "Dave" <db5151@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:12csheemssvtgc3@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just FYI. Snapped awake, and feel I couldn't sleep right now if I had to.
> > Thinking about calling Irma. Feedback?
> >
> > Love you,
> >
> > D
> >
> >

I wonder how she feels about getting your messages for the newsgroup?
;-)

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4985. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:06:41 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <5xszg.1283$Eo1.328@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86177

"Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:d1fbe$44ce1df5$d1cc7957$18491@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:Lfnzg.137909$dW3.64661@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
>> news:e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> >
>> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>> >>
>> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million
> just
>> > in
>> >> hardware already.
>> >
>> > It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
>> > out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
>> > pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
>> > just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
>> > this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.
>>
>> First, less than a third of radio listening is done in the car. Second,
> new
>> cars are sold every year, and there are aftermarket radios and add-ons
> that
>> will eventually contribute to the penetration of HD, especially since the
>> HD2 channels are free and can only be had with an HD radio.
>
> ....sure! (keep dreaming) The HD upgrade for my Subaru at the dealer
> was $800. How many people do you know would put down that kind
> of cabbage to get a radio upgrade - apart from dumbass ham radio
> operators of course..?

I am surprised they offered it. The real rollout of HD is just starting
today! Of course, the XM for my car was offered at more than that, plus $150
a year.

4986. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:07:52 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <cyszg.1285$Eo1.1019@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86174

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:1a848$44ce1a99$422a9837>
> The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
> the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.

As it has been for several decades.

Old news.

> Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
> it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.

AA is liberal. It has nothing to do with the success of WLW or not.

4987. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:10:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <QAszg.1286$Eo1.847@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86173

"Stephanie Weil" <stephanienyc@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154363983.816683.129520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
>
>> Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
>> closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>
> Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
> your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
> clowns like me quote something you say ;)).
>
> I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
> her style.

Several weeks ago, she said she was going to complain to my ISP and get me
denied service. She was very specific, and the message is filed.

4988. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:11:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <MBszg.1287$Eo1.292@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86175

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:44CE3A9B.9F53D9D2@milestones.com...
>
>
> Stephanie Weil wrote:
>
>> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> > Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in
>> > my
>> > closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>>
>> Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
>> your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
>> clowns like me quote something you say ;)).
>>
>> I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
>> her style.
>
> Why would Edweenie have a Pacific Bell (SBC) server in his closet?

Because I use my own server only for business, and have a fixed ip for it.
>

4989. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:13:23 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <nDszg.1289$Eo1.1252@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 86176

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:44CE3FE2.C8314D50@earthlink.net...
> David Eduardo wrote:
>>
>> <sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1154288202.855991.156230@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> > David Edurado iBiquity shill wrote: "Brenda Ann is a noted anti-HD
>> > militant, who states she has neither listened to nor would buy an HD
>> > radio. Now that is a source worthy of respect: "I have no clue if it
>> > sounds good or not, but I dislike it."
>> >
>>
>> That pretty much sums up her position.
>>
>> Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
>> closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
>>
>> That would be the first nazi Luddite I have ever encountered.
>
> So, you have the Verison ISP in your closet?

No, I have my business use server on a fixed ip at home. I use pacbell and
others for non-job stuff.

4990. Ignorance Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 19:37:41 UTC
From: fartabulous@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1154374661.806481.55550@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86172

http://www.ignorancesucks.com

4991. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:02:29 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE61D5.49E805E2@milestones.com>
Source record: 86178

David Frackelton Gleason, posing as 'Eduardo' nearly crapped his panties when he wrote:

> "Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> news:d1fbe$44ce1df5$d1cc7957$18491@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> > news:Lfnzg.137909$dW3.64661@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Gridleakkbe3" <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> >> news:e1318$44cdf821$d1cc5761$16985@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:Qzbzg.77876$Lm5.62181@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> iBiquity is well financed, and radio has invested over $200 million
> > just
> >> > in
> >> >> hardware already.
> >> >
> >> > It will never catch on. There are about 200 million car radios
> >> > out there who can't rx the digital signal and you would be hard
> >> > pressed to find 1000 people who would toss their car radios
> >> > just to be able to get the IB signal. Just like Siruis and XM
> >> > this to is destined for the scrap heap in a Year's or 2's time at best.
> >>
> >> First, less than a third of radio listening is done in the car. Second,
> > new
> >> cars are sold every year, and there are aftermarket radios and add-ons
> > that
> >> will eventually contribute to the penetration of HD, especially since the
> >> HD2 channels are free and can only be had with an HD radio.
> >
> > ....sure! (keep dreaming) The HD upgrade for my Subaru at the dealer
> > was $800. How many people do you know would put down that kind
> > of cabbage to get a radio upgrade - apart from dumbass ham radio
> > operators of course..?
>
> I am surprised they offered it. The real rollout of HD is just starting
> today!

You sound so excited, oh shilling one!

4992. Re: Welcome to our web--www.lanvs.com < PHISHING ALERT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:07:13 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12csonlqafr4c5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 86179

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote

> >
> > > > > We have been doing an excellent job of helping the Chinese kick
their
> > > > > economy into high gear as evidenced by the plethora of jamming
> > > > > transmitters I hear every day all over the short wave bands
> > > > > broadcasting a loop of chinese operatic music.
> > > >
> > > > You must be referring to French made jamming equipment.
> > >
> > > I don't know who made the transmitters, just that their are plenty of
> > > them.
> > >
> >
> > ...and the French made plenty of money. The Chi-Commies are exporting
the
> > technology to their client States like Zimbabwe.

FYI
Thanks to the acquisition of ALLISS antennae made by the French company
Thal&#65533;s, the Chinese authorities have improved their jamming capabilities.
With the help of French company Thal&#65533;s, ALLISS aerials were set up in every
corner of the country to block foreign radio waves.

http://www.thezimbabwean.co.uk/viewinfo.cfm?id=1759
http://www.rsf.org/article.php3?id_article=11567
http://www.rsf.org/article.php3?id_article=15561
http://www.rsf.org/article.php3?id_article=14716

http://www.google.com/search?num=100&hl=en&lr=lang_en&newwindow=1&safe=off&domains=rsf.org
&q=Thal%C3%A8s&btnG=Search&sitesearch=rsf.org

4993. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:12:31 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12csp1g1a2647f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 86181

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote

>
> You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France), you'd
adapt to
> their culture in a matter of minutes.
>

It won't matter where they run and hide. Islamo facist jihad is poised to
become a global problem much like Hitler and Hirohito, and quite soon.

4994. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:16:15 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE650F.37CEAAF@milestones.com>
Source record: 86182

SeeingEyeDog wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
>
> >
> > You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France), you'd
> adapt to
> > their culture in a matter of minutes.
> >
>
> It won't matter where they run and hide. Islamo facist jihad is poised to
> become a global problem much like Hitler and Hirohito, and quite soon.

It's certainly looking that way.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

4995. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:29:07 UTC
From: Michael Lawson <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]>
Message-ID: <85b31$44ce6367$422a9837$12865@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 86180

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
news:cyszg.1285$Eo1.1019@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:1a848$44ce1a99$422a9837>
> > The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
> > the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.
>
> As it has been for several decades.
>
> Old news.

Not several decades. It only returned to the
top five in the 80's after foundering under
poor management in the 70's. There used to
be articles praising Randy Michels' management
in the late 80's under Jaycor and pointed to
his work with Q-102 (WKRQ) and then with
WLW and WEBN as proof of his acumen.

So much for poor AM quality keeping listeners
away.

> > Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
> > it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.
>
> AA is liberal. It has nothing to do with the success of WLW or not.

The article, if you cared to read it, mentioned that 1530
tanked in the ratings so badly that Dayton and Middletown
stations were ranked ahead of it, and the format that
AA replaced, the oldies format that Dusty Rhodes took
with him to a miniscule station, scored double the ratings
than AA did.

That was why AA and Homer switched.

--Mike L.

4996. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:51:30 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1154379090.420853.217410@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86183

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> miso@sushi.com wrote:
> >> r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>snip
> >
> > My point still holds in that nobody serious builds LCR filters for
> > auido (speaker crossovers exempted). His LCR filter IS in the audio
> > chain, not the IF.
> >
> >
> Your comment that "nobody serious" is so off base as to be asiniine.
> Dallas Lankford is clearly one of most serious DXers alive today.
> Why not take a look at all the technical information at
> <http://www.kongsfjord.no/dl/dl.htm>
> before jumping to silly conclussions.
>
> Terry

I've done (as in been paid for) filter design in telecom/datacom
applications, including elliptic filters, delay equalizers, etc. I know
of what I speak.

There is a leapfrog design on this page if you want to educate
yourself:
http://www.filter-solutions.com/active.html

4997. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 20:54:07 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154379247.038621.230740@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86184

David Eduardo wrote:

> Several weeks ago, she said she was going to complain to my ISP and get me
> denied service. She was very specific, and the message is filed.

Maybe someone posing as her? It's not unheard of. I've had people
tell me that they've received viruses and attacks from who knows who
using my email address. But everything is clean.

It's easy to spoof email addresses, I think.

--
Stephanie

4998. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:10:59 UTC
From: Joe Analssandrini <analssandrinij@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154380259.587802.320380@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86185

Dear Bob,

If you DX on the MW at all you have surely experienced
selectable-sideband distortion (where the sideband[s] is/are received
"out-of-phase" with the carrier). Around sundown, all night, and up to
a bit after sunup, you will be receiving both skywave and groundwave on
many MW broadcasts, especially those from more than, say, fifty miles
away.

That is what a good sync detector circuit will improve. Do any of your
receivers feature this circuit? Have you ever tried it on MW in the
evening or at night? There is a BIG difference in the quality of the
received MW (AM below 1700 kHz) signal with the sync in use.

Best,

Joe

Bob Miller wrote:
> On 29 Jul 2006 15:56:18 -0700, "Joe Analssandrini"
> <analssandrinij@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> >In addition, I think a newly designed "long-range" AM radio should
> >definitely feature a good synchronous detection circuit. I realize that
> >there are some few people who say they do not care for this circuit
> >(frankly, it is my belief that they do not know how to properly use it
> >or have not experienced a good one) but, even if that is the case, the
> >circuit can always be turned off.
>
> A sync detector for ground wave AM below 1700 khz ??
>
> bob
> k5qwg

4999. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:18:20 UTC
From: Stephanie Weil <stephanienyc@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154380700.595596.42360@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86186

Will wrote:
> What was the little horizontal door under the LCD display for?
> Earbud storage or something like that?

I almost thought it was a cassette player slot, you know like they have
on car radios. But then I remembered the size of the radio....and said
.... NAHHHH.

I wonder what happened to the prototypes. Would be interesting to get
one just for collection's sake.

--
Stephanie Weil
New York City

5000. Re: Another Dallas Lankford article on synch detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:23:43 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154381023.031848.313590@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86187

Will wrote:
> Maybe all this is meaningful only when referring to really high-end
> receivers. All I know is that I sometimes hear annoying distortion
> when listening to a reasonable-strength SWBC station, and hitting
> the "Sync" button on the Grundig 800 or the Sony 2010 makes it
> stop. That increases my listening enjoyment. What more can I ask of it?
>
> Will

I own several receivers, R2000, R390, R392 and a DX398. I currently have a AOR7030+. From some experiments last summer to the present I found that the "standard", and all too common, diode detector wasn't the best choice for detection. In my quest for a better detector I found many non obvious "things" can mess up intelligibility. I built a micro power transmitter, with a high quality modulator, driven by a MP3 player that loops a random set of words that can be easily confussed. Copy/Coffee etc.

By reducing the transmitter power level to the minimum level where I could achive 80% intelligibility I was pleasantly shocked to find that by using better detectors I could drop the power from the transmitter by up to ~12dB. The major weakness was, while my signal is far enough away to insure my dB readings are reasonably accurate, I could only deal with QRM/QRN impossed on top of my test signal, I couldn't duplicate or even simulate the deep fades caused by multipath.

I went to considerable trouble to design, test and redesign an outboard detector complete with better IF filters.

I was somewhat satisified with the results, but I had been lead to believe that a synch detector was an almost magical device that could eliminate or reduce the unintelligibility caused by bad selective

fading. DL's experiments and observations showed me that perhaps I had mad false assumptions.

At the time when I started I had the AOR for a couple of weeks and did not have time to completly evaluate the AMSD capacity to moderate the effects of deep fades.

Since our friend had to ship her AOR back to England for repair, and since she now is living in New Zealand, she had it shipped to me for a "check out". I have had enough experience with my AMSD to be able to appreciate the AOR a little better. While it is not perfect I would gladly give my left nu, er left foot for one.

But an AMSD is not a cure all. The better filters, better audio etc make the AOR a joy to use. The multi-level control scheme makes it a royal PITA! But even the AOR showed clear benifit from DLs ELPAF. For a more pedestrian receiver like the R2000, a better detector, see my posts for links, with a better audio chain, better IF filter and the DL ELPAF my R2000 can dig out >99% of what the AOR receives. True the AOR 7030+ has a much better choice of filters(drool drool), and the audio chain is quiter then anything I have
been able to yet build, but for less then 50$, I am a good scrounger and love to trade, I have improved my R2000 significantly.

And we use a DX398 for mini DXpeditions and with a tighter IF filter and
an add on DL ELPAF the radio is many times better then a stock version.

I bought a used DX-398, some what scuffed but I paid $5 at a local "Good
Will" thrift store, and plan on adding a simple "improved AM detector", with
a better(tighter) IF filter and see if the work is worth the effort.

One thing to keep in mind is that Dallas Lankford is a serious MW DXer.

And on the MW band every station you tune in will have one or more weak

stations underneath as it were, and during a deep fade, the "weaker" station might become strong enough to upset an AMSD more then most of us will experience on "most" HF/SW signals.

I brought the orignal thread up because I found the ELPAF really made some signals more intelligible and made others much more injoyble to listen to.

If I knew a year ago what I now know I might not have gone to the trouble
to build the outboard IF strip with AMSD etc. Then again I likely would

have gone ahead in my typical bull headed way.

The "funny" thing is I am more into utility listening, where there is very
little AM to benifit from a AMSD. Of course the Synch detector chip, Analog Devices AD607, is a very good SSB product detector.

Given the lack of posts and threads that have ANYTHING to do with SW, or indeed radio at all, I felt a need to pass this information on to those who might find it as usefull as I have.

Terry

5001. What portable has best SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:29:51 UTC
From: WheatBoy <warhr@happaopa.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9811B1FE896D2notthistimecom@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 86188

Anybody who can expand on just the SSB performance of the 1103 against the Sony 7600GR? I'd like a little portable for mainly listening to 80/40-meter ham bands.
My DX398 is insensitive off the whip and my B45 is okay but tunes in 5khz increments,
fine tuning is touchy and seems to drift somewhat. Are the Sony or Degen any better??

I don't really care about FM or SWBC that much. Besides SSB, I'd use it for MW stations.

Thanks..

5002. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:30:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE7684-584@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86190

Is the Truckin Bozo (Dale Sommers) still on WLW from midnight to around five or six AM in the mornings? So much IBOC Crap lately,I can't hardly pick it up anymore.
cuhulin

5003. Re: Interesting article on fading distortion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:40:40 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154382039.226108.159050@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86189

miso@sushi.com wrote:
>
>
> I've done (as in been paid for) filter design in telecom/datacom
> applications, including elliptic filters, delay equalizers, etc. I know
> of what I speak.
>
> There is a leapfrog design on this page if you want to educate
> yourself:
> http://www.filter-solutions.com/active.html

I give up, you win.
Only idoits and fools would bother to build any passive
AF fitlers. Please build all the active ELPAF filters your
heart desires. I made the mistake of assuming you where
a serious SWL.

I bet you would find the thought of building a clipper to limit
the AF level to a set of earphones with something as simple
as a couple of parallel 1N4004 diodes and a series resistor
so repulsive as to nearly make yo puke.

I can hear it now, "Oh My God, how simple."

I bet you could whip up a active limiter that would achieve
+/-0.0001dB clipping accuracy.

Too bad you make Cuhulin seem reasonable.

I can't PLONK you with Google beta, but I damn sure will ignore
your posts.

Terry

5004. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:49:44 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE7AF8-585@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86191

I was at the Wal Mart store this afternoon.The cheap old AM/FM radio I bought at a K Mart store about seventeen years ago,it quit working a few years ago.I looked at the auto radios in the store,I saw a price tag thingy on the shelf for a radio priced at about $27.00 but that radio wasen't on the shelf or I would have bought it.Radio listening when I am driving makes me nervous anyway,so I am not in any hurry.

I bought a dress thingy for $2.85 at the Goodwill store this afternoon too.I dont know what it's called,it is sort of like a dress but the lower part is made sort of like pants legs and it has a zipper from the waist on up.Of course I tried it on in the store before I bought it to make it fits me ok,it looks cute on me.
cuhulin

5005. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:54:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26503-44CE7BFA-120@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86195

I get at least sixty nine XM music channels with my DirecTV.I never
asked for them,but they are there.
cuhulin

5006. Re: Dave is an idiot. Please ignore...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:56:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE7C99-587@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86196

Sounds like wewela in Winner,South Dakota in that webtv South Dakota
news group.
cuhulin

5007. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 21:57:39 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ealu7ihhu1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 86192

"Anna Logg" <alogg@kornot.biz> wrote in message
news:43ijfcd02oii0fokdc0w4o0vf@kornot.biz...
> In article <20579-44CD19B8-97@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>,
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>I am an anti-HD militant too.Anybody who has good sense is.
>>cuhulin
>>
>
>
> Brenda isn't very nice either. I went to her house once to look at her
> shortwave radio's. I was explaining to her about differences in quality
> between different makes, models, manufacturers, and plastic. I must have
> said something she didn't like because she farted in her hand and put it
> right
> by my nose. I never smelled anything so bad in all my life. I'm never
> going
> back to her house again.
>
> Jeff

Good trick. Seeing as I live somewhere between 5000-9000 miles from where
ever it is you live.

5008. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:02:05 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <v5vzg.15088$qH5.9750@fe05.lga>
Source record: 86193

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message

> Good trick. Seeing as I live somewhere between 5000-9000 miles from where
> ever it is you live.
>
>
>

Good point but the fart in the hand thing kinda red flagged it for me. :)

BH

5009. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HDboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:03:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE7E35.BDCDAA4A@milestones.com>
Source record: 86194

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> Is the Truckin Bozo (Dale Sommers) still on WLW from midnight to around
> five or six AM in the mornings?

Dale apparently retired a while back due to illness.

http://www.enquirer.com/editions/2004/04/22/loc_bozo22.html

Apparently now the America's Trucking Network with Steve Sommers (Dales son).

dxAce
Michigan
USA

5010. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:35:55 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.com>
Message-ID: <fBvzg.36$FN2.10@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86197

"Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
news:85b31$44ce6367$422a9837$12865@FUSE.NET...
>
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote in message
> news:cyszg.1285$Eo1.1019@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Michael Lawson" <mtl@[REMOVE-TO-REPLY]fuse.net> wrote in message
>> news:1a848$44ce1a99$422a9837>
>> > The latest Arbitrons came out locally, and lo and behold,
>> > the #1 station in Cincy is WLW-AM.
>>
>> As it has been for several decades.
>>
>> Old news.
>
> Not several decades. It only returned to the
> top five in the 80's after foundering under
> poor management in the 70's. There used to
> be articles praising Randy Michels' management
> in the late 80's under Jaycor and pointed to
> his work with Q-102 (WKRQ) and then with
> WLW and WEBN as proof of his acumen.

WLW has been #1 for about 20 years... that is several decades.

Unfortunately, like all the big news talkers, about 55% to 60% of the
audience is over 55, and of no sales value.
>
> So much for poor AM quality keeping listeners
> away.

It is very effectively doing that for most of the sales demos. Under 45,
this type of station is seldom even in the top 5... KFI in LA, #1 in LA 12+,
is 8th 25-54 and 14th 25-44. Younger, sales demos, will not listen.
>
>> > Ace, this explains why Air America got moved off 1530 WCKY,
>> > it tanked in the ratings. And I mean tanked.
>>
>> AA is liberal. It has nothing to do with the success of WLW or not.
>
> The article, if you cared to read it, mentioned that 1530
> tanked in the ratings so badly that Dayton and Middletown
> stations were ranked ahead of it, and the format that
> AA replaced, the oldies format that Dusty Rhodes took
> with him to a miniscule station, scored double the ratings

> than AA did.

But, in 25-54, AA scored better. The oldies format was all over 55. Air America was much better 25-54, although not a real challenger.
>
> That was why AA and Homer switched.

Sports, with little ratings, gets big revenue. It is about the only format that does.

5011. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HDboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:47:53 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE8899-589@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86249

I think I remember now,Dale did retire and his son Steve is running the
show now.
cuhulin

5012. Re: What portable has best SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:52:19 UTC
From: "H. State" <hollowstate5964@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154386339.234184.156940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86248

WheatBoy wrote:
> Anybody who can expand on just the SSB performance of the 1103 against
> the Sony 7600GR? I'd like a little portable for mainly listening to 80/40-
> meter ham bands.
> My DX398 is insensitive off the whip and my B45 is okay but tunes in 5khz
> increments,
> fine tuning is touchy and seems to drift somewhat. Are the Sony or Degen
> any better??
>
> I don't really care about FM or SWBC that much. Besides SSB, I'd use it for
> MW stations.
>
> Thanks..

Eton E1, hands down!!!

5013. Re: Miniccradio Up In Smoke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 22:57:02 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26504-44CE8ABE-25@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86250

Shortwave strings off of the high end of AM/MW.
cuhulin

5014. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:05:32 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE8CBC-590@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86252

Last time I checked,there are three full time sports talk AM radio stations in this area.I like to listen to a baseball game one in a while,but I think three full time sports talk radio stations for the Jackson area is a bit much.But it's ok though.
cuhulin

5015. Re: Heard on BBC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:06:00 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <663tc215jr791d32spd9fuhlgdjn7lju9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 86251

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 15:12:31 -0500, "SeeingEyeDog" <star@shot.org>
wrote:

>
>"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote
>
>>
>> You folks need to move to France (or CanaDuh, the 'new' France), you'd
>adapt to
>> their culture in a matter of minutes.
>>
>
>It won't matter where they run and hide. Islamo facist jihad is poised to
>become a global problem much like Hitler and Hirohito, and quite soon.
>
>
Do you even know what fascism is?

5016. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:10:25 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26502-44CE8DE1-592@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86255

Will y'all please give that a rest?
cuhulin

5017. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HDboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:17:27 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44CE8F87.CFA8FC84@milestones.com>
Source record: 86253

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:

> I think I remember now,Dale did retire and his son Steve is running the
> show now.

You're not supposed to be up that late anyway. Beauty sleep, ya know!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

5018. Re: What portable has best SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:19:39 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154387979.552432.292800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 86254

The Eton E1 is a piece of junk. For the price the 1103 is as good as
the 7600GR.
H. State wrote:
> WheatBoy wrote:
> > Anybody who can expand on just the SSB performance of the 1103 against
> > the Sony 7600GR? I'd like a little portable for mainly listening to 80/40-
> > meter ham bands.
> > My DX398 is insensitive off the whip and my B45 is okay but tunes in 5khz
> > increments,
> > fine tuning is touchy and seems to drift somewhat. Are the Sony or Degen
> > any better??
> >
> > I don't really care about FM or SWBC that much. Besides SSB, I'd use it for
> > MW stations.
> >
> > Thanks..
>
> Eton E1, hands down!!!

5019. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:35:07 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AE374C.16350731072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86256

In article <44CE3A9B.9F53D9D2@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Stephanie Weil wrote:
>
> > David Eduardo wrote:
> >
> > > Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in my
> > > closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
> >
> > Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
> > your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
> > clowns like me quote something you say ;)).
> >
> > I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
> > her style.
>
> Why would Edweenie have a Pacific Bell (SBC) server in his closet?

So he can be a closet poster?

Maybe he is a consultant and has a server for his business.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

5020. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HD board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:36:43 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-620096.16364331072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86257

In article <MBszg.1287$Eo1.292@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:44CE3A9B.9F53D9D2@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Stephanie Weil wrote:
> >
> >> David Eduardo wrote:
> >>
> >> > Except for the threat of having my ISP (which is really the server in
> >> > my
> >> > closet) cut me off because I disagree with her.
> >>
> >> Uhh. I believe that when Brenda means "Killfile" it means she's got
> >> your posts blocked, so she doesn't see anything you post, (unless fool
> >> clowns like me quote something you say ;)).
> >>
> >> I know Brenda. Personally. Going after people's ISP accounts is NOT
> >> her style.
> >
> > Why would Edweenie have a Pacific Bell (SBC) server in his closet?
>
> Because I use my own server only for business, and have a fixed ip for it.
> >

That's what I figured.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

5021. Re: FS: JRC NRD-525 with extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:37:27 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Vuwzg.15101$qH5.15036@fe05.lga>
Source record: 86258

<cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26502-44CE8DE1-592@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
> Will y'all please give that a rest?
> cuhulin
>

Shore does remind me of the time when this beautiful bunch of wimmins was working at the good ol Goodwill store in Crawdad Louisiannna! Yep, shore enough does!!! :)

How was that Larry?

BH

5022. Re: DE's flaming remarks about Brenda Ann on radio-info.com HDboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:41:41 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24403-44CE9535-601@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 86261

Watching ET (Entertainment Tonight) on tv,about Mel Gibsn.that Los Angeles County Sheriff guy looks just like James Whitmore.

www.capitolhillblue.com Mr.Gibson,your apology is not accepted.
FUBAR.
cuhulin

5023. Re: What portable has best SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:44:15 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <hBwzg.15102$qH5.11267@fe05.lga>
Source record: 86259

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154387979.552432.292800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The Eton E1 is a piece of junk. For the price the 1103 is as good as

How bought that question? Come on you can do it!

BH

5024. Re: iBiquity's Financial Mayhem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:49:13 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0FDBCB.16491331072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86260

In article <Fnnzg.137915$dW3.45468@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:

> "David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:evvrc2dc3oq7ooskp0sc9g7eitoqes4ea5@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:36:56 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> > <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> >>news:2euqc2pi9oi6e0289et2pfmbqcg3as7vf7@4ax.com...
> >>> On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 03:19:43 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> >>> <amdavid@pacbell.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Based on extensive studies of Arbitron diary returns, 95% of all
> >>>>listening (quarter hours) happens in the 64 dbu contour, however
> >>>>it is measured. The fact is, outside that contour, there is
> >>>>little listening.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>> That's geography. Little don't mean none. The smart people
> >>> don't live in the city.
> >>
> >>Sorry, but advertisers are not interested in people outside the
> >>metro (MSA).
> >>
> >>
> > And we aren't interested in you. That's why we have Sirius and XM
> > and your HD is going to fail.
>
> XM stock is off 60% in the last 18 months, as investment analysts
> project slower growth. Satellite represents less than 0.5% of radio
> listening now, and would have to get near 20 million subscribers just
> to represent a 1 share of national listening... that is at least 3
> years away.

XM and Sirius stock are both off for missing the goals on subscriber
growth projections that now have been revised. The revised brake even
point is 1 to 2 years away for both companies. Might happen or it might
not.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

5025. Re: News From Burr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2006-07-31 23:59:09 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-9F1B3D.16590931072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 86262

In article <44CE211A.CF314924@milestones.com>,
dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:

> Michael Lawson wrote:
>
> > "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> > news:44CCBA1B.1E9A9D5E@milestones.com...
> > > Sorry for the way this looks, but that's the way it came)
> >
> > ::snipped::
> >
> > Thanks for the info, Ace. Good to hear he's up and
> > running, so to speak.
>
> I hope the Cuzz is doing alright. Not a peep about radio listening though!

Australia and NZ should be a snap for him. I expect that he should
finally get AFN in the Philippines from Hawaii and Guam.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California